



MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR JAYNES PROPERTY

Please add PCD File No. SKP225

Prepared for:

CLASSIC COMPANIES

2138 FLYING HORSE CLUB DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80921 (719) 592-9333

Prepared by:

CLASSIC CONSULTING

619 N. CASCADE AVE SUITE 200 COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80903 (719) 785-0790

Job No. 1305.02



MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR JAYNES PROPERTY

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Marc A. Whorton (Colorado P.E. #37155	Date	
	•	ply with all of the requirements specified in	n this
Business Name:	CLASSIC COMPANIES		
Ву:			
Title:			
Address:	2138 Flying Horse Club Dri	ive	
	Colorado Springs, CO 8092	21	
	-	Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2 evelopment Code as amended.	2, El Paso
For County Enginee	er, / ECM Administrator	Date	
Conditions:			



MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR JAYNES PROPERTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

PURPOSE	Page	1
GENERAL DESCRIPTION	Page	1
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	Page	1
PROPOSED DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS	Page	5
DETENTION FACILITIES / STORMWATER QUALITY	Page	8
DRAINAGE CRITERIA	Page	10
FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT	Page	11
DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES	Page	12
SUMMARY	Page	14
REFERENCES	Page	15

APPENDICES

VICINITY MAP

SOILS MAP (S.C.S. SURVEY)

F.E.M.A. MAP

WETLANDS JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD)

HYDROLOGIC / STORMWATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS

DRAINAGE MAPS



MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN FOR JAYNES PROPERTY

Please indicate whether the sand creek DBPS discusses this area and whether there are any required improvements identified in the DBPS.

PURPOSE

The intent of the owner/developer is to develop the Jaynes Property. The purpose of this Master Development Drainage Plan, as part of the Jaynes Property Sketch Plan, is to identify major drainage features and facilities and to estimate peak rates of stormwater runoff, from on-site and off-site sources. Also, the purpose is to outline the necessary improvements to safely route developed storm water runoff to adequate outfall facilities. The drainage improvements proposed in this report are preliminary in nature and final drainage reports are required upon any development within the Jaynes Property that detail the 'to be constructed' drainage systems and detention ponds.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Jaynes Property is a 142.127-acre site located in a portion of sections 28 and 33, township 12 south, range 65 west of the sixth principal meridian. The site is bounded on the north by Poco Road, to the south and east by Vollmer Road and to the west by existing platted large lot residential subdivisions. The site is within the upper portion of the Sand Creek drainage basin. The proposed uses as shown on the Sketch Plan are as follows: Varying density single family residential, neighborhood commercial, neighborhood park and open space/greenway buffers with a maximum of 565 dwelling units. All roadway access will be from Vollmer Road and the proposed Briargate Parkway extension. No direct roadway access to Poco Road.

The average soil condition reflects Nydrologic Group "B" (Pring coarse sandy loam) as determined by the "Soil Survey of El Paso County Area," prepared by the Soil Conservation Service (see map in Appendix).

The letter of intent indicates a 450 DU density cap in the table provided.

EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The Jaynes property is located in the upper reaches of the Sand Creek Basin. Existing conditions in this basin are largely rolling hills vegetated with native grasses, yucca and sparse pine trees



on the northwestern portion of the site with natural ravines and swales with little to no trees on the south portion of the property. Existing slopes range from 20% to 2% across the site. The entire property generally drains in a southerly direction through numerous natural ravines created from the off-site flows north of Poco Road. There are three major off-site basins north of Poco Road represented by EX-3, EX-4A and EX-4B. This nomenclature matches this same area as presented in the Sterling Ranch MDDP. Currently there are multiple corrugated metal culverts within Poco Road allowing these off-site flows from the north to enter the site. However, a recent site investigation reports that these culverts are badly silted in with minimal flow able to be conveyed. They also appear to only be sized for the smaller storm events. No evidence of the road overtopping at these crossing locations was found. This off-site property north of Poco Road seems to be all large lot residential with property sizes ranging from 5-acre to 35-acres. As this off-site basin stretches north it starts to get into the Black Forest north of Wildflower Road.

At the northern edge of the property there remains an out parcel with a home accessed from Poco Road that is not a part of this development. This area is also a highpoint in Poco Road and creates the two major natural ravines running north to south through the Jaynes Property. Near the corner of Poco Road and Vollmer Road there is also another exiting home that also accesses Poco Road. This home is part of the development property and will eventually be abandoned and demolished. There are existing natural ravines on both sides of this existing home site that eventually combine into one and continue to travel in a southerly direction through the site towards an on-site stock pond near Vollmer Road. There are no records or design plans for this stock pond. For this existing condition analysis this pond was removed from the project model. In the central portion of the property exists several structures with gravel driveway access directly to Vollmer Road. These structures will also eventually be abandoned and demolished. The natural ravine along the western portion of the property travels due south all the way to Vollmer Road. A few minor off-site basins (OS-1 and OS-2) from the neighboring large lot residential development to the west also drain onto the property. The



entire site drains towards Vollmer Road and into the side road ditch along the west side of Vollmer. Only a very small portion of the site, represented by Basin EX-D, in the extreme northwest corner of the property sheet flows off-site.

The stretch of Vollmer Road adjacent to the Jaynes property is planned for widening improvements along with the Sterling Ranch development to the east (Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing 1). As recommended in the Final Drainage Report for this project and shown on the street and storm improvement plans for Vollmer Road, multiple storm sewer stubs will be provided for the Jaynes property and the off-site properties to the north of Poco Road. Given that these facilities will most likely be approved and constructed prior to the development of the Jaynes property, this report assumes these will be in place as described and shown on the maps. Please also reference the Appendix for the Homestead North drainage maps as reference material.

These ravines and stock pond areas contain some wetlands vegetation. These wetlands were field delineated by CORE Consultants, Inc. and provided on the drainage maps as shown. However, a Jurisdictional Determination (Action No. SPA-2022-00123) was provided by the Corps of Engineers finding that none of these wetland areas contain waters of the U.S. As such, these areas are NOT regulated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act. (See Appendix for JD)

and design points

The following descriptions represent the existing on and off-site basins affecting this property:

Design Point E1 (Q_5 = 16 cfs, Q_{100} = 86 cfs) consists of the approximated 77.0-acre off-site tributary area from Basin EX-4A. As mentioned earlier, this area is developed as large lot residential (lots ranging from 5-acre to 35-acre) all draining towards Poco Road and the existing 24" CMP that is silted in. These off-site flows then enter the property within one of the natural ravines and convey the flows to the south towards the existing stock pond.



Design Point E2 ($Q_5 = 11$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 61$ cfs) consists of the approximated 70.0-acre off-site tributary area from Basin EX-4B. As mentioned earlier, this area is also developed as large lot residential (lots ranging from 5-acre to 35-acre) all draining towards an off-site stock pond at the northwest corner of Poco Road and Vollmer Road. Although undetermined in the field, this facility must have a culvert outlet crossing Poco Road to the south. These off-site flows then appear to enter the property within one of the natural ravines and convey the flows to the south towards the existing stock pond.

Design Point E3 ($Q_5 = 1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 7$ cfs) consists of the 3.6-acre tributary area from Basins EX-A and EX-B. This on-site area drains in a southerly direction towards the side road ditch along Vollmer Road and then captured by a Type C CDOT Inlet with a 30" RCP storm outfall into the planned Vollmer roadway widening improvements. These improvements required with the construction of Homestead North replace the original culvert crossing of Vollmer Road at this location.

See comments on the drainage plan

Design Point E4 (Q_5 = 28 cfs, Q_{100} = 160 cfs) consists of the 41.4-acre tributary area from on site Basin EX-C along with the combined off-site flows from Design Points E1 and E2. These combined flows travel in the natural ravine towards the existing stock pond on-site. As mentioned earlier, this facility was removed for this existing drainage model. The total flows then travel towards Vollmer Road where they are then captured by an overflow Manhole with grate and a 42" RCP storm outfall into the planned Vollmer roadway widening improvements. These improvements required with the construction of Homestead North replace the original culvert crossing of Vollmer Road at this location.

Design Point E5 ($Q_5 = 13$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 73$ cfs) consists of the approximated 44.3-acre off-site tributary area from Basin EX-3. As mentioned earlier, this area is developed as large lot residential (lots ranging from 5-acre to 35-acre) all draining towards Poco Road and the existing



24" CMP that is silted in. These off-site flows then enter the property within the westerly natural ravine and convey the flows to the south through the property.

Include area for Basin OS-2

Design Point E6 (Q₅ = **23 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **142 cfs)** consists of the 87.2-acre tributary area from the major on-site Basin EX-E, the minor off-site basin OS-2 along with the off-site flows from Design Point E5. These combined flows travel in the westerly natural ravine the entire length of the property towards Vollmer Road. It is assumed that all these flows continue south within the road side ditch on the west side of Vollmer as the existing culvert under Vollmer (undetermined size) seems to be severely silted in.

Include area for Basin OS-1

Design Point E7 (Q_5 = 24 cfs, Q_{100} = 153 cfs) consists of the 8.7-acre tributary area from the onsite Basin EX-F, the minor off-site basin OS-1 along with the flows from Design Point E6. These combined flows travel in a southerly direction within the side road ditch along Vollmer Road.

Include discussion for Basin EX-D

see comments on the existing drainage plan and address accordingly.

PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

Development within the Jaynes Property is proposed to be urban residential and commercial with associated curb, gutter, sidewalk and paved streets. Overlot grading is anticipated for the majority of the development along with installation of urban services provided through the Sterling Ranch Metropolitan District. Proposed impervious areas will sheet flow across yards and landscape areas to slow runoff and increase time of concentration. This will minimize the effects of impervious areas. At design points where developed flows are greater than in the existing condition, detention facilities will be proposed providing an Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) in the lower portion of the facility storage volume with an outlet control device. Frequent and infrequent inflows are released at rates approximating undeveloped conditions. This concept provides some mitigation of increased runoff volume by releasing a portion of the increased



runoff at a low rate over an extended period of time, up to 72 hours. This means that frequent storms, smaller than the 2 year event, will be reduced to very low flows near or below the sediment carrying threshold value for downstream drainage ways. Also, by incorporating an outlet structure that limits the 100-year runoff to the undeveloped condition rate, the discharge hydrograph for storms between the 2 year and the 100 year event will approximate the hydrograph for the undeveloped conditions and will help effectively mitigate the effects of development. Prior to development within the Jaynes property, final drainage reports and construction plans will be required detailing the requirements and specifics of proposed facilities.

Per the Sand Creek DBPS, this area was planned for both large lot residential and single family residential. There were no recommendations for detention facilities within the area but due to current drainage criteria, detention/stormwater quality facilities are proposed. The following are concept design points for developed conditions with descriptions of anticipated basin areas and conceptual major storm systems:

comments regarding this inlet and revise as necessary.

Design Point D1 ($Q_5 = 1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 6$ cfs) consists of developed flows from Basin B. This on-site area drains in a southerly direction towards the side road ditch along Vollmer Road and then captured by the planned Type C CDOT Inlet with a 30" RCP storm outfall into the Vollmer roadway widening improvements. These improvements required with the construction of Homestead North replace the original culvert crossing of Vollmer Road at this location. Also described in the Homestead North FDR is that these developed flows were accounted for in the design of the offsite Pond C within the Sterling Ranch development. This facility provides detention/stormwater quality and thus, upon development within Basin B, no further detention/stormwater quality will be required.

Design Point D2 (Q_5 = 31 cfs, Q_{100} = 153 cfs) consists of the pre-developed off-site flows from Basins EX-4A and EX-4B and the developed flows from Basin A. The final design for this area will determine how these off-site flows are routed through this portion of the development and the

As discussed in the existing conditions, there is a stock pond and a natural ravine that traverses proposed basins A and C, discuss the issues, anticipated problems, improvements/solutions needed due to these drainageways and the conveyance to design points D2 and D3.

total developed flow improvements and th

Identify that a hydraulic analysis of the two drainageways (in basins F, C, manhole with grate a & A) will be provided at the subdivision stage with the final drainage reports.

FDR is that these significant off-site developed flows were accounted for in the design of the offsite Pond C within the Sterling Ranch development. This facility provides detention/stormwater quality and thus, upon development within Basin A, no further detention/stormwater quality will be required. The final design for this area must follow the maximum flow and percent impervious as described in the Homestead North FDR. (See Appendix for applicable reference material)

please identify in the narrative what these max flows are.

Design Point D3 (Q5 = 27 cfs, Q100 = 71 cfs) consists of developed flows from Basins C, D and E. This on-site area drains in a southerly direction and will be routed via an on-site storm system alignment determined with final design. These total flows will then be routed towards the proposed on-site Pond 1 at the south end of the property.

Design Point D4 (Q₅ = **14 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **26 cfs)** consists of developed flows from the Commercial area, Basin I. This area will drain in a southeasterly direction and be routed via an on-site storm system alignment determined with final design. These flows combine with the previously mentioned developed flows from DP D3 and then are routed towards the proposed on-site Pond 1 at the south end of the property.

Design Point D5 (Q₅ = 17 cfs, Q_{100} = 41 cfs) consists of developed flows from Basins G and H. This on-site area drains in a southerly direction and will be routed via an on-site storm system alignment within Briargate Parkway and then towards the proposed on-site Pond 1 at the south end of the property.

Design Point D6 (Q₅ = 30 cfs, Q₁₀₀ = 128 cfs) consists of the pre-developed off-site flows from Basin EX-3 and the developed flows from Basin F. The final design for this area will determine how these off-site flows are routed through this portion of the development and the total



Please discuss anticipated issues, problems, solutions/improvements for flows from basin F due to the drainageway/ravine that traverses this basin.

developed flows conveyed to Design Point Db. These flows combine with the previously mentioned developed flows within Briargate Parkway and then routed towards the proposed onsite Pond 1 at the south end of the property.

Per hydrology spreadsheet, half of Basin OS-2 flows to this design point.

Design Point D7 (Q₅ = **17 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **37 cfs)** consists of developed flows from Basin L. This area will drain in a southerly direction and be routed via an on-site storm system alignment determined with final design. These flows combine with the previously mentioned developed flows and then routed towards the proposed on-site Pond 1 at the south end of the property.

Design Point D8 (Q_5 = 20 cfs, Q_{100} = 57 cfs) consists of developed flows from Basin K. This area will drain in a southerly direction and be routed via an on-site storm system alignment determined with final design. These flows combine with the previously mentioned developed flows and then routed towards the proposed on-site Pond 1 to the south Per hydrology spreadsheet, half

Include discussion for Pond 1 Inflow, which has Basins M & OS-1

of Basin OS-2 flows to this design point.

Include statement that Basins Ex-3, EX-4A, EX4-B, EX-D, OS-1 & OS-2 are the same as existing conditions and no changes to flow

DETENTION FACILITIES / STORMWATER QUALITY

Final design of this recommended facility that include planning for water quality management of storm water runoff features will be designed during final design and construction of the proposed improvements. Storm water quality measures will be utilized in order to reduce the amount of sediment, debris and pollutants that are allowed to enter Sand Creek. These features include but are not limited to Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin Sedimentation Facilities, Sand Filter Basins, and Rain Gardens. Site Planning and design techniques should limit impervious area, minimize directly impervious area, lengthen time of travel and increase infiltration in order to decrease the rate and volume of stormwater runoff. Facilities that require detention will provide an Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) in the lower portion of the facility storage volume that will release the more frequent storms at a slower rate to help minimize the effects of development of the Jaynes Property. These measures will be taken into consideration upon final



Please discuss the anticipated

downstream anticipated to be

suitable outfall of the

adequate for the

please address.

proposed pond 1. Is the

developments flows? Are down stream improvements

anticipated to be required?

design of the individual detention facilities as well as the development of the individual and use within the Jaynes Property.

The proposed Pond 1 is intended to provide detention and stormwater quality for the entire property, including the off-site basin EX-3 north of Poco Road and excluding any area that is tributary and being treated by the off-site Pond 3 within the Sterling Ranch Development. The total anticipated developed flows entering this facility are as follows:

(See Appendix for MHFD-Detention pond design sheets):

Did not get this contributing area

Pond 1 (Full Spectrum EDB)

Total Tributary Acreage: 166.0 ac.

2.241 Ac.-ft. WQCV required

3.517 Ac.-ft. EURV required with 4:1 max. slopes

6.061 Ac.-ft. 100-yr. required storage

11.819 Ac.-ft. required total

12.039 Ac.-ft. provided

Total Peak In-flow: $Q_5 = 97 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 297 \text{ cfs}$

Pond Peak Design Release: $Q_5 = 22.3 \text{ cfs}$ $Q_{100} = 137.9 \text{ cfs}$

Pre-development Release: $Q_5 = 24 \text{ cfs}, \quad Q_{100} = 153 \text{ cfs}$

(Ownership and maintenance by the proposed Jaynes HOA)

The proposed detention facility is to be private with maintenance by the Jaynes Homeowners Association. It is proposed that all drainage facilities within the public Right of Way be public with maintenance by El Paso County.

identify the outfall for Pond C

Include write up for Pond C: Area and imperviousness from Jaynes portion was assumed in Homestead FDR vs. what is being directed. Is it more or less & higher/lower % impervious? Include a copy of Pond C calculations in Reference material



DRAINAGE CRITERIA

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, as revised in November 1991 and October 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014. Individual basin design used for detention/SWQ basin sizing was calculated using the Rational Method. Runoff Coefficients are based on the imperviousness of the particular land use and the hydrologic soil type in accordance with Table 6-6. The average rainfall intensity, by recurrence interval found in the Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) curves in Figure 6-5. Mile High Flood District (MHFD)-Detention spreadsheet Ver. 4.05 used for Preliminary Detention/SWQ design. (See Appendix)

The City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County DCM requires the Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long-term source controls. The Four Step Process pertains to management of smaller, frequently occurring storm events, as opposed to larger storms for which drainage and flood control infrastructure are sized. Implementation of these four steps helps to achieve storm water permit requirements.

This site adheres to this **Four Step Process** as follows:

- Employ Runoff Reduction Practices: Proposed urban lot impervious areas (roof tops, patios, etc.) will sheet flow across landscaped yards and through open space areas to slow runoff and increase time of concentration prior to being conveyed to the proposed public streets or detention facilities. This will minimize directly connected impervious areas within the project site.
- 2. **Stabilize Drainageways:** After developed flows utilize the runoff reduction practices through the front and rear yards, developed flows will travel via curb and gutter within

discuss the two drainageways on the site. Discuss anticipated stabilization that may be needed



the public streets and eventually public storm systems. These collected flows are then routed directly to the proposed extended detention basin (full-spectrum facility).

- 3. Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV): Runoff from this development will be treated through capture and slow release of the WQCV and excess urban runoff volume (EURV) in the proposed Full-Spectrum permanent Extended Detention Basin designed per current El Paso County drainage criteria.
- 4. Consider need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs: No industrial uses are proposed within this development. However, a site-specific storm water quality and erosion control plan and narrative will be submitted along with the grading and erosion control plan. Details such as site-specific sediment and erosion control construction BMP's as well as temporary and permanent BMP's for commercial use will be detailed in this plan and narrative to protect receiving waters. Multiple temporary BMP's are anticipated based on specific phasing of the overall development. BMP's will be constructed and maintained as the development has been graded and erosion control methods employed.

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is located within a floodplain as determined by the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (F.I.R.M.) Map Numbers 08041C0533G and 0841C0535G, effective date, December 7, 2018 (See Appendix).



DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES

Any applicable fees shall be provided prior to final plat recordation of any development within this site. The following represents the anticipated overall fees for the property:

Sand Creek Drainage Basin

The property has a total area of 142.127-acres and lies entirely within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin boundaries. The following are fees based on the proposed land uses as defined on the Sketch Plan and calculated using the following impervious acreage method approved by El Paso County. The proposed Right-of-way area has been added to the adjacent residential uses:

Fees for Detention Facilities, Open Space buffers & Park

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart: 7%)

23.5 Ac. x 7% = 1.65 Impervious Ac.

Fees for 1/3 Ac. Avg. lots

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart: 30%)

52.63 Ac. \times 30% = **15.79** Impervious Ac.

Fees for 0.20 Ac. Avg. lots

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart: 43%)

33.0 Ac. x 43% = 14.19 Impervious Ac.

Fees for Multi-Family lots

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart: 65%)

29.0 Ac. \times 65% = **18.85 Impervious Ac.**



Fees for Commercial Site

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart: 95%)

4.0 Ac. \times 95% = **3.8 Impervious Ac.**

Total Impervious Acreage: 54.28 Imp. Ac.

The following calculations are based on the 2022 Sand Creek drainage/bridge fees:

ESTIMATED FEE TOTALS:

Bridge Fees

\$ 8,923.00 x 54.28 Impervious Ac. = **\$ 484,340.44**

Drainage Fees

\$ 21,814.00 x 54.28 Impervious Ac. = **\$ 1,184,063.92**

Final fee estimates for individual future filings will be handled under separate drainage reports upon submission of individual filing plats.



SUMMARY

The proposed Jaynes property development is within the Upper reach of the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. Recommendations are made within this report concerning necessary improvements that may be required as a result of development of this property. The points of storm water release from the proposed site are required to be at or below the calculated historic flow quantities. This development does not hinder any downstream facility or property to an extent greater than that which currently exists in the 'historic' conditions. All drainage facilities within this report were sized according to the Drainage Criteria Manuals and the full-spectrum storm water quality requirements. Upon development of the individual parcels within the Jaynes property, separate Final Drainage Reports will be required to be submitted and approved by El Paso County that details all storm systems, pond design and fee calculation.

PREPARED BY:

Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC

Marc A. Whorton, P.E.

Project Manager

maw/1305.20/130520 MDDP.doc



REFERENCES

- 1. City of Colorado Springs/County of El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in November 1991 and October 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014.
- 2. "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1, 2 & 3" Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, dated January 2016.
- 3. "Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study," Kiowa Engineering Corporation, dated March 1996.
- 4. "2018 Sterling Ranch MDDP", M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., June 2018
- 5. "Final Drainage Report for Retreat at TimberRidge Filing No. 1", Classic Consulting, approved November, 2020.
- 6. "Final Drainage Report for Homestead North at Sterling Ranch Filing No. 1", JR Engineering, LLC, dated June 2022
- 7. "MDDP Amendment for Sterling Ranch", JR Engineering, LLC, dated June 2022



APPENDIX



VICINITY MAP



8/1/22, 8:12 AM Google Maps

Google Maps


Jaynes Property Vicinity Map



Imagery @2022 CNES / Airbus, Maxar Technologies, USDA/FPAC/GEO, Map data @2022 500 ft L

SOILS MAP (S.C.S SURVEY)






MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

Soil Map Unit Polygons



Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features

Blowout

Borrow Pit

Clay Spot

Closed Depression

Gravel Pit

Gravelly Spot

Candfill

Lava Flow

Marsh or swamp

Mine or Quarry

Miscellaneous Water

Perennial Water

Rock Outcrop

sandy Spot

Severely Eroded Spot

Sinkhole

Slide or Slip

Sodic Spot

00

Spoil Area

Stony Spot

Very Stony Spot

Wet Spot

△ Other

Special Line Features

Water Features

Streams and Canals

Transportation

Rails

Interstate Highways

US Routes

Major Roads

Local Roads

Background

Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24.000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50.000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—May 26, 2019

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Map Offic Symbol	Map Offit Name	Acres III AOI	reiteill of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	13.2	2.9%
19	Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	7.8	1.7%
71	Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	433.5	95.4%
Totals for Area of Interest		454.5	100.0%

El Paso County Area, Colorado

71—Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369k Elevation: 6,800 to 7,600 feet

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Pring and similar soils: 85 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of

the mapunit.

Description of Pring

Setting

Landform: Hills

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 14 inches: coarse sandy loam
C - 14 to 60 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: Low

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High

(2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Ecological site: R048AY222CO - Loamy Park

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021

F.E.M.A. MAP



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal datum was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website a http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2008.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is

Contact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website a http://www.msc.fema.gov/.


f you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table

Vertical Datum

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION


Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



LEGEND

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD

Elevation is the water-surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood.

The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood Hazard Area is the area subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Hazard include Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, and VE. The Base Flood

ZONE A No Base Flood Elevations determined. Base Flood Elevations determined.

Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevations determined

Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also

ZONE AR Special Flood Hazard Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.

ZONE A99 Area to be protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations

Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood Elevations determined

ZONE VE Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood

Elevations determined. FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE

The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

OTHER FLOOD AREAS

Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.

OTHER AREAS

Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.

Areas in which flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.

COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS

OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs)

CBRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.

Floodway boundary Zone D Boundary

********** CBRS and OPA boundary Boundary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base lood Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities.

~~ 513 ~~ Base Flood Elevation line and value; elevation in feet* (EL 987) Base Flood Elevation value where uniform within zone; elevation in feet*

* Referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)

97° 07' 30.00" Geographic coordinates referenced to the North American 32° 22' 30.00" Datum of 1983 (NAD 83)

1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks,

5000-foot grid ticks: Colorado State Plane coordinate 6000000 FT system, central zone (FIPSZONE 0502), Bench mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of

this FIRM panel)

MAP REPOSITORIES Refer to Map Repositories list on Map Index EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP

EFFECTIVE DATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL DECEMBER 7, 2018 - to update corporate limits, to change Base Flood Elevations and Special Flood Hazard Areas, to update map format, to add roads and road names, and to incorporate previously issued Letters of Map Revision.

MARCH 17, 1997

For community map revision history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community

Map History Table located in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

PANEL 0535G

FIRM FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

PANEL 535 OF 1300

(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)

AND INCORPORATED AREAS

Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map orders: the Community Number shown above should be used on insurance applications for the



MAP REVISED **DECEMBER 7, 2018**

MAP NUMBER 08041C0535G

Federal Emergency Management Agency

NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The **community map repository** should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations** (BFEs) and/or **floodways** have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control structures**. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The **projection** used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The **horizontal datum** was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2008.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date **stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations** than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact **FEMA Map Service Center** (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at http://www.msc.fema.gov/.


If you have **questions about this map** or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call **1-877-FEMA MAP** (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Vertical Datum Offset (ft)

Flooding Source Offset (ft)

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION


Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



LEGEND

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD

The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood Hazard Area is the area subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Hazard include Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, and VE. The Base Flood

ZONE A No Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE AE Base Flood Elevations determined.

ZONE AH Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevations determined.

Elevation is the water-surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood.

ZONE AO

Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined.

ZONE AR Special Flood Hazard Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to

provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.

ZONE A99 Area to be protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations

determined.

V Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood Elevations determined.

FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE

Elevations determined.

The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

ZONE VE Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood

OTHER FLOOD AREAS

X Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.

OTHER AREAS

Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.

Areas in which flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.

COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS

OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs)

CBRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.

Floodplain boundary
Floodway boundary
Zone D Boundary

CBRS and OPA boundary

Boundary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Flood Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities.

Base Flood Elevation line and value; elevation in feet*
(EL 987)
Base Flood Elevation value where uniform within zone; elevation in feet*

* Referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)

A Cross section line

23)----- (23) Transect line

23) -----(23) Transect line

97° 07' 30.00" Geographic coordinates referenced to the North American

32° 22' 30.00" Datum of 1983 (NAD 83)

4275^{000m}N 1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, zone 13

6000000 FT 5000-foot grid ticks: Colorado State Plane coordinate system, central zone (FIPSZONE 0502), Lambert Conformal Conic Projection

× this FIRM panel)
M1.5

MAP REPOSITORIES
Refer to Map Repositories list on Map Index

EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE

DECEMBER 7, 2018 - to update corporate limits, to change Base Flood Elevations and

FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP

MARCH 17, 1997

EFFECTIVE DATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL

Bench mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of

Special Flood Hazard Areas, to update map format, to add roads and road names, and to incorporate previously issued Letters of Map Revision.

For community map revision history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community Map History Table located in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your insurance

agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

MAP SCALE 1" = 500'
250 0 500 1000
HHH FEET

PANEL 0533G

FIRM
FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP

EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS

PANEL 533 OF 1300

(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)

CONTAINS:

EL PASO COUNTY

COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL

COLORADO SPRINGS, CITY OF 080060 0533

Notice to User: The **Map Number** shown below should be used when placing map orders: the **Community Number**

shown above should be used on insurance applications for the



MAP REVISED DECEMBER 7, 2018

Federal Emergency Management Agency

WETLANDS JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD)





DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS, ALBUQUERQUE DISTRICT SOUTHERN COLORADO REGULATORY BRANCH 201 WEST 8TH STREET, SUITE 350 PUEBLO, COLORADO 81003

June 30, 2022

Regulatory Division

SUBJECT: Jurisdictional Determination- Action No.SPA-2022-00123

Classic Communities
Attn: Loren Moreland
6385 Corporate Dr., Suite 200
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80919
lorenm@classichomes.com

Dear Mr. Moreland:

This letter responds to your request for a jurisdictional determination (JD) for forty (40) wetlands and one man-made pond associated with the *Classic Communities-Jayne's Parcel*, residential development. The approximately 141-acre project site is located near Sand Creek, centered at latitude 38.976682°, longitude -104.668357°, Colorado Springs, El Paso County, Colorado. We have assigned Action No. SPA-2022-00123 to your request. Please reference this number in all future correspondence concerning the site.

Based on the information provided, we concur with your aquatic resource delineation for the site, as depicted on the enclosed drawing labeled, *SPA-2022-00123*, *Figure 1*, prepared by Core Consultants, Inc. (enclosure 1). We have determined that the site does not contain waters of the United States that are subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The approximately 9.66-acres of aquatic resources identified as *Wetlands WT-A1* through *WT-A40* and one man-made pond, on the above drawing are intrastate isolated aquatic resources with no apparent interstate or foreign commerce connection. As such, these aquatic resources are not regulated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. This disclaimer of jurisdiction is only for Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act.

We are enclosing a copy of the *Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form* for your site (enclosure 2). A copy of this JD is also available at http://www.spa.usace.army.mil/reg/JD. This approved JD is valid for five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date.

You may accept or appeal this approved JD or provide new information in accordance with the attached Notification of Administration Appeal Options and Process and Request for Appeal (NAAOP-RFA) (enclosure 3). If you elect to appeal this approved JD, you must complete Section II of the form and return it to the Army Engineer Division, South Pacific, CESPD-PDS-O, Attn: Tom Cavanaugh, Administrative

Appeal Review Officer, P.O. Box 36023, 450 Golden Gate Ave, San Francisco, CA 94102 within 60 days of the date of this notice. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of the date of this notice means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety and waive all rights to appeal the approved JD.

If you have any questions, please contact Senior Project Manager Kyle Zibung by email at kyle.d.zibung@usace.army.mil, or telephone at (651) 290-5877. For program information or to complete our Customer Survey, visit our website at https://www.spa.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory-Program-and-Permits/.

Sincerely,

for

[']Kara Hellige

Chief, Southern Colorado Branch

Enclosures

CC:

Natalie Graves, Core Consultants, Inc. (ngraves@liveyourcore.com)






Figure 4.4 Potential WOTUS Location Map (North)






Figure 4.4 Potential WOTUS Location Map (South)

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): June 30, 2022

B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SPA-2022-00123, Classic Communities-Jayne's Parcel AJD

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State:Colorado County/parish/borough: El Paso City: Colorado Springs
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.976682° N, Long. -104.668357° W.
Universal Transverse Mercator: 13
Name of nearest waterbody: Sand Creek

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 11020003-Fountain

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
 Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: June 2, 2022 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):¹

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area for this determination is comprised of 40 individual Wetlands (identified as WT A1 through WT-A40) totaling 9.51 acres and one man-made pond totaling 0.15 acre located on the approximately 141acre tract. Based on a review of the National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) the nearest mapped potential relatively permanant water (RPW) is Sand Creek located approximately 1,500 feet southeast of the review area. The National Wetland Inventory (NWI) and NHD shows mapped wetland drainages with two mapped ephemeral streams in the eastern and western portion of the review area, however, the February 2022 Core Consultants, Inc., wetland delineation report (Core Report) did not find any defined bed/banks nor ordinary high water mark indicators within these two mapped features. The Core Report determined the mapping layers to be inaccurate and best characterized both features as multiple depressional wetlands seperated by upland swales. The upland swales sever a surface connection between the wetlands, pond, and Sand Creek. The Core Report notes that a culvert is present under Vollmer Road in the eastern portion of the review area near WT-A38, however, the outlet channel is comprised of a meandering upland swale with no observed surface connection to Sand Creek. Much of the land south of the JD review area has been previously graded for residential development resulting in altered surface hydrology patterns. Due to their small size and/or disturbed characteristics, Wetlands WT-A1 through WT-A40 and the man-made pond provide limited habitat functions to surrounding areas and exhibit tenuous ecological connections to nearby surface waters. Based on this information, the Corps has determined that Wetlands WT-A1 through WT-A40 and the man-made pond are isolated features with no surface or shallow subsurface hydrologic connection or ecological connection to a RPW or TNW. Wetlands WT-A1 through WT-A40 and the man-made pond do not border, neighbor, nor are contiguous with another water of the U.S. Wetlands WT-A1 through WT-A40 and the man-made pond are not seperated from other WOTUS by man-made dikes, barriers, or berms. Wetlands WT-A1 through WT-A40 and the man-made pond do not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce; they are not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

other purposes; They do not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and they are not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Therefore, the Corps has determined that Wetlands WT-A1 through WT-A40 and the man-made pond are isolated and therfore not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the CWA.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- **SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A**

■ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is:

- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
- E

	THAT APPLY): N/A
E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: 0.15 acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 9.51 acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: February 2022 Core Consultants, Inc.
	Wetland Delineation Report
	 □ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. □ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. □ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: □ Corps navigable waters' study:
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	□ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K Falcon NW
	■ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: El Paso County Soil Survey
	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS National Wetland Inventory State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps:

(National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)

⊠ F	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 2020, 2018, 2017, 2015, 2013, 2010 2008, 2006, 2005, 2000, 1994
	or Other (Name & Date):
□ F	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

	NOTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OPTIONS AND PROCESS AND REQUEST FOR APPEAL										
	Applicant: Classic Communities c/o Loren Moreland File No.: SPA-2022-00123 Date: June 30, 2022										
Attached is: See Section below											
	INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Perr	Α									
	PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or	r Letter of permission)	В								
	PERMIT DENIAL	С									
\rightarrow	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMI	D									
	PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETER	Е									

SECTION I - The following identifies your rights and options regarding an administrative appeal of the above decision. Additional information may be found at http://www.usace.army.mil/cecw/pages/reg_materials.aspx or Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331.

- A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit.
- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for
 final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized.
 Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and
 waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations
 associated with the permit.
- OBJECT: If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may request that the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the form to the district engineer. Your objections must be received by the district engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice, or you will forfeit your right to appeal the permit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the district engineer will evaluate your objections and may: (a) modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of your objections, or (c) not modify the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evaluating your objections, the district engineer will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section B below.
- B: PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the permit
- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for
 final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized.
 Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and
 waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations
 associated with the permit.
- APPEAL: If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions
 therein, you may appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing
 Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer (address on reverse). This form must be received by
 the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- C: PERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer (address on reverse). This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- D: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or appeal the approved JD or provide new information.
- ACCEPT: You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved JD. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of
 the date of this notice, means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the approved
 JD.
- APPEAL: If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the approved JD under the Corps of Engineers
 Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer
 (address on reverse). This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to respond to the Corps regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

SECTION II - REQUEST FOR APPEAL or OBJECTIO	NS TO AN INITIAL PROF	FERED PERMIT
REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describe	e your reasons for appealing th	e decision or your objections
to an initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You ma		to this form to clarify where
your reasons or objections are addressed in the administrative re	cord.)	
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of		
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplement		
needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant		
record. However, you may provide additional information to clari- administrative record.	ly the location of information the	at is already in the
POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORM	AATION:	
If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal	If you only have questions regard	ling the appeal process you may
process you may contact:	also contact:	ang the appear process you may
Kyle Zibung	Thomas J. Cavanaugh	
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Administrative Appeal Review	
201 West 8th Street, Suite 350 Pueblo, Colorado 81003	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers South Pacific Division	5
Phone: 651-290-5877	P.O. Box 36023, 450 Golden	Gate Ave
Email: kyle.d.zibung@usace.army.mil	San Francisco, California 941	03-1399
	Phone: 415-503-6574, FAX 4	
DIOLIT OF FUTDY Y	Email: Thomas.J.Cavanau	
RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entre		
consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the day notice of any site investigation and will have the opportunity to		
day house of any site investigation and will have the opportunity i	Date:	Telephone number:
	Date.	i olophone number.
Cignoture of appellant or agent		
Signature of appellant or agent.		

HYDROLOGIC / STORMWATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS



JAYNES PROPERTY MDDP

JOB NAME: JOB NUMBER: DATE:

1305.02 07/28/22 MAW CALCULATED BY:

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF COEFFICIENT SUMMARY

	DEVELOPED AREA/IMPERVIOUS AREA			LAND	LANDSCAPE/UNDEVELOPED AREAS				WEIGHTED			WEIGHTED C	IMPERVIOUSNESS			
	TOTAL															
BASIN	AREA (AC)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	%
EX-A	0.78	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.78	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.02	0.07	0.28	2%
EX-B	2.80	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	2.80	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.08	0.25	1.01	2%
EX-C	41.40	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	41.40	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	1.24	3.73	14.90	2%
EX-D	1.30	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	1.30	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.04	0.12	0.47	2%
EX-E	87.20	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	87.20	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	2.62	7.85	31.39	2%
EX-F	8.70	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	8.70	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.26	0.26	3.13	2%
OS-1	2.00	2.00	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.12	0.26	0.80	10%
OS-2	5.30	5.30	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.32	0.69	2.12	10%
EX-3	44.30	44.30	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	2.22	5.32	17.28	7%
EX-4A	77.00	77.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	3.85	9.24	30.03	7%
EX-4B	70.00	70.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	3.50	8.40	27.30	7%

JOB NAME: JAYNES PROPERTY MDDP JOB NUMBER: 1305.02

DATE: 07/28/22

CALC'D BY: MAW

Return Period	1-Hour Depth
2	1.19
5	1.50
10	1.75
25	2.00
50	2.25
100	2.52

$$t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_5)\sqrt{L}}{S^{0.33}} \qquad V = C_v S_w^{-0.5} \qquad \text{Tc=L/V}$$

Table 6-7. Conveyance Coefficient, C_v
--

Type of Land Surface	C,
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field L	5
Riprap (not buried)* $I_c = \frac{1}{180} + 1$	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of vegetative cover.

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF SUMMARY

		OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				Tc	Tc INTENSITY				TOTAL FLOWS			
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	Tc (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	I(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100) (cfs)
EX-A	0.02	0.07	0.28	0.09	240	9	18.3					18.3	2.58	3.23	5.41	0.1	0.2	2
EX-B	0.08	0.25	1.01	0.09	300	24	15.9	120	2.0%	1.0	2.0	17.9	2.60	3.25	5.46	0.2	0.8	6
EX-C	1.24	3.73	14.90	0.09	300	18	17.5	1300	2.9%	1.7	12.7	30.2	1.98	2.47	4.15	2	9	62
EX-D	0.04	0.12	0.47	0.09	225	10	16.7					16.7	2.68	3.36	5.64	0.1	0.4	3
EX-E	2.62	7.85	31.39	0.09	280	20	15.9	3400	2.5%	1.6	35.8	51.8	1.34	1.66	2.79	3	13	88
EX-F	0.26	0.26	3.13	0.09	300	12	20.0	560	2.0%	1.4	6.6	26.6	2.13	2.66	4.47	1	1	14
OS-1	0.12	0.26	0.80	0.08	300	10	21.4					21.4	2.39	2.99	5.01	0.3	0.8	4
OS-2	0.32	0.69	2.12	0.08	300	12	20.2					20.2	2.46	3.08	5.16	1	2	11
EX-3	2.22	5.32	17.28	0.08	300	10	21.4	650	2.0%	1.4	7.7	29.1	2.02	2.53	4.24	4	13	73
EX-4A	3.85	9.24	30.03	0.08	300	9	22.2	2400	2.0%	1.4	28.3	50.5	1.37	1.70	2.85	5	16	86
EX-4B	3.50	8.40	27.30	0.08	300	10	21.4	3500	1.8%	1.3	43.5	64.9	1.07	1.32	2.22	4	11	61

 JOB NAME:
 JAYNES PROPERTY MDDP

 JOB NUMBER:
 1305.02

 DATE:
 07/29/22

 CALCULATED BY:
 MAW

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

					Inten	Intensity		ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
E1	EX-4A	9.24	30.03	50.5	1.70	2.85	16	Xh	EX. 24" CMP CULVERT
E2	EX-4B	8.40	27.30	64.9	1.32	2.22	11	61	EX. STOCK OFF- SITE POND
E3	EX-A, EX-B	0.32	1.29	18.3	3.23	5.41	1	/	PLANNED TYPE C CDOT INLET
E4	EX-4A, EX-4B, EX-C	21.37	72.23	64.9	1.32	2.22	28	160	PLANNED 42" RCP W/ MH AND GRATE
E5	EX-3	5.32	17.28	29.1	2.53	4.24	13	/3	EX. 24" CMP CULVERT
E6	EX-3, EX-E, OS-2	13.85	50.79	51.8	1.66	2.79	23	142	
E7	DP-6, EX-F, OS-1	14.37	54.72	51.8	1.66	2.79	24	153	EX. SIDE ROAD DITCH

 JOB NAME:
 JAYNES PROPERTY MDDP

 JOB NUMBER:
 1305.02

 DATE:
 07/29/22

 CALCULATED BY:
 MAW

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF COEFFICIENT SUMMARY

	DEVELOPED AREA/IMPERVIOUS AREA			LAND	LANDSCAPE/UNDEVELOPED AREAS				WEIGHTED			WEIGHTED (IMPERVIOUSNESS			
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (AC)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	%
Α	24.40	24.40	0.18	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.18	0.25	0.47	4.39	6.10	11.47	30%
В	2.30	1.30	0.18	0.25	0.47	1.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.12	0.19	0.44	0.28	0.45	1.00	30%
С	10.70	10.70	0.23	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.23	0.30	0.50	2.46	3.21	5.35	40%
D	11.10	11.10	0.23	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.23	0.30	0.50	2.55	3.33	5.55	40%
Е	4.50	4.50	0.41	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.41	0.45	0.59	1.85	2.03	2.66	65%
F	25.30	25.30	0.18	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.18	0.25	0.47	4.55	6.33	11.89	30%
G	10.30	10.30	0.41	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.41	0.45	0.59	4.22	4.64	6.08	65%
Н	3.70	3.70	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.19	0.44	1.44	7%
	4.90	4.90	0.79	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.79	0.81	0.88	3.87	3.97	4.31	95%
J	4.80	4.80	0.79	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.79	0.81	0.88	3.79	3.89	4.22	95%
K	20.80	20.80	0.23	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.23	0.30	0.50	4.78	6.24	10.40	40%
L	11.60	11.60	0.41	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.41	0.45	0.59	4.76	5.22	6.84	65%
M	6.70	6.70	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.34	0.80	2.61	7%
Total to Off-site Pond C	173.70															10.4%
Total to on-site Pond 1	166.00															33.5%

Need to include list of what basins are used to obtain these areas

JOB NAME: JAYNES PROPERTY MDDP

JOB NUMBER: 1305.02 DATE: 07/29/22

CALC'D BY: MAW

Return Period	1-Hour Depth
2	1.19
5	1.50
10	1.75
25	2.00
50	2.25
100	2.52

$$t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_5)\sqrt{L}}{S^{0.33}}$$
 $V = C_v S_w^{0.5}$ Tc=L/V

Table 6-7. Conveyance Coefficient, C_v
--

Type of Land Surface	C,
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field L	5
Riprap (not buried)* $I_c = \frac{1}{180} + 1$	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of vegetative cover.

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF SUMMARY

		WEIGHTEI)		OVER	LAND		STRE	ET / CH	IANNEL	FLOW	Tc	IN	NTENSIT	Υ	ТОТ	AL FLO	ows
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	Tc (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	I(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100) (cfs)
А	4.39	6.10	11.47	0.08	100	2	14.7	800	2.0%	2.8	4.7	19.4	2.51	3.14	5.27	11	19	60
В	0.28	0.45	1.00	0.12	100	2	14.1	500	2.0%	2.8	2.9	17.0	2.66	3.33	5.59	1	1	6
С	2.46	3.21	5.35	0.08	100	2	14.7	500	2.0%	2.8	2.9	17.6	2.62	3.28	5.51	6	11	29
D	2.55	3.33	5.55	0.08	100	2	14.7	800	2.0%	2.8	4.7	19.4	2.51	3.14	5.27	6	10	29
Е	1.85	2.03	2.66	0.08	80	1.6	13.1	300	1.5%	2.4	2.0	15.1	2.80	3.51	5.89	5	7	16
F	4.55	6.33	11.89	0.08	100	2	14.7	1500	2.0%	2.8	8.8	23.5	2.28	2.85	4.78	10	18	57
G	4.22	4.64	6.08	0.08	100	2	14.7	500	2.0%	2.8	2.9	17.6	2.62	3.28	5.51	11	15	33
Н	0.19	0.44	1.44	0.08	100	1.5	16.1					16.1	2.73	3.41	5.73	1	2	8
I	3.87	3.97	4.31	0.08	50	1	10.4	600	1.5%	2.4	4.1	14.4	2.86	3.58	6.01	11	14	26
J	3.79	3.89	4.22	0.08	30	0.6	8.0	1150	1.5%	2.4	7.8	15.8	2.75	3.44	5.77	10	13	24
K	4.78	6.24	10.40	0.08	100	2	14.7	1200	2.0%	2.8	7.1	21.7	2.37	2.97	4.98	11	19	52
L	4.76	5.22	6.84	0.08	100	2	14.7	600	2.0%	2.8	3.5	18.2	2.58	3.23	5.43	12	17	37
М	0.34	0.80	2.61	0.08	100	2	14.7	350	1.0%	2.0	2.9	17.6	2.62	3.28	5.51	1	3	14

 JOB NAME:
 JAYNES PROPERTY MDDP

 JOB NUMBER:
 1305.02

 DATE:
 07/29/22

 CALCULATED BY:
 MAW

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

					Inten	sity	Fle	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
D1	В	0.45	1.00	17.0	3.33	5.59	1	6	PLANNED TYPE C CDOT INLET
D2	EX-4A, EX-4B, A	23.74	68.80	64.9	1.32	2.22	31	153	PLANNED 42" RCP W/ MH AND GRATE
D3	C, D, E	8.57	13.56	19.4	3.14	5.27	27	71	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
D4	I	3.97	4.31	14.4	3.58	6.01	14	26	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
D5	G, H	5.08	7.52	17.6	3.28	5.51	17	41	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
D6	EX-3, F, 1/2 OS-2	11.99	30.23	29.1	2.53	4.24	30	1.78	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
D7	L	5.22	6.84	18.2	3.23	5.43	17	37	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
D8	K, 1/2 OS-2	6.58	11.46	21.7	2.97	4.98	20	5/	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
	TOTAL INFLOW POND 1 (DP-3 thru DP-8, incl. M and OS- 1)	42.47	77.33	34.1	2.29	3.84	97	-7u/	FULL-SPECTRUM POND FACILITY

Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)					
	UD-BM	P (Version 3.07, March 2018) Sheet 1 of 3			
Designer:	Marc A. Whorton, P.E.				
Company:	Classic Consulting				
Date:	August 1, 2022				
Project:	Jaynes Property - MDDP Pond 1				
Location:	rollu i				
4.5. 0					
Basin Storage \	/olume				
A) Effective Imp	perviousness of Tributary Area, I _a	l _a = 33.5 %			
B) Tributary Are	ea's Imperviousness Ratio (i = I _a / 100)	i = 0.335			
C) Contributing	Watershed Area	Area = 166.000 ac			
C) Contributing	Watershed Area	Area = <u>166.000</u> ac			
	neds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average lucing Storm	d ₆ = in			
	•	Choose One			
E) Design Cond (Select FUR)	cept V when also designing for flood control)	○ Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)			
(00.001.2011	· mon also designing to need control	Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)			
	me (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time	V _{DESIGN} = ac-ft			
(V _{DESIGN} = (1	1.0 * (0.91 * i ³ - 1.19 * i ² + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)				
	neds Outside of the Denver Region, ity Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume	V _{DESIGN OTHER} = 2.188 ac-ft			
	R = $(d_6^*(V_{DESIGN}/0.43))$				
U) Hear Input o	of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume	V _{DESIGN USER} ac-ft			
	ferent WQCV Design Volume is desired)	V _{DESIGN USER} = ac-ft			
I) NPCS Hydro	logic Soil Groups of Tributary Watershed				
i) Percenta	age of Watershed consisting of Type A Soils	HSG _A =%			
	age of Watershed consisting of Type B Soils age of Watershed consisting of Type C/D Soils	HSG _B = 100 % HSG _{CID} = 0 %			
		1.100 C/D			
	an Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume : EURV _A = 1.68 * i ^{1.28}	EURV _{DESIGN} = 5.774 ac-f t			
For HSG B:	: EURV _B = 1.36 * i ^{1.08}	LOT V DESIGN			
For HSG C	/D: $EURV_{C/D} = 1.20 * i^{1.08}$				
	f Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume	EURV _{DESIGN USER} = ac-f t			
(Only if a dif	ferent EURV Design Volume is desired)				
2. Pasin Change I d	anoth to Width Datio	L:W= 2.0 :1			
	ength to Width Ratio to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)	L . W			
3. Basin Side Slop	es				
A) Basin Maxim	num Side Slopes	Z = 4.00 ft / ft			
	distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	1.00			
4. Inlet		Concrete Forebay			
A) Describe me	eans of providing energy dissipation at concentrated				
inflow location					
		+			
5. Forebay					
A) Minimum Fo		V _{FMIN} = 0.066 ac-ft			
$(V_{FMIN}$	= 3% of the WQCV)				
B) Actual Foreb	pay Volume	V _F = 0.066 ac-ft			
C) Forebay Dep	oth				
(D _F		D _F = 30.0 in			
D) Forebay Disc	charge				
i) Undatains	ed 100-year Peak Discharge	Q ₁₀₀ = 297.00 cfs			
ii) Forebay (Q _F = 0.02	Discharge Design Flow 2 * Q ₁₀₀)	Q _F = 5.94 cfs			
E) Forebay Disc	charge Design	Choose One			
		○ Berm With Pipe ■ Wall with Rect. Notch			
		Wall with V-Notch Weir			
F) Discharge Pi	pe Size (minimum 8-inches)	Calculated D _P =in			
G) Rectangular	Notch Width	Calculated W _N = 11.4 in			

UD-BMP_v3.07 - Pond 1, EDB 8/1/2022, 8:22 AM

	Design Procedure Form:	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)	Sheet 2 of 3
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Classic Consulting August 1, 2022 Jaynes Property - MDDP Pond 1		Sileet 2 of 3
Trickle Channel A) Type of Trick F) Slope of Trick	kle Channel	Choose Ōne	
	cropool (2.5-feet minimum) a of Micropool (10 ft ² minimum)	$D_{M} = \underbrace{2.5}_{\text{ft}}$ $A_{M} = \underbrace{170}_{\text{sq ft}}$ $Sq ft$ $Choose One$ $Orifice Plate$ $Other (Describe):$	
D) Smallest Dir (Use UD-Deten E) Total Outlet A		$D_{\text{crifice}} = \frac{2.75}{\text{linches}}$ inches $A_{\text{ct}} = \frac{45.91}{\text{square inches}}$	
(Minimum re B) Minimum Init (Minimum vol	e Volume ial Surcharge Volume commended depth is 4 inches) ial Surcharge Volume lume of 0.3% of the WQCV) arge Provided Above Micropool	$D_{IS} = 6$ in $V_{IS} = 286$ cu ft $V_{s} = 85.0$ cu ft	
B) Type of Scre in the USDCM, total screen are	ty Screen Open Area: A _t = A _{ct} * 38.5*(e ^{-0.095D}) en (If specifying an alternative to the materials recommended indicate "other" and enter the ratio of the total open are to the for the material specified.) Other (Y/N): N	A _t = 1,361 square inches Aluminum Amico-Klemp SR Series with Cross Rods 2" O.C.	
D) Total Water (E) Depth of Des (Based on o F) Height of Wa G) Width of Wa	Quality Screen Area (based on screen type) sign Volume (EURV or WQCV) design concept chosen under 1E) ster Quality Screen (H _{TR}) ter Quality Screen Opening (W _{opening}) inches is recommended)	User Ratio =	

UD-BMP_v3.07 - Pond 1, EDB 8/1/2022, 8:22 AM

	Design Procedure Form:	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)	
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Classic Consulting August 1, 2022 Jaynes Property - MDDP Pond 1		Sheet 3 of 3
B) Slope of O	pankment protection for 100-year and greater overtopping: proverflow Embankment al distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Buried Rip-Rap Ze = 4.00 ft / ft Choose One Irrigated Not Irrigated	
12. Access A) Describe S	Sediment Removal Procedures		

UD-BMP_v3.07 - Pond 1, EDB 8/1/2022, 8:22 AM

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)

Basin ID: POND 1 ZONE 1 AND 2 ORIFICES

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Project: JAYNES PROPERTY - MDDP

Watershed Information

Selected BMP Type =	EDB	
Watershed Area =	166.00	acres
Watershed Length =	4,400	ft
Watershed Length to Centroid =	2,200	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.020	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	33.50%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Denths =	User Innut	

After providing required inputs above including 1-hour rainfall depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate runoff hydrographs using the embedded Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure.

tile embedded colorado orban riydro	grapii rioceut	iie.
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	2.241	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	5.758	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	5.771	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	9.077	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	12.093	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	16.546	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	19.977	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	24.496	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.48 in.) =	38.234	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	4.167	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	5.907	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	8.415	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	9.613	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	10.114	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	11.819	acre-feet
		-

Optional User O	verrides
-----------------	----------


optional osci	Overnues
	acre-feet
	acre-feet
1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
3.48	inches

Define Zones and Basin Geometry			
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	2.241	acre	-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	3.517	acre	-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	6.061	acre	-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	11.819	acre	-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	293	ft ³	
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	0.50	ft	
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) =	8.00	ft	
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	0.50	ft	
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	0.006	ft/ft	Λ ′
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (Smain) =	4	H:V	Δ
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R _{L/W}) =	2		be

4 30' deep po be jurisdiction

		_
Initial Surcharge Area $(A_{ISV}) =$	586	ft ²
Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$	24.2	ft
Surcharge Volume Width $(W_{ISV}) =$	24.2	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	2.17	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	394.5	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	205.0	ft
Area of Basin Floor $(A_{FLOOR}) =$	80,894	ft ²
Volume of Basin Floor $(V_{FLOOR}) =$	63,916	ft ³
Depth of Main Basin $(H_{MAIN}) =$	4.83	ft
Length of Main Basin $(L_{MAIN}) =$	433.2	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	243.7	ft
Area of Main Basin (A _{MAIN}) =	105,555	ft 2
Volume of Main Basin (V _{MAIN}) =	448,957	ft ³
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	11.787	acre-feet

Depth Increment =	1.00	Optional Override	Longth	Width	Area	Optional Override	Area	Volume	Volum
Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	(ft)	(ft ²)	Area (ft 2)	Area (acre)	(ft 3)	Volum (ac-ft
Top of Micropool	0.00	Stage (it)	24.2	24.2	586	raca (ic)	0.013	(,,,	(dc ic
ISV	0.50		24.2	24.2	586		0.013	293	0.007
	1.00		24.2	24.2	586		0.013	586	0.013
	2.00		194.9	107.5	20,954		0.481	8,985	0.206
	3.00		365.5	190.9	69,767		1.602	51,976	1.193
Floor	3.17		394.5	205.0	80,894		1.857	64,770	1.487
Zone 1 (WQCV)	3.58		397.8	208.3	82,872		1.902	98,342	2.258
	4.00		401.2	211.7	84,920		1.949	133,577	3.067
	5.00		409.2	219.7	89,887		2.064	220,969	5.073
Zone 2 (EURV)	5.33		411.8	222.3	91,554		2.102	250,907	5.760
	6.00		417.2	227.7	94,981		2.180	313,393	7.195
	7.00		425.2	235.7	100,204		2.300	410,975	9.435
							2.423		
	8.00		433.2	243.7	105,555			513,844	11.79
Zone 3 (100-year)	8.01		433.3	243.8	105,609		2.424	514,900	11.82
	9.00		441.2	251.7	111,034		2.549	622,128	14.28
	10.00		449.2	259.7	116,641		2.678	735,955	16.89
	11.00		457.2	267.7	122,376		2.809	855,453	19.63
	12.00		465.2	275.7	128,239		2.944	980,749	22.51
	13.00		473.2	283.7	134,229		3.081	1,111,972	25.52
	14.00		481.2	291.7	140,348		3.222	1,249,251	28.67
	15.00		489.2	299.7	146,595		3.365	1,392,712	31.97
	16.00		497.2	307.7	152,970		3.512	1,542,484	35.41
	17.00		505.2	315.7	159,473		3.661	1,698,694	38.99
	18.00		513.2	323.7	166,104		3.813	1,861,472	42.73
	19.00		521.2	331.7	172,863		3.968	2,030,945	46.62
	20.00		529.2	339.7	179,749		4.126	2,207,240	50.67
	21.00		537.2	347.7	186,764		4.288	2,390,486	54.87
	22.00		545.2	355.7	193,907		4.451	2,580,811	59.24
	23.00		553.2	363.7	201,178		4.618	2,778,343	63.78
	24.00		561.2	371.7	208,577		4.788	2,983,210	68.48
	25.00		569.2	379.7	216,104		4.961	3,195,540	73.36
	26.00		577.2	387.7	223,759		5.137	3,415,460	78.40
	27.00								
			585.2	395.7	231,541		5.315	3,643,100	83.63
	28.00		593.2	403.7	239,452		5.497	3,878,586	89.04
	29.00		601.2	411.7	247,491		5.682	4,122,047	94.62
	30.00		609.2	419.7	255,658		5.869	4,373,611	100.40
	1								
									
	- / -								
	 				-			_	
lliw b									
	/								



MHFD-Detention_v4-05, Basin 7/29/2022, 5:18 PM


DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)

Project: JAYNES PROPERTY - MDDP
Basin ID: POND 1

NE 3

200862



	Estimated	Estimated	
	Stage (ft)	Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	3.58	2.241	Orifice Plate
Zone 2 (EURV)	5.33	3.517	Orifice Plate
one 3 (100-year)	8.01	6.061	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
	Total (all zones)	11.819	

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = N/A ft (distance below the filtration media surface)
Underdrain Orifice Diameter = N/A inches

 User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

 Centroid of Lowest Orifice =
 0.00
 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
 WQ Or

Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate = 5.75 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = 13.80 inches

Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = N/A sq. inches

 LBMP)
 Calculated Parameters for Plate

 WQ Orifice Area per Row =
 N/A
 ft²

 Elliptical Half-Width =
 N/A
 feet

 Elliptical Slot Centroid =
 N/A
 feet

 Elliptical Slot Area =
 N/A
 ft²

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required) Row 2 (optional)		Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.20	2.40	3.60	4.80			
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	5.97	5.97	5.97	14.00	14.00			

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)		(1)	,	(3)
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

Invert of Vertical Orifice = N/A N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice = N/A N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

Vertical Orifice Diameter = N/A N/A inches

 Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice

 Not Selected
 Not Selected

 Vertical Orifice Area =
 N/A
 N/A
 ft²

 Vertical Orifice Centroid =
 N/A
 N/A
 fee

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Grate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir and No Outlet Pipe) Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir Zone 3 Weir Not Selected Zone 3 Weir Not Selected Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = 5.75 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Height of Grate Upper Edge, H_t = N/A 5.75 N/A feet Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = 18.00 N/A feet Overflow Weir Slope Length = 6.00 N/A feet Overflow Weir Grate Slope = 0.00 N/A H:V Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area = 8.88 N/A Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris = 85.43 ft² 6.00 N/A feet N/A

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

Close Mesh Grate

50%

N/A

N/A

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate

42.71

N/A

ft

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected			Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	2.50	N/A	ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)	Outlet Orifice Area =	9.62	N/A	ft ²
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	42.00	N/A	inches	Outlet Orifice Centroid =	1.75	N/A	feet
trictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	42.00		inches Half-Central Angle	of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	3.14	N/A	radians

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Restri

Overflow Grate Type =

Debris Clogging % =

Spillway Design Flow Depth=
Stage at Top of Freeboard =
Basin Area at Top of Freeboard =
Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard =

Stage at Top of Freeboard =


Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard =

Calculated Parameters for Spillway

feet
feet
acres
acres
acres

Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris =

Routed Hydrograph Results **EURV** Design Storm Return Period = WQCV 2 Year 5 Year 10 Year 25 Year 50 Year 100 Year 500 Year One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = 1.50 N/A N/A 1.19 2.00 3.48 12.093 19.977 38.234 CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) 2.24: 5.758 5.771 9.077 16.546 Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) : N/A N/A 9.077 12.093 16.546 24.496 38.234 CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = N/A N/A 14.4 40.7 62.7 113.9 143.2 183.5 297.9 OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = N/A N/A 24.0 153.0 Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, g (cfs/acre) : N/A N/A 0.09 0.14 0.38 0.69 0.86 0.92 1.79 Peak Inflow Q (cfs) 101.5 130.5 193.2 231.8 432.7 N/A N/A 64.1 282.6 Peak Outflow Q (cfs) : 0.9 49.2 101.0 130.6 137.9 337.8 22.3 Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q = N/A N/A N/A 0.9 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 Structure Controlling Flow : Plate Plate Plate Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir 1 Outlet Plate Outlet Plate Spillway Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = N/A N/A N/A 0.2 0.5 1.6 1.6 1.2 Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) = N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) = Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) 40 75 68 68 69 75 73 73 72 Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) = 3.58 5.33 5.16 6.14 6.45 6.90 7.20 8.11 8.99 2.55 14.231 Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) 2.10 5.760 2.08 5.384 2.20 7.501 2.29 9.182 2.32 9.897 2.44 12.039 1.90 Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =



DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program.

ı	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]		25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	
	0:00:00									
5.00 min		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.02	1.12
	0:15:00 0:20:00	0.00	0.00	1.92	3.18	3.96	2.68	3.56	3.31	6.56
	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	8.51 26.68	13.04 43.84	16.95 60.68	9.19 26.94	11.02 32.45	11.61 36.98	21.78 76.74
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	49.50	81.52	108.69	90.87	111.27	128.46	219.49
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	61.97	100.07	129.65	155.90	189.54	225.13	357.27
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	64.08	101.48	130.48	187.49	225.98	271.89	421.07
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	60.30	94.59	122.09	193.17	231.81	282.64	432.68
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	54.99	86.77	112.60	185.09	221.67	273.14	417.59
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	50.38	79.69	103.51	173.40	207.87	258.56	395.18
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	46.34	73.06	95.72	158.82	190.78	242.22	370.93
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	43.23	67.78	89.73	146.13	176.09	228.38	350.89
	1:10:00 1:15:00	0.00	0.00	40.04	63.17	84.50	133.82	161.71	211.08	325.62
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	36.47 32.89	58.27 52.83	79.26 72.92	121.42 108.65	147.04 131.62	189.86 167.43	294.67 260.50
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	29.47	47.39	65.38	95.88	116.01	145.16	225.63
	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	26.58	42.90	58.72	83.68	101.13	125.12	194.92
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	24.50	39.75	53.64	73.81	89.40	109.74	171.76
	1:40:00	0.00	0.00	22.98	36.87	49.48	66.19	80.27	97.90	153.51
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	21.66	33.86	45.77	59.81	72.55	87.81	137.64
	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	20.42	30.95	42.34	54.14	65.65	78.76	123.35
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	18.87	28.18	38.88	48.97	59.35	70.44	110.17
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	17.09	25.49	35.07	44.08	53.37	62.61	97.71
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	14.99	22.28	30.48	38.54	46.59	54.27	84.33
	2:10:00 2:15:00	0.00	0.00	12.72 10.54	18.74 15.29	25.51 20.71	32.54 26.62	39.24 32.01	45.66 37.20	70.43 56.80
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	8.46	12.05	16.32	20.02	25.14	29.08	43.91
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	6.59	9.20	12.51	15.76	18.83	21.56	32.47
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	5.06	7.07	9.92	11.25	13.58	15.42	23.93
	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	3.99	5.69	8.15	8.44	10.29	11.42	18.10
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	3.26	4.69	6.71	6.47	7.94	8.58	13.76
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	2.69	3.87	5.50	5.02	6.17	6.41	10.37
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	2.22	3.16	4.48	3.88	4.78	4.75	7.74
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	1.83	2.57	3.61	3.04	3.73	3.49	5.71
	3:00:00 3:05:00	0.00	0.00	1.50	2.07	2.89	2.36	2.89	2.56	4.22
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	1.23 1.01	1.67 1.33	2.30 1.81	1.86 1.48	2.27 1.81	1.96 1.58	3.28 2.60
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.83	1.05	1.41	1.17	1.42	1.27	2.07
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.81	1.10	0.91	1.11	1.00	1.63
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.61	0.84	0.70	0.85	0.77	1.24
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.44	0.62	0.52	0.63	0.57	0.91
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.31	0.43	0.37	0.45	0.40	0.63
	3:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.20	0.28	0.25	0.29	0.26	0.40
	3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.13	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.22
	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09
	3:55:00 4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ļ	4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
}	4:25:00 4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
[4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:45:00 4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:05:00 5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00 5:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ļ	5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:55:00 6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ı	0.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)

Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships


The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically. The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

Stage - Storage Description	Stage [ft]	Area [ft²]	Area [acres]	Volume [ft ³]	Volume [ac-ft]	Total Outflow [cfs]	
							For best results, include the
							stages of all grade slope
							changes (e.g. ISV and Floo
							from the S-A-V table on
							Sheet 'Basin'.
							Also include the inverts of a
							outlets (e.g. vertical orifice
							overflow grate, and spillwa where applicable).
							where applicable).
							_
							4
							4
	-						
				-			
							_
							7
							+
					+		+
							1
							4
					-		+
					 		+
							7
							4
							+
					+		+

REFERENCE MATERIAL




EXISTING DRAINAGE MAP HOMESTEAD NORTH





		BASIN	SUMN	IARY TA	BLE		
Tributary Sub-basin	Area (acres)	Percent Impervious	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	t _c (min)	Q₅ (cfs)	Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)
E-1	4.50	9%	0.14	0.39	48.7	1.1	5.2
E-2	180.30	3%	0.09	0.35	47.4	28.1	192.9
E-3	12.39	4%	0.10	0.37	46.9	2.2	13.7
E-4	70.90	2%	0.08	0.35	49.0	9.9	72.7
E-5	18.80	2%	0.08	0.35	34.9	3.4	24.9
E6.1	124.90	2%	0.08	0.35	48.1	17.7	130.0
E6.2	49.61	2%	0.08	0.35	44.2	7.5	55.4
H1	45.20	3%	0.09	0.36	34.7	8.9	61.0
H2	16.10	2%	0.08	0.35	25.1	3.5	26.0
H3	28.40	3%	0.09	0.35	31.3	5.9	40.8

DES	IGN PO	INT
	Q5	Q100
DP	Total	Total
1h	8.0	52.4
2h	10.2	69.0
3h	32.5	223.2
10	1.1	5.2
20	28.1	192.9
30	2.2	13.7
40	9.9	72.7
5o	12.5	92.0
6.20	7.5	55.4
6.10	36.9	270.9

SEE SHEET 2







HOMESTEAD NORTH FILLING NO. 1

TABLE

Total

5.4

7.1

7.0

8.0

8.0

9.8

5.9

5.9

18.8

4.7

1.2

1.2

7.9

9.1

26.0

3.6

4.1

2.5

30.7

8.0

2.4

3.2

2.8

5.8

0.6

0.5

0.5

1.0

6.6

27.1

2.1

28.1

29.2

3.1

32.7

36.1

1.7

2.5

2.5

2.4

3.5

36.1

56.0

Q100

Total

11.4

14.9

11.5

1.6

1.5

20.1

13.1

10.5

41.8

11.6

2.4

1.9

12.9

17.6

54.9

7.8

8.7

8.8

65.0

6.0

6.0

11.7

6.6

1.2

1.1

1.1

2.2

19.6

190.9

4.3

192.5

195.0

6.1

205.4

221.0

12.6

14.3

13.2

5.3

16.0

221.0


264.1


6/13/22 SHEET 2 OF 2

ORIGINAL SCALE: 1" = 100'



Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593 Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com






DRAINAGE MAPS



	FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF COEFFICIENT SUMMARY															
		DEVELO	PED AREA	/IMPERVIOL	IS AREA	LANDSCAPE/UNDEVELOPED AREAS		AREAS	WEIGHTED			WEIGHTED CA			IMPERVIOUSNESS	
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (AC)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	%
EX-A	0.78	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.78	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.02	0.07	0.28	2%
EX-B	2.80	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	2.80	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.08	0.25	1.01	2%
EX-C	41.40	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	41.40	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	1.24	3.73	14.90	2%
EX-D	1.30	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	1.30	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.04	0.12	0.47	2%
EX-E	87.20	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	87.20	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	2.62	7.85	31.39	2%
EX-F	8.70	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.36	8.70	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.26	0.26	3.13	2%
OS-1	2.00	2.00	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.12	0.26	0.80	10%
OS-2	5.30	5.30	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.32	0.69	2.12	10%
EX-3	44.30	44.30	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	2.22	5.32	17.28	7%
EX-4A	77.00	77.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	3.85	9.24	30.03	7%
EX-4B	70.00	70.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	3.50	8.40	27.30	7%

			FIN	AL DI	RAINA	GE R	EPOF	?T ~ B	ASIN	RUNC	OFF S	UMM	ARY						
		WEIGHTE	D		OVER	OVERLAND			STREET / CHANNEL FLOW			Тс	l IN	NTENSI	TY	TOT	TOTAL FLOWS		
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	Tc (min)	Length (ft)	Slope	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	l(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100) (cfs)	
EX-A	0.02	0.07	0.28	0.09	240	9	18.3					18.3	2.58	3.23	5.41	0.1	0.2	2	
EX-B	0.08	0.25	1.01	0.09	300	24	15.9	120	2.0%	1.0	2.0	17.9	2.60	3.25	5.46	0.2	0.8	6	
EX-C	1.24	3.73	14.90	0.09	300	18	17.5	1300	2.9%	1.7	12.7	30.2	1.98	2.47	4.15	2	9	62	
EX-D	0.04	0.12	0.47	0.09	225	10	16.7					16.7	2.68	3.36	5.64	0.1	0.4	3	
EX-E	2.62	7.85	31.39	0.09	280	20	15.9	3400	2.5%	1.6	35.8	51.8	1.34	1.66	2.79	3	13	88	
EX-F	0.26	0.26	3.13	0.09	300	12	20.0	560	2.0%	1.4	6.6	26.6	2.13	2.66	4.47	1	1	14	
OS-1	0.12	0.26	0.80	0.08	300	10	21.4					21.4	2.39	2.99	5.01	0.3	0.8	4	
OS-2	0.32	0.69	2.12	0.08	300	12	20.2					20.2	2.46	3.08	5.16	1	2	11	
EX-3	2.22	5.32	17.28	0.08	300	10	21.4	650	2.0%	1.4	7.7	29.1	2.02	2.53	4.24	4	13	73	
EX-4A	3.85	9.24	30.03	0.08	300	9	22.2	2400	2.0%	1.4	28.3	50.5	1.37	1.70	2.85	5	16	86	
EX-4B	3.50	8.40	27.30	0.08	300	10	21.4	3500	1.8%	1.3	43.5	64.9	1.07	1.32	2.22	4	11	61	

					Inter	sity	FI	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
E1	EX-4A	9.24	30.03	50.5	1.70	2.85	16	86	EX. 24" CMP CULVERT
E2	EX-4B	8.40	27.30	64.9	1.32	2.22	11	61	EX. STOCK OFF- SITE POND
E3	EX-A, EX-B	0.32	1.29	18.3	3.23	5.41	1	7	PLANNED TYPE CDOT INLET
E4	EX-4A, EX-4B, EX-C	21.37	72.23	64.9	1.32	2.22	28	160	PLANNED 42" ROW MH AND GRA
E5	EX-3	5.32	17.28	29.1	2.53	4.24	13	73	EX. 24" CMP CULVERT
E6	EX-3, EX-E, OS-2	13.85	50.79	51.8	1.66	2.79	23	142	
E7	DP-6, EX-F, OS-1	14.37	54.72	51.8	1.66	2.79	24	153	EX. SIDE ROAD DITCH





\130502\REPORTS\MDDP\130502MDDP EX DM.dwg, 7/29/2022 4:56:20 PM, 1:1

		DEVELO	PED AREA	IMPERVIOL	JS AREA	LANDS	LANDSCAPE/UNDEVELOPED AREAS				WEIGHTED			WEIGHTED	CA	IMPERVIOUSNESS
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (AC)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	%
OS-1	2.00	2.00	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.12	0.26	0.80	10%
OS-2	5.30	5.30	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.06	0.13	0.40	0.32	0.69	2.12	10%
Α	24.40	24.40	0.18	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.18	0.25	0.47	4.39	6.10	11.47	30%
В	2.30	1.30	0.18	0.25	0.47	1.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.12	0.19	0.44	0.28	0.45	1.00	30%
С	10.70	10.70	0.23	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.23	0.30	0.50	2.46	3.21	5.35	40%
D	11.10	11.10	0.23	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.23	0.30	0.50	2.55	3.33	5.55	40%
E	4.50	4.50	0.41	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.41	0.45	0.59	1.85	2.03	2.66	65%
F	25.30	25.30	0.18	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.18	0.25	0.47	4.55	6.33	11.89	30%
G	10.30	10.30	0.41	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.41	0.45	0.59	4.22	4.64	6.08	65%
Н	3.70	3.70	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.19	0.44	1.44	7%
l	4.90	4.90	0.79	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.79	0.81	0.88	3.87	3.97	4.31	95%
J	4.80	4.80	0.79	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.79	0.81	0.88	3.79	3.89	4.22	95%
K	20.80	20.80	0.23	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.23	0.30	0.50	4.78	6.24	10.40	40%
L	11.60	11.60	0.41	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.41	0.45	0.59	4.76	5.22	6.84	65%
M	6.70	6.70	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.34	0.80	2.61	7%
otal to Off-site Pond C	173.70									**************************************						10.4%
otal to on-site Pond 1	166.00															33.5%

	FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF SUMMARY																	
	D	OVERLAND				STREE	STREET / CHANNEL FLOW			Тс	IN	ITENSI	ΓY	TOT	AL FLO	ows		
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	Tc (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	I(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100) (cfs)
OS-1	0.12	0.26	0.80	0.08	300	10	21.4					21.4	2.39	2.99	5.01	0.3	0.8	4
OS-2	0.32	0.69	2.12	0.08	300	12	20.2					20.2	2.46	3.08	5.16	1	2	11
A	4.39	6.10	11.47	0.08	100	2	14.7	800	2.0%	2.8	4.7	19.4	2.51	3.14	5.27	11	19	60
В	0.28	0.45	1.00	0.12	100	2	14.1	500	2.0%	2.8	2.9	17.0	2.66	3.33	5.59	1	1	6
С	2.46	3.21	5.35	0.08	100	2	14.7	500	2.0%	2.8	2.9	17.6	2.62	3.28	5.51	6	11	29
D	2.55	3.33	5.55	0.08	100	2	14.7	800	2.0%	2.8	4.7	19.4	2.51	3.14	5.27	6	10	29
E	1.85	2.03	2.66	0.08	80	1.6	13.1	300	1.5%	2.4	2.0	15.1	2.80	3.51	5.89	5	7	16
F	4.55	6.33	11.89	0.08	100	2	14.7	1500	2.0%	2.8	8.8	23.5	2.28	2.85	4.78	10	18	57
G	4.22	4.64	6.08	0.08	100	2	14.7	500	2.0%	2.8	2.9	17.6	2.62	3.28	5.51	11	15	33
Н	0.19	0.44	1.44	0.08	100	1.5	16.1					16.1	2.73	3.41	5.73	1	2	8
	3.87	3.97	4.31	0.08	50	1	10.4	600	1.5%	2.4	4.1	14.4	2.86	3.58	6.01	11	14	26
J	3.79	3.89	4.22	0.08	30	0.6	8.0	1150	1.5%	2.4	7.8	15.8	2.75	3.44	5.77	10	13	24
K	4.78	6.24	10.40	0.08	100	2	14.7	1200	2.0%	2.8	7.1	21.7	2.37	2.97	4.98	11	19	52
L	4.76	5.22	6.84	0.08	100	2	14.7	600	2.0%	2.8	3.5	18.2	2.58	3.23	5.43	12	17	37
М	0.34	0.80	2.61	0.08	100	2	14.7	350	1.0%	2.0	2.9	17.6	2.62	3.28	5.51	1	3	14

			Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	Inter	sity	FI	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)			I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Inlet Size
D1	В	0.45	1.00	17.0	3.33	5.59	1	6	PLANNED TYPE C CDOT INLET
D2	EX-4A, EX-4B, A	23.74	68.80	64.9	1.32	2.22	31	153	PLANNED 42" RCI W/ MH AND GRAT
D3	C, D, E	8.57	13.56	19.4	3.14	5.27	27	71	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
D4	I	3.97	4.31	14.4	3.58	6.01	14	26	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
D5	G, H	5.08	7.52	17.6	3.28	5.51	17	41	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
D6	EX-3, F, 1/2 OS-2	11.99	30.23	29.1	2.53	4.24	30	128	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
D7	L	5.22	6.84	18.2	3.23	5.43	17	37	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
D8	K, 1/2 OS-2	6.58	11.46	21.7	2.97	4.98	20	57	FUTURE PUBLIC STORM SYSTEM
	TOTAL INFLOW POND 1 (DP-3 thru DP-8, incl. M and OS-1)	42.47	77.33	34.1	2.29	3.84	97	297	FULL-SPECTRUM POND FACILITY





BASIN	CN	AREA	AREA (sq mi)	Q ₂ (CFS)	Q ₅ (CFS)	Q ₁₀ (CFS)	Q ₂₅ (CFS)	Q ₅₀ (CFS)	Q ₁₀₀ (CFS)
EX-0	62	23.8	0.037	5.0	8.2	13.0	19.6	25.7	32.2
EX-1	62	25.7	0.040	4.8	7.9	12.4	18.7	24.5	30.9
EX-2	62	5.5	0.009	1.1	1.8	2.8	4.3	5.6	7.1
EX-3	62	136.8	0.214	22.0	36.4	57.6	86.9	114.0	143.1
EX-3A	61	188.1	0.294	28.3	47.4	75.7	115.1	152.2	192.6
EX-4	62	192.0	0.300	30.1	49.9	79.1	119.5	157.0	197.3
EX-4A	62	151.5	0.237	24.7	40.8	64.4	97.0	127.2	160.1
EX-5	62	153.9	0.240	24.2	40.0	63.4	95.9	125.9	158.2
EX-6	62	90.2	0.141	15.3	25.5	40.1	60.7	79.9	100.5
EX-7	56	165.0	0.258	11.6	21.5	37.5	60.9	83.1	107.4
EX-8	45	42.0	0.066	0.5	1.7	4.5	9.4	14.5	20.5
EX-9	54	131.9	0.206	12.2	23.9	43.1	70.9	97.0	125.2
EX-10	60	270.7	0.423	32.7	56.0	91.1	140.1	185.9	236.
X-10A	41	179.3	0.280	0.6	2.2	7.3	17.4	29.1	43.1
EX-11	43	209.3	0.327	18.0	29.8	47.7	73.4	98.3	126.1
EX-12	51	39.5	0.062	2.2	5.1	10.1	17.7	25.1	33.3
EX-13	55	89.3	0.139	7.7	15.2	27.1	44.2	60.5	78.4
EX-20	62	143.4	0.224	25.4	42.1	66.7	100.7	132.3	166.2
X-20A	64	179.7	0.281	32.2	51.9	80.5	119.8	155.9	194.6
EX-21	65	33.3	0.052	8.6	13.5	20.7	30.5	39.4	49.0
EX-24	59	63.1	0.099	9.5	16.6	27.5	42.9	57.4	73.0
EX-25	43	54.4	0.085	0.3	1.5	4.8	10.7	17.2	25.1
EX-73	63	90.0	0.141	16.4	26.4	41.3	62.1	81.3	102.0
EX-74	63	119.7	0.187	22.3	36.5	57.3	85.9	112.3	140.7
EX-75	63	79.3	0.124	13.1	21.5	33.7	50.5	66.1	82.8
EX-76	63	86.4	0.135	14.2	23.1	36.4	54.6	71.4	89.6
EX-77	62	230.6	0.360	34.7	56.9	90.6	137.5	180.9	227.7
EX-78	63	155.6	0.243	28.1	45.3	70.6	106.2	139.1	174.5
EX-79	63	189.0	0.295	34.9	57.0	89.5	134.3	175.6	220.
EX-80	63	147.7	0.231	27.3	44.3	69.6	104.5	136.8	171.4
EX-81	62	262.9	0.411	42.6	70.2	111.0	167.4	219.6	275.7
EX-82	62	117.8	0.184	20.0	33.2	52.8	80.0	105.1	132.3
EX-88	62	139.2	0.217	22.2	36.7	58.0	87.6	115.0	144.4

			DESIG	N POIN	IT SUM	MARY (PEAK	FLOW)
DESIGN POINT	AREA (sq mi)	Q ₂ (CFS)	Q ₅ (CFS)	Q ₁₀ (cfs)	Q ₂₅ (CFS)	Q50 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)	LOCATION
DP-74	0.371	39.3	65.3	104.8	158.9	209.1	262.8	
DP-75	1.413	141.2	235.1	376.6	566.6	750.9	950.5	
DP-78	0.538	59.7	98.4	154.0	232.6	306.2	385.3	
DP-73	2.528	225.9	380.7	618.0	957.0	1260.4	1582.3	
DP-71	2.669	229.3	388.9	629.7	978.8	1277.3	1637.9	STERLING RANCH NORTHERN BNDRY
DP-69	3.209	253.0	434.8	707.7	1100.0	1453.3	1870.4	
DP-63	3.446	251.4	430.7	713.1	1113.2	1496.2	1911.5	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY
DP-10	0.508	36.5	56.0	106.4	162.9	220.6	287.2	COLORADO SPRINGS/EL PASO BNDRY
DP-9A	0.557	55.3	94.3	150.3	227.7	299.5	380.5	VOLLMER/TAHITI DRIVE
DP-9	0.505	52.8	88.8	142.1	214.2	281.0	351.4	VOLLMER/LOCHWINNOCH LN
DP-8A	0.139	7.7	15.2	27.1	44.2	60.5	78.4	D/S STERLING RANCH EASTERN BNDRY
DP-8	0.528	24.2	45.1	77.8	124.4	169.5	220.9	D/S STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY
DP-7	0.703	32.4	57.1	97.3	156.1	213.8	277.9	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY
DP-6	0.206	12.2	23.9	43.1	70.9	97.0	125.2	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY
DP-5	0.066	0.5	1.7	4.5	9.4	14.5	20.5	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY
DP-4	0.258	11.6	21.5	37.5	60.9	83.1	107.4	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY
DP-3	0.009	1.1	1.8	2.8	4.3	5.6	7.1	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY
DP-2	0.040	4.8	7.9	12.4	18.7	24.5	30.9	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY
DP-1	0.037	5.0	8.2	13.0	19.6	25.7	32.2	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY
DP-60A	3.545	247.7	430.2	707.1	1113.0	1496.6	1913.5	FUTURE MARKSHEFFEL X-ING
DP-56	0.466	23.2	42.5	71.9	115.6	157.4	202.9	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY
DP-53A	4.138	262.1	454.0	763.2	1196.5	1609.8	2061.5	SAND CREEK AND POND 3

			DES	IGN PO	DESIGN POINT SUMMARY (VOLUME)													
DESIGN POINT	AREA (sq mi)	V ₂ (AC-FT)	V ₅ (AC-FT)	V ₁₀ (AC-FT)	V ₂₅ (AC-FT)	V ₅₀ (AC-FT)	V ₁₀₀ (AC-FT)	LOCATION										
DP-74	0.371	5.9	9.0	13.6	19.8	25.5	31.6											
DP-75	1.413	22.7	34.5	51.7	75.4	97.1	120.5											
DP-78	0.538	8.9	13.5	20.1	29.3	37.7	46.7											
DP-73	2.528	40.4	61.5	92.1	134.3	173.1	214.9											
DP-71	2.669	42.5	64.9	97.1	141.6	182.5	226.6	STERLING RANCH NORTHERN BNDRY										
DP-69	3.209	50.7	77.4	116.1	169.4	218.6	271.4											
DP-63	3.446	54.1	82.5	123.8	180.8	233.3	289.9	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY										
DP-10	0.508	7.6	11.7	17.6	25.8	33.4	41.6	COLORADO SPRINGS/EL PASO BNDRY										
DP-9A	0.557	9.3	14.1	21.1	30.7	39.4	48.8	VOLLMER/TAHITI DRIVE										
DP-9	0.505	8.4	12.7	19.0	27.6	35.5	44.0	VOLLMER/LOCHWINNOCH LN										
DP-8A	0.139	1.3	2.1	3.4	5.2	7.0	8.9	D/S STERLING RANCH EASTERN BNDRY										
DP-8	0.528	4.4	7.0	11.1	16.8	22.3	28.4	D/S STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY										
DP-7	0.703	6.1	10.0	15.9	24.3	32.4	41.3	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY										
DP-6	0.206	2.4	4.0	6.3	9.6	12.7	16.0	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY										
DP-5	0.066	0.2	0.4	0.8	1.4	1.9	2.6	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY										
DP-4	0.258	2.6	4.2	6.7	10.2	13.5	17.2	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY										
DP-3	0.009	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.8	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY										
DP-2	0.040	0.6	0.9	1.4	2.1	2.7	3.4	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY										
DP-1	0.037	0.6	0.9	1.3	1.9	2.5	3.1	STERLING RANCH SOUTHERN BNDRY										
DP-60A	3.545	55.3	84.4	126.4	184.8	238.5	296.6	FUTURE MARKSHEFFEL X-ING										
DP-56	0.466	4.0	6.3	9.9	14.9	19.8	25.1	SAND CREEK AND POND 3										
DP-53A	4.138	63.0	96.4	144.7	211.8	273.9	340.9	SAND CREEK AND POND 3										

OFF-SITE DRAINAGE MAP

 EFSC DBPS DESIGN POINT SUMMARY (PEAK FLOW)

 DBPS DESIGN POINT (so M)
 AREA (so M)
 Q10 (crs)
 Q100 (crs)

 DP-50
 0.32
 47.0
 195.7

 DP-51 (BASIN 86)
 0.33
 17.7
 74.1

 DP-52
 1.67
 80.5
 456.5

 DP-56
 0.70
 63.6
 265.0



20 BOULDER CRESCENT, SUITE 110
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903
PHONE: 719.955.5485

2018 STERLING RANCH MDDP

EXISTING HYDROLOGIC CONDITIONS MAP

PROJECT NO. 0	9-002	FILE: \d	FILE: \dwg\Eng Exhibits\2018—MDDP—ExistCondWSWrkMap.dwg							
DESIGNED BY:	DLM	SCA	LE	DATE:	08-22-18					
DRAWN BY:	DLM	HORIZ:	NTS			D144				
CHECKED BY:	VAS	VERT:	NTS			DM1				