Colorado Springs, CO

Planning and Development 30 S. Nevada Ave., Suite 701 Colorado Springs, CO 80903



Final Report - Corrections Required Application No. ANEX-24-0006

Report Date: 04/03/2024

Description : Matrix Design Group on behalf of Colorado Springs Equities LLC is submitting a serial annexation petition for seven parcels and Land Use Plan/Rezoning exhibit for a portion of the subdivision known as Rock Creek Mesa. Address : 0 Pawnee RD Colorado Springs CO 80926

Record Type : Annexation

Document Filename : 224.023-SURV-RCM ANNEX PLAT 6.pdf

Comment Author Contact Information:

Reviewer Name	Reviewer Email	Reviewer Phone No.:
Zaker Alazzeh	Zaker.Alazzeh@coloradosprings.gov	-
Matthew Alcuran	malcuran@csu.org	-
Cory Sharp	Cory.Sharp@coloradosprings.gov	-
Sara Rivera	Sara.Rivera@coloradosprings.gov	-
Gabe Sevigny	Gabe.Sevigny@coloradosprings.gov	-

General Comments

Corrections in the following table need to be applied before a permit can be issued

Comment ID	Page Reference	Reviewer : Department	Review Comments
1	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Zaker Alazzeh : City Eng - Traffic Engineering	 Traffic Engineering has no further comments on this item. DP and TIS Staff Report final comments: 1- Traffic Engineering reviewed the Traffic Impact Study (TIS) and determined that the TIS was complete and provided the adequate information and analyses per the requirements of the Traffic Criteria Manual and Traffic Engineering staff. The applicant will be responsible for the TIS recommended traffic mitigation measures and roadway improvements. 2- The applicant will be responsible to construct a 190 feet long eastbound left-turn lane "100 feet of storage and 90 feet taper" at Pawnee Road/HWY 115 intersection.

Comment ID	Page Reference	Reviewer : Department	Review Comments	
			 3- In 2030 the applicant will be responsible to extend 200 feet to the eastbound left-turn lane to provide 300 feet of storage and 90 feet taper at Pawnee Road/HWY 115 intersection. 4- In 2045 the applicant will be responsible to construct a double eastbound left-turn lane to provide two 100 feet of storage and 180 feet taper at Pawnee Road/HWY 115 intersection. 5- The applicant will be responsible to coordinate roadway improvements along HWY 115/Pawnee Road and HWY 115/Cherokee Road intersections with CDOT. 	
11	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Sara Rivera : City Engineering - SWENT	Please contact the Lead Reviewer, Sara Rivera (sara.rivera@coloradosprings.gov), with any questions. Please reference the Planning review number in all communications.	
12	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Sara Rivera : City Engineering - SWENT	Info Only: All submittals to the Stormwater Enterprise (SWENT), Engineering Development Review Division (EDRD) and Traffic, must be submitted online via the ProjectDox software. Information, including a user manual and the link to the website can be found here: https://coloradosprings.gov/stormwater- enterprise/page/electronic-review-system. Please note that this does not change the Planning department's submittal process in any way.	
13	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Sara Rivera : City Engineering - SWENT	Info Only: The Stormwater Enterprise (SWENT) has recently updated Criteria regarding required submittals. For all Development Plans (DPs) which previously required a Final Drainage Report (FDR) submittal (generally, all DPs with 1 acre or more of earth disturbance proposed), a Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) submittal is now acceptable instead of an FDR submittal. This change in Criteria allows for such DPs to be approved without requiring detailed, final drainage design calculations at the planning stage of the project.	
			For DPs with less than 1 acre of earth disturbance proposed, a Final Drainage Letter (FDL) submittal is required. A PDR submittal is now acceptable instead of an FDR submittal in support of a Final Plat (FP). The PDR must be approved before the FP can be recorded.	
			An FDR may still be submitted in support of DP or FP approval if final design information is available at the time of the DP or FP submittal. FDR Addendums are no longer accepted. All required final calculations must be included in the FDR prior to FDR approval.	
			FDR approval is still a prerequisite for Construction Drawing (CD) approval (e.g., GEC Plan, Drainage Plan/Profile, PCM Plan, etc.).	
			Master Development Drainage Plans (MDDPs) are no longer required for DPs with 10 or more acres of earth disturbance proposed.	
			Please see SWENT's website for updated checklists: https://coloradosprings.gov/stormwater- enterprise/page/stormwater-review	
14	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Sara Rivera : City Engineering - SWENT	The FEMA floodplain zone is incorrect. Please update to the correct zone.	
2	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Matthew Alcuran : Col Springs Utilities	Please acknowledge the following Water Planning Informational Note: Please refer to the completed Hydraulic Analysis Report completed on November 2, 2023 for HR Green and SSS Education. Conclusions and Requirements: **Modeled fire flows are 2100 to 2200 GPM. No fire flow requirement was provided. **Either alternative presented in this report are acceptable. **Since all pressures are below 170 psi, PVC is acceptable.	
3		Matthew Alcuran : Col Springs	Utility Comments (electric, gas, water, wastewater)	
	•			

Comment ID	Page Reference	Reviewer : Department	Review Comments
	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Utilities	 Action Items: Action Items: All permits and water rights with documentation from the Colorado Division of Water Resources (or other source) identifying all the Owner's known water rights or deeds associated with the property to be annexed (Property). If the Owner does not have any water rights, then the Owner must provide a letter stating such. Project Specific Action Items: Alf the Property is not currently in Southeastern Colorado Conservancy District (SECWCD), then Owner must complete the questionnaire provided by City Planning from the Bureau of Reclamation (Bureau) and SECWCD. Springs Utilities is not authorized to provide water service to the Property until the Property is included in the SECWCD. Bl fthe Property is currently connected to or receiving electric service from an electric-service provider other than Springs Utilities (i.e., Mountain View Electric Association, Black Hills Energy, or the City of Fountain), then the Owner must identify and provide an inventory of all existing connections or electric service(s), then the Owner must provide a letter stating such. Clif the Property is within an existing water and/or sanitation district (Existing District), then Springs Utilities will not provide water services (Services) to the Property unless the Property is annexed into the City and excluded from the Existing District pursuant to §32-1-501 and 502, C.R.S. and an Order Granting Exclusion is issued and recorded in the District Court in the County of El Paso, State of Colorado; or the Existing District courts on the Property is annexed into the City and excluded from the Existing District, then once the Property is annexed into the City and excluded from the Existing District, water and wastewater facilities necessary for Springs Utilities to serve the Property; Disconnect from the
4	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Matthew Alcuran : Col Springs Utilities	 3.Informational Items: A. Unless otherwise authorized by Springs Utilities, any existing wells within the Property must be plugged and abandoned at Owner's expense prior to connection to Springs Utilities' water system. The Owner shall provide Springs Utilities' Field Operations Support department with a completed Colorado Division of Water Resources (DWR) Form No GWS-09 (Well Abandonment Report) that confirms the existing wells have been plugged and abandoned in compliance with all applicable regulations, including regulations from the DWR. B.To receive water service from Springs Utilities, the Property must be included in the SECWCD. After completing the questionnaire from the Bureau (see paragraph 2.A above), the SECWCD will determine whether the Property is within the SECWCD. If the Property is not within the SECWCD, then consent from the Bureau is required for the Property to be included in the SECWCD. The Bureau may require the Owner to provide the following confirmations for the subject annexation project. 1.Endanger Species Act - a letter or email from the Fish and Wildlife Department stating there are no Endangered Species within the Annexation Boundary. 2.Clean Water Act - a letter or email from the Corp of Engineers stating that there are no wetlands within the Annexation Boundary. 3.National Historic Preservation Act - a report that addresses the existence of any Native American Indian relics or buildings of historic significance (the report is to be completed by an Archaeologist that is approved Bureau of Reclamation). Once the Archaeologist is chosen, he/she must contact the Bureau for final instructions.

Comment ID	Page Reference	Reviewer : Department	Review Comments
			Owner may contact the SECWCD to determine whether the Property is within SECWCD or to obtain additional information. Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District contact information: Attn: Margie Medina 31717 United Avenue, Pueblo, CO 81001 (719) 766-4256 Margie@SECWCD.com (719) 948-2400
6	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Matthew Alcuran : Col Springs Utilities	 Please acknowledge the following: Informational Items: On February 14, 2023, Colorado Springs City Council approved a water service extension ordinance #23-02 (see attached), City Code section 12.4.305, which directly impacts the extension of water service outside city limits, including for proposed annexations. Under this section of City Code, the water service boundary can only be extended to include the proposed annexation(s) if the annexation(s) meet an exception(s) specified in the ordinance. Descriptions and application of each exception(s) are provided below. The area is an enclave (as defined by State law); or Area proposed to be annexed does not appear to be an enclave. The area is owned or leased by the City; or Property is not owned or leased by the City. The extension of water service to the area will have a de minimis impact on the overall City's available water supply; or Based on proposed land use, aggregate projected water demands for Rock Creek Mesa Addition Nos. 2-7 would not have a de minimis impact on the City's available water supply. See analysis below. A unique and extraordinary event or circumstance necessitates an extension of water services to serve critical interests of the City; or No evidence to support unique and extraordinary event or circumstance necessitating extension of water services to serve critical interests of the City; or The City's available water supply is sufficient to meet at least 128% of existing usage (calculated using a five (5) year rolling average of weather normalized unrestricted water usage data) and the projected demand for water services within the proposed extension of service(s), and not less than 25% of the perimeter of the area is contiguous with the City (as defined by State law). Proposed annexation and extension of water service to subject property appears to meet this criteria. Please refere to full analysis below.
7	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Matthew Alcuran : Col Springs Utilities	Reliably Met Demand, Existing Usage and De Minimis Analysis Current Reliably Met Demand and Existing Usage Reliably Met Demand (RMD) 95,000 Acre-feet/year (AFY) Existing Usage* 69,772 AFY *5-year-weather-normalized rolling average unrestricted water usage (2019-2023) Minimum Water Supply Requirement (MWSR)

Comment ID	Page Reference	Reviewer : Department	Review Comments
			Existing Usage (AFY) Percentage MWSR (AFY) 69,772 x 128% = 89,308
			Available Water Surplus (AWS) RMD (AFY) MWSR (AFY) AWS (AFY) 95,000 - 89,308 = 5,692
			De Minimis Calculation AWS (AFY) Percentage De Minimis (AFY) 5,692 x 1% = 57
			Proposed Annexation's Projected Water Demand Property Acreage Projected Water Demand (AFY)* 109.2300 95
			* Aggregate across Addition Nos. 2-7 based on proposed land use(s)
			Proposed Annexation's Projected Water Demand Projected Water Demand (AFY) De Minimis (AFY) 95 > 57
			Proposed extension of water service does not meet de minimis impact to City's available water supply criteria.
			128% Water Surplus Analysis MWSR (AFY) Projected Water Demand (AFY) Total (AFY) 89,308 + 95 = 89,403
			128% Water Surplus Analysis Total (AFY) RMD (AFY) 89,403 < 95,000
			Proposed extension of water service currently meets 128% Water Surplus criteria*
			*RMD and existing usage are updated periodically and are subject to change. Owner acknowledges that the assumptions, calculations and analyses performed and shown above are based on the information available as of the date of this review and may require recalculation and re-analysis subject to any update(s) to policy, existing usage, RMD and/or AWS prior to any presentation(s) to Utilities Board, City Planning Commission or City Council.
			25% Contiguity Analysis
			Applicant has provided a survey and/or plat that appears to demonstrate that the property proposed for annexation meets this exception. See analysis below.
			Please note that in March 2024, staff is presenting several proposed City Code changes to City Council that would directly impact section 12.4.305, specifically:
			 Removal of the recommendation of approval by the Utilities Board Removal of the 25% contiguity requirement If approved, these requirements would no longer apply.

Comment ID	Page Reference	Reviewer : Department	Review Comments
8	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Matthew Alcuran : Col Springs Utilities	Please respond to CSU LBS comments: Have reviewed and entered geometry into FIMS. "Please add detail to the Point of Beginning, such as 'the most northerly corner on the most easterly line of said parcel'." "The first course references 'said Commanche Rd' when not referenced earlier."
15	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Gabe Sevigny : Planning	Update ANEX-24-0006 on each sheet
19	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Cory Sharp : Planning	Please update 3, 4 & 5 to the following contiguity statement: contiguity statement: Total Perimeter of the Area for Annexation: 2,610.45' One-Fourth (1/4th) of the Total Perimeter: 652.61' (25.00%) Perimeter of the Area Contiguous to the Existing City Limits: 726.09' (27.81%)
22	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Cory Sharp : Planning	Please add quotations around the plat name "Rock Creek Mesa Addition No. 6"
23	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-TITLE	Cory Sharp : Planning	Please add the area at the end of the legal description
24	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-GRAPHIC 1	Cory Sharp : Planning	Please depict and label the basis of bearings and add tie to point of beginning so all additions can be tied together
25	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-GRAPHIC 1	Cory Sharp : Planning	Please remove Rock Creek Mesa Addition No. 6
26	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-GRAPHIC 1	Cory Sharp : Planning	It would be helpful to change the text style of ownership information so that it is clearly informational text
27	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-GRAPHIC 1	Cory Sharp : Planning	Please add (M) and (C) to the legend
28	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-GRAPHIC 1	Cory Sharp : Planning	Please remove the areas from all adjacent parcels
29	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-GRAPHIC 1	Cory Sharp : Planning	Please clearly depict all of the city limits not just that adjacent portion
30	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-GRAPHIC 1	Cory Sharp : Planning	Please clearly label the adjacent areas *not a part* for clarity


Comment ID	Page Reference	Reviewer : Department	Review Comments
	224.023-SURV- RCM ANNEX PLAT 6-GRAPHIC 1	Cory Sharp : Planning	It would be easier for review if the adjacent areas were a dashed line type please review and adjust accordingly

n February 14, 2023, Colorado Springs City Council approved a water service extension ordinance #23-02 (ه	
xtension of water service outside city limits, including for proposed annexations. Under this section of City Co roposed annexation(s) if the annexation(s) meet an exception(s) specified in the ordinance. Descriptions and	de, the water service boundary can o
The area is an enclave (as defined by State law); or Area proposed to be annexed does not appear to be an enclave.	
The area is owned or leased by the City; or Property is not owned or leased by the City.	
The extension of water service to the area will have a de minimis impact on the overall City's avail Based on proposed land use, aggregate projected water demands for Rock Creek Mesa Addition Nos. 2-7 v nalysis below.	
A unique and extraordinary event or circumstance necessitates an extension of water services to No evidence to support unique and extraordinary event or circumstance necessitating extension of water se	
The City's available water supply is sufficient to meet at least 128% of existing usage (calculated usage data) and the projected demand for water services within the proposed extension of service to subject property appears to meet this criteria. Please Proposed annexation and extension of water service to subject property appears to meet this criteria.	ce(s), and not less than 25% of the
Reliably Met Demand, Existing Usage and De Minimis Analysis	
Current Reliably Met Demand and Existing Usage Reliably Met Demand (RMD) 95,000 Acre-feet/year (AFY) Existing Usage* 69,772 AFY	
*5-year-weather-normalized rolling average unrestricted water usage (2019-2023) Minimum Water Supply Requirement (MWSR) Existing Usage (AFY) Percentage MWSR (AFY)	
69,772 x 128% = 89,308 Available Water Surplus (AWS)	
RMD (AFY) MWSR (AFY) AWS (AFY) 95,000 - 89,308 = 5,692	
De Minimis Calculation BEYISKNOWNBYCHIESE PREMENTS (AFY) 5.692 x 1% = 57	GENERAL NOTES:
THAT COLORADO SPRINGS EQUITIES LLC, A COLORADO LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, BEING THE OWNER OF	1. THIS ANNEXATION PLAT DOES NO BOUNDARY INFORMATION SHOW MAPS & DOCUMENTS OF RECOR
Property Acreage Projected Water Demand (AFY)*	R1 - CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS A RECEPTION NO. 01649749, REC
IN THE COUNTY OF ESPASO, STATE OF COLORADO, CONTAINING A PORTION OF THE PAWNEE ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY RECORDED UNDER ROCK CREEK MESA SUBDIVISION ADDITION NO. 2 IN PLAT BOOK A-2 PAGE 30, AND A PORTION OF THAT PARCEL OF VAND RECORDED UNDER RECEPTION NUMBER 212104071, BOTH IN	PASO COUNTY, COLORADO. R2 - LAND SURVEY PLAT: SOUTH PC RECEPTION NO. 200900212, REC
THE RECORDS OF EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS WITH BEARINGS REFERENCED TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER, BEING MONUMENTED ON THE WEST END BY A 3-1/4 INCH BRASS CAP (BLM STANDARD) AND ON THE EAST END BY A 2-1/2 INCH ALUMINUM	R3 - IMPROVEMENT SURVEY PLAT E R4 - LA MESA DEL ANGELES SUBDIV RECEPTION NO. 860860, RECOP
CAP STAMPED "RMLS 19625"; Proposed extension of water service does not meet de minimis impact to City's available water supply criteria BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE SAID EXTERIOR THAT PARCEL OF LAND, BEING MONUMENTED BY A RED	R5 - ALTA/NSPS LAND SURVEY TITL
PLASTIC CAP STAMPED "FLS 38160"; THENCE COINCIDENT WITH SAID WESTERN ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF COMMANCHE ROAD SOUTH 00°42'43" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 521.79 FEET;	RECEPTION NO. 212900047, REC R7 - LAND SURVEY PLAT (CLARK LA
EAST, A DISTANCE OF 521.79 FEET; THENCE CONTINUE SOUTH 00°42'43" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 61.94 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EXTERIOR OF SAID PARCEL;	RECEPTION NO. 221900039, REC R8 - MERSHON SUBDIVISION PLAT RECEPTION NO. 207712576, REC
THENCE CONCIDENTIWITH THE EXTERIOR OF SAID PARCEL THE FOLLOWING SEVEN (7) COURSES: Total (AFY) RMD (AFY) 1 SOUTH 00°45'24" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 142.36 FEET; 2. SOUTH 00°45'24" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 27.00 FEET;	ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS CONSIDE • PLAT, PORTION OF CHEYENNE
3. SOUTH 88°33'19" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 409.44 FEET;	 RECEPTION NO. 96901963, REC J.L. RANCH ADDITION RECORDER
4.Proporth/00/43/42/WEST/AtDISTANCE OF/22/00/FEERts 128% Water Surplus criteria* 5. CONTINUE NORTH 00°43'42" WEST AND COINCIDENT WITH SAID LINE, A DISTANCE OF 90.69 FEET;	 ROCK CREEK MESA SUBDIVISIO ROCK CREEK MESA SUBDIVISIO RECEPTION NO 000104788
FMDNORTH 26:34(58) EAST A DISTANCE OF 159:85 IFET and are subject to change. Owner acknowledges that the a orrormed and shown above are based on the information available as of the date of this review and may require the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of this review and may require the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of this review and may require the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of this review and may require the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of this review and may require the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of this review and may require the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of this review and may require the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of this review and may require the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of the date of the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of the date of the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of the date of the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of the date of the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of the date of the shown above are based on the information available as of the date of the date of the shown above are based on the information available as of the date	• VACATION OF A PORTION OF R ire resubdivision Addition NO. 2 R lanningrate commitment ULAND TH DATE 07/27/2023 AT 5:00 P.M.
 25% Contiguity Analysis 1. SOUTH 89°52'55" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 250.80 FEET; A SOUTH 00°13'37" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 159.60 FEET; A SOUTH 30°17'29" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 107.26 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. 3. NORTH 89°17'29" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 107.26 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. 	2. DATE OF PREPARATION: JANUA
3. NORTH 89°17'29" EAST, A DISTANCE OF 107.26 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING .	 TOTAL PERIMETER OF THE AREA TWENTY-FIVE PERCENT (25%) OI
Please note that in March 2024, staff is presenting several proposed City Code changes to City Council that w	outa BEBUMETER ACT JUETAREA 2990
specifically:	6. AREA OF SITE: 7.43267 ACRES
Removal of the recommendation of approval by the Utilities Board Removal of the 25% contiguity requirement f approved, these requirements would no longer approved the legal description	FEMA FLOODPLAIN STATEME
Please respond to CSU LBS comments:	THE PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHII POSSIBLE). OBTAINED FROM THE F DECEMBER 7, 2018
Have reviewed and entered geometry into FIMS.	BASIS OF BEARINGS:
"Please add detail to the Point of Beginning, such as 'the most northerly corner Non the most easterly line of said parcel'."	ALL BEARINGS HEREIN BASED ON T TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 W FLUSH WITH GROUND, AT THE WES ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED PLS 1962 IS ASSUMED TO BEAR NORTH 88°02
"The first course references 'said Commanche Rd' when not referenced earlier."	

ANNEXATION PLAT 12.4.305, which References CREEK MESA ADDITION NO. 6 an only be extended to which the CREEK MESA ADDITION NO. 6 are provided below.

LOCATED IN SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M.,

COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO.



N THE SOUTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION 30, WEST, BEING MONUMENTED BY A 2-INCH PIPE WITH A 3.25-INCH BRASS CAP, EST QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 30 AND A NO. 6 REBAR WITH A 3.25-INCH 1625, FLUSH WITH GROUND, AT THE CENTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 30, WHICH 102'51" WEST, A DISTANCE OF 2,557.81 FEET.



vater)	
	ities), the Owner must provide an inventory of well permits and water rights with documentation from the Colorado s known water rights or deeds associated with the property to be annexed (Property). If the Owner does not have
s Utilities is not authorized receiving electric service fr ner must identify and provi en the Owner must provide nd/or sanitation district (Exi luded from the Existing Dis rado; or the Existing District	v District (SECWCD), then Owner must complete the questionnaire provided by City Planning from the Bureau of to provide water service to the Property until the Property is included in the SECWCD. om an electric-service provider other than Springs Utilities (i.e., Mountain View Electric Association, Black Hills de an inventory of all existing electric services provided by the current electric-service provider. If there are no such a letter stating such. isting District), then Springs Utilities will not provide water or wastewater services (Services) to the Property unless strict pursuant to §§32-1-501 and 502, C.R.S. and an Order Granting Exclusion is issued and recorded in the District consents to Springs Utilities providing such Services pursuant to §31-35-402(1), C.R.S. inexed into the City and excluded from the Existing District, then the Property owner (among other requirements) is
r and wastewater systems	icilities necessary for Springs Utilities to serve the Property; and then connect directly to Springs Utilities' water and wastewater systems; and and Wastewater Development Charges. een reviewed.
es' Field Operations Suppo g wells have been plugged s, the Property must be inc s within the SECWCD. consent from the Bureau is on project. the Fish and Wildlife Depa orp of Engineers stating th	in the Property must be plugged and abandoned at Owner's expense prior to connection to Springs Utilities' water ort department with a completed Colorado Division of Water Resources (DWR) Form No GWS-09 (Well and abandoned in compliance with all applicable regulations, including regulations from the DWR. cluded in the SECWCD. After completing the questionnaire from the Bureau (see paragraph 2.A above), the s required for the Property to be included in the SECWCD. The Bureau may require the Owner to provide the artment stating there are no Endangered Species within the Annexation Boundary. at there are no wetlands within the Annexation Boundary. <u>e of any Native</u> American Indian relics or buildings of historic significance (the report is to be completed by an tations are the sum of the Bureau for final instructions. No. 6"
	CITY APPROVAL:
TY COMPANY, HAS	ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY APPROVE FOR FILING THE ACCOMPANYING ANNEXATION PLAT OF ROCK CREEK MESA ADDITION NO. 6.
	CITY PLANNING DIRECTOR DATE
- LLC, A COLORADO	CITY ENGINEER DATE
	THE ANNEXATION OF THE REAL PROPERTY SHOWN ON THIS PLAT IS APPROVED PURSUANT TO AN ORDINANCE MADE AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO, BY ACTIONS OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS AT ITS MEETING ON DAY OF, 20 A.D.
	CITY CLERK DATE
LEGALLY DOING HEREBY CERTIFY THAT LS OF LAND AND THAT ARCEL IS CONTIGUOUS LORADO.	RECORDING: STATE OF COLORADO)) SS COUNTY OF EL PASO) I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS INSTRUMENT WAS FILED FOR RECORD IN MY OFFICE ATO'CLOCK M., THIS DAY OF, 2024, A.D., AND IS DULY RECORDED UNDER RECEPTION NUMBER
	FEE: SURCHARGE:
	Update ANEX-24-0006 on each sheet
г	ANNEX-23-0029
	PREPARED BY: ROCK CREEK MESA ADDITION NO. 6 LOCATED IN SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 66 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M., COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO.
	Excellence by Design DATE ISSUED: JANUARY 3, 2024 CHECKED BY: JRB SCALE: NA DATE ISSUED: JANUARY 3, 2024

