

Planning and Community Development Department 2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

Colorado Springs, Colo Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM

PCD File No.

Updated: 6/26/2019

PROJECT INFORMATION								
Project Name :	Homestead at Overlook Filing No. 1							
Schedule No.(s):	4100000255, 4100000256							
Legal Description :								
APPLICANT INFORMATION								
Company :	PT Overlook, LLC							
Name :	Joe DesJardin							
	☑ Owner ☐ Consultant ☐ Contractor							
Mailing Address :	1864 Woodmoor Drive, Suite #100, Monument,	CO 80132						
Phone Number : FAX Number : Email Address :	(719) 476-0800 jdesjardin@proterraco.com	Provide an exhibit showing the culverts and the location requested for this deviation.						
ENGINEER INFORM								
Company :	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	0						
Name :	,							
Mailing Address :	2 N. Nevada Avenue Suite 900, Colorado Sprir	gs, co 80903						
Phone Number :	719-453-0181							
FAX Number :								
Email Address :	Kevin.kofford@kimley-horn.com							
OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.								
Signature of owner (o	or authorized representative)	Date						
	_ г л							
Engineer's Seal, Sigr And Date of Signatur		SF2425						

L

DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

A deviation from the standards of or in Section 2.6 Structure Design of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested.

Identify the specific ECM standard which a c	leviation is requested:				
G. Multiple pipe crossings. Due to maintenance issue	es, parallel culverts (a.k.a. culvert batteries) are not permitted except in areas where low headwater is unavoidable, n the vicinity of a bend immediately upstream. Refer to Chapter 9 of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual for addition				
State the reason for the requested deviation A request allow for multiple pipe crossing (i.e. particular process) to cross over a shallow and wide natural drainage	arallel culverts) for Culvert B6-A, triple 36-inch RCP culverts to allow for the proposed roadway				
Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used					
as basis): The request proposes allowing for triple 36-inch RCP culverts in place of a single larger culvert. Allowing for multiple culverts (parallel culverts) is a standard practice allowed by CDOT and other jurisdictions that allows for flexibility in design for more constraining locations. This multiple pipe crossing proposes to follow the CDOT standards as outlined in Section 9.2.3-Design Features of Chapter 9 of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual.					
identify that cu					
will be spaced installed per C standard deta IMITS OF CONSIDERATI At least one of the conditio (M-206-1) The ECM standard is inapplicable to the	this deviation request to be considered.)				
 ☐ The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation. ☑ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility. ☐ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public. 					
Provide justification: The following reasons provide justification for why a modified cross section is being requested: The existing drainage way is wide and shallow. At the location of the culvert, the drainageway starts to transition from a small concentrated drainageway to an approximate 100′ wide natural channel way, in more a sheet flow type condition. Crossing a shallow and wider channel lends to a design with a smaller culvert diameter to avoid large amounts of fill. Proposed design maintaining the 2-foot minimum cover over the proposed pipe. Without a triple barrel, a 54″ pipe would be required, causing 1.5′ feet of additional fill to the roadway. Meet the criteria outlined in the CDOT Drainage Design Manual outlined in Section 9.2.3 Upstream riprap is being placed on the inlet side to help dissipate any energy prior to entering the culvert.					

CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is <u>not based exclusively on financial</u> <u>considerations</u>. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with <u>all of the following criteria</u>:

The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.
The multiple pipes are design to have the same capacity as the larger single pipe/ culvert. Section 2.6.9.G of the ECM references Chapter 9 of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual, within the section. This design follows the criteria of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual which allows for a
multiple pipe application.
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.
Designs with multiple pipe applications are common and do not adversely affect safety or operations. The multiple pipes are design to have the same capacity as the larger single pipe/ culvert. 36-inch culverts are also large enough to maintain and clear debris without much more difficulty than a 54-inch pipe.
The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.
The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and cost. The modification doesn't not include any component or features which require
specialized maintenance activities and/or equipment.

	The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.				
	The multiple pipe design will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance. Without a multiple pipe design, the roadway would have 1.5 feet of additional fill which would not allow the roadway to blend into the hillside in a balanced cut/fill condition and look aesthetically unpleasing.				
Į	The deviation was to the design intent and numbers of the FOM standards				
ſ	The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.				
	Section 2.6.9.G of the ECM references Chapter 9 of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual, within the section. This design follows the criteria of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual which allows for a multiple pipe application. So, even though the ECM and the CDOT manuals seem to contradict each other, the intent is that multiple barrel/ pipe applications are generally allowed if the conditions listed within the CDOT Drainage Manual are met.				
	The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.				
	The proposed design modifications do not change the ability to remain consistent with the control measure requirements of the County MS4 permit. All the runoff from the proposed roadways will be captured and receive water quality treatment provided by an existing detention				
	pond.				
L					

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

Approved by the ECM Administrator		
	e criteria for approval. A deviation from Sectiond.	of the ECM is
Γ	٦	
L	J	
Denied by the ECM Administrator		
	et criteria for approval. A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ	1	
L	T	
ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITION	DNS:	

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship
 on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is
 available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not
 modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to
 the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.