OVERLOOK AT HOMESTEAD FILING NO. 1

CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER; THE SOUTH HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER; AND THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER, ALL IN SECTION 27, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M., COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO

CONTACTS:

TEL: 719-476-0800

OWNER: PT OVERLOOK LLC 1864 WOODMOOR DRIVE, SUITE 100 MONUMENT, CO 80132 CONTACT: JOE DESJARDIN

EDWARD-JAMES SURVEYING, INC. 926 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80907 CONTACT: JON TESSIN, PLS TEL: (719) 576-1216

KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 2 NEVADA NORTH AVE., SUITE 300 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 CONTACT: KEVIN KOFFORD, PE TEL: 719-453-0180

PHONE: (719) 520-6300

EL PASO COUNTY: PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT 2880 INTERNATIONAL CIRCLE, SUITE 110 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80910

BENCHMARK

A 2.5" ALUMINUM CAP BEING A 30 FOOT WITNESS CORNER NORTH OF THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SECTION 24, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

BASIS OF BEARING

THE WEST LINE OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 24, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST OF THE 6 PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN MONUMENTED ON THE SOUTHERLY END BY A 2-1/2" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "LS 28658" AND AT THE NORTHERLY END BY A 3-1/2" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "LS 12103" BEING ASSUMED TO BEAR N00°14'25"E A DISTANCE OF 2636.99 FEET AS SHOWN IN LAND SURVEY PLAT RECORDED UNDER RECEPTION 218900072 RECORDS OF EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A TRACT OF LAND BEING A PORTION OF THE NORTH HALF OF SECTION 27, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST OD THE 6TH PRINCIPAL; MERIDIAN, CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BASIS OF BEARINGS: THE EAST LINE OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 27, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO, BEING MONUMENTED ON THE NORTHERLY END BY 2-1/2" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED PLS 28658" .3' ABOVE GRADE, AND AT THE SOUTHERLY END BY A REBAR AND YELLOW PLASTIC CAP STAMPED "K&W 3854" AT GRADE, BEING ASSUMED TO BEAR S00°47'36"E.

COMMENCING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 27, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO; THENCE S00°47'36"E ON THE EAST LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST QUARTER A DISTANCE OF 1,118 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE CONTINUING ON SAID EAST LINE S00°47'36"E, A DISTANCE OF 1,535.26 FEET TO SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 27, SAID POINT BEING ON THE NORTHERLY BOUNDARY LINE OF REATA FILING NO. 1, AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK A-3 AT PAGE 62; THENCE S88°43'40"W ON THE CORNER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 27; THENCE N00°37'58"W ON THE WEST LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 27, A DISTANCE OF 1,997.72 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WEST BOUNDARY LINE OF THE FORMER COLORADO AND SOUTHERN RAILWAY, SAID POINT ALSO BEING ON THE BOUNDARY LINE OF APEX RANCH ESTATES AS RECORDED UNDER RECEPTION NUMBER 208712902; THENCE ON THE WEST BOUNDARY OF THE FORMER COLORADO AND SOUTHERN RAILWAY BOUNDARY AND THE BOUNDARY OF SAID APEX RANCH ESTATES THE FOLLOWING TWO (2) COURSES:


- 1. N35°37'58"E A DISTANCE OF 178.25 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVE;
- 2. ON THE ARC OF A CURVE TO THE LEFT HAVING A DELTA OF 17°55'17", A RADIUS OF 1,810.08 FEET A DISTANCE OF 566.17 FEET TO A POINT ON CURVE, SAID POINT BEING ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 22, ALSO BEING ON THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY OF APEX RANCH ESTATES, RECORDED UNDER RECEPTION NUMBER 208712902;

THENCE N88°37'29"E ON SAID SOUTHERLY LINES A DISTANCE OF 951.90 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER, SECTION 22; THENCE N88°38'02"E ON THE SOUTHERLY BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID APEX RANCH ESTATES AND THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 22, A DISTANCE OF 1,311.90 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID APEX RANCH ESTATES AND THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 22; THENCE S00°19'30"E A DISTANCE OF 968.34 FEET; THENCE S31°35'05"E A DISTANCE OF 156.14 FEET; THENCE N89°00'22"E A DISTANCE OF 1,240.01 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING A CALCULATED AREA OF 8,830,086 SQUARE FEET OR 202.711 ACRES MORE OR LESS.







VICINITY MAP SCALE: 1":5000'	NORTH
SHEET LIST TABLE	

	SHEET LIST TABLE					
SHEET NUMBER	SHEET TITLE					
C1.0	COVER SHEET					
C1.1	GENERAL NOTES					
C1.2	CROSS SECTIONS					
C1.3	CROSS SECTIONS					
C1.4	OVERALL SITE PLAN					
C1.5	SITE PLAN (1 OF 4)					
C1.6	SITE PLAN (2 OF 4)					
C1.7	SITE PLAN (3 OF 4)					
C1.8	SITE PLAN (4 OF 4)					
C1.9	APEX RANCH ROAD PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.10	APEX RANCH ROAD PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.11	APEX RANCH ROAD PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.12	CAMPOUT DRIVE PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.13	CAMPOUT DRIVE PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.14	CAMPOUT DRIVE PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.15	CAMPOUT DRIVE PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.16	HATBAND DRIVE PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.17	HATBAND DRIVE PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.18	HATBAND DRIVE PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.19	SALOON DRIVE PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.20	SALOON DRIVE PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.21	SIGNAGE & STRIPING PLAN					
C1.22	CUT AND FILL MAP					
C1.23	GEC FINAL PLAN (1 OF 4)					
C1.24	GEC FINAL PLAN (2 OF 4)					
C1.25	GEC FINAL PLAN (3 OF 4)					
C1.26	GEC FINAL PLAN (4 OF 4)					
C1.27	GEC DETAILS (1 OF 4)					
C1.28	GEC DETAILS (2 OF 4)					
C1.29	GEC DETAILS (3 OF 4)					
C1.30	GEC DETAILS (4 OF 4)					
C1.31	POND A2 OVERVIEW					
C1.32	POND A2 OUTFALL PLAN AND PROFILE					
C1.33	POND A2 DETAILS					
C1.34	POND B1 OVERVIEW					
C1.35	POND B1 DETAILS					
C1.36	POND B8 OVERVIEW					
C1.37 POND B8 DRAINAGE CHANNEL PLAN AND PROFILE						
C1.38	POND B8 DETAILS					
C1.39	POND ROCK CHUTE DETAILS					

Info Only: Comments from Service Engineering Engineering are in blue text.

Construction Drawings must be updated if any changes are made to the final drainage report. This comment stay unresolved until all comments in FDR get resolved.

Are the GEC Final Plan

needed if they are in the

full GEC set? If the GEC

Plans are desired to be

in the CDs you can put

the full set of GECs in the CDs and not submit the GEC Plans, but as it

is now these sheets

Please remove this

design is intended for

note through the

entire CD, as the

final construction

seem redundant.

sheets and details

DEVELOPER'S/OWNER'S SIGNATURE BLOCK

I, THE OWNER/DEVELOPER HAVE READ AND WILL COMPLY WITH ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN THESE DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

PT OVERLOOK, LLC

DESIGN ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

THESE DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION. SAID PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS HAVE BEEN PREPARED ACCORDING TO THE CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY THE COUNTY FOR DETAILED ROADWAY, DRAINAGE, GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AND SAID PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE IN CONFORMITY WITH APPLICABLE MASTER DRAINAGE PLANS AND MASTER TRANSPORTATION PLANS. SAID PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS MEET THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE PARTICULAR ROADWAY AND DRAINAGE FACILITIES ARE DESIGNED AND ARE CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY LIABILITY CAUSED BY ANY NEGLIGENT ACTS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS ON MY PART IN PREPARATION OF THESE DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.

KEVIN KOFFORD, P.E. 57234 - KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

EL PASO COUNTY

COUNTY PLAN REVIEW IS PROVIDED ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH COUNTY DESIGN CRITERIA. THE COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. THE COUNTY THROUGH THE APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE EL PASO COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, VOLUMES 1 AND 2, AND ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL AS AMENDED.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECM SECTION 1.12, THESE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WILL BE VALID FOR CONSTRUCTION FOR A PERIOD OF 2 YEARS FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY THE EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEER. IF CONSTRUCTION HAS NOT STARTED WITHIN THOSE 2 YEARS, THE PLANS WILL NEED TO BE RESUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL, INCLUDING PAYMENT OF REVIEW FEES AT THE PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTORS

JOSHUA PALMER, P.E. - COUNTY ENGINEER/ECM ADMINISTRATOR

PRELIMINARY FOR REVIEW ONL' NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

DESIGNED BY: KR DRAWN BY: A

CHECKED BY: KR

DATE: 06/14/202

F HOMES COUNTY, UCTION I

Kimley-Horn and Associates, In-PROJECT NO. 196239003 SHEET

EL PASO COUNTY GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN NOTES

- 1. STORMWATER DISCHARGES FROM CONSTRUCTION SITES SHALL NOT CAUSE OR THREATEN TO CAUSE POLLUTION, CONTAMINATION, OR DEGRADATION OF STATE WATERS. ALL WORK AND EARTH DISTURBANCE SHALL BE DONE IN A MANNER THAT MINIMIZES POLLUTION OF ANY ON—SITE OR OFF—SITE WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS.
- 2. NOTWITHSTANDING ANYTHING DEPICTED IN THESE PLANS IN WORDS OR GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION, ALL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RELATED TO ROADS, STORM DRAINAGE AND EROSION CONTROL SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MOST RECENT VERSION OF THE RELEVANT ADOPTED EL PASO COUNTY STANDARDS, INCLUDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, THE ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL, THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, AND THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUME 2. ANY DEVIATIONS FROM REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS MUST BE REQUESTED, AND APPROVED, IN WRITING.
- 3. A SEPARATE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SMWP) FOR THIS PROJECT SHALL BE COMPLETED AND AN EROSION AND STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT (ESQCP) ISSUED PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. MANAGEMENT OF THE SWMP DURING CONSTRUCTION IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DESIGNATED QUALIFIED STORMWATER MANAGER OR CERTIFIED EROSION CONTROL INSPECTOR. THE SWMP SHALL BE LOCATED ON SITE AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL BE KEPT UP TO DATE WITH WORK PROGRESS AND CHANGES IN THE FIFLD.
- 4. ONCE THE ESQCP IS APPROVED AND A "NOTICE TO PROCEED" HAS BEEN ISSUED, THE CONTRACTOR MAY INSTALL THE INITIAL STAGE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AS INDICATED ON THE APPROVED GEC. A PRECONSTRUCTION MEETING BETWEEN THE CONTRACTOR, ENGINEER, AND EL PASO COUNTY WILL BE HELD PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE APPLICANT TO COORDINATE THE MEETING TIME AND PLACE WITH COUNTY STAFF.
- 5. CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ACTIVITIES THAT COULD CONTRIBUTE POLLUTANTS TO STORMWATER. CONTROL MEASURES FOR ALL SLOPES, CHANNELS, DITCHES, AND DISTURBED LAND AREAS SHALL BE INSTALLED IMMEDIATELY UPON COMPLETION OF THE DISTURBANCE.
- 6. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED AND REMAIN IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION UNTIL PERMANENT SOIL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE IMPLEMENTED AND FINAL STABILIZATION IS ESTABLISHED. ALL PERSONS ENGAGED IN LAND DISTURBANCE ACTIVITIES SHALL ASSESS THE ADEQUACY OF CONTROL MEASURES AT THE SITE AND IDENTIFY IF CHANGES TO THOSE CONTROL MEASURES ARE NEEDED TO ENSURE THE CONTINUED EFFECTIVE PERFORMANCE OF THE CONTROL MEASURES. ALL CHANGES TO TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INCORPORATED INTO THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN.
- 7. TEMPORARY STABILIZATION SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED ON DISTURBED AREAS AND STOCKPILES WHERE GROUND DISTURBING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY HAS PERMANENTLY CEASED OR TEMPORARILY CEASED FOR LONGER THAN 14 DAYS.
- 8. FINAL STABILIZATION MUST BE IMPLEMENTED AT ALL APPLICABLE CONSTRUCTION SITES. FINAL STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED WHEN ALL GROUND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES ARE COMPLETE AND ALL DISTURBED AREAS EITHER HAVE A UNIFORM VEGETATIVE COVER WITH INDIVIDUAL PLANT DENSITY OF 70 PERCENT OF PRE—DISTURBANCE LEVELS ESTABLISHED OR EQUIVALENT PERMANENT ALTERNATIVE STABILIZATION METHOD IS IMPLEMENTED. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL
- MEASURES SHALL BE REMOVED UPON FINAL STABILIZATION AND BEFORE PERMIT CLOSURE.

 9. ALL PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES SHALL BE INSTALLED AS DESIGNED IN THE APPROVED PLANS. ANY PROPOSED CHANGES THAT EFFECT THE DESIGN OR FUNCTION OF PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ECM ADMINISTRATOR PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION.
- 10. EARTH DISTURBANCES SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN SUCH A MANNER SO AS TO EFFECTIVELY MINIMIZE ACCELERATED SOIL EROSION AND RESULTING SEDIMENTATION. ALL DISTURBANCES SHALL BE DESIGNED, CONSTRUCTED, AND COMPLETED SO THAT THE EXPOSED AREA OF ANY DISTURBED LAND SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE SHORTEST PRACTICAL PERIOD OF TIME. PRE—EXISTING VEGETATION SHALL BE PROTECTED AND MAINTAINED WITHIN 50 HORIZONTAL FEET OF A WATERS OF THE STATE UNLESS SHOWN TO BE INFEASIBLE AND SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED AND APPROVED.
- 11. COMPACTION OF SOIL MUST BE PREVENTED IN AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION CONTROL MEASURES OR WHERE FINAL STABILIZATION WILL BE ACHIEVED BY VEGETATIVE COVER. AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL ALSO BE PROTECTED FROM SEDIMENTATION DURING CONSTRUCTION UNTIL FINAL STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED. IF COMPACTION PREVENTION IS NOT FEASIBLE DUE TO SITE CONSTRAINTS, ALL AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION AND VEGETATION CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE LOOSENED PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF THE CONTROL MEASURE(S).
- 12. ANY TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT FACILITY DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED FOR THE CONVEYANCE OF STORMWATER AROUND, THROUGH, OR FROM THE EARTH DISTURBANCE AREA SHALL BE A STABILIZED CONVEYANCE DESIGNED TO MINIMIZE EROSION AND THE DISCHARGE OF SEDIMENT OFF SITE.
- 13. CONCRETE WASH WATER SHALL BE CONTAINED AND DISPOSED OF IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SWMP.

 NO WASH WATER SHALL BE DISCHARGED TO OR ALLOWED TO ENTER STATE WATERS, INCLUDING ANY
 SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM OR FACILITIES. CONCRETE WASHOUTS SHALL
 NOT BE LOCATED IN AN AREA WHERE SHALLOW GROUNDWATER MAY BE PRESENT, OR WITHIN 50
 FEET OF A SURFACE WATER BODY. CREEK OR STREAM.
- 14. DURING DEWATERING OPERATIONS OF UNCONTAMINATED GROUND WATER MAY BE DISCHARGED ON SITE, BUT SHALL NOT LEAVE THE SITE IN THE FORM OF SURFACE RUNOFF UNLESS AN APPROVED STATE DEWATERING PERMIT IS IN PLACE.
- 15. EROSION CONTROL BLANKETING OR OTHER PROTECTIVE COVERING SHALL BE USED ON SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1.
- 16. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF ALL WASTES FROM THE CONSTRUCTION SITE FOR DISPOSAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL AND STATE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS. NO CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, TREE SLASH, BUILDING MATERIAL WASTES OR UNUSED BUILDING MATERIALS SHALL BE BURIED, DUMPED, OR DISCHARGED AT THE SITE.
- 17. WASTE MATERIALS SHALL NOT BE TEMPORARILY PLACED OR STORED IN THE STREET, ALLEY, OR OTHER PUBLIC WAY, UNLESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AN APPROVED TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN. CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED BY EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING IF DEEMED NECESSARY, BASED ON SPECIFIC CONDITIONS AND CIRCUMSTANCES.
- 18. TRACKING OF SOILS AND CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS OFF—SITE SHALL BE MINIMIZED. MATERIALS TRACKED OFF—SITE SHALL BE CLEANED UP AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF IMMEDIATELY.
- 19. THE OWNER/DEVELOPER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF ALL CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, DIRT, TRASH, ROCK, SEDIMENT, SOIL, AND SAND THAT MAY ACCUMULATE IN ROADS, STORM DRAINS AND OTHER DRAINAGE CONVEYANCE SYSTEMS AND STORMWATER APPURTENANCES AS A RESULT OF SITE DEVELOPMENT.
- 20. THE QUANTITY OF MATERIALS STORED ON THE PROJECT SITE SHALL BE LIMITED, AS MUCH AS PRACTICAL, TO THAT QUANTITY REQUIRED TO PERFORM THE WORK IN AN ORDERLY SEQUENCE. ALL MATERIALS STORED ON—SITE SHALL BE STORED IN A NEAT, ORDERLY MANNER, IN THEIR ORIGINAL CONTAINERS, WITH ORIGINAL MANUFACTURER'S LABELS.
- 21. NO CHEMICAL(S) HAVING THE POTENTIAL TO BE RELEASED IN STORMWATER ARE TO BE STORED OR USED ONSITE UNLESS PERMISSION FOR THE USE OF SUCH CHEMICAL(S) IS GRANTED IN WRITING BY THE ECM ADMINISTRATOR. IN GRANTING APPROVAL FOR THE USE OF SUCH CHEMICAL(S), SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND MONITORING MAY BE REQUIRED.
- 22. BULK STORAGE OF ALLOWED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS OR OTHER ALLOWED LIQUID CHEMICALS IN EXCESS OF 55 GALLONS SHALL REQUIRE ADEQUATE SECONDARY CONTAINMENT PROTECTION TO CONTAIN ALL SPILLS ONSITE AND TO PREVENT ANY SPILLED MATERIALS FROM ENTERING STATE WATERS, ANY SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM OR OTHER FACILITIES.
- 23. NO PERSON SHALL CAUSE THE IMPEDIMENT OF STORMWATER FLOW IN THE CURB AND GUTTER OR DITCH EXCEPT WITH APPROVED SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES.
- 24. OWNER/DEVELOPER AND THEIR AGENTS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE "COLORADO WATER QUALITY CONTROL ACT" (TITLE 25, ARTICLE 8, CRS), AND THE "CLEAN WATER ACT" (33 USC 1344), IN ADDITION TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, DCM VOLUME II AND THE ECM APPENDIX I. ALL APPROPRIATE PERMITS MUST BE OBTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION (1041, NPDES, FLOODPLAIN, 404, FUGITIVE DUST, ETC.). IN THE EVENT OF CONFLICTS BETWEEN THESE REQUIREMENTS AND OTHER LAWS, RULES, OR REGULATIONS OF OTHER FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, OR COUNTY AGENCIES, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE LAWS, RULES, OR REGULATIONS SHALL APPLY.

- 25. ALL CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC MUST ENTER/EXIT THE SITE ONLY AT APPROVED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS POINTS.
- 26. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION THE PERMITTEE SHALL VERIFY THE LOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES.27. A WATER SOURCE SHALL BE AVAILABLE ON SITE DURING EARTHWORK OPERATIONS AND SHALL BE UTILIZED AS REQUIRED TO MINIMIZE DUST FROM EARTHWORK EQUIPMENT AND WIND.
- 28. THE SOILS REPORT FOR THIS SITE HAS BEEN PREPARED BY ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC. DATED AUGUST 2, 2023 AND SHALL BE CONSIDERED A PART OF THESE PLANS.
- 29. AT LEAST TEN (10) DAYS PRIOR TO THE ANTICIPATED START OF CONSTRUCTION, FOR PROJECTS THAT WILL DISTURB ONE (1) ACRE OR MORE, THE OWNER OR OPERATOR OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY SHALL SUBMIT A PERMIT APPLICATION FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGE TO THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT, WATER QUALITY DIVISION. THE APPLICATION CONTAINS CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION OF A STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP), OF WHICH THIS GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN MAY BE A PART. FOR INFORMATION OR APPLICATION MATERIALS CONTACT:

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT WATER QUALITY CONTROL DIVISION
WQCD - PERMITS
4300 CHERRY CREEK DRIVE SOUTH
DENVER, CO 80246-1530
ATTN: PERMITS UNIT

STANDARD NOTES FOR EL PASO COUNTY CONSTRUCTION PLANS

- 1. ALL DRAINAGE AND ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION SHALL MEET THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS OF THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS/EL PASO COUNTY DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, VOLUMES 1 AND 2, AND THE EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL.
- 2.CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE NOTIFICATION AND FIELD NOTIFICATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, WHETHER SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR NOT, BEFORE BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION. LOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. CALL 811 TO CONTACT THE UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF COLORADO (UNCC).
- 3.CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP A COPY OF THESE APPROVED PLANS, THE GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN, THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP), THE SOILS AND GEOTECHNICAL REPORT, AND THE APPROPRIATE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS AT THE JOB SITE AT ALL TIMES, INCLUDING THE FOLLOWING:

 a.EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL (ECM)
- b.CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS/EL PASO COUNTY DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, VOLUMES 1 AND 2 c.COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (CDOT) STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION d.CDOT M & S STANDARDS
- 4.NOTWITHSTANDING ANYTHING DEPICTED IN THESE PLANS IN WORDS OR GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION, ALL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RELATED TO ROADS, STORM DRAINAGE AND EROSION CONTROL SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MOST RECENT VERSION OF THE RELEVANT ADOPTED EL PASO COUNTY STANDARDS, INCLUDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, THE ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL, THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, AND THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUME 2. ANY DEVIATIONS FROM REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS MUST BE REQUESTED, AND APPROVED, IN WRITING. ANY MODIFICATIONS NECESSARY TO MEET CRITERIA AFTER—THE—FACT WILL BE ENTIRELY THE DEVELOPER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO RECTIFY.
- 5.IT IS THE DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO ACCURATELY SHOW EXISTING CONDITIONS, BOTH ONSITE AND OFFSITE, ON THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS. ANY MODIFICATIONS NECESSARY DUE TO CONFLICTS, OMISSIONS, OR CHANGED CONDITIONS WILL BE ENTIRELY THE DEVELOPER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO RECTIFY.
- 6.CONTRACTOR SHALL SCHEDULE A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH EL PASO COUNTY PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT (PCD) INSPECTIONS, PRIOR TO STARTING CONSTRUCTION.
- 7.IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO UNDERSTAND THE REQUIREMENTS OF ALL JURISDICTIONAL AGENCIES AND TO OBTAIN ALL REQUIRED PERMITS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO EL PASO COUNTY EROSION AND STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT (ESQCP), REGIONAL BUILDING FLOODPLAIN DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS—ISSUED 401 AND/OR 404 PERMITS, AND COUNTY AND STATE FUGITIVE DUST PERMITS.
- 8.CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT DEVIATE FROM THE PLANS WITHOUT FIRST OBTAINING WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM THE DESIGN ENGINEER AND PCD. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE DESIGN ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY UPON DISCOVERY OF ANY ERRORS OR INCONSISTENCIES.
- 9. ALL STORM DRAIN PIPE SHALL BE CLASS III RCP UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED AND APPROVED BY PCD.
- 10. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE GEOTECHNICAL TESTING PER ECM STANDARDS. PAVEMENT DESIGN SHALL BE APPROVED BY EL PASO COUNTY PCD PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF CURB AND GUTTER AND PAVEMENT.
- 11. ALL CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC MUST ENTER/EXIT THE SITE AT APPROVED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS POINTS.
- 12. SIGHT VISIBILITY TRIANGLES AS IDENTIFIED IN THE PLANS SHALL BE PROVIDED AT ALL INTERSECTIONS. OBSTRUCTIONS GREATER THAN 18 INCHES ABOVE FLOWLINE ARE NOT ALLOWED WITHIN SIGHT TRIANGLES.
- 13. SIGNING AND STRIPING SHALL COMPLY WITH EL PASO COUNTY DOT AND MUTCD CRITERIA. [IF APPLICABLE, ADDITIONAL SIGNING AND STRIPING NOTES WILL BE PROVIDED.]
- 14. CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN ANY PERMITS REQUIRED BY EL PASO COUNTY DOT, INCLUDING WORK WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY AND SPECIAL TRANSPORT PERMITS.
- 15. THE LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION SHALL REMAIN WITHIN THE PROPERTY LINE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. THE OWNER/DEVELOPER SHALL OBTAIN WRITTEN PERMISSION AND EASEMENTS, WHERE REQUIRED, FROM ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNER(S) PRIOR TO ANY OFF—SITE DISTURBANCE, GRADING, OR CONSTRUCTION.

HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 900

Colorado Springs Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DATE: 06/14/202

OVERLOOK AT HOMESTEAD FILING
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS
GENERAL NOTES


FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION
Kimley»Horn

PROJECT NO. 196239003

Kimley-Horn and Associates, In-

C1.

SHEET



ORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
Avenue Suite 900
s, Colorado 80903 (719) 453–0180
NO REVISION RY

DESIGNED BY: KRK DRAWN BY: AJL CHECKED BY: KRK DATE: 06/14/2024


E

OVERLOOK AT HOMESTEAD FILING NC EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS CROSS SECTIONS

FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION
Kimley Horn
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
PROJECT NO.

C1.2

196239003



THE TOTAL SOCIATES, INC.

2024 KIMLEY—HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.


2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 900


Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453—0180


OVERLOOK AT HOMESTEAD FILING
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION
Kimley Horn
Kimley-Hom and Associates, Inc.


PROJECT NO. 196239003 SHEET











PRELIMINARY FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION
Kimley>Horn
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.














21 + 00

22+00







OVERLOOK AT HOMESTEAD FILING NO.

EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

APEX RANCH ROAD PLAN AND PROFILE

O

DESIGNED BY: KRK DRAWN BY: AJ


CHECKED BY: KRK DATE: 06/14/2024


PRELIMINARY FOR REVIEW ONLY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION Kimley >>> Horn Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.


> PROJECT NO. 196239003 SHEET


C1.11


HIGH POINT ELEV: 7422.26 HIGH POINT STA: 20+48.54 PVI STA: 19+85.01 PVI ELEV: 7425.52 AD:13.39% 7470 K:19.23 7470 257.58' VC **7412.64** 7460 BVC 7450 7450 EXISTING GROUND_ AT CENTERLINE 7440 7440 7430 7430 7420 7420 7410 _PROPOSED GROUND AT CENTERLINE 7410 7400 7400 7390 7390 7380 7380 7433.1 7421.65 19+00 20+00























GRAPHIC SCALE IN FEET 0 25 50 10

<u>LEGEND</u>

LOT BOUNDARY LINE EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR ---EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR _____ XXXX _____ PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR

STREET CROSS SECTION (SEE SHEET C1.2 FOR DETAILS)

PROPOSED CULVERT UTILITY EASEMENT R.O.W. LINE


EDGE OF PAVEMENT GRADED SUBGRADE (NO BASE OR ASPHALT)


GRAVEL TRAIL SECTION

ASPHALT PAVEMENT

NOTES

SEE SHEET C1.2—C1.3 FOR TYPICAL ROADWAY SECTIONS AND ROADSIDE DITCH CONFIGURATIONS AS LABELED IN PLAN VIEW.





O DESIGNED BY: KRK

DRAWN BY: AJ CHECKED BY: KRK DATE: 06/14/2024

OVERLOOK AT HOMESTEAD FILING NO.
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS
SALOON DRIVE PLAN AND PROFILE

PRELIMINARY FOR REVIEW ONLY


NOT FOR


CONSTRUCTION Kimley»Horn Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc


> PROJECT NO. 196239003 SHEET


C1.19


_ELBERT ROAD FLETCHERVILLE LANE -∠APEX RANCH ROAD - APEX RANCH ROAD HALTA PLAZA ROAD SCALE: 1"=1,000' KEYMAP













FOR REVIEW ONLY CONSTRUCTION **Kimley** » Horn Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc





EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR (OD) LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION/DISTURBANCE SSA STABILIZED STAGING AREA VTC VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT BASIN FILING NO. 2 (NOT A PART OF THIS PLAN) ECB EROSION CONTROL BLANKET (SEE NOTE 4)

PERMANENT STABILIZATION (PS) MAY BE USED WITHIN AREAS OF TEMPORARY STABILIZATION (TS) AT THE CONTRACTOR'S DISCRETION. STABILIZATION SHALL BE APPLIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE TEMPORARY STABILIZATION SEQUENCING REQUIREMENTS.


(STRAW-SINGLE NET EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS AND OPEN WEAVE TEXTILES) ON ALL SLOPES 3H:1V OR GREATER TO ACHIEVE REQUIRED SILT FENCE TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ONSITE

BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER RECOMMENDATIONS AS NOTED IN THE APPROVED PROJECT

VEGETATION COVER IS ABOUT 90% CONSISTING OF NATIVE GRASSES, ROCK CHECK DAMS (CD) MAY BE SUBSTITUTED FOR SEDIMENT CONTROL | O

LOGS (SCL) OR STRAW WADDLES. CONTRACTOR TO DETERMINE LOCATION OF CD WITHIN THE ROADSIDE DITCH (SEE TABLE FOR MIN. SPACING

10. NO ASPHALT OR CONCRETE BATCH PLANTS SHALL BE USED FOR THIS





PRELIMINARY FOR REVIEW ONLY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION Kimley » Horn Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc


OOK AT HOMES EL PASO COUNTY, CONSTRUCTION I

DESIGNED BY: KRI

DRAWN BY: A

DATE: 06/14/2024

PROJECT NO. 196239003 SHEET



Temporary and Permanent Seeding (TS/PS)

Seeding dates for the highest success probability of perennial species along the Front Range are generally in the spring from April through early May and in the fall after the first of September until the ground freezes. If the area is irrigated, seeding may occur in summer months, as well. See Table TS/PS-3 for appropriate seeding dates.

Table TS/PS-1. Minimum Drill Seeding Rates for Various Temporary Annual Grasses

Species ^a (Common name)	Growth Season ^b	Pounds of Pure Live Seed (PLS)/acre ^c	Planting Depth (inches)
1. Oats	Cool	35 - 50	1 - 2
2. Spring wheat	Cool	25 - 35	1 - 2
3. Spring barley	Cool	25 - 35	1 - 2
4. Annual ryegrass	Cool	10 - 15	1/2
5. Millet	Warm	3 - 15	1/2 - 3/4
6. Sudangrass	Warm	5–10	1/2 - 3/4
7. Sorghum	Warm	5–10	1/2 - 3/4
8. Winter wheat	Cool	20–35	1 - 2
9. Winter barley	Cool	20–35	1 - 2
10. Winter rye	Cool	20–35	1 - 2
11. Triticale	Cool	25–40	1 - 2
^a Successful seeding of an	nual grass resu	lting in adequate plant	t growth will

- usually produce enough dead-plant residue to provide protection from wind and water erosion for an additional year. This assumes that the cover is not disturbed or mowed closer than 8 inches.
- Hydraulic seeding may be substituted for drilling only where slopes are steeper than 3:1 or where access limitations exist. When hydraulic seeding is used, hydraulic mulching should be applied as a separate operation, when practical, to prevent the seeds from being encapsulated in
- See Table TS/PS-3 for seeding dates. Irrigation, if consistently applied, may extend the use of cool season species during the summer months.
- Seeding rates should be doubled if seed is broadcast, or increased by 50 percent if done using a Brillion Drill or by hydraulic seeding.

June 2012

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

STOCKPILE

SILT FENCE (SEE SF DETAIL FOR INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS)

SILT FENCE (SEE SF DETAIL FOR

INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS)

TS/PS-3

MM-2

SP

TS/PS-4

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

Stockpile Management (SM)

STOCKPILE PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON

STOCKPILE PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

4. IF PERIMETER PROTECTION MUST BE MOVED TO ACCESS SOIL STOCKPILE, REPLACE

5. STOCKPILE PERIMETER CONTROLS CAN BE REMOVED ONCE ALL THE MATERIAL FROM THE

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM PARKER, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

SECTION A SP-1. STOCKPILE PROTECTION

STOCKPILE PROTECTION PLAN

STOCKPILE PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
 -LOCATION OF STOCKPILES.
 -TYPE OF STOCKPILE PROTECTION.

Stockpile Management (SP)

2. INSTALL PERIMETER CONTROLS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THEIR RESPECTIVE DESIGN DETAILS. SILT FENCE IS SHOWN IN THE STOCKPILE PROTECTION DETAILS; HOWEVER, OTHER TYPES OF PERIMETER CONTROLS INCLUDING SEDIMENT CONTROL LOGS OR ROCK SOCKS MAY BE SUITABLE IN SOME CIRCUMSTANCES. CONSIDERATIONS FOR DETERMINING THE APPROPRIATE TYPE OF PERIMETER CONTROL FOR A STOCKPILE INCLUDE WHETHER THE STOCKPILE IS LOCATED ON A PERVIOUS OR IMPERVIOUS SURFACE, THE RELATIVE HEIGHTS OF THE PERIMETER CONTROL AND STOCKPILE, THE ABILITY OF THE PERIMETER CONTROL TO CONTAIN THE STOCKPILE WITHOUT FAILING IN THE EVENT THAT MATERIAL FROM THE STOCKPILE SHIFTS OR SLUMPS AGAINST THE PERIMETER, AND OTHER FACTORS.

3. STABILIZE THE STOCKPILE SURFACE WITH SURFACE ROUGHENING, TEMPORARY SEEDING AND MULCHING, EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS, OR SOIL BINDERS, SOILS STOCKPILED FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD (TYPICALLY FOR MORE THAN 60 DAYS) SHOULD BE SEEDED AND MULCHED WITH A TEMPORARY GRASS COVER ONCE THE STOCKPILE IS PLACED (TYPICALLY WITHIN 14 DAYS). USE OF MULCH ONLY OR A SOIL BINDER IS ACCEPTABLE IF THE STOCKPILE WILL BE IN PLACE FOR A MORE LIMITED TIME PERIOD (TYPICALLY 30-60 DAYS).

4. FOR TEMPORARY STOCKPILES ON THE INTERIOR PORTION OF A CONSTRUCTION SITE, WHERE OTHER DOWNGRADIENT CONTROLS, INCLUDING PERIMETER CONTROL, ARE IN PLACE, STOCKPILE PERIMETER CONTROLS MAY NOT BE REQUIRED.

November 2010

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 SP-3

Temporary and Permanent Seeding (TS/PS)

Table TS/PS-2. Minimum Drill Seeding Rates for Perennial Grasses

Common ^a Name			Growth Form	Seeds/ Pound	Pounds of PLS/acre	
Alakali Soil Seed Mix				1	•	
Alkali sacaton	Sporobolus airoides	Cool	Bunch	1,750,000	0.25	
Basin wildrye	Elymus cinereus	Cool	Bunch	165,000	2.5	
Sodar streambank wheatgrass	Agropyron riparium 'Sodar'	Cool	Sod	170,000	2.5	
Jose tall wheatgrass	Agropyron elongatum 'Jose'	Cool	Bunch	79,000	7.0	
Arriba western wheatgrass	Agropyron smithii 'Arriba'	Cool	Sod	110,000	5.5	
Total					17.75	
Fertile Loamy Soil Seed Mix	'			•		
Ephriam crested wheatgrass	Agropyron cristatum 'Ephriam'	Cool	Sod	175,000	2.0	
Dural hard fescue	Festuca ovina 'duriuscula'	Cool	Bunch	565,000	1.0	
Lincoln smooth brome	Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln'	Cool	Sod	130,000	3.0	
Sodar streambank wheatgrass	Agropyron riparium 'Sodar'	Cool	Sod	170,000	2.5	
Arriba western wheatgrass	Agropyron smithii 'Arriba'	Cool	Sod	110,000	7.0	
Total					15.5	
High Water Table Soil Seed Mix	(•		
Meadow foxtail	Alopecurus pratensis	Cool	Sod	900,000	0.5	
Redtop	Agrostis alba	Warm	Open sod	5,000,000	0.25	
Reed canarygrass	Phalaris arundinacea	Cool	Sod 68,000		0.5	
Lincoln smooth brome	Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln'	Cool	Sod	130,000	3.0	
Pathfinder switchgrass	Panicum virgatum 'Pathfinder'	Warm	Sod	389,000	1.0	
Alkar tall wheatgrass	Agropyron elongatum 'Alkar'	Cool	Bunch	79,000	5.5	
Total					10.75	
Transition Turf Seed Mix ^c				·		
Ruebens Canadian bluegrass	Poa compressa 'Ruebens'	Cool	Sod	2,500,000	0.5	
Dural hard fescue	Festuca ovina 'duriuscula'	Cool	Bunch	565,000	1.0	
Citation perennial ryegrass	Lolium perenne 'Citation'	Cool	Sod	247,000	3.0	
Lincoln smooth brome	Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln'	Cool	Sod	130,000	3.0	
Total					7.5	

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District June 2012

Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP)

Temporary and Permanent Seeding (TS/PS)

Bouteloua gracilis

Schizachyrium scoparium

Sporobolus cryptandrus

Agropyron smithii 'Arriba'

Bouteloua curtipendula

Bromus inermis leyss

hydraulic seeding is used, hydraulic mulching should be done as a separate operation.

Can substitute 0.5 lbs PLS of blue grama for the 2.0 lbs PLS of Vaughn sideoats grama.

If site is to be irrigated, the transition turf seed rates should be doubled.

Crested wheatgrass should not be used on slopes steeper than 6H to 1V.

Sandy Soil Seed Mix

Camper little bluestem

Vaughn sideoats grama

Arriba western wheatgrass

Oahe Intermediate wheatgrass

Vaughn sideoats gramae

Lincoln smooth brome Arriba western wheatgrass

Heavy Clay, Rocky Foothill Seed Mix

See Table TS/PS-3 for seeding dates.

Prairie sandreed

Sand dropseed

Table TS/PS-2. Minimum Drill Seeding Rates for Perennial Grasses (cont.)

Warm

Warm

Cool

Warm

Cool

Cool

Cool

Warm

^a All of the above seeding mixes and rates are based on drill seeding followed by crimped straw mulch. These rates should be

doubled if seed is broadcast and should be increased by 50 percent if the seeding is done using a Brillion Drill or is applied

through hydraulic seeding. Hydraulic seeding may be substituted for drilling only where slopes are steeper than 3:1. If

Seeds/ Pound

825,000

240,000

5,298,000

191,000

110,000

175,000

115,000

191,000

130,000

Pounds of PLS/acre

Growth Form

bunchgrass

Bunch

Bunch

Sod

Sod

Sod

Sod

Table RECP-1. ECTC Standard Specification for Temporary Rolled Erosion Control Products (Adapted from Erosion Control Technology Council 2005)

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

Product Description	Slo Applica		Channel Applications*	Minimum Tensile Strength ¹	Expected Longevity	
	Maximum Gradient	C Factor ^{2,5}	Max. Shear Stress ^{3,4,6}			
Mulch Control Nets	5:1 (H:V)	≤0.10 @ 5:1	0.25 lbs/ft ² (12 Pa)	5 lbs/ft (0.073 kN/m)		
Netless Rolled Erosion Control Blankets	4:1 (H:V)	≤0.10 @ 4:1	0.5 lbs/ft ² (24 Pa)	5 lbs/ft (0.073 kN/m)	Up to 12	
Single-net Erosion Control Blankets & Open Weave Textiles	3:1 (H:V)	≤0.15 @ 3:1	1.5 lbs/ft ² (72 Pa)	50 lbs/ft (0.73 kN/m)	months	
Double-net Erosion Control Blankets	2:1 (H:V)	≤0.20 @ 2:1	1.75 lbs/ft ² (84 Pa)	75 lbs/ft (1.09 kN/m)		
Mulch Control Nets	5:1 (H:V)	≤0.10 @ 5:1	0.25 lbs/ft ² (12 Pa)	25 lbs/ft (0.36 kN/m)	24 months	
Erosion Control Blankets & Open Weave Textiles (slowly degrading)	1.5:1 (H:V)	≤0.25 @ 1.5:1	2.00 lbs/ft ² (96 Pa)	100 lbs/ft (1.45 kN/m)	24 months	
Erosion Control Blankets & Open Weave Textiles	1:1 (H:V)	≤0.25 @ 1:1	2.25 lbs/ft ² (108 Pa)	125 lbs/ft (1.82 kN/m)	36 months	

* C Factor and shear stress for mulch control nettings must be obtained with netting used in conjunction with pre-applied mulch material. (See Section 5.3 of Chapter 7 Construction BMPs for more information

¹ Minimum Average Roll Values, Machine direction using ECTC Mod. ASTM D 5035. ² C Factor calculated as ratio of soil loss from RECP protected slope (tested at specified or greater gradient, H:V) to ratio of soil loss from unprotected (control) plot in large-scale testing. ³ Required minimum shear stress RECP (unvegetated) can sustain without physical damage or excess erosion (> 12.7 mm (0.5 in) soil loss) during a 30-minute flow event in large-scale testing. ⁴ The permissible shear stress levels established for each performance category are based on historical experience with products characterized by Manning's roughness coefficients in the range of 0.01 - 0.05.

⁵ Acceptable large-scale test methods may include ASTM D 6459, or other independent testing deemed ⁶ Per the engineer's discretion. Recommended acceptable large-scale testing protocol may include ASTM

D 6460, or other independent testing deemed acceptable by the engineer.

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

Temporary and Permanent Seeding (TS/PS)

Table TS/PS-3. Seeding Dates for Annual and Perennial Grasses

	(Numbers in	l Grasses table reference able TS/PS-1)	Perennial Grasses		
Seeding Dates	Warm	Cool	Warm	Cool	
January 1–March 15			✓	✓	
March 16–April 30	4	1,2,3	✓	✓	
May 1–May 15	4		✓		
May 16–June 30	4,5,6,7				
July 1–July 15	5,6,7				
July 16–August 31					
September 1–September 30		8,9,10,11			
October 1–December 31			✓	✓	

Cover seeded areas with mulch or an appropriate rolled erosion control product to promote establishment of vegetation. Anchor mulch by crimping, netting or use of a non-toxic tackifier. See the Mulching BMP Fact Sheet for additional guidance.

Maintenance and Removal

Monitor and observe seeded areas to identify areas of poor growth or areas that fail to germinate. Reseed and mulch these areas, as needed.


An area that has been permanently seeded should have a good stand of vegetation within one growing season if irrigated and within three growing seasons without irrigation in Colorado. Reseed portions of the site that fail to germinate or remain bare after the first growing season.

Seeded areas may require irrigation, particularly during extended dry periods. Targeted weed control may

Protect seeded areas from construction equipment and vehicle access.

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District TS/PS-6 Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

June 2012



RECP-6 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

November 2010

WOOD STAKE DETAIL

PROJECT NO. 196239003

SHEET

PRELIMINARY

FOR REVIEW ONLY NOT FOR

CONSTRUCTION **Kimley** » Horn

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc

220

DESIGNED BY: KRK DRAWN BY: A CHECKED BY: KRI

DATE: 06/14/202

RLOOK AT HOMESTEAD FILING EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS GEC DETAILS (2 OF 4)


Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010

EC-6

TS/PS-5

RECP-3

Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP)



::\COS_Civil\196239003_Overlook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\196239003_CD_GEC_DTL.dwg Koffo

SHEET

DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

4. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF THE CULVERT SHALL BE REMOVED WHEN THE SEDIMENT DEPTH IS ½ THE HEIGHT OF THE ROCK SOCK.

5. CULVERT INLET PROTECTION SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS PERMANENTLY STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM AURORA, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS.
CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN
DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

IP-7

August 2013 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District
Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

SC-6 Inlet Protection (IP)

GENERAL INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
 -LOCATION OF INLET PROTECTION.
 -TYPE OF INLET PROTECTION (IP.1, IP.2, IP.3, IP.4, IP.5, IP.6)

 INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE INSTALLED PROMPTLY AFTER INLET CONSTRUCTION OR PAVING IS COMPLETE (TYPICALLY WITHIN 48 HOURS). IF A RAINFALL/RUNOFF EVENT IS FORECAST, INSTALL INLET PROTECTION PRIOR TO ONSET OF EVENT.

3. MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

INLET PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

4. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE REMOVED AS NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMP EFFECTIVENESS, TYPICALLY WHEN STORAGE VOLUME REACHES 50% OF CAPACITY, A DEPTH OF 6" WHEN SILT FENCE IS USED, OR 14 OF THE HEIGHT FOR STRAW BALES

5. INLET PROTECTION IS TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS PERMANENTLY STABILIZED, UNLESS THE LOCAL JURISDICTION APPROVES EARLIER REMOVAL OF INLET PROTECTION IN STREETS.

6. WHEN INLET PROTECTION AT AREA INLETS IS REMOVED, THE DISTURBED AREA SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOP SOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED, OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAIL ADAPTED FROM TOWN OF PARKER, COLORADO AND CITY OF AURORA, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS.
CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

NOTE: THE DETAILS INCLUDED WITH THIS FACT SHEET SHOW COMMONLY USED, CONVENTIONAL METHODS OF INLET PROTECTION IN THE DENVER METROPOLITAN AREA. THERE ARE MANY PROPRIETARY INLET PROTECTION METHODS ON THE MARKET. UDFCD NEITHER ENDORSES NOR DISCOURAGES USE OF PROPRIETARY INLET PROTECTION; HOWEVER, IN THE EVENT PROPRIETARY METHODS ARE USED, THE APPROPRIATE DETAIL FROM THE MANUFACTURER MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE SWMP AND THE BMP MUST BE INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED AS SHOWN IN THE MANUFACTURER'S DETAILS

NOTE: SOME MUNICIPALITIES DISCOURAGE OR PROHIBIT THE USE OF STRAW BALES FOR INLET PROTECTION. CHECK WITH LOCAL JURISDICTION TO DETERMINE IF STRAW BALE INLET PROTECTION IS ACCEPTABLE.

IP-8 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District
Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

August 2013

MA SIGNATE AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 900

Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

NOTATION OF THE ADMINISTRATES INC.

CHECKED BY: KRK DATE: 06/14/2024

OVERLOOK AT HOMESTEAD FILING NO
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS
GEC DETAILS (4 OF 4)


FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION
Kimley Horn
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.


Kimley Hor Kimley-Horn and Associates, Ir PROJECT NO. 196239003

C1.30

SHEET

239003_Overlook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\196Z39003_CD_GEC_D1L.dwg Kottord, Kevin 9/18/2024 3:06 PN





ESTEAD FILING NO. 1

TY, COLORADO

N DOCUMENTS

PAN AND PROFILE

STEAD FILING NO. 1

TY, COLORADO

N DOCUMENTS

STATE AD FILING NO. 1

TY, COLORADO

N DOCUMENTS

STATE AD FILING NO. 1

THE PAN AND PROFILE


THE PAN AND P


OVERLOOK AT HOMESTEAD FILING EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION
Kimley»Horn


PROJECT NO. 196239003 SHEET


Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc







Callout low tailwater basin and reference detail.









POND B8 DRAINAGE CHANNEL PROFILE

LEGEND

LOT BOUNDARY LINE EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR

RIPRAP

LOT BOUNDARY LINE

EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR

GRAVEL MAINTENANCE ACCESS ROAD


EROSION PROTECTION TRM MAT

0


DESIGNED BY: KRK DRAWN BY: AJ CHECKED BY: KRK DATE: 06/14/2024

PRELIMINARY FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION Kimley >>> Horn Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.


> PROJECT NO. 196239003 SHEET




TYPE M RIPRAP D50 = 12"



ROCK CHUTE PROFILE - POND TRICKLE CHANNEL APPLICATION





1" = 5'

TRICKLE CHANNEL WIDTH TO TAPER

BOTTOM OF POND

SLOPED TO TRICKLE CHANNEL CURB HEIGHT

TRANSITION FROM 6" TO TOP OF ROCK BERM

BOTTOM OF POND

SLOPED TO TRICKLE CHANNEL CURB

TRICKLE CHANNEL & NOTCH FLOWLINE

TRICKLE CHANNEL CURB HEIGHT

TRANSITION FROM 6" TO TOP OF ROCK BERM

RIPRAP TYPE AND

THICKNESS PER PLAN

ROCK CHUTE TYPICAL SECTION - FOREBAY BERM/TRICKLE CHANNEL 1" = 5'

Rock Chute ID	Forebay ID	Rock Chute Location	Contributing Basins	Q100 Flow (cfs)	Upstream Inlet Apron Length (ft)	Drop (ft) (Inlet Apron to Outlet Apron)	Chute Length (ft)	Downstream Outlet Apron Length (ft)	Chute Width (ft)	D50 (in)	Rock Chute Thickness (in)	Rock Chute Depth* (ft)	Top Width (ft)
A2-W	A2-W	Pond A2	A2	18	10	3	16	7	10	6	12	2.0	26.0
A2-C	A2-C	Pond A2	A2	3	10	8	36	7	10	6	12	1.5	22.0
A2-E	A2-E	Pond A2	A2	18	10	9	40	7	10	6	12	1.5	22.0
B1-E	B1-E	Pond B1	B1	5	10	3.75	19	10	10	6	12	2.0	26.0
B8-W	B8-W	Pond B8	B6, B8	119	13	8	36	17	10	18	36	3.0	34.0
B8-E	B8-E	Pond B8	B8	23	10	9	36	8	10	6	12	2.0	26.0

NOTES:

1. ROCK CHUTE DEPTH AND WIDTH ACCOUNTS FOR 0.5' OF FREEBOARD

DESIGNED BY:

DESIGNED BY: KRK DRAWN BY: AJL CHECKED BY: KRK DATE: 06/14/2024

OVERLOOK AT HOMESTEAD FILING NO. 1
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS
POND ROCK CHUTE DETAILS

FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION
Kimley»Horn

PROJECT NO. 196239003

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc

SHEET