

BARBARICK RECYCLING AND REFUSE TRANSFER STATION

A PORTION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 33, TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M.
CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO

GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN DECEMBER 2023

OWNER'S STATEMENT

I, THE OWNER/DEVELOPER HAVE READ AND WILL COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN.

OWNER SIGNATURE _____ DATE _____

BRIAN BELAND
BR 8812 CLIFF ALLEN POINT LLC
PO BOX 88120
COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80908-8120

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

THIS GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN WAS PREPARED UNDER MY DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. SAID PLAN HAS BEEN PREPARED ACCORDING TO THE CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY THE COUNTY FOR GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS. I ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY LIABILITY CAUSED BY ANY NEGLIGENT ACTS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS ON MY PART IN PREPARING THIS PLAN.

ERIC GUNDERSON, PE (CO #49487) - KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. DATE _____

EL PASO COUNTY

COUNTY PLAN REVIEW IS PROVIDED ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH COUNTY DESIGN CRITERIA. THE COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. THE COUNTY THROUGH THE APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE EL PASO COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUMES 1 AND 2, AND ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL, AS AMENDED.


IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECM SECTION 1.12, THESE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WILL BE VALID FOR CONSTRUCTION FOR A PERIOD OF 2 YEARS FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY THE EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEER. IF CONSTRUCTION HAS NOT STARTED WITHIN THOSE 2 YEARS, THE PLANS WILL NEED TO BE RESUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL, INCLUDING PAYMENT OF REVIEW FEES AT THE PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR'S DISCRETION.

JOSHUA PALMER, PE COUNTY ENGINEER/ECM ADMINISTRATOR _____ DATE _____

GENERAL NOTE

THE PARTIES RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS PLAN HAVE FAMILIARIZED THEMSELVES WITH ALL CURRENT ACCESSIBILITY CRITERIA AND SPECIFICATIONS AND THE PROPOSED PLAN REFLECTS ALL THE SITE ELEMENTS REQUIRED BY THE APPLICABLE ADA DESIGN STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES AS PUBLISHED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUST. APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN BY EL PASO COUNTY DOES NOT ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE ADA OR ANY REGULATIONS OR GUIDELINES ENACTED OR PROMULGATED UNDER OR WITH RESPECT TO SUCH LAWS.

This note should be on the site development plan. Please revise.



VICINITY MAP
SCALE: 1"=500'

SHEET INDEX

SHEET TITLE	SHEET NO.
GEC - COVER	1
GEC NOTES	2
CUT AND FILL PLAN	3
INITIAL GEC PLAN	4
INTERIM GEC PLAN	5
FINAL GEC PLAN	6
GEC DETAILS	7
GEC DETAILS	8
GEC DETAILS	9
GEC DETAILS	10
GEC DETAILS	11

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

PARCEL A:
LOT 4, AMENDED PLAT OF BARBARICK SUBDIVISION, COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO.

CONTAINING 5.290 ACRES (230,442 S.F.), MORE OR LESS.

PARCEL B:
A NON-EXCLUSIVE INGRESS AND EGRESS EASEMENT AS SET FORTH AND DESCRIBED IN DECLARATION AND BYLAWS OF BARBARICK SUBDIVISION PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATES, INC., RECORDED FEBRUARY 12, 2008 UNDER RECEPTION NO. 208016289 AS AMENDED BY INSTRUMENT RECORDED MARCH 10, 2008 UNDER RECEPTION NO. 208028000.

LEASED PARCEL: 3.927 ACRES

BENCHMARK

4' DIAMETER PRECAST CONCRETE STORM SEWER MANHOLE RIM LOCATED IN THE SOUTHEASTERLY PORTION OF THE PROPERTY; ELEVATION = 7027.34 "NGVD 1929 AND THE 1960 SUPPLEMENTARY ADJUSTMENT" DATUM.

FEMA CLASSIFICATION

THIS PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN THE ZONE X (AREAS DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE THE 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOODPLAIN) AS DETERMINED BY THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP NO. 08041C0533G, EFFECTIVE DATE DECEMBER 7, 2018.

SOIL TYPE

USCS SOIL TYPES A, B, AND D ARE PRESENT ON SITE

TIMING

ANTICIPATED START DATE: SUMMER 2024
ANTICIPATED END DATE: FALL 2024
ANTICIPATED DATE OF FINAL STABILIZATION: SPRING 2025

RECEIVING WATERS

SAND CREEK

DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING VEGETATION

THE LEASED PARCEL IS CURRENTLY UNDEVELOPED. THE MAJORITY OF LAND COVER WITHIN THE LEASED PARCEL IS GRAVEL. THERE ARE NATIVE GRASSES AND TREES LINING THE EXISTING DETENTION POND. TREES SCREEN THE SOUTHERLY PROPERTY FRONTAGE AND EXTEND EAST/WEST, THEN FOLLOW THE EASTERLY PROPERTY FRONTAGE NORTHWARDS TOWARDS CLIFF ALLEN POINT.

LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION

ONSITE DISTURBANCE = ±2.31 ACRES

OFFSITE DISTURBANCE = ±0.00 ACRES

TOTAL = ±2.31 ACRES

CONTACTS

OWNER:
BRIAN BELAND, BR 8812 CLIFF ALLEN POINT LLC
PO BOX 88120
COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80908-8120
TEL: (719) 499-0208
CONTACT: BRIAN BELAND

APPLICANT:
RICHARD GRAHAM JR.
6035 ERIN PARKWAY DRIVE, SUITE 101
COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80918
TEL: (719) 440-9414
CONTACT: RICHARD GRAHAM JR

EL PASO COUNTY:
EL PASO COUNTY
PCD DEPARTMENT
2880 INTERNATIONAL CIRCLE, SUITE 110
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80910
PHONE: (719) 520-6300

ENGINEER:
KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 NEVADA NORTH AVE., SUITE 900
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903
TEL: (719) 453-0182
CONTACT: ERIC GUNDERSON, P.E.

ARCHITECT:
BUCHER DESIGN STUDIO, INC.
12325 ORACLE BLVD, SUITE 101
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80921
(719) 484-0480
CONTACT: JASON SHOUDIS

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT:
KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 NEVADA NORTH AVE., SUITE 900
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903
(719) 284-7280
CONTACT: JIM HOUK

SURVEYOR:
LAND DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANTS, INC.
3898 MAIZELAND ROAD
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80909
TEL: (719) 528-6133
CONTACT: DAVID V. HOSTETLER

Kimley-Horn
2023 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 900
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DESIGNED BY: EUG
DRAWN BY: RES
CHECKED BY: EUG
DATE: 12/6/2023

BARBARICK WASTE TRANSFER STATION
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL
COVER SHEET

PRELIMINARY

FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION
Kimley-Horn
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

PROJECT NO.
196489000

SHEET
1



COM2346

PCD FILE NO. CDR-XX-XXX

K:\COS_Civil\196489000_Barbarick Waste Transfer\CADD\PlanSheets\GEC\CUTFILL_196489000.dwg Schnelbach, Ryan 12/6/2023 1:21 PM



LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- LEASED PARCEL BOUNDARY
- EX. UTILITY & DRAINAGE EASEMENT
- PROPOSED TRUCK TRAFFIC FLOW
- EX. FENCE
- EX. STORM SEWER
- EX. GAS MAIN
- EX. VEGETATION
- EX. TRANSFORMER
- PROPOSED CHAN LINK FENCE
- PROPOSED ASPHALT
- PROPOSED CONCRETE

CUT FILL SUMMARY

- PROPOSED CUT = 378 CY
- PROPOSED FILL = 8984 CY
- NET = 8606 CY


Kimley»Horn
 2023 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
 2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 900
 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DESIGNED BY: EUG
 DRAWN BY: RES
 CHECKED BY: EUG
 DATE: 12/6/2023

BARBARICK WASTE TRANSFER STATION
 EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
 GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL
 CUT AND FILL PLAN

PRELIMINARY
 FOR REVIEW ONLY
 NOT FOR
 CONSTRUCTION
Kimley»Horn
 Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

PROJECT NO.
 196489000
 SHEET
 3




811 Know what's below.
 Call before you dig.

CALL UTILITY NOTIFICATION
 CENTER OF COLORADO
 1-800-922-1987
 CALL 2-BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE
 BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE
 FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND
 MEMBER UTILITIES

PCD FILE NO. CDR-XX-XXX

K:\COS_Civil\196489000_Barbarick Waste Transfer\CADD\PlanSheets\GEC\GEC_INITIAL_196489000.dwg Schelbach, Ryan 12/6/2023 1:21 PM



LEGEND

- — — — — PROPERTY LINE
- - - - - LEASED PARCEL BOUNDARY
- - - - - EXISTING UTILITY & DRAINAGE EASEMENT
- — — — — PROPOSED TRUCK TURN MOVEMENT
- X X X X X EXISTING FENCE
- — — — — EXISTING STORM SEWER
- G G G G G EXISTING GAS MAIN
- ○ ○ ○ ○ EXISTING VEGETATION
- ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕ EXISTING TRANSFORMER


GEC LEGEND

- — — — — LOD LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
- - - - - LOC LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION
- — — — — SF SILT FENCE
- — — — — CONSTRUCTION FENCE
- — — — — SCL SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG
- — — — — XX EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
- — — — — XX EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
- — — — — VTC VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL
- — — — — SSA STABILIZED STAGING AREA
- — — — — SP STOCK PILING
- — — — — CWA CONCRETE WASHOUT
- — — — — IP OP INLET/OUTLET PROTECTION
- — — — — SB SEDIMENT BASIN (TEMPORARY)
- — — — — EXISTING SLOPE ARROW

- NOTES**
- THE INTENT OF THIS PLAN IS TO IDENTIFY THE EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES RECOMMENDED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REFERENCE ADDITIONAL CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOR DEMOLITION OF EXISTING AND CONSTRUCTION OF PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS. ADJACENT STREETS SHALL BE KEPT CLEAN AND FREE OF SEDIMENT AND/OR DEBRIS AT ALL TIMES.
 - TEMPORARY STABILIZATION (TS) SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN THE DISTURBED PORTIONS OF THE PROJECT SITE NO LATER THAN 14 DAYS FOLLOWING THE CEASE OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE DISTURBED AREAS.
 - PERMANENT STABILIZATION (PS) MAY BE USED WITHIN AREAS OF TEMPORARY STABILIZATION (TS) AT THE CONTRACTOR'S DISCRETION. STABILIZATION SHALL BE APPLIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE TEMPORARY STABILIZATION SEQUENCING REQUIREMENTS.
 - CONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE ROLLED EROSION CONTROL PRODUCTS (STRAW-SINGLE NET EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS AND OPEN WEAVE TEXTILES) ON ALL SLOPES 3H:1V OR GREATER TO ACHIEVE REQUIRED STABILIZATION.
 - CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ACCEPTABLE EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES WITHIN THE ANTICIPATED LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION IDENTIFIED HEREIN. BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND STABILIZATION SHALL BE COMPLETED AS IDENTIFIED HEREIN IN ACCORDANCE WITH OWNER REQUIREMENTS.
 - SILT FENCE TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ONSITE GRADING AND CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
 - SEDIMENT BASIN SHALL BE INSPECTED DAILY AND SHALL UNDERGO SEDIMENT REMOVAL AS NECESSARY TO MITIGATE SEDIMENT BUILD UP OR TRANSPORTATION.
 - DEMOLITION, REMOVAL, OVEREXCAVATION AND SOIL TREATMENT SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER RECOMMENDATIONS AS NOTED IN THE APPROVED PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
 - NO ASPHALT OR CONCRETE BATCH PLANTS SHALL BE USED FOR THIS PROJECT.

LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION

ONSITE DISTURBANCE	= ±2.31 ACRES
OFFSITE DISTURBANCE	= ±0.00 ACRES
TOTAL	= ±2.31 ACRES



811 Know what's below. Call before you dig.

CALL UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF COLORADO
1-800-922-1987
CALL 2-BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES.

Kimley-Horn
2023 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 900
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180


DESIGNED BY: EUG
DRAWN BY: RES
CHECKED BY: EUG
DATE: 12/6/2023

BARBARICK WASTE TRANSFER STATION
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL
INITIAL GEC PLAN

PRELIMINARY
FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
Kimley-Horn
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
PROJECT NO. 196489000
SHEET 4

PCD FILE NO. CDR-XX-XXX

K:\COS_Civil\196489000_Barbarick Waste Transfer\CADD\PlanSheets\GEC\INTERIM_196489000.dwg Schnelbach, Ryan 12/16/2023 1:22 PM



LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- LEASED PARCEL BOUNDARY
- EXISTING UTILITY & DRAINAGE EASEMENT
- PROPOSED TRUCK TURN MOVEMENT
- EXISTING FENCE
- EXISTING STORM SEWER
- EXISTING GAS MAIN
- EXISTING VEGETATION
- EXISTING TRANSFORMER


GEC LEGEND

- LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
- LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION
- SILF FENCE
- CONSTRUCTION FENCE
- SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG
- EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
- EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
- PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR
- PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
- VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL
- STABILIZED STAGING AREA
- STOCK PILING
- CONCRETE WASHOUT
- INLET/OUTLET PROTECTION
- EROSION CONTROL BLANKET
- SEDIMENT BASIN (TEMPORARY)
- EXISTING SLOPE ARROW
- PROPOSED SLOPE ARROW

- ### NOTES
- THE INTENT OF THIS PLAN IS TO IDENTIFY THE EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES RECOMMENDED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REFERENCE ADDITIONAL CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOR DEMOLITION OF EXISTING AND CONSTRUCTION OF PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS. ADJACENT STREETS SHALL BE KEPT CLEAN AND FREE OF SEDIMENT AND/OR DEBRIS AT ALL TIMES.
 - TEMPORARY STABILIZATION (TS) SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN THE DISTURBED PORTIONS OF THE PROJECT SITE NO LATER THAN 14 DAYS FOLLOWING THE CEASE OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE DISTURBED AREAS.
 - PERMANENT STABILIZATION (PS) MAY BE USED WITHIN AREAS OF TEMPORARY STABILIZATION (TS) AT THE CONTRACTOR'S DISCRETION. STABILIZATION SHALL BE APPLIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE TEMPORARY STABILIZATION SEQUENCING REQUIREMENTS.
 - CONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE ROLLED EROSION CONTROL PRODUCTS (STRAW-SINGLE NET EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS AND OPEN WEAVE TEXTILES) ON ALL SLOPES 3H:1V OR GREATER TO ACHIEVE REQUIRED STABILIZATION.
 - CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ACCEPTABLE EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES WITHIN THE ANTICIPATED LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION IDENTIFIED HEREIN. BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND STABILIZATION SHALL BE COMPLETED AS IDENTIFIED HEREIN IN ACCORDANCE WITH OWNER REQUIREMENTS.
 - SILT FENCE TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ON-SITE GRADING AND CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
 - SEDIMENT BASIN SHALL BE INSPECTED DAILY AND SHALL UNDERGO SEDIMENT REMOVAL AS NECESSARY TO MITIGATE SEDIMENT BUILD UP OR TRANSPORTATION.
 - DEMOLITION, REMOVAL, OVEREXCAVATION AND SOIL TREATMENT SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER RECOMMENDATIONS AS NOTED IN THE APPROVED PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
 - NO ASPHALT OR CONCRETE BATCH PLANTS SHALL BE USED FOR THIS PROJECT.

LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION

ONSITE DISTURBANCE	= ±2.31 ACRES
OFFSITE DISTURBANCE	= ±0.00 ACRES
TOTAL	= ±2.31 ACRES




811 Know what's below. Call before you dig.

CALL UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF COLORADO 1-800-922-1987

CALL 2-BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND MEMBER UTILITIES.

Provide size of riprap and depth of riprap pads. And consider need for bedding and/or geotextile fabric beneath riprap.




DESIGNED BY: EUG
DRAWN BY: RES
CHECKED BY: EUG
DATE: 12/6/2023

BARBARICK WASTE TRANSFER STATION
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL
INTERIM GEC PLAN

PRELIMINARY
FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
Kimley-Horn
PROJECT NO. 196489000
SHEET 5

K:\COS_Civil\196489000_Barbarick Waste Transfer\CADD\PlanSheets\GEC_FINAL_196489000.dwg Schmelbach, Ryan 12/6/2023 11:22 PM



LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- LEASED PARCEL BOUNDARY
- EXISTING UTILITY & DRAINAGE EASEMENT
- PROPOSED TRUCK TURN MOVEMENT
- EXISTING FENCE
- EXISTING STORM SEWER
- EXISTING GAS MAIN
- EXISTING VEGETATION
- EXISTING TRANSFORMER

GEC LEGEND

- LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
- LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION
- CONSTRUCTION FENCE
- EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
- EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
- PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR
- PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
- INLET/OUTLET PROTECTION
- EROSION CONTROL BLANKET
- EXISTING SLOPE ARROW
- PROPOSED SLOPE ARROW

- ### NOTES
1. THE INTENT OF THIS PLAN IS TO IDENTIFY THE EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES RECOMMENDED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REFERENCE ADDITIONAL CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOR DEMOLITION OF EXISTING AND CONSTRUCTION OF PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS.
 2. ADJACENT STREETS SHALL BE KEPT CLEAN AND FREE OF SEDIMENT AND/OR DEBRIS AT ALL TIMES.
 3. TEMPORARY STABILIZATION (TS) SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN THE DISTURBED PORTIONS OF THE PROJECT SITE NO LATER THAN 14 DAYS FOLLOWING THE CEASE OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE DISTURBED AREAS.
 4. PERMANENT STABILIZATION (PS) MAY BE USED WITHIN AREAS OF TEMPORARY STABILIZATION (TS) AT THE CONTRACTOR'S DISCRETION. STABILIZATION SHALL BE APPLIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE TEMPORARY STABILIZATION SEQUENCING REQUIREMENTS.
 5. CONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE ROLLED EROSION CONTROL PRODUCTS (STRAW-SINGLE NET EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS AND OPEN WEAVE TEXTILES) ON ALL SLOPES 3H:1V OR GREATER TO ACHIEVE REQUIRED STABILIZATION.
 6. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ACCEPTABLE EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES WITHIN THE ANTICIPATED LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION IDENTIFIED HEREIN. BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND STABILIZATION SHALL BE COMPLETED AS IDENTIFIED HEREIN IN ACCORDANCE WITH OWNER REQUIREMENTS.
 7. SILT FENCE TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ON-SITE GRADING AND CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
 8. DEMOLITION, REMOVAL, OVEREXCAVATION AND SOIL TREATMENT SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER RECOMMENDATIONS AS NOTED IN THE APPROVED PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
 9. NO ASPHALT OR CONCRETE BATCH PLANTS SHALL BE USED FOR THIS PROJECT.

LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION

ONSITE DISTURBANCE	= ±2.31 ACRES
OFFSITE DISTURBANCE	= ±0.00 ACRES
TOTAL	= ±2.31 ACRES

NORTH

GRAPHIC SCALE IN FEET
0 15 30 60

811 Know what's below.
Call before you dig.

CALL UTILITY NOTIFICATION
CENTER OF COLORADO
1-800-922-1987
CALL 2-BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE
BEFORE YOU DIG, GRADE, OR EXCAVATE
FOR THE MARKING OF UNDERGROUND
MEMBER UTILITIES

PCD FILE NO. CDR-XX-XXX

Kimley»Horn
2023 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 900
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DESIGNED BY: EUG
DRAWN BY: RES
CHECKED BY: EUG
DATE: 12/6/2023

BARBARICK WASTE TRANSFER STATION
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL
FINAL GEC PLAN

PRELIMINARY
FOR REVIEW ONLY
NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION
Kimley»Horn
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
PROJECT NO.
196489000
SHEET
6

Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP) EC-6

Description

Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECPs) include a variety of temporary or permanently installed manufactured products designed to control erosion and enhance vegetation establishment and survivability, particularly on slopes and in channels. For applications where natural vegetation alone will provide sufficient permanent erosion protection, temporary products such as netting, open weave textiles and a variety of erosion control blankets (ECBs) made of biodegradable natural materials (e.g., straw, coconut fiber) can be used. For applications where natural vegetation alone will not be sustainable under expected flow conditions, permanent rolled erosion control products such as turf reinforcement mats (TRMs) can be used. In particular, turf reinforcement mats are designed for discharges that exert velocities and shear stresses that exceed the typical limits of mature natural vegetation.



Photograph RECP-1. Erosion control blanket protecting the slope from erosion and providing favorable conditions for revegetation.

Appropriate Uses

RECPs can be used to control erosion in conjunction with revegetation efforts, providing seedbed protection from wind and water erosion. These products are often used on disturbed areas on steep slopes, in areas with highly erosive soils, or as part of drainageway stabilization. In order to select the appropriate RECP for site conditions, it is important to have a general understanding of the general types of these products, their expected longevity, and general characteristics.


The Erosion Control Technology Council (ECTC 2005) characterizes rolled erosion control products according to these categories:

- Mulch control netting:** A planar woven natural fiber or extruded geosynthetic mesh used as a temporary degradable rolled erosion control product to anchor loose fiber mulches.
- Open weave textile:** A temporary degradable rolled erosion control product composed of processed natural or polymer yarns woven into a matrix, used to provide erosion control and facilitate vegetation establishment.
- Erosion control blanket (ECB):** A temporary degradable rolled erosion control product composed of processed natural or polymer fibers which are mechanically, structurally or chemically bound together to form a continuous matrix to provide erosion control and facilitate vegetation establishment. ECBs can be further differentiated into rapidly degrading single-net and double-net types or slowly degrading types.

Rolled Erosion Control Products	
Functions	
Erosion Control	Yes
Sediment Control	No
Site/Material Management	No

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 RECP-1

EC-6 Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP)



RECP-6 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010

Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP) EC-6

Table RECP-1. ECTC Standard Specification for Temporary Rolled Erosion Control Products (Adapted from Erosion Control Technology Council 2005)

Product Description	Slope Applications*	Channel Applications*	Minimum Tensile Strength ¹	Expected Longevity
	Maximum Gradient C Factor ^{2,5}	Max. Shear Stress ^{3,6}		
Mulch Control Nets	5:1 (H:V)	≤0.10 @ 5:1	0.25 lbs/ft ² (12 Pa)	5 lbs/ft (0.073 kN/m)
Netless Rolled Erosion Control Blankets	4:1 (H:V)	≤0.10 @ 4:1	0.5 lbs/ft ² (24 Pa)	5 lbs/ft (0.073 kN/m)
Single-net Erosion Control Blankets & Open Weave Textiles	3:1 (H:V)	≤0.15 @ 3:1	1.5 lbs/ft ² (72 Pa)	50 lbs/ft (0.73 kN/m)
Double-net Erosion Control Blankets	2:1 (H:V)	≤0.20 @ 2:1	1.75 lbs/ft ² (84 Pa)	75 lbs/ft (1.09 kN/m)
Mulch Control Nets	5:1 (H:V)	≤0.10 @ 5:1	0.25 lbs/ft ² (12 Pa)	25 lbs/ft (0.36 kN/m)
Erosion Control Blankets & Open Weave Textiles (slowly degrading)	1.5:1 (H:V)	≤0.25 @ 1.5:1	2.00 lbs/ft ² (96 Pa)	100 lbs/ft (1.45 kN/m)
Erosion Control Blankets & Open Weave Textiles	1:1 (H:V)	≤0.25 @ 1:1	2.25 lbs/ft ² (108 Pa)	125 lbs/ft (1.82 kN/m)

* C Factor and shear stress for mulch control nettings must be obtained with netting used in conjunction with pre-applied mulch material. (See Section 5.3 of Chapter 7 Construction BMPs for more information on the C Factor.)

¹ Minimum Average Roll Values, Machine direction using ECTC Mod. ASTM D 5035.

² C Factor calculated as ratio of soil loss from RECP protected slope (tested at specified or greater gradient, H:V) to ratio of soil loss from unprotected (control) plot in large-scale testing.

³ Required minimum shear stress RECP (unvegetated) can sustain without physical damage or excess erosion (> 12.7 mm (0.5 in) soil loss) during a 30-minute flow event in large-scale testing.


⁴ The permissible shear stress levels established for each performance category are based on historical experience with products characterized by Manning's roughness coefficients in the range of 0.01 - 0.05.

⁵ Acceptable large-scale test methods may include ASTM D 6459, or other independent testing deemed acceptable by the engineer.

⁶ Per the engineer's discretion. Recommended acceptable large-scale testing protocol may include ASTM D 6460, or other independent testing deemed acceptable by the engineer.

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 RECP-3

EC-6 Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP)



November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 RECP-7

EC-6 Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP)

Table RECP-2. ECTC Standard Specification for Permanent¹ Rolled Erosion Control Products (Adapted from: Erosion Control Technology Council 2005)

Product Type	Slope Applications	Channel Applications	Minimum Tensile Strength ¹
	Maximum Gradient	Maximum Shear Stress ^{4,5}	
TRMs with a minimum thickness of 0.25 inches (6.35 mm) per ASTM D 6525 and UV stability of 80% per ASTM D 4355 (500 hours exposure).	0.5:1 (H:V)	6.0 lbs/ft ² (288 Pa)	125 lbs/ft (1.82 kN/m)
	0.5:1 (H:V)	8.0 lbs/ft ² (384 Pa)	150 lbs/ft (2.19 kN/m)
	0.5:1 (H:V)	10.0 lbs/ft ² (480 Pa)	175 lbs/ft (2.55 kN/m)

¹ For TRMs containing degradable components, all property values must be obtained on the non-degradable portion of the matting alone.

² Minimum Average Roll Values, machine direction only for tensile strength determination using ASTM D 6818 (Supersedes Mod. ASTM D 5035 for RECPs)

³ Field conditions with high loading and/or high survivability requirements may warrant the use of a TRM with a tensile strength of 44 kN/m (3,000 lb/ft) or greater.

⁴ Required minimum shear stress TRM (fully vegetated) can sustain without physical damage or excess erosion (> 12.7 mm (0.5 in.) soil loss) during a 30-minute flow event in large scale testing.

⁵ Acceptable large-scale testing protocols may include ASTM D 6460, or other independent testing deemed acceptable by the engineer.

Design and Installation

RECPs should be installed according to manufacturer's specifications and guidelines. Regardless of the type of product used, it is important to ensure no gaps or voids exist under the material and that all corners of the material are secured using stakes and trenching. Continuous contact between the product and the soil is necessary to avoid failure. Never use metal stakes to secure temporary erosion control products. Often wooden stakes are used to anchor RECPs; however, wood stakes may present installation and maintenance challenges and generally take a long time to biodegrade. Some local jurisdictions have had favorable experiences using biodegradable stakes.

This BMP Fact Sheet provides design details for several commonly used ECB applications, including:

- ECB-1 Pipe Outlet to Drainageway
- ECB-2 Small Ditch or Drainageway
- ECB-3 Outside of Drainageway

RECP-4 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010

EC-6 Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP)

EROSION CONTROL BLANKET INSTALLATION NOTES

- SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
 - LOCATION OF ECB
 - TYPE OF ECB (STRAW, STRAW-COCONUT, COCONUT, OR EXCELSIOR).
 - AREA, A, IN SQUARE YARDS OF EACH TYPE OF ECB.
- 100% NATURAL AND BIODEGRADABLE MATERIALS ARE PREFERRED FOR RECPs, ALTHOUGH SOME JURISDICTIONS MAY ALLOW OTHER MATERIALS IN SOME APPLICATIONS.
- IN AREAS WHERE ECBs ARE SHOWN ON THE PLANS, THE PERMITTEE SHALL PLACE TOPSOIL AND PERFORM FINAL GRADING, SURFACE PREPARATION AND SEEDING AND MULCHING. SUBGRADE SHALL BE SMOOTH AND MOIST PRIOR TO ECB INSTALLATION AND THE ECB SHALL BE IN FULL CONTACT WITH SUBGRADE. NO GAPS OR VOIDS SHALL EXIST UNDER THE BLANKET.
- PERIMETER ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE USED ALONG THE OUTSIDE PERIMETER OF ALL BLANKET AREAS.
- JOINT ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE USED TO JOIN ROLLS OF ECBs TOGETHER (LONGITUDINALLY AND TRANSVERSELY) FOR ALL ECBs EXCEPT STRAW WHICH MAY USE AN OVERLAPPING JOINT.
- INTERMEDIATE ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE USED AT SPACING OF ONE-HALF ROLL LENGTH FOR COCONUT AND EXCELSIOR ECBs.
- OVERLAPPING JOINT DETAIL SHALL BE USED TO JOIN ROLLS OF ECBs TOGETHER FOR ECBs ON SLOPES.
- MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS OF ECBs SHALL CONFORM TO TABLE ECB-1.
- ANY AREAS OF SEEDING AND MULCHING DISTURBED IN THE PROCESS OF INSTALLING ECBs SHALL BE RESEEDED AND MULCHED.
- DETAILS ON DESIGN PLANS FOR MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY STABILIZATION WILL GOVERN IF DIFFERENT FROM THOSE SHOWN HERE.

TYPE	COCONUT CONTENT	STRAW CONTENT	EXCELSIOR CONTENT	RECOMMENDED NETTING**
STRAW*	-	100%	-	DOUBLE/NATURAL
STRAW-COCONUT	30% MIN	70% MAX	-	DOUBLE/NATURAL
COCONUT	100%	-	-	DOUBLE/NATURAL
EXCELSIOR	-	-	100%	DOUBLE/NATURAL

*STRAW ECBs MAY ONLY BE USED OUTSIDE OF STREAMS AND DRAINAGE CHANNELS.

**ALTERNATE NETTING MAY BE ACCEPTABLE IN SOME JURISDICTIONS.

RECP-8 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010

Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP) EC-6

Staking patterns are also provided in the design details according to these factors:

- ECB type
- Slope or channel type

For other types of RECPs including TRMs, these design details are intended to serve as general guidelines for design and installation; however, engineers should adhere to manufacturer's installation recommendations.

Maintenance and Removal

Inspection of erosion control blankets and other RECPs includes:

- Check for general signs of erosion, including voids beneath the mat. If voids are apparent, fill the void with suitable soil and replace the erosion control blanket, following the appropriate staking pattern.
- Check for damaged or loose stakes and secure loose portions of the blanket.

Erosion control blankets and other RECPs that are biodegradable typically do not need to be removed after construction. If they must be removed, then an alternate soil stabilization method should be installed promptly following removal.

Turf reinforcement mats, although generally resistant to biodegradation, are typically left in place as a dense vegetated cover grows in through the mat matrix. The turf reinforcement mat provides long-term stability and helps the established vegetation resist erosive forces.

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 RECP-5

EC-6 Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP)

EROSION CONTROL BLANKET MAINTENANCE NOTES

- INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
 - FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
 - WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
 - ECBs SHALL BE LEFT IN PLACE TO EVENTUALLY BIODEGRADE, UNLESS REQUESTED TO BE REMOVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.
 - ANY ECB PULLED OUT, TORN, OR OTHERWISE DAMAGED SHALL BE REPAIRED OR REINSTALLED. ANY SUBGRADE AREAS BELOW THE GEOTEXTILE THAT HAVE ERODED TO CREATE A VOID UNDER THE BLANKET, OR THAT REMAIN DEVOID OF GRASS SHALL BE REPAIRED, RESEEDED AND MULCHED AND THE ECB REINSTALLED.
- NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDPCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.
- (DETAILS ADAPTED FROM DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO AND TOWN OF PARKER, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 RECP-9

K:\COS_Civil\196489000_Barbarick Waste Transfer\CADD\PlanSheets\GEC\GEC_DT_196489000.dwg Schneibach, Ryan 12/6/2023 1:22 PM



DESIGNED BY: EUG
 DRAWN BY: RES
 CHECKED BY: EUG
 DATE: 12/6/2023

BARBARICK WASTE TRANSFER STATION
 EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
 GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL
 GEC DETAILS

PRELIMINARY
 FOR REVIEW ONLY
 NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
 Kimley-Horn
 Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

PROJECT NO.
 196489000

SHEET

7

Concrete Washout Area (CWA) MM-1

Description

Concrete waste management involves designating and properly managing a specific area of the construction site as a concrete washout area. A concrete washout area can be created using one of several approaches designed to receive wash water from washing of tools and concrete mixer chutes, liquid concrete waste from dump trucks, mobile batch mixers, or pump trucks. Three basic approaches are available: excavation of a pit in the ground, use of an above ground storage area, or use of prefabricated haul-away concrete washout containers. Surface discharges of concrete washout water from construction sites are prohibited.



Photograph CWA-1. Example of concrete washout area. Note gravel tracking pad for access and sign.

Appropriate Uses

Concrete washout areas must be designated on all sites that will generate concrete wash water or liquid concrete waste from onsite concrete mixing or concrete delivery.

Because pH is a pollutant of concern for washout activities, when unlined pits are used for concrete washout, the soil must have adequate buffering capacity to result in protection of state groundwater standards; otherwise, a liner/containment must be used. The following management practices are recommended to prevent an impact from unlined pits to groundwater:

- The use of the washout site should be temporary (less than 1 year), and
- The washout site should be not be located in an area where shallow groundwater may be present, such as near natural drainages, springs, or wetlands.

Design and Installation

Concrete washout activities must be conducted in a manner that does not contribute pollutants to surface waters or stormwater runoff. Concrete washout areas may be lined or unlined excavated pits in the ground, commercially manufactured prefabricated washout containers, or aboveground holding areas constructed of berms, sandbags or straw bales with a plastic liner.

Although unlined washout areas may be used, lined pits may be required to protect groundwater under certain conditions.

Concrete Washout Area	
Functions	
Erosion Control	No
Sediment Control	No
Site/Material Management	Yes

Do not locate an unlined washout area within 400 feet of any natural drainage pathway or waterbody or within 1,000 feet of any wells or drinking water sources. Even for lined concrete washouts, it is advisable to locate the facility away from waterbodies and drainage paths. If site constraints make these

November 2010	Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3	CWA-1
---------------	--	-------

Silt Fence (SF) SC-1

Description

A silt fence is a woven geotextile fabric attached to wooden posts and trenched into the ground. It is designed as a sediment barrier to intercept sheet flow runoff from disturbed areas.



Photograph SF-1. Silt fence creates a sediment barrier, forcing sheet flow runoff to evaporate or infiltrate.

Appropriate Uses

A silt fence can be used where runoff is conveyed from a disturbed area as sheet flow. Silt fence is not designed to receive concentrated flow or to be used as a filter fabric. Typical uses include:

- Down slope of a disturbed area to accept sheet flow.
- Along the perimeter of a receiving water such as a stream, pond or wetland.
- At the perimeter of a construction site.

Design and Installation

Silt fence should be installed along the contour of slopes so that it intercepts sheet flow. The maximum recommended tributary drainage area per 100 lineal feet of silt fence, installed along the contour, is approximately 0.25 acres with a disturbed slope length of up to 150 feet and a tributary slope gradient no steeper than 3:1. Longer and steeper slopes require additional measures. This recommendation only applies to silt fence installed along the contour. Silt fence installed for other uses, such as perimeter control, should be installed in a way that will not produce concentrated flows. For example, a "J-hook" installation may be appropriate to force runoff to pond and evaporate or infiltrate in multiple areas rather than concentrate and cause erosive conditions parallel to the silt fence.

See Detail SF-1 for proper silt fence installation, which involves proper trenching, staking, securing the fabric to the stakes, and backfilling the silt fence. Properly installed silt fence should not be easily pulled out by hand and there should be no gaps between the ground and the fabric.

Silt fence must meet the minimum allowable strength requirements, depth of installation requirement, and other specifications in the design details. Improper installation of silt fence is a common reason for silt fence failure; however, when properly installed and used for the appropriate purposes, it can be highly effective.

Silt Fence	
Functions	
Erosion Control	No
Sediment Control	Yes
Site/Material Management	No

November 2010	Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3	SF-1
---------------	--	------

Concrete Washout Area (CWA) MM-1

setbacks infeasible or if highly permeable soils exist in the area, then the pit must be installed with an impermeable liner (16 mil minimum thickness) or surface storage alternatives using prefabricated concrete washout devices or a lined aboveground storage area should be used.

Design details with notes are provided in Detail CWA-1 for pits and CWA-2 for aboveground storage areas. Pre-fabricated concrete washout container information can be obtained from vendors.

Maintenance and Removal

A key consideration for concrete washout areas is to ensure that adequate signage is in place identifying the location of the washout area. Part of inspecting and maintaining washout areas is ensuring that adequate signage is provided and in good repair and that the washout area is being used, as opposed to washout in non-designated areas of the site.

Remove concrete waste in the washout area, as needed to maintain BMP function (typically when filled to about two-thirds of its capacity). Collect concrete waste and deliver offsite to a designated disposal location.

Upon termination of use of the washout site, accumulated solid waste, including concrete waste and any contaminated soils, must be removed from the site to prevent on-site disposal of solid waste. If the wash water is allowed to evaporate and the concrete hardens, it may be recycled.



Photograph CWA-2. Prefabricated concrete washout. Photo courtesy of CDOT.



Photograph CWA-3. Earthen concrete washout. Photo courtesy of CDOT.

CWA-2	Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3	November 2010
-------	--	---------------

Silt Fence (SF) SC-1

Maintenance and Removal

Inspection of silt fence includes observing the material for tears or holes and checking for slumping fence and undercut areas bypassing flows. Repair of silt fence typically involves replacing the damaged section with a new section. Sediment accumulated behind silt fence should be removed, as needed to maintain BMP effectiveness, typically before it reaches a depth of 6 inches.




Photograph SF-2. When silt fence is not installed along the contour, a "J-hook" installation may be appropriate to ensure that the BMP does not create concentrated flow parallel to the silt fence. Photo courtesy of Tom Gere.

Silt fence may be removed when the upstream area has reached final stabilization.

SF-2	Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3	November 2010
------	--	---------------

Concrete Washout Area (CWA) MM-1




CWA-1. CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA

CWA INSTALLATION NOTES


- SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
 - CWA INSTALLATION LOCATION.
- DO NOT LOCATE AN UNLINED CWA WITHIN 400' OF ANY NATURAL DRAINAGE PATHWAY OR WATERBODY. DO NOT LOCATE WITHIN 1,000' OF ANY WELLS OR DRINKING WATER SOURCES. IF SITE CONSTRAINTS MAKE THIS INFEASIBLE, OR IF HIGHLY PERMEABLE SOILS EXIST ON SITE, THE CWA MUST BE INSTALLED WITH AN IMPERMEABLE LINER (16 MIL MIN. THICKNESS) OR SURFACE STORAGE ALTERNATIVES USING PREFABRICATED CONCRETE WASHOUT DEVICES OR A LINED ABOVE GROUND STORAGE AREA SHOULD BE USED.
- THE CWA SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO CONCRETE PLACEMENT ON SITE.
- CWA SHALL INCLUDE A FLAT SUBSURFACE PIT THAT IS AT LEAST 8' BY 8' SLOPES LEADING OUT OF THE SUBSURFACE PIT SHALL BE 3:1 OR FLATTER. THE PIT SHALL BE AT LEAST 3' DEEP.
- BERM SURROUNDING SIDES AND BACK OF THE CWA SHALL HAVE MINIMUM HEIGHT OF 1'.
- VEHICLE TRACKING PAD SHALL BE SLOPED 2% TOWARDS THE CWA.
- SIGNS SHALL BE PLACED AT THE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE, AT THE CWA, AND ELSEWHERE AS NECESSARY TO CLEARLY INDICATE THE LOCATION OF THE CWA TO OPERATORS OF CONCRETE TRUCKS AND PUMP TRUCKS.
- USE EXCAVATED MATERIAL FOR PERIMETER BERM CONSTRUCTION.

November 2010	Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3	CWA-3
---------------	--	-------

Silt Fence (SF) SC-1



SILT FENCE



SECTION A

SF-1. SILT FENCE

November 2010	Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3	SF-3
---------------	--	------

Concrete Washout Area (CWA) MM-1

CWA MAINTENANCE NOTES

- INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
- FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
- WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
- THE CWA SHALL BE REPAIRED, CLEANED, OR ENLARGED AS NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN CAPACITY FOR CONCRETE WASTE. CONCRETE MATERIALS, ACCUMULATED IN PIT, SHALL BE REMOVED ONCE THE MATERIALS HAVE REACHED A DEPTH OF 2'.
- CONCRETE WASHOUT WATER, WASTED PIECES OF CONCRETE AND ALL OTHER DEBRIS IN THE SUBSURFACE PIT SHALL BE TRANSPORTED FROM THE JOB SITE IN A WATER-TIGHT CONTAINER AND DISPOSED OF PROPERLY.
- THE CWA SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL ALL CONCRETE FOR THE PROJECT IS PLACED.
- WHEN THE CWA IS REMOVED, COVER THE DISTURBED AREA WITH TOP SOIL, SEED AND MULCH OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAIL ADAPTED FROM DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO AND THE CITY OF PARKER, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD).
NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

CWA-4	Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3	November 2010
-------	--	---------------

Silt Fence (SF) SC-1

SILT FENCE INSTALLATION NOTES

- SILT FENCE MUST BE PLACED AWAY FROM THE TOE OF THE SLOPE TO ALLOW FOR WATER PONDING. SILT FENCE AT THE TOE OF A SLOPE SHOULD BE INSTALLED IN A FLAT LOCATION AT LEAST SEVERAL FEET (2-3 FT) FROM THE TOE OF THE SLOPE TO ALLOW ROOM FOR PONDING AND DEPOSITION.
- A UNIFORM 6" X 4" ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE EXCAVATED USING TRENCHER OR SILT FENCE INSTALLATION DEVICE. NO ROAD GRADERS, BACKHOES, OR SIMILAR EQUIPMENT SHALL BE USED.
- COMPACT ANCHOR TRENCH BY HAND WITH A "JUMPING JACK" OR BY WHEEL ROLLING. COMPACTION SHALL BE SUCH THAT SILT FENCE RESISTS BEING PULLED OUT OF ANCHOR TRENCH BY HAND.
- SILT FENCE SHALL BE PULLED TIGHT AS IT IS ANCHORED TO THE STAKES. THERE SHOULD BE NO NOTICEABLE SAG BETWEEN STAKES AFTER IT HAS BEEN ANCHORED TO THE STAKES.
- SILT FENCE FABRIC SHALL BE ANCHORED TO THE STAKES USING 1" HEAVY DUTY STAPLES OR NAILS WITH 1" HEADS. STAPLES AND NAILS SHOULD BE PLACED 3" ALONG THE FABRIC DOWN THE STAKE.
- AT THE END OF A RUN OF SILT FENCE ALONG A CONTOUR, THE SILT FENCE SHOULD BE TURNED PERPENDICULAR TO THE CONTOUR TO CREATE A "J-HOOK." THE "J-HOOK" EXTENDING PERPENDICULAR TO THE CONTOUR SHOULD BE OF SUFFICIENT LENGTH TO KEEP RUNOFF FROM FLOWING AROUND THE END OF THE SILT FENCE (TYPICALLY 10' - 20').
- SILT FENCE SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.

SILT FENCE MAINTENANCE NOTES

- INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
- FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
- WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
- SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF THE SILT FENCE SHALL BE REMOVED AS NEEDED TO MAINTAIN THE FUNCTIONALITY OF THE BMP, TYPICALLY WHEN DEPTH OF ACCUMULATED SEDIMENTS IS APPROXIMATELY 6".
- REPAIR OR REPLACE SILT FENCE WHEN THERE ARE SIGNS OF WEAR, SUCH AS SAGGING, TEARING, OR COLLAPSE.
- SILT FENCE IS TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION, OR IS REPLACED BY AN EQUIVALENT PERIMETER SEDIMENT CONTROL BMP.
- WHEN SILT FENCE IS REMOVED, ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOPSOIL, SEED AND MULCH OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED AS APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAIL ADAPTED FROM TOWN OF PARKER, COLORADO AND CITY OF ALBUQUA, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD).
NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

SF-4	Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3	November 2010
------	--	---------------

Inlet Protection (IP)

SC-6

Description

Inlet protection consists of permeable barriers installed around an inlet to filter runoff and remove sediment prior to entering a storm drain inlet. Inlet protection can be constructed from rock socks, sediment control logs, silt fence, block and rock socks, or other materials approved by the local jurisdiction. Area inlets can also be protected by over-excavating around the inlet to form a sediment trap.



Photograph IP-1. Inlet protection for a curb opening inlet.

Appropriate Uses

Install protection at storm sewer inlets that are operable during construction. Consider the potential for tracked-out sediment or temporary stockpile areas to contribute sediment to inlets when determining which inlets must be protected. This may include inlets in the general proximity of the construction area, not limited to downgradient inlets. Inlet protection is not a stand-alone BMP and should be used in conjunction with other upgradient BMPs.

Design and Installation

To function effectively, inlet protection measures must be installed to ensure that flows do not bypass the inlet protection and enter the storm drain without treatment. However, designs must also enable the inlet to function without completely blocking flows into the inlet in a manner that causes localized flooding. When selecting the type of inlet protection, consider factors such as type of inlet (e.g., curb or area, sump or on-grade conditions), traffic, anticipated flows, ability to secure the BMP properly, safety and other site-specific conditions. For example, block and rock socks will be better suited to a curb and gutter along a roadway, as opposed to silt fence or sediment control logs, which cannot be properly secured in a curb and gutter setting, but are effective area inlet protection measures.

Several inlet protection designs are provided in the Design Details. Additionally, a variety of proprietary products are available for inlet protection that may be approved for use by local governments. If proprietary products are used, design details and installation procedures from the manufacturer must be followed. Regardless of the type of inlet protection selected, inlet protection is most effective when combined with other BMPs such as curb socks and check dams. Inlet protection is often the last barrier before runoff enters the storm sewer or receiving water.

Design details with notes are provided for these forms of inlet protection:

Inlet Protection (various forms)	
Functions	
Erosion Control	No
Sediment Control	Yes
Site/Material Management	No


IP-1. Block and Rock Sock Inlet Protection for Sump or On-grade Inlets

IP-2. Curb (Rock) Socks Upstream of Inlet Protection, On-grade Inlets

August 2013 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 IP-1

Inlet Protection (IP)


SC-6



IP-3. ROCK SOCK SUMP/AREA INLET PROTECTION

ROCK SOCK SUMP/AREA INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

- SEE ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL FOR INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.
- STRAW WATTLES/SEDIMENT CONTROL LOGS MAY BE USED IN PLACE OF ROCK SOCKS FOR INLETS IN PERVIOUS AREAS. INSTALL PER SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG DETAIL.



IP-4. SILT FENCE FOR SUMP INLET PROTECTION

SILT FENCE INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

- SEE SILT FENCE DESIGN DETAIL FOR INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.
- POSTS SHALL BE PLACED AT EACH CORNER OF THE INLET AND AROUND THE EDGES AT A MAXIMUM SPACING OF 3 FEET.
- STRAW WATTLES/SEDIMENT CONTROL LOGS MAY BE USED IN PLACE OF SILT FENCE FOR INLETS IN PERVIOUS AREAS. INSTALL PER SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG DETAIL.

August 2013 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 IP-5

SC-6

Inlet Protection (IP)

IP-3. Rock Sock Inlet Protection for Sump/Area Inlet

IP-4. Silt Fence Inlet Protection for Sump/Area Inlet

IP-5. Over-excavation Inlet Protection

IP-6. Straw Bale Inlet Protection for Sump/Area Inlet

CIP-1. Culvert Inlet Protection

Proprietary inlet protection devices should be installed in accordance with manufacturer specifications.

More information is provided below on selecting inlet protection for sump and on-grade locations.

Inlets Located in a Sump

When applying inlet protection in sump conditions, it is important that the inlet continue to function during larger runoff events. For curb inlets, the maximum height of the protective barrier should be lower than the top of the curb opening to allow overflow into the inlet during larger storms without excessive localized flooding. If the inlet protection height is greater than the curb elevation, particularly if the filter becomes clogged with sediment, runoff will not enter the inlet and may bypass it, possibly causing localized flooding, public safety issues, and downstream erosion and damage from bypassed flows.

Area inlets located in a sump setting can be protected through the use of silt fence, concrete block and rock socks (on paved surfaces), sediment control logs/straw wattles embedded in the adjacent soil and stacked around the area inlet (on pervious surfaces), over-excavation around the inlet, and proprietary products providing equivalent functions.

Inlets Located on a Slope

For curb and gutter inlets on paved sloping streets, block and rock sock inlet protection is recommended in conjunction with curb socks in the gutter leading to the inlet. For inlets located along unpaved roads, also see the Check Dam Fact Sheet.

Maintenance and Removal


Inspect inlet protection frequently. Inspection and maintenance guidance includes:

- Inspect for tears that can result in sediment directly entering the inlet, as well as result in the contents of the BMP (e.g., gravel) washing into the inlet.
- Check for improper installation resulting in untreated flows bypassing the BMP and directly entering the inlet or bypassing to an unprotected downstream inlet. For example, silt fence that has not been properly trenched around the inlet can result in flows under the silt fence and directly into the inlet.
- Look for displaced BMPs that are no longer protecting the inlet. Displacement may occur following larger storm events that wash away or reposition the inlet protection. Traffic or equipment may also crush or displace the BMP.
- Monitor sediment accumulation upgradient of the inlet protection.

IP-2 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 August 2013

SC-6


Inlet Protection (IP)



IP-5. OVEREXCAVATION INLET PROTECTION

OVEREXCAVATION INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

- THIS FORM OF INLET PROTECTION IS PRIMARILY APPLICABLE FOR SITES THAT HAVE NOT YET REACHED FINAL GRADE AND SHOULD BE USED ONLY FOR INLETS WITH A RELATIVELY SMALL CONTRIBUTING DRAINAGE AREA.
- WHEN USING FOR CONCENTRATED FLOWS, SHAPE BASIN IN 2:1 RATIO WITH LENGTH ORIENTED TOWARDS DIRECTION OF FLOW.
- SEDIMENT MUST BE PERIODICALLY REMOVED FROM THE OVEREXCAVATED AREA.



IP-6. STRAW BALE FOR SUMP INLET PROTECTION

STRAW BALE BARRIER INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

- SEE STRAW BALE DESIGN DETAIL FOR INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.
- BALES SHALL BE PLACED IN A SINGLE ROW AROUND THE INLET WITH ENDS TIGHTLY ABUTTING ONE ANOTHER.

IP-6 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 August 2013

Inlet Protection (IP)

SC-6


- Remove sediment accumulation from the area upstream of the inlet protection, as needed to maintain BMP effectiveness, typically when it reaches no more than half the storage capacity of the inlet protection. For silt fence, remove sediment when it accumulates to a depth of no more than 6 inches. Remove sediment accumulation from the area upstream of the inlet protection as needed to maintain the functionality of the BMP.
- Proprietary inlet protection devices should be inspected and maintained in accordance with manufacturer specifications. If proprietary inlet insert devices are used, sediment should be removed in a timely manner to prevent devices from breaking and spilling sediment into the storm drain.

Inlet protection must be removed and properly disposed of when the drainage area for the inlet has reached final stabilization.

August 2013 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 IP-3

Inlet Protection (IP)

SC-6



CIP-1. CULVERT INLET PROTECTION

CULVERT INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

- SEE PLAN VIEW FOR -LOCATION OF CULVERT INLET PROTECTION.
- SEE ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL FOR ROCK GRADATION REQUIREMENTS AND JOINTING DETAIL.

CULVERT INLET PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES


- INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
- FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
- WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
- SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF THE CULVERT SHALL BE REMOVED WHEN THE SEDIMENT DEPTH IS 1/2 THE HEIGHT OF THE ROCK SOCK.
- CULVERT INLET PROTECTION SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS PERMANENTLY STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM AURORA, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)
NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

August 2013 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 IP-7

SC-6


Inlet Protection (IP)



IP-1. BLOCK AND ROCK SOCK SUMP OR ON GRADE INLET PROTECTION

BLOCK AND CURB SOCK INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

- SEE ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL FOR INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.
- CONCRETE "CINDER" BLOCKS SHALL BE LAID ON THEIR SIDES AROUND THE INLET IN A SINGLE ROW, ABUTTING ONE ANOTHER WITH THE OPEN END FACING AWAY FROM THE CURB.
- GRAVEL BAGS SHALL BE PLACED AROUND CONCRETE BLOCKS, CLOSELY ABUTTING ONE ANOTHER AND JOINED TOGETHER IN ACCORDANCE WITH ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL.



IP-2. CURB ROCK SOCKS UPSTREAM OF INLET PROTECTION

CURB ROCK SOCK INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

- SEE ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.
- PLACEMENT OF THE SOCK SHALL BE APPROXIMATELY 30 DEGREES FROM PERPENDICULAR IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION OF FLOW.
- SOCKS ARE TO BE FLUSH WITH THE CURB AND SPACED A MINIMUM OF 5 FEET APART.
- AT LEAST TWO CURB SOCKS IN SERIES ARE REQUIRED UPSTREAM OF ON-GRADE INLETS.

IP-4 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 August 2013

SC-6

Inlet Protection (IP)

GENERAL INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

- SEE PLAN VIEW FOR: -LOCATION OF INLET PROTECTION. -TYPE OF INLET PROTECTION (IP-1, IP-2, IP-3, IP-4, IP-5, IP-6)
- INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE INSTALLED PROMPTLY AFTER INLET CONSTRUCTION OR PAVING IS COMPLETE (TYPICALLY WITHIN 48 HOURS), IF A RAINFALL/RUNOFF EVENT IS FORECAST. INSTALL INLET PROTECTION PRIOR TO ONSET OF EVENT.
- MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

INLET PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

- INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
- FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
- WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
- SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE REMOVED AS NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMP EFFECTIVENESS, TYPICALLY WHEN STORAGE VOLUME REACHES 50% OF CAPACITY, A DEPTH OF 6" WHEN SILT FENCE IS USED, OR 1/4 OF THE HEIGHT FOR STRAW BALES.
- INLET PROTECTION IS TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS PERMANENTLY STABILIZED, UNLESS THE LOCAL JURISDICTION APPROVES EARLIER REMOVAL OF INLET PROTECTION IN STREETS.
- WHEN INLET PROTECTION AT AREA INLETS IS REMOVED, THE DISTURBED AREA SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOP SOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED, OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM TOWN OF PARKER, COLORADO AND CITY OF AURORA, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)
NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

NOTE: THE DETAILS INCLUDED WITH THIS FACT SHEET SHOW COMMONLY USED, CONVENTIONAL METHODS OF INLET PROTECTION IN THE DENVER METROPOLITAN AREA. THERE ARE MANY PROPRIETARY INLET PROTECTION METHODS ON THE MARKET. UDFCD NEITHER ENDORSES NOR DISCOURAGES USE OF PROPRIETARY INLET PROTECTION; HOWEVER, IN THE EVENT PROPRIETARY METHODS ARE USED, THE APPROPRIATE DETAIL FROM THE MANUFACTURER MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE SWMP AND THE BMP MUST BE INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED AS SHOWN IN THE MANUFACTURER'S DETAILS.
NOTE: SOME MUNICIPALITIES DISCOURAGE OR PROHIBIT THE USE OF STRAW BALES FOR INLET PROTECTION. CHECK WITH LOCAL JURISDICTION TO DETERMINE IF STRAW BALE INLET PROTECTION IS ACCEPTABLE.

IP-8 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 August 2013

Vehicle Tracking Control (VTC) SM-4

Description

Vehicle tracking controls provide stabilized construction site access where vehicles exit the site onto paved public roads. An effective vehicle tracking control helps remove sediment (mud or dirt) from vehicles, reducing tracking onto the paved surface.



Photograph VTC-1. A vehicle tracking control pad constructed with properly sized rock reduces off-site sediment tracking.

Appropriate Uses

Implement a stabilized construction entrance or vehicle tracking control where frequent heavy vehicle traffic exits the construction site onto a paved roadway. An effective vehicle tracking control is particularly important during the following conditions:

- Wet weather periods when mud is easily tracked off site.
- During dry weather periods where dust is a concern.
- When poorly drained, clayey soils are present on site.

Although wheel washes are not required in designs of vehicle tracking controls, they may be needed at particularly muddy sites.

Design and Installation

Construct the vehicle tracking control on a level surface. Where feasible, grade the tracking control towards the construction site to reduce off-site runoff. Place signage, as needed, to direct construction vehicles to the designated exit through the vehicle tracking control. There are several different types of stabilized construction entrances including:



VTC-1. Aggregate Vehicle Tracking Control. This is a coarse-aggregate surfaced pad underlain by a geotextile. This is the most common vehicle tracking control, and when properly maintained can be effective at removing sediment from vehicle tires.

VTC-2. Vehicle Tracking Control with Construction Mat or Turf Reinforcement Mat. This type of control may be appropriate for site access at very small construction sites with low traffic volume over vegetated areas. Although this application does not typically remove sediment from vehicles, it helps protect existing vegetation and provides a stabilized entrance.

Vehicle Tracking Control	
Functions	
Erosion Control	Moderate
Sediment Control	Yes
Site/Material Management	Yes

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 VTC-1

Vehicle Tracking Control (VTC) SM-4



VTC-3. VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL W/ CONSTRUCTION MAT OR TURF REINFORCEMENT MAT (TRM)

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 VTC-5

SM-4 Vehicle Tracking Control (VTC)

VTC-3. Stabilized Construction Entrance/Exit with Wheel Wash. This is an aggregate pad, similar to VTC-1, but includes equipment for tire washing. The wheel wash equipment may be as simple as hand-held power washing equipment to more advanced proprietary systems. When a wheel wash is provided, it is important to direct wash water to a sediment trap prior to discharge from the site.

Vehicle tracking controls are sometimes installed in combination with a sediment trap to treat runoff.

Maintenance and Removal

Inspect the area for degradation and replace aggregate or material used for a stabilized entrance/exit as needed. If the area becomes clogged and ponds water, remove and dispose of excess sediment or replace material with a fresh layer of aggregate as necessary.

With aggregate vehicle tracking controls, ensure rock and debris from this area do not enter the public right-of-way.

Remove sediment that is tracked onto the public right of way daily or more frequently as needed. Excess sediment in the roadway indicates that the stabilized construction entrance needs maintenance.

Ensure that drainage ditches at the entrance/exit area remain clear.

A stabilized entrance should be removed only when there is no longer the potential for vehicle tracking to occur. This is typically after the site has been stabilized.

When wheel wash equipment is used, be sure that the wash water is discharged to a sediment trap prior to discharge. Also inspect channels conveying the water from the wash area to the sediment trap and stabilize areas that may be eroding.

When a construction entrance/exit is removed, excess sediment from the aggregate should be removed and disposed of appropriately. The entrance should be promptly stabilized with a permanent surface following removal, typically by paving.



Photograph VTC-2. A vehicle tracking control pad with wheel wash facility. Photo courtesy of Tom Gore.

VTC-2 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010

SM-4 Vehicle Tracking Control (VTC)

STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR -LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE(S)/EXIT(S), -TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE(S)/EXIT(S) (WITH/WITHOUT WHEEL WASH, CONSTRUCTION MAT OR TRM).
2. CONSTRUCTION MAT OR TRM STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES ARE ONLY TO BE USED ON SHORT DURATION PROJECTS (TYPICALLY RANGING FROM A WEEK TO A MONTH) WHERE THERE WILL BE LIMITED VEHICULAR ACCESS.
3. A STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT SHALL BE LOCATED AT ALL ACCESS POINTS WHERE VEHICLES ACCESS THE CONSTRUCTION SITE FROM PAVED RIGHT-OF-WAYS.
4. STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.
5. A NON-WOVEN GEOTEXTILE FABRIC SHALL BE PLACED UNDER THE STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT PRIOR TO THE PLACEMENT OF ROCK.
6. UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION, ROCK SHALL CONSIST OF DOT SECT. #703, ASHTO #3 COARSE AGGREGATE OR 6" (MINUS) ROCK.

STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT MAINTENANCE NOTES


1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
4. ROCK SHALL BE REPLACED OR REGRADED AS NECESSARY TO THE STABILIZED ENTRANCE/EXIT TO MAINTAIN A CONSISTENT DEPTH.
5. SEDIMENT TRACKED ONTO PAVED ROADS IS TO BE REMOVED THROUGHOUT THE DAY AND AT THE END OF THE DAY BY SHOVELING OR SWEEPING. SEDIMENT MAY NOT BE WASHED DOWN STORM SEWER DRAINS.

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM CITY OF BROOMFIELD, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

VTC-6 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010


Vehicle Tracking Control (VTC) SM-4



VTC-1. AGGREGATE VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 VTC-3

SM-4 Vehicle Tracking Control (VTC)



VTC-2. AGGREGATE VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL WITH WASH RACK

VTC-4 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010

Stockpile Management (SP) MM-2

Description

Stockpile management includes measures to minimize erosion and sediment transport from soil stockpiles.

Appropriate Uses

Stockpile management should be used when soils or other erodible materials are stored at the construction site. Special attention should be given to stockpiles in close proximity to natural or manmade storm systems.

Design and Installation

Locate stockpiles away from all drainage system components including storm sewer inlets. Where practical, choose stockpile locations that will remain undisturbed for the longest period of time as the phases of construction progress. Place sediment control BMPs around the perimeter of the stockpile, such as sediment control logs, rock socks, silt fence, straw bales and sand bags. See Detail SP-1 for guidance on proper establishment of perimeter controls around a stockpile. For stockpiles in active use, provide a stabilized designated access point on the upgradient side of the stockpile.

Stabilize the stockpile surface with surface roughening, temporary seeding and mulching, erosion control blankets, or soil binders. Soils stockpiled for an extended period (typically for more than 60 days) should be seeded and mulched with a temporary grass cover once the stockpile is placed (typically within 14 days). Use of mulch only or a soil binder is acceptable if the stockpile will be in place for a more limited time period (typically 30-60 days). Timeframes for stabilization of stockpiles noted in this fact sheet are "typical" guidelines. Check permit requirements for specific federal, state, and/or local requirements that may be more prescriptive.

Stockpiles should not be placed in streets or paved areas unless no other practical alternative exists. See the Stabilized Staging Area Fact Sheet for guidance when staging in roadways is unavoidable due to space or right-of-way constraints. For paved areas, rock socks must be used for perimeter control and all inlets with the potential to receive sediment from the stockpile (even from vehicle tracking) must be protected.

Maintenance and Removal

Inspect perimeter controls and inlet protection in accordance with their respective BMP Fact Sheets. Where seeding, mulch and/or soil binders are used, reseeding or reapplication of soil binder may be necessary.

When temporary removal of a perimeter BMP is necessary to access a stockpile, ensure BMPs are reinstalled in accordance with their respective design detail section.

Stockpile Management	
Functions	
Erosion Control	Yes
Sediment Control	Yes
Site/Material Management	Yes

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 SP-1



Photograph SP-1. A topsoil stockpile that has been partially revegetated and is protected by silt fence perimeter control.

PCD FILE NO. CDR-XX-XXX

Stabilized Staging Area (SSA) SM-6

Description

A stabilized staging area is a clearly designated area where construction equipment and vehicles, stockpiles, waste bins, and other construction-related materials are stored. The contractor office trailer may also be located in this area. Depending on the size of the construction site, more than one staging area may be necessary.



Photograph SSA-1. Example of a staging area with a gravel surface to prevent mud tracking and reduce runoff. Photo courtesy of Douglas County.

Appropriate Uses

Most construction sites will require a staging area, which should be clearly designated in SWMP drawings. The layout of the staging area may vary depending on the type of construction activity. Staging areas located in roadways due to space constraints require special measures to avoid materials being washed into storm inlets.

Design and Installation

Stabilized staging areas should be completed prior to other construction activities beginning on the site. Major components of a stabilized staging area include:

- Appropriate space to contain storage and provide for loading/unloading operations, as well as parking if necessary.
- A stabilized surface, either paved or covered, with 3-inch diameter aggregate or larger.
- Perimeter controls such as silt fence, sediment control logs, or other measures.
- Construction fencing to prevent unauthorized access to construction materials.
- Provisions for Good Housekeeping practices related to materials storage and disposal, as described in the Good Housekeeping BMP Fact Sheet.
- A stabilized construction entrance/exit, as described in the Vehicle Tracking Control BMP Fact Sheet, to accommodate traffic associated with material delivery and waste disposal vehicles.

Over-sizing the stabilized staging area may result in disturbance of existing vegetation in excess of that required for the project. This increases costs, as well as requirements for long-term stabilization following the construction period. When designing the stabilized staging area, minimize the area of disturbance to the extent practical.

Stabilized Staging Area	
Functions	
Erosion Control	Yes
Sediment Control	Moderate
Site/Material	Yes

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 SSA-1

Street Sweeping and Vacuuming (SS) SM-7

Description

Street sweeping and vacuuming remove sediment that has been tracked onto roadways to reduce sediment transport into storm drain systems or a surface waterway.



Photograph SS-1. A street sweeper removes sediment and potential pollutants along the curb line at a construction site. Photo courtesy of Tom Gore.

Appropriate Uses

Use this practice at construction sites where vehicles may track sediment offsite onto paved roadways.

Design and Installation

Street sweeping or vacuuming should be conducted when there is noticeable sediment accumulation on roadways adjacent to the construction site. Typically, this will be concentrated at the entrance/exit to the construction site. Well-maintained stabilized construction entrances, vehicle tracking controls and tire wash facilities can help reduce the necessary frequency of street sweeping and vacuuming.

On smaller construction sites, street sweeping can be conducted manually using a shovel and broom. Never wash accumulated sediment on roadways into storm drains.

Maintenance and Removal

- Inspect paved roads around the perimeter of the construction site on a daily basis and more frequently, as needed. Remove accumulated sediment, as needed.
- Following street sweeping, check inlet protection that may have been displaced during street sweeping.
- Inspect area to be swept for materials that may be hazardous prior to beginning sweeping operations.

Street Sweeping/ Vacuuming	
Functions	
Erosion Control	No
Sediment Control	Yes
Site/Material Management	Yes

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 SS-1

SM-6 Stabilized Staging Area (SSA)

Minimizing Long-Term Stabilization Requirements

- Utilize off-site parking and restrict vehicle access to the site.
- Use construction mats in lieu of rock when staging is provided in an area that will not be disturbed otherwise.
- Consider use of a bermed contained area for materials and equipment that do not require a stabilized surface.
- Consider phasing of staging areas to avoid disturbance in an area that will not be otherwise disturbed.

See Detail SSA-1 for a typical stabilized staging area and SSA-2 for a stabilized staging area when materials staging in roadways is required.


Maintenance and Removal

Maintenance of stabilized staging areas includes maintaining a stable surface cover of gravel, repairing perimeter controls, and following good housekeeping practices.

When construction is complete, debris, unused stockpiles and materials should be recycled or properly disposed. In some cases, this will require disposal of contaminated soil from equipment leaks in an appropriate landfill. Staging areas should then be permanently stabilized with vegetation or other surface cover planned for the development.

SSA-2 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010

Stabilized Staging Area (SSA) SM-6



SSA-1. STABILIZED STAGING AREA

STABILIZED STAGING AREA INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR
 - LOCATION OF STAGING AREA(S).
 - CONTRACTOR MAY ADJUST LOCATION AND SIZE OF STAGING AREA WITH APPROVAL FROM THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.
2. STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE NEEDS OF THE SITE. OVERSIZING RESULTS IN A LARGER AREA TO STABILIZE FOLLOWING CONSTRUCTION.
3. STAGING AREA SHALL BE STABILIZED PRIOR TO OTHER OPERATIONS ON THE SITE.
4. THE STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHALL CONSIST OF A MINIMUM 3" THICK GRANULAR MATERIAL.
5. UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION, ROCK SHALL CONSIST OF DOT SECT. #703, AASHTO #3 COARSE AGGREGATE OR 6" (MINUS) ROCK.
6. ADDITIONAL PERIMETER BMPs MAY BE REQUIRED INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO SILT FENCE AND CONSTRUCTION FENCING.

STABILIZED STAGING AREA MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
4. ROCK SHALL BE REAPPLIED OR REGRADED AS NECESSARY IF RUTTING OCCURS OR UNDERLYING SUBGRADE BECOMES EXPOSED.

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 SSA-3

SM-6 Stabilized Staging Area (SSA)

STABILIZED STAGING AREA MAINTENANCE NOTES

5. STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHALL BE ENLARGED IF NECESSARY TO CONTAIN PARKING, STORAGE, AND UNLOADING/LOADING OPERATIONS.
 6. THE STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHALL BE REMOVED AT THE END OF CONSTRUCTION THE GRANULAR MATERIAL SHALL BE REMOVED OR, IF APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION, USED ON SITE, AND THE AREA COVERED WITH TOPSOIL, SEEDING AND MULCHED OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION.
- NOTE: MANY MUNICIPALITIES PROHIBIT THE USE OF RECYCLED CONCRETE AS GRANULAR MATERIAL FOR STABILIZED STAGING AREAS DUE TO DIFFICULTIES WITH RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF VEGETATION IN AREAS WHERE RECYCLED CONCRETE WAS PLACED.
- NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.
- (DETAILS ADAPTED FROM DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

SSA-4 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010

Sediment Basin (SB) SC-7

Description

A sediment basin is a temporary pond built on a construction site to capture eroded or disturbed soil transported in storm runoff prior to discharge from the site. Sediment basins are designed to capture site runoff and slowly release it to allow time for settling of sediment prior to discharge. Sediment basins are often constructed in locations that will later be modified to serve as post-construction stormwater basins.



Photograph SB-1. Sediment basin at the toe of a slope. Photo courtesy of WVE.

Appropriate Uses

Most large construction sites (typically greater than 2 acres) will require one or more sediment basins for effective management of construction site runoff. On linear construction projects, sediment basins may be impractical; instead, sediment traps or other combinations of BMPs may be more appropriate.

Sediment basins should not be used as stand-alone sediment controls. Erosion and other sediment controls should also be implemented upstream.

When feasible, the sediment basin should be installed in the same location where a permanent post-construction detention pond will be located.

Design and Installation

The design procedure for a sediment basin includes these steps:

- **Basin Storage Volume:** Provide a storage volume of at least 3,600 cubic feet per acre of drainage area. To the extent practical, undisturbed and/or off-site areas should be diverted around sediment basins to prevent "clean" runoff from mixing with runoff from disturbed areas. For undisturbed areas (both on-site and off-site) that cannot be diverted around the sediment basin, provide a minimum of 500 ft³/acre of storage for undeveloped (but stable) off-site areas in addition to the 3,600 ft³/acre for disturbed areas. For stable, developed areas that cannot be diverted around the sediment basin, storage volume requirements are summarized in Table SB-1.
- **Basin Geometry:** Design basin with a minimum length-to-width ratio of 2:1 (L:W). If this cannot be achieved because of site space constraints, baffling may be required to extend the effective distance between the inflow point(s) and the outlet to minimize short-circuiting.
- **Dam Embankment:** It is recommended that embankment slopes be 4:1 (H:V) or flatter and no steeper than 3:1 (H:V) in any location.

Sediment Basins	
Functions	
Erosion Control	No
Sediment Control	Yes
Site/Material Management	No

August 2013 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 SB-1

SC-7 Sediment Basin (SB)

Maintenance and Removal

Maintenance activities include the following:

- Dredge sediment from the basin, as needed to maintain BMP effectiveness, typically when the design storage volume is no more than one-third filled with sediment.
- Inspect the sediment basin embankments for stability and seepage.
- Inspect the inlet and outlet of the basin, repair damage, and remove debris. Remove, clean and replace the gravel around the outlet on a regular basis to remove the accumulated sediment within it and keep the outlet functioning.
- Be aware that removal of a sediment basin may require dewatering and associated permit requirements.
- Do not remove a sediment basin until the upstream area has been stabilized with vegetation.

Final disposition of the sediment basin depends on whether the basin will be converted to a permanent post-construction stormwater basin or whether the basin area will be returned to grade. For basins being converted to permanent detention basins, remove accumulated sediment and reconfigure the basin and outlet to meet the requirements of the final design for the detention facility. If the sediment basin is not to be used as a permanent detention facility, fill the excavated area with soil and stabilize with vegetation.

GEC Checklist Item Z. Include details for the following BMP's. Examples of acceptable details for each are provided:


BMP	Detail # and Source			
	ECM (Appendix F)	MHFD (USDCM Vol 3: Chap 7)	COS - Stormwater Construction Manual (App E)	CDOT Standard Plans on M-208
Outlet Protection	SD_3-86 (for steep slope above outlet)	EC-8		1
Sediment Control Log	SD_3-85 SD_3-86 SD_3-87	SC-2	X	X

Note that straw wattles need to be trenched-in. It appears that most of SCL shown on the GEC Plan are on gravel/asphalt. So note that rock socks or another temp BMP would be more appropriate in this application that straw wattles.

Also, on the GEC Plans, consider showing rock socks spaced along trickle channel perpendicular to flow


Grading & Erosion Control Plan Comments.pdf Markup Summary

Carlos (2)



Subject: Callout
Page Label: [1] 1 COVER SHEET
Author: Carlos
Date: 1/24/2024 5:27:22 PM
Color: ■


This note should be on the site development plan. Please revise.



Subject: Callout
Page Label: [6] 6 FINAL GEC PLAN
Author: Carlos
Date: 1/25/2024 4:02:19 PM
Color: ■


Show the compacted road base surface

Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater (12)




Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow
Page Label: [1] 1 COVER SHEET
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/24/2024 12:52:49 PM
Color: ■


COM2346



Subject: SW - Highlight
Page Label: [1] 1 COVER SHEET
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/25/2024 1:05:05 PM
Color: ■




Subject: SW - Highlight
Page Label: [1] 1 COVER SHEET
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/25/2024 1:05:25 PM
Color: ■




Subject: Area Measurement
Page Label: [5] 5 INTERIM GEC PLAN
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/25/2024 11:06:33 AM
Color: ■

49,301 sf




Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow
Page Label: [5] 5 INTERIM GEC PLAN
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/25/2024 11:27:01 AM
Color: ■

Forebay needed when >1ac of imperviousness is tributary to a pond inflow point per MHFD Detail T-5. The non-paved areas will count towards that 1ac at whatever % impervious they have (it appears to mostly be gravel which is 80%). The calc will likely be pretty close to 1ac.




Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow
Page Label: [5] 5 INTERIM GEC PLAN
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/25/2024 7:02:52 AM
Color: ■

Provide size of riprap and depth of riprap pads. And consider need for bedding and/or geotextile fabric beneath riprap.




Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow
Page Label: [5] 5 INTERIM GEC PLAN
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/25/2024 7:04:00 AM
Color: ■

Please show VTC to scale with appropriate 75ft length.



Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow
Page Label: [10] 10 GEC DETAILS
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/24/2024 12:51:49 PM
Color: ■

Replace with EPC approved VTC detail (VT-1 and VT-2 in DCMv2, Chap 3.3) or revise to be 75ft min length.




Subject: Image
Page Label: [1] Layout1 (5)
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/24/2024 1:17:18 PM
Color: ■

GEC Checklist Item Z. Include details for the following BMP's. Examples of acceptable details for each are provided:




Subject: SW - Textbox
Page Label: [1] Layout1 (5)
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/24/2024 1:18:29 PM
Color: ■



Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow
Page Label: [1] Layout1 (5)
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/25/2024 1:28:59 PM
Color: ■

Note that straw wattles need to be trenched-in. It appears that most of SCL shown on the GEC Plan are on gravel/asphalt. So note that rock socks or another temp BMP would be more appropriate in this application that straw wattles.



Subject: SW - Textbox with Arrow
Page Label: [1] Layout1 (5)
Author: Glenn Reese - EPC Stormwater
Date: 1/25/2024 1:30:18 PM
Color: ■

Also, on the GEC Plans, consider showing rock socks spaced along trickle channel perpendicular to flow