



MVE, INC.
ENGINEERS SURVEYORS

1903 kelaray street, suite 200
colorado springs, co 80909
719.635.5736

Final Drainage Report

**Nabulsi-
Abushaban
Subdivision**

Project No. 61201

September 21, 2023

PCD File No. **"MS2211"**

Final Drainage Report

for

Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision

Project No. 61201

September 21, 2023

prepared for

Taher Nabulsi

10650 Black Forest Road
Colorado Springs, CO 80908

prepared by

MVE, Inc.

1903 Lelaray Street, Suite 200
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719.635.5736

Copyright © MVE, Inc., 2023

61201 Drainage Report.odt

Statements and Acknowledgments

Engineer's Statement

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

David R. Gorman, P.E.
For and on Behalf of MVE, Inc.

Colorado No. 31672

Date

Developer's Statement

I, the owner/developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Taher Nabulsi, Owner

Date

El Paso County

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Joshua Palmer
County Engineer/ECM Administrator

Date

Conditions:

Contents

Statements and Acknowledgments.....	iii
Contents.....	v
Final Drainage Report.....	1
1 General Location and Description.....	1
1.1 Location.....	1
1.2 Description of Property.....	1
1.3 Soil Description.....	1
2 Drainage Basins and Sub-Basins.....	2
2.1 Major Basin Descriptions.....	2
2.2 Sub-Basin Description.....	2
3 Drainage Design Criteria.....	2
3.1 Development Criteria Reference.....	2
3.2 Hydrologic Criteria.....	2
4 Drainage Facility Design.....	3
4.1 General Concept.....	3
4.2 Sub-Basin Specific Details.....	3
4.2.1 Offsite Conditions.....	3
4.2.2 Existing Onsite Conditions.....	4
4.2.3 Proposed Onsite Conditions	6
4.3 Water Quality Enhancement Best Management Practices.....	7
5 Drainage Fees.....	8
6 Conclusion.....	8
References.....	9

Appendices.....	11
1 General Maps and Supporting Data.....	11
2 Hydrologic Calculations.....	24
3 Drainage Maps.....	71

Final Drainage Report

The purpose of this Final Drainage Report is to identify drainage patterns and quantities within and affecting the proposed subdivision for Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision at **Error: Reference source not found**, an existing rural residential lot in the town of **Elbert** within El Paso County, Colorado. The report presents the stormwater management issues specific to this site and discusses the aspects of the drainage design that addresses those issues. The report and included maps present results of the final hydrologic and drainage facility sizing and analyses. The report recommends that no additional drainage improvements are needed for the site and identifies drainage requirements relative to the proposed subdivision. This report has been prepared and submitted in accordance with the requirements of the **City of Colorado Springs Preliminary Plat and Final Plat approval process**. An Appendix is included with this report with pertinent calculations and data used in the drainage analysis.

1 General Location and Description

Please revise to El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual.

Map location shows this lot in Colorado Springs.

1.1 Location

The Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision site is located within the Southeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of the Southeast $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 19, Township 12 South, Range 65 West, of the 6th Principal Meridian in Colorado Springs, Colorado. The site is situated adjacent west of Black Forest Road and adjacent north of Old Ranch Road. The site is made up of a single unplatted parcel having El Paso County Tax Assessor's Schedule Number: 52190-00-101. A Vicinity Map is included in the **Appendix**. This report is submitted in connection with the application for a Final Plat.

1.2 Description of Property

The Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision site encompasses 24.796 ± acres of land zoned currently zoned RR-5 (Rural Residential 5 acres). The parcel currently has multiple buildings within the north $\frac{1}{2}$ of the parcel which includes a single-family residence, detached garage, and a barn/horse stables. Access for this developed area is an existing unpaved road along the east property line with direct access to Black Forest Road. The owners intend to divide the 24.796 ± acres parcel into one 9.28 acre lot containing the existing buildings and three vacant lots with approximately 5 acres each. Additionally, a private gravel road will be added to the south half of the property and connect three of the proposed lots.

This parcel is mostly undeveloped with minor grading around the existing buildings. The storm runoff from the site and the offsite basins generally drains from the north to the southeast and southwest. There is an existing natural depression within the southeast portion of the lots. The drainage channels within the property has no improvements or previous stabilization. The drainage channels onsite are well vegetated with no indication of erosion and do not require any improvements.

1.3 Soil Description

According to the National Resource Conservation Service, there are two soil types identified at the Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision site. Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes (map unit 41) makes up the majority of the site and offsite sub-basins which is contained in Hydrologic Soil Group B. This soil is deep and is well drained, permeability is rapid, surface runoff is medium, and the hazard of erosion is moderate.

Given the potential for shallow groundwater discussed in the Soils Report, discuss in the drainage report what mitigation efforts could occur onsite if groundwater is encountered during construction.

The secondary soil group is: Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes (map unit 71) which is large portion of the west offsite sub-basins and onsite sub-basin B1. This soil is contained in Hydrologic Soil Group B. This soil is shallow to deep and well drained, permeability is rapid, surface runoff is medium, and the hazard of erosion is slight to moderate. A portion of the Soil Map and data tables from the National Cooperative Soil Survey and relevant Official Soil Series Descriptions (OSD) are included in the **Appendix**.^{1 2}

2 Drainage Basins and Sub-Basins

2.1 Major Basin Descriptions

The Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision site is located in the northeast portion of Cottonwood Creek Major Drainage Basin (FOMO2200). El Paso County determined that Cottonwood Creek Major Drainage Basin is a fee basin. Drainage and bridge fees will be due at the time of platting.

The current Flood Insurance Study of the region includes a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), effective on December 7, 2018.³ The proposed subdivision is included in Community Panel Numbers 08041C0527G of the Flood Insurance Rate Maps for El Paso County and Incorporated Areas. No portion of the site lies within FEMA designated Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA's). An excerpt of the current FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps with the site delineated is included in the **Appendix**.

2.2 Sub-Basin Description

The existing drainage patterns of the Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision site are described by eleven off-site drainage sub-basins and four on-site drainage sub-basins. The offsite flows mentioned enter the site along all property lines with the majority of flows entering from the north and west offsite sub-basins. Flows from the east and south property lines are from the gravel and paved roadway centerlines. Generally all flows flow to the southwest and southeast portions of the site into two existing Corrugated Metal Pipes (CMPs) which conveys the flows across Old Ranch Road into the south adjacent neighbor. Each existing drainage basin will be described in detail in Sub-Basin Specific Details. The drainage sub-basins are shown on the included **Existing Drainage Map**.

3 Drainage Design Criteria

3.1 Development Criteria Reference

This *Final Drainage Report for Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision* has been prepared according to the report guidelines presented in the *El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM)*⁴. The hydrologic analysis is based on a collection of data from the DCM, the NCSS Web Soil Survey⁵, Topographic mapping by El Paso County, property boundary information and proposed site layout by Eagle Land Surveying, Inc.

3.2 Hydrologic Criteria

For this Final Drainage Report, the Rational Method as described in the *El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual* has been used for all Storm Runoff calculations, as the development and all sub-basins are less than 130 acres in area. "Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency" curves, Figure 6-5 in the DCM, was used to obtain the design rainfall values; a copy is included in the **Appendix**. The "Overland (Initial) Flow Equation" (Eq. 6-8) in the DCM, and Manning's equation with estimated depths were used in time of concentration calculations. "Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method", Table 6-6 in the DCM, was utilized as a guide in estimating runoff coefficient and Percent Impervious values; a copy is included in the **Appendix**. Peak runoff discharges were calculated for each drainage sub-basin for both the 5-year storm event and the 100-year storm event with the Rational Method formula, (Eq. 6-5) in the DCM.⁶

1 WSS
2 OSD
3 FIRM
4 DCM Section 4.3 and Section 4.4
5 WSS
6 DCM

4 Drainage Facility Design

4.1 General Concept

The intent of the drainage concept presented in this Final Drainage Report is to provide adequate, safe and appropriate storm drainage, in accordance with El Paso County Drainage Criteria, within the proposed development and to the offsite discharge locations. The existing drainage conditions and the proposed drainage concept is described in more detail below. Input data and results for all calculations are included in the **Appendix**. Drainage maps of existing and proposed conditions are also included in the **Appendix**.

4.2 Sub-Basin Specific Details

4.2.1 Offsite Conditions

Offsite sub-basin **OS-A1/ Design Point 1 (DP1)** containing $9.66 \pm$ acres north of the site. This sub-basin contains five RR-5 lots with a single-family residences, detached garages, gravel, and a small portion of paved Black Forest Road. The majority of this sub-basin features moderate slopes of 5% to 25% with steep slopes of $> 33\%$ along the west portion of the sub-basin. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 3.4$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 18.8$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff enters onsite sub-basin A1 along the north property line and eventually combines with additional flows at Design Point 2 (DP2).

Offsite sub-basin **OS-A2** containing $1.53 \pm$ acres is located north-northwest of the site. This sub-basin contains a small portion of a single-family residence within the RR-5 lot located to the north-northwest of the site. This sub-basin features moderate slopes of 25% to 33% with steep slopes exceeding 33% found at the north portion of the sub-basin. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 0.6$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 3.9$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff enters on-site sub-basin A1 along the north property line combines with additional flows at DP2.

Offsite sub-basin **OS-A3** containing $2.91 \pm$ acres is located north-northeast of the site. This sub-basin contains a single-family residence along with several small detached buildings. Additionally, the west half of paved Black Forest Road drains into this sub-basin. This majority of this sub-basin features moderate slopes of 25% to 33% with steep slopes exceeding 33% and mild slopes of $<10\%$ found at the southeast portion of the sub-basin. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 0.9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 6.2$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff enters on-site sub-basin A1 along the north property line combines with additional flows at DP2.

Offsite sub-basin **OS-A4** containing $0.07 \pm$ acres is located northeast of the site. This sub-basin contains a small portion of the west half of paved Black Forest Road draining southwest into the site. This sub-basin features mild slopes of 1 – 25%. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = < 0.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 0.2$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff enters on-site sub-basin A1 along the east property line combines with additional flows at DP2.

Offsite sub-basin **OS-A5** containing $1.14 \pm$ acres is located east of the site. This sub-basin contains the west half of paved Black Forest Road draining southwesterly into the site. The majority of this sub-basin features mild slopes of 1 – 5% with moderate slopes of 25% to 33% found at the roadside ditch at the north portion of this sub-basin. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 1.2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 3.7$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff enters on-site sub-basin A2 along the east property line combines with additional flows at Design Point 3 (DP3).

Offsite sub-basin **OS-B1** containing $0.15 \pm$ acres is located northwest of the site. This sub-basin contains only pasture meadow with mild slopes of 1 – 25%. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = < 0.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 0.4$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff enters on-site sub-basin B1 along the north property line combines with additional flows at Design Point 4 (DP4).

Offsite sub-basin **OS-B2** containing $0.69 \pm$ acres is located northwest of the site. This sub-basin contains only pasture meadow with moderate/steep slopes of 25% to $> 33\%$ draining southeast into the site. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 0.3$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 2.0$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff enters on-site sub-basin B1 along the west property line combines with additional flows at Design Point 4 (DP4).

Offsite sub-basin **OS-B3** containing $3.25 \pm$ acres is located west of the site. This sub-basin contains pasture meadow and a small portion of an existing single-family residence. This sub-basin features moderate/steep slopes of 25% to > 33% draining southeast and transitions into mild slopes of 1-10% before entering the site. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 1.7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 9.1$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff enters on-site sub-basin B1 along the west property line combines with additional flows at Design Point 4 (DP4).

Offsite sub-basin **OS-B4** containing $0.38 \pm$ acres is located southwest outside of the site. This sub-basin contains pasture meadow and a small portion of an existing single-family residence. This sub-basin features moderate/steep slopes of 25% to > 33% draining southeast and transitions into mild slopes of 1-10% before entering the site. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 0.4$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 1.2$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff enters on-site sub-basin B1 along the west property line combines with additional flows at Design Point 4 (DP4).

Offsite sub-basin **OS-B5 & PP OS-B5** containing $0.38 \pm$ acres is located center-south of the site. This sub-basin contains a portion of the gravel road on Old Ranch Road and its roadside ditch. In proposed conditions, this sub-basin will contain the gravel apron for the proposed private road. This sub-basin features moderate/steep slopes of 25% to > 33% draining southeast and transitions into mild slopes of 1-10% before entering the site. In existing conditions, this sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 0.4$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 1.1$ cfs with increase of < 0.1 for the 5-year and 100-year flows. This runoff enters on-site sub-basin B1 along the west property line combines with additional flows at Design Point 4 (DP4).

Offsite sub-basin **OS-C1** containing $0.53 \pm$ acres is located southeast of the site. This sub-basin contains pasture meadow and a small portion of an existing single-family residence. This sub-basin features moderate/steep slopes of 25% to > 33% draining southeast and transitions into mild slopes of 1-10% before entering the site. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 0.8$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 2.0$ cfs. This runoff enters on-site sub-basin B1 along the west property line combines with additional flows at Design Point 4 (DP4).

4.2.2 Existing Onsite Conditions

The existing onsite drainage patterns of the site are described by four sub-basins. The north and west portions of the site feature moderate to steep slopes of 25% to >33% and transitions into mild to moderate slopes within the south half of the site. The south half will feature primarily sheet flow. The majority of runoff within the site will drain into an existing natural depression located within the southeast portion of the site. This depression has a volume of 6.4 acre-feet with a 16 foot depth. Any flows that may drain from the depression will drain southerly from the depression wall/tree windbreak area indicated on the **Existing/Proposed Drainage Maps**. Any runoff not captured by the natural depression will drain toward 2 existing Corrugated Metal Pipes (CMPs) along the south property line. The majority of runoff not captured by the existing depression will travel to the 36" CMP located within EX-B1. A minor amount of runoff will be captured by the existing 18" CMP located within EX-C1 at the southeast portion of the site. Currently, the 18" CMP is currently silted and will require maintenance by El Paso County.

Existing onsite sub-basin **EX-A1** containing $7.57 \pm$ acres located within the north $\frac{1}{2}$ of the site. This sub-basin is primarily undeveloped pasture/meadow with an existing single-family residence, detached garage, enclosed pool house, and several horse stables. This sub-basin features steep slopes of 25% to >33% along the north property line and transitions into mild slopes of 1% to 15% sloping toward the south. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 2.4$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 16.7$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff combines with flows from the offsite sub-basin OSA1-4 at Existing Design Point 2 (EX-DP2).

Existing onsite sub-basin **EX-A2** containing $7.94 \pm$ acres located within the east $\frac{1}{2}$ of the site. This sub-basin is undeveloped pasture/meadow with an existing natural depression and the existing unpaved driveway. This sub-basin features steep slopes of 25% to >33% at the north portion of the sub-basin and the existing depression. There are mild slopes of 1% to 15% that conveys flows to the southwest into the natural depression. This sub-basin accepts flows from EX-DP2 which flows into the existing drainage depression. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 3.0$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 19.3$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff combines with flows from EX-DP2 and OSA5 at Existing Design Point 3 (EX-DP3).

Existing onsite sub-basin **EX-B1** containing $8.86 \pm$ acres located within the west $\frac{1}{2}$ of the site. This sub-basin is undeveloped pasture/meadow with a small corral shed. This sub-basin features steep slopes of

Please discuss this existing natural depression in more detail related to: jurisdictional vs non-jurisdictional designations (or lack thereof) and water rights. Coordinate/contact the State Engineer regarding holding of water in the depression and include any feedback in this text. The state statutes indicate all of the runoff from the rainfall event that is less than or equal to the 5 year storm must be infiltrated or released in 72 hrs/120 hrs (97%/99%) (per state senate bill 15-212, CRS 37-92-602(8)).

25% to >33% at the north portion of the sub-basin transitioning into mild slopes of 1% to 15% that conveys flows to the south to an existing 36" CMP along the south property line. This sub-basin accepts flows from EX-DP3 and OSB1-5 which flows into the existing depression. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 2.6$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 19.1$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff combines with flows from EX-DP3 and OSB1-5 at Existing Design Point 4 (EX-DP4).

Existing onsite sub-basin **EX-C1** containing $0.41 \pm$ acres located within the southeast portion within the site. This sub-basin is undeveloped pasture/meadow and contains the north roadside ditch of gravel Old Ranch Road and the natural depression wall to the north. This sub-basin features steep slopes of 25% to >33% along the depression wall on the north portion of the sub-basin with mild to moderate slopes along the roadside ditch. This sub-basin accepts flows from OSC1 where all flows are conveyed to an existing 18" CMP. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 0.2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 1.1$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff combines with flows from OSC1 at Existing Design Point 5 (EX-DP5).

Existing Design Point 2 (EX-DP2) consists of OSA1-4 and EX-A1 with a collective area of $21.74 \pm$ acres. This design point is located at the south portion of EX-A1 with the primary surface type of pasture/meadow. The design point collects peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 6.3$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 39.0$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff drains southeast into sub-basin EX-A2 and combines with additional flows from OSA5 & EX-A2 at Existing Design Point 3 (EX-DP3).

Existing Design Point 3 (EX-DP3) consists of OSA1-5, EX-A1, and EX-A2 with a collective area of $30.83 \pm$ acres. This design point is located at the southwest portion of EX-A2 with the primary surface type of pasture/meadow. The design point collects peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 9.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 54.5$ cfs (existing flows). These flows enter the existing natural depression and is infiltrated through the soil.

Existing Design Point 4 (EX-DP4) consists of OSB1-5 and EX-B1 with a collective area of $13.68 \pm$ acres. This design point is located at an existing 36" CMP located within the southwest portion of EX-B1. The design point collects peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 3.9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 24.0$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff drains offsite into the south adjacent property and eventually into Cottonwood Creek.

Existing Design Point 5 (EX-DP5) consists of OSC1 and EX-C1 with a collective area of $0.95 \pm$ acres. This design point is located at an existing 18" CMP located within the southwest portion of EX-C1. The design point collects peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 0.9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 3.0$ cfs (existing flows). This runoff drains offsite into the south adjacent property and eventually into Cottonwood Creek.

4.2.3 Proposed Onsite Conditions

The proposed onsite drainage patterns of the site are described by four sub-basins. The final plat describes that the subdivision will include a 20 foot Right-of-Way (ROW) dedication on the south property line and a 15 foot Right-of-Way (ROW) dedication along the east property line. The existing delineation lines along said existing property lines will remain the same for proposed drainage conditions.

For the interior onsite sub-basin delineation lines, the drainage paths and sub-basin shapes will change slightly due to the proposed gravel roadway. The shared sub-basin lines for A1, A2, & B2 shall be placed on the roadway center line. The majority of runoff will continue to drain into the natural depression as in existing conditions with negligible increase in flows. The proposed development calculations included that a proposed lot would contain a collective: 5,000 SF of roof area, 1,000 SF of paved parking area, and a 3,000 SF gravel driveway to connect to the proposed gravel roadway. The drainage sub-basins are shown on the included **Proposed Drainage Map**.

Proposed onsite sub-basin **A1** containing $7.08 \pm$ acres represents the majority of existing sub-basin EX-A1 before entering the east roadside ditch for the proposed gravel roadway. The existing conditions show that this sub-basin is primarily undeveloped pasture/meadow with an existing single-family residence, detached garage, enclosed pool house, and several horse stables. In proposed conditions, 3000 SF of gravel and a collective roof area of 5,000 SF is applied to this sub-basin to mimic fully developed RR-5 lot. This sub-basin features steep slopes of 25% to >33% along the north property line and transitions into mild slopes of 1% to 15% sloping toward the south. In existing conditions, this sub-basin generates a peak flow discharge of $Q_5 = 2.4$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 16.7$ cfs (existing flows) and a proposed peak flow discharge of $Q_5 = 2.7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 16.2$ cfs (proposed flows). This results in a negligible increase of $Q_5 = 0.3$ cfs and a decrease of $Q_{100} = 0.5$ cfs. The area for A1 has been reduced to accurately

depict flows traveling to DP3 & DP4 via the proposed roadside ditches. This flow will combine with additional flows from OSA1-4 at Design Point 2 (DP2).

Proposed onsite sub-basin **A2** containing $7.98 \pm$ acres is located within the east $\frac{1}{2}$ of the site. Currently, this sub-basin is undeveloped pasture/meadow with an existing natural depression and the existing unpaved driveway within the north portion of the sub-basin. In proposed conditions, a 5,000 SF house, 1000 SF paved driveway, and 3,000 SF gravel driveway is added to this sub-basin to simulate developed lot conditions. In addition, the upper east $\frac{1}{2}$ of the proposed road is contained within this sub-basin as the east roadside ditch transitions from fill to cut conditions at the sub-basin delineation line indicated on the proposed drainage map. This sub-basin features steep slopes of 25% to >33% at the north and east portions of the sub-basin and the existing depression. Runoff will travel into the existing depression. In existing conditions, this sub-basin generates a peak flow discharge of $Q_5 = 3.0$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 19.3$ cfs (existing flows) and a proposed peak flow discharge of $Q_5 = 3.7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 20.3$ cfs (proposed flows). This results in a negligible increase of $Q_5 = 0.7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 1.0$ cfs. This sub-basin accepts flows from DP2 before entering the existing depression at Design Point 3 (DP3).

Proposed onsite sub-basin **A3** containing $0.46 \pm$ acres is located within the south-center portion of the site. Currently, this sub-basin is undeveloped pasture/meadow draining overland to the southwest toward the existing 36" CMP. In proposed conditions, this sub-basin will contain a small portion of the east side of the proposed gravel road with a typical 2 foot drainage channel. This sub-basin features mild slopes of 5 to 15% with steep slopes of 25% to >33% at the northeast portion of the sub-basin. This sub-basin generates a peak flow discharge of $Q_5 = 0.3$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 1.4$ cfs (proposed flows). These flows enter a proposed 18" Reinforced Concrete Pipe (RCP) that will convey flows under the proposed gravel private road. This runoff will combine with additional flows from the west portion of the site at Design Point 4 (DP4)

Proposed onsite sub-basin **B1** containing $8.87 \pm$ acres is located within the west $\frac{1}{2}$ of the site. Currently, this sub-basin is undeveloped pasture/meadow with a small corral shed. In proposed conditions, this sub-basin will contain two proposed lots where both lots will contain a 5,000 SF house, 1000 SF paved driveway, and 3,000 SF gravel driveway is added to this sub-basin to simulate developed lot conditions. In addition, the west half of the proposed road is contained within this sub-basin. This sub-basin features steep slopes of 25% to >33% at the north portion of the sub-basin transitioning into mild slopes of 1% to 15% that conveys flows to the south to an existing 36" CMP along the south property line. In existing conditions, this sub-basin generates a peak flow discharge of $Q_5 = 2.6$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 19.1$ cfs (existing flows) and a proposed peak flow discharge of $Q_5 = 4.0$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 20.8$ cfs (proposed flows). This results in a negligible increase of $Q_5 = 1.4$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 1.7$ cfs. This sub-basin accepts flows from OSB1-5 and combines at Design Point 4 (DP4) located at the existing 36" CMP.

Proposed onsite sub-basin **C1** containing $0.41 \pm$ acres located within the southeast portion of the site. This sub-basin is undeveloped pasture/meadow and contains the north roadside ditch of gravel Old Ranch Road and the natural depression wall to the north. There will be no changes to this sub-basin for proposed conditions. This sub-basin features steep slopes of 25% to >33% along the depression wall on the north portion of the sub-basin with mild to moderate slopes along the roadside ditch. This sub-basin accepts flows from OSC1 where all flows are conveyed to an existing 18" CMP. This sub-basin generates peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 0.2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 1.1$ cfs (existing/proposed flows). This runoff combines with flows from OSC1 at Design Point 5 (DP5).

Design Point 2 (DP2) consists of OSA1-4, and A1 with a collective area of $21.25 \pm$ acres. This design point is located at the north portion of the proposed roadway cul-de-sac. This design point represents the flows that will enter the east roadside ditch only. The proposed conditions reflect a proposed lot where an increased collective area of 5,000 SF roof, 1000 SF of pavement, and 3,000 SF gravel is utilized. Design Point 2 has an existing peak discharge of $Q_5 = 6.3$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 39.0$ cfs and a proposed peak discharge of $Q_5 = 6.5$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 38.5$ cfs. This results in a negligible flow change of $Q_5 = 0.2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = -0.5$ cfs. Sub-basin A1 has a reduction of $0.49 \pm$ acres as the proposed roadway changes the sub-basin design within the south portion of the sub-basin. This runoff will continue southerly along the east roadside ditch into sub-basin B1 and combines with additional flows at Design Point 3 (DP3).

Design Point 3 (DP3) consists of OSA1-5, A1, and A2 with a collective area of $30.37 \pm$ acres. This design point is located within the southwest portion of A2 at the natural depression. The developed

conditions for this lot reflects the increased imperviousness of two proposed lots each containing 5,000 SF roof, 1000 SF of pavement, and 3,000 SF gravel. In addition, this design point accepts flows from the northeast ½ of the proposed gravel roadway. Design Point 3 has an existing peak discharge of $Q_5 = 9.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 54.5$ cfs and a proposed peak discharge of $Q_5 = 9.8$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 54.2$ cfs. This results in a negligible change of $Q_5 = +0.7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = -0.1$ cfs. Sub-basin A1 has a reduction of $0.49 \pm$ acres as the proposed roadway changes the sub-basin design within the south portion of the sub-basin. This runoff flows into the natural depression and is slowly released by infiltration.

Design Point 4 (DP4) consists of OSB1-4, PP-OSB5, and B1 with a collective area of $14.14 \pm$ acres. This design point is located at an existing 36" CMP located within the southwest portion of B1. The developed conditions for this design point reflects the increased imperviousness of two proposed lots each containing 5,000 SF roof, 1000 SF of pavement, and 3,000 SF gravel. In addition, this design point accepts flows from the west ½ and southeast ½ of the proposed gravel roadway. The southeast ½ of the gravel roadway drains into a proposed 18" RCP in west direction. Design Point 4 has an existing peak discharge of $Q_5 = 3.9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 24.0$ cfs and a proposed peak discharge of $Q_5 = 5.2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 26.2$ cfs. This results in a negligible increase in flows of $Q_5 = 1.3$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 2.2$ cfs. This design point has an increase in area of $0.46 \pm$ acres as a result of the cul-de-sac design. This runoff drains offsite into the south adjacent property and eventually into Cottonwood Creek.

Existing/Proposed Design Point 5 (DP5) consists of OSC1 and C1 with $1.0 \pm$ acres. This design point is located at an existing 18" CMP located within the south portion of B1. The design point collects peak flow discharges of $Q_5 = 0.9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 3.0$ cfs (existing/proposed flows). This runoff drains offsite into the south adjacent property and eventually into Cottonwood Creek.

Please also provide a % increase of what the proposed flows are.

Include a discussion of the 2 existing culverts under Old Ranch Road and then indicate where flows go from there (overland, swales, etc) if those downstream facilities are a suitable outfall (ECM Section 3.2.4).

4.3 Water Quality Enhancement Best Management Practices

The El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (Appendix I, Section I.7.2) recommends a "Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff, increasing water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long term source controls". The Four Step Process is incorporated in this project and the elements are discussed below.

The entire site is consists of 5-acre single family residential lots which are excluded from Post Construction Stormwater Management requirements by ECM 1.7.1.B.5 due to the low development density as 5-acre lots. There is no public roadway being dedicated or constructed as part of this project. The site is not subject to Post Construction Stormwater Treatment requirements.

1) Runoff Reduction Practices are employed in this project. Impervious surfaces have been reduced as much as practically possible. There is only minimal concrete or other hard surfaces proposed. Minimized Directly Connected Impervious Areas (MDCIA) are employed on the project because the majority of runoff passes through an open space meadow area before leaving the site.

2) There are no drainage paths on the site that are required to be stabilized as they are well vegetated with no visual erosion. The mild drainage paths have shallow side slopes of $>10:1$ with 1-3' fescue grass within the channels.

3) The project contains no potentially hazardous uses. The site is exempted from the use of WQCV BMPs by ECM 1.7.1.B.5 by virtue of the large lot rural residential nature of the site having percent imperviousness of less than 10%.

4) The rural residential lot is not anticipated to contain storage of potentially harmful substances or use of potentially harmful substances. No site specific or other source control BMPs are required.

5 Drainage Fees

The site is located within the Cottonwood Creek Major Drainage Basin of Monument Creek, El Paso Basin Number FOMO2200, which was last studied in 1994. Fees associated with this basin are Drainage Fees of \$23,078 per impervious acre and Bridge Fees of \$1,262 per impervious acre. The percent Imperviousness of the 5-acre Rural Residential site is 7% in accordance with El Paso County Engineering

61201 Dr

In both of these paragraphs state something like: "However, per direction from the State, subdivision developments that include impervious pavement roads do not qualify for Exclusion E (Large Lot Single-Family Site) on the PBMP form for soil disturbances associated with the construction of those roadway areas. Therefore, a permanent WQ facility should be designed to treat runoff from the impervious roadway area and the subsequent grading like roadside ditches."

Criteria Manual Appendix L Table 3-1. Also, reductions in the per acre Drainage Fee are allowed pursuant to El Paso County Resolution 99-383. A fee reduction in the of 25% for lots 2.5 acres or large is utilized for this project. The Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision site contains 23.95 acres. Drainage and Bridge Fees for the site are calculated below:

FEE CALCULATION (Cottonwood Creek 2023 Drainage and Bridge Fees)

Drainage Fee =	23.95 x \$23,078/Imp. Ac x 7% Imp. =	\$38,690
	25% Fee Reduction =	<u>(\$ 9,673)</u>
Bridge Fee =	23.955 x \$1,262/Imp. Ac x 7% Imp. =	<u>\$ 2,116</u>
	Grand Total Fees =	<u>\$31,133</u>

6 Conclusion

This Final Drainage Report presents existing and proposed drainage conditions for the proposed Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision project. The development will have negligible and inconsequential effects on the existing site drainage and drainage conditions downstream. The site is exempted from the use of WQCV BMPs by ECM 1.7.1.B.5 by virtue of the large lot rural residential nature of the site having percent imperviousness of less than 10%. The entire site is consists of 5-acre single family residential lots which are excluded from Post Construction Stormwater Management requirements due to the low development density as 5-acre lots. The site is not subject to Post Construction Stormwater Treatment requirements. The combined flows from the site are expected to increase by less than 1% during the 100-yr storm event. With such a negligible increase in stormwater flows from the site detention will not be necessary for the proposed development and will not be provided. The proposed project will not, with respect to stormwater runoff, negatively impact the adjacent properties and downstream properties.

References

NRCS Web Soil Survey. United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service ("<http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx>", accessed October 2016).

NRCS Official Soil Series Descriptions. United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service ("<http://soils.usda.gov/technical/classification/osd/index.html>", accessed October 2016).


Flood Insurance Rate Map. Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Insurance Program (Washington D.C.: FEMA, December 7, 2018).

City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1. City of Colorado Springs Engineering Division with Matrix Design Group and Wright Water Engineers (Colorado Springs, Colorado: , May 2014).

Appendices

1 General Maps and Supporting Data

- Vicinity Map
- Portion of Flood Insurance Rate Map
- Soil Type map and Tables
- Official Soil Series Descriptions
- Hydrologic Soil Group Map and Tables





VICINITY MAP

NOT TO SCALE

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMMette



104°42'26"W 38°59'22"N



1:6,000

104°41'49"W 38°58'54"N

Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023

Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

- | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS | | Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE)
Zone A, V, A99 |
| | | With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR
Regulatory Floodway |
| OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD | | 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X |
| | | Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X |
| | | Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X |
| | | Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D |
| OTHER AREAS | | NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X |
| | | Effective LOMRs |
| GENERAL STRUCTURES | | Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D |
| | | Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer |
| OTHER FEATURES | | Levee, Dike, or Floodwall |
| | | 20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance Water Surface Elevation |
| MAP PANELS | | 17.5 Coastal Transect |
| | | Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE) |
| | | Limit of Study |
| | | Jurisdiction Boundary |
| | | Coastal Transect Baseline |
| | | Profile Baseline |
| | | Hydrographic Feature |
| | | Digital Data Available |
| | | No Digital Data Available |
| | | Unmapped |
| | | The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location. |




This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 7/31/2023 at 1:08 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



Map Scale: 1:5,510 if printed on A portrait (8.5" x 11") sheet.


0 50 100 200 300 Meters

0 250 500 1000 1500 Feet


Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 13N WGS84

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)




















Soils







 Soil Map Unit Polygons

 Soil Map Unit Lines


 Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features






-  Blowout
-  Borrow Pit
-  Clay Spot
-  Closed Depression
-  Gravel Pit
-  Gravelly Spot
-  Landfill
-  Lava Flow
-  Marsh or swamp
-  Mine or Quarry
-  Miscellaneous Water
-  Perennial Water
-  Rock Outcrop
-  Saline Spot
-  Sandy Spot
-  Severely Eroded Spot
-  Sinkhole
-  Slide or Slip
-  Sodic Spot

-  Spoil Area
-  Stony Spot
-  Very Stony Spot
-  Wet Spot
-  Other
-  Special Line Features


Water Features

 Streams and Canals

Transportation

-  Rails
-  Interstate Highways
-  US Routes
-  Major Roads
-  Local Roads

Background

 Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
 Web Soil Survey URL:
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado
 Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 2, 2022

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—Jun 12, 2021

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
41	Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes	96.0	77.4%
71	Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	28.0	22.6%
Totals for Area of Interest		124.0	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

Custom Soil Resource Report

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

El Paso County Area, Colorado

41—Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 368h
Elevation: 7,000 to 7,700 feet
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Kettle and similar soils: 85 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Kettle

Setting

Landform: Hills
Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Sandy alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

E - 0 to 16 inches: gravelly loamy sand
Bt - 16 to 40 inches: gravelly sandy loam
C - 40 to 60 inches: extremely gravelly loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 40 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Ecological site: F048AY908CO - Mixed Conifer
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit:
Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit:
Landform: Depressions
Hydric soil rating: Yes

71—Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369k
Elevation: 6,800 to 7,600 feet
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Pring and similar soils: 85 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Pring

Setting

Landform: Hills
Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 14 inches: coarse sandy loam
C - 14 to 60 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Ecological site: R048AY222CO - Loamy Park
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Pleasant

Percent of map unit:
Landform: Depressions
Hydric soil rating: Yes

Custom Soil Resource Report

Other soils

Percent of map unit:

Hydric soil rating: No

Soil Information for All Uses

Soil Properties and Qualities

The Soil Properties and Qualities section includes various soil properties and qualities displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each property or quality.

Soil Qualities and Features

Soil qualities are behavior and performance attributes that are not directly measured, but are inferred from observations of dynamic conditions and from soil properties. Example soil qualities include natural drainage, and frost action. Soil features are attributes that are not directly part of the soil. Example soil features include slope and depth to restrictive layer. These features can greatly impact the use and management of the soil.

Hydrologic Soil Group

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.


Custom Soil Resource Report

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Custom Soil Resource Report Map—Hydrologic Soil Group




Map Scale: 1:5,510 if printed on A portrait (8.5" x 11") sheet.

0 50 100 200 300 Meters

0 250 500 1000 1500 Feet









Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 13N WGS84

MAP LEGEND









Area of Interest (AOI)
 Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils





Soil Rating Polygons


-  A
-  A/D
-  B
-  B/D
-  C
-  C/D
-  D
-  Not rated or not available


Soil Rating Lines


-  A
-  A/D
-  B
-  B/D
-  C
-  C/D
-  D
-  Not rated or not available


Soil Rating Points

-  A
-  A/D
-  B
-  B/D


 C

 C/D






 D

 Not rated or not available


Water Features

-  Streams and Canals

Transportation

-  Rails
-  Interstate Highways
-  US Routes
-  Major Roads
-  Local Roads

Background

-  Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
 Web Soil Survey URL:
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado
 Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 2, 2022

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—Jun 12, 2021

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
41	Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes	B	96.0	77.4%
71	Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	B	28.0	22.6%
Totals for Area of Interest			124.0	100.0%

Rating Options—Hydrologic Soil Group

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher

References

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.
- Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.
- Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.
- Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.
- National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262
- Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577
- Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580
- Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084>

Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

pricklypear occur. Ample amounts of litter and forage should be left on the soil because of the high hazard of soil blowing.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings are generally well suited to this soil. Summer fallow a year prior to planting and continued cultivation for weed control are needed to insure establishment and survival of plantings. Trees that are best suited and have good survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, Siberian elm, Russian-olive, and hackberry. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, Siberian peashrub, and American plum.

Depending on land use, this soil can produce habitat that is suitable for either rangeland wildlife, such as antelope, or for openland wildlife, such as pheasant, cottontail, and mourning dove. Availability of irrigation water largely determines the land use. Where no irrigation water is available, this soil is mainly used as rangeland, a use that favors rangeland wildlife. If this soil is used as rangeland, fences, livestock water developments, and proper livestock grazing use are practices that enhance habitat for rangeland wildlife. Production of crops such as wheat, corn, and alfalfa provides suitable habitat for openland wildlife, especially pheasant. Among the practices that increase openland wildlife populations are planting trees and shrubs and providing undisturbed nesting cover.

The main limitation of this soil for urban use is shrink-swell potential. Buildings and roads need to be designed to overcome this limitation. Roads need to be designed to minimize frost-heave damage. Capability subclasses IVE, nonirrigated, and IIe, irrigated.

40—Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes. This deep, well drained soil formed in sandy arkosic deposits on uplands. Elevation ranges from 7,000 to 7,700 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 18 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

Typically, the surface layer is gray gravelly loamy sand about 3 inches thick. The subsurface layer is light gray gravelly loamy sand about 13 inches thick. The subsoil is very pale brown gravelly sandy loam about 24 inches thick. It consists of a matrix of loamy coarse sand that has thin bands of coarse sandy loam or sandy clay loam. The substratum to a depth of 60 inches or more is light yellowish brown extremely gravelly loamy sand.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Alamosa loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes; Elbeth sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes; Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes; Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes; and a few rock outcrops.

Permeability of this Kettle soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is low to moderate. Surface runoff is slow, and the hazard of erosion is slight to moderate. A few gullies have formed in drainageways.

This soil is used for woodland, livestock grazing, wildlife habitat, recreation, and homesites.

This soil is suited to the production of ponderosa pine. It is capable of producing about 2,240 cubic feet or 4,900 board feet (International rule), of merchantable timber per acre from a fully stocked, even-aged stand of 80-year-old trees. The main limitation for the production or harvesting of timber is the low available water capacity. The low available water capacity also influences seedling survival, especially in areas where understory plants are plentiful. Erosion must be kept to a minimum when harvesting timber.

This soil has good potential for mule deer, tree squirrels, cottontail rabbit, and wild turkey. These animals obtain their food and shelter from pine trees, shrubs, and ground cover, which provide browse, forbs, fruit, and seeds. The presence of ponderosa pine and Gambel oak should encourage wild turkey populations; however, where water is not naturally present, wildlife watering facilities must be provided to attract and maintain wild turkey and other wildlife species. Livestock grazing management is vital on this soil if wildlife populations are to be maintained.

This soil has good potential for use as homesites. Plans for homesite development on this soil should provide for the preservation of as many trees as possible in order to maintain the esthetic value of the sites. During seasons of low precipitation, fire may become a hazard to homesites. This hazard can be minimized by installing firebreaks and reducing the amount of litter on the forest floor. Capability subclass VIe.

41—Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes. This deep, well drained soil formed in sandy arkosic deposits on uplands. Elevation ranges from 7,000 to 7,700 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 18 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

Typically, the surface layer is gray gravelly loamy sand about 3 inches thick. The subsurface layer is light gray gravelly loamy sand about 13 inches thick. The subsoil is very pale brown gravelly sandy loam about 24 inches thick. It consists of a matrix of loamy coarse sand that has thin bands of coarse sandy loam or sandy clay loam. The substratum to a depth of 60 inches or more is light yellowish brown extremely gravelly loamy sand.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Elbeth sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes; Pring coarse sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes; Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 8 to 15 percent slopes; and a few rock outcrops.

Permeability of this Kettle soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is low to moderate. Surface runoff is medium, and the hazard of erosion is moderate. Some gullies have formed in drainageways.

The soil is used for woodland, livestock grazing, wildlife habitat, recreation, and homesites.

This soil is suited to the production of ponderosa pine. It is capable of producing 2,240 cubic feet, or 4,900 board

feet (International rule), of merchantable timber per acre from a fully stocked, even-aged stand of 80-year-old trees. The main limitation for this use is the moderate hazard of erosion. Measures must be taken to reduce erosion when harvesting timber, especially on the steeper slopes. The low to moderate available water capacity also influences seedling survival, especially in areas where understory plants are plentiful.

This soil has good potential for mule deer, tree squirrel, cottontail, and wild turkey. These animals obtain their food and shelter from pine trees, shrubs, and ground cover, which provide browse, forbs, fruit, and seeds. The presence of ponderosa pine and Gambel oak should encourage wild turkey populations; however, where water is not naturally present, wildlife watering facilities must be provided to attract and maintain wild turkey and other wildlife species. Livestock grazing management is vital on this soil if wildlife populations are to be maintained.

The moderately sloping to steep slopes limit the suitability of this soil for homesites. Special practices must be provided to minimize surface runoff and thus keep erosion to a minimum. This soil requires special site or building designs because of the slope. Deep cuts, to provide essentially level building sites, may expose bedrock. Access roads must be designed to provide adequate cut-slope grade, and drains must be used to control surface runoff and keep soil losses to a minimum. During seasons of low precipitation, fire may become a hazard to homesites. This hazard can be minimized by installing firebreaks and reducing the amount of litter on the forest floor. Capability subclass VIe.

42—Kettle-Rock outcrop complex. This gently rolling to very steep complex, is mostly on the side slopes of uplands. Slopes range from 8 to 60 percent. Elevation ranges from 6,800 to 7,700 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 18 inches, and average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees F.

The Kettle soil makes up about 60 percent of the complex, Rock outcrop about 20 percent, and other soils about 20 percent.

Included with this complex in mapping are areas of Peyton-Pring complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes; Elbeth sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes; and Elbeth-Pring complex, 5 to 50 percent slopes.

The Kettle soil is deep and well drained. It formed in sandy arkosic deposits, mostly on the lower slopes of the complex. Slope is commonly less than 20 percent. Typically, the surface layer is gray, medium acid or slightly acid gravelly loamy sand about 3 inches thick. The sub-surface layer is light gray, medium acid gravelly loamy sand about 13 inches thick. The subsoil is very pale brown, medium acid or slightly acid gravelly sandy loam about 24 inches thick. It consists of loamy coarse sand that has thin bands of coarse sandy loam or sandy clay loam. The substratum to a depth of 60 inches or more is light yellowish brown extremely gravelly loamy sand.

Permeability of the Kettle soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is more than 60 inches. Available water capaci-

ty is low to moderate. Surface runoff is medium to rapid, and the hazard of erosion is slight to high. Soil slippage and deep gullies are common.

Rock outcrop is mostly in the form of vertical cliffs. Large stones are common on the lower slopes of this complex.

This complex is suited to the production of ponderosa pine. It is capable of producing 2,240 cubic feet, or 4,900 board feet (International rule), of merchantable timber per acre from a fully stocked, even-aged stand of 80-year-old trees. The main limitation of this complex for this use is the presence of Rock outcrop and the moderate hazard of erosion on the Kettle soil. Measures must be taken to minimize erosion when harvesting timber, especially on the steeper slopes. The low to moderate available water capacity also influences seedling survival, especially where understory plants are plentiful.

This complex has good potential for producing habitat for mule deer, tree squirrels, cottontail, and wild turkey. These animals obtain their food and shelter from pine trees, shrubs, and ground cover, which provide browse, forbs, fruit, and seeds. The presence of ponderosa pine and Gambel oak should encourage wild turkey populations; however, where water is not naturally present, wildlife watering facilities must be provided to attract and maintain wild turkey and other wildlife species. Livestock grazing management is vital on this soil if wildlife populations are to be maintained.

The moderate to very steep slopes limit the potential of this complex for homesites. Special practices must be provided to minimize surface runoff and thus keep erosion to a minimum. Special site or building designs are required because of the slope. Deep cuts, to provide essentially level building sites, can expose bedrock. The limitation of large stones on the soil surface can be overcome through the use of heavy equipment when preparing building sites. Access roads must be designed to provide adequate cut-slope grade, and drains must be used to control surface runoff and thus keep soil losses to a minimum. Deep cuts along the uphill side of the roads can expose the bedrock. Capability subclass VIIe.

43—Kim loam, 1 to 8 percent slopes. This deep, well drained soil formed in calcareous loamy sediment on fans and uplands. Elevation ranges from 5,300 to 5,600. The average annual precipitation is about 13 inches, the average annual temperature is about 49 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 145 days.

Typically, the surface layer is brown loam about 4 inches thick. The substratum is very pale brown loam to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Fort Collins loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes; Midway clay loam, 3 to 25 percent slopes, and Wiley silt loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes.

Permeability of this Kim soil is moderate. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is high. Surface runoff is medium, and the hazard of erosion is moderate.

Almost all areas of this soil are used as rangeland.

survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, Siberian elm, Russian-olive, and hackberry. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, and Siberian peashrub.

These soils are suited to habitat for openland and rangeland wildlife. Rangeland wildlife, such as pronghorn antelope, can be encouraged by developing livestock watering facilities, properly managing livestock grazing, and reseeding range where needed.

These soils have a good potential for homesites. The main limitations, especially on the Peyton soil, are low bearing strength and frost-action potential. Buildings and roads can be designed to overcome these limitations. Access roads should have adequate cut-slope grade and be provided with drains to control surface runoff and keep soil losses to a minimum. Capability subclass VIe.

69—Peyton-Pring complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes. These gently to moderately sloping soils are on valley side slopes and on uplands. Elevation ranges from 6,800 to 7,600 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 17 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

The Peyton soil makes up about 40 percent of the complex, the Pring soil about 30 percent, and other soils about 30 percent.

Included with these soils in mapping are areas of Holderness loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes; Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 8 to 15 percent slopes; Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes; and a few areas of Rock outcrop.

The Peyton soil is commonly on the less sloping part of the landscape. It is deep, noncalcareous, and well drained. It formed in alluvium and residuum derived from weathered, arkosic, sedimentary rock. Typically, the surface layer is grayish brown sandy loam about 12 inches thick. The subsoil, about 23 inches thick, is pale brown sandy clay loam in the upper 13 inches and pale brown sandy loam in the lower 10 inches. The substratum is pale brown sandy loam to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Permeability of the Peyton soil is moderate. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is high. Surface runoff is medium to rapid, and the hazard of erosion is moderate to high. Some gullies have developed along drainageways and livestock trails.

The Pring soil is deep, noncalcareous, and well drained. It formed in sandy sediment derived from weathered, arkosic, sedimentary rock. Typically, the surface layer is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 4 inches thick. The substratum is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 10 inches thick over pale brown gravelly sandy loam that extends to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Permeability of the Pring soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is moderate. Surface runoff is medium to rapid, and the hazard of erosion is moderate to high. Some gullies have developed along drainageways and livestock trails.

The soils in this complex are used as rangeland, for wildlife habitat, and for homesites.

These soils are well suited to the production of native vegetation suitable for grazing. The dominant native species are mountain muhly, bluestem grasses, needle-andthread, and blue grama. These soils are subject to invasion of Kentucky bluegrass and Gambel oak. Common forbs are hairy goldenrod, geranium, milkvetch, low larkspur, fringed sage, and buckwheat.

Properly locating livestock watering facilities helps to control grazing. Timely deferment of grazing is needed to protect the plant cover.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings generally are suited to these soils. Soil blowing is the main limitation to the establishment of trees and shrubs. This limitation can be overcome by cultivating only in the tree rows and leaving a strip of vegetation between the rows. Supplemental irrigation may be needed when planting and during dry periods. Trees that are best suited and have good survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, Siberian elm, Russian-olive, and hackberry. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, and Siberian peashrub.

These soils are well suited to wildlife habitat. They are best suited to habitat for openland and rangeland wildlife. Rangeland wildlife, such as pronghorn antelope, can be encouraged by developing livestock watering facilities, properly managing livestock grazing, and reseeding range where needed.

These soils have good potential for use as homesites. The main limitations are steepness of slope, limited ability to support a load, and frost-action potential. Buildings and roads can be designed to overcome these limitations. These soils also require special site or building designs because of the slope. Access roads should have adequate cut-slope grade, and drains should be provided to control surface runoff and keep soil losses to a minimum. Capability subclass VIe.

70—Pits, gravel. Gravel pits are in nearly level to rolling areas. They are open excavations several feet deep and commonly 5 acres or less in size.

Gravel pits are very low in natural fertility and are highly susceptible to soil blowing. A cover of weeds or straw helps to control erosion.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings generally are not suited to these areas. Onsite investigation is needed to determine if plantings are feasible. Capability subclass VIIIs.

71—Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes. This deep, noncalcareous, well drained soil formed in sandy sediment derived from arkosic sedimentary rock on valley side slopes and on uplands. Elevation ranges from 6,800 to 7,600 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 17 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

Typically, the surface layer is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 4 inches thick. The substratum is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 10 inches thick over pale brown gravelly sandy loam that extends to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Alamosa loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes, along drainageways; Cruckton sandy loam, 1 to 9 percent slopes; Peyton sandy loam, 1 to 5 percent slopes; Peyton sandy loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes; and Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes. In some places arkose beds of sandstone and shale are at a depth of 0 to 40 inches.

Permeability of this Pring soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is moderate. Surface runoff is medium, and the hazard of erosion is moderate.

Almost all areas of this soil are used as rangeland. Some areas previously cultivated have been reseeded to grass. This soil is also used for wildlife habitat and homesites.

This soil is well suited to the production of native vegetation suitable for grazing by cattle and sheep. Rangeland vegetation is mainly mountain muhly, little bluestem, needleandthread, Parry oatgrass, and junegrass.

Deferment of grazing in spring helps to maintain vigor and production of the cool-season bunchgrasses. Fencing and properly locating livestock watering facilities help to control grazing.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings generally are suited to this soil. The hazard of soil blowing is the main limitation to the establishment of trees and shrubs. This limitation can be overcome by cultivating only in the tree rows and leaving a strip of vegetation between the rows. Supplemental irrigation may be needed when planting and during dry periods. Trees that are best suited and have good survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, Siberian elm, Russian-olive, and hackberry. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, and Siberian peashrub.

This soil is suited to habitat for openland and rangeland wildlife. Rangeland wildlife, such as pronghorn antelope, can be encouraged by developing livestock watering facilities, properly managing livestock grazing, and reseeding range where needed.

This soil is well suited for use as homesites. Erosion control practices are needed to control soil blowing and water erosion on construction sites where the ground cover has been removed. Capability subclass IVe.

72—Pring coarse sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes. This deep, noncalcareous, well drained soil formed in sandy sediment derived from arkosic sedimentary rock on valley side slopes and on uplands. Elevation ranges from 6,800 to 7,600 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 17 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

Typically, the surface layer is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 4 inches thick. The substratum is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 10 inches thick over pale brown gravelly sandy loam that extends to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Cruckton sandy loam, 1 to 9 percent slopes; Peyton sandy

loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes; and Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 8 to 15 percent slopes. Arkose beds of sandstone and shale are at a depth of 0 to 40 inches in some places.

Permeability of this Pring soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is moderate. Surface runoff is medium, and the hazard of erosion is moderate. Some gullies have developed along drainageways.

Almost all areas of this soil are used as rangeland. Some areas previously cultivated have been reseeded to grass. This soil is also used for wildlife habitat and as homesites.

This soil is well suited to the production of native vegetation suitable for grazing by cattle and sheep. The native vegetation is mainly mountain muhly, little bluestem, needleandthread, Parry oatgrass, and junegrass.

Deferment of grazing in spring helps to maintain the vigor and production of the cool-season bunchgrasses. Fencing and properly locating livestock watering facilities help to control grazing.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings generally are suited to this soil. The hazard of soil blowing is the main limitation to the establishment of trees and shrubs. This limitation can be overcome by cultivating only in the tree rows and leaving a strip of vegetation between the rows. Supplemental irrigation may be needed when planting and during dry periods. Trees that are best suited and have good survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, Siberian elm, Russian-olive, and hackberry. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, and Siberian peashrub.

This soil is suited to habitat for openland and rangeland wildlife habitat. Rangeland wildlife, such as pronghorn antelope, can be encouraged by developing livestock watering facilities, properly managing livestock grazing, and reseeding range where needed.

This soil has good potential for urban uses. The main limitation is slope. Special site or building designs are needed because of the slope. Access roads must have adequate cut-slope grade and be provided with drains to control surface runoff. Capability subclass VIe.

73—Razor clay loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes. This moderately deep, well drained, clayey soil formed in residuum derived from calcareous shale on uplands. Elevation ranges from 5,300 to 6,100 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 13 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 49 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 145 days.

Typically, the surface layer is light brownish gray clay loam about 3 inches thick. The subsoil is grayish brown heavy clay loam or clay about 15 inches thick. The substratum is grayish brown clay that grades to calcareous shale at a depth of about 31 inches. Visible lime is in the lower part of the subsoil and in the substratum.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Midway clay loam, 3 to 25 percent slopes; Heldt clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes; and Stoneham sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes.

2 Hydrologic Calculations

City of Colorado Springs DCM Runoff Coefficients – Table 6-6

Colorado Springs DCM Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency – Figure 6-5

Sub-Basin Time of Concentration – Form SF-1


5-yr Sub-Basin and Combined Flows – Form SF-2

100-yr Sub-Basin and Combined Flows – Form SF-2

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method
(Source: UDFCD 2001)

Land Use or Surface Characteristics	Percent Impervious	Runoff Coefficients											
		2-year		5-year		10-year		25-year		50-year		100-year	
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D
Business													
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential													
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.57
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial													
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Heavy Areas	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries													
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.39	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas													
Historic Flow Analysis-- Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Offsite Flow Analysis (when landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
Streets													
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Drive and Walks													
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Roofs													
Roofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Lawns													
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50

Figure 6-5. Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency



IDF Equations

$$I_{100} = -2.52 \ln(D) + 12.735$$

$$I_{50} = -2.25 \ln(D) + 11.375$$

$$I_{25} = -2.00 \ln(D) + 10.111$$

$$I_{10} = -1.75 \ln(D) + 8.847$$

$$I_5 = -1.50 \ln(D) + 7.583$$

$$I_2 = -1.19 \ln(D) + 6.035$$

Note: Values calculated by equations may not precisely duplicate values read from figure.

Time of Concentration (Modified from Standard Form SF-1)

Sub-Basin	Sub-Basin Data				Overland			Shallow Channel				Channelized				t _c Check		
	Area (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀ /CN	% Imp.	L ₀ (ft)	S ₀ (%)	t _i (min)	L _{0t} (ft)	S _{0t} (ft/ft)	v _{0sc} (ft/s)	t _t (min)	L _{0c} (ft)	S _{0c} (ft/ft)	v _{0c} (ft/s)	t _c (min)	L (min)	t _{c,alt} (min)	t _c (min)
OFFSITE																		
OSA1	9.66	0.11	0.37	4%	85.1	2.3%	12.4	102.9	0.019	1.0	1.8	919.2	0.053	2.8	5.6	1107	N/A	19.7
OSA2	1.53	0.10	0.36	2%	64.4	15.5%	5.9	103.3	0.077	0.7	2.5	134.1	0.082	2.2	1.0	301.8	N/A	9.4
OSA3	2.91	0.08	0.35	1%	57.1	7.0%	7.3	134.2	0.075	0.7	3.3	461.8	0.052	2.1	3.7	653.1	N/A	14.3
OSA4	0.07	0.08	0.35	0%	10.1	9.9%	2.7	8.0	0.125	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	18.04	N/A	5.0
OSB1	0.15	0.08	0.35	0%	58.5	10.2%	6.5	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	58.54	N/A	6.5
OSB2	0.69	0.08	0.35	0%	33.1	15.1%	4.3	68.4	0.146	1.0	1.2	58.7	0.102	2.0	0.5	160.2	N/A	6.0
OSB3	3.25	0.12	0.38	5%	28.4	21.1%	3.5	86.4	0.174	1.0	1.4	522.9	0.067	2.5	3.5	637.8	N/A	8.3
OSB4	0.38	0.22	0.45	19%	60.9	4.9%	7.3	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	116.8	0.034	1.2	1.7	177.7	N/A	9.0
OSB5	0.38	0.30	0.50	34%	38.3	2.6%	6.5	74.5	0.013	0.3	4.3	301.1	0.020	0.9	5.4	413.9	N/A	16.2
PP-OSB5	0.38	0.33	0.52	39%	38.3	2.6%	6.3	74.5	0.013	0.3	4.3	301.1	0.020	0.9	5.4	413.9	N/A	15.9
OSC1	0.53	0.37	0.56	42%	33.77	3.0%	5.3	74.0	0.054	4.6	0.3	468.0	0.049	1.5	5.1	575.8	N/A	10.7
EXISTING ONSITE																		
EX-A1	7.57	0.09	0.35	1%	32.04	9.4%	5.0	80.7	0.124	0.9	1.5	1034.6	0.046	2.5	6.8	1147	N/A	13.3
EX-A2	7.94	0.09	0.36	2%	38.99	20.5%	4.2	105.0	0.133	0.9	1.9	787.9	0.057	2.8	4.6	931.9	N/A	10.7
EX-B1	8.86	0.08	0.35	0%	56.69	22.9%	4.9	109.8	0.091	0.8	2.4	1084.9	0.058	2.9	6.3	1251	N/A	13.7
EX-C1	0.41	0.08	0.35	0%	29.41	20.4%	3.7	68.2	0.147	1.0	1.2	165.2	0.073	1.5	1.8	262.8	N/A	6.7
PROPOSED ONSITE																		
A1	7.08	0.10	0.37	3%	32.04	9.4%	4.9	80.7	0.124	0.9	1.5	1034.6	0.046	2.5	6.9	1147	N/A	13.3
A2	7.98	0.11	0.37	5%	38.99	20.5%	4.1	105.0	0.133	0.9	1.9	787.9	0.057	2.9	4.6	931.9	N/A	10.6
A3	0.46	0.17	0.41	14%	100	10.3%	7.8	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	41.8	0.096	1.8	0.4	141.8	N/A	8.2
B1	8.87	0.12	0.38	6%	56.69	22.9%	4.7	109.8	0.091	0.8	2.4	1084.9	0.058	2.9	6.2	1251	N/A	13.4
C1	0.41	0.08	0.35	0%	29.41	20.4%	3.7	68.2	0.147	1.0	1.2	165.2	0.073	1.5	1.8	262.8	N/A	6.7

Missing Basin OSA5.
Please add to spreadsheet

Job No.: **61201**
 Project: **Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision**
 Design Storm: **5-Year Storm (20% Probability)**
 Jurisdiction: **DCM**

Date: **09/21/2023 17:27**
 Calcs By: **JO**
 Checked By: _____

Sub-Basin and Combined Flows (Modified from Standard Form SF-2)

DP	Sub-Basin	Area (Acres)	C5	Direct Runoff				Combined Runoff				Streetflow			Pipe Flow					Travel Time		
				t _c (min)	CA (Acres)	I5 (in/hr)	Q5 (cfs)	t _c (min)	CA (Acres)	I5 (in/hr)	Q5 (cfs)	Slope (%)	Length (ft)	Q (cfs)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Mnngs n	Length (ft)	D _{pipe} (in)	Length (ft)	V _{disc} (ft/s)	t _t (min)
OFFSITE																						
DP1	OSA1	9.66	0.11	19.7	1.09	3.11	3.4															
	OSA2	1.53	0.10	9.4	0.15	4.22	0.6															
	OSA3	2.91	0.08	14.3	0.25	3.59	0.9															
	OSA4	0.07	0.08	5.0	0.01	5.17	0.0															
	OSA5	1.14	0.25	10.5	0.29	4.06	1.2															
	OSB1	0.15	0.08	6.5	0.01	4.77	0.1															
	OSB2	0.69	0.08	6.0	0.06	4.89	0.3															
	OSB3	3.25	0.12	8.3	0.38	4.41	1.7															
	OSB4	0.38	0.22	9.0	0.08	4.29	0.4															
	OSB5	0.38	0.30	16.2	0.11	3.40	0.4															
	PP-OSB5	0.38	0.33	15.9	0.13	3.43	0.4															
	OSC1	0.53	0.37	10.7	0.20	4.03	0.8															
EXISTING ONSITE																						
	EX-A1	7.57	0.09	13.3	0.66	3.70	2.4													#####		
	EX-A2	7.94	0.09	10.7	0.74	4.03	3.0															
	EX-B1	8.86	0.08	13.7	0.71	3.66	2.6															
	EX-C1	0.41	0.08	6.7	0.03	4.73	0.2															
EX-DP2	OSA1-4, EX-A1	21.74	0.10					22.1	2.14	2.94	6.3											
EX-DP3	EX-DP2, OSA5, EX-A2	30.83	0.10					23.0	3.17	2.88	9.1											
EX-DP4	OSB1-5, EX-B1	13.71	0.10					23.2	1.36	2.87	3.9											
EX-DP5	OSC1, EX-C1	0.95	0.24					10.7	0.23	4.03	0.9											
PROPOSED ONSITE																						
	A1	7.08	0.10	13.3	0.73	3.71	2.7													#####		
	A2	7.98	0.11	10.6	0.91	4.05	3.7															
	A3	0.46	0.17	8.2	0.08	4.43	0.3															
	B1	8.87	0.12	13.4	1.07	3.70	4.0															
	C1	0.41	0.08	6.7	0.03	4.73	0.2															
DP2	OSA1-4, A1	21.25	0.10					22.1	2.22	2.94	6.5											
DP3	DP2, OSA5, A2	30.37	0.11					23.5	3.42	2.85	9.8											
DP4	OSB1-4, PP-OSB5, A3, B1	14.17	0.13					23.2	1.80	2.87	5.2											
DP5	OSC1, C1	0.95	0.24					10.7	0.23	4.03	0.9											

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$
 C1: 1.5
 C2: 7.583

Job No.: **61201**
 Project: **Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision**
 Design Storm: **100-Year Storm (1% Probability)**
 Jurisdiction: **DCM**

Date: **09/21/2023 17:27**
 Calcs By: **JO**
 Checked By: _____

Sub-Basin and Combined Flows (Modified from Standard Form SF-2)

DP	Sub-Basin	Area (Acres)	C100	Direct Runoff				Combined Runoff				Streetflow			Pipe Flow					Travel Time		
				t _c (min)	CA (Acres)	I100 (in/hr)	Q100 (cfs)	t _c (min)	CA (Acres)	I100 (in/hr)	Q100 (cfs)	Slope (%)	Length (ft)	Q (cfs)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Mnngs n	Length (ft)	D _{pipe} (in)	Length (ft)	V _{disc} (ft/s)	t _t (min)
OFFSITE																						
DP1	OSA1	9.66	0.37	19.7	3.61	5.22	18.8															
	OSA2	1.53	0.36	9.4	0.55	7.09	3.9															
	OSA3	2.91	0.35	14.3	1.03	6.03	6.2															
	OSA4	0.07	0.35	5.0	0.02	8.68	0.2															
	OSA5	1.14	0.48	10.5	0.55	6.82	3.7															
	OSB1	0.15	0.35	6.5	0.05	8.00	0.4															
	OSB2	0.69	0.35	6.0	0.24	8.22	2.0															
	OSB3	3.25	0.38	8.3	1.23	7.40	9.1															
	OSB4	0.38	0.45	9.0	0.17	7.20	1.2															
	OSB5	0.38	0.50	16.2	0.19	5.72	1.1															
	PP-OSB5	0.38	0.52	15.9	0.20	5.76	1.1															
	OSC1	0.53	0.56	10.7	0.30	6.76	2.0															
EXISTING ONSITE																						
	EX-A1	7.57	0.35	13.3	2.69	6.22	16.7													#####		
	EX-A2	7.94	0.36	10.7	2.85	6.76	19.3															
	EX-B1	8.86	0.35	13.7	3.10	6.14	19.1															
	EX-C1	0.41	0.35	6.7	0.14	7.95	1.1															
EX-DP2	OSA1-4, EX-A1	21.74	0.36					22.1	7.90	4.93	39.0											
EX-DP3	EX-DP2, OSA5, EX-A2	30.83	0.37					23.0	11.30	4.83	54.5											
EX-DP4	OSB1-5, EX-B1	13.71	0.36					23.2	4.99	4.82	24.0											
EX-DP5	OSC1, EX-C1	0.95	0.47					10.7	0.44	6.76	3.0											
PROPOSED ONSITE																						
	A1	7.08	0.37	13.3	2.60	6.22	16.2													#####		
	A2	7.98	0.37	10.6	2.98	6.79	20.3															
	A3	0.46	0.41	8.2	0.19	7.43	1.4															
	B1	8.87	0.38	13.4	3.36	6.20	20.8															
	C1	0.41	0.35	6.7	0.14	7.95	1.1															
DP2	OSA1-4, A1	21.25	0.37					22.1	7.81	4.93	38.5											
DP3	DP2, OSA5, A2	30.37	0.37					23.5	11.34	4.78	54.2											
DP4	OSB1-4, PP-OSB5, A3, B1	14.17	0.38					23.2	5.43	4.82	26.2											
DP5	OSC1, C1	0.95	0.47					10.7	0.44	6.76	3.0											

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$
 C1: 2.52
 C2: 12.735

Offsite Sub-Basin OSA1 Runoff Calculations (DP1)

Job No.: 61201
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision
 Jurisdiction: **DCM**
 Runoff Coefficient: **Surface Type**

Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Soil Type: **B**
 Urbanization: **Non-Urban**

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	400,876	9.20	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Roofs	9,560	0.22	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Paved	7,396	0.17	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Gravel	2,905	0.07	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Combined	420,737	9.66	0.05	0.11	0.18	0.28	0.33	0.37	4.4%

420737

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Short Pasture/Lawns			
	$L_{max,Overland}$ (ft)	ΔZ_0 (ft)	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	1,107	53	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	85	2	0.023	-	12.4	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	103	2	0.019	1.0	1.8	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	919	49	0.053	2.8	5.6	- V-Ditch
				t_c	19.7 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.49	3.11	3.63	4.15	4.67	5.22
Runoff (cfs)	1.3	3.4	6.3	11.1	14.7	18.8
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	1.3	3.4	6.3	11.1	14.7	18.8

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Offsite Sub-Basin OSA2 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	65,008	1.49	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Roofs	1,540	0.04	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Combined	66,548	1.53	0.04	0.10	0.16	0.26	0.31	0.36	2.1%

66548

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel	Ground Cover	Heavy meadow			
$L_{max,Overland}$	300	ft			C_v	2.5
L (ft)		ΔZ_0 (ft)	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	302	29	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	64	10	0.155	-	5.9	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	103	8	0.077	0.7	2.5	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	134	11	0.082	2.2	1.0	- V-Ditch
				t_c	9.4 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.37	4.22	4.93	5.63	6.34	7.09
Runoff (cfs)	0.2	0.6	1.2	2.3	3.0	3.9
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.2	0.6	1.2	2.3	3.0	3.9

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52
 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

Notes

Offsite Sub-Basin OSA3 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision
 Jurisdiction: **DCM**
 Runoff Coefficient: **Surface Type**

Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Soil Type: **B**
 Urbanization: **Non-Urban**

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						%
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Pasture/Meadow	126,033	2.89	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Roofs	940	0.02	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Combined	126,973	2.91	0.03	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.7%

126973

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	653	38	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	57	4	0.070	-	7.3	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	134	10	0.075	0.7	3.3	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	462	24	0.052	2.1	3.7	- V-Ditch
			t_c 14.3 min.			

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.87	3.59	4.19	4.79	5.39	6.03
Runoff (cfs)	0.2	0.9	1.9	3.5	4.8	6.2
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.2	0.9	1.9	3.5	4.8	6.2

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Offsite Sub-Basin OSA4 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	2,879	0.07	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	2,879	0.07	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.0%

2879

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel	Ground Cover	Heavy meadow			
$L_{max,Overland}$	300	ft			C_v	2.5
L (ft)	18	ΔZ_0 (ft)	2		S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)
Total	10	1	0.099	-	2.7	-
Initial Time	8	1	0.125	0.9	0.2	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel			0.000	0.0	0.0	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized						- V-Ditch
				t_c		5.0 min.

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	4.12	5.17	6.03	6.89	7.75	8.68
Runoff (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52
 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

Notes

Offsite Sub-Basin OSA5 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	39,239	0.90	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Paved	10,458	0.24	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	49,697	1.14	0.20	0.25	0.31	0.40	0.44	0.48	21.0%

49697

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel	Ground Cover	Heavy meadow			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	C_v	2.5		
	L (ft)	ΔZ_0 (ft)	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	359	24	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	52	3	0.058	-	6.2	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	74	3	0.041	0.5	2.4	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	233	18	0.077	2.1	1.8	- V-Ditch
				t_c	10.5 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.24	4.06	4.74	5.42	6.09	6.82
Runoff (cfs)	0.8	1.2	1.7	2.4	3.0	3.7
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.8	1.2	1.7	2.4	3.0	3.7

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Offsite Sub-Basin OSB1 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	6,447	0.15	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	6,447	0.15	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.0%

6447

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel	Ground Cover	Heavy meadow			
$L_{max,Overland}$	300	ft			C_v	2.5
L (ft)		ΔZ_0 (ft)	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	59	6	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	59	6	0.102	-	6.5	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel			0.000	0.0	0.0	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized			0.000	0.0	0.0	- V-Ditch
				t_c	6.5 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.80	4.77	5.56	6.36	7.15	8.00
Runoff (cfs)	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Offsite Sub-Basin OSB2 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	30,262	0.69	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	30,262	0.69	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.0%

30262

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow					
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)	C_v	2.5
Total	160	21	-	-	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	33	5	0.151	-	4.3	-	-	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	68	10	0.146	1.0	1.2	-	-	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	59	6	0.102	2.0	0.5	-	-	- V-Ditch
					t_c			6.0 min.

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.90	4.89	5.71	6.53	7.34	8.22
Runoff (cfs)	0.1	0.3	0.6	1.1	1.5	2.0
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.1	0.3	0.6	1.1	1.5	2.0

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Offsite Sub-Basin OSB3 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision
 Jurisdiction: **DCM**
 Runoff Coefficient: **Surface Type**

Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Soil Type: **B**
 Urbanization: **Non-Urban**

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	134,963	3.10	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Paved	6,010	0.14	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Roofs	500	0.01	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Combined	141,473	3.25	0.06	0.12	0.18	0.28	0.33	0.38	4.6%

141473

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	638	56	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	28	6	0.211	-	3.5	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	86	15	0.174	1.0	1.4	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	523	35	0.067	2.5	3.5	- V-Ditch
				t_c	8.3 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.51	4.41	5.14	5.87	6.61	7.40
Runoff (cfs)	0.7	1.7	3.1	5.4	7.1	9.1
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.7	1.7	3.1	5.4	7.1	9.1

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Offsite Sub-Basin OSB4 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	12,806	0.29	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	2,092	0.05	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Paved	1,502	0.03	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	16,400	0.38	0.17	0.22	0.28	0.37	0.41	0.45	19.4%

16400

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	178	7	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	61	3	0.049	-	7.3	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel			0.000	0.0	0.0	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	117	4	0.034	1.2	1.7	- V-Ditch
				t_c	9.0 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.42	4.29	5.01	5.72	6.44	7.20
Runoff (cfs)	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.8	1.0	1.2
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.8	1.0	1.2

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52
 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

Notes

Offsite Sub-Basin OSB5 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	9,628	0.22	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	7,042	0.16	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Combined	16,670	0.38	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.42	0.46	0.50	33.8%

16670

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel	Ground Cover	Heavy meadow			
$L_{max,Overland}$	300	ft			C_v	2.5
L (ft)		ΔZ_0 (ft)	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	414	8	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	38	1	0.026	-	6.5	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	75	1	0.013	0.3	4.3	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	301	6	0.020	0.9	5.4	- V-Ditch
				t_c	16.2 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.72	3.40	3.97	4.54	5.11	5.72
Runoff (cfs)	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.9	1.1
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.9	1.1

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52
 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

Notes

Offsite Sub-Basin OSC1 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	11,770	0.27	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	8,361	0.19	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Paved	3,069	0.07	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	23,200	0.53	0.33	0.37	0.42	0.49	0.52	0.56	42.1%

23200

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Paved areas/shallow paved swales				
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)	C_v
Total	576	28	-	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	34	1	0.030	-	5.3	N/A	DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	74	4	0.054	4.6	0.3	-	DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	468	23	0.049	1.5	5.1	-	V-Ditch
			t_c		10.7 min.		

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.21	4.03	4.70	5.37	6.04	6.76
Runoff (cfs)	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.4	1.7	2.0
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.4	1.7	2.0

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52
 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

Notes

Existing Sub-Basin EX-A1 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision
 Jurisdiction: **DCM**
 Runoff Coefficient: **Surface Type**

Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Soil Type: **B**
 Urbanization: **Non-Urban**

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	325,778	7.48	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	3,355	0.08	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Roofs	806	0.02	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Combined	329,938	7.57	0.03	0.09	0.16	0.26	0.31	0.35	1.0%

329938

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	1,147	61	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	32	3	0.094	-	5.0	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	81	10	0.124	0.9	1.5	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	1,035	48	0.046	2.5	6.8	- V-Ditch
				t_c	13.3 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.96	3.70	4.32	4.94	5.56	6.22
Runoff (cfs)	0.6	2.4	5.1	9.6	12.8	16.7
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.6	2.4	5.1	9.6	12.8	16.7

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Existing Sub-Basin EX-A2 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision
 Jurisdiction: **DCM**
 Runoff Coefficient: **Surface Type**

Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Soil Type: **B**
 Urbanization: **Non-Urban**

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	337,320	7.74	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	8,723	0.20	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Combined	346,043	7.94	0.03	0.09	0.16	0.26	0.31	0.36	2.0%

346043

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	932	67	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	39	8	0.205	-	4.2	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	105	14	0.133	0.9	1.9	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	788	45	0.057	2.8	4.6	- V-Ditch
			t_c 10.7 min.			

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.21	4.03	4.70	5.37	6.04	6.76
Runoff (cfs)	0.9	3.0	6.0	11.1	14.8	19.3
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.9	3.0	6.0	11.1	14.8	19.3

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Existing Sub-Basin EX-B1 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision
 Jurisdiction: **DCM**
 Runoff Coefficient: **Surface Type**

Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Soil Type: **B**
 Urbanization: **Non-Urban**

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	385,963	8.86	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Roofs	185	0.00	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Combined	386,148	8.86	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.0%

386148

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	1,251	86	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	57	13	0.229	-	4.9	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	110	10	0.091	0.8	2.4	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	1,085	63	0.058	2.9	6.3	- V-Ditch
				t_c	13.7 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.92	3.66	4.27	4.88	5.49	6.14
Runoff (cfs)	0.5	2.6	5.7	10.8	14.6	19.1
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.5	2.6	5.7	10.8	14.6	19.1

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Existing Sub-Basin EX-C1 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	17,979	0.41	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	17,979	0.41	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.0%

17979

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	263	28	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	29	6	0.204	-	3.7	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	68	10	0.147	1.0	1.2	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	165	12	0.073	1.5	1.8	- V-Ditch
				t_c	6.7 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.77	4.73	5.52	6.31	7.10	7.95
Runoff (cfs)	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.9	1.1
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.9	1.1

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Existing Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (EX-DP2)

Includes Basins OSA1 OSA2 OSA3 OSA4 EX-A1

Job No.:	61201	Date:	09/21/2023 17:27
Project:	Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision	Calcs by:	JO
Jurisdiction	DCM	Checked by:	
Runoff Coefficient	Surface Type	Soil Type	B
		Urbanization	Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	920,575	21.13	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Roofs	12,846	0.29	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Paved	7,396	0.17	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Gravel	6,260	0.14	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Combined	947,077	21.74	0.04	0.10	0.17	0.27	0.31	0.36	2.5%

Basin Travel Time

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. ΔZ ₀ (ft)	Q _i (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach	OSA1	-	1,107	53	-	-	-	-	19.7
Channelized-1	V-Ditch	2	698	28	19	0	2	4.9	2.4
Channelized-2									
Channelized-3									
Total			1,806	81					

2 = Natural, Winding, minimal vegetation/shallow grass

t_c (min) 22.1

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas

Q_{Minor} (cfs) - 5-year Storm

Q_{Major} (cfs) - 100-year Storm

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.35	2.94	3.43	3.92	4.41	4.93
Site Runoff (cfs)	2.03	6.30	12.47	22.60	30.13	38.96
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	6.3	-	-	-	39.0

DCM: I = C1 * ln(tc) + C2

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Runoff from Offsite basins have been assumed constant, despite additional times of concentration.

Existing Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (EX-DP3)

Includes Basins EX-DP2 OSA5 EX-A2

Job No.:	61201	Date:	09/21/2023 17:27
Project:	Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision	Calcs by:	JO
Jurisdiction	DCM	Checked by:	
Runoff Coefficient	Surface Type	Soil Type	B
		Urbanization	Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	1,297,134	29.78	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Paved	17,854	0.41	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Gravel	14,983	0.34	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Roofs	12,846	0.29	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Combined	1,342,817	30.83	0.04	0.10	0.17	0.27	0.32	0.37	3.1%

Basin Travel Time

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. ΔZ ₀ (ft)	Q _i (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach	EX-DP2	-	1,806	81	-	-	-	-	22.1
Channelized-1	V-Ditch	3	161	5	39	0	2	2.9	0.9
Channelized-2									
Channelized-3									
Total			1,967	86					

3 = Natural, Winding, significant vegetation

t_c (min) 23.0

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas: _____

Q_{Minor} _____ (cfs) - 5-year Storm

Q_{Major} _____ (cfs) - 100-year Storm

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.30	2.88	3.36	3.84	4.32	4.83
Site Runoff (cfs)	3.14	9.12	17.73	31.79	42.26	54.54
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	9.1	-	-	-	54.5

DCM: I = C1 * ln(tc) + C2

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Runoff from Offsite basins have been assumed constant, despite additional times of concentration.

Existing Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (EX-DP4)

Includes Basins OSB1 OSB2 OSB3 OSB4 OSB5 EX-B1

Job No.:	61201	Date:	09/21/2023 17:27
Project:	Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision	Calcs by:	JO
Jurisdiction	DCM	Checked by:	
Runoff Coefficient	Surface Type	Soil Type	B
		Urbanization	Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	580,068	13.32	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	9,134	0.21	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Paved	7,512	0.17	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Roofs	685	0.02	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Combined	597,399	13.71	0.04	0.10	0.17	0.27	0.31	0.36	2.6%

Basin Travel Time

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. ΔZ ₀ (ft)	Q _i (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach	OSB1	-	59	6	-	-	-	-	6.5
Channelized-1	V-Ditch	3	1,251	86	0	0	2	1.3	16.6
Channelized-2									
Channelized-3									
Total			1,310	92					

3 = Natural, Winding, significant vegetation

t_c (min) 23.2

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas: [Redacted]

Q_{Minor}: [Redacted] (cfs) - 5-year Storm

Q_{Major}: [Redacted] (cfs) - 100-year Storm

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.30	2.87	3.35	3.83	4.30	4.82
Site Runoff (cfs)	1.26	3.89	7.70	13.94	18.57	24.01
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	3.9	-	-	-	24.0

DCM: I = C1 * ln(tc) + C2

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Runoff from Offsite basins have been assumed constant, despite additional times of concentration.

Existing Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (EX-DP5)

Includes Basins OSC1 EX-C1

Job No.:	61201	Date:	09/21/2023 17:27
Project:	Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision	Calcs by:	JO
Jurisdiction	DCM	Checked by:	
Runoff Coefficient	Surface Type	Soil Type	B
		Urbanization	Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	29,748	0.68	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	8,361	0.19	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Paved	3,069	0.07	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	41,179	0.95	0.20	0.24	0.30	0.38	0.43	0.47	23.7%

Basin Travel Time

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. ΔZ ₀ (ft)	Q _i (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach	OSC1	-	576	28	-	-	-	-	10.7
Channelized-1									
Channelized-2									
Channelized-3									
Total			576	28					
								t_c (min)	10.7

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas: [Redacted]

Q_{Minor}: [Redacted] (cfs) - 5-year Storm

Q_{Major}: [Redacted] (cfs) - 100-year Storm

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.21	4.03	4.70	5.37	6.04	6.76
Site Runoff (cfs)	0.60	0.93	1.35	1.95	2.43	2.98
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	0.9	-	-	-	3.0

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Runoff from Offsite basins have been assumed constant, despite additional times of concentration.

Proposed Sub-Basin A1 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	296,229	6.80	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	6,355	0.15	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Roofs	5,000	0.11	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Paved	1,000	0.02	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	308,585	7.08	0.05	0.10	0.17	0.27	0.32	0.37	3.4%

308585

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel	Ground Cover	Heavy meadow				
$L_{max,Overland}$	300	ft		C_v	2.5		
L (ft)		ΔZ_o (ft)	S_o (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)	
Total	1,147	61	-	-	-	-	
Initial Time	32	3	0.094	-	4.9	N/A	DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	81	10	0.124	0.9	1.5	-	DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	1,035	48	0.046	2.5	6.9	-	V-Ditch
				t_c	13.3 min.		

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.96	3.71	4.32	4.94	5.56	6.22
Runoff (cfs)	1.0	2.7	5.3	9.4	12.5	16.2
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	1.0	2.7	5.3	9.4	12.5	16.2

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Proposed Sub-Basin A2 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	325,924	7.48	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	15,522	0.36	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Roofs	5,000	0.11	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Paved	1,000	0.02	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	347,446	7.98	0.06	0.11	0.18	0.28	0.33	0.37	5.2%

347446

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	932	67	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	39	8	0.205	-	4.1	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	105	14	0.133	0.9	1.9	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	788	45	0.057	2.9	4.6	- V-Ditch
			t_c 10.6 min.			

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.23	4.05	4.72	5.39	6.07	6.79
Runoff (cfs)	1.5	3.7	6.9	12.0	15.8	20.3
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	1.5	3.7	6.9	12.0	15.8	20.3

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Proposed Sub-Basin A3 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	16,461	0.38	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	3,398	0.08	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Combined	19,859	0.46	0.11	0.17	0.23	0.32	0.37	0.41	13.7%

19859.2888

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Short Pasture/Lawns			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	142	16	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	100	10	0.103	-	7.8	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel		2	0.000	0.0	0.0	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	42	4	0.096	1.8	0.4	- V-Ditch
				t_c	8.2 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.53	4.43	5.16	5.90	6.64	7.43
Runoff (cfs)	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.9	1.1	1.4
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.9	1.1	1.4

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52
 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

Notes

Proposed Sub-Basin B1 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	359,485	8.25	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	14,569	0.33	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Roofs	10,185	0.23	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Paved	2,000	0.05	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	386,239	8.87	0.06	0.12	0.19	0.28	0.33	0.38	5.9%

386239

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	1,251	86	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	57	13	0.229	-	4.7	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	110	10	0.091	0.8	2.4	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	1,085	63	0.058	2.9	6.2	- V-Ditch
			t_c 13.4 min.			

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.95	3.70	4.31	4.93	5.54	6.20
Runoff (cfs)	1.7	4.0	7.2	12.4	16.3	20.8
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	1.7	4.0	7.2	12.4	16.3	20.8

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Proposed Sub-Basin C1 Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 09/21/2023 17:27
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	17,979	0.41	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	17,979	0.41	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.0%

17979

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft			C_v	2.5
	L (ft)	ΔZ_o (ft)	S_o (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)
Total	263	28	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	29	6	0.204	-	3.7	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	68	10	0.147	1.0	1.2	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	165	12	0.073	1.5	1.8	- V-Ditch
				t_c	6.7 min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.77	4.73	5.52	6.31	7.10	7.95
Runoff (cfs)	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.9	1.1
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.9	1.1

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52
 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

Notes

Proposed Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (DP2)

Includes Basins OSA1 OSA2 OSA3 OSA4 A1

Job No.:	61201	Date:	09/21/2023 17:27
Project:	Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision	Calcs by:	JO
Jurisdiction	DCM	Checked by:	
Runoff Coefficient	Surface Type	Soil Type	B
		Urbanization	Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	891,027	20.46	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Roofs	17,040	0.39	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Gravel	9,260	0.21	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Paved	8,396	0.19	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	925,723	21.25	0.05	0.10	0.17	0.27	0.32	0.37	3.4%

Basin Travel Time

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. ΔZ_0 (ft)	Q_i (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach	OSA1	-	1,107	53	-	-	-	-	19.7
Channelized-1	V-Ditch	2	698	28	19	0	2	4.9	2.4
Channelized-2									
Channelized-3									
Total			1,806	81					

2 = Natural, Winding, minimal vegetation/shallow grass

t_c (min) **22.1**

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas

Q_{Minor} (cfs) - 5-year Storm

Q_{Major} (cfs) - 100-year Storm

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.35	2.94	3.43	3.92	4.41	4.93
Site Runoff (cfs)	2.30	6.53	12.59	22.49	29.87	38.52
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	6.5	-	-	-	38.5

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Runoff from Offsite basins have been assumed constant, despite additional times of concentration.

Proposed Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (DP3)

Includes Basins DP2 OSA5 A2

Job No.:	61201	Date:	09/21/2023 17:27
Project:	Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision	Calcs by:	JO
Jurisdiction	DCM	Checked by:	
Runoff Coefficient	Surface Type	Soil Type	B
		Urbanization	Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	1,256,190	28.84	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	24,782	0.57	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Roofs	22,040	0.51	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Paved	19,854	0.46	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	1,322,866	30.37	0.05	0.11	0.18	0.28	0.33	0.37	4.50%

Basin Travel Time

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. ΔZ ₀ (ft)	Q _i (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach	DP2	-	1,806	81	-	-	-	-	22.1
Channelized-1	V-Ditch	2	430	14	39	0	2	5.4	1.3
Channelized-2									
Channelized-3									
Total			2,236	95					

2 = Natural, Winding, minimal vegetation/shallow grass

t_c (min) 23.5

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas: _____

Q_{Minor}: _____ (cfs) - 5-year Storm

Q_{Major}: _____ (cfs) - 100-year Storm

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.28	2.85	3.33	3.80	4.28	4.78
Site Runoff (cfs)	3.80	9.75	18.23	31.96	42.23	54.24
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	9.8	-	-	-	54.2

DCM: I = C1 * ln(tc) + C2

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Runoff from Offsite basins have been assumed constant, despite additional times of concentration.

Proposed Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (DP4)

Includes Basins OSB1 OSB2 OSB3 OSB4 PP-OSB5 A3 B1

Job No.:	61201	Date:	09/21/2023 17:27
Project:	Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision	Calcs by:	JO
Jurisdiction	DCM	Checked by:	
Runoff Coefficient	Surface Type	Soil Type	B
		Urbanization	Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	569,009	13.06	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	28,143	0.65	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Roofs	10,685	0.25	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Paved	9,512	0.22	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	617,350	14.17	0.07	0.13	0.19	0.29	0.34	0.38	6.7%

Basin Travel Time

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. ΔZ ₀ (ft)	Q _i (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach	OSB1	-	59	6	-	-	-	-	6.5
Channelized-1	V-Ditch	3	1,251	86	0	0	2	1.3	16.6
Channelized-2									
Channelized-3									
Total			1,310	92					

3 = Natural, Winding, significant vegetation

t_c (min) 23.2

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas

Q_{Minor} (cfs) - 5-year Storm

Q_{Major} (cfs) - 100-year Storm

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.30	2.87	3.35	3.83	4.30	4.82
Site Runoff (cfs)	2.29	5.17	9.21	15.64	20.50	26.16
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	5.2	-	-	-	26.2

DCM: I = C1 * ln(tc) + C2

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Runoff from Offsite basins have been assumed constant, despite additional times of concentration.

Proposed Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (DP5)

Includes Basins OSC1 C1

Job No.:	61201	Date:	09/21/2023 17:27
Project:	Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision	Calcs by:	JO
Jurisdiction	DCM	Checked by:	
Runoff Coefficient	Surface Type	Soil Type	B
		Urbanization	Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	29,748	0.68	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	8,361	0.19	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Paved	3,069	0.07	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	41,179	0.95	0.20	0.24	0.30	0.38	0.43	0.47	23.7%

Basin Travel Time

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. ΔZ_0 (ft)	Q_i (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach	OSC1	-	576	28	-	-	-	-	10.7
Channelized-1									
Channelized-2									
Channelized-3									
Total			576	28					
								t_c (min)	10.7

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas

Q_{Minor} (cfs) - 5-year Storm

Q_{Major} (cfs) - 100-year Storm

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.21	4.03	4.70	5.37	6.04	6.76
Site Runoff (cfs)	0.60	0.93	1.35	1.95	2.43	2.98
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	0.9	-	-	-	3.0

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$


C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Runoff from Offsite basins have been assumed constant, despite additional times of concentration.

3 Hydraulic Calculations

Proposed Nabulsi Roadway West Roadside Ditch Calculations
Proposed Nabulsi Roadway East Roadside Ditch Calculations
Proposed Nabulsi Roadway Culvert Calculations
Proposed Old Ranch Road North Roadside Ditch Calculations
Proposed 5-yr & 100-yr Culver Calcuations For Existing 18" & 36" CMPs



Calculation for existing 18"
culvert missing. Please
provide

Provide calculation for minimum
size of driveway culvert needed.

Nabulsi Road Sta 6+45 to 4+37 (24'R) Ditch Flow Calculation (East Ditch 1)

Includes Basins OSA1 OSA2 OSA3 OSA4 A1 E-1 ADD AREA

Job No.:	61201	Date:	09/20/2023 9:36
Project:	Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision	Calcs by:	JO
Jurisdiction	DCM	Checked by:	
Runoff Coefficient	Surface Type	Soil Type	B
		Urbanization	Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	897,843	20.61	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Roofs	17,040	0.39	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Gravel	18,927	0.43	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Paved	8,396	0.19	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Combined	942,206	21.63	0.05	0.11	0.18	0.27	0.32	0.37	4.1%

Basin Travel Time

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. ΔZ_0 (ft)	Q_i (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	
Furthest Reach	OSA1	-	1,107	53	-	-	-	-	19.7	
Channelized-1	V-Ditch	2	601	29	19	0	2	5.2	1.9	
Channelized-2	V-Ditch	2	228.7	5.1	19	0	2	3.9	1.0	
Channelized-3										
Total			1,937	87						
		2 = Natural, Winding, minimal vegetation/shallow grass							t_c	22.6
		2 = Natural, Winding, minimal vegetation/shallow grass							(min)	

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas: _____

Q_{Minor} _____ (cfs) - 5-year Storm

Q_{Major} _____ (cfs) - 100-year Storm

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.32	2.90	3.39	3.87	4.36	4.87
Site Runoff (cfs)	2.58	6.87	13.00	22.95	30.39	39.09
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	6.9	-	-	-	39.1

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Runoff from Offsite basins have been assumed constant, despite additional times of concentration.

Channel Report

61201-Nabulsi Road Sta 6+44 to 5+95 (62'R)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft) = 2.00

Invert Elev (ft) = 7293.75
Slope (%) = 2.63
N-Value = 0.035


Calculations

Compute by: Known Q
Known Q (cfs) = 38.50

Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 1.44
Q (cfs) = 38.50
Area (sqft) = 7.26
Velocity (ft/s) = 5.30
Wetted Perim (ft) = 10.49
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 1.50
Top Width (ft) = 10.08
EGL (ft) = 1.88

Velocities in several swales exceed 2.5 ft/s. Per DCMv1 Chapter 10.7, Table 10-4 for "Maximum Permissible Velocities for Earth Channels with Varies Grass Linings and Slopes," these velocities may be erosive depending on the slope and chosen type of grass. Please use that table with the swale slopes and grass type to determine if additional lining/armoring is required (or choose one of the more erosion resistant grass types, and document this selection in the report text above).



Channel Report

61201-Nabulsi Road Sta 5+95 (62'R) to 4+37 (24'R)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft) = 2.00


Invert Elev (ft) = 7293.75
Slope (%) = 4.22
N-Value = 0.035

Calculations

Compute by: Known Q
Known Q (cfs) = 39.10

Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 1.32
Q (cfs) = 39.10
Area (sqft) = 6.10
Velocity (ft/s) = 6.41
Wetted Perim (ft) = 9.62
Crit Depth, Y_c (ft) = 1.51
Top Width (ft) = 9.24
EGL (ft) = 1.96



Channel Report

61201-Nabulsi Road Sta 5+95 (62'L) to 4+98 (24'L)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft) = 2.00


Invert Elev (ft) = 7283.25
Slope (%) = 7.40
N-Value = 0.035

Calculations

Compute by: Known Q
Known Q (cfs) = 0.30

Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.20
Q (cfs) = 0.300
Area (sqft) = 0.14
Velocity (ft/s) = 2.14
Wetted Perim (ft) = 1.46
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.22
Top Width (ft) = 1.40
EGL (ft) = 0.27



Channel Report

61201-Nabulsi Road Sta 4+98 (24'L) to 2+40 (24'L)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft) = 2.00


Invert Elev (ft) = 7281.80
Slope (%) = 4.22
N-Value = 0.035

Calculations

Compute by: Known Q
Known Q (cfs) = 1.50

Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.39
Q (cfs) = 1.500
Area (sqft) = 0.53
Velocity (ft/s) = 2.82
Wetted Perim (ft) = 2.84
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.41
Top Width (ft) = 2.73
EGL (ft) = 0.51



Channel Report

61201-Nabulsi Road 2+05 (24'R) to 0+45 (24'R)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1) = 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft) = 2.00


Invert Elev (ft) = 7273.75
Slope (%) = 6.90
N-Value = 0.035

Calculations

Compute by: Known Q
Known Q (cfs) = 0.80

Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.28
Q (cfs) = 0.800
Area (sqft) = 0.27
Velocity (ft/s) = 2.92
Wetted Perim (ft) = 2.04
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.32
Top Width (ft) = 1.96
EGL (ft) = 0.41



PP Nabulsi Road, 18" Culvert Runoff Calculations

Job No.: 61201 Date: 07/31/2023 11:01
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision Calcs by: JO
 Checked by: _____
 Jurisdiction: DCM Soil Type: B
 Runoff Coefficient: Surface Type Urbanization: Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	18,926	0.43	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	6,195	0.14	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Combined	25,121	0.58	0.16	0.21	0.27	0.35	0.39	0.44	19.7%

25120.6675

Basin Travel Time

	Shallow Channel Ground Cover		Heavy meadow				
	$L_{max,Overland}$	300 ft			C_v	2.5	
	L (ft)	ΔZ_0 (ft)	S_0 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t_{Alt} (min)	
Total	152	16	-	-	-	-	
Initial Time	26	6	0.229	-	2.9	N/A	DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	85	5	0.059	0.6	2.3	-	DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	41	5	0.121	2.2	0.3	-	V-Ditch
				t_c	5.6 min.		

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.99	5.00	5.83	6.67	7.50	8.40
Runoff (cfs)	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.3	1.7	2.1
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.3	1.7	2.1

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1: 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52
 C2: 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

Notes

Culvert Report


61201 - PP Nabulsi Road Sta 0+28, PP 18-inch RCP 5-yr

Invert Elev Dn (ft)	= 7269.25
Pipe Length (ft)	= 79.17
Slope (%)	= 1.01
Invert Elev Up (ft)	= 7270.05
Rise (in)	= 18.0
Shape	= Circular
Span (in)	= 18.0
No. Barrels	= 1
n-Value	= 0.012
Culvert Type	= Circular Concrete
Culvert Entrance	= Groove end projecting (C)
Coeff. K,M,c,Y,k	= 0.0045, 2, 0.0317, 0.69, 0.2

Embankment	
Top Elevation (ft)	= 7272.81
Top Width (ft)	= 28.00
Crest Width (ft)	= 70.00

Calculations	
Qmin (cfs)	= 0.60
Qmax (cfs)	= 0.60
Tailwater Elev (ft)	= (dc+D)/2

Highlighted	
Qtotal (cfs)	= 0.60
Qpipe (cfs)	= 0.60
Qovertop (cfs)	= 0.00
Veloc Dn (ft/s)	= 0.55
Veloc Up (ft/s)	= 2.54
HGL Dn (ft)	= 7270.14
HGL Up (ft)	= 7270.34
Hw Elev (ft)	= 7270.43
Hw/D (ft)	= 0.25
Flow Regime	= Inlet Control



Culvert Report


61201 - PP Nabulsi Road Sta 0+28, PP 18-inch RCP

Invert Elev Dn (ft)	= 7269.25
Pipe Length (ft)	= 79.17
Slope (%)	= 1.01
Invert Elev Up (ft)	= 7270.05
Rise (in)	= 18.0
Shape	= Circular
Span (in)	= 18.0
No. Barrels	= 1
n-Value	= 0.012
Culvert Type	= Circular Concrete
Culvert Entrance	= Groove end projecting (C)
Coeff. K,M,c,Y,k	= 0.0045, 2, 0.0317, 0.69, 0.2

Embankment	
Top Elevation (ft)	= 7272.81
Top Width (ft)	= 28.00
Crest Width (ft)	= 70.00

Calculations	
Qmin (cfs)	= 2.10
Qmax (cfs)	= 2.10
Tailwater Elev (ft)	= (dc+D)/2

Highlighted	
Qtotal (cfs)	= 2.10
Qpipe (cfs)	= 2.10
Qovertop (cfs)	= 0.00
Veloc Dn (ft/s)	= 1.63
Veloc Up (ft/s)	= 3.61
HGL Dn (ft)	= 7270.27
HGL Up (ft)	= 7270.60
Hw Elev (ft)	= 7270.80
Hw/D (ft)	= 0.50
Flow Regime	= Inlet Control



PP Old Ranch Roadside Ditch Runoff Calculations

Includes Basins pp orr roadside ditch A3 PP-OSB5

Job No.:	61201	Date:	09/21/2023 17:43
Project:	Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision	Calcs by:	JO
Jurisdiction	DCM	Checked by:	
Runoff Coefficient	Surface Type	Soil Type	B
		Urbanization	Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

Surface	Area		Runoff Coefficient						% Imperv.
	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	
Pasture/Meadow	94,879	2.18	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Gravel	20,051	0.46	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Combined	114,930	2.64	0.12	0.17	0.23	0.32	0.37	0.41	14.0%

Basin Travel Time

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. ΔZ ₀ (ft)	Q _i (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach	orr roadside ditch	-	854	32	-	-	-	-	12.6
Channelized-1									
Channelized-2									
Channelized-3									
Total			854	32					
								t_c (min)	12.6

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas

Q_{Minor} (cfs) - 5-year Storm

Q_{Major} (cfs) - 100-year Storm

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.02	3.79	4.42	5.05	5.68	6.36
Site Runoff (cfs)	0.93	1.69	2.72	4.28	5.49	6.90
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	1.7	-	-	-	6.9

DCM: $I = C1 * \ln(tc) + C2$

C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

Notes

Runoff from Offsite basins have been assumed constant, despite additional times of concentration.

Channel Report

61201-Old Ranch Road Roadside Ditch to Existing 36-inch CMP (DP4)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1) = 6.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft) = 2.00

Invert Elev (ft) = 10.00
Slope (%) = 1.05
N-Value = 0.035


Calculations

Compute by: Known Q
Known Q (cfs) = 6.90

Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.81
Q (cfs) = 6.900
Area (sqft) = 2.95
Velocity (ft/s) = 2.34
Wetted Perim (ft) = 7.49
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.69
Top Width (ft) = 7.29
EGL (ft) = 0.89

Culvert & ditch should be checked against flows determined at DP4 (5.2/26.2 cfs)



Culvert Report

61201 - Old Ranch Road Existing 36-inch CMP (DP4), Proposed 5 yr

Invert Elev Dn (ft)	= 7265.20
Pipe Length (ft)	= 54.22
Slope (%)	= 2.18
Invert Elev Up (ft)	= 7266.38
Rise (in)	= 36.0
Shape	= Circular
Span (in)	= 36.0
No. Barrels	= 1
n-Value	= 0.022
Culvert Type	= Circular Corrugate Metal Pipe
Culvert Entrance	= Projecting
Coeff. K,M,c,Y,k	= 0.034, 1.5, 0.0553, 0.54, 0.9

Embankment


Top Elevation (ft)	= 7270.50
Top Width (ft)	= 32.00
Crest Width (ft)	= 50.00

Calculations

Qmin (cfs)	= 5.20
Qmax (cfs)	= 5.20
Tailwater Elev (ft)	= (dc+D)/2

Highlighted

Qtotal (cfs)	= 5.20
Qpipe (cfs)	= 5.20
Qovertop (cfs)	= 0.00
Veloc Dn (ft/s)	= 1.13
Veloc Up (ft/s)	= 4.04
HGL Dn (ft)	= 7267.06
HGL Up (ft)	= 7267.09
Hw Elev (ft)	= 7267.34
Hw/D (ft)	= 0.32
Flow Regime	= Inlet Control



Culvert Report


61201 - Old Ranch Road Existing 36-inch CMP (DP4), Proposed 100 yr

Invert Elev Dn (ft)	= 7265.20
Pipe Length (ft)	= 54.22
Slope (%)	= 2.18
Invert Elev Up (ft)	= 7266.38
Rise (in)	= 36.0
Shape	= Circular
Span (in)	= 36.0
No. Barrels	= 1
n-Value	= 0.022
Culvert Type	= Circular Corrugate Metal Pipe
Culvert Entrance	= Projecting
Coeff. K,M,c,Y,k	= 0.034, 1.5, 0.0553, 0.54, 0.9

Calculations	
Qmin (cfs)	= 26.20
Qmax (cfs)	= 26.20
Tailwater Elev (ft)	= (dc+D)/2

Highlighted	
Qtotal (cfs)	= 26.20
Qpipe (cfs)	= 26.20
Qovertop (cfs)	= 0.00
Veloc Dn (ft/s)	= 4.46
Veloc Up (ft/s)	= 6.57
HGL Dn (ft)	= 7267.53
HGL Up (ft)	= 7268.03
Hw Elev (ft)	= 7268.99
Hw/D (ft)	= 0.87
Flow Regime	= Inlet Control

Embankment	
Top Elevation (ft)	= 7270.50
Top Width (ft)	= 32.00
Crest Width (ft)	= 50.00



I assume that these two areas are related to Basins A2 and B1 but please label and delineate these areas on the RR map below for clarity.

Design Procedure Form: Runoff Reduction

UD-BMP (Version 3.07, March 2018)

Sheet 1 of 1

Designer: Oakden, J.
 Company: MVE, Inc.
 Date: September 21, 2023
 Project: Nabulsi-Abushaban Subdivision
 Location: _____

SITE INFORMATION (User Input in Blue Cells)

WQCV Rainfall Depth = 0.60 inches
 Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm, d_s = 0.43 inches (for Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Figure 3-1 in USDCM Vol. 3)

Area Type	UIA:RPA	UIA:RPA										
Area ID	A2	B1										
Downstream Design Point ID	CULVERT	DP4										
Downstream BMP Type	None	None										
DCIA (ft ²)	--	--										
UIA (ft ²)	13,871	11,753										
RPA (ft ²)	5,774	5,979										
SPA (ft ²)	--	--										
HSG A (%)	0%	0%										
HSG B (%)	100%	100%										
HSG C/D (%)	0%	0%										
Average Slope of RPA (ft/ft)	0.250	0.250										
UIA:RPA Interface Width (ft)	500.00	500.00										

CALCULATED RUNOFF RESULTS

Area ID	A2	B1										
UIA:RPA Area (ft ²)	19,645	17,732										
L / W Ratio	0.08	0.07										
UIA / Area	0.7061	0.6628										
Runoff (in)	0.06	0.00										
Runoff (ft ³)	94	5										
Runoff Reduction (ft ³)	484	484										

CALCULATED WQCV RESULTS

Area ID	A2	B1										
WQCV (ft ³)	578	490										
WQCV Reduction (ft ³)	484	484										
WQCV Reduction (%)	84%	99%										
Untreated WQCV (ft ³)	94	5										

CALCULATED DESIGN POINT RESULTS (sums results from all columns with the same Downstream Design Point ID)









Downstream Design Point ID	CULVERT	DP4										
DCIA (ft ²)	0	0										
UIA (ft ²)	13,871	11,753										
RPA (ft ²)	5,774	5,979										
SPA (ft ²)	0	0										
Total Area (ft ²)	19,645	17,732										
Total Impervious Area (ft ²)	13,871	11,753										
WQCV (ft ³)	578	490										
WQCV Reduction (ft ³)	484	484										
WQCV Reduction (%)	84%	99%										
Untreated WQCV (ft ³)	94	5										

CALCULATED SITE RESULTS (sums results from all columns in worksheet)

Total Area (ft ²)	37,377
Total Impervious Area (ft ²)	25,624
WQCV (ft ³)	1,068
WQCV Reduction (ft ³)	969
WQCV Reduction (%)	91%
Untreated WQCV (ft ³)	99

- The following requirements apply for the design, construction, and maintenance of runoff reduction PCMs:
- All RPAs and SPAs are considered PCMs and therefore require a signed PCM Maintenance Agreement and an O&M Manual.
 - All RPAs and SPAs will need to be within a no build drainage easement or tract shown in the project Drainage Report, GEC Plans, CDs, and Site Plat.
 - RPA and SPA cannot be located in County ROW.
 - RPA areas cannot be located in wetlands.
 - Provide a detail in the plans that shows the UIA to RPA interface with the vertical drop of 4".
 - Vegetation in RPAs and SPAs should have a uniform density of at least 80%.
 - See MHFD Detail T-0 for more guidance.

LEGEND


-  PROPERTY LINE
-  EASEMENT LINE
- EXISTING**
-  5985 INDEX CONTOUR
-  84 INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR
- PROPOSED**
-  5985 INDEX CONTOUR
-  84 INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR
-  AREA BOUNDARY
-  AREA I.D.

SURFACE TYPES

UNCONNECTED IMPERVIOUS AREA (UIA)



RECEIVING PERVIOUS AREA (RPA)





EXCLUDED PER ECM I.7.1.B.5



Show north arrow and scale

While some of the UIA areas are trib to this portion of the RPA, this portion is not part of the 500ft wide UIA/RPA interface. So I think this is mis-represented on the calcs. Consider how to better represent this on the calcs (with a new "Area ID" for this UIA/RPA area, for example)





 (719) 635-5736	<p>MONUMENT VALLEY ENGINEERS INC.</p> <p>*** ENGINEERS *** SURVEYORS ***</p> <p>1903 LELARAY ST., COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80909</p>
<p>NABULSI-ABUSHABAN_SUBDIVISION</p>	

4 Drainage Maps



Existing Conditions Drainage Map
Proposed Conditions Drainage Map

(Map Pocket)
(Map Pocket)



VICINITY MAP
NOT TO SCALE

BENCHMARK



MVE, INC.
ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS

1903 Leary Street, Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80909 719.635.5736

REVISIONS

DESIGNED BY _____
DRAWN BY JO
CHECKED BY _____
AS-BUILTS BY _____
CHECKED BY _____

NABULSI-ABUSHABAN
SUBDIV. FIL NO. 1

EXISTING DRAINAGE
MAP

MVE PROJECT **61201**
MVE DRAWING **EX-DRN**

SEPTEMBER 21, 2023
SHEET 1 OF 1



FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

NO PORTION OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN A FEMA DESIGNATED SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA (SFHA) AS INDICATED ON THE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAPS (FIRM) FOR EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS - MAP NUMBERS 08041C0527G, EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 7, 2018.



EXISTING DRAINAGE SUMMARY

OFFSITE DRAINAGE SUMMARY TABLE							ONSITE DRAINAGE SUMMARY TABLE						DESIGN POINTS SUMMARY TABLE										
DESIGN POINTS	INCLUDED BASINS	AREA (AC)	Tc (MIN.)	RUNOFF			DESIGN POINTS	INCLUDED BASINS	AREA (AC)	Tc (MIN.)	RUNOFF			DESIGN POINTS	INCLUDED BASINS	AREA (AC)	Tc (MIN.)	RUNOFF					
				Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)	METHOD					Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)	METHOD					Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)	METHOD			
DP1	OSA1	9.66	19.7	3.4	18.8	RATIONAL	EX-DP2	OSA1-4, EX-A1	21.74	22.1	6.3	39.0	RATIONAL	EX-DP5	OSC1, EX-C1	0.95	10.7	0.9	3.0	RATIONAL			
	OSA2	1.53	9.4	0.6	3.9	RATIONAL		EX-A2	7.94	10.7	3.0	19.3	RATIONAL		EX-DP4	OSB1-5, EX-B1	13.71	23.2	3.9	24.0	RATIONAL		
	OSA3	2.91	14.3	0.9	6.2	RATIONAL		EX-B1	8.86	13.7	2.6	19.1	RATIONAL			EX-DP3	EX-DP2, OSA5, EX-A2	30.83	23.0	9.1	54.5	RATIONAL	
	OSA4	0.07	5.0	<0.1	0.2	RATIONAL		EX-C1	0.41	6.7	0.2	1.1	RATIONAL				EX-DP2	OSB1-5, EX-B1	13.71	23.2	3.9	24.0	RATIONAL
	OSA5	1.14	10.5	1.2	3.7	RATIONAL																	
	OSB1	0.12	6.5	0.1	0.4	RATIONAL																	
	OSB2	0.69	6.0	0.3	2.0	RATIONAL																	
	OSB3	3.25	8.3	1.7	9.1	RATIONAL																	
	OSB4	0.38	9.0	0.4	1.2	RATIONAL																	
	OSB5	0.38	16.2	0.4	1.1	RATIONAL																	
	OSC1	0.53	10.7	0.8	2.0	RATIONAL																	

Area does not match with hydrology spreadsheets



VICINITY MAP
NOT TO SCALE



MVE, INC.
ENGINEERS / SURVEYORS

1903 Leary Street, Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80909 719.635.5736

REVISIONS

DESIGNED BY: _____
DRAWN BY: JO
CHECKED BY: _____
AS-BUILT BY: _____
CHECKED BY: _____

NABULSI-ABUSHABAN
SUBDIVISION

PROPOSED DRAINAGE
MAP

MVE PROJECT: **61201**
MVE DRAWING: **PP-DRN**

SEPTEMBER 21, 2023
SHEET 1 OF 1

LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- EASEMENT LINE
- LOT LINE
- EXISTING**
- INDEX CONTOUR
- INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR
- PROPOSED**
- INDEX CONTOUR
- INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR
- BASIN BOUNDARY
- GENERAL FLOW/DIRECTION
- SLOPE DIRECTION AND GRADE
- BASIN LABEL
AREA IN ACRES
PERCENT IMPERVIOUS
- DESIGN POINT

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

NO PORTION OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN A FEMA DESIGNATED SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA (SFHA) AS INDICATED ON THE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAPS (FIRM) FOR EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS - MAP NUMBERS 08041C0527G, EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 7, 2018.

PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

OFFSITE DRAINAGE SUMMARY TABLE							ONSITE DRAINAGE SUMMARY TABLE						DESIGN POINTS SUMMARY TABLE							
DESIGN POINTS	INCLUDED BASINS	AREA (AC)	Tc (MIN.)	Q5 (CFS)	RUNOFF Q100 (CFS)	METHOD	DESIGN POINTS	INCLUDED BASINS	AREA (AC)	Tc (MIN.)	Q5 (CFS)	RUNOFF Q100 (CFS)	METHOD	DESIGN POINTS	INCLUDED BASINS	AREA (AC)	Tc (MIN.)	Q5 (CFS)	RUNOFF Q100 (CFS)	METHOD
DP1	OSA1	9.66	19.7	3.4	18.8	RATIONAL	A1	7.08	13.3	2.7	16.2	RATIONAL	DP2	OSA1-4, A1	21.25	22.1	6.5	38.5	RATIONAL	
	OSA2	1.53	9.4	0.6	3.9	RATIONAL		A2	7.98	10.6	3.7	20.3		RATIONAL	DP3	DP2, OSA5, A2	30.37	23.5	9.8	54.2
	OSA3	2.91	14.3	0.9	6.2	RATIONAL	A3	0.46	8.2	0.3	1.4	RATIONAL	DP4	OSB1-4, PP-OSB5, A3, B1	14.17	23.2	5.2	26.2	RATIONAL	
	OSA4	0.07	5.0	<0.1	0.2	RATIONAL	B1	8.87	13.4	4.0	20.8	RATIONAL	DP5	OSC1, C1	0.95	10.7	0.9	3.0	RATIONAL	
	OSA5	1.14	10.5	1.2	3.7	RATIONAL	C1	0.41	6.7	0.2	1.1	RATIONAL								
	OSB1	0.12	6.5	0.1	0.4	RATIONAL														
	OSB2	0.69	6.0	0.3	2.0	RATIONAL														
	OSB3	3.25	8.3	1.7	9.1	RATIONAL														
	OSB4	0.38	9.0	0.4	1.2	RATIONAL														
	OSB5	0.38	16.2	0.4	1.1	RATIONAL														
	PP-OSB5	0.38	15.9	0.4	1.1	RATIONAL														
	OSC1	0.53	10.7	0.8	2.0	RATIONAL														

Remove this line since basin is not on this map