## TO: El Paso County Board of County Commissioners Stan VenderWerf, Chair

FROM: Ryan Howser, AICP Planner III Daniel Torres, PE Engineer III Kevin Mastin, Interim Executive Director

RE: Project File \#: PUDSP-22-004
Project Name: Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch
Parcel Nos.: 42000-00-402; 42000-00-450

| OWNER: | REPRESENTATIVE: |
| :--- | :--- |
| GTL, Inc. | N.E.S, Inc. |
| 3575 Kenyon Street, Suite 200 | 619 North Cascade Avenue, Suite 200 |
| San Diego, CA, 92110 | Colorado Springs, CO 80903 |

Commissioner District: 2

| Planning Commission Hearing Date: | $9 / 15 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Board of County Commissioners Hearing Date | 10/4/2022 |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
A request by GTL, Inc. for approval of a map amendment (rezoning) from PUD (Planned Unit Development) to PUD (Planned Unit Development) and approval of a preliminary plan for 343 single-family residential lots and seven (7) tracts. The 74.39 -acre property is located at the eastern end of Rex Road, approximately 1.5 miles east of Meridian Road and within Section 20, Township 12 South, Range 64 West of the 6th P.M.

## A. REQUEST/MODIFICATIONS/AUTHORIZATION

Request: Approval of a map amendment (rezoning) from PUD (Planned Unit Development) to PUD (Planned Unit Development) to develop 343 single-family residential lots and seven (7) tracts within 74.39-acre development area. In accordance with Section 4.2.6.E of the El Paso County Land Development Code (2021), a PUD Development Plan May be Approved as a Preliminary Plan; the applicant is also requesting the PUD development plan be approved as a preliminary plan.

## Modification of Existing Land Development Code (LDC) or Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) Standard:

For approval of a modification of a general development standard in the LDC or standard of the ECM, the BoCC shall find that the proposal provides for the general health, safety, and welfare of the citizens and at least one of the following benefits:

- Preservation of natural features;
- Provision of a more livable environment, such as the installment of street furniture, decorative street lighting or decorative paving materials;
- Provision of a more efficient pedestrian system;
- Provision of additional open space;
- Provision of other public amenities not otherwise required by the Code; or
- The proposed modification is granted in exchange for the open space and/or amenity designs provided in the PUD development plan and/or development guide.

The applicants are not requesting any modifications to the LDC.

The applicants are requesting the following modification(s) of the ECM:

1. A PUD modification of section 2.2.4.B.3 and 2.3.2 of the ECM to construct the extension of Rex Road east of Estate Ridge Drive as a half section of urban minor arterial road in lieu of the entire 4-lane roadway section as identified in the El Paso County 2016 Major Transportation Corridors Plan Update (MTCP).

## ECM Administrator Recommendation:

The ECM Administrator recommends approval of the requested PUD Modification. The half section provides continuity of the existing 2-lane Rex Road section west of Estate Ridge Drive. The developer is dedicating an 80-foot right-of-way with an additional 20 -foot-wide reservation within a tract to accommodate the future width section when the County determines it is necessary to construct the full width section.
2. A PUD modification of section 2.5.2.C.4 of the ECM to omit midblock pedestrian crossings on Arriba Drive, Estes Ridge Drive, Nederland Drive, and Rico Ridge Drive.

Section 2.5.2.C. 4 states: "Access ramps on local roadways shall be spaced no greater than 600 feet apart. Where spacing is greater than 600 feet, mid-block access ramps shall be provided at spacing that minimize travel distances between access ramps."

## ECM Administrator Recommendation:

The ECM administrator recommends approval of the requested PUD Modification. Per the PUD/Preliminary Plan, adequate pedestrian accessibility is provided by access ramps at nearby intersections. Additionally, the Federal Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) has no requirements for maximum distance between pedestrian crossings that would necessitate mid-block pedestrian ramps.
3. A PUD modification of section 2.5.2.C. 3 of the ECM to omit a pedestrian ramp across the top of a " $T$ " intersection located along Retreat Peak Drive and Shelter Creek Drive at the intersections with Arriba Drive, Nederland Drive, and Estes Ridge Drive.

Section 2.5.2.C. 3 states: "All "T" intersections shall have a minimum of three access ramps..."

## ECM Administrator Recommendation:

The ECM Administrator recommends approval of the requested PUD Modification. Per the PUD/Preliminary Plan lot size and layout, pedestrian ramps at such locations will interfere with the placement of the required driveway for the residential lots. Additionally, pedestrian accessibility is provided by access ramps across the streets at no more than 600 feet away from the intersections in question.
4. A PUD modification of Appendix F, detail SD_2-77 Urban Knuckle to modify the standard sight distance triangle length at urban local knuckles along Manzanola Drive.

Detail SD_2-77 identifies a sight distance triangle length of 40 feet at each leg of the sight distance triangle based on a design speed of 25 miles per hour. The length of each leg is proposed to be 25 feet.

## ECM Administrator Recommendation:

The ECM Administrator recommends approval of the requested PUD Modification. The typical speed of vehicles is reduced around the curve of the knuckle to approximately $15-\mathrm{mph}$ allowing for adequate sight distance. Additionally, the proposal will allow for sufficient stopping sight distance on the horizontal curve which is the intended result of the sight distance legs.
5. A PUD Modification of section 2.2.5.C of the ECM to provide a roadway intersection spacing along Rex Road, an urban minor arterial, that is less than what is prescribed in the ECM between the proposed roadways Retreat Peak Drive and Shelter Creek Drive.

Section 2.2.5.C states: "Spacing of roads accessing an urban minor arterial that will result in a full movement intersection shall be planned at one-quarter mile $(1,320 \mathrm{ft})$. The length proposed between the two roadways is 1,125 feet.

## ECM Administrator Recommendation:

The ECM Administrator recommends approval of the requested PUD Modification. The distance between the proposed roadways is 195 feet less than what is prescribed in the ECM. The site is constrained by a major drainage channel on the west side and Falcon Regional Park on the east side. Additionally, Rex Road will operate similar to an urban residential collector roadway in the short and long term as documented in the various traffic studies submitted for the Meridian Ranch subdivisions. The required spacing for an urban residential collector is 330 feet when intersecting local roadways.

Staff recommends that the deviation requests associated with the PUD (Planned Unit Development) Modifications from the ECM as prepared are acceptable contingent upon the approval of the PUD Modifications by the Board of County Commissioners.

Authorization to Sign: PUD Development Plan and any other documents required to finalize the approval. Approval by the Board of the preliminary plan with a finding of sufficiency for water quality, quantity, and dependability authorizes the Planning and Community Development Department Director to administratively approve all subsequent final plat(s) consistent with the preliminary plan as well as the associated Subdivision Improvement Agreements, License and Detention Pond

Maintenance Agreements, and any other documents necessary to carry out the intent of the Board of County Commissioners.

## B. PLANNING COMMISSION SUMMARY

Request Heard: As a consent item at the Sept. 15 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2022, Planning Commission.
Recommendation: Approval based on recommended conditions and notations.
Waiver Recommendation: N/A
Vote: 8-0
Vote Rationale: N/A
Summary of Hearing: The PC Draft Minutes are attached.
Legal Notice: Advertised in The EI Paso County Advertiser and News on Sept. $14^{\text {th }}, 2022$.

## C. APPROVAL CRITERIA

The Planning Commission and BOCC shall determine that the following the criteria for approval outlined in Section 4.2.6, and Section 7.2.1 of the El Paso County Land Development Code (2021), have been met to approve a PUD zoning district:

- The proposed PUD district zoning advances the stated purposes set forth in this section.
- The application is in general conformity with the Master Plan;
- The proposed development is in compliance with the requirements of this Code and all applicable statutory provisions and will not otherwise be detrimental to the health, safety, or welfare of the present or future inhabitants of El Paso County;
- The subject property is suitable for the intended uses and the use is compatible with both the existing and allowed land uses on the neighboring properties, will be in harmony and responsive with the character of the surrounding area and natural environment, and will not have a negative impact upon the existing and future development of the surrounding area;
- The proposed development provides adequate consideration for any potentially detrimental use to use relationships (e.g. commercial use adjacent to single family use) and provides an appropriate transition or buffering between uses of differing intensities both on-site and off-site which may include innovative treatments of use to use relationships;
- The allowed uses, bulk requirements and landscaping and buffering are appropriate to and compatible with the type of development, the surrounding neighborhood or area and the community;
- Areas with unique or significant historical, cultural, recreational, aesthetic or natural features are preserved and incorporated into the design of the project;
- Open spaces and trails are integrated into the development plan to serve as amenities to residents and provide reasonable walking and biking opportunities;
- The proposed development will not overburden the capacities of existing or planned roads, utilities and other public facilities (e.g. fire protection, police protection, emergency services, and water and sanitation), and the required public services and facilities will be provided to support the development when needed;
- The proposed development would be a benefit through the provision of interconnected open space, conservation of environmental features, aesthetic features and harmonious design, and energy efficient site design;
- The proposed land use does not permit the use of any area containing a commercial mineral deposit in a manner which would unreasonably interfere with the present or future extraction of such deposit unless acknowledged by the mineral rights owner;
- Any proposed exception or deviation from the requirements if the zoning resolution or the subdivision regulation is warranted by virtue of the design and amenities incorporated in the development plan and development guide; and
- The owner has authorized the application.

The applicant has requested the proposed PUD also be reviewed and considered as a preliminary plan. Compliance with the requirements identified in Chapter 7 and Chapter 8 of the El Paso County Land Development Code (2019) for a preliminary plan requires the Planning Commission and the BoCC shall find that the additional criteria for a preliminary plan have also been met.:

- The proposed subdivision is in general conformance with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Master Plan;
- The subdivision is consistent with the purposes of this Code;
- The subdivision is in conformance with the subdivision design standards and any approved sketch plan;
- A sufficient water supply has been acquired in terms of quantity, quality, and dependability for the type of subdivision proposed, as determined in accordance with the standards set forth in the water supply standards [C.R.S. §30-28-133(6)(a)] and the requirements of Chapter 8 of this Code;
- A public sewage disposal system has been established and, if other methods of sewage disposal are proposed, the system complies with state and local laws and regulations, [C.R.S. §30-28-133(6) (b)] and the requirements of Chapter 8 of this Code;
- All areas of the proposed subdivision, which may involve soil or topographical conditions presenting hazards or requiring special precautions, have been identified and the proposed subdivision is compatible with such conditions. [C.R.S. §30-28-133(6)(c)];
- Adequate drainage improvements complying with State law [C.R.S. §30-28133(3)(c)(VIII)] and the requirements of this Code and the ECM are provided by the design;
- The location and design of the public improvements proposed in connection with the subdivision are adequate to serve the needs and mitigate the effects of the development;
- Legal and physical access is or will be provided to all parcels by public rights-of-way or recorded easement, acceptable to the County in compliance with this Code and the ECM;
- The proposed subdivision has established an adequate level of compatibility by (1) incorporating natural physical features into the design and providing sufficient open spaces considering the type and intensity of the subdivision; (2) incorporating site planning techniques to foster the implementation of the County's plans, and encourage a land use pattern to support a balanced transportation system, including auto, bike and pedestrian traffic, public or mass transit if appropriate, and the cost effective delivery of other services consistent with adopted plans, policies and regulations of the County; (3) incorporating physical design features in the subdivision to provide a transition between the subdivision and adjacent land uses; (4) incorporating identified environmentally sensitive areas, including but not limited to, wetlands and wildlife corridors, into the design; and (5) incorporating public facilities or infrastructure, or provisions therefore, reasonably related to the proposed subdivision so the proposed subdivision will not negatively impact the levels of service of County services and facilities;
- Necessary services, including police and protection, recreation, utilities, open space and transportation system, are or will be available to serve the proposed subdivision;
- The subdivision provides evidence to show that the proposed methods for fire protection comply with Chapter 6 of this Code; and
- The proposed subdivision meets other applicable sections of Chapter 6 and 8 of this Code.


## D. LOCATION

North: PUD (Planned Unit Development)
South:PUD (Planned Unit Development)
East: PUD (Planned Unit Development)

Vacant<br>Single-family residential County Park

West: PUD (Planned Unit Development)

## E. BACKGROUND

The Meridian Ranch Sketch Plan (PCD file no. SKP-99-006) was originally approved on March 9, 2000. The sketch plan included a maximum of 3,266 dwelling units within the plan area. The Sketch Plan has been subsequently amended as follows: in 2006 the maximum number of dwelling units was increased to 3,275 ; in 2012 the maximum number of dwelling units was increased to 3,800 ; in 2014 the maximum number of dwelling units was increased to 3,862 ; in 2015 the maximum number of dwelling units was increased to 4,000 ; in 2017 the maximum number of dwelling units was increased to 4,500 ; and in 2021 the maximum number of dwelling units was increased to 5,000 .

A total of 4,216 single family residential units have been approved or are currently in review in 25 separate final plat filings within the Meridian Ranch Sketch Plan area. According to the letter of intent submitted with the proposed sketch plan amendment request, the applicant estimates an additional development capacity of 784 units for a combined total of 5,000 units within the Meridian Ranch Sketch Plan area.

The specific request includes 343 single-family residential units on 74.39 acres for a gross density of 4.6 dwelling units per acre. The current sketch plan would allow up to 9 dwelling units per acre for this area.

## F. ZONING ANALYSIS

## 1. Land Development Code Analysis

This application meets the preliminary plan submittal requirements, the standards for Divisions of Land in Chapter 7, and the standards for Subdivision in Chapter 8, as well as the Planned Unit Development (PUD) requirements outlined in Chapter 4 of the Land Development Code (2021).

Section 4.2.6.F. 8 of the Code requires a minimum of ten (10) percent of the overall residential PUD be set aside as open space area. The PUD area is 74.39 acres in size, which would require a total of 7.4 acres of open space area. The applicant is providing 10.6 acres of designated open space tracts.

## 2. Zoning Compliance

The PUD Development Plan identifies allowed and permitted uses; use, density, and dimensional standards such as setbacks, maximum lot coverage, and maximum building height; and overall landscaping requirements. The PUD Development Plan is consistent with the proposed PUD development guidelines
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and with the submittal and processing requirements of the Land Development Code.

## G. MASTER PLAN ANALYSIS

## 1. Your El Paso Master Plan

a. Placetype: Suburban Residential

## Placetype Character:

Suburban Residential is characterized by predominantly residential areas with mostly single-family detached housing. This placetype can also include limited single-family attached and multifamily housing, provided such development is not the dominant development type and is supportive of and compatible with the overall single-family character of the area. The Suburban Residential placetype generally supports accessory dwelling units. This placetype often deviates from the traditional grid pattern of streets and contains a more curvilinear pattern.

Although primarily a residential area, this placetype includes limited retail and service uses, typically located at major intersections or along perimeter streets. Utilities, such as water and wastewater services are consolidated and shared by clusters of developments, dependent on the subdivision or area of the County.

Some County suburban areas may be difficult to distinguish from suburban development within city limits. Examples of the Suburban Residential placetype in El Paso County are Security, Widefield, Woodmen Hills, and similar areas in Falcon.

## Recommended Land Uses:

Primary

- Single-Family Detached Residential with lots sizes smaller than 2.5 acres per lot, up to 5 units per acre


## Supporting

- Single-family Attached
- Multifamily Residential
- Parks/Open Space
- Commercial Retail
- Commercial Service
- Institutional


Figure G.1: Placetype Map

## Analysis:

The property is located within the Suburban Residential placetype. The Suburban Residential placetype comprises the County's traditional residential neighborhoods with supporting commercial uses at key intersections in addition to multifamily developments which may provide a transition from the supporting commercial land uses to the single-family developments. Relevant goals and objectives are as follows:

Objective LU3-1 - Development should be consistent with the allowable land uses set forth in the placetypes first and second to their built form guidelines.

Objective HC1-4 - In Suburban Residential areas, clustered development should be encouraged to increase density while also preserving open space and such development should consist of a mix of single-family detached, single-family attached, and multifamily units.

Objective HC4-1 - Denser housing development should occur in Suburban Residential, Urban Residential, Rural Center, and Regional Center placetypes.

Objective TM1-4 - Encourage sidewalks and other multimodal facilities in all new development in placetypes, as appropriate, and upgrade existing infrastructure to these types of facilities when maintenance is needed.

Objective CFI3-5 - Continue to improve coordination with fire districts, developers, and other groups to ensure that new development provides appropriate fire suppression water supplies and infrastructure.

Objective CFI3-9 - Ensure wastewater treatment systems will be properly operated and maintained and that they will not exceed the maximum treatment capacity if future growth is planned.

Objective E2-2 - All future water planning efforts should reference the Water Master Plan to ensure alignment with its key policies and recommendations.

The proposed PUD development plan and preliminary plan proposes an urban density single-family detached residential development which is consistent with the recommended density and supporting land use within the Suburban Residential placetype. The overall density is approximately 4.6 dwelling units per acre. The PUD identifies sidewalks and open space areas to encourage active use of space. The overall PUD and sketch plan incorporate a range of densities and housing types which may be incorporated into future phases of development.
b. Area of Change Designation: Minimal Change Developed These areas have undergone development and have an established character. Developed areas of minimal change are largely built out but may include isolated pockets of vacant or underutilized land. These key sites are likely to see more intense infill development with a mix of uses and scale of redevelopment that will significantly impact the character of an area. For example, a large amount of vacant land in a suburban division adjacent to a more urban neighborhood may be developed and change to match the urban character and intensity so as to accommodate a greater population. The inverse is also possible where an undeveloped portion of a denser neighborhood could redevelop to a less intense suburban scale. Regardless of the development that may occur, if these areas evolve to a new development pattern of differing intensity, their overall character can be maintained.


Figure G.2: Area of Change Map

## Analysis:

The property is located in an area which is not expected to significantly change in character due to primarily being surrounded by developed land.
The level of change proposed with the PUD development plan and preliminary plan is consistent with the level of change identified in the Minimal Change: Developed Area, as the character of the area is not expected to be transformed; but rather remaining vacant lands are likely to be developed consistent with adjacent land uses. This area is adjacent to built-out areas within the Meridian Ranch development.
c. Key Area Influences

The property is not located within a Key Area.

## d. Other Implications (Priority Development, Housing, etc.)

The property is not located within a Priority Development Area.

## 2. Water Master Plan Analysis

The El Paso County Water Master Plan (2018) has three main purposes; better understand present conditions of water supply and demand; identify efficiencies that can be achieved; and encourage best practices for water demand management through the comprehensive planning and development review processes. Relevant policies are as follows:

Goal 1.1 - Ensure an adequate water supply in terms of quantity, dependability and quality for existing and future development.

Goal 1.2 - Integrate water and land use planning.
Goal 3.1 - Promote cooperation among water providers to achieve increased efficiencies on infrastructure.

Goal 3.6 - Develop and maintain partnerships with water providers.
Policy 3.6.2 - Water providers should work with neighboring entities to provide and plan for growth between their respective boundaries.

Policy 4.1.4 - Work collaboratively with water providers, stormwater management agencies, federal agencies, and State agencies to ensure drinking water sources are protected from contamination and meet or exceed established standards.

Goal 5.1 - Identify the potential water supply gap at projected full development build-out (2060).

Policy 5.2.2 - Recognize the water supply challenges and limitations inherent in each of the regional planning areas, with particular emphasis placed on Regional Planning Area 3 (Falcon), as a result of current reliance on non-renewable Denver Basin wells and the renewable, but limited and over-appropriated, Upper Black Squirrel Creek alluvium.

Policy 6.0.7 - Encourage the submission of a water supply plan documenting an adequate supply of water to serve a proposed development at the earliest stage of the development process as allowed under state law. The water supply plan should be prepared by the applicant in collaboration with the respective water provider.

Policy 6.0.11 - Continue to limit urban level development to those areas served by centralized utilities.

The subject property is located within Region 3, Falcon Area, and is located within an estimated area of development. Region 3 is identified as potentially
having issues regarding long term sustainable draw from the Denver Basin aquifers.

The Plan identifies the current demand for Region 3 to be 4,494 acre-feet per year (AFY) (Figure 5.1) with a current supply of 7,164 AFY (Figure 5.2). The projected demand in 2040 is 6,403 AFY (Figure 5.1) with a projected supply of 7,921 AFY (Figure 5.2). The projected demand at build-out in 2060 is 8,307 AFY (Figure 5.1) with a projected supply of 8,284 AFY (Figure 5.2), which means by 2060 there is anticipated to be a small water supply deficit of 23 AFY (Figure 5.3, Table 5-2).

The Plan identifies a potential concern with drawing water from the Denver Basin aquifers by drilling additional wells over time. Section 4.4 of the Plan states:
"The Denver Basin aquifers provide a great source of water supply because they are protected from surface contamination and are drought-proof; however, the groundwater levels are declining while the costs to pump water from the aquifers continue to increase."

Meridian Ranch is served by the Meridian Service Metropolitan District. Developments served by centralized utilities can minimize the number of new wells being drilled to access nonrenewable aquifers by utilizing a small number of high-capacity wells. The Plan references a cascading effect whereby additional wells accessing the Denver Basin aquifers are depleting the water levels more quickly than single high-capacity wells and resulting in increased cost to draw water as the water levels decline.

The Plan specifically identifies this portion of the Meridian Service Metropolitan District as an anticipated area of development by 2040. The timing of the proposed development is in line with the anticipated growth schedule included in the Plan.

Meridian Service Metropolitan District has furnished a commitment letter for 107 AFY for residential use (343 units). The applicant's water resource report indicates the District has an ample supply of water to serve this development and future developments within the District. Please see the Water section below for a summary of the water findings and recommendations for the proposed development in regard to water quality, quantity, and dependability.

## 3. Other Master Plan Elements

The El Paso County Wildlife Habitat Descriptors (1996) identifies the parcels as having a low wildlife impact potential.

The Master Plan for Mineral Extraction (1996) identifies upland deposits in the area of the subject parcels. A mineral rights certification was prepared by the applicant indicating that, upon researching the records of El Paso County, no severed mineral rights exist.

Please see the attached Park Advisory Board recommendations which include an analysis regarding conformance with The El Paso County Parks Master Plan (2013). Those recommendations include the following:
> "The Park Advisory Board recommends that the Planning Commission and the Board of County Commissioners include the following conditions when considering and/or approving The Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch PUD Development Plan and Preliminary Plan: (1) regional park fees will not be required pursuant to the existing Park Lands Agreement for regional park land dedication; and (2) fees in lieu of land dedication for urban park purposes in the amount of $\$ 99,470$ will be required at time of the recording of the forthcoming final plat(s). A Park Lands Agreement may be an acceptable alternative to urban park fees, provided the agreement is approved by the County and executed prior to recording of the forthcoming final plat(s)."

## H. PHYSICAL SITE CHARACTERISTICS

## 1. Hazards

No hazards were identified during the review of the combined PUD Development Plan and Preliminary Plan.

## 2. Floodplain

The property is not located within a defined floodplain as determined from review of the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map panel number 08041C0552G, dated December 7, 2018.

## 3. Drainage and Erosion

The subdivision is located in the Geick Ranch (CHMS0400) drainage basin. The Geick Ranch drainage basin planning study has not been approved to date and there are no drainage and bridge fees at this time.

The site generally drains to the southeast. Stormwater runoff will be conveyed by public storm sewer systems and discharge into an existing full spectrum detention pond (Pond $G$ ) that has been recently constructed with the Rolling Hills Ranch at Meridian Ranch subdivision. Pond $G$ was designed to account for this project and no additional improvements are anticipated to this pond. Pond G is owned and maintained by the Meridian Service Metropolitan District.

Per the associated drainage report, the development of this project will not adversely affect the downstream properties.

The applicant has submitted a grading and erosion control plan with the concurrent final plat application (PCD File No. SF2220). The grading and erosion control plan identifies construction best management practices (BMPs) to prevent sediment and debris from affecting adjoining properties and the public stormwater system before and during grading activities.

## 4. Transportation

Access to the development is provided via the extension of Rex Road consistent with the El Paso County 2016 Major Transportation Corridors Plan Update (MTCP). All streets are planned to be public roads and will be dedicated to the County. Specific modification requests from the design standards in the Engineering Criteria Manual are described in section A above.

Rex Road was anticipated to be an urban residential collector when the Estates at Meridian Ranch Filing No. 2 and Meridian Ranch Filing No. 9 were platted, leaving a 60 -foot right-of-way corridor west of Sunrise Ridge Drive. The 2040 Roadway Plan (Classification and Lanes) exhibit in the MTCP reclassified Rex Road as a 4-lane urban minor arterial. The County Engineer was amenable to the developer constructing half of the standard urban minor arterial for the Rex Road extension as the trip generation for Meridian Ranch is not expected to require the full 4-lane roadway. The applicant will provide tracts (Tract A \& B) along the north side of Rex Road for right-of-way reservation should the construction of the full width of the roadway be needed at a future date. The half section of the Rex Road extension constructed by the developer may be an eligible improvement for reimbursement through the Road Impact Fee program. It is the developer's responsibility to submit any reimbursement request to the Traffic Impact Fee Advisory Committee.
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The development will be subject to the El Paso County Road Impact Fee Program (Resolution No. 19-471) as applicable for property located within the Woodmen Road Metropolitan District.

## I. SERVICES

## 1. Water

Water is provided by Meridian Service Metropolitan District.
Sufficiency:
Quality: Sufficient
Quantity: Sufficient
Dependability: Sufficient
Attorney's summary: The State Engineer's Office has made a finding of adequacy and has stated water can be provided without causing injury to decreed water rights. The County Attorney's Office has made a recommendation for a finding of sufficiency with regards to water quantity and dependability. El Paso County Public Health is recommending a finding of sufficiency with regard to water quality.

## 2. Sanitation

Wastewater is provided by Meridian Service Metropolitan District.

## 3. Emergency Services

The property is within the Falcon Fire Protection District. The district was sent a referral and has no outstanding comments.

## 4. Utilities

Mountain View Electrical Association (MVEA) currently provides electrical service to properties in the Meridian Ranch development. Black Hills Energy provides natural gas service to properties in Meridian Ranch. MVEA and Black Hills Energy were each sent referrals; MVEA has no outstanding comments and Black Hills Energy did not provide a response.

## 5. Metropolitan Districts

This site is within the boundaries of Meridian Service Metropolitan District and Meridian Ranch Metropolitan District, which provide water and wastewater service in addition to maintaining landscaping, open space, and private drainage facilities within Meridian Ranch. The site is also located within Woodmen Road Metropolitan District, which was established to finance improvements to Woodmen Road. Per Board of County Commissioners Resolution 13-041,
property within the Woodmen Road Metropolitan District with final plat approvals within the period beginning January 1, 2021 and ending on December 31, 2027 will be subject to payment of traffic impact fees to the County.

## 6. Parks/Trails

The El Paso County Parks Master Plan shows numerous regional trails and parks located within or directly adjacent to the proposed amendment area.
Deeded to El Paso County by GTL, Inc., in 2014 as part of a Regional Park Lands Agreement, the 215-acre Falcon Regional Park lies immediately east and adjacent to the property along Eastonville Road. The proposed Arroyo Lane Primary Regional Trail alignment is located within the property, while the proposed Meridian Road and Curtis Road Bicycle Routes are located adjacent and within the property, respectively. The existing Eastonville Primary Regional Trail and Meridian Ranch Secondary Regional Trail are located along the eastern and northern boundaries of adjacent Falcon Regional Park, respectively, with proposed sections located outside of the park. The El Paso County Community Services Department, Park Operations Division, has provided an analysis of general conformance with the Parks Master Plan.

## 7. Schools

Land dedication and fees in lieu of school land dedication are not required for a rezone or preliminary plan application.

## J. APPLICABLE RESOLUTIONS

Approval: 29 and 25
Denial: 30 and 26

## K. STATUS OF MAJOR ISSUES

There are no major issues.

## L. RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Should the Planning Commission and the Board of County Commissioners find that the request meets the criteria for approval outlined in Section 4.2.6, and Section 7.2.1 of the El Paso County Land Development Code (2019), staff recommends the following conditions and notations:

## CONDITIONS

1. Development of the property shall be in accordance with this PUD development plan. Minor changes in the PUD development plan, including a reduction in residential density, may be approved administratively by the Director of the

Planning and Community Development Department consistent with the Land Development Code. Any substantial change will require submittal of a formal PUD development plan amendment application.
2. Approved land uses are those defined in the PUD development plan and development guide.
3. All owners of record must sign the PUD development plan.
4. The PUD development plan shall be recorded in the office of the El Paso County Clerk \& Recorder prior to scheduling any final plats for hearing by the Planning Commission. The development guide shall be recorded in conjunction with the PUD development plan.
5. Developer shall comply with federal and state laws, regulations, ordinances, review and permit requirements, and other agency requirements, if any, of applicable agencies including, but not limited to, the Colorado Parks and Wildlife, Colorado Department of Transportation, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service regarding the Endangered Species Act, particularly as it relates to the Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse as a listed threatened species.
6. Applicable park, school, drainage, bridge, and traffic fee shall be paid to El Paso County Planning and Community Development at the time of final plat(s) recordation.

## NOTATIONS

1. Subsequent Final Plat Filings may be approved administratively by the Planning and Community Development Director.
2. If a zone or rezone petition has been disapproved by the Board of County Commissioners, resubmittal of the previously denied petition will not be accepted for a period of one (1) year if it pertains to the same parcel of land and is a petition for a change to the same zone that was previously denied. However, if evidence is presented showing that there has been a substantial change in physical conditions or circumstances, the Planning Commission may reconsider said petition. The time limitation of one (1) year shall be computed from the date of final determination by the Board of County Commissioners or, in the event of court litigation, from the date of the entry of final judgment of any court of record.
3. Rezoning requests not forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration within 180 days of Planning Commission action will be deemed withdrawn and will have to be resubmitted in their entirety.
4. Preliminary plans not forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners within 12 months of Planning Commission action shall be deemed withdrawn and shall have to be resubmitted in their entirety.
5. Approval of the preliminary plan will expire after two (2) years unless a final plat has been approved and recorded or a time extension has been granted.

## M. PUBLIC COMMENT AND NOTICE

The Planning and Community Development Department notified one (1) adjoining property owner on August 26, 2022, for the Planning Commission meeting.
Responses will be provided at the hearing.

## M. ATTACHMENTS

Vicinity Map
Letter of Intent
Development Plan / Preliminary Plan
State Engineer's Letter
County Attorney's Letter
El Paso County Public Health Letter
Parks Advisory Board Letter
PC Draft Minutes
PC Resolution
BOCC Resolution

## PARCEL

4200000400
4200000450

NAME
MERIDIAN RANCH INVESTMENTS INC
MERIDIAN RANCH INVESTMENTS INC

## ADDRESS

20-12-64
N MERIDIAN RD


Please report any parcel discrepancies to:
El Paso County Assessor
1675 W. Garden of the Gods Rd. Colorado Springs, CO 80907
(719) 520-6600

COPYRIGHT 2022 by the Board of County Commissioners, El Paso County, Colorado. All rights reserved. No part of this document or data contained hereon may be reproduced; used to prepare derivative products; or distributed without the specific written approval of the Board of County Commissioners, El Paso County, Colorado. This document was prepared from the best data available at the time of printing. El Paso County, Colorado, makes no claim as to the completeness or accuracy of the data contained hereon.

## SANCTUARY AT MERIDIAN RANCH

## PUD DEVELOPMENT / PRELIMINARY PLAN

## Letter of intent

## MAy 2022, Revised August 2022

## PRoperty Owner:

Meridian Ranch Investments Inc. PO BOX 80036,
San Diego, CA 92138

## Developer:

GTL Development, Inc. 3575 Kenyon Street, San Diego, CA 92110

## Consultant:

N.E.S. Inc.

619 North Cascade Avenue, Colorado Springs, CO 80903

## LOCATION

The Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch is located southeast of the intersection of Rex Road and Rolling Hills Drive, Peyton, Colorado. The site comprises of approximately 73 acres and is zoned PUD. To the northwest is the Estates at Rolling Hills Ranch residential development and to the west Rolling Hills Ranch of Meridian Ranch with Falcon Regional Park on the eastern boundary of the property.


## Request

GTL Inc. is requesting approval of the following applications:

1. A PUD Development/Preliminary Plan for the Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch, consisting of 343 single-family dwelling lots, landscaping, open space, and trails on approximately 74 acres.
2. The following PUD Modifications for the Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch.

|  | LDC/ECM <br> Section | Category | Standard | Modification | Justification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 5 | ECM Section 2.2.5.C | Minor <br> Arterial Intersection Spacing | The standard requires intersection spacing of onequarter mile between street intersections. | Intersection spacing of approximately 1,125 feet | The distance between the two intersections along Rex Road is constrained by topographic conditions and the presence of a major drainage channel to the west and the Falcon Regional Park on the east. <br> The distance between the two intersections along Rex Road is less than criteria for a minor arterial but meets that of a collector road. The road will essentially function as a collector due to the estimated total daily traffic counts. <br> The intersection spacing has been previously agreed to at the time of the Rolling Hills Ranch 1-3 PUD approval. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Chapter 4.2.6.F.2.h of the Land Development Code (LDC) allows for a PUD modification of a general development standard in the LDC or criteria of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM). The proposed PUD modifications allow for a more efficient layout that enhances the open space characteristics and benefits to the proposed community. These two development implications and decisions guiding the request for the modification support two of the identified benefits in Chapter 4.2.6.F.2.h - preserving natural features and providing more accessibility to open space within the development.

## Project Justification

## a. Consistency with Approved Sketch Plan

An amendment to the Sketch Plan for Meridian Ranch was approved by the Board of County Commissioners on August $5^{\text {th }}, 2021$. This shows the land use designation of the area now comprising the Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch as MR-R9 (9 du/ac).

The PUD Development/Preliminary Plan for Sanctuary comprises 343 lots on approximately 74 acres, which represents a gross density of 4.6 dwellings per acre. Because of changes to the lot layout in Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch, it was necessary to replat Tract D, which was previously part of the Rolling Hills Ranch PUD and is now part of Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch. This provides a contiguous open space and drainage tract for Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch and has increased the gross site area by 25.5 ac. Even if Tract D were not replated, the remaining Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch PUD area would still result in 7 dwellings per acre, well below the approved Sketch Plans gross densities. While the replat of Tract D reduces the size of the Tract G open space in Rolling Hills Ranch PUD to 26.5 Acres, it still leaves 40 acres of open space/trail tracts in Rolling Hills Ranch. This is equivalent to $17.8 \%$ of total Rolling Hills Ranch PUD area, which exceeds the minimum 10\% open space requirement for PUDs. The PUD Development/ Preliminary Plan is, therefore, in accordance with the approved Sketch Plan.

## b. County Master Plan Compliance

## Your EI Paso County Master Plan

Sanctuary is identified on the County Master Plan Place-Based approach as a Suburban Residential Placetype. Suburban residential place types look to detached single-family land uses as primary land uses.

Sanctuary, consistent with the past Sketch Plan approvals intends to incorporate a suburban residential development that focuses on single family uses with supportive parks and open space. The Sanctuary Filing 1 at Meridian Ranch is the continuation of a long-term development with a distinct vision. The project centers on a recreational lifestyle by providing opportunities such as a golf course, recreation center, parks and open space, and trails for residents to enjoy. Overall, Meridian Ranch common landscape elements such as fencing, street tree plantings, landscaping, and signage will establish a unique sense of place for this development. The parks and recreation center have been located in areas of higher density so more of the residents can access them by walking and provide a focal element to the neighborhoods. The golf course clubhouse is also a focal element for Meridian Ranch, Woodmen Hills, and possibly the greater Falcon area since the course is also open to the public. Lot sizes, setbacks, and landscape tracts are designed to provide a wide variety of housing options and prices within the development while ensuring open space and visually interesting streetscapes for the community.

As such it is in accords with the foundational alignment of the Master for Placetypes and Core Principles and Goals of the County Master Plan for Housing and Communities Priority Development Areas to meet the housing needs of existing and new residents with a diversity and variety of housing type, size, and location.

The proposed residential subdivision satisfies the following policies of the Your El Paso Master Plan:
Objective LU3.1 Development should be consistent with the allowable land uses set forth in the placetypes first and second to their built form guidelines.

The Sanctuary Development is consistent with the Master Plans intent for suburban placetypes which incorporate single family detached uses as a primary use with supporting parks and open space.

Objective LU3-3: The Suburban Residential placetype should be characterized by predominantly residential areas with mostly single-family detached housing.

The proposed development is for a portion of the approved Meridian Ranch Development with 343 single family homes supportive of the primary land use noted for suburban residential placetypes. The proposed development in addition supports the overall Sketch Plan intent and approved densities.

Objective HC4-1: Denser housing development should occur in Suburban Residential, Urban Residential, Rural Center, and Regional Center placetypes.

Housing densities provided in the noted PUD are consistent with the approved Sketch Plan and in accords with suburban residential placetypes.

Objective TM1-4: Encourage sidewalks and other multimodal facilities in all new development in placetypes, as appropriate, and upgrade existing infrastructure to these types of facilities when maintenance is needed.

The larger Meridian Ranch development is a residential development that promotes extensive interconnected opens spaces and parks throughout the development.

Objective CFI3-5: Continue to improve coordination with fire districts, developers, and other groups to ensure that new development provides appropriate fire suppression water supplies and infrastructure.

Proper Service Commitments with the Falcon Fire Protection District have been provided address the new development intent and the Districts ability to serve such.

Objective CFI3-9: Ensure wastewater treatment systems will be properly operated and maintained and that they will not exceed the maximum treatment capacity if future growth is planned.

Wastewater Service Commitments have been provided address the capacity to serve the development.
Objective E2-2: All future water planning efforts should reference the Water Master Plan to ensure alignment with its key policies and recommendations.

## El Paso County Water Master Plan

The proposed residential subdivision satisfies the following policies of the County Water Master Plan:
Policy 5.2.4 - Encourage the locating of new development where it can take advantage of existing or proposed water supply projects that would allow shared infrastructure costs.

The proposed subdivision is located within the Meridian Service Metropolitan District (MSMD) and a supportive Will Serve Letter noting the Districts ability to serve the proposed development in an efficient manner with the current infrastructure has been provided.

Policy 5.5.1 - Discourage individual wells for new subdivisions with 2.5 acre or smaller average lot sizes, especially in the near-surface aquifers, when there is a reasonable opportunity to connect to an existing central system, alternatively, or construct a new central water supply system when the economies of scale to do so can be achieved.

The proposed development is located within the MSMD and is proposed to connect to the existing central water and wastewater. Will Serve Letters have been provided for the proposed development.

Policy 6.0.1 - Continue to require documentation of the adequacy or sufficiency of water, as appropriate, for proposed development.

An appropriate Will Serve Letter has been provided noting the MSMD ability to serve the noted subdivision adequately and noting their current capacities to serve.

The project is located within Region 3, Falcon Area, containing 4 growth areas projected to be completed by 2040, three areas to be completed by 2060, and two other growth areas located on the
north and south sides of Falcon Highway directly east of Falcon. Specifically, the Water Master Plan states:
"Region 3 contains four growth areas west of Falcon projected to be completed by 2040. Other areas of 2040 growth are projected for the north-central part of the region west of Highway 24 extending from Falcon to 4-Way Ranch. North of Falcon along Highway 24, growth is projected by 2060 on both sides of the highway. Just west of Falcon, another small development is projected by 2060 on the north and south sides of Woodmen Road. On the east side of Highway 24, three separate areas of growth are projected for development by 2060, with the largest of the three spanning from south of Judge Orr Road to east of Peyton Highway into Region 4c. This development will likely consist of 35 -acre lots that will require individual wells to use Denver Basin groundwater. The other two growth areas will be located on the north and south sides of Falcon Highway directly east of Falcon. See Figure 5.5 for Region 3 growth map projections."

Full build out of the Meridian Service Metropolitan District (District) is anticipated within the 2040 timeframe. The Water Resources Report indicates that the District has sufficient supply to meet the expected need at full build out by 2040 and 2060. Region 3 has a current water supply of 7,164-acre feet per year and a current demand of 4,494 -acre feet per year. The 2040 water supply is projected to be 7,921-acre feet per year and the project demand is 6,403-acre feet. As stated in the Water Resources Report, this development is projected to need 32.5 -acre feet of water per year and a planning need of 1,701 -acre feet per year. Current supply is 2,119 -acre feet on a 300 year basis.

The District currently incorporates a $15 \%$ reserve into their future planning. Based on the needs, current supply, and reserve the District has sufficient water to meet the needs expected now and into the future with no shortages anticipated.

A Will Serve Letter for water and wastewater commitments has been provided by the District. Meridian Ranch is situated in the Upper Black Squirrel Creek Designated Groundwater Basin which is managed by the Upper Black Squirrel Creek Management District. MSMD currently services over 12,000 in equivalent population in several filings within Meridian Ranch, Falcon High School and portions of Latigo Trails as an out of district user.

The service area is located in the Upper Black Squirrel Creek Groundwater Management District and the water bearing formations in descending stratigraphic order are the Dawson, Denver, Arapahoe, and Laramie Fox Hills. These four aquifers are collectively known as the Denver Basin aquifers and are found approximately at depths of $500,1,400,1,900$, and 2,500 feet below the ground surface.

The water system that serves Meridian Ranch is classified as a "public water system", and meets all the applicable requirements of the CDPHE.

The water system uses groundwater as its primary source of supply. Filtration and disinfection facilities have been constructed at a central location to ensure good water quality. Elevation differences that exist throughout the property require that the distribution system is divided into two pressure zones to ensure that the water is delivered at no less than 40 psi during peak hour flow and at no more than 120
psi during periods of low use. Storage facilities and distribution piping are provided to ensure that the residual pressure requirements are achieved both during peak hour demands and during maximum day demands with a superimposed fire flow of 1500 gpm for the residential areas and up to 3500 gpm for commercial areas. The upper pressure zone (Zone 1) is a closed loop distribution system served by pumps that provide the required pressures, these are located in the same location as the filtration and disinfection facilities. The lower pressure zone (Zone 2 ) is a conventional gravity system served by storage tanks to provide the required pressures.

MSMD currently provides water service to 117 Latigo Trails home sites as out of district users. Latigo Trails is located within Zone 1 north of and adjacent to Meridian Ranch. The water used to provide this service comes from a Laramie Fox Hills well under permit no. 46406-F. This well is permitted for use within the Meridian Service Metropolitan District as well.

The District's current use is based on an average of 20\% renewable water sources and is actively seeking renewable sources and replacement sources with Cherokee Metro Water District to date.

## c. Parks and Open Space Requirement

Sanctuary includes open space tracts approximately 10.6 acres in size with trail connections to the extensive trail and open space provisions within Meridian Ranch to include a proposed neighborhood park to the west and access to Falcon Regional Park to the east. The proposed 10.6 acres of open space provided by this PUD/Preliminary Plan equates to $14.2 \%$ of the total site area, which exceeds the $10 \%$ requirement as noted in the Land Development Code Section 4.2.6.F.8b.

As required by Section 8.5.3 of the Land Development Code, the fees in lieu of park land dedication are $\$ 295$ per subdivision lot for Urban Parks and $\$ 467$ per subdivision lot for Regional Parks. The required fees in lieu for this project are:

|  | Sanctuary |
| :--- | :---: |
| Urban | $\$ 101,185$ |
| Regional | $\$ 160,181$ |
| Total | $\$ 261,366$ |

The regional park fees are offset by the previous dedication of land to the Country for the Falcon Regional Park per the overall Parks Land Agreement for Meridian Ranch. Credit for the urban park fees will be requested through a Parks Land Agreement at the time of platting to offset the costs of construction the neighborhood park, landscaped open space and trail improvements referenced above.
d. Drainage

A Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for the Sanctuary is submitted with this application package.

## e. Traffic

A Traffic Report prepared by LSC is submitted in support of this application which identifies the construction of Rex Road as an Urban 2-Lane Minor Arterial from the Rolling Ranch Drive to Shelter Creek Drive as part of the proposed development. This study is in keeping with past approved traffic studies and shows that no significant changes were projected for the improvements noted in the recent 2021 Sketch Plan study which had identified the proposed development densities.

## f. Geotechnical Report

A Geotechnical Report prepared by Entech Engineering Inc. is submitted in support of this application. In areas that shallow ground water is identified foundations perimeter drains are recommended when foundations are not able to be located above the identified groundwater levels.

## g. Utilities

Meridian Service Metropolitan District will provide central water and sanitary sewer service to the project. Mountain View Electric Association, Inc. will supply electricity service and Black Hills Energy will supply natural gas.
h. Wildlife

Sanctuary Filing 1 is in a developing area and there is no significant impact to wild life. In accordance with the impact identification report of the Meridian Ranch Sketch Plan, in 2009 the property was assessed for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse and Ute Ladies'-tresses Orchid habitat but was found to be unsuitable for these threatened species. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service reviewed the studies and agreed with these findings.

At the time of the 2009 Sketch Plan approval, the Colorado Division of Wildlife Impact Maps indicated that Pronghorn Antelope may range within the Sketch Plan area. Pronghorn Antelope are rated as being subject to potentially moderate impacts from the effects of development. The two drainage corridors within the Meridian Ranch Sketch Plan may allow for the migration of the Pronghorn Antelope. The fencing used within Meridian Ranch is compatible with the wildlife needs.

## Criteria for Approval

Chapter 4.2.6.D of the LDC allows the combination of a preliminary plan with a PUD development plan, provided all review and approval standards for both the PUD development plan and preliminary plan are met. The PUD/Preliminary Plan for the Sanctuary Filing 1 is consistent with the PUD zoning and preliminary plan approval criteria set forth in Chapter 4.2.6.D \& 4.2.6.E of the LDC as follows:

## PUD Zoning:

- The application is in general conformity with the Master Plan;

The proposed application conforms to the most recent 2021 Approved Sketch Plan and County Master Plans as noted above.

- The proposed development is in compliance with the requirements of this Code and all applicable statutory provisions and will not otherwise be detrimental to the health, safety, or welfare of the present or future inhabitants of El Paso County;

The proposed development is in compliance with all County Code requirements and applicable statutory provisions and not detrimental to the health, safety and welfare of the inhabitants of El Paso County. The proposed development continually provides needed housing, adequate access to services, infrastructure and beneficial active community open space opportunities.

- The subject property is suitable for the intended uses and the use is compatible with both the existing and allowed land uses on the neighboring properties, will be in harmony and responsive with the character of the surrounding area and natural environment; and will not have a negative impact upon the existing and future development of the surro unding area;

The proposed development is per the Approved Sketch Plan and supports an approved land use intention for the propose PUD development. The development continually promotes the access to and increased development of the surrounding natural environment that is sensitive to the impacts of the character of the community and neighboring communities through the promotion of increased access to open space and development of such.

- The proposed development provides adequate consideration for any potentially detrimental use to use relationships (e.g. commercial use adjacent to single family use) and provides an appropriate transition or buffering between uses of differing intensities both on-site and off-site which may include innovative treatments of use to use relationships;

No incompatible uses are shown with the proposed development. Additional buffering is provided for density considerations with the similar residential uses to the west with increased open space dedications.

- The allowed uses, bulk requirements and required landscaping and buffering are appropriate to and compatible with the type of development, the surrounding neighborhood or area and the community;

The proposed development is consistent with surrounding neighborhoods and use designations. Additional buffering is provided for density considerations with the similar residential uses to the west with increased open space dedications.

- Areas with unique or significant historical, cultural, recreational, aesthetic or natural features are preserved and incorporated into the design of the project;

No significant preservation areas exist on site. Recreational open space opportunities are consistently incorporated into the Meridian Ranch development for a consistent and growing community need.

- Open spaces and trails are integrated into the development plan to serve as amenities to residents and provide a reasonable walking and biking opportunities;

The proposed Open Space for the project is 10.6 acres which equates to $14.2 \%$ of the total PUD area, exceeding the $10 \%$ requirement as noted in the Land Development Code Section 4.2.6.F.8b.

- The proposed development will not overburden the capacities of existing or planned roads, utilities and other public facilities (e.g., fire protection, police protection, emergency services, and water and sanitation), and the required public services and facilities will be provided to support the development when needed;

Appropriate infrastructure from the Meridian Service Metropolitan District provides central water and sanitary sewer service to the project. Mountain View Electric Association, Inc. will supply electricity service and Black Hills Energy will supply natural gas.

- The proposed development would be a benefit through the provision of interconnected open space, conservation of environmental features, aesthetic features and harmonious design, and energy efficient site design;

The proposed development provides a $14.2 \%$ of open space and recreation. Recreational open space opportunities are consistently incorporated into the Meridian Ranch development for a consistent and growing community need.

- The proposed land use does not permit the use of any area containing a commercial mineral deposit in a manner which would unreasonably interfere with the present or future extraction of such deposit unless acknowledged by the mineral rights owner;

The proposed development does not propose or permit the use of land for mineral extraction.

- Any proposed exception or deviation from the requirements of the zoning resolution or the subdivision regulations is warranted by virtue of the design and amenities incorporated in the development plan and development guide;

Proposed deviations are consistent with past approvals and warranted based on past development regulations and design intents consistent with the County Land Development Code requirements. Justification for the proposed deviations is provided in Appendix A.

- The owner has authorized the application.

Application is being submitted by the current landowner and developer.

## Preliminary Plan:

The Preliminary Plan is consistent with the approval criteria in Section 7.2.1.D.2.e of the LDC as follows:

- The proposed subdivision is in general conformance with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Master Plan;

The proposed application conforms to the most recent 2021 Approved Sketch Plan and County Master Plans as noted above.

- The subdivision is consistent with the purposes of this Code;

See above analysis. The proposed development complies with the requirements of the LDC and will not be detrimental to the health, safety, or welfare of the present or future inhabitants of El Paso County.

- The subdivision is in conformance with the subdivision design standards and any approved sketch plan;

An amendment to the Sketch Plan for Meridian Ranch was approved by the Board of County Commissioners on August 5th, 2021. This shows the land use designation of the area now comprising the Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch as MR-R9 (9 du/ac). The PUD/Preliminary Plan for Sanctuary comprises 343 lots on approximately 74 acres, which represents a density of 4.635 dwellings per acre. Because of changes to the lot layout in Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch, it was necessary to replat Tract D, which was previously part of the Rolling Hills Ranch PUD and is now part of Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch. This provides a contiguous open space and drainage tract for Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch and has increased the gross site area by 25.5 ac. Even if Tract D were not replated, the remaining Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch PUD area would still result in 7 dwellings per acre, well below the approved Sketch Plans gross densities. The PUD Development/ Preliminary Plan is, therefore, in accordance with the approved Sketch Plan.

- A sufficient water supply has been acquired in terms of quantity, quality, and dependability for the type of subdivision proposed, as determined in accordance with the standards set forth in the water supply standards [C.R.S. §30-28-133(6)(a)] and the requirements of Chapter 8 of this Code;

Meridian Service Metropolitan District will provide central water to the project. The District has a sufficient water supply. Findings of sufficiency are to be approved with the recent PUD submittals of the overall Sanctuary PUD

- A public sewage disposal system has been established and, if other methods of sewage disposal are proposed, the system complies with state and local laws and regulations, [C.R.S. §30-28-133(6) (b)] and the requirements of Chapter 8 of this Code.

Meridian Service Metropolitan District will provide sanitary sewer service to the project. The District has an established sewage disposal facility. The current capacity allocated to MSMD at the PBHWTP is 0.0925 MGD and the total capacity available at the BSWTF is 2.2 MGD for a total of just under 2.3 MGD. The available capacity of the wastewater treatment facilities is sufficient to accept the additional flows from Meridian Ranch as a result of the Sketch Plan Amendment. The total available capacity of 2.3 MGD is greater than the projected demand of 0.932 MGD. Based on the above analysis the existing wastewater treatment facilities have sufficient capacity to accept additional wastewater from the proposed Sanctuary Filing 1 at Meridian Ranch.

- All areas of the proposed subdivision, which may involve soil or topographical conditions presenting hazards or requiring special precautions, have been identified and the proposed subdivision is compatible with such conditions. [C.R.S. §30-28-133(6)(c)];

These matters are addressed in the Soils, Geology, and Geologic Hazard Study provided by Entech Engineering Inc.

- Adequate drainage improvements complying with State law [C.R.S. §30-28-133(3)(c)(VIII)] and the requirements of this Code and the ECM are provided by the design;

A Final Drainage Report for the Sanctuary Filing 1 PUD is submitted with this application package. Proposed drainage improvements include an additional detention and water quality pond in the southern corner of the project

- The location and design of the public improvements proposed in connection with the subdivision are adequate to serve the needs and mitigate the effects of the development;

A Traffic Report prepared by LSC is submitted in support of this application which identifies the construction of Rex Road as an Urban 2-Lane Minor Arterial from the Rolling Ranch Drive to Shelter Creek Drive as part of the proposed development.

- Legal and physical access is or will be provided to all parcels by public rights-of-way or recorded easement, acceptable to the County in compliance with this Code and the ECM;

All lots will be accessible by new public streets that comply with the LDC and ECM.

- The proposed subdivision has established an adequate level of compatibility by
a. incorporating natural physical features into the design and providing sufficient open spaces considering the type and intensity of the subdivision;

There are no natural physical features on the site. Sufficient open space is provided in Tracts.
b. incorporating site planning techniques to foster the implementation of the County's plans, and encourage a land use pattern to support a balanced transportation system, including auto, bike and pedestrian traffic, public or mass transit if appropriate, and the cost-effective delivery of other services consistent with adopted plans, policies and regulations of the County;

Appropriate provision is made in this regard given the context of the site and surrounding area. Sidewalks are included throughout the project and connect to the surrounding Meridian Ranch trails and open space.
c. incorporating physical design features in the subdivision to provide a transition between the subdivision and adjacent land uses;

There is no requirement for a transition with adjacent uses as the surrounding area is single-family residential at similar densities and appropriate open space buffers. Landscaping is provided along the streets and in the park and open space tracts.
d. incorporating identified environmentally sensitive areas, including but not limited to, wetlands and wildlife corridors, into the design; and

There are no environmentally sensitive areas on the property.
e. incorporating public facilities or infrastructure, or provisions therefore, reasonably related to the proposed subdivision so the proposed subdivision will not negatively impact the levels of service of County services and facilities;

A Traffic Report prepared by LSC is submitted in support of this application which identifies the construction of Rex Road as an Urban 2-Lane Minor Arterial from the Rolling Ranch Drive to Shelter Creek Drive as part of the proposed development. This study is keeping with past approved traffic studies and shows that no significant changes were projected for the improvements noted in the recent 2021 Sketch Plan study which had identified the proposed development densities.

- Necessary services, including police and fire protection, recreation, utilities, open space and transportation systems, are or will be available to serve the proposed subdivision;

Meridian Service Metropolitan District will provide central water and sanitary sewer service to the project. Mountain View Electric Association, Inc. will supply electricity service and Black Hills Energy will supply natural gas.

- The subdivision provides evidence to show that the proposed methods for fire protection comply with Chapter 6 of this Code; and

An appropriate Fire Protection Report has been provided showing the ability of the Falcon Fire Departments (FPD) ability to serve the proposed development. FPD Will Serve Letter included in addition.

- The proposed subdivision meets other applicable sections of Chapter 6 and 8 of this Code.

The proposed subdivision meets the applicable sections of these parts of the Code, subject to the PUD modification and deviation requests. Justification for the proposed deviations is provided in Appendix A.
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Chapter 4.2.6.F.2.g of the Land Development Code (LDC) allows for a PUD modification of a general development standard in the LDC or criteria of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), provided at least one of the benefits identified in Chapter 4.2.6.F.2.h are met. Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes an additional mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified provided the limits of consideration in ECM Section 5.8.6 are met and the modifications meets the criteria for approval in ECM Section 5.8.7.

The following deviations to the ECM are requested for the Sanctuary PUD (numbers correspond to PUD modification table on the PUD cover sheet and in the Letter of Intent).

## \#1- Mid Block Crossings

Nature of Request:
Section of ECM from which Deviation Is Sought: 2.5.2.C. 4

Specific Criteria from which a Deviation Is Sought: 2.5.2.C. 4 Access ramps on local roadways shall be spaced no greater than 600 feet apart.

Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: The PUD modification to remove the need for a midblock crossing is consistent with the following considerations identified in Section 4.2.6.F.2.h of the Land Development Code:

- Provision of a more efficient pedestrian system - pedestrian circulation within Meridian Ranch is focused on the provided trail system, which connects the residential areas to the parks and open space. The project is designed to encourage the use of the trail system, rather than the sidewalks, where possible. On the streets where mid-block crossings are not provided, there are no pedestrian destinations or trails that would necessitate a midblock crossing to connect to amenities.
- Provision of additional open space - by encouraging the residents to use the trail system, the project provides better access to the open space in the development.
- The deviation would eliminate mid-block pedestrian crossings between on the following street: Rex Road, Crescent Creek Drive, Sunrise Ridge Drive and Highland Crest Drive.

There are no Federal ADA maximum distances allowed between pedestrian crossing along street that would necessitate midblock pedestrian ramps.

ECM Section 5.8.6: Limits of Consideration:
The ECM Administrator may only consider a project-specific deviation to an existing standard when one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.


## N/A

- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue economic hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.
The change is desired to achieve a specific design characteristic within the project. The development has a focus on the trail system that meanders in an out of open space and local park space, the desire is to encourage the use of the trails instead of the interior sidewalks. The design limits the use of mid-block pedestrian crossings that can create safety hazards by blocking or
hindering sight lines and placing pedestrians in danger. The design concept also creates an aesthetically pleasing, consistent sidewalk offset from the curb to sidewalk. Pedestrians will generally cross the street at any location regardless of the presence of a pedestrian ramp due to the typically low traffic volume found on local streets. A suggested revision would be to revise the criteria such that mid-block pedestrian ramps are required as deemed necessary to provide access to schools, shopping, transportation facilities or other community facilities and services similar to the City of Colorado Springs standards.


## ECM Section 5.8.7: Criteria for Approval

Per ECM section 5.8 .7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is not based exclusively on financial considerations. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the following criteria:

- The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement;
Provision of a more efficient pedestrian system - pedestrian circulation within Meridian Ranch is focused on the provided trail system, which connects the residential areas to the parks and open space. The project is designed to encourage the use of the trail system, rather than the sidewalks, where possible. On all the streets where mid-block crossings are not provided, there are no pedestrian destinations or trails that would necessitate a midblock crossing to connect to amenities.
- The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations;

The design enhances safety by eliminating potential dangerous mid-block crossings, where pedestrians enter the roadway from behind parked vehicles where lines of sight are limited or blocked. The deviation will also eliminate mid-block ramps where changes in direction of the sidewalk and/or grade could produce a tripping or stumbling hazard.

- The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost; and The deviation will not adversely affect the maintenance cost or the ability for maintenance vehicles to work on the street or within the right-of-way. Reducing mid-block pedestrian ramps will reduce the cost of maintenance due to eliminating signage and pavement markings
- The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.

The deviation will improve the aesthetic appearance by creating unswerving smooth offset line of the sidewalk from curb.

- The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.

The deviation meets the design intent and purposes of the ECM standards by meeting all other aspects of the standards with respect road design, road safety and pedestrian safety. There are no Federal ADA maximum distances allowed between pedestrian crossing along street that would necessitate mid-block pedestrian ramps.

- The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E. 3 and Part I.E. 4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The proposed design does not inhibit the program requirements with respect to water quality and storm water runoff during construction and future permanent facilities.


## \#2- Urban Knuckle - Sight Distance Triangle

Nature of Request:
Section of ECM from which Deviation Is Sought: Section F, Standard Detail SD 2-77

Specific Criteria from which a Deviation Is Sought: Section F SD_2-77, Urban Knuckle, specifically the standard sight distance triangle (SDT) length.

Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: The deviation is needed to accommodate the sight distance triangle for the anticipated vehicle speed of 15 mph around the corner of the knuckle.

The proposed alternative will match the proposed change to the ECM by changing the SDT from $25^{\prime}$ to $15^{\prime}$ to accommodate the anticipated 15 mph travel speed around the knuckle corner.

ECM Section 5.8.6: Limits of Consideration:
The ECM Administrator may only consider a project-specific deviation to an existing standard when one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.


## N/A

- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue economic hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
N/A
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.
The change is needed to allow the ECM to match real world occurrence of vehicles traveling through the knuckle. A vehicle needs to slow to below the posted speed limit of 25 mph to approximately 15 mph , resulting in the need for a shorter sight distance triangle.


## ECM Section 5.8.7: Criteria for Approval

Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is not based exclusively on financial considerations. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the following criteria:

- The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement;
The deviation will match the proposed revision to the sight distance triangle of the Urban Knuckle found in the ECM.
- The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations;

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations in that the standard brings the ECM more aligned with real world actions.

- The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost; and The proposed deviation has no impact on maintenance and associated cost.
- The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.

The proposed deviation has no impact on the aesthetic appearance of the project.

- The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.

The deviation would meet the intent and purpose of the standard plan, which is to ensure sufficient Stopping Sight Distance on the horizontal curve.

- The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E. 3 and Part I.E. 4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The proposed design does not inhibit the program requirements with respect to water quality and storm water runoff during construction and future permanent facilities.


## \#3- Design Standards by Functional Classification

Nature of Request:
Section of ECM from which Deviation Is Sought: 2.2.4.B.3 \& 2.3.2 Urban Minor Arterial \& 2.3.2 Design Standards by Functional Classification

Specific Criteria from which a Deviation Is Sought: 2.3.2 Design Standards by Functional Classification, Table 2-6. The specific ECM standard a deviation is requested is the criteria to construct Rex Road east of Estate Ridge Dr as an Urban 4-lane Minor Arterial based on the 2016 MTCP Map I4: 2040 Roadway Plan classification

Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: The portion of Rex Road between Mt Gateway Drive and Sunrise Ridge Drive has been planned and constructed as an Urban Residential Collector with a standard right of way width of 60 feet. The projected long term (2040) total ADT is estimated to be 7,470 vehicles per day (this project plus background traffic) which is below the threshold for an Urban Residential Collector.

With the projected low traffic volume and adjacent existing 60' right of way width logic dictates to construct the half section roadway within an 80-foot right of way until such time as the full width section is deemed necessary. This roadway is listed on the 2040 Major Transportation Plan and therefore fully reimbursable by the County, this move will shift available funds to other higher priority roadways.

ECM Section 5.8.6: Limits of Consideration:
The ECM Administrator may only consider a project-specific deviation to an existing standard when one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.

N/A

- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue economic hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
The previously recorded final plats for Estates at Meridian Ranch Filing 2 and Meridian Ranch Filing 9, located west of this project create a corridor of only 60' wide. This restriction provides sufficient room for an Urban Residential Collector and restricts the ability to construct the Urban Minor Arterial in this section west of the proposed project. This portion of Rex Road would require Rex Road to be constructed with lane tapers and redirects which could create confusion for the driver and pose unnecessary risk to future accidents Any other alternative would require the acquisition of property for public and private entities in order to secure sufficient land to construct the Urban Minor Arterial west of the project and additional cost to the County as this roadway is identified in the $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Major Transportation Corridor Plan making Rex Road construction a reimbursable project.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.
N/A


## ECM Section 5.8.7: Criteria for Approval

Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is not based exclusively on financial considerations. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the following criteria:

- The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement;
The deviation will provide a comparable design in that the estimated ADTs for this section fall more than 50\% below the threshold for an Urban Minor Arterial roadway section.

The design provides the southern half of the roadway (centerline to southern curb. This provides a better design in that when and if the roadway is widened to the full width Urban Minor Arterial, all of the improvements south of the centerline will provide a future cost savings without the need to redesign and/or remove/replace large portions of the roadway.

- The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations;

The Engineering Criteria Manual identifies 20,000 ADTs as the maximum volume of traffic that can be safely conveyed on an Urban Minor Arterial Street section, the estimated 2040 ADT is sufficiently below at 7,470 to justify a half section. Constructing a full width Urban Minor Arterial would require lane drop, tapers and redirects to accommodate the existing narrower
section to the west of Sunrise Ridge Dr. The design will have the travel lanes east and west of Sunrise Ridge match eliminating the need to include lane drops east of Sunrise Ridge Dr.

Merging traffic at lane drops and redirects create a potential risk for accidents east of the intersection. The half section will safely carry the anticipated total traffic volume from Eastonville Road to Mt. Gateway at the projected total traffic volume.

- The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost; and

The proposed deviation will not adversely impact maintenance for this street section in that it will not receive traffic higher than normal for this type of roadway classification

- The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.

The deviation will not adversely affect the aesthetics of the area as it will match an already established street section.

- The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.

The proposed deviation and design allows for the accommodation of the future widening of Rex Road to full width Urban Minor Arterial if and when it is deemed necessary due to any unforeseen increase traffic volume closer to the 20,000 ADTs

- The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E. 3 and Part I.E. 4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The proposed deviation is not different than any other proposed roadway within El Paso County matching all other requirements for similarly classified roads and meets all requirements associated with the MS4 permit.


## \#4- Ramps at "T" Intersections

Nature of Request:
Section of ECM from which Deviation Is Sought: 2.5.2.C. 3
Specific Criteria from which a Deviation Is Sought: Handicap and access ramps at " $T$ " Intersections require a minimum of three handicap accessible crossings.

Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: Pedestrian ramps located on the west side of Retreat Peak Dr. at the intersections with Estes Ridge Dr., and Nederland Dr. and the east side of Shelter Creek Dr at the intersections with Arriba Dr. and Estes Ridge Dr. for either leg of T-intersection would interfere with the placement of the required driveway for residential lots.

Eliminate a pedestrian crossing and associated pedestrian handicap ramps across the top of a $T$ intersections located along Retreat Peak Dr. and Shelter Creek Dr. and provide pedestrian ramps and handicap access across the streets at locations not more than 600' away from the intersections in question. (see exhibit)

ECM Section 5.8.6: Limits of Consideration:
The ECM Administrator may only consider a project-specific deviation to an existing standard when one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.


## N/A

- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue economic hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility. Occasionally the layout of lots limit the ability of pedestrian crossing to be located across the tops of T-intersections. Placing a pedestrian crossing at such locations will cause undue restrictions for the lot and the location of the driveway for the lot.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.
N/A


## ECM Section 5.8.7: Criteria for Approval

Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is not based exclusively on financial considerations. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the following criteria:

- The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement;
The deviation provides a superior design for the given lots by not restricting the use of the property frontage. Crossings are provided a short distance away at nearby intersections north and south of these locations.
- The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations;

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations and will provide a superior design by allowing driveways to be located in such a way as to create a safer product. The design meets all other aspects of the criteria the impact to safety and operation is insignificant.

- The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost; and The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated costs, in all other aspects, such as roadway width, slope, pavement type, turn around space, etc. meet El Paso County criteria.
- The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.

The deviation will not adversely affect the aesthetic appearance of the project.

- The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.

The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards by meeting all other aspects of the standards except the location of the pedestrian crossings across the street.

- The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E. 3 and Part I.E. 4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation has no impact on applicable control measures required to meet the County's MS4 permit.
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August 19, 2022
Kari Parsons
El Paso County Development Services Department 2880 International Circle, Suite 110
Colorado Springs, CO 80910
RE: $\quad$ Sanctuary Filing No. 1 at Meridian Ranch - Final Plat Part of Sec. 20, Twp. 12S, Rng. 64W, $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ P.M.
Water Division 8, Water District 10
Upper Black Squirrel Creek Designated Basin
CDWR Assigned Subdivision No. 30359
To Whom It May Concern,
We have received the submittal to subdivide a $74 \pm$ tract into 343 single-family residential lots and Tracts A-E. These lots will be approximately equal in size (3-4.5 acres in size) with no existing wells on any lots. The water supply will be provided by Meridian Service Metropolitan District ("District") with wastewater disposed of by a central system operated by Cherokee Metro District and Meridian Service Metropolitan District.

## Water Supply Demand

The Water Supply Information Summary, included with the submittal, estimated a total annual use for the subdivision of 107 acre-feet for all uses within the subdivision. This breaks down to 106 acre-feet/year for residential use and $1 \pm$ acre-feet/year for irrigation.

## Source of Water Supply

Meridian Service Metropolitan District is the proposed water supplier. The District has provided a letter dated April 28, 2022 committing to serve this development with 107 acre-feet/year.

The proposed source of water for this subdivision is a bedrock aquifer in the Denver Basin. The State Engineer's Office does not have evidence regarding the length of time for which this source will be a physically and economically viable source of water. According to 37-90137(4)(b)(I), C.R.S., "Permits issued pursuant to this subsection (4) shall allow withdrawals on the basis of an aquifer life of one hundred years." Based on this allocation approach, the annual amounts of water decreed is equal to one percent of the total amount available as determined by Rules 8.A and 8.B of the Statewide Nontributary Ground Water Rules, 2 CCR 402-7. Therefore, the water may be withdrawn in those amounts for a maximum of 100 years.

In the El Paso County Land Development Code, effective November, 1986, Chapter 5, Section 49.5, (D), (2) states:
"-Finding of Sufficient Quantity - The water supply shall be of sufficient quantity to meet the average annual demand of the proposed subdivision for a period of three hundred (300) years."

Information provided to our office indicates the District's water rights total approximately 2,088 acre-feet/year for a period of 300 years. The District has a current committed supply of 1,670 acre-feet/year. The uncommitted annual supply of 418 acre-feet is more than the estimated annual demand of 107 acre-feet/year for the Sanctuary Filing No. 1 at Meridian Ranch.

Should the development include construction and/or modification of any storm water structure(s), the Applicant should be aware that, unless the storm water structure(s) can meet the requirements of a "storm water detention and infiltration facility" as defined in section 37-92-602(8), Colorado Revised Statutes, the structure may be subject to administration by this office. The applicant should review DWR's Administrative Statement Regarding the Management of Storm Water Detention Facilities and Post-Wildland Fire Facilities in Colorado, available online at: https:/ / dnrweblink. state.co.us/ dwr/ 0/ edoc/ 3576581/ DWR_3576581.pdf?searchid=978a5a 31-ddf9-4e09-b58c-a96f372c943d, to ensure that the notice, construction and operation of the proposed structure meets statutory and administrative requirements.

## State Engineer's Office Opinion

Based on the above, it is our opinion, pursuant to CRS 30-28-136(1)(h)(I), that the anticipated water supply can be provided without causing material injury to decreed waters.

Our opinion that the water supply is adequate is based on our determination that the amount of water required annually to serve the subdivision is currently physically available, based on current estimated aquifer conditions.

Our opinion that the water supply can be provided without causing injury is based on our determination that the amount of water that is legally available on an annual basis, according to the statutory allocation approach, for the proposed uses is greater than the annual amount of water required to supply the demands of the proposed subdivision.

Our opinion is qualified by the following:
The Ground Water Commission has retained jurisdiction over the final amount of water available pursuant to the above-referenced decree, pending actual geophysical data from the aquifer.

The amounts of water in the Denver Basin aquifers are calculated based on estimated current aquifer conditions. For planning purposes, the county should be aware that the economic life of a water supply based on wells in a given Denver Basin aquifer may be less than the 300 years used for allocation due to anticipated water level declines. We recommend that the county determine whether it is appropriate to require development of renewable water resources for this subdivision to provide for a long-term water supply.

Should you or the applicant have questions regarding any of the above, please contact me at this office.

Sincerely,


Ivan Franco, P.E.
Water Resource Engineer
cc: Division 2 Engineer
District 10 Water Commissioner

# EL PASO 

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
Civil Division
Kenneth R. Hodges, County Attorney

Assistant County Attorneys
Lori L. Seago Steven A. Klaffky Mary Ritchie Bryan E. Schmid Nathan J. Whitney Christopher M. Strider Terry A. Sample Dorey L. Spotts Steven W. Martyn

August 25, 2022

PUDSP-22-4 Sanctuary Filing No. 1 at Meridian Ranch PUD/Preliminary Plan<br>Reviewed by: Lori L. Seago, Senior Assistant County Attorney Edi Anderson, Paralegal, ACP



## WATER SUPPLY REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Project Description

1. This is a proposal by GTL Development, Inc. ("Applicant") for subdivision of 343 single-family lots plus open space, landscaping, and trails on $74+/$ acres of land (the "property"). The property is zoned PUD (Planned Unit Development).

## Estimated Water Demand

2. Pursuant to the Water Supply Information Summary ("WSIS"), the subdivision demand is 106.3 acre-feet/lot for in-house use ( 0.31 acre-feet/lot), plus 0.10 acre-feet for irrigation for a total water demand of 106.5 acre-feet/year for the 343-lot subdivision. Based on the total demand, Applicant must be able to provide a supply of 31,950 acre-feet of water (106.5 acre-feet per year $x$ 300 years) to meet the County's 300-year water supply requirement.

## Proposed Water Supply

3. The Applicant has provided for the source of water to derive from the Meridian Service Metropolitan District ("District"). As detailed in the District's Water Resources Report for The Sanctuary Filing 1 at Meridian Ranch, dated June 2022, the District has water rights and appropriation for "Denver Basin groundwater, renewable alluvial ground water and additional Denver Basin water rights from adjacent metropolitan districts as part of either a financial or annexation agreement."
4. The District's General Manager provided a letter of commitment for the Sanctuary Filing 1 at Meridian Ranch development dated April 28, 2022, in which the District committed to providing water service to 343 residential lots plus irrigation with an annual water requirement of 107 acre-feet/year. The letter noted that the District has a current water demand of "1,670 AcFt per year. ... The Sanctuary Filing 1 at Meridian Ranch will add an additional demand of 107 Ac-Ft per year .... The additional 107 Ac -Ft demand results an overall demand of 1,777 Ac-Ft, which leaves a surplus of 311 Ac-Ft per year."

## State Engineer's Office Opinion

5. In a letter dated August 19, 2022, the State Engineer reviewed the proposal to subdivide the $74+/$ acre parcel into 343 single-family lots, plus additional tracts. The State Engineer stated the annual water use for the subdivision will be 107 acre-feet/year. Further, "Meridian Service Metropolitan District is the proposed water supplier. The District has provided a letter dated April 28, 2022 committing to serve this development with 107 acre-feet/year." The State Engineer identified that the District has total water rights of "2,088 acre-feet/year for a period of 300 years. The District has a current committed supply of 1,670 acre-feet/year. The uncommitted annual supply of 418 acre-feet is more than the estimated annual demand of 107 acre-feet/year for the Sanctuary Filing No. 1 at Meridian Ranch." Finally, "it is our opinion, pursuant to CRS 30-28-136(1)(h)(I), that the anticipated water supply can be provided without causing material injury to decreed waters. Our opinion that the water supply is adequate is based on our determination that the amount of water required annually to serve the subdivision is currently physically available, based on current estimated aquifer conditions."

## Recommended Findings

6. Quantity and Dependability. Applicant's water demand for the Sanctuary Filing No. 1 at Meridian Ranch development is 106.5 acre-feet per year to be supplied by the Meridian Service Metropolitan District.

Based on the water demand of 106.5 acre-feet/year for the development and the District's commitment to serve in the amount of 107 acre-feetlyear, the County Attorney's Office recommends a finding of sufficient water quantity and dependability for the Sanctuary Filing No. 1 at Meridian Ranch development.
7. Quality. The water quality requirements of Section 8.4.7.B. 10 of the Code must be satisfied. Section 8.4.7.B.10.g. of the Code allows for the presumption of acceptable water quality for projects such as this where water is supplied by an existing Community Water Supply operating in conformance with Colorado Primary Drinking Water Regulations unless there is evidence to the contrary.
8. Basis. The County Attorney's Office reviewed the following documents in preparing this review: the Water Supply Information Summary provided June 23, 2022, the Water Resources Report dated June 2022, the Meridian Service Metropolitan District letter dated April

28, 2022, and the State Engineer Office's Opinion dated August 19, 2022. The recommendations herein are based on the information contained in such documents and on compliance with the requirements set forth below. Should the information relied upon be found to be incorrect, or should the below requirements not be met, the County Attorney's Office reserves the right to amend or withdraw its recommendations.

## REQUIREMENTS:

A. Applicant and all future owners of lots within this filing shall be advised of, and comply with, the conditions, rules, regulations, limitations, and specifications set by the District.
cc. Ryan Howser, Planner III

## Sanctuary, Filing No 1 at Meridian Ranch, PUDSP-22-4

Please accept the following comments from El Paso County Public Health regarding the project referenced above:

- The 73-acre site, 343-residential lot, residential development project will be served water and wastewater by Meridian Service Metropolitan District (MSMD). A 28April2022 Will Serve Letter from MSMD for water and wastewater was submitted with the project.
- There is a finding for sufficiency in terms of water quality for this Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment regulated and approved district. The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment has assigned PWSID \# CO0121455 to the District. In addition, a Tech Contractors, May 2022, Water Resources Report supports the water quality sufficiency finding.
- Radon resistant construction building techniques/practices are encouraged to be used in this area. The EPA has determined that Colorado, and the El Paso County area, have potentially higher radon levels than other areas of the country.
- Earthmoving activities greater than 25 acres require a Construction Activity Permit from the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Air Pollution Control Division. Go to: https://www.colorado.gov/pacific/cdphe/general-air-permits
- El Paso County Public Health encourages planned walkability of residential communities. Please consider appropriate connections to other areas using sidewalks, and bike trails. Walkability features help reduce obesity and associated heart diseases.
- All water quality detention basins must have mosquito control responsibilities included as a part of the proposed metropolitan district service plan to help control mosquito breeding habitat and minimize the potential for West Nile Virus.

Mike McCarthy
El Paso County Public Health
719-332-5771
mikemccarthy@elpasoco.com
01September2022

## El Paso County Parks

## Agenda Item Summary Form

## Agenda Item Title:

## Agenda Date:

## Agenda Item Number:

Presenter: Ross Williams, Park Planner
Information: Endorsement: X

## Background Information:

Request by N.E.S., Inc., on behalf of GTL, Inc., for approval of The Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch PUD Development Plan and Preliminary Plan. The Sanctuary is zoned PUD and is located within the Meridian Ranch development, northeast of the town of Falcon, north of Londonderry Drive, at the current terminus of Rex Road. The proposed 74.40 -acre development will include 343 single-family residential lots, with a minimum lot size of 2,900 square feet, as well as four tracts designated as landscaping, park, open space, drainage, and utilities. The property is within the Falcon-Peyton Small Area Master Plan boundary.

The 2013/2022 El Paso County Parks Master Plan shows no parks, trails, or open spaces directly impacted by this proposed subdivision. Falcon Regional Park is immediately east and adjacent the project site, while the existing Eastonville and Meridian Ranch Regional Trails are located 0.25 mile to the east and north, respectively. The proposed Arroyo Lane Regional Trail alignment is located approximately 0.35 mile south of the property but will utilize paved Meridian Ranch sidewalks/trails already existing or planned along the north side of Londonderry Drive. Furthermore, paved sidewalks/trails along the proposed Rex Road extension to Eastonville Road will allow for additional pedestrian access to Falcon Regional Park and Dog Park. Combined, these proposed east-west pedestrian corridors will allow for effective connectivity between Falcon Regional Park, the Eastonville Regional Trail, and the overall Meridian Ranch development.

The open space dedication proposed within The Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch PUD Development Plan and Preliminary Plan comprises 33.4 acres, or nearly $45 \%$ of the subdivision, and therefore clearly exceeds the required open space dedication of $10 \%$. A small neighborhood park is included in the plans for The Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch, situated along Rex Road and additional open space areas. The aforementioned trail and sidewalk network connects residents to a variety of recreation facilities in the Meridian Ranch Development, including nearby neighborhood parks, community and recreation centers, an 18 -hole golf course, as well as future connectivity directly to Falcon Regional Park. Please refer to PUD Development Plan and Preliminary Plan/Landscape Plans for a detailed layout of the internal trail and sidewalk network, as well as its connectivity to adjacent Falcon Regional Park.

County Parks acknowledges the waiver of \$157,780 of regional park fees as outlined in the 2014 Park Lands Agreement (Resolution \#14-313, Reception \#214075741) which addressed provision of Falcon Regional Park lands and recommends urban park fees in lieu of land dedication for urban park purposes. As stated in the Letter of Intent, the developer intends to submit a request for a Park Lands Agreement to address credits against urban park fees for this subdivision. Parks staff will review the agreement, and if acceptable, will submit it for endorsement at an upcoming Park Advisory Board meeting, prior to the recording of forthcoming final plat(s). If no Park Lands Agreement is requested, urban park fees for The Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch would amount to \$99,470.

## Recommended Motion (PUD Development Plan and Preliminary Plan):

The Park Advisory Board recommends that the Planning Commission and the Board of County Commissioners include the following conditions when considering and/or approving The Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch PUD Development Plan and Preliminary Plan: (1) regional park fees will not be required pursuant to the existing Park Lands Agreement for regional park land dedication; and (2) fees in lieu of land dedication for urban park purposes in the amount of $\$ 99,470$ will be required at time of the recording of the forthcoming final plat(s). A Park Lands Agreement may be an acceptable alternative to urban park fees, provided the agreement is approved by the County and executed prior to recording of the forthcoming final plat(s).


## COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Park Operations - Community Outreach - Environmental Services Veterans Services - Recreation / Cultural Services

June 8, 2022
Subdivision requirements referenced in Section 8.5 .2 of the El Paso County Land Development Code. Fees are based on average land values within designated areas. See EI Paso County Board of County Commissioners (BoCC) Resolution for fees established on an annual basis. The Park Advisory Board meets the second Wednesday of each month, 1:30 p.m., BoCC Auditorium, second floor of Centennial Hall, 200 South Cascade Avenue, Colorado Springs.

Name:
PCD Reference \#:
Applicant / Owner:
GTL Development, Inc.
3575 Kenyon Street
San Diego, CA 92110

The Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch PUD Preliminary Plan PUDSP-22-004

Owner's Representative:
N.E.S., Inc.

Jon Romero
619 North Cascade Avenue, Suite 200
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

| Application Type: | PUD / Prelim Plan |
| ---: | :--- |
| Total Acreage: | 74.40 |
| Total \# of Dwelling Units: | 343 |
| Dwelling Units Per 2.5 Acres: | 11.53 |
| Regional Park Area: | 2 |
| Urban Park Area: | 3 |
| Existing Zoning Code: | PUD |
| Proposed Zoning Code: | PUD |

Application Type: PUD / Prelim Plan
Total Acreage: 74.40
Total \# of Dwelling Units: 343
Dwelling Units Per 2.5 Acres: 11.53
Regional Park Area: 2
Urban Park Area: 3

Proposed Zoning Code: PUD

## REGIONAL AND URBAN PARK DEDICATION AND FEE REQUIREMENTS

| Regional Park land dedication shall be 7.76 acres of park land per 1,000 projected residents, while Urban Park land dedication shall be 4 acres of park land per 1,000 projected residents. The number of projected residents shall be based on 2.5 residents per dwelling unit. | The EPC Land Development Code defines urban density as land development of higher density and intensity which is characteristically provided with services of an urban nature. This category of development includes residential uses with densities of more than one dwelling unit per 2.5 acres. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND REQUIREMENTS | Urban Density (> 1 Dwelling Unit Per 2.5 Acres): |  | YES |
| Regional Park Area: 2 | Urban Park Area: 3 |  |  |
|  | Neighborhood: | 0.00375 Acres $\times 343$ Dwelling Units = | 1.29 |
| 0.0194 Acres $\times 343$ Dwelling Units $=6.654$ | Community: | 0.00625 Acres $\times 343$ Dwelling Units = | 2.14 |
| Total Regional Park Acres: 6.654 |  | Total Urban Park Acres: | 3.43 |
| FEE REQUIREMENTS |  |  |  |
| Regional Park Area: 2 | Urban Park Area: 3 |  |  |
|  | Neighborhood: | \$114 / Dwelling Unit $\times 343$ Dwelling Units = | \$39,102 |
| \$460 / Dwelling Unit x 343 Dwelling Units = \$157,780 | Community: | \$176 / Dwelling Unit x 343 Dwelling Units = | \$60,368 |
| Total Regional Park Fees: \$157,780 |  | Total Urban Park Fees: | \$99,470 |

## ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff Recommendation:
The Park Advisory Board recommends that the Planning Commission and the Board of Country Commissioners include the following conditions when considering and/or approving The Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch PUD Development Plan and Preliminary Plan: (1) regional park fees will not be required pursuant to the existing Park Lands Agreement for regional park land dedication; and (2) fees in lieu of land dedication for urban park purposes in the amount of $\$ 99,470$ will be required at time of the recording of the forthcoming final plat(s). A Park Lands Agreement may be an acceptable alternative to urban park fees, provided the agreement is approved by the County and executed prior to recording of the forthcoming final plat(s).

COLORADO

Kevin Mastin, Interim Executive Director El Paso County Planning \& Community Development

0: 719-520-6300

Planning Commission Meeting
Thursday, September 15, 2022
El Paso County Planning and Community Development Department 2880 International Circle - Second Floor Hearing Room
Colorado Springs, Colorado
REGULAR HEARING, 9:00 A.M.
PC MEMBERS PRESENT AND VOTING: BRIAN RISLEY, TOM BAILEY, JAY CARLSON, BECKY FULLER, JOAN LUCIA-TREESE, BRYCE SCHUETTPELZ, TIM TROWBRIDGE, AND CHRISTOPHER WHITNEY

PC MEMBERS PRESENT AND NOT VOTING: NONE
PC MEMBERS ABSENT: SARAH BRITTAIN JACK, BRANDY MERRIAM, ERIC MORAES, AND JOSHUA PATTERSON.

STAFF PRESENT: KEVIN MASTIN, JUSTIN KILGORE, KARI PARSONS, MATTHEW FITZSIMMONS, DANIEL FEUERBACH, CHARLENE DURHAM, CARLOS HERNANDEZ MARTINEZ, RYAN HOWSER, TARA YOUNGER, MIRANDA BENSON, AND EL PASO COUNTY ATTORNEY LORI SEAGO

1. REPORT ITEMS
A. Planning \& Community Development - Kevin Mastin or Justin Kilgore

Mr. Kilgore stated no announcements from PCD staff needed to be made. Turned it over to Ms. Parsons.

Ms. Parsons gave presentation on EDARP's 2022 project history for the Board's review. Asked if this covers the information the PC was requesting?

Mr. Trowbridge stated it did not. He was looking for a tracking system by year, by month, trends increasing/decreasing over time, etc.

Ms. Parsons stated we can prepare this type of EDARP report on a monthly or annually. It can be prepared and presented in this digital format during a hearing or Mr. Trowbridge is welcome to come into the office and go over it in-person as well.

Mr. Trowbridge stated he would like to see something quarterly or semi-annually.
Mr. Mastin stated that he is not aware that the Board of County Commissioners has requested this info. He clarified that generating these types of reports takes a significant amount of time. If the BOCC needs it, PCD would be happy to do it. PCD is currently in the process of re-working our public-facing info available online. In the future, the hope is that anyone could go online and select dates to populate this type of report. But there is a significant number of hours required from PCD staff to go back and mine this info to provide it in the way it is being asked for, particularly if BOCC is not requesting this info.

Mr. Risley voiced understanding and stated the Board is sensitive to the workload PCD staff currently has. He stated this info may be more beneficial to PCD to justify to BOCC why additional funding or staffing may be needed.

Mr. Mastin stated he is aware of that and PCD has processes in place to justify what is needed. PCD works closely with the Commissioners and a budget team within EPC. If summary reports are being requested, PCD needs to know it is for something tangible to be presented to the BOCC as a recommendation. PCD does not have the capacity to take on additional projects that will not result in something tangible.

Ms. Fuller asked if PCD was already creating something for BOCC for budgeting?
Mr. Mastin stated PCD will provide info if BOCC asks for it, but PCD can not produce reports BOCC might look at. If the info is asked for, PCD can go get it. PCD does not have the capacity to take on additional projects that will not result in something tangible that the BOCC needs. If PCD is going to take planners away from development projects, something tangible needs to come from it. It must be in pursuit of something. If this were a profit/loss company, PCD would have staff working for hours that are not billable hours. PCD is sensitive to something tangible coming from every hour spent by PCD staff and has a direct result of a benefit to EPC citizens. The long-term goal is the public-facing system accessible to anyone. If it's not something that will result in a recommendation to the BOCC, PCD is asking the PC to reconsider the demand it puts on the PCD staff.

Mr. Trowbridge clarified that he thought his inquiry was part of something already in place since a spreadsheet was presented to PC members in years prior from the previous PCD Director. He doesn't want to ask for something the PCD staff is not already doing. He's sorry to see that info is no longer available.

Mr. Mastin if PCD had more admin staff, we could put bells and whistles on everything. EPC is 400 positions short-staffed. EPC is larger than the state of Rhode Island, but they probably have more than 7 planners. We're very sensitive to protect the time of our PCD staff.

Ms. Fuller asked if the Board could see what PCD has already created for the county administrator? The Board would like to understand what the workload looks like this year compared to last year. It's a point of public interest. If it's a format that can be shared, that is acceptable. Is that something PCD has that they can share?

Mr. Mastin stated it was being developed internally. EPC Public Works is doing something similar. PC members and the public will have access to that eventually.

Ms. Fuller stated the Board is on PCD's side.
Mr. Mastin reiterated that he is very protective of PCD staff's time and trying to remain efficient. Historically, countywide, the staff member's time may not have been protected where their time was used on something tangible that positively affects EPC citizens.

Mr. Carlson wanted to clarify that his understanding of what Mr. Mastin was saying is that for this Board or any other, PCD will not acknowledge any request unless it comes from BOCC?

Mr. Mastin replied that that's not what he said at all. He stated that if there is a request from any commission to provide data that will pull planners or admin staff away from their projects, it needs to result in a tangible result, not just for the sake of wanting to know. It should be for something that is needed to provide a recommendation to the BOCC, or something needed to make a decision. Not just for the sake of knowing. That is not an effective use of PCD time or County resources, especially given to number of staff PCD has available.

Mr. Carlson voiced understanding. He asked if, when those requests are made, someone from PCD staff will inform the Board if it's been decided it is for something with a tangible result or not?

Mr. Mastin clarified that he is asking the Board that if the Board is going to ask PCD to provide something, to ensure it is something that is going to be used for something tangible.

Mr. Risley asked if there any other comments from Board members? There was not. He then stated that eventually being able to find this info (whether for BOCC or EPC citizens) would be a useful tool.

Ms. Parsons explained that there have been multiple meetings with an EDARP consultant within the last month and there is a weekly meeting during which requests are submitted. Simplifying these report items is something PCD is desperately asking for. The EDARP staff understands the request and is working on it. The old process of hand-entered spreadsheets took about an hour a week just in corrections from staff entering things manually. This was discontinued about 3 years ago. It was a tremendous amount of PCD staff time to go through receipts. She expressed gratitude to Mr. Mastin for not requiring that of PCD staff going forward.

Mr. Mastin clarified that it will benefit the public as well to get a public-facing system. This type of specific request for reporting has been asked by other individuals, and it should be answered with a link where they can find that info on their own. Staff does not have the time.

Ms. Parsons proceeded with agenda.
B. The next scheduled PC Hearing will be October 20, 2022, at 9:00 A.M. There will be no PC Hearing October 6, 2022.

## C. CALL FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON AGENDA - none.

## 2. CONSENT ITEMS.

A. Adoption of minutes from PC Hearing September 1, 2022.

Mr. Risley asked if any Board members had discussion or corrections?
Mr. Trowbridge made a comment of gratitude for utilizing the last meeting's feedback.
Mr. Risley asked for any other comments? There were none. Minutes from meeting held 9/1/2022 were adopted with no changes.
B. PUDSP-22-003

PARSONS

## PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT/PRELIMINARY PLAN CREEKSIDE AT LORSON RANCH FILING NO. 2

A request by Lorson, LLC, and LLC Nominee for Lorson Murray Fountain LLC, for approval of a map amendment (rezoning) from PUD (Planned Unit Development) to a site-specific PUD (Planned Unit Development) and approval of a preliminary plan for 38 single-family residential lots. The parcel, totaling 6.01 acres, is located immediately south of Lorson Boulevard, immediately east of the East Tributary of Jimmy Camp Creek and is within Section 23, Township 15 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.M. (Parcel No. 55231-14-075) (Commissioner District No. 4).

Mr. Risley asked if there were any PC members who would like the item pulled to be heard as a regular item?

Ms. Fuller requested that it be pulled to give a member of the public a chance to voice a concern.

## CALLED UP CONSENT - DISCUSSION

Ms. Parsons asked if a full staff report presentation would be needed.
Ms. Fuller stated that would not be required, they just want to member of the public to have a chance to voice concerns.

Mr. Carlson asked about the letter of opposition regarding water runoff and water gathering?

Ms. Parsons showed part of the staff presentation which addresses water detention facility, large open space tract, and explained there is a requirement of the applicant to install water drains.

Ms. Ashley Guetsky resides in Lorson Ranch (points on map). The traffic in this area is like L.A., CA. There is a need for a continuous right turn lane off Fontaine Blvd. Marksheffel needs to be expanded. This number of homes going in is unstainable with the existing roads. If there were a fire on the West side the community, this neighborhood would be trapped. There are no other exits than these two roads, Lorson Blvd and Fontaine.

Mr. Risley asked if there were any other members of the public that would like to comment? There were none.

Ms. Parsons stated there are three upcoming projects which triggered a warrant for a traffic light to be installed at Lorson Blvd and Marksheffel.

Mr. Trowbridge asked if there was an expected timeframe for the traffic light to be installed?

Ms. Parsons responded that a timeframe could not be anticipated. It would depend on when the developer records the final plats and completes construction. That developer is in the audience and may be able to answer that question.

Mr. Mark stated the design of the aforementioned traffic signal is currently underway. Once the appropriate designing and reviewing agencies approve, installation can begin. To address the other concern, sound traffic engineering happening with every development and plat application. A qualified traffic engineer prepares a report and updates are submitted to County staff. We are in compliance on impacts and what improvements are required. The County owns and maintains Marksheffel Rd. Expansion and improvements made to Fontaine and Marksheffel, for example, is up to the County. The general requirement in EPC and any other county or jurisdiction is for a subdivision to have two points of access to meet fire and safety standards. We meet that requirement.

Mr. Whitney asked if Mr. Mark was the developer for both agenda items 2B and 2C?
Mr. Mark confirmed.
Mr. Whitney asked why the soils testing was treated differently between the two reports?
Mr. Mark explained that CO's expansive soil types cause that general notation put on the plats. Geotechnical Engineers give recommendations for builder's excavations.

Mr. Whitney expressed gratitude.

Ms. Parsons further explained that PCD also requires a CO geological survey review, required under state statute. Another reason for the difference is that the CO geological survey calls for different recommendations on each property. They are site-specific reports.

Mr. Whitney expressed gratitude.
Mr. Risley asked if there were any other questions for the applicant? There were none. Asked if there were any other items for discussion from the Board? There were none.

PC ACTION: CARLSON MOVED/LUCIA-TREESE SECONDED FOR APPROVAL OF CONSENT ITEM NUMBER 2B, PUDSP-22-003, FOR AN APPROVAL OF PLANNED UNIT DEVELOP-MENT/PRELIMINARY PLAN OF CREEKSIDE AT LORSON RANCH FILING NO. 2, UTILIZING APPROVAL PAGE NOS. 25 \& 29, WITH 8 CONDITIONS AND 7 NOTATIONS AND A FINDING OF SUFFICIENCY OF WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY, AND DEPENDABILITY, THAT THIS ITEM BE FORWARDED TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION. THE MOTION WAS APPROVED (8-0).
C. PUDSP-22-001

PARSONS

## PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT/PRELIMINARY PLAN HILLSIDE AT LORSON RANCH

A request by Lorson, LLC, Love In Action, and LLC Nominee for Lorson Conservation Invest 2 LLP, for approval of a map amendment (rezoning) from PUD (Planned Unit Development) to a site specific PUD (Planned Unit Development) and approval of a preliminary plan for 489 singlefamily residential lots. The six (6) parcels, totaling 128.33 acres, are located immediately south of Lorson Boulevard, east of the East Tributary of Jimmy Camp Creek and are within Sections 23 and 24, Township 15 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.M. (Parcel Nos. 55000-00-370, 55000-00-281, 55000-00-282, \& 55000-00-283) (Commissioner District No. 4).

Mr. Risley asked if any members of the Board would like them pulled to be heard as a regular item? There were none. Asked if any audience members would like the item pulled? There were none. Asked if any members of the Board had questions for staff? There were none.

PC ACTION: TROWBRIDGE MOVED/LUCIA-TREESE SECONDED FOR APPROVAL OF CONSENT ITEM NUMBER 2C, PUDSP-22-001, FOR AN APPROVAL OF PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT/PRELIMINARY PLAN OF HILLSIDE AT LORSON RANCH, UTILIZING APPROVAL PAGE NOS. 25 \& 29, WITH 8 CONDITIONS AND 7 NOTATIONS AND A FINDING OF SUFFICIENCY OF WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY, AND DEPENDABILITY, THAT THIS ITEM BE FORWARDED TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION. THE MOTION WAS APPROVED (8-0).
D. PUDSP-22-004

## HOWSER

PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT/PRELIMINARY PLAN SANCTUARY AT MERIDIAN RANCH

A request by GTL, Inc. for approval of a map amendment (rezoning) from PUD (Planned Unit Development) to PUD (Planned Unit Development) and approval of a preliminary plan for 343 single-family residential lots and seven (7) tracts. The 74.39 -acre property is located at the eastern end of Rex Road, approximately 1.5 miles east of Meridian Road and within Section 20, Township 12 South, Range 64 West of the 6th P.M. (Parcel Nos. 42000-00-402; 42000-00-450) (Commissioner District No. 2).

Mr. Risley asked if any members of the Board would like them pulled to be heard as a regular item? There were none. Asked if any audience members would like the item pulled? There were none. Asked if any members of the Board had questions for staff? There were none.

PC ACTION: LUCIA-TREESE MOVED/SCHUETTPELZ SECONDED FOR APPROVAL OF CONSENT ITEM NUMBER 2D, PUDSP-22-004, FOR AN APPROVAL OF PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT/PRELIMINARY PLAN OF SANCTUARY AT MERIDIAN RANCH, UTILIZING APPROVAL PAGE NOS. 25 \& 29, WITH 6 CONDITIONS AND 5 NOTATIONS AND A FINDING OF SUFFICIENCY OF WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY, AND DEPENDABILITY, THAT THIS ITEM BE FORWARDED TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION. THE MOTION WAS APPROVED (8-0).
3. CALLED UP CONSENT ITEM, 2B PUDSP-22-003 CREEKSIDE AT LORSON RANCH FILING NO. 2 (see above)
4. REGULAR ITEMS
A. P-22-017

FITZSIMMONS

## MAP AMENDMENT (REZONE) COUNTY INITIATED REZONE FROM PUD TO RR-5

A request by El Paso County Planning and Community Development for approval of a map amendment (rezoning) from PUD (Planned Unit Development) to RR-5 (Residential Rural). The nine parcel site totals 24.31-acres and is located at the northwest corner of the intersection of Highway 24 and Old Meridian Road, approximately one-quarter of a mile south of East Woodman Road and within Section 12, Township 13 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.M. (Parcel Nos. 53121-00-011, 53121-00-013, 53121-10-004, 53121-10-007, 53121-12-006, 53124-00-013, 53124-00-016, 53121-10-010, and 53124-07-001) (Commissioner District No. 2).

Mr. Fitzsimmons gave staff presentation. Introduced LDC consultant, Mr. Kupferer, for presentation.

Mr. Kupferer spoke on history of rezoning this PUD.
Mr. Carlson asked Mr. Kupferer for clarification on what he said regarding the fire department?

Mr. Kupferer stated it was an allowed use.
Ms. Fuller asked if the 9 individual property owners were paying any fees to complete this rezone?

Mr. Fitzsimmons stated they are not.
Ms. Fuller asked if a count-initiated rezone had ever happened before?
Ms. Seago stated she remembers it happening at least once before and at least one more is in process currently.

Mr. Bailey expressed concern that multiple property owners do not agree, and wondered if the county was taking a side or initiating a situation that benefited some property owners over others?

Ms. Seago stated she does not share those concerns. The whole property can no longer be used as it was originally approved. No current owners can develop their property unless it is rezoned. This step is a benefit to all property owners.

Mr. Bailey expressed gratitude.
Mr. Trowbridge asked for explanation on the need for a traffic study and the burden that places on the current property owners.

Ms. Parsons explained that commercial rezones require a traffic study. She explained a history of the previous PUD zoning, which held up development. Moving forward, when the property owners decide to rezone their individual parcels, each applicant will be responsible for the impacts of their specific proposals. EPC, the taxpayers, are not going to run a traffic study and then impose a requirement on private property landowners to do improvements. All property owners will get a clean slate to operate independently.

Mr. Carlson clarified that all these sites will need to do a traffic study to rezone (to commercial)?

Ms. Parsons answered that was correct, which is standard for all commercial rezones.
Mr. Trowbridge clarified that each landowner would need to do a traffic study, not just the first to rezone or develop?

Ms. Parsons stated that was correct.
Mr. Fitzsimmons completed staff presentation.

## DISCUSSION

Mr. Risley asked if there were any members of the public that would like to comment?

Ms. Bartlett owners of Bartlett Hay and Feed, the one lot not included in this proposal, stated she is now part of this project because they purchased the lot behind them. She asked about the traffic study done in the past. Traffic used to go across her business, where it no longer does. She asked why a traffic study is required when the residents who live there can tell you what the conditions are. The current PUD status was a hardship.

Mr. Bartlett stated the Lowes did not want to buy their lot. Old Meridian Road used to just be Meridian Road. When that was changed, they had to change their address on everything. It's crazy that the Fire Department needs to get a permit to be there; They are a needed and appreciated service. If we rezone to CS, we provide a service to our community, why would we be forced to do a traffic study? They just replaced roads during the last several years. Why should we have to pay for a traffic study, a water drainage study, when you can see in the Staff Report it's sufficient? We just want to rezone to CS so we can continue to do our business without having to get a variance.

Mr. Risley asked for any other public comment. There was none. Applicant was asked for rebuttal.

Mr. Fitzsimmons deferred to Mr. Kupferer for comment.
Mr. Kupferer clarified details about the past traffic study on Meridian Road and history of the zoning in the area.

Mr. Fitzsimmons stated he believes Ms. Parsons already addressed the concerns brought up by the public.

Mr. Risley asked if there were any discussion from Board members?
Ms. Fuller stated she believes the public's concerns bring up a great point about traffic studies. She referred the members of the public to the BOCC, who make that level of decision.

Ms. Parsons clarified that the 5-year limitation for variance of use from the LDC has been removed. An applicant can request from BOCC an indefinite variance in cases where the variance is not expected to change. However, in this case, that was not granted because of the details included in the PUD. To offset the burden that caused the Bartletts, the previous director reduced the fees for that variance request at that time.

Mr. Risley asked if there were any further discussion?
Mr. Kupferer attempted to rebut Ms. Parson's statement.
Mr. Risley stated public discussion had been closed. Asked if there were any other discussion needed from Board members? There was none.

COUNTY INITIATED REZONE FROM PUD TO RR-5, UTILIZING APPROVAL PAGE NO. 27, WITH 2 CONDITIONS AND 2 NOTATIONS, THAT THIS ITEM BE FORWARDED TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION. THE MOTION WAS APPROVED (8-0).

Meeting adjourned.
Minutes prepared by: Miranda Benson

## MAP AMENDMENT (REZONING) - PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD) and

 PRELIMINARY PLAN (RECOMMEND APPROVAL)Board Member LUCIA-TREESE moved that the following resolution be adopted:

## BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION

## OF THE COUNTY OF EL PASO

## STATE OF COLORADO

## RESOLUTION NO. PUDSP-22-004

## PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT/PRELIMINARY PLAN SANCTUARY AT MERIDIAN RANCH

WHEREAS, GTL, Inc. did file an application with the El Paso County Planning and Community Development Department to amend the El Paso County Zoning Map to rezone property in the unincorporated area of El Paso County as described in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference to the PUD (Planned Unit Development) zoning district; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held by this Commission on September 15, 2022; and
WHEREAS, based on the evidence, testimony, exhibits, consideration of the master plan for the unincorporated area of the County, presentation, and comments of the El Paso County Planning and Community Development Department and other County representatives, comments of public officials and agencies, comments from all interested persons, comments by the general public, and comments by the El Paso County Planning Commission Members during the hearing, this Commission finds as follows:

1. The application was properly submitted for consideration by the Planning Commission.
2. Proper posting, publication, and public notice was provided as required by law for the hearing before the Planning Commission of El Paso County.
3. The hearing before the Planning Commission was extensive and complete, all pertinent facts, matters, and issues were submitted and reviewed, and all interested persons were heard at that hearing.
4. That all exhibits were received into evidence; and
5. The proposed PUD (Planned Unit Development) District zoning is in general conformity with the Master Plan for El Paso County, Colorado.
6. The proposed PUD District zoning advances the stated purposes set forth in Chapter 4, Section 4.2.6, of the El Paso County Land Development Code.
7. There has been a substantial change in the character of the area since the land was last zoned.
8. The proposed development is in compliance with the requirements of the Land Development Code and all applicable statutory provisions and will not otherwise be detrimental to the health, safety, or welfare of the present or future inhabitants of El Paso County.
9. The subject property is suitable for the intended uses and the use is compatible with both the existing and allowed land uses on the neighboring properties, will be in harmony and responsive with the character of the surrounding area and natural environment; and will not have a negative impact upon the existing and future development of the surrounding area.
10. The proposed development provides adequate consideration for any potentially detrimental use-to-use relationships (e.g. commercial use adjacent to single-family use) and provides an appropriate transition or buffering between uses of differing intensities both on-site and off-site.
11.The allowed uses, bulk requirements and required landscaping and buffering are appropriate to and compatible with the type of development, the surrounding neighborhood or area and the community.
11. The areas with unique or significant historical, cultural, recreational, aesthetic or natural features are preserved and incorporated into the design of the project.
12. Open spaces and trails are integrated into the development plan to serve as amenities to residents and provide reasonable walking and biking opportunities.
13. The proposed development will not overburden the capacities of existing or planned roads, utilities and other public facilities (e.g., fire protection, police protection, emergency services, and water and sanitation), and the required public services and facilities will be provided to support the development when needed.
14. The proposed development would be a benefit through the provision of interconnected open space, conservation of environmental features, aesthetic features and harmonious design, and energy-efficient site design.
15. The proposed land use does not permit the use of any area containing a commercial mineral deposit in a manner which would unreasonably interfere with the present or future extraction of such deposit unless acknowledged by the mineral rights owner.
16. Any proposed exception or deviation from the requirements of the zoning resolution or the subdivision regulations is warranted by virtue of the design and amenities incorporated in the development plan and development guide.
17. The owner has authorized the application.
18. The subdivision is in conformance with the subdivision design standards and any approved sketch plan.
19. Sufficiency: A sufficient water supply has been acquired in terms of quantity, quality, and dependability for the type of subdivision proposed, as determined in accordance with the standards set forth in the water supply standards [C.R.S. §30-28-133(6)(a)] and the requirements of Chapter 8 of the Land Development Code.
20. A public sewage disposal system has been established and, if other methods of sewage disposal are proposed, the system complies with State and local laws and regulations [C.R.S. §30-28-133(6)(b)] and the requirements of Chapter 8 of the Land Development Code.
21. All areas of the proposed subdivision, which may involve soil or topographical conditions presenting hazards or requiring special precautions, have been identified and the proposed subdivision is compatible with such conditions [C.R.W. §30-28-133(6)(c)].
22. Adequate drainage improvements complying with State law [C.R.S. §30-28-133(3)(c)(VIII)] and the requirements of the Land Development Code and the Engineering Criteria Manual are provided by the design.
23. The subdivision provides evidence to show that the proposed methods for fire protection comply with Chapter 6 of the Land Development Code.
24. The proposed subdivision meets other applicable sections of Chapters 6 and 8 of the Land Development Code.
25. All data, surveys, analyses, studies, plans, and designs as are required by the State of Colorado and El Paso County have been submitted, reviewed, and found to meet all sound planning and engineering requirements of the El Paso County Subdivision Regulations.
27.For the above-stated and other reasons, the proposed zoning is in the best interest of the health, safety, morals, convenience, order, prosperity and welfare of the citizens of El Paso County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission recommends approval of the application to amend the EL Paso County Zoning Map to rezone property to the PUD (Planned Unit Development) zoning district.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission recommends approval of the PUD Development Plan as a preliminary plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission recommends the following conditions and notations and modifications be placed upon this approval:

## CONDITIONS

1. Development of the property shall be in accordance with this PUD development plan. Minor changes in the PUD development plan, including a reduction in residential density,
may be approved administratively by the Director of the Planning and Community Development Department consistent with the Land Development Code. Any substantial change will require submittal of a formal PUD development plan amendment application.
2. Approved land uses are those defined in the PUD development plan and development guide.
3. All owners of record must sign the PUD development plan.
4. The PUD development plan shall be recorded in the office of the El Paso County Clerk \& Recorder prior to scheduling any final plats for hearing by the Planning Commission. The development guide shall be recorded in conjunction with the PUD development plan.
5. Developer shall comply with federal and state laws, regulations, ordinances, review and permit requirements, and other agency requirements, if any, of applicable agencies including, but not limited to, the Colorado Parks and Wildlife, Colorado Department of Transportation, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service regarding the Endangered Species Act, particularly as it relates to the Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse as a listed threatened species.
6. Applicable park, school, drainage, bridge, and traffic fee shall be paid to El Paso County Planning and Community Development at the time of final plat(s) recordation.

## NOTATIONS

1. Subsequent Final Plat Filings may be approved administratively by the Planning and Community Development Director.
2. If a zone or rezone petition has been disapproved by the Board of County Commissioners, resubmittal of the previously denied petition will not be accepted for a period of one (1) year if it pertains to the same parcel of land and is a petition for a change to the same zone that was previously denied. However, if evidence is presented showing that there has been a substantial change in physical conditions or circumstances, the Planning Commission may reconsider said petition. The time limitation of one (1) year shall be computed from the date of final determination by the Board of County Commissioners or, in the event of court litigation, from the date of the entry of final judgment of any court of record.
3. Rezoning requests not forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration within 180 days of Planning Commission action will be deemed withdrawn and will have to be resubmitted in their entirety.
4. Preliminary plans not forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners within 12 months of Planning Commission action shall be deemed withdrawn and shall have to be resubmitted in their entirety.
5. Approval of the preliminary plan will expire after two (2) years unless a final plat has been approved and recorded or a time extension has been granted.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution and the recommendations contained herein be forwarded to the EI Paso County Board of County Commissioners for its consideration.

Board Member SCHUETTPELZ seconded the adoption of the foregoing Resolution.
The roll having been called, the vote was as follows:

| Board Chair Risley | aye |
| :--- | :--- |
| Board Member Bailey | aye |
| Board Member Carlson | aye |
| Board Member Fuller | aye |
| Board Member Lucia-Treese | aye |
| Board Member Schuettplez | aye |
| Board Member Trowbridge | aye |
| Board Member Whitney | aye |

The Resolution was adopted by a vote of 8 to 0 by the El Paso County Planning Commission, State of Colorado.

DATED: September 15, 2022

## EXHIBIT A

A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN A PORTION OF SECTION 20, IN TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, INCLUDING ALL OF TRACT G, ROLLING HILLS RANCH FILING NO. 2 AT MERIDIAN RANCH RECORDED WITH RECPETION NO. 221714831 IN THE RECORDS OF EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF REX ROAD IN THE ESTATES AT ROLLING HILLS RANCH FILING NO. 2, RECORDED WITH RECEPTION NO. 222714944 IN THE RECORDS OF EL PASO COUNTY, POINT BEING ON THE EASTERN BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID FILING;

## THE FOLLOWING THREE (3) COURSES ARE ON SAID BOUNDARY LINE:

1. THENCE N07² $26^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} E$ A DISTANCE OF 80.00 FEET;
2. THENCE N37³3'58"W A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET;
3. THENCE N07² $26^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} E$ A DISTANCE OF 20.00 FEET;
4. THENCE S37³3'58"E A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET;
5. THENCE S82 $33^{\prime} 588^{\prime \prime} E$ A DISTANCE OF 1387.37 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVE TO THE LEFT;
6. THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1930.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF 2902'43", AN ARC LENGTH OF 978.39 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N8254'40"E A DISTANCE OF 967.95 FEET;
7. THENCE N68²3'18"W A DISTANCE OF 399.50 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVE TO THE RIGHT;
8. THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 2050.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF $02^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 73.48 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N69²4'55"E A DISTANCE OF 73.48 FEET;
9. THENCE N26º 19 '21"E A DISTANCE OF 31.42 FEET;
10. THENCE N7153'44"E A DISTANCE OF 60.00 FEET;
11. THENCE S62 $31 ' 53^{\prime \prime} E$ A DISTANCE OF 31.42 FEET TO A NON-TANGENT CURVE TO THE RIGHT;
12. THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 2050.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF $02^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 73.48 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N69⒉ $4^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} E$ A DISTANCE OF 73.48 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WESTERN BOUNDARY OF FALCON REGIONAL PARK RECORDED WITH RECEPTION NO. 214096227;

THE FOLLOWING THREE (3) COURSES ARE ON SAID BOUNDARY LINE:
13. THENCE S00¹3'03"E A DISTANCE OF 1457.61 FEET;
14. THENCE S45¹4'56"W A DISTANCE OF 1695.49 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERN BOUNDARY OF SADI ROLLING HILLS RANCH FILING NO. 2 AT MERIDIAN RANCH, POINT BEING ON THE EASTERN BOUNDARY OF SAID TRACT G;

THE FOLLOWING FIFTY-FOUR (54) COURSES ARE ON SAID BOUNDARY LINE OF TRACT G:
15. THENCE SOO11' 44 "E A DISTANCE OF 550.00 FEET;
16. THENCE N892ㄴ'12"W A DISTANCE OF 434.55 FEET;
17. THENCE N44으'ㄴ'ㄴ W A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET;
18. THENCE S88³7'48"E A DISTANCE OF 145.01 FEET;
19. THENCE S8925'12"E A DISTANCE OF 159.35 FEET;
20. THENCE N54ํ57'02"E A DISTANCE OF 140.35 FEET;
21. THENCE N $07^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 131.23 FEET;
22. THENCE N06³6'04"W A DISTANCE OF 92.75 FEET;
23. THENCE NOO ${ }^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 44$ "W A DISTANCE OF 140.00 FEET;
24. THENCE N03³6'05"E A DISTANCE OF 68.00 FEET;
25. THENCE N18³0'43"E A DISTANCE OF 68.00 FEET;
26. THENCE N3154'11"E A DISTANCE OF 148.26 FEET;
27. THENCE N15²6'41"E A DISTANCE OF 155.55 FEET;
28. THENCE NO20ㅇ'40"W A DISTANCE OF 173.54 FEET;
29. THENCE N1546'29"W A DISTANCE OF 137.52 FEET;
30. THENCE S7507'03"W A DISTANCE OF 130.10 FEET;
31. THENCE N170 $5^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} W$ A DISTANCE OF 20.00 FEET;
32. THENCE N7254'54"E A DISTANCE OF 130.00 FEET;
33. THENCE N1705'06"W A DISTANCE OF 135.00 FEET;
34. THENCE N1907'50"W A DISTANCE OF 140.09 FEET;
35. THENCE N1541'41"W A DISTANCE OF 190.00 FEET;
36. THENCE N4454'02"W A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET;
37. THENCE N7750'23"W A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET;
38. THENCE S89³3'31"W A DISTANCE OF 144.08 FEET;
39. THENCE N81³8'27"W A DISTANCE OF 140.51 FEET;
40. THENCE N13¹3'49"E A DISTANCE OF 25.00 FEET;
41. THENCE N34ำ17'58"W A DISTANCE OF 150.03 FEET;
42. THENCE N1854'02"W A DISTANCE OF 120.00 FEET;
43. THENCE N2109'09"W A DISTANCE OF 120.74 FEET;
44. THENCE N5703'06"W A DISTANCE OF 159.45 FEET;
45. THENCE S65¹8'37"W A DISTANCE OF 190.15 FEET;
46. THENCE N13¹3'49"E A DISTANCE OF 83.94 FEET;
47. THENCE N25²8'40"W A DISTANCE OF 115.00 FEET;
48. THENCE N6355'37"W A DISTANCE OF 120.00 FEET;
49. THENCE S85¹1'52"W A DISTANCE OF 125.00 FEET;
50. THENCE S4559'27"W A DISTANCE OF 115.00 FEET;
51. THENCE S07³1'57"W A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET;
52. THENCE S070 $44^{\prime} 26$ "E A DISTANCE OF 64.26 FEET;
53. THENCE S02ำ2'31"W A DISTANCE OF 132.15 FEET;
54. THENCE S08²8'13"W A DISTANCE OF 130.45 FEET;
55. THENCE S $14^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 57$ "W A DISTANCE OF 195.06 FEET;
56. THENCE S1258'38"W A DISTANCE OF 140.44 FEET;
57. THENCE S1440'50"W A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET;
58. THENCE S19²3'52"E A DISTANCE OF 96.71 FEET;
59. THENCE S3440'06"E A DISTANCE OF 80.55 FEET;
60. THENCE N7429'49"W A DISTANCE OF 61.04 FEET;
61. THENCE N7959'14"W A DISTANCE OF 65.10 FEET;
62. THENCE S13¹3'49"W A DISTANCE OF 120.00 FEET TO A NON-TANGENT CURVE TO THE RIGHT;
63. THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1570.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF 02¹4'17", AN ARC LENGTH OF 61.32 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N73¹6'41"W A DISTANCE OF 61.32 FEET;
64. THENCE N24³4'20"E A DISTANCE OF 200.00 FEET;
65. THENCE N16²4'21"E A DISTANCE OF 150.00 FEET;
66. THENCE N1142'15"E A DISTANCE OF 500.00 FEET;
67. THENCE N0457'36"W A DISTANCE OF 290.00 FEET;
68. THENCE N07² $26^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} E$ A DISTANCE OF 500.00 FEET;
69. THENCE N82 $33^{\prime} 588^{\prime \prime W}$ A DISTANCE OF 782.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

THE ABOVE PARCEL OF LAND CONTAINS 74.394 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

## RESOLUTION NO. 22-

## EL PASO COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, STATE OF COLORADO

## APPROVAL OF THE SANCTUARY AT MERIDIAN RANCH PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT/ PRELIMINARY PLAN (PUDSP-22-004)

WHEREAS, GTL, Inc. did file an application with the El Paso County Planning and Community Development Department for an amendment to the El Paso County Zoning Map to rezone property located within the unincorporated area of the County, more particularly described in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference from the PUD (Planned Unit Development) zoning district to the PUD (Planned Unit Development) zoning district in conformance with the supporting PUD Development plan; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held by the El Paso County Planning Commission on September 15, 2022, upon which date the Planning Commission did by formal resolution recommend approval of the subject map amendment application and supporting PUD Development Plan; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held by this Board on October 4, 2022; and
WHEREAS, based on the evidence, testimony, exhibits, consideration of the master plan for the unincorporated area of the County, presentation and comments of the El Paso County Planning and Community Development Department and other County representatives, comments of public officials and agencies, comments from all interested persons, comments by the general public, comments by the El Paso County Planning Commission Members, and comments by the Board of County Commissioners during the hearing, this Board finds as follows:

1. The application was properly submitted for consideration by the Board of County Commissioners.
2. Proper posting, publication, and public notice were provided as required by law for the hearings before the Planning Commission and Board of County Commissioners of El Paso County.
3. That the hearings before the Planning Commission and Board of County Commissioners were extensive and complete, all pertinent facts, matters and issues were submitted and reviewed, and all interested persons were heard at those hearings.
4. The proposed PUD (Planned Unit Development) District zoning is in general conformity with the Master Plan for El Paso County, Colorado.
5. The proposed PUD District zoning advances the stated purposes set forth in Chapter 4, Section 4.2.6, of the Land Development Code.
6. The proposed development is in compliance with the requirements of the Land Development Code and all applicable statutory provisions and will not otherwise be detrimental to the health, safety, or welfare of the present or future inhabitants of El Paso County.
7. The subject property is suitable for the intended uses and the use is compatible with both the existing and allowed land uses on the neighboring properties, will be in harmony and responsive with the character of the surrounding area and natural environment; and will not have a negative impact upon the existing and future development of the surrounding area.
8. The proposed development provides adequate consideration for any potentially detrimental use-to-use relationships (e.g. commercial use adjacent to single-family use) and provides an appropriate transition or buffering between uses of differing intensities both on-site and off-site.
9. The allowed uses, bulk requirements and required landscaping and buffering are appropriate to and compatible with the type of development, the surrounding neighborhood or area and the community.
10. The areas with unique or significant historical, cultural, recreational, aesthetic or natural features are preserved and incorporated into the design of the project.
11. Open spaces and trails are integrated into the development plan to serve as amenities to residents and provide reasonable walking and biking opportunities.
12. The proposed development will not overburden the capacities of existing or planned roads, utilities and other public facilities (e.g., fire protection, police protection, emergency services, and water and sanitation), and the required public services and facilities will be provided to support the development when needed.
13. The proposed development would be a benefit through the provision of interconnected open space, conservation of environmental features, aesthetic features and harmonious design, and energy-efficient site design.
14. The proposed land use does not permit the use of any area containing a commercial mineral deposit in a manner which would unreasonably interfere with the present or future extraction of such deposit unless acknowledged by the mineral rights owner.
15. Any proposed exception or deviation from the requirements of the zoning resolution or the subdivision regulations is warranted by virtue of the design and amenities incorporated in the development plan and development guide.
16. The owner has authorized the application.
17. The proposed land use does not permit the use of any area containing a commercial mineral deposit in a manner, which would interfere with the present or future extraction of such deposit by an extractor.
18. The subdivision is in conformance with the subdivision design standards and any approved Sketch Plan.
19. Sufficiency: A sufficient water supply has been acquired in terms of quantity, quality, and dependability for the type of subdivision proposed, as determined in accordance with the standards set forth in the water supply standards [C.R.S. §30-28-133(6)(a)] and the requirements of Chapter 8 of the Land Development Code.
20. A public sewage disposal system has been established and, if other methods of sewage disposal are proposed, the system complies with State and local laws and regulations [C.R.S. §30-28-133(6)(b)] and the requirements of Chapter 8 of the Land Development Code.
21. All areas of the proposed subdivision, which may involve soil or topographical conditions presenting hazards or requiring special precautions, have been identified and the proposed subdivision is compatible with such conditions [C.R.W. §30-28-133(6)(c)].
22. Adequate drainage improvements complying with State law [C.R.S. §30-28$133(3)(\mathrm{c})(\mathrm{VIII})$ ] and the requirements of the Land Development Code and the Engineering Criteria Manual are provided by the design.
23. The subdivision provides evidence to show that the proposed methods for fire protection comply with Chapter 6 of the Land Development Code.
24. The proposed subdivision meets other applicable sections of Chapters 6 and 8 of the Land Development Code.
25. All data, surveys, analyses, studies, plans, and designs as are required by the State of Colorado and El Paso County have been submitted, reviewed, and found to meet all sound planning and engineering requirements of the El Paso County Subdivision Regulations.
26. For the above-stated and other reasons, the proposed zoning is in the best interest of the health, safety, morals, convenience, order, prosperity and welfare of the citizens of El Paso County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED the El Paso County Board of County Commissioners hereby approves the application to amend the El Paso County Zoning Map to rezone property located in the unincorporated area of El Paso County from the PUD (Planned Unit Development) zoning district to the PUD (Planned Unit Development) zoning district in conformance with the supporting PUD Development Plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of County Commissioners hereby approves the PUD Development Plan as a preliminary plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the following conditions and notations shall be placed upon this approval:

## CONDITIONS

1. Development of the property shall be in accordance with this PUD development plan. Minor changes in the PUD development plan, including a reduction in residential density, may be approved administratively by the Director of the Planning and Community Development Department consistent with the Land Development Code. Any substantial change will require submittal of a formal PUD development plan amendment application.
2. Approved land uses are those defined in the PUD development plan and development guide.
3. All owners of record must sign the PUD development plan.
4. The PUD development plan shall be recorded in the office of the El Paso County Clerk \& Recorder prior to scheduling any final plats for hearing by the Planning Commission. The development guide shall be recorded in conjunction with the PUD development plan.
5. Developer shall comply with federal and state laws, regulations, ordinances, review and permit requirements, and other agency requirements, if any, of applicable agencies including, but not limited to, the Colorado Parks and Wildlife, Colorado Department of Transportation, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service regarding the Endangered Species Act, particularly as it relates to the Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse as a listed threatened species.
6. Applicable park, school, drainage, bridge, and traffic fee shall be paid to El Paso County Planning and Community Development at the time of final plat(s) recordation.

## NOTATIONS

1. Subsequent Final Plat Filings may be approved administratively by the Planning and Community Development Director.
2. If a zone or rezone petition has been disapproved by the Board of County Commissioners, resubmittal of the previously denied petition will not be accepted for a period of one (1) year if it pertains to the same parcel of land and is a petition for a change to the same zone that was previously denied. However, if evidence is presented showing that there has been a substantial change in physical conditions or circumstances, the Planning Commission may reconsider said petition. The time limitation of one (1) year shall be computed from the date of final determination by the Board of County Commissioners or, in the event of court litigation, from the date of the entry of final judgment of any court of record.
3. Rezoning requests not forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners for consideration within 180 days of Planning Commission action will be deemed withdrawn and will have to be resubmitted in their entirety.
4. Preliminary plans not forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners within 12 months of Planning Commission action shall be deemed withdrawn and shall have to be resubmitted in their entirety.
5. Approval of the preliminary plan will expire after two (2) years unless a final plat has been approved and recorded or a time extension has been granted.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the record and recommendations of the El Paso County Planning Commission be adopted, except as modified herein.

DONE THIS $4^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2022, at Colorado Springs, Colorado.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

## ATTEST:

By:
Chair
By:
County Clerk \& Recorder
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## EXHIBIT A

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:
A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED IN A PORTION OF SECTION 20, IN TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST OF THE 6TH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, INCLUDING ALL OF TRACT G, ROLLING HILLS RANCH FILING NO. 2 AT MERIDIAN RANCH RECORDED WITH RECPETION NO. 221714831 IN THE RECORDS OF EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF REX ROAD IN THE ESTATES AT ROLLING HILLS RANCH FILING NO. 2, RECORDED WITH RECEPTION NO. 222714944 IN THE RECORDS OF EL PASO COUNTY, POINT BEING ON THE EASTERN BOUNDARY LINE OF SAID FILING;

THE FOLLOWING THREE (3) COURSES ARE ON SAID BOUNDARY LINE:

1. THENCE N07² $26^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ E A DISTANCE OF 80.00 FEET;
2. THENCE N $37^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET;
3. THENCE N07²6'02"E A DISTANCE OF 20.00 FEET;
4. THENCE S37³3'58"E A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET;
5. THENCE $582^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ E A DISTANCE OF 1387.37 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVE TO THE LEFT;
6. THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1930.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF $29^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 43$ ", AN ARC LENGTH OF 978.39 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N8254'40"E A DISTANCE OF 967.95 FEET;
7. THENCE N68²3'18"W A DISTANCE OF 399.50 FEET TO A POINT OF CURVE TO THE RIGHT;
8. THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 2050.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF $02^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 73.48 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N6924'55"E A DISTANCE OF 73.48 FEET;
9. THENCE $N 26^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 21$ "E A DISTANCE OF 31.42 FEET;
10. THENCE N7153'44"E A DISTANCE OF 60.00 FEET;
11. THENCE S6231'53"E A DISTANCE OF 31.42 FEET TO A NON-TANGENT CURVE TO THE RIGHT;
12. THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 2050.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF $02^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 73.48 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N69ำ $24^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} E$ A DISTANCE OF 73.48 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WESTERN BOUNDARY OF FALCON REGIONAL PARK RECORDED WITH RECEPTION N0. 214096227;

THE FOLLOWING THREE (3) COURSES ARE ON SAID BOUNDARY LINE:
13. THENCE S00¹3'03"E A DISTANCE OF 1457.61 FEET;
14. THENCE $545^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 56$ "W A DISTANCE OF 1695.49 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERN BOUNDARY OF SADI ROLLING HILLS RANCH FILING NO. 2 AT MERIDIAN RANCH, POINT BEING ON THE EASTERN BOUNDARY OF SAID TRACT G;

THE FOLLOWING FIFTY FOUR (54) COURSES ARE ON SAID BOUNDARY LINE OF TRACT G:
15. THENCE S $00^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 44$ "E A DISTANCE OF 550.00 FEET;
16. THENCE N89ํํ $5^{\prime} 12$ "W A DISTANCE OF 434.55 FEET;
17. THENCE N $44^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 12{ }^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 31.11 FEET;
18. THENCE S88³7'48"E A DISTANCE OF 145.01 FEET;
19. THENCE S8925'12"E A DISTANCE OF 159.35 FEET;
20. THENCE N5457'02"E A DISTANCE OF 140.35 FEET;
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21. THENCE N07º17'28"W A DISTANCE OF 131.23 FEET;
22. THENCE N06³6'04"W A DISTANCE OF 92.75 FEET;
23. THENCE N00¹1'44"W A DISTANCE OF 140.00 FEET;
24. THENCE N03³6'05"E A DISTANCE OF 68.00 FEET;
25. THENCE N18³0'43"E A DISTANCE OF 68.00 FEET;
26. THENCE N3154'11"E A DISTANCE OF 148.26 FEET;
27. THENCE N15²4'41"E A DISTANCE OF 155.55 FEET;
28. THENCE N020ㄴ'40"W A DISTANCE OF 173.54 FEET;
29. THENCE N15²6'29"W A DISTANCE OF 137.52 FEET;
30. THENCE $575^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 130.10 FEET;
31. THENCE N170 $05^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ W A DISTANCE OF 20.00 FEET;
32. THENCE N7254'54"E A DISTANCE OF 130.00 FEET;
33. THENCE N170 $05^{\prime} 06$ "W A DISTANCE OF 135.00 FEET;
34. THENCE N1907'50"W A DISTANCE OF 140.09 FEET;
35. THENCE N15²1'41"W A DISTANCE OF 190.00 FEET;
36. THENCE N4454'02"W A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET;
37. THENCE N77050'23"W A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET;
38. THENCE S89ํ33'31"W A DISTANCE OF 144.08 FEET;
39. THENCE N81³8'27"W A DISTANCE OF 140.51 FEET;
40. THENCE N13¹3'49"E A DISTANCE OF 25.00 FEET;
41. THENCE N34ำ1'58"W A DISTANCE OF 150.03 FEET;
42. THENCE N1854'02"W A DISTANCE OF 120.00 FEET;
43. THENCE N210 $09^{\prime} 09$ "W A DISTANCE OF 120.74 FEET;
44. THENCE N5703'06"W A DISTANCE OF 159.45 FEET;
45. THENCE S65ำ $8^{\prime} 37$ "W A DISTANCE OF 190.15 FEET;
46. THENCE N13¹3'49"E A DISTANCE OF 83.94 FEET;
47. THENCE N25²8'40"W A DISTANCE OF 115.00 FEET;
48. THENCE N63²5'37"W A DISTANCE OF 120.00 FEET;
49. THENCE S85¹1'52"W A DISTANCE OF 125.00 FEET;
50. THENCE S4559'27"W A DISTANCE OF 115.00 FEET;
51. THENCE S07³1'57"W A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET;
52. THENCE $507^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} E$ A DISTANCE OF 64.26 FEET;
53. THENCE S $02^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 31$ "W A DISTANCE OF 132.15 FEET;
54. THENCE S08²8'13"W A DISTANCE OF 130.45 FEET;
55. THENCE S $14^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 57$ "W A DISTANCE OF 195.06 FEET;
56. THENCE S $12^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 38$ "W A DISTANCE OF 140.44 FEET;
57. THENCE S $14^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 50$ "W A DISTANCE OF 100.00 FEET;
58. THENCE S19²3'52"E A DISTANCE OF 96.71 FEET;
59. THENCE S34²0'06"E A DISTANCE OF 80.55 FEET;
60. THENCE N74²9'49"W A DISTANCE OF 61.04 FEET;
61. THENCE N79ํ59'14"W A DISTANCE OF 65.10 FEET;
62. THENCE S13¹3'49"W A DISTANCE OF 120.00 FEET TO A NON-TANGENT CURVE TO THE RIGHT;
63. THENCE ON THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1570.00 FEET, A DELTA ANGLE OF $02^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$, AN ARC LENGTH OF 61.32 FEET, WHOSE LONG CHORD BEARS N73¹6'41"W A DISTANCE OF 61.32 FEET;
64. THENCE N2444'20"E A DISTANCE OF 200.00 FEET;
65. THENCE N1641'21"E A DISTANCE OF 150.00 FEET;
66. THENCE N $11^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 15$ "E A DISTANCE OF 500.00 FEET;
67. THENCE N0457'36"W A DISTANCE OF 290.00 FEET;
68. THENCE N07² $26^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} E$ A DISTANCE OF 500.00 FEET;
69. THENCE N82³3'58"W A DISTANCE OF 782.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGININNG;

THE ABOVE PARCEL OF LAND CONTAINS 74.394 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.
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