3980 Walker Road Final Drainage Report

Subdivision: Walker Reserve (Lot 3)

Prepared For: Valens Capital, LLC

Prepared By: Brett Louk, PE, Eric Maxwell, IE

Date Prepared: June 2024

PCD No. PPR2350



620 North Tejon Street, Suite 201 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 719-465-2145 blouk@smhconsultants.com

STATEMENT SHEET

Engineer's Statement:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Brett Louk, P.E. #

Developer's Statement:

I, the developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Donna Shell, Title:

Date

Date

Owner: Valens Capital, LLC Address: 3980 Walker Road Colorado Springs, CO 80908

El Paso County:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Joshua Palmer, P.E. County Engineer Date

Conditions:



1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT SHEET	1
TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUB-BASINS	3
3. DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA	5
4. DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN	6
5. FOUR STEP PROCESS	8
6. FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT	8
7. DRAINAGE BASIN FEES	9
8. SUMMARY	9
REFERENCES	. 10
APPENDIX	11



1. INTRODUCTION

The owner of 3980 Walker Road has asked SMH Consultants, P.A. (SMH) to conduct a stormwater drainage analysis, for the proposed religious institution to be constructed on the site, to satisfy El Paso County drainage criteria manual requirements. This analysis will determine potential impacts resulting from the proposed improvements to the existing property.

a. Development Location

The approximately 28.5-acre property is currently platted and zoned RR5. The site is located in the West Quarter of Section 11, Township 11 South, Range 66 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian in El Paso County, Colorado. Otherwise known as Lot 3, Walker Reserve, County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The site is bordered by Walker Road on the west and south and residential property to the north, south, and east, and open farm ground to the west. The residential property to the east is unplatted. The residential property to the south is platted as Walker Reserve. The open farm ground to the west is unplatted. The residential property to the north is unplatted. A vicinity map of the site and adjacent properties has been included in the appendix of this report.

b. Description of Property

The existing site consists of a parsonage, three barns, paved driveways and parking, and asphalt millings driveway. The existing vegetation on the site consists of native pasture and forested areas. With this Site Plan, approximately 2.47 acres will be disturbed for a new modular building, asphalt millings parking lot, and widened asphalt millings driveways.

Based on a Custom Soil Resource Report, obtained from the USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey for the site, the primary soils on site are Kettle gravelly loamy sand, and Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands. Both of these soil types are classified as Hydrologic Soils Group B and range in slope from 3-8 percent. Group B soils include soils that have a moderate infiltration rate (medium to low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet, consist mainly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils and have a moderate rate of water transmission. The Custom Soil Report for the site has been included in the appendix of the report.

The nearest major drainageway is Cherry Creek. West Cherry Creek flows through the western portion of the site and eventually flows to Cherry Creek northwest of the site.

2. DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUB-BASINS

a. Major Basin Descriptions

The existing site is located entirely in the West Cherry Creek drainage basin. Existing runoff from the site generally flows west/northwest into West Cherry Creek. West Cherry



Creek then flows north to West Cherry Creek Detention Number 5 Reservoir, located NW of the subject site, and then into Cherry Creek and Douglas County. The site was previously studied as part of the Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for Walker Reserve, completed by Associated Design Professionals, Inc., and approved on July 23, 2019. The site can be split into four smaller sub-basins and receives runoff from three different offsite sub-basins. The entirety of the site flows towards the northwest to West Cherry Creek. Per the previous report, West Cherry Creek has a tributary of approximately 850 acres consisting mostly of rangeland, homes, and ranches. Relevant excerpts from Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for Walker Reserve have been included in the appendix of this report.

b. Sub-Basin Descriptions

Offsite Drainage Area OS-1, depicted as part of Basin A in the previous report, is approximately 13.34 acres and is located east of the site on neighboring residential property. Stormwater runoff flows southwest at slopes ranging from 4-25 percent and flows along existing terrain patterns through drainage area EX-1 and leaves the site at Design Point 1. OS-1 consists of an existing building, pasture, and forested areas. This offsite sub-basin has existing 5-year and 100-year flows of 3.12 cfs and 21.60 cfs, respectively.

Offsite Drainage Area OS-2, depicted as part of Basin C in the previous report, is approximately 1.67 acres and is located east of the site on neighboring residential property. Stormwater runoff flows southwest at slopes ranging from 4-14 percent and flows along existing terrain patterns through drainage area EX-2 and leaves the site at Design Point 2. OS-2 consists of pasture and forested areas. This offsite sub-basin has existing 5-year and 100-year flows of 0.45 cfs and 3.33 cfs, respectively.

Offsite Drainage Area OS-3 is approximately 1.43 acres and is located west of the site on neighboring residential property and a portion of Walker Road. Stormwater runoff flows northeast at slopes ranging from 2-10 percent and flows along existing terrain patterns through drainage area EX-3 and leaves the site at Design Point 3. OS-3 consists of an existing gravel road, pasture, and forested areas. This offsite sub-basin has existing 5-year and 100-year flows of 0.93 cfs and 3.85 cfs, respectively.

Drainage Area EX-1 is approximately 1.67 acres located in the southeast corner of the site. Stormwater flows southwest at slopes ranging from 3-7 percent and flows along existing terrain patterns to Design Point 1 south of the site. EX-1 consists of an asphalt driveway and pasture area. This sub-basin has existing 5-year and 100-year flows of 1.02 cfs and 4.32 cfs, respectively.

Drainage Area EX-2 is approximately 5.24 acres located in the southeast portion of the site. Stormwater flows southwest at slopes ranging from 2-12 percent and flows along existing terrain patterns to Design Point 2 south of the site. EX-2 consists of an asphalt driveway, existing barns and single-story residence, pasture, and forested area. This subbasin has existing 5-year and 100-year flows of 2.36 cfs and 10.42 cfs, respectively.



Drainage Area EX-3 is approximately 17.29 acres located in the central and western portions of the site. Stormwater flows northwest at slopes ranging from 3-9 percent and flows along existing terrain patterns to Design Point 3 north of the site. EX-3 consists of an asphalt millings driveway, existing barns, and pasture area. This sub-basin has existing 5-year and 100-year flows of 3.69 cfs and 25.46 cfs, respectively.

Drainage Area EX-4 is approximately 4.32 acres located in the northeast corner of the site. Stormwater flows northwest at slopes ranging from 3-19 percent and flows along existing terrain patterns to Design Point 4 north of the site. EX-4 consists of an asphalt millings driveway, pasture, and forested area. This sub-basin has existing 5-year and 100-year flows of 1.37 cfs and 9.03 cfs, respectively.

3. DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

a. Development Criteria Reference

Pre- and post-development drainage characteristics were reviewed, studied, and analyzed using the *El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual*, Federal Emergency Management Agency's Flood Insurance Rate Map and USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey.

b. Hydrologic Criteria

Hydrology calculations in this report were performed following the methodologies outlined in the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and the El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM) Volumes 1 and 2. Drainage characteristics were delineated based on existing topographic information from a topographical survey, Lidar, and USGS topographical maps. The existing and proposed drainage maps have been included in the appendix of this report.

Since the watershed area encompassing the development site is less than 100 acres, the Rational Method was used to determine peak flows for the 5-year and 100-year storm events. Weighted C values were determined for each drainage area within the proposed site based on the amount of impervious and pervious areas. A runoff coefficient (C) was chosen from Table 6-6 of the *El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume 1 Update.* As mentioned earlier, the site consists of Hydrological Soil Group B. The Weighted C values are shown in the appendix of this report.

The time of concentration was calculated for each drainage area based off methods found in Chapter 6, Section 3.2 of the *El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume 1 update*. The first 300 feet of unconcentrated overland flow time was calculated and added to the subsequent channelized flow times. Channelized flow times were calculated using channel flow time equation. All times of concentration for existing and proposed subbasins has been included in the appendix of this report.



Rainfall intensity was calculated for each drainage area based off methods found in Chapter 6, Section 3.3 of the *El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume 1 update.* The intensity value for each basin was determined using the equations from Figure 6-5. Each drainage area's time of concentration was used to determine the respective intensity. All intensity calculations for existing and proposed sub-basins have been included in the appendix of this report.

4. DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN

a. General Concept

Proposed improvements to the site include widening of the existing asphalt driveway, the addition of an approximately 11,000 sq. ft. modular building, and accompanying asphalt millings parking lot and sidewalk to serve the new building. Runoff will largely follow existing terrain patterns and the C values for the site will increase minimally due to the addition of impervious area. All offsite flow will be allowed to enter the site as it currently does, where it will continue to flow through to West Cherry Creek on the west side of the site. The 5-year and 100-year runoff calculations can be seen in the appendix of this report.

Drainage Area P-1 is approximately 1.67 acres located in the southeast corner of the site. Stormwater flows southwest at slopes ranging from 3-7 percent and flows along existing terrain patterns to Design Point 1 south of the site at which point the runoff enters West Cherry Creek and flows back through the property to Design Point 3. Proposed improvements in drainage area P-1 consist of the existing asphalt driveway being widened with asphalt millings. This sub-basin has proposed 5-year and 100-year flows of 1.36 cfs and 4.81 cfs, respectively.

Drainage Area P-2 is approximately 5.24 acres located in the southeast portion of the site. Stormwater flows southwest at slopes ranging from 2-12 percent and flows along existing terrain patterns to Design Point 2 south of the site at which point the runoff enters West Cherry Creek and flows back through the property to Design Point 3. Proposed improvements in drainage area P-2 consist of the existing asphalt driveway being widened with asphalt millings. This sub-basin has proposed 5-year and 100-year flows of 2.60 cfs and 10.76 cfs, respectively.

Drainage Area P-3 is approximately 20.03 acres located in the central and western portions of the site. Stormwater flows northwest at slopes ranging from 3-18 percent and flows along existing terrain patterns to West Cherry Creek and eventually leaves the site at Design Point 3 north of the site. The existing flow path will be altered by the addition of the modular building, a swale on the east side of the proposed retaining wall will be installed to route runoff around the building. Capacity calculations for the swale can be seen in the appendix. Proposed improvements in drainage area P-3 consist of the modular building addition, a portion of the parking lot to service the building, and a retaining wall on the east side of the building. This sub-basin has proposed 5-year and 100-year flows of 5.81 cfs and 31.58 cfs, respectively.



Drainage Area P-4 is approximately 1.58 acres located in the northeast corner of the site. Stormwater flows northwest at slopes ranging from 3-19 percent and flows to Design Point 4 north of the site. Due to the proposed swale and parking lot, this basin has decreased in size compared to existing basin EX-4. This sub-basin has proposed 5-year and 100-year flows of 0.43 cfs and 3.13 cfs, respectively.

Existing Runoff Summary Design Point Existing Q5 Existing Q100 Area (ac) (cfs) (cfs) DP-1 15.01 3.63 23.30 2.56 DP-2 6.91 12.36 DP-3 18.72 4.28 27.92 DP-4 9.03 4.32 1.37 **Total Basin** 850 137 600

Table 1 below shows a comparison between existing and proposed runoff rates at each design point.

Table 1. Existing Design Point Summary

Proposed Runoff Summary											
Design Point	Area (ac)	Proposed Q5	Proposed Q100								
		(cfs)	(cfs)								
DP-1	15.01	3.86	23.58								
DP-2	6.91	2.76	12.62								
DP-3	21.46	6.41	34.07								
DP-4	1.58	0.43	3.13								
Total Basin	850	137	600								

Table 2. Proposed Design Point Summary

As mentioned previously, West Cherry Creek, that runs through the subject property, has a tributary area of approximately 850 acres. Per the previous report, the 5-yr and 100-yr runoff rates for this tributary area are 137 cfs and 600 cfs, respectively. It appears as though some of this runoff is being reduced by an existing retention pond on Lot 1 of Walker Reserve. However, with no information being available for this pond, it is unknown how much the flows are being reduced from what was shown in the previous report. Regardless, the flows flowing into West Cherry Creek are significant. The minimal increase in runoff from the proposed improvements will be negligible in comparison to the tributary area flows. Also, as West Cherry Creek flows to the north, it flows into a regional detention pond. This regional detention pond is known as West Cherry Creek Detention Number 5 Reservoir. Per the construction plans for this regional detention pond, approved on May 22, 1959, the pond has a tributary area of 2 sq. mi., an inflow of 1,680 cfs, and a storage capacity of 55.38 ac-ft.

Since there is already a retention pond on West Cherry Creek south of the site and a regional detention pond downstream of the site, onsite detention has not been proposed



for the subject site. The minimal increase in runoff from the proposed improvements are negligible compared to the amount of runoff currently flowing to the retention and detention ponds.

5. FOUR STEP PROCESS

El Paso County requires a four-step process for stormwater quality management: reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume, stabilizing streams, and implementing long-term source controls. These steps are further outlined in Volumes 1 and 2 of the County's Drainage Criteria Manual.

Step 1: Employ Runoff Reduction Practices. The site has been designed so that all runoff runs through native pasture before leaving the site and entering downstream receiving waters. This will minimize directly connected impervious areas within the site.

Step 2: Implement BMPs that Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) with Slow Release. Per the Phase II Stormwater Regulations in Volume II of the Drainage Criteria Manual, this site is not required to provide permanent stormwater quality facilities. Per the County's Post Construction Stormwater Management Applicability (PBMP) Evaluation Form, permanent BMPs are not required as the project is considered a Large Lot Single-Family site with greater than 2.5 acres per dwelling and less than 10% impervious area for each lot. With the proposed improvements, the site would have approximately 6% impervious area.

Step 3: Stabilize Drainageways. The existing West Cherry Creek, that runs through the property, is currently stabilized with native vegetation. The increase in flows from the site are negligible, especially considering that the tributary area to West Cherry Creek is approximately 800 acres. There is also an existing retention pond on West Cherry Creek, south of the site, that helps to reduce flows in West Cherry Creek. West Cherry Creek Detention Pond Number 5 is also located downstream of the subject site. Due to all of this, there is suitable outfall for the slight increase in runoff from the site, thus no downstream improvements are proposed.

Step 4: Implement Site Specific and Other Source Control BMPs. Soil erosion control measures will be implemented during construction of the proposed improvements. Some of the measures to be implemented during construction include: silt fence, temporary construction entrance, permanent/temporary seeding, etc.

6. FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of the site is located within a 100-year floodplain as determined by the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) number 08041C0305G and 08041C0285G effective date December 7, 2018. Both FIRM maps can be seen in the appendix of this report.



7. DRAINAGE BASIN FEES

The site has been previously platted, thus no drainage basin fees are required.

8. SUMMARY

A drainage analysis was conducted for a 28.5-acre residential site. Proposed improvements include addition of a proposed modular building and parking lot. The site is located in the West Cherry Creek drainage basin. Based on the analysis, the 5year & 100-year post-development stormwater peak flow rates will be slightly higher than the pre-developed stormwater peak flow rates. Water quality for the site will be provided via receiving pervious area. Detention is not proposed for the site, as West Cherry Creek Detention Pond Number 5 is located downstream of the site. The tributary area for West Cherry Creek Detention Pond Number 5 is 2 sq. mi. The anticipated increase in runoff from the site will be negligible compared to the tributary area and West Cherry Creek Detention Pond Number 5 has enough capacity to handle the increase. Due to all this, there are no anticipated negative impacts to downstream developments or property.



REFERENCES

Associated Design Professionals, Inc. (2019). *Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for Walker Reserve*. Retrieved from <u>https://epcdevplanreview.com/</u>

El Paso County Assessor (2020). *El Paso County Assessor's Real Property Search*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.elpasoco.com/search-el-paso-county/</u>

El Paso County Clerk and Recorder (2001-2020). *El Paso County Clerk and Recorder Web Access*. Retrieved from publicrecordsearch.elpasoco.com/

El Paso County (2018). *Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 & 2*. Drainage Criteria Manual | El Paso County, CO | Municode Library

El Paso County (2020). *Engineering Criteria Manual*. Engineering Criteria Manual | El Paso County, CO | Municode Library

El Paso County (2023). *Land Development Code*. Land Development Code | El Paso County, CO | Municode Library

United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (2024, May 3). *Web Soil Survey*. Retrieved from <u>https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx</u>



APPENDIX



VICINITY MAP







ANTHONY J FELTMAN ZONED: RR-5 REC. NO. 6111005002

WALKER ROAD



SOILS REPORT





United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for El Paso County Area, Colorado



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
El Paso County Area, Colorado	13
40—Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes	13
92—Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes	14
References	16

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION
Area of In	terest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.
Soils	Soil Map Unit Polygons Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Points Point Features Blowout	Ø ♥ ► Water Feat	Very Stony Spot Wet Spot Other Special Line Features ures Streams and Canals	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
⊠ ** **	Clay Spot Closed Depression Gravel Pit Gravelly Spot	Transporta	tion Rails Interstate Highways US Routes Major Roads	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
© ∧ 4 % 0 0	Landfill Lava Flow Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water Perennial Water	Rackgroun	Local Roads d Aerial Photography	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
0 > + ∷ ŧ	Rock Outcrop Saline Spot Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot			Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 21, Aug 24, 2023 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
\$ \$ Ø	Sinkhole Slide or Slip Sodic Spot			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 9, 2021—Jun 12, 2021 The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
40	Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes	23.7	54.0%
92	Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes	20.2	46.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		43.9	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

El Paso County Area, Colorado

40—Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 368g Elevation: 7,000 to 7,700 feet Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Kettle and similar soils: 85 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Kettle

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Sandy alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

E - 0 to 16 inches: gravelly loamy sand *Bt - 16 to 40 inches:* gravelly sandy loam *C - 40 to 60 inches:* extremely gravelly loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F048AY908CO - Mixed Conifer Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

92—Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 36b9 Elevation: 7,300 to 7,600 feet Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Tomah and similar soils: 50 percent *Crowfoot and similar soils:* 30 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Tomah

Setting

Landform: Hills, alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from arkose and/or residuum weathered from arkose

Typical profile

A - 0 to 10 inches: loamy sand

E - 10 to 22 inches: coarse sand

- Bt 22 to 48 inches: stratified coarse sand to sandy clay loam
- C 48 to 60 inches: coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R049XY216CO - Sandy Divide Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Crowfoot

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans, hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 12 inches: loamy sand

E - 12 to 23 inches: sand

Bt - 23 to 36 inches: sandy clay loam

C - 36 to 60 inches: coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R049XY216CO - Sandy Divide Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

FEMA FLOODPLAIN MAP



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal datum was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same **vertical datum**. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website a http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12

National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202

1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by EI Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile aselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website a http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Vertical Datum Flooding Source Offset (ft)

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION

Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.





NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations** (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal datum was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website a http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12

National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202

1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by EI Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile paselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website a http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Vertical Datum Flooding Source Offset (ft)

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY

FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION

Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



		LEGEND							
	SPECIAL FLOOD	D HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO Y THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD							
The 1% annu	al chance flood (100	-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood							
Hazard Area i Special Flood Elevation is th	e water-surface eleva	to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of s A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, and VE. The Base Flood tion of the 1% annual chance flood.							
ZONE A ZONE AE ZONE AH	No Base Flood Eleva Base Flood Elevation Flood depths of 1	itions determined. ns determined. to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood							
ZONE AO	Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also								
ZONE AR	Special Flood Hazard Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood								
ZONE A99	Area to be protection from the protection system	1% annual chance or greater flood. ed from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood under construction; no Base Flood Elevations							
ZONE V	determined. Coastal flood zone Elevations determine	with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood ed.							
ZONE VE	Coastal flood zone Elevations determine	e with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood ed.							
The floodway	FLOODWAY ARE	AS IN ZONE AE							
kept free of e substantial inc	reases in flood heigh	t the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without ts.							
	OTHER FLOOD	AREAS							
	average depths of square mile; and are	less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 eas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.							
	OTHER AREAS	be outside the 0.70/ secure change fleedalain							
ZONE X ZONE D	Areas in which flood	hazards are undetermined, but possible.							
	COASTAL BARRI	ER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS							
CBRS areas ar	OTHERWISE PR	OTECTED AREAS (OPAS)							
uicus ui	Floodp	lain boundary							
	Zone D	Boundary							
	CBRS a	and OPA boundary ary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base							
~ 513	Flood E Base F	elevations, flood depths or flood velocities.							
(EL 987) Base F elevation	lood Elevation value where uniform within zone; on in feet* n Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)							
	A Cross s	section line							
23	23 Transe	ct line							
97° 07' 30 <i>.</i> 32° 22' 30.	00" Geogra 00" Datum	ophic coordinates referenced to the North American of 1983 (NAD 83)							
4275 ^{000m}	N 1000-n zone 1	neter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, 3							
6000000 I	T 5000-fe system Lambe	oot grid ticks: Colorado State Plane coordinate , central zone (FIPSZONE 0502), rt Conformal Conic Projection							
DX5510	X Bench	mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of RM panel)							
● M1.5	River N	file							
	Poforto	MAP REPOSITORIES							
	EFFE FLC	CTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE							
	EFFECTIVE DA	MARCH 17, 1997 ATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL							
DECEME Special Flo	ER 7, 2018 - to upda bod Hazard Areas, to incorporate pr	te corporate limits, to change Base Flood Elevations and update map format, to add roads and road names, and to eviously issued Letters of Map Revision.							
For communit Map History Ta	y map revision histor able located in the Flo	y prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community ood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.							
To determine agent or call t	if flood insurance is he National Flood Ins	available in this community, contact your insurance urance Program at 1-800-638-6620.							
	N 50 <u>0</u> 0	IAP SCALE 1" = 1000' 1000 2000							
I		FEET							
30	00 0	300 600							
ſ	NFIPI	PANEL 0305G							
	A-A	FIRM							
	<u></u>	FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP							
	NOF	EL PASO COUNTY,							
		COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS							
		PANEL 305 OF 1300							
		(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)							
		<u>CONTAINS:</u> <u>COMMUNITY</u> <u>NUMBER</u> <u>PANEL</u> <u>SUFFIX</u>							
	<u>M</u>	EL PASO COUNTY 080059 0305 G							
		Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used							
		above should be used on insurance applications for the subject community.							
		MAP NUMBER 08041C0305G							
	NOI								
	MER	MAP REVISED DECEMBER 7, 2018							
	MEBE	Notice to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map orders: the Community Number shown above should be used on insurance applications for the subject community. MAP NUMBER 08041C0305G							

HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS



EXISTING C-CALCULATIONS (HSG B)

Existing C	Calcs (HSG B)																	
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5	Design Point	Contrib. Basins	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5
EX-1	Pavement	7178	0.17	0.90	0.96	0.153	0.163	0.16	DP-1	EX-1, OS-1	Pavement	7178	0.16	0.9	0.96	0.148	0.158	0.09
	Pasture/Meadow	65489	1.50	0.08	0.35	0.120	0.526	Weighted C100			Roof	5274	0.12	0.73	0.81	0.088	0.098	Weighted C100
	Total	72667	1.67			0.273	0.689	0.41			Pasture/Meadow	209006	4.80	0.08	0.35	0.384	1.679	0.36
											Forested	432291	9.92	0.08	0.35	0.794	3.473	
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5			Total	653749	15.01			1.414	5.409	
EX-2	Pavement	14569	0.33	0.90	0.96	0.301	0.321	0.15										
	Roof	7442	0.17	0.73	0.81	0.125	0.138	Weighted C100	Design Point	Contrib. Basins	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5
	Pasture/Meadow	191749	4.40	0.08	0.35	0.352	1.541	0.40	DP-2	EX-2, OS-2	Pavement	14569	0.33	0.9	0.96	0.301	0.321	0.14
	Forested	14560	0.33	0.08	0.35	0.027	0.117				Roof	7442	0.17	0.73	0.81	0.125	0.138	Weighted C100
	Total	228320	5.24			0.805	2.117				Pasture/Meadow	197821	4.54	0.08	0.35	0.363	1.589	0.39
											Forested	81143	1.86	0.08	0.35	0.149	0.652	
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5			Total	300975	6.91			0.938	2.701	
EX-3	Pavement	4385	0.10	0.90	0.96	0.091	0.097	0.09										
	Roof	1757	0.04	0.73	0.81	0.029	0.033	Weighted C100	Design Point	Contrib. Basins	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5
	Pasture/Meadow	740608	17.00	0.08	0.35	1.360	5.951	0.35	DP-3	EX-3. OS-3	Pavement	11048	0.25	0.9	0.96	0.228	0.243	0.09
	Forested	6587	0.15	0.08	0.35	0.012	0.053			-,	Roof	1757	0.04	0.73	0.81	0.029	0.033	Weighted C100
	Total	753337	17.29			1.492	6.133				Pasture/Meadow	796210	18.28	0.08	0.35	1.462	6.397	0.36
											Forested	6587	0.15	0.08	0.35	0.012	0.053	
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5			Total	815602	18.72			1.732	6.727	
EX-4	Pavement	2608	0.06	0.90	0.96	0.054	0.057	0.09										
	Pasture/Meadow	78401	1.80	0.08	0.35	0.144	0.630	Weighted C100	Design Point	Contrib. Basins	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5
	Forested	106985	2.46	0.08	0.35	0.196	0.860	0.36	DP-4	EX-4	Pavement	2608	0.06	0.90	0.96	0.054	0.057	0.09
	Total	187994	4.32			0.394	1.547				Pasture/Meadow	78401	1.80	0.08	0.35	0.144	0.630	Weighted C100
											Forested	106985	2.46	0.08	0.35	0.196	0.860	0.36
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5			Total	187994	4.32			0.394	1.547	
OS-1	Roof	5274	0.12	0.73	0.81	0.088	0.098	0.09										
	Pasture/Meadow	143517	3.29	0.08	0.35	0.264	1.153	Weighted C100		Existing Summ	narv Table		1					
	Forested	432291	9.92	0.08	0.35	0.794	3.473	0.35	Basin	Area (ac)	C5	C100	1					
	Total	581082	13.34			1.146	4.725		EX-1	1.67	0.16	0.41						
									EX-2	5.24	0.15	0.40						
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5	EX-3	17.29	0.09	0.35	1					
OS-2	Pasture/Meadow	6072	0.14	0.08	0.35	0.011	0.049	0.08	EX-4	4.32	0.09	0.36	1					
	Forested	66583	1.53	0.08	0.35	0.122	0.535	Weighted C100	OS-1	13.34	0.09	0.35	1					
	Total	72655	1.67			0.133	0.584	0.35	OS-2	1.67	0.08	0.35						
									OS-3	1.43	0.17	0.42	1					
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5	DP-1	15.01	0.09	0.36	1					
OS-3	Pavement	6663	0.15	0.9	0.96	0.138	0.147	0.17	DP-2	6.91	0.14	0.39	1					
	Pasture/Meadow	55602	1.28	0.08	0.35	0.102	0.447	Weighted C100	DP-3	18.72	0.09	0.36	1					
	Total	62265	1.43			0.240	0.594	0.42	DP-4	4.32	0.09	0.36]					

PROPOSED C-CALCULATIONS (HSG B)

Proposed	C Calcs (HSG B)																	
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5	Design Point	Contrib. Basins	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5
P-1	Pavement	11850	0.27	0.90	0.96	0.245	0.261	0.21	DP-1	P-1, OS-1	Pavement	11850	0.27	0.90	0.96	0.245	0.261	0.10
	Pasture/Meadow	60817	1.40	0.08	0.35	0.112	0.489	Weighted C100			Roof	5274	0.12	0.73	0.81	0.088	0.098	Weighted C100
	Total	72667	1.67			0.357	0.750	0.45			Pasture/Meadow	204334	4.69	0.08	0.35	0.375	1.642	0.36
											Forested	432291	9.92	0.08	0.35	0.794	3.473	
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5			Total	653749	15.01			1.502	5.474	
P-2	Pavement	18584	0.43	0.90	0.96	0.384	0.410	0.17										
	Roof	7442	0.17	0.73	0.81	0.125	0.138	Weighted C100	Design Point	Contrib. Basins	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5
	Pasture/Meadow	187734	4.31	0.08	0.35	0.345	1.508	0.41	DP-2	P-2, OS-2	Pavement	18584	0.43	0.90	0.96	0.384	0.410	0.15
	Forested	14560	0.33	0.08	0.35	0.027	0.117				Roof	7442	0.17	0.73	0.81	0.125	0.138	Weighted C100
	Total	228320	5.24			0.880	2.173				Pasture/Meadow	193806	4.45	0.08	0.35	0.356	1.557	0.40
											Forested	81143	1.86	0.08	0.35	0.149	0.652	
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5			Total	300975	6.91			1.014	2.757	
P-3	Pavement	28510	0.65	0.90	0.96	0.589	0.628	0.12										
	Roof	12881	0.30	0.73	0.81	0.216	0.240	Weighted C100	Design Point	Contrib. Basins	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5
	Pasture/Meadow	819576	18.81	0.08	0.35	1.505	6.585	0.38	DP-3	P-3, OS-3	Pavement	35173	0.81	0.90	0.96	0.727	0.775	0.12
	Forested	11439	0.26	0.08	0.35	0.021	0.092				Roof	12881	0.30	0.73	0.81	0.216	0.240	Weighted C100
	Total	872406	20.03			2.331	7.545				Pasture/Meadow	875178	20.09	0.08	0.35	1.607	7.032	0.38
											Forested	11439	0.26	0.08	0.35	0.021	0.092	
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5			Total	934671	21.46			2.571	8.139	
P-4	Pasture/Meadow	30498	0.70	0.08	0.35	0.056	0.245	0.08										
	Forested	38427	0.88	0.08	0.35	0.071	0.309	Weighted C100	Design Point	Contrib. Basins	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5
	Total	68925	1.58			0.127	0.554	0.35	DP-4	P-4	Pasture/Meadow	30498	0.70	0.08	0.35	0.056	0.245	0.08
											Forested	38427	0.88	0.08	0.35	0.071	0.309	Weighted C100
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5			Total	68925	1.58			0.127	0.554	0.35
OS-1	Roof	5274	0.12	0.73	0.81	0.088	0.098	0.09										
	Pasture/Meadow	143517	3.29	0.08	0.35	0.264	1.153	Weighted C100		Proposed Su	ummary Table		1	PROPOSED	SITE IMERVIO	USNESS		
	Forested	432291	9.92	0.08	0.35	0.794	3.473	0.35	Basin	Area (ac)	C5	C100		COVER TYPE	AREA (AC)	%		
	Total	581082	13.34			1.146	4.725		P-1	1.67	0.21	0.45		IMPERVIOUS	1.82	6.38%		
									P-2	5.24	0.17	0.41		PERVIOUS	26.70	93.62%		
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5	P-3	20.03	0.12	0.38		TOTAL	28.52	100.00%		
OS-2	Pasture/Meadow	6072	0.14	0.08	0.35	0.011	0.049	0.08	P-4	1.58	0.08	0.35						
	Forested	66583	1.53	0.08	0.35	0.122	0.535	Weighted C100	OS-1	13.34	0.09	0.35	-					
	Total	72655	1.67			0.133	0.584	0.35	05-2	1.67	0.08	0.35						
									05-3	1.43	0.17	0.42						
Basin	Land Cover	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 x A	C100 x A	Weighted C5	DP-1	15.01	0.10	0.36	1					
OS-3	Pavement	6663	0.15	0.9	0.96	0.138	0.147	0.17	DP-2	6.91	0.15	0.40	1					
	Pasture/Meadow	55602	1.28	0.08	0.35	0.102	0.447	Weighted C100	DP-3	21.46	0.12	0.38	1					
	Total	62265	1.43			0.240	0.594	0.42	DP-4	1.58	0.08	0.35	1					
													4					

	Existing Time of Concentration Calculations																		
S	ub-Basin Da	te				Time of	Concentrat	tion Estimate											
	Area (ac)		Initia	l/Overland	Time (t _i)		Travel Time (t _t)												
Basin		C5	C5	C5	C5	C5	C5	C5	C5	C5	Length (ft)	Slope	t _i (min)	Length (ft)	Slope	Land Type	C _v	Velocity (ft/sec)	t _t (min)
EX-1	1.67	0.16	171	0.050	13.00	0	-	-	-	-	0.00	13.00							
EX-2	5.24	0.15	300	0.066	15.89	658	0.061	SP	7	1.73	6.32	22.21							
EX-3	17.29	0.09	300	0.119	14.02	1495	0.049	SP	7	1.54	16.14	30.16							
EX-4	4.32	0.09	300	0.178	12.20	330	0.059	SP	7	1.70	3.23	15.43							
OS-1	13.34	0.09	300	0.066	17.00	894	0.063	SP	7	1.75	8.51	25.51							
OS-2	1.67	0.08	300	0.081	16.01	26	0.080	SP	7	1.98	0.22	16.23							
OS-3	1.43	0.17	232	0.101	11.94	0	-	-	-	-	0.00	11.94							
DP-1	15.01	0.09	300	0.066	17.00	1065	0.050	SP	7	1.57	11.33	28.34							
DP-2	6.91	0.14	300	0.081	16.01	984	0.061	SP	7	1.73	9.46	25.47							
DP-3	18.72	0.09	300	0.119	14.02	1495	0.049	SP	7	1.54	16.14	30.16							
DP-4	4.32	0.09	300	0.178	12.20	330	0.059	SP	7	1.70	3.23	15.43							

Equations:

$$\begin{split} t_i & (\text{overland}) = 0.395(1.1-C_5)L^{0.5}S^{-0.333} \\ C &= \text{Runoff Coefficient} \\ L &= \text{Length of overland flow} \\ S &= \text{Slope} \\ &\text{Travel Time: V} = CvS^{0.5} \\ V &= \text{Velocity (ft/s)} \\ C_v &= \text{Conveyance Coefficient} \end{split}$$

S	= Slope
÷	Charly (1/190) + 10 (developed condition only)

L = Overall Length

 Riprap (Not Buried)
 RR

 Short Pasture/Lawns
 SP

 Nearly Bare Ground
 NBG

 Grassed Waterway
 GW

 Paved Areas & Shallow Paved Swales
 PV

Land Type

HМ

TF

 C_{v}

2.5

5

6.5

7

10

15

20

Type of Land Surface

Heavy Meadow

Tillage/Fields

	Proposed Time of Concentration Calculations													
S	ub-Basin Da	te				Time of	Concentrat	ion Estimate						
	A		Initia	l/Overland	Time (t _i)			Travel Time	e (t _t)			Final		
Basin	(ac)	C5	C5	Length (ft)	Slope	t _i (min)	Length (ft)	Slope	Land Type	C _v	Velocity (ft/sec)	t _t (min)	t _c (min)	
P-1	1.67	0.21	171	0.050	12.30	0	-	-	-	-	0.00	12.30		
P-2	5.24	0.17	300	0.066	15.64	658	0.061	SP	7	1.73	6.32	21.97		
P-3	20.03	0.12	300	0.119	13.60	1495	0.049	SP	7	1.54	16.14	29.74		
P-4	1.58	0.08	300	0.151	13.02	355	0.055	SP	7	1.64	3.61	16.63		
OS-1	13.34	0.09	300	0.066	17.00	894	0.063	SP	7	1.75	8.51	25.51		
OS-2	1.67	0.08	300	0.081	16.01	26	0.080	SP	7	1.98	0.22	16.23		
OS-3	1.43	0.17	232	0.101	11.94	0	-	-	-	-	0.00	11.94		
DP-1	15.01	0.10	300	0.066	17.00	1065	0.050	SP	7	1.57	11.33	28.34		
DP-2	6.91	0.15	300	0.081	16.01	984	0.061	SP	7	1.73	9.46	25.47		
DP-3	21.46	0.12	300	0.119	13.60	1495	0.049	SP	7	1.54	16.14	29.74		
DP-4	1.58	0.08	300	0.151	13.02	355	0.055	SP	7	1.64	3.61	16.63		

Equations:

 t_i (overland) = 0.395(1.1-C₅)L^{0.5}S^{-0.333} C = Runoff Coefficient L = Len S = Slo Travel

L = Length of overland flow	Type of Land Surface	Land Type	Cv
S = Slope	Heavy Meadow	HM	2.5
Travel Time: V = CvS ^{0.5}	Tillage/Fields	TF	5
V = Velocity (ft/s)	Riprap (Not Buried)	RR	6.5
C _v = Conveyance Coefficient	Short Pasture/Lawns	SP	7
S = Slope	Nearly Bare Ground	NBG	10
t_c Check: (L/180) + 10 (developed condition only)	Grassed Waterway	GW	15
L = Overall Length	Paved Areas & Shallow Paved Swales	PV	20

EXISTING INTENSITY AND RUNOFF

	Intensity Calculations					
Basin	D = t _c	15	I100			
EX-1	13.00	3.74	6.27			
EX-2	22.21	2.93	4.92			
EX-3	30.16	2.47	4.15			
EX-4	15.43	3.48	5.84			
OS-1	25.51	2.72	4.57			
OS-2	16.23	3.40	5.71			
OS-3	11.94	3.86	6.49			
DP-1	28.34	2.57	4.31			
DP-2	25.47	2.73	4.58			
DP-3	30.16	2.47	4.15			
DP-4	15.43	3.48	5.84			

 $I_5 = -1.50ln(D) + 7.583$ $I_{100} = -2.52ln(D) + 12.735$ (Figure 6-5 El Paso Co DCM)

		Existing	Runoff Calci	ulations (Q =	= CIA)		
Basin	C5	C100	15	1100	А	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
EX-1	0.16	0.41	3.74	6.27	1.67	1.02	4.32
EX-2	0.15	0.40	2.93	4.92	5.24	2.36	10.42
EX-3	0.09	0.35	2.47	4.15	17.29	3.69	25.46
EX-4	0.09	0.36	3.48	5.84	4.32	1.37	9.03
OS-1	0.09	0.35	2.72	4.57	13.34	3.12	21.60
OS-2	0.08	0.35	3.40	5.71	1.67	0.45	3.33
OS-3	0.17	0.42	3.86	6.49	1.43	0.93	3.85
DP-1	0.09	0.36	2.57	4.31	15.01	3.63	23.30
DP-2	0.14	0.39	2.73	4.58	6.91	2.56	12.36
DP-3	0.09	0.36	2.47	4.15	18.72	4.28	27.92
DP-4	0.09	0.36	3.48	5.84	4.32	1.37	9.03

PROPOSED INTENSITY AND RUNOFF

	Intensity Calculations					
Basin	D = t _c	15	I100			
P-1	12.30	3.82	6.41			
P-2	21.97	2.95	4.95			
P-3	29.74	2.49	4.19			
P-4	16.63	3.37	5.65			
OS-1	25.51	2.72	4.57			
OS-2	16.23	3.40	5.71			
OS-3	11.94	3.86	6.49			
DP-1	28.34	2.57	4.31			
DP-2	25.47	2.73	4.58			
DP-3	29.74	2.49	4.19			
DP-4	16.63	3.37	5.65			

 $I_5 = -1.50ln(D) + 7.583$ $I_{100} = -2.52ln(D) + 12.735$ (Figure 6-5 El Paso Co DCM)

Proposed Runoff Calculations (Q = CIA)							
Basin	C5	C100	15	1100	А	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
P-1	0.21	0.45	3.82	6.41	1.67	1.36	4.81
P-2	0.17	0.41	2.95	4.95	5.24	2.60	10.76
P-3	0.12	0.38	2.49	4.19	20.03	5.81	31.58
P-4	0.08	0.35	3.37	5.65	1.58	0.43	3.13
OS-1	0.09	0.35	2.72	4.57	13.34	3.12	21.60
OS-2	0.08	0.35	3.40	5.71	1.67	0.45	3.33
OS-3	0.17	0.42	3.86	6.49	1.43	0.93	3.85
DP-1	0.10	0.36	2.57	4.31	15.01	3.86	23.58
DP-2	0.15	0.40	2.73	4.58	6.91	2.76	12.62
DP-3	0.12	0.38	2.49	4.19	21.46	6.41	34.07
DP-4	0.08	0.35	3.37	5.65	1.58	0.43	3.13

			Des	ign Procec	lure Form: Rund	off Reduction	on				
Designer	E Maxwell			UD-BMP (Version 3.07, March 20	18)					Sheet 1 of 1
Company:	SMH Conei	ultante									
Company.	June 29, 20	with consultants									
Date:	June 28, 20	24 									
Project:	3980 Walke	r Road									
Location:	El Paso Co	unty, CO									
SITE INFORMATION (Use	er Input in WQC	Blue Cells)	0.60	inches							
Depth of Average F	Runoff Prod	ucing Storm, $d_6 =$	0.43	inches (for W	/atersheds Outside o	f the Denver F	Region, Figur	e 3-1 in USD	CM Vol. 3)		
Area Type	UIA:RPA	UIA:RPA	UIA:RPA	UIA:RPA	UIA:RPA	UIA:RPA					
Area ID	1 (BLDG)	2 (PARKING+N SIDEWALK)	3 (S SIDEWALK + PARKING)	4 (NORTH DRIVE WIDENING)	5 (CENTRAL DRIVE IMPROVEMENTS)	6 (SOUTH DRIVE WIDENING)					
Downstream Design Point ID	DP-3	DP-3	DP-3	DP-3	DP-2	DP-1					
Downstream BMP Type	None	None	None	None	None	None					
DCIA (ft ²)											
UIA (ft ²)	11,124	17,414	1,686	2,409	3,648	4,939					
RPA (ft ²)	4,417	18,963	1,159	2,523	4,975	4,916					
SPA (ft ²)											
HSG A (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%					
HSG B (%)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
HSG C/D (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%					
Average Slope of RPA (IT/IT)	0.050	0.030	0.050	0.070	0.050	0.040					<u> </u>
UIA:RPA Interface width (it)	224.00	415.00	148.00	250.00	138.00	350.00					
CALCULATED RUNOFF	RESULTS		3 (S	4 (NORTH	5 (CENTRAL	6 (SOUTH					
Area ID	1 (BLDG)	2 (PARKING+N SIDEWALK)	SIDEWALK + PARKING)	DRIVE WIDENING)	DRIVE IMPROVEMENTS)	DRIVE WIDENING)					
UIA:RPA Area (ft ²)	15,541	36,377	2,845	4,932	8,623	9,855					
L / W Ratio	0.31	0.21	0.13	0.08	0.45	0.08					
UIA / Area	0.7158	0.4787	0.5926	0.4884	0.4231	0.5012					
Runoff (in)	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					I
Runoff (ft ³)	78	0	0	0	0	0					
Runoff Reduction (ft ²)	385	726	70	100	152	206					
CALCULATED WQCV RE	SULTS	1	2 (5			6 (SOUTH					
Area ID	1 (BLDG)	2 (PARKING+N SIDEWALK)	SIDEWALK + PARKING)	DRIVE WIDENING)	DRIVE IMPROVEMENTS)	DRIVE WIDENING)					
WQCV (ft ³)	464	726	70	100	152	206					
WQCV Reduction (ft ³)	385	726	70	100	152	206					
WQCV Reduction (%)	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
Untreated WQCV (ft ³)	78	0	0	0	0	0					
CALCULATED DESIGN F		ULTS (sums res	ults from all c	olumns with	the same Downstre	am Design P	oint ID)		1	г – т]
Downstream Design Point ID	DP-4	DP-3	DP-2	DP-1							
DCIA (ff ⁻)	0	0	0	0							
UIA (tt ⁻)	0	32,633	3,648	4,939							
RPA (π.)	0	27,062	4,975	4,910							
SPA (IL) Total Area (ff ²)	0	50 605	8 623	0.855							
Total Impenvious Area (ff ²)	0	32,633	3 6/8	4 030							
WOCV (ft ³)	0	1 360	152	206							
WOCV Reduction (ft ³)	0	1,000	152	206							
WQCV Reduction (%)	0%	94%	100%	100%							
Untreated WQCV (ft ³)	0	78	0	0							
					1			1		11	
CALCULATED SITE RES Total Area (ff ²)	ULTS (sun 78 173	ns results from a	Il columns in	worksheet)							

 Total Impervious Area (ft²)
 78,173

 WQCV (ft³)
 41,220

 WQCV (ft³)
 1,718

 WQCV Reduction (ft³)
 1,639

 WQCV Reduction (%)
 95%

 Untreated WQCV (ft³)
 78



HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS



		Swale	e C Calcs			
Cover Type	Area (sf)	Area (ac)	C5	C100	C5 X A	C100 X A
Forest/Meadow	66001	1.52	0.08	0.35	0.12	0.53
Pavement	17813	0.41	0.90	0.96	0.37	0.39
Roof	11124	0.26	0.73	0.81	0.19	0.21
Total	94938	2.18			0.68	1.13

Weighted C5 =	0.31
Weighted C100 =	0.52

4.00		Initial/Overland Time (t _i)			Travel Time (t _t)						Final	
Basin	(ac)	C5	Length (ft)	Slope	t _i (min)	Length (ft)	Slope	Land Type	C _v	Velocity (ft/sec)	t _t (min)	t _c (min)
SWALE	2.18	0.31	300	0.189	9.37	407	0.060	SP	7	1.71	3.96	13.32

Intensity Calculations				
Basin	D = t _c	15	1100	
SWALE	13.32	3.70	6.21	

I₅ = -1.50ln(D) + 7.583

 $I_{100} = -2.52 \ln(D) + 12.735$

(Figure 6-5 El Paso Co DCM)

	Р	roposed Ru	noff Calcula	tions (Q = C	CIA)		
Basin	C5	C100	15	1100	А	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
SWALE	0.31	0.52	3.70	6.21	2.18	2.50	7.02

P-3 SWALE CALCULATIONS

- Q₁₀₀ = 7.02 CFS
- Avg Slope = 6%
- Side Slopes = 25%
- Min depth = 1 ft
- n = 0.035 (grassy meadow)
- EQ. 7-13 FROM UDCM VOL. 1
- $S_x = (S_{x1} \times S_{x2})/(S_{x1} + S_{x2})$
- $S_x = (0.25 \times 0.25)/(0.25 + 0.25)$
- S_x = 0.125 FT/FT
- EQ. 7-2 FROM UDCM VOL. 1
- T = TOP WIDTH
- $y = TS_{x} = 1.0 FT$
- T = 1.0/0.125 = 8 FT
- EQ. 7-1 FROM UDCM VOL. 1
- Q = (0.56/n) x $S_x^{5/3}$ x $S_0^{1/2}$ x $T^{8/3}$
- Q = $(0.56/0.035) \times (0.125)^{5/3} \times (0.06)^{1/2} \times (8)^{8/3}$
- Q = 31.35 CFS > Q₁₀₀ = 7.02 CFS

PREVIOUS DRAINAGE STUDY EXCERPTS



PRELIMINARY/FINAL

DRAINAGE REPORT

FOR

WALKER RESERVE

Prepared For: G3 Investments, Inc 3980 Walker Road Colorado Springs, CO 80908

Prepared By: Associated Design Professionals, Inc. 3520 Austin Bluffs Parkway, Suite 102 Colorado Springs, CO 80918 719.266-5212

> ADP Project No. 180404 July 23, 2019



WALKER RESERVE PRELIMINARY/FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT

GENERAL

This project is for the platting of a 40.77-acre site into three (3) individual lots. The project is located east of SH 83 and north of Walker Road. The project is further described as being in the western quarter of Section 11, Township 11 South, Range 66 West of the 6th Principal Meridian in El Paso County, Colorado.

Drainage from the site is tributary to West Cherry Creek. No portion of this subdivision is contained within a FEMA 100-year floodplain as delineated on the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) No. 08041C0305G and No. 08041C0285G, dated December 7, 2018. The soil on the site is classified as Kettle gravelly loamy sand and Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sand by the *Soil Survey of El Paso County Area, Colorado*, prepared by the Soil Conservation Service. The soils are classified as Hydrologic Soil Group B.

METHOD OF COMPUTATION

The methodology utilized for this report is in accordance with *County Drainage Criteria Manual*. The Rational Method for computation of runoff was used for areas of 20 acres or less.

Q = cia

- Where Q = maximum rate of runoff in cubic feet per second
 - c = runoff coefficient representing drainage area characteristics
 - i = average rainfall intensity, in inches per hour, for the duration required for the runoff to become established
 - a = drainage basin size in acres

The overall drainage for the area including off-site flows was calculated using TR-20 Program for Project Formulated Hydrology, developed by the Soil Conservation Service (NRCS).

Times of concentration were estimated using the SCS procedures described in the DCM, based upon the hydrologic soil type, the natural conditions found in the basins and the runoff curve numbers (CN) chart from Table 5-4 of the DCM.

The 100-year, 24-hour storm precipitation selected from the NOAA isopluvial map in Figure 5-4e from the DCM was 4.6 inches. The ten-year, 24-hour storm precipitation selected from the rainfall depth-duration relationship chart in Figure 5-6 from the DCM was 3.1 inches. The five-year, 24-hour storm precipitation was derived from Figure 5-6 of the *County Drainage Criteria Manual*. The calculated rainfall amount was 2.6 inches. These numbers, along with SCS information, were used as input.

EXISTING AND DEVELOPED DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS

The proposed site is located within Black Forest and is comprised mostly of meadows. The 40.77-acre area contains a residence and some outbuildings. Several broad swales traverse the area along with a broad drainageway. The site has been divided into two (2) drainage basins which flow southwesterly. They are tributary to West Cherry Creek, which flows into Douglas County.

The southeastern portion of the site receives runoff from Sub-Basin A. This sub-basin produces runoff of 4.7 cfs for the five-year storm and 33.4 cfs for the 100-year storm. The runoff continues west along Walker Road to the channel.

Sub-Basin B is tributary to the southwestern part of the site. The sub-basin produces runoff amounts of 1.9 cfs for the five-year storm and 13.9 cfs for the 100-year storm. The storm runoff flows east through a broad swale into the channel. Storm runoff from the two basins combine at DP1 and produce total runoff of 6.0 cfs and 43.2 cfs for the five- and 100-year storms, respectively.

Sub-Basin C drains the remainder of the site and produces runoff amounts of 4.2 cfs for the fiveyear storm and 30.3 cfs for the 100-year storm. The combined runoff of the three sub-basins at DP2 is 9.7 cfs and 69.2 cfs for the five- and 100-year storms, respectively.

The drainageway which flows through the site drains approximately 850 acres of mostly rangeland with a few homes and ranches scattered through the basin. The estimated flows through the proposed site are 137 cfs for the five-year storm and 600 cfs for the 100-year storm. Based on these flows the estimated flow depth within the drainageway is 3.2 ft. with a 100-year velocity of 3.7 fps.

	Table 1						
Sub-Basin	5-Year Storm Runoff (cfs)	100-Year Storm Runoff (cfs)					
А	4.7	33.4					
В	1.9	13.9					
С	4.2	30.3					
DP1(A+B)	6.0	43.2					
DP2(DP1+C)	9.7	69.2					
OVERALL BASIN	137	600					

The estimated existing and developed on-site runoff produced by these basins is shown in Table 1 below.

BASIN FEE DETERMINATION

The unplatted site consists of 40.77 acres in northern El Paso County. The project is tributary to West Cherry Creek, which is an unstudied basin that flows into Douglas County. There are no drainage basin fees associated with the project.

CONCLUSION

The platting of the 40.77 acres into three (3) individual lots has no impact on the downstream facilities. Only a minor increase in the imperviousness of the area – less than one percent (1%) – is expected. Consequently, no drainage improvements are required at this time.



SHE 1 of	3E FLOW	FLOW, CFS 398	B0 WALKER RO	AD	NO. DATE REVISION	DATE: DESIGNED BY 4/19/18 MAB JOB NO, PROJECT ENGINEER 18404 MAB CAN ELE END, PROJECT MANAGER
	DIRECTION OF DRAINA	5 YEAR ACCUMULATE	5 YEAR STORM, CFS 100 YEAR STORM, CFS	BASIN DESIGNATION		

3980 WALKER	ROAD																		1		
BBB 1 #400.40																	_				
PROJ. #18040		OUEET														_		1			
DRAINAGE CA	LCULATION	SHEET																			
file:3980 walke	rar								_									1			
04/27/18				<u> </u>																	
		_			_		Initial Tci			Travel Ti	me				_			length	vel.		
		05	C100	CEXA	C100 X A		Sione	ti		Slope	V	Tt	TC	15	1100	Q5	Q100	L	v	^t	AREA
DESIG		(5 vr)	(100 vr)	0074	CIUCAN	L (ff)	(%)	(min)	L (ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(feet)	(fps)	(min)	DESIG.
52010.	(dore)	(0)1)	(100)1)			~ ()	()	(- ()				<u> </u>								
EXISTING & DI	EVELOPED C	ONDITIONS																			
A	23.50	0.09	0.35	2.03	8.33	300	6.00	18.17	1700	6.00	2.30	12.32	30.49	2.30	4.01	4.67	33.42	500	3.70	2.25	A
в	8.20	0.09	0.35	0.71	2.91	300	6.00	18.17	600	6.00	2.30	4.35	22.52	2.73	4.77	1.94	13.88		-		В
DP1	31.70			2.74	11.24		1			-			32.74	2.20	3.84	6.03	43.20	750	3.70	3.38	DP1
с	22.20	0.09	0.35	1.92	7.87	300	6.00	18.17	2000	6.00	2.30	14.49	32.67	2.20	3.85	4.23	30.30				C
DP2	53.90			4.66	19.11								36.12	2.07	3.62	9.66	69.17		_		DP2
TR20 CALCUL	ATIONS																				
A = 850 AC	= 1.30 SM																				
Elev Diff =	310 Ft					-											l				
L = 9700 Ft					V.																
Soil Type =	B																	<u> </u>			
CN = 61																					
Ti = 10 Min																					
Tt =34.2 Mi:	n i																				
TC = 44.2 M	in + 0.737	Hr																			
Q5 = 137 CF	S						í1		_								_				
Q100 = 600	CFS										_					_					
CHANNEL CA		5												-							
b = 40Ft																					
z = 3:1							1														
n = 0.08																					
s = 1.1%																					
Q100 = 600	CFS																				
d =3.2 Ft			-																		
v = 3.7 FPS																					

WALKER.OUT

******	*****	**80-80 L	IST OF INPUT	DATA FOR TR	-20 HYDROLOG	Y*****	****
JOB TR-20 TITLE TITLE 5 RATNEL 7	3980 DEVE	WALKER R LOPED CON	D - TR20 RUN DITIONS INPU	24 HR.5&100 T : walker	SUMMARY N)YR. STORM	OPLOTS	
8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		0.0000 0.0190 0.0600 0.8000 0.8600 0.9000 0.9300 0.9550 0.9750 0.9930	0.0040 0.0220 0.1000 0.8200 0.8700 0.9050 0.9350 0.9600 0.9800 0.9800 0.9960	0.0080 0.0260 0.7100 0.8300 0.8750 0.9100 0.9400 0.9650 0.9830 0.9999	0.0100 0.0300 0.7500 0.8400 0.8850 0.9200 0.9450 0.9700 0.9870 1.0000	0.0 0.0 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	9140 9450 9500 9900 9250 9500 9730 9900 9000
9 ENDTBL 2 XSECTN 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	005		1.0 7330.00 7331.14 7332.91 7334.30 7336.28	0.0 100.0 500.0 1000.0 2000.0	0.00 49.65 141.99 227.61 369.35		
9 ENDTBL 2 XSECTN 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	010		1.0 7340.00 7341.14 7342.91 7344.30 7346.28	0.0 100.0 500.0 1000.0 2000.0	0.00 49.65 141.99 227.61 369.35		
9 ENDTBL 6 RUNOFF 1 6 REACH 3 ENDATA	010 005	2 2 1	1.3000 100.0	61.0	0.737 1 1	. 1 . 1	
7 INCREM 6 7 COMPUT 7	5 7 010	005	0.1 0.0	3.00	1.0 7	'2 O1	01
7 COMPUT 7 ENDCMP 1	010	005	0.0	4.60	1.0 7	'2 01	02
ENDJOB 2 0********	*****	*****	********END OF	80-80 LIST*	****	******	****
EXECUTIVE C	CONTRO	L OPERATI	ION INCREM RECORD ID		TNCDEMENT -	10 1	
т				MAIN TIME	INCREMENT -	.10 1	
EXECUTIVE (CONTRO	L OPERAT:	ION COMPUT RECORD ID	FROM XSECT	ION 10	VCECTT	
+ STA TABLE NO.≃ 7 AL1	ARTING 7 A FERNAT	TIME = NT. MOIS E NO.= 1	.00 RAIN T. COND= 2 STORM	DEPTH = 3. M NO.= 1	00 RAIN C	VURATION	N = 1.00 RAIN T = .10 HOURS
OPERATION F	RUNOFF	CROSS	SECTION 10				

Page 1

1

			WALKER.C	DUT			
	PEAK TI	ME(HRS)	PEAK	DISCHARC	GE(CFS)	PE	EAK
ELEVATION	(FEET) 6.4 10.4 11.7 12.7 14.2 18.9 20.7 21.7 23.5	1 8 1 1 3 5 7 0 9		137.10 15.51 13.68 13.87 13.97 9.10 7.58 6.96 6.59			(RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF)
TIME(HRS))	FIRST HYDROGRAPH P	OINT =	.00 но	JRS	TIME INCREM	MENT = $.10$
5.00	DRAINAGE	AREA = 1.30 SQ.	MI. .00	.00	.00	.00	.00
.00 6.00	DISCHG	23.62 57	.37	99.22	128.30	137.04	130.86
7.00	DISCHG	58.03 50	.88	45.69	41.99	39.49	37.81
8.00		32.11 30	.85	29.27	27.24	24.95	22.68
9.00	DISCHG	17.72 $10.8816.30$ 1515.23 15.26	.90	15.62	15.44	15.33	15.26
10.00	DISCHG	15.25 $15.2015.29$ 1514.22 12.26	.33	15.37	15.42	15.47	15.51
11.00	DISCHG	14.33 $13.2012.11$ 1112.42 12.67	17	10.68	10.87	11.59	12.51
12.00	DISCHG	13.42 $12.0711.76$ 1013.64 12.93	.99	10.64	10.93	11,71	12.67
13.00	DISCHG	12.01 $12.0510.17$ 11.26	.10	10.33	9.77	9.38	9.13
14.00	DISCHG		8.44	13.94	13.81	13.16	12.27
15.00	DISCHG	9.36 9	9.16	9.03	8.93	8.87	8.83
16.00	DISCHG	8.77 8	3.77	8.78	8.79	8.80	8.81
17.00	DISCHG	8.87 8	3.88	8.90	8.91	8.92	8.93
18.00	DISCHG	8.99 9	9.01	9.02	9.03	9.04	9.05
19.00	DISCHG	9.10 9	9.04	8.86	8.50	8.01	7.47
20.00	DISCHG	5.09 4	1.83	4.85	5.19	5.80	6.51
21.00	DISCHG	7.04 6	5.73	6.52	6.48	6.58	6.75
22.00	DISCHG	6.50 6	5.28	6.10	5.97	5.88	5.82
23.00	DISCHG	5.70 5	5.73	5.81	5.98	6.23	6.47
24.00	DISCHG	3.91 2	2.96	2.16	1.57	1.14	.83
25.00	DISCHG	.15	.11	.08	.05	.04	.02

*** WARNING REACH 5 ATT-KIN COEFF.(C) GREATER THAN 0.667, CONSIDER REDUCING MAIN TIME INCREMENT *** 1

tr20	XEQ	04-	-27-18	07:20
	REV	РС	09/83(.2)

WALKER.OUT 3980 WALKER RD - TR20 RUN 24 HR.5&100YR. STORM JOB 1 PASS 1 DEVELOPED CONDITIONS INPUT : walker PAGE 1

OPERATION REACH CROSS SECTION 5

ΡΕΑΚ ΤΙ	ME(HRS)	PEAK DISCHAR	RGE(CFS)	PI	EAK
ELEVATION(FEET)		40- 44			7224 20
6.4 10.4 11.7 12.7 14.2 18.9 20.7 21.7 23.5	1 8 1 3 5 7 0 9	137.10 15.51 13.68 13.87 13.97 9.10 7.58 6.96 6.59) 3 7 9		7331.30 7330.18 7330.16 7330.16 7330.16 7330.10 7330.09 7330.08 7330.08
TIME(HRS)	FIRST HYDROGRAPH POIN	T = .00 HC	DURS	TIME INCRE	MENT = .10
5.00 DISCHG	AREA = 1.50 SQ.MI.	.00	.00	.00	.00
.00 .00 6.00 DISCHG	23.62 57.37	99.22	128.30	137.04	130.86
114.72 94.63 7.00 DISCHG	78.59 66.98	45.69	41.99	39.49	37.81
36.58 35.51 8.00 DISCHG	34.40 $33.2632.11$ 30.85	29.27	27.24	24.95	22.68
20.61 18.94 9.00 DISCHG	17.72 16.88	15.62	15.44	15.33	15.26
15.23 15.22 10.00 DISCHG	15.23 15.26	15.37	15.42	15.47	15.51
15.43 15.08 11.00 DISCHG	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.68	10.87	11.59	12.51
13.29 13.68 12.00 DISCHG	13.42 12.67	10.64	10.93	11.71	12.67
13.48 13.87 13.00 DISCHG	13.64 12.93 12.01 11.10	10.33	9.77	9.38	9.13
9.10 9.42 14.00 DISCHG	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	13.94	13.81	13.16	12.27
11.36 10.59 15.00 DISCHG	10.02 9.63 9.36 9.16	5 9.03	8.93	8.87	8.83
8.80 8.78 16.00 DISCHG	8.77 8.77 8.77 8.77	7 8.78	8.79	8.80	8.81
8.82 8.83 17.00 DISCHG	8.85 8.86 8.87 8.88	8.90	8.91	8.92	8.93
8.95 8.96 18.00 DISCHG	8.97 8.98 8.99 9.01	L 9.02	9.03	9.04	9.05
9.07 9.08 19.00 DISCHG	9.09	4 8.86	8.50	8.01	7.47
6.94 6.43 20.00 DISCHG	5.95 5.49 5.09 4.83	3 4.85	5.19	5.80	6.51
7.13 7.51 21.00 DISCHG	7.57 7.37 7.04 6.73	6.52	6.48	6.58	6.75
6.90 6.96 22.00 DTSCHG	6.89 6.71 6.50 6.28	6 .10	5.97	5.88	5.82
5.77 5.74 23.00 DTSCHG	5.72 5.71	S 5_81	5.98	6.23	6.47
6 58 6 41	2110 217.		5.50	0.25	

WALKER.OUT .22 .15 .30 .60 .43 .11 .08 .05 .04 .02 25.00 DISCHG .01 .00 .01 EXECUTIVE CONTROL OPERATION ENDCMP RECORD ID COMPUTATIONS COMPLETED FOR PASS 1 + EXECUTIVE CONTROL OPERATION COMPUT RECORD ID FROM XSECTION 10 + TO XSECTION 5 + STARTING TIME = .00 RAIN DEPTH = 4.60 TABLE NO.= 7 ANT. MOIST. COND= 2 RAIN DURATION= 1.00 RAIN ALTERNATE NO.= 1 STORM NO.= 2 MAIN TIME INCREMENT = .10 HOURS 1 TR20 XEQ 04-27-18 07:20 3980 WALKER RD - TR20 RUN 24 HR.5&100YR. STORM JOB 1 PASS 2 REV PC 09/83(.2) DEVELOPED CONDITIONS INPUT : walker PAGE 2

OPERATION RUNOFF CROSS SECTION 10

	PEAK TIN	ME(HRS)		Ρ	EAK	DISCH	ARGE(CFS)	Р	EAK
ELEVATION	6.3 10.4 11.7 12.7 14.2 18.9 20.7 21.7 23.5	5 5 1 3 1 7 7 9				598. 38. 33. 33. 21. 17. 16. 15.	18 72 64 77 56 26 57 08 12		(RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF) (RUNOFF)
TIME(HRS)		FIRST HY	DROGRA	PH POINT	- =	.00	HOURS	TIME INCRE	MENT = .10
HOURS 5.00	DRAINAGE DISCHG	AREA =	1.30 .00	SQ.MI. .00		.00	.00	.00	.00
.00	1.82 DISCHG	17.60	69.	84 346 21	5	03 09	587 86	587 11	527 54
438.87	348.26	279.46	229	.84	_		507.00	507.11	527.51
7.00	DISCHG	192	.24	163.01	1	41.74	126.43	115.75	108.29
102.72 8.00	98.13 DISCHG	93.86 85	89 .81	.77 81.66		76.81	71.07	64.86	58.79
53.30	48.84	45.58	43.	32					
9.00	DISCHG	41	.74	40.61		39.83	39.29	38.93	38.69
38.54	38.46	38.42	. 38.	42		~~ ~~			20 70
10.00	DISCHG	38	.44	38.48		38.53	38.59	38.66	38.70
38.44	37.52	35.61	32.	93		26 44	26.07	28 60	20 02
22 72	DISCHG	22 07	.05	11		20.44	20.87	20.00	30.03
12 00		32.97	84	76 01		26 06	26 73	28 60	30 90
32.84	33.76	33.17	· 31.	42		20.00	20.75	20.00	50.50

			WALKER	JUT			
13.00	DISCHG	29.17	26.94	25.05	23.66	22.70	22.08
14.00	DISCHG	29.98	32.32	33.50	33.15	31.57	29.41
15.00	DISCHG	22.36	21.87	21.53	21.29	21.13	21.01
20.94 16.00	20.89 DISCHG	20.85 20.82	0.83 20.81	20.81	20.82	20.84	20.85
20.87 17.00	20.89 DISCHG	20.90 20	0.92 20.96	20.97	20.99	21.01	21.02
21.04	21.06	21.08 21 11	1.09	21 14	21 16	21 18	21 19
21.21	21.23	21.24 21	1.26	20 66	10.07	10 67	17 40
16.15	14.97	13.83 1	2.76	20.00	19.02	10.07	17.40
20.00	DISCHG 17.41	11.82	11.24 7.07	11.26	12.06	13.4/	15.10
21.00 15.93	DISCHG 16.08	16.31 15.91 1	15.57 5.50	15.09	14.99	15.22	15.60
22.00	DISCHG	14.99	14.49	14.07	13.77	13.56	13.40
23.00	DISCHG	13.12	13.17	13.36	13.76	14.31	14.87
24.00	DISCHG	8.96	6.78	4.96	3.60	2.62	1.90
1.37 25.00	.98 DISCHG	.69.35	.49 .25	.17	.12	.08	.05
.03	.02	.01	.00				
*** REDUCING	WARNING MAIN TIME	REACH 5 A INCREMENT *	TT-KIN COEFF **	.(C) GREAT	TER THAN	0.667, CONSI	DER
OPERATIO 1	N REACH	CROSS SECTI	on 5				
	04 07 10	07-20	2000		-20	24 58100	
TRZU XEQ	04-27-18	07:20 J	3980 WALK OB 1 PASS	$\frac{1}{5}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	RZU RUN	24 HR.5&1001	R. STORM
REV	PC 09/83(.2)	DEVELOPED PAGE	CONDITION 5 3	NS INPUT	: walker	
	PEAK TI	ME(HRS)	PEA	AK DISCHAR	GE(CFS)	PEA	АK
ELEVATION	(FEET) 6.3	5		598.18			7333.18
	10.4 11.7	6 1		38.72 33.64			7330.44 7330.38
	12.7	- 1 2		33.77			7330.38
	18.9	1		21.26			7330.24
	20.7 21.7	7 0		17.57 16.08			7330.20 7330.18
	23.5	9		15.12			7330.17
TIME (HRS		FIRST HYDROG	RAPH POINT =	= .00 HO	URS	TIME INCREM	ENT = .10
5.00	DISCHG		.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
.00 6.00	DISCHG		346.21	503.09	587.86	587.11	527.54
438.87 7.00	348.26 DISCHG	2/9.46 Z 192.24	163.01	141.74	126.43	115.75	108.29
102.72	98.13	93.86	89.77				

		WAL	KER.OUT			
8.00	DISCHG	85.81 81.66	6 76.81	71.07	64.86	58.79
9 00		45.58 43.32 41 74 40 61	39.83	39 29	38 93	38 69
38.54	38.46	38.42 38.42		55.25	50.55	50.05
10.00	DISCHG	38.44 38.48	38.53	38.59	38.66	38.70
38.44	37.52	35.61 32.93			28 60	20 02
32 72	33 64	30.05 27.00	5 20.44	20.87	28.60	30.83
12.00	DISCHG	28.84 26.94	26.06	26.73	28.60	30.90
32.84	33.76	33.17 31.42		22.00	22 70	22.00
21 99	22 73	29.17 20.94	+ 25.05	23.00	22.70	22.08
14.00	DISCHG	29.98 32.32	2 33.50	33.15	31.57	29.41
27.23	25.36	23.99 23.03				
15.00	DISCHG	22.36 21.87	21.53	21.29	21.13	21.01
16.00		20.85 20.85	20.81	20 82	20.84	20.85
20.87	20.89	20.90 20.92	20101	20102	20101	20105
17.00	DISCHG	20.94 20.96	5 20.97	20.99	21.01	21.02
21.04		21.08 21.09	2 21 14	21 16	21 18	21 10
21.21	21.23	21.24 21.26) 21.14	21.10	21.10	21.19
19.00	DISCHG	21.25 21.10	20.66	19.82	18.67	17.40
16.15	14.97	13.83 12.76	1 11 76	12 06	12 /7	15 10
16.53	17.41	17.55 17.07	+ 11.20	12.00	13.47	13.10
21.00	DISCHG	16.31 15.57	7 15.09	14.99	15.22	15.60
15.93	16.08	15.91 15.50	14.07	10 77	12 56	12 40
13.30	13,22	13.17 13.14	9 14.07	13.77	13.30	13.40
23.00	DISCHG	13.12 13.13	7 13.36	13.76	14.31	14.87
15.12	14.71	13.36 11.28		2 60	2 62	1 00
24.00	DISCHG 98	69 49 6.78	8 4.96	3.60	2.62	1.90
25.00	DISCHG	.35 .2	5.17	.12	.08	.05
.03	.02	.01 .00				
EXECUTIV	E CONTROL	OPERATION ENDCMP				
		RECORD ID				
+			COMPUTATIONS	COMPLETED	FOR PASS	2
EXECUTIV	E CONTROL	OPERATION ENDJOB				

RECORD ID

1

TR20 XEQ 04-27-18 07:20 REV PC 09/83(.2) 3980 WALKER RD - TR20 RUN 24 HR.5&100YR. STORM JOB 1 SUMMARY DEVELOPED CONDITIONS INPUT : walker PAGE 4

SUMMARY TABLE 1 - SELECTED RESULTS OF STANDARD AND EXECUTIVE CONTROL INSTRUCTIONS IN THE ORDER PERFORMED (A STAR(*) AFTER THE PEAK DISCHARGE TIME AND RATE (CFS) VALUES INDICATES A FLAT TOP HYDROGRAPH A QUESTION MARK(?) INDICATES A HYDROGRAPH WITH PEAK AS LAST WALKER.OUT

SECTION/	STANDARD		RAIN	ANTEC	MAIN	F	PRECIPITA	TION		
STRUCTURE RUNOFF	CONTROL	DRAINAGE	TABLE	MOIST	TIME					
			# RATE		INCREM F	BEGIN	AMOUNT	DURA	TION	
(IN)	(FT) (H	(SQ MI) IR) (1	CFS)	(CSM	- (HR))	(HR)	(IN)	(۲	IR)	
ALTERN	ATE 1 ST	ORM 1								
* XSECTION	10 RUNOFF	1.30	7	2	. 10	. 0	3.00	24.	.00	
.36 XSECTION .36 7332	6.4 5 REACH 1.30 6.4	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.10 7 .10	105.5 2 105.5	. 10	. 0	3.00	24.	. 00	
	ATE 1 ST	ORM 2								
XSECTION	10 RUNOFF	1.30	7 8 1 8	2	.10	·. 0	4.60	24	.00	
XSECTION 1.13 73	5 REACH 33.18 6.	1.30 35 59	7 8.18	2 460.	.10 1	·. 0	4.60	24	.00	
TR20 XEQ	04-27-18 07:	:20 ЈОВ	3980 W 1 S	ALKER R SUMMARY	D - TR2	0 RUN	24 HR.5&1	.00YR.	STORM	
REV	PC 09/83(.2)		DEVELO P	PED CON PAGE 5	DITIONS	INPUT	: walker	•		
SUMMARY T	ABLE 2 - SELE CONTROL INSTE	ECTED MODIF	IED ATT	-KIN RE	ACH ROU	TINGS I	N ORDER C	OF STA	NDARD	
TRUNCATED	(A S AT A VALUE EX	STAR(*) AFT CEEDING BA	ER VOLU	IME ABOV)% OF PE	/E BASE(EAK	IN) IND	ICATES A	HYDRO	GRAPH	
ACCEPTABLE	A (LIMITS, SEE	QUESTION MA PREVIOUS W	RK(?) A ARNINGS	(FTER CC	DEFF.(C)	INDICA	TES PARAN	1ETERS	OUTSID	E
		HYDROGR	APH INF	ORMATIC)N					
ROUTING PA +	RAMETERS			PEAK	<					
AND A	PEAK	S/Q AT	T- TRAV	OUTF /EL TIME	FLOW+		VOLUME	MAIN	ITER-	Q
XSEC REAC	H INFLOW	Ουτ	FLOW	INTER	RV.AREA	BASE-	ABOVE	TIME	ATION	
EQUATION +	LENGTH RAT:	IO @PEAK	KIN ST	FOR- KIN	NE-					
ID LENG	TH PEAK TI R FACTOR O/I	IME PEAK I (K)	TIME COEFF	PEAK AGE MA	TIME	FLOW	BASE	INCR	#	
(FT (X) (M)) (CFS) (I (K*) (Q*)	HR) (CFS)) (SEC)	(HR) (C) ((CFS) (HR) (H) (HR) HR)	(CFS)	(IN)	(HR)		
ALTERN	ATE 1 S	TORM 1								
			F	Page 7						

POINT.)

WALKER.OUT

TR20 XEQ 04-27-18 07:203980 WALKER RD - TR20 RUN 24 HR.5&100YR. STORMREV PC 09/83(.2)JOB 1 SUMMARYDEVELOPED CONDITIONS INPUT : walker
PAGE 6

SUMMARY TABLE 3 - DISCHARGE (CFS) AT XSECTIONS AND STRUCTURES FOR ALL STORMS AND ALTERNATES

XSECTION/ STRUCTURE ID	DRAINAGE AREA (SQ MI)	STORM NUMBE	RS2	
0 XSECTION 5	1.30			
ALTERNATE 0 XSECTION 10	1 1.30	137.10	598.18	
ALTERNATE 1END OF 1 JOBS I	1 N THIS RUN	137.10	598.18	

CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS(APPROVED 1959)

-Detention dam 5 R.66 W +Drainage

Scale: 1 = 4000'

crest of spillway--55.38 Ac.ft.

STO.	RAGE	CAPA	CITY	TABLE
	Water	Surface	Depth	Copocity
······	Surface	area in	in	in
	Eler	QC/25	feet	ocre feet
	72.5	Ø	Ø	0
Drawdown	74.2	.13	1.7	0.11
	76.0	.26	3.5	0.46
	80.0	1.30	7.5	2.58
Orifice	82.3	2.99	9.8	8.51
	84.0	4.25	11.5	14.67
Crest gr	85.3	5.33	12.8	20.90
	88.0	7.56	15.5	38.30
Crast of	90.0	9.52	17.5	55.38
	92.0	11.48	19.5	76.38
	94.0	13.21	21.5	101.07





- 200'		1992 - Er Er Soner	_	
vay Flow	Present ground 1	ine		н 1 - 14 - 14 1
200' level	section	2% average grade Bottom of draw	ENGINEER'S AFFIDAVIT STATE OF COLORADO } S. S.	
4+00 ± ECTION B2+ EMERGENCY	5+00 6+00 7+0 - Be SPILLWAY	0 8+00 9	00 I hereby certify that these pla construction of the West Cherry Protection Prosect Dam No. 5 were pr direct supervision for the owners	vns for the Creek Waters ebared under thereof.
-0+68 © of da of emergency Present T	um = 3+15 £ spillway ground line 90.0		Subscribed and sworn to before n of <u>May</u> , 1959.	<u>I Jametin</u> ered Enginee ne this <u>120</u> 0 <u>L'Autor</u>
-4.85 120' 1 section - Sta	2 average grade	Bottom of drow	My commission expires on the <u>14</u> 19 <u>63</u> . OWNER'S STATEMENT These plans for the construct West Cherry Creek Watershed Dam Not Gree hereby control	day of <u>apr</u> tion of the Protection Pros
4+00 ECTION B, MERGENCY OF EM	5+00 6+00 7+0 - B, SPILLWAY ERGENCY SPIL	0 8+00 9 LWAYS	+00 accepted. Cherry Creek Soil Conservat. <u>El Paso County, Colo</u> Holder of Easement	ion District
Horiz. "=100'	Vert. 1"=20'		By: President, Board of Sup By: Arm M. Torrence Chairman, Board of Count PLANS FOR TH	ervisors ty Commission
	Inlet to E.J. #2 E.J. #2 to E.J. #3 E.J. #3 to C.J. #4 E.J. #4 to Joint Block	GRADES 0.5% 2.0% 3.5% 5.0%	DETENTION DA WEST CHERRY CREEK EL PASO COUNTY, COL IRRIGATION DIVISION NO. I WATER COURSES REFERRED TO-TRUE	M S WATERSHEL ORADO DISTRICT NO. MERIDIAN
ditch, h, 3:1 % ar	Joint block to outlet E.J. = Exponsion	i.7% joint	Scale : As shown SHEET I OF 5 SH Approved on the <u>22nd</u> day of <u>/</u>	'EETS <u>'7AY</u> 1953

DRAINAGE MAPS





DRAINAGE AREA ID	AREA (ACRE)	C5	C100	TIME OF CONCENTRATION (TC)	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
EX-1	1.67	0.16	0.41	13.00	1.02	4.32
EX-2	5.24	0.15	0.40	22.21	2.36	10.42
EX-3	17.29	0.09	0.35	30.16	3.69	25.46
EX-4	4.32	0.09	0.36	15.43	1.37	9.03
OS-1	13.34	0.09	0.35	25.51	3.12	21.60
OS-2	1.67	0.08	0.35	16.23	0.45	3.33
OS-3	1.43	0.17	0.42	11.94	0.93	3.85

12.36	
27.92	
9.03	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE MAP TABLE						
DRAINAGE AREA ID	AREA (ACRE)	C5	C100	TIME OF CONCENTRATION (TC)	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
P-1	1.67	0.21	0.45	12.30	1.36	4.81
P-2	5.24	0.17	0.41	21.97	2.60	10.76
P-3	20.03	0.12	0.38	29.74	5.81	31.58
P-4	1.58	0.08	0.35	16.63	0.43	3.13
OS-1	13.34	0.09	0.35	25.51	3.12	21.60
OS-2	1.67	0.08	0.35	16.23	0.45	3.33
OS-3	1.43	0.17	0.42	11.94	0.93	3.85

%
%
/0
6.38%
93 62%
55.0270
100.00%
-

	LEGEND
-6960	EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR (10')
-6962	EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR (2')
-6960	PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR (10')
-6962	PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR (2')
>>	FLOW PATH
	DRAINAGE BOUNDARY
	PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS AREA