Forsgren Associates, Inc. 56 Inverness Dr. East Ste 112 Englewood, CO 80112 (720) 214-5884

April 19, 2021 El Paso County Development Services Attn: Gabe Sevigny 2880 International Circle, Ste 110 Colorado Springs, CO 80910

SUBJECT: Warner 4-Lot Subdivision 4-Lot Subdivision Drainage Memo

Please rename to drainage letter and add a cover page to the report that also contains "PCD File No. MS-21-004". Update report to a typical report layout that includes a table of contents and appendix. The appendix should include all attachments.

Please clarify where these storm inlets are located. It appears Goshawk Road is a gravel road, which typically does not have inlets. Make sure to show inlets on proposed conditions drainage map if they are within the vicinity of the property.

evigny,

being presented to discuss existing and future drainage features of the proposed site at shawk Rd Colorado Springs, CO 80908 and to provide a description of the proposed work. will be provided showing there will be no negative impact to existing drainage features or conditions.

s located in the southwest 1/4 of Section 23, Township 11 South, and Range 65 West of the Meridian. The property is located at 17350 W Goshawk Rd Colorado Springs, CO 80908. It number of 5123000037 and is zoned RR-5. The streets that border the project area are W to the South and Hardy Rd. to the North. Currently, there is a single-family residential south center of the property.

Description of Property

The property has an area of 39.86 acres and has a single-family residential home located on the south center portion of the property. Runoff from the site flows, generally, to the south to various, existing storm inlets which convey water to the south to West Kiowa Creek and, eventually, to Kiowa Creek. The soil type for the property consists of approximately 0.4% Elbeth sandy loam at slopes of 3-8%, and 99.6% Elbeth sandy loam at 8-15% slopes. All soil types on site are of the hydrologic soil group B. See Appendix for the Custom Soils Resource Report for the site obtained from the National Cooperative Soil Survey (https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm).

Major Basin Description

The site is located within the West Kiowa Creek Drainage Basin. All flows from the site flow, generally, to the south and end up, eventually, in Kettle Creek.

Floodplain Statement

The proposed improvements are not within a 100-year floodplain, FIRM #08041C0310G; dated December 7, 2018. See Appendix for FEMA FIRM Floodplain maps.

For this narrative please provide descriptions of the smaller basins within the property as well as adjacent to the property for runoff patterns across the property.

Subbasin Description

The site lies within the West Kiowa Creek Drainage Basin. Stormwater runoff flowing off site shall generally flow overland to the southwest.

Four Step Process to Minimize Adverse Impacts of Urbanization

El Paso County requires the UDFCD Four Step Process be utilized for receiv goal of the Four Step Process is to reduce runoff volumes, treat the water runoff, stabilize drainageways, and implement long-term source controls. are to be made at this time, the four-step process shall not be addressed.

Please refer to ECM I.7.2.A for the 4 steps that are included in this section. Please make sure to address every section in a narrative in a relevant manner.

Drainage Basin and Bridge Fees

Per the El Paso County Land Development Code, Section 8.5.5.C.2, drainage basin and bridge fees shall be paid prior to filing of the Final Plat. Since the proposed major subdivision is located within the West Kiowa Subbasin, per the 2019 El Paso County Drainage Basin Fees the basin and drainage fees are \$0.

Proposed Conditions



No site improvements are being proposed at this time. The amount of pervious and impervious areas on site shall remain the same. The current property has a single home with an access road located at the southeast of the property. No offsite roadway improvements are required for this five-lot subdivision. When builders are selected for the various parcels to be developed, each individual homeowner shall pay all required drainage fees to the County. Each individual owner and builder shall also conduct their own due-diligence when developing each lot.

It is the professional opinion of the engineer that the proposed improvements will not have any negative impacts on the existing site conditions or the storm drainage system's ability to convey flows from the site and will not adversely affect the downstream and surrounding developments.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Conner Burba, P.E. **Project Manager**

Forsgren Associates, Ind Please include a statement that compares proposed conditions with historic conditions of the site. Determine if increase in runoff is negligible or not.

Although no improvements/construction is being proposed at this moment, per ECM Table 3-1 in appendix L, every lot size has a typical impervious amount associated with it. Please update this section do determine what increase in impervious will occur with the new lots. Include calculations in the appendix for developed conditions, since runoff will increase with more impervious.

After this page include a list of references that includes all manuals used to make this report. Also, include an appendix that includes hydraulic calculations for this property. The calculations should include:

-Time of concentration

-Runoff coefficients Table 6-6 CSDCM

-Existing and proposed conditions (runoff) that include impervious increase in calculations.

-Delineate minor drainage basins in the drainage report. This should include the adjacent basins that feed into the property.

-Include design points for points where runoff exits property for both proposed and existing.

-For any areas in the property where runoff exceeds 15 cfs, drainage easements should be designated.

Design Engineer's Statement:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Conner Burba, P.E. #51257

Date

Owner/Developer's Statement:

I, the owner/developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Brian Warner

17350 W Goshawk Rd Colorado Springs, CO 80908 Date

After the two signature blocks include the following:

"El Paso County:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Jennifer Irvine, P.E.Date County Engineer / ECM Administrator

Conditions:

Please move this sheet towards the beginning of the report, after the table of contents.

NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The **community map repository** should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations** (BFEs) and/or **floodways** have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control structures**. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The **projection** used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The **horizontal datum** was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the **North American Vertical Datum** of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12

National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202

1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact **FEMA Map Service Center** (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

If you have **questions about this map** or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call **1-877-FEMA MAP** (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Vertical Datum poding Source Offset (ft)

Flooding Source

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION

Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



		LEGEND						
	SPECIAL FLOOI) HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO (THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD						
The 1% annu that has a 1% Hazard Area i Special Flood	al chance flood (100- chance of being equ s the area subject t Hazard include Zones	year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood ualed or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of S A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, and VE. The Base Flood						
Elevation is th	e water-surface eleva No Base Flood Eleva	tion of the 1% annual chance flood. tions determined.						
ZONE AE ZONE AH	Base Flood Elevations determined. Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevations determined.							
ZONE AO	Elevations determined. Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined.							
ZONE AR	Special Flood Hazard Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.							
ZONE A99	Area to be protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations							
ZONE V	determined. Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood Elevations determined							
ZONE VE	Elevations determined. Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood Elevations determined.							
	FLOODWAY ARE	AS IN ZONE AE						
The floodway kept free of e substantial inc	is the channel of a s encroachment so tha reases in flood height	tream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be t the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without ts.						
	OTHER FLOOD AREAS							
ZONE X	Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.							
	OTHER AREAS							
ZONE X ZONE D	Areas determined to Areas in which flood	be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain. hazards are undetermined, but possible.						
	COASTAL BARRI	ER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS						
	OTHERWISE PR	OTECTED AREAS (OPAs)						
CBRS areas ar	nd OPAs are normally	located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.						
	Floodpl	ain boundary ay boundary						
••••••	Zone D CBRS a	nd OPA boundary						
	Bounda	ary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities						
~ 513	Base Fl	ood Elevation line and value; elevation in feet*						
(EL 987) Base Fl elevation	ood Elevation value where uniform within zone; on in feet*						
* Referenced	to the North America	n Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)						
\ <u>A</u> /								
97° 07' 30	23 Iranse	nhic coordinates referenced to the North American						
32° 22' 30.	00" Datum	of 1983 (NAD 83)						
4275 ^{000m} p	N 1000-n zone 1:	neter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, 3						
6000000 I	T 5000-fo system Lamber	oot grid ticks: Colorado State Plane coordinate , central zone (FIPSZONE 0502), rt Conformal Conic Projection						
DX5510	× Bench this FIF	mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of RM panel)						
● M1.5	River M	lile						
	Refer to EFFE(FLC	MAP REPOSITORIES Map Repositories list on Map Index CTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE OOD INSURANCE RATE MAP						
DECEME Special Flo	EFFECTIVE DA BER 7, 2018 - to upda bod Hazard Areas, to incorporate pr	TE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL te corporate limits, to change Base Flood Elevations and update map format, to add roads and road names, and to eviously issued Letters of Map Revision.						
For communit Map History Ta To determine agent or call t	y map revision histon able located in the Flo if flood insurance is he National Flood Ins	y prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community ood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. available in this community, contact your insurance urance Program at 1-800-638-6620.						
	500 0	1000 2000						
30	00 0	300 600						
	NFIP	PANEL 0310G						
	ANGE PROGRAM	FIRM FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS PANEL 310 OF 1300 (SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)						
	HNSUR	COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX EL PASO COUNTY 080059 0310 G						



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for El Paso County Area, Colorado



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
El Paso County Area, Colorado	13
25—Elbeth sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	13
26—Elbeth sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	14
Soil Information for All Uses	16
Soil Reports	16
Soil Physical Properties	
Engineering Properties (Warner 5-Lot Subdivision)	
References	20

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION					
Area of Int	Area of Interest (AOI)		Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at					
	Area of Interest (AOI)	۵	Stony Spot	1:24,000.					
Soils		0	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Man may not be valid at this scale					
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	Ŷ	Wet Spot	Warning. Our map may not be valid at this seale.					
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	~	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause					
	Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of					
Special	Special Point Features		tures	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed					
<u></u>	Biowoul	~	Streams and Canals	Scale.					
×	Borrow Pit	Transport	ation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map					
Ж	Clay Spot Closed Depression Gravel Pit	+++	Rails	measurements.					
\diamond	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Man: Natural Resources Conservation Service					
X	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL:					
0 0 0	Gravelly Spot	\sim	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)					
0	Landfill	~	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator					
Α.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	nd	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts					
عله	Marsh or swamp	No.	Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more					
~	Mine or Quarry			accurate calculations of distance or area are required.					
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as					
0	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.					
\vee	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area. Colorado					
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 16, Sep 10, 2018					
	Sandy Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales					
-	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.					
۵	Sinkhole			Data(a) carial imagaa wara photographad: Jun 7, 2016, Aug 17					
<i>2</i>	Slide or Slip			2017					
e di seconda di second	Sodic Spot			The end of the second sector and the second sector is the second sector.					
<i>ש</i> ر	·			compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.					

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
25	Elbeth sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	0.2	0.4%
26	Elbeth sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	43.7	99.6%
Totals for Area of Interest	•	43.8	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

El Paso County Area, Colorado

25—Elbeth sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 367x Elevation: 7,300 to 7,600 feet Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Elbeth and similar soils: 85 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Elbeth

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

A - 0 to 3 inches: sandy loam E - 3 to 23 inches: loamy sand Bt - 23 to 68 inches: sandy clay loam C - 68 to 74 inches: sandy clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Moderate (about 7.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

26—Elbeth sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 367y Elevation: 7,300 to 7,600 feet Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Elbeth and similar soils: 85 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Elbeth

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

A - 0 to 3 inches: sandy loam E - 3 to 23 inches: loamy sand Bt - 23 to 68 inches: sandy clay loam C - 68 to 74 inches: sandy clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Moderate (about 7.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Soil Information for All Uses

Soil Reports

The Soil Reports section includes various formatted tabular and narrative reports (tables) containing data for each selected soil map unit and each component of each unit. No aggregation of data has occurred as is done in reports in the Soil Properties and Qualities and Suitabilities and Limitations sections.

The reports contain soil interpretive information as well as basic soil properties and qualities. A description of each report (table) is included.

Soil Physical Properties

This folder contains a collection of tabular reports that present soil physical properties. The reports (tables) include all selected map units and components for each map unit. Soil physical properties are measured or inferred from direct observations in the field or laboratory. Examples of soil physical properties include percent clay, organic matter, saturated hydraulic conductivity, available water capacity, and bulk density.

Engineering Properties (Warner 5-Lot Subdivision)

This table gives the engineering classifications and the range of engineering properties for the layers of each soil in the survey area.

Hydrologic soil group is a group of soils having similar runoff potential under similar storm and cover conditions. The criteria for determining Hydrologic soil group is found in the National Engineering Handbook, Chapter 7 issued May 2007(http:// directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/OpenNonWebContent.aspx?content=17757.wba). Listing HSGs by soil map unit component and not by soil series is a new concept for the engineers. Past engineering references contained lists of HSGs by soil series. Soil series are continually being defined and redefined, and the list of soil series names changes so frequently as to make the task of maintaining a single national list virtually impossible. Therefore, the criteria is now used to calculate the HSG using the component soil properties and no such national series lists will be maintained. All such references are obsolete and their use should be discontinued. Soil properties that influence runoff potential are those that influence the minimum rate of infiltration for a bare soil after prolonged wetting and when not frozen. These properties are depth to a seasonal high water table, saturated hydraulic conductivity after prolonged wetting, and depth to a layer with a very slow water transmission

rate. Changes in soil properties caused by land management or climate changes also cause the hydrologic soil group to change. The influence of ground cover is treated independently. There are four hydrologic soil groups, A, B, C, and D, and three dual groups, A/D, B/D, and C/D. In the dual groups, the first letter is for drained areas and the second letter is for undrained areas.

The four hydrologic soil groups are described in the following paragraphs:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

Texture is given in the standard terms used by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. These terms are defined according to percentages of sand, silt, and clay in the fraction of the soil that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. "Loam," for example, is soil that is 7 to 27 percent clay, 28 to 50 percent silt, and less than 52 percent sand. If the content of particles coarser than sand is 15 percent or more, an appropriate modifier is added, for example, "gravelly."

Classification of the soils is determined according to the Unified soil classification system (ASTM, 2005) and the system adopted by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO, 2004).

The Unified system classifies soils according to properties that affect their use as construction material. Soils are classified according to particle-size distribution of the fraction less than 3 inches in diameter and according to plasticity index, liquid limit, and organic matter content. Sandy and gravelly soils are identified as GW, GP, GM, GC, SW, SP, SM, and SC; silty and clayey soils as ML, CL, OL, MH, CH, and OH; and highly organic soils as PT. Soils exhibiting engineering properties of two groups can have a dual classification, for example, CL-ML.

The AASHTO system classifies soils according to those properties that affect roadway construction and maintenance. In this system, the fraction of a mineral soil that is less than 3 inches in diameter is classified in one of seven groups from A-1 through A-7 on the basis of particle-size distribution, liquid limit, and plasticity index. Soils in group A-1 are coarse grained and low in content of fines (silt and clay). At the other extreme, soils in group A-7 are fine grained. Highly organic soils are classified in group A-8 on the basis of visual inspection.

If laboratory data are available, the A-1, A-2, and A-7 groups are further classified as A-1-a, A-1-b, A-2-4, A-2-5, A-2-6, A-2-7, A-7-5, or A-7-6. As an additional refinement, the suitability of a soil as subgrade material can be indicated by a group index number. Group index numbers range from 0 for the best subgrade material to 20 or higher for the poorest.

Percentage of rock fragments larger than 10 inches in diameter and 3 to 10 inches in diameter are indicated as a percentage of the total soil on a dry-weight basis. The percentages are estimates determined mainly by converting volume percentage in the field to weight percentage. Three values are provided to identify the expected Low (L), Representative Value (R), and High (H).

Percentage (of soil particles) passing designated sieves is the percentage of the soil fraction less than 3 inches in diameter based on an ovendry weight. The sieves, numbers 4, 10, 40, and 200 (USA Standard Series), have openings of 4.76, 2.00, 0.420, and 0.074 millimeters, respectively. Estimates are based on laboratory tests of soils sampled in the survey area and in nearby areas and on estimates made in the field. Three values are provided to identify the expected Low (L), Representative Value (R), and High (H).

Liquid limit and *plasticity index* (Atterberg limits) indicate the plasticity characteristics of a soil. The estimates are based on test data from the survey area or from nearby areas and on field examination. Three values are provided to identify the expected Low (L), Representative Value (R), and High (H).

References:

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Absence of an entry indicates that the data were not estimated. The asterisk '*' denotes the representative texture; other possible textures follow the dash. The criteria for determining the hydrologic soil group for individual soil components is found in the National Engineering Handbook, Chapter 7 issued May 2007(http://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/ OpenNonWebContent.aspx?content=17757.wba). Three values are provided to identify the expected Low (L), Representative Value (R), and High (H).

Engineering Properties–El Paso County Area, Colorado														
Map unit symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Hydrolo gic group	Depth	USDA texture	Classification		Pct Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number—				Liquid	Plasticit
					Unified	AASHTO	>10 inches	3-10 inches	4	10	40	200	limit	y index
			In				L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H	L-R-H
25—Elbeth sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes														
Elbeth	85	В	0-3	Sandy loam	SC, SC- SM	A-2-4, A-4	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	85-93-1 00	80-90-1 00	50-60- 70	25-33- 40	25-28 -30	5-8 -10
			3-23	Loamy sand	SM	A-2-4, A-1	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	85-93-1 00	80-90-1 00	40-58- 75	15-23- 30	20-23 -25	NP-3 -5
			23-68	Sandy clay loam	CL-ML, SC, SC- SM	A-2, A-4, A-6	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	85-93-1 00	80-90-1 00	65-78- 90	30-43- 55	25-30 -35	5-10-15
			68-74	Sandy clay loam	CL, SC	A-2, A-4	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	85-93-1 00	80-90-1 00	50-70- 90	25-40- 55	25-28 -30	5-8 -10
26—Elbeth sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes														
Elbeth	85	В	0-3	Sandy loam	SC, SC- SM	A-4, A-2-4	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	85-93-1 00	80-90-1 00	50-60- 70	25-33- 40	25-28 -30	5-8 -10
			3-23	Loamy sand	SM	A-1, A-2-4	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	85-93-1 00	80-90-1 00	40-58- 75	15-23- 30	20-23 -25	NP-3 -5
			23-68	Sandy clay loam	CL-ML, SC, SC- SM	A-2, A-4, A-6	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	85-93-1 00	80-90-1 00	65-78- 90	30-43- 55	25-30 -35	5-10-15
			68-74	Sandy clay loam	CL, SC	A-2, A-4	0- 0- 0	0- 0- 0	85-93-1 00	80-90-1 00	50-70- 90	25-40- 55	25-28 -30	5-8 -10

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

