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## PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR FOURSQUARE AT STERLING RANCH PRELIMINARY PLAN / PUD

## PURPOSE

The purpose of this Preliminary Drainage Report is to address on-site and off-site drainage quantities and patterns for the proposed development, compare to approved MDDP and identify general drainage improvements and facilities required to minimize impacts to the adjacent properties.

## GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Classic SRJ Land, LLC (Classic Homes) has recently purchased the remaining portion of the Sterling Ranch property east of Sand Creek from the Jim Morley. This is one of the three initial development proposals east of Sand Creek and will be known as Foursquare at Sterling Ranch Preliminary Plan/PUD. This PUD consists of development area northwest of the intersection of Briargate Pkwy. and Sterling Ranch Road per the proposed roadway plats, prepared by JR Engineering. The total PUD area is $\mathbf{3 6 . 7 6}$ acres and includes the proposed tract for Pond FSD-16. It is located in portions of sections 33 and 34 , township 12 south, range 65 west of the sixth principal meridian. The site is bounded on the north, east and west by proposed and future Sterling Ranch East residential development, west and south by the proposed extension of Briargate Pkwy. The site is in the upper portion of both the Sand Creek and East Fork Sand Creek Drainage Basins. Urban single family residential development with a total of $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ lots is proposed within this PUD.

The average soil condition reflects Hydrologic Group " A " (Blakeland loamy sand and Columbine gravelly sandy loam) with also some presence of Hydrologic Group "B" (Pring coarse sandy loam) as determined by the "Web Soil Survey of El Paso County Area," prepared by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (see map in Appendix). The majority of the site does exhibit Group "A" soils, however, given that significant overlot grading (import fill) that will take place throughout the site and DCM 5.3.4 which states NOT to use Group " $A$ " soils if overlot grading will occur, thus, Group "B" soits will be used in all pydrologic calculations.

This is for SCS calculations in $\quad$ developed runoff disturbed areas, not pre-development conditions which shall be based on the pre-development soils

## EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The Foursquare at Sterling Ranch Preliminary Plan / PUD property is located in the upper portion of the Sand Creek drainage basin on the south edge of Black Forest. However, the easterly portion of the site lies within the East Fork tributary of Sand Creek. (See following exhibit and reference the Sterling Ranch MDDP Amendment No. 2, submitted with the Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1 submittal) Nearly the entire site is mainly covered with native grasses with few or no trees. Some minor disturbance due to utility installation has also taken place within the utility esmt. corridors traversing the site for the future Briargate Pkwy. Other land disturbance including some grading operations by the previous land owner has also taken place just north of the future Briargate Pkwy. alignment and within the parcel south of Briargate Pkwy. and northwest of Sterling Ranch Road. The Sand Creek channel exists approximately 500 feet west of the property. All required improvements to the Sand Creek channel within the Sterling Ranch property are described in a separate report prepared by JR Engineering, "Final Design Report for Sand Creek Restoration", dated September 2022. Please reference this report for all Sand Creek improvement requirements and associated wetland mitigation plans and permitting within jurisdictional waters.

Two public roadway crossings of Sand Creek are also proposed to serve as direct access for the Sterling Ranch development east of Sand Creek (Extension of Briargate Parkway and Sterling Ranch Road). These crossings are currently covered under a 404 permit (Action No. SPA-2015-00428-SCO) with the previous land owner dated February 2016. JR Engineering, LLC is also coordinating a CLOMR/LOMR for this stretch of Sand Creek. This entre site was also studied as a part of the "Master Development Drainage Plan for Sterling Ranch", prepared by M\&S Civil Consultants, approved November 2018 which includes modeling of this property as well as the large acreage north up to the top of the Sand Creek Basin. An MDDP Amendment, prepared by Classic Consulting, submitted along with the Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1 (currently under review) discusses the removal of the East Fork Sand Creek basin transfer, combining Ponds FSD-16A and FSD-16B into one facility and a few other minor internal sub-basin transfers. Both the original MDDP and the Amendment propose developed flows within Sand Creek that are
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## STERLING RANCH EAST INITIAL DEVELOPMENT PHASE BASIN DEVELOPMENT EXHIBIT

significantly lower than both the DBPS and FEMA currently show. These flows are as follows: At Arroya Lane crossing (DP-77) $\mathrm{Q}_{10}=581 \mathrm{cfs} \mathrm{Q}_{100}=1468 \mathrm{cfs}$ and Sterling Ranch south property line (DP-63) $Q_{10}=713$ cfs $Q_{100}=1912$ cfs. However, the focus of this report is not on Sand Creek, but the residential development proposed east of Sand Creek. As mentioned earlier, eastern portions of this site lie within the East Fork of Sand Creek. The following descriptions represent the pre-development flow design points for the property consistent with the approved MDDP for Sterling Ranch, yet differentiate Sand Creek main tributary basins verses the East Fork basins. The nomenclature is similar to the MDDP for easy comparison:

## Sand Creek Main

The Retreat at TimberRidge development exists north of this property and was recently approved by Country Staff. The following basins are off-site basins (outside the proposed Preliminary Plan area but within the overall Sterling Ranch East ownership) accounted for in the Retreat at TimberRidge development and are not tributary to the proposed development:

Basin TR-12 ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{2 ~ c f s ,} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{9} \mathbf{~ c s}\right)$ consists of 4.7 ac . of future large lot residential area that currently is undeveloped and sheet flows in a southwesterly direction off-site into the future Retreat at TimberRidge development. These flows were anticipated and accounted for within that development and future downstream storm facilities. Basin TR-20 $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathbf{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{3 2}$ cfs) consists of 23.2 ac . of future large lot residential area that currently is undeveloped and sheet flows in a southwesterly direction off-site directly into an existing stock pond within the future Retreat at TimberRidge development. These flows were anticipated and accounted for within that development and downstream storm facilities. Basin TR-4 ( $\mathbf{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{2 c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{9} \mathbf{c f s}$ ) consists of 4.4 ac . of future urban residential area that currently is undeveloped and sheet flows in a southwesterly direction off-site into the future Retreat at TimberRidge development. These flows were anticipated and accounted for within that development and downstream storm facilities. Basin TR-5 ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{5} \mathbf{~ c f s}, \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{1 7} \mathbf{~ c f s}\right)$ consists of 13.7 ac . of future urban residential area that currently is undeveloped and sheet flows in a southwesterly direction directly into a temporary sediment basin constructed with the Retreat at TimberRidge Filing No.

2 project. These flows were anticipated and accounted for within that development and downstream storm facilities. Basin TR-6 ( $\mathbf{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{1 c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{4 c f s}$ ) consists of a small future urban residential area of 1.5 ac . that currently is undeveloped and sheet flows in a southwesterly direction off-site into the Retreat at TimberRidge development. These flows were anticipated and accounted for within that development and downstream storm facilities. Basin TR-7 ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{1} \mathbf{~ c f s}, \mathbf{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{5} \mathbf{~ c f s}\right)$ consists of a 2.6 ac . basin that sheet flows towards the Retreat property but then captured by a temporary swale and routed to the west into another temporary sediment basin constructed by the Retreat development.

The following basin is tributary to the proposed Preliminary Plan / PUD area and also within the Sand Creek main basin boundary:

Basin EX-7 ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{4 6} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{1 0 5} \mathbf{c f s}\right)$ consists of approximately 152.8 acres of property that sheet flows in a southerly direction. This basin is similar to the MDDP and the east basin line defines the westerly edge of the East Fork basin. The sheet flows become more concentrated towards the south end of the basin as the topography becomes steeper and more defined south of the proposed Sterling Ranch Road crossing. The existing flows exit the property along the south Sterling Ranch boundary within the well-defined natural channel at Design Point 4. Given the difference in hydrologic modeling (SWMM 5.1 vs. HEC-HMS) these flows are fairly consistent with the flows determined by the MDDP at DP-4 ( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{5}=21.5 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=107.4 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$. Upon development of the Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1 area (PCD No. SP-22-004 currently under review) and the construction of Pond FSD-11B, nearly all of the tributary area for this existing basin will be captured and treated. Thus, the downstream natural channel corridor through the existing rural lots within the Pawnee Rancheros Filing 2 Subd. and south of Mustang Place, just east of Mustang Road will continue to handle these off-site flows adequately.

Basin EX-7A ( $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{1} \mathbf{~ c f s ,} \mathbf{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{5} \mathbf{c f s}$ ) consists of a small basin of only 2.4 ac . that sheet flows in a southerly direction. The MDDP included this small basin as a part of Basin EX-7. However, a more detailed look at this area finds that the larger basin EX-7 seems to all be tributary to the defined natural channel while Basin EX-7A appears to sheet flow off-site towards the Mustang Place cul-
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de-sac. These minor sheet flows ultimately combine with the pre-developed flows from Basin EX-7 south of Mustang Place within the natural channel. Again, with the construction of the Pond FSD-11B, the majority of the tributary area for this existing basin will be captured and treated. Thus, the downstream natural channel corridor will continue to handle these off-site flows adequately.

## Sand Creek East Fork

Basin EX-8 ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{5} \mathbf{~ c f s}, \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{2 3} \mathbf{~ c f s}\right)$ consists of approximately 32.2 acres of property that sheet flows in a southerly direction. This basin is similar to the MDDP and the north portion of the west basin line defines the westerly edge of the East Fork basin. This basin incorporates the majority of MDDP basins EX-8. The flows seem to remain as sheet flows as they exit the property along the south boundary at Design Point 5. Again, these flows seem consistent with the flows determined by the MDDP at DP-5 ( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{5}=1.7 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=20.5 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$. Upon development of the Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1 area (PCD No. SP-22-004 - currently under review), the majority of the tributary area to this basin will be routed towards Pond FSD-11B. The remaining large lot rear yard sheet flows from Basin EF-A will be treated by runoff reduction techniques through long buffer areas and then continue to sheet flow off-site where the downstream properties will continue to adequately handle these less than historic sheet flows.

Basin EX-8A ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ c f s , ~} \mathbf{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{9} \mathbf{~ c f s}\right)$ consists of a small basin of 6.6 ac . that sheet flows in a southerly direction. The MDDP included this small basin as a part of Bain EX-8. However, a more detailed look at this area finds that the larger basin EX-8 seems to sheet flow through properties east of Cochise Road while Basin EX-8A sheet flows off-site directly down the Cochise Road corridor. These off-site flows seem to ultimately combine further south within the Cochise Road corridor. Upon development of the Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1 area (PCD No. SP-22-004 - currently under review), the majority of the tributary area to this basin will be routed towards Pond FSD-11B. The remaining large lot rear yard sheet flows from Basin EF-A will be treated by runoff reduction techniques through long buffer areas and then continue to sheet
flow off-site where the downstream properties will continue to adequately handle these less than historic sheet flows.

Basin EX-9 ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{5 9} \mathbf{~ c f s , ~} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{1 2 2} \mathbf{~ c f s}\right)$ consists of approximately 139.3 acres of property that sheet flows in a southerly direction. This basin is similar to the MDDP with the northern portion of the west basin line defining the westerly edge of the East Fork basin. The flows seem to remain as sheet flows as they exit the property along the south boundary at Design Point 6. Again, these flows seem consistent with the flows determined by the MDDP at DP-6 ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=23.9 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=125.2$ cfs). Upon development of the Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1 area (PCD No. SP-22004 - currently under review), the majority of the tributary area to this basin will be routed towards Pond FSD-11B. The remaining large lot rear yard sheet flows from Basin EF-A will be treated by runoff reduction techniques through long buffer areas and then continue to sheet flow off-site where the downstream properties will continue to adequately handle these less than historic sheet flows.

Basin EX-9A ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{7 c f s}, \mathbf{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{1 9} \mathbf{c f s}\right)$ consists of a smaller basin of 21.8 ac . that sheet flows in a southerly direction. The MDDP included this basin as a part of Basin EX-10A. However, a more detailed look at this area finds that the larger basin EX-10A seems to be tributary to Oto Circle east of the high point in the road while Basin EX-9A appears to sheet flow off-site west of the high point, towards the intersection of Brule Road and Oto Circle. These sheet flows seem to ultimately combine with the pre-developed flows from Basin Ex-10A further south and east of Brule Road. Upon development of the Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1 area (PCD No. SP-22-004 - currently under review), much of the upstream tributary area to this basin will be routed towards Ponds FSD-11B and FSD-14B. The remaining undeveloped property will continue to sheet flow off-site where the downstream properties will continue to adequately handle these less than historic sheet flows.

Basin EX-10 ( $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{1 0 5} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{2 2 2} \mathbf{c f s}$ ) consists of approximately 265.9 acres of property at the extreme top of the Sand Creek East Fork Basin. The off-site northern portion of this basin is
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within the Black Forest, heavily treed area. The off-site eastern portion of the basin contains existing 5 ac. lot development (Indian Wells Subd. Filing 1). The flows from this large basin sheet flow in a southerly direction across the northern portion of the Sterling Ranch property and enter Basin EX-10A.

Basin EX-10A ( $\mathbf{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{4 6} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{1 0 3} \mathbf{c f s}$ ) consists of approximately 153.5 acres of property that sheet flows in a southerly direction through the Sterling Ranch property. The combined flows from both basins EX-10 and EX-10A seem to remain as sheet flow traveling in a southerly direction towards Design Point $\mathbf{7}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{1 1 0} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{2 4 9} \mathbf{c f s}\right)$ At this location the flows exit the property along the south boundary. Again, these flows are fairly consistent with the flows determined by the MDDP at DP-7 ( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{5}=57.1 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=277.9 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$. Upon development of the Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1 area (PCD No. SP-22-004 - currently under review), much of the upstream tributary area to this basin will be routed internally elsewhere. The remaining undeveloped property will continue to sheet flow off-site where the downstream properties will continue to adequately handle these less than historic sheet flows.

Basin EX-13 ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{3 6} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{8 5} \mathbf{c f s}\right)$ consists of approximately 94.8 acres of property at the extreme eastern edge of the Sterling Ranch property. The off-site northern portion of this basin contains existing 5 ac . lot development (Indian Wells Subd. Filing 1). The flows from this basin sheet flow in a southeasterly direction towards the eastern boundary of the Sterling Ranch property. To be consistent with the MDDP, the flows then seem to run along the eastern property boundary and enter Basin EX-11 near the Southeast corner of the property.

Basin EX-11 ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{5 4} \mathbf{~ c f s , ~} \mathbf{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{1 2 9} \mathbf{~ c f s}\right)$ consists of approximately 214.3 acres of property that sheet flows across the southeastern corner of the Sterling Ranch property. The combined flows from both basins seem to remain as sheet flow traveling in a southerly direction towards Design Point 56. ( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{6 0} \mathbf{~ c f s ,} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{1 6 0} \mathbf{~ c f s}\right)$ At this location the flows exit the property along the south boundary. Again, these flows are fairly consistent with the flows determined by the MDDP at DP-
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56 ( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{5}=42.5 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=202.9 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$. No development is proposed within this basin at this time. Thus, the downstream corridor will not be affected.

## PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

As described in the General Description of the report, this proposed Preliminary Plan / PUD development contains 36.72 acres due north of the two major roadways that are being final platted and constructed separately (Briargate Pkwy. and Sterling Ranch Rd.). A single full spectrum detention (FSD) facility is planned with this development. It will be designed to handle these proposed lots, future adjacent development and portions of the other Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1 development. (PCD No. SP-22-004 - currently under review) Development of these urban lots proposed will consist of overlot grading and utility installation for the planned roadways and lots. Per the El Paso County ECM, Section I.7.1.B, all urban lots are required to provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV). Thus, the proposed FSD facilities within this development will provide WQCV along with an Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) in the lower portion of the facility storage volume with an outlet control device. Frequent and infrequent inflows are released at rates approximating undeveloped conditions. This concept provides some mitigation of increased runoff volume by releasing a portion of the increased runoff at a low rate over an extended period of time, up to 72 hours. This means that frequent storms, smaller than the 2-year event, will be reduced to very low flows near or below the sediment carrying threshold value for downstream drainage ways. Also, by incorporating an outlet structure that limits the 100-year runoff to the undeveloped condition rate, the discharge hydrograph for storms between the 2 year and the 100-year event will approximate the hydrograph for the undeveloped conditions and will help effectively mitigate the effects of development. As reasonably possible, WQCV will be provided for all new roads and urban lots.

This report will generally describe overall anticipated developed basins tributary to each of the proposed FSD facilities. Each of these developed basins will then be detailed further in final drainage reports prepared and submitted along with Final Plats and CD's. This final design will include sizing of all inlets, storm systems and finalized FSD facilities including all required
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appurtenances. It is anticipated that Type-R at-grade and sump inlets ranging from 5' to $15^{\prime}$ will be utilized in all street drainage and HGL's will be provided for all RCP storm system design.

The following developed basin descriptions will start at the north end of the project and move south and describe how this development proposes to handle both the off-site and on-site drainage conditions both from an interim standpoint based on construction phasing and the ultimate buildout scenario of the entire Sterling Ranch East ownership:

## The following represent the basins and design points north of Briargate Pkwy.:

As described earlier, these first several basins (TR-12, TR-20, TR-4, TR-5 and TR-6) are all at the north end of the Sterling Ranch East overall property and are tributary to the adjacent Retreat at TimberRidge development and are consistent with what that approved report anticipated. (Reference "Final Drainage Report for Retreat at TimberRidge Filing No. 1", prepared by CCES, approved November 2020 and "Final Drainage Report for Retreat at TimberRidge Filing No. 2", prepared by CCES, dated March 2022) Basin TR-12 (4.7 Ac.) is currently routed through the Retreat at TimberRidge development and then directly into Sand Creek. Upon development of Retreat at TimberRidge Filing 3, this basin is planned to be captured by the public storm system and then formally treated downstream in the Retreat at TimberRidge Pond 2. Basin TR-20 (23.2 Ac.) is currently tributary to the existing stock pond within the Retreat at TimberRidge development, which outfalls into a storm system directly into Sand Creek. Upon future development within this basin, formal stormwater quality treatment must take place on-site with the release into the existing storm system directly to Sand Creek. Basins TR-4 (4.4 Ac.), TR5 (13.7 Ac.) and TR-6 (1.5 Ac.) are all currently accounted for and routed through the Retreat at TimberRidge development for formal treatment. Upon future development within these basins, formal treatment is still planned to be handled in the downstream Retreat at TimberRidge Pond 2.

The following basins are all contained withlin basin SC3-16A as presented in the MDDP for Sterling Ranch, prepared by M\&S Civil Consuttants, approved in 2018:
Basin TR-V $\left(Q_{5}=\mathbf{2 c f s}, Q_{100}=\mathbf{6 f s}\right)$ consists of 2.1 Ac . of rear yards of the adjacent Retreat at TimberRidge Filing No. 2 development. These flows are current/y captured in a natural swale along the common property line and routed south and then west towards a temporary sediment basin at the end of Bison Valley Trail that was constructed with the TimberRidge development. With the development of this portion of the proposed Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1, these flows will likely remain being captured by the natural swale along the westerly property line but then be directea into Basin P1-F ( $\left.Q_{5}=111 \mathbf{c f s}, Q_{100}=215 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$. This basin represents 76.6 Ac . of the anticipated future urban residential basin tributary to a future downstream storm system within the northerly extension of Sterling Ranch Road.

Design Point 1 ( $Q_{5}=\mathbf{1 1 2} \mathbf{c f s}, Q_{100}=\mathbf{2 1 9} \mathbf{c f s}$ ) represents the anticipated total developed flow at this location upon full build-out. In the interim, before development within this basin, the historic flow patterns may be captured in a temporary sediment basin located just east of Design Point 1. This facility will help mitigate the historic flows from the large upstream basin (EX-10) prior to and during the construction of the extension of Sterling Ranch Road, Pond FSD16 and the northerly portion of this proposed Preliminary Plan area. The final drainage report(s) for this portion of the development will describe any temporary sediment basins and collection points for these pre-development flows for safe routing further downstream.

Basin TR-W $\left(\mathbf{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ c f s}, \mathbf{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{5} \mathbf{c f s}\right)$ consists of 1.4 Ac. of rear yards and a small portion of the Bison Valley Trail roadway within the adjacent Retreat at TimberRidge Filing No. 2 development. These flows are currently captured in a natlkal swale along the common property line and routed westerly towards a temporary sediment basin at the end of Bison Valley Trail that was constructed with the TimberRidge development. With the development of the proposed Sterling Ranch East - Phase 1, these temporary facilities will be removed and allowed to flow directly into Basin P1-E1. Basin P1-E1 ( $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{9 7} \mathbf{~ c f s}$ ) consists of 30.4 Ac. of the proposed urban residential at the north end of this Preliminary Plan, just east of Sand Creek and tributary to a proposed downstream storm system within the northerly
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extension of Sterling Ranch Road at Design Point 2 ( $\left.Q_{5}=\mathbf{5 3} \mathbf{c f s}, Q_{100}=103 \mathrm{cfs}\right)$. These developed flows will then combine with the upstream developed flows from Design Point 1 and be routed in a large diameter storm system further south within Sterling Ranch Road.

Basin P1-E2 ( $\left.Q_{5}=\mathbf{4 1} \mathbf{c f s}, Q_{100}=\mathbf{8 0} \mathbf{c f s}\right)$ consists of 21.8 Ac . of the proposed urban residential at the north end of this Preliminary Plan, again just east of Sand Creek and tributary to a proposed downstream storm system within the northerly extension of Sterling Ranch Road at Design Point 3 ( $Q_{5}=\mathbf{4 1} \mathrm{cfs}, Q_{100}=\mathbf{8 0} \mathbf{c f s}$ ). These developed flows will then combine with the upstream developed flows from Design Points $1 \& 2$ and be routed in a large diameter storm system further south within Sterling Ranch Road.


Address how flows are conveyed in the interim condition

Basin P1-C ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{2 3} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{4 6} \mathbf{~ c f s}\right)$ consists of 8.9 Ac . of the anticipated tributary area for the Sterling Ranch Road ROW extension north of Briargate Pkwy. and adjacent development. These proposed developed flows will be collected in various at-grade inlets within the roadway, combine with the upstream pipe flows described above and then routed towards the proposed Pond FSD-16. Any by-pass flows will continue south as curb and gutter flow and combine with Basin P1-A5 developed flows to Design Point 7. The total developed flow at this northerly pipe outlet location into Pond FSD-16 is represented by Design Point 4 ( $Q_{5}=\mathbf{2 1 8} \mathbf{c f s}, Q_{100}=\mathbf{3 7 9} \mathbf{~ c f s}$ ). The design of the required concrete forebay, impact structure and trickle channel at this outfall will be provided with the Final Drainage Report.

Basin P1-D ( $\left.\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{5 3} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{1 0 2} \mathbf{~ c f s}\right)$ represents 31.4 Ac . of site development for the 158 urban residential lots proposed within this Villages at Sterling Ranch PUDSP. This basin also includes a small portion of the Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1 (PCD No. SP-22-004 currently under review) just east of Sand Creek, north of Briargate Pkwy. This basin will contain multiple designed low points planned for public Type R sump inlets with all the captured flows being routed to Design Point $\mathbf{5}\left(Q_{5}=\mathbf{5 3} \mathbf{c f s}, Q_{100}=\mathbf{1 0 2} \mathbf{c f s}\right)$. This location represents the easterly pipe outlet into Pond FSD-16. The design of the required concrete forebay, impact structure, trickle channel at this outfall and individual inlet and pipe sizing will be provided with
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the Final Drainage Report. For further drainage basin details reference sheet 7 of $7.100 \%$ of the Foursquare at Sterling Ranch site will have stormwater quality treatment through Pond FSD-16, constructed with this development and off-site Pond FSD-14A, constructed with Sterling Ranch East Filing No. 1.


The following basins are all contained within basin SC3-16B as presented in the MDDP for Sterling Ranch, prepared by M\&S Civil Consultants, approved in 2018:

Basin P1-B ( $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{5 5} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{1 0 8} \mathbf{c f s}$ ) consists of the anticipated tributary area for the future Sterling Ranch East urban residential area outside of this proposed Preliminary Plan. These anticipated future developed flows will be routed towards a downstream storm system. The total developed flow at this pipe system outlet location into Pond FSD-16 is represented by Design Point $6\left(Q_{5}=55 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{1 0 8} \mathbf{c f s}\right)$. The design of the required concrete forebay, impact structure and trickle channel at this outfall will be provided with the Final Drainage Report. In the interim, before development within this basin, the historic flow patterns and quantities will continue to sheet flow in a southerly direction towards the proposed pond and Briargate Pkwy. The final drainage report(s) will describe any temporary sediment basins and collection points for these pre-development flows for safe routing around the development area and further downstream.

Basin P1-A ( $Q_{5}=6 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{1 9} \mathbf{c f s}$ ) consists of the anticipated tributary area from the adjacent future residential lots (rear yards only) along with the actual pond area itself. The developed sheet flows from this basin will sheet flow directly into the adjacent FSD-16 Pond where they will be formally treated.

As described in the previously mentioned Sterling Ranch MDDP Amendment, the two FSD ponds planned in the 2018 MDDP (FSD16A and FSD16B) are now proposed to be combined into one facility (FSD-16) northeast of the intersection of Briargate Pkwy. and Sterling Ranch Road. The total anticipated developed flows entering this facility are as follows. (See Appendix for MHFD-Detention pond design sheets):
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# Detention Pond FSD-16 (Full Spectrum EDB) <br> Total Tributary Acreage: 220.9 ac. 

3.6 Ac.-ft. WQCV
7.2 Ac.-ft. EURV

State that this is ultimate design. Address interim in FDR.

Update per MHFD sheet redlines
12.4 Ac.-ft. 100-yr. Storage

### 23.2 Ac.-ft. Total

| Total Peak In-flow (SWMM Model): | $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{3 2 3} \mathrm{cfs}$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pond Peak Design Release (MHFD Sheet): | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{2 4 . 2} \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=150.5 \mathrm{cfs}$ |
| Pre-development Release (MHFD Sheet): | $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=57.3 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{2 5 6 . 4} \mathrm{cfs}$ |

(Ownership and maintenance by the Sterling Ranch Metro District)

This facility will release into a large diameter downstream storm system within Briargate Pkwy. just east of the Sterling Ranch Road intersection. Please reference the "Drainage Letter for Sterling Ranch Road and Briargate Pkwy. Interim Plan", prepared by JR Engineering, LLC, dated December 2021 and the "Sterling Ranch Road and Briargate Pkwy. Storm Plans", prepared by JR Engineering, LLC, dated September 2022. These referenced design plans provide a 48 " RCP outfall pipe at this location with an allowable release rate of ( $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=156.6 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). The 2018 MDDP presents a combined total release rate for the originally planned two ponds (FSD16A and FSD16B) of ( $Q_{100}=174.9 \mathrm{cfs}$ ). As designed on the JR Engineering storm plans, this storm system will run in a southeasterly direction within the Sterling Ranch Roadway towards the crossing of Sand Creek. At this location, the pipe system will outfall into the planned Detention Pond W3. Reference the "Final Design Report for Sand Creek Restoration", prepared by JR Engineering, LLC, dated September 2022 for these design documents. The developer acknowledges that construction timing for the Sand Creek channel improvements, including Pond W3 and the two culvert crossings of Briargate Parkway and Sterling Ranch Road is key for the development of the Foursquare at Sterling Ranch. The final drainage report will further discuss this off-site construction timing/sequencing and any interim facilities that may be needed.


As showr on Drainage Map 2 of 7, the proposed development site straddles two existing basins within the East Fork Sand Creek. (Basin EX-9 and EX-10A) Upon development of Foursquare at Sterling Ranch and the construction of Briargate Parkway, Sterling Ranch Road and Pond FSD16, all the area within these two basins north of Briargate Parkway and Sterling Ranch Road will be routed via the proposed 48" RCP storm system within Sterling Ranch Road and ultimately enter Sand Creek at the crossing with Sterling Ranch Road. These developed flows are no longer tributary to the East Fork Sand Creek. The remaining portion of these basins south and east of the newly constructed major roadways, along with historic basins EX-8, EX-8A and EX-9A will continue to sheet flow in a southerly direction within the East Fork Sand Creek Drainage Basin towards the south property line of the overall Sterling Ranch property. The ultimate intent for the overall Sterling Ranch development east of Sand Creek is to maintain the predeveloped release rates and locations to the greatest extent possible. As further development takes place within the Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan No. 1 (PCD No. SP-22-004 - currently under review) and the Villages at Sterling Ranch (PCD No. PUDSP-226 - currently under review), more developed flows will be routed from the East Fork Sand Creek into Sand Creek. However, as presented on Drainage Map 6 of 7, the release into the East Fork Sand Creek will be rebalanced with the construction of Pond FSD-14B and the use of level spreaders along the south boundary line. Release into Sand Creek is re-balanced with the construction of Ponds FSD-14A and FSD-11B. All three of these facilities are planned to be full spectrum detention ponds.

## Basins P1-A1 ( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{5}=11 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{2 1} \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, Basin P1-A2 ( $\left.\mathrm{Q}_{5}=12 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{2 3} \mathrm{cfs}\right)$, Basin P1-A3

 ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{5} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{9} \mathbf{c f s}$ ) and Basin P1-A4 ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{5} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{c f s}$ ) all represent tributary area within the Briargate Pkwy. roadway extension between Vollmer and Sterling Ranch Road. These basins have been studied in the "Drainage Letter for Sterling Ranch Road and Briargate Pkwy. Interim Plan", prepared by JR Engineering, LLC, dated September 2022. Reference this report as well as the "Sterling Ranch Road and Briargate Pkwy. Street Plans", prepared by JR Engineering, LLC, dated September 2022 for inlet and pipe sizes through this corridor. These final designed facilities are shown and labeled on the drainage map. However, as described in the referenced Sterling Ranch MDDP Amendment, these final storm plans will be adjusted priorPage 17
to formal storm sewer approval to show these developed flows now being routed south down Sterling Ranch Road.

Design Point $\mathbf{7}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{~ c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathbf{1 0 0}}=\mathbf{3 9} \mathbf{~ c f s}\right)$ is the location of the proposed low-point in Briargate Pkwy. just east of Sterling Ranch Road, with the following two basins being tributary to this sump condition. Basin P1-A5 ( $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{c f s}$ ) and Basin P1-A6 $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{5}=\mathbf{7 c f s}, \mathrm{Q}_{100}=14\right.$ cfs) consist of 5.7 Ac . and 2.8 Ac . respectively, of the anticipated area within the ROW tributary to this low-point. The collected flows will then be routed via a storm system and combine with the previously described basins further west in Briargate Pkwy. and then south down Sterling Ranch Road. The anticipated storm system at this point is a 42 " RCP. The emergency overflow release for this low point in Briargate Pkwy. is planned to be maximum ponding of 1.0' and then around the corner and south down Sterling Ranch Road. Final design of the roadway in this area will allow for a median break for this emergency release from the north side of the road. Address allowable criteria for a Principal Arterial ("The depth of water shall not exceed 8 inches at the gutter flow line with no curb overtopping.") DETENTION / STORMWATER QUALITY FACILITES

As required, storm water quality measures will be utilized in order to reduce the amount of sediment, debris and pollutants that are allowed to enter Sand Creek. These features include but are not limited to Full Spectrum Detention Basins and temporary sediment basins. Site Planning and design techniques for urban areas that require detention will provide a Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) and Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) in the lower portion of the facility storage volume that will release the more frequent storms at a slower rate to help minimize the effects of development of the property. The proposed detention/SWQ facilities are to be private facilities with ownership and maintenance by the Sterling Ranch Metropolitan District.

## SAND CREEK CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS

As mentioned previously, the Sand Creek channel exists westerly and outside of this property.
All required improvements to the Sand Creek channel adjacent to this property are described in a separate report prepared by JR Engineering, "Final Design Report for Sand Creek Restoration",
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dated September 2022. Please reference this report for all adjacent creek improvement requirements and associated wetland mitigation plans and permitting within jurisdictional waters. CORE Consultants is the wetland consultant working with JR Engineering, LLC on this stretch of Sand Creek. They are coordinating the effort with the Corps. of Eng. for the required 404 permitting for all the proposed channel improvements. The two public roadway crossings of Sand Creek (Briargate Pkwy. and Sterling Ranch Road) are currently covered under a 404 permit (Action No. SPA-2015-00428-SCO) with the previous land owner dated February 2016. JR Engineering, LLC is also coordinating a CLOMR/LOMR for this stretch of Sand Creek that is west of the site and defined as Reach SC-8 (south of Briargate Pkwy.) and SC-9 north of Briargate Pkwy.).

## DRAINAGE CRITERIA

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, as revised in November 1991 and October 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014. General on-site and off-site basin design used for detention/SWQ basin sizing was calculated using the EPA Storm Water Management Model method (SWMM) Ver. 5.1. Rain Gage inputs based on City of Colorado Springs 2-hr. design storm distributions. Basin imperviousness of the particular land uses in accordance with Table 6-6. The Horton infiltration method used in basin modeling. Mile High Flood District (MHFD)-Detention spreadsheet Ver. 4.05 used for Preliminary Detention/SWQ design. (See Appendix)

The City of Colorado Springs/EI Paso County DCM requires the Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long-term source controls. The Four Step Process pertains to management of smaller, frequently occurring storm events, as opposed to larger storms for which drainage and flood control infrastructure are sized. Implementation of these four steps helps to achieve storm water permit requirements.

At this point, this site generally adheres to this Four Step Process as follows:

1. Employ Runoff Reduction Practices: Proposed urban lot impervious area (roof tops, patios, etc.) will sheet flow across landscape areas (yards) and open space areas to slow runoff and increase time of concentration prior to being conveyed to the proposed public streets or detention facilities. This will minimize directly connected impervious areas within the project site. Water quality reduction will be employed for specific areas that are anticipated not able to be captured and routed to a SWQ facility.
2. Stabilize Drainageways: After developed flows utilize the runoff reduction practices through the front and rear yards, developed flows will travel via curb and gutter within the public streets of the development and eventually public storm systems. These collected flows are then routed directly to the proposed extended detention basin (fullspectrum facility). Sand Creek improvements and restoration plans are being proposed for this entire reach as described in "Final Design Report for Sand Creek Restoration", prepared by JR Engineering, LLC, dated October 21, 2021.
3. Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV): Runoff from this development will be treated through capture and slow release of the WQCV and excess urban runoff volume (EURV) in the proposed Full-Spectrum permanent Extended Detention Basin designed per current El Paso County drainage criteria.
4. Consider need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs: No industrial uses are proposed within this development. A site-specific storm water quality and erosion control plan and narrative will be submitted along with the grading and erosion control plan. Details such as site-specific sediment and erosion control construction BMP's as well as temporary and permanent BMP's were detailed in this plan and narrative to protect receiving waters. BMP's will be constructed and maintained as the development has been graded and erosion control methods employed.

CONSULTING
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## FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is located within a floodplain as determined by the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (F.I.R.M.) Map Numbers 08041C 0533G and 08041C 0535G with effective dates of December 7, 2018 and the previously mentioned LOMR 08-08-0541P with an effective date of July 23, 2009. (See Appendix).

## DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES

This site lies entirely within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin boundaries.
Fees are calculated using the following impervious acreage method approved by El Paso County. The final fee estimate will be included in the Final Drainage Report(s), however, the following represent fee estimates based on the Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan/PUD submittal with a total area of 35.76 acres with the following different land uses proposed:
16.59 Ac. Open Space / Pond Tracts
20.17 Ac. Less than 6000 SF lot size
36.76 Ac. Total

The percent imperviousness for this subdivision is calculated as follows:

## Fees for Open Space / Pond Tracts

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart: 7\%)
16.59 Ac. x 7\% = 1.16 Impervious Ac.

## Fees for less than 6000 SF lots

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart: 65\%)
20.17 Ac. x 65\% = 13.11 Impervious Ac.
14.27 Imp. Ac.

The following calculations are based on the 2022 Sand Creek drainage/bridge fees:

## ESTIMATED FEE TOTALS:

## Bridge Fees

\$ 8,923.00 x 14.27 Impervious Ac.

Drainage Fees
\$ 21,814.00 x 14.27 Impervious Ac.
$=\quad \$ \quad 127,331.21$
$=\quad \$ \quad 311,285.78$

## SUMMARY

The proposed Sterling Ranch East Preliminary Plan/PUD No. 2 is within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. Recommendations are made within this report concerning necessary improvements that will be required as a result of development of this property. The points of storm water release from the proposed site are required to be at or below the calculated historic flow quantities. The development of the proposed site does not significantly impact any downstream facility or property to an extent greater than that which currently exists in the pre-development conditions. All drainage facilities within this report were sized according to the Drainage Criteria Manuals and the full-spectrum storm water quality requirements.

## PREPARED BY:

## Classic Consulting Engineers \& Surveyors, LLC



Marc A. Whorton, P.E.
Project Manager
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## MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado
Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021
Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Sep 11, 2018—Oct 20, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## Map Unit Legend

| Map Unit Symbol |  | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 8 | Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 <br> percent slopes | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5}$ | Percent of AOI |
| 19 | Columbine gravelly sandy <br> loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes | 213.6 | $\mathbf{6 . 2 \%}$ |
| 71 | Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to <br> 8 percent slopes | 50.3 | $\mathbf{7 5 . 9 \%}$ |
| Totals for Area of Interest |  | $\mathbf{2 8 1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9 \%}$ |

## El Paso County Area, Colorado

## 8-Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting<br>National map unit symbol: 369v<br>Elevation: 4,600 to 5,800 feet<br>Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches<br>Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 48 degrees F<br>Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days<br>Farmland classification: Not prime farmland<br>\section*{Map Unit Composition}<br>Blakeland and similar soils: 98 percent<br>Minor components: 2 percent<br>Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.<br>\section*{Description of Blakeland}<br>\section*{Setting}<br>Landform: Hills, flats<br>Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, talf<br>Down-slope shape: Linear<br>Across-slope shape: Linear<br>Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or eolian deposits derived from sedimentary rock<br>\section*{Typical profile}<br>A - 0 to 11 inches: loamy sand<br>AC - 11 to 27 inches: loamy sand<br>C-27 to 60 inches: sand<br>\section*{Properties and qualities}<br>Slope: 1 to 9 percent<br>Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches<br>Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained<br>Runoff class: Low<br>Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high ( 5.95 to $19.98 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )<br>Depth to water table: More than 80 inches<br>Frequency of flooding: None<br>Frequency of ponding: None<br>Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent<br>Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.5 inches)<br>\section*{Interpretive groups}<br>Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e<br>Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6 e<br>Hydrologic Soil Group: A<br>Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill<br>Hydric soil rating: No

## Minor Components

## Other soils

Percent of map unit: 1 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

## Pleasant

Percent of map unit: 1 percent
Landform: Depressions
Hydric soil rating: Yes

## Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado
Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021

## El Paso County Area, Colorado

## 19-Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting<br>National map unit symbol: 367p<br>Elevation: 6,500 to 7,300 feet<br>Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches<br>Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 50 degrees F<br>Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days<br>Farmland classification: Not prime farmland<br>\section*{Map Unit Composition}<br>Columbine and similar soils: 97 percent<br>Minor components: 3 percent<br>Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.<br>\section*{Description of Columbine}<br>\section*{Setting}<br>Landform: Flood plains, fan terraces, fans<br>Down-slope shape: Linear<br>Across-slope shape: Linear<br>Parent material: Alluvium<br>\section*{Typical profile}<br>A - 0 to 14 inches: gravelly sandy loam<br>C-14 to 60 inches: very gravelly loamy sand<br>\section*{Properties and qualities}<br>Slope: 0 to 3 percent<br>Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches<br>Drainage class: Well drained<br>Runoff class: Very low<br>Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high ( 5.95 to $19.98 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )<br>Depth to water table: More than 80 inches<br>Frequency of flooding: None<br>Frequency of ponding: None<br>Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very low (about 2.5 inches)<br>Interpretive groups<br>Land capability classification (irrigated): 4e<br>Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6 e<br>Hydrologic Soil Group: A<br>Ecological site: R049XY214CO - Gravelly Foothill<br>Hydric soil rating: No<br>\section*{Minor Components}<br>\section*{Fluvaquentic haplaquolls}<br>Percent of map unit: 1 percent

Natural Resources

Landform: Swales
Hydric soil rating: Yes
Other soils
Percent of map unit: 1 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

## Pleasant

Percent of map unit: 1 percent
Landform: Depressions
Hydric soil rating: Yes

## Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado
Survey Area Data: Version 19, Aug 31, 2021

## F.E.M.A. MAP / LOMR (08-08-0541P)
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at DP87 culminating in peak runoff rates within Sand Creek of Q5 $=374.6 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=1905.9 \mathrm{cfs}$.
Basin SC3-16A (Q5 = 120.4 cfs , $\mathrm{Q} 100=351.8 \mathrm{cfs}$ ) consists of a 168.1 acre area located within Sterling Ranch, that is located north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sand Creek Channel. This portion of Sterling Ranch is planned to house residential development that ranges from low density rural lots 1 acres in size to medium density urban residential with lots ranging in size from 0.1 to 0.2 acres. Runoff from the basin shall be collected and conveyed within street and storm sewer systems to a full spectrum detention pond (FSD16A), at the northwest corner of Briargate Parkway and Sterling Ranch Road. The treated detained flows from the pond will discharge to DP22 at peak flow rates of 8.8 cfs and 128.3 cfs in the 5 and 100 year events respectively.

Basin SC3-16B (Q5 = $53.7 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=143.8 \mathrm{cfs})$ consists of a 50.7 acre area located within Sterling Ranch, that is located north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sand Creek Channel. This portion of Sterling Ranch is planned for a low to medium density residential lots ranging in size from 0.1 to 0.2 acres lots and portions of roadways. Runoff from the basin shall be collected and conveyed within street and storm sewer systems to a full spectrum detention pond (FSD16B), at the northeast corner of Briargate Parkway and Sterling Ranch Road. The treated detained flows from the pond will discharge to DP22 at peak flow rates of 0.4 cfs and 28.1 cfs in the 5 and 100 year events respectively. The combined peak flow rates from SC3-16B and FSD14A (DP22, Q5=8.8 cfs and Q100=174.9 cfs) will be conveyed south via storm sewer system to DP21.

Basin SC3-14B (Q5 $=34.3 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=94.1 \mathrm{cfs})$ consists of a 34.7 acre area located within of Sterling. Ranch, that is located between south of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road, east of Sand Creek. This portion of Sterling Ranch is planned for a low to medium density residential lots ranging in size from 0.1 to 0.33 acres lots and portions of roadways. Runoff from the basin shall be collected and conveyed within street and storm sewer systems to a full spectrum detention pond (FSD14B), at the south end of the basin. The treated detained flows from the pond will discharge to DP21 at peak flow rates of 0.3 cfs and 19.3 cfs in the 5 and 100 year events respectively. The combined peak flow rates from DP22 and FSD14B (DP21, Q5=8.8 cfs and Q100=174.9 cfs) will be conveyed to Pond W3 above the intersection of Sand Creek channel and Sterling Ranch Road.

Basin SC3-14A (Q5 = 175.4 cfs , $\mathrm{Q} 100=466.3 \mathrm{cfs})$ consists of a 164.9 acre area located within of Sterling. Ranch, that is located between south of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road, east of Sand Creek. This portion of Sterling Ranch is planned for a k-8 school site, several single family residential lots ranging in size from 0.2 to 0.33 acres lots as well as portions of park and open space. Runoff from the basin shall be collected and conveyed within street and storm sewer systems and directed to a full spectrum detention pond (FSD14A), at the southwest corner of the basin. The treated detained flows from the pond will discharge to Pond W3 at peak flow rates of 7.5 cfs and 142.2 cfs in the 5 and 100 year events respectively.

Basin SC3-13 (Q5 = 57.8 cfs, Q100 = 136.9 cfs ) consists of a 41.0 acre area located within of Sterling. Ranch, that is located just the east of the Barbarick Subdivision and north of Sterling Ranch Road. This portion of Sterling Ranch is planned for residential lots ranging in size from 0.1 to 0.2 acres in size. Runoff from the basin shall be collected by storm sewer systems and conveyed to a full spectrum detention pond (FSD13) located in the south end of the basin, adjacent to sand creek. The treated detained flows from the pond will discharge into Sand Creek at peak flow rates of 4.2 cfs and 47.2 cfs in the 5 and 100 year events respectively.

Runoff from DP87, DP21 and from FSD Ponds 13, and 14A will combine within the Sand Creek Channel at proposed Regional Pond Detention Facility W3. The purpose of the regional pond is to reduce the post development flow rates within the Sand Creek Channel at the Southern Sterling Ranch boundary to at or below the existing flow rates calculated by this report. The pond is also necessary due to the drainage basin diversion, as discussed in other parts of this report. The total combined discharge reaching the regional facility (Pond W-3) has been calculated at 374.5 cfs and 2204.1 cfs in the 5 and 100 year events respectively.

As conceptually designed the proposed facility will utilize a check/diversion wall located upstream of the existing stock pond and proposed detention facility that will function to divert base flows within the channel to aid in retaining a fixed water surface within the existing stock pond and in larger storm events diverted flows safely around the amenity to the west side to detention Pond W3. A small controlled outlet structure along with an improved downstream embankment will be added to the existing stock pond to stabilize it and retain a fixed maximum water surface elevation. In the larger detention pond eight (8) small 24 " storm sewer pipe located within a separate embankment will allow for free flow discharge of 2 year runoff and begin to detain flows of 5 years and larger events. Flows exiting the small storm pipes or overtopping the separated embankment will enter a concrete forebay that conveys drainage to two (2) cell $8^{\prime} \mathrm{h} \times 10$ 'w concrete box culvert (CBC) under Proposed Sterling Ranch Road to DP68. As the anticipated flow rate leaving the pond is planned to be less than $1,500 \mathrm{cfs}$, and the proposed culvert crossing is conceptually planned to have an open area of less than 200 ft sq of open area and thus will need to meet the headwater requirements of Table 6-5 of the DCM, which in this concept design is a ratio of about $\sim 1.3$. The total combined discharge calculated to leave the regional facility (Pond $\mathrm{W}-3$ ) has been calculated at 200.3 cfs and $1,350.6 \mathrm{cfs}$ in the 5 and 100 year events respectively, with a maximum 100 year water surface of 7017.3 , a

HW/D ratio of $\sim 1.3$. The peak detained volume has been estimated at 78.2 ac-ft. A low point in Sterling Ranch Road will be designed adjacent to the facility to provide a safe overflow route. An exhibit showing the concept design and its various elements is included in the appendix of this report.

As previously discussed a Condition Letter of Map Revision and Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR/LOMR) will need to be processed through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to revise the hydrology to the Sand Creek Channel and allow for the remapping of the revised floodplains. It should be noted that the DBPS flow rates for Reach SC-8 (Reach 163) adjacent to this location were estimate to be $2,630 \mathrm{cfs}$ and that the effective FEMA 100 year flow rate is $2,600 \mathrm{cfs}$. A comparison table of the various flow rates is provided later in this text and on the accompanying drainage maps.

The final design of the culvert crossing and final determination of approved rates as well as the final pond design will be discussed within the future Sterling Ranch Channel Design Report and Sand Creek CLOMR/LOMR documents. No deviations for this pond and accompanying outlet structure are anticipated at this time.

It is important to note that the planned discharge outlet pipe for the FSD pond located to the west of the pond W3 will need to be extended to the downstream outlet side of the culvert to ensure that the 100 year water surface elevation with W3 does not affect the functionality of the adjacent FSD and its storm sewer systems.

In regards to timing, the need to construction this facility can be tied to the Sand Creek Channel improvements which is discussed within this report and also within the Subdivision Improvements Agreement. In no case should runoff from the East Fork of Sand Creek be diverted to the Main Branch of the Sand Creek Channel prior to the construction and of this facility.

Basin SC3-11A $(Q 5=7.8 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=24.3 \mathrm{cfs})$ consists of a 10.7 acre area located within of Sterling. Ranch, that is south of Sterling Ranch Road, west of Sand Creek. This portion of Sterling Ranch consists of single family residential for lots ranging in size from 0.2 to 0.3 acres in size and open space associated with the Sand Creek Channel. Runoff from the developed portion of the basin shall be collected and conveyed within street and storm sewer systems to a full spectrum detention pond FSD11A. The treated detained flows from the pond will discharge into Sand Creek at peak flow rates of 0.9 cfs and 12.3 cfs in the 5 and 100 year events respectively just upstream of DP-63. It should be noted that this detention facility may not be necessary if grading can be oriented to force surface runoff to the west.

Basin SC3-11B (Q5 = 81.3 cfs , $\mathrm{Q} 100=213.7 \mathrm{cfs})$ consists of a 76.6 acre area located within of Sterling. Ranch, that is south of Sterling Ranch Road, east of Sand Creek. This portion of Sterling Ranch consists of single family residential planned for lots ranging in size from 0.2 to 0.3 acres in size and a portion of a park site and collector roadways. Runoff from the developed portion of the basin shall be collected and conveyed within street and storm sewer systems westward to a full spectrum detention pond FSD11B. The treated detained flows from the pond will discharge into Sand Creek at peak flow rates of 4.5 cfs and 69.5 cfs in the 5 and 100 year events respectively. The runoff from DP68 and from FSD ponds 11 A and 11 B combine at DP63 at peak flow rates of $\mathrm{Q} 5=$ $201.0 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=1385.1$, which is less than the anticipated existing modeled flow rates of Q5 $=430.7 \mathrm{cfs}$, Q100 $=1911.5$ at DP63. Runoff from DP63 continues south within the Sand Creek Channel toward DP61.

Basin SC3-7 $(\mathrm{Q} 5=69.9 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=157.2 \mathrm{cfs})$ consists of a 45.7 acre industrial zoned area, referred to as the Barbarick Subdivision, located outside of Sterling Ranch. Per the Final Drainage Report for Barbarick Subdivision, Portions of Lots 1, 2 and Lots 3and 4 the filing consists of four lots which upon which development will be constructed which will include adding a proposed Extended Detention Basin within Lot 4 . This detention basin will provide water quality treatment for portions of Lots $1 \& 2$, and Lots $3 \& 4$. The EBD will structure will outfall at the south end of Lot 4 at the Barbarick Subdivision/Sterling Ranch property line. Per the report the proposed total outflow from the EDB pond will be Q5 $=0.3 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=45.9^{* *} \mathrm{cfs}(* *$ which includes pass through flows of 29.4 cfs ). A second Sand Filter Basin water quality detention catchment will be provided at the southeast/downstream end of Lot 2 . The SFB will outfall at the southeast corner of the Lot 2 at the Barbarick Subdivision/Sterling Ranch property line. Per the report the proposed total outflow the SFB pond will be $\mathrm{Q} 5=0.1 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=3.6 \mathrm{cfs}$. At the initial writing of this report, neither EDB nor SFB structure has been fully constructed, and thus the assumption was made to utilize the full un-detained untreated runoff from the offsite development for onsite drainage planning purposes. Thus the downstream facilities planned within Sterling Ranch will account for the total un-detained runoff from the parcel of $\mathrm{Q} 5=69.9 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=157.2 \mathrm{cfs}$ and will plan to treat the total runoff onsite facilities. This provides a conservative approach for master planning. Runoff discharged from the property will be collected by proposed storm sewer within Sterling Ranch and routed to DP64. These facilities and their effects on drainage will be re-reviewed with subsequent drainage report and shall be implemented into final design and construction.

Basin SC3-6B (Q5=43.4 cfs, Q100=102.7 cfs) consists of a 30.9 acre area located within of Sterling Ranch, that is north of Sterling

## (Excerpt from 2018 Sterling Ranch MDDP, M\&S Civil Consultants, Inc.)

Step 2 Implement BMPs that provide a water quality capture volume with slow release. - Using Full Spectrum Detention Facilities which will incorporate water quality capture volumes that are intended to slowly drain in 40 hours and excess urban runoff volumes that are intended to drain within 72 hours. All storage facilities will be designed to meet State Statue SB15-212/ §37-92-602(8).

Step 3 Stabilize streams. - With the full spectrum detention facility in place, the runoff from the developments will be reduced to predevelopment conditions. The developed discharge from the sites will be less than existing and therefore is not anticipated to have negative effects on downstream drainage ways.

Step 4 Consider need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs. - No industrial land uses are proposed with this development. The proposed commercial development area will implement a Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) incorporation proper housekeeping procedures. Onsite drainage will be routed through private Full Spectrum Detention (FSD) basins to minimize introduction of contaminates to the county's public drainage systems.

## INTERBASIN TRANSFER EAST FORK SAND CREEK TO MAIN STEM SAND CREEK

It should be noted that the proposed development plan for the $\sim 1444$ acre of Sterling Ranch redistributes a small percentage of the historic watershed between the Sand Creek and East Fork of Sand Creek watershed.

Based upon the survey and contour mapping, prior to development approximately 682 acres of Sterling Ranch runoff was collected by the Sand Creek watershed with the remaining 762 acres was directed to the East Fork of Sand Creek.

After development approximately 267 acres will be redirected from the East Fork Sand Creek into the Sand Creek Basin, resulting in 949 acres of Sterling Ranch directed to the Sand Creek Basin with the remaining 495 acres directed to East Fork Sand Creek.

This modification is driven primarily by maximizing the area of land that can be delivered to the sanitary sewer lift station. It should be noted that the East Fork of Sand Creek is still tributary to the Main Branch of Sand Creek, and thus this transfer is between minor watersheds, not major watershed, and that the development as planned will still function to limit discharged runoff into Sand Creek and East Tributary to the historic flow rates. An exhibit was added to the appendix, which also accompanies the deviation request that shows this basin diversion.

It should be noted that the Developed Conditions Map (provided in the appendix) illustrated the diverted acreage based upon the DPBS mapped boundary(as mapped within the SCDBPS) and diversion based upon the actual field contour data.

## Drainage Basin Descriptions

Developed Sand Creek (Main Stem) Basin Flows
Basin SC3-82 (Q5 = 33.2 cfs, Q100 = 132.3 cfs ) which is located north of Sterling Ranch and Burgess Road to the east Basin SC3-81, assumes that the 117.8 is primarily undeveloped, pine forested land. In this undeveloped condition runoff from the basin continues south overland into Basin SC3-74.

Basin SC3-74 (Q5 $=36.5 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=140.7 \mathrm{cfs})$ is a 119.7 acre area of 5 and 10 -acre lots covered with a mixture of native prairie grasses and pine trees land located north of Sterling Ranch and south of Burgess Road to the west and north of Basins SC3-73 and SC3-75. Runoff from Basins SC3-74 and SC3-82, combine at DP-74 (Q5 $=65.3 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=262.8 \mathrm{cfs}$ ), which is equivalent to the anticipated existing modeled flow rates of Q5 $=65.3 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=262.8 \mathrm{cfs}$.

Basin SC3-73 (Q5 $=26.4 \mathrm{cfs}, \mathrm{Q} 100=102.0 \mathrm{cfs})$ is a 90.0 acre area of 5 to 40 acres lots covered with a mixture of native prairie grasses and pine trees land located north of Sterling Ranch to the northeast of Vollmer Road. Runoff from the Basin SC3-73 will combine with runoff from DP-74 and will continue overland towards DP-75.

Basin SC3-81 (Q5 = 70.2 cfs, Q100 = 275.7 cfs ) which is located north of Sterling Ranch (approx 1 mile) between Shoup and Burgess Roads, assumes that the 262.9 acre area of primarily undeveloped, pine forested, land. In this undeveloped condition runoff from the basin continues south overland into Basin SC3-75.


## STERLING RANCH DRAINAGE DIVERSION EXHIBIT



# Storm Water Management Model User's Manual Version 5.1 

by<br>Lewis A. Rossman<br>Envronmental Scientist, Emeritus<br>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

National Risk Management Research Laboratory<br>Office of Research and Development<br>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency<br>26 Martin Luther King Drive<br>Cincinnati, OH 45268

## SWMM MODEL RAIN GAGE INPUT PARAMETERS (PER DCM CPT. 6)

Table 6-2. Rainfall Depths for Colorado Springs

| Retum <br> Period | 1-Hour <br> Depth | 6-Hour <br> Depth | 24-Hour <br> Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1.19 | 1.70 | 2.10 |
| 5 | 1.50 | 2.10 | 2.70 |
| 10 | 1.75 | 2.40 | 3.20 |
| 25 | 2.00 | 2.90 | 3.60 |
| 50 | 2.25 | 3.20 | 4.20 |
| 100 | 2.52 | 3.50 | 4.60 |
| Where $Z=6.840 \mathrm{f} / 100$ |  |  |  |



City of Colorado Springs DCM

100-year, 2-hour Storm (Cumulative)

| Time Min. | Drainage Basin Area (square miles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-1 | >1-5 | >5-10 | >10-15 | >15-20 | >20-40 | >40-60 |
| 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 5 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.043 |
| 10 | 0.116 | 0.111 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.106 | 0.106 | 0.101 |
| 15 | 0.199 | 0.192 | 0.186 | 0.186 | 0.184 | 0.176 | 0.171 |
| 20 | 0.302 | 0.292 | 0.275 | 0.275 | 0.267 | 0.257 | 0.239 |
| 25 | 0.451 | 0.444 | 0.426 | 0.423 | 0.411 | 0.396 | 0.370 |
| 30 | 0.650 | 0.627 | 0.602 | 0.595 | 0.572 | 0.544 | 0.499 |
| 35 | 1.061 | 0.998 | 0.892 | 0.824 | 0.774 | 0.696 | 0.610 |
| 40 | 1.794 | 1.651 | 1.409 | 1.247 | 1.129 | 0.960 | 0.794 |
| 45 | 2.076 | 1.905 | 1.605 | 1.411 | 1.275 | 1.063 | 0.869 |
| 50 | 2.248 | 2.076 | 1.764 | 1.560 | 1.426 | 1.207 | 0.998 |
| 55 | 2.356 | 2.182 | 1.865 | 1.658 | 1.515 | 1.290 | 1.079 |
| 60 | 2.449 | 2.271 | 1.950 | 1.739 | 1.598 | 1.368 | 1.149 |
| 65 | 2.530 | 2.354 | 2.031 | 1.807 | 1.666 | 1.436 | 1.215 |
| 70 | 2.565 | 2.389 | 2.069 | 1.845 | 1.709 | 1.484 | 1.263 |
| 75 | 2.596 | 2.424 | 2.104 | 1.880 | 1.744 | 1.520 | 1.298 |
| 80 | 2.623 | 2.452 | 2.139 | 1.915 | 1.779 | 1.555 | 1.333 |
| 85 | 2.651 | 2.480 | 2.175 | 1.950 | 1.814 | 1.590 | 1.368 |
| 90 | 2.679 | 2.507 | 2.205 | 1.986 | 1.850 | 1.625 | 1.404 |
| 95 | 2.701 | 2.535 | 2.233 | 2.021 | 1.885 | 1.661 | 1.439 |
| 100 | 2.727 | 2.563 | 2.258 | 2.049 | 1.920 | 1.696 | 1.474 |
| 105 | 2.749 | 2.586 | 2.286 | 2.076 | 1.948 | 1.731 | 1.509 |
| 110 | 2.772 | 2.611 | 2.313 | 2.104 | 1.973 | 1.759 | 1.540 |
| 115 | 2.795 | 2.633 | 2.341 | 2.132 | 2.001 | 1.787 | 1.567 |
| 120 | 2.820 | 2.656 | 2.364 | 2.160 | 2.029 | 1.814 | 1.595 |

## SWMM MODEL SUBCATCHMENT INPUT PARAMETERS

## 8

Subcatchment Conceptual Model


Subcatchment represented as a sloped, rectangular plane
W = width
L = length
S = slope
A = area

## Subcatchment Conceptual Model



Pervious and Impervious areas are processed independently and are then combined.
Both have the same tributary width (W).


You can set them up as separate subcatchments.

9

## Width Parameter

NEVER use default value
Approx. Width $=($ Area $) \div$ (Length $)$
Length = average overland sheet flow length of runoff

## Suggested Rules of Thumb:

## Undeveloped:

- Maximum length $=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ - to 500-feet

Residential Catchments:

- Maximum length $=100$ to 300 feet
- back of lot to street gutter (100-175 ft)
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## 12

Transforming Subcatchment Shape to a Rectangle
Equations Suggested by Guo and Urbonas, 2009


## Percent Impervious

## Estimating/Measuring Percent Impervious:

- If site-specific information is not available, use land use classification
- Sometimes, site-specific impervious GIS layers are available


Source: UDFCD Storm Drainage Criteria Manual

City of Colorado Springs DCM - Manning's n

Table 6-11. Roughness Coefficients (Manning's n) for NRCS Overland Flow

| Surface description | $\mathbf{n}^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Smooth surfaces (concrete, asphalt, gravel, bare soil, etc.) | 0.011 |
| Fallow (no residue) | 0.05 |
| Cultivated Soils: |  |
| Residue cover $\leq 20 \%$ | 0.06 |
| Residue cover $>20 \%$ | 0.17 |
| Grass: |  |
| Short grass prairie | 0.15 |
| Dense grasses ${ }^{2}$ | 0.24 |
| Bermuda grass | 0.41 |
| Range (natural) | 0.13 |
| Woods ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| Light underbrush | 0.40 |
| Dense underbrush | 0.80 |

Table 3-1 Impervious area as a percentage of land use.

| Land Use | Percent Impervious Area |
| :--- | :---: |
| Commercial | 56 |
| Industrial | 76 |
| High density residential | 51 |
| Medium density residential | 38 |
| Low density residential | 19 |
| Institutional | 34 |
| Agricultural | 2 |
| Forest | 1.9 |
| Open Urban Land | 11 |

As mentioned earlier, impervious areas in SWMM are hydraulically (directly) connected to the drainage system - called directly connected impervious areas (DCIA). For instance, if rooftops drain onto adjacent pervious lawn areas, they should not be treated as a hydraulically effective impervious area. Such areas are non-effective impervious areas (Doyle and Miller, 1980). On the other hand, if a driveway drains to a street and then to a stormwater inlet, the driveway would be considered hydraulically connected. Rooftops with downspouts connected directly to a sewer are clearly hydraulically connected. An example of careful measurements and statistics on imperviousness may be found in Field et al. (2000), Lee (2003), and Roy and Shuster (2007). Lee and Heaney (2003) provide detailed comparisons of imperviousness computations and their implications for modeling.

Should rooftops be treated as "pervious," the real surrounding pervious area is subject to more incoming water than rainfall alone and thus might produce runoff sooner than if rainfall alone were considered. In the possible event that this effect is important (a judgment based on infiltration parameters) it can be modeled using the overland flow re-routing option discussed earlier in Section 3.7. For example, if disconnected rooftops comprised 25 percent of the total impervious area of a subcatchment (as opposed to the total DCIA) then one could tell SWMM that this percentage of impervious area should be internally routed onto the pervious sub-area of the subcatchment.

Another method of estimating the effective impervious area given measured data is to plot the runoff (in. or mm ) vs. rainfall (in. or mm ) for small storms. The slope of the regression line is a good estimate of the effective impervious area (Doyle and Miller, 1980).

Table 3-5 Estimates of Manning's roughness coefficient for overland flow

| Source | Ground Cover | n | Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crawford and Linsley (1966) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Smooth asphalt | 0.01 |  |
|  | Asphalt of concrete paving | 0.014 |  |
|  | Packed clay | 0.03 |  |
|  | Light turf | 0.20 |  |
|  | Dense turf | 0.35 |  |
|  | Dense shrubbery and forest litter | 0.4 |  |
| Engman (1986) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Concrete or asphalt | 0.011 | 0.010-0.013 |
|  | Bare sand | 0.010 | 0.01-0.016 |
|  | Graveled surface | 0.02 | 0.012-0.03 |
|  | Bare clay-loam (eroded) | 0.02 | 0.012-0.033 |
|  | Range (natural) | 0.13 | 0.01-0.32 |
|  | Bluegrass sod | 0.45 | 0.39-0.63 |
|  | Short grass prairie | 0.15 | 0.10-0.20 |
|  | Bermuda grass | 0.41 | 0.30-0.48 |
| Yen (2001) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Smooth asphalt pavement | 0.012 | 0.010-0.015 |
|  | Smooth impervious surface | 0.013 | 0.011-0.015 |
|  | Tar and sand pavement | 0.014 | 0.012-0.016 |
|  | Concrete pavement | 0.017 | 0.014-0.020 |
|  | Rough impervious surface | 0.019 | 0.015-0.023 |
|  | Smooth bare packed soil | 0.021 | 0.017-0.025 |
|  | Moderate bare packed soil | 0.030 | 0.025-0.035 |
|  | Rough bare packed soil | 0.038 | 0.032-0.045 |
|  | Gravel soil | 0.032 | 0.025-0.045 |
|  | Mowed poor grass | 0.038 | 0.030-0.045 |
|  | Average grass, closely clipped sod | 0.050 | 0.040-0.060 |
|  | Pasture | 0.055 | 0.040-0.070 |
|  | Timberland | 0.090 | 0.060-0.120 |
|  | Dense grass | 0.090 | 0.060-0.120 |
|  | Shrubs and bushes | 0.120 | 0.080-0.180 |
|  | Business land use | 0.022 | 0.014-0.035 |
|  | Semi-business land use | 0.035 | 0.022-0.050 |
|  | Industrial land use | 0.035 | 0.020-0.050 |
|  | Dense residential land use | 0.040 | 0.025-0.060 |
|  | Suburban residential land use | 0.055 | 0.030-0.080 |
|  | Parks and lawns | 0.075 | 0.040-0.120 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Obtained by calibration of Stanford Watershed Model. <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Computed by Engman (1986) by kinematic wave and storage analysis of measured rainfall-runoff data. <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Computed on basis of kinematic wave analysis. |  |  |  |

## EXISTING CONDITIONS SWMM MODEL MAP



STERLING RANCH EAST PRELIMINARY PLAN NO. 1
Pre-Developed Subcatchment Runoff

STERLING RANCH EAST PRELIMINARY PLAN NO. 1
Pre-Developed Surface Routing

| Design Point | Peak Runoff <br> $5 \mathrm{yr}$. <br> (CFS) | Peak Runoff <br> 100 yr. <br> (CFS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DP4 | 46 | 105 |
| DP4A | 1 | 5 |
| DP5 | 5 | 23 |
| DP5A | 2 | 9 |
| DP6 | 59 | 122 |
| DP6A | 7 | 19 |
| DP7 | 110 | 249 |
| DP56 | 60 | 160 |
| DPEX-4A | 19 | 50 |
| DPEX-5 | 12 | 32 |


| Subcatchment | Area <br> (Ac.) | SWMM Imperv. (\%) | *SWMM Width (Lw) (ft.) | *SWMM Slope (Sw) (\%) | Peak Runoff 5 yr . <br> (CFS) | Peak Runoff 100 yr . (CFS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EX-10 | 265.9 | 7\% | 3365 | 3.41\% | 105 | 222 |
| EX10A | 153.5 | 5\% | 1857 | 4.05\% | 46 | 103 |
| EX-11 | 214.3 | 4\% | 3255 | 3.01\% | 54 | 129 |
| EX-13 | 94.8 | 6\% | 1877 | 3.97\% | 36 | 85 |
| EX-4A | 44.2 | 8\% | 3355 | 1.09\% | 19 | 50 |
| EX-5 | 26.2 | 8\% | 1959 | 1.65\% | 12 | 32 |
| EX-7 | 152.8 | 5\% | 2234 | 3.13\% | 46 | 105 |
| EX-7A | 2.4 | 2\% | 416 | 2.70\% | 1 | 5 |
| EX-8 | 32.2 | 2\% | 1679 | 1.47\% | 5 | 23 |
| EX-8A | 6.6 | 2\% | 698 | 1.80\% | 2 | 9 |
| EX-9 | 139.3 | 8\% | 1837 | 3.19\% | 59 | 122 |
| EX-9A | 21.8 | 5\% | 786 | 3.01\% | 7 | 19 |
| TR-12 | 4.7 | 5\% | 544 | 4.13\% | 2 | 9 |
| TR-20 | 23.2 | 7\% | 1388 | 3.21\% | 10 | 32 |
| TR-4 | 4.4 | 5\% | 645 | 2.76\% | 2 | 9 |
| TR-5 | 13.7 | 5\% | 990 | 2.70\% | 5 | 17 |
| TR-6 | 1.5 | 5\% | 519 | 1.55\% | 1 | 4 |
| TR-7 | 2.6 | 5\% | 233 | 5.84\% | 1 | 5 |

[^0]
## CATCHMENT SHAPE PARAMETER FINDER

Convert Natural Catchment to a Rectangular Shape


| Subarea ID | Area acre | A1 acre | A2 acre | $\begin{aligned} & L \\ & \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | High Pt <br> Elev. ft | Low Pt <br> Elev. ft | $Z=A m / A$ | $\boldsymbol{X}=\boldsymbol{A} / L^{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}=\boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{w} / \boldsymbol{L}$ | $\begin{gathered} L w \\ \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{w} \\ \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { So } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | So/Sw | $\begin{gathered} S w \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EX-7 | 152.80 | 82.00 | 70.80 | 6,430 | 7160.0 | 6997.0 | 0.54 | 0.16 | 0.35 | 2,234 | 2,980 | 2.53 | 0.81 | 3.13 |
| EX-9 | 139.30 | 65.00 | 74.30 | 7,190 | 7190.0 | 7026.0 | 0.53 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 1,837 | 3,302 | 2.28 | 0.71 | 3.19 |
| EX10A | 153.50 | 75.00 | 78.50 | 8,030 | 7,236 | 7,015 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 1,857 | 3,600 | 2.75 | 0.68 | 4.05 |
| EX10 | 265.90 | 120.00 | 145.90 | 7,280 | 7,380 | 7,148 | 0.55 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 3,365 | 3,442 | 3.19 | 0.94 | 3.41 |
| EX-11 | 214.30 | 100.00 | 114.30 | 6,140 | 7,192 | 7,008 | 0.53 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 3,255 | 2,867 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 3.01 |
| EX-13 | 94.80 | 47.00 | 47.80 | 4,900 | 7,232 | 7,070 | 0.50 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 1,877 | 2,200 | 3.31 | 0.83 | 3.97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TR-4 | 4.40 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 640 | 7,270 | 7,244 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 1.01 | 645 | 297 | 4.06 | 1.47 | 2.76 |
| TR-5 | 13.70 | 7.50 | 6.20 | 1,250 | 7,273 | 7,230 | 0.55 | 0.38 | 0.79 | 990 | 603 | 3.44 | 1.27 | 2.70 |
| TR-6 | 1.50 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 250 | 7,238 | 7,228 | 0.50 | 1.05 | 2.08 | 519 | 126 | 4.00 | 2.58 | 1.55 |
| TR-7 | 2.60 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1,100 | 7,234 | 7,192 | 0.50 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 233 | 487 | 3.82 | 0.65 | 5.84 |
| TR-12 | 4.70 | 2.50 | 2.20 | 800 | 7,300 | 7,262 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.68 | 544 | 377 | 4.75 | 1.15 | 4.13 |
| TR-20 | 23.20 | 12.00 | 11.20 | 1,550 | 7,314 | 7,246 | 0.52 | 0.42 | 0.90 | 1,388 | 728 | 4.39 | 1.37 | 3.21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EX-4A | 44.20 | 22.10 | 22.10 | 750 | 7,044 | 7,001 | 0.50 | 3.42 | 4.47 | 3,355 | 574 | 5.73 | 5.24 | 1.09 |
| EX-5 | 26.20 | 13.10 | 13.10 | 1,200 | 7,186 | 7,144 | 0.50 | 0.79 | 1.63 | 1,959 | 583 | 3.50 | 2.12 | 1.65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EX-7A | 2.40 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 550 | 7,039 | 7,021 | 0.50 | 0.35 | 0.76 | 416 | 251 | 3.27 | 1.21 | 2.70 |
| EX-8A | 6.60 | 3.30 | 3.30 | 900 | 7,045 | 7,025 | 0.50 | 0.35 | 0.78 | 698 | 412 | 2.22 | 1.23 | 1.80 |
| EX-8A | 32.20 | 17.00 | 15.20 | 1,750 | 7,062 | 7,025 | 0.53 | 0.46 | 0.96 | 1,679 | 835 | 2.11 | 1.44 | 1.47 |
| EX-9A | 21.80 | 11.80 | 10.00 | 2,600 | 7,082 | 7,022 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.30 | 786 | 1,208 | 2.31 | 0.77 | 3.01 |

DEVELOPED CONDITIONS SWMM MODEL MAP


SWMM 5.1

STERLING RANCH EAST PRELIMINARY PLAN NO. 1

## Developed Subcatchment Runoff

| Subcatchment | Area <br> (Ac.) | SWWM Imperv. (\%) | *SWMM Width (Lw) (ft.) | *SWMM Slope (Sw) (\%) | Peak Runoff 5 yr . <br> (CFS) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Peak Runoff } \\ 100 \mathrm{yr} . \\ \text { (CFS) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EF-A | 8.2 | 15\% | 1064 | 1.57\% | 7 | 20 |
| EX10A | 60.4 | 5\% | 2452 | 1.79\% | 18 | 50 |
| P1-A | 12.7 | 8\% | 1276 | 1.29\% | 6 | 19 |
| P1-A1 | 5.0 | 45\% | 258 | 1.66\% | 11 | 21 |
| P1-A2 | 6.4 | 45\% | 258 | 0.77\% | 12 | 23 |
| P1-A3 | 1.8 | 50\% | 196 | 1.17\% | 5 | 9 |
| P1-A4 | 2.0 | 50\% | 208 | 1.12\% | 5 | 10 |
| P1-A5 | 5.7 | 45\% | 417 | 1.38\% | 13 | 25 |
| P1-A6 | 2.8 | 50\% | 205 | 1.75\% | 7 | 14 |
| P1-B | 35.5 | 38\% | 873 | 1.36\% | 55 | 108 |
| P1-C | 8.9 | 50\% | 581 | 3.69\% | 23 | 46 |
| P1-D | 31.4 | 38\% | 1033 | 1.27\% | 53 | 102 |
| P1-E1 | 30.4 | 35\% | 1148 | 1.56\% | 50 | 97 |
| P1-E2 | 21.8 | 40\% | 1048 | 1.23\% | 41 | 80 |
| P1-F | 76.7 | 30\% | 2322 | 2.18\% | 111 | 215 |
| P2-A | 22.2 | 10\% | 2080 | 2.20\% | 13 | 41 |
| P2-B | 57.8 | 38\% | 1215 | 1.64\% | 88 | 173 |
| P2-B1 | 2.5 | 50\% | 201 | 3.37\% | 7 | 13 |
| P2-B10 | 1.7 | 50\% | 187 | 3.43\% | 5 | 10 |
| P2-B2 | 1.9 | 50\% | 148 | 3.54\% | 5 | 10 |
| P2-B3 | 2.8 | 45\% | 245 | 2.15\% | 7 | 13 |
| P2-B4 | 1.6 | 50\% | 138 | 2.49\% | 4 | 8 |
| P2-B5 | 1.9 | 45\% | 230 | 1.86\% | 5 | 9 |
| P2-B6 | 1.1 | 50\% | 141 | 2.28\% | 3 | 6 |
| P2-B7 | 2.5 | 45\% | 272 | 1.78\% | 6 | 12 |
| P2-B8 | 1.2 | 50\% | 141 | 2.34\% | 3 | 7 |
| P2-B9 | 2.0 | 50\% | 226 | 3.27\% | 5 | 11 |
| P2-S1 | 35.6 | 40\% | 1756 | 1.44\% | 68 | 133 |
| P3-A | 52.6 | 40\% | 1290 | 1.37\% | 85 | 166 |
| P3-C | 1.7 | 11\% | 446 | 1.31\% | 1 | 5 |
| P3-S2 | 11.9 | 40\% | 1103 | 1.27\% | 25 | 50 |
| P4-A | 25.8 | 35\% | 920 | 1.21\% | 41 | 80 |
| P4-B | 37.3 | 35\% | 1773 | 1.34\% | 63 | 123 |
| SC-1 | 3.6 | 8\% | 306 | 2.20\% | 2 | 6 |
| SC-2 | 10.8 | 8\% | 1211 | 2.44\% | 6 | 20 |
| SC-3 | 27.2 | 8\% | 616 | 2.68\% | 12 | 26 |
| SC-4 | 16.4 | 8\% | 1918 | 1.48\% | 8 | 27 |
| TR-V | 2.1 | 19\% | 162 | 4.13\% | 2 | 6 |
| TR-W | 1.4 | 38\% | 90 | 1.30\% | 3 | 5 |

* Reference SWMM Catchment Shape Parameter Finder for calculations

Convert Natural Catchment to a Rectangular Shape


| Subcatchment Center | $Z=0.5$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | Side Collector | $Z=1$ |
|  | Skewed Location | $0.5<Z<1$ |

Dimensionless Variables
$Y=\frac{L}{L_{w}} ; \quad X=\frac{A}{L^{2}}$

| $Y=(1.5-Z)\left(2.286 X-0.286 X^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- |
| $\frac{L w}{L}=(1.5-Z)\left[2.286\left(\frac{A}{L^{2}}\right)-0.286\left(\frac{A}{L^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]$ |
| $S_{0} / S_{w}=A /\left(L L_{w}\right)+{ }^{L_{w}} / L$ |

$X_{w}=A / L_{w}$

| Subarea ID | Area acre | A1 acre | A2 acre | $\begin{aligned} & L \\ & \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | High Pt <br> Elev. ft | Low Pt <br> Elev. ft | $\boldsymbol{Z}=\boldsymbol{A m} / \boldsymbol{A}$ | $X=A / L^{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}=\boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{w} / \boldsymbol{L}$ | $\begin{gathered} L w \\ \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} X w \\ \mathrm{ft} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { So } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | So/Sw | $\begin{gathered} \hline S w \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EF-A | 8.20 | 4.10 | 4.10 | 260 | 7050.0 | 7028.0 | 0.50 | 5.28 | 4.09 | 1,064 | 336 | 8.46 | 5.38 | 1.57 |
| P3-C | 1.70 | 0.50 | 1.20 | 260 | 7027.0 | 7019.0 | 0.71 | 1.10 | 1.72 | 446 | 166 | 3.08 | 2.35 | 1.31 |
| P1-A | 12.70 | 7.00 | 5.70 | 850 | 7,124 | 7,102 | 0.55 | 0.77 | 1.50 | 1,276 | 433 | 2.59 | 2.01 | 1.29 |
| P1-B | 35.50 | 10.00 | 25.50 | 3,100 | 7,160 | 7,124 | 0.72 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 873 | 1,770 | 1.16 | 0.85 | 1.36 |
| P1-C | 8.90 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 1,700 | 7,158 | 7,122 | 0.51 | 0.13 | 0.30 | 510 | 761 | 2.12 | 0.75 | 2.83 |
| P1-D | 31.40 | 14.00 | 17.40 | 2,800 | 7,136 | 7,106 | 0.55 | 0.17 | 0.37 | 1,033 | 1,324 | 1.07 | 0.84 | 1.27 |
| P1-E1 | 30.40 | 16.00 | 14.40 | 2,500 | 7,188 | 7,152 | 0.53 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 1,148 | 1,154 | 1.44 | 0.92 | 1.56 |
| P1-E2 | 21.80 | 11.00 | 10.80 | 2,000 | 7,158 | 7,134 | 0.50 | 0.24 | 0.52 | 1,048 | 906 | 1.20 | 0.98 | 1.23 |
| P1-F | 76.70 | 35.00 | 41.70 | 3,000 | 7,240 | 7,158 | 0.54 | 0.37 | 0.77 | 2,322 | 1,439 | 2.73 | 1.25 | 2.18 |
| TR-W | 1.40 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 90 | 7,192 | 7,182 | 0.50 | 7.53 | 1.00 | 90 | 678 | 11.11 | 8.53 | 1.30 |
| TR-V | 2.10 | 1.50 | 0.60 | 1,000 | 7,220 | 7,190 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 162 | 563 | 3.00 | 0.73 | 4.13 |
| P1-A1 | 5.00 | 3.10 | 1.90 | 2,400 | 7,134 | 7,112 | 0.62 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 182 | 1,199 | 0.92 | 0.58 | 1.59 |
| P1-A2 | 6.40 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 2,400 | 7,134 | 7,110 | 0.50 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 264 | 1,056 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 1.82 |
| P1-A3 | 1.80 | 0.70 | 1.10 | 800 | 7,110 | 7,103 | 0.61 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 196 | 400 | 0.88 | 0.74 | 1.17 |
| P1-A4 | 2.00 | 1.30 | 0.70 | 800 | 7,112 | 7,105 | 0.65 | 0.14 | 0.26 | 208 | 419 | 0.88 | 0.78 | 1.12 |
| P1-A5 | 5.70 | 3.00 | 2.70 | 1,300 | 7,114 | 7,100 | 0.53 | 0.15 | 0.32 | 417 | 595 | 1.08 | 0.78 | 1.38 |
| P1-A6 | 2.80 | 1.30 | 1.50 | 1,300 | 7,114 | 7,100 | 0.54 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 205 | 595 | 1.08 | 0.62 | 1.75 |
| P2-A | 22.20 | 11.00 | 11.20 | 900 | 7,056 | 7,000 | 0.50 | 1.19 | 2.31 | 2,080 | 465 | 6.22 | 2.83 | 2.20 |
| P2-B | 57.80 | 18.50 | 39.30 | 3,800 | 7,106 | 7,052 | 0.68 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 1,215 | 2,072 | 1.42 | 0.87 | 1.64 |
| P2-S1 | 35.60 | 18.00 | 17.60 | 1,900 | 7,110 | 7,072 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0.92 | 1,756 | 883 | 2.00 | 1.39 | 1.44 |
| P2-B1 | 2.50 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 1,100 | 7,102 | 7,077 | 0.60 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 201 | 541 | 2.27 | 0.67 | 3.37 |
| P2-B2 | 1.90 | 1.20 | 0.70 | 1,100 | 7,102 | 7,077 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 148 | 559 | 2.27 | 0.64 | 3.54 |
| P2-B3 | 2.80 | 1.70 | 1.10 | 1,000 | 7,077 | 7,061 | 0.61 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 245 | 498 | 1.60 | 0.74 | 2.15 |
| P2-B4 | 1.60 | 1.00 | 0.60 | 1,000 | 7,077 | 7,061 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 138 | 504 | 1.60 | 0.64 | 2.49 |
| P2-B5 | 1.90 | 1.20 | 0.70 | 700 | 7,061 | 7,050 | 0.63 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 230 | 360 | 1.57 | 0.84 | 1.86 |
| P2-B6 | 1.10 | 0.65 | 0.45 | 700 | 7,061 | 7,050 | 0.59 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 141 | 341 | 1.57 | 0.69 | 2.28 |
| P2-B7 | 2.50 | 1.60 | 0.90 | 770 | 7,050 | 7,038 | 0.64 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 272 | 401 | 1.56 | 0.87 | 1.78 |
| P2-B8 | 1.20 | 0.70 | 0.50 | 770 | 7,050 | 7,038 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 141 | 372 | 1.56 | 0.67 | 2.34 |
| P2-B9 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 870 | 7,038 | 7,018 | 0.50 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 226 | 386 | 2.30 | 0.70 | 3.27 |
| P2-B10 | 1.70 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 870 | 7,038 | 7,018 | 0.53 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 187 | 397 | 2.30 | 0.67 | 3.43 |
| P3-A | 52.60 | 40.00 | 12.60 | 2,900 | 7,050 | 7,008 | 0.76 | 0.27 | 0.44 | 1,290 | 1,776 | 1.45 | 1.06 | 1.37 |
| P3-S2 | 11.90 | 6.00 | 5.90 | 1,000 | 7,036 | 7,016 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 1.10 | 1,103 | 470 | 2.00 | 1.57 | 1.27 |
| P4-A | 25.80 | 20.00 | 5.80 | 1,950 | 7,078 | 7,052 | 0.78 | 0.30 | 0.47 | 920 | 1,222 | 1.33 | 1.10 | 1.21 |
| P4-B | 37.30 | 25.00 | 12.30 | 1,600 | 7,116 | 7,080 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 1.11 | 1,773 | 916 | 2.25 | 1.68 | 1.34 |
| SC-1 | 3.60 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 150 | 7014.0 | 6996.0 | 0.50 | 6.97 | 2.04 | 306 | 512 | 12.00 | 5.46 | 2.20 |
| SC-2 | 10.80 | 5.00 | 5.80 | 770 | 7040.0 | 7001.0 | 0.54 | 0.79 | 1.57 | 1,211 | 388 | 5.06 | 2.08 | 2.44 |
| SC-3 | 27.20 | 14.00 | 13.20 | 4,300 | 7,100 | 7,032 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 616 | 1,925 | 1.58 | 0.59 | 2.68 |
| SC-4 | 16.40 | 8.20 | 8.20 | 420 | 7,136 | 7,102 | 0.50 | 4.05 | 4.57 | 1,918 | 372 | 8.10 | 5.45 | 1.48 |

## STERLING RANCH EAST PRELIMINARY PLAN NO. 1

Developed Surface Routing

| Design Point | Peak Runoff <br> 5 yr. <br> (CFS) | Peak Runoff <br> 100 yr. <br> (CFS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DP-1 | 112 | 219 |
| DP-2 | 53 | 103 |
| DP-3 | 41 | 80 |
| DP-4 | 218 | 379 |
| DP-5 | 53 | 102 |
| DP-6 | 55 | 108 |
| DP-7 | 20 | 39 |
| DP-8 | 119 | 235 |
| DP-9 | 223 | 173 |
| DP-10 | 10 | 441 |
| DP-11 | 63 | 21 |
| DP-12 | 41 | 123 |
| DP-13 | 97 | 80 |
| DP-14 | 85 | 189 |
| DP-15 | 34 | 166 |
| DP-16 |  | 69 |

## PROPOSED PONDS EFFECTIVE IMPERVIOUS AREA CALCULATIONS

STERLING RANCH EAST PRELIMINARY PLAN NO. 1
Pond FSD-16 Tributary Area

| Subcatchment | Area <br> (Ac.) | Avg. <br> Lot size <br> (AC) | Effective <br> Imperv. <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1-A | 12.7 | N/A | $15 \%$ |
| P1-B | 35.5 | 7,500 | $55 \%$ |
| P1-C | 8.9 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P1-D | 31.4 | 6,500 | $60 \%$ |
| P1-E1 | 30.4 | 8,500 | $50 \%$ |
| P1-E2 | 21.8 | 7,500 | $55 \%$ |
| P1-F | 76.7 | 12,500 | $35 \%$ |
| TR-V | 2.1 | 17,500 | $27 \%$ |
| TR-W | 1.4 | 13,500 | $32 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 2 0 . 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ |

STERLING RANCH EAST PRELIMINARY PLAN NO. 1
Pond FSD-11B Tributary Area

| Subcatchment | Area <br> (Ac.) | Avg. <br> Lot size <br> (AC) | Effective <br> Imperv. <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P2-B9 | 2.0 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P2-B10 | 1.7 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P3-S2 | 11.9 | School | $65 \%$ |
| P3-A | 52.6 | 7,000 | $57 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 68.2 |  | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ |

STERLING RANCH EAST PRELIMINARY PLAN NO. 1 Pond FSD-14A Tributary Area

| Subcatchment | Area <br> (Ac.) | Lot size <br> (AC) | Effective <br> Imperv. <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1-A1 | 5.0 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P1-A2 | 6.4 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P1-A3 | 1.8 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P1-A4 | 2.0 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P1-A5 | 5.7 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P1-A6 | 2.8 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P2-S1 | 35.6 | School | $65 \%$ |
| P2-A | 22.2 | Park | $7 \%$ |
| P2-B | 57.8 | 7,000 | $57 \%$ |
| P2-B1 | 2.5 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P2-B2 | 1.9 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P2-B3 | 2.8 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P2-B4 | 1.6 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P2-B5 | 1.9 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P2-B6 | 1.1 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P2-B7 | 2.5 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| P2-B8 | 1.2 | N/A | $70 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 5 4 . 8}$ |  | $55 \%$ |

STERLING RANCH EAST PRELIMINARY PLAN NO. 1
Pond FSD-14B Tributary Area

| Subcatchment | Area <br> (Ac.) | Avg. <br> Lot size <br> (AC) | Effective <br> Imperv. <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P4-A | 37.3 | 6,500 | $60 \%$ |
| P4-B | 25.8 | 6,500 | $60 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 63.1 |  | $60 \%$ |

STORMWATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS




WATER QUALITY TREATMENT PLAN MAP

DETENTION POND CALCULATIONS

## Basin ID: POND FSD-16



| Depth Increment $=$ |  | ft |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stage - Storage Description | Stage (ft) | Optional Override Stage (ft) | Length (ft) | Width <br> (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Optional Override Area $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | (a |
| Top of Micropool | -- | 0.00 | -- | -- | -- | 683 | 0.0 |
| 7094 | -- | 2.00 | -- | -- | -- | 21,230 | 0.4 |
| 7096 | -- | 4.00 | -- | -- | -- | 160,188 | 3.6 |
| 7098 | -- | 6.00 | -- | -- | -- | 237,278 | 5.4 |
| 7100 | -- | 8.00 | -- | -- | -- | 253,964 | 5.8 |
| 7102 | -- | 10.00 | -- | -- | -- | 271,164 | 6.2 |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |
|  | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |  |
| Type A soils need to be |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | aS |  | $\mathrm{fo}$ | -- |  |  |
| pre-development conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





## DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)
Project: STERLING RANCH EAST PRELIMINARY PLAN NO. 1
Basin ID: POND FSD-16


| User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WOCV in a Fillration BMP) |  |  |  | Calculated Parameters for Under |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = Underdrain Orifice Diameter $=$ |  | ft (distance below the filtration media surface) inches | Underdrain Orifice Area = Underdrain Orifice Centroid = |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ft}^{2} \\ & \text { feet } \end{aligned}$ |
| User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP) |  |  |  | Calculated Parameters for Plate |  |
| Centroid of Lowest Orifice $=$ | 0.00 | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) | WQ Orifice Area per Row = | N/A | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate $=$ | 5.50 | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) | Elliptical Half-Width = | N/A | feet |
| Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = | 16.50 | inches | Elliptical Slot Centroid = | N/A | feet |
| Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = | N/A | sq. inches | Elliptical Slot Area = | N/A | $\mathrm{t}^{2}$ |

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

|  | Row 1 (required) | Row 2 (optional) | Row 3 (optional) | Row 4 (optional) | Row 5 (optional) | Row 6 (optional) | Row 7 (optional) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Row 8 (optional) 1


|  | Row 9 (optional) | Row 10 (optional) | Row 11 (optional) | Row 12 (optional) | Row 13 (optional) | Row 14 (optional) | Row 15 (optional) | Row 16 (optional) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

| Invert of Vertical Orifice $=$ <br> Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice $=$ <br> Vertical Orifice Diameter $=$ | Not Selected | Not Selected | ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage $=0 \mathrm{ft}$ ) inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | N/A | N/A |  |

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice

|  | Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not Selected | Not Selected |  |
| Vertical Orifice Area $=$ | N/A | N/A | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| Vertical Orifice Centroid $=$ | N/A | N/A | et |

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Grate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir and No Outlet Pipe)

|  | Zone 3 Weir | Not Selected | ft (relativ feet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = | 5.50 | N/A |  |
| Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = | 20.00 | N/A |  |
| Overflow Weir Grate Slope = | 0.00 | N/A | H:V |
| Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = | 5.00 | N/A | feet |
| Overflow Grate Type = | Close Mesh Grate | N/A |  |
| Debris Clogging \% = | 50\% | N/A | \% |


| Outlet Pipe) |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| $=0 \mathrm{ft}) \quad$ Height of Grate Upper Edge, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $=$ |
| Overflow Weir Slope Length | $=$ |
| Grate Open Area $/ 100$-yr Orifice Area | $=$ |
| Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris | $=$ |
| Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris | $=$ |


| Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zone 3 Weir | Not Selected |  |
| 5.50 | N/A | feet |
| 5.00 | N/A | feet |
| 6.29 | N/A |  |
| 79.10 | N/A | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| 39.55 | N/A | $\mathrm{t}^{2}$ |

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectanqular Orifice)
Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate


| $\frac{\text { Routed Hydrograph Results }}{\text { Design Storm Return Period }=0}$ | The user can override the default CUHP hydrographs and runoff volumes by entering new values in the Inflow Hydrographs table (Columns W through AF). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WQCV | EURV | 2 Year | 5 Year | 10 Year | 25 Year | 50 Year | 100 Year | 500 Year |
| One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) $=$ | N/A | N/A | 1.19 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.52 | 3.48 |
| CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) = | 3.600 | 10.791 | 10.435 | 15.167 | 19.361 | 25.144 | 29.760 | 35.676 | 54.235 |
| Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = | N/A | N/A | 10.435 | 15.167 | 19.361 | 25.144 | 29.760 | 35.676 | 54.235 |
| CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A | 20.3 | 57.3 | 88.3 | 160.1 | 201.2 | 256.4 | 417.6 |
| OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) = | N/A | N/A | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.40 | 0.72 | 0.91 | 1.16 | 1.89 |
| Peak Inflow Q (cfs) $=$ | N/A | N/A | 142.2 | 210.8 | 262.0 | 359.9 | 425.9 | 506.3 | 757.2 |
| Peak Outflow Q (cfs) $=$ | 1.8 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 24.2 | 55.0 | 109.2 | 141.8 | 150.5 | 428.6 |
| Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment $\mathrm{Q}=$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Structure Controlling Flow = | Plate | Plate | Plate | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Overflow Weir 1 | Outlet Plate 1 | Outlet Plate 1 | Spillway |
| Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) = | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Time to Drain 97\% of Inflow Volume (hours) = | 40 | 67 | 66 | 71 | 70 | 68 | 66 | 64 | 58 |
| Time to Drain 99\% of Inflow Volume (hours) = | 43 | 75 | 74 | 80 | 78 | 76 | 75 | 74 | 71 |
| Maximum Ponding Depth ( ft ) $=$ | 3.69 | 5.43 | 5.24 | 5.90 | 6.23 | 6.67 | 6.99 | 7.68 | 8.66 |
| Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) $=$ | 3.18 | 4.94 | 4.77 | 5.35 | 5.49 | 5.58 | 5.63 | 5.77 | 5.96 |
| Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) | 3.604 | 10.831 | 9.860 | 13.199 | 14.995 | 17.485 | 19.223 | 23.214 | 28.901 |



Inflow Hydrographs
The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program.

|  | SOURCE | CUHP | CUHP | CUHP | CUHP | CUHP | CUHP | CUHP | CUHP | CUHP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Interval | TIME | WQCV [cfs] | EURV [cfs] | 2 Year [cfs] | 5 Year [cfs] | 10 Year [cfs] | 25 Year [cfs] | 50 Year [cfs] | 100 Year [cfs] | 500 Year [cfs] |
| 5.00 min | 0:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 0:05:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 0:10:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 3.70 |
|  | 0:15:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.52 | 10.70 | 13.29 | 8.94 | 11.78 | 10.99 | 21.38 |
|  | 0:20:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 28.52 | 39.39 | 49.17 | 30.09 | 35.87 | 37.45 | 61.67 |
|  | 0:25:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 75.51 | 111.48 | 145.19 | 74.96 | 88.78 | 98.15 | 175.91 |
|  | 0:30:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 123.75 | 185.57 | 235.95 | 203.31 | 243.44 | 275.71 | 444.83 |
|  | 0:35:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 142.21 | 210.78 | 262.01 | 319.95 | 381.31 | 446.27 | 682.04 |
|  | 0:40:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 135.59 | 196.43 | 241.98 | 359.95 | 425.95 | 506.30 | 757.18 |
|  | 0:45:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 120.49 | 173.78 | 216.22 | 342.10 | 403.21 | 487.43 | 725.70 |
|  | 0:50:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 105.77 | 154.34 | 192.45 | 314.78 | 370.73 | 451.74 | 671.81 |
|  | 0:55:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 93.62 | 137.73 | 172.10 | 282.37 | 332.95 | 411.07 | 612.57 |
|  | 1:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 83.58 | 122.38 | 153.98 | 250.92 | 296.58 | 373.81 | 557.78 |
|  | 1:05:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 74.48 | 108.09 | 137.72 | 221.69 | 262.63 | 340.50 | 508.24 |
|  | 1:10:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 65.70 | 96.51 | 124.80 | 192.64 | 228.62 | 297.86 | 446.88 |
|  | 1:15:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 58.34 | 87.82 | 116.74 | 166.14 | 197.80 | 252.96 | 384.11 |
|  | 1:20:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 52.59 | 79.84 | 108.24 | 145.01 | 172.88 | 214.64 | 327.97 |
|  | 1:25:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 47.70 | 71.91 | 96.90 | 126.72 | 150.93 | 182.28 | 278.38 |
|  | 1:30:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 43.17 | 64.48 | 84.72 | 109.56 | 130.15 | 154.06 | 234.65 |
|  | 1:35:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 38.75 | 57.44 | 73.38 | 93.41 | 110.58 | 129.39 | 196.57 |
|  | 1:40:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 34.36 | 49.61 | 62.97 | 78.41 | 92.46 | 106.71 | 161.77 |
|  | 1:45:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.28 | 41.61 | 53.74 | 64.66 | 75.90 | 86.00 | 130.10 |
|  | 1:50:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26.98 | 34.88 | 46.47 | 52.35 | 61.14 | 67.81 | 102.95 |
|  | 1:55:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 24.06 | 30.90 | 41.91 | 42.86 | 49.98 | 54.10 | 83.44 |
|  | 2:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.40 | 28.34 | 38.13 | 37.38 | 43.54 | 45.78 | 71.36 |
|  | 2:05:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.08 | 24.43 | 32.57 | 31.32 | 36.42 | 37.48 | 58.75 |
|  | 2:10:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.61 | 19.63 | 26.17 | 24.61 | 28.57 | 28.74 | 45.19 |
|  | 2:15:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.53 | 15.36 | 20.53 | 18.83 | 21.82 | 21.39 | 33.71 |
|  | 2:20:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.12 | 12.10 | 16.09 | 14.58 | 16.84 | 15.95 | 25.15 |
|  | 2:25:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.16 | 9.48 | 12.48 | 11.20 | 12.89 | 11.83 | 18.66 |
|  | 2:30:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.60 | 7.36 | 9.57 | 8.59 | 9.84 | 8.90 | 14.02 |
|  | 2:35:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.37 | 5.62 | 7.23 | 6.54 | 7.46 | 6.79 | 10.61 |
|  | 2:40:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.38 | 4.25 | 5.44 | 4.94 | 5.61 | 5.17 | 8.05 |
|  | 2:45:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.59 | 3.20 | 4.15 | 3.76 | 4.27 | 3.99 | 6.21 |
|  | 2:50:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.95 | 2.40 | 3.16 | 2.88 | 3.26 | 3.07 | 4.76 |
|  | 2:55:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 1.73 | 2.30 | 2.13 | 2.41 | 2.26 | 3.50 |
|  | 3:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 1.19 | 1.58 | 1.50 | 1.69 | 1.58 | 2.43 |
|  | 3:05:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.77 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.10 | 1.02 | 1.56 |
|  | 3:10:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.88 |
|  | 3:15:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.39 |
|  | 3:20:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.10 |
|  | 3:25:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 3:30:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 3:35:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 3:40:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 3:45:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 3:50:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 3:55:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:05:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:10:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:15:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:20:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:25:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:30:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:35:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:40:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:45:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:50:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 4:55:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:05:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:10:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:15:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:20:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:25:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:30:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:35:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:40:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:45:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:50:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 5:55:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 6:00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.05 (January 2022)
Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships
The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically, The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

| Stage-Storage <br> Description | Stage <br> [ft] | Area <br> [ft $\left.{ }^{2}\right]$ | Area <br> [acres] | Volume <br> [ft $\left.{ }^{3}\right]$ | Volume <br> [ac-ft] | Total <br> Outflow <br> [cfs] |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| stanges best results, include the all grade slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| changes (e.g. ISV and Floor) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| from the S-A-V table on |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sheet 'Basin'. |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



DRAINAGE MAPS









[^0]:    * Reference SWMM Catchment Shape Parameter Finder for calculations

