

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT

FOR

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE
FILING NO. 3

EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

NOVEMBER 2024

Prepared for:
Crossroads Development Company, LLC

Mr. Danny Mientka
90 South Cascade Avenue, Suite 1500
Colorado Springs, Colorado Springs 80903

Prepared by:



CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC.

212 N. Wahsatch Avenue, Suite 305
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 955-5485

Project #18-007
PCD Filing No.: PPR

SF2432 & PPR2445

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT
FOR
LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3**

DRAINAGE PLAN STATEMENTS

ENGINEERS STATEMENT

The attached drainage plan and report was prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Virgil A. Sanchez, P.E. #37160
For and on Behalf of M&S Civil Consultants, Inc

DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT

I, the developer, have read and will comply with all the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

BY: _____
Danny Mientka –Owner

DATE: _____

ADDRESS: Crossroads Development Company, LLC
90 South Cascade Avenue, Suite 1500
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

EL PASO COUNTY'S STATEMENT

Filed in accordance with the requirements of El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2, and the Engineering Manual, as amended.

BY: _____ DATE: _____
Joshua Palmer, P.E.
County Engineer / ECM Administrator

CONDITIONS:

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT
FOR
LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PURPOSE	4
PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	4
SOILS	4
FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT	5
PREVIOUS STUDIES	5
HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS	6
HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS	7
DRAINAGE CRITERIA	7
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	7
FOUR STEP PROCESS	9
PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	10
WATER QUALITY PROVISIONS AND MAINTENANCE	14
EROSION CONTROL	14
DRAINAGE & BRIDGE FEES	14
CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE	14
SUMMARY	15
REFERENCES	16

APPENDIX

Vicinity Map
Soils Map
FIRM Panels
Hydrologic Calculations
Hydraulic Calculations
Drainage Maps

**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT
FOR
LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3**

Purpose

This Final Drainage Report for Lot 1, Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 3, is in support of the Final Plat, Preliminary Plan, and Construction Drawings of the subject site. This report functions to identify the existing and proposed runoff patterns and recommend proposed drainage improvements which are intended to safely convey runoff through the proposed development, while minimizing impacts to downstream facilities and adjacent properties.

A Final Drainage Report for this site and Construction Drawings will be submitted concurrently with the Final Plat.

Project Location and Description

The subject site is located in the south half of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.M. in El Paso County, Colorado. The 1.030-acre site is currently undeveloped. The site is bound to the west by Lot 1 of Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 2, to the north by Tract A, Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 3, to the east by Tract B, Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 3, and to the south by Highway 24. The proposed site will be developed as a commercial lot, with parking lot, two private access roads and the extension of Central Rail Point.

The majority of the existing site is covered with native grasses with fair to good cover. Known earthwork operations for “borrow material” have occurred over a small area of the eastern portion of the site in early to mid-2019, but have since stabilized. Generally, the site slopes from east to west at average slopes between 1% and 2%. The site lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. No existing drainage facilities or improvements are onsite, however, surrounding drainage facilities are planned and existing and will connect onsite. No known irrigation systems or wells are present.

Soils

Soils in the project area have been determined to be Blakeland Loamy Sand (8), which is characterized to be part of Hydrologic Soil Types "A" as determined from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) “Web Soils Survey”. A soils map illustrating the site location and soil types is provided in the appendix of this report.

Floodplain Statement

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Nos. 08041C0752 G & 08041C0754 G, effective date December 7th, 2018, the site lies within Zone X (area of minimal flood hazard). A copy of these annotated maps can be found in the appendix. The Sand Creek East Fork Channel is located to the northwest of the adjacent Meadowbrook Crossing subdivision.

Previous Studies

The area which encompasses Lot 1 Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 2 has been previously studied. Below is a short outline of the assumptions regarding the lands of the subject site and those based upon the previously assembled and approved drainage reports and how the assumptions within them impact the subject site.

“Final Drainage Report for Lot 1 Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No.2, prepared by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., approved October 5, 2023.

- Establishes all historic, existing, and future drainage patterns and detailed drainage information for the proposed site and adjacent properties.

“Final Drainage Report for Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No.2, prepared by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., approved November 29, 2023.

- Establishes all historic, existing, and future drainage patterns and detailed drainage information for the proposed site and adjacent properties.

“Final Drainage Report for Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No.1, prepared by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., approved June 9, 2022.

- Establishes all historic, existing, and future drainage patterns and detailed drainage information for the proposed site and adjacent properties.

“Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study, Preliminary Design Report”, prepared by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, dated January 1993, revised March 1996.

- Establishes that the subject site falls within the East Fork Sand Creek Drainage Basin, a portion of the larger Sand Creek Watershed
- Establishes that there are no requirements for major infrastructure improvements and subsequently no drainage-improvement related reimbursements with the development of this parcel
- Drainage fees shall be required to plat the subdivision

"Claremont Business Park Filing No.2 prepared by Matrix Design Group, revised November 2006

- Establishes the drainage patterns of offsite Basins 0S-4 and E2 which are to be conveyed within the Meadowbrook Rights of Way
- Established up-gradient offsite drainage to be directed under Meadowbrook north to offsite East Fork Sand Creek Channel, and away from the subject site

"Final Drainage Report, Lot 1 24/94 Business Park Filing No.1 prepared by Core Engineering Group, dated July 14, 2016

- The development of the 24/94 Business Park FDR shows future curb inlets along the future Meadowbrook Parkway extension on the south and west corners of the intersection to capture runoff from up-gradient watersheds in addition to a proposed inlet which was to be located above the intersection at the northwest corner of the subject site.
- Establishes that flows from the parcel upstream of the convenience store (29/94 FDR Basin OS4) EX-B now to be collected by the extension of a 36" RCP along the south side of Meadowbrook Parkway. Runoff within the right of way/roadway separated out as Basin EX-A2.
- Continues assumption that flows from Newt Drive be conveyed north to East Fork Sand Creek.
- Evaluated pre-development drainage patterns for subject site including direct discharge flow rates to the CDOT rights of way of 1.9 and 14.5 cfs for the 5 and 100 year events, respectively. (Basin EX-E).

"Preliminary and Final Drainage Report Meadowbrook Crossing Filing No. 1 and Filing 2, El Paso County, Colorado prepared by Kiowa Engineering Corporation, dated July 25, 2017

- Proposed the installation of a future 10' Type R inlet at the southeast corner of Newt Drive and Meadowbrook Parkway with the extension of Meadowbrook Parkway to the west (along the northern boundary of the subject site). The inlet was to function to collect offsite runoff from a portion of the south half of Meadowbrook Parkway and Newt Drive north of Hwy 24. Intercepted runoff would be conveyed via a proposed 24" storm sewer to the existing storm sewer system within the Meadowbrook Crossings development.
- Proposed the installation of a 10' Type R inlet at the west end of future Meadowbrook Parkway. The inlet was to collect runoff from the north half of the future roadway. An 18" storm drain was proposed to convey collected runoff to the existing water quality pond located within the Meadowbrook Crossings Development. The report indicates a separate forebay or the modification of an existing forebay would be required.
- Shifted the location of the existing 10' Type R curb inlet to be installed upstream of the intersection of Newt Drive (as shown with the 24/94 Business Park FDR), flows in excess of the inlet capacity are to continue within the future Meadowbrook.

"Final Drainage Report for Meadowbrook Dirt Borrow Site, El Paso County Colorado, prepared by M&S Civil Consultants, November 2018.

- Evaluated onsite drainage patterns
- Excluded offsite runoff impacts from areas to the east of site.

- Allowed site to be utilized as a “borrow site” for offsite earthwork activities.

Hydrologic Calculations

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Storm Drainage Design Criteria manual and where applicable the Mile High Flood District Manual. The Rational Method was used to estimate stormwater runoff anticipated from design storms with 5-year and 100-year recurrence intervals.

Hydraulic Calculations

Hydraulic calculations were estimated using the Manning's Formula and the methods described in the El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Storm Drainage Design Criteria manual. Storm drains were designed using parameters and criteria summarized in Chapter 8 of El Paso County’s Drainage Criteria Manual Vol. 1 and the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manuals. Parameters such as Manning’s values of 0.13 were used for concrete pipe flow and design considerations for maximum velocities were applied. The relevant data sheets are included in the appendix of this report. Hydraulic grade line calculations for the storm system in the proposed condition are provided in the Appendix of this Final Drainage report.

Drainage Criteria


This drainage analysis has been prepared in accordance with current El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual and, where applicable, City of Colorado Springs and Mile High Flood District Criteria Manuals. Calculations were performed to determine runoff quantities for the 5-year and 100-year frequency storms for developed conditions using the Rational Method as required for basins having areas less than 100 acres. See Appendix for supporting calculations.

Existing Drainage Characteristics

The subject lots and surrounding areas had been utilized as a “borrow site” to provide surplus earthwork to offsite developments in the area. This recent grading effort occurred during the spring and summer of 2019. The site and surrounding areas have been since been graded during the development of Lot 1 Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 2. At the request of El Paso County, an existing conditions drainage analysis has been provided to show the changes to the topography and drainage patterns as a result of this effort.

In the existing condition, vegetation remains sparse, consisting with good to fair cover. Areas disturbed by grading have been stabilized. Ultimately, all runoff from the site is conveyed to existing facilities located under Southern Rail Point, which are developed under Lot 1 Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 2.

It does not appear that the site conditions (within tract B) reflect what was done (or is to be done?) with Filing 2. Please clarify whether the previous approved grading will be completed or has been completed. The text says that grading was done during filing 2 but it doesn't match what was proposed.



geometry and drainage pattern and provides a direct comparison of the proposed conditions from Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 2 (CMU2) FDR versus the existing conditions of the subject lot.

Design Point 1

Off-site **Basin C** (Q5=1.0, Q100=7.5 cfs) consists of 3.40 acres of the northern portion of commercial Tract C located along the north and east side of the site. An existing private 30" storm sewer (**PR4**) collects and conveys undeveloped flows of Q5=1.0 and Q100=7.5 cfs in the 5 and 100-year storm event, respectively. Intercepted flows are conveyed west underground within the existing Central Rail Point roadway. **PR4** was designed to account for future development per the CMU2 FDR. The acreage and flows in the existing condition have changed from the CMU2 FDR LOT 1 report, in part to the new field survey provided by MS Civil Consultants, Inc.

Design Point 2

Off-site **Basin C1** (Q5=0.4, Q100=2.6 cfs) consists of 1.16 acres of the southern portion of commercial Tract C located along the east side of the site. An existing private 30" storm sewer (**PR4.5**) collects and conveys undeveloped flows of Q5=0.4 and Q100=2.6 cfs in the 5 and 100-year storm event, respectively. Intercepted flows from **PR4** and **PR4.5** are conveyed west underground within the existing Central Rail Point roadway through **PR5, PR6, and PR7** at flow rates of Q5=1.4 and Q100=10.1 cfs. These flows are less than or equivalent to the flows cited in the CMU2 FDR LOT 1 report (Q5=1.4 and Q100=10.4 cfs). **PR4, PR4.5 and PR5-7** were designed to account for future development per the CMU2 FDR. The acreage and flows in the existing condition have changed from the CMU2 FDR LOT 1 report, in part to the new field survey.

Design Point 8

Off-site **Basin E** (Q5=2.1, Q100=5.6 cfs) consists of 1.58 acres of a portion of undeveloped commercial lots, the northern half of existing Central Rail Point and the western half of existing Pacific Rail Point. An existing private 10' CDOT Type R at-grade inlet (**Inlet 6: Q5=2.1, Q100=5.6 cfs intercepted; no flow by**) is located on the north side of the roadway to intercept developed and undeveloped flows from **Basin E**. Future runoff bypassing this inlet continues to downstream infrastructure. Existing flows collected from the inlet are conveyed to a box base manhole in the center of the existing Central Rail Point via an existing private 30" (**PR9**) storm drain at flow rates of Q5=2.1 and Q100=5.6 cfs. **PR9** was designed to account for future development per the CMU2 FDR. The flows in the existing condition are less than the flows cited in the CMU2 FDR LOT 1 report (Q5=2.3 and Q100=9.1 cfs). The flows from **PR9** then combine with flows from **PR7** and continue to flow through an existing private 36" (**PR10**) storm drain at flow rates of Q5=3.5 and Q100=15.7 cfs. **PR10** was designed to account for future development per the CMU2 FDR. The flows in the existing condition are less than the flows cited in the CMU2 FDR LOT 1 report (Q5=3.8 and Q100=19.6 cfs).

Design Point 9A

On-site **Basin E1A** (Q5=0.2, Q100=1.4 cfs) consists of 0.56 acres of a commercial lot. The undeveloped lot generally drains from south to northwest until the flows exit the basin as sheet flow at **DP9A** (Q5=0.2, Q100=1.4 cfs). The runoff from this design point is conveyed onto the southern half of Central Rail Point and combines with flows within **Basin *E1B and *E1C**. The acreage and flows in the existing condition have changed from the CMU2 FDR LOT 1 report, in part to the new topography that was provide by the field survey provided by MS Civil Consultants.

Design Point 9B

On-site **Basin *E1B** (Q5=1.8, Q100=3.3 cfs) consists of 0.43 acres of existing commercial Lot 1. The basin generally drains from south to northwest until the flows exit the basin through an existing 3' wide curb chase at **DP9B** (Q5=1.8, Q100=3.3 cfs). The runoff from this design point is conveyed onto the southern half of Central Rail Point and combines with flows within **Basin E1A** and ***E1C**. The **Basin *E1B** developed flows are the same as the developed flows cited in the CMU2 FDR LOT 1 report (Q5=1.8 and Q100=3.3 cfs).

Design Point 9

Off-site **Basin *E1C** (Q5=1.2, Q100=2.2 cfs) consists of 0.27 acres of undeveloped commercial lots, and the southern half of Central Rail Point. An existing private 10' CDOT Type R at-grade inlet (**Inlet 7**: Q5=2.6, Q100=5.7 cfs; with Q100=0.6 cfs of flow by) is located on the south side of the Central Rail Point to intercept developed and undeveloped flows from **Basin *E1C, DP9A and DP9B**. Future runoff bypassing this inlet continues to downstream infrastructure. Existing flows collected from the inlet combine with flows from **PR10** and are conveyed south to a box base manhole on the south side of the roadway via a private 36" (**PR11**) storm drain and continue west underground at flow rates of Q5=6.0 and Q100=21.0 cfs. An existing 42" private storm sewer, then directs the system south under existing Southern Rail Point to an existing manhole and ultimately to the existing FSD pond for treatment. **PR11** and the storm sewer infrastructure was designed to account for future development per the CMU2 FDR. The flows in the existing condition are less than the flows cited in the CMU2 FDR LOT1 report (Q5=7.9 and Q100=30.4 cfs).

Design Point 11

Off-site **Basin D** (Q5=0.5 cfs, Q100=3.6 cfs) consists of 1.62 acres of undeveloped commercial lots and off-site **Basin *G1** (Q5=2.9, Q100=5.4 cfs) consists of 0.69 acres of commercial lots and the east half of existing Southern Rail Point, located west of the subject site. An existing private 15' CDOT Type R sump inlet (**Inlet 9**: Q5=2.6, Q100=8.2 cfs intercepted; no flow by), located on the east side of existing Southern Rail Point collects the developed runoff from **Basin D and Basin *G1** as well as bypass flows from **DP8 and DP9**, totaling Q5=2.6 and Q100=8.2 cfs. **DP11** was designed to account for future development per the CMU2 FDR. The flows in the existing condition are equivalent to or less than the flows cited in the CMU2 FDR LOT 1 report (Q5=2.9 and Q100=6.7 cfs).

*See Final Drainage Report for Lot 1 Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No.2 ("CMU2 FDR LOT1") by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc. approved October 2023 in the appendix for pre-development conditions at these locations. See Final Drainage Report for Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No.2 ("CMU2 FDR") by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc. approved November 2023 for additional predevelopment, historic, future, and full spectrum detention condition comparison for the intermediate events at these locations.

Four Step Process

Step 1 Employ Runoff Reduction Practices –Whenever possible, runoff produced within developable area containing impervious surfaces will be routed through landscaped areas to minimize direct connection of impervious surfaces.

Step 2 Stabilize Drainageways – The development of this site is not anticipated to have negative effects on downstream drainage ways since flows released will be below historic rates.

state the filing and EDARP File # that the pond was built with.

Step 3 Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)– The site will utilize an existing Full Spectrum Detention (FSD) Pond, located southwest of the subject site, for water quality. The water quality event storm shall be detained and released via the full spectrum detention (FSD) pond which will discharge the WQCV in approximately 40 hours, while reducing the 100 year peak discharge to approximately 90% of the pre-development flow rates. The pond continuously releases or infiltrates at least 97% of all of the runoff from a rainfall event that is less than or equal to a 5-year storm within 72 hours after the end of the event. It also continuously releases as quickly as practicable, but in all cases releases at least 99% of the runoff within 120 hours after the end of events greater than a 5-year storm.

Step 4 Consider Need for Selecting Industrial and Commercial BMP’s – The proposed development will implement a Stormwater Management Plan including property housekeeping practices, spill containment procedures, and coverage of storage/handling areas. Specialized BMP’s are not required since the vertical development of the commercial areas are unknown at this time.

Proposed Drainage Characteristics

The future site will be developed into one (1) commercial lot and extend the existing access road. The proposed development will extend Central Rail Pont to the east and allow for access to the commercial lot. The following summary generalizes the proposed drainage patterns and drainage improvements required to safely route developed runoff to downstream facilities.

Off-site flows will collect per the existing detailed drainage discussion. Runoff within the western portion of Lot 1 will flow northwest to the existing Central Rail Point (private). Flows within the existing Central Rail Point will be conveyed west and collected by a pair of at-grade inlets located at the west end of the roadway, then routed south and west via existing storm sewer systems to the existing off-site FSD Pond. Central Rail Point (private) will be extended to provide access and utility corridors for the development. Private storm sewer mains, stubs, and inlets will be extended along these corridors to serve the development. Runoff within the middle and eastern portion of Lot 1 will be directed via sheet flow to one of two inlets located within the subject site. Runoff from the proposed building shall be collected by a private roof drain system located on the back of the building. The flows from the building roof drains and two private inlets located within Lot 1 all combine at a proposed underground storm sewer system located the north side of the lot and are conveyed northwest. The existing storm sewer pipe located within Central Ral Point (private) will be extended northeast and the proposed storm sewer pipe conveying runoff from the site will tie in at the northwest boundary of the proposed Central Rail Point. All onsite storm sewer and drainage improvements shall be private. Proposed on-site flows will continue off-site through existing storm pipes, where the flows will combine with adjacent lot flows and continue through existing storm pipes to the existing FSD pond located southwest of the proposed site. The existing outfall from the pond will discharge into the existing borrow ditch located within the north half of the existing CDOT Right of Way as per the CMU1 MDDP. Refer to the “Existing Detailed Drainage Discussion” of this report for all Design Points upstream of Design Point 9, since none of the upstream drainage changes in the proposed conditions. **The existing FSD Pond will function as intended and will not require additional maintenance due to the development of these lots.** The contractor will be responsible for any re-

thanks for stating this.

excavation of sediment and debris that collects in the basin depression to ensure that the basin meets the design grades following construction. The storm lines shall be cleaned and free of sediment once after final stabilization.

Please identify the total flow that this 30" pipe was designed for to show that it is adequate for the proposed flows.

Proposed Detailed Drainage Discussion

Design Point 1

Offsite **Basin C** (Q5=1.2 cfs, Q100=8.9 cfs) consists of 3.85 acres of the north portion of Tract B. The undeveloped lot generally drains from southeast to northwest until being intercepted at **DP1** (Q5=1.2 cfs, Q100=8.9 cfs) by an existing exposed 30" storm sewer stub. The collected runoff continues underground via an existing private 30" storm sewer (**PR4**) at peak flow rates of Q5=1.2 and Q100=8.9 cfs in the 5 and 100-year storm event, respectively. Intercepted flows continue west underground within the existing storm sewer system located under the existing Central Rail Point roadway. **PR4** was designed to account for future development per the CMU2 FDR.

Design Point 1.5

Onsite **Basin C1** (Q5=0.4 cfs, Q100=0.7 cfs) consists of 0.08 acres of proposed Central Rail Pt (private) located within Tract A. The developed flows from **Basin C1** drains from east to west until the flows are intercepted at **DP1.5** (Q5=0.4 cfs, Q100=0.7 cfs), a proposed private 10' CDOT Type R at-grade inlet. The runoff from this design point continues underground via a proposed private 18" RCP storm sewer (**PR4.1**) which conveys peak flows of Q5=0.4 cfs and Q100=0.7 cfs. Flows from **PR4** and **PR4.1** combine at a proposed private 5' diameter manhole and continue southwest underground via **PR4.2** and peak flow rates of Q5=1.5 cfs and Q100=9.4 cfs.

Basin C8

Onsite **Basin C8** (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs) consists of 0.03 acres of building rooftop. The developed flows are conveyed underground via a proposed private 6" HDPE storm drain (**PR1**) which conveys peak flows of Q5=0.1 cfs and Q100=0.2 cfs.

Basin C7

Onsite **Basin C7** (Q5=0.1 cfs, Q100=0.2 cfs) consists of 0.03 acres of building rooftop. The developed flows are conveyed underground via a proposed private 6" HDPE storm drain (**PR1.5**) which conveys peak flows of Q5=0.1 cfs and Q100=0.2 cfs. **PR1.5** combines with the flows from **PR1** at **PR2** (Q5=0.2 cfs, Q100=0.4 cfs), a proposed private 6" HDPE storm sewer.

Design Point 2

Basin C4 (Q5=0.0, Q100=0.1 cfs) consists of 0.04 acres of the southeast corner of Lot 1. Onsite **Basin C6** (Q5=0.7 cfs, Q100=1.2 cfs) consists of 0.15 acres of commercial lot. The flows from **Basin C4** generally drains from southeast to northwest until the flow exists the basin (as sheet flow) and enters **Basin C6**. The combined flows from **Basin C4** and **C6** continue northwest to **DP2** (Q5=0.7 cfs, Q100=1.3 cfs), a proposed private 2'x3' ADS inlet atop a 24" drain basin. The runoff from this design point continues underground via a proposed private 12" PP storm sewer (**PR2.5**) which conveys peak flows of Q5=0.7 cfs and Q100=1.3 cfs. Flows from **PR2.5** continue northwest and combine with the

flows from **PR2** at **PR3** (Q5=0.9 cfs, Q100=1.7 cfs), a proposed private 12" PP storm sewer. Flows from **PR3** continue underground.

pipe run 4.5 is shown as existing in the drainage map. Revise accordingly.

Design Point 3

Onsite **Basin C3** (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs) consists of 0.04 acres of commercial lot. Onsite **Basin C5** (Q5=1.1 cfs, Q100=2.1 cfs) consists of 0.27 acres of commercial lot. The flows from undeveloped **Basin C3** generally drains from southeast to northwest until the flows exit the basin as sheet flow at **Basin C5**. The combined flows from **Basin C3 and Basin C5** continue northwest to **DP3** (Q5=1.1 cfs, Q100=2.1 cfs), a proposed private 5' CDOT Type R sump inlet. The runoff from this design point continues underground via a proposed private 12" PP storm sewer (**PR3.5**) which conveys peak flows of Q5=1.1 cfs and Q100=2.1 cfs. Flows from **PR3.5** continue underground.

Design Point 4

Onsite **Basin C2** (Q5=0.5, Q100=0.9 cfs) consists of 0.11 acres of Tract A. The flows from developed **Basin C2** generally drains from east to west until the flows are intercepted at **DP4** (Q5=0.5 cfs, Q100=0.9 cfs), a proposed private 10' CDOT Type R at-grade inlet. The runoff from this design point combines with the flows from **PR3 and PR3.5** and continues underground via a proposed private 24" RCP storm sewer (**PR4.4**) which conveys peak flows of Q5=2.5 cfs and Q100=4.7 cfs. Flows from **PR4.4** are conveyed northwest to a proposed private 5' diameter manhole. Here, flows combine with the flows (from the future development) located on Tract B at a proposed private 24" RCP storm sewer (**PR4.3**) which collects no flow in the proposed condition. Flows from **PR4.3 and PR4.4** combine at **PR4.5** (Q5=2.5 fs, Q100=4.7 cfs), a proposed private 30" RCP storm drain. Intercepted flows from **PR4.2 and PR4.5** are conveyed west underground within the existing Central Rail Point roadway through **PR5, PR6, and PR7** at flow rates of Q5=3.4 and Q100=13.0 cfs. **PR4, PR4.5 and PR5-7** were designed to account for future development p

Please identify the total flow that this 30" pipe was designed for to show that it is adequate for the proposed flows.

Design Point 9A

Onsite **Basin E1A** (Q5=1.4, Q100=3.0 cfs) consists of 0.50 acres of a commercial lot. Onsite **Basin E1A.5** (Q5=0.0 cfs, Q100=0.1 cfs) consists of 0.04 acres of commercial lot. Flows from both basins are conveyed northwest until the flows exit the basin as at **DP9A** (Q5=1.4 cfs, Q100=3.0 cfs). The runoff from this design pint is conveyed onto the southern half of Central Rail Pt. and ultimately combines with the flows within **Basin *E1C**.

this is an increase from the existing conditions. Please state whether the roadway is capable of conveying this additional flows.

Design Point 9B

Offsite **Basin *E1B** (Q5=1.8, Q100=3.3 cfs) consists of 0.11 acres of commercial lot. The basin generally drains from south to northwest until the flows exit the basin at **DP9B** (Q5=1.8, Q100=3.3 cfs). The runoff from this design point is conveyed onto the southern half of Central Rail Pt. and ultimately combines with flows within **Basin E1C**.

Design Point 7

Off-site **Basin *D** (Q5=0.7 cfs, Q100=5.1 cfs) consists of 2.21 acres of future commercial lot from CRMU2 FDR LOT 1. **Basin *D** is located between existing Meadowbrook Parkway, existing Central Rail Point, existing Pacific Rail Point, and existing Southern Rail Point. **Basin *D** has a private 24"

Please clarify why basin D and E change (basin area/flows) from the existing conditions as there are no changes proposed.

storm drain at the southwest corner, **PR7**, to collect undeveloped peak flows of $Q_5=0.7$ cfs and $Q_{100}=5.1$ cfs from this basin in the 5 and 100-year storm events, respectively.

Design Point 8

Off-site **Basin *E** ($Q_5=1.6$, $Q_{100}=3.9$ cfs) consists of 0.99 acres of a portion of undeveloped commercial lots from CRMU2, the northern half of existing Central Rail Point and the western half of existing Pacific Rail Point. An existing private 10' CDOT Type R at-grade inlet, (**Inlet 6**: $Q_5=1.6$, $Q_{100}=3.9$ cfs intercepted; no flow by) is located on the north side of the roadway at **DP8** ($Q_5=1.6$ cfs, $Q_{100}=3.9$ cfs) to intercept developed and undeveloped flows from **Basin *E**. Future runoff bypassing this inlet continues to downstream infrastructure. Proposed flows collected from the inlet are conveyed to a box base manhole in the center of the existing Central Rail Point via an existing private 30" (**PR9**) storm drain at flow rates of $Q_5=2.3$ and $Q_{100}=9.0$ cfs. **PR9** was designed to account for future development per the CMU2 FDR. The flows in the proposed condition are less than or equal to the flows cited in the CMU2 FDR LOT 1 report ($Q_5=2.3$ and $Q_{100}=9.1$ cfs). The flows from **PR9** then combine with flows from **PR7** and continue to flow through an existing private 36" (**PR10**) storm drain at flow rates of $Q_5=5.7$ and $Q_{100}=22.0$ cfs. **PR10** was designed to account for future development per the CMU2 FDR.

Design Point 9

Off-site **Basin *E1C** ($Q_5=1.2$, $Q_{100}=2.2$ cfs) consists of 0.27 acres of undeveloped commercial lots from CRMU2, and the southern half of Central Rail Point. An existing private 10' CDOT Type R at-grade inlet (**Inlet 7**: $Q_5=4.3$, $Q_{100}=8.3$ cfs; with $Q_5=0.1$ and $Q_{100}=1.9$ cfs of flow by) is located on the south side of the Central Rail Point at **DP9** ($Q_5=4.3$ cfs, $Q_{100}=8.3$ cfs) to intercept developed and undeveloped flows from **Basin *E1C**, **DP9A** and **DP9B**. Proposed runoff bypassing this inlet continues to downstream infrastructure. Proposed flows collected from the inlet combine with flows from **PR10** and are conveyed south to a box base manhole on the south side of the roadway via a private 36" (**PR11**) storm drain and continue west underground at flow rates of $Q_5=9.2$ and $Q_{100}=28.6$ cfs. An existing 42" private storm sewer, then directs the system south under existing Southern Rail Point to an existing manhole and ultimately to the existing FSD pond for treatment. **PR11** and the storm sewer infrastructure was designed to account for future development per the CMU2 FDR.

Design Point 11

Off-site **Basin *G1** ($Q_5=2.9$, $Q_{100}=5.4$ cfs) consists of 0.69 acres of commercial lots and the east half of Southern Rail Point, located west of the existing site. A private 15' CDOT Type R sump inlet (**Inlet 9**: $Q_5=2.9$, $Q_{100}=8.8$ cfs intercepted; no flow by), located on the southeast side of existing Southern Rail Point collects the runoff from **Basin *G1** as well as bypass flows from **DP8** and **DP9**, at **DP11**, totaling $Q_5=2.9$ and $Q_{100}=8.8$ cfs. **PR14**, an existing 30" private storm sewer, directs runoff west to an underground box base manhole at peak flow rates of 2.9 cfs and 8.8 cfs in the minor and major storm events, respectively. **PR14** and the storm sewer infrastructure was designed to account for future development per the CMU2 FDR.

*See Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 2 FDR/MDDP (“CMU2 FDR”) by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc. dated November 2022, in the appendix for predevelopment, and existing condition comparison for the events at these lots.

*See Lot 1 Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 2 FDR (“Lot 1 CMU2 FDR LOT 1”) by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc. dated July 2023, in the appendix for predevelopment, and existing condition comparison for the events at these lots.

Water Quality Provisions and Maintenance

The off-site existing detention pond functions to provide detention and water quality for the proposed development. Refer to the Final Drainage Report for Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 1 in the appendix for details and calculations regarding the existing full spectrum detention pond.

Erosion	2023 Drainage & Bridge Fees	From Filing #2	
It is the control control : stockpil accomp	Drainage Fees: 1.960 x 94.2%	x \$23,821.00	= \$ 43,981.19
	Bridge Fees: 1.960 x 94.2%	x \$9,743.00	= \$ 17,988.70
			Total \$ 61,969.89

Drainage fees for Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 2, Lot 1 and Tract A, as shown above, shall be paid at the time of platting. Drainage feed for Tracts B, C, and D shall not be paid until these tracts are platted. Future development of these lots shall require individual drainage reports.

2024 Drainage & Bridge Fees:

Drainage fees for the subject site have been previously paid with Filing No. 2.

Construction Cost Estimate (Non-Reimbursable)

Item	Amount	Unit	Unit Cost	Total
2’X3’ ADS Inlet Atop 24” Drain Basin	1	EA	\$5,611.00	
5’ CDOT Type R Inlet	1	EA	\$6,703.00	
10’ CDOT Type R Inlet	2	EA	\$9,890.00	\$
Type II MH	2	EA	\$6,000.00	\$
6” HDPE SD	85	LF	\$30.00	
12” PP SD	116	LF	\$50.00	\$5,800.00
18” PP SD	11	LF	\$70.00	\$770.00
24” PP SD	156	LF	\$81.00	\$12,636.00
TOTAL COST:				\$65,850.00

Update with current drainage fees for Tract A (new paved road section) and new Lot #1. Make note that future drainage basin fees will be paid for Tract B when its platted.

M & S Civil Consultants, Inc. (M & S) cannot and does not guarantee the construction cost will not vary from these opinions of probable costs. These opinions represent our best judgment as design professionals familiar with the construction industry and this development in particular. The above is only an estimate of the facility cost and drainage basin fee amounts in 2024.

Summary

The construction of this site is for the purposes of developing commercial Lot 1 in the proposed condition. The site will be graded and all disturbed areas will be seeded. Proposed post construction runoff will be discharged from the lots at **PR11 and PR14**. At **PR11**, the proposed runoff is 26.5 cfs and 33.9 cfs less than the planned (future) runoff from the CMU2 FDR for the 5 and 100-year events of $Q_5 = 35.7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 62.5$, respectively. This difference is due to area adjustments of the basins located within Tract B, drainage within Tract B, as well as Tract B being undeveloped. At **PR14**, the proposed runoff is 0.4 cfs and 0.5 cfs less than the planned (future) runoff from the CMU2 FDR for the 5 and 100-year events, respectively. This difference is due to the fact that as more runoff reached the at grade inlets at **DP8 and DP9**, there is more flow by that reaches **PR14**. Nonetheless, the amount of runoff that reaches the previously assumed FSD Pond from adjacent lots and the proposed site is less than the previously assumed flows at this location from the CMU2 FDR for the 5 and 100-year events, respectively. Thus, the runoff from the proposed site does not affect the size of the previously assumed FSD Pond. Proposed post construction runoff will be discharged from the pond at the same, or lesser, rates than previously assumed for the 5 and 100 year design events from the CMU2 FDR. Thus, the development of the proposed site will not further impact the flows that are planned to be released from the FSD Pond in the CMU2 FDR (see appendix). The construction of Lot 1 Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No. 3 shall not adversely affect adjacent or downstream property.


A future conditions map and the associated calculations have been added to the appendix of this report for further comparison. The future conditions map and calculations illustrate that the flows exiting the future development of CMU3 are less than the proposed flow from the CMU2 FDR.

References

- 1.) "El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual".
- 2.) "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual"
- 3.) SCS Soils Map for El Paso County.
- 4.) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Federal Emergency Management Agency, Revised date December 7th, 2018.
- 5.) "Final Drainage Report for Claremont Business Park Filing No. 2", dated November 2006, by Matrix Design Group, Inc.
- 6.) "Preliminary and Final Drainage Report Meadowbrook Crossing Filing 1 and Filing 2", dated July 25, 2017, by Kiowa Engineering Corporation.
- 7.) "Final Drainage Report Lot 1 24/94 Business Park Filing No. 1 on Platte Avenue and Meadowbrook Parkway", dated April 28, 2016 and revised July 14, 2016, by Core Engineering Group, LLC.
- 8.) "Final Drainage Report for Meadowbrook Dirt Borrow Site ", dated November 2018, by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc.
- 9.) "Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study", revised March 1996, by Kiowa Engineering Corporation.
- 10.) "Final Drainage Report for Aura at Crossroads", dated April 4th, 2022, by Harris Kocher Smith.
- 11.) "Final Drainage Report for Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No.1", approved June 2023, by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc.
- 12.) "Final Drainage Report for Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No.2", approved November 2023, by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc.
- 13.) "Final Drainage Report for Lot 1 Crossroads Mixed Use Filing No.2", approved October 2023, by M&S Civil Consultants, Inc.

APPENDIX

VICINITY MAP



VICINITY MAP

N.T.S.



SOILS MAP

SOILS MAP

CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3

SITE

**LOT 1 -
CROSSROADS
MIXED USE FILING
NO. 3**



CROSSROADS MIXED USE
FILING NO. 3 SOILS MAP
JOB NO. 18-007
DATE PREPARED: 10/28/2024

Summary by Map Unit — El Paso County Area, Colorado (CO625)				
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	A	282.3	73.2%
10	Blendon sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	B	54.2	14.1%
28	Ellicott loamy coarse sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes	A	40.7	10.6%
70	Pits, gravel	A	0.2	0.1%
96	Truckton sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	A	8.1	2.1%
Totals for Area of Interest			385.6	100.0%




212 N. WAHSATCH AVE., STE 305
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903
PHONE: 719.955.5485

FIRM PANELS

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



104°42'1"W 38°50'48"N



Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS		Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone A, V, A99
		With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR
		Regulatory Floodway
OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD		0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X
		Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X
		Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X
		Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D
OTHER AREAS		NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X
		Effective LOMRs
GENERAL STRUCTURES		Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D
		Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer
		Levee, Dike, or Floodwall
OTHER FEATURES		Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance Water Surface Elevation
		Coastal Transect
		Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE)
		Limit of Study
		Jurisdiction Boundary
MAP PANELS		Coastal Transect Baseline
		Profile Baseline
		Hydrographic Feature
		Digital Data Available
		No Digital Data Available
		Unmapped



The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on **11/1/2022 at 6:14 PM** and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS
(Existing Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

BASIN	TOTAL AREA (Sq Ft)	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	STREETS / COMMERC.			MULTI-FAMILY/PARKLAND			OVERLAND / UNDEVELOPED			WEIGHTED	
			AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀
Existing Area Drainage Summary													
<i>C</i>	148180	3.40	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.62	3.40	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
<i>CI</i>	50566	1.16	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.62	1.16	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
<i>D</i>	70662	1.62	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.62	1.62	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
<i>E</i>	68614	1.58	0.54	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.81	0.88	1.04	0.08	0.35	0.36	0.56
<i>EIA</i>	24257	0.56	0.02	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.54	0.08	0.35	0.11	0.37
<i>*E1B</i>	18815	0.43	0.43	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88
<i>*E1C</i>	11894	0.27	0.22	0.90	0.96	0.05	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.88	0.95
<i>*G1</i>	29974	0.69	0.63	0.90	0.96	0.06	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.84	0.91

*FROM FDR FOR LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 2

Calculated by: GT

Date: 9/23/2024

Checked by: DLM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT
(Existing Drainage Summary)

From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary				OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				Time of Travel (T _t)		INTENSITY		TOTAL FLOWS	
BASIN	AREA TOTAL (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	T _C (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	T _t (min)	TOTAL (min)	CHECK (min)	I ₅ (in/hr)	I ₁₀₀ (in/hr)	Q ₅ (c.f.s.)	Q ₁₀₀ (c.f.s.)
		From DCM Table S-1															
Existing Area Drainage Summary																	
C	3.40	0.08	0.35	0.08	50	1.5	9.1	461	2.0%	2.1	3.7	12.7	12.8	3.8	6.3	1.0	7.5
CI	1.16	0.08	0.35	0.08	50	1.3	9.6	338	2.1%	2.2	2.6	12.2	12.2	3.8	6.4	0.4	2.6
D	1.62	0.08	0.35	0.08	50	1	10.4	353	1.4%	2.4	2.5	12.8	12.2	3.8	6.3	0.5	3.6
E	1.58	0.36	0.56	0.36	36	0.25	9.0	538	1.5%	2.4	3.7	12.7	13.2	3.8	6.3	2.1	5.6
EIA	0.56	0.11	0.37	0.11	50	2	8.0	200	3.3%	1.3	2.6	10.7	12.2	4.0	6.8	0.2	1.4
*EIB	0.43	0.81	0.88	0.81	30	2	1.5	285	2.1%	2.9	1.6	5.0	13.2	5.2	8.7	1.8	3.3
*EIC	0.27	0.88	0.95	0.88	50	1	2.2	420	1.4%	2.4	3.0	5.2	13.2	5.1	8.6	1.2	2.2
*GI	0.69	0.84	0.91	0.84	50	1	2.7	466	1.1%	2.1	2.6	5.3	12.9	5.1	8.5	2.9	5.4

Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes. Calculated by: GT

*VALUES DERIVED USING DATA FROM FDR FOR LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO.2 Date: 9/23/2024

Checked by: DLM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT
(Existing Basin Routing Summary)

<i>From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary</i>				OVERLAND				PIPE / CHANNEL FLOW				Time of Travel (T_T)	INTENSITY *		TOTAL FLOWS		COMMENTS	
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA ₅	CA ₁₀₀	C _s	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	T _c (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	T _t (min)	TOTAL (min)	I ₅ (in/hr)	I ₁₀₀ (in/hr)	Q ₅ (c.f.s.)	Q ₁₀₀ (c.f.s.)		
EXISTING DRAINAGE BASIN ROUTING SUMMARY																		
1	C	0.27	1.19									12.7	3.8	6.3	1.0	7.5	Existing 30" RCP Pipe (Pvt)	
				Tc for C Used														
2	C1	0.09	0.41									12.2	3.8	6.4	0.4	2.6	Existing 30" RCP Pipe (Pvt)	
				Tc for C1 Used														
9A	EIA	0.06	0.21									10.7	4.0	6.8	0.2	1.4	Existing Paved Access Drive (Pvt)	
				Tc for EIA Used														
9B	*E1B	0.35	0.38									5.0	5.2	8.7	1.8	3.3	Existing 3' Curb Chase (Pvt)	
				Tc for *E1B Used														
8	E	0.57	0.88									12.7	3.8	6.3	2.1	5.6	Existing 10' CDOT Type R At-Grade Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for *E used														
9	*E1C, DP 9A, DP 9B	0.65	0.84									10.7	4.0	6.8	2.6	5.7	Existing 10' CDOT Type R At-Grade Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for DP 9A Used														
11	D, *G1, FB DP 8, FB DP 9	0.70	1.30									12.8	3.8	6.3	2.6	8.2	Existing 15' CDOT Type R Sump Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for D Used														

* Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.
 Overflow- obtain flows from inlet sheets provided in Background Information Section of Appendix

GT _____
 Date: 9/23/2024 _____
 Checked by: DLM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
FINAL DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS
(Existing Storm Sewer Routing Summary)

PIPE RUN	Contributing Pipes/Design Points	Equivalent CA ₅	Equivalent CA ₁₀₀	Maximum T _C	Intensity*		Flow		PIPE SIZE
					I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	
4	DP1	0.27	1.19	12.7	3.8	6.3	1.0	7.5	30" RCP
4.5	DP2	0.09	0.41	12.2	3.8	6.4	0.4	2.6	30" RCP
5	PR4, PR4.5	0.37	1.60	12.7	3.8	6.3	1.4	10.1	30" RCP
6	PR5	0.37	1.60	12.7	3.8	6.3	1.4	10.1	30" RCP
7	PR6	0.37	1.60	12.7	3.8	6.3	1.4	10.1	30" RCP
9	DP8	0.57	0.88	12.7	3.8	6.3	2.1	5.6	30" RCP
10	PR7, PR9	0.93	2.48	12.7	3.8	6.3	3.5	15.7	36" RCP
11	PR10, DP9	1.58	3.32	12.7	3.8	6.3	6.0	21.0	36" RCP

DP - Design Point
EX - Existing Design Point

FB- Flow By from Design Point
INT- Intercepted Flow from Design Point

Calculated by: GT
Date: 9/23/2024
Checked by: DLM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS
(Proposed Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

BASIN	TOTAL AREA (Sq Ft)	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	STREETS / COMMERC.			MULTI-FAMILY/PARKLAND			OVERLAND / UNDEVELOPED			WEIGHTED	
			AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀
Existing Area Drainage Summary													
<i>C</i>	167839	3.85	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.62	3.85	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
<i>C1</i>	3611	0.08	0.08	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.90	0.96
<i>C2</i>	4625	0.11	0.11	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.90	0.96
<i>C3</i>	1122	0.03	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
<i>C4</i>	1660	0.04	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.04	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
<i>C5</i>	11594	0.27	0.24	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.89
<i>C6</i>	6386	0.15	0.14	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.87	0.94
<i>C7</i>	1188	0.03	0.03	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88
<i>C8</i>	1125	0.03	0.03	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88
<i>*D</i>	96318	2.21	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.62	2.21	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
<i>*E</i>	42959	0.99	0.41	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.57	0.08	0.35	0.42	0.61
<i>E1A</i>	21582	0.50	0.33	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.17	0.08	0.35	0.56	0.70
<i>E1A.5</i>	1797	0.04	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.04	0.08	0.35	0.17	0.42
<i>*E1B</i>	18815	0.43	0.43	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88
<i>*E1C</i>	11894	0.27	0.22	0.90	0.96	0.05	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.88	0.95
<i>*G1</i>	29974	0.69	0.63	0.90	0.96	0.06	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.84	0.91

*FROM FDR FOR LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 2

Date: 10/28/2024
Checked by: DLM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT
(Proposed Drainage Summary)

From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary				OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				Time of Travel (T _t)		INTENSITY #		TOTAL FLOWS	
BASIN	AREA TOTAL (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	T _C (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	T _t (min)	TOTAL (min)	CHECK (min)	I ₅ (in/hr)	I ₁₀₀ (in/hr)	Q ₅ (c.f.s.)	Q ₁₀₀ (c.f.s.)
		From DCM Table S-1															
Existing Area Drainage Summary																	
<i>C</i>	3.85	0.08	0.35	0.08	31	1.0	7.0	544	1.7%	2.0	4.6	11.5	13.2	3.9	6.6	1.2	8.9
<i>C1</i>	0.08	0.90	0.96	0.90	45	1.0	1.9	95	1.6%	2.5	0.6	5.0	10.8	5.2	8.7	0.4	0.7
<i>C2</i>	0.11	0.90	0.96	0.90	50	1.0	2.0	98	1.5%	2.5	0.7	5.0	10.8	5.2	8.7	0.5	0.9
<i>C3</i>	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.08	15	0.2	6.5					6.5	10.1	4.8	8.0	0.0	0.1
<i>C4</i>	0.04	0.08	0.35	0.08	37	1.0	8.1	12	4.2%	4.1	0.0	8.1	10.3	4.4	7.5	0.0	0.1
<i>C5</i>	0.27	0.81	0.89	0.81	50	1.0	3.0	165	1.8%	2.7	1.0	5.0	11.2	5.2	8.7	1.1	2.1
<i>C6</i>	0.15	0.87	0.94	0.87	25	1.0	1.3	82.4	2.4%	3.1	0.4	5.0	10.6	5.2	8.7	0.7	1.2
<i>C7</i>	0.03	0.81	0.88	0.81	35	0.5	2.8					5.0	10.2	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2
<i>C8</i>	0.03	0.81	0.88	0.81	35	0.5	2.8					5.0	10.2	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2
<i>*D</i>	2.21	0.08	0.35	0.08	50	1	10.4	200	1.5%	1.2	1.1	11.5	11.4	3.9	6.6	0.7	5.1
<i>*E</i>	0.99	0.42	0.61	0.42	60	1.2	7.6	700	1.0%	2.0	3.8	11.4	14.2	3.9	6.6	1.6	3.9
<i>E1A</i>	0.50	0.56	0.70	0.56	25	2.5	2.3	334	1.3%	2.3	2.5	5.0	12.0	5.2	8.7	1.4	3.0
<i>E1A.5</i>	0.04	0.17	0.42	0.17	25	3	3.7	135	1.1%	1.1	2.1	5.8	10.9	4.9	8.3	0.0	0.1
<i>*E1B</i>	0.43	0.81	0.88	0.81	30	2	1.5	285	2.1%	2.9	1.6	5.0	12.0	5.2	8.7	1.8	3.3
<i>*E1C</i>	0.27	0.88	0.95	0.88	50	1	2.2	420	1.4%	2.4	2.9	5.1	10.9	5.1	8.6	1.2	2.2
<i>*GI</i>	0.69	0.84	0.91	0.84	50	1	2.7	466	1.1%	2.1	2.6	5.3	12.9	5.1	8.5	2.9	5.4
Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.														Calculated by: SPM			
*VALUES DERIVED USING DATA FROM FDR FOR LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO.2														Date: 10/28/2024			
														Checked by: DLM			

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
FINAL DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS
(Proposed Storm Sewer Routing Summary)

PIPE RUN	Contributing Pipes/Design Points/Basins	Equivalent CA ₅	Equivalent CA ₁₀₀	Maximum T _C	Intensity*		Flow		PIPE SIZE
					I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	
1	BASIN C8	0.02	0.02	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	6" HDPE
1.5	BASIN C7	0.02	0.02	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	6" HDPE
2	PR1, PR1.5	0.04	0.05	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.2	0.4	6" HDPE
2.5	DP4	0.13	0.15	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.7	1.3	12" PP
3	PR2, PR2.5	0.17	0.20	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.9	1.7	12" PP
3.5	DP3	0.22	0.25	5.0	5.2	8.7	1.1	2.1	12" PP
4	DP1	0.31	1.35	11.5	3.9	6.6	1.2	8.9	30" RCP
4.1	DP1.5	0.07	0.08	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.4	0.7	18" RCP
4.2	PR4, PR4.1	0.38	1.43	11.5	3.9	6.6	1.5	9.4	30" RCP
4.3	FUTURE FLOWS FROM TRACT B								24" RCP
4.4	DP2, PR3, PR3.5	0.49	0.55	5.0	5.2	8.7	2.5	4.7	24" RCP
4.5	PR4.3, PR4.4	0.49	0.55	5.0	5.2	8.7	2.5	4.7	30" RCP
5	PR4.2, PR4.5	0.87	1.97	11.5	3.9	6.6	3.4	13.0	30" RCP
6	PR5	0.87	1.97	11.5	3.9	6.6	3.4	13.0	30" RCP
7	PR6	0.87	1.97	11.5	3.9	6.6	3.4	13.0	30" RCP
8	DP7	0.18	0.77	11.5	3.9	6.6	0.7	5.1	24" RCP
9	PR8, DP8 (Inlet 6)	0.60	1.37	11.5	3.9	6.6	2.3	9.0	30" RCP
10	PR7, PR9	1.46	3.35	11.5	3.9	6.6	5.7	22.0	30" RCP
11	PR10, DP9 (Inlet 7)	2.34	4.35	11.5	3.9	6.6	9.2	28.6	36" RCP
14	DP11 (Inlet 9)	0.58	1.03	5.3	5.1	8.5	2.9	8.8	30" RCP

DP - Design Point
EX - Existing Design Point

FB- Flow By from Design Point
INT- Intercepted Flow from Design Point

Calculated by: SPM
Date: 10/28/2024
Checked by: DLM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT
(Proposed Basin Routing Summary)

From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary				OVERLAND				PIPE / CHANNEL FLOW				Time of Travel (T _T)	INTENSITY *		TOTAL FLOWS		COMMENTS	
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA _s	CA ₁₀₀	C _s	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	T _c (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	T _t (min)	TOTAL (min)	I _s (in/hr)	I ₁₀₀ (in/hr)	Q _s (c.f.s.)	Q ₁₀₀ (c.f.s.)		
EXISTING DRAINAGE BASIN ROUTING SUMMARY																		
1	C	0.31	1.35									11.5	3.9	6.6	1.2	8.9	Existing 30" RCP Pipe (Pvt)	
				Tc for C Used														
1.5	C1	0.07	0.08									5.0	5.2	8.7	0.4	0.7	Proposed 10' CDOT Type R At-Grade Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for C1 Used														
2	C4, C6	0.13	0.15									5.0	5.2	8.7	0.7	1.3	Proposed 2'x3' ADS Inlet atop Drain Basin (Pvt)	
				Tc for C4 Used														
3	C3, C5	0.22	0.25									5.0	5.2	8.7	1.1	2.1	Proposed 5' CDOT Type R Sump Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for C3 Used														
4	C2	0.10	0.10									5.0	5.2	8.7	0.5	0.9	Proposed 10' CDOT Type R At-Grade Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for C2 Used														
9A	E1A, E1A.5	0.28	0.36									5.8	4.9	8.3	1.4	3.0	Existing Paved Access Drive (Pvt)	
				Tc for E1A.5 Used														
9B	*E1B	0.35	0.38									5.0	5.2	8.7	1.8	3.3	Existing 3' Curb Chase (Pvt)	
				Tc for *E1B Used														
7	*D	0.18	0.77									11.5	3.9	6.6	0.7	5.1	Existing 24" RCP Pipe (Pvt)	
				Tc for D used														
8	*E	0.42	0.60									11.4	3.9	6.6	1.6	3.9	Existing 10' CDOT Type R At-Grade Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for E used														
9	*E1C, DP 9A, DP 9B	0.88	1.00									5.8	4.9	8.3	4.3	8.3	Existing 10' CDOT Type R At-Grade Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for DP 9A Used														
11	*G1, FB DP 8, FB DP 9	0.58	1.03									5.3	5.1	8.5	2.9	8.8	Existing 15' CDOT Type R Sump Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for *G1 Used														

* Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.
 Overflow- obtain flows from inlet sheets provided in Background Information Section of Appendix

SPM
 Date: 10/28/2024
 Checked by: DLM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS
(Future Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

BASIN	TOTAL AREA (Sq Ft)	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	STREETS / COMMERC.			MULTI-FAMILY/PARKLAND			OVERLAND / UNDEVELOPED			WEIGHTED	
			AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀
<i>Existing Area Drainage Summary</i>													
<i>C</i>	48363	1.11	1.11	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88
<i>C1</i>	3611	0.08	0.08	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.90	0.96
<i>C2</i>	4625	0.11	0.11	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.90	0.96
<i>C3</i>	1122	0.03	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
<i>C4</i>	1660	0.04	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.04	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
<i>C5</i>	11594	0.27	0.24	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.89
<i>C6</i>	6386	0.15	0.14	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.87	0.94
<i>C7</i>	1188	0.03	0.03	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88
<i>C8</i>	1125	0.03	0.03	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88
<i>*D</i>	96318	2.21	2.21	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.49	0.62	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88
<i>*E</i>	42959	0.99	0.41	0.90	0.96	0.57	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.85	0.91
<i>E1A</i>	21582	0.50	0.33	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.17	0.08	0.35	0.56	0.70
<i>E1A.5</i>	1797	0.04	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.04	0.08	0.35	0.17	0.42
<i>*E1B</i>	18815	0.43	0.43	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88
<i>*E1C</i>	11894	0.27	0.22	0.90	0.96	0.05	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.88	0.95
<i>*G1</i>	29974	0.69	0.63	0.90	0.96	0.06	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.84	0.91
<i>C1A</i>	48482	1.11	1.11	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88
<i>C2A</i>	27663	0.64	0.64	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88
<i>C3A</i>	43919	1.01	1.01	0.81	0.88	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.81	0.88

*FROM FDR FOR LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 2

Calculated by: SPM

Date: 10/28/2024

Checked by: DLM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT

(Future Drainage Summary)

From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary				OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				Time of Travel (T _t)		INTENSITY #		TOTAL FLOWS	
BASIN	AREA TOTAL (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	T _C (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	T _t (min)	TOTAL (min)	CHECK (min)	I ₅ (in/hr)	I ₁₀₀ (in/hr)	Q ₅ (c.f.s.)	Q ₁₀₀ (c.f.s.)
		From DCM Table S-1															
Existing Area Drainage Summary																	
<i>C</i>	1.11	0.81	0.88	0.81	50	1.0	2.9	296	0.5%	1.4	3.5	6.4	11.9	4.8	8.1	4.3	7.9
<i>C1</i>	0.08	0.90	0.96	0.90	45	1.0	1.9	95	1.6%	2.5	0.6	5.0	10.8	5.2	8.7	0.4	0.7
<i>C2</i>	0.11	0.90	0.96	0.90	50	1.0	2.0	98	1.5%	2.5	0.7	5.0	10.8	5.2	8.7	0.5	0.9
<i>C3</i>	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.08	15	0.2	6.5					6.5	10.1	4.8	8.0	0.0	0.1
<i>C4</i>	0.04	0.08	0.35	0.08	37	1.0	8.1	12	4.2%	4.1	0.0	8.1	10.3	4.4	7.5	0.0	0.1
<i>C5</i>	0.27	0.81	0.89	0.81	50	1.0	3.0	165	1.8%	2.7	1.0	5.0	11.2	5.2	8.7	1.1	2.1
<i>C6</i>	0.15	0.87	0.94	0.87	25	1.0	1.3	82.4	2.4%	3.1	0.4	5.0	10.6	5.2	8.7	0.7	1.2
<i>C7</i>	0.03	0.81	0.88	0.81	35	0.5	2.8					5.0	10.2	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2
<i>C8</i>	0.03	0.81	0.88	0.81	35	0.5	2.8					5.0	10.2	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2
<i>*D</i>	2.21	0.81	0.88	0.81	50	1	2.9	200	1.5%	1.2	1.1	5.0	11.4	5.2	8.7	9.3	16.9
<i>*E</i>	0.99	0.85	0.91	0.85	60	1.2	2.8	700	1.0%	2.0	3.8	6.6	14.2	4.7	8.0	4.0	7.2
<i>E1A</i>	0.50	0.56	0.70	0.56	25	2.5	2.3	334	1.3%	2.3	2.5	5.0	12.0	5.2	8.7	1.4	3.0
<i>E1A.5</i>	0.04	0.17	0.42	0.17	20	3	3.1	135	1.1%	1.1	2.1	5.2	10.9	5.1	8.6	0.0	0.1
<i>*E1B</i>	0.43	0.81	0.88	0.81	30	2	1.5	285	2.1%	2.9	1.6	5.0	12.0	5.2	8.7	1.8	3.3
<i>*E1C</i>	0.27	0.88	0.95	0.88	50	1	2.2	420	1.4%	2.4	2.3	5.0	10.9	5.2	8.7	1.2	2.2
<i>*G1</i>	0.69	0.84	0.91	0.84	50	1	2.7	466	1.1%	2.1	2.6	5.3	12.9	5.1	8.5	2.9	5.4
<i>C1A</i>	1.11	0.81	0.88	0.81	50	1	2.9	117	1.3%	2.3	0.9	5.0	10.9	5.2	8.7	4.7	8.5
<i>C2A</i>	0.64	0.81	0.88	0.81	50	1	2.9	217	1.8%	2.7	2.6	5.6	11.5	5.0	8.4	2.6	4.7
<i>C3A</i>	1.01	0.81	0.88	0.81	50	1.5	2.6	230	1.7%	2.0	1.9	5.0	11.6	5.2	8.7	4.2	7.7

Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.

*VALUES DERIVED USING DATA FROM FDR FOR LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO.2

Calculated by: SPM

Date: 10/28/2024

Checked by: DLM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT
(Future Basin Routing Summary)

From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary				OVERLAND				PIPE / CHANNEL FLOW				Time of Travel (T _T)	INTENSITY *		TOTAL FLOWS		COMMENTS	
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA _S	CA ₁₀₀	C _s	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	T _c (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	T _t (min)	TOTAL (min)	I _s (in/hr)	I ₁₀₀ (in/hr)	Q _s (c.f.s.)	Q ₁₀₀ (c.f.s.)		
EXISTING DRAINAGE BASIN ROUTING SUMMARY																		
<i>1</i>	C	0.90	0.98									6.4	4.8	8.1	4.3	7.9	Existing 30" RCP Pipe (Pvt)	
				Tc for C Used														
<i>1.5</i>	C1	0.07	0.08									5.0	5.2	8.7	0.4	0.7	Proposed 10' CDOT Type R At-Grade Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for C1 Used														
<i>1.5A</i>	C1A	0.90	0.98									5.0	5.2	8.7	4.7	8.5	Future storm pipe	
				Tc for C1A Used														
<i>2</i>	C4, C6	0.13	0.15									5.0	5.2	8.7	0.7	1.3	Proposed 2'x3' ADS Inlet atop Drain Basin (Pvt)	
				Tc for C4 Used														
<i>3</i>	C3, C5	0.22	0.25									5.0	5.2	8.7	1.1	2.1	Proposed 5' CDOT Type R Sump Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for C3 Used														
<i>4</i>	C2, C3A	0.91	0.99									5.0	5.2	8.7	4.7	8.6	Proposed 10' CDOT Type R At-Grade Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for C2 Used														
<i>4A</i>	C2A	0.51	0.56									5.6	5.0	8.4	2.6	4.7	Future storm pipe	
				Tc for C2A Used														
<i>9A</i>	E1A, E1A.5	0.28	0.36									5.2	5.1	8.6	1.5	3.1	Existing Paved Access Drive (Pvt)	
				Tc for E1A.5 Used														
<i>9B</i>	*E1B	0.35	0.38									5.0	5.2	8.7	1.8	3.3	Existing 3' Curb Chase (Pvt)	
				Tc for *E1B Used														
<i>7</i>	*D	1.79	1.95									5.0	5.2	8.7	9.3	16.9	Existing 24" RCP Pipe (Pvt)	
				Tc for D Used														
<i>8</i>	*E	0.83	0.90									6.6	4.7	8.0	4.0	7.2	Existing 10' CDOT Type R At-Grade Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for E Used														
<i>9</i>	*E1C, DP 9A, DP 9B	0.88	1.00									5.2	5.1	8.6	4.5	8.6	Existing 10' CDOT Type R At-Grade Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for DP 9A Used														
<i>11</i>	*G1, FB DP 8, FB DP 9	0.58	1.05									5.3	5.1	8.5	2.9	8.9	Existing 15' CDOT Type R Sump Inlet (Pvt)	
				Tc for *G1 Used														

* Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.
 Overflow- obtain flows from inlet sheets provided in Background Information Section of Appendix

SPM _____
 Date: 10/28/2024 _____
 Checked by: DLM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
FINAL DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS
(Future Storm Sewer Routing Summary)

PIPE RUN	Contributing Pipes/Design Points/Basins	Equivalent CA ₅	Equivalent CA ₁₀₀	Maximum T _C	Intensity*		Flow		PIPE SIZE
					I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	
1	BASIN C8	0.02	0.02	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	6" HDPE
1.5	BASIN C7	0.02	0.02	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2	6" HDPE
2	PR1, PR1.5	0.04	0.05	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.2	0.4	6" HDPE
2.5	DP2	0.13	0.15	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.7	1.3	12" PP
3	PR2, PR2.5	0.17	0.20	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.9	1.7	12" PP
3.5	DP3	0.22	0.25	5.0	5.2	8.7	1.1	2.1	12" PP
4	DP1	0.90	0.98	6.4	4.8	8.1	4.3	7.9	30" RCP
4.1	DP1.5	0.07	0.08	5.0	5.2	8.7	0.4	0.7	18" RCP
4.2	PR4, PR4.1	0.97	1.06	6.4	4.8	8.1	4.7	8.5	30" RCP
4.3	DP1.5A, DP4A	1.42	1.54	5.6	5.0	8.4	7.1	12.9	24" RCP
4.4	DP2, PR3, PR3.5	1.30	1.43	5.0	5.2	8.7	6.7	12.4	24" RCP
4.5	PR4.3, PR4.4	2.72	2.97	5.0	5.2	8.7	14.1	25.8	30" RCP
5	PR4.2, PR4.5	3.69	4.03	6.4	4.8	8.1	17.7	32.4	30" RCP
6	PR5	3.69	4.03	6.4	4.8	8.1	17.7	32.4	30" RCP
7	PR6	3.69	4.03	6.4	4.8	8.1	17.7	32.4	30" RCP
8	DP7	1.79	1.95	5.0	5.2	8.7	9.3	16.9	24" RCP
9	PR8, DP8 (Inlet 6)	2.62	2.84	5.0	5.2	8.7	13.6	24.7	30" RCP
10	PR7, PR9	6.32	6.87	6.4	4.8	8.1	30.3	55.3	30" RCP
11	PR10, DP9 (Inlet 7)	7.19	7.87	6.4	4.8	8.1	34.5	63.4	36" RCP
14	DP11 (Inlet 9)	0.58	1.05	5.3	5.1	8.5	2.9	8.9	30" RCP

DP - Design Point
EX - Existing Design Point

FB- Flow By from Design Point
INT- Intercepted Flow from Design Point

Calculated by: SPM
Date: 10/28/2024
Checked by: DLM

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

EXISTING

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	DP8	DP9	DP11
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	On Grade	On Grade	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows			
Minor Q_{known} (cfs)	2.1	2.6	2.6
Major Q_{known} (cfs)	5.6	5.7	8.2
Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream <small>Inlets must be organized from upstream (left) to downstream (right) in order for bypass flows to be linked.</small>			
Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Watershed Characteristics			
Subcatchment Area (acres)			
Percent Impervious			
NRCS Soil Type			
Watershed Profile			
Overland Slope (ft/ft)			
Overland Length (ft)			
Channel Slope (ft/ft)			
Channel Length (ft)			
Minor Storm Rainfall Input			
Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			
Major Storm Rainfall Input			
Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)			
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)			

CALCULATED OUTPUT

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	2.1	2.6	2.6
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	5.6	5.7	8.2
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.6	0.6	N/A

EXISTING


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (EXISTING)**

Inlet ID: **DP8**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)
 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	0.020	
H_{CURB}	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	14.0	ft
W	2.00	ft
S_X	0.020	ft/ft
S_W	0.083	ft/ft
S_D	0.020	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	14.0	14.0	ft
d_{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_X * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_W * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_X * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	3.36	3.36	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	4.87	4.87	inches
T_X	12.0	12.0	ft
E_0	0.425	0.425	
Q_X	5.5	5.5	cfs
Q_W	4.1	4.1	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	9.6	9.6	cfs
V	6.3	6.3	fps
$V*d$	2.6	2.6	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_{XTH}
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
T_{XTH}	10.0	28.4	ft
E_0	0.490	0.191	
Q_{XTH}	3.4	54.6	cfs
Q_X	3.4	42.0	cfs
Q_W	3.3	12.9	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	3.8	cfs
Q	6.7	58.7	cfs
V	5.8	9.9	fps
$V*d$	2.1	7.3	
R	1.00	0.83	
Q_d	6.7	49.0	cfs
d	4.40	8.26	inches
d_{CROWN}	0.00	3.39	inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	6.7	49.0	cfs


Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 2.10 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 5.60 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

EXISTING

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$N_o = 1$	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_o = 10.00$	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_o = N/A$	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - $Q < \text{Allowable Street Capacity}$			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	$Q_o = 2.1$	5.6	cfs
Water Spread Width	$T = 6.9$	11.1	ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 3.2$	4.2	inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	0.0	inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 0.757$	0.526	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	$Q_x = 0.5$	2.7	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 1.6$	2.9	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	0.0	cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.36$	0.53	sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 4.4$	5.5	fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 6.2$	7.2	inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	N/A	ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_o-GRATE = N/A$	N/A	
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateCoeff = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateClog = N/A$	N/A	
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	N/A	ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)			
Equivalent Slope S_e	$S_e = 0.162$	0.119	ft/ft
Required Length L_r to Have 100% Interception	$L_r = 6.84$	13.02	ft
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L_r)	$L = 6.84$	10.00	ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 2.1$	5.2	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient	$CurbCoeff = 1.25$	1.25	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$CurbClog = 0.06$	0.06	
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 6.84$	9.38	ft
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 2.1$	5.0	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.0$	0.6	cfs
Summary			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 2.1$	5.0	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.0$	0.6	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q_a/Q_o	$C\% = 100$	90	%

EXISTING


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (EXISTING)**

Inlet ID: **DP9**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

H_{CURB}	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	14.0	ft
W	2.00	ft
S_X	0.020	ft/ft
S_W	0.083	ft/ft
S_D	0.020	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	14.0	14.0	ft
d_{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_x * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_w * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	3.36	3.36	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	4.87	4.87	inches
T_X	12.0	12.0	ft
E_0	0.425	0.425	
Q_X	5.5	5.5	cfs
Q_W	4.1	4.1	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	9.6	9.6	cfs
V	6.3	6.3	fps
$V*d$	2.6	2.6	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{X TH}$
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
$T_{X TH}$	10.0	28.4	ft
E_0	0.490	0.191	
$Q_{X TH}$	3.4	54.6	cfs
Q_X	3.4	42.0	cfs
Q_W	3.3	12.9	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	3.8	cfs
Q	6.7	58.7	cfs
V	5.8	9.9	fps
$V*d$	2.1	7.3	
R	1.00	0.83	
Q_d	6.7	49.0	cfs
d	4.40	8.26	inches
d_{CROWN}	0.00	3.39	inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

Q_{allow}	6.7	49.0	cfs
-------------	-----	------	-----


Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 2.60 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 5.70 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

EXISTING

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR		MAJOR		
	CDOT Type R Curb Opening				
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening				
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	a _{LOCAL} =	3.0	3.0		inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1		
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	L _u =	10.00	10.00		ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	W _u =	N/A	N/A		ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A		
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	C _f (C) =	0.10	0.10		
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity					
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	Q ₀ =	2.6	5.7		cfs
Water Spread Width	T =	7.7	11.2		ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	d =	3.4	4.2		inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T _{MAX})	d _{CROWN} =	0.0	0.0		inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	E _g =	0.704	0.522		
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W _u , carried in Section T _x	Q _x =	0.8	2.7		cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	Q _w =	1.8	3.0		cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	Q _{BACK} =	0.0	0.0		cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	A _w =	0.40	0.53		sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	V _w =	4.6	5.6		fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	d _{LOCAL} =	6.4	7.2		inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)					
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	L =	N/A	N/A		ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	E _{G-GRATE} =	N/A	N/A		
Under No-Clogging Condition					
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	V _o =	N/A	N/A		fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	R _f =	N/A	N/A		
Interception Rate of Side Flow	R _s =	N/A	N/A		
Interception Capacity	Q _i =	N/A	N/A		cfs
Under Clogging Condition					
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateCoeff =	N/A	N/A		
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateClog =	N/A	N/A		
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	L _e =	N/A	N/A		ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	V _o =	N/A	N/A		fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	R _f =	N/A	N/A		
Interception Rate of Side Flow	R _s =	N/A	N/A		
Actual Interception Capacity	Q _a =	N/A	N/A		cfs
Carry-Over Flow = Q ₀ - Q _a (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	Q _o =	N/A	N/A		cfs
Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)					
Equivalent Slope S _e	S _e =	0.152	0.118		ft/ft
Required Length L _r to Have 100% Interception	L _r =	7.85	13.17		ft
Under No-Clogging Condition					
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L _r , L _u)	L =	7.85	10.00		ft
Interception Capacity	Q _i =	2.6	5.3		cfs
Under Clogging Condition					
Clogging Coefficient	CurbCoeff =	1.25	1.25		
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	CurbClog =	0.06	0.06		
Effective (Unclogged) Length	L _e =	7.85	9.38		ft
Actual Interception Capacity	Q _a =	2.6	5.1		cfs
Carry-Over Flow = Q ₀ (GRATE) - Q _a	Q _o =	0.0	0.6		cfs
Summary					
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	Q =	2.6	5.1		cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	Q _o =	0.0	0.6		cfs
Capture Percentage = Q _a /Q ₀	C% =	100	89		%

EXISTING


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (EXISTING)

Inlet ID: DP11



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flowline
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

H_{CURB}	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	22.0	ft
W	2.00	ft
S_X	0.020	ft/ft
S_W	0.083	ft/ft
S_O	0.000	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	22.0	22.0	ft
d_{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_x * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_w * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	5.28	5.28	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	6.79	6.79	inches
T_X	20.0	20.0	ft
E_o	0.269	0.269	
Q_X	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_W	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
V	0.0	0.0	fps
$V*d$	0.0	0.0	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{X TH}$
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
$T_{X TH}$	10.0	28.4	ft
E_o	0.490	0.191	
$Q_{X TH}$	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_X	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_W	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
V	0.0	0.0	fps
$V*d$	0.0	0.0	
R	SUMP	SUMP	
Q_d	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
d			inches
d_{CROWN}			inches


MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

EXISTING

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)		a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)		No =	3	3	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)		Ponding Depth =	4.4	6.8	inches
Grate Information				<input type="checkbox"/> Override Depths	
Length of a Unit Grate		L_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)		A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)		C_f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)		C_w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)		C_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information					
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L_o (C) =	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches		H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches		H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat		Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)		W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)		C_f (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)		C_w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)		C_o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)					
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units		Coef =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units		Clog =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{wi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{wa} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{oi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{oa} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{mi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{ma} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)		Q_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)					
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units		Coef =	1.31	1.31	
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units		Clog =	0.04	0.04	
Curb Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{wi} =	4.0	14.1	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{wa} =	3.9	13.5	cfs
Curb Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{oi} =	25.3	31.0	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{oa} =	24.2	29.7	cfs
Curb Opening Capacity as Mixed Flow					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{mi} =	9.4	19.5	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{ma} =	9.0	18.6	cfs
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)		Q_{Curb} =	3.9	13.5	cfs
Resultant Street Conditions					
Total Inlet Length		L =	15.00	15.00	feet
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)		T =	12.0	22.0	ft
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown		d_{CROWN} =	0.0	0.0	inches
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)					
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation		d_{Curb} =	0.20	0.40	ft
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Curb} =	0.67	0.83	
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		$RF_{Combination}$ =	N/A	N/A	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)					
		Q_a =	3.9	13.5	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (>0 Peak)		$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	2.6	8.2	cfs

PROPOSED

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	DP1 S	DP2	DP4	DP8	DP9	DP11
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	On Grade	In Sump	On Grade	On Grade	On Grade	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows

Minor Q_{design} (cfs)	0.4	0.7	0.5	1.6	4.3	2.9
Major Q_{design} (cfs)	0.7	1.3	0.9	3.9	8.3	8.8

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream Inlets must be organized from upstream (left) to downstream (right) in order for bypass flows to be linked.

Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Watershed Characteristics

Subcatchment Area (acres)						
Percent Impervious						
NRCS Soil Type						

Watershed Profile

Overland Slope (ft/ft)						
Overland Length (ft)						
Channel Slope (ft/ft)						
Channel Length (ft)						

Minor Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)						
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)						

Major Storm Rainfall Input

Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)						
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)						

CALCULATED OUTPUT

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.4	0.7	0.5	1.6	4.3	2.9
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.7	1.3	0.9	3.9	8.3	8.8
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.1	N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	1.9	N/A

PROPOSED


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (PROPOSED)**

Inlet ID: **DP1.5**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

H_{CURB}	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	14.0	ft
W	2.00	ft
S_X	0.020	ft/ft
S_W	0.083	ft/ft
S_D	0.020	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	14.0	14.0	ft
d_{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_x * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_w * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	3.36	3.36	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	4.87	4.87	inches
T_X	12.0	12.0	ft
E_o	0.425	0.425	
Q_X	5.5	5.5	cfs
Q_W	4.1	4.1	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	9.6	9.6	cfs
V	6.3	6.3	fps
$V*d$	2.6	2.6	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{X,TH}$
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
$T_{X,TH}$	10.0	28.4	ft
E_o	0.490	0.191	
$Q_{X,TH}$	3.4	54.6	cfs
Q_X	3.4	42.0	cfs
Q_W	3.3	12.9	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	3.8	cfs
Q	6.7	58.7	cfs
V	5.8	9.9	fps
$V*d$	2.1	7.3	
R	1.00	0.83	
Q_d	6.7	49.0	cfs
d	4.40	8.26	inches
d_{CROWN}	0.00	3.39	inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	6.7	49.0	cfs


Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 0.40 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 0.70 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

PROPOSED

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR		MAJOR		
	CDOT Type R Curb Opening				
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening				
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	3.0			inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$N_o = 1$	1			
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_o = 10.00$	10.00			ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_o = N/A$	N/A			ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	N/A			
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	0.10			
Street Hydraulics: OK - $Q < \text{Allowable Street Capacity}$					
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	$Q_o = 0.4$	0.7			cfs
Water Spread Width	$T = 1.9$	2.3			ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 2.0$	2.1			inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	0.0			inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 1.000$	1.000			
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	$Q_x = 0.0$	0.0			cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 0.4$	0.7			cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	0.0			cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.00$	0.18			sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 0.0$	3.9			fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 5.0$	5.1			inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)					
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	N/A			ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_o-GRATE = N/A$	N/A			
Under No-Clogging Condition					
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A			fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A			
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A			
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	N/A			cfs
Under Clogging Condition					
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateCoeff = N/A	N/A			
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	GrateClog = N/A	N/A			
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	N/A			ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A			fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A			
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A			
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	N/A			cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	N/A			cfs
Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)					
Equivalent Slope S_e	$S_e = 0.208$	0.208			ft/ft
Required Length L_r to Have 100% Interception	$L_r = 2.62$	3.48			ft
Under No-Clogging Condition					
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L_r)	$L = 2.62$	3.48			ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 0.4$	0.7			cfs
Under Clogging Condition					
Clogging Coefficient	CurbCoeff = 1.25	1.25			
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	CurbClog = 0.06	0.06			
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 2.62$	3.48			ft
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 0.4$	0.7			cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.0$	0.0			cfs
Summary					
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 0.4$	0.7			cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.0$	0.0			cfs
Capture Percentage = Q_o/Q_o	$C\% = 100$	100			%

PROPOSED


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (PROPOSED)**

Inlet ID: **DP2**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK} = ft
 S_{BACK} = ft/ft
 n_{BACK} =

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

H_{CURB} = inches
 T_{CROWN} = ft
 W = ft
 S_X = ft/ft
 S_W = ft/ft
 S_0 = ft/ft
 n_{STREET} =

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	ft
d_{MAX}	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_x * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_w * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	inches
d_c	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	inches
a	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	inches
d	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	inches
T_X	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	ft
E_0	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Q_X	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	cfs
Q_W	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	cfs
Q_{BACK}	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	cfs
Q_T	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
V	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	fps
$V*d$	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_{XTH}
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	ft
T_{XTH}	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	ft
E_0	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
Q_{XTH}	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	cfs
Q_X	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	cfs
Q_W	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	cfs
Q_{BACK}	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	cfs
Q	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
V	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	fps
$V*d$	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	
R	SUMP	SUMP	
Q_d	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
d	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	inches
d_{CROWN}	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	inches


MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

PROPOSED

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)		a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)		No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)		Ponding Depth =	4.4	5.9	inches
Grate Information		MINOR		MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Grate		L_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)		A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)		C_f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)		C_w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)		C_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		MINOR		MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L_o (C) =	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches		H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches		H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat		Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)		W_p =	1.00	1.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)		C_f (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)		C_w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)		C_o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units		Coef =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units		Clog =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging		Q_{wi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{wa} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging		Q_{oi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{oa} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow		MINOR		MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging		Q_{mi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{ma} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)		Q_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units		Coef =	1.00	1.00	
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units		Clog =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging		Q_{wi} =	3.7	6.4	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{wa} =	3.3	5.7	cfs
Curb Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging		Q_{oi} =	8.4	9.7	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{oa} =	7.6	8.7	cfs
Curb Opening Capacity as Mixed Flow		MINOR		MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging		Q_{mi} =	5.2	7.3	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{ma} =	4.7	6.6	cfs
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)		Q_{Curb} =	3.3	5.7	cfs
Resultant Street Conditions		MINOR		MAJOR	
Total Inlet Length		L =	5.00	5.00	feet
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)		T =	12.8	18.0	ft
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown		d_{CROWN} =	0.0	0.0	inches
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation		d_{Curb} =	0.28	0.41	ft
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		$RF_{Combination}$ =	N/A	N/A	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)		MINOR		MAJOR	
		Q_a =	3.3	5.7	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (>0 Peak)		MINOR		MAJOR	
		$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	0.7	1.3	cfs

PROPOSED


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (PROPOSED)**

Inlet ID: **DP4**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)
 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	0.020	
H_{CURB}	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	14.0	ft
W	2.00	ft
S_X	0.020	ft/ft
S_W	0.083	ft/ft
S_D	0.020	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	14.0	14.0	ft
d_{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_x * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_w * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	3.36	3.36	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	4.87	4.87	inches
T_X	12.0	12.0	ft
E_o	0.425	0.425	
Q_X	5.5	5.5	cfs
Q_W	4.1	4.1	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	9.6	9.6	cfs
V	6.3	6.3	fps
$V*d$	2.6	2.6	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{X,TH}$
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
$T_{X,TH}$	10.0	28.4	ft
E_o	0.490	0.191	
$Q_{X,TH}$	3.4	54.6	cfs
Q_X	3.4	42.0	cfs
Q_W	3.3	12.9	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	3.8	cfs
Q	6.7	58.7	cfs
V	5.8	9.9	fps
$V*d$	2.1	7.3	
R	1.00	0.83	
Q_d	6.7	49.0	cfs
d	4.40	8.26	inches
d_{CROWN}	0.00	3.39	inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	6.7	49.0	cfs


Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 0.50 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 0.90 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

PROPOSED

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR		MAJOR		
	CDOT Type R Curb Opening				
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening				
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	3.0			inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$N_u = 1$	1			
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_u = 10.00$	10.00			ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_u = N/A$	N/A			ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	N/A			
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	0.10			
Street Hydraulics: OK - $Q < \text{Allowable Street Capacity}$					
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	$Q_o = 0.5$	0.9			cfs
Water Spread Width	$T = 2.0$	3.9			ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 2.0$	2.5			inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	0.0			inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 1.000$	0.953			
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	$Q_x = 0.0$	0.0			cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 0.5$	0.9			cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	0.0			cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.17$	0.24			sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 3.0$	3.5			fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 5.0$	5.5			inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)					
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	N/A			ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_{G-GRATE} = N/A$	N/A			
Under No-Clogging Condition					
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A			fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A			
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A			
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	N/A			cfs
Under Clogging Condition					
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$\text{GrateCoeff} = N/A$	N/A			
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$\text{GrateClog} = N/A$	N/A			
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	N/A			ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A			fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A			
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A			
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	N/A			cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	N/A			cfs
Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)					
Equivalent Slope S_e	$S_e = 0.208$	0.199			ft/ft
Required Length L_r to Have 100% Interception	$L_r = 2.93$	4.04			ft
Under No-Clogging Condition					
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L_r)	$L = 2.93$	4.04			ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 0.5$	0.9			cfs
Under Clogging Condition					
Clogging Coefficient	$\text{CurbCoeff} = 1.25$	1.25			
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$\text{CurbClog} = 0.06$	0.06			
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 2.93$	4.04			ft
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 0.5$	0.9			cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.0$	0.0			cfs
Summary					
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 0.5$	0.9			cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.0$	0.0			cfs
Capture Percentage = Q_o/Q_o	$C\% = 100$	100			%

PROPOSED


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (PROPOSED)**

Inlet ID: **DP8**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)
 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$T_{BACK} = 7.5$ ft
 $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{BACK} = 0.020$

$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
 $T_{CROWN} = 14.0$ ft
 $W = 2.00$ ft
 $S_X = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $S_W = 0.083$ ft/ft
 $S_0 = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{STREET} = 0.016$

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	14.0	14.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion


	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow} =$	6.7	49.0	cfs

Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 1.60 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'
 Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 3.90 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

PROPOSED

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$No = 1$	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_o = 10.00$	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_o = N/A$	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - $Q < \text{Allowable Street Capacity}$			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	$Q_o = 1.6$	3.9	cfs
Water Spread Width	$T = 5.9$	9.4	ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 2.9$	3.8	inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	0.0	inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 0.826$	0.605	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	$Q_x = 0.3$	1.5	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 1.3$	2.4	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	0.0	cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.32$	0.46	sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 4.1$	5.1	fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 5.9$	6.8	inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	N/A	ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_o-GRATE = N/A$	N/A	
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateCoeff = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateClog = N/A$	N/A	
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	N/A	ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)			
Equivalent Slope S_e	$S_e = 0.175$	0.134	ft/ft
Required Length L_r to Have 100% Interception	$L_r = 5.75$	10.25	ft
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L_r)	$L = 5.75$	10.00	ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 1.6$	3.9	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient	$CurbCoeff = 1.25$	1.25	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$CurbClog = 0.06$	0.06	
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 5.75$	9.38	ft
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 1.6$	3.9	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.0$	0.0	cfs
Summary			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 1.6$	3.9	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.0$	0.0	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q_o/Q_o	$C\% = 100$	99	%

PROPOSED


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (PROPOSED)**

Inlet ID: **DP9**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)
 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	0.020	
H_{CURB}	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	14.0	ft
W	2.00	ft
S_X	0.020	ft/ft
S_W	0.083	ft/ft
S_D	0.020	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	14.0	14.0	ft
d_{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_x * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_w * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	3.36	3.36	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	4.87	4.87	inches
T_X	12.0	12.0	ft
E_0	0.425	0.425	
Q_X	5.5	5.5	cfs
Q_W	4.1	4.1	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	9.6	9.6	cfs
V	6.3	6.3	fps
$V*d$	2.6	2.6	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{X,TH}$
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
$T_{X,TH}$	10.0	28.4	ft
E_0	0.490	0.191	
$Q_{X,TH}$	3.4	54.6	cfs
Q_X	3.4	42.0	cfs
Q_W	3.3	12.9	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	3.8	cfs
Q	6.7	58.7	cfs
V	5.8	9.9	fps
$V*d$	2.1	7.3	
R	1.00	0.83	
Q_d	6.7	49.0	cfs
d	4.40	8.26	inches
d_{CROWN}	0.00	3.39	inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	6.7	49.0	cfs


Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 4.30 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 8.30 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

PROPOSED

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR		MAJOR	
	CDOT Type R Curb Opening			
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening			
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	3.0	inches	
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$No = 1$	1		
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_o = 10.00$	10.00	ft	
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_o = N/A$	N/A	ft	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	N/A		
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	0.10		
Street Hydraulics: OK - $Q <$ Allowable Street Capacity				
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	$Q_o = 4.3$	8.3	cfs	
Water Spread Width	$T = 9.9$	13.2	ft	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 3.9$	4.7	inches	
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	0.0	inches	
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 0.583$	0.449		
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	$Q_x = 1.8$	4.6	cfs	
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 2.5$	3.7	cfs	
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	0.0	cfs	
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.48$	0.61	sq ft	
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 5.2$	6.1	fps	
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 6.9$	7.7	inches	
Grate Analysis (Calculated)				
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	N/A	ft	
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_o-GRATE = N/A$	N/A		
Under No-Clogging Condition				
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps	
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A		
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A		
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	N/A	cfs	
Under Clogging Condition				
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateCoeff = N/A$	N/A		
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateClog = N/A$	N/A		
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	N/A	ft	
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps	
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A		
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A		
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	N/A	cfs	
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	N/A	cfs	
Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)				
Equivalent Slope S_e	$S_e = 0.130$	0.105	ft/ft	
Required Length L_r to Have 100% Interception	$L_r = 10.93$	16.88	ft	
Under No-Clogging Condition				
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L_r)	$L = 10.00$	10.00	ft	
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 4.2$	6.7	cfs	
Under Clogging Condition				
Clogging Coefficient	$CurbCoeff = 1.25$	1.25		
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$CurbClog = 0.06$	0.06		
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 9.38$	9.38	ft	
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 4.2$	6.4	cfs	
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.1$	1.9	cfs	
Summary				
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 4.2$	6.4	cfs	
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.1$	1.9	cfs	
Capture Percentage = Q_o/Q_o	$C\% = 97$	77	%	

PROPOSED


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (PROPOSED)**

Inlet ID: **DP11**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)
 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	0.020	
H_{CURB}	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	22.0	ft
W	2.00	ft
S_X	0.020	ft/ft
S_W	0.083	ft/ft
S_0	0.000	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	22.0	22.0	ft
d_{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_x * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_w * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	5.28	5.28	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	6.79	6.79	inches
T_X	20.0	20.0	ft
E_0	0.269	0.269	
Q_X	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_W	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
V	0.0	0.0	fps
$V*d$	0.0	0.0	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{X,TH}$
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
$T_{X,TH}$	10.0	28.4	ft
E_0	0.490	0.191	
$Q_{X,TH}$	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_X	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_W	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
V	0.0	0.0	fps
$V*d$	0.0	0.0	
R	SUMP	SUMP	
Q_d	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
d			inches
d_{CROWN}			inches


MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

PROPOSED

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)		a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)		No =	3	3	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)		Ponding Depth =	4.4	6.8	inches
Grate Information				<input type="checkbox"/> Override Depths	
Length of a Unit Grate		L_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)		A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)		C_f (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)		C_w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)		C_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information					
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L_o (C) =	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches		H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches		H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat		Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)		W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)		C_f (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)		C_w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)		C_o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)					
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units		Coef =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units		Clog =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{wi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{wa} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{oi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{oa} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{mi} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{ma} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)		Q_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)					
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units		Coef =	1.31	1.31	
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units		Clog =	0.04	0.04	
Curb Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{wi} =	4.0	14.1	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{wa} =	3.9	13.5	cfs
Curb Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{oi} =	25.3	31.0	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{oa} =	24.2	29.7	cfs
Curb Opening Capacity as Mixed Flow					
Interception without Clogging		Q_{mi} =	9.4	19.5	cfs
Interception with Clogging		Q_{ma} =	9.0	18.6	cfs
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)		Q_{Curb} =	3.9	13.5	cfs
Resultant Street Conditions					
Total Inlet Length		L =	15.00	15.00	feet
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)		T =	12.0	22.0	ft
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown		d_{CROWN} =	0.0	0.0	inches
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)					
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation		d_{Curb} =	0.20	0.40	ft
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Curb} =	0.67	0.83	
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		$RF_{Combination}$ =	N/A	N/A	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)					
		Q_a =	3.9	13.5	cfs
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (>0 Peak)		$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	2.9	8.8	cfs

FUTURE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	DP1.5	DP4	DP8	DP9	DP11
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	On Grade	On Grade	On Grade	On Grade	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows					
Minor Q_{down} (cfs)	0.4	4.7	4.0	4.5	2.9
Major Q_{down} (cfs)	0.7	8.6	7.2	8.6	8.9
Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream <small>Inlets must be organized from upstream (left) to downstream (right) in order for bypass flows to be linked.</small>					
Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Watershed Characteristics					
Subcatchment Area (acres)					
Percent Impervious					
NRCS Soil Type					
Watershed Profile					
Overland Slope (ft/ft)					
Overland Length (ft)					
Channel Slope (ft/ft)					
Channel Length (ft)					
Minor Storm Rainfall Input					
Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)					
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)					
Major Storm Rainfall Input					
Design Storm Return Period, T_r (years)					
One-Hour Precipitation, P_1 (inches)					

CALCULATED OUTPUT

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.4	4.7	4.0	4.5	2.9
Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)	0.7	8.6	7.2	8.6	8.9
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, Q_b (cfs)	0.0	2.1	1.3	2.1	N/A

FUTURE


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (FUTURE)**

Inlet ID: **DP1.5**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	=	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	=	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	=	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

H_{CURB}	=	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	=	14.0	ft
W	=	2.00	ft
S_X	=	0.020	ft/ft
S_W	=	0.083	ft/ft
S_D	=	0.020	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	=	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	14.0	14.0	ft
d_{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_X * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_W * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_X * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	3.36	3.36	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	4.87	4.87	inches
T_X	12.0	12.0	ft
E_0	0.425	0.425	
Q_X	5.5	5.5	cfs
Q_W	4.1	4.1	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	9.6	9.6	cfs
V	6.3	6.3	fps
$V*d$	2.6	2.6	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{X,TH}$
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
$T_{X,TH}$	10.0	28.4	ft
E_0	0.490	0.191	
$Q_{X,TH}$	3.4	54.6	cfs
Q_X	3.4	42.0	cfs
Q_W	3.3	12.9	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	3.8	cfs
Q	6.7	58.7	cfs
V	5.8	9.9	fps
$V*d$	2.1	7.3	
R	1.00	0.83	
Q_d	6.7	49.0	cfs
d	4.40	8.26	inches
d_{CROWN}	0.00	3.39	inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	6.7	49.0	cfs


Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 0.40 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 0.70 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

FUTURE

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$N_o = 1$	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_o = 10.00$	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_o = N/A$	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - $Q < \text{Allowable Street Capacity}$			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	$Q_o = 0.4$	0.7	cfs
Water Spread Width	$T = 1.9$	2.3	ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 2.0$	2.1	inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	0.0	inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 1.000$	1.000	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	$Q_x = 0.0$	0.0	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 0.4$	0.7	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	0.0	cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.00$	0.18	sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 0.0$	3.9	fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 5.0$	5.1	inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	N/A	ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_{o-GRATE} = N/A$	N/A	
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateCoeff = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateClog = N/A$	N/A	
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	N/A	ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)			
Equivalent Slope S_e	$S_e = 0.208$	0.208	ft/ft
Required Length L_r to Have 100% Interception	$L_r = 2.62$	3.48	ft
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L_r)	$L = 2.62$	3.48	ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 0.4$	0.7	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient	$CurbCoeff = 1.25$	1.25	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$CurbClog = 0.06$	0.06	
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 2.62$	3.48	ft
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 0.4$	0.7	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.0$	0.0	cfs
Summary			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 0.4$	0.7	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.0$	0.0	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q_o/Q_o	$C\% = 100$	100	%


FUTURE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (FUTURE)**
 Inlet ID: **DP4**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)
 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	0.020	
H_{CURB}	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	14.0	ft
W	2.00	ft
S_X	0.020	ft/ft
S_W	0.083	ft/ft
S_D	0.020	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	14.0	14.0	ft
d_{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_x * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_w * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	3.36	3.36	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	4.87	4.87	inches
T_X	12.0	12.0	ft
E_0	0.425	0.425	
Q_X	5.5	5.5	cfs
Q_W	4.1	4.1	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	9.6	9.6	cfs
V	6.3	6.3	fps
$V*d$	2.6	2.6	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{X,TH}$
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
$T_{X,TH}$	10.0	28.4	ft
E_0	0.490	0.191	
$Q_{X,TH}$	3.4	54.6	cfs
Q_X	3.4	42.0	cfs
Q_W	3.3	12.9	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	3.8	cfs
Q	6.7	58.7	cfs
V	5.8	9.9	fps
$V*d$	2.1	7.3	
R	1.00	0.83	
Q_d	6.7	49.0	cfs
d	4.40	8.26	inches
d_{CROWN}	0.00	3.39	inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	6.7	49.0	cfs


Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 4.70 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 8.60 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

FUTURE

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$No = 1$	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_u = 10.00$	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_u = N/A$	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - $Q <$ Allowable Street Capacity			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	$Q_o = 4.7$	8.6	cfs
Water Spread Width	$T = 10.3$	13.4	ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 4.0$	4.7	inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	0.0	inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 0.563$	0.443	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	$Q_x = 2.1$	4.8	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 2.6$	3.8	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	0.0	cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.50$	0.62	sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 5.3$	6.1	fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 7.0$	7.7	inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	N/A	ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_{o-GRATE} = N/A$	N/A	
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateCoeff = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateClog = N/A$	N/A	
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	N/A	ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)			
Equivalent Slope S_e	$S_e = 0.126$	0.103	ft/ft
Required Length L_r to Have 100% Interception	$L_r = 11.59$	17.28	ft
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L_r)	$L = 10.00$	10.00	ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 4.6$	6.8	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient	$CurbCoeff = 1.25$	1.25	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$CurbClog = 0.06$	0.06	
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 9.38$	9.38	ft
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 4.5$	6.5	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.2$	2.1	cfs
Summary			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 4.5$	6.5	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.2$	2.1	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q_a/Q_o	$C\% = 95$	76	%


FUTURE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (FUTURE)**
 Inlet ID: **DP8**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)
 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	=	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	=	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	=	0.020	
H_{CURB}	=	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	=	14.0	ft
W	=	2.00	ft
S_X	=	0.020	ft/ft
S_W	=	0.083	ft/ft
S_D	=	0.020	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	=	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	14.0	14.0	ft
d_{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_x * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_w * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	3.36	3.36	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	4.87	4.87	inches
T_X	12.0	12.0	ft
E_0	0.425	0.425	
Q_X	5.5	5.5	cfs
Q_W	4.1	4.1	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	9.6	9.6	cfs
V	6.3	6.3	fps
$V*d$	2.6	2.6	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{X,TH}$
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
$T_{X,TH}$	10.0	28.4	ft
E_0	0.490	0.191	
$Q_{X,TH}$	3.4	54.6	cfs
Q_X	3.4	42.0	cfs
Q_W	3.3	12.9	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	3.8	cfs
Q	6.7	58.7	cfs
V	5.8	9.9	fps
$V*d$	2.1	7.3	
R	1.00	0.83	
Q_d	6.7	49.0	cfs
d	4.40	8.26	inches
d_{CROWN}	0.00	3.39	inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	6.7	49.0	cfs


Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 4.00 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 7.20 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

FUTURE

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$No = 1$	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_u = 10.00$	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_u = N/A$	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - Q < Allowable Street Capacity			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	$Q_o = 4.0$	7.2	cfs
Water Spread Width	$T = 9.5$	12.4	ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 3.8$	4.5	inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	0.0	inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 0.600$	0.475	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	$Q_x = 1.6$	3.8	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 2.4$	3.4	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	0.0	cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.47$	0.58	sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 5.1$	5.9	fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 6.8$	7.5	inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	N/A	ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_o-GRATE = N/A$	N/A	
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateCoeff = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateClog = N/A$	N/A	
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	N/A	ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)			
Equivalent Slope S_e	$S_e = 0.133$	0.109	ft/ft
Required Length L_r to Have 100% Interception	$L_r = 10.42$	15.37	ft
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L_r)	$L = 10.00$	10.00	ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 4.0$	6.1	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient	$CurbCoeff = 1.25$	1.25	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$CurbClog = 0.06$	0.06	
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 9.38$	9.38	ft
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 3.9$	5.9	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.1$	1.3	cfs
Summary			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 3.9$	5.9	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.1$	1.3	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q_a/Q_o	$C\% = 98$	82	%

FUTURE


MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (FUTURE)**

Inlet ID: **DP9**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)
 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	=	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	=	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	=	0.020	
H_{CURB}	=	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	=	14.0	ft
W	=	2.00	ft
S_X	=	0.020	ft/ft
S_W	=	0.083	ft/ft
S_D	=	0.020	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	=	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	14.0	14.0	ft
d_{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_x * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_w * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	3.36	3.36	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	4.87	4.87	inches
T_X	12.0	12.0	ft
E_0	0.425	0.425	
Q_X	5.5	5.5	cfs
Q_W	4.1	4.1	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	9.6	9.6	cfs
V	6.3	6.3	fps
$V*d$	2.6	2.6	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{X,TH}$
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_X$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
$T_{X,TH}$	10.0	28.4	ft
E_0	0.490	0.191	
$Q_{X,TH}$	3.4	54.6	cfs
Q_X	3.4	42.0	cfs
Q_W	3.3	12.9	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	3.8	cfs
Q	6.7	58.7	cfs
V	5.8	9.9	fps
$V*d$	2.1	7.3	
R	1.00	0.83	
Q_d	6.7	49.0	cfs
d	4.40	8.26	inches
d_{CROWN}	0.00	3.39	inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	6.7	49.0	cfs


Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 4.50 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 8.60 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'

FUTURE

INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$No = 1$	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_u = 10.00$	10.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_u = N/A$	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	0.10	
Street Hydraulics: OK - $Q <$ Allowable Street Capacity			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i>)	$Q_o = 4.5$	8.6	cfs
Water Spread Width	$T = 10.1$	13.4	ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 3.9$	4.7	inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T_{MAX})	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	0.0	inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 0.572$	0.443	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T_x	$Q_x = 1.9$	4.8	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 2.6$	3.8	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	0.0	cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.49$	0.62	sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 5.3$	6.1	fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 6.9$	7.7	inches
Grate Analysis (Calculated)			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	N/A	ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_{G-GRATE} = N/A$	N/A	
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateCoeff = N/A$	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateClog = N/A$	N/A	
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	N/A	ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_s = N/A$	N/A	
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	N/A	cfs
Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)			
Equivalent Slope S_e	$S_e = 0.128$	0.103	ft/ft
Required Length L_r to Have 100% Interception	$L_r = 11.27$	17.28	ft
Under No-Clogging Condition			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L_r)	$L = 10.00$	10.00	ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 4.4$	6.8	cfs
Under Clogging Condition			
Clogging Coefficient	$CurbCoeff = 1.25$	1.25	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$CurbClog = 0.06$	0.06	
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 9.38$	9.38	ft
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 4.3$	6.5	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.2$	2.1	cfs
Summary			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 4.3$	6.5	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.2$	2.1	cfs
Capture Percentage = Q_a/Q_o	$C\% = 96$	76	%


FUTURE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project: **CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 (FUTURE)**
 Inlet ID: **DP11**



Gutter Geometry:

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)
 Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown
 Gutter Width
 Street Transverse Slope
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T _{BACK}	7.5	ft
S _{BACK}	0.020	ft/ft
n _{BACK}	0.020	
H _{CURB}	6.00	inches
T _{CROWN}	22.0	ft
W	2.00	ft
S _X	0.020	ft/ft
S _W	0.083	ft/ft
S ₀	0.000	ft/ft
n _{STREET}	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm
 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T _{MAX}	22.0	22.0	ft
d _{MAX}	4.4	8.8	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ($T * S_x * 12$)
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ($W * S_w * 12$)
 Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ($y + a$)
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_X
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section
 V*d Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	5.28	5.28	inches
d _C	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	6.79	6.79	inches
T _X	20.0	20.0	ft
E ₀	0.269	0.269	
Q _X	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q _W	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q _{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q _T	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
V	0.0	0.0	fps
V*d	0.0	0.0	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ($T - W$)
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T_{X TH}
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T_{CROWN})
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ($Q_d - Q_x$)
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section
 V*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T _{TH}	12.0	30.4	ft
T _{X TH}	10.0	28.4	ft
E ₀	0.490	0.191	
Q _{X TH}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q _X	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q _W	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q _{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
V	0.0	0.0	fps
V*d	0.0	0.0	
R	SUMP	SUMP	
Q _d	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
d			inches
d _{CROWN}			inches


MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q _{allow}	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

FUTURE


INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR MAJOR	
Type of Inlet CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)		
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)		
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)		
Grate Information		
Length of a Unit Grate		
Width of a Unit Grate		
Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)		
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)		
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)		
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)		
Curb Opening Information		
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches		
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches		
Angle of Throat		
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)		
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)		
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)		
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)		
Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)		
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units		
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units		
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)		
Interception without Clogging		
Interception with Clogging		
Grate Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)		
Interception without Clogging		
Interception with Clogging		
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow		
Interception without Clogging		
Interception with Clogging		
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)		
Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)		
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units		
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units		
Curb Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)		
Interception without Clogging		
Interception with Clogging		
Curb Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)		
Interception without Clogging		
Interception with Clogging		
Curb Opening Capacity as Mixed Flow		
Interception without Clogging		
Interception with Clogging		
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)		
Resultant Street Conditions		
Total Inlet Length		
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)		
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown		
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)		
Depth for Grate Midwidth		
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation		
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)		
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (>0 Peak)		


PROPOSED STORM




PROPOSED STORM



PROPOSED STORM



PROPOSED STORM




PROPOSED STORM

Conduit FlexTable: 100 YR

Label	ID	Upstream Structure	Flow (cfs)	Flow / Capacity (Design) (%)	Length (Unified) (ft)	Velocity (ft/s)	Depth (Normal) (ft)	Depth (Critical) (ft)	Energy Grade Line (In) (ft)	Energy Grade Line (Out) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft)	Headloss (ft)	Upstream Structure Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft)
PR 4.2 (EX)	261	MH 2	9.40	18.5	38.5	7.89	0.73	1.02	6,309.45	6,309.02	6,309.07	6,308.85	0.22	6,309.46
PR 4.1	262	INET 3	0.70	5.4	10.4	3.89	0.24	0.31	6,309.46	6,309.46	6,309.46	6,309.46	0.00	6,309.47
PR 5 (EX)	268	EX MH 1	13.00	25.9	190.2	8.59	0.87	1.21	6,308.84	6,306.32	6,308.37	6,305.17	3.20	6,308.85
PR 4.3	272	LAT 2	0.00	0.0	151.3	0.00	(N/A)	0.00	6,310.44	6,308.92	6,310.44	6,308.92	1.52	6,310.44
PR 4.5 (EX)	273	MH 1	4.70	9.4	27.4	6.42	0.52	0.71	6,308.91	6,308.89	6,308.78	6,308.85	-0.06	6,308.92
PR 4.4	274	INLET 2	4.70	12.1	4.6	8.36	0.47	0.76	6,309.35	6,309.31	6,309.06	6,308.77	0.29	6,309.49
Upstream Structure Velocity (In-Governing) (ft/s)	Upstream Structure Headloss Coefficient	Elevation Ground (Start) (ft)	Elevation Ground (Stop) (ft)	Invert (Start) (ft)	Invert (Stop) (ft)	Conduit Description								
0.50	1.020	6,314.28	6,313.91	6,308.04	6,307.46	Circle - 30.0 in								
0.59	1.500	6,315.00	6,314.28	6,308.50	6,308.34	Circle - 18.0 in								
1.67	1.020	6,313.91	6,311.44	6,307.16	6,304.30	Circle - 30.0 in								
0.00	1.500	6,317.46	6,314.25	6,310.44	6,308.17	Circle - 24.0 in								
5.89	1.020	6,314.25	6,313.91	6,307.87	6,307.46	Circle - 30.0 in								
4.27	1.500	6,314.95	6,314.25	6,308.30	6,308.17	Circle - 24.0 in								


FUTURE STORM




FUTURE STORM



FUTURE STORM



FUTURE STORM



FUTURE STORM


Conduit FlexTable: 100 YR

Label	ID	Upstream Structure	Flow (cfs)	Flow / Capacity (Design) (%)	Length (Unified) (ft)	Velocity (ft/s)	Depth (Normal) (ft)	Depth (Critical) (ft)	Energy Grade Line (In) (ft)	Energy Grade Line (Out) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft)	Headloss (ft)	Upstream Structure Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft)
PR 4.2 (EX)	261	MH 2	8.50	16.8	38.5	7.67	0.69	0.97	6,310.15	6,310.14	6,310.09	6,310.09	0.00	6,310.15
PR 4.1	262	INET 3	0.70	5.4	10.4	0.40	0.24	0.31	6,310.16	6,310.16	6,310.16	6,310.15	0.00	6,310.16
PR 5 (EX)	268	EX MH 1	32.40	64.5	190.2	10.87	1.46	1.94	6,310.07	6,307.60	6,309.09	6,305.76	3.33	6,310.09
PR 4.3	272	LAT 2	12.90	46.6	151.3	8.66	0.96	1.29	6,312.29	6,310.90	6,311.73	6,310.63	1.09	6,312.57
PR 4.5 (EX)	273	MH 1	25.80	51.4	27.4	10.30	1.27	1.73	6,310.62	6,310.52	6,310.16	6,310.09	0.07	6,310.63
PR 4.4	274	INLET 2	12.40	31.9	4.6	3.95	0.78	1.27	6,310.89	6,310.88	6,310.65	6,310.63	0.01	6,311.01
Upstream Structure Velocity (In-Governing) (ft/s)	Upstream Structure Headloss Coefficient	Elevation Ground (Start) (ft)	Elevation Ground (Stop) (ft)	Invert (Start) (ft)	Invert (Stop) (ft)	Conduit Description								
0.40	1.020	6,314.28	6,313.91	6,308.04	6,307.46	Circle - 30.0 in								
0.40	1.500	6,315.00	6,314.28	6,308.50	6,308.34	Circle - 18.0 in								
5.26	1.020	6,313.91	6,311.44	6,307.16	6,304.30	Circle - 30.0 in								
6.01	1.500	6,317.46	6,314.25	6,310.44	6,308.17	Circle - 24.0 in								
3.95	1.020	6,314.25	6,313.91	6,307.87	6,307.46	Circle - 30.0 in								
3.95	1.500	6,314.95	6,314.25	6,308.30	6,308.17	Circle - 24.0 in								

DRAINAGE MAPS

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3

EXISTING (PRE-DEVELOPMENT) CONDITIONS DRAINAGE MAP



LEGEND

BASIN DESIGNATION

ACRES

1 SURFACE DESIGN POINT

--- FUTURE BASIN BOUNDARY

PIPE RUN LABEL

6.310 PROP MAJ CONT

6.312 PROP MIN CONT

(6.310) EXIST MAJ CONT

(6.312) EXIST MIN CONT

--- PROPOSED STORM SEWER PIPE

--- PROPOSED STORM SEWER PIPE (OTHERS)

← EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARROW

H.P. X HIGH POINT

L.P. X LOW POINT

--- EXISTING SWALE

--- FILING BOUNDARY

--- PROPOSED UTILITY EASEMENT

--- PROPOSED DRAINAGE EASEMENT

--- PROPOSED LANDSCAPE EASEMENT

--- LOT LINE

--- EX. SANITARY SEWER LINE

--- EX. WATER LINE

⊙ EX. STORM MANHOLE

⊙ EX. STORM INLET


⊙ EX. SANITARY MANHOLE

⊙ EX. WATER VALVE

BASIN SUMMARY				
BASIN	AREA (ACRES)	Q _s	Q ₁₀₀	
C	3.40	1.0	7.5	
C1	1.16	0.4	2.6	
D	1.62	0.5	3.6	
E	1.58	2.1	5.6	
E1A	0.56	0.2	1.4	
*E1B	0.43	1.8	3.3	
*E1C	0.27	1.2	2.2	
*G1	0.69	2.9	5.4	

SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY				
DESIGN POINT	Q _s	Q ₁₀₀	BASIN	STRUCTURE
1	1.0	7.5	C	EX. 30" RCP PIPE (PVT)
2	0.4	2.6	C1	EX. 30" RCP PIPE (PVT)
9A	0.2	1.4	E1A	EX. PAVED ACCESS DRIVE (PVT)
9B	1.8	3.3	*E1B	EX. 3" CURB CHASE (PVT)
8	2.1	5.6	E	EX. 10" CDOT TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
9	2.6	5.7	*E1C, DP 9A, DP 9B	EX. 10" CDOT TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
11	2.6	8.2	D, *G1, PB DP8, FB DP9	EX. 15" CDOT TYPE R SUMP INLET (PVT)

STORM SEWER SUMMARY				
PIPE RUN	Q _s	Q ₁₀₀	PIPE SIZE	CONTRIBUTING PIPES/DESIGN POINTS
4	1.0	7.5	30" RCP	DP1
4.5	0.4	2.6	30" RCP	DP2
5	1.4	10.1	30" RCP	PR4, PR4.5
6	1.4	10.1	30" RCP	PR5
7	1.4	10.1	30" RCP	PR6
9	2.1	5.6	30" RCP	DP8
10	3.5	15.7	36" RCP	PR7, PR9
11	6.0	21.0	36" RCP	PR10, DP9



FOR LOCATING & MARKING GAS, ELECTRIC, WATER & TELEPHONE LINES

FOR BURIED UTILITY INFORMATION 48 HRS BEFORE YOU DIG CALL 1-800-922-1987



212 N. WAHSATCH AVE., STE 305
 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903
 PHONE: 719.955.5485

LOT 1-CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3

EXISTING DRAINAGE MAP

PROJECT NO. 18-007	SCALE: HORIZONTAL: 1"=60' VERTICAL: N/A	DATE: 11/01/2024
DESIGNED BY: GT	DRAWN BY: GT	CHECKED BY: VAS
SHEET 1 OF 1		EDM

File: C:\18007A-CMUR-Lot 2\The Equity Group\Drainage Map\18-007 EDM.dwg Plotstamp: 11/11/2024 4:39 PM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE MAP

LEGEND

BASIN DESIGNATION

ACRES: $\frac{Z}{25} \frac{.25}{.35} C5$

SURFACE DESIGN POINT

FUTURE BASIN BOUNDARY

PIPE RUN LABEL

PROP MAJ CONT

PROP MIN CONT

EXIST MAJ CONT

EXIST MIN CONT

PROPOSED STORM SEWER PIPE

PROPOSED STORM SEWER PIPE (OTHERS)

EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARROW

HIGH POINT

LOW POINT

EXISTING SWALE

FILING BOUNDARY

PROPOSED UTILITY EASEMENT

PROPOSED DRAINAGE EASEMENT

PROPOSED LANDSCAPE EASEMENT

LOT LINE

EX. SANITARY SEWER LINE

EX. WATER LINE

PROP SANITARY SEWER SERVICE

PROP WATER SERVICE

PROP SANITARY SEWER MAIN

PROP WATER MAIN


EX. STORM MANHOLE

EX. STORM INLET

EX. SANITARY MANHOLE



EX. WATER VALVE

PROPOSED SWALE



please provide analysis and details of the proposed swale that will convey the basin C flows to DP 1

Manhole is located within the sidewalk. Please revise the sidewalk or relocate the manhole.



SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

DESIGN POINT	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	BASIN	STRUCTURE
1	1.2	8.9	C	EX. 30" RCP PIPE (PVT)
1.5	0.4	0.7	C1	PROP. 10' CDOT TYPE R AT GRADE INLET (PVT)
2	0.7	1.3	C4, C6	PROP. 2'X3' ADS INLET ATOP DRAIN BASIN (PVT)
3	1.1	2.1	C3, C5	PROP. 5' CDOT TYPE R SUMP INLET (PVT)
4	0.5	0.9	C2	PROP. 10' CDOT TYPE R AT GRADE INLET (PVT)
9A	1.4	3.0	E1A, E1A.5	EX. PAVED ACCESS DRIVE (PVT)
9B	1.8	3.3	*E1B	EX. 3' CURB CHASE (PVT)
7	0.7	5.1	*D	
8	1.6	3.9	*E	EX. 10' CDOT TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
9	4.3	8.3	*E1C, DP 9A, DP 9B	EX. 10' CDOT TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
11	2.9	8.8	*G1, PB DP8, FB DP9	EX. 15' CDOT TYPE R SUMP INLET (PVT)

BASIN SUMMARY

BASIN	AREA (ACRES)	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀
C	3.85	1.2	8.9
C1	0.08	0.4	0.7
C2	0.11	0.5	0.9
C3	0.03	0.0	0.1
C4	0.04	0.0	0.1
C5	0.27	1.1	2.1
C6	0.15	0.7	1.2
C7	0.03	0.1	0.2
C8	0.03	0.1	0.2
*D	2.21	0.7	5.1
*E	0.99	1.6	3.9
E1A	0.50	1.4	3.0
E1A.5	0.04	0.0	0.1
*E1B	0.43	1.8	3.3
*E1C	0.27	1.2	2.2
*G1	0.69	2.9	5.4

STORM SEWER SUMMARY

PIPE RUN	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	PIPE SIZE	CONTRIBUTING PIPES/DESIGN POINTS
1	0.1	0.2	6" HDPE	BASIN C8
1.5	0.1	0.2	6" HDPE	BASIN C7
2	0.2	0.4	6" HDPE	PR1, PR1.5
2.5	0.7	1.3	12" PP	DP4
3	0.9	1.7	12" PP	PR2, PR2.5
3.5	1.1	2.1	12" PP	DP3
4	1.2	8.9	30" RCP	DP1
4.1	0.4	0.7	18" RCP	DP1.5
4.2	1.5	9.4	30" RCP	PR4, PR4.1
4.3			24" RCP	FUTURE
4.4	2.5	4.7	24" RCP	DP2, PR3, PR3.5
4.5	2.5	4.7	30" RCP	PR4.3, PR4.4
5	3.4	13.0	30" RCP	PR4.2, PR4.5
6	3.4	13.0	30" RCP	PR5
7	3.4	13.0	30" RCP	PR6
8	0.7	5.1	24" RCP	DP7
9	2.3	9.0	30" RCP	PR8, DP8 (INLET 6)
10	5.7	22.0	30" RCP	PR7, PR9
11	9.2	28.6	36" RCP	PR10, DP9 (INLET 7)
14	2.9	8.8	30" RCP	DP11 (INLET 9)

FOR LOCATING & MARKING GAS, ELECTRIC, WATER & TELEPHONE LINES

FOR BURIED UTILITY INFORMATION 48 HRS BEFORE YOU DIG CALL 1-800-922-1987



212 N. WAHSATCH AVE., STE 305
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903
PHONE: 719.955.5485

LOT 1-CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3

PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP

PROJECT NO. 18-007 SCALE: HORIZONTAL: 1"=60', VERTICAL: 1"=30' DATE: 11/01/2024

DESIGNED BY: GT CHECKED BY: VAS SHEET 1 OF 1 PDM

File: C:\18007A-CMUR-Lot 2\The Equity Group\Drainage Map\18-007_PDM.dwg Plotstamp: 11/11/2024 3:14 PM

LOT 1 CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3 FUTURE (POST-DEVELOPMENT) CONDITIONS DRAINAGE MAP

LEGEND

BASIN DESIGNATION

 ACRES

SURFACE DESIGN POINT

FUTURE BASIN BOUNDARY

PIPE RUN LABEL

PROP MAJ CONT

PROP MIN CONT

EXIST MAJ CONT

EXIST MIN CONT

FUTURE STORM PIPE

PROPOSED STORM SEWER PIPE

PROPOSED STORM SEWER PIPE (OTHERS)

EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARROW

HIGH POINT

LOW POINT

EXISTING SWALE

FILING BOUNDARY

PROPOSED UTILITY EASEMENT

PROPOSED DRAINAGE EASEMENT

PROPOSED LANDSCAPE EASEMENT

LOT LINE

EX. SANITARY SEWER LINE

EX. WATER LINE

PROP SANITARY SEWER SERVICE

PROP WATER SERVICE

PROP SANITARY SEWER MAIN

PROP WATER MAIN

EX. STORM MANHOLE

EX. STORM INLET

EX. SANITARY MANHOLE

EX. WATER VALVE





fix overlapping tables

SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

DESIGN POINT	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	BASIN	STRUCTURE
1	4.3	7.9	C	EX. 30" RCP PIPE (PVT)
1.5	0.4	0.7	C1	PROP. 10" CDOT TYPE R AT GRADE INLET (PVT)
1.5A	4.7	8.5	C1A	FUTURE STORM PIPE
2	0.7	1.3	C4, C6	PROP. 2"x3" ADS INLET ATOP DRAIN BASIN (PVT)
3	1.1	2.1	C3, C5	PROP. 5" CDOT TYPE R SUMP INLET (PVT)
4	4.7	8.6	C2, C3A	PROP. 10" CDOT TYPE R AT GRADE INLET (PVT)
4A	2.6	4.7	C2A	FUTURE STORM PIPE
9A	1.5	3.1	E1A, EA1.5	EX. PAVED ACCESS DRIVE (PVT)
9B	1.8	3.3	*E1B	EX. 3" CURB CHASE (PVT)
7	9.3	16.9	*D	EX. 24" RCP PIPE (PVT)
8	4.0	7.2	*E	EX. 10" CDOT TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
9	4.5	8.6	*E1C, DP 9A, DP 9B	EX. 10" CDOT TYPE R AT-GRADE INLET
11	2.9	8.9	*G1, PB DP8, FB DP9	EX. 15" CDOT TYPE R SUMP INLET (PVT)

STORM SEWER SUMMARY

BASIN	AREA (ACRES)	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	PIPE RUN	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	PIPE SIZE	CONTRIBUTING PIPES/DESIGN POINTS
C	1.11	4.3	7.9	1	0.1	0.2	6" HDPE	BASIN C8
C1	0.08	0.4	0.7	4	1.5	0.1	0.2	6" HDPE
C2	0.11	0.5	0.9	5	2	0.2	0.4	6" HDPE
C3	0.03	0.0	0.0	6	2.5	0.7	1.3	12" PP
C4	0.04	0.0	0.0	7	3	0.9	1.7	12" PP
C5	0.27	1.1	2.1	8	3.5	1.1	2.1	12" PP
C6	0.15	0.7	1.3	9	4	4.3	7.9	30" RCP
C7	0.03	0.1	0.2	10	4.1	0.4	0.7	18" RCP
C8	0.03	0.1	0.2	11	4.2	4.7	8.5	30" RCP
*D	2.21	9.3	16.9	12	4.3	7.1	12.9	24" RCP
*E	0.99	4.0	7.2	13	4.4	6.7	12.4	24" RCP
E1A	0.50	1.4	2.6	14	4.5	14.1	25.8	30" RCP
E1A.5	0.04	0.0	0.0	15	5	17.7	32.4	30" RCP
*E1B	0.43	1.8	3.3	16	6	17.7	32.4	30" RCP
*E1C	0.27	1.2	2.1	17	7	17.7	32.4	30" RCP
*G1	0.69	2.9	8.9	18	8	9.3	16.9	24" RCP
C1A	1.11	4.7	8.9	19	9	13.6	24.7	30" RCP
C2A	0.64	2.6	4.7	20	10	30.3	55.3	30" RCP
C3A	1.01	4.7	8.9	21	11	34.5	63.4	36" RCP
				22	14	2.9	8.9	30" RCP



FOR LOCATING & MARKING GAS, ELECTRIC, WATER & TELEPHONE LINES
 FOR BURIED UTILITY INFORMATION
 48 HRS BEFORE YOU DIG
 CALL 1-800-922-1987



212 N. WAHSATCH AVE., STE 305
 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903
 PHONE: 719.955.5485

LOT 1-CROSSROADS MIXED USE FILING NO. 3
FUTURE CONDITIONS DRAINAGE MAP

PROJECT NO. 18-007
 DESIGNED BY: GT
 DRAWN BY: GT
 CHECKED BY: VAS

SCALE:
 HORIZONTAL: 1"=60', 1"=30"
 VERTICAL: N/A

DATE: 11/01/2024
 SHEET 1 OF 1
 fdm

File: C:\18007A-CMUR-Lot 2\The Equity Group\Drainage Map\18-007_FDM.dwg Plotstamp: 11/11/2024 4:43 PM