

NATURAL FEATURES AND WETLANDS REPORT

for

Sterling Ranch East Filing No. 5 El Paso County, CO

PREPARED FOR:

Classic SRJ, LLC 2138 Flying Horse Club Drive Colorado Springs, CO 80921 Contact: Loren Moreland Imoreland@classichomes.com

PREPARED BY:

Bristlecone Ecology, LLC 2023 W. Scott Place Denver, CO 80211 Contact: Dan Maynard dmaynard@bristleconeecology.com

June 29, 2023



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	
2.0 METHODOLOGY	5
3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	6
4.0 SUMMARY OF IMPACTS	22
5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS	24
6.0 REFERENCES	

FIGURES

FIGURE 1: PROJECT LOCATION MAP	4
FIGURE 2: NRCS SSURGO SOILS MAP	9
FIGURE 3: AQUATIC RESOURCES DESKTOP REVIEW	11
FIGURE 4: WILDFIRE HAZARD MAP – WILDFIRE RISK	14
FIGURE 5: WILDFIRE HAZARD MAP – BURN PROBABILITY	15
Figure 6: Flood Hazard Map	16

TABLES

TABLE 1: POTENTIALLY IMPACTED VEGETATION COMMUNITIES
TABLE 2: SAM WILDLIFE POTENTIAL FOR OCCURRENCE 17
TABLE 3: FEDERALLY LISTED T&E SPECIES POTENTIALLY IMPACTED BY THE PROJECT

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG APPENDIX B: STERLING RANCH EAST FILING NO. 5 NOXIOUS WEED MANAGEMENT PLAN APPENDIX C: PREBLE'S MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE BLOCK CLEARANCE MAP



B.E INTRODUCTION

Classic SRJ, LLC ("Applicant") has retained Bristlecone Ecology, LLC ("B.E." or "Agent") to perform an environmental assessment and routine wetland delineation and prepare a Natural Features and Wetlands Report for the proposed Sterling Ranch East Filing No. 5 residential development project ("Project"), located in unincorporated El Paso County (EPC), Colorado. Contact information for both Applicant and Agent is provided below:

Applicant

Loren Moreland as agent for Classic SRJ, LLC 2138 Flying Horse Club Drive Colorado Springs, CO 80921 Email: lorenm@classichomes.com Agent Dan Maynard as agent for Bristlecone Ecology, LLC 2023 W. Scott Place Denver, Colorado 80211 dmaynard@bristleconeecology.com

1.1. Purpose and Goals

The purpose of this Natural Features and Wetlands Report is to find and document natural resources and existing site conditions in order to identify potential environmental constraints that may affect the development of the Project. In addition, a goal of this report is to provide guidance on regulatory issues that could influence site development in accordance with development planning and application submittals in EPC. Environmental resources and constraints addressed include:

- Vegetation
- Soils
- Aquatic Resources/Wetlands/Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS)
- Wildfire Hazard
- Flood Hazard
- Wildlife Impacts
- Federal and State Listed Threatened and Endangered (T&E) Species

1.2. Project Description and Site Location

Sterling Ranch East Filing No. 5 will be located on approximately 47.1 acres and will consist of approximately 160 single-family residential lots, open space tracts, stormwater detention facilities, local roads, utilities, and other associated facilities and infrastructure. The Project is located east of Vollmer Road and north of E. Woodmen Road; it will be east of the future Sterling Ranch Road, and it is bounded on to the west by scattered rural residential development (**Figure I**: *Site Location Map*). The site is located on portions of Sections 33 and 34 in Township 12S, Range 65W, and can be found on the U.S. Geological Survey's (USGS) Falcon NW 7.5-minute quadrangle (USGS 2020). Topography of the Project consists of rolling foothills grasslands about a mile from the pine-oak woodlands of the Black Forest to the northwest. Portions of the site are already being developed to support the construction of other residential neighborhoods within the greater Sterling Ranch site.







6/23/2023 D:\GISProjects\Project Folders\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\approx



2.0 METHODOLOGY

B.E. performed a desktop review to gather background information about the environmental setting of the Project area. Publicly available data sources queried via desktop included:

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Conservation (IpaC) data
- USFWS Critical Habitat Portal
- Species profiles and spatial data from Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW)
- USFWS National Wetland Inventory (NWI) data
- USGS National Hydrography Dataset (NHD)
- USGS aerial imagery
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panels
- Google Earth current and historic aerial imagery
- Colorado State Forest Service (CSFS) Wildfire Hazard Maps
- National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) county soil survey data
- Colorado Natural Heritage Program (CNHP) Survey of Critical Biological Resources

Following the desktop review of these resources, a site reconnaissance was conducted on June 21, 2023, to field-verify results of the review and identify potential impacts to these resources and constraints to development. The field reconnaissance focused on identifying and mapping wetland habitat and WOTUS, on classifying vegetation communities on the site, and on identifying suitable wildlife habitat, particularly that which could support T&E and sensitive species.



3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The Project area is located within the Foothill Grasslands ecoregion in Colorado (Chapman et al. 2006). Topography of the Project consists mainly of a mix of flat to rolling grasslands, with the Sand Creek stream corridor to the west; pine woodlands interspersed with a few shrubs are located less than a mile to the north of the site. The Foothills Grasslands Ecoregion is composed of a mixture of tall and mid-grasses and isolated pine woodlands (Chapman et al. 2006). Dominant species include little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*), switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*), and yellow Indiangrass (*Sorghastrum nutans*) (Chapman et al. 2006).

Elevations of the Project site range between approximately 7,095 and 7,150 feet above mean sea level (AMSL). The Project site contains no Colorado Natural Heritage Conservation Areas or Potential Conservation Areas according to the CNHP (2022), and according to the USFWS' Information for Planning and Conservation (IpaC; 2022), does not contain Wildlife Refuges or Hatcheries. The area has been used historically as rangeland, but residential and commercial development is increasing steadily.

3.1. Vegetation

The entire Project site is within the Foothill Grasslands, with the predominant vegetation corresponding to that ecoregion. Needle-and-thread (*Hesperostipa comata*) and Junegrass (*Koeleria macrantha*) are the dominant species in uplands throughout the site. Other upland species present include Lambert's locoweed (*Oxytropis lambertii*), smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*), fringed sage (*Artemisia frigida*), soapweed yucca (*Yucca glauca*), white-stem evening primrose (*Oenothera albicaulis*), lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album*), and field sagewort (*Artemisia campestris*), among others. Within wetter areas created as a result of ponding where excavation has occurred, Baltic rush (*Juncus balticus*) and Nebraska sedge (*Carex nebrascensis*) are the dominant species, with Drummond's rush (*Juncus drummondii*) and beaked sedge (*Carex utriculata*) also occurring. There were no trees or shrubs present on the site. Much of the site has been disturbed by cattle grazing, but vegetative cover is relatively extensive. Diversity is moderate for this ecoregion, and the structure of vegetation in the uplands is somewhat poorly developed.

Several noxious weeds are present at the site, mostly scattered throughout the property in low densities where disturbance is most present. Weed species observed included diffuse knapweed (*Centaurea diffusa*) and Scotch thistle (*Onopordum acanthium*).

B.E. reviewed CNHP data for the Falcon NW, Colorado 7.5-minute quadrangle, which summarizes vegetation communities in the state by USGS quadrangle. Data were reviewed to determine the probability of the presence/absence of significant natural communities, rare plant areas, or riparian corridors that may be within the Project area. Based on CNHP's data and the site reconnaissance, the probability of these plant communities being impacted by Project development is described below in Table 1.



Plant Community (Type)	Status ¹	Presence and Location	Probability of Impacts
Andropogon gerardii – Sporobolus heterolepis Western Foothills Grassland (Xeric Tallgrass Prairie)	G2, S1	Mesic habitats of the Rocky Mountain foothills and riverine habitats. This type is a regional endemic found only in eastern Colorado, western Oklahoma, and possibly elsewhere. Reportedly occurs in the nearby Black Forest.	None. Community is not present in the Project area.
Bouteloua gracilis – Bouteloua dactyloides Grassland (Shortgrass Prairie)	G4, S2	Found in flat to rolling uplands throughout much of the central and southern Great Plains. Soil type is often sandy loam. A variety of other short graminoids make up much of the remaining habitat.	Expected. These species cover portions of the Project area, but true Shortgrass Prairie is not the primary grassland community at the site.
Hesperostipa comata – Bouteloua gracilis – Carex filifolia Grassland (Montane Grasslands)	G5, S2	Occurs in relatively mesic savanna habitats, on gentle to moderate south- and west-facing slopes. Dense habitat occurs in some areas of the Black Forest.	None. Project area lies on the fringe of this community.
Pinus ponderosa – Quercus gambelii Woodland (Foothills Ponderosa Pine Scrub Woodlands)	G5, S5	This is a widely distributed and broadly defined habitat type in the foothills and mountains. Present in the Black Forest in Colorado wherever ponderosa pine overstory coincides with at least 5% cover of Gambel oak	None. Due north and northwest this is the primary wooded community present, but it does not extend to the Project site.

Table 1. Potentially Impacted Vegetation Communities (CNHP 2022)

¹G=Global; S=State

1=Critically Imperiled; 2=Imperiled; 3=Rare or Uncommon; 4=Widespread, Abundant, and Apparently Secure; 5=Demonstrably Widespread, Abundant, and Secure.

3.2. Soils

Soil survey data and reports were reviewed to determine the potential for the presence of geologic hazards within the Project (NRCS 2022a). County soil survey data indicate that the site is composed primarily of Columbine gravelly sandy loam (1 to 9 percent slopes; 26.5% of Project area) and Blakeland sandy loam (0 to 3 percent slopes; 73.5% of Project area) (NRCS 2022a). These soils are the dominant series occupying the Project area; there are also minor components (called "inclusions") within each series or consociation that could contribute to the overall soil composition at the site.

The NRCS provides information on soil properties that would influence the development of building sites for dwellings with and without basements, as well as small commercial buildings, including the selection of the site, the design of the structure, construction, performance after construction, and maintenance. Qualitative soil ratings are assigned to each major soil group and include 'Not Limited', 'Somewhat Limited', and 'Very Limited'. 'Not Limited' indicates that the soil type has properties that are very favorable for the specified type of construction. 'Somewhat



Limited' indicates that the soil type has properties that are moderately favorable for the specified type of construction. These limitations can generally be overcome through planning and design considerations. 'Very Limited' indicates that the soil type has properties that cannot generally be overcome through design and planning considerations (NRCS 2022b). Based on the soils present, the entire site is rated 'Not Limited' for dwellings with or without basements (NRCS 2022b). For small commercial buildings, the Blakeland series are rated 'Somewhat Limited' while the Columbine series is rated 'Not Limited' (NRCS 2022b). In terms of area, a little under a quarter (23.5%) of the site is rated 'Not Limited' and the remainder of the site (76.5%) is rated 'Somewhat Limited' for commercial buildings (NRCS 2022b).

B.E. reviewed the hydric soil ratings for all soil components present on the Project site to aid in the identification of wetland habitats during the site reconnaissance. Hydric soils are those that form under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions, and their formation is required in order for wetlands to become established. Both of the primary soil series occurring on the site (Blakeland and Columbine) are described as having a low hydric rating in El Paso County, with Columbine having a rating of 2 and Blakeland a rating of 1. Hydric ratings are on a scale of 1 to 100, with 100 having greater hydric components and zero having no hydric components (NRCS 2022a). The Pleasant soil series, a minor component of all the primary series on the site, is rated as hydric in El Paso County and is typically found in depressions and drainages where ponding can regularly occur (NRCS 2022c). Pleasant soil is not expected to be found on the site. Based on these ratings, the overall suitability of the site for the development of hydric soils, and thus the presence of wetlands, is very low.

Both of the major soil series present on the site (Blakeland and Columbine) are grouped into Hydrologic Group A, according to NRCS soils data (NRCS 2022d). The 'A' grouping includes soils that have a high infiltration rate, which results in the soil having a corresponding high rate of surface and ground water transmission. Additional, detailed soil data for the Project will be presented in a soils/geology/geotechnical report that will be submitted separately.



June 29, 2023

Figure 2: NRCS SSURGO Soils Map



6/22/2023 D:\GISProjects\Project Folders\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_05_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005



3.3. Aquatic Resources

Aquatic resources include jurisdictional wetlands and other regulated Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS) such as streams/rivers, ponds/lakes, and ditches, as well as non-regulated wetlands, streams/rivers, ponds/lakes, ditches, and other surface water features. The USFWS' NWI and USGS' NHD datasets were reviewed for the possible presence of wetlands and streams, respectively, within the Project area. Aerial imagery (USDA 2019 and Google 2021) was reviewed to locate water features not depicted in the NWI and NHD datasets. NHD and NWI data are notoriously inaccurate, necessitating field inspection to verify the presence or absence of the resources depicted in these datasets. No aquatic features were depicted in the data within the Project area, as can be seen in **Figure 3**: Aquatic Resources Desktop Review. Aquatic features seen in aerials and during the site visit include:

- One open water pond in the northeast corner of the site where earth-moving activities are being performed. This feature is not depicted in either the NHD or NWI data.
- One open water pond partially in the site in the western center edge where earth-moving activities are being performed. This feature is not depicted in either the NHD or NWI data. This pond was mostly dry during the site visit.

Because these desktop data are often inaccurate, the watercourses and other aquatic features, or lack thereof, identified in the preliminary desktop analysis were inspected in the field to assess their jurisdictional potential. During the site visit, the two open water ponds were present, however, the larger of the two, on the western side, was mostly dry. This pond is the result of excavation to obtain dirt fill for grading at other phases of the Sterling Ranch development. The other pond, near the northeast corner of the site, was created for use as a stock pond for cattle. These ponded areas are presumed to be "preamble wetlands", as they were created in dry land for the purposes of watering livestock or unintentionally as result of the construction activities taking place around the Project area. In accordance with 33 CFR 328, the following types of aquatic features are non-jurisdictional by statute (the so-called "preamble wetlands", codified as "Exclusions" under the 2023 Revised Definition of Waters of the United States (33 CFR 328):

"Water-filled depressions constructed or excavated in upland or in non-jurisdictional waters incidental to mining or construction activity, and pits excavated in upland or in non-jurisdictional waters for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel" (33 CFR 328.3 (b)(7)).

"Artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering" (33 CFR 328.3 (b)(5)).

No other aquatic features were present on the site except for other construction areas that may ephemerally hold water in direct response to precipitation (see **Appendix A:** Photographic Log)

Based on the information obtained from the site reconnaissance, there are no wetlands on the site associated with the current Project. There are extensive wetlands present along and associated with Sand Creek to the west, but these have been delineated separately and are associated with another project and accompanying permit action; they will not be affected by any of the Sterling Ranch East developments, including Filing No. 5.



Figure 3: Aquatic Resources Desktop Review



6/22/2023 D:\GISProjects\Project Folders\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_05_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005



3.4. Noxious Weeds

B.E. prepared a Noxious Week Management Plan ("Plan") as a standalone document based on EPC requirements for noxious weed control. The Plan is a Project-specific document that has been designed to set forth Project-level regulations to prevent and control the spread of noxious weeds within the Project area and vicinity. Noxious weeds are defined as those non-native plants that aggressively invade and are detrimental to native vegetation communities and ecosystems. The *Colorado State Noxious Weed Act* (Colorado Revised Statute 35-5.5-103) developed a list of plants considered noxious in the state of Colorado that should be targeted for control by various methods dependent on list category (A, B, or C). The Plan prepared by B.E. tiers to the requirements set forth by the El Paso County Noxious Weed Management Plan (EPC 2017), and the El Paso County Noxious Weeds and Control Methods report (EPC 2018a), which contain guidelines for the control and treatment of noxious weeds found in the County. EPC requires that residential, commercial or industrial projects that include ground disturbing activities submit a project-specific noxious weeds at construction and post-construction phases of the Project. See **Appendix B:** Sterling Ranch East Filing No. 5 Noxious Weed Management Plan.

3.5. Wildfire Hazard

In the 2018 El Paso County Development Standards, the stated purpose and intent for fire protection and wildfire mitigation is to ensure that proposed development is reviewed for wildfire risks and adequate fire protection (EPC 2018b). No permit or approval associated with development, construction, or occupancy shall be approved or issued until the provisions of these standards are satisfied. The Project area is located within the Black Forest Fire Protection District (FPD). There are two staffed fire stations in the district servicing the Project area:

- Station 1, 11445 Teachout Road, Colorado Springs (3.94 miles from the western site entrance on future Briargate Parkway)
- Station 2, 16465 Ridge Run Drive, Colorado Springs (8.91 miles from the future Briargate Parkway entrance)

The Black Forest FPD has the following operations equipment available:

Station 1:

- 3 fire engines
 - Type 1 Engine, 750 gallons
 - Brush truck (Type 6)
 - Tender (1,800 gallons)
- 1 ambulance
- 1 Wildland truck, Type 3
- 1 reserve Tender
- 1 reserve brush truck
- Command vehicles



Station 2:

- 1 fire engine (Type 1, 500 gallons)
- 1 brush truck (Type 6)
- 1 reserve ambulance

Wildfire hazard for the Project site was evaluated using the Colorado State Forest Service's (CSFS) online Wildfire Risk Assessment Portal (WRAP; CSFS 2020). WRAP allows professionals, planners, and the public to access the best scientific information regarding wildfire risk and establish prevention and mitigation measures accordingly. According to WRAP, the wildfire risk for the Project site is approximately 80% "Moderate Risk" and approximately 20% "High Risk", (CSFS 2020; **Figure 4:** Wildfire Hazard Map – Wildfire Risk). "Wildfire Risk" is determined by CSFS by combining the burn probability rating of a site with the values-at-risk rating. While the Project site has a low to very low rating of values and assets that would be adversely impacted by wildfire, the burn probability for the entire site is rated about between levels 6 and 7, with level 6 being "Moderately High" and level 8 being "High" (CSFS 2020; **Figure 5:** Wildfire Hazard Map – Burn Probability). The areas rated for higher burn probability and higher risk are generally along the east side of the property. Based on field observations, these areas had slightly more disturbance than the other areas of the site; overall vegetative cover was slightly different, with slightly less diversity and structure on the eastern side of the site, which may be due to grazing by cattle fenced on this side of the site. There were also temporary construction roads throughout the site.

3.6. Flood Hazard

Flood hazard maps from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) were reviewed to determine the potential for flood hazard at the site. The entirety of the site where development is planned is not located in a flood hazard zone, indicating that flood risk for the majority of the site is deemed by FEMA to be 'minimal' (**Figure 6:** Flood Hazard Map).



June 29, 2023





6/22/2023 D:\GISProjects\Project Folders\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5.aprx







6/22/2023 D:\GISProjects\Project Folders\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\22_05_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005_SterlingRanchEast_FilNo5\2005



Figure 6: Flood Hazard Map





3.7. Wildlife Communities

The Project site provides moderate quality habitat for some grassland and woodland wildlife, including birds, mammals, reptiles, and amphibians. Development of the site would inevitably affect some habitat for wildlife, but based on the findings of the site reconnaissance, B.E. classified the expected impacts on grassland species as moderate to low, on woodland species as negligible, and on reptiles and amphibians as low. Wildlife that could be affected were identified first by referencing CPW's Species Activity Mapping (SAM) spatial data to assess the likelihood of occurrence for state T&E species, state species of concern (SC), and other general wildlife, including big game species. The Colorado Natural Heritage Program (2022) also provides species status data from tracked natural animal and plant communities in the state. The review indicated that there is potential for the occurrence of 16 mammals, 15 birds, and 14 reptiles, including one SC mammal, one state and federally threatened mammal, one state threatened bird, and one federally protected bird (**Table 2:** SAM Wildlife Potential for Occurrence).

Common Name	Scientific Name	Type of Occurrence (CPW 2022)	Status ^{1,2}
Mammals			
Big brown bat	Eptesicus fuscus	Overall range	n/a
Black bear	Ursus americanus Overall range Human conflict area		n/a
Black-tailed prairie dog	Cynomys ludovicianus	Overall range Potential colony occurrence	SC, S3
Dwarf shrew	Sorex nanus	Overall range	G4, S2
Fringed bat	Myotis thysanodes	Overall range	G4, S3
Hoary bat	Lasiurus cinereus	Overall range	n/a
Little brown myotis	Myotis lucifugus	Overall range	n/a
Mountain lion	Puma concolor	Overall range Human Conflict Area	n/a
Mule deer	Odocoileus hemionus	Overall range	n/a
Olive-backed pocket mouse	Perognathus fasciatus	Overall range	G5, S3
Preble's meadow jumping mouse	Zapus hudsonius preblei	Overall range	FT, ST, S1
Pronghorn	Antilocapra americana	Overall range and Resident population area	n/a
Silver-haired bat	Lasionycteris noctivagans	Overall range	n/a
Western red bat	Lasiurus blossevillii	Overall range	n/a
White-tailed deer	Odocoileus virginianus	Overall range	n/a
White-tailed jackrabbit	Lepus townsendii	Overall range	n/a

Table 2 SAM Wildlife Potential for Occurrence	(CPW 2022 CNHP 20	, ,
Table 2. SAM WINDINE FOLEINIA TO OCCUTENCE	(CF W 2022, CINHF 20	22)

¹FT=Federally Threatened; ST=State Threatened; SC=State Species of Concern; BGEPA=Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act

²State (S) or Global (G) CNHP Status: 1=Critically Imperiled; 2=Imperiled; 3=Vulnerable; 4=Apparently Secure, but Cause for Long Term Concern; 5=Demonstrably Secure; B=Breeding; N=Non-breeding



Common Name	Scientific Name	Type of Occurrence (CPW 2022)	Status ^{1,2}	
Birds				
Band-tailed pigeon	Patagioenas fasciata	Breeding range	S4B	
Brewer's sparrow	Spizella breweri	Breeding range	S4B	
Burrowing owl	Athene cunicularia	Breeding range	ST	
Cassin's sparrow	Peucaea cassinii	Breeding range	n/a	
Golden eagle	Aquila chrysaetos	Breeding range	BGEPA, S3S4B	
Grasshopper sparrow	Ammodramus savannarum	Breeding range	S3S4B	
Lark bunting	Calamospiza melanocorys	Breeding range	S4	
Lazuli bunting	Passerina amoena	Breeding range	S5B	
Lesser sandhill crane	Antigone canadensis ssp. canadensis	Overall range	n/a	
Lewis' Woodpecker	Melanerpes lewis	Breeding range	G4, S4	
Northern harrier	Circus hudsonius	Breeding range	S3B	
Prairie falcon	Falco mexicanus	Breeding range	S4B, S4N	
Rufous hummingbird	Selasphorus rufus	Migration range	n/a	
Swainson's hawk	Buteo swainsoni	Breeding range	S5B	
Virginia's warbler	Oreothlypis virginiae	Breeding range	S5	
Reptiles and Amphibian	15			
Bullsnake	Pituophis catenifer sayi	Overall range	n/a	
Common Lesser Earless Lizard	Holbrookia maculata	Overall range	n/a	
Hernandez short-horned lizard	Phrynosoma hernadesi	Overall range	n/a	
Milksnake	Lampropeltis elapsoides	Overall range	n/a	
Many-lined skink	Plestiodon multivirgatus	Overall range	n/a	
Ornate box turtle	Terrapene ornata ornata	Overall range	n/a	
Painted turtle	Chrysemys picta	Overall range	n/a	
Plains garter snake	Thamnophis radix	Overall range	n/a	
Prairie lizard	Sceloporus consobrinus	Overall range	n/a	
Plateau fence lizard	Sceloporus tristichus	Overall range	n/a	
Prairie rattlesnake	Prairie rattlesnake Crotalus viridis		n/a	
Six-lined Racerunner	Aspidoscelis sexlineata	Overall range	n/a	
Smooth greensnake	Opheodrys vernalis	Overall range	n/a	
Terrestrial gartersnake	Thamnophis elegance	Overall range	n/a	

Table 2. SAM Wildlife Potential for Occurrence, Continued (CPW 2022; CNHP 2022)

¹FT=Federally Threatened; ST=State Threatened; SC=State Species of Concern; BGEPA=Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act

²State (S) or Global (G) CNHP Status: 1=Critically Imperiled; 2=Imperiled; 3=Vulnerable; 4=Apparently Secure, but Cause for Long Term Concern; 5=Demonstrably Secure; B=Breeding; N=Non-breeding



Following the review of the SAM data, a site reconnaissance was performed to field-verify the information provided in the data and perform a general wildlife survey. In general, the site provides moderate to poor quality habitat for wildlife. The site is dominated by one primary vegetation community, represented by typical Foothill Grasslands vegetation such as prairie Junegrass and needle-and-thread grass. Riparian and wetland vegetation is scarce to nonexistent except in the ponded areas created by excavation. The majority of the site has been previously disturbed by construction activities, and cattle are actively being grazed on the remainder of the grasslands. Invasive weeds such as diffuse knapweed and Scotch thistle are spread throughout the site in relatively low numbers, with low concentrations near disturbed areas.

While some of the species listed in the SAM data likely occur on the site, few were observed, and the majority are either not expected to occur, or may occur only rarely based on the limited habitat available. The only species in the SAM data observed were pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*) and Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*), while others such as big brown bat (*Eptesicus fuscus*), silver-haired bat (*Lasionycteris noctivagans*), hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus*), grasshopper sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*), lark bunting (*Calamospiza melanocorys*), common lesser earless lizard (*Holbrookia maculata*), plains garter snake (*Thamnophis radix*), prairie lizard (*Sceloporus consobrinus*), and plateau fence lizard (*Sceloporus tristichus*) are species in the SAM data that are expected to occur on-site in the appropriate seasons and in the appropriate habitats.

State-listed and state sensitive species were not observed. Of note, the site is located within the Colorado Springs Block Clearance Zone for the state-listed Preble's meadow jumping mouse (*Zapus hudsonius preblei*), meaning the presence of this species is precluded. There is grassland habitat available for the state sensitive black-tailed prairie dog (*Cynomys ludovicianus*), but none were observed during the site reconnaissance and no burrows were detected. The site is suitable for the state-threatened burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*), though this species is closely associated with abandoned burrows in prairie dog colonies, which were not observed. Golden eagles (*Aquila chrysaetos*), which nest mostly on cliffs in mountainous areas, and bald eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), which are almost always found near large bodies of water or rivers, both receive federal protections under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA). Both eagles are unlikely to occur except accidentally, as the site lacks suitable habitats.

More generally, birds were the most common wildlife observed on the site during the reconnaissance. The most common species included western meadowlark (*Sturnella neglecta*), horned lark (*Eremophila alpestris*), and mourning dove (*Zenaida macroura*). These species tend to prefer open grassland habitats, similar to the predominant habitat present on-site.

The site vicinity provides little potential nesting habitat for raptors as there are no trees, and poor habitat for Northern harrier, which nests on the ground in dense, midstory grasslands. The riparian corridor of Sand Creek provides sufficient substrate for tree-nesting raptors such as Swainson's hawk, red-tailed hawk, and the cavity-nesting American kestrel (*Falco sparverius*); these species may utilize the site for hunting, but nesting habitat is not present.



The Project area also provides habitat for mammals including rodents, deer, and carnivores. Other than two pronghorn, mammals were not observed during the site reconnaissance, but a few other species may be expected to occur, including coyote (*Canis latrans*), gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*), and/or red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*). Evidence of fossorial mammals was minimal, but a few eskers (mounds) were observed, presumably of pocket gophers (family *Geomidae*). The area is suitable year-round range for mule deer throughout the site, and perhaps white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) along Sand Creek. The site also has potential to provide foraging and breeding habitat for predators such as coyote, red fox, and potentially black bear (*Ursus americanus*); it is also listed as a potential human conflict area for mountain lion (*Puma concolor*), though this species is unlikely to occur.

Amphibians were observed in the ponded areas where excavation has created wetlands. Several tadpoles of up to two unknown species were observed during the site visit. Chorus frogs (*Pseudacris* spp.) are the most likely species of amphibian to be present, though plains leopard frogs (*Lithobates blairi*) or Northern leopard frogs (*L. pipiens*) could also occur. Plains and Northern leopard frogs are listed as S2 (State Imperiled) and S1 (State Critically Imperiled) by CNHP (2022).

3.8. Federally Listed T&E Species

The USFWS IPaC database (USFWS 2021) was used to determine the likelihood of occurrence of federally listed T&E species within the Project area. The IPaC query listed seven species, including two birds, two fishes, one insect, and one flowering plant with the potential to occur within or be affected by activities in the Project area (**Table 3:** *Federally Listed T&E Species Potentially Impacted by the Project*). B.E. has provided our professional opinion regarding the probability of occurrence at the Project site and their probability of being impacted by Project development. Preble's meadow jumping mouse was not included in the IPaC species list and is excluded because the site is within the Preble's Block Clearance Zone for Colorado Springs (**Appendix C:** *Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse Block Clearance Map*).



Table 3. Federal	ly Listed T&E S	pecies Potentially	y Impacted b	y the Projec	t (USFWS 2022))
------------------	-----------------	--------------------	--------------	--------------	----------------	---

Common Name	Scientific Name	Habitat Requirements and Likelihood of Impacts	Federal Status ¹
Birds	•		
Piping plover	Charadrius melodus	Water-related activities/use in the N. Platte, S. Platte and Laramie River Basins may affect listed species in Nebraska. Likelihood of impacts: Likelihood of impacts: None, Project is not within the watersheds listed.	FT
Eastern black rail	Laterallus jamaicensis ssp. jamaicensis	Eastern black rail is a subspecies of black rail that occurs east of the Rocky Mountains in North America. Black rails are small, cryptic marsh/wetland specialists, and depend entirely upon these habitats to support their resource needs. Requires dense overhead cover (usually cattails [<i>Typha</i> spp.] or bulrushes [<i>Schoenoplectus / Scirpus</i> spp.]) and moist to saturated soils. Eastern black rails have been expanding their range in Colorado. There is negligible suitable habitat on the Project site. Likelihood of impacts: None, suitable habitat is not available on-site.	FT
Insects			
Monarch butterfly Fishes Greenback	Danaus plexippus Oncorhynchus	Monarch butterfly is a candidate species for listing under the ESA. The USFWS determined listing the species was warranted but precluded by work on higher priority listing actions. The species will remain a candidate for listing and reviewed yearly. There are no requirements for candidate species, but due diligence is encouraged. Likelihood of impacts: None, suitable habitat is not available on-site.	C
trout	ciarkii stomias	native pure-strain population occurs in a 4-mile stretch outside of its native range in Bear Creek (Metcalf et al. 2012). Reintroduction efforts are ongoing in the S Platte River system. Likelihood of impacts: None, habitat not present.	FT
Pallid sturgeon	Scaphirhynchus albus	Water-related activities/use in the N. Platte, S. Platte and Laramie River Basins may affect listed species in Nebraska. Likelihood of impacts: Likelihood of impacts: None, Project is not within the watersheds listed.	FE
Flowering I	Plants		
Ute ladies'- tresses orchid	Spiranthes diluvialis	Primarily occurs along seasonally flooded river terraces, sub- irrigated or spring-fed abandoned stream channels, and lakeshores. May also occur along irrigation canals, berms, levees, irrigated meadows, gravel pits, borrow pits, and other human-modified wetlands. There are no known populations in El Paso County, and the site is above the elevation (7,000 feet) where surveys are required (USFWS 1992). Likelihood of impacts: None, habitat not present and the site is not in an area that requires surveys.	FT

¹FE= Federally Endangered; FT=Federally Threatened; C=Candidate for Listing



4.0 SUMMARY OF IMPACTS

4.1. Vegetation

Vegetation will be unavoidably disturbed through development of the Project site. The vast majority of the site is classified as Foothill Grasslands, which is the primary ecosystem type that will be impacted. The site is generally of moderate quality and impacts are not expected to imperil or substantially harm this ecosystem, though development of the site will result in the loss of just under 50 acres of previously disturbed grasslands. No globally sensitive vegetation communities are present, and no state-sensitive vegetation communities are present according to CNHP data for sensitive vegetation communities and the site reconnaissance (CNHP 2022). The Project site is on the fringe of the Ponderosa Pine Woodlands, a globally and state stable vegetation community, but the site contains no pine trees and impacts are not expected. The site may have historically been primarily Shortgrass Prairie, a State Imperiled (S2) community, but the site is now classified as disturbed grassland, a common community. Development of the site will likely increase and improve arboreal habitat through the planting of trees in yards and in open spaces. There are riparian and wetland areas along Sand Creek to the west, and these areas are high-quality habitats, but this corridor is not a part of the Project site.

4.2. Aquatic Resources

There are few aquatic resources on the site. Two ponds are present, both considered preamble wetlands and therefore presumed to be non-jurisdictional. No aquatic features were shown in the NHD/NWI (**Figure 3**). As such, impacts to regulated aquatic resources will not occur and a Section 404 permit from the USACE is not expected to be necessary.

4.3. Noxious Weeds

Noxious weeds are present on the Project site in several areas but in generally limited quantities. There were no large concentrations of noxious weeds, but scattered noxious weeds were found throughout various portions of the site, primarily where disturbance from construction has already occurred. List A Species, which require reporting and eradication by Colorado law (Colorado Department of Agriculture [CDA] 2006), were not detected. List B Species require either eradication, containment, or suppression; List C Species require control through either public education or chemical control. List B Species that were detected during the site reconnaissance included:

<u>List B</u>

- Scotch thistle
- Diffuse knapweed

It is possible that additional noxious weed populations may be present on the site. A site inventory to identify and map noxious weeds during the growing season would be required to accurately catalogue all populations on the site. A Noxious Weed Management Plan has been prepared for the Project detailing recommendations for identifying and controlling the spread of noxious weeds prior to, during, and/or post-construction.



4.4. Wildfire

Roughly 80% of the Project area is mapped as "Moderate" wildfire risk while the remaining 12% is mapped as "High" risk. The high-risk areas of the site include the areas on the southern side of the site, where the site has been previously disturbed and construction materials are stored, while the moderate risk areas are the disturbed and undisturbed grasslands in the northern portion of the site. The site is rated low in terms of values and assets present that could be lost to wildfire; it is rated moderately high to high in terms of burn probability based on the available fuels at the site, nearly all of which are grasslands. The nearest fire response is Station 1 in the Black Forest FPD, which is located 3.91 miles from the site; the second closest station is Station 2 in the Black Forest FPD, which is 8.94 miles away.

Development of the site would result in a reduction of the available fuels for wildfires, while simultaneously increasing the values and assets present on the site. As such, the overall wildfire risk index for the Project is expected to be similar before and after development.

4.5. Wildlife

Similar to the impacts for vegetation, some wildlife will inevitably be affected by development of the Project area. Some species that prefer suburban habitats including some species of birds are expected to benefit from an increase in planted trees and bird feeders in yards. Designated open spaces will also conserve some of the open grassland habitats that are currently available, but open, undisturbed grasslands will be reduced on the whole. Implementation of a stormwater management plan will assist in protecting water quality in downstream reaches, which will provide additional benefits to aquatic species including invertebrates. Detention facilities may add seasonal water features that could support additional wildlife such as waterfowl and amphibians. Negligible impacts to forest species are expected as no trees will be cleared for construction. The amphibians observed in the two ponded areas will presumably all be lost when these ponds are removed. Since grasslands are the most dominant habitat type, grassland species are expected to experience the greatest adverse impacts. Deer, foxes, bears, raccoons, and skunks may experience adverse effects from the increase in urbanization in close proximity to wildland areas, such as the Black Forest. Few sensitive species were present and only in small numbers, and thus are not expected to be affected any more than other species. No state listed species were present.

4.6. Federally Listed T&E Species

Federally listed T&E species are not expected to occur on the Project. All species listed occur in habitats that were not present on the site or would only be affected if development were to involve water depletions that are known to affect downstream populations in different river systems. Preble's meadow jumping mouse habitat is not present on the site because the entire site is within the Colorado Springs Block Clearance Zone. Based on the absence of listed species or their habitats, consultation with the USFWS is not warranted.



5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Upon completion of a desktop review, site reconnaissance, and routine wetland delineation, B.E. finds that some environmental constraints are present within the Project area. Constraints are summarized below within the regulatory context that they apply, and recommendations are provided.

5.1. Clean Water Act

Section 404 of the Clean Water Act prohibits the discharge of dredge or fill material into WOTUS (including wetlands) without a valid permit. Regulated wetland habitats, as well as jurisdictional WOTUS lacking wetlands, are not present on the site, and thus development is not expected to affect any jurisdictional aquatic resources. Based on the lack of regulated aquatic resources on the site, permitting pursuant to Section 404 of the CWA will not be required. No further action is recommended.

5.2. Endangered Species Act

Section 9(a)(1) of the Endangered Species Act prohibits the take of federally listed species and their habitats, and defines such take as "to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct" (16 U.S.C. § 1531). There is no suitable habitat for listed species on the site. The site is within the Colorado Springs Block Clearance Zone for Preble's meadow jumping mouse. Other federally listed species are not present, or they would not be affected because the Project will not involve water depletions from the river basins where these species occur. No impacts to any federally listed species are anticipated from site development and no further due diligence is recommended.

5.3. Migratory Bird Treaty Act and Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act

Migratory birds, and the parts, nests, or eggs of such a bird receive statutory protection under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, which prohibits the intentional take of migratory birds. Bald eagles and golden eagles receive additional statutory protection from accidental take and disturbance under the BGEPA. Both acts particularly apply to nesting birds and their nests. There were no nests observed on the site, but some nesting substrates for raptors and other migratory birds are available west of the site along Sand Creek in the scattered trees. There are no trees large enough in the area around the Project site to be suitable nesting substrate for bald or golden eagles. Further nesting substrates for other migratory birds are present in the form of open grasslands which are expected to be used by some migratory birds during the nesting season.

It is recommended that vegetation clearing/grubbing of the site occur outside of the nesting season (March 15th to July 31st) to avoid disturbing nesting migratory birds. If such timing restrictions are not possible, B.E. recommends conducting a migratory bird nesting survey during the nesting season to ensure impacts to nesting birds do not occur. In particular, occupied raptor nests may be present along Sand Creek, and B.E. recommends following CPW's guidance for establishing buffer zones to protect nesting raptors from disturbance.



5.4. Colorado Noxious Weed Act

In order to ensure Project compliance with the Colorado Noxious Weed Act, and to comply with the requirements of El Paso County's Noxious Weed Management Plan, the Noxious Weed Management Plan referenced in Section 3.4 of this report should be implemented, and further site-specific weed management should be implemented on an ongoing basis. In particular, control of diffuse knapweed and Scotch thistle (and any other List B noxious weeds observed on the site) is required by Colorado law.

5.5. Non-Statutory Considerations

There is potential for general wildlife, including some big game, to occur within the site. However, no big game migratory routes traverse the Project, and only a few pronghorn have been observed. In addition, ranges for several migratory birds, including the state-threatened burrowing owl, overlap the Project area, though habitat for burrowing owls is not present based on the lack of prairie dog presence. Amphibians present in the ponded areas are likely to be affected by Project development. B.E. recommends following guidance from CPW to determine the appropriate avoidance measures to take during and after construction regarding general wildlife. Impacts to wildlife should be reduced as much as practicable through the implementation of typical covenants, such as using bear-resistant trash containers and fencing that allows safe passage for game animals.

Should you have any questions regarding the information or recommendations provided in this report, please feel free to contact Bristlecone Ecology at dmaynard@bristleconeecology.com.

Sincerely,

Bristlecone Ecology, LLC

Jon Muyund

Daniel Maynard Ecologist



6.0 REFERENCES

- Chapman, S.S., G.E. Griffith, J.M. Omernik, A.B. Price, J. Freeouf, and D.L. Schrupp. 2006. Ecoregions of Colorado (color poster with map, descriptive text, summary tables, and photographs): Reston, Virginia, U.S. Geological Survey (map scale 1:1,200,000).
- CNHP (Colorado Natural Heritage Program). 2022. Colorado's Conservation Data Explorer (CODEX). Colorado Natural Heritage Program, Colorado State University, Fort Collins. https://codex.cnhp.colostate.edu/
- CDA (Colorado Department of Agriculture). 2006. 8 CCR 1206-2 Rules Pertaining to the Administration and Enforcement of the Colorado Noxious Weed Act.

Colorado Weed Management Association. (CWMA). 2015. Colorado State Noxious Weed List

- CPW. 2022. CPW Species Activity Mapping Data. Updated March 2022. https://www.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=190573c5aba643a0bc058e6f7f0510b7
- CSFS (Colorado State Forest Service). 2020. Wildfire Risk Assessment Portal (WRAP). https://copub.coloradoforestatlas.org/#/. Accessed January 2022.
- EPC (El Paso County). 2018a. El Paso County Noxious Weeds and Control Methods. https://assetscommunityservices.elpasoco.com/wp-content/uploads/Environmental-Division-Picture/Noxious-Weeds/Noxious-Weed-Control-Book.pdf
- EPC. 2018b. El Paso County Land Development Code. https://planningdevelopment.elpasoco.com/land-development-code/
- EPC. 2017. El Paso County Noxious Weed Management Plan. https://assetscommunityservices.elpasoco.com/wp-content/uploads/Environmental-Division-Picture/Noxious-Weeds/Weed-Management-Plan-December-2017.pdf
- Katzner, T. E., M. N. Kochert, K. Steenhof, C. L. McIntyre, E. H. Craig, and T. A. Miller (2020). Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*), version 2.0. In Birds of the World (P. G. Rodewald and B. K. Keeney, Editors). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.goleag.02
- Metcalf, J. L., S. Love Stowell, C. M. Kennedy, K. B. Rogers, D. McDonald, J. Epp, K. Keepers, A. Cooper, J. J. Austin, and A. P. Martin. 2012. Historical Stocking Data and 19th Century DNA Reveal Human-Induced Changes to Native Diversity and Distribution of Cutthroat Trout." Molecular Ecology 21, no. 21 (November 1, 2012): 5194–5207. doi:10.1111/mec.12028.
- NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service). 2022a. Web Soil Survey. Available at: http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx. Accessed June 20, 2023.



- NRCS. 2022b. Building Site Development: Dwellings and Small Commercial Buildings Report. https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx.
- NRCS. 2022c. Building Site Development: Hydrologic Soil Group Report. https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx.
- NRCS. 2022d. Building Site Development: Hydric Soil Rating Report. https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx.
- State of Colorado. 2003. Colorado Revised Statutes, Title 35 Agriculture, Article 5.5 Colorado Noxious Weed Act.
- USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture). 2020. National Agriculture Imagery Program.
- USFWS (United States Fish and Wildlife Service). 2023. Information for Planning and Conservation Online System. https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/.
- USFWS. 2017. Critical Habitat Portal. http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/profile/speciesProfile? spcode=E00F. Accessed June 22, 2023.
- USFWS. 1992. Interim Survey Requirements for Ute Ladies-tresses' Orchid (Spiranthes diluvialis). https://www.fws.gov/utahfieldoffice/Documents/Plants/SPDI_interimSurveyRequirements_1 992.pdf



June 29, 2023

APPENDIX **A**

PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Bristlecone Ecology, LLC | Denver, CO 80211 | 971.237.3906



June 29, 2023



PHOTO 1 – Overview of typical habitat at the site, taken from the western center of the site, facing south. Where vegetation has not been previously disturbed, the area is dominated by needle-and-thread, Junegrass, and other common grassland/prairie species. Overall, the grasslands on the site show moderate diversity and lack structure. Species diversity is low. A temporary construction road can be seen running along the right side of the photo.



June 29, 2023



PHOTO 2 – View of the disturbed area of the site near the south-central portion, facing southwest. Flora and fauna are sparse in the areas where construction vehicles and materials are stored, and earth-moving activities are ongoing. Some noxious weeds are present near this area.

Bristlecone Ecology, LLC | Denver, CO 80211 | 971.237.3906



June 29, 2023



PHOTO 3 – Photo facing west, taken from a temporary berm above the larger of the ponded areas that can be seen in aerial photos along the western center edge of the site boundary. The depression was mostly dry at the time of the site visit in June, but some water was present. The berm creating this depression and potential for ponding can be seen continuing west along the left side of the photo.

Bristlecone Ecology, LLC | Denver, CO 80211 | 971.237.3906



June 29, 2023



Рното 4 – View facing south of noxious weeds found in a disturbed area in the south side of the site. Vegetation is sparser in this area than in the surrounding areas.



June 29, 2023



PHOTO 5 –View facing north of the second ponded area visible on aerial imagery in the northeast corner of the site. This pond was created for the purpose of watering livestock. The pond supports some wetland vegetation and amphibians, and vegetation is sparser surrounding it than in the remainder of the site, presumably due to the frequent presence of cattle.

Bristlecone Ecology, LLC | Denver, CO 80211 | 971.237.3906



June 29, 2023

APPENDIX **B**

STERLING RANCH EAST FILING NO. 5 NOXIOUS WEED MANAGEMENT PLAN



June 29, 2023

APPENDIX **C**

PREBLE'S MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE BLOCK CLEARANCE MAP

