# Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan 2023 Amendment \& Rezone Traffic Technical Memorandum PCD Filling Nos. SKP235, P239, P2310, and P2311 (LC \#S224441) <br> January 17, 2024 

## Traffic Engineer's Statement

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.


## Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.


# Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan 

## 2023 Amendment and Rezone

## Traffic Technical Memorandum
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January 17, 2024

Loren J. Moreland
Vice President/ Project Manager
Classic SRJ
2138 Flying Horse Club Drive
Colorado Springs, CO 80921

> RE: Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan 2023 Amendment and Rezone Traffic Technical Memorandum El Paso County, Colorado PCD Filling Nos. SKP235, P239, P2310, and P2311 LSC \#S224441

Dear Mr. Moreland:

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this traffic technical memorandum for the currently proposed amendment to the Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan and proposed rezone of the parcels north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road. As shown in Figure 1, Sterling Ranch is located east of Vollmer Road near Lochwinnoch Lane between the future extensions of Marksheffel Road and Stapleton Drive in El Paso County, Colorado. LSC prepared a master traffic impact study (MTIS) for the entire Sterling Ranch development dated June 5, 2008. This master study was updated October 21, 2022, December 22, 2022, February 10, 2023, and March 17, 2023 (approved version) (SKP-22-004). The purpose of this memorandum is to confirm that the land uses allowed by the currently proposed Sketch Plan amendment conform to the overall land uses assumed in the approved version of the MTIS.

This memo also addresses the potential localized shift of up to about 118 dwelling units into the area north of Briargate Parkway including the parcels to be rezoned by providing a "sensitivity analysis." The results of this analysis demonstrate that the prior findings and recommendations contained in the MTIS would remain valid.

## STUDY AREA

## Sketch Plan

Figure 2 shows the location of the proposed rezone area and the proposed amendment to the Sketch Plan is attached. The 1,444-acre Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan area is partially developed and planned to ultimately include a mix of residential, commercial, and educational land uses. The number of residential dwelling units for Sterling Ranch is capped at 4,800. No change to the maximum number of residential dwelling units is proposed as part of the 2023 Sketch Plan Amendment. However, the currently proposed plan includes a rezone of the parcels north of Briargate Parkway to allow for higher residential densities.

The 2022 MTIS assumed the Sterling Ranch development would be built with the maximum allowable number of residential units. As many of the residential parcels within Sterling Ranch were either existing, approved, under review, or in the preliminary planning stages, and therefore had a known number of dwelling units, the MTIS assumed that the areas north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road where detailed plans had not yet been made would be developed with the number of dwelling units needed to reach the maximum of 4,800 dwelling units for the overall development even though that number was greater than what was allowed by the zoning for those parcels. This area was included in the MTIS as Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZ) 30, 34, 35, 36 (which are located just north of Briargate Parkway), and TAZ 101 (currently proposed to be rezoned). Table 1 shows the number of residential dwelling units assumed in the MTIS for each TAZ in this area and the number of dwelling units that would be allowed based on the currently proposed plan. As shown in Table 1, the MTIS assumed 1,302 single-family residential dwelling units in this area (TAZs 30, 34, 35, 36, and 101). The currently-proposed plan would allow between 894 and 1,438 residential single-family residential dwelling units. As the total number of allowable residential dwelling units in the overall Sterling Ranch development has not been increased, the 1,302 dwelling units shown for this area in the MTIS is likely still a reasonable assumption. If up to 1,418 dwelling units are constructed in the area north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road, the number of dwelling units in other areas of Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan area that have not yet been developed would need to be reduced by at least 116 dwelling units (so the overall Sterling Ranch dwelling unit cap is not exceeded).

## Study-Area Access Plan

No changes to the access plan are proposed as part of this Sketch Plan Amendment.
Sterling Ranch Road is no longer planned to be directly extended to Arroya Lane, which is planned to be upgraded to a Minor Rural Collector as part of the TimberRidge Filing No. 3 (SF2241). A connection will be provided to Arroya Lane via a circuitous Urban Local street network. This connection will be further analyzed with subsequent subdivision submittals.

## TRIP GENERATION

Table 2 shows the trip-generation estimate for the areas north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road (TAZs 30, 34, 35, 36 and 101) should they be developed with 1,418 residential dwelling units, which is the maximum number of units based on the currently-proposed zoning. The trip generation was estimated using the nationally-published trip-generation rates from Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Note that the trip generation for the overall Sterling Ranch Master Plan is not anticipated to change from what was assumed in the March 2023 MTIS as the maximum number of residential units for the overall sketch plan area is capped at 4,800 dwelling units. Should 1,418 residential dwelling units be constructed within the area north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road currently-proposed amendment area, the number of units in other areas of the Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan not currently developed would need to be reduced by 116 units so that the 4,800 cap is not exceeded.

If the maximum number of residential units is developed within the areas north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road, this area is projected to generate about 13,372 new external vehicle trips on the average weekday, with about half entering and half exiting the area during a 24 -hour period. This is about 1,094 more daily trips than were estimated for the same area in the March 2023 MTIS.

Figures 3a through 3e show the projected average weekday traffic volume by parcel on the key street segments that will serve the area north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road. Figure $3 f$ shows the total projected average weekday traffic volumes due to traffic generated by all of Sterling Ranch assuming the area north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road is developed with 1,418 single-family residential units. The volumes shown in Figure $3 f$ are the sum of the volumes from Figure 3 a through 3 e . Figure 3 g shows the projected peak-hour traffic volumes due to traffic generated by all of Sterling Ranch assuming the area north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road is developed with 1,418 single-family residential dwelling units. These volumes are based on the trip-generation estimate shown in Table 2 and the directional-distribution estimate from the March 2023 MTIS.

## BASELINE TRAFFIC

Baseline traffic is the traffic estimated to be on the adjacent roadways and at adjacent intersections without the proposed development's trip generation of Sterling Ranch-generated traffic volumes. Baseline traffic (for a specified horizon year) includes the through traffic and the traffic generated by nearby developments (existing and planned) but assumes zero traffic generated by land uses within Sterling Ranch, including traffic generated by existing developments within Sterling Ranch.

Figure 4a shows the projected 2042 baseline daily traffic volumes on key street segments at the key area intersections and Figure 4b shows the projected 2042 peak-hour baseline traffic
volumes at the key area intersections. These volumes assume buildout of the area street network, including the completion of Marksheffel Road between Vollmer Road and Black Forest Road, Briargate Parkway between Meridian Road and Black Forest Road, and Sterling Ranch Road between Marksheffel Road and Briargate Parkway. The 2042 baseline traffic volumes are estimates by LSC, based on the 2042 baseline traffic volumes from the March 2023 MTIS with some updates based on work completed by LSC for other projects in the area, including Retreat at TimberRidge Filing 3 (SF2241) and Retreat at TimberRidge Filing 4 (SF1827)

## SENSITVITY ANALYSIS

As the currently-proposed sketch-plan amendment does not increase the maximum number of residential dwelling units allowed within the overall Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan above the 4,800 units allowed in the approved plan, the 2042 total traffic volumes and level of service analysis from the March 2023 MTIS are generally still applicable. LSC has prepared this "sensitivity analysis" of the possible scenario in which the maximum allowable number of dwelling units is constructed within the areas north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road. This would require the number of units in areas outside of the currently-proposed amendment area to be reduced by 118 units, but to be conservative, this sensitivity analysis assumes no changes (reduction in trip generation) to the land uses outside of this area. The purpose of this sensitivity analysis is to determine if the proposed lane geometry and roadway classifications for the key intersections and street sections serving the amendment area are still appropriate.

Figure 5a shows the projected 2042 total daily traffic volumes on key street segments and Figure 5b shows the projected 2042 total peak-hour traffic volumes at the key study-area intersections, should 1,418 single-family homes be built within the area north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road. These volumes are the sum of the 2042 baseline traffic volumes from Figure 3 a and 3 b and the site-generated traffic volumes from Figures 3 e and 3 g .

Figure 5 c shows the results of the level of service analysis based on the volumes shown in Figure 5b and the lane geometry shown in Figure 5c. As shown in Figure 5c, all of the movements at the stop-sign-controlled intersection of Vollmer/Arroya (Intersection \#2) are projected to operate at LOS C or better during the peak hours. All movements at the future signalized intersection of Briargate/Sterling Ranch (Intersection \#5) are projected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hours. All movements at the future signalized intersection of Briargate/Banning Lewis (Intersection \#6) for the westbound left-turn and northbound left-turn movement at Banning Lewis/Briargate are projected to operate at LOS E, which is consistent with the level of service analysis shown in the MTIS at this intersection.

## ROADWAY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATIONS AND LANEAGE

Figure 6 shows the recommended functional classifications and number of through lanes for the streets in the study area. Figure 6 also shows a comparison of the projected average weekday
traffic volume (ADT) and the design ADT from the ECM for the key street segments in the vicinity of the site. All of the projected weekday traffic volumes are below the design ADT volumes.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

As residential dwelling unit cap for Sterling Ranch is not proposed to be raised and the number of dwelling units assumed in the MTIS for the parcels north of Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road are within the range allowed by the proposed updated residential densities, the conclusions and recommendations in the Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan Amendment Master Traffic Impact Study, by LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. dated March 17, 2023, are still valid.

As part of the TimberRidge Filing No. 3 (SF2241 Arroya Lane is planned to be upgraded to a Minor Rural Collector and the intersection of Vollmer/Arroya is planned to be realigned so that Arroya intersects Vollmer at a right angle. The planned improvements at this intersection also include widening the shoulder on the east side of Vollmer Road approaching Arroya Lane. The impacts to Arroya Lane due to Sterling Ranch are expected to be relatively low and no additional improvements are anticipated to be needed. However, the Arroyo intersections will be analyzed with subsequent Sterling Ranch subdivision submittals to verify this.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.

Sincerely,

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

```
By Jeffrey C. Hodsdon, P.E.
    Principal
JCH/KDF:jas
```


## Enclosures: Tables 1-2

Figures 1-6
Level of Service Reports
Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan Amendment 2023
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LEGEND:
$X X X=$ Average Weekday Traffic (AWT)(vehicles per day)
$(X X \%)=$ Percent of Average Weekday Traffic (AWT)
Figure 3a

TRANSPORTATION
CONSULTANTS, INC

## Zone 101 (2.5 Acre Lots) Generated Average Weekday Traffic



LEGEND:
$X X X=$ Average Weekday Traffic (AWT)(vehicles per day)
$(X X \%)=$ Percent of Average Weekday Traffic (AWT)
Figure 3b
Zone 101 (2 DU/Acre) Generated Average Weekday Traffic


## LEGEND:

$X X X=$ Average Weekday Traffic (AWT)(vehicles per day)
$(X X \%)=$ Percent of Average Weekday Traffic (AWT)
Figure 3c


LEGEND:
$X X X=$ Average Weekday Traffic (AWT)(vehicles per day)
$(X X \%)=$ Percent of Average Weekday Traffic (AWT)
Figure 3d
Zones 30, 34, 35, and 36 Generated Average Weekday Traffic


Figure 3e

## Other Sterling Ranch Generated Average Weekday Traffic


LEGEND:
XXX = Average Weekday Traffic (AWT)(vehicles per day)
XXX = Average Weekday Traffic (AWT)(vehicles per day)

Figure $3 f$
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## Total Sterling Ranch Generated Average Weekday Traffic




Figure 4a

2042 Baseline Average Weekday Traffic



XXX = Average Weekday Traffic (AWT)(vehicles per day)
Figure 5a
assuming maximum density in the area north of
Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch Road Briargate Parkway and east of Sterling Ranch R
(from Figure 3a) plus the 2042 baseline traffic volumes taken from Figure 6a of the Sterling Ranc Sketch Plan Amendment Master Traffic Impact Study (MTIS), by LSC Transportation Consultants, March 2023. These volumes should be compared to the corresponding impacted street segment volumes shown on Figure 10a of the March 2023 MTIS.




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 20 | 9 | 282 | 7 | 3 | 420 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 20 | 9 | 282 | 7 | 3 | 420 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 21 | 9 | 297 | 7 | 3 | 442 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 749 | 301 | 0 | 0 | 304 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 301 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 448 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 |  | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 379 | 739 | - | - | 1257 | - |
| Stage 1 | 751 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 644 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 378 | 739 | - | - | 1257 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 378 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 751 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 642 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.7 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 446 | 1257 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.068 | 0.003 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 13.7 | 7.9 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.2 | 0 | - |

Timings
5：Sterling Ranch Rd \＆Briargate Pkwy

|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 | 「 | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 82 | 629 | 145 | 107 | 929 | 24 | 285 | 121 | 120 | 99 | 281 | 188 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 82 | 629 | 145 | 107 | 929 | 24 | 285 | 121 | 120 | 99 | 281 | 188 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | ， | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | Free | 4 |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 |  | 5.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 25.0 |  | 10.0 | 25.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 12.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 21.0 | 32.0 |  | 21.0 | 32.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．0\％ | 45．8\％ | 45．8\％ | 10．0\％ | 45．8\％ | 45．8\％ | 17．5\％ | 26．7\％ |  | 17．5\％ | 26．7\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | Max |  | None | Max |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 56.9 | 50.1 | 50.1 | 58.0 | 52.4 | 52.4 | 47.5 | 33.0 | 120.0 | 37.2 | 27.2 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.28 | 1.00 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 1.00 |
| V／c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.63 | 0.03 | 0.85 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.70 | 0.13 |
| Control Delay | 19.6 | 26.3 | 4.1 | 9.7 | 18.7 | 0.8 | 49.2 | 36.3 | 0.1 | 25.0 | 52.8 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 19.6 | 26.3 | 4.1 | 9.7 | 18.7 | 0.8 | 49.2 | 36.3 | 0.1 | 25.0 | 52.8 | 0.2 |
| LOS | B | C | A | A | B | A | D | D | A | C | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 21.9 |  |  | 17.4 |  |  | 35.0 |  |  | 30.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 63 （ $53 \%$ ），Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 24.2 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 79．3\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：5：Sterling Ranch Rd \＆Briargate Pkwy


Timings

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $>$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 性 | 「 | ＊＊ | 乐 | 「 | ＊＊ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 州 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1 | 488 | 351 | 300 | 660 | 16 | 318 | 66 | 291 | 59 | 214 | 2 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1 | 488 | 351 | 300 | 660 | 16 | 318 | 66 | 291 | 59 | 214 | 2 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |  |  | Free | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 8.0 | 15.0 |  | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 |  | 8.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 13.0 | 15.0 |  | 13.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 13.0 | 42.0 |  | 28.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 29.0 | 35.0 |  | 15.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．8\％ | 35．0\％ |  | 23．3\％ | 47．5\％ | 47．5\％ | 24．2\％ | 29．2\％ |  | 12．5\％ | 17．5\％ | 17．5\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max |  | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | Max |  | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effict Green（s） | 51.7 | 43.7 | 120.0 | 16.3 | 62.4 | 62.4 | 16.9 | 33.9 | 120.0 | 31.7 | 23.1 | 23.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.36 | 1.00 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 1.00 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.68 | 0.38 | 0.02 | 0.69 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.33 | 0.00 |
| Control Delay | 11.0 | 17.3 | 0.3 | 56.8 | 18.7 | 0.1 | 55.5 | 34.0 | 0.3 | 26.5 | 44.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 11.0 | 17.3 | 0.3 | 56.8 | 18.7 | 0.1 | 55.5 | 34.0 | 0.3 | 26.5 | 44.3 | 0.0 |
| LOS | B | B | A | E | B | A | E | C | A | C | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 10.2 |  |  | 30.1 |  |  | 29.6 |  |  | 40.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6 ：WBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 70
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.69
Intersection Signal Delay： 24.9
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 59．0\％
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：6：Banning Lewis Pkwy \＆Briargate Pkwy


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 15 | 7 | 567 | 26 | 13 | 380 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 15 | 7 | 567 | 26 | 13 | 380 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 16 | 7 | 597 | 27 | 14 | 400 |



Timings
5: Sterling Ranch Rd \& Briargate Pkwy


Splits and Phases: 5: Sterling Ranch Rd \& Briargate Pkwy


Timings

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7＊ | 性 | 「 | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 6 | 529 | 475 | 332 | 514 | 67 | 535 | 256 | 292 | 47 | 165 | 2 |
| Future Volume（vph） |  | 529 | 475 | 332 | 514 | 67 | 535 | 256 | 292 | 47 | 165 | 2 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |  |  | Free | 4 |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 8.0 | 15.0 |  | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 |  | 8.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 15.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 13.0 | 15.0 |  | 13.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 38.0 |  | 25.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 32.0 | 43.0 |  | 14.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 12．5\％ | 31．7\％ |  | 20．8\％ | 40．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 26．7\％ | 35．8\％ |  | 11．7\％ | 20．8\％ | 20．8\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max |  | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | Max |  | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 44.0 | 36.0 | 120.0 | 17.0 | 55.4 | 55.4 | 24.1 | 41.4 | 120.0 | 31.2 | 22.9 | 22.9 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.30 | 1.00 | 0.14 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.20 | 0.34 | 1.00 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.52 | 0.32 | 0.72 | 0.33 | 0.09 | 0.82 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.00 |
| Control Delay | 25.8 | 54.2 | 0.6 | 57.8 | 22.0 | 0.2 | 54.1 | 33.1 | 0.3 | 23.3 | 43.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 25.8 | 54.2 | 0.6 | 57.8 | 22.0 | 0.2 | 54.1 | 33.1 | 0.3 | 23.3 | 43.4 | 0.0 |
| LOS | C | D | A | E | C | A | D | C | A | C | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 28.8 |  |  | 33.4 |  |  | 34.6 |  |  | 38.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6 ：WBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 70
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay： 32.7
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 64．4\％
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：6：Banning Lewis Pkwy \＆Briargate Pkwy


## Sterling Ranch Sketch Plan Amendment 2023



