TO: Chairman Risely

**EPC Planning Commission Hearing** 

SUBJECT: Planning Commission hearing limits

FROM: Roger Moseley, Attendee

EPC Planning Commission Hearing, 1 September 2021

Dear Mr. Risely:

I spoke to you after the hearing and said that you did well managing a bad process. I can't say the same for some of your fellow Commission members. Enough said for now.

8 Sept 2021

I have a second issue. I asked why my comments about the Traffic Impact Study were inappropriate and you said "they were technical." I was somewhat nonplussed by that, so I've taken some time to think about a proper response.

Your engineer, Dan Torres, was allowed so speak about traffic volume, estimated increases, peak travel times, distribution of traffic within those times, how many trips would turn left at Highway 105 and how many would turn right, and much more. What Mr Torres spoke about were just some of the details within the TIS that resulted in the recommendation for the acceleration and deceleration lanes. I agree that the determination of the length of those lanes is technical, e.g., speed, vehicle performance, human factors, the decision making used in merging, etc.

But the size and placement of those lanes are not technical, by any means. Once CDOT rules place those lanes, they are merely objects that are of a defined size and location. A discussion regarding the specifics of size and placement requires an understanding of only two things, maps and rulers. Your Planning Commission members deal with maps at every hearing, either directly or as supporting documents. Every person who graduates from high school understands a ruler.

I have attached one of the maps that I presented in the JZs hearing on 1 September. I challenge you to describe anything that is technical about this map.

Consequently, I respectfully disagree with the limits you put on the topics that could legitimately be presented. County employees and the developer presented information on transportation issues, but when the public attempted to do so, we were admonished that it wasn't relevant.

Sincerely,

Roger Moseley