FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR LOT 1 POWERS POINTE FILING NO 1 5835 OMAHA BOULEVARD COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO

JULY 2020

Prepared For:

A STORAGE PLACE - COLORADO SPRINGS LLC 5835 OMAHA BOULEVARD COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80915 (719) 694-0246

Prepared By:

TERRA NOVA ENGINEERING, INC.

721 S. 23RD STREET Colorado Springs, CO 80904 (719) 635-6422

Job No. 1745.00 County File No. PPR1929

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR LOT 1 POWERS POINTE FILING NO 1 5835 OMAHA BOULEVARD COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Engineer's StatementPa	ge	3
PurposePaş	ge	4
General DescriptionPag	ge	4
Historic Drainage ConditionsPag	ge	4
Developed Drainage ConditionsPag	ge	5
Hydrologic And Hydraulic CalculationsPag	ge	6
Floodplain StatementPaş	ge	6
Water Quality and DetentionPag	ge	6
Erosion ControlPag	ge	7
Construction Cost OpinionPag	ge	7
Drainage FeesPag	ge	7
MaintenancePag	ge	7
SummaryPaş	ge	7
BibliographyPa	ge	8

REQUIRED MAPS AND DRAWINGS

VICINITY MAP S.C.S. SOILS MAP FEMA FIRM MAP HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS HISTORIC FLOW EXHIBIT DRAINAGE MAPS

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT:

Engineers Statement

This attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accord responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

		Ċ	i.ce	N.	2/14
L	Ducett,	P.E.	32339		0.

Developers Statements

I, <u>A STORAGE PLACE - COLORADO SPRINGS LLC</u>, the developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

A STORAGE PLACE - COLORADO SPRINGS LLC Business Name

Bv: Title: 1 22 Address:

El Paso County Approval:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 & 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Jennifer Ervine, P.E. County Engineer / ECM Administrator Date

Conditions:

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR LOT 1 POWERS POINTE FILING NO 1 5835 OMAHA BOULEVARD COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO

PURPOSE

The purpose of this Final Drainage Report is to compare the existing drainage patterns and runoff quantities with those resulting from the proposed improvements to the A Storage Place property and to determine the impact of the site development on downstream and adjacent properties. This site has previously been studied in:

Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for Powers Pointe, Filing No. 1, Nolte and Associates (1996)

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

This Final Drainage Report is an analysis of approximately 5.15 acres for the site located at 5835 Omaha Boulevard, previously platted as Lot 1 Powers Pointe Filing No 1. The property is currently used as a residential and commercial self-storage facility and the proposed improvements are in conformance with its existing use.

The property is located in the northwest quarter of Section 7, Township 14 South, Range 65 West of the 6th Principal Meridian in the El Paso County, Colorado, near the intersection of Omaha and N Powers Boulevards. More specifically, the site is bounded on the north by Omaha Boulevard, on the south by vacant unplatted land, to the east by All Foster Lumber Sub and Lots 4-5 Blk 2 O K Sub, to the west by Lots 1-2 Powers Pointe Filing No 2, Powers Pointe Filing No 3, Lot 1 Powers Pointe Filing No 4, and Lots 1-3 Powers Pointe Filing No 5.

The site lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin and is subject to the Sand Creek DBPS. For the reach of Sand Creek to which this site contributes, no regional detention facilities were proposed since the substantially developed nature of the area did not provide any suitable locations.

The soil consists exclusively of Blendon Sandy Loam (10), designated as belonging to Hydrologic Soil Group B. The study area is primarily metal buildings with asphalt and concrete lot areas. The existing topography has a consistent 2% slope from the north to the south.

HISTORIC DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

This site was previously analyzed in the *Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for Powers Pointe, Filing No. 1, Nolte and Associates (1996).*

The 5.15 acre site is 1191 feet long by 189 feet wide with the long axis oriented north-south. The northern three-quarters of the site has three rows of storage buildings with concrete driving lanes on all sides. On the southern quarter sits a single row of storage buildings with asphalt lots on either

side for the outdoor storage of vehicles. The property is accessed from the north via Omaha Boulevard. Ainsworth Street, which runs along the north and west side of the property has curb and gutter which prevents offsite runoff from entering the site from those directions, while on the east side the grading is such that the property line forms the drainage boundary on that side; therefore, no offsite runoff affects this property. However, a portion of the commercial properties west of Ainsworth Street is part of the offsite basin analyzed.

The runoff generated onsite is mostly directed southward between the rows of storage buildings via swales and flows onto the undeveloped area at the south end of the property, sheet flowing south and west into the public drainage way along Powers Boulevard and eventually into Sand Creek. There is also a section on the west side of the property that drains westward to the adjacent street. The property is composed of three drainage basins.

Basin EX-A contributes to Design Point X-1 and has an area of 1.64 acres consisting of roofs, concrete, and gravel, generating runoff amounts of Q5=5.8 cfs and Q100=10.9 cfs.

Basin EX-B contributes to Design Point X-2 and has an area of 2.75 acres consisting of roofs, concrete, and gravel, generating runoff amounts of Q5=9.0 cfs and Q100=17.2 cfs.

Basin EX-C contributes to Design Point X-4 and has an area of 0.75 acres consisting of roofs, concrete, and gravel, generating runoff amounts of Q5=3.3 cfs and Q100=6.4 cfs.

The *Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for Powers Pointe, Filing No. 1, Nolte and Associates (1996)* indicates that flow from Basin OS-1 was intended to be conveyed from the south end of Ainsworth Street westward to the public drainage way along Powers Boulevard via a curb and gutter channel. Field observations indicate that the curb and gutter channel was never constructed, thus the runoff from Basin OS-1 flows onto the undeveloped property at the south end of Ainsworth Street.

Basin OS-1 contributes to Design Point X-3 and has an area of 1.90 acres consisting of asphalt pavement and commercial areas, generating runoff amounts of Q5=6.9 cfs and Q100=13.0 cfs.

DEVELOPED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The proposed changes include the construction of two additional storage buildings on the asphalt area at the southern end of the site along with the replacement of some of the existing adjacent asphalt and gravel with concrete. The combined area of disturbance is 0.98 acre. The imperviousness of the site remains essentially the same, with a slight increase due to less gravel area and greater roof/pavement area. The previously approved drainage report used land use coefficient (C) values of 0.80 or 0.90 for the developed portions of the site, which roughly corresponds to percent imperviousness of 95%-100%. The percent impervious for the proposed condition is approximately 98%.

The drainage patterns for the site will remain largely the same, with runoff continuing to flow into swales onsite and then flowing south and offsite onto the undeveloped area at the south end of the property. The proposed development will add an additional section of concrete swale, and riprap areas at the outfall of the onsite swales. The existing flow leaving the site to the south is Q5=14.8

cfs and Q100= 28.1 cfs, and the proposed flow leaving the site to the south is Q5= 16.1 cfs and Q100= 30.1 cfs. Per the previously approved drainage report for the site (Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for Powers Pointe, Filing No. 1, Nolte and Associates (1996)), the flow leaving the site was Q5= 17.8 cfs and Q100= 30.7 cfs (summation of Basins 1 2, 3, 5, and 6 flows).

The flow values in the previously approved drainage report and this report are different for the same areas due to different land use coefficients being used in the two report. The existing conditions in this report do not match the proposed conditions in the old report (some values are higher, while other are lower).

Basin PR-A contributes to Design Point 1 and has an area of 1.55 acres consisting of roof/pavement and gravel, generating runoff amounts of Q5=5.9 cfs and Q100=11.0 cfs.

Basin PR-B contributes to Design Point 2 and has an area of 1.62 acres consisting of roof/pavement and gravel, generating runoff amounts of Q5=6.1 cfs and Q100=11.4 cfs.

Basin PR-C contributes to Design Point 3 and has an area of 1.14 acres consisting of roof/pavement and gravel, generating runoff amounts of Q5=4.1 cfs and Q100=7.7 cfs.

Basin PR-D contributes to Design Point 4 and has an area of 0.09 acres consisting of roof/pavement and gravel, generating runoff amounts of Q5=0.3 cfs and Q100=0.7 cfs.

Basin OS-1 contributes to Design Point X-3 and has an area of 1.90 acres consisting of asphalt pavement and commercial areas, generating runoff amounts of Q5=6.9 cfs and Q100=13.0 cfs.

Basin EX-C contributes to Design Point X-4 and has an area of 0.75 acres consisting of roofs, concrete, and gravel, generating runoff amounts of Q5=3.3 cfs and Q100=6.4 cfs.

Please see detailed Hydrologic and Hydraulic calculations in the appendix.

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

Hydrologic and Hydraulic calculations were performed using the El Paso County Storm Drainage Design Criteria Manual Volumes 1 & 2 latest editions. The Rational Method was used to estimate storm water runoff.

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is within a designated F.E.M.A. floodplain, as determined by Flood Insurance Rate Maps Nos. 08041C0751 G & 08041C0752 G dated December 7, 2018.

WATER QUALITY AND DETENTION

This site is not part of a larger common plan of development and the combined area of ground disturbance associated with these improvements is less than an acre; therefore, water quality is not required.

The main criteria for detention requirements is that the manner or quantity of runoff does not increase or impact downstream properties. The proposed flow leaving the site to the south is Q5=16.1 cfs and Q100=30.1 cfs. Per the previously approved drainage report, the flow leaving the site was Q5=17.8 cfs and Q100=30.7 cfs. The proposed use and flow paths on the site are remaining similar to current conditions, so the manner of the runoff isn't changing. The proposed flows leaving the site to the south are less than those in the previously approved report, so the quantity of runoff isn't increasing from what has previously been approved. Based on this, detention is not required.

EROSION CONTROL

An erosion control plan has been submitted along with this report.

CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION

Public Non Reimbursable NOT APPLICABLE

Private Non Reimbursable

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Cost
Riprap (Type L)	27	Cu Yd	\$200	\$5,400
			Subtotal	\$5,400
Engineering Contingency	10%	Lump Sum		\$540
			Total	\$5,940

DRAINAGE FEES

This site is not being platted. Drainage or bridge fees do not apply.

MAINTENANCE

The proposed erosion control measures will be repaired and maintained by the property owner or owner's representative as required.

SUMMARY

Runoff from the A Storage Place development will not adversely affect the surrounding and downstream properties. Water quality measures are not required as the proposed improvements will disturb less than 1 acre and the site is not part of a larger common plan of development. The proposed expansion does not increase the manner or quantity of runoff above what was in the previously approved report; therefore, detention measures are not required for this project. No storm drainage modifications are necessary as a result of the A Storage Place development.

PREPARED BY: TERRA NOVA ENGINEERING, INC.

L Ducett P.E. President Terra Nova Engineering, Inc.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for Powers Pointe, Filing No. 1, Nolte and Associates (1996)

El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual-Volumes 1 & 2, latest edition

SCS Soils Map for El Paso County

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) flood maps

Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study

VICINITY MAP



S.C.S. SOILS MAP



1745.00 S.C.S. Soils Map

Not to Scale

FEMA MAP



HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

1745.00 A Storage Place, Colorado Springs (Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

		PAV	EMENT/R	OOF		GRAVEL		WEIGHTED		
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	
EX-A	1.64	1.35	0.90	0.96	0.29	0.59	0.70	0.85	0.91	
EX-B	2.75	1.84	0.90	0.96	0.91	0.59	0.70	0.80	0.87	
EX-C	0.75	0.68	0.90	0.96	0.07	0.59	0.70	0.87	0.94	
			Paved Stree	t	Co	mmercial A	rea			
OS-1	1.90	0.81	0.90	0.96	1.09	0.81	0.88	0.85	0.91	

EXISTING CONDITIONS

DEVELOPED CONDITIONS

		PAV	EMENT/R	OOF		GRAVEL		WEIGHTED		
BASIN	TOTAL AREA	AREA	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	
	(Acres)	(Acres)			(Acres)					
PR-A	1.55	1.50	0.90	0.96	0.05	0.59	0.70	0.89	0.95	
PR-B	1.62	1.55	0.90	0.96	0.07	0.59	0.70	0.89	0.95	
PR-C	1.14	0.99	0.90	0.96	0.15	0.59	0.70	0.86	0.93	
PR-D	0.09	0.05	0.90	0.96	0.04	0.59	0.70	0.76	0.84	
		1	Paved Stree	t	Co	mmercial A	rea			
OS-1	1.90	0.81	0.90	0.96	1.09	0.81	0.88	0.85	0.91	
EX-C	0.75	0.68	0.90	0.96	0.07	0.59	0.70	0.87	0.94	

Calculated by: DLF

Date: 3/2/2020

Checked by:

1745.00 A Storage Place, Colorado Springs AREA DRAINAGE SUMMARY

		WEIG	HTED		OVER	LAND		STRE	ET / CH	ANNEL F	FLOW	T_t	INTE	NSITY	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	Tt	TOTAL	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q100
	(Acres)	* For Calcs See	Runoff Summary		(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
EX-A	1.64	0.85	0.91	0.85	64	5.0	1.9	1190	1.8%	2.7	7.3	9.3	4.2	7.3	5.8	10.9
EX-B	2.75	0.80	0.87	0.80	75	4.0	2.8	1124	1.8%	2.7	6.9	9.8	4.1	7.1	9.0	17.2
EX-C	0.75	0.87	0.94	0.87	75	4.0	2.1	200	1.8%	2.7	1.2	5.0	5.0	9.1	3.3	6.4
OS-1	1.90	0.85	0.91	0.85	12	0.2	1.3	1155	1.7%	2.6	7.4	8.7	4.3	7.5	6.9	13.0

EXISTING CONDITIONS

DEVELOPED CONDITIONS

		WEIG	HTED		OVER	LAND		STRE	ET / CH	ANNEL F	FLOW	T_t	INTE	NSITY	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	T _t	TOTAL	I_5	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀
	(Acres)	* For Calcs See	Runoff Summary		(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
PR-A	1.55	0.89	0.95	0.89	64	5.0	1.6	1170	1.8%	2.7	7.2	8.8	4.2	7.4	5.9	11.0
PR-B	1.62	0.89	0.95	0.89	75	4.0	2.0	1124	1.8%	2.7	6.9	8.9	4.2	7.4	6.1	11.4
PR-C	1.14	0.86	0.93	0.86	75	4.0	2.2	1124	1.8%	2.7	6.9	9.2	4.2	7.3	4.1	7.7
PR-D	0.09	0.76	0.84	0.76	15	3.0	0.9	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	9.1	0.3	0.7
OS-1	1.90	0.85	0.91	0.85	12	0.2	1.3	1155	1.7%	2.6	7.4	8.7	4.3	7.5	6.9	13.0
EX-C	0.75	0.87	0.94	0.87	75	4.0	2.1	200	1.8%	2.7	1.2	5.0	5.0	9.1	3.3	6.4

Calculated by: DLF

Date: 3/2/2020

Checked by:

1745.00 A STORAGE PLACE Surface Routing

	EXISTING CONDITIONS									
					Marinauna	Inte	nsity	Fl	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Area (Acres)	Equivalent CA 5	Equivalent CA ₁₀₀	T_C	Ι ₅	I 100	Q 5	Q 100	
X-1	EX-A	1.64	1.39	1.50	9.3	4.2	7.3	5.8	10.9	
X-2	EX-B	2.75	2.19	2.40	9.8	4.1	7.1	9.0	17.2	
X-3	OS-1	1.90	1.61	1.74	8.7	4.3	7.5	6.9	13.0	
X-4	EX-C	0.75	0.65	0.70	5.0	5.0	9.1	3.3	6.4	

	PROPOSED CONDITIONS								
				Manimum	Inte	nsity	Fl	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Area (Acres)	Equivalent CA 5	Equivalent CA 100	T _C	Ι ₅	I 100	Q 5	Q 100
1	PR-A	1.55	1.38	1.48	8.8	4.2	7.4	5.9	11.0
2	PR-B	1.62	1.44	1.54	8.9	4.2	7.4	6.1	11.4
3	PR-C	1.14	0.98	1.06	9.2	4.2	7.3	4.1	7.7
4	PR-D	0.09	0.07	0.08	5.0	5.0	9.1	0.3	0.7
X-3	EX-C,PR-D,OS-1	2.74						10.5	20.0
X-4	EX-C	0.75	0.65	0.70	5.0	5.0	9.1	3.3	6.4

Calculated by: DLF

Date: 7/28/2020

Checked by:

1745.00 A Storage Place Summary of Proposed Aisle Flow Capacities Dane Frank 03/04/20

	Required	Min Capacity	Min Capacity in Rise		
Aisle in	Capacity	from Valley	from Concrete to	Combined Min	
Basin	(cfs)	Section (cfs)	Finish Floor (cfs)	Capacity (cfs)	Notes
PR-A	11.0	2.2	35.6	37.8	
PR-B	11.4	0.0	30.6	30.6	No valley section capacity due to grading of existing concrete.
PR-C	7.7	11.6	31.9	43.5	













1745.00 A Storage Place Riprap Sizing Calculations Dane Frank 06/23/20

$$d_{50} \ge \left[\frac{VS^{0.17}}{4.5(G_s - 1)^{0.66}}\right]^2$$

d50 = mean rock size (ft)
V = mean channel velocity (ft/s)
S = longitudinal channel slope (ft/ft)
Gs = specific gravity of stone (min = 2.50, typically 2.5 to 2.7)

V and S values are referenced from Manning Equation spreadsheets

Location	<u>v</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>Gs</u>	<u>d50 (ft)</u>	<u>d50 (in)</u>
Design Point 1 Riprap	1.900	0.015	2.5	0.025	0.300
Design Point 2 Riprap	4.904	0.012	2.5	0.155	1.855
Design Point 3 Riprap	3.794	0.012	2.5	0.093	1.111

HISTORIC FLOW EXHIBIT

CALCULATIONS

POWERS POINTE - PRELIM. / FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT

May, 1996

Historic Flow Exhibit Terra Nova Engineering notes are in blue LAND USE COEFFICIENTS - Dane Frank, Terra Nova, 2020/06/25 C(100) BASIN C(5) 1 0.90 0.90 2 0.90 0.90 3 0.90 0.90 4 0.90 0.90 5 0.80 0.80 C(5)/(100) = ((0.40)(0.60) + (0.52)(0.90))/0.92 = 0.77 Say 0.80 6 0.90 0.80 7 0.90 0.90 0.90 OS-1 0.90 OS-2/3 0.70 0.70 C(5)/(100) = ((1.3)(0.30) + (2.4)(0.90))/3.7 = 0.69 Say 0.70

BASIN CALCULATIONS

BASIN 1

Tc w/in Landscaped Area = $1.87(1.1-0.60)(95)^{0.5}(5.0)^{-0.33} = 5.4$ min. Tc south within concrete swale (700' @ V=5 f.p.s.) = 700/(5)(60) = 2.3 min. Tc total = 5.4 + 2.3 = 7.7 min. Use 10 minute minimum. I(5) = 4.05 in./hr. I(100) = 7.0 in./hr. Q(5) = (0.90)(4.05)(0.68+0.30) = 3.6 c.f.s. Q(100) = (0.90)(7.0)(0.68+0.30) = 6.2 c.f.s.

BASIN 2

Tc) Use 10 minute minimum. I(5) = 4.05 in./hr. I(100) = 7.0 in./hr. Q(5) = (0.90)(4.05)(0.86) = 3.1 c.f.s. Q(100) = (0.90)(7.0)(0.86) = 5.4 c.f.s.

BASIN 3

Tc) Use 10 minute minimum. I(5) = 4.05 in./hr. I(100) = 7.0 in./hr. Q(5) = (0.90)(4.05)(0.84) = 3.1 c.f.s.Q(100) = (0.90)(7.0)(0.84) = 5.4 c.f.s.

BASIN 4

Tc w/in Lscape setback next to Omaha = $1.87(1.1-25)(2)^{(-0.33)}(40)^{(.5)} = 5.9$ min. Tc from Lscape to access road (100' @ V=5 f.p.s.) = 100/(5*60) = 0.3 min. Tc within access road south to PL (520' @ V=5 f.p.s.) = 520/(5*60) = 1.7 min. Tc total = 5.9 + 0.3 + 1.7 = 7.9 min. (use 10 minute Tc) I(5) = 4.05 in./hr. I(100) = 7.0 in./hr. Q(5) = (0.90)(4.05)(3.70) = 13.5 c.f.s. Q(100) = (0.90)(7.0)(3.70) = 23.3 c.f.s.

BASIN OS-2

Tc w/in Omaha Curbline (S=1%)= 1,400'/(3.5)(60) = 6.7 min. Tc w/in ditch with S = 2%, Sideslopes of 5:1, n = 0.035, Q = 6 c.f.s., V = 4 f.p.s. Tc = (470')/(4)(60) = 2.0 min. Tc total = 6.7 + 2.0 = 8.7 min. Use 10 minute minimum. I(5) = 4.05 in./hr. I(100) = 7.0 in./hr. Q(5) = (0.70)(4.05)(2.2) = 6.2 c.f.s. Q(100) = (0.70)(7.0)(2.2) = 10.8 c.f.s.

These basin calculations appear to include calculations for both individual basins and design points with flow from multiple basins.

CALCULATIONS POWER POINTE PRELIM. / FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT May, 1996

Tc) Use 10 minute minimum. I(5) = 4.05 in./hr. I(100) = 7.0 in./hr. Q(5) = (0.90)(4.05)(3.70) + (0.70)(4.05)(2.2) = 19.7 c.f.s. Q(100) = (0.90)(7.0)(3.70) + (0.70)(7.0)(2.2) = 34.1 c.f.s.(see Open Channel Calculations - attached)

BASIN 5

Tc across compacted earth = $1.87(1.1-0.60)(400)^{0.5}(3.0)^{-0.33} = 13.0$ min. Tc total = 10.0 + 13.0 = 23.0 min. (10 min. from Basin no. 1) I(5) = 2.75 in./hr. I(100) = 4.75 in./hr. SUM (CA)5 = (0.90)(0.98) + (0.80)(0.92) = 2.39SUM (CA)100 = (0.90)(0.98) + (0.80)(0.92) = 2.39Q(5) = SUM (CA) * I(5) = 2.39(2.75) = 6.6 c.f.s. Q(100) = SUM(CA) * I(100) = 2.39(4.75) = 11.4 c.f.s.

BASIN 6

Tc) Use 10 minute minimum. I(5) = 4.05 in./hr. I(100) = 7.0 in./hr. Q(5) = (0.90)(4.05)(0.37) = 1.4 c.f.s.Q(100) = (0.90)(7.0)(0.37) = 2.3 c.f.s.

BASIN 7

Tc) Use 10 minute minimum. I(5) = 4.05 in./hr. I(100) = 7.0 in./hr. Q(5) = (0.90)(4.05)(2.80) = 10.2 c.f.s.Q(100) = (0.90)(7.0)(2.80) = 17.6 c.f.s.

CA(5)/(100) = (0.90)(3.7 + 2.8) + (0.70)(2.2 + 1.5) = 8.16Tc at southerly end of ditch next to proposed subdivision.... Tc = 10 min. + (580')/(4)(60) = 12.4 min. I(5) = 3.8 in./hr. I(100) = 6.3 in./hr. Q(5) = (8.16)(3.8) = 31.0 c.f.s. Q(100) = (8.16)(6.3) = 51.4 c.f.s.

For road side ditch capacity calculations see Trapezoidal Channel Analysis and Design Worksheets, attached.

Flow Leaving the Site Across the South Property Line

The drainage map shows Basins 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6 all drain south with runoff from these basins leaving the site at the south property line. The combined runoff from these basins is: Q5 = 3.6 + 3.1 + 3.1 + 6.6 + 1.4 = 17.8 cfs Q100 = 6.2 + 5.4 + 5.4 + 11.4 + 2.3 = 30.7 cfs



DRAINAGE MAPS



7/1/2020 1:49:54 DRNG.dwg, wings\174500 N:\jobs\1745.00\d

GRADING LEGEND

EXISTING CONTOURS - MINOR	
EXISTING CONTOURS - MAJOR	<u> </u>
PROPOSED CONTOURS - 1'	31
EXISTING PROPERTY LINE	
RETAINING WALL	
RIPRAP	
LIMIT OF CONSTRUCTION & SOIL DISTURBANCE	
PROPOSED	PR
EXISTING	EX
FINISHED SURFACE	FS
FINISHED GROUND	FG
TOP OF CURB	TC
FLOWLINE	FL
TOP OF WALL	ΤW
FINISHED GROUND AT BOTTOM OF WALL	BW
LOW POINT	LP
HIGH POINT	HP
EXISTING ELEVATION	(12.00)
PROPOSED ELEVATION	12.00
GRADE & DIRECTION	2.2%
SPOT ELEVATION	SE
TERRAIN STRING	TS
CONCRETE EDGE	CE
ASPHALT EDGE	AE

721 S. 23RD ST. COLORADO SPRINGS, CO. 80904

OFFICE: 719–635–6422 FAX: 719–635–6426 www.tnesinc.com

DRAINAGE LEGEND

/P−7\-12.22

BASIN DESIGNATION _ AREA IN BASIN (AC)

DESIGN POINT

BASIN BOUNDARY

EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION

DRAINAGE BASIN SUMMARY

		FLOW		
NAME	AREA (ACRES)	5 YR (cfs)	100 YR (cfs)	
EX-A	1.64	5.8	10.9	
EX-B	2.75	9.0	17.2	
EX-C	0.75	3.3	6.4	
0S-1	1.90	6.9	13.0	

)	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	AREA AC.	Q5 CFS	Q100 CFS
-1	EX-A	1.64	5.8	10.9
2	EX-B	2.75	9.0	17.2
4	EX-C	0.75	3.3	6.4
3	EX-C,OS-1	2.65	10.2	18.4



MAP

1/2





1. TOTAL DISTURBED AREA IS 0.98 ACRES

GRADING LEGEND

EXISTING CONTOURS - MINOR	<u> </u>
EXISTING CONTOURS - MAJOR	
PROPOSED CONTOURS - 1'	31
EXISTING PROPERTY LINE	
RETAINING WALL	
RIPRAP	
LIMIT OF CONSTRUCTION & SOIL DISTURBANCE	
PROPOSED	PR
EXISTING	EX
FINISHED SURFACE	FS
FINISHED GROUND	FG
TOP OF CURB	TC
FLOWLINE	FL
TOP OF WALL	TW
FINISHED GROUND AT BOTTOM OF WALL	BW
LOW POINT	LP
HIGH POINT	HP
EXISTING ELEVATION	(12.00)
PROPOSED ELEVATION	12.00
GRADE & DIRECTION	2.2%
SPOT ELEVATION	SE
TERRAIN STRING	TS
CONCRETE EDGE	CE

DRAINAGE LEGEND

BASIN DESIGNATION ____ AREA IN BASIN (AC) 721 S. 23RD ST. COLORADO SPRINGS, CO. 80904

OFFICE: 719–635–6422 FAX: 719–635–6426 www.tnesinc.com



-

DESIGN POINT

PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION

<u>DRAINAGE</u>	BASIN	SUMMARY

		FLOW		
BASIN NAME	AREA (ACRES)	5 YR (cfs)	100 YR (cfs)	
PR-A	1.55	5.9	11.0	
PR-B	1.62	6.1	11.4	
PR-C	1.14	4.1	7.7	
PR-D	0.09	0.3	0.7	
OS-1	1.90	6.9	13.0	
EX-C	0.75	3.3	6.4	

DP	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	AREA AC.	Q5 CFS	Q100 CFS
1	PR-A	1.55	5.9	11.0
2	PR-B	1.62	6.1	11.4
3	PR-C	1.14	4.1	7.7
4	PR-D	0.09	0.3	0.7
X-3	EX-C,PR-D,OS-1	2.74	10.5	20.0
X-4	EX-C	0.75	3.3	6.4





 $\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}}$

DLF

2020/07/28

PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP

2/2

DATE

CHECKED

DRAWN BY