



INNOVATIVE DESIGN. **CLASSIC RESULTS.**

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT
HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS
FILINGS 5, 6 & 7

January 2019

Prepared for:
ELITE PROPERTIES OF AMERICA, INC.
6385 CORPORATE DRIVE
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80919

Prepared by:
**CLASSIC CONSULTING ENGINEERS &
SURVEYORS**
619 CASCADE AVENUE, SUITE 200
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903
(719) 785-0790

SF-18-038
SF-18-039
SF-18-040

Job no. 1116.05




**FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR HANNAH RIDGE
AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS NO. 5, 6 & 7**

DRAINAGE REPORT STATEMENT

DESIGN ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage report and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan and drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.



Marc A. Whorton, Colorado P.E. #37155

2/6/19
Date

OWNERS/DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT:

I, the owner/developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Business Name: Feathergrass Investments LLC

Kenneth P. Orsich

2/5/19
Date

Title: MANAGER

Address: 6385 Corporate Dr., Suite 200

Colorado Springs, CO 80919

EL PASO COUNTY:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code, as amended.

Jennifer Irvine, P.E.
County Engineer / ECM Administrator

Date

Conditions:



FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS NO. 5, 6 & 7

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

PURPOSE	Page 4
GENERAL DESCRIPTION	Page 4
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	Page 5
DEVELOPED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	Page 5
HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS	Page 9
ROCK ISLAND TRAIL (BOX CULVERT)	Page 10
FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT	Page 11
EROSION CONTROL PLAN	Page 11
DRAINAGE FACILITY COST OPINION	Page 11
DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES	Page 13
SUMMARY	Page 16
REFERENCES	Page 17

APPENDICES

VICINITY MAP
SOILS MAP (S.C.S. SURVEY)
F.E.M.A. MAP
HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS
SWQ / DETENTION CALCULATIONS
DRAINAGE MAPS



FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS NO. 5, 6 & 7

PURPOSE

This document is the Final Drainage Report for Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filings No. 5, 6 & 7. The purpose of this report is to identify onsite and offsite drainage patterns, storm sewer, inlet locations, and areas tributary to the site, and to safely route developed storm water runoff to adequate detention and water quality facilities while releasing storm water at or below historic rates and in accordance with all applicable master drainage plans. This report will discuss the proposed storm system to be built with Filing 5, 6 and 7 and discuss the final construction details, and more specifically, the final design details of the proposed sub-regional public detention/water quality facility located at the southerly end of Filing 6 that will handle the treatment for Filings 5, 6 and 7. Final design information for the Filing No. 5, 6 and 7 detention/water quality facility included in this report.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass development is a 121.2 acre residential and commercial district within the south half of Section 32, Township 13 South, Range 65 West of the 6th Principal Meridian in El Paso County, Colorado. The site is located on the west side of Akers Drive just north of Constitution Avenue. The existing abandoned Chicago Rock Island and Pacific Railroad sits directly north and west of the site, with Akers Drive bordering the east side and Constitution adjoining the south side of the site. The entire proposed development includes a total of 345 single-family residences and will be developed in seven filings. The Filing No. 5, 6 and 7 are the only remaining areas that are currently undeveloped within the community and was previously re-platted under Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 1. Filing No. 5 includes 55 residential lots on approximately 12.92 acres. Filing No. 6 will include 33 lots on approximately 7.94 acres, and Filing No. 7 is 81 lots on approximately 15.40 acres.

The average soil condition of the entire site and tributary area to the proposed ponds reflects Hydrologic Group "A" (Blakeland, loamy sand) as determined by the "Soil Survey of El Paso County Area," prepared by the National Cooperative Soil Survey (see map in Appendix).



EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The site is located within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. More specifically, it is situated in the north half of the overall Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass residential portion of the development. These last three residential filings makeup nearly all of the Basin A4, as shown on the existing drainage map provided by MVE, Inc. (See Appendix) The abandoned railroad bed along the north edge of the development serves as the northerly basin boundary and Winslow Park Dr. to the south as the southerly basin boundary. The recent construction of Filing 3 improvements included a 6'x10' CBC under Winslow Park Dr. out-falling into a 90" RCP storm. Adjacent to the 6'x10' CBC, a stormwater quality facility (Sand Filter Basin) was also constructed within Tract E, Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing 1. The on-site pre-development drainage patterns sheet flow towards the natural channel through the middle of the property and ultimately into the 6'x10' CBC. This facility was constructed to allow the significant off-site flows from the north, passing under the railroad bed ($Q_{10} = 360$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 640$ cfs per Sand Creek DBPS) historically, traversing the site within an unimproved natural channel within a drainage easement. These off-site flows will continue to flow through the site as planned with the Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing 3 construction drawings, designed by MVE, Inc., approved October 2017. This concept will be finalized in the Filing 5 construction drawings.

DEVELOPED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

Given some recent changes in City/County Drainage Criteria, the calculations for these last phases of development now reflect current criteria for stormwater quality requirements. Proposed Pond 1 will be designed as a full spectrum facility to accommodate the developed flows from Filings 5, 6 and 7. This will include the design of concrete forebays, concrete trickle channels, concrete micropool and an outlet structure designed to release flows based on full spectrum criteria. The attached developed conditions drainage map contains many design points related to proposed at-grade and sump conditions. All public Type R inlets have been designed at these various locations to accept both the 5-yr. and 100-yr. developed flows. As stated in the Final Drainage Reports for both Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 1 and Filing No. 3, it is intended that the major drainage corridor within Tracts D and E, Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 1, including all channel improvements and box culvert to be owned and maintained by El Paso County in concert with the public transportation infrastructure through the mechanism of Public Right-of-Way and Public Drainage Easements through the site. Upon construction and acceptance of the all proposed channel improvements, Tracts D and E will be deeded to El Paso County.



All proposed storm facilities within the public Right-of-way will be public with ownership and maintenance by El Paso County. All other proposed storm facilities within easements or tracts and the proposed Pond 1 will be owned and maintained by the Hannah Ridge HOA.

Design Point 1 ($Q_5 = 7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 15$ cfs) and **Design Point 2** ($Q_5 = 0.7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 1.4$ cfs) collect developed flows from Basins OS-2, A and F. At this sump condition, a 10' and a 5' Type R sump inlets, respectively, will be installed to completely collect both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows will have a maximum ponding depth of 1.0' and then be conveyed via a 24" RCP storm sewer in a southerly direction towards Pond 1. The total flow within the pipe at this location is given by **Pipe Run 2** ($Q_5 = 7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 16$ cfs). The emergency overflow route at this location is in the southerly direction directly into a drainage tract that will route the flows towards Pond 1.

Design Point 3 ($Q_5 = 9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 21$ cfs) and **Design Point 4** ($Q_5 = 2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 4$ cfs) collect developed flows from Basins OS-3, D and E. At this sump condition, a 10' and a 5' Type R sump inlets, respectively, will be installed to completely collect both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows will have a maximum ponding depth of 1.0' and then be conveyed via a 30" RCP storm sewer towards Pond 1. The total flow within the pipe at this location is given by **Pipe Run 4** ($Q_5 = 11$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 24$ cfs). The emergency overflow route at this location is via a natural swale between two lots within a drainage easement and then directly into the natural channel. **Pipe Run 5** ($Q_5 = 17$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 38$ cfs) represents the combined pipe flows from Design Points 1-4. This 36" RCP storm sewer will route these combined developed flows directly into Pond 1. This pond inflow is designated later in this report as the easterly pond inflow.

Design Point 5 ($Q_5 = 9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 25$ cfs) collects developed flows from Basins OS-4 and J. At this sump condition, a 15' Type R sump inlet will be installed to collect a portion of both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows being collected have a maximum ponding depth up to the crown of the street. The collected flows at this location equal ($Q_5 = 8$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 8$ cfs) with flow-by of ($Q_5 = 1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 17$ cfs) that will overtop the crown and travel into basins K and L. Given the location of the inlet with respect to this "T" intersection, it is assumed that approximately 75% of the flow-by will enter Basin K and 25% of the flow-by will enter Basin L. The downstream design points account for this flow-by assumption.



Design Point 6 ($Q_5 = 6$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 24$ cfs) and **Design Point 7** ($Q_5 = 4$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 12$ cfs) collect developed flows from Basins K, L and the flow-by described above from DP-5. At this sump condition, a 10' and a 5' Type R sump inlets, respectively, will be installed to completely collect both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows will have a maximum ponding depth up to the crown in Electronic Drive and be conveyed via a 36" RCP storm sewer in a southerly direction towards Design Point 8. The total flow within the pipe at this location is given by **Pipe Run 9** ($Q_5 = 17$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 44$ cfs). The emergency overflow route at this location is in the southerly direction over the crown of Electronic Drive towards Design Point 8.

Design Point 8 ($Q_5 = 3$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 7$ cfs) collects developed flows from Basin M. At this sump condition, a 10' Type R sump inlet will be installed to completely collect both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows will have a maximum ponding depth of 1.0' and be conveyed via a 36" RCP storm sewer in a southerly direction towards Pond 1. The total flow within the pipe at this location is given by **Pipe Run 10** ($Q_5 = 20$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 49$ cfs). The emergency overflow route at this location is in the southerly direction directly into a drainage tract that will route the flows towards the Grand Prix cul-de-sac.

Design Point 9 ($Q_5 = 9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 19$ cfs) and **Design Point 10** ($Q_5 = 2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 5$ cfs) collect developed flows from Basins OS-5, N and O. At this sump condition, a 10' and a 5' Type R sump inlets, respectively, will be installed to completely collect both the 5-year and 100-year developed flows. These flows will have a maximum ponding depth of 1.0' and then be conveyed via a 36" RCP storm sewer in an easterly direction towards Pond 1. The total flow within the pipe at this location is given by **Pipe Run 12** ($Q_5 = 10$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 23$ cfs). The emergency overflow route at this location is in the southerly direction directly into a drainage tract that will route the flows towards the natural channel. **Pipe Run 13** ($Q_5 = 30$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 71$ cfs) represents the combined pipe flows from Pipe Runs 10 and 12. This 42" RCP storm sewer will route these developed flows directly into Pond 1. This pond inflow is designated later in this report as the westerly pond inflow.

Basin OS-1 ($Q_5 = 0.6$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 1.3$ cfs) develops flows from the existing Akers Dr. roadway, north of the highpoint, that will continue to drain in a northerly direction as curb and gutter flow. **Basin C** ($Q_5 = 0.5$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 1$ cfs) develops flows from the existing Akers Dr. parkway landscape area adjacent to the



roadway that will sheet flow into the road and continue to travel in a southerly direction. **Basin G** ($Q_5 = 0.7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 1.4$ cfs) develops flows from a small portion of the proposed lots and roadway that cannot be collected on-site. These minor flow will continue to drain in a southerly direction directly into Winslow Park Dr. **Basin B1** ($Q_5 = 0.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 0.9$ cfs) develops flow from the northerly portion of Tract A and does not include any impervious improvements. Thus, this basin will continue to sheet flow off-site. **Basin B** ($Q_5 = 1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 3$ cfs), **Basin H** ($Q_5 = 1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 2$ cfs) and **Basin P** ($Q_5 = 2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 4$ cfs) develop flows from the rear yards of the proposed lots that cannot be reasonably collected by Pond 1. As noted on the drainage map, all impervious roof area within these basins will require roof drains to be routed to the front of the lots and directly into the public roadway. As such, these flows are then treated by Pond 1. Any remaining minor impervious areas not able to be routed to the front of the lot must travel across a grass buffer (sodded rear yard) prior to exiting the lot. **Basin Q** ($Q_5 = 2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 6$ cfs) develops flows from rear yards of the proposed lots adjacent to Pond 1 and the facility itself. These flows are all tributary to Pond 1.

The total inflow into Pond 1 equals $Q_5 = 44$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 103$ cfs per the UD-Detention spreadsheet. (See Appendix) This facility will be constructed with the proposed Filing 7 development and the downstream flows will remain consistent with the previous filings. This facility will have two separate inflow points (westerly and easterly). The westerly inflow ($Q_5 = 30$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 71$ cfs) will be from a 42" RCP into a concrete forebay with a required size of 566 CF based on 3% of the WQCV from this inflow. The forebay is designed with 18" high walls, 6" notch and a 24" wide concrete trickle channel routing the flows towards the pond outlet. The easterly inflow ($Q_5 = 17$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 38$ cfs) will outlet from a 36" RCP, down a rip-rap chute into a concrete forebay with a required size of 305 CF based on 3% of the WQCV from this inflow. The forebay is designed with 12" high walls, 5" notch and an 28" wide concrete trickle channel routing the flows towards the pond outlet. These two forebays trickle channels will combine into a 30" wide concrete trickle channel conveying the flows to the outlet structure. The outlet structure consists of a 6'x4' concrete box with an integral 190 SF micropool allowing for 6" initial surcharge depth. The micropool total depth of 3.0' provides the required 0.3% of the WQCV. The outlet box height required to meet the EURV design volume equals 5.75'. (See UD-BMP Spreadsheets in Appendix) The orifice plate on the front of the outlet box consists of a series of 5 holes, 13.8" apart. (See UD-Detention Spreadsheets in Appendix) This facility will be owned and maintained by the Hannah Ridge HOA.



Pond 1 has the following design parameters as a full-spectrum facility:

0.74 Ac.-ft. WQCV required

2.44 Ac.-ft. EURV required

2.6 Ac.-ft. EURV design with 4:1 max. slopes

4.4 Ac.-ft. 100-yr. storage

Total In-flow: $Q_5 = 44 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 103 \text{ cfs}$

Pond Design Release: $Q_5 = 0.8 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 38 \text{ cfs}$

Pre-development Release: $Q_5 = 0.8 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 46 \text{ cfs}$

HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, as revised in November 1991 and 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014. Individual on-site developed basin design used for inlet sizing and storm system routing was calculated using the Rational Method. Full-Spectrum detention pond modeling developed using UD-Detention spreadsheet ver. 3.07, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District.

The City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County DCM requires the Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long-term source controls. The Four Step Process pertains to management of smaller, frequently occurring storm events, as opposed to larger storms for which drainage and flood control infrastructure are sized. Implementation of these four steps helps to achieve storm water permit requirements.

This site adheres to this **Four Step Process** as follows:

1. **Employ Runoff Reduction Practices:** Proposed impervious areas (roof tops, patios) will sheet flow across landscaped yards and through open space areas to slow runoff and increase time of concentration prior to being conveyed to the proposed public streets. This will minimize directly connected impervious areas within the project site.



2. **Stabilize Drainageways:** After developed flows utilize the runoff reduction practices through the front yards, these flows will travel via curb and gutter within the public streets and eventually public storm systems. These collected flows are then routed directly to the full-spectrum detention facility on-site (Pond 1). Where developed flows are not able to be routed to public streets (rear yards), sheet flows will travel towards the natural drainage channel within the open space corridor. This corridor will be protected with rip-rap and erosion control matting as required to reduce velocities to non-erosive levels.
3. **Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV):** Runoff from this development will be treated through capture and slow release of the WQCV in the proposed full-spectrum permanent Extended Detention Basin (Pond 1) designed per current El Paso County drainage criteria.
4. **Consider need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs:** No industrial or commercial uses are proposed within this development. However, a site specific storm water quality and erosion control plan and narrative has been submitted along with the grading and erosion control plan. Details such as site specific source control construction BMP's as well as permanent BMP's were detailed in this plan and narrative to protect receiving waters. BMP's will be constructed and maintained as the development has been graded and erosion control methods employed.

ROCK ISLAND TRAIL (BOX CULVERT)

Kiowa Engineering Corporation prepared a report titled "Hydrology Analysis, East Fork Sand Creek, Tributary 6", having revision date of January 18, 2007. The report served to amend the Sand Creek DBPS and was reviewed and accepted by El Paso County during the same time frame as the Preliminary Plan approval for Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass. The amendment specified that the existing 7'x7' railroad culvert crossing, located just north of the proposed Filing 5, is to remain in place. Said DBPS amendment indicates that the existing ponding area on the upstream side of the railroad embankment is to remain in the current and future drainage conditions, thereby reducing the resultant developed flows through the properties downstream of the embankment, including flows through the tributary in Hannah Ridge. Flows at this structure are as follows: Inflow $Q_{10} = 374$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 915$ cfs, Outflow $Q_{10} = 360$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 640$ cfs



with a 100 yr. upstream storage elevation of 6495.3. The 2007 DBPS Amendment Map is included in the Appendix for easy reference. In accordance with BOCC conditions of approval of the Preliminary Plan, maintenance on this existing structure was to take place in conjunction with the Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing 3 improvements. As such, specified improvements were included in the approved construction drawings for Filing 3 (Sheet 31). These improvements included concrete surface repairs within the box culvert, wingwall reconditioning and addition of rip-rap aprons. To date, these improvements have been completed by Classic Homes with the development of Filing 3 and inspected by El Paso County. The City of Colorado Springs is aware of the recent improvements and is scheduled to inspect them for completion, as they will maintain ownership and maintenance responsibility for this structure and associated improvements. A letter from City acknowledging these improvements will be provided to County Staff.

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is located within a FEMA floodplain as determined by the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (F.I.R.M.) Map Numbers 08041C0752G and 08041C0539G, with effective dates of December 7, 2018 (See Appendix).

EROSION CONTROL PLAN

The Drainage Criteria Manual specifies an Erosion Control Plan and associated cost estimate be submitted with the Final Drainage Report. We respectfully request that the Erosion Control Plan and cost estimate be submitted in conjunction with the Overlot Grading Plan and construction assurances posted prior to obtaining a grading permit.

DRAINAGE FACILITY COST OPINION

The following exhibits provide a summary based on the allowable reimbursable costs as presented in the Sand Creek DBPS. These documents rectify previous drainage fee credits given for Filings 1-4 as well as proposed credits for the remaining Filings within the Hannah Ridge development. The net result shows the allowable reimbursable costs (2019 dollars) exceed the total drainage fees for the entire development.



TABLE VIII-3: SAND CREEK DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY
cont'd TRIBUTARY DRAINAGEWAY CONVEYANCE COST ESTIMATE
EAST FORK SAND CREEK TRIBUTARIES

SEGMENT NUMBER	REACH NUMBER	IMPROVEMENT TYPE	IMP. LENGTH (FT)	UNIT COST (\$/LF)	NUMBER OF GRADE CONTROLS	LENGTH OF GRADE CONTROL (FT)	TOTAL REIMBURSABLE COSTS	TOTAL COST
EAST FORK SAND CREEK								
104	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	450	205	7	350	\$0	\$144,750
8	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	3540	120	4	120	\$442,800	\$442,800
8A	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	1920	234	2	70	\$459,700	\$459,780
6	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	5200	234	4	240	\$1,252,800	\$1,252,800
112	EF-2	EX. SYSTEM TO REMAIN	1150	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
12A	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	1900	234	2	120	\$462,600	\$462,600
195	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	980	189	1	35	\$190,470	\$190,470
12	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	1730	234	3	150	\$427,320	\$427,320
20	EF-2	100-YR RIPRAP	3650	234	10	500	\$929,100	\$929,100
17	EF-4	100-YR RIPRAP	1300	205	2	100	\$281,500	\$281,500
124A	EF-4	100-YR RIPRAP	1750	234	2	80	\$421,500	\$421,500
198	EF-4	100-YR RIPRAP	3650	205	4	160	\$722,250	\$772,250
30	EF-4 *	100-YR RIPRAP	4500	205	3	150	\$945,000	\$945,000
75	EF-7	100-YR RIPRAP	4200	234	10	700	\$1,087,800	\$1,087,800
173	EF-7	100-YR RIPRAP	1600	234	2	120	\$392,400	\$392,400
72	EF-7	100-YR RIPRAP	4500	205	8	560	\$1,006,500	\$1,006,500
57	EF-7	100-YR RIPRAP	3200	234	3	120	\$766,800	\$766,800
55	EF-6	100-YR RIPRAP	2800	234	3	135	\$675,450	\$675,450
31	EF-5	100-YR RIPRAP	2900	205	7	210	\$626,000	\$626,000
144	EF-6	100-YR RIPRAP	2050	189	3	60	\$396,450	\$396,450
82	EF-8	SELECTIVE RIPRAP LINING	5700	85	5	150	\$507,000	\$507,000
83	EF-8	SELECTIVE RIPRAP LINING	5400	93	6	180	\$529,200	\$529,200
194A	EF-8	SELECTIVE RIPRAP LINING	1900	93	2	60	\$185,700	\$185,700
88	EF-8	SELECTIVE RIPRAP LINING	5500	57	5	150	\$336,000	\$336,000
85	EF-8	SELECTIVE RIPRAP LINING	5900	93	7	210	\$580,200	\$580,200

MATCH SHT EF-26

SAND CREEK DRAINAGE
BASIN PLANNING STUDY
PRELIMINARY DESIGN PLANS

Project No.	
Date:	
Design:	
Drawn:	
Check:	
Reviewed:	

EF-25

SAND CREEK DRAINAGE
BASIN PLANNING STUDY
PRELIMINARY DESIGN PLANS

Project No.	
Date:	
Design:	
Drawn:	
Check:	
Reviewed:	

EF-23

SAND CREEK DRAINAGE
BASIN PLANNING STUDY
PRELIMINARY DESIGN PLANS

Project No.	
Date:	
Design:	
Drawn:	
Check:	
Reviewed:	

EF-24

NEW RIPRAP CHANNEL
BW=15', D=2', Q₁₀₀=200 cfs

NEW RIPRAP CHANNEL
BW=15', D=2', Q₁₀₀=200 cfs

NEW RIPRAP CHANNEL
BW=20', D=4', Q₁₀₀=990 cfs

NEW RIPRAP CHANNEL
BW=30', D=4', Q₁₀₀=1580 cfs

IMPROVED RIPRAP CHANNEL
BW=10', D=2', Q₁₀₀=200 cfs

NEW RIPRAP CHANNEL
BW=30', D=4', Q₁₀₀=1580 cfs

EXISTING 6'x12' CBC
TO REMAIN

SAND CREEK DRAINAGE
BASIN PLANNING STUDY
PRELIMINARY DESIGN PLANS

Project No.	
Date:	
Design:	
Drawn:	
Check:	
Reviewed:	

EF-22

SAND CREEK DRAINAGE
BASIN PLANNING STUDY
PRELIMINARY DESIGN PLANS

Project No.	
Date:	
Design:	
Drawn:	
Check:	
Reviewed:	

EF-21

HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHER GRASS REIMBURSABLE COST SUMMARY

Job 1116.05 (revised 7-6-19)

Filing	Acreage (AC)	% Imprevious	Drainage Fee	Reimburable Drainage Facility Estimate	Possible 10% Engineering Reimbursable	DBPS Reimbursable Facility Costs From DBPS	DBPS Reimbursable Facility Costs From DBPS w/ Inflation Factor	Inflation Factor	Comment
NO. 1	31.22	38%	\$ 177,954.00	N/A					
4/23/2014*	9.68	38%	\$ 55,176.00						
			(\$15,000/ac)						
NO. 2	9.27	38%	\$ 52,839.00	\$ 159,068.00	\$ 15,906.80	N/A	N/A		Facilities installed - DBPS 12A
10/6/2015			\$ 15,000.00						
NO. 3	8.31	51%	\$ 68,953.89	\$ 589,961.00	\$ 58,996.10	\$462,600.00	\$501,921.00	1.085 (2017)	Facilities installed - DBPS 12A
			\$ 16,270.00						
NO. 4	10.12	51%	\$ 83,972.72	N/A		N/A	N/A		
			\$ 16,270.00						
NO. 5	12.92	49%	\$ 120,079.60	\$ 412,620.00	\$ 41,262.00	\$427,320.00	\$521,330.40	1.223 (2019)	To be installed w/ Fil 5 - DBPS 12
		***	\$ 18,940.00	**					
NO. 6	7.94	48%	\$ 71,593.20	N/A		N/A	N/A		
		***	\$ 18,940.00						
NO. 7	13.71	60%	\$ 155,876.20	incl in above filing 5		\$190,470.00	\$232,373.40	1.223 (2019)	To be installed w/ Fil 7 - DBPS 195
		***	\$ 18,940.00						
Midtown Fil 1 (estimate)	3.26	60%	\$ 37,046.64	N/A		N/A	N/A		
			\$ 18,940.00						
Midtown Fil 2 (estimate)	9.12	60%	\$ 103,639.68	N/A		N/A	N/A		
			\$ 18,940.00						

TOTAL	115.55	\$ 927,130.93	\$ 1,161,649.00	\$ 116,164.90	\$1,080,390.00	\$1,255,624.80
--------------	---------------	----------------------	------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Total possible Credit
per estimates incl
engineering \$ 1,277,813.90
Fee Offset \$ 927,130.93
Possible Credits in EPC
Sand Creek Basin \$ 350,682.97

NOTE: Total Reimburable Drainage Factous for Filing No. 1 to 7 = \$2,476,616.00 (per MVE approved reports)

* Revised per Filing No. 2 Correction

** See Filing 5 Reimbursable Costs

*** See Fee breakdown based on Imp. Ac.

The proposed Sand Creek Tributary 6 channel improvements as shown in the Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5 construction plans and associated reimbursable costs are as follows and have not been previously deferred in earlier filings:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5 Drainage Improvement Costs (Reimbursable)

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST
1.	50' BW, 4' H Grass Channel (Incl. grading, seeding, E.C)	420 LF	\$165/LF	\$ 69,300.00
2.	62' BW Rip-Rap Channel (Type L Rip-Rap D=18")	415 CY	\$93/CY	\$ 38,595.00
3.	74' BW Grouted Rip-Rap (Type L Grouted D=18")	165 CY	\$227/CY	\$ 37,455.00
4.	Concrete Box Culvert (6x12)	463 LF	\$520/LF	\$ 240,760.00
5.	End Treatment - Headwall	1 EA	\$3,500 EA	\$ 3,500.00
6.	End Treatment - Wingwalls	2 EA	\$5,000 EA	\$ 10,000.00
7.	End Treatment – Cutoff wall	1 EA	\$500 EA	\$ 500.00
8.	36" Wide Conc. Curb Chase	417 LF	\$30 LF	\$ 12,510.00
TOTAL				<u>\$ 412,620.00</u>

Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors cannot and does not guarantee that the construction cost will not vary from these opinions of probable construction costs. These opinions represent our best judgment as design professionals familiar with the construction industry and this development in particular.

DRAINAGE & BRIDGE FEES

This site lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. The fees are calculated using the following impervious acreage method approved by El Paso County. All three Filings are re-plats of previously platted tracts within Filing 1. However, these tracts were designated as future development and no fees were paid at time of original platting. Thus, the percent imperviousness for each Filing is calculated below based on the following acreages:



Filing 5: 12.92 ac.
 Filing 6: 7.94 ac.
 Filing 7: 15.40 ac. However, 1.69 ac. is a re-plat of Tract A, Filing 6 with fees paid at that time
 Filing 7 net acreage for drainage/bridge fees = 13.71 ac.

The total development area for each Filing is broken into different residential uses:

PUD zone (5000-6000 SF lots – 60% Impervious)

PUD zone (6000 SF avg. lots – 53% Impervious)

PUD zone Open space/drainage tracts (Greenbelts – 2% Impervious).

The following calculations are based on the 2019 drainage/bridge fees for the Sand Creek Basin:

FILING 5:

6000 SF avg. lots

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart for 6000 SF lots: 53%)

$$11.93 \text{ Ac.} \times 53\% = \mathbf{6.32 \text{ Impervious Ac.}}$$

Open Space Tracts

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart for greenbelts: 2%)

$$0.99 \text{ Ac.} \times 2\% = \mathbf{0.02 \text{ Impervious Ac.}}$$

Total Impervious Acreage: 6.34 Imp. Ac.

FILING 5 FEE TOTALS:

Bridge Fees

$$\$ 5,559.00 \times 6.34 \text{ Impervious Ac.} = \underline{\$ 35,244.06}$$

Drainage Fees

$$\$ 18,940.00 \times 6.34 \text{ Impervious Ac.} = \underline{\$ 120,079.60}$$

(These Drainage Fees will be paid by developer in the form of credits based on the aforementioned reimbursable drainage costs)



FILING 6:

5000 - 6000 SF avg. lots

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart for 5000 - 6000 SF lots: 60%)

$$6.25 \text{ Ac.} \times 60\% = \mathbf{3.75 \text{ Impervious Ac.}}$$

Open Space Tracts

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart for greenbelts: 2%)

$$1.69 \text{ Ac.} \times 2\% = \mathbf{0.03 \text{ Impervious Ac.}}$$

Total Impervious Acreage: 3.78 Imp. Ac.

FILING 6 FEE TOTALS:

Bridge Fees

$$\$ 5,559.00 \times 3.78 \text{ Impervious Ac.} = \quad \underline{\$ 21,013.02}$$

Drainage Fees

$$\$ 18,940.00 \times 3.78 \text{ Impervious Ac.} = \quad \underline{\$ 71,593.20}$$

(These Drainage Fees will be paid by developer in the form of credits based on the
aforementioned reimbursable drainage costs)

FILING 7:

5000 - 6000 SF avg. lots

(Per El Paso County Percent Impervious Chart for 5000 - 6000 SF lots: 60%)

$$13.71 \text{ Ac.} \times 60\% = \mathbf{8.23 \text{ Impervious Ac.}}$$

Total Impervious Acreage: 8.23 Imp. Ac.



FILING 7 FEE TOTALS:

Bridge Fees

$$\text{\$ } 5,559.00 \times 8.23 \text{ Impervious Ac.} = \text{\$ } \underline{45,728.33}$$

Drainage Fees

$$\text{\$ } 18,940.00 \times 8.23 \text{ Impervious Ac.} = \text{\$ } \underline{155,876.20}$$

(These Drainage Fees will be paid by developer in the form of credits based on the aforementioned reimbursable drainage costs)

SUMMARY

This proposed development remains consistent with the previously approved MDDP and Final Drainage Reports for Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filings 2, 3 and 4. The existing storm facilities continue to adequately handle both the 5-yr. and 100-yr. developed flows. All proposed detention facilities meet current criteria and provide full spectrum design. The proposed development will not adversely impact surrounding developments.

PREPARED BY:
Classic Consulting



Marc A. Whorton, P.E.
Project Manager

maw/1116.05/REPORTS/PDR-Fil. 5-6-7.doc




REFERENCES

1. City of Colorado Springs/County of El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual dated October 1991.
2. “Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study,” Kiowa Engineering Corp, dated March 1996.
3. “Master Development Drainage Plan for Hannah Ridge”, prepared by MVE, Inc. November 2007
4. “Hydrology Analysis, East Fork Sand Creek, Tributary 6”, prepared by Kiowa Engineering Corp, dated January 2007
5. “Final Drainage Report for Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 3”, by MVE, Inc. October 2017.
6. Drainage Criteria Manual (Volume 3) latest revision April 2008, Urban Drainage and Flood Criteria District.



APPENDIX

VICINITY MAP



VICINITY MAP


N.T.S.

SOILS MAP (S.C.S SURVEY)

Soil Map—El Paso County Area, Colorado



Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.




**Natural Resources
Conservation Service**

Web Soil Survey
National Cooperative Soil Survey


10/4/2018
Page 1 of 3


MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

 Soil Map Unit Polygons

 Soil Map Unit Lines

 Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features



Blowout



Borrow Pit



Clay Spot



Closed Depression



Gravel Pit



Gravelly Spot



Landfill



Lava Flow



Marsh or swamp



Mine or Quarry



Miscellaneous Water



Perennial Water



Rock Outcrop



Saline Spot



Sandy Spot



Severely Eroded Spot



Sinkhole



Slide or Slip



Sodic Spot



Spoil Area



Stony Spot



Very Stony Spot



Wet Spot



Other



Special Line Features

Water Features



Streams and Canals

Transportation



Rails



Interstate Highways



US Routes



Major Roads



Local Roads

Background



Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado

Survey Area Data: Version 16, Sep 10, 2018

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Apr 15, 2011—Jun 17, 2014

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	119.8	88.4%
97	Truckton sandy loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes	15.7	11.6%
Totals for Area of Interest		135.4	100.0%

El Paso County Area, Colorado

8—Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369v

Elevation: 4,600 to 5,800 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 48 degrees F

Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Blakeland and similar soils: 85 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Blakeland

Setting

Landform: Hills, flats

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, talf

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or
eolian deposits derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 11 inches: loamy sand

AC - 11 to 27 inches: loamy sand

C - 27 to 60 inches: sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 9 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Natural drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained

Runoff class: Low

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to
very high (5.95 to 19.98 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 5 percent

Available water storage in profile: Low (about 4.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e

Hydrologic Soil Group: A

Ecological site: Sandy Foothill (R049BY210CO)

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit:

Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit:

Landform: Depressions

Hydric soil rating: Yes

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado

Survey Area Data: Version 16, Sep 10, 2018

F.E.M.A. MAP

HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

JOB NAME: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILING NO. 5, 6 & 7
 JOB NUMBER: 1116.05
 DATE: 10/01/18
 CALCULATED BY: K. CERJAN

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF COEFFICIENT SUMMARY

BASIN	TOTAL AREA (AC)	IMPERVIOUS AREA / STREETS							LANDSCAPE/UNDEVELOPED AREAS							WEIGHTED			WEIGHTED CA		
		AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(10)	C(25)	C(50)	C(100)	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(10)	C(25)	C(50)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)
OS-1	0.23	0.16	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.07	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.63	0.65	0.77	0.14	0.15	0.18
OS-2	0.35	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.10	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.64	0.67	0.79	0.22	0.23	0.28
OS-3	0.27	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.27	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.01	0.02	0.09
OS-4	3.40	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.40	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.07	0.27	1.19
OS-5	0.36	0.33	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.82	0.83	0.91	0.29	0.30	0.33
A	3.90	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.90	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	1.52	1.68	2.22
B	0.52	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.52	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.20	0.22	0.30
B1	0.28	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.28	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.01	0.02	0.10
C	0.21	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.21	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.08	0.09	0.12
D	5.60	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	5.60	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	2.18	2.41	3.19
E	0.96	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.37	0.41	0.55
F	0.26	0.08	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.18	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.54	0.57	0.69	0.14	0.15	0.18
G	0.25	0.10	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.15	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.59	0.62	0.73	0.15	0.15	0.18
H	0.40	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.40	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.16	0.17	0.23
I	Not Used																				
J	5.30	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	5.30	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	2.07	2.28	3.02
K	3.60	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	3.60	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	1.40	1.55	2.05
L	2.30	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	2.30	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.90	0.99	1.31
M	1.70	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.70	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.66	0.73	0.97
N	5.10	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	5.10	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	1.99	2.19	2.91
O	1.20	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	1.20	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.47	0.52	0.68
P	0.77	0.00	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.77	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.39	0.43	0.57	0.30	0.33	0.44
Q	3.20	0.90	0.39	0.43	0.47	0.52	0.55	0.57	2.30	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.12	0.18	0.41	0.40	0.57	1.32

JOB NAME: **HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILING NO. 5, 6 & 7**
 JOB NUMBER: **1116.05**
 DATE: **10/01/18**
 CALC'D BY: **K. CERJAN**

Table 6-7. Conveyance Coefficient, C_v

Type of Land Surface	C_v
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Riprap (not buried)* $t_e = \frac{L}{180} + 10$	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

*For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of vegetative cover.

$$t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_s)\sqrt{L}}{S^{0.33}} \quad V = C_v S_w^{0.5} \quad Tc = LV$$

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ BASIN RUNOFF SUMMARY

BASIN	WEIGHTED						OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				Tc	INTENSITY							TOTAL FLOWS		
	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(10)	CA(25)	CA(50)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length (ft)	Height (ft)	Tc (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	I(2) (in/hr)	I(5) (in/hr)	I(10) (in/hr)	I(25) (in/hr)	I(50) (in/hr)	I(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100) (cfs)	
OS-1	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.08	25	0.5	7.3	230	1.0%	2.0	1.9	9.2	3.39	4.25	4.96	5.66	6.37	7.13	0.5	0.6	1.3	
OS-2	0.22	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.28	0.08	25	0.5	7.3	320	1.0%	2.0	2.7	10.0	3.30	4.13	4.82	5.51	6.20	6.93	0.7	1	2	
OS-3	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.08	100	10	8.6					8.6	3.47	4.35	5.08	5.80	6.53	7.31	0.02	0.1	0.7	
OS-4	0.07	0.27	0.51	0.85	1.02	1.19	0.08	180	10	14.0					14.0	2.89	3.62	4.22	4.83	5.43	6.08	0.2	1	7	
OS-5	0.29	0.30	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.08	10	0.2	4.6	470	2.8%	3.3	2.3	7.0	3.72	4.67	5.45	6.23	7.01	7.84	1.1	1	3	
A	1.52	1.68	1.83	2.03	2.15	2.22	0.43	100	2	9.6	750	1.5%	2.4	5.1	14.7	2.83	3.55	4.14	4.73	5.32	5.96	4	6	13	
B	0.20	0.22	0.24	0.27	0.29	0.30	0.43	75	16	3.8					5.0	4.12	5.17	6.03	6.89	7.75	8.68	1	1	3	
B1	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.08	55	13	4.8					5.0	4.12	5.17	6.03	6.89	7.75	8.68	0.0	0.1	0.9	
C	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.43	20	0.4	4.3					5.0	4.12	5.17	6.03	6.89	7.75	8.68	0.3	0.5	1	
D	2.18	2.41	2.63	2.91	3.08	3.19	0.43	100	2	9.6	575	4.0%	4.0	2.4	12.0	3.08	3.85	4.50	5.14	5.78	6.47	7	9	21	
E	0.37	0.41	0.45	0.50	0.53	0.55	0.43	50	1	6.8	375	2.7%	3.3	1.9	8.7	3.46	4.34	5.06	5.78	6.51	7.28	1	2	4	
F	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.43	50	1	6.8					6.8	3.75	4.71	5.49	6.28	7.06	7.90	0.5	0.7	1.4	
G	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.43	50	1	6.8	60	1.5%	2.4	0.4	7.2	3.68	4.62	5.39	6.16	6.93	7.76	0.5	0.7	1.4	
H	0.16	0.17	0.19	0.21	0.22	0.23	0.43	30	0.6	5.3					5.3	4.06	5.09	5.94	6.79	7.64	8.55	1	1	2	
I	Not Used																								
J	2.07	2.28	2.49	2.76	2.92	3.02	0.43	100	2	9.6	850	1.5%	2.4	5.8	15.4	2.78	3.48	4.06	4.64	5.22	5.84	6	8	18	
K	1.40	1.55	1.69	1.87	1.98	2.05	0.43	100	2	9.6	425	1.5%	2.4	2.9	12.5	3.03	3.79	4.42	5.06	5.69	6.37	4	6	13	
L	0.90	0.99	1.08	1.20	1.27	1.31	0.43	100	2	9.6	510	2.5%	3.2	2.7	12.3	3.05	3.82	4.45	5.09	5.73	6.41	3	4	8	
M	0.66	0.73	0.80	0.88	0.94	0.97	0.43	50	1	6.8	475	1.5%	2.4	3.2	10.0	3.29	4.12	4.81	5.50	6.19	6.92	2	3	7	
N	1.99	2.19	2.40	2.65	2.81	2.91	0.43	100	2	9.6	975	2.0%	2.8	5.7	15.4	2.78	3.48	4.07	4.65	5.23	5.85	6	8	17	
O	0.47	0.52	0.56	0.62	0.66	0.68	0.43	50	1	6.8	575	2.0%	2.8	3.4	10.2	3.27	4.10	4.78	5.47	6.15	6.88	1.5	2	5	
P	0.30	0.33	0.36	0.40	0.42	0.44	0.43	50	6	3.8					5.0	4.12	5.17	6.03	6.89	7.75	8.68	1.2	2	4	
Q	0.40	0.57	0.77	1.04	1.19	1.32	0.08	260	5	23.9					23.9	2.26	2.82	3.29	3.76	4.23	4.73	1	2	6	

JOB NAME: HANNAH RIDGEAT FEATHERGRASS FILING NO. 5, 6 & 7
 JOB NUMBER: 1116.05
 DATE: 10/01/18
 CALCULATED BY: K. CERJAN

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	Intensity		Flow		Inlet Size
					I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	
1	A, OS-2	1.91	2.50	14.7	3.55	5.96	7	15	10' Type R Sump
2	F	0.15	0.18	6.8	4.71	7.90	0.7	1.4	5' Type R Sump
3	D, OS-3	2.43	3.29	12.0	3.85	6.47	9	21	15' Type R Sump
4	E	0.41	0.55	8.7	4.34	7.28	2	4	5' Type R Sump
5	OS-4, J	2.55	4.21	15.4	3.48	5.84	9	25	15' Type R Sump
6	K, DP-5 Flowby (75%)	1.76	4.20	15.8	3.44	5.78	6	24	10' Type R Sump
7	L, DP-5 Flowby (25%)	1.06	2.03	15.8	3.44	5.78	4	12	5' Type R Sump
8	M	0.73	0.97	10.0	4.12	6.92	3	7	10' Type R Sump
9	N, OS-5	2.49	3.23	15.4	3.48	5.85	9	19	10' Type R Sump
10	O	0.52	0.68	10.2	4.10	6.88	2	5	5' Type R Sump
E'LY INFLOW TO POND	DP1 - DP4	4.90	6.51	15.5	3.47	5.82	17	38	
W'LY INFLOW TO POND	DP-5 - DP-10	8.83	12.46	16.2	3.40	5.72	30	71	
TOTAL INFLOW TO POND	OS-2, OS-3, OS-4, OS-5, A, D, E, F, J, K, L, M, N, O, Q	See UD-Detention Spreadsheet					44	103	

JOB NAME: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILING NO. 5, 6 & 7
 JOB NUMBER: 1116.05
 DATE: 10/01/18
 CALCULATED BY: K. CERJAN

* PIPES ARE LISTED AT MAXIMUM SIZE REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE Q100 FLOWS AT MINIMUM GRADE.
 REFER TO INDIVIDUAL PIPE SHEETS FOR HYDRAULIC INFORMATION.

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT ~ PIPE ROUTING SUMMARY

Pipe Run	Contributing Basins	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	Intensity		Flow		Pipe Size*	Velocity (ft/sec.)
					I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)		
1	DP-1	1.91	2.50	14.7	3.55	5.96	7	15	24" RCP	7.7
2	DP-2, PR-1	2.06	2.68	14.8	3.54	5.94	7	16	24" RCP	11.5
3	DP-3	2.43	3.29	12.0	3.85	6.47	9	21	30" RCP	8.4
4	DP-4, PR-3	2.84	3.83	12.6	3.78	6.35	11	24	30" RCP	16.3
5	PR-2, PR-4	4.90	6.51	15.5	3.47	5.82	17	38	36" RCP	23.6 (Use Class 5 RCP w/ Rstrnts)
6	DP-5 Collected	2.27	1.35	15.4	3.48	5.84	8	8	18" RCP	10.4
7	DP-6, Flow-by from DP-5 (75%)	1.76	4.20	15.8	3.44	5.78	6	24	30" RCP	16.8
8	DP-7, Flow-by from DP-5 (25%)	1.06	2.03	15.8	3.44	5.78	4	12	24" RCP	9.5
9	RP-6, PR-7, PR-8	5.09	7.57	15.9	3.43	5.76	17	44	36" RCP	10.1
10	DP-8, PR-9	5.82	8.54	16.1	3.41	5.73	20	49	36" RCP	10.3
11	DP-10	0.52	0.68	10.3	4.09	6.86	2	5	18" RCP	4.5
12	DP-9, PR-11	3.01	3.92	15.5	3.47	5.83	10	23	36" RCP	6.6
13	PR-10, PR-12	8.83	12.46	16.2	3.40	5.72	30	71	42" RCP	9.5

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)


(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7

Inlet ID:

DP-1

**Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb

Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line

Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown

Gutter Width

Street Transverse Slope

Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)

Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition

Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm

Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm

Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion**MAJOR STORM** Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

$T_{BACK} = 7.5$ ft
 $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{BACK} = 0.013$


$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
 $T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft
 $W = 2.00$ ft
 $S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft
 $S_o = 0.000$ ft/ft
 $n_{STREET} = 0.016$

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	17.0	17.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	12.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow} =$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)

Type of Inlet CDOT Type R Curb Opening
 Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)
 Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)
 Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)

Grate Information

Length of a Unit Grate
 Width of a Unit Grate
 Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)
 Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)
 Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)

Curb Opening Information

Length of a Unit Curb Opening
 Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches
 Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches
 Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)
 Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)
 Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)
 Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)

Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)

Depth for Grate Midwidth
 Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation
 Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets

Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)

Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)

	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
No =	1	1	
Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
	MINOR	MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths
L_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
C_r (G) =	N/A	N/A	
C_w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
C_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
L_o (C) =	10.00	10.00	feet
H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet
C_r (C) =	0.10	0.10	
C_w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
C_o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
d_{Curb} =	0.33	0.83	ft
$RF_{Combination}$ =	0.57	1.00	
RF_{Curb} =	0.93	1.00	
RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
Q_a =	8.3	25.5	cfs
$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	7.0	15.0	cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)


(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7

Inlet ID:

DP-2

**Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb

Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line

Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown

Gutter Width

Street Transverse Slope

Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)

Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition

Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm

Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm

Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion**MAJOR STORM** Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

$T_{BACK} = 7.5$ ft
 $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{BACK} = 0.013$


$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
 $T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft
 $W = 2.00$ ft
 $S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft
 $S_o = 0.000$ ft/ft
 $n_{STREET} = 0.016$

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	17.0	17.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	12.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow} =$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)

Type of Inlet CDOT Type R Curb Opening
 Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)
 Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)
 Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)

Grate Information

Length of a Unit Grate
 Width of a Unit Grate
 Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)
 Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)
 Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)

Curb Opening Information

Length of a Unit Curb Opening
 Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches
 Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches
 Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)
 Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)
 Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)
 Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)

Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)

Depth for Grate Midwidth
 Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation
 Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets

Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)

Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)

	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
No =	1	1	
Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
	MINOR	MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths
L_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
C_r (G) =	N/A	N/A	
C_w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
C_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
L_o (C) =	5.00	5.00	feet
H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet
C_r (C) =	0.10	0.10	
C_w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
C_o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
d_{Curb} =	0.33	0.83	ft
$RF_{Combination}$ =	0.77	1.00	
RF_{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
Q_a =	5.4	12.3	cfs
$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	0.7	1.4	cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)


(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7

Inlet ID:

DP-3

**Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb

Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line

Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown

Gutter Width

Street Transverse Slope

Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)

Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition

Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm

Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm

Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion**MAJOR STORM** Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

$T_{BACK} = 7.5$ ft
 $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{BACK} = 0.013$


$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
 $T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft
 $W = 2.00$ ft
 $S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft
 $S_o = 0.000$ ft/ft
 $n_{STREET} = 0.016$

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	17.0	17.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	12.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow} =$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)		a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)		No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)		Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		$L_g (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)		A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)		$C_r (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)		$C_w (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)		$C_o (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information			MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		$L_c (C)$ =	15.00	15.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches		H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches		H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)		Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)		W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)		$C_r (C)$ =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)		$C_w (C)$ =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)		$C_o (C)$ =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)			MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation		d_{Curb} =	0.33	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		$RF_{Combination}$ =	0.57	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Curb} =	0.79	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)			MINOR	MAJOR	
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)		Q_a =	9.7	39.1	cfs
		$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	9.0	21.0	cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)


(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7

Inlet ID:

DP-4

**Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb

Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line

Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown

Gutter Width

Street Transverse Slope

Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)

Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition

Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm

Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm

Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion**MAJOR STORM** Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion $T_{BACK} = 7.5$ ft $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft $n_{BACK} = 0.013$ $H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches $T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft $W = 2.00$ ft $S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft $S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft $S_o = 0.000$ ft/ft $n_{STREET} = 0.016$


	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	17.0	17.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	12.0	inches

☐☐

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow} =$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)		a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)		No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)		Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		$L_g (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)		A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)		$C_r (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)		$C_w (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)		$C_o (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information			MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		$L_c (C)$ =	5.00	5.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches		H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches		H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)		Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)		W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)		$C_r (C)$ =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)		$C_w (C)$ =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)		$C_o (C)$ =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)			MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation		d_{Curb} =	0.33	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		$RF_{Combination}$ =	0.77	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)			MINOR	MAJOR	
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)		Q_a =	5.4	12.3	cfs
		$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	2.0	4.0	cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)


(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7

Inlet ID:

DP-5

**Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb

Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line

Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown

Gutter Width

Street Transverse Slope

Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)

Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition

Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm

Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm

Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion**MAJOR STORM** Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

$T_{BACK} = 7.5$ ft
 $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{BACK} = 0.013$


$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
 $T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft
 $W = 2.00$ ft
 $S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft
 $S_o = 0.000$ ft/ft
 $n_{STREET} = 0.016$

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	17.0	17.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	8.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow} =$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)

Type of Inlet CDOT Type R Curb Opening
 Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)
 Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)
 Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)

Grate Information

Length of a Unit Grate
 Width of a Unit Grate
 Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)
 Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)
 Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)

Curb Opening Information

Length of a Unit Curb Opening
 Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches
 Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches
 Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)
 Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)
 Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)
 Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)

Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)

Depth for Grate Midwidth
 Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation
 Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets

Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)

WARNING: Inlet Capacity less than Q Peak for Minor and Major Storms

	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
No =	1	1	
Ponding Depth =	5.6	5.6	inches
	MINOR	MAJOR	<input type="checkbox"/> Override Depths
L_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
C_r (G) =	N/A	N/A	
C_w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
C_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
L_o (C) =	15.00	15.00	feet
H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet
C_r (C) =	0.10	0.10	
C_w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
C_o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
d_{Curb} =	0.30	0.30	ft
$RF_{Combination}$ =	0.53	0.53	
RF_{Curb} =	0.76	0.76	
RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
Q_a =	8.0	8.0	cfs
$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	9.0	25.0	cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)


(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7

Inlet ID:

DP-6

**Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb

Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line

Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown

Gutter Width

Street Transverse Slope

Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)

Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition

Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm

Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm

Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion**MAJOR STORM** Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

$T_{BACK} = 8.5$ ft
 $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{BACK} = 0.013$


$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
 $T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft
 $W = 2.00$ ft
 $S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $S_W = 0.083$ ft/ft
 $S_D = 0.000$ ft/ft
 $n_{STREET} = 0.016$

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	17.0	17.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	12.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow} =$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)

Type of Inlet CDOT Type R Curb Opening
 Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)
 Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)
 Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)

Grate Information

Length of a Unit Grate
 Width of a Unit Grate
 Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)
 Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)
 Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)

Curb Opening Information

Length of a Unit Curb Opening
 Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches
 Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches
 Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)
 Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)
 Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)
 Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)

Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)

Depth for Grate Midwidth
 Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation
 Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets

Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)

Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)

	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
No =	1	1	
Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
	MINOR	MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths
L_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
C_r (G) =	N/A	N/A	
C_w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
C_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
L_o (C) =	10.00	10.00	feet
H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet
C_r (C) =	0.10	0.10	
C_w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
C_o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
d_{Curb} =	0.33	0.83	ft
$RF_{Combination}$ =	0.57	1.00	
RF_{Curb} =	0.93	1.00	
RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
Q_a =	8.3	25.5	cfs
$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	6.0	24.0	cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)


(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7

Inlet ID:

DP-7

**Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb

Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line

Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown

Gutter Width

Street Transverse Slope

Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)

Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition

Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm

Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm

Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion**MAJOR STORM** Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

$T_{BACK} = 7.5$ ft
 $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{BACK} = 0.013$


$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
 $T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft
 $W = 2.00$ ft
 $S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft
 $S_o = 0.000$ ft/ft
 $n_{STREET} = 0.016$

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	17.0	17.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	12.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow} =$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)

Type of Inlet CDOT Type R Curb Opening
 Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)
 Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)
 Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)

Grate Information

Length of a Unit Grate
 Width of a Unit Grate
 Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)
 Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)
 Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)

Curb Opening Information

Length of a Unit Curb Opening
 Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches
 Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches
 Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)
 Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)
 Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)
 Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)

Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)

Depth for Grate Midwidth
 Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation
 Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets

Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)

Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)

	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
d_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
No =	1	1	
Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
	MINOR	MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths
$L_g (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	feet
W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
$C_r (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
$C_w (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
$C_o (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
$L_c (C)$ =	5.00	5.00	feet
H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet
$C_r (C)$ =	0.10	0.10	
$C_w (C)$ =	3.60	3.60	
$C_o (C)$ =	0.67	0.67	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
d_{Curb} =	0.33	0.83	ft
$RF_{Combination}$ =	0.77	1.00	
RF_{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
Q_a =	5.4	12.3	cfs
$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	4.0	12.0	cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)


(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7

Inlet ID:

DP-8

**Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb

Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line

Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown

Gutter Width

Street Transverse Slope

Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)

Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition

Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm

Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm

Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion**MAJOR STORM** Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

$T_{BACK} = 7.5$ ft
 $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{BACK} = 0.013$


$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
 $T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft
 $W = 2.00$ ft
 $S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $S_W = 0.083$ ft/ft
 $S_D = 0.000$ ft/ft
 $n_{STREET} = 0.016$

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	17.0	17.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	8.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow} =$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)		MINOR		MAJOR		
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening			
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)		a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches	
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)		No =	1	1		
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)		Ponding Depth =	6.0	6.0	inches	
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		$L_g (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	feet	
Width of a Unit Grate		W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet	
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)		A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A		
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)		$C_r (G)$ =	N/A	N/A		
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)		$C_w (G)$ =	N/A	N/A		
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)		$C_o (G)$ =	N/A	N/A		
Curb Opening Information			MINOR	MAJOR		
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		$L_o (C)$ =	10.00	10.00	feet	
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches		H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches	
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches		H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches	
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)		Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees	
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)		W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet	
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)		$C_r (C)$ =	0.10	0.10		
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)		$C_w (C)$ =	3.60	3.60		
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)		$C_o (C)$ =	0.67	0.67		
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)			MINOR	MAJOR		
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft	
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation		d_{Curb} =	0.33	0.33	ft	
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		$RF_{Combination}$ =	0.57	0.57		
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Curb} =	0.93	0.93		
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A		
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)			MINOR	MAJOR		
Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)		Q_a =	8.3	8.3	cfs	
		$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	3.0	7.0	cfs	

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)


(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7

Inlet ID:

DP-9

**Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb

Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line

Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown

Gutter Width

Street Transverse Slope

Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)

Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition

Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm

Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm

Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion**MAJOR STORM** Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

$T_{BACK} = 7.5$ ft
 $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{BACK} = 0.013$


$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
 $T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft
 $W = 2.00$ ft
 $S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $S_W = 0.083$ ft/ft
 $S_D = 0.000$ ft/ft
 $n_{STREET} = 0.016$

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	17.0	17.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	12.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow} =$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)		MINOR		MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)		a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)		No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)		Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		$L_g (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)		A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)		$C_r (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)		$C_w (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)		$C_o (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information			MINOR	MAJOR	
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		$L_o (C)$ =	10.00	10.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches		H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches		H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)		Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)		W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)		$C_r (C)$ =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)		$C_w (C)$ =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)		$C_o (C)$ =	0.67	0.67	
Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)			MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation		d_{Curb} =	0.33	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		$RF_{Combination}$ =	0.57	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Curb} =	0.93	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)			MINOR	MAJOR	
WARNING: Inlet Capacity less than Q Peak for Minor Storm		Q_a =	8.3	25.5	cfs
		$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	9.0	19.0	cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)


(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7

Inlet ID:

DP-10

**Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb

Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line

Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown

Gutter Width

Street Transverse Slope

Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)

Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition

Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm

Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm

Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion**MAJOR STORM** Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

$T_{BACK} = 8.5$ ft
 $S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $n_{BACK} = 0.013$


$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches
 $T_{CROWN} = 17.0$ ft
 $W = 2.00$ ft
 $S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft
 $S_W = 0.083$ ft/ft
 $S_0 = 0.000$ ft/ft
 $n_{STREET} = 0.016$

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX} =$	17.0	17.0	ft
$d_{MAX} =$	6.0	12.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow} =$	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)

Type of Inlet CDOT Type R Curb Opening
 Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)
 Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)
 Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)

Grate Information

Length of a Unit Grate
 Width of a Unit Grate
 Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)
 Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)
 Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)

Curb Opening Information

Length of a Unit Curb Opening
 Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches
 Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches
 Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)
 Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)
 Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)
 Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)
 Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)

Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)

Depth for Grate Midwidth
 Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation
 Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets
 Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets

Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)

Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms(>Q PEAK)

	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
a_{local} =	3.00	3.00	inches
No =	1	1	
Ponding Depth =	6.0	12.0	inches
	MINOR	MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths
L_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
W_o =	N/A	N/A	feet
A_{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
C_r (G) =	N/A	N/A	
C_w (G) =	N/A	N/A	
C_o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
L_o (C) =	5.00	5.00	feet
H_{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
H_{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
W_p =	2.00	2.00	feet
C_r (C) =	0.10	0.10	
C_w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
C_o (C) =	0.67	0.67	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
d_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
d_{Curb} =	0.33	0.83	ft
$RF_{Combination}$ =	0.77	1.00	
RF_{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
RF_{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
	MINOR	MAJOR	
Q_a =	5.4	12.3	cfs
$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	2.0	5.0	cfs

ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)


(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Hannah Ridge at Feathergrass Filing No. 5, 6 & 7

Inlet ID:

Street Capacity

**Gutter Geometry (Enter data in the blue cells)**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb

Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)

Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line

Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown

Gutter Width

Street Transverse Slope

Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)

Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition

Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T_{BACK}	7.5	ft
S_{BACK}	0.020	ft/ft
n_{BACK}	0.013	

H_{CURB}	6.00	inches
T_{CROWN}	17.0	ft
W	2.00	ft
S_x	0.020	ft/ft
S_w	0.083	ft/ft
S_o	0.015	ft/ft
n_{STREET}	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm

Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm

Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{MAX}	17.0	17.0	ft
d_{MAX}	6.0	12.0	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	check = yes

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread

Water Depth without Gutter Depression (Eq. ST-2)

Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline (usually 2")

Gutter Depression ($d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$)

Water Depth at Gutter Flowline

Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W ($T - W$)

Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)

Discharge outside the Gutter Section W , carried in Section T_x Discharge within the Gutter Section W ($Q_T - Q_x$)

Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)

Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread

Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section

 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y	4.08	4.08	inches
d_c	2.0	2.0	inches
a	1.51	1.51	inches
d	5.59	5.59	inches
T_x	15.0	15.0	ft
E_o	0.350	0.350	
Q_x	8.6	8.6	cfs
Q_w	4.7	4.7	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q_T	13.3	13.3	cfs
V	6.1	6.1	fps
$V*d$	2.8	2.8	

Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth

Theoretical Water Spread

Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section W ($T - W$)

Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. ST-7)

Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section W , carried in Section T_{XTH} Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section W , (limited by distance T_{CROWN})Discharge within the Gutter Section W ($Q_d - Q_x$)

Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)

Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)

Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section

 $V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline DepthSlope-Based Depth Safety Reduction Factor for Major & Minor ($d \geq 6"$) Storm**Max Flow Based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)**

Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)

Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T_{TH}	18.7	43.7	ft
T_{XTH}	16.7	41.7	ft
E_o	0.318	0.130	
Q_{XTH}	11.5	132.1	cfs
Q_x	11.5	91.9	cfs
Q_w	5.4	19.8	cfs
Q_{BACK}	0.0	25.5	cfs
Q	16.8	137.2	cfs
V	6.4	10.8	fps
$V*d$	3.2	10.8	
R	1.00	1.00	
Q_d	16.8	137.2	cfs
d	6.00	12.00	inches
d_{CROWN}	0.41	6.41	inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Spread Criterion

MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q_{allow}	13.3	137.2	cfs

Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design flow given on sheet 'Inlet Management'

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.01000	ft/ft
Diameter	2.00	ft
Discharge	15.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	1.19	ft
Flow Area	1.95	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	3.52	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.55	ft
Top Width	1.96	ft
Critical Depth	1.40	ft
Percent Full	59.5	%
Critical Slope	0.00632	ft/ft
Velocity	7.70	ft/s
Velocity Head	0.92	ft
Specific Energy	2.11	ft
Froude Number	1.36	
Maximum Discharge	24.33	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	22.62	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00440	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	59.50	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient 0.013
Channel Slope 0.02800 ft/ft
Diameter 2.00 ft
Discharge 16.00 ft³/s

Results

Normal Depth 0.91 ft
Flow Area 1.39 ft²
Wetted Perimeter 2.96 ft
Hydraulic Radius 0.47 ft
Top Width 1.99 ft
Critical Depth 1.44 ft
Percent Full 45.4 %
Critical Slope 0.00661 ft/ft
Velocity 11.54 ft/s
Velocity Head 2.07 ft
Specific Energy 2.98 ft
Froude Number 2.44
Maximum Discharge 40.72 ft³/s
Discharge Full 37.85 ft³/s
Slope Full 0.00500 ft/ft
Flow Type SuperCritical

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth 0.00 ft
Length 0.00 ft
Number Of Steps 0

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth 0.00 ft
Profile Description
Profile Headloss 0.00 ft
Average End Depth Over Rise 0.00 %
Normal Depth Over Rise 45.38 %
Downstream Velocity Infinity ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.01000	ft/ft
Diameter	2.50	ft
Discharge	21.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	1.27	ft
Flow Area	2.50	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	3.96	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.63	ft
Top Width	2.50	ft
Critical Depth	1.56	ft
Percent Full	50.7	%
Critical Slope	0.00519	ft/ft
Velocity	8.41	ft/s
Velocity Head	1.10	ft
Specific Energy	2.37	ft
Froude Number	1.48	
Maximum Discharge	44.12	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	41.01	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00262	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	50.70	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.05500	ft/ft
Diameter	2.50	ft
Discharge	24.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	0.85	ft
Flow Area	1.47	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	3.11	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.47	ft
Top Width	2.37	ft
Critical Depth	1.67	ft
Percent Full	34.0	%
Critical Slope	0.00556	ft/ft
Velocity	16.28	ft/s
Velocity Head	4.12	ft
Specific Energy	4.97	ft
Froude Number	3.64	
Maximum Discharge	103.47	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	96.19	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00342	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	34.04	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.01000	ft/ft
Diameter	3.00	ft
Discharge	38.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	1.62	ft
Flow Area	3.90	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	4.96	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.79	ft
Top Width	2.99	ft
Critical Depth	2.01	ft
Percent Full	54.1	%
Critical Slope	0.00524	ft/ft
Velocity	9.74	ft/s
Velocity Head	1.48	ft
Specific Energy	3.10	ft
Froude Number	1.50	
Maximum Discharge	71.74	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	66.69	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00325	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	54.07	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.03400	ft/ft
Diameter	1.50	ft
Discharge	8.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	0.67	ft
Flow Area	0.77	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	2.20	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.35	ft
Top Width	1.49	ft
Critical Depth	1.10	ft
Percent Full	44.8	%
Critical Slope	0.00742	ft/ft
Velocity	10.44	ft/s
Velocity Head	1.69	ft
Specific Energy	2.36	ft
Froude Number	2.57	
Maximum Discharge	20.83	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	19.37	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00580	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	44.79	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.06000	ft/ft
Diameter	2.50	ft
Discharge	24.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	0.83	ft
Flow Area	1.43	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	3.07	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.46	ft
Top Width	2.36	ft
Critical Depth	1.67	ft
Percent Full	33.3	%
Critical Slope	0.00555	ft/ft
Velocity	16.80	ft/s
Velocity Head	4.38	ft
Specific Energy	5.22	ft
Froude Number	3.80	
Maximum Discharge	108.07	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	100.47	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00342	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	33.27	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.02000	ft/ft
Diameter	2.00	ft
Discharge	12.00	ft³/s

Results

Normal Depth	0.85	ft
Flow Area	1.27	ft²
Wetted Perimeter	2.84	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.45	ft
Top Width	1.98	ft
Critical Depth	1.24	ft
Percent Full	42.4	%
Critical Slope	0.00558	ft/ft
Velocity	9.46	ft/s
Velocity Head	1.39	ft
Specific Energy	2.24	ft
Froude Number	2.08	
Maximum Discharge	34.41	ft³/s
Discharge Full	31.99	ft³/s
Slope Full	0.00281	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	42.43	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.03400	ft/ft
Diameter	2.50	ft
Discharge	24.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	0.97	ft
Flow Area	1.75	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	3.36	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.52	ft
Top Width	2.44	ft
Critical Depth	1.67	ft
Percent Full	38.7	%
Critical Slope	0.00556	ft/ft
Velocity	13.68	ft/s
Velocity Head	2.91	ft
Specific Energy	3.87	ft
Froude Number	2.84	
Maximum Discharge	81.35	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	75.63	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00342	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	38.71	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.01000	ft/ft
Diameter	3.00	ft
Discharge	44.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	1.78	ft
Flow Area	4.37	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	5.27	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.83	ft
Top Width	2.95	ft
Critical Depth	2.16	ft
Percent Full	59.3	%
Critical Slope	0.00577	ft/ft
Velocity	10.08	ft/s
Velocity Head	1.58	ft
Specific Energy	3.36	ft
Froude Number	1.46	
Maximum Discharge	71.74	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	66.69	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00435	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	59.30	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.01000	ft/ft
Diameter	3.00	ft
Discharge	49.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	1.91	ft
Flow Area	4.75	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	5.55	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.86	ft
Top Width	2.89	ft
Critical Depth	2.28	ft
Percent Full	63.7	%
Critical Slope	0.00630	ft/ft
Velocity	10.31	ft/s
Velocity Head	1.65	ft
Specific Energy	3.56	ft
Froude Number	1.42	
Maximum Discharge	71.74	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	66.69	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00540	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	63.70	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Diameter	1.50	ft
Discharge	5.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	0.90	ft
Flow Area	1.11	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	2.66	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.42	ft
Top Width	1.47	ft
Critical Depth	0.86	ft
Percent Full	60.1	%
Critical Slope	0.00578	ft/ft
Velocity	4.51	ft/s
Velocity Head	0.32	ft
Specific Energy	1.22	ft
Froude Number	0.91	
Maximum Discharge	7.99	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	7.43	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00227	ft/ft
Flow Type	SubCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	60.08	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method Manning Formula
Solve For Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Diameter	3.00	ft
Discharge	23.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	1.48	ft
Flow Area	3.47	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	4.67	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.74	ft
Top Width	3.00	ft
Critical Depth	1.54	ft
Percent Full	49.3	%
Critical Slope	0.00431	ft/ft
Velocity	6.63	ft/s
Velocity Head	0.68	ft
Specific Energy	2.16	ft
Froude Number	1.09	
Maximum Discharge	50.73	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	47.16	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00119	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	49.27	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.00650	ft/ft
Diameter	3.50	ft
Discharge	71.00	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	2.54	ft
Flow Area	7.47	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	7.13	ft
Hydraulic Radius	1.05	ft
Top Width	3.13	ft
Critical Depth	2.64	ft
Percent Full	72.5	%
Critical Slope	0.00591	ft/ft
Velocity	9.50	ft/s
Velocity Head	1.40	ft
Specific Energy	3.94	ft
Froude Number	1.08	
Maximum Discharge	87.25	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	81.11	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00498	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	72.49	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s

Worksheet for Circular Pipe - 1

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.11290	ft/ft
Diameter	3.00	ft
Discharge	38.00	ft ³ /s

Results


Normal Depth	0.84	ft
Flow Area	1.61	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	3.34	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.48	ft
Top Width	2.69	ft
Critical Depth	2.01	ft
Percent Full	27.9	%
Critical Slope	0.00524	ft/ft
Velocity	23.62	ft/s
Velocity Head	8.67	ft
Specific Energy	9.50	ft
Froude Number	5.38	
Maximum Discharge	241.06	ft ³ /s
Discharge Full	224.10	ft ³ /s
Slope Full	0.00325	ft/ft
Flow Type	SuperCritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.00	%
Normal Depth Over Rise	27.86	%
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s



Westerly Storm Outfall


Sewer Input Summary:

		Elevation			Loss Coefficients			Given Dimensions		
Element Name	Sewer Length (ft)	Downstream Invert (ft)	Slope (%)	Upstream Invert (ft)	Manning's n	Bend Loss	Lateral Loss	Cross Section	Rise (ft or in)	Span (ft or in)
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	87.66	6464.04	0.6	6464.57	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	42.00 in	42.00 in
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	73.59	6465.60	1.2	6466.48	0.013	1.06	0.00	CIRCULAR	36.00 in	36.00 in
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	42.21	6467.48	1.5	6468.11	0.013	1.06	0.00	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	18.00 in
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	172.08	6465.10	1.0	6466.82	0.013	0.20	1.00	CIRCULAR	36.00 in	36.00 in
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	71.52	6467.11	1.0	6467.83	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	36.00 in	36.00 in
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	5.00	6468.33	6.0	6468.63	0.013	1.32	0.00	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	190.00	6468.89	3.4	6475.35	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	18.00 in
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	25.08	6475.35	1.0	6475.60	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	18.00 in	18.00 in
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	28.62	6468.83	2.0	6469.40	0.013	0.83	0.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in

Grade Line Summary:

Tailwater Elevation (ft): 6468.85

	Invert Elev.		Downstream Manhole Losses		HGL		EGL		
Element Name	Downstream (ft)	Upstream (ft)	Bend Loss (ft)	Laternal Loss (ft)	Downstream (ft)	Upstream (ft)	Downstream (ft)	Friction Loss (ft)	Upstream (ft)
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	6464.04	6464.57	0.00	0.00	6468.85	6469.28	6469.70	0.43	6470.13
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	6465.60	6466.48	0.17	0.00	6470.14	6470.23	6470.30	0.09	6470.39
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	6467.48	6468.11	0.13	0.00	6470.40	6470.49	6470.52	0.10	6470.62
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	6465.10	6466.82	0.15	0.10	6469.63	6470.56	6470.38	0.92	6471.30
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	6467.11	6467.83	0.03	0.14	6470.88	6471.18	6471.48	0.31	6471.79
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	6468.33	6468.63	0.49	0.00	6471.91	6471.92	6472.28	0.02	6472.29
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	6468.89	6475.35	0.02	0.28	6471.77	6476.45	6472.09	4.88	6476.97
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	6475.35	6475.60	0.02	0.00	6476.46	6476.70	6476.99	0.22	6477.22
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	6468.83	6469.40	0.19	0.00	6471.75	6471.83	6471.97	0.08	6472.05



Easterly Storm Outfall

Sewer Input Summary:

		Elevation			Loss Coefficients			Given Dimensions		
Element Name	Sewer Length (ft)	Downstream Invert (ft)	Slope (%)	Upstream Invert (ft)	Manning's n	Bend Loss	Lateral Loss	Cross Section	Rise (ft or in)	Span (ft or in)
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	14.07	6466.64	1.0	6466.78	0.013	0.03	0.00	CIRCULAR	36.00 in	36.00 in
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	28.60	6466.77	11.3	6470.00	0.013	0.06	0.00	CIRCULAR	36.00 in	36.00 in
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	56.15	6475.00	1.0	6475.56	0.013	0.05	0.00	CIRCULAR	36.00 in	36.00 in
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	116.19	6476.00	1.9	6478.21	0.013	0.83	1.00	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	121.85	6478.52	5.5	6485.22	0.013	1.32	1.00	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	35.33	6485.53	1.0	6485.88	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	30.00 in	30.00 in
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	161.78	6476.62	5.5	6485.52	0.013	1.32	1.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	168.18	6485.75	2.8	6490.46	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	35.33	6490.76	1.0	6491.11	0.013	0.05	1.00	CIRCULAR	24.00 in	24.00 in

Grade Line Summary:

Tailwater Elevation (ft): 6468.85

	Invert Elev.		Downstream Manhole Losses		HGL		EGL		
Element Name	Downstream (ft)	Upstream (ft)	Bend Loss (ft)	Laternal Loss (ft)	Downstream (ft)	Upstream (ft)	Downstream (ft)	Friction Loss (ft)	Upstream (ft)
MH 1 SWR 1 - 1	6466.64	6466.78	0.00	0.00	6468.85	6469.07	6469.74	0.00	6469.74
MH 8 SWR 8 - 1	6466.77	6470.00	0.03	0.00	6469.10	6475.86	6476.30	0.00	6476.30
MH 9 SWR 9 - 1	6475.00	6475.56	0.02	0.00	6476.62	6477.57	6478.10	0.36	6478.45
MH 2 SWR 2 - 1	6476.00	6478.21	0.31	0.08	6477.95	6479.88	6479.04	1.58	6480.62
MH 3 SWR 3 - 1	6478.52	6485.22	0.49	0.00	6480.37	6486.89	6483.50	4.13	6487.63
MH 4 SWR 4 - 1	6485.53	6485.88	0.01	0.09	6486.99	6487.44	6487.89	0.21	6488.10
MH 5 SWR 5 - 1	6476.62	6485.52	0.53	0.05	6478.14	6486.96	6480.78	6.86	6487.64
MH 6 SWR 6 - 1	6485.75	6490.46	0.02	0.00	6486.98	6491.90	6488.73	3.84	6492.58
MH 7 SWR 7 - 1	6490.76	6491.11	0.02	0.05	6491.97	6492.51	6492.87	0.27	6493.14

SWQ / DETENTION CALCULATIONS

Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)

UD-BMP (Version 3.06, November 2016)

Sheet 1 of 4

Designer: Marc A. Whorton, P.E.
Company: Classic Consulting
Date: October 3, 2018
Project: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7
Location: EL PASO COUNTY

1. Basin Storage Volume

- A) Effective Imperviousness of Tributary Area, I_a
- B) Tributary Area's Imperviousness Ratio ($i = I_a / 100$)
- C) Contributing Watershed Area
- D) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm
- E) Design Concept
(Select EURV when also designing for flood control)
- F) Design Volume (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time
($V_{DESIGN} = (1.0 * (0.91 * i^3 - 1.19 * i^2 + 0.78 * i) / 12 * \text{Area})$)
- G) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume
($V_{WQCV \text{ OTHER}} = (d_6 * (V_{DESIGN} / 0.43))$)
- H) User Input of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume
(Only if a different WQCV Design Volume is desired)
- I) Predominant Watershed NRCS Soil Group
- J) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume
 For HSG A: $EURV_A = 1.68 * i^{1.28}$
 For HSG B: $EURV_B = 1.36 * i^{1.08}$
 For HSG C/D: $EURV_{C/D} = 1.20 * i^{1.08}$

$I_a = 60.0$ %

$i = 0.600$

Area = 37.500 ac

$d_6 = 0.42$ in

Choose One

- ☐ Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)
☒ Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)

$V_{DESIGN} = 0.738$ ac-ft

$V_{DESIGN \text{ OTHER}} = 0.721$ ac-ft

$V_{DESIGN \text{ USER}} =$ ac-ft

Choose One

- ☐ A
☒ B
☐ C / D

EURV = 2.448 ac-ft

2. Basin Shape: Length to Width Ratio

(A basin length to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)

L : W = 2.0 : 1

3. Basin Side Slopes

- A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes
(Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)

Z = 4.00 ft / ft

4. Inlet

- A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations:

Concrete Forebays

Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)

Sheet 2 of 4

Designer: Marc A. Whorton, P.E.
Company: Classic Consulting
Date: October 3, 2018
Project: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7
Location: EL PASO COUNTY

5. Forebay

- A) Minimum Forebay Volume
($V_{FMIN} = \underline{3\%}$ of the WQCV)
- B) Actual Forebay Volume
- C) Forebay Depth
($D_F = \underline{30}$ inch maximum)
- D) Forebay Discharge
- i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge
- ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow
($Q_F = 0.02 * Q_{100}$)
- E) Forebay Discharge Design

$V_{FMIN} = \underline{0.022}$ ac-ft

$V_F = \underline{0.022}$ ac-ft

$D_F = \underline{12.0}$ in

$Q_{100} = \underline{103.00}$ cfs

$Q_F = \underline{2.06}$ cfs

Choose One

☐ Berm With Pipe

☒ Wall with Rect. Notch

☐ Wall with V-Notch Weir

Calculated $D_p = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ in

Calculated $W_N = \underline{9.8}$ in

F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches)

G) Rectangular Notch Width

6. Trickle Channel

- A) Type of Trickle Channel
- F) Slope of Trickle Channel

Choose One

☒ Concrete

☐ Soft Bottom

$S = \underline{0.0100}$ ft / ft

7. Micropool and Outlet Structure

- A) Depth of Micropool (2.5-feet minimum)
- B) Surface Area of Micropool (10 ft² minimum)
- C) Outlet Type

$D_M = \underline{2.5}$ ft

$A_M = \underline{190}$ sq ft

Choose One

☒ Orifice Plate

☐ Other (Describe):

D) Smallest Dimension of Orifice Opening Based on Hydrograph Routing
(Use UD-Detention)

$D_{orifice} = \underline{1.62}$ inches

E) Total Outlet Area

$A_{ot} = \underline{15.81}$ square inches

Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)

Sheet 3 of 4

Designer: Marc A. Whorton, P.E.
 Company: Classic Consulting
 Date: October 3, 2018
 Project: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7
 Location: EL PASO COUNTY

8. Initial Surge Volume

- A) Depth of Initial Surge Volume
(Minimum recommended depth is 4 inches)
- B) Minimum Initial Surge Volume
(Minimum volume of 0.3% of the WQCV)
- C) Initial Surge Provided Above Micropool

$D_{IS} =$ 6 in

$V_{IS} =$ 94.2 cu ft

$V_s =$ 95.0 cu ft

9. Trash Rack

- A) Water Quality Screen Open Area: $A_t = A_{ot} * 38.5 * (e^{-0.095D})$
- B) Type of Screen (If specifying an alternative to the materials recommended in the USDCM, indicate "other" and enter the ratio of the total open area to the total screen area for the material specified.)
- Other (Y/N): N
- C) Ratio of Total Open Area to Total Area (only for type 'Other')
- D) Total Water Quality Screen Area (based on screen type)
- E) Depth of Design Volume (EURV or WQCV)
(Based on design concept chosen under 1E)
- F) Height of Water Quality Screen (H_{TR})
- G) Width of Water Quality Screen Opening ($W_{opening}$)
(Minimum of 12 inches is recommended)

$A_t =$ 522 square inches

Aluminum Amico-Klemp SR Series with Cross Rods 2" O.C.

User Ratio =

$A_{total} =$ 735 sq. in.

$H =$ 5.75 feet

$H_{TR} =$ 97 inches

$W_{opening} =$ 12.0 inches

Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)

Sheet 4 of 4

Designer: Marc A. Whorton, P.E.
Company: Classic Consulting
Date: October 3, 2018
Project: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7
Location: EL PASO COUNTY

10. Overflow Embankment

A) Describe embankment protection for 100-year and greater overtopping:

Weir with rip-rap

B) Slope of Overflow Embankment
(Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)

4.00

11. Vegetation

Choose One

☐ Irrigated

☒ Not Irrigated

12. Access

A) Describe Sediment Removal Procedures

Notes:

Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)

UD-BMP (Version 3.06, November 2016)

Sheet 1 of 4

Designer: Marc A. Whorton, P.E.
Company: Classic Consulting
Date: October 2, 2018
Project: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7
Location: Westerly Pond Inflow

1. Basin Storage Volume

- A) Effective Imperviousness of Tributary Area, I_a
- B) Tributary Area's Imperviousness Ratio ($i = I_a / 100$)
- C) Contributing Watershed Area
- D) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm
- E) Design Concept
(Select EURV when also designing for flood control)
- F) Design Volume (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time
($V_{DESIGN} = (1.0 * (0.91 * i^3 - 1.19 * i^2 + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)$)
- G) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume
($V_{WQCV\ OTHER} = (d_6 * (V_{DESIGN} / 0.43))$)
- H) User Input of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume
(Only if a different WQCV Design Volume is desired)
- I) Predominant Watershed NRCS Soil Group
- J) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume
 For HSG A: $EURV_A = 1.68 * i^{1.28}$
 For HSG B: $EURV_B = 1.36 * i^{1.08}$
 For HSG C/D: $EURV_{C/D} = 1.20 * i^{1.08}$

$I_a = 60.0$ %

$i = 0.600$

Area = 22.960 ac

$d_6 = 0.42$ in

Choose One

☐ Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)
☒ Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)

$V_{DESIGN} = 0.452$ ac-ft

$V_{DESIGN\ OTHER} = 0.441$ ac-ft

$V_{DESIGN\ USER} =$ ac-ft

Choose One

☐ A
☒ B
☐ C / D

EURV = 1.499 ac-ft

2. Basin Shape: Length to Width Ratio

(A basin length to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)

L : W = 2.0 : 1

3. Basin Side Slopes

- A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes
(Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)

Z = 4.00 ft / ft

4. Inlet

- A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations:

Concrete Forebays

Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)

Sheet 2 of 4

Designer: Marc A. Whorton, P.E.
 Company: Classic Consulting
 Date: October 2, 2018
 Project: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7
 Location: Westerly Pond Inflow

5. Forebay

A) Minimum Forebay Volume
($V_{FMIN} = \underline{3\%}$ of the WQCV)

$V_{FMIN} = \underline{0.013}$ ac-ft

B) Actual Forebay Volume

$V_F = \underline{0.013}$ ac-ft

C) Forebay Depth
($D_F = \underline{18}$ inch maximum)

$D_F = \underline{18.0}$ in

D) Forebay Discharge

i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge

$Q_{100} = \underline{71.00}$ cfs

ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow
($Q_F = 0.02 * Q_{100}$)

$Q_F = \underline{1.42}$ cfs

E) Forebay Discharge Design

Choose One
☐ Berm With Pipe
☒ Wall with Rect. Notch
☐ Wall with V-Notch Weir

(flow too small for berm w/ pipe)

F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches)

Calculated $D_p = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ in

G) Rectangular Notch Width

Calculated $W_N = \underline{6.4}$ in

6. Trickle Channel

A) Type of Trickle Channel

Choose One
☒ Concrete
☐ Soft Bottom

F) Slope of Trickle Channel

$S = \underline{0.0100}$ ft / ft

7. Micropool and Outlet Structure

A) Depth of Micropool (2.5-feet minimum)

$D_M = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ ft

B) Surface Area of Micropool (10 ft² minimum)

$A_M = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ sq ft

C) Outlet Type

Choose One
☒ Orifice Plate
☐ Other (Describe):

D) Smallest Dimension of Orifice Opening Based on Hydrograph Routing
(Use UD-Detention)

$D_{orifice} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ inches

E) Total Outlet Area

$A_{ot} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ square inches

Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)

UD-BMP (Version 3.06, November 2016)

Sheet 1 of 4

Designer: Marc A. Whorton, P.E.
Company: Classic Consulting
Date: October 3, 2018
Project: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7
Location: Easterly Pond Inflow

1. Basin Storage Volume

- A) Effective Imperviousness of Tributary Area, I_a
- B) Tributary Area's Imperviousness Ratio ($i = I_a / 100$)
- C) Contributing Watershed Area
- D) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm
- E) Design Concept
(Select EURV when also designing for flood control)
- F) Design Volume (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time
($V_{DESIGN} = (1.0 * (0.91 * i^3 - 1.19 * i^2 + 0.78 * i) / 12 * \text{Area})$)
- G) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume
($V_{WQCV \text{ OTHER}} = (d_6 * (V_{DESIGN} / 0.43))$)
- H) User Input of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume
(Only if a different WQCV Design Volume is desired)
- I) Predominant Watershed NRCS Soil Group
- J) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume
 For HSG A: $EURV_A = 1.68 * i^{1.28}$
 For HSG B: $EURV_B = 1.36 * i^{1.08}$
 For HSG C/D: $EURV_{C/D} = 1.20 * i^{1.08}$

$I_a = 60.0$ %

$i = 0.600$

Area = 11.340 ac

$d_6 = 0.42$ in

Choose One

- ☐ Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)
☒ Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)

$V_{DESIGN} = 0.223$ ac-ft

$V_{DESIGN \text{ OTHER}} = 0.218$ ac-ft

$V_{DESIGN \text{ USER}} =$ ac-ft

Choose One

- ☐ A
☒ B
☐ C / D

EURV = 0.740 ac-ft

2. Basin Shape: Length to Width Ratio

(A basin length to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)

L : W = 2.0 : 1

3. Basin Side Slopes

- A) Basin Maximum Side Slopes
(Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)

Z = 4.00 ft / ft

4. Inlet

- A) Describe means of providing energy dissipation at concentrated inflow locations:

Concrete Forebays

Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)

Sheet 2 of 4

Designer: Marc A. Whorton, P.E.
Company: Classic Consulting
Date: October 3, 2018
Project: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILINGS 5-7
Location: Easterly Pond Inflow

5. Forebay

A) Minimum Forebay Volume
($V_{FMIN} = \underline{3\%}$ of the WQCV)

$V_{FMIN} = \underline{0.007}$ ac-ft

B) Actual Forebay Volume

$V_F = \underline{0.007}$ ac-ft

C) Forebay Depth
($D_F = \underline{18}$ inch maximum)

$D_F = \underline{12.0}$ in

D) Forebay Discharge

i) Undetained 100-year Peak Discharge

$Q_{100} = \underline{38.00}$ cfs

ii) Forebay Discharge Design Flow
($Q_F = 0.02 * Q_{100}$)

$Q_F = \underline{0.76}$ cfs

E) Forebay Discharge Design

Choose One
☐ Berm With Pipe
☒ Wall with Rect. Notch
☐ Wall with V-Notch Weir

(flow too small for berm w/ pipe)

F) Discharge Pipe Size (minimum 8-inches)

Calculated $D_p = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ in

G) Rectangular Notch Width

Calculated $W_N = \underline{5.1}$ in

6. Trickle Channel

A) Type of Trickle Channel

Choose One
☒ Concrete
☐ Soft Bottom

F) Slope of Trickle Channel

$S = \underline{0.0100}$ ft / ft

7. Micropool and Outlet Structure

A) Depth of Micropool (2.5-feet minimum)

$D_M = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ ft

B) Surface Area of Micropool (10 ft² minimum)

$A_M = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ sq ft

C) Outlet Type

Choose One
☒ Orifice Plate
☐ Other (Describe):

D) Smallest Dimension of Orifice Opening Based on Hydrograph Routing
(Use UD-Detention)

$D_{orifice} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ inches

E) Total Outlet Area


$A_{ot} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ square inches

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILING NO. 5, 6 & 7

Basin ID: POND



Required Volume Calculation

Selected BMP Type =	EDB	
Watershed Area =	37.50	acres
Watershed Length =	1.700	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.015	ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	60.00%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	48.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.738	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	2.441	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.)	2.004	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.)	2.697	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.)	3.518	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.0 in.)	4.635	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.)	5.434	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.)	6.483	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.85 in.)	10.626	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	1.877	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	2.533	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	3.265	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	3.651	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	3.681	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	4.019	acre-feet


Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume ($WOCV_1$) =	0.738	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume ($EURV - Zone 1$) =	1.703	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	1.578	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	4.019	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	USER	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	USER	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	USER	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	USER	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	USER	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	USER	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	USER	
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	USER	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV}) =	USER	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	USER	ft
Depth of Basin Floor ($H_{b,floor}$) =	USER	ft
Length of Basin Floor ($L_{b,floor}$) =	USER	ft
Width of Basin Floor ($W_{b,floor}$) =	USER	ft
Area of Basin Floor ($A_{b,floor}$) =	USER	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor ($V_{b,floor}$) =	USER	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin ($H_{b,main}$) =	USER	ft
Length of Main Basin ($L_{b,main}$) =	USER	ft
Width of Main Basin ($W_{b,main}$) =	USER	ft
Area of Main Basin ($A_{b,main}$) =	USER	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin ($V_{b,main}$) =	USER	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	USER	acre-feet

[illegible]

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)




Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: HANNAH RIDGE AT FEATHERGRASS FILING NO. 5, 6 & 7

Basin ID: POND



Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

	Stage (ft)	Zone Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	3.51	0.738	Orifice Plate
Zone 2 (EURV)	5.58	1.703	Orifice Plate
Zone 3 (100-year)	7.10	1.578	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
		4.019	Total

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth =	N/A	ft (distance below the filtration media surface)
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =	N/A	inches

Calculated Parameters for Underdrain

Underdrain Orifice Area =	N/A	ft ²
Underdrain Orifice Centroid =	N/A	feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

Invert of Lowest Orifice =	0.00	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	5.75	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	13.80	inches
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	N/A	inches

Calculated Parameters for Plate

WQ Orifice Area per Row =	N/A	ft ²
Elliptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet
Elliptical Slot Centroid =	N/A	feet
Elliptical Slot Area =	N/A	ft ²

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.20	2.40	3.60	4.80			
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	2.43	2.43	2.05	4.45	4.45			

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	inches

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Vertical Orifice Area =	N/A	N/A	ft ²
Vertical Orifice Centroid =	N/A	N/A	feet

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and Grate (Flat or Sloped)

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	5.75	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	6.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Slope =	4.00	N/A	H:V (enter zero for flat grate)
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	4.00	N/A	feet
Overflow Grate Open Area % =	75%	N/A	%, grate open area/total area
Debris Clogging % =	50%	N/A	%

Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Height of Grate Upper Edge, H _t =	6.75	N/A	feet
Over Flow Weir Slope Length =	4.12	N/A	feet
Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =	5.91	N/A	should be ≥ 4
Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris =	18.55	N/A	ft ²
Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris =	9.28	N/A	ft ²

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	0.50	N/A	ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	24.00	N/A	inches
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	24.00		inches

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Outlet Orifice Area =	3.14	N/A	ft ²
Outlet Orifice Centroid =	1.00	N/A	feet
Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	3.14	N/A	radians

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Spillway Invert Stage=	7.50	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Spillway Crest Length =	40.00	feet
Spillway End Slopes =	3.00	H:V
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	1.00	feet

Calculated Parameters for Spillway


Spillway Design Flow Depth=	0.87	feet
Stage at Top of Freeboard =	9.37	feet
Basin Area at Top of Freeboard =	1.32	acres

Routed Hydrograph Results

	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
Design Storm Return Period =									
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	0.53	1.07	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.85
Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.738	2.441	2.004	2.697	3.518	4.635	5.434	6.483	10.626
OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =									
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	0.737	2.438	2.002	2.694	3.515	4.631	5.428	6.478	10.618
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.20	0.66	0.91	1.23	2.23
Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.777	7.5	24.8	34.3	46.1	83.6
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	12.1	39.6	32.6	43.7	56.8	74.4	86.9	103.3	166.9
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.849	5.6	17.4	26.7	39.2	104.6
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	1.3
Structure Controlling Flow =	Plate	Plate	Plate	Plate	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Spillway
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.3	0.9	1.4	2.0	2.2
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	38	69	63	72	73	71	70	68	61
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	41	74	68	77	79	79	78	77	74
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	3.41	5.45	5.00	5.71	6.25	6.75	7.04	7.35	8.13
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.55	0.95	0.91	0.98	1.03	1.08	1.10	1.13	1.20
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.683	2.317	1.897	2.558	3.101	3.640	3.945	4.304	5.215

Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)






S-A-V-D Chart Axis Override	X-axis	Left Y-Axis	Right Y-Axis
minimum bound			
maximum bound			

DRAINAGE MAPS

SUMMARY OF DISCHARGES		
DESIGN POINT LOCATION	DRAINAGE AREA (SM)	Q ₁₀₀ /Q ₁₀ (cfs)
1 AT N, CAREFREE CIR.	0.34	551/255
14 AT RAILROAD GRADE (INFLOW)	0.66	915/374
14 AT RAILROAD GRADE (OUTFLOW)	0.66	640/360
8 AT CONSTITUTION	1.07	1076/457
8A AT CONFLUENCE WITH EF SAND CREEK	1.91	2088/925

SUMMARY OF ROUTING ELEMENTS		
ROUTING ELEMENT	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION
RT-8	DP 7 TO DP 8A	45' BW, 3:1 SS, S = 0.7% RIPRAP CHANNEL
RT-7	DP 6 TO DP 7	45' BW, 3:1 SS, S = 0.7% RIPRAP CHANNEL
RT-6/6A	DP 8 TO D 6	30' BW, 3:1 SS, S = 0.7% RIPRAP CHANNEL
RT-2A	DP 4A TO DP 8	30' BW, 3:1 SS, S = 1.0% RIPRAP CHANNEL
RT-2B	DP 14 TO DP 4A	16' BW, 3:1 SS, S = 0.5% RIPRAP CHANNEL
RT 3 - RT 3A	SB 3 TO DP4A	60" RCP TO 42" RCP
RT-1	DP 1 TO DP14	NATURAL CHANNEL, S = 3.0%
RT-5	SB 5 TO DP1	NATURAL CHANNEL, S = 3.0%




Kiowa Engineering Corporation
 1604 South 21st Street
 Colorado Springs, Colorado
 80904-4208
 (719) 630-7342


EAST FORK SAND CREEK
 SUBTRIBUTARY HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS
 HYDROLOGIC BASIN MAP
 EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

Project No.: 06040
 Date: AUG 2006
 Design: RNW
 Drawn: MFA
 Check: RNW
 Revisions:

Fig. 4.2

[illegible]


BENCHMARK
THE BENCHMARK FOR THESE PLANS IS THE TOP OF #4 REBAR, PANEL POINT NO. 1, LOCATED ON THE SOUTH EDGE OF CONSTITUTION AVE AND THE WEST EDGE OF THE ROCK ISLAND TRAIL, 535 FEET WEST OF THE CENTERLINE OF SHAWNEE DR. ELEVATION = 6486.63. (EPC DATUM ELEVATION = 6485.29).



MVE, INC.
ENGINEERS, SURVEYORS

1903 Melrose Street, Suite 200 • Cambridge, MA 02142 • Tel: 617/335-5736 • Fax: 617/335-5736 • www.mveinc.com

LEGEND



Hannah Ridge
at Feathergrass

EXISTING
DRAINAGE MAP

December 12, 2013

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT – PIPE ROUTING SUMMARY										
Pipe Run	Contributing Basins	Equivalent C/I(S)	Equivalent C/Q(100)	Maximum Tc	Intensity		Flow		Pipe Size*	Velocity (ft/sec)
					I(S)	I(100)	Q(S)	Q(100)		
1	DP-1	1.91	2.50	14.7	3.55	5.96	7	15	24" RCP	7.7
2	DP-2, PR-1	2.06	2.66	14.8	3.54	5.94	7	16	24" RCP	11.5
3	DP-3	2.43	3.29	12.0	3.85	6.47	9	21	30" RCP	8.4
4	DP-4, PR-3	2.84	3.83	12.6	3.78	6.28	11	24	30" RCP	16.3
5	PR-2, PR-4	4.80	6.51	15.5	3.47	5.82	17	38	36" RCP	28.6 (Use 36", RCP w/ 40")
6	DP-5 Collected	2.27	1.35	15.4	3.48	5.94	8	8	18" RCP	
7	DP-6, Flow-by from DP-5 (75%)	1.78	4.20	15.8	3.44	5.78	6	24	30" RCP	16.8
8	DP-7, Flow-by from DP-5 (25%)	1.06	2.03	15.8	3.44	5.78	4	12	24" RCP	9.5
9	PR-6, PR-7, PR-8	5.09	7.57	15.9	3.43	5.76	17	44	36" RCP	10.1
10	DP-8, PR-9	5.82	8.54	16.1	3.41	5.73	20	49	36" RCP	10.3
11	DP-10	0.52	0.68	10.3	4.09	6.86	2	5	18" RCP	4.5
12	DP-8, PR-11	3.01	3.92	15.5	3.47	5.83	10	23	30" RCP	6.6
13	PR-10, PR-12	8.83	12.46	16.2	3.40	5.72	30	71	42" RCP	9.5

