



1903 kalaray street, suite 200
colorado springs, co 80909
719.635.5736

Final Drainage Report

Security Fire Station No. 4

Project No. 61134

September, 2020

PCD File No. PPR

Final Drainage Report

for

Security Fire Station No. 4

Project No. 61134

September, 2020

prepared for

Security Fire Department

400 Security Boulevard

Security, CO 80911

719.392.3271

prepared by

MVE, Inc.

1903 Lelaray Street, Suite 200

Colorado Springs, CO 80909

719.635.5736

Copyright © MVE, Inc., 2020

61134-Security Fire Station 4-FDR.odt

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Cover Sheet
	Certifications and Approvals
I.	Report Purpose
II.	General Description
III.	Design Criteria and Methodology
IV.	Existing Reports, Mapping, and Information
V.	FEMA Floodplain
VI.	Hydrologic Soils Information
VII.	Existing Drainage Conditions
VIII.	Developed <i>Onsite</i> Drainage Conditions and Improvements
IX.	Full Spectrum Detention Pond
X.	Erosion Control
XI.	Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP)
XII.	Drainage/ Bridge Fees
XIII.	Opinion of Probable Cost or Drainage Facilities
XIV.	Four Step Process
XV.	Conclusion

APPENDIX

Exhibit 1:	Location Map
Exhibit 2:	FEMA FIRM Map
Exhibit 3:	SCS Soils Map and Data
Exhibit 4:	Existing Drainage Report Exhibits
Exhibit 5:	Charts and Tables
Exhibit 6:	West Fork Jimmy Camp Creek DBPS Exhibits
Exhibit 7:	Detention Pond Charts and Tables
Exhibit 8:	Calculations
Exhibit 9:	Historic Drainage Conditions (map pocket)
Exhibit 10:	Existing Drainage Conditions (map pocket)
Exhibit 11:	Developed Drainage Conditions (map pocket)

Certifications and Approvals

Engineer's Statement

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report had been prepared according to the criteria established by El Paso County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omission on my part in preparation this report

Signature _____ Seal
(Kenneth C. Harrison, P.E.)

Developer/Owner Statement

I, the developer/owner, _____, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

(Business Name)

By: _____
(Signature) (Date)

Print Name and Title _____

Address: _____

El Paso County

Filed in accordance with _____ of the code of the El Paso County, dated ____ as amended.

For El Paso County Engineer

(Signature) (Date)

(Print name)

Flood Plain Statement

See Section V of this report

I. Report Purpose

- a. The purpose of this report is to evaluate the existing and developed drainage characteristics for the Security Fire Station #4 project site. This will include:
 - The evaluation of offsite conditions both upstream and downstream of the project site.
 - A description of the existing offsite and onsite drainage improvements.
 - Recommendations regarding onsite drainage improvements.
 - Evaluation of the capacity of offsite drainage improvements.
 - Recommendations regarding detention and storm water quality.
 - General recommendations regarding erosion control.

II. General Description

The project site is a portion of an unplatted parcel located in the northeasterly corner of the Wayfarer Drive/ Mesa Ridge Parkway intersection.

The project site is a 1.21-acre tract located approximately in the center of the unplatted parcel. The northeasterly corner of the project site is located approximately 650 feet west of the Wayfarer Drive/ Mesa Ridge Parkway intersection. The project site extends across the unplatted parcel from Wayfarer Drive to Mesa Ridge Parkway. Access to the site will be from both Wayfarer Drive and Mesa Ridge Parkway. The subdivisions that are located near the project site included The Glen at Widefield Subdivision #2, The Glen at Widefield Subdivision Filing No. 4 and The Glen at Widefield Subdivision Filing No. 2 (*Appendix Exhibit 4*).

III. Design Criteria and Methodology

a. Design Manuals

Applicable excerpts from the following manuals are included in the Appendix of this report (*Exhibit 4, Appendix*)

- El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual (EPCDCM), dated September 30, 1990, Revised July, 2019
- Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manuals, Volume 1 and 2, dated May, 2014
- Urban Drainage and Flood Control Manual, Volumes 2 and 3, dated August 2018
- CDOT Erosion Control Field Handbook, dated April 20, 2017

b. Specific Criteria

- Design storms
The majority of the facilities are designed to accommodate the runoff from the 100-year storm event. This is necessary in order to facilitate the

capture of the runoff from the 100-year storm event in the detention/ water quality pond.

The design storms are as follows:

Minor storm: 5 year

Major storm: 100 year

- Drainage Areas

Areas for the offsite and onsite sub basins were estimated from available topographic mapping.

- Runoff Estimation

Rational Method: This method was used to determine runoff estimates since the project site area is less than 130 acres (per criteria).

Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) curves were obtained from the CSDCM (*Appendix, Exhibit 5*)

- Onsite Storm Sewer and Inlets

There are no existing storm sewer facilities located on the project site. All onsite storm sewer facilities will be privately owned and maintained. They will include pipes, inlets, cleanouts, flared end sections, concrete chases, etc.

c. Drainage swale and borrow ditch sizing

Offsite swales are evaluated with runoff from both the minor 5-year storm and the major 100-year storm events. All of the swales are located offsite south of the project site. These swales were constructed with the construction of Mesa Ridge Parkway and Powers Boulevard. Since runoff from the project does not have any impact on the existing swales, the swales were only evaluated for information purposes only. No improvements are proposed to these swales.

d. Culvert

- Headwater to Depth Ratio = 1.5 for the 5-year storm
- One lane open for the 100-year storm. Since this criteria typically produces substantial erosion at the outlet the allowable velocity in the culvert was limited to no greater than 10 fps.
- Riprap Erosion Control at the outlet
- Flared End Sections at both the entrance and the outlet to the culvert.

e. Detention/ Water Quality Pond

- Design Criteria: Urban Drainage Flood Control Manual (UDFCM)
- Type: Sand Filter Basin

f. Erosion control

The following facilities are anticipated to be required:

- Erosion Control Blankets
- Riprap aprons
- Silt fences
- Staked hay bales
- Erosion control fabric
- Erosion control logs

The locations of the above facilities will be shown on a Grading and Erosion Control Plan which is to be prepared for the Storm Water Management Permit Application and submitted under separate cover.

IV. EXISTING REPORTS, MAPPING AND INFORMATION

a. Mesa Ridge Phase 1 and 2 (*excerpts included in Exhibit 4, Appendix*)

A portion of the Mesa Ridge Parkway Phases 1 and 2 is located along the south side of the project site.

Runoff from the Parkway sheet flows into the borrow ditch located along the north side of the highway. This borrow ditch only accommodates runoff from the north half of the Mesa Ridge Parkway right-of-way. A high point in the borrow ditch is located approximately 1000 feet east of the project site. At this point the flow is routed either east or west in the borrow ditch. The water flows in a westerly direction to a concrete channel and then eventually to a concrete box culvert located under Powers Boulevard. The location of these facilities are not shown either of the Drainage Maps.

The fire station proposes to construct an access to the building off of Mesa Ridge Parkway. The borrow ditch was evaluated in order to size the proposed culvert under the proposed driveway.

b. The Glen at Widefield Subdivision No. 4

The Glen at Widefield Subdivision No. 4 is located along the northerly side of Wayfarer Drive. The drainage plan shows all of the runoff from the minor storm event remains in the street and flows to the east to outfall into Mesa Ridge Parkway (*Exhibit 4, Appendix*). The stormwater does not outfall onto either the site or the unplatted parcel located along the east and the west sides of the project site. Analysis of the 100-year event in Wayfarer Drive is beyond the scope of this report.

- c. **The Glen at Widefield Subdivision No. 2** The Glen at Widefield Subdivision No. 2 is located on the north and east side of the unplatted tract that is one either side of the project site. The drainage map indicates that no storm water runoff enters the project site but is directed to a detention pond located on the unplatted parcel immediately south of The Glen at Widefield Subdivision No. 2.

V. FEMA FLOODPLAIN

The project site is located in FEMA map # 08041CO956G (*Appendix, Exhibit 2*). The entire site is located outside the 100-year floodplain in Zone X which is an "Area of Minimal Disturbance" for which there are no special requirements for the construction of commercial or industrial structures.

VI. HYDROLOGIC SOILS INFORMATION

The hydrologic soils groups were obtained from the USDA National Resource Conservation Service website for soils types in El Paso County, Colorado (*Appendix, Exhibit 3*). The soils are identified as follows:

- a. **Nelson-Tassel sandy loams** which have the following characteristics:
- Well drained
 - Frequency of flooding: none
 - Frequency of ponding: none
 - Hydrologic Soil Group: B
- b. **Stoneham Sandy Loams** which have the following characteristics:
- Well drained
 - Frequency of flooding: none
 - Frequency of ponding: none
 - Hydrologic Soil Group: B
- A detailed description of each of the type soil is included in Appendix Exhibit 3.

VII. EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

a. General Description

All undeveloped runoff from Sub basins OS1, OS4, OS3, and onsite Sub basin A is collected by two (2) swales that route water in a westerly direction. Both swales are located along the northerly right-of-way for Mesa Ridge Parkway. Swale 1 is located south and inside the right-of-way. Swale 2 is located north and outside the right-of-way. The most northerly swale collects runoff from the Sub basins OS1, Sub basin A, OS3. The most southerly swale collects runoff from only the northerly ½ of the right of way of Mesa Ridge Parkway and routes it in a westerly direction.. Both swales intersect west of the site and enter a concrete channel which outfalls into a concrete box culvert under Powers Boulevard at DP5. This location is not

shown on the Existing Conditions Drainage Map. The water eventually passes under Powers Boulevard via a concrete box culvert at approximately 700 feet north of the Mesa Parkway intersection.

Hydraulic analysis and evaluation of all offsite drainage facilities is beyond the scope of this report. Hydraulic analysis of the swales was accomplished for only the immediate swale sections impacted by the installation of the two (2) culverts under the proposed driveway to the fire station building.

b. Design Point 1, Runoff from OS1

Undeveloped storm water runoff from OS1 (2.08 acres) sheet flows in a southerly direction to Swale 2 located north of the northerly right-of-way line for Mesa Ridge Parkway. The swale routes the water in a westerly direction to where it intersects with Swale1 located to the south of the northerly right-of-way line for Mesa Ridge Parkway. From here the combined swales are directed in a westerly direction to a concrete channel and a concrete box culvert under Powers Boulevard (DP5). The existing hydraulic characteristics of the swales will be maintained upon site development. Upon development a concrete culvert will be installed under the driveway to the fire station building approximately 15 feet west of DP1.

The hydrologic characteristics of the runoff from OS1 at DP1 for **both** the existing and developed conditions are as follows:

- Drainage Area = 2.08 acres
- Runoff Coefficients: 5 year = 0.09, 100 year = 0.36
- Time of Concentration: 17.0 minutes
- Runoff: 5 year = 0.4 cfs, 100 year = 2.4 cfs

c. Design Point 2, Runoff from OS4

Sub basin OS4 (1.0. acres) is comprised of the area north of the northerly right-of-way for Mesa Ridge Parkway and east of the project site. The sub basin is limited to the northerly portion of the Mesa Ridge Parkway right-of-way. Undeveloped runoff from OS4 is collected by Swale 1 and is routed in a westerly direction. This swale was designed and constructed to carry only the runoff from the right-of-way. Undeveloped runoff from adjacent property to the north does not enter the swale. The water in Swale 1 is routed in a westerly direction and joins Swale 2 located north of the northerly right-of-way line of Mesa Ridge Parkway. This location is not shown on the Existing Conditions Drainage Map. The water in the combined swales outfalls into an existing concrete channel and then is routed to an existing concrete box culvert under Powers Boulevard (DP5) This location is also not shown on the Existing Conditions Drainage Map.

As part of the site development, a concrete culvert is proposed located approximately 15 feet west of DP2 under the driveway that enters the fire

station site. The culvert was sized based on the following hydrologic information. These conditions are for **both** the existing and developed conditions.

- Drainage Area = 1.0 acres
- Runoff Coefficients: 5 year = 0.09, 100 year = 0.36
- Time of Concentration: 17.0 minutes
- Rainfall intensity: 5 year = 3.3, 100 year = 5.6
- Runoff: 5 year = 0.4 cfs, 100 year = 2.4 cfs

d. **Design Point 3, Runoff from Sub basin A**

Sub basin A (1.21 acres) is comprised of the undeveloped area occupied by the project site. The water sheet flows in a southerly direction to Swale #2. Swale 2 routes the water in a westerly direction along the south side of Sub basin OS3. The purpose for evaluating this sub basin is to arrive at a design discharge for the existing undeveloped conditions.

- Drainage Area = 1.21 acres
- Runoff Coefficients: 5 year = 0.25, 100 year = 0.48
- Time of Concentration: 17.0 minutes (Tc for OS1 controls)
- Rainfall intensity: 5 year = 3.3, 100 year = 5.6
- Runoff: 5 year = 0.5 cfs, 100 year = 4.2 cfs

e. **Design Point 4, Runoff from OS2**

Undeveloped storm water runoff from the north (OS2) is routed in an easterly direction in the southerly curb and gutter section along Wayfarer Drive. The water enters the Mesa Ridge Parkway intersection located approximately 650 feet east of the project site. Upon development, water from Wayfarer Drive will be prevented from entering the project site with the installation of a concrete pan and a high point constructed in the proposed driveway just south of the intersection with Wayfarer Drive.

f. **Design Point 5, Runoff from OS3**

Undeveloped runoff from the unplatted area (OS3) to the west of the site sheet flows in a southerly direction to a swale located north of the northerly right-of-way line for Mesa Ridge Parkway. The runoff combines with runoff from the easterly unplatted parcel (OS1) in Swale 2 and the undeveloped project area (Sub basin A) and is routed west in Swale #2.

VIII. DEVELOPED ONSITE DRAINAGE CONDITIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS

Criteria Summary

The hydrologic and hydraulic characteristics of the site and the proposed drainage improvements were evaluated in the following manner:

1. Design points (DP) were located where runoff data was required to size drainage improvements and/or locations where descriptions of drainage characteristics were necessary.
2. Areas were determined for the total area that contributes runoff to each design point.
3. Runoff coefficients and times of concentration were selected based on proposed land use. A minimum time of concentration of 5 minutes was selected in conformance with the El Paso County Drainage Criteria.
4. Estimation of the amounts of water at each Design Point was determined using the Rational Method.
5. The routing of the runoff from the 100-year storm event was discussed. The facilities were designed to intercept 100% of the runoff from the 100-year storm and discharge it into the proposed private full spectrum detention (FSD) pond.
6. The inlets were sized to intercept 80% to 90% of the surface runoff. Any bypass will be intercepted by downstream inlets and/or concrete chases. In order to be conservative, the pipes were sized for 100% of the 100-year runoff.
7. The inlets that are proposed are manufactured by Nyoplast. Examples of these units are included in *Exhibit 5, Appendix*.

Sub basin Summaries

a. Design Point 1

- Contributing Sub basin Description
DP 1 collects runoff from ½ the street right-of-way of Wayfarer Drive (OS2)lopement all of the water will remain in the street section with the construction of two (2) concrete cross pans and high points located in each of the two (2) driveways just south of the intersection with Wayfarer Drive. Data regarding the flow in Wayfarer Drive can be obtained from the Final Drainage Report prepared for The Glen at Widefield #2. Excerpts from this report are included in *Exhibit 4, Appendix*.
- Sub basin Characteristics
The characteristics for the sub basin upstream of DP 1 were not evaluated since the runoff has no impact on the developed conditions of the Fire Station site.
- Stormwater Routing for Developed Conditions
The runoff is collected by a proposed public concrete cross pan. The water is then is routed to DP 2 via the existing concrete curb and gutter section

along the southerly side of Wayfarer Drive. Evaluation of the hydrologic and hydraulic characteristics at this location is beyond the scope of this report this the runoff has no impact on the Fire Station site.

- Proposed Drainage Facilities

A concrete cross pan is to be constructed at this location. The water will be prevented from entering the Fire Station site with the construction of a high point in the driveway south of the proposed cross pan.

b. Design Point 2

- Contributing Sub basin Description

DP 2 collects runoff from $\frac{1}{2}$ the street section of Wayfarer Drive located downstream of the proposed cross pan at DP1, onsite sub basin A (0.04 acres) ($Q_5 = 0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.2$ cfs), and onsite sub basin B ($Q_5 = \text{neg cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 0.1$ cfs). DP2 is located at the upstream end of the proposed second concrete cross pan (located east of the DP1) located at the second driveway access to the fire station site. The total runoff amounts for both the 5-year and 100-year storms were not determined at this location since it will not have an impact on the project site.

- Stormwater Routing for Developed Conditions

The runoff at DP2 is collected by a proposed concrete cross pan. Stormwater from Wayfarer Drive will remain in Wayfarer Drive with the construction of high points in the driveways and with the installation of concrete cross pans. The water is then routed along the southerly curb and gutter section in an easterly direction to the Mesa Ridge Parkway intersection. Evaluation of the hydrologic and hydraulic characteristics at this point is beyond the scope of this report. The runoff has no impact on the Fire Station site.

c. Design Point 3

- Contributing Sub basin Description

DP 3 collects runoff from Sub basin D (0.08 acres). The Sub basin is a landscaped area. The discharges for the design flows were determined to be $Q_5 = \text{negligible}$ and $Q_{100} = 0.3$ cfs.

- Stormwater Routing for Developed Conditions

The runoff sheet flows to a private inlet located in the middle of the landscaped area (DP3). The total runoff at DP3 is $Q_5 = \text{neg cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 0.3\text{cfs}$. The water is then is routed to a cleanout at DP 4 via a proposed private pipe (STR 14).

- Proposed Drainage Facilities (Exhibit 8, Appendix, Calculation Sheet (CS) 1)

A private inlet is proposed at DP 3. The inlet is sized to intercept 100% of the runoff from Sub Basin D. The water is then routed to a cleanout at DP 4 via a private 12" HDPE (STR 14). The private pipe segment was sized for the 100-year storm since the driveway functions as a "dam" preventing a suitable outfall for the 100-year storm event. The hydrologic and hydraulic properties of STR 14 are as follows:

STR ID: 14

Design flows: 100 year = 0.3 cfs.

Size of pipe segment = 12 inches

Approximate slope: 1.0 %

Depth of flow: 100 year = 0.2 feet

Velocity: 100 year = 2.7 fps

- 100-year routing

The runoff from the 100-year storm is contained within STR 14 and routed to DP 4 via a private 12" HDPE pipe (STR 14).

d. **Design Point 4**

- Contributing Sub basin Description

A cleanout is proposed at DP 4. No additional runoff enters the storm sewer system at DP4. The design flow discharges at this Design Point were determined to be $Q_5 = \text{neg}$ and $Q_{100} = 0.3$ cfs.

- Stormwater Routing for Developed Conditions

The 12" HDPE (STR 14) enters the cleanout from the west and exits the cleanout to the south via a 12" HDPE (STR 3) to DP 9. The pipe is located along the easterly side of the building from DP4 to DP9.

- Proposed Drainage Facilities (Exhibit 8, Appendix, CS 2)

A private 12" HDPE (STR 3) is sized for the 100-year storm since upstream facilities were all sized for the 100-year event. The hydrologic and hydraulic properties of the private STR 3 are as follows:

STR ID: 3

Design flows: 100 year = 0.3 cfs.

Size of pipe segment = 12 inches HDPE

Approximate slope: 1.0 %

Depth of flow: 100 year = 0.2 feet

Velocity: 100 year = 2.7 fps

- 100-year routing

All water from the 100-year storm event is contained within STR 15.

e. Design Point 5

- Contributing Sub basin Description

DP 5 is located at a cleanout at the northerly end of a concrete paved area between the building and the parking lot along the westerly side of the fire station (Sub basin J). Developed runoff from approximately one quarter of the roof is collected at DP5 and drops to the cleanout at DP5 and then is carried in a southerly direction via a 6" HDPE (STR 22). The design flow at this DP was not determined since it was runoff only from approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ of the roof.

- Stormwater Routing for Developed Conditions

A 6" HDPE (STR 22) exists the cleanout at DP 5 and routes the water to the south to DP7.

- Proposed Drainage Facilities

A 6" HDPE (STR 22) exits the cleanout at DP 5 and routes the water to the south to DP6

The hydraulic characteristics of STR 22 was not determined since the pipe is only accommodating runoff from approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ of the roof.

- 100-year routing

All water from the 100-year storm event is contained within STR 22

f. Design Point 6

DP 6 was eliminated from this analysis.

g. Design Point 7

- Contributing Sub basin Description

Developed runoff from Sub basin I (0.09 acres, Q5 = 0.40 cfs and Q100 = 0.7 cfs enters a 6" HDPE pipe (STR22) and a 12" HDPE pipe (STR23) from roof downspouts at the northwest and southwest corners of the building. Facilities at DP7 include a 12" by 6" wye. A cleanout is located at the downstream end of STR 22. Design flows at DP7 were determined to be Q5 = 0.4 cfs and Q100 = 0.7 cfs.

- Stormwater Routing for Developed Conditions

The runoff is routed in a southerly direction via private STR 23 to a proposed 12"- 45-degree bend (STR 24).

- Proposed Drainage Facilities (Exhibit 8, Appendix, CS3)

STR 23 is sized for the 100-year storm in order to intercept all of the stormwater generated by the 100-year storm event and route it into the FSD

pond. The hydrologic and hydraulic properties of the private STR 23 are as follows:

STR ID: 23

Design flows: 100 year = 0.7 cfs.

Size of pipe segment = 12 inches

Approximate slope: 4.0%

Depth of flow: 100 year = 0.2 feet

Velocity: 100 year = 5.7 fps

- 100-year routing

All of the runoff generated by the 100-year storm event is routed to the full spectrum detention (FSD) by the private underground storm sewer system.

h. Design Point 8

- Contributing Sub basin Description

Stormwater runoff from Sub basins E (0.23 acres) and J (0.01 acres) is collected at DP 8. The areas consist of predominantly paved parking and a limited amount of landscaping. The discharges for the design flows at this Design Point were determined to be Q5 = 1.0 cfs and Q100 = 1.8 cfs.

- Stormwater Routing for Developed Conditions

The majority of the runoff from these sub basins sheet flows to the in a southerly direction and is collected by the concrete curb and gutter section located along the westerly side of the parking lot. The water is collected by a private Nyoplast inlet at DP8. From here the water is routed in an easterly direction via private 12" HDPE pipe (STR 11) to DP21.

- Proposed Drainage Facilities (Exhibit 8, Appendix, CS 4)

STR 11 (12" HDPE) is sized for the major portion of the 100-year storm in order to discharge all of the water generated by the 100-year storm event into the FSD pond. The hydrologic and hydraulic properties of private STR 11 are as follows. The hydraulic parameters for STR 11 are for 100% interception of the runoff from 100-year storm.

STR ID: 11

Design flows: 100 year = 1.8 cfs.

Size of pipe segment = 12" HDPE

Approximate slope: 10%

Depth of flow: 100 year = 0.2 feet

Velocity: 100 year = 10.3 fps

- 100-year routing
All of the runoff generated by the 100-year storm event is routed downstream in the STR 11 with only a minimal amount of bypass on the surface.

i. **Design Point 9**

- Contributing Sub basin Description
Developed stormwater runoff from Sub basins D (0.08 acres, Q5 = neg, Q100 = 0.3 cfs), F (0.03 acres, Q5 = 0.1 cfs, Q100 = 0.2 cfs) and H (0.09 acres, Q5 = 0.4 cfs, Q100 = 0.7 cfs), is collected at DP9 with a total design flow of Q5 = 0.5 cfs and Q100 = 1.2 cfs. This sub basins consist of the area to the north of the building and the easterly half of the fire station roof.
- Stormwater Routing for Developed Conditions
The water from the roof surface drains to a downspouts located at DP 9 and the northeasterly corner of the building. The water enters the storm sewer system at downspouts located at the northeasterly corner of the building and at DP9. The water drains to the proposed 12" HDPE (STR3) located along the easterly side of the building. From here the water drains in a southerly direction to DP 17 via a 12" HDPE pipe (STR 17 and 18).
- Proposed Drainage Facilities (Exhibit 8, Appendix, CS 5 and CS 6)
Private STR17 and STR18 were sized for the 100-year storm since upstream facilities were all sized for the 100-year event. The hydraulic parameters for STR 17 and STR 18 were determined based on 100% interception of the runoff from 100-year storm. It was assumed that the majority of the runoff from the 100-year could be intercepted with only a negligible amount of bypass that would occur at the inlets. Based on this assumption, the hydrologic and hydraulic properties of the private pipe segments are as follows:

 - STR ID: 17 and 18
 - Design flows: 100 year = 1.2 cfs.
 - Size of pipe segment = 12 inches HDPE
 - Assumed slope: 1.0% and 7.7%, respectively
 - Depth of flow: 100 year = 0.2 feet and 0.2 feet, respectively
 - Velocity: 100 year = 10.3 fps and 8.3 fps, respectively
- 100-year routing
All of the runoff generated by the 100-year storm event is routed to the FSD pond via STR17 and STR18 and then STR19.

j. Design Point 10

- Contributing Sub basin Description
Stormwater runoff from Sub basin O (0.02 acres) and any bypass from DP17 is collected at DP 10. The discharges at DP10 were determined to be $Q_5 = 0.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 0.2$ cfs.
- Stormwater Routing for Developed Conditions
Runoff from Sub basin O ($Q_5 = 0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.2$ cfs) sheet flows across the fire station's southerly parking area and driveway. The water is collected by the curb and gutter section located along the west side of the driveway. From DP 10 the water is routed to the FSD pond via a concrete swale (STR 27).
- Proposed Drainage Facilities (Exhibit 8, Appendix, CS 7)
The concrete swale is sized for the 100-year storm event. The hydrologic and hydraulic properties of the private concrete swale are as follows:

Structure ID: 27

Design flows: 100 year = 0.2 cfs.

Size of the concrete swale = 24" wide by 12" deep

Assumed slope: 23%

Depth of flow: 100-year = 0.1 feet

Velocity: 100-year = 3.8 fps

k. Design Point 11

DP 11 is located at the FSD pond outfall structure. The description of the characteristics of the outfall structure for the FSD pond s included in section XI of this report. A Concentrated Inflow Structure is proposed at this location. This type facility is recommended as opposed to a concrete impact stilling basin due the small amount of flows entering the pond and the considerable savings between the two (2) type facilities.

l. Design Point 12

- Contributing Sub basin Description
Runoff from OS1 ($Q_5 = 0.6$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 4.2$ cfs) sheet flows from the north to the south and is collected by an existing swale (Swale 1). Stormwater runoff from Sub basin OS1 (2.08 acres) collects at DP 12 where a driveway culvert (STR 29) is proposed. The upstream boundary of OS1 is located at a high point in the swale approximately 1,000 feet east of the site. The water in Swale 1 passes under the proposed driveway via an 24 concrete culvert (STR29) and continues to flow in a westerly direction. Swale 1 combines with Swale 2 and continues to flow in a westerly direction to a concrete

channel located upstream of a concrete box culvert under Powers Boulevard. Both of these facilities are not shown on either the Existing Conditions Drainage Plan or the Developed Conditions Drainage Plan.

- Proposed Drainage Facilities (Exhibit 8, Appendix, CS 8)

A 24" reinforced concrete pipe (CL IV) pipe is recommended at DP12. It is recommended to use a Class IV pipe to support the weight of the fire trucks. The Headwater to Depth ratio was determined by using the Headwater to Depth nomograph included in *Exhibit 5, Appendix*. The hydraulic properties of the culvert were determined based on the 100-year storm event and are as follows:

STR ID: 29 (Driveway culvert)
Design flows: 5 year = 0.6 cfs, 100 year = 4.2 cfs
Size of pipe segment = 24 inches, CL IV RCP
Headwater to Depth ratio = 0.5
Depth of flow at upstream culvert end = 0.8 ft
Control: inlet
Estimated culvert slope = 2.2%
Normal depth in culvert = 0.4 ft
Normal Velocity in Culvert = 7.1 fps

Riprap erosion protection is proposed at the outlet of the pipe from DP18 to DP15. The riprap is not only designed for the outlet at STR 29 but also for the emergency spillway from the FSD pond (STR31).

- 100-year routing

It is expected that the culvert will have sufficient capacity to accommodate the design flow from the 100-year storm. All of the water from the 100-year design storm will remain in the swale and be routed to the concrete box culvert under Powers Boulevard located west of the project site. Hydrologic and hydraulic analyses of the downstream facilities are beyond the scope of this report.

m. Design Point 13

- Contributing Sub basin Description

Runoff from OS4 (0.44 acres, Q5 = 0.9 cfs, Q100 = 2.9 cfs) sheet flows to Swale 2 from the area located between the northerly right-of-way line of Mesa Ridge Parkway and the northerly edge of pavement. No runoff from the pavement enters the swale since the paved section is super elevated to the south. The runoff enters Swale 2 and is directed in a westerly direction

The upstream boundary of OS4 is located at a high point in the swale approximately 1,000 feet east of the site. The water in Swale 2 passes under the proposed driveway via an 18-inch concrete culvert (STR30) and

continues to flow in a westerly direction. Swale 2 combines with Swale 1 and continues to flow in a westerly direction to a concrete channel located upstream of a concrete box culvert under Powers Boulevard. Both of these facilities are not shown on either the Existing Conditions Drainage Plan or the Developed Conditions Drainage.

- Proposed Drainage Facilities for Developed Conditions (Exhibit 8, Appendix, CS 9)

An 18" reinforced concrete pipe (CL IV) pipe is recommended at DP 13. The hydraulic properties of this culvert are as follows. The hydraulic properties of the culvert were determined based on the 100-year storm event and are as follows:

STR ID: 30 (Driveway culvert)

Design flows: 5 year = 0.9 cfs, 100 year = 2.9 cfs

Size of pipe segment = 18 inches, CL IV RCP

Headwater to Depth ratio = 0.6 (for 100 year event)

Depth at upstream culvert end = 11 inches

Control: inlet

Estimated culvert slope = 2.0%

Normal depth in culvert = 0.2 ft

Normal Velocity in Culvert = 4.5 fps

- 100-year routing

All of the runoff generated by the 100- year storm event is routed to the existing concrete channel upstream of the concrete box culvert under Powers Boulevard.

n. **Design Point 14**

Contributing Sub basin Description

DP 14 is located at the easterly end of the proposed driveway for the fire station. All runoff from the driveway intersection with Mesa Ridge Parkway runs off into Swale 2 which is located south of the northerly right of way line for Mesa Ridge Parkway.

o. **Design Point 15**

DP 15 is located in the existing swale at the westerly boundary of the project site. Runoff from Sub Basins M (0.17 acres, Q5 = 0.2 cfs, Q100 = 0.7 cfs), N (0.03 acres Q5 =negligible, Q100 = 0.1 cfs), P (0.01 acres Q5 = negligible, Q100 = 0.1 cfs), and the FSD pond outfall combine with the flows at DP 12 to total the runoff amounts at DP 15 (Q5 = 2.9 cfs, Q100 = 8.1 cfs). It is highly problematic to route runoff from these sub basins to the FSD pond due to the existing and proposed topography. It is considered acceptable to not route this runoff to the FSD pond since the area of pavement (800 sf) to the total

area of sub basins M, N, and P (9,150 sf) is only 9%. As a result, the increase in runoff due to development is expected to be negligible.

It is recommended to line Swale 1 with riprap from DP18 to DP15. The swale was sized to accommodate the runoff from the sub basins as described above as well as the emergency overflow from the FSD pond ($Q_5 = 2.5$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 5.8$ cfs). The hydraulic characteristics of Swale 1 is as follows (Exhibit 8, Appendix CS24;

- Design flows: $Q_5 = 2.9$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 8.1$ cfs
- Approximate slope = 1.5 %
- Bottom Width = 2 feet
- Side slopes: 3 to 1
- Manning's Coefficient:
- Depth of flow = 0.5 feet
- Velocity = 4.8 fps

The water in this Swale 1 and 2 is routed to the existing downstream concrete ditch and concrete box culvert located under Powers Boulevard

p. Design Point 16

DP 16 is located at the outfall of the FSD pond (STR 28). The discharge from the FSD pond was determined using program provided by the Urban Drainage and Flood Control Manual. An emergency overflow (STR 31) is to be constructed from the top of the FSD pond bank to the outfall at Swale 1. This outfall is located near the downstream end of STR 29. The release rates for the pond are summarized in Section IX of this report.

All water from the 100-year storm event is routed in a westerly direction in swale 1 to the existing concrete channel and the concrete box culvert under Powers Boulevard (not shown).

q. Design Point 17

- Contributing Sub basin Description
Stormwater runoff from Sub basins K (0.18 acres, $Q_5 = 0.7$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 1.3$ cfs) collect at DP 9. This area consists of predominantly paved parking and a limited amount of landscaping.
- Stormwater Routing for Developed Conditions
The surface runoff from Sub basin K, sheet flows in a southeasterly direction across the concrete parking area to the concrete curb and gutter located along the southside of the parking area. The water is then routed in an easterly direction to a proposed private inlet (STR 6) located at DP 17. The underground water enters the inlet from the northeast via private a 12"

HDPE pipe (STR 18) and exits via a private 12" HDPE pipe (STR26). The water ultimately discharges into the FSD pond at DP 20.

- Proposed Drainage Facilities (Exhibit 8, Appendix, CS 10)
STR 26 was sized for the 100-year storm since upstream facilities were all sized for the 100-year event. The hydrologic and hydraulic properties of the private pipe segment 26 are as follows:

STR ID: 19

Design flows: 100 year = 1.6 cfs.

Size of pipe segment = 12 inches HDPE

Assumed slope: 7.7%

Depth of flow: 100 year = 0.2 feet

Velocity: 100 year = 9.1 fps

- 100-year routing
All of the runoff generated by the 100-year storm event is routed to the FSD pond by a private underground storm sewer system. The storm sewer (STR26) was designed to accommodate 100% of the runoff from the 100-year event. The inlet (STR6) was designed to accommodate 80% to 90% of the surface flow with the remaining surface flow to bypass to downstream concrete channel (STR27). The concrete channel outfalls into the FSD pond at DP 22.

r. Design Point 18

DP 18 is located at the downstream end of the proposed 18" culvert (STR 29) located at the swale crossing under the south driveway that accesses the fire station building. The description of the hydrologic and hydraulics characteristics pertaining to the structure were discussed in a previous section of this report.

s. Design Point 19

DP 19 is located at the downstream end of the proposed 18" culvert (STR 30) located at the swale crossing under the south driveway that accesses the fire station site. The description of the hydrologic and hydraulics characteristics pertaining to the structure were discussed in previous sections of this report.

t. Design Point 20

DP 20 is located at the FSD pond outlet of STR 18. The flow entering the pond is $Q_5 = 0.5$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 4.8$ cfs from Sub basins D, E, J, K, H, and I. A concentrated inflow riprap basin is recommended at this location (*Exhibit 5, Appendix*).

u. Design Point 22

DP 22 is located at the outlet to STR 20 (Concrete chase). The flow entering the pond at this location is $Q_5 = 0.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 0.3$ cfs from Sub Basin O. A concentrated inflow riprap basin is recommended at this location (*Exhibit 5, Appendix*).

v. Drainage Sub basin G

Runoff from Sub basin G (0.19 acres, $Q_5 = 0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.6$ cfs) sheet flows to the easterly property line. This area is to remain in a natural state. The runoff is to sheet flow onto undeveloped unplatted tract (OS 1). Stormwater runoff from this area will not have to be routed into the proposed FSD pond since no development is to occur in this sub basin.

w. Drainage Sub basin L

Sub basin L consists (0.13 acres) of the area occupied by the FSD pond. Runoff generated from this sub basin is $Q_5 = 0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.4$ cfs when the pond is empty. The runoff coefficients for this sub basin were $C_5 = 0.08$, $C_{100} = 0.35$.

x. Drainage Sub Basin M

Runoff from Sub basin M (0.17 acres, $Q_5 = 0.2$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 0.7$ cfs) sheet flows to the Swale 1 located north of the north right-of-way line for Mesa Ridge Parkway. Stormwater runoff from this area will not have to be routed into the proposed FSD pond since no development is to occur in this sub basin.

y. Drainage Sub Basin N

Runoff from Sub basin N (0.0. acres, $Q_5 =$ negligible, $Q_{100} = 0.1$ cfs) sheet flows to the Swale 1 located north of the north right-of-way line for Mesa Ridge Parkway. Stormwater runoff from this area will not have to be routed into the proposed FSD pond since no development is to occur in this sub basin.

z. Drainage Sub basin P

Runoff from Sub basin P (0.01 acres, $Q_5 =$ negligible, $Q_{100} =$ negligible) sheet flows to the westerly property line. This area is to remain in its natural state. The runoff is to sheet flow onto undeveloped unplatted tract (OS 3). Stormwater runoff from this area will not have to be routed into the proposed FSD pond since no development is to occur in this sub basin.

aa.Drainage Sub basin Q

Sub Basin Q (0.01 acres, Q5 = negligible, Q100 = 0,1 cfs) is comprised of the paved apron at the south end of the driveway. All of the runoff from this apron sheet flows into Swale 2 since there is no curb and gutter. Since the increase in impervious area is so minimal it is not necessary to accommodate this flow in the sizing of the FSD pond.

bb.Drainage Sub basin R

Runoff from Sub basin R (0.04 acres, Q5 = negligible, Q100 = 0.1 cfs) sheet flows to the westerly property line. This area is to remain in its natural state. The runoff is to sheet flow onto undeveloped unplatted tract (OS 3). Stormwater runoff from this area will not have to be routed into the proposed FSD pond since no development is to occur in this sub basin.

.

IX. FULL SPECTRUM DETENTION POND (EXHIBIT 7, APPENDIX)

The following elevations are based on a elevations of "0" at the bottom of the pond.

a. Design Flows

- Peak Inflow: Q5 year = 1.1 cfs, Q100 = 2.8 cfs
- Peak Outflow: Q5 year = 0.1 cfs, Q100 = 1.2 cfs
- Emergency Overflow = 2.5 cfs, Q100 = 5.8 cfs (based on Rational Method for site runoff with a time of concentration of 5 minutes)

b. Pond Characteristics

- Type: Sand Filter
- Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) = 0.015 acre-ft, elevation = 0.81 ft
- Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) = 0.059 acre-ft, elevation = 2.19 ft
- 100-yr runoff volume = 0.167 acre- ft., elevation = 3.15 ft
- Media Surface elevations = 0.00 ft
- Spillway elevation = 3.5 ft
- Top of berm elevation = 5.0 ft

c. Outlet Structure

- Orifice size = 1 inch
- Number of rows = 3
- Overflow Weir Elevation = 2.5 ft
- Overflow Grate Size = approximately a 3' by 3'
- Debris Clogging = 50%

d. Emergency Spillway

- Spillway Invert Elevation = 3.5 ft
- Spillway Crest length = 8.0 ft
- Spillway Side Slopes = 3 to 1
- Freeboard

e. Outfall Pipe (sized for 100 year event) (Exhibit 8, Appendix, CS 25)

- Size/ Type = 12" HDPE
- Design Discharge: Q5 year = 1.2 cfs
- Slope (assumed) = 5% max
- Depth of flow = 0.2 ft
- Velocity of flow = 7.1 fps

f. Outfall protection

A riprap lined swale from DP18 (downstream end of 24" RCP culvert, STR29) to DP15 (located on westerly property line where swale 1 exists the property)

X. EROSION CONTROL

Recommended erosion control measures are summarized in the Storm Water Management Permit Application that is being submitted under separate cover.

XI. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP)

A **SWMP** has been completed and is being submitted under separate cover.

XII. DRAINAGE/ BRIDGE FEES

It is understood that there are no Drainage and/or Bridge Fees that are to be collected for this development.

XIII. OPINION OF PROBABLE COST FOR DRAINAGE FACILITIES

There are no public drainage improvements required for this project. The costs for the private drainage improvements is listed below:

Permanent Pond/BMP Construction (Grading)	203 CY at \$20	= \$ 4,060
Permanent Pond/BMP (Spillway)	1 EA at \$2350	= \$ 2,350
Permanent Pond/BMP (Outlet Structure)	1 EA at \$2900	= \$ 2,900
6" HDPE Pipe	106 LF at \$18	= \$ 1,908
12" HDPE Pipe	412 LF at \$24	= \$ 9,888
18" Sq. Area Inlet	3 EA at \$2000	= \$ 6,000
24" RCP	42 LF at \$78	= \$ 3,276
24" RCP F.E.S.	2 EA at \$468	= \$ 936
18" RCP	37 LF at \$65	= \$ 2,405
18" RCP F.E.S.	2 EA at \$390	= \$ 780
TOTAL		= \$34,503

XIV. FOUR STEP PROCESS

The El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (Appendix I, Section I.7.2) requires the consideration of a "Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainageways, and implementing long term source controls". The Four Step Process is incorporated in this project and the elements are discussed below.

1) Runoff Reduction Practices are employed in this project. Impervious surfaces have been reduced as much as practically possible. Significant areas of the site remain unpaved or landscaped pervious surfaces. Portions of the paved areas drain to pervious landscaped areas providing an element of Minimized Directly Connected Impervious Areas (MDCIA) by allowing runoff to pass through the pervious spaces before entering the proposed water quality BMP and leaving the site.

2) The developed areas of the site drain into the proposed the proposed Full Spectrum Sand Filter Basin with provision for the WQCV and EURV. The basin

will treat the WQCV and provide storm detention to include the 100-year rainfall event.

3) All drainage paths on the site which are susceptible to erosion are to be stabilized with pavement, appropriate landscape treatment or rip rap lining. The culvert outlets and pond outflow points will have rip rap protection.

4) The site will contain no potentially hazardous uses, no storage of potentially harmful substances or use of potentially harmful substances. No Site Specific or Other Source Control BMP's are required.

XV. **CONCLUSION**

This Final Drainage Report presents existing and proposed drainage conditions for the proposed Security Fire Station No. 4 project. The development will have negligible and inconsequential effects on the existing site drainage and drainage conditions downstream. Full Spectrum Detention and Water Quality treatment will be provided. A Permanent BMP Maintenance Agreement and Easement is being provided for this project. Also, an Operations and Maintenance Manual (O&M Manual) is being provided. The proposed project will not, with respect to stormwater runoff, negatively impact the adjacent properties and downstream properties.

APPENDIX

Exhibit 1: Location Map

Mesa Ridge Pkwy, Colorado Springs, CO 80925



Mesa Ridge Pkwy, Colorado Springs, CO 80925

38.721245, -104.678850

Security Fire Station #4





Exhibit 2: FEMA FIRM Map

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



38°43'32.54"N



Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS
Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE)
Zone A, V, A99
With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR
Regulatory Floodway

0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X
Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X
Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes, Zone X
Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D

OTHER AREAS
Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X
Effective LOMRs
Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone I
GENERAL STRUCTURES
Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer
Levee, Dike, or Floodwall

OTHER FEATURES
Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance Water Surface Elevation
Coastal Transect
Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE)
Limit of Study
Jurisdiction Boundary
Coastal Transect Baseline
Profile Baseline
Hydrographic Feature

MAP PANELS
Digital Data Available
No Digital Data Available
Unmapped

The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 9/11/2019 at 5:50:19 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

Exhibit 3: SCS Soils Map and Data




United States
Department of
Agriculture

NRCS

Natural
Resources
Conservation
Service

A product of the National
Cooperative Soil Survey,
a joint effort of the United
States Department of
Agriculture and other
Federal agencies, State
agencies including the
Agricultural Experiment
Stations, and local
participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for El Paso County Area, Colorado




Scale: 1" = 500'

September 11, 2019

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report
Soil Map



Map Scale: 1:5,210 if printed on A landscape (11" x 8.5") sheet.

0 50 100 200 300 Meters

0 250 500 1000 1500 Feet

Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 13N WGS84

Scale = NTS

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils



Soil Map Unit Polygons



Soil Map Unit Lines



Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features



Blowout



Borrow Pit



Clay Spot



Closed Depression



Gravel Pit



Gravelly Spot



Landfill



Lava Flow



Marsh or swamp



Mine or Quarry



Miscellaneous Water



Perennial Water



Rock Outcrop



Saline Spot



Sandy Spot



Severely Eroded Spot



Sinkhole



Slide or Slip



Sodic Spot



Spoil Area



Stony Spot



Very Stony Spot



Wet Spot



Other



Special Line Features

Water Features



Streams and Canals

Transportation



Rails



Interstate Highways



US Routes



Major Roads



Local Roads

Background



Aerial Photography

MAP INFORM

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI are at a scale of 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale may cause misunderstanding of the detail of map features and line placement. The maps do not show contrasting soils that could have been shown at a smaller scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map for distance measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Canada
Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Universal Transverse Mercator projection, which preserves direction and area. A projection that preserves distance and area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used for accurate calculations of distance or area.

This product is generated from the US National Map Accuracy Standards of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area
Survey Area Data: Version 16, Sep 1

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) at a scale of 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: 17, 2014

The orthophoto or other base map on which this map is compiled and digitized probably differs from the imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, shifting of map unit boundaries may be observed.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
31	Fort Collins loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	12.5	13.5%
56	Nelson-Tassel fine sandy loams, 3 to 18 percent slopes	33.8	36.4%
86	Stoneham sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	46.5	50.1%
Totals for Area of Interest		92.9	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or

landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

El Paso County Area, Colorado

31—Fort Collins loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3684
Elevation: 5,200 to 6,500 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Fort collins and similar soils: 85 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Fort Collins

Setting

Landform: Hills
Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Loamy alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 9 inches: loam
Bt - 9 to 16 inches: clay loam
Bk - 16 to 21 inches: clay loam
Ck - 21 to 60 inches: loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 15 percent
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: High (about 10.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Ecological site: Loamy Plains (R067BY002CO)
Other vegetative classification: LOAMY PLAINS (069AY006CO)
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit:
Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit:
Landform: Depressions
Hydric soil rating: Yes

56—Nelson-Tassel fine sandy loams, 3 to 18 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3690
Elevation: 5,600 to 6,400 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 12 to 14 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F
Frost-free period: 135 to 155 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Nelson and similar soils: 45 percent
Tassel and similar soils: 30 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Nelson

Setting

Landform: Hills
Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Calcareous residuum weathered from interbedded sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 5 inches: fine sandy loam
Ck - 5 to 23 inches: fine sandy loam
Cr - 23 to 27 inches: weathered bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 12 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to paralithic bedrock
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high
(0.06 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None

Custom Soil Resource Report

Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 10 percent

Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)

Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 2.8 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4e

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Ecological site: Shaly Plains (R067BY045CO)

Other vegetative classification: SHALY PLAINS (069AY046CO)

Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Tassel

Setting

Landform: Hills

Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Calcareous slope alluvium over residuum weathered from sandstone

Typical profile

A - 0 to 4 inches: fine sandy loam

C - 4 to 10 inches: fine sandy loam

Cr - 10 to 14 inches: weathered bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 18 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: 6 to 20 inches to paralithic bedrock

Natural drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: Medium

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 10 percent

Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 1.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6s

Hydrologic Soil Group: D

Ecological site: Shaly Plains (R067BY045CO)

Other vegetative classification: SHALY PLAINS (069AY046CO)

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit:

Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit:

Custom Soil Resource Report

Landform: Depressions
Hydric soil rating: Yes

86—Stoneham sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 36b2
Elevation: 5,100 to 6,500 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 13 to 15 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F
Frost-free period: 135 to 155 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Stoneham and similar soils: 85 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Stoneham

Setting

Landform: Hills
Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Calcareous loamy alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 4 inches: sandy loam
Bt - 4 to 8 inches: sandy clay loam
Btk - 8 to 11 inches: sandy clay loam
Ck - 11 to 60 inches: loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum in profile: 15 percent
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: High (about 9.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e

Custom Soil Resource Report

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Ecological site: Sandy Plains (R067BY024CO)

Other vegetative classification: SANDY PLAINS (069AY026CO)

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit:

Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit:


Landform: Depressions

Hydric soil rating: Yes

Exhibit 4: Existing Drainage Report Exhibits




Adjacent S/D Drainage Map
for
The Glen@Widefield S/D #4
(NTS)



1.1. THIS PLAN SHOWS THE GLEN AT WIDEFIELD LOTS 1-100. THE GLEN AT WIDEFIELD LOTS 1-100 ARE SHOWN IN THE ATTACHED MAP. THE GLEN AT WIDEFIELD LOTS 1-100 ARE SHOWN IN THE ATTACHED MAP. THE GLEN AT WIDEFIELD LOTS 1-100 ARE SHOWN IN THE ATTACHED MAP.

DETENTION BASIN DATA
Q₁ IN = 152 cfs
Q₂ IN = 535 cfs
Q₃ IN = 70 cfs
Q₄ IN = 4.1 cfs



LEGEND

- DRAINAGE BASIN DESIGNATION
- DRAINAGE BASIN AREA
- 5' x 5' x 5' RUNOFF
- 10' x 10' x 10' RUNOFF
- DESIGN POINT
- DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARY
- FLOW DIRECTION
- HYDRAULIC STRUCTURE IDENTIFIER
- DETENTION BASIN

STRUCTURE IDENTIFIER


J 8.32 cfs
K 1.52 cfs
L 1.52 cfs
M 1.52 cfs
N 1.52 cfs
O 1.52 cfs
P 1.52 cfs



STRUCTURE IDENTIFIER	STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION
1	15'-HIGH RCP
2	15'-HIGH RCP
3	15'-HIGH RCP
4	15'-HIGH RCP
5	15'-HIGH RCP
6	15'-HIGH RCP
7	15'-HIGH RCP
8	15'-HIGH RCP
9	15'-HIGH RCP
10	15'-HIGH RCP
11	15'-HIGH RCP
12	15'-HIGH RCP
13	15'-HIGH RCP
14	15'-HIGH RCP
15	15'-HIGH RCP
16	15'-HIGH RCP
17	15'-HIGH RCP
18	15'-HIGH RCP
19	15'-HIGH RCP
20	15'-HIGH RCP
21	15'-HIGH RCP
22	15'-HIGH RCP
23	15'-HIGH RCP
24	15'-HIGH RCP
25	15'-HIGH RCP
26	15'-HIGH RCP
27	15'-HIGH RCP
28	15'-HIGH RCP
29	15'-HIGH RCP
30	15'-HIGH RCP
31	15'-HIGH RCP
32	15'-HIGH RCP
33	15'-HIGH RCP
34	15'-HIGH RCP
35	15'-HIGH RCP
36	15'-HIGH RCP
37	15'-HIGH RCP
38	15'-HIGH RCP
39	15'-HIGH RCP
40	15'-HIGH RCP
41	15'-HIGH RCP
42	15'-HIGH RCP
43	15'-HIGH RCP
44	15'-HIGH RCP
45	15'-HIGH RCP
46	15'-HIGH RCP
47	15'-HIGH RCP
48	15'-HIGH RCP
49	15'-HIGH RCP
50	15'-HIGH RCP
51	15'-HIGH RCP
52	15'-HIGH RCP
53	15'-HIGH RCP
54	15'-HIGH RCP
55	15'-HIGH RCP
56	15'-HIGH RCP
57	15'-HIGH RCP
58	15'-HIGH RCP
59	15'-HIGH RCP
60	15'-HIGH RCP
61	15'-HIGH RCP
62	15'-HIGH RCP
63	15'-HIGH RCP
64	15'-HIGH RCP
65	15'-HIGH RCP
66	15'-HIGH RCP
67	15'-HIGH RCP
68	15'-HIGH RCP
69	15'-HIGH RCP
70	15'-HIGH RCP
71	15'-HIGH RCP
72	15'-HIGH RCP
73	15'-HIGH RCP
74	15'-HIGH RCP
75	15'-HIGH RCP
76	15'-HIGH RCP
77	15'-HIGH RCP
78	15'-HIGH RCP
79	15'-HIGH RCP
80	15'-HIGH RCP
81	15'-HIGH RCP
82	15'-HIGH RCP
83	15'-HIGH RCP
84	15'-HIGH RCP
85	15'-HIGH RCP
86	15'-HIGH RCP
87	15'-HIGH RCP
88	15'-HIGH RCP
89	15'-HIGH RCP
90	15'-HIGH RCP
91	15'-HIGH RCP
92	15'-HIGH RCP
93	15'-HIGH RCP
94	15'-HIGH RCP
95	15'-HIGH RCP
96	15'-HIGH RCP
97	15'-HIGH RCP
98	15'-HIGH RCP
99	15'-HIGH RCP
100	15'-HIGH RCP


POINT FLOWS	1-YEAR	10-YEAR
1	25 cfs	75 cfs
2	25 cfs	75 cfs
3	25 cfs	75 cfs
4	25 cfs	75 cfs
5	25 cfs	75 cfs
6	25 cfs	75 cfs
7	25 cfs	75 cfs
8	25 cfs	75 cfs
9	25 cfs	75 cfs
10	25 cfs	75 cfs
11	25 cfs	75 cfs
12	25 cfs	75 cfs
13	25 cfs	75 cfs
14	25 cfs	75 cfs
15	25 cfs	75 cfs
16	25 cfs	75 cfs
17	25 cfs	75 cfs
18	25 cfs	75 cfs
19	25 cfs	75 cfs
20	25 cfs	75 cfs
21	25 cfs	75 cfs
22	25 cfs	75 cfs
23	25 cfs	75 cfs
24	25 cfs	75 cfs
25	25 cfs	75 cfs
26	25 cfs	75 cfs
27	25 cfs	75 cfs
28	25 cfs	75 cfs
29	25 cfs	75 cfs
30	25 cfs	75 cfs
31	25 cfs	75 cfs
32	25 cfs	75 cfs
33	25 cfs	75 cfs
34	25 cfs	75 cfs
35	25 cfs	75 cfs
36	25 cfs	75 cfs
37	25 cfs	75 cfs
38	25 cfs	75 cfs
39	25 cfs	75 cfs
40	25 cfs	75 cfs
41	25 cfs	75 cfs
42	25 cfs	75 cfs
43	25 cfs	75 cfs
44	25 cfs	75 cfs
45	25 cfs	75 cfs
46	25 cfs	75 cfs
47	25 cfs	75 cfs
48	25 cfs	75 cfs
49	25 cfs	75 cfs
50	25 cfs	75 cfs
51	25 cfs	75 cfs
52	25 cfs	75 cfs
53	25 cfs	75 cfs
54	25 cfs	75 cfs
55	25 cfs	75 cfs
56	25 cfs	75 cfs
57	25 cfs	75 cfs
58	25 cfs	75 cfs
59	25 cfs	75 cfs
60	25 cfs	75 cfs
61	25 cfs	75 cfs
62	25 cfs	75 cfs
63	25 cfs	75 cfs
64	25 cfs	75 cfs
65	25 cfs	75 cfs
66	25 cfs	75 cfs
67	25 cfs	75 cfs
68	25 cfs	75 cfs
69	25 cfs	75 cfs
70	25 cfs	75 cfs
71	25 cfs	75 cfs
72	25 cfs	75 cfs
73	25 cfs	75 cfs
74	25 cfs	75 cfs
75	25 cfs	75 cfs
76	25 cfs	75 cfs
77	25 cfs	75 cfs
78	25 cfs	75 cfs
79	25 cfs	75 cfs
80	25 cfs	75 cfs
81	25 cfs	75 cfs
82	25 cfs	75 cfs
83	25 cfs	75 cfs
84	25 cfs	75 cfs
85	25 cfs	75 cfs
86	25 cfs	75 cfs
87	25 cfs	75 cfs
88	25 cfs	75 cfs
89	25 cfs	75 cfs
90	25 cfs	75 cfs
91	25 cfs	75 cfs
92	25 cfs	75 cfs
93	25 cfs	75 cfs
94	25 cfs	75 cfs
95	25 cfs	75 cfs
96	25 cfs	75 cfs
97	25 cfs	75 cfs
98	25 cfs	75 cfs
99	25 cfs	75 cfs
100	25 cfs	75 cfs

Advocate
SD Drainage Map The
Glen at Widefield



FDR Drainage MDP (Historic)
for Mesa Ridge Parkway Phase I
(NTS)


NOTE:
1. THE MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE GLEN AT WIDEFIELD WAS USED IN THE DETERMINATION OF FLOWS TO THE MESA RIDGE PARKWAY RIGHT OF WAY.




DESIGN POINT FLOWS
(PROPOSED CONDITION WITH DETENTION)

DESIGN PT	5-YEAR	100-YEAR
1020	38 cfs	58 cfs
2120	187 cfs	615 cfs
2160	188 cfs	640 cfs
3110	788 cfs	3025 cfs
4030	20 cfs	44 cfs

CONNECTIVITY & SECTION A-A 640 cfs





LEGNED

SUB-BASIN REDUCTION
10-YEAR RAINFALL
5-YEAR SUB-BASIN RAINFALL
100-YEAR SUB-BASIN RAINFALL
100-YEAR SUB-BASIN RAINOFF

5-YEAR RAINFALL
100-YEAR RAINFALL

DESIGN POINT

DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARY

HYDRAULIC STRUCTURE SCHEDULE

EXISTING LIMITS OF PRESERVATION AREA

EXISTING LIMITS OF 100-YEAR FLOOD PLAIN

PROPOSED STREET GRABE

PROPOSED CHECK STRUCTURE

10-YEAR RAINFALL
5-YEAR SUB-BASIN RAINFALL
100-YEAR SUB-BASIN RAINFALL
100-YEAR SUB-BASIN RAINOFF

5-YEAR RAINFALL
100-YEAR RAINFALL

DESIGN POINT

DRAINAGE BASIN BOUNDARY

HYDRAULIC STRUCTURE SCHEDULE

EXISTING LIMITS OF PRESERVATION AREA

EXISTING LIMITS OF 100-YEAR FLOOD PLAIN

PROPOSED STREET GRABE

PROPOSED CHECK STRUCTURE



DESIGN POINT FLOWS		
DESIGN POINT	5-YEAR FLOW	100-YEAR FLOW
Δ	15.2 cfs	31.9 cfs
Δ	20.8 cfs	62.6 cfs

	HYDRAULIC STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION
(1)	5-FOOT TYPE R CURB INLET
(2)	17-FOOT TYPE R CURB INLET
(3)	5-FOOT TYPE R CURB INLET
(4)	19-FOOT TYPE R CURB INLET
(5)	5-FOOT TYPE R CURB INLET
(6)	15-FOOT TYPE R CURB INLET
(7)	15-FOOT TYPE R CURB INLET
(8)	15-FOOT TYPE R CURB INLET
(9)	15-FOOT TYPE R CURB INLET
(10)	15-FOOT TYPE R CURB INLET
(11)	48-INCH REPT CULVERT #7/PAVED END SECTIONS
(12)	48-INCH REPT CULVERT #7/PAVED END SECTIONS
(13)	48-INCH UNPAVED CONCRETE BOX CULVERT #7/PAVED AND UNPAVED SECTIONS

HYDRAULIC STRUCTURE IDENTIFIER	HYDRAULIC STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION
20	24-INCH RCP
21	24-INCH RCP
22	18-INCH RCP
23	18-INCH RCP
24	18-INCH RCP
25	18-INCH RCP
26	18-INCH RCP
27	42-INCH RCP
28	18-INCH RCP
29	24-INCH RCP
30	24-INCH RCP w/F.E.S.
31	18-INCH RCP w/F.E.S. 2
32	18-INCH RCP w/F.E.S.

NOTE: A HIGHER STRENGTH CONCRETE MAY BE REQUIRED IN THE REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPES THAT ARE ABOVE THE MANUFACTURERS RECOMMENDED VELOCITY FOR THE STANDARD PIPE. THE FINAL CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS WILL ADDRESS THE STRENGTH OF PIPE TO BE USED.

The Glenwindfield + 2
FDR
NYS

Exhibit 5: Charts and Tables

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method
(Source: UDFCD 2001)


Land Use or Surface Characteristics	Percent Impervious	Runoff Coefficients											
		2-year		5-year		10-year		25-year		50-year		100-year	
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D
Business													
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential													
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.57
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial													
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Heavy Areas	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.39	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas													
Historic Flow Analysis-- Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Offsite Flow Analysis (when landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
Streets													
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Roofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50

3.2 Time of Concentration

One of the basic assumptions underlying the Rational Method is that runoff is a function of the average rainfall rate during the time required for water to flow from the hydraulically most remote part of the drainage area under consideration to the design point. However, in practice, the time of concentration can be an empirical value that results in reasonable and acceptable peak flow calculations.

For urban areas, the time of concentration (t_c) consists of an initial time or overland flow time (t_i) plus the travel time (t_t) in the storm sewer, paved gutter, roadside drainage ditch, or drainage channel. For non-urban areas, the time of concentration consists of an overland flow time (t_i) plus the time of travel in a concentrated form, such as a swale or drainageway. The travel portion (t_t) of the time of concentration can be estimated from the hydraulic properties of the storm sewer, gutter, swale, ditch, or drainageway. Initial time, on the other hand, will vary with surface slope, depression storage, surface cover, antecedent rainfall, and infiltration capacity of the soil, as well as distance of surface flow. The time of concentration is represented by Equation 6-7 for both urban and non-urban areas.

Figure 6-5. Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency



IDF Equations

$$I_{100} = -2.52 \ln(D) + 12.735$$

$$I_{50} = -2.25 \ln(D) + 11.375$$

$$I_{25} = -2.00 \ln(D) + 10.111$$

$$I_{10} = -1.75 \ln(D) + 8.847$$

$$I_5 = -1.50 \ln(D) + 7.583$$

$$I_2 = -1.19 \ln(D) + 6.035$$

Note: Values calculated by equations may not precisely duplicate values read from figure.

$$t_c = t_i + t_t \quad (\text{Eq. 6-7})$$

Where:

t_c = time of concentration (min)

t_i = overland (initial) flow time (min)

t_t = travel time in the ditch, channel, gutter, storm sewer, etc. (min)

3.2.1 Overland (Initial) Flow Time

The overland flow time, t_i , may be calculated using Equation 6-8.

$$t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_s)\sqrt{L}}{S^{0.33}} \quad (\text{Eq. 6-8})$$

Where:

t_i = overland (initial) flow time (min)

C_s = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (see Table 6-6)

L = length of overland flow (300 ft maximum for non-urban land uses, 100 ft maximum for urban land uses)

S = average basin slope (ft/ft)

Note that in some urban watersheds, the overland flow time may be very small because flows quickly concentrate and channelize.

3.2.2 Travel Time

For catchments with overland and channelized flow, the time of concentration needs to be considered in combination with the travel time, t_t , which is calculated using the hydraulic properties of the swale, ditch, or channel. For preliminary work, the overland travel time, t_t , can be estimated with the help of Figure 6-25 or Equation 6-9 (Guo 1999).

$$V = C_v S_w^{0.5} \quad (\text{Eq. 6-9})$$

Where:

V = velocity (ft/s)

C_v = conveyance coefficient (from Table 6-7)

S_w = watercourse slope (ft/ft)

Table 6-7. Conveyance Coefficient, C_v

Type of Land Surface	C_v
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Riprap (not buried)*	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20

* For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of vegetative cover.

The travel time is calculated by dividing the flow distance (in feet) by the velocity calculated using Equation 6-9 and converting units to minutes.

The time of concentration (t_c) is then the sum of the overland flow time (t_i) and the travel time (t_r) per Equation 6-7.

3.2.3 First Design Point Time of Concentration in Urban Catchments

Using this procedure, the time of concentration at the first design point (typically the first inlet in the system) in an urbanized catchment should not exceed the time of concentration calculated using Equation 6-10. The first design point is defined as the point where runoff first enters the storm sewer system.

$$t_c = \frac{L}{180} + 10 \quad (\text{Eq. 6-10})$$

Where:

t_c = maximum time of concentration at the first design point in an urban watershed (min)

L = waterway length (ft)

Equation 6-10 was developed using the rainfall-runoff data collected in the Denver region and, in essence, represents regional “calibration” of the Rational Method. Normally, Equation 6-10 will result in a lesser time of concentration at the first design point and will govern in an urbanized watershed. For subsequent design points, the time of concentration is calculated by accumulating the travel times in downstream drainageway reaches.

3.2.4 Minimum Time of Concentration

If the calculations result in a t_c of less than 10 minutes for undeveloped conditions, it is recommended that a minimum value of 10 minutes be used. The minimum t_c for urbanized areas is 5 minutes.

3.2.5 Post-Development Time of Concentration

As Equation 6-8 indicates, the time of concentration is a function of the 5-year runoff coefficient for a drainage basin. Typically, higher levels of imperviousness (higher 5-year runoff coefficients) correspond to shorter times of concentration, and lower levels of imperviousness correspond to longer times of

For Colorado Springs and much of the Fountain Creek watershed, the 1-hour depths are fairly uniform and are summarized in Table 6-2. Depending on the location of the project, rainfall depths may be calculated using the described method and the NOAA Atlas maps shown in Figures 6-6 through 6-17.

Table 6-2. Rainfall Depths for Colorado Springs

Return Period	1-Hour Depth	6-Hour Depth	24-Hour Depth
2	1.19	1.70	2.10
5	1.50	2.10	2.70
10	1.75	2.40	3.20
25	2.00	2.90	3.60
50	2.25	3.20	4.20
100	2.52	3.50	4.60

Where $Z = 6,840 \text{ ft}/100$

These depths can be applied to the design storms or converted to intensities (inches/hour) for the Rational Method as described below. However, as the basin area increases, it is unlikely that the reported point rainfalls will occur uniformly over the entire basin. To account for this characteristic of rain storms an adjustment factor, the Depth Area Reduction Factor (DARF) is applied. This adjustment to rainfall depth and its effect on design storms is also described below. The UDFCD UD-Rain spreadsheet, available on UDFCD's website, also provides tools to calculate point rainfall depths and Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves² and should produce similar depth calculation results.

2.2 Design Storms

Design storms are used as input into rainfall/runoff models and provide a representation of the typical temporal distribution of rainfall events when the creation or routing of runoff hydrographs is required. It has long been observed that rainstorms in the Front Range of Colorado tend to occur as either short-duration, high-intensity, localized, convective thunderstorms (cloud bursts) or longer-duration, lower-intensity, broader, frontal (general) storms. The significance of these two types of events is primarily determined by the size of the drainage basin being studied. Thunderstorms can create high rates of runoff within a relatively small area, quickly, but their influence may not be significant very far downstream. Frontal storms may not create high rates of runoff within smaller drainage basins due to their lower intensity, but tend to produce larger flood flows that can be hazardous over a broader area and extend further downstream.

- **Thunderstorms:** Based on the extensive evaluation of rain storms completed in the Carlton study (Carlton 2011), it was determined that typical thunderstorms have a duration of about 2 hours. The study evaluated over 300,000 storm cells using gage-adjusted NEXRAD data, collected over a 14-year period (1994 to 2008). Storms lasting longer than 3 hours were rarely found. Therefore, the results of the Carlton study have been used to define the shorter duration design storms.

To determine the temporal distribution of thunderstorms, 22 gage-adjusted NEXRAD storm cells were studied in detail. Through a process described in a technical memorandum prepared by the City of Colorado Springs (City of Colorado Springs 2012), the results of this analysis were interpreted and normalized to the 1-hour rainfall depth to create the distribution shown in Table 6-3 with a 5 minute time interval for drainage basins up to 1 square mile in size. This distribution represents the rainfall

trol were developed. These nomographs give headwater-discharge relationships for most conventional culverts flowing with inlet control through a range of headwater depths or discharges. An example of these nomographs is shown in Figure 3.25.





Figure 3.25. Headwater Depth for Circular Concrete Pipe Culverts with Inlet Control.



Reference: USDOT, March 1984
HEC NO. 12

NOTE: Use with effective P or A

9/30/90



HDR Infrastructure, Inc.
A Centerra Company

The City of Colorado Springs / El Paso County
Drainage Criteria Manual

Hydraulic Capacity of Grate Inlet in Sump

Date

OCT. 1987

Figure

7 - 6

Heavy Duty



Nyloplast® Heavy Duty Drain Basins are used as a collection point typically where two or more drain lines converge. Basins can provide a transition between different sizes and types of pipe, and can also change the elevation or direction of the pipe. Drain Basins are also beneficial when faced with shallow pipe burial applications.

Watertight connection

Structures are shipped with rubber gaskets to insure a watertight connection. This prevents the soil infiltration that plagues precast structures and prevents long-term settlement around the basin.

Flexible resilient connection

The real world can be tough on underground structures. Soils consolidate unevenly and external loads can further complicate matters. Flexible connections allow minor movement to take place without compromising the structural or watertight integrity of the basin. Additionally, the need to wait for grout to set-up is totally eliminated. With Nyloplast, you can connect and backfill immediately.

Quick, easy and inexpensive installation

The product is lightweight and easily handled which translates into faster installation with less equipment and personnel, which results in a lower total cost.

Field Adjustments

Basins are easily adjustable in the field to meet final grade. Last minute trimming or extensions are easily made to insure proper positive drainage is achieved.


Not sure about final elevations or wondering how to connect unexpected laterals? Our **Inserta Tee®** (<http://www.insertatee.com/>) option (pictured right) allows field connections while still preserving the Nyloplast benefits of a resilient connection and watertight performance.



Nyloplast Grate Inlet Capacity Charts

Heavy Duty Grates


Curb Inlet



2x2 Diagonal



2x2 Standard



2x3

Diagonal



2x3 High Flow



2x3 Roll

Road & Highway (H20)

Heavy Duty Applications

- Subdivisions
- Primary and Secondary Roads
- Parking lots
- Interstates
- Heavy industrial and Commercial sites
- Inlet and Outlet stormwater control


** (<http://www.nyloplast-us.com/resources#drawings>) **CLICK HERE FOR DETAILS**
 (<http://www.nyloplast-us.com/resources#drawings>) ** (<http://www.nyloplast-us.com/resources#drawings>)

Have questions?

Need support?

Call us at (866) 888-8479

Send us a message



(<http://www.basinconfigurator.com>)

Create a custom drain basin online

Create a basin in minutes and get ☐ specification documents and price quotes

TRY IT NOW

(<http://www.basinconfigurator.com>)

Need Project Support? (866) 888-8479

© 2020 Nyloplast, 3130 Verona Ave., Buford, GA 30518 • (866) 888-8479 • (770) 932-2443
• Fax: (678) 244-0034 • **Privacy Policy** • **Search the Site**



Nyloplast Curb & Gutter Inlet Capacity Calculator - Cor

EQUATIONS AND CALCULATIONS ARE BASED OFF USDOT/FHWA URBAN DESIGN MANUAL, HYDRAULIC ENGINEERING CIRCULAR NC FHWA-NHI-10-009.

Curb & Gutter Design Inputs

Surface Type	Concrete pavement, broom finish
Mannings Coefficient for Street & Pavement Gutters	0.016
T (ft)	8.2
T _s (ft)	7.2
W (ft)	1
S _x (ft/ft)	0.020
S _w (ft/ft)	0.083
S _L (ft/ft)	0.010
a (in)	0.76
d (in)	2.73
Gutter Flow (cfs)	1.56
Gutter Flow (gpm)	698.39
Gutter Velocity (fps)	2.21

Output

Grate Style	Double 2'x3' Steel Bar MAG
Intercepted Flow (cfs)	1.404
Intercepted Flow (gpm)	630.30
Carryover Flow (cfs)	0.152
Carryover Flow (gpm)	68.087

DISCLAIMER: SAFETY FACTORS ARE NOT INCLUDED. ACTUAL CALCULATIONS SHOULD BE CARRIED OUT AND VERIFIED ACCOUNT ALL LOCAL CONDITIONS. FAA RECOMMENDS USING A SAFETY FACTOR OF 1.25 FOR PAVED AREAS AND 2.0 FOR RESPONSIBLE FOR MISUSE OF THIS TOOL.



Nyloplast Curb & Gutter

EQUATIONS AND CALCULATIONS ARE BASED OFF USDOT/FHWA URBAN DESIGN MAN

Curb &

Surface Type
Mannings Coeffiecient for Street & Pavement Gutters
T (ft)
S_x (ft/ft)
S_L (ft/ft)
h (in)
Gutter Flow (cfs)
Gutter Flow (gpm)
Gutter Velocity (fps)

Grate Style
Intercepted Flow (cfs)
Intercepted Flow (gpm)
Carryover Flow (cfs)
Carryover Flow (gpm)

DISCLAIMER: SAFETY FACTORS ARE NOT INCLUDED. ACTUAL CALCULATIONS
ALL LOCAL CONDITIONS. FAA RECOMMENDS USING A SAFETY FACTOR OF 1.2
FOR MIS



Exhibit 6: West Fork Jimmy Camp Creek DBPS Exhibits

El Paso County Drainage Basin Fees

Resolution No. 17-348

Basin Number	Receiving Waters	Year Studied	Drainage Basin Name	2018 Drainage Fee (per Impervious Acre)	2018 Bridge Fee (per Impervious Acre)
--------------	------------------	--------------	---------------------	--	--

Drainage Basins with DBPS's:

CHMS0200	Chico Creek	2013	Haegler Ranch	\$9,676	\$1,428
CHWS1200	Chico Creek	2001	Bennett Ranch	\$10,832	\$4,155
CHWS1400	Chico Creek	2013	Falcon	\$27,762	\$3,814
FOFO2000	Fountain Creek	2001	West Fork Jimmy Camp Creek	\$11,775	\$3,484
FOFO2600	Fountain Creek	1991*	Big Johnson / Crews Gulch	\$17,197	\$2,221
FOFO2800	Fountain Creek	1988*	Widfield	\$17,197	\$0
FOFO2900	Fountain Creek	1988*	Security	\$17,197	\$0
FOFO3000	Fountain Creek	1991*	Windmill Gulch	\$17,197	\$258
FOFO3100 / FOFO3200	Fountain Creek	1988*	Carson Street / Little Johnson	\$10,490	\$0
FOFO3400	Fountain Creek	1984*	Peterson Field	\$12,404	\$941
FOFO3600	Fountain Creek	1991*	Fisher's Canyon	\$17,197	\$0
FOFO4000	Fountain Creek	1996	Sand Creek	\$17,197	\$5,210
FOFO4200	Fountain Creek	1977	Spring Creek	\$8,919	\$0
FOFO4600	Fountain Creek	1984*	Southwest Area	\$17,197	\$0
FOFO4800	Fountain Creek	1991	Bear Creek	\$17,197	\$941
FOFO5400	Fountain Creek	1977	21st Street	\$5,174	\$0
FOFO5600	Fountain Creek	1964	19th Street	\$3,385	\$0
FOFO5800	Fountain Creek	1964	Camp Creek	\$1,906	\$0
FOMO0400	Monument Creek	1986*	Mesa	\$8,995	\$0
FOMO1000	Monument Creek	1981	Douglas Creek	\$10,815	\$239
FOMO1200	Monument Creek	1977	Templeton Gap	\$11,103	\$258
FOMO1400	Monument Creek	1976	Pope's Bluff	\$3,445	\$588
FOMO1600	Monument Creek	1976	South Rockrimmon	\$4,043	\$0
FOMO1800	Monument Creek	1973	North Rockrimmon	\$5,174	\$0
FOMO2000	Monument Creek	1971	Pulpit Rock	\$5,703	\$0
FOMO2200	Monument Creek	1994	Cottonwood Creek / S. Pine	\$17,197	\$941
FOMO2400	Monument Creek	1966	Dry Creek	\$13,576	\$492
FOMO3600	Monument Creek	1989*	Black Squirrel Creek	\$7,808	\$492
FOMO3700	Monument Creek	1987*	Middle Tributary	\$14,351	\$0
FOMO3800	Monument Creek	1987*	Monument Branch	\$17,197	\$0
FOMO4000	Monument Creek	1996	Smith Creek	\$7,011	\$941
FOMO4200	Monument Creek	1989*	Black Forest	\$17,197	\$468
FOMO5200	Monument Creek	1993*	Dirty Woman Creek	\$17,197	\$941
FOMO5300	Fountain Creek	1993*	Crystal Creek	\$17,197	\$941

Miscellaneous Drainage Basins: ¹

CHBS0800	Chico Creek		Book Ranch	\$16,136	\$2,336
CHEC0400	Chico Creek		Upper East Chico	\$8,791	\$255
CHWS0200	Chico Creek		Telephone Exchange	\$9,659	\$226
CHWS0400	Chico Creek		Livestock Company	\$15,910	\$189
CHWS0600	Chico Creek		West Squirrel	\$8,293	\$3,442
CHWS0800	Chico Creek		Solberg Ranch	\$17,197	\$0
FOFO1200	Fountain Creek		Crooked Canyon	\$5,192	\$0
FOFO1400	Fountain Creek		Calhan Reservoir	\$4,335	\$253
FOFO1600	Fountain Creek		Sand Canyon	\$3,132	\$0
FOFO2000	Fountain Creek		Jimmy Camp Creek ³	\$17,197	\$804
FOFO2200	Fountain Creek		Fort Carson	\$13,576	\$492
FOFO2700	Fountain Creek		West Little Johnson	\$1,133	\$0
FOFO3800	Fountain Creek		Stratton	\$8,249	\$369
FOFO5000	Fountain Creek		Midland	\$13,576	\$492
FOFO6000	Fountain Creek		Palmer Trail	\$13,576	\$492
FOFO6800	Fountain Creek		Black Canyon	\$13,576	\$492
FOMO4600	Monument Creek		Beaver Creek	\$10,281	\$0
FOMO3000	Monument Creek		Kettle Creek	\$9,287	\$0
FOMO3400	Monument Creek		Elkhorn	\$1,560	\$0
FOMO5000	Monument Creek		Monument Rock	\$7,454	\$0
FOMO5400	Monument Creek		Palmer Lake	\$11,919	\$0
FOMO5600	Monument Creek		Raspberry Mountain	\$4,009	\$0
PLPL0200	Monument Creek		Bald Mountain	\$8,544	\$0

Interim Drainage Basins: ²

FOFO1800	Fountain Creek	Little Fountain Creek	\$2,199	\$0
FOMO4400	Monument Creek	Jackson Creek	\$6,807	\$0
FOMO4800	Monument Creek	Teachout Creek	\$4,727	\$710

1. The miscellaneous drainage fee previous to September 1999 resolution was the average of all drainage fees for basins with Basin Planning Studies performed within the last 14 years.

2. Interim Drainage Fees are based upon draft Drainage Basin Planning Studies or the Drainage Basin Identification and Fee Estimation Report. (Best available information suitable for setting a fee.)

3. This is an interim fee and will be adjusted when a DBPS is completed. In addition to the Drainage Fee a surety in the amount of \$7,285 per impervious acre shall be provided to secure payment of additional fees in the event that the DBPS results in a fee greater than the current fee. Fees paid in excess of the future revised fee will be reimbursed. See Resolution 06-326 (9/14/06) and Resolution 16-320 (9/07/16).


Exhibit 7: Detention Pond Charts and Tables

0.525
STR (outfall
pipe from detention
pond)
100 year


The open channel flow calculator

Select Channel Type:


Circle ▼



Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: .05

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.2

ft

Radius (r)

1

ft

Flow velocity 7.1034

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1):

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2):

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge 1.2

ft^3/s

Input n value 0.012

or select n

clean,uncoated castiron:0.014 ▼

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 1.3

ft

Flow area 0.17

ft^2

Top width(T) 1.21

ft

Specific energy 0.99

ft

Froude number 3.35

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.38

ft

Critical slope 0.0038

ft/ft

Velocity head 0.78

ft


Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: _____

Basin ID: _____



	Stage (ft)	Zone Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	0.81	0.015	Filtration Media
Zone 2 (EURV)	2.19	0.044	Orifice Plate
Zone 3 (100-year)	3.05	0.041	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
		0.100	Total

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth =	2.00	ft (distance below the filtration media surface)
Underdrain Orifice Diameter =	0.59	inches

Calculated Parameters for Underdrain

Underdrain Orifice Area =	0.0	ft ²
Underdrain Orifice Centroid =	0.02	feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

Invert of Lowest Orifice =	0.81	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	2.19	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	5.50	inches
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	0.82	sq. inches (diameter = 1 inch)

Calculated Parameters for Plate

WQ Orifice Area per Row =	5.694E-03	ft ²
Elliptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet
Elliptical Slot Centroid =	N/A	feet
Elliptical Slot Area =	N/A	ft ²

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.81	1.27	1.73					
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	0.82	0.82	0.82					
	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	N/A	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	N/A	N/A	inches

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Vertical Orifice Area =	N/A	N/A	ft ²
Vertical Orifice Centroid =	N/A	N/A	feet

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and Gate (Flat or Sloped)

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, H _o =	2.50	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	2.92	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Slope =	0.00	N/A	H:V (enter zero for flat gate)
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	2.92	N/A	feet
Overflow Gate Open Area % =	81%	N/A	% gate open area/total area
Debris Clogging % =	50%	N/A	%

Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Height of Gate Upper Edge, H _u =	2.50	N/A	feet
Overflow Weir Slope Length =	2.92	N/A	feet
Gate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =	61.76	N/A	should be ≥ 4
Overflow Gate Open Area w/o Debris =	6.91	N/A	ft ²
Overflow Gate Open Area w/ Debris =	3.45	N/A	ft ²

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	2.00	N/A	ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	12.00	N/A	inches
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	2.40		inches

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Outlet Orifice Area =	0.11	N/A	ft ²
Outlet Orifice Centroid =	0.12	N/A	feet
Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	0.93	N/A	radians

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Spillway Invert Stage =	3.50	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Spillway Crest Length =	8.00	feet
Spillway End Slopes =	3.00	H:V
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	1.00	feet

Calculated Parameters for Spillway

Spillway Design Flow Depth =	0.23	feet
Stage at Top of Freeboard =	4.73	feet
Basin Area at Top of Freeboard =	0.08	acres

Routed Hydrograph Results

	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
Design Storm Return Period =									
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	0.53	1.07	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.25
Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.015	0.059	0.048	0.065	0.086	0.117	0.138	0.167	0.233
OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =									
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	0.014	0.058	0.048	0.064	0.085	0.117	0.137	0.166	0.232
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.20	0.67	0.93	1.25	1.91
Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.7	0.9	1.3	1.9
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	0.2	1.0	0.8	1.1	1.5	2.0	2.4	2.8	3.9
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.8
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.3	0.6	1.6	1.2	0.9	1.0
Structure Controlling Flow =	Filtration Media	Plate	Plate	Plate	Overflow Gate 1	Outlet Plate 1	Outlet Plate 1	Outlet Plate 1	Spillway
Max Velocity through Gate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
Max Velocity through Gate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	12	23	22	24	25	25	24	23	22
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	12	24	23	25	27	27	26	26	26
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	0.67	1.94	1.71	2.09	2.50	2.60	2.67	2.95	3.58
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.011	0.049	0.040	0.054	0.072	0.077	0.080	0.095	0.131

Emergency Overflow Discharge Calcs

Developed Conditions

Sub basin ID	Area (acres)	"C"		C*A	
		5 year	100 year	5 year	100 year
D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.01	0.03
E	0.23	0.83	0.91	0.19	0.21
F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.03	0.03
H	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.08	0.09
I	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.08	0.09
J	0.01	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.00
K	0.18	0.73	0.83	0.13	0.15
L	0.13	0.08	0.35	0.01	0.05
N	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.00	0.01
O	0.02	0.49	0.66	0.01	0.01
subtotals	0.89			0.54	0.66
Composite "C"				0.61	0.75

Time of Concentration

5 minutes

Rainfall Intensity (inches per hour)

5.20 8.70

Design Runoff for Emergency Swale (cfs)

2.5 5.8

Figure 13-12c. Emergency Spillway Protection




Figure 13-12d. Riprap Types for Emergency Spillway Protection




Exhibit 8: Calculations

SECURITY FIRE STATION #4 **Runoff Coefficients Summary** **(Existing Conditions)**

BASIN	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	STREETS / DEVELOPED			LANDSCAPED AREA			NATURAL			RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	
		AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀
A	1.21		0.90	0.96		0.12	0.39	1.21	0.09	0.36	0.09	0.36
OS1	2.08		0.90	0.96		0.12	0.39	2.08	0.09	0.36	0.09	0.36
OS4	0.44		0.90	0.96		0.12	0.39	0.44	0.09	0.36	0.09	0.36
OS2	NA		0.90	0.96		0.12	0.39		0.09	0.36	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
OS3	NA		0.90	0.96		0.12	0.39		0.09	0.36	#VALUE!	#VALUE!

SECURITY FIRE STATION #4 **Area Drainage Summary** **(Existing Conditions)**

From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary				OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				Time of Travel (T _t)		INTENSITY *		TOTAL FLOWS	
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C _s	C ₁₀₀	C _s	Length	Height	T _c	Length	Slope	Velocity	T _t	TOTAL	CHECK	I _s	I ₁₀₀	Q _s	Q ₁₀₀
	(Acres)				(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)
A	1.21	0.09	0.36	0.09	150	4.9	15.1	400	3.3%	3.6	1.8	17.0	13.1	3.3	5.6	0.4	2.4
OS1	2.08	0.09	0.36	0.09	150	4.9	15.1	400	3.3%	3.6	1.8	17.0	13.1	3.3	5.6	0.6	4.2
OS4	0.44	0.09	0.36	0.09	150	4.9	15.1	400	3.3%	3.6	1.8	17.0	13.1	3.3	5.6	0.1	0.9
OS2	NA	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!			#VALUE!			0.0	#DIV/0!	#VALUE!	10.0	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!
OS3	NA	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!			#VALUE!			0.0	#DIV/0!	#VALUE!	10.0	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!

* Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.

Calculated by: Ken H

Date: 12/11/2019

Checked by:

Security Fire Station
DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS
(Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

BASIN	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	PAVEMENT/ ROOF			LANDSCAPED			NATURAL			RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	
		AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀
A	0.04	0.01	0.90	0.96	0.03	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.32	0.53
B	0.01	0.01	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.90	0.96
C	0.02	0.02	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.90	0.96
D	0.08	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.12	0.39
E	0.23	0.21	0.90	0.96	0.02	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.83	0.91
F	0.03	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.90	0.96
G	0.19	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.19	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
H	0.09	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.90	0.96
I	0.09	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.90	0.96
J	0.01	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.01	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.12	0.39
K	0.18	0.14	0.90	0.96	0.04	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.73	0.83
L	0.13	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.13	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
M	0.17	0.04	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.13	0.08	0.35	0.27	0.49
N	0.03	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
O	0.02	0.01	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.01	0.08	0.35	0.49	0.66
P	0.01	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.01	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35
Q	0.01	0.01	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.08	0.35	0.90	0.96
R	0.04	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.04	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.35

Security Fire Station **FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT** **Developed Onsite Conditions**

From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary				Time of Travel (T _r)		INTENSITY *		TOTAL FLOWS	
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	TOTAL	CHECK	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀
	(Acres)			(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
A	0.04	0.32	0.53	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2
B	0.01	0.90	0.96	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.1
C	0.02	0.90	0.96	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2
D	0.08	0.12	0.39	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.3
E	0.23	0.83	0.91	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	1.0	1.8
F	0.03	0.90	0.96	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.2
G	0.19	0.08	0.35	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.6
H	0.09	0.90	0.96	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.4	0.7
I	0.09	0.90	0.96	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.4	0.7
J	0.01	0.12	0.39	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0
K	0.18	0.73	0.83	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.7	1.3
L	0.13	0.08	0.35	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.4
M	0.17	0.27	0.49	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.2	0.7
N	0.03	0.08	0.35	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.1
O	0.02	0.49	0.66	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.1	0.1
P	0.01	0.08	0.35	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0
Q	0.01	0.90	0.96	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.1
R	0.04	0.08	0.35	5.0	10.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.1

Basin Summary

Existing/ Historic Conditions

Sub basin ID	Area	Time of Conc	Runoff Coefficient		Design Discharges	
	(Acres)	min.	5 year	100 year	5 year (cfs)	100 year (cfs)
OS1	2.06	17	0.09	0.36	0.60	4.20
OS4	0.44	17	0.09	0.36	0.10	0.90
A	1.21	17	0.09	0.36	0.40	2.40

Design Point Summary

Existing/ Historic Conditions

Design Point ID	Description	Contributing Sub Basins	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
1	SE corner of the site at Swale 1	OS1	0.6	4.2
2	SE corner of the site at Swale 2	OS4	0.1	0.9
3	Swale 1 project site outlet point on west PL	A, OS1	1	4.2
4	NW corner of site on Wayfayer Drive	OS2	NA	NA
5	Downstream facility locations	ID shown for info purposes only	NA	NA

Basin Summary

Developed Conditions

Sub basin ID	Area	Time of Conc	Runoff Coefficient		Design Discharges	
	(Acres)	min.	5 year	100 year	5 year (cfs)	100 year (cfs)
A	0.04	5	0.32	0.53	0.10	0.20
B	0.01	5	0.90	0.96	Negligible	0.10
C	0.02	5	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.20
D	0.08	5	0.12	0.39	Negligible	0.30
E	0.23	5	0.83	0.91	1.00	1.80
F	0.03	5	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.20
G	0.19	5	0.08	0.35	0.10	0.60
H	0.09	5	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.70
I	0.09	5	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.70
J	0.01	5	0.12	0.39	Negligible	Negligible
K	0.18	5	0.73	0.83	0.70	1.30
L	0.13	5	0.08	0.35	0.10	0.40
M	0.17	5	0.27	0.49	0.20	0.70
N	0.03	5	0.08	0.35	Negligible	0.10
O	0.02	5	0.49	0.66	0.10	0.20
P	0.01	5	0.08	0.35	Negligible	Negligible
Q	0.01	5	0.90	0.96	Negligible	0.10
R	0.04	5	0.08	0.35	Negligible	0.10

Design Point Summary

Surface Flow Developed Conditions

Design Point ID	Contributing sub Basin for surface flow	Description	Q5 (surface flow) (cfs)	Q100 (surface flow) (cfs)
1	OS2	Upstream end of proposed cross pan in Wayfarere Drive	NA	NA
2	OS2, A	Upstream end of proposed cross pan in Wayfarere Drive	NA	NA
3	D	Nyplast inlet	0	0.3
4	F	Nyplast inlet	0.1	0.2
5	NA	NW Roof downspout into storm sewer	neg	neg
6	NA	NOT USED	NA	NA
7	I	SW Roof downspout into storm sewer	0.4	0.7
8	E	Nyplast inlet	1	1.8
9	H	SE Roof downspout into storm sewer	0.4	0.7
10	O	Concrete Channel to FSD pond	0.1	0.2
11	see pond narrative	FSD pond outlet structure	see pond narrative	see pond narrative
12	OS1, G, N	Upstream end of 24" RCP culvert	0.7	3.1
13	OS4	Upstream end of 18" RCP culvert	0.1	0.9
14	NA	east end of drive apron onto Mesa Ridge Parkway	NA	NA
15	emergency overflow, OS4, G, N, M	Swale 1 outfall at west PL	2.9	8.1
16	see pond worksheet	FSD pond outfall of STR28	0.1	1.2
17	K	Nyplast inlet	0.7	1.3
18	OS1, G, N	Downstream end of 24" RCP culvert	0.7	3.1
19	OS4	west end of 18" RCP Driveway culvert	0.1	0.9
20	see pond worksheet	Outlet of STR 19	0.1	1.2
21	NA	Junction fitting	NA	NA
22	O	Outlet of STR 27	0.1	0.2

Structure and Pipe Summary

STR ID	Description
1	Nyplast inlet
2	Nyplast inlet
3	12" HDPE
4	fitting
5	fitting
6	Nyplast inlet
7	NOT USED
8	roof drain
9	NOT USED
10	12" HDPE
11	12" HDPE
12	NOT USED
13	12" HDPE
14	12" HDPE
15	NOT USED
16	NOT USED
17	12" HDPE
18	12" HDPE
19	12" HDPE
20	NOT USED
21	NOT USED
22	6" HDPE
23	12" HDPE

24	fitting
25	Nyplast inlet
26	12" HDPE
27	concrete chase
28	12" HDPE
29	24" CLIV RCP
30	18" CLIV RCP
31	riprap emergency spillway

Storm Sewer Summary

Developed Conditions

STR #	Design Q100 year cfs	size (HDPE) inches	slope %	Depth inches	Velocity fps	Calc Sht #
14	0.3	12	1.0	0.2	2.7	11
3	1.1	12	1.0	0.3	4.0	12
17	1.2	12	1.0	0.3	4.1	13
18	1.2	12	1.0	0.3	4.1	14
26	2.5	12	7.7	0.3	10.3	15
23	0.7	12	4.0	0.2	5.7	16
10	0.7	12	7.0	0.2	7.0	17
11	1.8	12	10.0	0.2	10.3	18
13	2.5	12	10.0	0.3	11.4	19
19	3.8	12	7.8	0.3	11.8	20
27	0.2	concrete chase	23.0	0.1	1.0	21
29	3.1	24" CL IV RCP	2.0	0.3	6.2	22
30	0.9	18" CL IV RCP	2.0	0.2	4.5	23

Notes

- 1 All storm sewer segments, inlets, manholes, cleanouts, and fittings are noted by a "STR" number.
- 2 The storm sewer segments were sized to accommodate 100% of the runoff from the 100-year storm for all contributing sub basins. Runoff quantities for the 5-year storm event are shown for information purposes only.
- 3 The inlets were sized to intercept 80% of the surface design flow with 20% bypass from upstream inlets.
- 4 The emergency spillway was sized based on the overall imperviousness of all of the contributing sub basins. This is not necessarily reflected in the UDFC Worksheet

Storm Sewer Design Flows

Developed Conditions

Structure #	Sub basin ID	Area	"C"		Runoff	
		(acres)	5 year	100 year	5 year	100 year
	A	0.04	0.32	0.53	0.1	0.2
	B	0.01	0.90	0.96	0.0	0.1
	C	0.02	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	E	0.23	0.83	0.91	1.0	1.8
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	G	0.19	0.08	0.35	0.1	0.6
	H	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	I	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	J	0.01	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.0
	K	0.18	0.73	0.83	0.7	1.3
	L	0.13	0.08	0.35	0.1	0.4
	M	0.17	0.27	0.49	0.2	0.7
	N	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.0	0.1
	O	0.02	0.49	0.66	0.1	0.2
	P	0.01	0.08	0.35	0.0	0.0
	Q	0.01	0.90	0.96	0.0	0.1
	R	0.01	0.08	0.35	0.0	0.1
	OS1	2.08	0.09	0.09	0.6	4.2
	OS4	0.44	0.09	0.09	0.1	0.9

14	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	Subtotl STR 14	0.08			0.0	0.3
3	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	Subtotal STR3	0.27			0.1	1.1
18	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	H	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	Subtotal STR18	0.2			0.5	1.2
26	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	H	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	K	0.18	0.73	0.83	0.7	1.3
	Subtotal STR18	0.38			1.2	2.5
23	I	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	Subtotal STR23	0.09			0.4	0.7
10	I	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	Subtotal STR10	0.09			0.4	0.7
11	E	0.23	0.83	0.91	1.0	1.8


Structure #	Sub basin ID	Area (acres)	"C"		Runoff	
			5 year	100 year	5 year	100 year
	J	0.01	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal STR11	0.24			1.0	1.8
13	I	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	E	0.23	0.83	0.91	1.0	1.8
	J	0.01	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal STR11	0.33			1.4	2.5
26	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	H	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	K	0.18	0.73	0.83	0.7	1.3
	Subtotal STR26	0.38			1.2	2.5
19	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	H	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	K	0.18	0.73	0.83	0.7	1.3
	I	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	E	0.23	0.83	0.91	1.0	1.8
	J	0.01	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal STR19	0.51			2.1	3.8
27	O	0.02	0.49	0.66	0.1	0.2
	Subtotal STR27	0.02			0.1	0.2
29	OS1	2.08	0.09	0.09	0.6	2.4
	G	0.19	0.08	0.35	0.1	0.6
	N	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.0	0.1
	Subtotal STR27	2.3			0.7	3.1
30	OS4	0.44	0.09	0.09	0.1	0.9
	Subtotal STR30	0.44			0.1	0.9
Swale 1 west of site	emergency overflow				2.5	5.8
	OS4	0.44	0.09	0.09	0.1	0.9
	G	0.19	0.08	0.35	0.1	0.6
	N	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.0	0.1
	M	0.17	0.27	0.49	0.2	0.7
	Subtotal Swale 1 west of site	0.83			2.9	8.1

CS I
STR 14
100 year


The open channel flow calculator

Select Channel Type:


Circle ▼




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: .01

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.16

ft

Radius (r)

1

ft

Flow velocity 2.6689

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1):

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2):

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge 0.3

ft³/s

Input n value 0.012

or select n

clean,uncoated castiron:0.014 ▼

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 1.13

ft

Flow area 0.11

ft²

Top width(T) 1.07

ft

Specific energy 0.27

ft

Froude number 1.45

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.19

ft

Critical slope 0.0042

ft/ft

Velocity head 0.11

ft

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

CS2
STR 3

100 year

The open channel flow calculator			
Select Channel Type: Circle ▼	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> Rectangle Trapezoid Triangle Circle </div>		
Depth from Q ▼	Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼		
Channel slope: <input type="text" value="0.0"/> <small>ft/ft</small>	Water depth(y): <input type="text" value="0.16"/> <small>ft</small>	Radius (r) <input type="text" value="1"/> <small>ft</small>	
Flow velocity <input type="text" value="2.6689"/> <small>ft/s</small>	LeftSlope (Z1): <input type="text"/> to 1 (H:V)	RightSlope (Z2): <input type="text"/> <small>to 1 (H:V)</small>	
Flow discharge <input type="text" value="0.3"/> <small>ft^3/s</small>	Input n value <input type="text" value="0.012"/> or select n clean,uncoated castiron:0.014 ▼		
<input type="button" value="Calculate!"/>	Status: <input type="text" value="Calculation finished"/>		<input type="button" value="Reset"/>
Wetted perimeter <input type="text" value="1.13"/> <small>ft</small>	Flow area <input type="text" value="0.11"/> <small>ft^2</small>	Top width(T) <input type="text" value="1.07"/> <small>ft</small>	
Specific energy <input type="text" value="0.27"/> <small>ft</small>	Froude number <input type="text" value="1.45"/>	Flow status <input type="text" value="Supercritical flow"/>	
Critical depth <input type="text" value="0.19"/> <small>ft</small>	Critical slope <input type="text" value="0.0042"/> <small>ft/ft</small>	Velocity head <input type="text" value="0.11"/> <small>ft</small>	


Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

CS 3
STR 23
100 year


The open channel flow calculator

Select Channel Type:


Circle ▼




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: .04

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.17

ft

Radius (r)

1

ft

Flow velocity 5.6548

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1):

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2):

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge 0.7

ft³/s

Input n value 0.012

or select n

clean,uncoated castiron:0.014 ▼

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 1.19

ft

Flow area 0.13

ft²

Top width(T) 1.12

ft

Specific energy 0.67

ft

Froude number 2.93

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.29

ft

Critical slope 0.004

ft/ft

Velocity head 0.5

ft

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

CS4
STR VI

100 years

The open channel flow calculator			
Select Channel Type: Circle ▼			
Depth from Q ▼		Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼	
Channel slope: .10 ft/ft	Water depth(y): 0.21 ft	Radius (r) 1 ft	
Flow velocity 10.3467 ft/s	LeftSlope (Z1): to 1 (H:V)	RightSlope (Z2): to 1 (H:V)	
Flow discharge 1.8 ft ³ /s	Input n value 0.012 or select n clean,uncoated castiron:0.014 ▼		
Calculate!	Status: Calculation finished		Reset
Wetted perimeter 1.33 ft	Flow area 0.18 ft ²	Top width(T) 1.24 ft	
Specific energy 1.88 ft	Froude number 4.76	Flow status Supercritical flow	
Critical depth 0.47 ft	Critical slope 0.0038 ft/ft	Velocity head 1.66 ft	

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

CS5

SCR 17
100 yd

The open channel flow calculator			
Select Channel Type: Circle ▼			
Depth from Q ▼		Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼	
Channel slope: .01 ft/ft	Water depth(y): 0.21 ft	Radius (r) 1 ft	
Flow velocity 10.3467 ft/s	LeftSlope (Z1): to 1 (H:V)	RightSlope (Z2): to 1 (H:V)	
Flow discharge 1.2 ft^3/s	Input n value 0.012 or select n clean,uncoated castiron:0.014 ▼		
Calculate!	Status: Calculation finished		Reset
Wetted perimeter 1.33 ft	Flow area 0.18 ft^2	Top width(T) 1.24 ft	
Specific energy 1.88 ft	Froude number 4.76	Flow status Supercritical flow	
Critical depth 0.47 ft	Critical slope 0.0038 ft/ft	Velocity head 1.66 ft	


Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

C 36
STD 18
10045


The open channel flow calculator

Select Channel Type:


Circle ▼




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: .077

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.19

ft

Radius (r)

1

ft

Flow velocity 8.2703

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1): to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2):

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge 1.2

ft^3/s

Input n value 0.012 or select n

clean,uncoated castiron:0.014 ▼

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 1.24

ft

Flow area 0.15

ft^2

Top width(T) 1.16

ft

Specific energy 1.25

ft

Froude number 4.11

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.38

ft

Critical slope 0.0038

ft/ft

Velocity head 1.06

ft


Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

CS 7
JTR 27
100 year


The open channel flow calculator

Select Channel Type:


Rectangle ▾




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▾

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▾

Channel slope: 0.23

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.03

ft

Bottom W(b)

2

ft

Flow velocity: 3.846154

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1): 0

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2): 0

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge: .2

ft³/s

Input n value: .014

or select n

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter: 2.05

ft

Flow area: 0.05

ft²

Top width(T): 2

ft

Specific energy: 0.26

ft

Froude number: 4.2

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth: 0.07

ft

Critical slope: 0.0069

ft/ft

Velocity head: 0.23

ft


Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

C58
 672 29
 100 year


The open channel flow calculator

Select Channel Type:


Circle ▼




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: 0.022

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.38

ft

Radius (r)

2

ft

Flow velocity 7.0882

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1):

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2):

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge 4.2

ft³/s

Input n value .012

or select n

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 2.49

ft

Flow area 0.6

ft²

Top width(T) 2.34

ft

Specific energy 1.16

ft

Froude number 2.47

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.59

ft

Critical slope 0.0032

ft/ft

Velocity head 0.78

ft


Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

C39
 STD 30
 100 year


The open channel flow calculator

Select Channel Type:


Circle ▼




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: 0.022

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.34

ft

Radius (r)

1.5

ft

Flow velocity 6.6064

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1):

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2):

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge 2.9

ft^3/s

Input n value .012

or select n

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 2.07

ft

Flow area 0.45

ft^2

Top width(T) 1.91

ft

Specific energy 1.02

ft

Froude number 2.41

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.53

ft

Critical slope 0.0034

ft/ft

Velocity head 0.68

ft

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

C5910
87219

100 year

The open channel flow calculator			
Select Channel Type: Circle ▼			
Depth from Q ▼		Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼	
Channel slope: 0.077 ft/ft	Water depth(y): 0.21 ft	Radius (r) 1 ft	
Flow velocity 9.0792 ft/s	LeftSlope (Z1): to 1 (H:V)	RightSlope (Z2): to 1 (H:V)	
Flow discharge 1.6 ft^3/s	Input n value .012 or select n		
Calculate!	Status: Calculation finished	Reset	
Wetted perimeter 1.33 ft	Flow area 0.18 ft^2	Top width(T) 1.24 ft	
Specific energy 1.49 ft	Froude number 4.18	Flow status Supercritical flow	
Critical depth 0.44 ft	Critical slope 0.0037 ft/ft	Velocity head 1.28 ft	


Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

STR 14
KOYR


The open channel flow calculator

Select Channel Type:


Circle ▼




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: .01

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.16

ft

Radius (r)

1

ft

Flow velocity 2.6689

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1):

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2):

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge .3

ft^3/s

Input n value .012

or select n

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 1.13

ft

Flow area 0.11

ft^2

Top width(T) 1.07

ft

Specific energy 0.27

ft

Froude number 1.45

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.19

ft

Critical slope 0.0042

ft/ft

Velocity head 0.11

ft

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

<div style="float: right; text-align: right;"> STR 3 10045 </div> <h2 style="margin: 0;">The open channel flow calculator</h2>			
Select Channel Type: Circle ▼	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> Rectangle Trapezoid Triangle Circle </div>		
Depth from Q ▼	Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼		
Channel slope: <input type="text" value=".01"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft/ft</div>	Water depth(y): <input type="text" value="0.29"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft</div>	Radius (r) <input type="text" value="1"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft</div>	
Flow velocity <input type="text" value="3.9733"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft/s</div>	LeftSlope (Z1): <input type="text" value="1"/> to 1 (H:V)	RightSlope (Z2): <input type="text" value="1"/> to 1 (H:V)	
Flow discharge <input type="text" value="1.1"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft^3/s</div>	Input n value <input type="text" value=".012"/> or select n		
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 100px;">Calculate!</div>	Status: <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Calculation finished</div>		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 100px;">Reset</div>
Wetted perimeter <input type="text" value="1.57"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft</div>	Flow area <input type="text" value="0.29"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft^2</div>	Top width(T) <input type="text" value="1.41"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft</div>	
Specific energy <input type="text" value="0.54"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft</div>	Froude number <input type="text" value="1.56"/>		Flow status <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Supercritical flow</div>
Critical depth <input type="text" value="0.36"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft</div>	Critical slope <input type="text" value="0.0039"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft/ft</div>		Velocity head <input type="text" value="0.25"/> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; font-size: x-small;">ft</div>


Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

The open channel flow calculator


STR 17
100 years

Select Channel Type:


Circle ▼




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: .01

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.3

ft

Radius (r)

1

ft

Flow velocity 4.0546

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1):

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2):

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge 1.2

ft^3/s

Input n value .012

or select n

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 1.6

ft

Flow area 0.3

ft^2

Top width(T) 1.43

ft

Specific energy 0.56

ft

Froude number 1.56

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.38

ft

Critical slope 0.0038

ft/ft

Velocity head 0.26

ft

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

The open channel flow calculator			
Select Channel Type: Circle ▼	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> Rectangle Trapezoid Triangle Circle </div>		
Depth from Q ▼	Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼		
Channel slope: .01 ft/ft	Water depth(y): 0.3 ft	Radius (r) 1 ft	
Flow velocity 4.0546 ft/s	LeftSlope (Z1): to 1 (H:V)	RightSlope (Z2): to 1 (H:V)	
Flow discharge 1.2 ft ³ /s	Input n value .012 or select n		
Calculate!	Status: Calculation finished		Reset
Wetted perimeter 1.6 ft	Flow area 0.3 ft ²	Top width(T) 1.43 ft	
Specific energy 0.56 ft	Froude number 1.56	Flow status Supercritical flow	
Critical depth 0.38 ft	Critical slope 0.0038 ft/ft	Velocity head 0.26 ft	

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

STP 26
100 yds

The open channel flow calculator

Select Channel Type: Circle ▼	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> Rectangle Trapezoid Triangle Circle </div>		
Depth from Q ▼	Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼		
Channel slope: .077 ft/ft	Water depth(y): 0.26 ft	Radius (r) 1 ft	
Flow velocity 10.3272 ft/s	LeftSlope (Z1): to 1 (H:V)	RightSlope (Z2): to 1 (H:V)	
Flow discharge 2.5 ft^3/s	Input n value .012 or select n		
Calculate!	Status: Calculation finished		Reset
Wetted perimeter 1.49 ft	Flow area 0.24 ft^2	Top width(T) 1.35 ft	
Specific energy 1.92 ft	Froude number 4.28	Flow status Supercritical flow	
Critical depth 0.55 ft	Critical slope 0.0037 ft/ft	Velocity head 1.66 ft	


Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

The open channel flow calculator


STR 23
100 VT

Select Channel Type:


Circle ▼




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: .04

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.17

ft

Radius (r)

1

ft

Flow velocity 5.6548

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1):

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2):

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge .7

ft^3/s

Input n value 0.012

or select n

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 1.19

ft

Flow area 0.13

ft^2

Top width(T) 1.12

ft

Specific energy 0.67

ft

Froude number 2.93

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.29

ft

Critical slope 0.004

ft/ft

Velocity head 0.5

ft


Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

The open channel flow calculator


STR 10
100 yds

Select Channel Type:


Circle ▼




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: .07

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.15

ft

Radius (r)

1

ft

Flow velocity 6.9181

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1):

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2):

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge .7

ft^3/s

Input n value 0.012

or select n

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 1.11

ft

Flow area 0.11

ft^2

Top width(T) 1.06

ft

Specific energy 0.89

ft

Froude number 3.81

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.29

ft

Critical slope 0.004

ft/ft

Velocity head 0.74

ft

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

STR 11
100 year

The open channel flow calculator

Select Channel Type: Circle ▼	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> Rectangle Trapezoid Triangle Circle </div>		
Depth from Q ▼	Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼		
Channel slope: .1 ft/ft	Water depth(y): 0.21 ft	Radius (r) 1 ft	
Flow velocity 10.3467 ft/s	LeftSlope (Z1): to 1 (H:V)	RightSlope (Z2): to 1 (H:V)	
Flow discharge 1.8 ft^3/s	Input n value 0.012 or select n		
Calculate!	Status: Calculation finished	Reset	
Wetted perimeter 1.33 ft	Flow area 0.18 ft^2	Top width(T) 1.24 ft	
Specific energy 1.88 ft	Froude number 4.76	Flow status Supercritical flow	
Critical depth 0.47 ft	Critical slope 0.0038 ft/ft	Velocity head 1.66 ft	

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.


The open channel flow calculator

STR 13


100 Year

Select Channel Type:


Circle ▼



Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: .1

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.25

ft

Radius (r)

1

ft

Flow velocity 11.3557

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1):

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2):

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge 2.5

ft³/s

Input n value .012

or select n

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 1.44

ft

Flow area 0.23

ft²

Top width(T) 1.32

ft

Specific energy 2.25

ft

Froude number 4.85

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.55

ft

Critical slope 0.0037

ft/ft

Velocity head 2

ft

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

C520
3TR 19
100 years


The open channel flow calculator			
Select Channel Type: Circle ▼	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> Rectangle Trapezoid Triangle Circle </div>		
Depth from Q ▼	Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼		
Channel slope: .078 ft/ft	Water depth(y): 0.32 ft	Radius (r) 1 ft	
Flow velocity 11.767 ft/s	LeftSlope (Z1): to 1 (H:V)	RightSlope (Z2): to 1 (H:V)	
Flow discharge 3.8 ft^3/s	Input n value .012 or select n		
Calculate!	Status: Calculation finished	Reset	
Wetted perimeter 1.65 ft	Flow area 0.33 ft^2	Top width(T) 1.47 ft	
Specific energy 2.47 ft	Froude number 4.39	Flow status Supercritical flow	
Critical depth 0.69 ft	Critical slope 0.0038 ft/ft	Velocity head 2.15 ft	

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.


The open channel flow calculator

STR 29
100 yedr


Select Channel Type:
Rectangle ▾




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▾

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▾

Channel slope: .23

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.1

ft

Bottom W(b)

2

ft

Flow velocity 0.971817

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1): 0

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2): 0

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge 0.2

ft³/s

Input n value 0.14

or select n

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 2.21

ft

Flow area 0.21

ft²

Top width(T) 2

ft

Specific energy 0.12

ft

Froude number 0.53

Flow status

Subcritical flow

Critical depth 0.07

ft

Critical slope 0.7338

ft/ft


Velocity head 0.01

ft

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

CS 22
STR 29

100 year

The open channel flow calculator			
Select Channel Type: Circle ▼	 <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> Rectangle Trapezoid Triangle Circle </div>		
Depth from Q ▼	Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼		
Channel slope: <input type="text" value=".02"/> <input type="text" value="ft/ft"/>	Water depth(y): <input type="text" value="0.33"/> <input type="text" value="ft"/>	Radius (r) <input type="text" value="2"/> <input type="text" value="ft"/>	
Flow velocity <input type="text" value="6.2422"/> <input type="text" value="ft/s"/>	LeftSlope (Z1): <input type="text"/> to 1 (H:V)	RightSlope (Z2): <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> to 1 (H:V)	
Flow discharge <input type="text" value="3.1"/> <input type="text" value="ft^3/s"/>	Input n value <input type="text" value=".012"/> or select n		
<input type="button" value="Calculate!"/>	Status: <input type="text" value="Calculation finished"/>		<input type="button" value="Reset"/>
Wetted perimeter <input type="text" value="2.34"/> <input type="text" value="ft"/>	Flow area <input type="text" value="0.5"/> <input type="text" value="ft^2"/>	Top width(T) <input type="text" value="2.21"/> <input type="text" value="ft"/>	
Specific energy <input type="text" value="0.94"/> <input type="text" value="ft"/>	Froude number <input type="text" value="2.32"/>	Flow status <input type="text" value="Supercritical flow"/>	
Critical depth <input type="text" value="0.51"/> <input type="text" value="ft"/>	Critical slope <input type="text" value="0.0033"/> <input type="text" value="ft/ft"/>	Velocity head <input type="text" value="0.61"/> <input type="text" value="ft"/>	

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

The open channel flow calculator			
Select Channel Type: Circle ▼	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> Rectangle Trapezoid Triangle Circle </div>		
Depth from Q ▼	Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼		
Channel slope: .02 ft/ft	Water depth(y): 0.2 ft	Radius (r) 1.5 ft	
Flow velocity 4.4732 ft/s	LeftSlope (Z1): to 1 (H:V)	RightSlope (Z2): to 1 (H:V)	
Flow discharge .9 ft ³ /s	Input n value .012 or select n		
Calculate!	Status: Calculation finished	Reset	
Wetted perimeter 1.57 ft	Flow area 0.2 ft ²	Top width(T) 1.5 ft	
Specific energy 0.51 ft	Froude number 2.14	Flow status Supercritical flow	
Critical depth 0.29 ft	Critical slope 0.0039 ft/ft	Velocity head 0.31 ft	

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

05 24


100 year

R. prop channel
how close in
swales


The open channel flow calculator

Select Channel Type:


Trapezoid ▼




Rectangle



Trapezoid



Triangle



Circle

Depth from Q ▼

Select unit system: Feet(ft) ▼

Channel slope: .015

ft/ft

Water depth(y): 0.49

ft

Bottom width(b)

2

ft

Flow velocity 4.832457

ft/s

LeftSlope (Z1): 3

to 1 (H:V)

RightSlope (Z2): 3

to 1 (H:V)

Flow discharge 8.1

ft^3/s

Input n value 0.018

or select n

clean,uncoated castiron:0.014 ▼

Calculate!

Status: Calculation finished

Reset

Wetted perimeter 5.07

ft

Flow area 1.68

ft^2

Top width(T) 4.91

ft

Specific energy 0.85

ft

Froude number 1.46

Flow status

Supercritical flow

Critical depth 0.6

ft

Critical slope 0.0065

ft/ft

Velocity head 0.36

ft

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

Exhibit 10: Existing Drainage Conditions (map pocket)




LEGEND

EXISTING

- 5785 INDEX CONTOUR
- 5784 INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR



PROPOSED

- 5785 INDEX CONTOUR
- 5784 INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR
- BASIN BOUNDARY
- GENERAL FLOW/DIRECTION
- SLOPE DIRECTION
- BASIN LABEL
BASIN ID
BASIN AREA (AC.)
- POINT OF INTEREST
- DRAINAGE ITEM (SEE TABLE)



VICINITY MAP
NOT TO SCALE

BENCHMARK



Design Point Summary
Existing/ Historic Conditions

Design Point ID	Description	Contributing Sub Basins	Q5 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
1	SE corner of the site at Swale 1	OS1	0.6	4.2
2	SE corner of the site at Swale 2	OS4	0.1	0.9
3	Swale 1 project site outlet point on west PL	A, OS1	1	4.2
4	NW corner of site on Waylayer Drive	OS2	NA	NA
5	Downstream facility locations	ID shown for info purposes only	NA	NA

Basin Summary
Existing/ Historic Conditions

Sub basin ID	Area	Time of Conc	Runoff Coefficient		Design Discharges	
	(Acres)	min.	5 year	100 year	5 year (cfs)	100 year (cfs)
OS1	2.06	17	0.09	0.36	0.60	4.20
OS4	0.44	17	0.09	0.36	0.10	0.90
A	1.21	17	0.09	0.36	0.40	2.40



REVISIONS

DESIGNED BY _____
DRAWN BY _____
CHECKED BY _____
AS-BUILT BY _____
CHECKED BY _____

SECURITY FIRE
STATION NO. 4

DRAINAGE MAP
EXISTING

MVE PROJECT 61134
MVE DRAWING DRAIN-EX

SEPTEMBER 10, 2020
SHEET 1 OF 1

Exhibit 11: Developed Drainage Conditions (map pocket)



Structure and Pipe Summary

STR ID	Description
1	Nyplast inlet
2	Nyplast inlet
3	12" HDPE
4	fitting
5	fitting
6	Nyplast inlet
7	NOT USED
8	roof drain
9	NOT USED
10	12" HDPE
11	12" HDPE
12	NOT USED
13	12" HDPE
14	12" HDPE
15	NOT USED
16	NOT USED
17	12" HDPE
18	12" HDPE
19	12" HDPE
20	NOT USED
21	NOT USED
22	6" HDPE
23	12" HDPE
24	fitting
25	Nyplast inlet
26	12" HDPE
27	concrete chase
28	12" HDPE
29	24" CLIV RCP
30	18" CLIV RCP
31	riprap emergency spillway

Design Point Summary

Surface Flow Developed Conditions

Design Point ID	Contributing sub Basin for surface flow	Description	qs (surface flow) (cfs)	qsw (surface flow) (cfs)
1	OS2	Upstream end of proposed cross pan in Wayfarere Drive	NA	NA
2	OS2, A	Upstream end of proposed cross pan in Wayfarere Drive	NA	NA
3	D	Nyplast inlet	0	0.3
4	F	Nyplast inlet	0.1	0.2
5	NA	NW Roof downspout into storm sewer	neg	neg
6	NA	NOT USED	NA	NA
7	I	SW Roof downspout into storm sewer	0.4	0.7
8	E	Nyplast inlet	1	1.8
9	H	SE Roof downspout into storm sewer	0.4	0.7
10	O	Concrete Channel to FSD pond	0.1	0.2
11	see pond narrative	FSD pond outlet structure	see pond narrative	see pond narrative
12	OS1, G, N	Upstream end of 24" RCP culvert	0.7	3.1
13	OS4	Upstream end of 18" RCP culvert	0.1	0.9
14	NA	east end of drive apron onto Mesa Ridge Parkway	NA	NA
15	emergency overflow, OS4, G, N, M	Swale 1 outfall at west PL	2.9	8.1
16	see pond worksheet	FSD pond outfall of STR28	0.1	1.2
17	K	Nyplast inlet	0.7	1.3
18	OS1, G, N	Downstream end of 24" RCP culvert	0.7	3.1
19	OS4	west end of 18" RCP Driveway culvert	0.1	0.9
20	see pond worksheet	Outlet of STR 19	0.1	1.2
21	NA	Junction fitting	NA	NA
22	O	Outlet of STR 27	0.1	0.2

Storm Sewer Design Flows

Developed Conditions


Structure #	Sub basin ID	Area (acres)	"C"		Runoff	
			5 year	100 year	5 year	100 year
	A	0.04	0.32	0.53	0.1	0.2
	B	0.01	0.90	0.96	0.0	0.1
	C	0.02	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	E	0.23	0.83	0.91	1.0	1.8
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	G	0.19	0.08	0.35	0.1	0.6
	H	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	I	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	J	0.01	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.0
	K	0.18	0.73	0.83	0.7	1.3
	L	0.13	0.08	0.35	0.1	0.4
	M	0.17	0.27	0.49	0.2	0.7
	N	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.0	0.1
	O	0.02	0.49	0.66	0.1	0.2
	P	0.01	0.08	0.35	0.0	0.0
	Q	0.01	0.90	0.96	0.0	0.1
	R	0.01	0.08	0.35	0.0	0.1
	OS1	2.08	0.09	0.09	0.6	4.2
	OS4	0.44	0.09	0.09	0.1	0.9

14	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
Subtotal STR 14		0.08			0.0	0.3
3	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
Subtotal STR3		0.27			0.1	1.1
18	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	H	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
Subtotal STR18		0.2			0.5	1.2
26	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	H	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	K	0.18	0.73	0.83	0.7	1.3
Subtotal STR18		0.38			1.2	2.5
23	I	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
Subtotal STR23		0.09			0.4	0.7
10	I	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
Subtotal STR10		0.09			0.4	0.7
11	E	0.23	0.83	0.91	1.0	1.8
	J	0.01	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.0
Subtotal STR11		0.24			1.0	1.8
13	I	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	E	0.23	0.83	0.91	1.0	1.8
	J	0.01	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.0
Subtotal STR11		0.33			1.4	2.5
26	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	H	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	K	0.18	0.73	0.83	0.7	1.3
Subtotal STR26		0.38			1.2	2.5
19	D	0.08	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.3
	F	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.1	0.2
	H	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	K	0.18	0.73	0.83	0.7	1.3
	I	0.09	0.90	0.96	0.4	0.7
	E	0.23	0.83	0.91	1.0	1.8
	J	0.01	0.12	0.39	0.0	0.0
Subtotal STR19		0.51			2.1	3.8
27	O	0.02	0.49	0.66	0.1	0.2
Subtotal STR27		0.02			0.1	0.2
29	OS1	2.08	0.09	0.09	0.6	2.4
	G	0.19	0.08	0.35	0.1	0.6
	N	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.0	0.1
Subtotal STR27		2.3			0.7	3.1
30	OS4	0.44	0.09	0.09	0.1	0.9
Subtotal STR30		0.44			0.1	0.9
Swale 1 west of site emergency overflow					2.5	5.8
	OS4	0.44	0.09	0.09	0.1	0.9
	G	0.19	0.08	0.35	0.1	0.6
	N	0.03	0.08	0.35	0.0	0.1
	M	0.17	0.27	0.49	0.2	0.7
Subtotal Swale 1 west of site		0.83			2.9	8.1

Basin Summary




Developed Conditions

Sub basin ID	Area (Acres)	Time of Conc min.	Runoff Coefficient		Design Discharges	
			5 year	100 year	5 year (cfs)	100 year (cfs)
A	0.04	5	0.32	0.53	0.10	0.20
B	0.01	5	0.90	0.96	Negligible	0.10
C	0.02	5	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.20
D	0.08	5	0.12	0.39	Negligible	0.30
E	0.23	5	0.83	0.91	1.00	1.80
F	0.03	5	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.20
G	0.19	5	0.08	0.35	0.10	0.60
H	0.09	5	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.70
I	0.09	5	0.90	0.96	0.40	0.70
J	0.01	5	0.12	0.39	Negligible	Negligible
K	0.18	5	0.73	0.83	0.70	1.30
L	0.13	5	0.08	0.35	0.10	0.40
M	0.17	5	0.27	0.49	0.20	0.70
N	0.03	5	0.08	0.35	Negligible	0.10
O	0.02	5	0.49	0.66	0.10	0.20
P	0.01	5	0.08	0.35	Negligible	Negligible
Q	0.01	5	0.90	0.96	Negligible	0.10
R	0.04	5	0.08	0.35	Negligible	0.10



VICINITY MAP
NOT TO SCALE

BENCHMARK



REVISIONS

DESIGNED BY
DRAWN BY
CHECKED BY
AS-BUILTS BY
CHECKED BY

SECURITY FIRE
STATION NO. 4

DRAINAGE MAP

PROPOSED

MVE PROJECT 61134
MVE DRAWING DRAIN-PP

SEPTEMBER 10, 2020
SHEET 1 OF 1