SMR Response

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

For

I68 Training Facility Development El Paso County, Colorado PCD File No.PPR2440

> October 2024 Revised December 2024

> > Prepared for:

I68 Consulting Group, LLC Calhan, Colorado 80808



8700 Turnpike Drive, Suite 240 Westminster, Colorado 80031 (303) 458-9798

6 South Tejon Street, Suite 618 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 203-6639

> Project Manager: Brandon Wilson, EIT

> > Project Engineer: Zac Trotter, EIT

Engineer in Responsible Charge: Fred Lantz, PE

24-082245



Traffic Engineer's Statement

The attached traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.

1 Lat

Fred Lantz, P.E. #23410

12/05/2024

Date

Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.

Marc Fitzwater 168 Consulting Group, LLC Calhan, Colorado 80808 Date

Table of Contents

I. Introduction	1
Project Overview Study Area Boundaries Site Description Existing and Committed Surface Transportation Network	1 1
II. Existing Traffic Conditions	5
Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Existing Traffic Existing Traffic Analysis Results	
III. Future Traffic Conditions Without Proposed Development	8
Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Background Traffic	1
IV. Proposed Project Traffic12	2
Trip Generation 1 Adjustments to Trip Generation Rates 1 Trip Distribution 1 Trip Assignment 1	3 3
V. Future Traffic Conditions With Proposed Developments1	5
VI. Project Impacts1	8
Total Traffic Auxiliary Lane Analysis 1 Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Total Traffic 1 Total Traffic Analysis Results Upon Development Build-Out 1 Queue Length Analysis 1 Sight Distance Analysis 2 Recommended Improvements 2	8 8 9 9
VII. Conclusion2	1

Review 1 unresolved comment: Per ECM App. B.2.4.B the following additional items are required to be addressed: - Appropriateness of access location (ECM 2.4)

Discussion provided.

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Location	2
Figure 2 – Conceptual Site Plan	3
Figure 3 – Existing Traffic Volumes & Intersection Geometry	
Figure 4 – Background Traffic Volumes & Intersection Geometry – Year 2031	9
Figure 5 – Background Traffic Volumes & Intersection Geometry – Year 2045	10
Figure 6 – Distribution and Site-Generated Assignment	14
Figure 7 – Total Traffic Volumes & Intersection Geometry – Year 2031	16
Figure 8 – Total Traffic Volumes & Intersection Geometry – Year 2045	17

List of Tables

Table 1 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Existing Traffic	7
Table 2 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Background Traffic – Year 2031	11
Table 3 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Background Traffic – Year 2045	11
Table 4 – Trip Generation Rates	12
Table 5 – Trip Generation Summary	13
Table 6 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Total Traffic – Year 2031	19
Table 7 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Total Traffic – Year 2045	19
Table 8 – Turn Lane Queues and Storage Requirements – Total Traffic – Year 2045	20

Appendices

APPENDIX A	TRAFFIC COUNT DATA
APPENDIX B	LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS
APPENDIX C	CAPACITY WORKSHEETS
APPENDIX D	SIGHT DISTANCE EXHIBIT

I. Introduction

Project Overview

This traffic impact study is provided as a planning document and addresses the capacity, geometric, and control requirements associated with the development entitled I68 Training Facility Development. This analysis was prepared in accordance to Appendix B – Transportation Impact Study Guidelines from the County's Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM)¹.

This traffic impact study has been revised to address County review comments made to the October 2024 version regarding discussion of road impact fees, an updated turn lane analysis, a sight distance analysis, and minor updates throughout.

This proposed recreational development consists of a shooting range/firearm training facility. The development is located on the west side of Calhan Highway between Judge Orr Road and Big Springs Road in El Paso County, Colorado.

Study Area Boundaries

The study area to be examined in this analysis was coordinated with County Staff and encompasses the Calhan Highway intersection with Judge Orr Road and includes proposed site access.

Figure 1 illustrates location of the site and study intersections.

Site Description

Land for the development is currently vacant and surrounded by a mix of agricultural, industrial, and residential land uses.


The proposed development entails building out approximately 153 acres of land into an I68 training facility. The I68 training facility will support a maximum of six flat ranges, one long distance shooting range, a close quarter combat (CQB) simulation training area for home defense and law enforcement training (referred to as Connex City), and a one-quarter mile driving track. Ancillary uses to the shooting/firearm training facility include administration buildings and lodging.

Proposed access to the development is provided via one full-movement access onto Calhan Highway (referred to as Site Access).

For purposes of this study, it is anticipated that development construction would be completed by end of Year 2031.

General site and access locations are shown on Figure 1. A conceptual site plan is shown on Figure 2. This plan is provided for illustrative purposes only.

¹ <u>El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual</u>, El Paso County, July 2023.






I68 TRAINING FACILITY DEVELOPMENT *Traffic Impact Study*

SM ROCHA, LLC Traffic and Transportation Consultants Figure 1 SITE LOCATION

December 2024 Page 2







168 TRAINING FACILITY DEVELOPMENT *Traffic Impact Study* Figure 2 CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN

SM ROCHA, LLC Traffic and Transportation Consultants December 2024 Page 3

Existing and Committed Surface Transportation Network

Within the study area, Calhan Highway is the primary roadway that will accommodate traffic to and from the proposed development. The secondary roadway includes Judge Orr Road. A brief description of each roadway, based on the County's Master Corridor Transportation Plan (MTCP)², is provided below:

<u>Calhan Highway</u> is a north-south rural minor collector roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction) with shared turn lanes at the intersection within the study area. Calhan Highway provides a posted speed limit of 55 MPH.

<u>Judge Orr Road</u> west of Calhan Highway is an east-west rural minor arterial roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction) with shared turn lanes at the intersection within the study area. Judge Orr Road provides a posted speed limit of 55 MPH.

East of Calhan Highway, Judge Orr Road is an east-west rural major collector roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction). Judge Orr Road continues to provide a posted speed limit of 55 MPH.

The study intersection operates under a stop-controlled condition. A stop-controlled intersection is defined as a roadway intersection where vehicle rights-of-way are controlled by one or more "STOP" signs.

No regional or specific improvements for the above described roadways are known to be planned or committed at this time. The study area roadways appear to be built to their ultimate cross-sections.


² <u>El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan</u>, Felsburg Holt & Ullevig, July 2024.

II. Existing Traffic Conditions

In coordination with the County, Saturday and Sunday peak hour traffic counts were collected at the intersection of Calhan Highway and Judge Orr Road. Average daily traffic (ADT) volumes were collected over a 24-hour period on Calhan Highway. Counts were collected on Saturday, September 14, 2024, and Sunday, September 15, 2024, with Saturday peak hour counts being collected during the period of 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. and Sunday peak hour counts being collected during the period of 12:00 p.m. and 2:00 p.m.

Existing volumes and intersection geometry are shown on Figure 3. Traffic count data is included for reference in Appendix A.





Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Existing Traffic

The Unsignalized Intersection Analysis technique, as published in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), 7th Edition, by the Transportation Research Board and as incorporated into the SYNCHRO computer program, was used to analyze the study intersection for existing and future traffic conditions. This nationally accepted techniques allow for the determination of intersection level of service (LOS) based on the congestion and delay of each traffic movement.

Pursuant to Section B.4.1.A of the County's ECM, the design objective or each scenario of this study shall be level of service "D". Level of service is a method of measurement used by transportation professionals to quantify a driver's perception of travel conditions that include travel time, number of stops, and total amount of stopped delay experienced on a roadway network. The HCM categorizes level of service into a range from "A" which indicates little, if any, vehicle delay, to "F" which indicates a level of operation considered unacceptable to most drivers. These levels of service grades with brief descriptions of the operating condition, for unsignalized and signalized intersections, are included for reference in Appendix B and have been used throughout this study.

The level of service analyses results for existing conditions are summarized in Table 1.

Intersection capacity worksheets developed for this study are provided in Appendix C.

INTERSECTION	LEVEL OF SERVICE		
LANE GROUPS	SAT PEAK HOUR	SUN PEAK HOUR	
Calhan Highway / Judge Orr Road (Stop-Controlled)			
Westbound Left and Through	А	А	
Northbound Left and Right	A	А	

Table 1 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Existing Traffic

Key: Stop-Controlled Intersection: Level of Service

Existing Traffic Analysis Results

Under existing conditions, operational analysis shows that the unsignalized intersection of Calhan Highway and Judge Orr Road has turning movement operations at LOS A during the Saturday and Sunday peak traffic hours.

III. Future Traffic Conditions Without Proposed Development


Background traffic is the traffic projected to be on area roadways without consideration of the proposed development. Background traffic includes traffic generated by development of vacant parcels in the area.

To account for projected increases in background traffic for Years 2031 and 2045, a compounded annual growth rate was determined using historical traffic data provided by El Paso County's MTCP which anticipates a 10-year growth rate between one and two percent. Therefore, in order to provide for a conservative analysis, a growth rate of approximately two percent was applied to existing traffic volumes.


Pursuant to the area roadway improvements discussed in Section I, Year 2031 and Year 2045 background traffic conditions assume no roadway improvements to accommodate regional transportation demands. This assumption provides for a conservative analysis.

Projected background traffic volumes and intersection geometry for Years 2031 and 2045 are shown on Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively.









Page 10

Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Background Traffic

As with existing traffic conditions, the operations of study intersections were analyzed under background conditions, without the proposed development, using the SYNCHRO computer program.

Background traffic level of service analysis results for Year 2031 are listed in Table 2. Year 2045 operational results are summarized in Table 3.

Definitions of levels of service are given in Appendix B. Intersection capacity worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

Table 2 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Background Traffic – Year 2031

INTERSECTION	LEVEL OF SERVICE		
LANE GROUPS	SAT PEAK HOUR	SUN PEAK HOUR	
Calhan Highway / Judge Orr Road (Stop-Controlled)			
Westbound Left and Through	А	А	
Northbound Left and Right	А	А	

Key: Stop-Controlled Intersection: Level of Service

Background Traffic Analysis Results – Year 2031

Year 2031 background traffic analysis indicates that the unsignalized intersection of Calhan Highway and Judge Orr Road has turning movement operations at LOS A during the Saturday and Sunday peak traffic hours.

Table 3 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Background Traffic – Year 2045

INTERSECTION	LEVEL OF SERVICE		
LANE GROUPS	SAT PEAK HOUR	SUN PEAK HOUR	
Calhan Highway / Judge Orr Road (Stop-Controlled)			
Westbound Left and Through	А	А	
Northbound Left and Right	А	A	

Key: Stop-Controlled Intersection: Level of Service

Background Traffic Analysis Results – Year 2045

By Year 2045 and without the proposed development, the unsignalized intersection of Calhan Highway and Judge Orr Road continues to have turning movement operations at LOS A during the Saturday and Sunday peak traffic hours.

These intersection operations are similar to existing conditions.

IV. Proposed Project Traffic

Trip Generation

Standard traffic generation characteristics compiled by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in their report entitled Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, were applied to the proposed land uses in order to estimate average daily traffic (ADT), Saturday Peak Hour, and Sunday Peak Hour vehicle trips. A vehicle trip is defined as a one-way vehicle movement from a point of origin to a point of destination.

ITE does not provide data for a shooting range land use, therefore a comparable land use was chosen based on similarities between functionalities. The ITE land use code 432 (Golf Driving Range) was used for estimating trip generation for the flat and long distance shooting ranges as it is comparable in terms of having similar lanes used by a single individual for a recreational activity.

Additionally, ITE's Trip Generation Manual does not provide traffic generation information for Connex City nor similar land uses. As such, proposed facility operations, as described by the developer, were evaluated to estimate average daily and weekend peak hour trip information. Since the I68 Training Facility Development expects to provide training for law enforcement agencies, Department of Defense entities, and civilian government agencies, a single-occupant vehicle (SOV) rate greater than one is believed to be appropriate. For purposes of this analysis, an assumed SOV rate of 1.5 was applied to patron trip generation. This SOV rate continues to provide for a conservative, yet realistic, analysis.

Facility operation information is as follows:

- Standard operating hours from 8:30 a.m. to dusk
- Connex City 30 patrons

Trip generation for the driving track was not considered as it is believed this land use will provide for sporadic and rare use. Further, no additional trip generation for the administration buildings and lodging were considered as these land uses are understood to be ancillary to the overall development.

Trip generation rates used in this study are presented in Table 4.

Table 4 – Trip Generation Rates

			TRIP GENERATION RATES							
			SATURDAY					SUN	DAY	
ITE			24	24 PEAK HOUR OF GENERATOR			24	PEAK HOU	R OF GE	NERATOR
CODE	LAND USE	UNIT	HOUR	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	HOUR	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL
432	Golf Driving Range	TEES	17.68	0.65	0.65	1.30	14.32	0.79	0.53	1.32

Key: TEES = Tees/Driving Positions.

Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.

Table 5 illustrates projected ADT, AM Peak Hour, and P by the proposed development upon build-out. Table 5 – Trip Generation					regarding the phasing of the
	LAND USE	SIZE	24 HOUR	SATI PEAK HO ENTER	It is emphasized that lodging, admin building, gym, driving track, etc. are ancillary uses to the overall development, as described on previous page.
432	Golf Driving Range Connex City	7 TEES 30 PAT <i>Total:</i>	124 79 203	5 20 25	As a result, per meeting held with County Staff on January 7, 2025, this review comment is null & void. No revisions needed.

Key: TEES = Tees/Driving Positions. PAT = Patrons.

Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.

During Saturday and upon build-out, Table 5 illustrates that the proposed development has the potential to generate approximately 203 daily vehicle trips with 49 of those occurring during the Saturday peak hour of generation.

During Sunday and upon build-out, Table 5 illustrates that the proposed development has the potential to generate 179 daily vehicle trips with 49 of those occurring during the Sunday peak hour of generation.

Adjustments to Trip Generation Rates

A development of this type is not likely to attract trips from within area land uses nor pass-by or diverted link trips from the adjacent roadway system, therefore no trip reduction was taken in this analysis.

Trip Distribution

The overall directional distribution of site-generated traffic was determined based on the location of development site within the County, proposed and existing area land uses, allowed turning movements, available roadway network, and in reference to historical traffic count data provided by the Colorado Department of Transportation's (CDOT) Traffic Count Database System (TCDS)³.

Overall trip distribution patterns for the development are shown on Figure 6.


Trip Assignment

Traffic assignment is how generated and distributed vehicle trips are expected to be loaded onto the available roadway network.

Applying trip distribution patterns to site-generated traffic provides the overall site-generated trip assignments shown on Figure 6.

³ <u>Transportation Data Management System</u>, MS2, 2022.





Traffic and Transportation Consultants

Page 14

V. Future Traffic Conditions With Proposed Developments

Total traffic is the traffic projected to be on area roadways with consideration of the proposed development. Total traffic includes background traffic projections for Years 2031 and 2045 with consideration of site-generated traffic. For analysis purposes, it was assumed that development construction would be completed by end of Year 2031.


It is important to note that traffic count data collected and used throughout this report is intended to analyze traffic operations during weekend peak hours of the adjacent roadway network (from 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. on Saturday and from 12:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m. on Sunday). As such, the addition of peak hour of generator trips to Years 2031 and 2045 background traffic represents a conservative analysis for total traffic conditions as peak hour of generator trips most likely to not align with the peak hour of adjacent street traffic.

Pursuant to area roadway improvement discussions provided in Section III, Year 2031 and Year 2045 total traffic conditions assume no roadway improvements to accommodate regional transportation demands. Roadway improvements associated with site development are expected to be limited to site access and frontage as required by the governing agency.

Projected Year 2031 total traffic volumes and intersection geometry are shown in Figure 7.


Figure 8 shows projected total traffic volumes and intersection geometry for Year 2045.





SM ROCHA, LLC Traffic and Transportation Consultants December 2024 Page 16





Traffic and Transportation Consultants

December 2024 Page 17

VI. Project Impacts

The analyses and procedures described in this study were performed in accordance with the latest HCM and are based upon the worst-case conditions that occur during a typical weekday upon buildout of site development and analyzed land uses. Therefore, study intersections are likely to operate with traffic conditions better than those described within this study, which represent the peak hours of weekend operations only.

Total Traffic Auxiliary Lane Analysis

Auxiliary lanes for site development access are to be based on the County's ECM.

Considering development build-out, an evaluation of auxiliary lane requirements, pursuant to Section 2.3.7.D. of the County's ECM, reveals that a southbound right turn lane is not required along Calhan Highway at Site Access since the development's peak hour right turn ingress volume does not exceed the County's threshold of 50 vehicles per hour (VPH).

Additionally, pursuant to Section 2.3.7.D of the County's ECM, a northbound left turn lane is not required along Calhan Highway at Site Access since the development's peak hour left turn ingress volume does not exceed the County's threshold of 25 VPH.

Finally, pursuant to Section 2.3.7.D of the County's ECM, a nor Discussion updated. along Calhan Highway at Judge Orr Road may be required since the development's peak nour rent turn ingress volumes exceed the County's threshold of 25 VPH. Considering how anticipated queue lengths are minor, Year 2045 total traffic conditions anticipate turning movement operations at LOS A, considering Section 3.5(5) of CDOT's State Highway Access Code (SHAC)⁴ indicates that the need for a left turn deceleration lane may be waived if the volume in the opposing travel lane is below 100 VPH, and that through volumes along Judge Orr Road are less than 100 VPH during either peak traffic hour, it is believed that a left

turn deceleration lane is not need and therefore not recommended.

A deviation request will need to be submitted for consideration by the ECM administrator if not following ECM standards.

Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Total Traffic

As with background traffic, the operations of the study intersections we County deviation request provided. total traffic conditions using the SYNCHRO computer program. Total traffic level of service analysis results for Years 2031 and 2045 are summarized in Table 6 and Table 7, respectively.

Definitions of levels of service are given in Appendix B. Intersection capacity worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

in Appendix C.	Please be aware that per the site		
	development plan the connex city will		
	be done at a later phase(4). Traffic		
	analysis can be broken up into the		
	proposed phases to see whether the		
⁴ State Highway Access Code, The Transportation Commission of	aux. turn lane is triggered with what is		
· <u>State Highway Access Code</u> , the transportation commission of	only currently proposed. Feel free to		
SM ROCHA, LLC – Traffic and Transportation Consultants	call to discuss.		
	Commont asknowledged		

Comment acknowledged.

Table 6 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Total Traffic – Year 2031

INTERSECTION	LEVEL OF SERVICE			
LANE GROUPS	SAT PEAK HOUR SUN PEAK HO			
Calhan Highway / Judge Orr Road (Stop-Controlled) Westbound Left and Through Northbound Left and Right	AA	A A		
Calhan Highway / Site Access (Stop-controlled)				
Eastbound Left and Right	А	A		
Northbound Left and Through	А	А		

Key: Stop-Controlled Intersection: Level of Service

Table 7 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Total Traffic – Year 2045

INTERSECTION	LEVEL OF SERVICE			
LANE GROUPS	SAT PEAK HOUR SUN PEAK HO			
Calhan Highway / Judge Orr Road (Stop-Controlled) Westbound Left and Through Northbound Left and Right	A	A A		
Calhan Highway / Site Access (Stop-controlled) Eastbound Left and Right Northbound Left and Through	A A	A A		

Key: Stop-Controlled Intersection: Level of Service

Total Traffic Analysis Results Upon Development Build-Out

Table 7 illustrates how, by Year 2045 and upon development build-out, the unsignalized intersection of Calhan Highway and Judge Orr Road continues to expect turning movement operations at LOS A during the Saturday and Sunday peak traffic hours.

The stop-controlled intersection of Calhan Highway and Site Access predicts turning movement operations at LOS A during the Saturday and Sunday peak traffic hour.

These intersection operations are similar to background conditions.

Queue Length Analysis

Queue lengths for the study intersections were analyzed using Year 2045 total traffic conditions. The analysis yields estimate of 95th percentile queue lengths, which have only a five percent probability of being exceeded during the analysis time period. An average vehicle length of 25 feet was assumed. Queue lengths were modeled and are included with the Synchro worksheets in Appendix C.

Table 8 summarizes the 95th percentile queue results in comparison to the projected storage requirements for turn movements within study area for Year 2045.

Intersection		ırn	Existing Turn Lane Length	SAT Pea 95th Percentile	ak Hour Vehicle	SUN Pe 95th Percentile	ak Hour Vehicle	Recommended Turn Lane
	Move	ement	(feet)	Queue Length (feet)	Equivalent (vehicles)	Queue Length (feet)	Equivalent (vehicles)	Length (feet)
			Sta	op-Controlled Ir	ntersections			
Calhan Highway /	EB	T,R	-	0'	0	0'	0	-
Judge Orr Road	WB	L,T	-	0'	0	0'	0	-
Judge Off Road	NB	L,R	-	5'	1	3'	1	-
Calhan Highway /	EB	L,R	-	3'	1	3'	1	-
Site Access	NB	L,T	-	0'	0	0'	0	-
Sile Access	SB	T,R	-	0'	0	0'	0	-

 Table 8 – Turn Lane Queues and Storage Requirements – Total Traffic – Year 2045

Note: Turn Lane Length does not include taper length.

As Table 8 shows, no significant vehicle queues are anticipated at the study intersections. As such, no turn lanes or other improvements are identified as being recommended.

Sight Distance Analysis

Sight distance lengths for Site Access were analyzed using Section 2.4, Table 2-35 of the County's ECM.

Considering the land use of the proposed development, the posted speed limit along Calhan Highway, and the roadway geometry along Calhan Highway, Site Access must provide an entering sight distance of 715 feet. A Sight Distance Exhibit is provided in Appendix D.

Recommended Improvements

Please analyze and state whether the proposed access point provides the minimum sight distance required.

Discussion updated.

All study intersections have long term operations at LOS A and have no significant queues. Therefore, no public improvements associated with this development are recommended.

Based on the auxiliary lane analysis performed, exclusive turn lanes are not recommended at the study intersections as part of this development since long term operations are within the County's threshold, 95th percentile queues are minor, and the low vehicle volumes projected during either peak traffic hour.

Long term operations exceed the County's threshold for an auxiliary lane.

Operations remain within the County's limits. *Analysis* suggests an auxiliary lane improvement is warranted from a vehicle-volume perspective only.

VII. Conclusion

This traffic impact study addressed the capacity, geometric, and control requirements associated with the development entitled I68 Training Facility. This proposed recreational development consists of shooting range/firearm training facility supporting a maximum of six flat ranges, one long distance shooting range, Connex City, a one-quarter mile driving track, administration buildings, and lodging. The development is located on the west side of Calhan Highway between Judge Orr Road and Big Springs Road in El Paso County, Colorado.

The study area examined in this analysis encompassed the Calhan Highway intersection with Judge Orr Road and proposed site access.

Analysis was conducted for critical Saturday Peak Hour and Sunday Peak Hour traffic operations for existing traffic conditions, Year 2031 and Year 2045 background traffic conditions, and Year 2031 and Year 2045 total traffic conditions.

Analysis of existing traffic conditions indicates that the unsignalized intersection of Calhan Highway and Judge Orr Road has operations at LOS A during the Saturday and Sunday peak traffic hours.

Without the proposed development, Year 2031 background operational analysis shows that the unsignalized intersection of Calhan Highway and Judge Orr Road is anticipated to have turning movement operations at LOS A during the Saturday and Sunday peak traffic hours.

By Year 2045 and without the proposed development, the unsignalized intersection of Calhan Highway and Judge Orr Road continues to project turning movement operations at LOS A for the Saturday and Sunday peak traffic hours.

Analysis of future traffic conditions indicates that the addition of site-generated traffic is expected to create no negative impact to traffic operations for the existing and surrounding roadway system upon roadway and intersection control improvements assumed within this analysis. With all conservative assumptions defined in this analysis, the study intersection is projected to operate at future levels of service comparable to Year 2045 background traffic conditions. Proposed site access has long-term operations at LOS A during Saturday and Sunday traffic periods and upon build-out.

This site is subject to the El paso County Road Impact Fee Program (Resolution 19-471), as amended. An option for payment will be selected at the final land use approval stage.


APPENDIX A


Traffic Count Data




Location: 1 CALHAN HWY & JUDGE ORR RD PM Date: Saturday, September 14, 2024 Peak Hour: 02:00 PM - 03:00 PM Peak 15-Minutes: 02:45 PM - 03:00 PM


Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles





Peak Hour - Bicycles





Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.


Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles


	Interval	JU	DGE C Eastb		D		DGE O Westbo	RR RD ound		(ALHAN Northb			(CALHAI South				Rolling	Ped	lestriar	n Crossir	ngs
	Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru R	ight	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South I	North
	2:00 PM	0	0	13	3	0	2	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	97	0	0	0	0
	2:15 PM	0	0	4	3	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	16	92	0	0	0	0
	2:30 PM	0	0	6	6	0	0	8	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	26	97	0	0	0	0
	2:45 PM	0	0	11	6	0	1	5	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	29	94	0	0	0	0
	3:00 PM	0	0	6	4	0	1	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	82	0	0	0	0
	3:15 PM	0	0	9	3	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	21		0	0	0	0
	3:30 PM	0	0	16	3	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	23		0	0	0	0
	3:45 PM	0	0	5	3	0	1	4	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	17		0	0	0	0
C	Count Total	0	0	70	31	0	5	42	0	0	26	0	5	0	0	0	0	179		0	0	0	0
	Peak Hour	0	0	34	18	0	3	23	0	0	15	0	4	0	C) ()	0 9	17	0	0	0	0




Location: 1 CALHAN HWY & JUDGE ORR RD Noon Date: Sunday, September 15, 2024 Peak Hour: 12:45 PM - 01:45 PM Peak 15-Minutes: 12:45 PM - 01:00 PM


Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles





Peak Hour - Bicycles





Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

Interv	val	JU	DGE C Eastb	ORR RI ound	D		DGE O Westb			(CALHAN Northb			(CALHA Southl				Rolling	Ped	lestriar	n Crossir	ngs
Start T	ime	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru Ri	ight	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South	North
12:00	PM	0	0	8	5	0	3	4	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	23	84	0	0	0	0
12:15	PM	0	0	6	2	0	1	4	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	17	84	0	0	0	0
12:30	PM	0	0	8	2	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	88	0	0	0	0
12:45	PM	0	0	15	4	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	29	94	0	0	0	0
1:00 F	PM	0	0	7	6	0	0	6	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	23	85	0	0	0	0
1:15 F	PM	0	0	6	3	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	21		0	0	0	0
1:30 F	PM	0	0	7	6	0	1	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	21		0	0	0	0
1:45 F	PM	0	0	7	6	0	1	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	20		0	0	0	0
Count To	al	0	0	64	34	0	7	41	0	0	19	0	4	0	0	0	C	169		0	0	0	0
Peak Ho	ur	0	0	35	19	0	1	29	0	0	8	C) 2	0	() ()	0 9)4	0	0	0	0

All Traffic Data Services 12200 W 52nd Ave Wheat Ridge, CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net

Site Code: 2 Station ID: 2 CALHAN HWY N.O. WIND FARM ACCESS

	Total	5	2	ო	2	0	4	o	14	17	16	30	25	26	26	32	26	28	28	19	23	15	16	13	9	385		10:00	30	14:00	32
																												ı	·	ı	ı
																												I	ı	ı	ı
																												ı	,	ı	ı
																												ı		ı	ı
																												•	•	•	
																												I	ı	ı	ı
	SB	က	~	2	2	0	0	က	4	4	က	12	1	16	12	17	15	ი	18	7	15	9	14	12	2	188	48.8%	10:00	12	17:00	18
!	NB	2	-	-	0	0	4	9	10	13	13	18	14	10	14	15	11	19	10	12	ω	ი	7	-	4	197	51.2%	10:00	18	16:00	19
14-Sep-24	Sat																											ı		ı	ı
Start 14-9		12:00 AM	01:00	02:00	03:00	04:00	05:00	00:90	02:00	08:00	00:60	10:00	11:00	12:00 PM	01:00	02:00	03:00	04:00	05:00	00:90	00:20	08:00	00:60	10:00	11:00	Total	Percent	AM Peak	Vol.	PM Peak	Vol.

All Traffic Data Services 12200 W 52nd Ave Wheat Ridge, CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net

Site Code: 2 Station ID: 2 CALHAN HWY N.O. WIND FARM ACCESS

	Total	5	2	2	-	0	2	4	10	18	33	28	23	31	33	26	22	19	21	10	19	10	5	5	4	333		00:60	33	13:00	33	718		
																												ı	ı	,				
																												ı	ı	ı				
																												·		·	-			
																												ı	ı	ı				
																												I	,	,				
																														ı				ADT 359
	SB	с	, -	2	-	0	0	2	ო	5	7	12	1	18	25	18	S	12	12	5	7	5	2	ო	2	161	48.3%	10:00	12	13:00	25	349	48.6%	AAL
		2	-	0	0	0	2	2	7	13	26	16	12	13	ω	ω	17	7	თ	5	12	5	ო	2	2	172	51.7%	00:60	26	15:00	17	369	51.4%	ADT 359
15-Sep-24	Sun																											ı	ı					
Start 15-		12:00 AM	01:00	02:00	03:00	04:00	05:00	00:90	00:20	08:00	00:60	10:00	11:00	12:00 PM	01:00	02:00	03:00	04:00	05:00	00:90	02:00	08:00	00:60	10:00	11:00	Total	Percent	AM Peak	Vol.	PM Peak	Vol.	Grand Total	Percent	ADT

APPENDIX B

Level of Service Definitions

The following information is referenced from the <u>Highway Capacity Manual: A Guide for Multimodal Mobility</u> <u>Analysis</u>, 7th Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2022: Chapter 19 – Signalized Intersections.

Motorized Vehicle Level of Service (LOS) for Signalized Intersections

Levels of service are defined to represent reasonable ranges in control delay.

LOS A Describes operations with a control delay of 10 s/veh or less and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is exceptionally favorable or the cycle length is very short. If it is due to favorable progression, most vehicles arrive during the green indication and travel through the intersection without stopping.

LOS B Describes operations with control delay between 10 and 20 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is short. More vehicles stop than with LOS A.

LOS C Describes operations with control delay between 20 and 35 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when progression is favorable or the cycle length is moderate. Individual *cycle failures* (i.e., one or more queued vehicles are not able to depart as a result of insufficient capacity during the cycle) may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many vehicles still pass through the intersection without stopping.

LOS D Describes operations with control delay between 35 and 55 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective or the cycle length is long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.

LOS E Describes operations with control delay between 55 and 80 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high, progression is unfavorable, and the cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent.

LOS F Describes operations with control delay exceeding 80 s/veh or a volume-to-capacity ratio greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is very high, progression is very poor, and the cycle length is long. Most cycles fail to clear the queue.

Control Delay	LOS by Volume-to	o-Capacity Ratio ^a
(s/veh)	v/c ≤ 1.0	<i>v/c</i> > 1.0
≤ 10	A	F
> 10 – 20	В	F
> 20 – 35	С	F
> 35 – 55	D	F
> 55 – 80	E	F
> 80	F	F

<u>Note:</u> ^a For approach-based and intersectionwide assessments, LOS is defined solely by control delay.

The following information is referenced from the <u>Highway Capacity Manual: A Guide for Multimodal Mobility</u> <u>Analysis</u>, 7th Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2022: Chapter 20 – Two-Way Stop-Controlled Intersections, Chapter 21 – All-Way Stop-Controlled Intersections, and Chapter 22 - Roundabouts.

Motorized Vehicle Level of Service (LOS) for Unsignalized & Roundabout Intersections

LOS is a quantitative stratification of performance measure(s) representing quality of service. Quality of service describes how well a transportation facility or service operates from a traveler's perspective. LOS is measured on an A - F scale, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions from a traveler's perspective.

Control Delay	LOS by Volume-to	p-Capacity Ratio ^a
(s/veh)	v/c ≤ 1.0	<i>v/c</i> > 1.0
0 – 10	A	F
> 10 – 15	В	F
> 15 – 25	С	F
> 25 – 35	D	F
> 35 – 50	E	F
> 50	F	F

Note: The LOS criteria apply to each lane on a given approach and to each approach on the minor street. LOS is not calculated for major-street approaches or for the intersection as a whole.

^a For approaches and intersectionwide assessment, LOS is defined solely by control delay.

APPENDIX C

Capacity Worksheets

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	2					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	ŧÎ,			÷.	Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	34	18	3	23	15	4
Future Vol, veh/h	34	18	3	23	15	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	37	20	3	25	16	4

Major/Minor	Majo	·1	Ν	/lajor2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All		0	0	57	0	78	47
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	47	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	32	-
Critical Hdwy		-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy		-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	1548	-	• - •	1023
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	976	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	991	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	1548	-	923	1023
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	ſ	-	-	-	-	923	-
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	976	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	989	-
Approach	E	В		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	s/v	0		0.85		8.91	
HCM LOS						А	
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	mt	N	BLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)			942	-	-	208	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		C).022	-	-	0.002	-
HCM Control Delay (s	s/veh)		8.9	-	-		0
HCM Lane LOS			А	-	-	А	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	h)		0.1	-	-	0	-

1

Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	ef –			- (Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	35	19	1	29	8	2
Future Vol, veh/h	35	19	1	29	8	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	38	21	1	32	9	2

Int Delay, s/veh	2					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	el -			ŧ	Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	39	21	3	26	17	5
Future Vol, veh/h	39	21	3	26	17	5
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	42	23	3	28	18	5

Majar/Minar	laiar1		Maiaro		Minor1	
	/lajor1		Major2		Minor1	= 4
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	65	0	89	54
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	54	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	35	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1537	-		1013
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	969	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	988	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1537	-	910	1013
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	910	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	969	-
Stage 2	-		-	-	986	-
0.0.90 2					500	
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s/v	[,] 0		0.76		8.97	
HCM LOS					Α	
	_					
	L		CDT			
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t 👘	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		932	-	-	186	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.026	-	-	0.002	-
HCM Control Delay (s/v	/eh)	9	-	-	7.3	0
HCM Lane LOS		Α	-	-	Α	А

0

-

-

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

0.1

1

Intersection

	EDT			MOT	NDI	NIDD
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	- 1×			- सी	۰¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	40	22	1	33	9	2
Future Vol, veh/h	40	22	1	33	9	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	43	24	1	36	10	2

Major/Minor	Majo	r1	I	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All		0	0	67	0	93	55
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	55	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	38	-
Critical Hdwy		-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy		-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	1534	-	906	1011
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	967	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	984	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	1534	-	906	1011
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	•	-	-	-	-	906	-
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	967	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	984	-
Approach	E	B		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	s/v	0		0.22		8.95	
HCM LOS						A	
Minor Lane/Major Mvi	mt	N	IBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	int	-	923	LUT	LDIX -	53	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio			0.013	-		0.001	-
HCM Control Delay (s	(voh)		9	-	-		0
HCM Lane LOS			A	-	-	7.5 A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(vel	h)		0	-	-	0	-
			0		-	0	-

Int Delay, s/veh	2.1					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4			÷.	Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	51	27	5	35	23	6
Future Vol, veh/h	51	27	5	35	23	6
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	55	29	5	38	25	7

	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	85	0	119	70
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	70	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	49	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1512	-	877	993
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	953	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	974	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1512	-	873	993
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	873	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	953	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	970	-
A wara a ala	ED					_
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s/v	v 0		0.92		9.17	
HCM LOS					A	
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt N	VBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		896	-	-	225	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.035	-		0.004	-
HCM Control Delay (s/v		9.2	-	-	- 4	0
	ven)	9.2	-	-	7.4	0

А

-

HCM Lane LOS

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

А

0.1

-

-

-

-

А

0

Int Delay, s/veh	1.1					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	¢,			÷.	Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	53	29	2	44	12	3
Future Vol, veh/h	53	29	2	44	12	3
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	58	32	2	48	13	3

Major/Minor	Majo	r1	Ν	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	majo	0	0	89	0	126	73
Stage 1		-	-		-	73	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	52	-
Critical Hdwy		-	-	4.12	-		6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy		-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	1506	-	869	988
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	950	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	970	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	1506	-	868	988
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	r	-	-	-	-	868	-
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	950	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	969	-
Approach	E	B		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	s/v	0		0.32		9.12	
HCM LOS						А	
Minor Lane/Major Mv	mt	N	IBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)			890	-	-	78	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio			0.018	-	-	0.001	-
HCM Control Delay (s	s/veh)		9.1	-	-	7.4	0
HCM Lane LOS			А	-	-	А	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(ve	h)		0.1	-	-	0	-

Int Delay, s/veh	2.9					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	ef -			÷.	Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	39	37	7	26	33	9
Future Vol, veh/h	39	37	7	26	33	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	42	40	8	28	36	10

N.A. 1 / N.A.						_
	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	83	0	106	63
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	63	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	43	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1515	-		1002
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	960	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	979	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1515	-	887	1002
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	887	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	960	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	974	-
<u> </u>						
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s/	v 0		1.57		9.17	
HCM LOS					Α	
	-1 N		EDT	EDD		
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt N	IBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		910	-	-	382	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.05	-	-	0 005	-

HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.05	-	- 0.	.005	-	
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	9.2	-	-	7.4	0	
HCM Lane LOS	А	-	-	А	А	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	-	-	0	-	

Int Delay, s/veh	2.7						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	2
Lane Configurations	Y			÷.	ef –		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	20	5	5	23	24	20)
Future Vol, veh/h	20	5	5	23	24	20)
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0)
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free)
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	9
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	2
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	22	5	5	25	26	22	2

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Maj	or2		
Conflicting Flow All	73	37	48	0	-	0	
Stage 1	37	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	36	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy		3.318	2.218	-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	931	1035	1559	-	-	-	
Stage 1	986	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	987	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	928	1035	1559	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	928	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	982	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	987	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s/	v 8.91		1.31		0		
HCM LOS	А						

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBL	_n1 🗧	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	321	- 9	947	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.003	- 0.0)29	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	7.3	0	8.9	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	A	A	А	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0.1	-	-	

Int Delay, s/veh	2.1						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	ef -			÷.	Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	40	39	5	33	24	6	;
Future Vol, veh/h	40	39	5	33	24	6	6
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0)
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop)
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	;
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	2
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2)
Mvmt Flow	43	42	5	36	26	7	,

Major/Minor	Major	1	Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All) 86	0	111	65
Stage 1		-		-	65	-
Stage 2		-		-	47	-
Critical Hdwy		-	- 4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-		-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-		-	U	-
Follow-up Hdwy		-	- 2.218	-	3.518	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		-	- 1510	-		999
Stage 1		-		-	958	-
Stage 2		-		-	976	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			- 1510	-	001	999
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-		-	882	-
Stage 1		-		-		-
Stage 2		-		-	972	-
Approach	E	3	WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	s/v (C	0.97		9.13	
HCM LOS					А	
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	mt	NBLn	1 EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		903		-	0.07	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.03		-	0.004	-
HCM Control Delay (s	/veh)	9.1				0
HCM Lane LOS		ŀ		-	А	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(ver	h)	0.1	1 -	-	0	-

Int Delay, s/veh	3									
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	२			
Lane Configurations	Y			÷.	ef –					
Traffic Vol, veh/h	19	5	5	11	23	21	1			
Future Vol, veh/h	19	5	5	11	23	21	1			
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0)			
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Э			
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	Э			
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	-			
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	-			
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	2			
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			
Mvmt Flow	21	5	5	12	25	23	3			

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Maj	or2		
Conflicting Flow All	59	36	48	0	-	0	
Stage 1	36	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	23	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy		3.318		-	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	948	1036	1559	-	-	-	
Stage 1	986	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	1000	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		1036	1559	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	944	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	983	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	1000	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB		NB		SB		
HCM Control Delay, s			2.29		0		
HCM LOS	A						

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	563	- 962	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.003	- 0.027	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	7.3	0 8.8	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	A	A A	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	- 0.1	-	-	

Int Delay, s/veh	2.7					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	ef -			÷.	Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	51	43	9	35	37	10
Future Vol, veh/h	51	43	9	35	37	10
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	55	47	10	38	40	11

N 4 = i = = /N 4 i = = =	N / - ! - ·	1	Main		Alia a	
	Major		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	() 0	102	0	136	79
Stage 1			-	-	79	-
Stage 2			-	-	58	-
Critical Hdwy			4.12	-	•••-	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy			2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			1490	-	857	982
Stage 1			-	-	944	-
Stage 2			-	-	965	-
Platoon blocked, %				-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			1490	-	851	982
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			-	-	851	-
Stage 1			-	-	944	-
Stage 2			-	-	958	-
Approach	EE		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s/	v ()	1.52		9.36	
HCM LOS					Α	
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
	n	876		_	368	
Capacity (veh/h)				-		-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.058			0.007	-
HCM Control Delay (s/	veh)	9.4		-		0
HCM Lane LOS		A		-	A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh))	0.2	-	-	0	-

Int Delay, s/veh	2.4									
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	R			
Lane Configurations	Y			÷.	ef 👘					
Traffic Vol, veh/h	20	5	5	29	32	20)			
Future Vol, veh/h	20	5	5	29	32	20)			
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0)			
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	;			
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	;			
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	-			
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	-			
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	2			
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			
Mvmt Flow	22	5	5	32	35	22	2			

Major/Minor	Minor2	I	Major1	Maj	or2					
Conflicting Flow All	88	46	57	0	-	0				
Stage 1	46	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 2	42	-	-	-	-	-				
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-				
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-				
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-				
Follow-up Hdwy		3.318	2.218	-	-	-	 	 		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	913	1024	1548	-	-	-				
Stage 1	977	-	-	-	-	-	 	 	 	
Stage 2	980	-	-	-	-	-				
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-				
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver		1024	1548	-	-	-				
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-	-	-	-		 		
Stage 1	973	-	-	-	-	-				
Stage 2	980	-	-	-	-	-				
Approach	EB		NB		SB					
HCM Control Delay, s	/v 8.99		1.08		0					
HCM LOS	А									

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBL	1 SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	265	- 9	- 80	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.004	- 0.0	- 29	-	
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	7.3	0	9 -	-	
HCM Lane LOS	A	А	A -	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	- (.1 -	-	

Int Delay, s/veh	2						
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	ł
Lane Configurations	el -			÷.	Y		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	53	46	6	44	27	7	7
Future Vol, veh/h	53	46	6	44	27	7	7
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0)
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop)
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	3
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	2
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	58	50	7	48	29	8	3

Major/Minor	Majo	1	Ν	/lajor2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All		0	0	108	0	143	83
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	83	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	61	-
Critical Hdwy		-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1		-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy		-	-	2.218	-	3.518	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver		-	-	1483	-	• • •	977
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	941	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	962	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuve		-	-	1483	-	845	977
Mov Cap-2 Maneuve	r	-	-	-	-	845	-
Stage 1		-	-	-	-	941	-
Stage 2		-	-	-	-	957	-
Approach	E	В		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	s/v	0		0.89		9.32	
HCM LOS						А	
Minor Lane/Major Mv	mt	N	BLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)			869	-	-	216	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		C	0.043	-	-	0.004	-
HCM Control Delay (s	s/veh)		9.3	-	-	7.4	0
HCM Lane LOS			А	-	-	А	А
HCM 95th %tile Q(ve	h)		0.1	-	-	0	-

Int Delay, s/veh	2.6									
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	2			
Lane Configurations	Y			÷.	ef 👘					
Traffic Vol, veh/h	19	5	5	15	31	21	1			
Future Vol, veh/h	19	5	5	15	31	21	1			
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0)			
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Э			
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	Э			
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	-			
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	-			
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	2			
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			
Mvmt Flow	21	5	5	16	34	23	3			

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Maj	or2	
Conflicting Flow All	72	45	57	0	-	0
Stage 1	45	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	27	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	932	1025	1548	-	-	-
Stage 1	977	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	995	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	928	1025	1548	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	928	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	974	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	995	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s/	v 8.91		1.83		0	

HCM LOS А

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBLn	1 SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	450	- 94	7 -	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.004	- 0.02	8 -	-	
HCM Control Delay (s/veh)	7.3	0 8.	9 -	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	- ۸	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	- 0.	1 -	-	

APPENDIX D

Sight Distance Exhibit





I68 TRAINING FACILITY Intersection Sight Distance Exhibit

SM ROCHA, LLC Traffic and Transportation Consultants December 2024

