February 2, 2022





505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80907 PHONE (719) 531-5599 FAX (719) 531-5238

4-Way Joint Venture, LLC c/o Peter Martz P.O. Box 50223 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80949

Attn: Peter Martz

Re: Soil, Geology and Geologic Hazard Addendum Waterbury, Filings 1 and 2 PUD Amendment and Preliminary Plan El Paso County, Colorado

Dear Mr. Martz:

A Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard and Preliminary Subsurface Soil Investigation was previously prepared by Entech Engineering, Inc., revised October 18, 2021 for the above referenced site (Reference 1). This addendum addresses updates made to the development plan. The revised Development Plan is presented in Figure 1. One-hundred and ninety-eight lots are proposed for the filings.

The site was revisited by personnel of Entech Engineering, Inc., December 6, 2021. The site is relatively unchanged from the conditions observed at the time of the original Soil, Geology and Geologic Hazard Study. Recent site photographs, taken December 6, 2021 are included in Appendix A. The original Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard Study, and Preliminary Subsurface Soil Investigation is included in Appendix B (Reference 1). The summary of depth to bedrock and groundwater of test borings and profile holes located within/adjacent to Waterbury Filings 1 and 2 is presented in Table 1. As noted in Table 1 the test borings with shallow water are in fill areas or off the proposed building areas.

Current site conditions in the area of the proposed structure are consistent with what is described in the original Geologic Hazard Study by Entech (Reference 1, Appendix B). The grading and topography in the area of the proposed site appears to be relatively unchanged. The *Geologic Map of the Falcon Quadrangle* distributed by the Colorado Geological Survey in 2012, is presented in (Reference 2, Figure 2). Site-specific geologic mapping was performed as a part of the Geologic Hazard Study by Entech (Reference 1) and revised based off recent mapping by the Colorado Geological Survey (Reference 2, Figure 2). The site is mapped as Qal: Recent Alluvium of Quaternary Age along the drainages and Qa<sub>3</sub>: Alluvium Three of Quaternary Age which consists of stream terrace deposits. The bedrock underlying the site is the Dawson Formation of Tertiary to Cretaceous Age (References 1 through 3). The updated Geology/Engineering Geology Map is presented in Figure 3.

The geologic hazards identified on this site include physiographic floodplains, seasonal and potentially seasonal shallow groundwater areas. Lots that are affected by the potential shallow groundwater conditions in Filings 1 and 2 are: Lots 12, 13, 32 – 35, 43 – 49, 75, 88 – 90, 93 – 95, 107 – 112, and 115 – 118. These hazards and recommended mitigation have been addressed in the Geologic Hazard Investigation, Appendix B and are briefly discussed below. These areas can be either avoided or mitigated through grading and proper design and construction practices.

4-Way Joint Venture, LLC Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard Addendum Waterbury, Filings 1 and 2 PUD Amendment and Preliminary Plan El Paso County, Colorado

According to the proposed grading plan, Figure 1, fill depths of 2 to 10 feet are proposed on the site with approximately 4 feet of fill proposed across the majority of the site. Drainages along the eastern and western portions of the site are to be contained in drainage tracts. A minor drainage that enters the site below an earthen dam immediately north of the site will be regraded during future filings. The drainage area from where it enters the subject site (Filing 2) is to be regraded and water collected and directed via storm sewer. A detention basin is proposed in the southwest corner of the site. Additionally, areas of seasonal shallow groundwater have been mapped in the southern portion of the site that are to be regraded with 4 to 10 feet of fill to be placed. The drainage areas along the eastern and western side of the site have been mapped as physiographic floodplains. These are to be avoided by development or modified with minor grading. Proposed site grading will further raise foundation above the groundwater level. Any fill placed on the site should be compacted at a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density ASTM D-1557. Where structures encroach on these areas, drains may be necessary to help prevent the intrusion of water into areas below grade. Recommendations and drain details have been provided in the Soil, Geology, and Geologic Hazard Investigation (Reference 1, Appendix B) and remain valid.

The proposed building areas of the site are not mapped in any floodplain zones according to the FEMA Map No. 08041CO552G, December 7, 2018 (Reference 4, Figure 4). A drainage located along the western side of the site has been mapped in a floodplain zone that will be avoided by building sites. Lots adjacent to the floodplains may require drains to mitigate the potential for shallow groundwater during periods of high runoff. Finished floor must be a minimum of one foot above floodplain levels. Exact floodplain locations and drainage studies are beyond the scope of this report. Specific recommendations have been made in the Soil, Geology and Geologic Hazard Investigation (Reference 1, Appendix B).

A detention pond is proposed in the southwestern portion of the site. The soils encountered in the area of the proposed detention pond consisted of silty to slightly silty sand overlying clayey sandstone bedrock at 14 feet (Test Boring No. 300, Reference 1, Appendix B). Groundwater was encountered at 6.5 feet in the test boring. In general, the site soils encountered in the test borings are suitable for the proposed detention pond. Groundwater may be encountered in the deeper cuts. Dewatering of the area may be required during site grading and embankment construction. Saturated unstable soil conditions may be encountered during construction of the basin and embankment. Excavation of saturated soils will be difficult with rubber-tired equipment. Stabilization using shot rock or geogrids may be necessary in areas where groundwater is approached or encountered.

Any areas to receive new fill should have all topsoil, organic material or debris removed. Fill must be properly benched and compacted to minimize potentially unstable conditions in slope areas. Fill slopes should be 3:1 or flatter. The subgrade should be scarified and moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557, prior to placing new fill. Areas receiving fill may require stabilization with shotrock or fabric if water is encountered or approached. Any soft/loose areas should be removed and recompacted.

4-Way Joint Venture, LLC Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard Addendum Waterbury, Filings 1 and 2 PUD Amendment and Preliminary Plan El Paso County, Colorado

New fill should be placed in lifts not to exceed 6 inches after compaction while maintaining at least 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557. These materials should be placed at a moisture content conducive to compaction, usually  $\pm 2\%$  of Proctor optimum moisture content. The placement and compaction of fill should be observed and tested by Entech during construction/grading. Entech should approve any import materials prior to hauling them to the site.

Minor unstable slope areas have been mapped along a drainage immediately southeast of the site. A building setback of 20 feet from the unstable slopes was recommended. According to the proposed development plan, it appears there is sufficient distance to allow for the building setback. Additional foundation reinforcement may be necessary should the foundations encroach on this area. Specific recommendations have been made in the Soil, Geology and Geologic Hazard Investigation (Reference 1, Appendix B) and remain valid.

It is our opinion the conclusions and recommendations in the Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard and Preliminary Subsurface Soil Investigation remain valid and the report may be used for the proposed development. Additional soils investigation is recommended after site grading to provide foundation recommendations.

We trust that this has provided you with the information you required. If you have any questions or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Respectfully Submitted,

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.

Logan L. Langford, P.G. Geologist

LLL

Encl. Entech Job No. 212803 AA Projects/2021/212803 geohaz addendum

Reviewed by: Joseph C. Goode, Jr., P.E. President

4-Way Joint Venture, LLC Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard Addendum Waterbury, Filings 1 and 2 PUD Amendment and Preliminary Plan El Paso County, Colorado

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Entech Engineering, Inc. revised October 18, 2021. Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard and Preliminary Subsurface Soil Investigation, Waterbury, Phase 1, El Paso County, Colorado. Entech Job No. 130377 (212803).
- 2. Morgan, ML and White, JL. 2012. *Geologic Map of the Falcon Quadrangle, El Paso County, Colorado.* Colorado Geological Survey. Open-File Report 12-05.
- Trimble, Donald E. and Machette. Michael N., 1979. Geologic Map of the Colorado Springs-Castle Rock Area, Front Range Urban Corridor, Colorado. U.S. Geological Survey. Map I-847-F.
- 4. Federal Emergency Management Agency, December 7, 2018. *Flood Insurance Rate Maps for the City of Colorado Springs, Colorado*. Map Number 08041CO552G.

TABLE

# Table 1: Summary of Depth to Bedrock and Groundwater of TestBorings and Profile Holes Located Within/Adjacent to Filings 1 & 2

Test	Depth	Depth to	Date of
Boring	to	Groundwater	Groundwater
No.	Bedrock (ft.)	(ft.)	Measurement
300	14	6.5	7/6/2012
301 <sup>1</sup>	9	4	7/6/2012
302	13	8	7/6/2012
303	14	6	7/6/2012
304	12	8.5	7/6/2012
305	12	5.5	7/6/2012
306	3	12	7/6/2012
309	9	11.5	7/6/2012
310 <sup>1</sup>	7	4.5	7/6/2012
3 <sup>2</sup>	12	Surface	9/13/2002
4	11	8	9/13/2002
5	12	8	9/13/2002
6 <sup>3</sup>	11	3	9/13/2002
9	14	11	9/13/2002
PH7 <sup>2</sup>	7.5	4	11/8/2003
PH8	7	9.5	11/12/2003
PH12	>10	8	11/12/2003
PH23	8	9	11/25/2003

1- Fill Area

2- Off of the subject site

3- Drainage









DRAWN BY: R. MCBRIDE
DESIGNED BY: KAH
CHECKED BY:
DATE: 03/20/13
SCALE: 1"- 150'
JOB NO.: 130377
FIGURE NO.: 3

**FIGURES** 









Recent Alluvium of Quaternary Age: Alluvium Two of Quaternaray Age: Alluvium Three of Quaternaray Age:

potentially seasoned shallow groundwater area seasonal shallow groundwater area



Ν



APPENDIX A: Site Photographs



Job No. 212803

APPENDIX B: Entech Engineering, Inc., Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard, and Preliminary Subsurface Soil Investigation Entech Job No. 130377 (212803)





505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80907 PHONE (719) 531-5599 FAX (719) 531-5238

# SOIL, GEOLOGY, GEOLOGIC HAZARD AND PRELIMINARY SUBSURFACE SOIL INEVSTIGATION WATERBURY, PHASE I EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

Prepared for

4 Way Joint Venture, LLC c/o Peter Martz P.O. Box 50223 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80949

Attn: Peter Martz

SIONAL

Respectfully Submitted,

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.

Logan L. Langford Geologist

KAH/am

Encl.

Entech Job No. 130377 (212803) 2MSW/rep/GeoRep/2021/130377 (212803)/soil/geo/geo haz March 22, 2013 Revised October 18, 2021

Reviewed by:

Joseph C. Goode, Jr., P.E. President

#### **Table of Contents**

1.0 S	UMMARY	
2.0 G	ENERAL SITE CONDITIONS AND PROJECT D	ESCRIPTION 2
3.0 S	COPE OF THE REPORT	2
4.0 F	IELD INVESTIGATION	
5.0 3	SOIL, GEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING GEOLOG	6Y 4
5.1	General Geology	
5.2	Soil Conservation Service	
5.3	Site Stratigraphy	
5.4	Soil Conditions	
5.5	Groundwater	
6.0 E	NGINEERING GEOLOGY	
7.0 R	ADIOACTIVITY	12
8.0 E	ROSION CONTROL	13
9.0 EC	CONOMIC MINERAL RESOURCES	14
10.0 I	RELEVANCE OF GEOLOGIC AND SITE CONDI	TIONS TO LAND USE PLANNING 15
11.0	ROADWAY AND EMBANKMENT CONSTRUCTI	ON RECOMMENDATIONS19
12.0	CLOSURE	20
BIBLIC	OGRAPHY	21
TABLE	S	
Table 1 Table 2 Table 3 Table 4	<ul> <li>Summary of Laboratory Test Results from Entech Jo</li> <li>Summary of Laboratory Test Results from Entech Jo</li> <li>Summary of Depth to Bedrock and Groundwater (Re</li> <li>Summary of Geologic Units/Land Use Consideration</li> </ul>	b No. 61992 (Reference 1) b No. 120675 (Reference 6) ference 6) s
FIGURI Figure Figure Figure Figure	ES 1: Vicinity Map 2: USGS Map 3: Development Plan/ Percolation Test Location Plan 4: Soil Survey Map 5 and 6: Soil Survey Descriptions	Figure 9: Geology Map/Engineering Geology Figure 10: Falcon Engineering Geology Map Figure 11: Floodplain Map Figure 12: Perimeter Drain Detail Figure 13: Typical Underslab Drainage Layer

- Figure 5 and 6: Soil Survey Descriptions
- Figure 7: Colorado Geology Map
- Figure 8: Falcon Geology Map
- APPENDIX A: Site Photographs
- APPENDIX B: Test Boring Logs from the Profile Holes
- APPENDIX C: Profile Hole Logs
- APPENDIX D: Laboratory Test Results
- APPENDIX E: Test Boring Logs and Laboratory Test Results from Entech Job No. 120675

Figure 14: Interceptor Drain Detail

Figure 15: Potential Shallow Bedrock Map

i

# 1.0 SUMMARY

# **Project Location:**

The project lies in portions of Sections 28, 29, 32 and 33, Township 12 South, Range 64 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> Principal Meridian. The site is located north of Highway 24, approximately 3 miles northeast of Falcon, Colorado, in El Paso County.

# Project Description:

Total acreage involved in the project is approximately 62 acres. The proposed site development is to consist of single-family residential development with areas of open space and park areas. The development will utilize central water and sewer.

# Scope of Report:

The report presents the results of our geologic investigation and treatment of engineering geologic hazards. This report is the result of our geologic reconnaissance, a review of available maps, aerial photographs and our conclusions with respect to the impacts of the geologic conditions on development. Preliminary foundation recommendations are also included.

# Land Use and Engineering Geology:

This site was found to be suitable for the proposed development. Geologic conditions will impose some constraints on this phase of the development. These include areas of seasonal shallow groundwater, areas where there is a potential for ponded water, floodplains, unstable slopes, artificial fill, the potential for shallow bedrock, loose soils, and expansive soils. Based on the proposed development plan, it appears that these areas will have some impact on the development. Site conditions will be discussed in greater detail in this report.

In general, it is our opinion that the development can be achieved if the observed geologic conditions on site are either avoided or properly mitigated. All recommendations are subject to the limitations discussed in the report.

1

# 2.0 GENERAL SITE CONDITIONS AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The site lies in portions of Sections 28, 29, 32 and 33, Township 12 South, Range 64 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> Principal Meridian, in El Paso County, Colorado. The site is located north of Highway 24, approximately 3 miles northeast of Falcon, Colorado. The location of the site is shown on the Vicinity Map, Figure 1.

The topography of the site is gently to moderately generally sloping to the southeast. Several drainages exist on the site that flow in southeasterly directions. The area of the site is indicated on the USGS Map, Figure 2. The site contains primarily low field grasses and weeds. Past uses have included grazing and pasture land. Site photographs are included in Appendix A. The locations and directions of the photographs are indicated on Figure 3.

Total acreage involved in the proposed development is approximately 62 acres. The proposed development is to consist of 201 single-family residential lots ranging from 5,020 to 8,000 square feet and areas of open space and parks. The Development Plan is shown on Figures 3, 9 and 15.

# 3.0 SCOPE OF THE REPORT

The scope of this report will include the following:

- A general geologic analysis of the site utilizing published geologic data, and subsurface soils information.
- Detailed site-specific mapping will be conducted to obtain general information in respect to major geographic and geologic features, geologic descriptions, geologic hazards, and their effects on development of the property.
- Recommended mitigation of geologic hazards/constraints where they affect development.
- Preliminary recommendations pertaining to foundations, floor slabs and concrete, and land use.

# 4.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION

The site was previously investigated in a *Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard and Wastewater Study and Preliminary Subsurface Soil Investigation* by Entech Engineering, Inc. January 22, 2004 (Entech Job No. 61992, Reference 1). The previous investigation addressed the entire 558 acre 4-Way Ranch parcel and included a wastewater study for individual water treatment systems. The southwestern portion of 4-Way Ranch has been platted and several single-family residential structures have been constructed. Three addendums were written by Entech Engineering, Inc. May 18, 2004 (Reference 2), June 25, 2004 (Reference 3) and January 26, 2009 (Reference 4). The third addendum (Reference 4) addressed the southern portion of the 558-acre site (south of Stapleton Road) where commercial and multi-family residential development was proposed. At the time of this investigation Stapleton Road had been constructed, paved and curb and gutter installed.

A Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard and Preliminary Subsurface Soil Investigation Report addressing the Waterbury PUD development proposed for the area north of Stapleton Road, north of the proposed commercial and multi-family area and east of the platted single-family residential areas was prepared by Entech Engineering, Inc. May 16, 2012, Entech Job No. 121481, Reference 5. The investigation for the entire 558-acre parcel was used in evaluation of the Waterbury PUD site. Additionally, *A Subsurface Soil Investigation/Bedrock/Groundwater Investigation* was conducted on the site July 18, 2012. (Entech Job No. 120675, Reference 6). The investigation consisted of drilling an additional 19 test borings on the Waterbury PUD site to evaluate soil, bedrock and groundwater conditions where utilities are proposed. This report is for Phase I of the Waterbury PUD. Information from these reports was used in evaluating the site. Site photographs are included in Appendix A.

Twenty-five (25) test borings were drilled as a part of a preliminary subsurface soil investigation for the entire site (Reference 1). Five (5) of these test borings were drilled on or immediately adjacent to this Phase of the development. The borings were drilled with a power-driven continuous flight auger drill rig to depths ranging from 10 to 20 feet. Samples were obtained during drilling using the Standard Penetration Test, ASTM D-1586, utilizing a 2-inch O.D. Split Barrel Sampler. Results of the penetration tests are shown on the drilling logs to the right of the sampling point. The locations of the test borings are included on the Test Boring Location Plan, Figure 3. The drilling logs are included in Appendix B. Profile holes from previous percolation

tests were also used in evaluating the site. The locations of these profile holes are shown on Figure 3. The profile hole logs are included in Appendix C.

Laboratory testing was performed to classify and determine the soils engineering characteristic. Laboratory tests included moisture content, ASTM D-2216, grain size analysis, ASTM D-422 and Atterberg Limits, ASTM D-4318. Swell tests included both FHA and Denver Swell/Consolidation Testing. Results of the laboratory testing are included in Appendix D. A Summary of Laboratory Test Results is presented in Table 1.

Nineteen test borings were drilled on the Waterbury PUD site as a part of a *Subsurface Soil Investigation/Bedrock/Groundwater Investigation* (Reference 6). Seven (7) of these test borings were drilled on Phase I of the development. The locations of these test borings are indicated on the Test Boring Location Map, Figure 3. The Test Boring Logs and Laboratory Test Results are included in Appendix E. A Summary of Laboratory Test Results is presented in Table 2.

The geologic analysis was performed using information from the preliminary subsurface soil investigations (References 1 and 6), site-specific mapping and published sources including the *Geologic Map of the Pueblo 1° x 2° Quadrangle, South-Central Colorado* distributed by the U.S. Geological Survey (Reference 7) and a study performed by Charles S. Robinson and Associates, Inc. for El Paso County Planning Department (References 8,9). The Soil Conservation Service (SCS) Survey was also reviewed to evaluate the site.

# 5.0 SOIL, GEOLOGY AND ENGINEERING GEOLOGY

## 5.1 General Geology

Physiographically, the site lies in the western portion of the Great Plains Physiographic Province. Approximately 17 miles to the west is a major structural feature known as the Rampart Range Fault. This fault marks the boundary between the Great Plains Physiographic Province and the Southern Rocky Mountain Province. The site exists within the southern edge of a large structural feature known as the Denver Basin. Bedrock in the area tends to be very gently dipping in a northerly direction (Reference 10). The rocks in the area of the site are sedimentary in nature, and typically Tertiary to Cretaceous in age. The bedrock underlying the

site itself is the Dawson Formation. Overlying the Dawson are unconsolidated deposits of alluvial and residual soils. The site's stratigraphy will be discussed in more detail in Section 5.3.

### 5.2 Soil Conservation Service

The Soil Conservation Service (Reference 11) has mapped two soil types on the site (Figure 4). In general, the soils consist of gravelly sandy loam over a yellowish and pale brown gravelly, loamy sand subsoil. Soils are described as follows:

<u>Type</u>	Description
19	Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0-3% slopes
83	Stapleton sandy loam, 3-8% slopes

Complete descriptions of the soils are presented in Figures 5 and 6. The soils have been described to have very rapid to rapid permeabilities. Limitations for development on Soil Type 83 include frost action potential and soil blowing as described by the Soil Conservation Service. Special design for roadways may be necessary due to frost heave. Limitations on Soil Type 19 include the hazard of flooding in some areas. Cut banks in excavations are susceptible to caving as described in Table 8 from the Soil Survey (Reference 11). The soil blowing hazard is severe if vegetation is removed. Possible hazards with soil erosion are present on the site. The erosion potential can be controlled with vegetation. The soils have been described to have moderate erosion hazards.

## 5.3 Site Stratigraphy

The Colorado Geologic Map showing the location of the site is presented in Figure 7 (Reference 7). The Geology Map prepared for the Falcon Quadrangle by Charles S. Robinson and Associates, Inc. for the El Paso County Planning Department (Reference 8) showing the location of the site is presented in Figure 8. The Geology Map prepared for the site is presented in Figure 9. Four mappable units were identified on this site which are discussed as follows:

• **Qaf** Artificial Fill of Quaternary Age: These are man-made deposits associated with earthen dams on site.

- Qal Recent Alluvium of Quaternary Age: These are recent water deposited soils associated with the bed of streams and along valley floors. The soils consist of silt, clay, and sands.
- **Qp Piney Creek Alluvium of Quaternary Age:** These are water deposited terraces along the present streams. The material generally consists of silty sand and may be highly stratified, containing lenses of silt, clay or gravel.

The bedrock underlying the site is Dawson Formation of Tertiary to Cretaceous Age. This formation consists of coarse grained arkosic sandstone with interbedded claystone and siltstone. Typically overlying the Dawson in many areas is a layer of residual soil derived from the in-situ weathering of the bedrock materials on-site.

The soils listed above were mapped from the Robinson Study for El Paso County Planning Department (Figure 8, Reference 8), the *Geologic Map of the Pueblo 1° x 2° Quadrangle* (Figure 7, Reference 7), and site-specific mapping of the site. The test borings and profile holes of the percolation tests were also used in evaluating the site and are included in Appendices A, C and E. A summary of the geologic units mapped on this site by Charles Robinson and Associates, Inc. is included on Table 4 (Reference 12).

## 5.4 Soil Conditions

The soils encountered in the test borings and profile holes for the entire site can be grouped into six general soil types. The soils were classified using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

<u>Soil Type 1</u> consists of slightly silty and silty sands (SW-SM, SP-SM). Areas of clayey sands (SC) were also encountered in the test borings. The sands were encountered in the upper soil profile of most of the test borings and profile holes. These soils were encountered at loose to dense states and dry to wet conditions. Soil Type 1 has 6 to 25 percent passing the No. 200 sieve. The soils tested in the test borings and profile holes are non-expansive and generally non-plastic. An FHA Swell pressure of 290 psf was obtained on a sample of silty sand (Reference 6, Appendix E) indicating the sand has low swell potential.

<u>Soil Type 2</u> consists of silty to sandy clay (CL). The clays were encountered in the upper soil profile in two of the test borings. The clays were encountered at very stiff consistencies and at moist conditions. The samples tested have 76 and 95 percent passing the No. 200 sieve. An FHA Swell pressure of 1470 psf was measured on the clays. A swell of 1.5% was measured on the clays in the Swell/Consolidation Test. These swells are in the moderate expansion range.

<u>Soil Type 3</u> consists of clayey silts (ML). The silts were encountered in two of the test borings at stiff consistencies and moist conditions. The silts generally have low plasticity and low swelling properties.

<u>Soil Type 4</u> consists of clayey, very silty to slightly silty and slightly clayey sandstone bedrock (SC, SM, SM-SW, SW-SC). The sandstone was encountered in most of the test borings and many of the profile holes at depths ranging from the surface to 18 feet below the surface. The sandstones were encountered at very dense states and at moist to wet conditions. The samples tested have 7 and 48 percent passing the No. 200 sieve. FHA Swell pressures of 350 psf and 860 psf were measured on the slightly clayey and clayey sandstones. These swells are in the low expansion range. The silty sandstones are non-plastic and non-expansive. A consolidation of 0.3 % was measured in the Swell/Consolidation Test on the sandstone, indicating low potential for consolidation.

<u>Soil Type 5</u> consists of silty and sandy claystone (CL). The claystones were encountered in 21 of the test borings at depths ranging from 3 to 14 feet below the surface. The claystones were encountered at hard consistencies and at moist conditions. The samples tested have 56 to 93 percent passing the No. 200 sieve. FHA Swell pressures of 1015 psf to 1470 psf were measured on the claystones. These swells are in the moderate expansion range. Swells of 0.6% and 1.7% were measured in the Swell/Consolidation Test on the claystone (Reference 6, Appendix E). These swells are in the low to moderate expansion range.

<u>Soil Type 6</u> consists of clayey and sandy siltstone (ML). The siltstones were encountered in 5 of the test borings at depths ranging from 0 to 12 feet below the surface. The siltstones were encountered at hard consistencies and at moist conditions. The samples tested have 62 and 82 percent passing the No. 200 sieve and generally are non-plastic. FHA Swell pressures of 1150 psf and 1818 psf and a Denver swell of 3.8% were measured on the siltstones. These swell

pressures are in the moderate to high expansion range.

The laboratory results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Laboratory results are included in Appendices D and E. A summary of depth to bedrock for the test borings from Entech Job No. 120675 (Reference 6) is shown in Table 3. The depth to bedrock from Entech Job No. 61992 (Reference 1) are summarized in Tables included in Appendices B and C.

## 5.5 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered in all of the test borings drilled on or immediately adjacent Phase I of the development, as a part of the Subsurface Soil Investigation/Bedrock/Groundwater Investigation (Figure 3, Reference 6) at depths ranging from 4 to 11.5 feet. A summary of groundwater depths is presented in Table 3 and included in Appendix E.

Groundwater was encountered in all of the test borings drilled on or immediately adjacent Phase I, ranging from the surface to 8 feet below the surface (Figure 3, Reference 1). A summary of groundwater depths for all of the test borings drilled on the entire development is included in Appendix B. Groundwater was also encountered in profile holes drilled on or immediately adjacent to Phase I of the development, at depths ranging from 4 to 9.5 feet below the surface (Figure 3, Reference 1).

Fluctuation in groundwater conditions may occur due to variations in rainfall and other factors not readily apparent at this time. Isolated sand layers within the variable soil profile, sometimes only a few feet in thickness and width, can carry water in the subsurface. Water may also flow on top of the bedrock. Contractors should be cognizant of the potential for the occurrence of such subsurface water features during construction on-site.

# 6.0 ENGINEERING GEOLOGY

The Engineering Geology Map of the Falcon Quadrangle as mapped by Charles Robinson and Associates, Inc. for El Paso County Planning Department is presented in Figure 10 (Reference 9). The Robinson Study map and site-specific mapping were utilized to produce an Engineering Geology Map, Figure 9. This map shows the location of various geologic conditions of which the developers and planners should be cognizant during the planning, design and construction stages of the project. The hazards/constraints identified on this site include floodplains, seasonally shallow groundwater areas, potentially seasonal shallow groundwater areas, areas of seepage or springs, area of ponded water, unstable slopes, artificial fill, loose soils, and expansive soils. These hazards and the recommended mitigation techniques are as follows:

#### Expansive Soils - constraint

The clays, silts and some of the bedrock encountered in the test borings are expansive. While the majority of the upper sandy soils on the site are non-expansive, expansive clays will likely be encountered in building excavations. These clays, if encountered beneath foundations, can cause differential movement in the structure foundation. Due to the sporadic nature of these occurrences, none have been indicated on the maps. These occurrences should be identified and mitigated on an individual basis.

<u>Mitigation:</u> Should expansive soils be encountered beneath the foundation, mitigation will be necessary. Mitigation of expansive soils will require special foundation design. Overexcavation and replacement with non-expansive soils at 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557 is a suitable mitigation which is common in the area. The use of drilled pier foundation systems is another option on highly expansive soils. Floor slabs on expansive soils should be expected to experience movement. Overexcavation and replacement has been successful in minimizing slab movements. The use of structural floors should be considered for basement construction on highly expansive clays. Final recommendations should be determined after additional investigation of each building site.

#### Slope Stability and Landslide Hazard

The majority of the slopes observed on the site are gently to moderately sloping. Small areas of unstable slopes were identified along a few of the drainages on site. These areas

are subject to failure due to erosion by the creeks. These areas lie east of Phase I. According to the grading plan (Figure 9), much of this area is to be filled and the drainage rerouted through a drainage easement. No known past landslides have been mapped on the site (References 7, 8, 9).

<u>Mitigation:</u> Due to the location of these slopes associated with the floodplains and a drainage easement, these areas are avoided by development. A minimum setback of 20 feet should be maintained between buildings and the crest of any remaining unstable slopes. Other options to stabilize the slopes include regrading to no steeper than 3:1 or the use of engineer designed retaining walls. According to the development plan, there appears to be sufficient room on the affected lots to allow building areas outside the recommended setback limits. Site grading will mitigate the slopes in many of these areas as well. Some erosion protection may be necessary in order to prevent further erosion by the creeks during high water.

#### Groundwater and Floodplain Areas - constraints

Groundwater was encountered at depths ranging from the surface to 11.5 feet in the test borings and profile holes drilled on Phase I of the development. Areas were observed on the site that will experience shallow groundwater on a seasonal basis. Additionally, areas where ponded water could accumulate, and floodplain areas exist on this site. These areas are discussed as follows:

sw - Seasonal shallow groundwater areas: In these areas, we anticipate the potential for periodically high subsurface moisture conditions, frost heave potential, and highly organic soils. The majority of these areas are to be filled and regraded or designated as open space according to the grading plan, Figure 9. Three to nine feet of fill is proposed in these areas. Construction in these areas, should follow these precautions:

<u>Mitigation</u>: In these locations, foundations are subject to severe frost heave and should penetrate to a sufficient depth so as to discourage the formation of ice lenses beneath foundations. At this location and elevation, a foundation depth for frost protection of 3 feet is recommended. In areas where high subsurface moisture conditions are anticipated periodically, a subsurface perimeter drain will be necessary to help prevent the seepage of water into areas below grade. A typical perimeter drain detail is presented in Figure 12. Any grading in these areas should be done in a manner that directs surface flow around construction to avoid areas of ponded water. Areas of organic material will require removal prior to any fill placement. Unstable soil conditions should be expected in areas of shallow groundwater. Where foundations approach the groundwater level, stabilization of the excavations utilizing shot rock may be necessary. Underslab drains or capillary breaks, and interceptor drains may be necessary to prevent the intrusion of water into areas below grade. Typical drain details are presented in Figures 13 and 14.

- Areas of ponded water: These are areas where water could potentially pond behind existing earthen dams. According to the grading plan, Figure 9, this area is to be regraded and the dam removed. All soft and organic soils should be removed prior to fill placement. All uncontrolled fill associated with the dams should be recompacted at a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Dry Density ASTM D-1557.
- fp -Floodplain: Areas of the site have been mapped as floodplains according to the FEMA Map No. 08041CO575F (Figure 11, Reference 13). The physiographic floodplains on site have been mapped on the Engineering Geology Map (Figure 9). Areas of flowing water, not identified as floodplains on the FEMA map (Figure 11) have been mapped as a physiographic floodplain hazard on Figure 9. It is our understanding a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) has been submitted for the site and that some drainage improvements and channelization are proposed. A Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) is to be submitted for the proposed drainage improvements. The exact floodplain locations should be determined in a drainage study. It should be possible to avoid the floodplain areas with structures on most of the site. The majority of the floodplain areas have been designated as open space. Those areas that currently lie within the FEMA floodplain area will require approval of the Drainage Report. Finished floor levels should be a minimum of one foot above the floodplain level. Structures should not block drainages. Specific floodplain locations and drainage studies are beyond the scope of this report.

#### Artificial Fill - constraint

Areas of artificial fill may be encountered on site associated with the small earthen dams observed on site. These areas are limited and it is anticipated they will be either avoided by development or removed during site grading. Any uncontrolled fill encountered beneath foundation will require removal and recompaction at 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557.

#### Collapsible Soils - constraint

Areas of loose soils and possible collapsible soils were encountered in two of the test borings drilled on the entire development. These soils are subject to settlement if encountered beneath foundations.

<u>Mitigation</u>: Should loose or collapsible soils be encountered beneath foundations, removal and recompaction with thorough moisture conditioning at 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557 will be necessary. Specific recommendations should be made after additional investigation of each building site.

# 7.0 RADIOACTIVITY

Radon levels for the area have been reported by the Colorado Geologic Survey in the Open-File, Report No. 91-4 (Reference 14). Radon levels ranging from 0 to 20 pci/l have been measured in the area. Only one reading had been taken in the area and it is between 4 and 10 pci/l. The minimal information from this report is not sufficient to determine if radon levels are higher for this site. Occurrences of radioactive minerals have been identified 11 miles east and 10 miles west of the site (Reference 15). This occurrence to the west is associated with a limonite deposit in the Dawson Formation. The occurrence to the east is in a carbonaceous clay in the Ogallala Formation. No known occurrences exist on the site.

While it is anticipated that radon levels for the site would not be considered excessive, the potential exists for radon gas to build up in areas of the site. Build-ups of radon gas can be mitigated by providing increased ventilation of basements and crawlspaces and sealing of joints. Specific requirements for mitigation, if any, should be based on site specific testing after the site is constructed.

# 8.0 EROSION CONTROL

The soil types observed on the site are mildly to moderately susceptible to wind erosion, and moderately to highly susceptible to water erosion. A minor wind erosion and dust problem may be created for a short time during and immediately after construction. Should the problem be considered severe enough during this time, watering of the cut areas or the use of chemical palliative may be required to control dust. However, once construction has been completed, and vegetation reestablished, the potential for wind erosion should be considerably reduced. With regard to water erosion, loosely compacted soils will be the most susceptible to water erosion, residually weathered soils and weathered bedrock materials become increasingly less susceptible to water erosion. For the typical soils observed on site, allowable velocities or unvegetated and unlined earth channels would be on the order of 3 to 4 feet/second, depending upon the sediment load carried by the water. Permissible velocities may be increased through the use of vegetation to something on the order of 4 to 7 feet/second, depending upon the type of vegetation established. Should the anticipated velocities exceed these values, some form of channel lining material may be required to reduce erosion potential. These might consist of some of the synthetic channel lining materials on the market or conventional riprap.

In cases where ditch-lining materials are still insufficient to control erosion, small check dams or sediment traps may be required. The check dams will serve to reduce flow velocities, as well as provide small traps for containing sediment. The determination of the amount, location and placement of ditch linings, check dams and of the special erosion control features should be performed by or in conjunction with the drainage engineer who is more familiar with the flow quantities and velocities.

Cut and fill slope areas will be subjected primarily to sheetwash and rill erosion. Unchecked rill erosion can eventually lead to concentrated flows of water and gully erosion. The best means to combat this type of erosion is, where possible, the adequate re-vegetation of cut and fill slopes. Cut and fill slopes having gradients more than three (3) horizontal to one (1) vertical become increasingly more difficult to re-vegetate successfully. Therefore, recommendations pertaining to the vegetation of the cut and fill slopes may require input from a qualified landscape architect and/or The Natural Resource Conservation Service (previously the Soil Conservation Service).

13

## 9.0 ECONOMIC MINERAL RESOURCES

Some of the sandy materials on-site could be considered a low-grade sand resource. According to the *El Paso County Aggregate Resource Evaluation Map* (Reference 16), the area is mapped as upland deposits. According to the Atlas of Sand, Gravel and Quarry Aggregate Resources, Colorado Front Range Counties distributed by the Colorado Geological Survey (Reference 17), areas of the site are mapped as U4 - Upland deposits: probably aggregate resource and A3 – Alluvial fan: sand resource. According to the *Evaluation of Mineral and Mineral Fuel Potential* (Reference 18), the area of the site has been mapped as "Good" for industrial minerals. Several mines exist in the area of the site for sand and gravel. A gravel quarry is located immediately south of the site. Considering the silty to clayey nature of much of these materials and abundance of similar materials through the region, they would be considered to have little significance as an economic resource.

According to the Evaluation of Mineral and Mineral Fuel Potential of El Paso County State Mineral Lands (Reference 18), the site is mapped within the Denver Basin Coal Region. However, the area of the site has been mapped as "Poor" for coal resources. No active or inactive mines have been mapped in the area of the site. The *El Paso County Aggregate Resource Map* (Reference 16) has mapped coal resources in the Falcon area, 1 mile south of the site; however, none are mapped on the site itself. No metallic mineral resources have been mapped on the site (Reference 18).

The site has been mapped as "Fair" for oil and gas resources (Reference 18). No oil or gas fields have been discovered in the area of the site. An exploratory well was drilled northeast of the site to 8,263 feet deep in 1955. The sedimentary rocks in the area lacked the essential elements for oil or gas; therefore, the well was plugged and abandoned.

# 10.0 RELEVANCE OF GEOLOGIC AND SITE CONDITIONS TO LAND USE PLANNING

#### Site Conditions and Development Considerations

It is our opinion that the existing anticipated geologic and engineering geologic conditions will impose some constraints on the proposed development and construction. The most significant problem affecting development will be that of shallow groundwater, potentially shallow bedrock, and floodplains. Other anticipated constraints such as expansive soils can be mitigated through proper engineering design and construction. Geologic conditions and land use considerations are presented in Table 4 (Reference 12).

The upper soils are typically at loose to very dense states. Expansive layers may be encountered. Expansive soils, if encountered, will require special foundation design and/or overexcavation and replacement with non-expansive material compacted at 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density ASTM D-1557. These soils will not prohibit development. Loose or collapsible soils, if encountered, may also require recompaction at 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557.

Small earthen dams observed on site can be avoided by development or regraded. Small erosion berms can be penetrated by foundations or regraded. Should any uncontrolled fill be encountered beneath foundations, it will require recompaction at 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557.

Areas of shallow groundwater and floodplains exist on this site. The floodplains are to be either avoided by development or channelized and preserved as open space in drainage easements. Some areas will require approval of the Drainage Report that excludes them from the FEMA floodplain prior to construction. Finished floor levels must be a minimum of one foot above the floodplain level. Exact floodplain locations are beyond the scope of this report. The majority of the floodplain areas are in proposed open space areas. According to the grading plan (Figure 9), the minor drainages are to be filled and will mitigate the hazard. Areas of perched groundwater were encountered on this site. Shallow groundwater was encountered in the area of Test Boring Nos. 301, 303, and 305 and Profile Hole No. 7. According to the grading plan, 3 to 9 feet of fill is proposed in these areas. It is anticipated the majority of the areas where shallow groundwater exists on the site will be mitigated with the proposed grading. Subsurface

drains may be necessary in some areas to prevent the intrusion of water below grade. Dewatering systems may be necessary in some areas where seepage and perched water occurs. Unstable conditions should be expected where excavations approach the groundwater level. Stabilization using geofabric or shot rock may be necessary.

Shallow bedrock will be encountered on portions of this site where the overlying alluvial materials are thinner. Bedrock depths encountered in the test borings and profile holes are indicated on the Bedrock Map, Figure 15. Depths of bedrock are also shown on Figure 3. Higher bearing capacities for foundations can be expected in areas of shallow bedrock. Difficult excavation can be expected in areas of shallow bedrock. The use of track mounted equipment may be necessary in areas of shallow bedrock. Rubber tired equipment can be used where bedrock is not encountered.

#### Preliminary Foundation Recommendations

Shallow foundations are anticipated for the structures on this site including standard spread footing/stemwall systems in conjunction with recompaction of loose soils or overexcavation of expansive soils where encountered. Reinforcing for foundations should be designed to span a minimum of 10 feet under the design load and should extend a minimum of 30 inches below finished grade for frost protection. Interior support columns may be supported by isolated concrete pads. Bearing capacities of 2000 to 2400 psf are anticipated for foundations bearing on native granular soils. A bearing capacity of 2400 to 2800 psf is anticipated for foundation members bearing on compacted structural fill. Bearing capacities of 3000 to 4000 psf are anticipated for foundations on shallow sandstone. Actual bearing capacities should be determined after additional investigation of the site after grading and at the time of the excavation observations.

Foundation walls should be designed to resist lateral pressures generated by the soils on this site. An equivalent hydrostatic fluid pressure (in the active state) of 40 pcf is anticipated for the granular soils and 50 for the clayey soils.

It should be noted that these values apply to level backfill conditions. Pressures will increase substantially depending on the conditions adjacent to the walls. Surcharge loading should be considered in wall designs. Equivalent fluid pressures for sloping conditions should be

determined on an individual basis.

#### Additional Investigation and Foundation Excavation Observation

Additional investigation of building sites is required to provide foundation recommendations. During construction, the open foundation excavation should also be observed prior to construction of the foundation in order to verify that no anomalies are present, that materials at the proper design bearing capacity have been encountered, and that no soft spots or debris are present in the foundation area. Areas requiring overexcavation should also be determined during the excavation observation of each lot. Final drainage recommendations should also be determined at the time of the observation.

#### Floor Slabs

The medium dense to dense granular soils will provide adequate support for floor slabs. Removal and replacement of loose soils is recommended to minimize slab movement. Floor slabs placed on expansive clays should be expected to experience movement. Floor slabs should be separated from structure components to allow for vertical movement. Control joints in concrete slabs are recommended at 10 to 15 feet spacing each direction.

#### Surface and Subsurface Drainage

Positive surface drainage must be maintained around all structures to minimize infiltration of surface water. A minimum gradient of 10% in the first 10 feet adjacent to foundation walls is recommended. The use of drainage swales may be required on the upslope of the structures. All downspouts should be extended to discharge well beyond the backfill zone of the structures.

Subsurface perimeter drains are recommended for useable space below finished ground surfaces or are required around the entire structure if expansive soils are encountered. Subdrains are not required for slab-on-grade construction. Drains should consist of a perforated drainpipe, gravel collector and approved filter fabric. Any drains should be provided with a free gravity outlet. If such an outlet is not available, a sump and pump will be required. A typical perimeter drain detail is presented in Figure 12. In areas that approach groundwater level, underslab drains will be necessary to prevent the intrusion of water into areas below grade. A typical underslab drain detail is presented in Figure 13. In areas of seepage or directional flows,

interceptor drains may be necessary for dewatering. A typical interceptor drain detail is presented in Figure 14.

#### **Concrete**

Type II cement is typically recommended for all concrete in the vicinity on this site. Additional testing is recommended to evaluate the soils corrosive characteristics prior to construction. Concrete should not be placed on frozen or wet ground. If concrete is placed during periods of cold temperatures, the concrete must be kept from freezing. This may require covering the concrete with insulated blankets and heating the concrete to prohibit freezing.

#### **Backfill**

Backfill placed around the foundations and in utility trenches should be compacted to a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557. Material should be placed in lifts having a compacted thickness of six inches or less and a moisture content conducive to adequate compaction, usually  $\pm 2\%$  of optimum Proctor moisture content. Mechanical methods should be used in placement of backfill; however, heavy equipment should be kept away from foundation walls. No water flooding techniques of any type should be used in compaction of backfill on the site.

Trench backfill should be performed in accordance with City of Colorado Springs specifications. All excavating should be performed in accordance with OSHA guidelines.

#### Structural Fill

Any areas to receive fill should have all topsoil, organic material, or debris removed. Any uncontrolled fill should be recompacted prior to placing new fill. The surface should be scarified and moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557, prior to placing new fill. New fill should be placed in thin lifts not to exceed 6 inches after compaction while maintaining at least 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557. Fill material should be free of vegetation or other unsuitable material and shall not contain rocks or pieces greater than six (6) inches. Topsoil and strippings should not be mixed in the structural fill. Fill material should be placed at a moisture content conducive to compaction, usually  $\pm 2\%$  of Proctor optimum moisture content. The placement and compaction of fill should be observed

and tested by the Soils Engineer during construction. Any import materials should be approved by the Soils Engineer prior to hauling to the site.

# 11.0 ROADWAY AND EMBANKMENT CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS

In general, the site soils are suitable for the proposed roadways and embankments. Groundwater should be expected to be encountered in deeper cuts and along drainage areas. If excavations encroach on the groundwater level unstable soil conditions may be encountered. Excavation of saturated soils will be difficult with rubber-tired equipment. Stabilization using shot rock or geogrids may be necessary.

Test Boring No. 4 was drilled in the detention pond embankment, located at the southwest portion of the site. The sandy soils will provide adequate bearing for the embankment fill. Loose soils will require recompaction.

Any areas to receive fill should have all topsoil, organic material or debris removed. Prior to fill placement Entech should observe the subgrade. Fill must be properly benched and compacted to minimize potentially unstable conditions in slope areas. Fill slopes should be 3:1. The subgrade should be scarified and moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557, prior to placing new fill. Areas receiving fill may require stabilization with rock or fabric if shallow groundwater conditions are encountered.

New fill placed in roads/overlot or pond embankments should be placed in thin lifts not to exceed 6 inches after compaction while maintaining at least 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557 for granular soils. Clay soils should be compacted to 95% of maximum Standard Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-698. These materials should be placed at a moisture content conducive to compaction, usually 0 to  $\pm 2\%$  of Proctor optimum moisture content. The placement and compaction of fill should be observed and tested by Entech during construction. Entech should approve any import materials prior to placing or hauling them to the site. Additional investigation will be required for pavement designs once overlot/roadway grading is completed and utilities are installed.
## 12.0 CLOSURE

It is our opinion that the existing geologic engineering and geologic conditions will impose minimal constraints on development and construction of the site. The proposed development is consistent with the geologic and engineering conditions observed on the site.

It should be pointed out that because of the nature of data obtained by random sampling of such variable and non-homogeneous materials as soil and rock, it is important that we be informed of any differences observed between surface and subsurface conditions encountered in construction and those assumed in the body of this report. Reporting such discrepancies to Entech Engineering, Inc. soon after they are discovered would be greatly appreciated and could possibly help avoid construction and development problems. Individual investigations of building sites are required prior to construction. Planning and design personnel should be made familiar with the contents of this report.

This report has been prepared for Four Way Joint Venture, LLC. for application to the proposed project in accordance with generally accepted geologic soil and engineering practices. No other warranty expressed or implied is made.

We trust this report has provided you with all the information you required. Should you require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact Entech Engineering, Inc.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Entech Engineering, Inc. January 22, 2004. Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard and Wastewater Study and Preliminary Subsurface Soil Investigation, Four-Way Ranch, 558-Acre parcel, El Paso County, Colorado. Entech Job No. 61992.
- 2. Entech Engineering, Inc. May 18, 2004. Addendum to Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard and Wastewater Study and Preliminary Subsurface Soil Investigation, Four-Way Ranch, 558-Acre parcel, El Paso County, Colorado. Entech Job No. 61992.
- 3. Entech Engineering, Inc. June 25, 2004. Addendum II to Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard and Wastewater Study and Preliminary Subsurface Soil Investigation, Four-Way Ranch, 558-Acre parcel, El Paso County, Colorado. Entech Job No. 61992.
- 4. Entech Engineering, Inc. January 26, 2009. Addendum III to Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard and Wastewater Study and Preliminary Subsurface Soil Investigation, Four-Way Ranch, commercial and townhome parcel, El Paso County, Colorado. Entech Job No. 33449.
- 5. Entech Engineering, Inc., May 16, 2012. *Soil, Geology, Geologic Hazard and Preliminary Subsurface Investigation, Waterbury, El Paso County, Colorado.* Entech Job No. 120481.
- 6. Entech Engineering, Inc., July 18, 2012. Subsurface soil Investigation/Bedrock/ Groundwater Investigation, Waterbury, 4 Way Ranch, El Paso County, Colorado. Entech Job No. 120675.
- Scott, Glenn R.; Taylor, Richard B.; Epis, Rudy C. and Wobus, Reinhard A. 1978. *Geologic Map of the Pueblo 1° x 2° Quadrangle, South-Central Colorado.* Sheet 1. US Geological Survey. Map I-1022.
- 8. Charles S. Robinson and Associates, Inc. 1977. *Map of Potential Geologic Hazards and Surficial Deposits.* Falcon Quadrangle. Unpublished maps prepared for El Paso County Planning Department.

- 9. Charles S. Robinson and Associates, Inc. 1977. *Environmental and Engineering Geologic Map for Land Use.* Falcon Quadrangle. Unpublished maps prepared for El Paso County Planning Department.
- 10. Scott, Glen R., Taylor, Richard B., Epis, Rudy C. and Wobus, Reinhard A. 1978. *Geologic Structure Map of the Pueblo 1x2 Quadrangle, South-Central Colorado*. U.S. Geologic Survey. Map 1-1022.
- 11. United States Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service. June, 1981. Soil Survey of El Paso County Area, Colorado.
- 12. Charles S. Robinson and Associates, Inc. 1977. *Table of Engineering and Engineering Factors for Land Use, El Paso County, Colorado*. From unpublished study on Potential Geologic Hazards and Surficial Deposits and Environmental and Engineering Geologic Maps for land use prepared for El Paso County Planning Department.
- 13. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Map Number 08041CO575F, March 17, 1997. *Flood Insurance Rate Maps for the City of Colorado Springs, Colorado.*
- 14. Colorado Geological Survey. 1991. *Results of the 1987-88 EPA Supported Radon Study in Colorado*. Open-file Report 91-4.
- 15. Nelson-Moore, James L., Collins, Donna Bishop, and Hernbaker, A.L. 1978. *Radioactive Mineral Occurrences of Colorado and Bibliography*. Colorado Geological Survey. Bulletin 40.
- 16. El Paso County Planning Development. December 1995. *El Paso County Aggregate Resource Evaluation Maps.*
- 17. Schwochow, S.D.; Shroba, R.R. and Wicklein, P.C. 1974. *Atlas of Sand, Gravel, and Quarry Aggregate Resources, Colorado Front Range Counties*. Colorado Geological Survey. Special Publication 5-B.
- Keller, John W.; TerBest, Harry and Garrison, Rachel E. 2003. Evaluation of Mineral and Mineral Field Potential of El Paso County State Mineral Lands, Administered by the Colorado State Land Board. Colorado Geological Survey. Open-File Report 03 – 07.

TABLES

## **TABLE 1**

## SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS from Entech Job No. 61992 (Reference 1)

CLIENT LAND RESOURCE GROUP, INC. PROJECT 4-WAY RANCH JOB NO. 61992

SANDSTONE, SLIGHTLY CLAYEY CLAYSTONE, VERY SANDY SANDSTONE, VERY SILTY SANDSTONE, VERY SILTY SAND, SILTY, GRAVELLY SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY SANDSTONE, CLAYEY SANDSTONE, CLAYEY CLAYSTONE, SANDY SILTSTONE, CLAYEY SILTSTONE, CLAYEY SILTSTONE, CLAYEY SOIL DESCRIPTION SILTSTONE, SANDY **CLAYSTONE, SILTY** SILT, CLAYEY SAND, SILTY CLAY, SILTY CLASSIFICATION UNIFIED SW-SM **WS-WS** SW-SC SM SM R S S S SM ರರರ 5 צ ۳L 불불 SWELL/ CONSOL 3.8% 0.0% (%) FHA SWELL (PSF) 1014 1818 1150 1467 1467 351 861 PLASTIC INDEX (%) ď E P 23 0 m 2 LIQUID (%) N NS 28 19 29 32 39 27 PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE 15.3% 25.0% 76.5% 17.3% 48.0% 11.2% 56.4% 68.3% 92.9% 62.5% 82.1% 9.7% 9.4% (%) BORING DEPTH 5-10' 5-10' Ē 2-5 2-3' 2-3 2-3' 2-3' -1 1 10, **1**0 10, 10 12 9 10 10 10; ັດ PH-6 TB11 **TB16** 6-HJ PH-8 TB14 TEST TB11 PH-2 PH-7 TB1 TB4 TB23 PH-1 **TB11 TB6** TB2 **TB**2 <u>Ö</u> TB4 SOIL TYPE ß က 4 4 ß in) Θ ø ø N 4 4 4 Θ --<u>.</u>

## **TABLE 2**

# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS from Entech Job No. 120675 (Reference 6)

CLIENT 4 WAY JOINT VENTURE PROJECT FOUR WAY RANCH JOB NO. 120675

SCRIPTION		ILTY		Y	×			ILTY					λ	DΥ		
Soll DE	SAND, SLIGHTL	SAND, SLIGHTLY S	SAND, SILTY	SAND, SLIGHTLY SILT	SAND, SLIGHTLY SILT	CLAY, SANDY	SANDSTONE, SILTY	SANDSTONE, SLIGHTLY S	SANDSTONE, SILTY	SANDSTONE, SILTY	SANDSTONE, SILTY	CLAYSTONE, SANDY	CLAYSTONE, VERY SAN	CLAYSTONE, VERY SAN	CLAYSTONE, SANDY	CLAYSTONE, SANDY
UNIFIED	SM-SW	SM-SW	SM	SM-SW	SM-SW	CL	SM	SM-SW	SM	SM	SM	CL	CL	CL	CL	CL
SWELL/ CONSOL (%)						1.5	-0.3						0.6		1.7	
FHA SWELL (PSF)			290											1360		
SULFATE (WT %)	0.01						0.00		0.00			0.02				
PLASTIC INDEX (%)			NP				NP	NP	NP			15		17		
LIQUID LIMIT (%)			NV				NV	NV	NV			40		35		
PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE (%)	6.2	7.7	18.9	10.9	5.6	94.7	28.9	6.6	34.4	18.7	19.1		61.0	56.6	77.1	66.0
DRY DENSITY (PCF)						107.5	119.4						115.5		116.5	
WATER (%)						16.4	12.8						16.4		15.8	
DEPTH (FT)	2.3	5	10	5	5	5	10	15	5	5	10	15	10	10	10	15
TEST BORING NO.	301	305	305	311	317	312	318	303	307	308	312	302	308	314	315	316
SOIL	-	+-	1	1	1	2	3	3	ę	3	з	4	4	4	4	4

## TABLE 3

## Depth to Bedrock and Groundwater FROM ENTECH JOB NO. 120675 (REFERENCE 6)

Test Boring No.	Depth to Bedrock (ft.)	Depth to Groundwater (ft.)
300	14	6.5
301	9	4
302	13	8
303	14	6
304	12	8.5
305	12	5.5
306	3	12
307	4	4
308	3	>15
309	9	11.5
310	7	4.5
311	8	5.5
312	7	14.5
313	3	5.5
314	4	13
315	7	24.5
316	4	14
317	11	8.5
318	9	4.5

Entech Job No. 130377 2MSW/rep/2013/130377 table 3

Map Symbol	Map Unit, description	Workability	Surtace drainage, erodibility, groundwater	Suitability for waste disposal	Foundation stability	Potential Geologic	Geologic	_
al	ALLUVIUM: Silt,	Excavation	Infiltration: Medium to high.	Septic Systems:	Poor; loose	Deposits are subject	Source of	-
	sand, gravel and	and	)	Unsatisfactory,	and erodible	to annual or periodic	sand and	_
	boulders in the	compaction	Runoff: Moderate.	generally within or	materials.	flooding. Low terrace	graveł.	_
	bed of streams,	easy except		adjacent to waterway		banks may be	-	
	on valley floors	where	Subject to stream scour and	and in area of seasonal		undercut by stream		_
	and in the lowest	bouldery.	stream bank erosion. Water	high ground water.		erosion.		-
	terraces along		table may be permanently or	9				_
	streams.		seasonally within a few feet of	Dump sites:				_
			the surface.	Unsatisfactory because				_
				of high ground water or				_
				seasonal flooding.				-
8	PINEY CREEK	Excavation	Infiltration: Medium to low.	Septic Systems:	Good to poor.	Locally expansive	Source of	-
	ALLUVIUM:	and		Excellent to poor. In	May have	soils; low areas may	sand and	-
	Organic rich	compaction	Runoff: Moderate to rapid.	some areas ground	expansive	be subject to	gravel.	-
	clayey silt and	easy.	Locally water may stand in flat	water table may be too	clay or high	flooding. Steep	)	-
	sand with gravel,		areas for several days	high.	ground water	slopes along stream		-
	cobbles and		following heavy precipitation.	1	in some	channels may be		-
	boulders in				areas.	unstable or undercut		-
	lerraces along		Moderately resistant to			by stream erosion.		
	most of the		erosion. Water table may be					
	present streams.		permanently or seasonally					
	Locally alluvium,		within a few feet of the					
	derived from		surface. Yield to wells range					
	expansive		1 to 100 gallons per minute.					
	bedrock will have		Along Fountain Creek south					
	a low to high		of Colorado Springs yield in					
	potential for		excess of 1000 gallons per					
	swelling. Top of		minute.					
	terraces is about				-			
	20 feet above							
	stream level.							

Table 4: Summary of Geologic Units/ Land Use Considerations

Geolonic	resources	Locally may	contain	seams of	lionite																		
Potential Geologic	hazards	Expansive clav.	Talus deposits form	at base of cliffs and	steep slopes may he	unstable.																	
Foundation	stability	Fair to	excellent.	Clay and	claystone	mav be	expansive.	-													_		
Suitability for waste	disposal	Septic Systems:	Excellent to poor,	depending on	percolation.	•	Dump Sites: Unsuitable	because of potential of	polluting major ground	water aduiters.									25				
Surface drainage, erodibility,	groundwater	Infiltration: Medium to high.		Runoff: Low to high in clays	and shales.		Highly erodible by gullying	and slope wash. Yield to wells	ranges from 4 to 500 gallons	per minute,	•												
Workability		Excavation	and	compaction	moderately	difficult to	difficult in cliff	forming units.													_		
Map Unit,	description	COLLUVIUM	DAWSON	FORMATION	(upper part)	(includes areas of	bedrock): Coarse-	grained and	pebbly arkosic	sand, clay and	silty derived from	arkosic	sandstone,	claystone and	shale. Claystone	and shale may be	expansive.	Lowest unit of	sandstone forms	cliffs at Austin	Bluffs, Pulpit	Rock and Palmer	Park.
Map	Symbol	Tkď																			-		

**FIGURES** 







VENTURE

JOINT

FOR:





19—Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes. This deep, well drained to excessively drained soil formed in coarse textured material on alluvial terraces and fans and on flood plains. Elevation ranges from 6,500 to 7,300 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 15 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 47 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 135 days.

Typically, the surface layer is grayish brown gravelly sandy loam about 14 inches thick. The underlying material is light yellowish brown very gravelly loamy sand.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Stapleton sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes; Blendon sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes; Louviers silty clay loam, 3 to 18 percent slopes; and Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls, nearly level. In places the parent arkose beds of sandstone or shale are at a depth of 0 to 40 inches.

Permeability of this Columbine soil is very rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is low to moderate. Surface runoff is slow, and the hazard of erosion is slight to moderate.

This soil is used mainly for grazing livestock and for wildlife habitat. It is also used for homesites.

Native vegetation is mainly western wheatgrass, sideoats grama, needleandthread, and little bluestem. The main shrub is true mountainmahogany.

Proper location of livestock watering facilities helps to control grazing.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings are fairly well suited to this soil. Blowing sand and low available water capacity are the principal limitations to the establishment of trees and shrubs. The soil is so loose that trees need to be planted in the rows. Supplemental irrigation may be needed to insure survival. Trees that are best suited and have good survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, and Siberian elm. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, and Siberian peashrub.

Rangeland wildlife, such as pronghorn antelope, cottontail, coyote, and scaled quail, is best adapted to life on this droughty soil. Forage production is typically loam, and proper livestock grazing management is necessary if wildlife and livestock share the range. Livestock watering developments are also important and are used by various wildlife species.

The main limitation of this soil for urban development is a hazard of flooding in some areas. Care must be taken when locating septic tank absorption fields because of possible pollution as a result of the very rapid permeability of this soil. Capability subclass VIe.



SCS	SOIL	DESRIP	TION

Jap Na.
130377-
Fig. No.
5

	Ur	.8,	<i>w</i>

Date Checked Date /04-04-12/1/03 83—Stapleton sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes. This deep, noncalcareous, well drained soil formed in sandy alluvium derived from arkosic bedrock on uplands. Elevation ranges from 6,500 to 7,300 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 15 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 47 degrees F, and the average frostfree period is about 135 days.

Typically, the surface layer is grayish brown sandy loam about 11 inches thick. The subsoil is grayish brown gravelly sandy loam about 6 inches thick. The substratum extends to a depth of 60 inches or more. It is pale brown gravelly sandy loam in the upper part and grades to gravelly loamy sand in the lower part.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Louviers silty clay loam, 3 to 18 percent slopes; Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes; Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes; and Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls, nearly level. Also included are areas where arkose beds of sandstone and shale are at a depth of 0 to 40 inches. Included areas make up about 20 percent of the mapped acreage.

Permeability of this Stapleton soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is moderate. Surface runoff is slow, and the hazards of erosion and soil blowing are moderate.

This soil is used as rangeland, for wildlife habitat, and as homesites.

Native vegetation is mainly western wheatgrass, sideoats grama, needleandthread, and little bluestem. The predominant shrub on this soil is true mountainmahogany. Yucca occurs in some areas.

Deferred grazing late in summer and in fall improves the condition of the range. Properly locating livestock watering facilities helps to control grazing.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings are generally suited to this soil. Soil blowing is the principal limitation for the establishment of trees and shrubs. This limitation can be overcome by cultivating only in the tree rows and leaving a strip of vegetation between the rows. Supplemental irrigation may be needed when planting and during dry periods. Trees that are best suited and have good survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, Siberian elm, Russian-olive, and hackberry. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, and Siberian peashrub.

This soil is suited to habitat for openland and rangeland wildlife. Rangeland wildlife, such as pronghorn antelope, can be encouraged by developing livestock watering facilities, properly managing livestock grazing, and reseeding range where needed.

The main limitation of this soil for urban use is frostaction potential. Special design of roads and streets is necessary to minimize frost heave damage. Special practices must be provided to minimize water erosion and soil blowing on construction sites where vegetation has been removed. Access roads must have adequate cut-slope grade and be provided with drains to control surface runoff. Capability subclass IVe.



SCS	SOIL DE	SCRIPTIO	N
)rawn	Dete	Checked	Dace 12/1/03

Jeb No.
130377
Fig. Nc.
6







TB - INDICATES APPROXIMATE TEST BORING LOCATION & NUMBER

△ PH - INDICATES APPROXIMATE PERCOLATION TEST LOCATION & NUMBER

NORTH

SCALE 1"=300'









		GEND
	SPECIAL FLO BY 100-YEAR ZONE A	OD HAZARD AREAS INUNDATED C FLOOD No base flood elevations determined.
	ZONE AE	Base flood elevations determined.
	ZONE AH	Flood depths of 1 to 3 fext (usually areas of ponding); base flood elevations determined.
	ZONE AO	Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of altuvial fan flooding, velocities also determined.
	ZONE A99	To be protected from 100-year flood by Federal flood protection system under construction: no base elevations determined.
	ZONE V	Coastal flood with velocity hazard (wave action); no base flood elevations determined.
	ZONE VE	Coastal flood with velocity hazard (wave action); base flood elevations determined.
	FLOODWAY OTHER FLOO ZONE X	AKEAS IN ZUNE AE DD AREAS Areas of 500-year flood; areas of 100-year flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile: and areas protected by levees from 100-year flood.
	OTHER AREA ZONE X	S Areas determined to be outside 500-year floodplain.
	ZONE D	Areas in which flood hazards are undetermined.
	UNDEVELOPE	D COASTAL BARRIERS
Identified Identified 1983 Coastal barrier are	aes are normality	dentified Areas 1990 Protected Areas y located within or edjacent to Speciel
STY DISTRIL DOOL		Flood Boundary
		Floodway Boundary
	İ	Zone D Boundary
		Boundary Dividing Special Flood Hazard Zones, and Boundary Dividing Areas of Different Coestal Base Flood Elevations Writtin Special Flood Hazard Zones. Base Flood Elevation Lina: Flaumion in Coet Scal Man
	0	for Elevation Datum. Cross Section Line
(EL 98.) RM7	ē,×	Base Flood Elevation in Feet Where Uniform Within Zone. See Map Index for Elevation Datum. Elevation Reference Mark
• M3	2 2	River Mile
97°07'30'', 32	•22'30'	Horizontal Coordinates based on Norm American Datum of 1927 (NAD 27) Projection.

V48A



### NOTES:

-GRAVEL SIZE IS RELATED TO DIAMETER OF PIPE PERFORATIONS-85% GRAVEL GREATER THAN 2x PERFORATION DIAMETER.

-PIPE DIAMETER DEPENDS UPON EXPECTED SEEPAGE. 4-INCH DIAMETER IS MOST OFTEN USED.

-ALL PIPE SHALL BE PERFORATED PLASTIC. THE DISCHARGE PORTION OF THE PIPE SHOULD BE NON-PERFORATED PIPE.

-FLEXIBLE PIPE MAY BE USED UP TO 8 FEET IN DEPTH. IF SUCH PIPE IS DESIGNED TO WITHSTAND THE PRESSURES. RIGID PLASTIC PIPE WOULD OTHERWISE BE REQUIRED.

-MINIMUM GRADE FOR DRAIN PIPE TO BE 1% OR 3 INCHES OF FALL IN 25 FEET.

-DRAIN TO BE PROVIDED WITH A FREE GRAVITY OUTFALL, IF POSSIBLE. A SUMP AND PUMP MAY BE USED IF GRAVITY OUT FALL IS NOT AVAILABLE.

·	
Interit	A ANY SHIFTS
CHEVEN	tou
AND .	12/1/05
3240	105
	101992
78, 18;	12 .



ENGINEERING, INC.	2	ENTECH	
		ENGINEERING, INC. 505 D.KTDI 38745 COLDINIG 591065, 03 8997 (719) 532-5379	

REVISION	BY
81	





FIG. 14



APPENDIX A: Site Photographs



Job No. 130377



Job No. 130377

**APPENDIX B: Test Boring Logs** 

Table 2Summary of Depth to Bedrock and Groundwater

Test Boring No.	Depth to Bedrock	Depth to Groundwater
	(π.)	(π.)
1	3	>9.5
2	6	3
3	12	0 (surface)
4	11	8
5	12	8
6	11	2.5
7	8	3
8	12	>15
9	14	11
10	9	>14.5
11	6	5
12	2	>9.5
13	0 (surface)	6
14	0 (surface)	>14
15	0 (surface)	12
16	<u> </u>	2
17	0 (surface)	13
18	<u> </u>	>9
19	4	7
20	1	>8.5
21	3	>9.5
22	2	>9.5
23	4	>9.5
24	12	12.5
25	>20	12

÷

Entech Job No. 120481 2MSW/rep/GeoRep/2012/120481 table 2

TEST BORING NO. DATE DRILLED 9/11/02 Job # 6199	1 2	1	1				TEST BORING NO. DATE DRILLED 9/11/02 CLIENT LAND RE LOCATION FOUR W	2 ESOURCES /AY RD., 558 AC	. PAR	DEL
DRY TO 9.5', 09/13/02	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	WATER AT 3', 09/13/02	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples	Blows per foot Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY, MEDIUM GRAINED, TAN, DENSE, MOIST SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, COARSE GRAINED, OLIVE TAN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	5			39 <u>50</u> 7"	3.8 8.7	1	SAND, CLAYEY, COARSE TO FINE GRAINED, BROWN TO GRAY, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST TO WET SILTSTONE, CLAYEY, LIGHT BLUE, HARD, MOIST		18 13. 14 15.	9 1 3 1
	10	999 910 910 910		<u>50</u> 5"	9.2	4	SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, MEDIUM GRAINED, OLIVE, VERY DENSE, MOIST		50 9" 50 5"	8 6
	20_							20		
ENGINEERI SOS LINTON DRIVE CILIZADO SPRIMOS, CIL 80907	C NG, 1 (719) :	N C.				RAWN	TEST BORING LO	DATE:	JI 6 F	DB NO.: 1992 IG NO.: 3-1

DATE DRILLED 9/11/02 Job # 61992	,	1		2022			DATE DRILLED 9/11/02 CLIENT LAND RE LOCATION FOUR W	SOUF	RCES 0., 55	8 A	<u>C. P</u>	ARCE	:L
WATER AT SURFACE, 09/13/02	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	WATER AT 8', 09/13/02	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, CLAYEY, GRAYISH BROWN, COARSE GRAINED, MEDIUM DENSE TO DENSE, WET	5	/		22	19.2 19.2	1	SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY, TAN TO BROWN, DENSE TO MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST TO VERY MOIST	5			42	2.6 13.2	1
	- - 10			43	14.9	1	SILT, CLAYEY, DARK BROWN, STIFF, MOIST CLAYSTONE, SILTY, GRAY,	10 _			25	21.3	3
5ANDSTONE, CLAYEY, .IGHT BLUE-GRAY, VERY 2ENSE, MOIST	15 _ -		総	<u>50</u> 5"	13.1	4	HARD, MOIST	15	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	虁	<u>50</u> 6"	20.4	5
	20						3	20_					

ł

TEST BORING NO. 5 DATE DRILLED 9/11/02 Job # 61992 REMARKS	5				TEST BORING NO. 6 DATE DRILLED 9/11/02 CLIENT LAND RE LOCATION FOUR W.	SOUR	CES ., 558 /	AC. F		:
WATER AT 8', 09/13/02	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	WATER AT 2.5', 09/13/02	Depth (ft)	Symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, TAN, DENSE TO MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	5	34 20	1.6 2.7	1	SAND, SLIGHTLY CLAYEY, COARSE TO MEDIUM GRAINED, LIGHT BLUE TO LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE TO DENSE, WET	5		12	17.1 15.3	1
SAND, CLAYEY, COARSE TO FINE GRAINED, GRAY, MEDIUM DENSE, WET CLAYSTONE, LIGHT BLUISH GRAY, HARD,		14	15.3	1	CLAYSTONE, SILTY, LIGHT BLUE, HARD, MOIST			32	12.5	1
MOIST	15	<u>50</u> 5"	23.1	5		15 - - 20	~	<u>50</u> 6"	14.0	5
		1					.1			
ENGINEERIN SOS ELSTEM DRIVE EDLEMALE SPRINGS, CL. 109907	CH NG, INC. (719) 531-5599		C	RAWN	TEST BORING LO		DATE:		JOB 619 FIG B-	NO.:1 9 Z NO.:

TEST BORING NO. 7							TEST BORING NO. 8					
DATE DRILLED 9/11/02							DATE DRILLED 9/11/02	000000				
JOD # 61992							ICLIENT LAND RE		:S  58 A	C P	ARCE	-1
REMARKS					Î.	<u> </u>	REMARKS					
				Ħ	t %					t	%	
				L TO	Iten					je j	ten	
	E	5	les	pel	Lo 2	ype		E i	es	per	CON	/pe
	pth	dm'	du	SMO	ater	i i i		mb	d	SWC	ater	E I
WATER AT 3', 09/13/02	ă	<u></u>	ယ္လို	ā	13	ŭ	DRY TO 15', 09/13/02	<u> </u>	No No	Ē	3	ŝ
GRAY MEDIUM DENSE	-	/		2.5			CLAYEY FINE GRAINED		.]			
VERY MOIST TO				16	12.5	1	TAN, MEDIUM DENSE,	- :-	].	19	1.7	1
WET	1 1	· · · ·					MOIST					2 1
	5		1	12	15.6	1	SAND, VERY SILTY,	5	- <b>1</b>	14	5.3	1
		Z					FINE GRAINED, TAN,					
	-						MEVIUM VENSE, MUISI		1]			
CLAYSTONE, SANDY,	1	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$					SAND, CLAYEY, MEDIUM					
BLUE, HARD, MOIST	10	$\bigotimes$		<u>50</u>	20.2	5	GRAINED, GRAY, MEDIUM	10		24	11.2	1
				3"			DENSE, VERY MOIST					
	-						GANDSTONE OF AVEY			, î		
	-	8					COARSE GRAINED, BROWN	-		1		
	15			3			VERY DENSE, MOIST	15		50	10.1	4
										6"		
	-							-				
	-							-				
	20							20				
										. 1		
				7	7					71	JOB	NO.;
	-	_	1								610	192
		NO					IEST BURING L	99			FIG	NO.:
ENGINEERIN 505 ELKTON DRIVE COLURADO SPRINGS, CEL 80907	(719) 5	31-5599	•			DRAWN	: DATE: CHECKED:	DAT	E;		B	-4
			2				H-H-	10/1	10	ノ	_	1

TEST BORING NO. 9 DATE DRILLED 9/12/02 Job # 61992					TEST BORING NO. 10 DATE DRILLED 9/13/02 CLIENT LAND RE LOCATION FOUR W	) ESOURCES	3 AC. F	ARCE	EL		
WATER AT 11', 09/13/02	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 14.5', 09/16/02	Depth (ft) Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type		
SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY, COARSE GRAINED, BROWN, DENSE, DRY SAND, SLIGHTLY CLAYEY, COARSE GRAINED, BROWN TO GRAY, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST TO VERY MOIST	5	39 24	2.4 5.8	1	SAND, VERY SILTY, FINE GRAINED, BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE TO DRY SAND, SILTY, MEDIUM GRAINED, GRAY, DENSE, MOIST	5	15 46	2.2 5.3	1 1		
CLAYSTONE, SILTY,	10	21 <u>50</u>	11.2 14.9	1	SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, GRAY TO TAN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	10	50 8"	10.2 9.4	4		
GKAY, HAKU, MUISI	20	1"				20	7"				
					ja (						
ENGINEERIN Status Springe, cl. 80997	G, INC. (719) 531-5599		0	RAWN	TEST BORING LO DATE: CHECKED: KAVA-	DG DATE: 10/1/02		JOB 61 FIG IS	NO.: 992 NO.: -5		
TEST BORING NO. 11							TEST BORING NO. 12	2			
---	---------	---------	-------	------------	-----------	----------	-----------------------	------------------	-----------	----------	---------
DATE DRILLED 9/13/02							DATE DRILLED 9/13/02				
JOD # 61992							CLIENT LAND R	ESOURCES	1 8 AC	PARCE	=1
REMARKS	1	1				Γ	REMARKS				
	(J)		s	er foot	ontent %	e		£.	S toot	ontent %	ω
	epth (	ymbol	ample	ows p	/aterco	oil Typ		epth (f /mbot	ample:	aterco	oil Typ
WATER AT 5', 09/16/02 SAND, SILTY, FINF GRAINED.	ŏ	6	Š	m	3	ŭ	DRY TO 9.5', 09/16/02		S a	5 3	S 1
DARK BROWN TO BLUE,				_					_		
MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST			iner.	18	3.3	1	SANDSTONE, SILTY,		<u>5</u>	0 1.7	4
	5 -		535	17	26.5	1	GRAINED, TAN TO BLUE	5	5	0 11.9	4
-							VERY DENSE, DRY TO		8	17	
SANDSTONE, VERY SILTY, FINE GRAINED BLUE							MOIST	_			
TO TAN, VERY DENSE,								-			
WET	10			<u>50</u>	20.7	4		10	<u>5</u>	0 5.7	4
	-			9				-	6		
	15		100	50	217						
	-			<u>9</u> "	21.1	1					
SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, COARSE GRAINED, BILLE,	-			2							
VERY DENSE, WET	20 _			<u>50</u>	15.1	4		20			
				11"							
										-	
			5		$\bigcap$					JOB	NO.:
A ENTE	C	Н					TEST BORING L	OG		614	192
	G, I	NC.				RAWN		DATE		FiG	NO.:
CILORADU SPRINGS, CIL 80907	(719) 5	31-5599		J	Ľ	/1V11119	KAH	10/1/0	2	B.	-6

REMARKS   Image: Second seco	TEST BORING NO.     13       DATE DRILLED     9/16/02       Job #     61992	2						TEST BORING NO. 1 DATE DRILLED 9/16/02 CLIENT LAND R LOCATION FOUR V	4 ESOUF VAY RE	RCES )., 55	8 A	<u>C. P</u>	ARCE	L_
SANDSTONE, SILTY, COARSE GRAINED, GRAY, VERY DENSE, MOIST SANDSTONE, VERY SILTY, (FEY FINE GRAINED, OLIVE, (FEY FINE GRAINED, OLIVE, (FEY FINE GRAINED, OLIVE, (FEY DENSE, MOIST) SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, TINE GRAINED, OLIVE, (FEY DENSE, MOIST) 10 10 12.1 4 SANDSTONE, SILTY, BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST 10 15 20 12.4 6 SANDSTONE, SILTY, BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST 10 10 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	REMARKS WATER AT 6', 09/27/02	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 14', 09/27/02	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
5ANDSTONE, CLAYEY, FINE GRAINED, BLUE-GRAY, VERY DENSE, MOIST 10 50 7" 12.1 4 SANDSTONE, SILTY, BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST 10 50 8" 9.7 4 CLAYSTONE, SILTY, BROWN, HARD, MOIST 15 50 50 17.4 5 20 17.4 5	SANDSTONE, SILTY, COARSE GRAINED, GRAY, VERY DENSE, MOIST SANDSTONE, VERY SILTY, VERY FINE GRAINED, OLIVE, VERY DENSE, MOIST	5			<u>50</u> 8" <u>50</u> 8"	8.4 11.8	4	SILTSTONE, CLAYEY, OLIVE BROWN, HARD, MOIST	5			50 8" 50 8"	12.4 14.3	6
15 15 50 50 17.4 5   20 20 20 20 10 10 10	5ANDSTONE, CLAYEY, FINE GRAINED, BLUE-GRAY, /ERY DENSE, MOIST	10_		***	<u>50</u> 7"	12.1	4	SANDSTONE, SILTY, BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST CLAYSTONE, SILTY, BROWN,	10 - 	$\sim$		<u>50</u> 8"	9.7	4
		15 20				-			15 - 20	$\propto$		<u>50</u> 5"	17.4	5
													JOB	NO.:
JOB NO.:		<b>C</b>	N C.				RAWN		.0G	DATE:			610 FIG	392 NO.:

TEST BORING NO. 15 DATE DRILLED 9/16/02 Job # 61992	1		1	1		TEST BORING NO DATE DRILLED CLIENT LOCATION	). 16 9/16/02 LAND RE FOUR W.		CES	AC.	PARCE	<u>EL</u>
WATER AT 12', 09/27/02 SANDSTONE, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, BROWN	Depth (ft)	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	WATER AT 2', 09/2 SAND, CLAYEY, FINE	27/02 TO	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SANDSTONE, CLAYEY,	5		<u>50</u> 5" <u>50</u> 5"	3.9 10.0	4	OLIVE BROWN, LOOSE WET SANDSTONE, SLIG CLAYEY TO CLAYEY, GRAINED, BLUE-GRA DENSE, VERY MOIST	HTLY , MEDIUM Y, VERY TO	5		8 50 9'	16.4 <u>)</u> 12.1	1
MEDIUM GRAINED, BROWN TO OLIVE BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	10		<u>50</u> 9"	13.4	4	WET		10 - 		<u>50</u> 4"	23.3	4
	15 <u></u> 20		<u>50</u> 5"	10.2	4			15 - - 20	5			
			8 8				5				1	
							20					
						TESTI	BORING LO	DG			JOB 610 FIG	NO.: 797 NO.:

TEST BORING NO. 17 DATE DRILLED 9/16/02 Job # 61992							TEST BORING NO. 18 DATE DRILLED 9/16/02 CLIENT LAND RE LOCATION FOUR W		RCES 0., 558	AC.	PAR	CEL	
REMARKS WATER AT 13', 09/27/02	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 9', 09/27/02	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Materroatent 02	Soil Type	
SANDSTONE, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, VERY DENSE, DRY SANDSTOEN, SLIGHTLY CLAYEY TO CLAYEY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, VERY DENSE, MOIST TO WET	5			<u>50</u> 9" <u>50</u> 10"	2.5 11.8	4	SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, LIGHT BROWN, DENSE, DRY CLAYSTONE, SILTY, OLIVE BROWN, HARD, MOIST	5		4	1 2. 0 13	0 1	
	10		**************************************	<u>50</u> 8" <u>50</u> 4"	16.6 20.7	4	SANDSTONE, VERY SILTY, FINE GRAINED, LIGHT BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	10		2	0 8.	0 4	
	20						6	20					A NAME OF A DESCRIPTION
ENGINEERIN Sos clator brive claradi sprimas, cl. 80907	<b>C</b> , I G, I	<b>N</b> C. 11-5599	_			RAWN:	TEST BORING LO DATE: CHECKED:	DG	DATE:		G F E	08 NO.: 1992 116 NO.: 3-9	

TEST BORING NO. 19 DATE DRILLED 9/16/02 Job # 61992	) ! ]	- T	-1		1	TEST BORING NO. 20 DATE DRILLED 9/20/02 CLIENT LAND RE LOCATION FOUR W	SOURCI	ES 558 A	C. P	ARCE	L
NATER AT 7', 09/27/02	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 8.5', 09/27/02	Depth (ft) Svmbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, CLAYEY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, OLIVE BROWN, DENSE, MOIST SANDSTONE, CLAYEY TO SILTY, OLIVE BROWN TO TAN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	5 10 15 20			8 8.8 0 9.9 0 15.8	1 4 4	SAND, SILTY, BROWN SANDSTONE, SILTY TO CLAYEY, MEDIUM TO COARSE GRAINED, TAN TO OLIVE, VERY DENSE, MOIST			50 6" 50 8" 50 3"	3.5 9.9 11.8	1 4 4 4
						TEST BORING LO	DG			JOB 614	NO.: 192

TEST BORING NO. 21 DATE DRILLED 9/20/02 Job # 61992						TEST BORING NO. 22 DATE DRILLED 9/20/02 CLIENT LAND RE LOCATION FOUR W	ESOURCES AY RD., 558 AC	). P/	ARCEL
REMARKS	epth (ft) ymbol	amples	lows per foot	Vatercontent %	oil Type		epth (ft) ymbol amples	lows per foot	Vatercontent % oil Type
SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY, TAN, MEDIUM DENSE, DRY CLAYSTONE, SILTY, OLIVE, HARD, MOIST SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, COARSE GRAINED, TAN, VERY DENSE, MOIST			26 50 9" 50 4"	2.0 15.5 10.3	1 5 4	SILTSTONE, CLAYEY, LIGHT BROWN SILTSTONE, CLAYEY, LIGHT OLIVE, HARD, MOIST SANDSTONE, VERY SILTY, FINE GRAINED, LIGHT GRAY, VERY DENSE, MOIST SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, COARSE GRAINED, OLIVE, VERY DENSE, MOIST		<u>50</u> 10" <u>50</u> 7" <u>50</u> 5"	> 0 3 9.8 6 8.1 4 12.4 4
ENGINEERIN MO DAKTON DRIVE CELORADE SPRIMES, CL 80907	G, IN ( (719) 531-55	- - 			DRAW	TEST BORING L N: DATE: CHECKED: Ka-ut	OG DATE: 10/1/0~		JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO.: B-11

TEST BORING NO. 23 DATE DRILLED 9/20/02 Job # 61992	<b>,</b>	2					TEST BORING NO. 24 DATE DRILLED 9/20/02 CLIENT LAND RESOURCES LOCATION FOUR WAY RD., 558 AC. PARCEL
REMARKS DRY TO 9.5', 09/27/02	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	KEMAKKS Depth (ft)   Symbol Cepth (ft)   Samples Samples   Blows per foot Soil Type
CLAY, SILTY, OLIVE, VERY STIFF, MOIST CLAYSTONE, SILTY, OLIVE, HARD, MOIST SILTSTONE, SANDY, CLAYEY, RUST, HARD, MOIST	5			38 <u>50</u> 9"	12.1 12.5	2 5	SAND, SILTY TO SLIGHTLY CLAYEY, MEDIUM TO COARSE GRAINED, TAN, LOOSE TO MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST 5 20 3.8 1
	10 15 20			<u>50</u> 5"	12.4	6	SILTSTONE, SANDY, OLIVE, HARD, MOIST
ENGINEERIN SOS ELATON DRIVE CELERADE SPRINGS, CE 80907	G ,	<b>H</b> N C. 31-5599				RAWN	TEST BORING LOG DATE: CHECKED: DATE: KALL 10/1/02 JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO.: B-12

TEST BORING NO.     25       DATE DRILLED     9/20/02       Job #     61992							TEST BORING NO. DATE DRILLED CLIENT LOCATION	LAND RE		RCES	S 18 A	С. Р.	ARCE	EL
REMARKS WATER AT 12', 09/27/02	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS		Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY TO SLIGHTLY CLAYEY, MEDIUM TO COARSE GRAINED, TAN TO GRAY, MEDIUM DENSE TO DENSE, MOIST TO WET	5			19 13	1.7 2.3	1								
	10 - 			25	11.6	1								
	15 _		100 A	21	16.9	1								
	20	/		41	14.0	1			20 -					
	G, I	N C.				RAWN:	TEST B	ORING LC	G	DATE:			JOB 619 FIG	NO.: 92 NO.:

ł

APPENDIX C: Profile Hole Logs

Table 3
Summary of Bedrock/Groundwater
Depths in Profile Borings

Boring Profile No.	Depth to Bedrock (ft.)	Depth to Groundwater (ft.)
1	6.5	4.5
2	4	>10
3	6.5	>10
4	3.5	>10
5	4	>10
6	>10	7.5
7	7.5	4
8	7	9.5
9	7	>10
10	4	>10
11	>10	>10
12	>10	8
13	>10	7
14	4	>10
15	>10	7.5
16	>10	9.5
17	4	>10
18	>10	4.5
19	4	>10
20	3	>10
21	>10	7
22	>10	>10
23	8	9
24	7	8
25	6	9.5
26	9.5	>10
27	4.5	>10
28	3	>10

Entech Job No. 120481 2MSW/rep/GeoRep/2012/120481 table 3

PROFILE HOLE NO     1       DATE DRILLED     11/6/2003       Job #     120481	3				PROFILE HOLE NC DATE DRILLED CLIENT LOCATION	) 2 11/6/2003 4-WAY J( 4-WAY R		/ENT	URES		
REMARKS	epth (ft) ymbol	amples lows per foot	/atercontent %	oil Type		-	epth (ft)	ymbol	amples lows per foot	/atercontent %	oil Type
SAND, SILTY, DARK BROWN SAND, GRAVELLY, SILTY, TAN SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, GRAY BROWN		<u>50</u> 10"	5	Ŏ	SAND, SILTY, TAN	GRAY			07 a 50 9" 50 7"	~	
					PROFIL	-E HOLE L	.0G			6	ON BOL

SIIII2

EMARKS	1						REMARKS	RANCH					
	oth (ft)	lođi	nples	ws per foot	tercontent %	Type	0	oth (ft)	lodi	nples	ws per foot	tercontent %	Type
RY TO 10', 11/7/03	<u> </u>	Syn	Sar	Blo	Va	Soil	DRY TO 10', 11/7/03	Dep	Syn	San	BIO	Wal	Soil
AND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN	·						SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN	-	•				
AND, GRAVELLY, SILTY,							SAND, SILTY, TAN						
AN	5			17			SANDSTONE, SILTY, TAN TO	5-		_	50		
		lo					LIGHT GREEN				8"		
ANDSTONE, SILTY, TAN								-					
	10-			<u>50</u> 8"				10-	: : : :		<u>50</u> 7"		
		1											
	•							-					
	15							15					
	-							-					
	20 -							20 -					
	-	1											



.

PROFILE HOLE NO 5 DATE DRILLED 11/6/2003 Job # 120481	3				PROFILE HOLE NO 6 DATE DRILLED 11/6/2003 CLIENT 4-WAY J LOCATION 4-WAY F	3 OINT \ ANCH	/ENTU	IRES		
REMARKS	Depth (ft) Symbol	Samples Slows per foot	Vatercontent %	soil Type	REMARKS WATER @ 7.5' 11/8/03	Jepth (ft)	Symbol	slows per foot	Vatercontent %	soil Type
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN SAND, TAN SANDSTONE, SILTY, TAN	5	50 50	>	0	SAND, SILTY, BROWN TO LIGHT BROWN SAND, SILTY, GRAVELLY, TAN	5	0 0	35	>	<u></u>
	10	<u>50</u> 9"				10		41		
	15 - 20					15 - - 20				



DATE DRILLED	11/6/2003 120481	3					1	DATE DRILLED 11/11/200 CLIENT 4-WAY J LOCATION 4-WAY F	03 OINT V ANCH		UR	ES		
NATER @ 4', 11/8	03	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	WATER @ 9.5', 11/12/03	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
JAND, GILLY, BROWN LIGHT BROWN JAND, GRAVELLY, TA	N <u> </u>	5	0		25			SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN SAND, GRAVELLY, SILTY, TAN CLAYSTONE, SILTY, GREENISH	5			25		
VEATHERED SILTSTO SANDY	DNE,	10			46			BROWN	10			<u>50</u> 10"		
		15 - - 20							15 - - - 20 -			24		



PROFILE HOLE NO DATE DRILLED 11/11/20 Job # 12048	9 003 11	1	PROFILE HOLE NO 10 DATE DRILLED 11/11/200 CLIENT 4-WAY JU LOCATION 4-WAY R	03 OINT VENTURES ANCH	5
REMARKS DRY TO 10', 11/12/03	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent % Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 10', 11/12/03	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent % Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN CLAY, SANDY, DARK GREEN BROWN CLAYSTONE, VERY SANDY, GREENISH BROWN			SAND, SILTY, BROWN SAND, SILTY TO GRAVELLY, LIGHT BROWN SANDSTONE, LIGHT BROWN TO TAN		
	<b>I</b>		PROFILE HOLE	LOG	JOB NO. 6199

DRAWN:

DATE:

S/11/12

CHECKED:

C-5

Ŋ

505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907

PROFILE HOLE NO     11       DATE DRILLED     11/11/2003       Job #     120481       REMARKS							PROFILE HOLE NO12DATE DRILLED11/11/2003CLIENT4-WAY JOINT VENTURESLOCATION4-WAY RANCH
REMARKS DRY TO 10', 11/12/03	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS Depth (ft) Samples Blows per foot Watercontent % Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, BROWN SAND, SILTY TO GRAVELLY, LIGHT BROWN SAND, LIGHT BROWN, COARSE GRAINED	5 - 10 - 15 - 20			8			SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN SAND, GRAVELLY, TAN



	PRO	OFILE HOLE LO	G	JOB NO.: 6/992 FIG NO.:
DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	5/11/12	C-6

PROFILE HOLE NO     13       DATE DRILLED     11/13/200       Job #     120481	3						PROFILE HOLE NO DATE DRILLED 1 CLIENT 4 LOCATION 4	14 1/13/2003 -WAY JOII -WAY RAN		NTUF	RES		
REMARKS WATER @ 7', 11/14/03	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 10', 11/14/03	(f)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN SAND, GRAVELLY, TAN SAND, GRAVELLY, CLAYEY, GREENISH BROWN TO TAN	5	0 0 0 0 0		20			SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BRO SAND, GRAVELLY, TAN SANDSTONE, TAN	DWN		C	<u>50</u> 10"		
CLAY, SILTY, GRAY	10 			21				1			<u>50</u> 10"		
7				3		4		·					
	,				_		PROFILE	HOLE LO	G			61	J0В 1 9 9

PROFILE HOLE NO 15 DATE DRILLED 11/19/200 Job # 120481	3						PROFILE HOLE NO 16 DATE DRILLED 11/19/2003 CLIENT 4-WAY JOINT VENTURES LOCATION 4-WAY RANCH
REMARKS WATER @ 7.5', 11/20/03	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	MATER @ 6.5, 11/20/03 (ft) (ft) (ft) Samples Blows per foot Watercontent % Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, BROWN SAND, GRAVELLY, TAN SAND, SILTY TO GRAVELLY, LIGHT GRAYISH TAN	5 			21 20			SAND, SILTY, BROWN SAND, SILTY, TAN SAND, GRAVELLY, TAN 20 10 20 20
	INC				_		PROFILE HOLE LOG

	·				PROFILE HOLE NO 18 DATE DRILLED 11/19/20 CLIENT 4-WAY JU LOCATION 4-WAY R	12 OINT V ANCH		URE:	6	
RY TO 10', 11/20/03	Depth (ft) Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	WATER @ 4.5', 11/20/03	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
AND, SILTY, BROWN AND, LIGHT BROWN ANDSTONE, SILTY TO RAVELLY, TAN	5	<u>50</u> 10"			SAND, SILTY, BROWN SAND, GRAVELLY, TAN	5		<u>50</u> 11	<u>)</u>	
	10	<u>50</u> 10"				10	0	<u>5(</u> 6'	2	
	15  20					20				

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907

	PR	OFILE HOLE LO	G	JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO.:
DRAWN.	DATE	CHECKED: UM	DATE: 5/11/12	C-9

PROFILE HOLE NO 19 DATE DRILLED 11/19/20 lob # 12048	<del>)</del> 12 1					PROFILE HOLE NO 20   DATE DRILLED 11/19/20   CLIENT 4-WAY J   LOCATION 4-WAY R   REMARKS	12 OINT VE ANCH		RES		
DRY TO 10', 11/20/03	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 10', 11/20/03	Depth (ft)	Symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
JAND, SILTY, BROWN JAND, GRAVELLY, LIGHT JROWN-TAN JANDSTONE, LIGHT BROWN TAN			5 <u>1</u> 1- 4	<u>)</u> 		SAND, SILTY, GRAVELLY, BROWN SANDSTONE, LIGHT GREENISH TAN CLAYSTONE, TAN-BROWN SANDSTONE, TAN			50 5" <u>50</u> 3"		
	INC.					PROFILE HOLE	LOG			6	Jов 199

PROFILE HOLE NO 21 DATE DRILLED 11/24/200 Job # 120481	)3		PROFILE HOLE NO 22 DATE DRILLED 11/24/200 CLIENT 4-WAY JU LOCATION 4-WAY R	)3 OINT VENTURES ANCH	
REMARKS WATER @ 7', 11/25/03	Jepth (ft) Symbol Samples	Blows per foot Watercontent % Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 10', 11/14/03	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent % Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN SAND, GRAVELLY, SILTY WITH CLAYEY LENSES, TAN		21	SAND, SILTY, BROWN SAND, GRAVELLY, LIGHT BROWN, TAN		
ENTECH ENGINEERING, 505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CC	INC. DLORADO 80907	DRAWN:	DATE: CHECKED:	LOG - SATE S/II/I/2	JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO.: C-11

			20						20
SANDSTONE TAN	E, GRAVELLY,	<b>•</b>				20			SILTSTONE/CLAYSTONE, GRAY 10
SAND, GRAV	/ELLY, TAN 'EY, TAN		5			21			SAND, CLAYEY, GRAVELLY, LIGHT GREENISH BROWN
WATER @	9', <u>11/25/03</u>		Lepth (II)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	MATER @ 8', 11/25/03 Depth (ft) Soil Type Soil Type
DATE DRIL Job # REMARKS	LED 11/2 12	24/2003 20481							DATE DRILLED 11/24/2003 CLIENT 4-WAY JOINT VENTURES LOCATION 4-WAY RANCH REMARKS

OATE DRILLED 11/24/201 ob # 120481	2		1			DATE DRILLED 11/25/200 CLIENT 4-WAY JO LOCATION 4-WAY R	)3 DINT ' ANCH		UR	ES		
EMARKS VATER @ 9.5', 11/25/03	Depth (ft)	Symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 10', 11/26/03	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
GAND, SILTY, BROWN GAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN GAND, GRAVELLY, TAN			35			SAND, SILTY, BROWN SAND, GRAVELLY, TAN	5	.0.0		19		
GANDSTONE, TAN	10	0	<u>50</u>			SAND, CLAYEY, BROWN WEATHERED SANDSTONE.	- 	\ 0 0		36		—
	- - 15_		10"			TAN	- 15_					
	20						20					
	·	I		I	·		8		4			•

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.		PRO	FILE HOLE LC	ØG	JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO.:
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907	DRAWN:	DATE	CHECKED:	DATE SIIIIZ	C-13

SAND, SIL	TY, BROWN				50 <u>50</u> 8"			SAND, SILTY, BROWN SANDSTONE, LIGHT BROWN SANDSTONE, LIGHT BROWN 5 10 5 50 8" 15 15 15 15 10 15 15 15 10 15 15 10 15 15 15 10 15 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
REMARK	S	epth (ft)	ymbol	amples	lows per foot	/atercontent %	oil Type	REMARKS (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft)	
PROFILE DATE DR Job #	HOLE NO 27 RILLED 11/25/20 120481	, 03	4					PROFILE HOLE NO 28 DATE DRILLED 11/25/2003 CLIENT 4-WAY JOINT VENTURES LOCATION 4-WAY RANCH	

## APPENDIX D: Laboratory Test Results

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	SW-SM	CLIENT	LAND RESOURCES
SOIL TYPE #	1	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RD., 558 AC. PARCEL
TEST BORING #	TB1	JOB NO.	61992
DEPTH	2-3'	TEST BY	DG



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>	Limits
3"		Plastic Limit
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit
3/4"		Plastic Index
1/2"	100.0%	
3/8"	99.1%	
4	87.2%	Swell
10	61.2%	Moisture at start
20	40.8%	Moisture at finish
40	26.4%	Moisture increase
100	12.3%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	9.7%	Swell (psf)



IUNIEIED CLASSIEICATION	SIM SM	CLIENT	
UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	244-2141		LAND RESOURCES
SOIL TYPE #	1	<u>PROJECT</u>	FOUR WAY RD., 558 AC. PARCEL
TEST BORING #	TB4	JOB NO.	61992
DEPTH	2-5'	TEST BY	DG

.



		LABORAT( RESULTS	ORY TEST		JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO.:
SSS 5(LATEN DRIVE SSS 5(LATEN DRIVE SSS 5(LATEN DRIVE SSS 5(LATEN DRIVE SSS 5(LATEN DRIVE SSS 5(LATEN DRIVE SSS 5(LATEN DRIVE	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE: 9/27/02	D-2

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	SM	CLIENT	LAND RESOURCES
SOIL TYPE #	I	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RD., 558 AC. PARCEL
TEST BORING #	TB11	JOB NO.	61992
DEPTH	2-3'	TEST BY	DG





UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	SM	CLIENT	LAND RESOURCE GROUP, INC.
SOIL TYPE #	1	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RD.
TEST BORING #	PH-6	JOB NO.	61992
DEPTH	5-10'	TEST BY	DG



		LABORAT RESULTS	ORY TEST		JOB NO .: 61992 FIG NO .:	
505 ELKTEN DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CL 00907 (719) 531-5599	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE:	עת	
	20		KALt	12/1/03	[ F-7 ]	

. - 1-7

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	CL	CLIENT	LAND RESOURCES
SOIL TYPE #	2	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RD., 558 AC. PARCEL
TEST BORING #	TB23	JOB NO	61992
DEPTH	2-3'	TEST BY	DG

![](_page_101_Figure_1.jpeg)

		LABORAT RESULTS	ORY TEST			JOB NO .: 61992 FIG NO .:
SdS ELKTON BRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS. CO. 60907 (719) 531-5599	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE: 9/27/02	Il	D-5

![](_page_102_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_103_Figure_0.jpeg)

SENTECH			LABORATO RESULTS	DRY TEST		JOB NO.: 6[992 FIG NO.:
505 CLARADO SPRINGS, CO. 00907 (719) 331-5399	厂	DRAWN:	DATÉ:	CHECKED:	DATE: 9/27/0-	D-7

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	ŚW-SC	CLIENT	LAND RESOURCES
SOIL TYPE #	4	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RD., 558 AC. PARCEL
TEST BORING #	TB16	JOB NO.	61992
DEPTH	5'	TEST BY	DG

![](_page_104_Figure_1.jpeg)

		LABORAT RESULTS	ORY TEST		JOB NO.: 6[992 FIG NO.:	
SAS TLATEN DRIVE COLUMARE SPRINGS, CL 80907 (719) 321-3599	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE: 9/30/02	D-8	

![](_page_105_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_105_Figure_1.jpeg)

		LABORAT RESULTS	ORY TEST		JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO:
SUS ELECTON BRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CL 80907 (719) SJI-SJ99	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE:	D-9

![](_page_106_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_106_Figure_1.jpeg)

		JOB NO.: 61992			
SOS ELETTON BRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO. 80907 (719) 531-5599	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE:	·D-10

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	CL	CLIENT	LAND RESOURCES
SOIL TYPE #	5	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RD., 558 AC. PARCEL
TEST BORING #	TB6	JOB NO.	61992
DEPTH	15'	TEST BY	DG

![](_page_107_Figure_1.jpeg)

		LABORATO RESULTS	ORY TEST		JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO.:
SAS ELATION DRIVE COLUMADO SPRIMOS, CIL 60907 (719) 531-5599	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED: KAN	DATE: 9/27/02	ワール
UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	CL	CLIENT	LAND RESOURCE GROUP, INC.		
------------------------	------	---------	---------------------------		
SOIL TYPE #	5	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RD.		
TEST BORING #	PH-8	JOB NO.	61992		
DEPTH	10'	TEST BY	DG		



		LABORAT RESULTS	ORY TEST		JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO.:	
505 ELATIN SRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO. 00907 (719) 531-3599	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE:	D-12	J





Swell (psf)

1014

200

56.4%

		LABORAT RESULTS	ORY TEST		JOB NO .: 61992 FIG NO .:	
505 ELKTON BRIVE COLGRADD SPRINGS, CL 80907 (719) 321-5399	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE: 12/1/03	D-13	ļ

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	ML	CLIENT	LAND RESOURCES
SOIL TYPE #	6	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RD., 558 AC. PARCEL
TEST BORING #	TB2	JOB NO.	61992
DEPTH	10'	TEST BY	DG



ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.		LABORATO RESULTS	DRY TEST		JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO.:	
	505 CL.KTON BRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CL 80967 (719) 531-3599	DRAWN	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE: 9/27/02	D-14





		LABORAT	ORY TEST		JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO.:
SOS ELKTEN DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO. 80907 (719) 331-3599	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE: 9/30/02	D-15





3/8"			
4	100.0%	Swell	
10	98.2%	Moisture at start	11.0%
20	89.4%	Moisture at finish	20.5%
40	81.8%	Moisture increase	9.6%
100	68.6%	Initial dry density (pcf)	105
200	62.5%	Swell (psf)	1818

			LABORATO RESULTS	ORY TEST		JOB NO.: 61992 FIG NO.:
SOS ELICTEN BRIVE CELEBRADO SPREMAS, CO. 80907	(719) 331-5599	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE:	D-16

## **CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS**

	SAMPLE FROM:	TBII	AT DEPTH	10'	
	DESCRIPTION	SM	SOIL TYPE	4	
	NATURAL UNIT DRY	WEIGH	T (PCF)	107	
i	NATURAL MOISTURE	E CONTI	ENT	20.7%	
Ì	SWELL/CONSOLIDAT	TION (%	)	0.0%	

JOB NO.	61992
<u>CLIENT</u>	LAND RESOURCES
PROJECT	FOUR WAY RD., 558 AC. PARCEL



## CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS

SAMPLE FROM:	TB2	AT DEPTH	10'
DESCRIPTION	ML	SOIL TYPE	6
NATURAL UNIT DRY	111		
NATURAL MOISTURE	CONT	ENT	19.3%
SWELL/CONSOLIDAT	10N (%	5)	3.8%

## <u>JOB NO.</u> 61992 <u>CLIENT</u> LAND RESOURCES <u>PROJECT</u> FOUR WAY RD., 558 AC. PARCEL



APPENDIX E: Test Boring Logs and Laboratory Test Results from Entech Job No. 120675 **TABLE 1** 

# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

CLIENT 4 WAY JOINT VENTURE <u>PROJECT</u> FOUR WAY RANCH <u>JOB NO.</u> 120675

SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY	SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY	SAND, SILTY	SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY	SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY	CLAY, SANDY	SANDSTONE, SILTY	SANDSTONE, SLIGHTLY SILTY	SANDSTONE, SILTY	SANDSTONE, SILTY	SANDSTONE, SILTY	CLAYSTONE, SANDY	CLAYSTONE, VERY SANDY	CLAYSTONE, VERY SANDY	CLAYSTONE, SANDY	CLAYSTONE, SANDY
UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	SM-SW	WS-MS	SM	SM-SW	SM-SW	CL	WS	SM-SW	SM	SM	SM	CL	CL	CL	CL	CL
SWELL/ CONSOL (%)						1.5	-0.3						0.6		1.7	
FHA SWELL (PSF)			290											1360		
SULFATE (WT %)	0.01						0.00		0.00			0.02				
PLASTIC INDEX (%)			NP				NP	NP	NP			15		17		
LIQUID LIMIT (%)			NV 🗠				NV	NV	NV			40		35		
PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE (%)	6.2	7.7	18.9	10.9	5.6	94.7	28.9	6.6	34.4	18.7	19.1		61.0	56.6	77.1	66.0
DRY DENSITY (PCF)						107.5	119.4						115.5		116.5	
WATER (%)						16.4	12.8						16.4		15.8	
DEPTH (FT)	2-3	5	10	5	5	ۍ	10	15	5	ۍ	10	15	10	10	10	15
TEST BORING NO.	301	305	305	311	317	312	318	303	307	308	312	302	308	314	315	316
SOIL	+	+	1	+	F	2	3	3	3	9	3	4	4	4	4	4
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		the second se	_	_		-	_

# TABLE 2

# Depth to Bedrock and Groundwater 4- WAY RANCH 120675

Test Boring No.	Depth to Bedrock	Depth to
200	(10.)	
300	14	0.0
301	9	4
302	13	8
303	14	6
304	12	8.5
305	12	5.5
306	3 – 1	12
307	4	4
308	3	>15
309	9	11.5
310	7	4.5
311	8	5.5
312	7	14.5
313	3	5.5
314	4	13
315	7	24.5
316	4	14
317	11	8.5
318	9	4.5

Entech Job No. 120675 2MSW/rep/2012/120675 table 2

FIG NO. E-2

TEST BORING NO. 300   DATE DRILLED 6/21/2012   Job # 120675	2		-			TEST BORING NO. DATE DRILLED CLIENT LOCATION	. 301 6/21/2012 4 WAY JO FOUR W	DINT V	ENT	UR	E		
REMARKS	h (ft) bol	ples	's per foot	ercontent %	Type	REMARKS		th (ft)	bol	ples	rs per foot	srcontent %	Type
WATER @ 6.5', 7/6/12	Dept Sym	Sam	Blow	Wate	Soil .	WATER @ 4', 7/6/1		Dept	Sym	Sam	Blow	Wate	Soil
SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE TO DENSE, DRY TO			*	1.2	1	TO COARSE GRAINED MEDIUM DENSE, MOIS	TAN, TO WET				10	7.4	1
WET	5		21	6.1	1		-	5			14	11.2	1
		•	30	11.0	1	CLAYSTONE, SANDY, BROWN, HARD, MOIST	GRAY	10 10 10			<u>50</u> 11"	9.2	4
SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, FINE TO MEDIUM GRAINED, GRAY BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	15		<u>50</u> 6"	13.7	3	SANDSTONE, CLAYEY TO COARSE GRAINED BROWN, VERY DENSE	, FINE , GRAY , WET	15			<u>50</u> 5"	12.8	3
* - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	20_							20 -					

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907

	TE	ST BORING LOO	3	JOB NO .: 120675 FIG NO .:
DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKER:	911812	

TEST BORING NO. 302   DATE DRILLED 6/21/2012   Job # 120675	2	TEST BORING NO.303DATE DRILLED6/21/2012CLIENT4 WAY JOINT VENTURELOCATIONFOUR WAY RANCH
REMARKS WATER @ 8', 7/6/12	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples Blows per foot Watercontent %	Soil Type KEWAKR2 Soil Type Samples Soil Type Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, TAN TO BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, DRY TO WET	5 19 2.1 5 21 6.6	SAND, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, LIGHT BROWN, 1 MEDIUM DENSE TO DENSE, DRY TO WET 1 5 22 5.0 1
	10	1 39 13.9 1
CLAYSTONE, SANDY, GRAY BROWN, HARD, MOIST	15 50 7"	4 SANDSTONE, SLIGHTLY SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, GRAY BROWN, VERY DENSE, VERY MOIST
	20	* - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN 20

$ \diamond $	ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.			TEST	BORING LOG	;	JOB NO. 120675 FIG NO.:
	505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907	] [	DRAWN:	DATE	CHECKED:	9178 112	E-3-

T D Jo	EST BORING NO. 304 ATE DRILLED 6/21/2012 ob # 120675	2						TEST BORING NO. 305 DATE DRILLED 6/21/2012 CLIENT 4 WAY JO LOCATION FOUR WA	DINT N		UR	E.		
R	EMARKS /ATER @ 8.5', 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS WATER @ 5.5', 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
5 G M D	AND, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE RAINED, BROWN TO TAN, EDIUM DENSE TO DENSE, RY TO WET	5			÷ 23	1.8 6.3	1	SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, LIGHT BROWN TO BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE TO DENSE, DRY	5			* 26	1.1 2.1	1
		10			33	13.5	1	SAND, SILTY, FINE GRAINED, GRAY, DENSE, WET	- 10 _ -			34	23.5	1
S Ca Bi	ANDSTONE, SILTY, FINE TO OARSE GRAINED, GRAY ROWN, VERY DENSE, WET	15			<u>50</u> 6"	10.5	3	SANDSTONE, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, GRAY BROWN, VERY DENSE, VERY MOIST	- 15_ -			<u>50</u> 6"	11.4	3
*	- BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	20						* - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	20 _					

		TEST BORING LOG									
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	MIEIN	5-4						

TEST BORING NO. 306   DATE DRILLED 6/26/2012   Job # 120675	2 		1	1	TEST BORING NO. 307 DATE DRILLED 6/26/2012 CLIENT 4 WAY JO LOCATION FOUR W	2 DINT V AY RA			E		
WATER @ 12'. 7/6/12	Jepth (ft) Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Natercontent %	Soil Type	WATER @ 4'. 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	<b>Blows per foot</b>	Natercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, FINE TO MEDIUM GRAINED, BROWN, MOIST		*	3.9	1	SAND, CLAYEY, FINE GRAINED, DARK BROWN, MOIST	-	~ /		*	13.0	1
COARSE GRAINED, BROWN TO TAN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	5	<u>50</u> 7"	8.8	3	SANDSTONE, SILTY, FINE	5			50	16.8	3
	10	<u>50</u> 6"	8.7	3		10			<u>50</u> 6"	15.1	3
	15	<u>50</u> 6"	14.1	3	* - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	15_			<u>50</u> 8"	15.8	3
* - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	20					20					

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.			TEST	BORING LO	G		JOB NO.: 1206 75 FIG NO.:
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907	)	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	7/18/12	]	12-5

TEST BORING NO. 308   DATE DRILLED 6/26/2012   Job # 120675							TEST BORING NO.309DATE DRILLED6/21/2012CLIENT4 WAY JULOCATIONFOUR W				RE		
REMARKS					%		REMARKS					%	
DRY TO 15'. 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	3lows per foot	Watercontent	Soil Type	WATER @ 11.5'. 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Natercontent <sup>9</sup>	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE							SAND, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE		1.1				
GRAINED, BROWN, MOIST	-			*	5.6	1	GRAINED, TAN, MEDIUM DENSE, DRY TO MOIST	-			*	1.3	1
SANDSTONE, SILTY, FINE GRAINED, BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	5			<u>50</u> 6"	8.5	3		5			24	3.8	1
CLAYSTONE, VERY SANDY,	10	$\propto$		<u>50</u>	15.3	4	SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, FINE	10			<u>50</u>	8.8	3
BROWN, HARD, MOIST				8"		t:	TO COARSE GRAINED, OLIVE BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	-			9"		
SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	15_			<u>50</u> 5"	9.1	3	" - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	15			<u>50</u> 8"	12.6	3
* - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	20							20					•



	TES	T BORING LOO	3		JOB NO.: 120675 FIG NO.:
DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED	7/18/12	]	E-6

TEST BORING NO. 310   DATE DRILLED 6/21/2012   Job # 120675	2					TEST BORING NO. 311 DATE DRILLED 6/21/2012 CLIENT 4 WAY JO LOCATION FOUR W.	2 DINT V AY RA		ruf	RE		
REMARKS						REMARKS						
WATER @ 4.5', 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	WATER @ 5.5', 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, CLAYEY, FINE GRAINED,		<u> </u>				SAND, SLIGHTLY SILTY, FINE	_					
DARK BROWN TO GRAY,	_!?					TO COARSE GRAINED, BROWN,		- •				4
MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST TO WET				14.9	1	DENSE, DRY TO WET	-	1.1			1.9	1
	5	/	15	15.7	1	N	5_			38	12.4	1
SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, FINE GRAINED, GRAY BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	10		<u>50</u> 7"	8.0	3	SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, GRAY BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	10_			<u>50</u> 7"	11.7	3
CLAYSTONE, SANDY, GRAY BROWN, HARD, MOIST	15		<u>50</u> 2"	10.5	4		- 15_			<u>50</u> 10"	10.5	3
- BULK SAMPLE TAKEN						* - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN						
	20						20					



TEST BORING NO. 312   DATE DRILLED 6/21/2012   Job # 120675	2						TEST BORING NO.313DATE DRILLED6/26/2012CLIENT4 WAY JOLOCATIONFOUR W	2 DINT 1 AY R/		ruf	٤E		
REMARKS WATER @ 14.5', 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS WATER @ 5.5', 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soll Type
SAND, SILTY, FINE GRAINED, TAN	-				2.6	1	SAND, SILTY, FINE TO MEDIUM GRAINED, BROWN, MOIST	-			*	3.1	1
STIFF, MOIST	5_			37	8.3	2	VERY DENSE, MOIST TO WET	5			<u>50</u> 8"	10.5	3
SANDSTONE, SILTY, FINE TO MEDIUM GRAINED, BUFF TO OLIVE BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	10			<u>50</u> 4"	8.5	3	CLAYSTONE, SANDY, BLUE GRAY, HARD, MOIST	10			<u>50</u> 9"	17.0	4
* - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	15_			<u>50</u> 7"	9.3	3	* - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	15_			<u>50</u> 8"	13.1	4
<i>x</i> <sup>*</sup>	20							20					



	TES	r Boring Log	2	JOB NO.: 120675 FIG NO.:
DRAWN:	DATE	CHECKER	們態加	E-8

TEST BORING NO. 314   DATE DRILLED 6/26/2013   Job # 120675	2						TEST BORING NO. 3 DATE DRILLED 6/26/20 CLIENT 4 WAY LOCATION FOUR	15 12 JOINT WAY R	VENTU ANCH	RE		
WATER @ 13', 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	WATER @ 24.5', 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, BROWN, DRY	-			*	1.5	1	SAND, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, BROWN, DENSE, DRY TO MOIST			•	2.3	1
SANDSTONE, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	5			<u>50</u> 11"	8.0	3		5		41	7.7	1
CLAYSTONE, VERY SANDY, BROWN, HARD, MOIST	10			<u>50</u> 8"	12.8	4	ICLAYSTONE, SANDY, BROWN, HARD, MOIST	10		<u>50</u> 9"	15.3	4
SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, FINE GRAINED, BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	15			<u>50</u> 7"	11.1	3		15_		<u>50</u> 8"	11.3	4
* - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	20						SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, BLUE GRAY, VERY DENSE, MOIST	20		<u>50</u> 7"	9.5	3
							* - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	25		<u>50</u> 6"	8.7	3
ENTECH ENGINEERING, 505 ELKTON DRIVE	INC				DRAV	VN:	TEST BORING	LOG	DATE		17	108 NO. 2 067 FIG NO. E - 9

TEST BORING NO. 316   DATE DRILLED 6/26/2012   Job # 120675	2						TEST BORING NO. DATE DRILLED CLIENT LOCATION	317 6/26/2012 4 WAY JO FOUR W	2 DINT N AY RA		TUF	RE		_
REMARKS					%		REMARKS						%	
				foot	lent							foot	tent	
	E)		les	s per	LCOL	ype			(II) (		les	s per	rcon	ype
WATER @ 14' 7/6/12	Jept	Symb	Samp	Slows	Vate	Soil T	WATER @ 8.5' 7/6	/12	Depti	Symb	Samp	Blows	Vate	Soil 7
SAND, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE			07			05	SAND, SILTY TO SLIG	HTLY						
GRAINED, TAN, DRY	]						SILTY, FINE TO COARS	5E						
	-			-	1.7	1	GRAINED, BROWN, ME	DIUM Y TO	-				1.8	1
SANDSTONE, SILTY, FINE	5			<u>50</u>	14.3	3	WET		5			20	5.9	1
GRAINED, TAN, VERY DENSE,				11"										
MOIST									-					
SANDSTONE, SILTY, FINE TO									-					
COARSE GRAINED, BROWN,	10			<u>50</u>	9.2	3			10			33	14.6	1
VERY DENSE, MOIST	-			8"			SANDSTONE CLAYEY	FINE TO	-	-				
	-	• • • •					COARSE GRAINED, BL	UE.	- 1	· · · ·				
<u></u>							GRAY, VERY DENSE, I	MOIST						
CLAYSTONE, SANDY, GRAY -	15_	$\approx$		50 6"	12.9	4			<sup>15</sup> -			<u>50</u> 6"	8.0	3
	-			Ŭ								Ŭ		
- BULK SAMPLE TAKEN							- BULK SAMPLE TAK	KEN						
	20								20					



	TE	ST BORING LOG		JOB NO.: 120675 FIG NO.:
DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED: DATE: 7/18/12	]	E.10

TEST BORING NO. 318   DATE DRILLED 6/26/2012   Job # 120675	2						TEST BORING NO. DATE DRILLED CLIENT LOCATION	4 WAY JO	DINT N	/ENT		٤E		
REMARKS					_		REMARKS							
WATER @ 4.5', 7/6/12	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type			Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY TO CLAYEY,	-		1											
FINE TO MEDIUM GRAINED,	-			÷.	47	4								
	-				4.7	'	10		-					
	5	/		10	12.8	1			5					
SANDSTONE, SILTY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, GRAY	10	/ /		<u>50</u> 9"	11.7	3			10					
BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST	-													
SANDSTONE, CLAYEY, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED, GRAY BROWN, VERY DENSE, MOIST * - BULK SAMPLE TAKEN	15 20			<u>50</u> 6"	11.3	3			15 					
			à											

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.			r
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907	]	DRAWN:	DATE

TEST	BORING LOG	5
ATE	CHECKED:	アリアをリア

JOB NO :	
120675	,
FIG NO	

SOIL TYPE # 1 PROJECT FOUR WAY RANCE	
	[
TEST BORING # 301 JOB NO. 120675	
DEPTH (FT) 2-3 TEST BY BL	



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
<u>Sieve #</u>	Finer	Limits
3"		Plastic Limit
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit
3/4"		Plastic Index
1/2"		
3/8"	100.0%	
4	97.5%	Swell
10	79.7%	Moisture at start
20	64.5%	Moisture at finish
40	39.7%	Moisture increase
100	15.4%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	6.2%	Swell (psf)

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.		LABORA <sup>-</sup> RESULTS	TORY TEST		JOB NO. 12067 FIG NO.
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907	DRAWN:	DATE.	CHECKED:	7/18/12	E-12

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	SM-SW	CLIENT	4 WAY JOINT VENTURE
SOIL TYPE #	1	<u>PROJECT</u>	FOUR WAY RANCH
TEST BORING #	305	<u>JOB NO.</u>	120675
DEPTH (FT)	5	<u>TEST BY</u>	BL



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
<u>Sieve #</u>	Finer	Limits
3"		Plastic Limit
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit
3/4"		Plastic Index
1/2"		
3/8"		
4	100.0%	Swell
10	93.2%	Moisture at start
20	71.5%	Moisture at finish
40	55.0%	Moisture increase
100	14.6%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	7.7%	Swell (psf)

$\diamondsuit$	ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC. 505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907	LABORATORY TEST RESULTS				JOB NO. 2067 FIG NO.
		DRAWN	DATE	CHECKED:	DATE: 7/18/12	E-13

UNIFIED CLASSIFIC/	ATION SM	
SOIL TYPE #	1	
TEST BORING #	305	
DEPTH (FT)	10	



4 WAY JOINT VENTURE FOUR WAY RANCH 120675 BL



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg	
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>	Limits	
3"		Plastic Limit NP	
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit NV	
3/4"		Plastic Index NP	
1/2"			
3/8"			
4		<u>Swell</u>	
10		Moisture at start 11.1%	
20		Moisture at finish 20.8%	
40		Moisture increase 9.7%	
100		Initial dry density (pcf) 102	
200	18.9%	Swell (psf) 290	



JOB NO.: 120675 FIG NO.: 5-14

DATE: 7/18/12

<b>UNIFIED CLASSIFICA</b>	TI <u>ON</u> SM-SW
SOIL TYPE #	1
TEST BORING #	311
DEPTH (FT)	5





U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>	Limits
3"		Plastic Limit
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit
3/4"		Plastic Index
1/2"		
3/8"	100.0%	
4	94.0%	Swell
10	84.6%	Moisture at start
20	65.1%	Moisture at finish
40	38.0%	Moisture increase
100	23.1%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	10.9%	Sweil (psf)



UNIFIED CLASSIFICAT	TION SM-SW
SOIL TYPE #	1
TEST BORING #	317
DEPTH (FT)	5



4 WAY JOINT VENTURE FOUR WAY RANCH 120675



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
Sieve #	Finer	Limits
3"		Plastic Limit
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit
3/4"		Plastic Index
1/2"		
3/8"	100.0%	
4	97.2%	Swell
10	77.2%	Moisture at start
20	53.9%	Moisture at finish
40	33.1%	Moisture increase
100	10.0%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	5.6%	Swell (psf)

DRAWN:



LABORATO RESULTS	DRY TEST
DATE;	CHECKED:

ſ	JOB NO.:
	120675
L	FIG NO.:
	E-16

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	CL	CLIENT	4 WAY JOINT VENTURE
SOIL TYPE #	2	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RANCH
TEST BORING #	312	<u>JOB NO.</u>	120675
DEPTH (FT)	5	TEST BY	BL



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg	
Sieve #	Finer	Limits	
3"		Plastic Limit	
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit	
3/4"		Plastic Index	
1/2"			
3/8"			
4		Swell	
10		Moisture at start	
20		Moisture at finish	
40		Moisture increase	
100	100.0%	Initial dry density (pcf)	
200	94.7%	Swell (psf)	



	LABORATO RESULTS	RY TEST	
DRAWN	DATE.	CHECKED;	7/18/12

	JOB NO.:
	120675
1	FIG NO.:
	E-17

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	SM-SW	CLIENT	4 WAY JOINT VENTURE
SOIL TYPE #	3	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RANCH
TEST BORING #	303	<u>JOB NO.</u>	120675
DEPTH (FT)	15	<u>TEST BY</u>	BL



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>	Limits
3"		Plastic Limit NP
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit NV
3/4"		Plastic Index NP
1/2"	100.0%	
3/8"	99.5%	
4	92.0%	Swell
10	77.9%	Moisture at start
20	46.5%	Moisture at finish
40	26.0%	Moisture increase
100	10.7%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	6.6%	Swell (psf)

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.		LABORAT	TORY TEST		JOB NO .: 120675
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907	DRAWN:	DATE	CHECKED	7/18/12	12-18

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION SMCLIENT4 WAY JOINT VENTURESOIL TYPE #3PROJECTFOUR WAY RANCHTEST BORING #307JOB NO.120675DEPTH (FT)5TEST BYBL



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>	<u>Limits</u>
3"		Plastic Limit NP
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit NV
3/4"		Plastic Index NP
1/2"		
3/8"		
4		Swell
10	100.0%	Moisture at start
20	99.5%	Moisture at finish
40	98.8%	Moisture increase
100	84.4%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	34.4%	Swell (psf)



	LABORA <sup>®</sup> RESULTS	TORY TEST		JOB NO.: 120675 FIG NO.:
DRAWN	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE 7/18/12	E-19

UNIFIED CLASSIFIC	ATION SM	CLIENT	4 WAY JOINT VENTURE
SOIL TYPE #	3	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RANCH
TEST BORING #	308	JOB NO.	120675
DEPTH (FT)	5	<u>TEST BY</u>	BL



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>	Limits
3"		Plastic Limit
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit
3/4"		Plastic Index
1/2"		
3/8"		
4	100.0%	Swell
10	98.8%	Moisture at start
20	97.0%	Moisture at finish
40	92.1%	Moisture increase
100	37.8%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	18.7%	Swell (psf)



	LABORATO RESULTS	DRY TEST		JOB NO.: 120675 FIG NO.:
DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	7/18/12	E-20

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATIO	SM	CLIENT	4 WAY JOINT VENTURE
SOIL TYPE #	3	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RANCH
TEST_BORING #	312	JOB NO.	120675
DEPTH (FT)	10	TEST BY	BL



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>	Limits
3"		Plastic Limit
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit
3/4"		Plastic Index
1/2"		
3/8"	100.0%	
4	97.4%	Swell
10	85.6%	Moisture at start
20	74.3%	Moisture at finish
40	46.1%	Moisture increase
100	22.5%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	19.1%	Swell (psf)



	LABORAT RESULTS	ORY TEST		JOB NO.: 120675 FIG NO.:
DRAWN:	DATE	CHECKED:	1/18/12	15-3

UNIFIED CLASSIFIC	ATION SM	CLIENT	4 WAY JOINT VENTURE
SOIL TYPE #	3	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RANCH
TEST BORING #	318	JOB NO.	120675
DEPTH (FT)	10	TEST BY	BL



U.S. <u>Sieve #</u> 3" 1 1/2" 3/4" 1/2" 2/8"	Percent <u>Finer</u>	Atterberg Limits Plastic Limit NP Liquid Limit NV Plastic Index NP
4	100.0%	Swell
10	89.0%	Moisture at start
20	68.6%	Moisture at finish
40	53.6%	Moisture increase
100	38.0%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	28.9%	Swell (psf)



ENTECH	
ENGINEERING, INC.	
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907	

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS 

DATE

DRAWN:

	120675
DATE: 7/18/12	E.22

JOB NO .:

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	<u>N</u> CL	CLIENT	4 WAY JOINT VENTURE
SOIL TYPE #	4	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RANCH
TEST BORING #	302	<u>JOB NO.</u>	120675
DEPTH (FT)	15	TEST BY	BL



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>	<u>Limits</u>
3"		Plastic Limit 25
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit 40
3/4"		Plastic Index 15
1/2"		
3/8"		
4		Swell
10		Moisture at start
20		Moisture at finish
40		Moisture increase
100		Initial dry density (pcf)
200		Swell (psf)

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.		LABORAT RESULTS	FORY TEST		JOB NO.: 120675 FIG NO.:
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907	DRAWN:	DATE:	CHECKED:	DATE: 7/18/12	6-23

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION CLSOIL TYPE #4TEST BORING #308DEPTH (FT)10



4 WAY JOINT VENTURE FOUR WAY RANCH 120675 BL



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>	Limits
3"		Plastic Limit
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit
3/4"		Plastic Index
1/2"		
3/8"		
4	100.0%	Swell
10	98.0%	Moisture at start
20	92.6%	Moisture at finish
40	87.3%	Moisture increase
100	73.3%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	61.0%	Swell (psf)



(	JOB NO .
	120675
	FIG NO.:
	E-24

7/19/12

DRAWN:

DATE: CHECKED:

RESULTS

LABORATORY TEST

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	CL	CLIENT	4 WAY JOINT VENTURE
SOIL TYPE #	4	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RANCH
TEST_BORING_#	314	JOB NO.	120675
DEPTH (FT)	10	TEST BY	BL



Sieve # Finer Limits	
3" Plastic Limit 18	
1 1/2" Liquid Limit 35	
3/4" Plastic Index 17	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4 100.0% <u>Swell</u>	
1093.6%Moisture at start12	5%
20 85.0% Moisture at finish 20	).1%
40 76.6% Moisture increase 7	.6%
100 64.2% Initial dry density (pcf)	105
200 56.6% Swell (psf) 13	360

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.		LABORAT	FORY TEST		JOB NO .: 120675 FIG NO .:
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907	DRAWN:	DATE.	CHECKED:	7/18/12	6-25

UNIFIED CLASSIFIC	ATION CL	CLIENT 4 WAY JOINT VENTURE
SOIL TYPE #	4	PROJECT FOUR WAY RANCH
TEST BORING #	315	JOB NO. 120675
DEPTH (FT)	10	TEST BY BL



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>	<u>Limits</u>
3"		Plastic Limit
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit
3/4"		Plastic Index
1/2"		
3/8"		
4	100.0%	Swell
10	99.0%	Moisture at start
20	97.3%	Moisture at finish
40	99.5%	Moisture increase
100	87.7%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	77.1%	Swell (psf)

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.	LABORATORY TEST RESULTS				JOB NO.: 120675 FIG NO.:	
505 ELKTON DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907		DRAWN	DATE:	CHECKED:	7/18/12	5-26

UNIFIED CLASSIFIC	CATION CL	CLIENT	4 WAY JOINT VENTURE
SOIL TYPE #	4	PROJECT	FOUR WAY RANCH
TEST BORING #	316	JOB NO.	120675
DEPTH (FT)	15	TEST BY	BL



U.S.	Percent	Atterberg
<u>Sieve #</u>	Finer	<u>Limits</u>
3"		Plastic Limit
1 1/2"		Liquid Limit
3/4"		Plastic Index
1/2"		
3/8"	100.0%	
4	98.8%	Swell
10	90.9%	Moisture at start
20	84.6%	Moisture at finish
40	81.2%	Moisture increase
100	74.1%	Initial dry density (pcf)
200	66.0%	Swell (psf)



$\left[ \right]$	LABORATORY TEST RESULTS				
DRAWN	DATE	CHECKED:	2/18/12	12-27	
TEST BORING #	312	DEPTH(ft)	5		
------------------	-------	-----------	-------		
DESCRIPTION	CL	SOIL TYPE	2		
NATURAL UNIT DRY	WEIG	HT (PCF)	108		
NATURAL MOISTUR	E CON	TENT	16.4%		
SWELL/CONSOLIDA	1.5%				

<u>JOB NO.</u> 120675 <u>CLIENT</u> 4 WAY JOINT VENTURE <u>PROJECT</u> FOUR WAY RANCH



ENGINEERING, INC.

COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80907

505 ELKTON DRIVE

TEST BORING #	318	DEPTH(ft)	10
DESCRIPTION	SM	SOIL TYPE	3
NATURAL UNIT DRY	WEIG	HT (PCF)	119
NATURAL MOISTURI	12.8%		
SWELL/CONSOLIDA	-0.3%		

JOB NO. 120675 CLIENT 4 WAY JOINT VENTURE PROJECT FOUR WAY RANCH



DRAWN:

DATE:

JOB NO.: 120675 FIG NO.: E-29

CHECKED:

1/18/12

TEST BORING #	308	DEPTH(ft)	10
DESCRIPTION	CL	SOIL TYPE	4
NATURAL UNIT DRY	WEIG	HT (PCF)	116
NATURAL MOISTURI	E CON	TENT	16.4%
SWELL/CONSOLIDA	0.6%		

JOB NO. 120675 CLIENT 4 WAY JOINT VENTURE PROJECT FOUR WAY RANCH



TEST BORING #	315	DEPTH(ft)	10
DESCRIPTION	CL	SOIL TYPE	4
NATURAL UNIT DRY	WEIG	HT (PCF)	117
NATURAL MOISTUR	E CON	TENT	15.8%
SWELL/CONSOLIDA	TION (	%)	1.7%

JOB NO. 120675 CLIENT 4 WAY JOINT VENTURE PROJECT FOUR WAY RANCH



CLIENT	4 WAY JOINT VENTURE	JOB NO.	120675
PROJECT	FOUR WAY RANCH	DATE	7/10/2012
LOCATION	FOUR WAY RANCH	TEST BY	BL

BORING NUMBER	DEPTH, (ft)	SOIL TYPE NUMBER	UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	WATER SOLUBLE SULFATE, (wt%)
TB-301	2-3	1	SM-SW	0.01
TB-302	15	4	CL	0.02
TB-307	5	2	CL	0.00
TB-318	10	3	SM	0.00
		0		
		14		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

QC BLANK PASS



LABORATORY TEST SULFATE RESULTS				JOB NO.: 120675 FIG NO.;
DRAWN	DATE:	CHECKED:	7/18/12	E-32