See comment letter.

MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN
and PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT
FOR
SADDLEHORN RANCH

Prepared For:
ROI Property Group, LLC
2495 Rigdon Street
Napa, CA 94558
(707) 365-6891

April 29, 2019
Project No. 25142.00

Prepared By:
JR Engineering, LLC
5475 Tech Center Drive
Colorado Springs, CO 80919
719-593-2593

El Paso County PCD File No.

SP-19-006,

Engineering Review

06/13/2019 3:28:52 PM
dsdkuehster
stevekuehster@elpasoco.com
(719) 520-6813
EPC Planning & Community Development Department

Engineering Review

06/14/2019 1:18:53 PM
dsdrice
JeffRice@elpasoco.com
(719) 520-7877
EPC Planning & Community Development Department

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:
The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by El Paso County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

_____ _____
Mike Bramlett, Colorado P.E. # 32314 Date
For and On Behalf of JR Engineering, LLC

DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT:
I, the developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Business Name: ROI Property Group, LLC

By: _____

Title: _____
Address: 2495 Rigdon Street
Napa, CA 94558

El Paso County:
Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2 and Engineering Criteria Manual, as amended.

_____ _____
Jennifer Irvine, P.E. Date
County Engineer/ ECM Administrator

Conditions:

CONTENTS

PURPOSE **0**

GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION **0**

LOCATION 0
DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY 0
FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT 1

DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUBBASINS **1**

MAJOR BASIN DESCRIPTIONS 1
EXISTING SUB-BASIN DRAINAGE 3
PROPOSED SUB-BASIN DRAINAGE 6

DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA **10**

DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA REFERENCE 10
HYDROLOGIC CRITERIA 10
HYDRAULIC CRITERIA 10

DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN **11**

GENERAL CONCEPT 11
SPECIFIC DETAILS 12
Four Step Process to Minimize Adverse Impacts of Urbanization *12*
Water Quality *12*
Erosion Control Plan *12*
Operation & Maintenance *13*
Drainage and Bridge Fees *13*
Construction Cost Opinion *13*

SUMMARY **13**

REFERENCES: **14**

APPENDICES

A. Figures and Exhibits
B. Hydrologic Calculations
C. Hydraulic Calculations
D. Detention and Water Quality Calculations
E. Reference Materials
F. Drainage Maps

LIST OF TABLES:

1. Existing Drainage Basin Summary
2. Major Drainageway Naming Conventions
3. Major Drainageway Flow Comparison
4. Proposed Basin Summary
5. Pond Summary
6. Drainage & Bridge Fees

PURPOSE

This document is the Master Development Drainage Plan (MDDP)/Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) for the proposed Saddlehorn Ranch. The purpose of this report is to:

1. Identify on-site and off-site drainage patterns.
2. Recommend preliminary storm water facilities to collect and convey storm runoff from the proposed development to appropriate discharge and/or detention locations.
3. Recommend preliminary water quality and detention facilities to control discharge release rates to below historic.
4. Demonstrate compliance with surrounding major drainage basin planning studies, master plan and flood insurance studies.

GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

Location

The proposed Saddlehorn Ranch, known as "the site" from herein, is a parcel of land located in Section 3 and 10, Township 13 South, Range 65 West of the 6th Principal Meridian in El Paso County, Colorado. The proposed 824 acre, rural, single family-development is bound by Judge Orr Road to the North and Curtis Road to the West. To the East, the site is bound by undeveloped land owned by Brent Houser Enterprises, LLC. To the south, the site is bound by undeveloped properties owned by Carolyn Gudzunas and Faye Reyonlds. A vicinity map is presented in Appendix A.

Currently, there are three major drainageways that run through the site: Haegler Ranch Main Stem 6 (MS-06), Haegler Ranch Tributary 6 (T-6), and Gieck Ranch West Fork – Reach 7A (WF-R7A). These drainageways were analyzed, both hydrologically and hydraulically, in the following reports:

1. Geick Ranch Drainage Basin Planning Study (DBPS), October 2007
2. Haegler Ranch Basin DBPS, May 2009
3. Sante Fe Springs – Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin Letter of Map Revision (LOMR), October 2004

The impact of these drainageways and planning studies on the proposed development will be discussed later in the report.

Description of Property

The proposed development contains approximately 824 acres and will be comprised of 227 rural 2.5 – 5 acre lots. The site is currently unoccupied and undeveloped. The existing ground cover is sparse vegetation and open space, typical of a Colorado rolling range land condition. In general, the site slopes from northwest to southeast and the existing drainageways follow this topography.

Per a NRCS web soil survey of the area, the site is made up of Type A, B and D soils. Type A soils cover roughly 80% of the site while Type B soils cover 3% and Type D cover the remaining 17% of the site. Group A soils have a high infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. Type B soils have a moderate infiltration when thoroughly wet. Type D soils have a very slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet and have a high shrink-swell potential. A NRCS soil survey map has been presented in Appendix A.

Two existing wells are located in the southwest corner of the site. A 12" Cherokee Metropolitan District waterline runs through the site just south of the northern property line. Approximately a mile south of the Curtis Road and Judge Orr Road intersection, a two lane dirt road proceeds from Curtis Road east towards approximate center of the site. A water tank, pond and windmill are located within Major Drainageway MS-06 at the end of the dirt road.

Floodplain Statement

Based on the FEMA FIRM Map number 08041C0558G, dated December 7, 2018, the site lies within Zone A, Zone AE, and Zone X. Zone A is defined as areas subject to inundation by the 1-percent-annual-chance flood determined using approximate methodologies because BFEs have not been established. Zone AE is defined as area subject to inundation by the 1-percent-annual-chance flood event. Zone X is defined as area outside the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) and higher than the elevation of the 0.2-percent-annual-chance (or 500-year) flood. All proposed development within the site will occur in Zone X. The FIRM Map has been presented in Appendix A.

Address requirement for LOMR to obtain BFEs in northeast Zone A floodplain.

DRAINAGE BASINS AND SUBBASINS

Major Basin Descriptions

The site lies within two major drainage basins: the Gieck West Fork Draina
"*Gieck Ranch Drainage Basin Planning Study*" (DBPS) prepared by Drexel
October, 2007 and revised in February 2010 and the Haegler Ranch Drainag
"*Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin Planning Study*" prepared by URS Corporation in May 2009.

JR RESPONSE: SEE REVISED TEXT, NORTHEAST ZONE A FLOODPLAIN ADDRESSED. LOMR NOT REQUIRED.

The Gieck Ranch Drainage Basin covers approximately 22 square miles and begins approximately five miles northeast of the Town of Falcon and travels approximately 15 miles to the southeast. The Gieck Ranch Drainage Basin is tributary to Black Squirrel Creek which drains south to the Arkansas River near the city of Pueblo, Colorado. The majority of the area within the basin is undeveloped and is characterized as rolling range land typically associated with Colorado's semi-arid climates. Anticipated land use for the basin includes residential, industrial, agricultural and commercial development. Residential developments will range from 0.125 – 5 acre lots with a mix of low, medium and high density developments.

The Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin covers approximately 16.6 square miles in unincorporated El Paso County, CO. The Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin is tributary to Black Squirrel Creek. In its existing condition, the basin is comprised of rolling rangeland with poor vegetative cover associated with Colorado's semi-arid climate. The natural drainageways within the basin are typically shallow and wide with poorly defined flow paths in most areas. Anticipated land use for the basin includes residential and commercial development. Residential developments will range from 0.125 – 5 acre lots with a mix of low, medium and high density developments.

As part of its drainage research, JR Engineering reviewed the JR RESPONSE: NOTED. s, reports and LOMRs:

Note: this DBPS has not been finalized or adopted by EPC

- Gieck Ranch Drainage Basin Planning Study prepared by Drexel, Barrell & Co. in October, 2007 and revised in February 2010
- Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin Planning Study prepared by URS Corporation in May 2009
- Santa Fe Springs – Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin Letter of Map Revision prepared by Tri-Core Engineering in June 2004.

The "*Gieck Ranch Drainage Basin Planning Study*" evaluated existing and future drainage conditions, identified future capacity improvements, and established basin and bridge fees for the Gieck Ranch Drainage Basin. Based upon provided drainage maps and analysis, Gieck Ranch discharges a total of 1,017 cfs onto the site. An existing 67" x 95" CMP conveys the offsite flow across Judge Orr Road onto the site. Major Drainageway WF-R7A conveys the stormwater through the site and onto its confluence with Main Stem (S1). The existing culvert at Judge Orr Road is undersized for existing and future flows. The DBPS recommends the culvert be upsized to four – 6' x 6' box culverts. The culvert will not be upsized with JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED. BY EL PASO COUNTY. rt and development. The culvert is owned by El Paso County and timing ovements will be controlled by the County.

by...

Based on existing channel analysis, the *Gieck Ranch DBPS* recommends WF-R7A channel improvements approximately 200' upstream and 300' downstream of the crossing at Judge Orr Road. Proposed channel improvements include a trapezoidal channel with 50' bottom width and 10:1 side slopes in addition to vegetative augmentation. Culvert and corresponding channel improvements within WF-R7A shall be coordinated in the future with the County. Channel improvement sheets from the *Gieck Ranch DBPS* are presented in Appendix E.

Are improvements possible in the jurisdictional wetlands?

The "*Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin Planning Study*" was used to establish a stormwater management plan for the existing and future stormwater infrastructur r Ranch Drainage Basin. Based on provided drainage maps and analysi n Haegler Ranch contributes a total of 710 cfs onto the site. Of the 710 s Road in an existing 24" CMP onto the site. Major Drainageway MS-06 con ugh the site and with Major Drainageway MS-05 crosses Curtis Road onto the site. Major Drainagewa ater

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED. 'PROPOSED' CHANGED TO 'RECOMMENDED'. THESE IMPROVEMENTS ARE NOT BEING PROPOSED WITH THIS DEVELOPMENT

JR RESPONSE: ADRRESSED, SEE REVISED TEXT. WE ARE NOT PROPOSING CHANNEL IMPROVEMNTS WITHIN JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS. THESE ARE RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMNTS FROM THE *GIECK RANCH DBPS.*

Clarify if this development is proposing these improvements.

through the site and to its off-site confluence with Major Drainageway MS-05. Both Curtis Road culverts are undersized for existing and future flows.

JR RESPONSE: JR WILL NOT BE PERFORMING AN OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THIS PROJECT. ALL FLOWS AT THIS LOCATION ORIGINATE OFFSITE AND THE CULVERT AND ROAD IS OUTSIDE THE SITE LIMITS.

ORIGINAL OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS FROM HAEGLER RANCH DBPS HAS BEEN INCLUDED IN THE APPENDIX E AND REFERENCE TO IT HAS BEEN INCLUDED IN TEXT.

The *Haegler Ranch DBPS* evaluated [illegible] n and sub regional. In the regional approach, it [illegible] h two – 8' x 6' box culverts and that the exis [illegible] al approach, these two culverts are left [illegible]

The culverts will not be upsized wit [illegible] erts are owned by El Paso County and timing [illegible] by the County.

Provide and discuss overtopping analyses.

Furthermore, the *Haegler Ranch DBPS* and *Gieck Ranch DBPS* recommend channel improvements within drainagew [illegible] ll recommended channel sections are trape [illegible] of five feet. Within the limits of the site, three [illegible] 06. The first reach, from station 0+00 – 31 [illegible] d reach from 31+34 to 74+61, MS-06 is propos [illegible] ation 74+61 - 103+62 is proposed with a [illegible] ajor Drainageway T-6 should be improved to a [illegible] e slopes and design depth of five feet.

JR RESPONSE: THESE ARE RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMNTS FROM THE HAEGLER RANCH DBPS. THIS GOES FOR ALL TEXT IN SECTION "MAJOR BASIN DESCRIPTIONS". FOR PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS, SEE SECTION "PROPOSED SUB BASIN DRAINAGE".

TEXT ADDED TO CLARIFY THESE ARE NOT PROPSOED IMPROVEMENTS.

Is this proposed to be constructed?
Show where on drainage plan.

Drop structures are also recommended within drainageways MS-06 and T-6 to limit erosive velocities. A total of twelve (12) four-foot drop structures are proposed within the on-site portion of MS-06 and seven (7) four-foot drops within the on-site portion of T-6. See recommended channel improvement sheets from the Haegler Ranch DBPS presented in Appendix E.
Based on flood impacts, stream stability and cost effectiveness, this study recommended a sub-regional detention approach. This allows future development anywhere in the basin with the construction of an associated sub-regional pond. Within the boundary of Saddlehorn Ranch, the DBPS recommended a total of three (3) sub-regional ponds. Based on discussion with the County, the site will utilize full spectrum water quality and detention ponds instead. These full spectrum detention ponds will limit developed discharge into the drainageways to less than historic rates.

The Santa Fe Springs – Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin LOMR was executed on Haegler Ranch Tributary 2, 3, and 4. The LOMR revised the onsite effective flood zones from Zone A to Zone AE for the three drainageways. Upstream stretches of Tributary 3 and 4 are classified Zone A but those channel reaches are off site. All stretches of Tributary 3 and 4 onsite are Zone AE. See FIRM Map Panel 080059-0575F for limits of LOMR study and revised flood zones, presented in Appendix E.

JR RESPONSE: CORRECT. THE EPC FLOODPLAIN ADMINISTRATOR HAS AGREED THAT ON SITE DETENTION IS THE BEST APPROACH FOR THE SITE. FULL SPECTRUM, ON SITE DETENTION IS PROPOSED FOR THE DEVELOPMENT.

Existing Sub-basin Drainage

On-site, existing drainage patterns are generally from [illegible] natural drainageways (MS-06, T-6, WF-R7A). On-site [illegible]

Please add that development upstream is now required to provide FSD. So it is now your opinion that the Sub-regional ponds are no longer needed. (that is what is being proposed, Correct?

which also bypass off-site flows through the site. Offsite flows within the major drainageways that pass through the site will influence the on-site culvert designs and any channel improvements.

On-site, existing drainage basins were established based upon existing topography and the limits of 100-year floodplain. The site was divided into eleven existing sub-basins. See Table 1 below for summary of existing drainage sub-basins and corresponding peak flows. An existing drainage map is provided in Appendix F.

Table 1: Existing Drainage Basin Summary

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE				
Tributary Sub-Basin	**Area (acres)**	**Percent Impervious**	**Q_5 (cfs)**	**Q_{100} (cfs)**
G1	10.1	2.0%	0.01	0.2
G2	87.6	2.0%	1.7	76.3
H1	166.5	2.0%	2.0	81.2
H2	111.1	2.0%	2.2	91.2
H3	118.9	2.0%	1.4	64.0
H4	63.3	2.0%	1.7	73.1
H5	53.2	2.0%	0.6	28.2
H6	87.6	2.0%	2.9	
CH1	23.9	2.0%	5.4	
CH2	84.2	2.0%	2.7	
CH3	19.1	2.0%	7.3	
Total	825.4	N/A	27.8	586.8

JR RESPONSE: THIS IS A HISTORIC FLOW THAT ORIGINATES OFFSITE AND PASSES THROUGH THE SITE. WE WILL NOT BE DETAINING THIS FLOW, THIS IS 'BYPASS FLOW'.

Address What the outflow is retaliative to historic, how FSD. will detain to hisoric?

The three major drainageways are discussed below.

The first major drainageway is the Gieck Ranch West Fork Reach 7A (WF-R7A), per the *Gieck Ranch DBPS*, which crosses onto the site along Judge Orr Road, approximately ¼ mile west of the intersection with Elbert Road. Two existing culverts convey an off-site offsite flow of 1,017 cfs cross Judge Orr Road onto the site. The two existing culverts are a 67” x 95” CMP and a 36” CMP. This off-site flow is conveyed through the site in WF-R7A to the southeast before exiting the site, approximately ¼ mile south of the Judge Orr Road & Elbert Road intersection. Per the *Gieck Ranch DBPS* future flow within WF-R7A was calculated at 753 cfs, based upon anticipated 2030 land use. This drainageway includes jurisdictional wetlands and the entire drainageway is classified Zone AE.

The second drainageway is the Haegler Ranch Main Stem (MS-06), per the *Haegler Ranch DBPS*, which crosses onto the site along Curtis Road, approximately 1,600’ south of the intersection with Judge Orr Road. An existing, offsite flow of 590 cfs crosses onto the site in an existing 24” CMP. This flow is conveyed south in MS-06 towards its offsite confluence with Black Squirrel Creek. MS-06 exits the site along the southern property line. Per the *Haegler Ranch DBPS*, future flow in this drainageway was calculated for a regional and sub-regional detention alternative, based upon anticipated 2030 land use. In the regional alternative, future flow was calculated at 890 cfs while the

sub-regional alternative calculated the future flow at 600 cfs. This drainageway includes jurisdictional and non-jurisdiction wetlands and the entire drainageway is classified Zone AE.

The third drainageway is the Haegler Ranch Tributary 6 (T-6), per the *Haegler Ranch DBPS*, which crosses onto the site along Curtis Road, approximately ¾ mile south of the intersection with Judge Orr Road. An existing, offsite flow of 120 cfs crosses onto the site in an existing 36" CMP and is conveyed south through the site within T-6. The flow is conveyed south through the site and towards its off-site confluence with Black Squirrel Creek. Per the *Haegler Ranch DBPS*, future flow in this drainageway was calculated for a regional and sub-regional detention alternative, based upon anticipated 2030 land use. In the regional alternative, future flow was calculated at 140 cfs while the sub-regional alternative calculated the future flow at 440 cfs. T-6 exits the site along the southern property line and continues towards its confluence with Black Squirrel Creek. This drainageway is absent of any on-site wetlands and the entire drainageway is classified Zone AE.

The Santa Fe Springs – Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin LOMR was executed on three Haegler Ranch basin drainageways. Two of the drainageways that were evaluated pass through the proposed development. These drainageways are the: Haegler Ranch Tributary 3 & 4. Within the boundary of the proposed development, Haegler Ranch Tributary 3 and 4 are synonymous with Main Stem 6 and Tributary 6 from the *Haegler Ranch DBPS*. The purpose of the LOMR was to revise the flood hazard depicted in the current Flood Insurance Study. Additionally, the LOMR provided existing, 100-year velocities within the drainageways that will be utilized in the design of channel improvements. A FIRM panel with the limits of the detailed study as well as revised BFEs has been presented in Appendix E.

See Table 2 for comparison of drainageway identification and the naming convention used within the context of this report. See Table 3 for a comparison of 100-year flows as calculated in the aforementioned DBPS and LOMR. An existing conditions drainage map is presented in Appendix F.

Table 2: Major Drainageways

Major Drainageway Naming Conventions			
Saddlehorn Ranch MDDP/PDR:	Per Haegler Ranch DBPS:	Per Geick Ranch DBPS:	Per Sante Fe Springs LOMR:
WF-R7A	N/A*	West Fork (Middle)/WF-R7A	N/A*
MS-06	Main Stem (MS-06)	N/A*	Haegler Ranch Tributary 3
T-6	Tributary 6 (T-6)	N/A*	Haegler Ranch Tributary 4

Table 3: Major Drainageways – Ex. 100-Year Flow Comparison

Major Drainageways: 100-Year Flow Comparison			
Drainageway Name	Q_{100} Per Haegler Ranch DBPS:	Q_{100} Per Geick Ranch DBPS:	Q_{100} Per Sante Fe Springs LOMR:
WF-R7A @ Judge Orr Road	N/A*	1,017 cfs	N/A*
MS-06 @ Curtis Road	451 cfs	N/A*	505 cfs
T-6 @ Curtis Road	120 cfs	N/A*	130 cfs

*N/A: Flow regime outside limits of study.

Proposed Sub-basin Drainage

Basin A is approximately 9.2 acres and in its existing condition is rolling rangeland. Runoff generally flows southeast away from Drainageway MS-06. In the proposed condition, Basin A will be rural 2.5 acre lots and roadway. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side swales and conveyed south along Barrosito Drive to Temporary Sediment Control Pond A. In the event that Barrosito Drive is developed further to the south, the developer will be required to replace Temporary Sediment Control Pond A with a full spectrum water quality and detention pond in the new development to accept Basin A flow. The peak flow rate for Basin A in the 5 and 100-year storm are 10.6 cfs and 22.1 cfs, respectively. However, Pond A will discharge at less than historic rates.

Convert Temp. Pond A to a permanent facility that is not in the ROW of Barrosito Trail.

Basin B is approximately 59.0 acres and in its existing condition is rolling rangeland. Runoff generally flows southwest across the basin towards Drainageway MS-06. In the proposed condition, Basin B will be rural 2.5 acr off from this basin will be collected in road side o Pond B. The peak flow rate for Basin B in the tively. However, Pond B will discharge at les

JR RESPONSE: NOT ADDRESSED. POND A WILL REMAIN A TEMP. SEDIMENT CONTROL POND IN THE PROPOSED CONDITION. WITH FUTURE DEVELOPMENT, THE POND WILL BE CONVERTED TO A PERMANENT FSD FACILITY.

Basin C is approximately 102.5 acres and in its existing condition is rolling rangeland. Runoff generally flows southwest across the basin towards Drainageway MS-06. In the proposed condition, Basin C will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway and will include Pond C. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side swales and conveyed south along Barrosito Drive and Del Cambre Drive to Pond C. The peak flow rate for Basin C in the 5 and 100-year storm are 16.3 cfs and 70.1 cfs, respectively. However, Pond C will discharge at less than historic rates.

Basin D is approximately 99.2 acres and in its existing condition is rolling rangeland. Runoff generally flows east across the basin towards Drainageway WF-R7A. In the proposed condition, Basin D will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway and will include Pond D. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side swales and conveyed east along Barrosito drive to Pond D. The peak

flow rate for Basin D in the 5 and 100-year storm are 30.3 cfs and 95.7 cfs, respectively. However, Pond D will discharge at less than historic rates.

Basin E is approximately 11.6 acres and in its existing condition is rolling rangeland. Runoff generally flows east across the basin towards Drainageway MS-06. In the proposed condition, Basin E will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway and will include Pond E. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side swales and conveyed southwest along San Isidro Trail to Pond E. The peak flow rate for Basin E in the 5 and 100-year storm are 2.1 cfs and 9.9 cfs, respectively. However, Pond E will discharge at less than historic rates.

Basin F is approximately 116.3 acres and in its existing condition is rolling rangeland. Runoff generally flows southeast across the basin towards Drainageway MS-06. In the proposed condition, Basin F will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway and will include Pond F. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side swales and conveyed southwest along Benito Wells Trail to Pond F. The peak flow rate for Basin F in the 5 and 100-year storm are 17.1 cfs and 69.5 cfs, respectively. However, Pond F will discharge at less than historic rates.

Basin G is approximately 40.4 acres and in its existing condition is rolling rangeland. Runoff generally flows south across the basin towards Drainageway T-6. In the proposed condition, Basin G will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway and will include Pond G. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side swales and conveyed southwest along El Raiceno Trail to Pond G. The peak flow rate for Basin G in the 5 and 100-year storm are 6.6 cfs and 26.3, respectively. However, Pond G will discharge at less than historic rates.

Basin H is approximately 32.8 acres and in its existing condition is rolling rangeland. Runoff generally flows east across the basin towards Drainageway T-6. In the proposed condition, Basin H will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway and will include Pond H. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side swales and conveyed north along Rosalia Place to Pond H. The peak flow rate for Basin H in the 5 and 100-year storm are 4.2 cfs and 19.9 cfs, respectively. However, Pond H will discharge at less than historic rates.

Basin I is approximately 44.4 acres and in its existing condition is rolling rangeland. Runoff generally flows east across the basin towards Drainageway T-6. In the proposed condition, Basin I will be rural 2.5 acre lots, paved roadway and will include Pond I. Runoff from this basin will be collected in road side swales and conveyed south down Carrizo Springs Trail and east down Zaragoza Trail to Pond I. The peak flow rate for Basin I in the 5 and 100-year storm are 14.9 cfs and 59.5 cfs, respectively. However, Pond I will discharge at less than historic rates.

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED. THIS WAS AN OLD SECTION THAT WASNT UPDATED PRIOR TO SUBMITTAL. SEE REVISED TEXT.

ng condition is rolling rangeland. Runoff
ageway T-6. In the proposed condition, Basin J
nclude Pond J. Runoff from this basin will be
collected in road side swales and conveyed south along Lavaca Way to Pond J. The peak flow rate

These items where not shown on the proposed drainage plan.

for Basin J in the 5 and 100-year storm are 3.4 cfs and 11.1 cfs, respectively. However, Pond J will discharge at less than historic rates.

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED. THIS WAS AN OLD SECTION THAT WASNT UPDATED PRIOR TO SUBMITTAL. SEE REVISED TEXT.

See Table 4 on the next page for comparison of propose

All undeveloped, on-site basins (UD1-UD11 and CH1-CH3) will follow existing drainage patterns and remain in their undeveloped condition. Basin UD1 flows directly into Major Drainageway WF-R7A. Basins UD2, UD2.1, UD2.2, UD3, UD4, UD5 and UD8 flow directly into Major Drainageway MS-06. Basins UD6, UD7, UD9, and UD9.1 flow directly into Major Drainageway T-6. Basins UD8.1, UD10, and UD11 follow existing drainage patterns as well but flow directly off-site prior to being captured in major drainageways. Basin CH1 contains jurisdictional wetlands. Basins CH2 and UD10 contain non-jurisdictional wetlands. Peak flow rates for proposed undeveloped basins are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Proposed Basin Summary Table

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE				
Tributary Sub-Basin	**Area (acres)**	**Composite Percent Impervious**	**Q_5 (cfs)**	**Q_{100} (cfs)**
A	9.2	66.6%	10.6	22.1
B	59.0	10.4%	9.9	45.3
C	102.5	11.4%	16.3	70.1
D	99.2	10.8%	30.3	95.7
E	11.6	11.6%	2.1	9.9
F	116.3	9.9%	17.1	69.5
G	40.4	16.8%	6.6	26.3
H	32.8	9.4%	4.2	19.9
I	44.4	9.4%	14.9	59.5
J	10.1	8.8%	3.4	11.1
UD1	12.4	2%	0.3	13.9
UD2	12.8	2%	0.2	7.7
UD2.1	14.8	2%	0.4	14.7
UD2.2	7.2	2%	0.1	5.5
UD3	13.4	2%	0.3	13.1
UD4	4.8	2%	0.1	3.4
UD5	36.4	2%	4.1	27.4
UD6	22.1	2%	0.3	12.5

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE (CONT.)				
Tributary Sub-Basin	**Area (acres)**	**Composite Percent Impervious**	**Q_5 (cfs)**	**Q_{100} (cfs)**
UD7	9.3	2%	0.7	7.4
UD8	5.3	2%	0.1	4.0
UD8.1	5.3	2%	0.14	5.6
UD9	4.8	2%	0.1	4.2
UD9.1	6.4	2%	0.2	8.1
UD10	11.1	2%	0.2	7.3
UD11	6.6	2%	0.1	4.9
CH1	23.9	2%	6.0	1,038.8
CH2	84.2	2%	3.9	485.7
CH3	19.1	2%	0.1	136.4
Total	825.4	N/A	132.7	2,230.0

All developed basins runoff will be captured in roadside ditches and conveyed to a full spectrum water quality and detention pond. Each full spectrum pond will release treated flows at less than historic rates to minimize adverse impacts downstream. Ponds D and J will discharge into Major Drainageway WF-7A, Pond B, C, E, and F will discharge into Major Drainageway MS-06 and Ponds G, H, and I will discharge into Major Drainageway T-6. Due to existing topography, Temporary Sediment Control Pond A will discharge into open space south of the site. Based on existing topography in the area, this flow will eventually be captured off-site by Major Drainageway MS-06.

See Table 5 below for comparison of proposed pond parameters.

See comment on Drainage plan. This needs to be a permanent facility.

Table 5: Pond Summary

JR RESPONSE: NOT ADDRESSED. POND A WILL REMAIN A TEMP. SEDIMENT CONTROL POND IN THE PORPOSED CONDITION. WITH FUTURE DEVELOPMENT, THE POND WILL BE CONVERTED TO A PERMANENT FSD FACILITY.

POND SUMMARY TABLE						
Tributary Sub-Basin	**Pond Name**	**Tributary Acres**	**WQ Volume (ac-ft)**	**100-Year Volume (ac-ft)**		**(cfs)**
A	POND A	9.2	0.20	1.14	1.14	2.52
B	POND B	59.0	0.34	1.43	2.17	18.27
C	POND C	102.5	0.64	2.69	2.77	26.01
D	POND D	99.2	0.59	2.86	2.97	47.70
E	POND E	11.6	0.05	0.22	0.39	4.23
F	POND F	116.3	0.64	3.17	3.35	50.04

POND SUMMARY TABLE (CONT.)						
Tributary Sub-Basin	**Pond Name**	**Tributary Acres**	**WQ Volume (ac-ft)**	**100-Year Volume (ac-ft)**	**Provided Volume (ac-ft)**	**Maximum 100-Year Discharge (cfs)**
G	POND G	40.4	0.34	1.37	1.62	10.26
H	POND H	32.8	0.17	0.74	1.18	11.61
I	POND I	44.4	0.24	1.05	1.41	25.02
J	POND J	10.1	0.05	0.24	0.40	5.13

DRAINAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

Development Criteria Reference

Storm drainage analysis and design criteria for the project were taken from the "*City of Colorado Spring/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual*" Volumes 1 and 2 (EPCDCM), dated October 12, 1994, the "*Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual"* Volumes 1 - 3 (USDCM) and Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the "Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual (CCSDCM), dated May 2014, as adopted by El Paso County.

Hydrologic Criteria

All hydrologic data was obtained from the "El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual" Volumes 1 and 2, and the "Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual" Volumes 1, 2, and 3. Onsite drainage improvements were designed based on the 5 year (minor) storm event and the 100-year (major) storm event. Runoff was calculated using CUHP Version 2.0.0, developed by Urban Drainage and Flood Control District. The model utilizes the raingage classified as "a design storm by temporal distribution of one-hour rain depths with area correction factors". The following Colorado Springs rainfall depths were utilized in the model: 2.52 inches for 1-hour 100-year depth and 3.5 inches for 6-hour 100-year depth. EPA SWMM 5.1 was utilized to route runoff flow rates for the sizing of stormwater storage facilities. The CUHP calculations and SWMM model are presented in Appendix B.

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District's UD-Detention, Version 3.07 workbook was used for preliminary pond sizing. Required detention volumes and allowable release rates were designed per USDCM and CCS/EPCDCM. Pond sizing spreadsheets are presented in Appendix D.

Hydraulic Criteria

The Federal Highway Administration's HY-8 program (Volume 7.50) was used to analyze the proposed box culvert within Major Drainageways MS-06 and T-6. Per Section 14.3.2 of the CCS/EPCDCM, a maximum headwater to rise ratio of 1.5 was used for the sizing of box culverts. Furthermore, box culverts will be designed in conjunction with channel improvements to maintain the current floodplain and base flood elevations. Culvert sizing and corresponding channel

improvements will be revised as roadway geometry becomes better defined. Preliminary culvert design sheets are presented in Appendix C.

Autodesk Inc.'s Hydraflow Express Extension (Volume 10.5) was used for preliminary roadside ditch design. For the purposes of this PDR/MDDP, the maximum roadside ditch size was determined based on peak 100-year flows and minimum roadway slopes within each basin. Swales were checked for velocity and capacity per the CCS/EPCDCM Section 12.3.2.2. Any swale cross sections with a 100-year velocity greater than 5 ft/s will include periodic drop structures to limit velocities below 5 ft/s.

address Froude number and shear stress as well.

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED .FROUDE NUMBER ANALYSIS ADDED TO SWALE CROSS SECTIONS AND TEXT UPDATED.

Autodesk Inc.'s Hydraflow Express [illegible] road crossing culvert design with in the F[illegible] culverts are assumed to be 18" or 24" CMP base[illegible]rmined based on 100-year flows and hydraulic criteria from EPCDCM Chapter 9 –Culvert Design.

The Final Drainage Report will provide final sizing of all swales and road crossing culverts. Hydraflow swale design sheets are presented in Appendix C.

Some of the lots adjacent to the drainage ways will have developed flows discharging into the natural drainage-ways. Please comment on this. Is the proposed ponds designed to address this.

DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED. SEE UPDATED TEXT.

General Concept

The proposed stormwater conveyance system was designed to convey the developed Saddlehorn Ranch flows to full spectrum water quality and detention ponds. Water quality and detention ponds will be designed to release at less than historic rates to minimize adverse impacts downstream. Undeveloped basins are allowed to follow existing drainage patterns and discharge directly into major drainageways or off-site.

A box culvert will be proposed within Major Drainageway MS-06 and T-6 to convey existing, off site and developed, on-site flows underneath proposed roadways and through the site, in accordance with the *Haegler Ranch DBPS*. Culverts will not be required in Major Drainageway WF-R7A to maintain the drainage patterns established in the *Gieck Ranch DBPS.*

Channel improvements will be proposed immediately up and downstream of culvert improvements in order to maintain the current floodplain. Further channel improvements may be required within the major drainageways where 100-year flow velocities exceed 5 ft/s, per the velocities established in the *Santa Fe Springs – Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin LOMR*. Velocities will be limited to below 5 ft/s via channel armoring and drop structures. Any required channel improvement will be detailed within the Final Drainage Report. A proposed drainage map is presented in Appendix F showing approximate locations of channel and culvert improvements.

You will have to demonstrate that the soils and re-vegetation can handle 5 feet per second.

1JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED. REVEGETATION WILL BE PER TABLE 10-4 IN THE EPC DCM VOLUME 1.

Specific Details

Four Step Process to Minimize Adverse Impacts of Urbanization

In accordance with the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume 2 this site has implemented the four step process to minimize adverse impacts of urbanization. The four step process includes reducing runoff volumes, stabilizing drainageways, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), and consider the need for Industrial Commercial BMP's.

Step 1, Reducing Runoff Volumes: The development of the project site is proposed lot lot single family residential (2.5 ac. min.) with open spaces and lawn areas interspersed within the development which helps disconnect impervious areas and reduce runoff volumes. Roadways will utilize roadside ditches further disconnecting impervious areas. These practices will also allow for increased infiltration and reduce runoff volume.

Step 2, Stabilize Drainageways: This site will utilize roadside ditches with culvert crossings throughout the site. These roadside ditches will then direct the on-site development flows to the multiple detention ponds within the project that will be designed to release at or below historic rates in the natural channels. The natural channels will be stabilized in reaches with high velocity by the use of drop structures incorporated at each roadway culvert crossing and isolated grade control structures where warranted. Based upon the proposed reduction in released flows compared to the pre-developed flows, no impact to downstream drainageways is anticipated.

Step 3, Provide WQCV: Runoff from this development will be treated through capture and slow release of the WQCV in multiple permanent detention basins that will be designed per current El Paso County drainage criteria.

Step 4 Consider the need for Industrial and Commercial BMP's: No industrial or commercial uses are proposed within this development. However, a site specific storm water quality and erosion control plan and narrative will be prepared for each future Filing. Site specific temporary source control BMPs as well as permanent BMP's will be detailed in this plan and narrative to protect receiving waters.

Water Quality

In accordance with Section 13.3.2.1 of the CCS/EPCDCM, full spectrum water quality and detention will be provided for all developed basins. Outlet structure release rates will be limited to less than historic rates to minimize adverse impacts to downstream stormwater facilities. Complete pond and outlet structure designs will be provided with the Final Drainage Report. Preliminary pond design parameters are presented in Appendix D.

Erosion Control Plan

The El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual specifies an Erosion Control Plan and associated cost estimate must be submitted with each Final Drainage Report. We respectfully request that the

Erosion Control Plan and Cost Estimate be submitted in conjunction with the grading and erosion control plans and construction assurances posted prior to obtaining a grading permit.

1JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED. CALCULATION ADDED TO APPENDIX B.

iveness of the stormwater infrastructure, maintenance
ntenance, restorative maintenance, rehabilitation and repair,
are required. The proposed drainage structures within the any platted County ROW will be owned and maintained by El Paso County. All proposed drainage structures within easements or tracts will
maintained by the Saddlehorn Ranch Metropolitan District (to be officially named and
r to final platting).

That number is closer to a 5 acre development. Show calculations that include streets.

Bridge Fees

ridge Fees are due at time of final platting. An estimate of basin fees for t
thin Haegler Ranch drainage basin is provided in Table 6. A portion of S
and CH1) is not within an approved drainage basin, therefore; no drainag
fees will be required for this area. Basin and bridge fees are presented in Table 6 below.

JR RESPONSE: CULVERT OVERTOPPING IS PER THE DBPS. OVERTOPPING DISCUSSION ADDED TO TEXT.

JR RESPONSE: TEXT ADDED TO OPERATION AND MAINT. SECTION TO ADDRESS.

Table 6: Drainage Basin a

er Ranch Drainage Basin Fees					
	Composite % pervious	Total Impervious Acreage	2018 Drainage Fee (per Impervious Acre)	2018 Bridge Fee (per Impervious Acre)	Saddlehorn Ranch Drainage Fee
	.56%	59.83	$10,324	$1,524	$644,220.08

JR RESPONSE: CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS ARE DIRECTLY TIED TO CULVERT IMPROVEMENTS. CULVERT IMPROVEMENTS ARE TO CONTROLLED BY COUNTY AND THEREFORE CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS WILL NOT OCCUR WITH THIS DEVELOPMENT. FURTHER, DBPS HAS NOT YET BEEN ACCEPTED BY EPC.

JR RESPONSE: MAINTENANCE ROADS PROVIDED AT CULVERT CROSSINGS TO ALLOW ACCESS DOWN INTO DRAINAGEWAY. ADDEDD TO DRAINAGE PLAN. MAINTENANCE ACCESS TO WF-R7A NOT PROVIDED SINCE NO IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED WITHIN THE DRAINAGEWAY.

EXISTING GRADES ALLOW FOR ACCESS ONCE WITHIN THE DRAINAGEWAY.

n Cost Opinion

ation Only / Non-Reimbursable)
n to be provided with Final Drainage R

JR RESPONSE: ALL PONDS ARE PER UDFCD FULL SPECTRUM METHOD AS DESCRIBED IN THE TEXT. SDI SHEETS WILL BE COMPLETED WITH FINAL DRAIANGE REPORT ADN FINAL OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN.

ARY

d development remains consistent with age conditions w
of the recommended drainage improv s, culverts, detention
rainage channel improvements. The pr ll not adversely affect the
drainageways or surrounding develop the latest El Paso County
iteria requirements for this site.

he 300 feet of Gieck Ranch Channel improvements that are a DBPS obligation for when this parcel develops.
The FSD/SWQ ponds need to be constructed to a public Standard (UDFCD) details, in order to comply with regulations. SDI etc.
Discuss the undersized culverts in Judge Orr & Curtis and how these road over-topping areas are addressed. Does the FEMA floodplain address this?
Address access along the Drainage ways. These three major drainage ways need an adjacent access road for maintenance.
Address Easements of drainage ways and ponds. Easements owned and maintained by Saddlehorn..... inspection access granted through a Maintenance easement with El Paso County

REFERENCES:

1. City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1, City of Colorado Springs, CO, May 2014.

2. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, Latest Revision.

3. Gieck Ranch Drainage Basin Planning Study, Drexel, Barrell & Co., October 2007 and revised in February 2010.

4. Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin Planning Study, URS Corporation, May 2009.

5. The Santa Fe Springs – Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin LOMR, Federal Emergency Management Agency, October 20, 2004.

APPENDIX A

FIGURES AND EXHIBITS

5000 2500 0 5000 10000

ORIGINAL SCALE: 1" = 5000'

VICINITY MAP
SADDLEHORN RANCH
25142.00
04/29/19
SHEET 1 OF 1

J·R ENGINEERING
A Westrian Company
Centennial 303–740–9393 • Colorado Springs 719–593–2593
Fort Collins 970–491–9888 • www.jrengineering.com

X:\2510000.all\2514200\Drawings\Blocks\2514200_VIC MAP.dwg, VICINITY MAP, 4/22/2019 10:56:46 AM, CS

Hydrologic Soil Group—El Paso County Area, Colorado

Map Scale: 1:13,000 if printed on A portrait (8.5" x 11") sheet.

N

Meters: 0 150 300 600 900

Feet: 0 500 1000 2000 3000

Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 13N WGS84

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

Soil Rating Polygons

- A
- A/D
- B
- B/D
- C
- C/D
- D
- Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Lines

- A
- A/D
- B
- B/D
- C
- C/D
- D
- Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Points

- A
- A/D
- B
- B/D
- C
- C/D
- D
- Not rated or not available

Water Features

Streams and Canals

Transportation

- Rails
- Interstate Highways
- US Routes
- Major Roads
- Local Roads

Background

Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado
Survey Area Data: Version 16, Sep 10, 2018

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 22, 2016—Aug 17, 2017

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	A	388.3	44.6%
19	Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	A	307.3	35.3%
29	Fluvaquentic Haplaquolls, nearly level	D	150.0	17.2%
83	Stapleton sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	B	24.6	2.8%
95	Truckton loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	A	0.6	0.1%
Totals for Area of Interest			**870.8**	**100.0%**

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher

NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The **community map repository** should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations** (BFEs) and/or **floodways** have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control structures**. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The **projection** used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The **horizontal datum** was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the **North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88)**. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same **vertical datum**. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services
NOAA, N/NGS12
National Geodetic Survey
SSMC-3, #9202
1315 East-West Highway
Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2008.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date **stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations** than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact **FEMA Map Service Center** (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

If you have **questions about this map** or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call **1-877-FEMA MAP** (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table

Flooding Source	Vertical Datum Offset (ft)
REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION	

Panel Location Map

This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.

NOTE: MAP AREA SHOWN ON THIS PANEL IS LOCATED WITHIN TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST, AND TOWNSHIP 13 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST.

LEGEND

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD

The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood Hazard Area is the area subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Hazard include Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, and VE. The Base Flood Elevation is the water-surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood.

ZONE A	No Base Flood Elevations determined.
ZONE AE	Base Flood Elevations determined.
ZONE AH	Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevations determined.
ZONE AO	Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined.
ZONE AR	Special Flood Hazard Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.
ZONE A99	Area to be protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations determined.
ZONE V	Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood Elevations determined.
ZONE VE	Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood Elevations determined.

FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE

The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

OTHER FLOOD AREAS

ZONE X	Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.

OTHER AREAS

ZONE X	Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.
ZONE D	Areas in which flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.

COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS

OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs)

CBRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.

	Floodplain boundary
	Floodway boundary
	Zone D Boundary
	CBRS and OPA boundary
	Boundary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Flood Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities.
513	Base Flood Elevation line and value; elevation in feet*
(EL 987)	Base Flood Elevation value where uniform within zone; elevation in feet*

* Referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)

A — A	Cross section line
23 - - - 23	Transect line
97° 07' 30.00" 32° 22' 30.00"	Geographic coordinates referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83)
^{4275000m}N	1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, zone 13
6000000 FT	5000-foot grid ticks: Colorado State Plane coordinate system, central zone (FIPSZONE 0502), Lambert Conformal Conic Projection
DX5510 ×	Bench mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of this FIRM panel)
• M1.5	River Mile

MAP REPOSITORIES
Refer to Map Repositories list on Map Index

EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE
FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP
MARCH 17, 1997

EFFECTIVE DATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL
DECEMBER 7, 2018 - to update corporate limits, to change Base Flood Elevations and Special Flood Hazard Areas, to update map format, to add roads and road names, and to incorporate previously issued Letters of Map Revision.

For community map revision history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community Map History Table located in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

MAP SCALE 1" = 500'

250 0 500 1000 FEET

150 0 150 300 METERS

NFIP

PANEL 0558G

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

FIRM
FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

PANEL 558 OF 1300

(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)

CONTAINS:

COMMUNITY	NUMBER	PANEL	SUFFIX
EL PASO COUNTY	080059	0558	G

Notice to User: The **Map Number** shown below should be used when placing map orders; the **Community Number** shown above should be used on insurance applications for the subject community.

MAP NUMBER
08041C0558G

MAP REVISED
DECEMBER 7, 2018

Federal Emergency Management Agency

APPENDIX B

HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure

Version 2.0.0 - Release Date: 9/9/2016

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District
Denver, Colorado
email: udfcd@udfcd.org

Purpose: This program produces hydrographs using the Colorado Unit Hydrograph Procedure (CUHP)

Functions:

Edit Raingages	Add/Remove Raingages and change names
Edit Subcatchments	Edit subcatchment parameters
Edit Multiple Run Options	Edit the Multiple Run options (Advanced User Features)
Import CUHP 2005 File	Import an older CUHP 2005 workbook into this updated version of CUHP
Check Subcatchments	Check whether subcatchment inputs conform to UDFCD guidelines
Check SWMM Nodes	Check whether all subcatchment target nodes are included in the SWMM .inp file
Run CUHP	Calculate effective precipitation and generate hydrographs for each subcatchment

Settings:

Fill in the blue cells to begin:

Project Title:	Saddlehorn Ranch
Project Comment:	Ex. Conditions Analysis
Time Step Between Computations:	5 Minute(s); typically 5 or 1 (peak flow rate will differ slightly).
	☐ Use Relative Path Names
Output Workbook Filename:	X:\2510000.all\2514200\CUHP-SWMM\Existing_CUHP_2002.xlsm.xlsx
CUHP/SWMM Interface Filename (Optional):	X:\2510000.all\2514200\CUHP-SWMM\Existing_CUHP_2002.xlsm.txt
EPA SWMM 5 Input Filename (Optional):	X:\2510000.all\2514200\CUHP-SWMM\Ex. Conditions Model.inp
EPA SWMM 5 Application File (Optional):	
SWMM Hydrograph Start Time (Optional):	

Acknowledgements: Thanks to Ben Urbonas, P.E., D.WRE and James C.Y.Guo, PhD, P.E., for the development of the CUHP project.

CUHP SUBCATCHMENTS

Columns with this color heading are for required user-input
Columns with this color heading are for optional override values
Columns with this color heading are for program-calculated values

								Maximum Depression Storage (Watershed inches)		Horton's Infiltration Parameters			DCIA
Subcatchment Name	**EPA SWMM Target Node**	**Raingage**	**Area (mi^2)**	**Length to Centroid (mi)**	**Length (mi)**	**Slope (ft/ft)**	**Percent Imperviousness**	**Pervious**	**Impervious**	**Initial Rate (in/hr)**	**Decay Coefficient (1/seconds)**	**Final Rate (in/hr)**	**Level 0, 1, or 2**
CH1	CH1	EPC	0.03734375	0.210994318	0.4289773	0.01	2	0.4	0	3	0.0018	0.5	0
CH2	CH2	EPC	0.13185938	0.930530303	1.4477273	0.015	2	0.4	0	4	0.0013	0.75	0
CH3	CH3	EPC	0.032921875	0.420583333	0.7320076	0.015	2	0.4	0	4.81	0.0011	0.85	0
H1	H1	EPC	0.260140625	0.229166666	0.821917	0.01	2	0.4	0	5	0.0007	1	0
H2	H2	EPC	0.173578125	0.129545454	0.4912879	0.025	2	0.4	0	5	0.0007	1	0
H3	H3	EPC	0.18325	0.490719697	0.932197	0.015	2	0.4	0	4.64	0.0009	0.73	0
H4	H4	EPC	0.098890625	0.085984848	0.5267045	0.0225	2	0.4	0	3.82	0.0008	0.74	0
H5	H5	EPC	0.0831875	0.236931818	0.7267045	0.02	2	0.4	0	4.93	0.0009	0.94	0
H6	H6	EPC	0.13679688	0.046022727	0.4	0.04	2	0.4	0	5	0.0007	1	0
G1	G1	EPC	0.01575	0.210606061	0.3015152	0.018	2	0.4	0	5	0.00007	1	0
G2	G2	EPC	0.1368125	0.235606061	0.6857955	0.02	2	0.4	0	4.32	0.0011	0.83	0

Typical Depression Losses for Various Land Covers (All Values in Inches)

Land Cover	Range in Depression (Retention) Losses	Recommended
Impervious:		
Large paved area	0.05 - 0.15	0.1
Roofs-flat	0.1 - 0.3	0.1
Roofs-sloped	0.05 - 0.1	0.05
Pervious:		
Lawn grass	0.2 - 0.5	0.35
Wooded areas and c	0.2 - 0.6	0.4

Recommended Horton's Equation Parameters

NRCS Hydrologic Soil Group	Infiltration (inches per hour)		Decay Coefficient - a
	Initial - f_i	Final - f_o	
A	5.0	1.0	0.0007
B	4.5	0.6	0.0018
C	3.0	0.5	0.0018
D	3.0	0.5	0.0018

Level 2?

1JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED. SWMM RECOMPUTED WITH DCIA LEVEL 2

RUN MULTIPLE CUHP AND SWMM SCENARIOS

Columns with this color heading are for required user-input
Columns with this color heading are for program-calculated values

Subcatchment Name	Existing Landuse % Imperviousness	Future Landuse % Imperviousness
CH1	2	2
CH2	2	2
CH3	2	2
H1	2	2
H2	2	2
H3	2	2
H4	2	2
H5	2	2
H6	2	2
G1	2	2
G2	2	2

Raingage	Return Period (Years)	1 Hr Depths (in)	6 Hr Depths (in)
EPC	WQ	0.6	N/A
	2	1.19	2.1
	5	1.5	2.7
	10	1.75	3.2
	25	2	3.6
	50	2.25	4.2
	100	2.52	4.6

SWMM Run Wait Time (sec)
5

Enter "X" to Run Scenario	Scenario ID	Land Use (E or F)	Return Period (yr)	Correction Area (Sq.Mi.)
X	WQ	E	WQ	0
	2-YR	E	2	1
X	5-YR	E	5	1
	10-YR	E	10	1
	25-YR	E	25	1
	50-YR	E	50	1
X	100-YR	E	100	1

(Optional) SWMM Time Series Inflow "Modification Type" (LU, RP, or LU&RP)

(Optional) SWMM Time Series Inflow Table "NAME"

Comment	El Paso County Rainfall Depths				
1Hr Depth	2.52	inches	2hr Depth	2.86	inches
6Hr Depth	3.5	inches	3hr Depth	3.11	inches
Correction Area	1	Sq. Mi.			
Return Period	100	Years			

NOAA Atlas 14 Point Precipitation Frequency

Time	Adjusted Depth	Unadjusted Depth
0:05	0.0252	0.0252
0:10	0.0756	0.0756
0:15	0.1159	0.1159
0:20	0.2016	0.2016
0:25	0.3528	0.3528
0:30	0.6300	0.6300
0:35	0.3528	0.3528
0:40	0.2016	0.2016
0:45	0.1562	0.1562
0:50	0.1260	0.1260
0:55	0.1008	0.1008
1:00	0.1008	0.1008
1:05	0.1008	0.1008
1:10	0.0504	0.0504
1:15	0.0504	0.0504
1:20	0.0302	0.0302
1:25	0.0302	0.0302
1:30	0.0302	0.0302
1:35	0.0302	0.0302
1:40	0.0302	0.0302
1:45	0.0302	0.0302
1:50	0.0302	0.0302
1:55	0.0302	0.0302
2:00	0.0302	0.0302
2:05	0.0000	0.0000
2:10	0.0000	0.0000
2:15	0.0000	0.0000
2:20	0.0000	0.0000
2:25	0.0000	0.0000
2:30	0.0000	0.0000
2:35	0.0000	0.0000
2:40	0.0000	0.0000
2:45	0.0000	0.0000
2:50	0.0000	0.0000
2:55	0.0000	0.0000
3:00	0.0000	0.0000
3:05	0.0000	0.0000
3:10	0.0000	0.0000
3:15	0.0000	0.0000
3:20	0.0000	0.0000
3:25	0.0000	0.0000
3:30	0.0000	0.0000
3:35	0.0000	0.0000
3:40	0.0000	0.0000
3:45	0.0000	0.0000
3:50	0.0000	0.0000

SADDLEHORN RANCH - EX. CONDITIONS SWMM MODEL

01/01/2005 00:15:00

SADDLEHORN RANCH - EX. 5-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node Inflow Summary

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
CH1	JUNCTION	5.39	5.39	0	00:50	0.233	0.233	0.000
CH2	JUNCTION	2.68	2.68	0	01:05	0.187	0.187	0.000
CH3	JUNCTION	0.15	0.15	0	00:55	0.0103	0.0103	0.000
DP1	JUNCTION	0.00	7.05	0	00:46	0	0.282	0.000
DP2	JUNCTION	0.00	1.71	0	00:40	0	0.0482	0.000
DP3	JUNCTION	0.00	1.96	0	00:40	0	0.0499	0.000
DP4	JUNCTION	0.00	2.20	0	00:30	0	0.0332	0.000
DP5	JUNCTION	0.00	1.35	0	00:50	0	0.0568	0.000
DP6	JUNCTION	0.00	1.73	0	00:35	0	0.0319	0.000
DP7	JUNCTION	0.00	6.07	0	01:10	0	0.371	0.000
DP8	JUNCTION	0.00	2.86	0	00:30	0	0.0256	0.000
DP9	JUNCTION	0.00	2.15	0	00:52	0	0.0586	0.000
G1	JUNCTION	0.01	0.01	0	00:50	0.000361	0.000361	0.000
G2	JUNCTION	1.71	1.71	0	00:40	0.0482	0.0482	0.000
H1	JUNCTION	1.96	1.96	0	00:40	0.0499	0.0499	0.000
H2	JUNCTION	2.20	2.20	0	00:30	0.0332	0.0332	0.000
H3	JUNCTION	1.35	1.35	0	00:50	0.0568	0.0568	0.000

SADDLEHORN RANCH - EX. 5-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
H4	JUNCTION	1.73	1.73	0	00:35	0.0319	0.0319	0.000
H5	JUNCTION	0.62	0.62	0	00:40	0.0201	0.0201	0.000
H6	JUNCTION	2.86	2.86	0	00:30	0.0256	0.0256	0.000
J13	JUNCTION	0.00	1.27	0	01:15	0	0.0588	0.000
J14	JUNCTION	0.00	1.23	0	01:30	0	0.0583	0.000
J15	JUNCTION	0.00	1.27	0	01:04	0	0.0567	0.000
J16	JUNCTION	0.00	2.24	0	00:37	0	0.0268	0.000

SADDLEHORN RANCH - EX. 100-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node Inflow Summary

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
CH1	JUNCTION	1038.04	1038.04	0	00:55	165	165	0.000
CH2	JUNCTION	485.16	485.16	0	01:15	75.2	75.2	0.000
CH3	JUNCTION	137.26	137.26	0	01:10	21.5	21.5	0.000
DP1	JUNCTION	0.00	1114.05	0	00:51	0	167	0.000
DP2	JUNCTION	0.00	76.34	0	00:50	0	2.24	0.000
DP3	JUNCTION	0.00	81.24	0	00:45	0	2.1	0.000
DP4	JUNCTION	0.00	91.19	0	00:40	0	1.39	0.000
DP5	JUNCTION	0.00	64.03	0	01:00	0	2.68	0.000
DP6	JUNCTION	0.00	73.05	0	00:40	0	1.47	0.000
DP7	JUNCTION	0.00	705.44	0	01:08	0	83	0.000
DP8	JUNCTION	0.00	110.11	0	00:35	0	1.07	0.000
DP9	JUNCTION	0.00	258.21	0	00:45	0	23.6	0.000
G1	JUNCTION	0.15	0.15	0	00:40	0.00628	0.00628	0.000
G2	JUNCTION	76.34	76.34	0	00:50	2.24	2.24	0.000
H1	JUNCTION	81.24	81.24	0	00:45	2.1	2.1	0.000
H2	JUNCTION	91.19	91.19	0	00:40	1.39	1.39	0.000
H3	JUNCTION	64.03	64.03	0	01:00	2.68	2.68	0.000

SADDLEHORN RANCH - EX. 100-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
H4	JUNCTION	73.05	73.05	0	00:40	1.47	1.47	0.000
H5	JUNCTION	28.20	28.20	0	00:50	0.961	0.961	0.000
H6	JUNCTION	110.11	110.11	0	00:35	1.07	1.07	0.000
J13	JUNCTION	0.00	69.68	0	01:03	0	2.2	0.000
J14	JUNCTION	0.00	68.52	0	01:09	0	2.2	0.000
J15	JUNCTION	0.00	63.59	0	01:06	0	2.68	0.000
J16	JUNCTION	0.00	103.87	0	00:38	0	1.08	0.000

Colorado Urban Hydrograph Procedure

Version 2.0.0 - Release Date: 9/9/2016

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District
Denver, Colorado
email: udfcd@udfcd.org

Purpose: This program produces hydrographs using the Colorado Unit Hydrograph Procedure (CUHP)

Functions:

Edit Raingages	Add/Remove Raingages and change names
Edit Subcatchments	Edit subcatchment parameters
Edit Multiple Run Options	Edit the Multiple Run options (Advanced User Features)
Import CUHP 2005 File	Import an older CUHP 2005 workbook into this updated version of CUHP
Check Subcatchments	Check whether subcatchment inputs conform to UDFCD guidelines
Check SWMM Nodes	Check whether all subcatchment target nodes are included in the SWMM .inp file
Run CUHP	Calculate effective precipitation and generate hydrographs for each subcatchment

Settings:

Fill in the blue cells to begin:

Project Title:	Saddlehorn Ranch
Project Comment:	Prop. Condition Analysis
Time Step Between Computations:	5 Minute(s); typically 5 or 1 (peak flow rate will differ slightly).
	☐ Use Relative Path Names
Output Workbook Filename:	X:\2510000.all\2514200\CUHP-SWMM_Proposed\Proposed_CUHP_2002.x
CUHP/SWMM Interface Filename (Optional):	X:\2510000.all\2514200\CUHP-SWMM_Proposed\Proposed_CUHP_2002.x
EPA SWMM 5 Input Filename (Optional):	X:\2510000.all\2514200\CUHP-SWMM_Proposed\Pr. Conditions Model.in
EPA SWMM 5 Application File (Optional):	
SWMM Hydrograph Start Time (Optional):	

Acknowledgements: Thanks to Ben Urbonas, P.E., D.WRE and James C.Y.Guo, PhD, P.E., for the development of the CUHP project.

CUHP SUBCATCHMENTS

Columns with this color heading are for required user-input
Columns with this color heading are for optional override values
Columns with this color heading are for program-calculated values

								Maximum Depression Storage (Watershed inches)		Horton's Infiltration Parameters			DCIA
Subcatchment Name	EPA SWMM Target Node	Raingage	Area (mi^2)	Length to Centroid (mi)	Length (mi)	Slope (ft/ft)	Percent Imperviousness	Pervious	Impervious	Initial Rate (in/hr)	Decay Coefficient (1/seconds)	Final Rate (in/hr)	Level 0, 1, or 2
A	BASIN_A	EPC	0.014438906	0.111379924	0.2763826	0.0182	66.6	0.05	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
B	BASIN_B	EPC	0.092192344	0.323075	0.5893636	0.0215	10.4	0.05	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
C	BASIN_C	EPC	0.16015625	0.368636174	1.0625909	0.014	11.4	0.05	0.1	4.92	0.00074	0.9805	0
D	BASIN_D	EPC	0.155	0.293488068	0.9873106	0.015	10.8	0.05	0.1	4.42	0.001	0.8538	0
E	BASIN_E	EPC	0.018057656	0.094991667	0.2315102	0.013	7.7	0.05	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
F	BASIN_F	EPC	0.18174625	0.555589205	1.1713883	0.013	9.9	0.05	0.1	4.77	0.0008	0.9428	0
G	BASIN_G	EPC	0.063090469	0.39589375	0.5142614	0.015	16.8	0.05	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
H	BASIN_H	EPC	0.051210938	0.236877841	0.5166667	0.01	9.4	0.05	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
I	BASIN_I	EPC	0.069415313	0.151929546	0.3456439	0.038	9.4	0.05	0.1	4.966	0.00077432	0.9729729	0
J	BASIN_J	EPC	0.015725156	0.105081439	0.2051364	0.0181	8.8	0.05	0.1	4.604	0.0009178	0.802336	0
UD1	BASIN_UD1	EPC	0.019414688	0.068676326	0.1751894	0.05	2	0.35	0.1	4.645	0.00089516	0.91129	0
UD2	BASIN_UD2	EPC	0.02	0.085984848	0.2719697	0.026	2	0.35	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
UD2.1	BASIN_UD2.1	EPC	0.023125	0.043181818	0.15625	0.023	2	0.35	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
UD2.2	BASIN_UD2.2	EPC	0.01125	0.041666667	0.1344697	0.017	2	0.35	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
UD3	BASIN_UD3	EPC	0.020937188	0.06155303	0.1486742	0.02	2	0.35	0.1	4.791	0.000814925	0.94776	0
UD4	BASIN_UD4	EPC	0.007533125	0.049242424	0.1003788	0.019	2	0.35	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
UD5	BASIN_UD5	EPC	0.056870313	0.261481629	0.6155303	0.015	2	0.35	0.1	3.837	0.0013395	0.709302	0
UD6	BASIN_UD6	EPC	0.034458125	0.116450568	0.300947	0.016	2	0.35	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
UD7	BASIN_UD7	EPC	0.014540938	0.134467424	0.2462121	0.02	2	0.35	0.1	4.731	0.00129139	0.784946	0
UD8	BASIN_UD8	EPC	0.00828125	0.067613636	0.0977273	0.04	2	0.35	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
UD8.1	BASIN_UD8.1	EPC	0.00828125	0.022727272	0.1007576	0.03	2	0.35	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
UD9	BASIN_UD9	EPC	0.0075	0.083712121	0.0984848	0.03	2	0.35	0.1	4.885	0.000952083	0.908333	0
UD9.1	BASIN_UD9.1	EPC	0.01	0.035984848	0.1268939	0.04	2	0.35	0.1	4.867	0.000992188	0.89375	0
UD10	BASIN_UD10	EPC	0.017408125	0.086214205	0.157197	0.018	2	0.35	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
UD11	BASIN_UD11	EPC	0.010348438	0.060524621	0.1507576	0.0418	2	0.35	0.1	5	0.0007	1	0
CH1	BASIN_CH1	EPC	0.037337969	0.196631818	0.4388258	0.01	2	0.35	0.1	3.075	0.001758577	0.518828	0
CH2	BASIN_CH2	EPC	0.131612031	0.931792235	1.5100379	0.015	2	0.35	0.1	3.979	0.001261758	0.744655582	0
CH3	BASIN_CH3	EPC	0.029757969	0.375876326	0.7524621	0.018	2	0.35	0.1	4.805	0.00112842	1.0315789	0

Typical Depression Losses for Various Land Covers (All Values in Inches)

Land Cover	Range in Depression (Retention) Losses	Recommended
Impervious:		
Large paved area	0.05 - 0.15	0.1
Roofs-flat	0.1 - 0.3	0.1
Roofs-sloped	0.05 - 0.1	0.05
Pervious:		
Lawn grass	0.2 - 0.5	0.35
Wooded areas and c	0.2 - 0.6	0.4

Recommended Horton's Equation Parameters

NRCS Hydrologic Soil Group	Infiltration (inches per hour)		Decay Coefficient - a
	Initial - f_i	Final - f_o	
A	5.0	1.0	0.0007
B	4.5	0.6	0.0018
C	3.0	0.5	0.0018
D	3.0	0.5	0.0018

1JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED. SWMM RECOMPUTED WITH DCIA LEVEL 2

Level 2?

RUN MULTIPLE CUHP AND SWMM SCENARIOS

Columns with this color heading are for required user-input
Columns with this color heading are for program-calculated values

Subcatchment Name	Existing Landuse % Imperviousness	Future Landuse % Imperviousness
A	2	66.6
B	2	10.4
C	2	11.4
D	2	10.8
E	2	7.7
F	2	9.9
G	2	16.8
H	2	9.4
I	2	9.4
J	2	8.8
UD1	2	2
UD2	2	2
UD2.1	2	2
UD2.2	2	2
UD3	2	2
UD4	2	2
UD5	2	2
UD6	2	2
UD7	2	2
UD8	2	2
UD8.1	2	2
UD9	2	2
UD9.1	2	2
UD10	2	2
UD11	2	2
CH1	2	2
CH2	2	2
CH3	2	2

Raingage	Return Period (Years)	1 Hr Depths (in)	6 Hr Depths (in)
EPC	WQ	0.6	N/A
	2	1.19	2.1
	5	1.5	2.7
	10	1.75	3.2
	25	2	3.6
	50	2.25	4.2
	100	2.52	4.6

SWMM Run Wait Time (sec): 5

Enter "X" to Run Scenario	Scenario ID	Land Use (E or F)	Return Period (yr)	Correction Area (Sq.Mi.)	(Optional) SWMM Time Series Inflow "Modification Type" (LU, RP, or LU&RP)	(Optional) SWMM Time Series Inflow Table "NAME"
	WQ	F	WQ	0		
	2-YR	F	2	1		
X	5-YR	F	5	1		
	10-YR	F	10	1		
	25-YR	F	25	1		
	50-YR	F	50	1		
X	100-YR	F	100	1		

Comment	El Paso County Rainfall Depths				
1Hr Depth	2.52	inches	2hr Depth	2.86	inches
6Hr Depth	3.5	inches	3hr Depth	3.11	inches
Correction Area	1	Sq. Mi.			
Return Period	100	Years			

NOAA Atlas 14 Point Precipitation Frequency

Time	Adjusted Depth	Unadjusted Depth
0:05	0.0252	0.0252
0:10	0.0756	0.0756
0:15	0.1159	0.1159
0:20	0.2016	0.2016
0:25	0.3528	0.3528
0:30	0.6300	0.6300
0:35	0.3528	0.3528
0:40	0.2016	0.2016
0:45	0.1562	0.1562
0:50	0.1260	0.1260
0:55	0.1008	0.1008
1:00	0.1008	0.1008
1:05	0.1008	0.1008
1:10	0.0504	0.0504
1:15	0.0504	0.0504
1:20	0.0302	0.0302
1:25	0.0302	0.0302
1:30	0.0302	0.0302
1:35	0.0302	0.0302
1:40	0.0302	0.0302
1:45	0.0302	0.0302
1:50	0.0302	0.0302
1:55	0.0302	0.0302
2:00	0.0302	0.0302
2:05	0.0000	0.0000
2:10	0.0000	0.0000
2:15	0.0000	0.0000
2:20	0.0000	0.0000
2:25	0.0000	0.0000
2:30	0.0000	0.0000
2:35	0.0000	0.0000
2:40	0.0000	0.0000
2:45	0.0000	0.0000
2:50	0.0000	0.0000
2:55	0.0000	0.0000
3:00	0.0000	0.0000
3:05	0.0000	0.0000
3:10	0.0000	0.0000
3:15	0.0000	0.0000
3:20	0.0000	0.0000
3:25	0.0000	0.0000
3:30	0.0000	0.0000
3:35	0.0000	0.0000
3:40	0.0000	0.0000
3:45	0.0000	0.0000
3:50	0.0000	0.0000

01/01/2005 00:15:00

SADDLEHORN RANCH - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - 5-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node Inflow Summary

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
BASIN_A	JUNCTION	10.64	10.64	0	00:30	0.254	0.254	0.000
BASIN_B	JUNCTION	9.91	9.91	0	00:35	0.321	0.321	0.000
BASIN_C	JUNCTION	16.28	16.28	0	00:40	0.638	0.638	0.000
BASIN_CH1	JUNCTION	5.98	5.98	0	00:45	0.246	0.246	0.000
BASIN_CH2	JUNCTION	3.94	3.94	0	01:00	0.278	0.278	0.018
BASIN_CH3	JUNCTION	0.13	0.13	0	00:45	0.00859	0.00859	0.000
BASIN_D	JUNCTION	30.28	30.28	0	00:40	1.01	1.01	0.000
BASIN_E	JUNCTION	2.06	2.06	0	00:30	0.0549	0.0549	0.000
BASIN_F	JUNCTION	17.14	17.14	0	00:45	0.803	0.803	-0.000
BASIN_G	JUNCTION	6.60	6.60	0	00:40	0.296	0.296	0.000
BASIN_H	JUNCTION	4.19	4.19	0	00:35	0.17	0.17	0.000
BASIN_I	JUNCTION	14.94	14.94	0	00:30	0.263	0.263	0.000
BASIN_J	JUNCTION	3.43	3.43	0	00:30	0.0891	0.0891	0.000
BASIN_UD1	JUNCTION	0.34	0.34	0	00:30	0.00517	0.00517	0.000
BASIN_UD10	JUNCTION	0.18	0.18	0	00:30	0.0036	0.0036	0.000
BASIN_UD11	JUNCTION	0.12	0.12	0	00:30	0.00201	0.00201	-0.000
BASIN_UD2.1	JUNCTION	0.38	0.38	0	00:30	0.00471	0.00471	0.000

SADDLEHORN RANCH - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - 5-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
BASIN_UD2.2	JUNCTION	0.14	0.14	0	00:30	0.00219	0.00219	0.000
BASIN_UD2	JUNCTION	0.18	0.18	0	00:30	0.00415	0.00415	0.000
BASIN_UD3	JUNCTION	0.33	0.33	0	00:30	0.00503	0.00503	0.000
BASIN_UD4	JUNCTION	0.09	0.09	0	00:30	0.00146	0.00146	0.000
BASIN_UD5	JUNCTION	4.13	4.13	0	00:40	0.162	0.162	0.000
BASIN_UD6	JUNCTION	0.30	0.30	0	00:35	0.00715	0.00715	-0.000
BASIN_UD7	JUNCTION	0.70	0.70	0	00:35	0.0224	0.0224	0.000
BASIN_UD8.1	JUNCTION	0.14	0.14	0	00:30	0.00157	0.00157	0.000
BASIN_UD8	JUNCTION	0.10	0.10	0	00:30	0.00161	0.00161	0.000
BASIN_UD9.1	JUNCTION	0.20	0.20	0	00:30	0.00278	0.00278	0.000
BASIN_UD9	JUNCTION	0.09	0.09	0	00:30	0.002	0.002	0.000
OUT_CH1	OUTFALL	0.00	0.08	0	04:39	0	0.00973	0.000
OUT_CH2	OUTFALL	0.00	0.08	0	00:34	0	0.0108	0.000
OUT_CH3	OUTFALL	0.00	0.08	0	02:51	0	0.00772	0.000
OUT_UD10	OUTFALL	0.00	0.18	0	00:30	0	0.0036	0.000
OUT_UD11	OUTFALL	0.00	0.07	0	01:11	0	0.00265	0.000
OUT_UD2.1	OUTFALL	0.00	0.38	0	00:30	0	0.00471	0.000
OUT_UD2.2	OUTFALL	0.00	0.14	0	00:30	0	0.00219	0.000

SADDLEHORN RANCH - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - 5-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
OUT_UD2	OUTFALL	0.00	0.18	0	00:30	0	0.00415	0.000
OUT_UD3	OUTFALL	0.00	0.33	0	00:30	0	0.00503	0.000
OUT_UD4	OUTFALL	0.00	0.09	0	00:30	0	0.00146	0.000
OUT_UD5	OUTFALL	0.00	4.13	0	00:40	0	0.162	0.000
OUT_UD6	OUTFALL	0.00	0.08	0	00:44	0	0.00528	0.000
OUT_UD7	OUTFALL	0.00	0.70	0	00:35	0	0.0224	0.000
OUT_UD8.1	OUTFALL	0.00	0.14	0	00:30	0	0.00157	0.000
OUT_UD8	OUTFALL	0.00	0.10	0	00:30	0	0.00161	0.000
OUT_UD9.1	OUTFALL	0.00	0.20	0	00:30	0	0.00278	0.000
OUT_UD9	OUTFALL	0.00	0.09	0	00:30	0	0.002	0.000
POND_A	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
POND_B	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
POND_C	STORAGE	0.00	16.28	0	00:40	0	0.638	0.000
POND_D	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
POND_E	STORAGE	0.00	0.08	0	03:08	0	0.00695	0.003
POND_F	STORAGE	0.00	0.08	0	05:31	0	0.0111	-0.000
POND_G	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
POND_H	STORAGE	0.00	0.08	0	04:34	0	0.00974	0.015

SADDLEHORN RANCH - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - 5-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
POND_I	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
POND_J	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
WF7A(1)	OUTFALL	0.00	0.34	0	00:30	0	0.00517	0.000

SADDLEHORN RANCH - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - 100-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node Inflow Summary

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
BASIN_A	JUNCTION	22.07	22.07	0	00:35	0.495	0.495	0.000
BASIN_B	JUNCTION	45.31	45.31	0	00:45	1.49	1.49	0.000
BASIN_C	JUNCTION	70.12	70.12	0	00:50	2.77	2.77	0.000
BASIN_CH1	JUNCTION	1038.79	1038.79	0	00:55	165	165	0.069
BASIN_CH2	JUNCTION	485.67	485.67	0	01:20	75.3	75.3	0.068
BASIN_CH3	JUNCTION	136.35	136.35	0	01:00	21.4	21.4	0.068
BASIN_D	JUNCTION	95.69	95.69	0	00:50	3.51	3.51	0.000
BASIN_E	JUNCTION	9.93	9.93	0	00:40	0.278	0.278	-0.000
BASIN_F	JUNCTION	69.45	69.45	0	00:55	3.32	3.32	0.000
BASIN_G	JUNCTION	26.28	26.28	0	00:50	1.14	1.14	0.000
BASIN_H	JUNCTION	19.93	19.93	0	00:45	0.814	0.814	-0.000
BASIN_I	JUNCTION	59.50	59.50	0	00:40	1.19	1.19	0.000
BASIN_J	JUNCTION	11.05	11.05	0	00:40	0.336	0.336	0.000
BASIN_UD1	JUNCTION	13.88	13.88	0	00:40	0.251	0.251	0.000
BASIN_UD10	JUNCTION	7.34	7.34	0	00:40	0.154	0.154	0.000
BASIN_UD11	JUNCTION	4.88	4.88	0	00:40	0.0908	0.0908	-0.000
BASIN_UD2.1	JUNCTION	14.67	14.67	0	00:35	0.202	0.202	0.000

SADDLEHORN RANCH - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - 100-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
BASIN_UD2.2	JUNCTION	5.51	5.51	0	00:40	0.0986	0.0986	0.000
BASIN_UD2	JUNCTION	7.68	7.68	0	00:40	0.178	0.178	0.000
BASIN_UD3	JUNCTION	13.13	13.13	0	00:40	0.235	0.235	0.000
BASIN_UD4	JUNCTION	3.44	3.44	0	00:40	0.066	0.066	0.000
BASIN_UD5	JUNCTION	27.38	27.38	0	00:55	1.2	1.2	0.000
BASIN_UD6	JUNCTION	12.46	12.46	0	00:40	0.306	0.306	-0.000
BASIN_UD7	JUNCTION	7.41	7.41	0	00:50	0.273	0.273	0.000
BASIN_UD8.1	JUNCTION	5.63	5.63	0	00:35	0.0706	0.0706	0.000
BASIN_UD8	JUNCTION	4.00	4.00	0	00:40	0.0723	0.0723	0.000
BASIN_UD9.1	JUNCTION	8.06	8.06	0	00:35	0.139	0.139	0.000
BASIN_UD9	JUNCTION	4.15	4.15	0	00:40	0.1	0.1	0.000
OUT_CH1	OUTFALL	0.00	0.07	0	00:00	0	0.0118	0.000
OUT_CH2	OUTFALL	0.00	0.07	0	00:00	0	0.0118	0.000
OUT_CH3	OUTFALL	0.00	0.07	0	00:00	0	0.0118	0.000
OUT_UD10	OUTFALL	0.00	7.34	0	00:40	0	0.154	0.000
OUT_UD11	OUTFALL	0.00	0.08	0	02:24	0	0.0052	0.000
OUT_UD2.1	OUTFALL	0.00	14.67	0	00:35	0	0.202	0.000
OUT_UD2.2	OUTFALL	0.00	5.51	0	00:40	0	0.0986	0.000

SADDLEHORN RANCH - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - 100-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
OUT_UD2	OUTFALL	0.00	7.68	0	00:40	0	0.178	0.000
OUT_UD3	OUTFALL	0.00	13.13	0	00:40	0	0.235	0.000
OUT_UD4	OUTFALL	0.00	3.44	0	00:40	0	0.066	0.000
OUT_UD5	OUTFALL	0.00	27.38	0	00:55	0	1.2	0.000
OUT_UD6	OUTFALL	0.00	0.08	0	03:08	0	0.00671	0.000
OUT_UD7	OUTFALL	0.00	7.41	0	00:50	0	0.273	0.000
OUT_UD8.1	OUTFALL	0.00	5.63	0	00:35	0	0.0706	0.000
OUT_UD8	OUTFALL	0.00	4.00	0	00:40	0	0.0723	0.000
OUT_UD9.1	OUTFALL	0.00	8.06	0	00:35	0	0.139	0.000
OUT_UD9	OUTFALL	0.00	4.15	0	00:40	0	0.1	0.000
POND_A	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
POND_B	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
POND_C	STORAGE	0.00	70.12	0	00:50	0	2.77	0.000
POND_D	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
POND_E	STORAGE	0.00	0.08	0	03:26	0	0.00754	0.004
POND_F	STORAGE	0.00	0.08	0	05:54	0	0.0111	-0.000
POND_G	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
POND_H	STORAGE	0.00	0.08	0	04:54	0	0.0102	0.020

SADDLEHORN RANCH - PROPOSED CONDITIONS - 100-YEAR FLOW RESULTS

Node	Type	Maximum Lateral Inflow CFS	Maximum Total Inflow CFS	Day of Maximum Inflow	Hour of Maximum Inflow	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 gal	Flow Balance Error Percent
POND_I	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
POND_J	STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000
WF7A(1)	OUTFALL	0.00	13.88	0	00:40	0	0.251	0.000

APPENDIX C

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin A - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 25.1 cfs (Capacity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.82
N-Value	= 0.040

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 25.10

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 1.38
Q (cfs)	= 25.10
Area (sqft)	= 6.67
Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.77
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 10.05
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.27
Top Width (ft)	= 9.66
EGL (ft)	= 1.60

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin A - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 25.1 cfs (Velocity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.82
N-Value	= 0.030

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 25.10

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 1.24
Q (cfs)	= 25.10
Area (sqft)	= 5.38
Velocity (ft/s)	= 4.66
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 9.03
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.27
Top Width (ft)	= 8.68
EGL (ft)	= 1.58

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin B - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 97.6 cfs (Capacity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.040

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 97.60

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 2.56
Q (cfs)	= 97.60
Area (sqft)	= 22.94
Velocity (ft/s)	= 4.26
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 18.65
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 2.18
Top Width (ft)	= 17.92
EGL (ft)	= 2.84

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin B - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 97.6 cfs (Velocity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.030

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 97.60

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 2.30
Q (cfs)	= 97.60
Area (sqft)	= 18.51
Velocity (ft/s)	= 5.27
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 16.76
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 2.18
Top Width (ft)	= 16.10
EGL (ft)	= 2.73

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin C - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 152.7 cfs (Capacity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.25
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.040

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 152.70

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 3.03
Q (cfs)	= 152.70
Area (sqft)	= 32.13
Velocity (ft/s)	= 4.75
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 22.07
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 2.60
Top Width (ft)	= 21.21
EGL (ft)	= 3.38

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin C - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 152.7 cfs (Velocity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.25
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.030

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 152.70

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 2.72
Q (cfs)	= 152.70
Area (sqft)	= 25.89
Velocity (ft/s)	= 5.90
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 19.82
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 2.60
Top Width (ft)	= 19.04
EGL (ft)	= 3.26

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin D - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 160.8 cfs (Capacity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.25
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.040

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 160.80

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 3.09
Q (cfs)	= 160.80
Area (sqft)	= 33.42
Velocity (ft/s)	= 4.81
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 22.51
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 2.66
Top Width (ft)	= 21.63
EGL (ft)	= 3.45

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin D - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 160.8 cfs (Velocity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.25
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.030

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 160.80

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 2.77
Q (cfs)	= 160.80
Area (sqft)	= 26.86
Velocity (ft/s)	= 5.99
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 20.18
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 2.66
Top Width (ft)	= 19.39
EGL (ft)	= 3.33

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin E - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 21.9 cfs (Capacity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.31
N-Value	= 0.040

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 21.90

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 1.39
Q (cfs)	= 21.90
Area (sqft)	= 6.76
Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.24
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 10.13
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.20
Top Width (ft)	= 9.73
EGL (ft)	= 1.55

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin E - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 21.9 cfs (Velocity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.31
N-Value	= 0.030

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 21.90

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 1.25
Q (cfs)	= 21.90
Area (sqft)	= 5.47
Velocity (ft/s)	= 4.00
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 9.11
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.20
Top Width (ft)	= 8.75
EGL (ft)	= 1.50

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin F - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 148.9cfs (Capacity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.040

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 148.90

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Q (cfs)	= 148.90
Area (sqft)	= 31.50
Velocity (ft/s)	= 4.73
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 21.86
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 2.58
Top Width (ft)	= 21.00
EGL (ft)	= 3.35

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin F - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 148.9cfs (Velocity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.030

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 148.90

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 2.70
Q (cfs)	= 148.90
Area (sqft)	= 25.51
Velocity (ft/s)	= 5.84
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 19.67
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 2.58
Top Width (ft)	= 18.90
EGL (ft)	= 3.23

Call out velocity checks or netting to protect soil any time the velocities exceed recommendations in the ECM.

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED.

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin G - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 57.0 cfs (Capacity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.040

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 57.00

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 2.10
Q (cfs)	= 57.00
Area (sqft)	= 15.43
Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.69
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 15.30
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.76
Top Width (ft)	= 14.70
EGL (ft)	= 2.31

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin G - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 57.0 cfs (Velocity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.030

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 57.00

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 1.88
Q (cfs)	= 57.00
Area (sqft)	= 12.37
Velocity (ft/s)	= 4.61
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 13.70
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.76
Top Width (ft)	= 13.16
EGL (ft)	= 2.21

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin H - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 46.6 cfs (Capacity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.040

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 46.60

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 1.94
Q (cfs)	= 46.60
Area (sqft)	= 13.17
Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.54
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 14.13
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.62
Top Width (ft)	= 13.58
EGL (ft)	= 2.13

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin H - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 46.6 cfs (Velocity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.00
N-Value	= 0.030

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 46.60

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 1.75
Q (cfs)	= 46.60
Area (sqft)	= 10.72
Velocity (ft/s)	= 4.35
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 12.75
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.62
Top Width (ft)	= 12.25
EGL (ft)	= 2.04

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin I - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 112.0 cfs (Capacity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 2.01
N-Value	= 0.040

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 112.00

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 2.37
Q (cfs)	= 112.00
Area (sqft)	= 19.66
Velocity (ft/s)	= 5.70
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 17.27
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 2.30
Top Width (ft)	= 16.59
EGL (ft)	= 2.87

Call out velocity checks or netting to protect soil any time the velocities exceed recommendations in the ECM.

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED.

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin I - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 112.0 cfs (Velocity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 2.01
N-Value	= 0.030

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 112.00

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 2.13
Q (cfs)	= 112.00
Area (sqft)	= 15.88
Velocity (ft/s)	= 7.05
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 15.52
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 2.30
Top Width (ft)	= 14.91
EGL (ft)	= 2.90

Call out velocity checks or netting to protect soil any time the velocities exceed recommendations in the ECM.

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED.

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin J - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 19.4 cfs (Capacity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.52
N-Value	= 0.040

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 19.40

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 1.30
Q (cfs)	= 19.40
Area (sqft)	= 5.91
Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.28
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 9.47
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.14
Top Width (ft)	= 9.10
EGL (ft)	= 1.47

Channel Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc. Wednesday, Jan 16 2019

Basin J - Roadside Ditch - Q100 = 19.4 cfs (Velocity Check)

Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1)	= 4.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 3.00
Invert Elev (ft)	= 5500.00
Slope (%)	= 1.52
N-Value	= 0.030

Calculations

Compute by:	Known Q
Known Q (cfs)	= 19.40

Highlighted

Depth (ft)	= 1.16
Q (cfs)	= 19.40
Area (sqft)	= 4.71
Velocity (ft/s)	= 4.12
Wetted Perim (ft)	= 8.45
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 1.14
Top Width (ft)	= 8.12
EGL (ft)	= 1.42

JR RESPONSE: ONE SWALE IS CALCULATED PER BASIN USING THE BASIN'S PEAK 100-YEAR Q. SWALE THEN EVALUCATED FOR VELOCITY TO BE LESS THAN 5 FT/S AND FROUDE NUMBER TO BE LESS THAN 0.9. ANY SWALE SECTIONS EXCEEDING 5/FT/S OR F=0.9 WILL HAVE EROSION PROTECTION SPECIFIED. NOTE THESE ARE PEAK DISCHARGE VALUES AND THEREFORE ARE HIGHLY CONSERVATIVE Qs.

Are calculations provided for all ditches?

Section

HY-8 Culvert Analysis Report

Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: Specify Minimum, Design, and Maximum Flow

Minimum Flow: 450 cfs

Design Flow: 505 cfs

Maximum Flow: 550 cfs

Table 1 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Drainageway MS06

Headwater Elevation (ft)	Total Discharge (cfs)	San Isidro Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Roadway Discharge (cfs)	Iterations
6725.17	450.00	450.00	0.00	1
6725.20	460.00	460.00	0.00	1
6725.22	470.00	470.00	0.00	1
6725.25	480.00	480.00	0.00	1
6725.28	490.00	490.00	0.00	1
6725.31	500.00	500.00	0.00	1
6725.32	505.00	505.00	0.00	1
6725.37	520.00	520.00	0.00	1
6725.40	530.00	530.00	0.00	1
6725.43	540.00	540.00	0.00	1
6725.46	550.00	550.00	0.00	1
6728.72	979.30	979.30	0.00	Overtopping

Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Drainageway MS06

Table 2 - Culvert Summary Table: San Isidro Culvert

Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Headwater Elevation (ft)	Inlet Control Depth (ft)	Outlet Control Depth (ft)	Flow Type	Normal Depth (ft)	Critical Depth (ft)	Outlet Depth (ft)	Tailwater Depth (ft)	Outlet Velocity (ft/s)	Tailwater Velocity (ft/s)
450.00	450.00	6725.17	4.018	4.590	7-A2t	-1.000	2.505	2.696	3.016	8.344	4.025
460.00	460.00	6725.20	4.084	4.617	7-A2t	-1.000	2.542	2.732	3.052	8.419	4.051
470.00	470.00	6725.22	4.150	4.644	7-A2t	-1.000	2.579	2.767	3.087	8.492	4.076
480.00	480.00	6725.25	4.216	4.671	7-A2t	-1.000	2.615	2.802	3.122	8.566	4.101
490.00	490.00	6725.28	4.282	4.700	7-A2t	-1.000	2.652	2.836	3.156	8.638	4.126
500.00	500.00	6725.31	4.348	4.728	7-A2t	-1.000	2.687	2.870	3.190	8.710	4.150
505.00	505.00	6725.32	4.381	4.743	7-A2t	-1.000	2.705	2.887	3.207	8.746	4.163
520.00	520.00	6725.37	4.481	4.788	7-A2t	-1.000	2.759	2.937	3.257	8.852	4.198
530.00	530.00	6725.40	4.548	4.818	7-A2t	-1.000	2.794	2.970	3.290	8.922	4.221
540.00	540.00	6725.43	4.615	4.850	7-A2t	-1.000	2.829	3.003	3.323	8.992	4.244
550.00	550.00	6725.46	4.683	4.881	7-A2t	-1.000	2.864	3.035	3.355	9.061	4.267

****

Straight Culvert

Inlet Elevation (invert): 6720.58 ft, Outlet Elevation (invert): 6721.32 ft

Culvert Length: 28.01 ft, Culvert Slope: -0.0264

Inlet Throat Elevation: 6720.58 ft, Inlet Crest Elevation: 6721.63 ft

****

Culvert Performance Curve Plot: San Isidro Culvert

Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: San Isidro Culvert

Site Data - San Isidro Culvert

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data

Inlet Station: 0.00 ft

Inlet Elevation: 6721.58 ft

Outlet Station: 28.00 ft

Outlet Elevation: 6721.32 ft

Number of Barrels: 2

Culvert Data Summary - San Isidro Culvert

Barrel Shape: Concrete Box

Barrel Span: 10.00 ft

Barrel Rise: 4.00 ft

Barrel Material: Concrete

Embedment: 0.00 in

Barrel Manning's n: 0.0120

Culvert Type: Straight

Inlet Configuration: 1:1 Bevel (45º flare) Wingwall

Inlet Depression: Yes

Table 3 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Drainageway MS06)

Flow (cfs)	Water Surface Elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Velocity (ft/s)	Shear (psf)	Froude Number
450.00	6724.02	3.02	4.02	0.75	0.47
460.00	6724.05	3.05	4.05	0.76	0.47
470.00	6724.09	3.09	4.08	0.77	0.47
480.00	6724.12	3.12	4.10	0.78	0.47
490.00	6724.16	3.16	4.13	0.79	0.47
500.00	6724.19	3.19	4.15	0.80	0.47
505.00	6724.21	3.21	4.16	0.80	0.47
520.00	6724.26	3.26	4.20	0.81	0.47
530.00	6724.29	3.29	4.22	0.82	0.48
540.00	6724.32	3.32	4.24	0.83	0.48
550.00	6724.35	3.35	4.27	0.84	0.48

Tailwater Channel Data - Drainageway MS06

Tailwater Channel Option: Trapezoidal Channel

Bottom Width: 25.00 ft

Side Slope (H:V): 4.00 (_:1)

Channel Slope: 0.0040

Channel Manning's n: 0.0400

Channel Invert Elevation: 6721.00 ft

Roadway Data for Crossing: Drainageway MS06

Roadway Profile Shape: Constant Roadway Elevation

Crest Length: 100.00 ft

Crest Elevation: 6728.72 ft

Roadway Surface: Paved

Roadway Top Width: 28.00 ft

DEL CERRO TRAIL CULVERT CROSSING

HY-8 Culvert Analysis Report

Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: Specify Minimum, Design, and Maximum Flow

Minimum Flow: 100 cfs

Design Flow: 130 cfs

Maximum Flow: 150 cfs

Table 1 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Drainageway T6: Onsite Culvert

Headwater Elevation (ft)	Total Discharge (cfs)	Del Cerro Trail Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Roadway Discharge (cfs)	Iterations
6714.16	100.00	100.00	0.00	1
6714.27	105.00	105.00	0.00	1
6714.38	110.00	110.00	0.00	1
6714.49	115.00	115.00	0.00	1
6714.60	120.00	120.00	0.00	1
6714.71	125.00	125.00	0.00	1
6714.81	130.00	130.00	0.00	1
6714.92	135.00	135.00	0.00	1
6715.02	140.00	140.00	0.00	1
6715.12	145.00	145.00	0.00	1
6715.22	150.00	150.00	0.00	1
6721.06	409.08	409.08	0.00	Overtopping

Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Drainageway T6: Onsite Culvert

Table 2 - Culvert Summary Table: Del Cerro Trail Culvert

Total Discharge (cfs)	Culvert Discharge (cfs)	Headwater Elevation (ft)	Inlet Control Depth (ft)	Outlet Control Depth (ft)	Flow Type	Normal Depth (ft)	Critical Depth (ft)	Outlet Depth (ft)	Tailwater Depth (ft)	Outlet Velocity (ft/s)	Tailwater Velocity (ft/s)
100.00	100.00	6714.16	3.719	4.160	2-M2c	3.517	2.564	2.564	1.554	7.830	3.969
105.00	105.00	6714.27	3.823	4.273	2-M2c	3.622	2.631	2.631	1.593	7.942	4.025
110.00	110.00	6714.38	3.925	4.384	2-M2c	3.727	2.696	2.696	1.632	8.051	4.078
115.00	115.00	6714.49	4.025	4.494	2-M2c	3.831	2.756	2.756	1.670	8.172	4.129
120.00	120.00	6714.60	4.123	4.602	2-M2c	3.935	2.819	2.819	1.707	8.272	4.179
125.00	125.00	6714.71	4.218	4.708	2-M2c	4.040	2.881	2.881	1.743	8.372	4.227
130.00	130.00	6714.81	4.312	4.813	2-M2c	4.144	2.941	2.941	1.778	8.470	4.273
135.00	135.00	6714.92	4.404	4.917	2-M2c	4.250	2.998	2.998	1.812	8.577	4.318
140.00	140.00	6715.02	4.495	5.020	2-M2c	4.357	3.057	3.057	1.846	8.668	4.362
145.00	145.00	6715.12	4.584	5.122	2-M2c	4.464	3.115	3.115	1.879	8.759	4.405
150.00	150.00	6715.22	4.672	5.222	2-M2c	4.574	3.172	3.172	1.912	8.850	4.446

****

Straight Culvert

Inlet Elevation (invert): 6710.00 ft, Outlet Elevation (invert): 6709.46 ft

Culvert Length: 108.00 ft, Culvert Slope: 0.0050

****

Culvert Performance Curve Plot: Del Cerro Trail Culvert

Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Del Cerro Trail Culvert

Site Data - Del Cerro Trail Culvert

Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data

Inlet Station: 0.00 ft

Inlet Elevation: 6710.00 ft

Outlet Station: 108.00 ft

Outlet Elevation: 6709.46 ft

Number of Barrels: 1

Culvert Data Summary - Del Cerro Trail Culvert

Barrel Shape: Circular

Barrel Diameter: 7.00 ft

Barrel Material: Corrugated Aluminum

Embedment: 0.00 in

Barrel Manning's n: 0.0310

Culvert Type: Straight

Inlet Configuration: Mitered to Conform to Slope

Inlet Depression: None

Table 3 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Drainageway T6: Onsite

Flow (cfs)	Water Surface Elev (ft)	Depth (ft)	Velocity (ft/s)	Shear (psf)	Froude Number
100.00	6711.38	1.55	3.97	0.97	0.66
105.00	6711.42	1.59	4.02	0.99	0.66
110.00	6711.46	1.63	4.08	1.02	0.66
115.00	6711.50	1.67	4.13	1.04	0.67
120.00	6711.54	1.71	4.18	1.06	0.67
125.00	6711.57	1.74	4.23	1.09	0.67
130.00	6711.61	1.78	4.27	1.11	0.67
135.00	6711.64	1.81	4.32	1.13	0.67
140.00	6711.68	1.85	4.36	1.15	0.68
145.00	6711.71	1.88	4.40	1.17	0.68
150.00	6711.74	1.91	4.45	1.19	0.68

Tailwater Channel Data - Drainageway T6: Onsite Culvert

Tailwater Channel Option: Trapezoidal Channel

Bottom Width: 10.00 ft

Side Slope (H:V): 4.00 (_:1)

Channel Slope: 0.0100

Channel Manning's n: 0.0400

Channel Invert Elevation: 6709.83 ft

Roadway Data for Crossing: Drainageway T6: Onsite Culvert

Roadway Profile Shape: Constant Roadway Elevation

Crest Length: 163.00 ft

Crest Elevation: 6721.06 ft

Roadway Surface: Paved

Roadway Top Width: 30.00 ft

APPENDIX D

WATER QUALITY AND DETENTION CALCULATIONS

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: SADDLEHORN RANCH MDDP/PDR

Basin ID: POND A

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Required Volume Calculation

Selected BMP Type =	**EDB**	
Watershed Area =	9.20	acres
Watershed Length =	1,459	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.018	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	66.60%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.200	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.766	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.527	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.688	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.836	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	1.010	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	1.199	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	1.421	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 0 in.) =	0.000	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.499	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.651	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.784	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.942	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	1.037	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.135	acre-feet

Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation

1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
	inches

Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.200	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.566	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.369	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	1.135	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	user	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-feet

Depth Increment = ft

Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
Top of Micropool	--	0.00	--	--	--	19,159	0.440		
	--	1.00	--	--	--	21,277	0.488	20,005	0.459
	--	2.00	--	--	--	23,496	0.539	42,370	0.973
	--	3.00	--	--	--	25,816	0.593	67,260	1.544

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: SADDLEHORN RANCH MDDP/PDR

Basin ID: POND B

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Required Volume Calculation

Selected BMP Type =	**EDB**	
Watershed Area =	59.00	acres
Watershed Length =	3,200	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.017	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	10.41%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.341	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.456	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.297	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.406	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.536	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.791	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	1.426	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	2.679	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 0 in.) =	0.000	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.274	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.376	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.493	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.666	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.890	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.428	acre-feet

Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation

1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
	inches

Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.341	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.116	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.971	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	1.428	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	user	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-feet

Depth Increment = ft

Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
Top of Micropool	--	0.00	--	--	--	6,202	0.142		
	--	1.00	--	--	--	14,852	0.341	10,379	0.238
	--	2.00	--	--	--	26,498	0.608	30,938	0.710
	--	3.00	--	--	--	44,365	1.018	66,633	1.530
	--	3.50	--	--	--	66,371	1.524	94,317	2.165

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: SADDLEHORN RANCH MDDP/PDR

Basin ID: POND C

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Required Volume Calculation

Selected BMP Type =	**EDB**	
Watershed Area =	102.55	acres
Watershed Length =	5,500	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.010	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	11.37%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	96.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	4.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.638	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.892	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.590	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.835	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	1.143	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	1.757	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	2.960	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	5.186	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 0 in.) =	0.000	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.546	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.775	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	1.013	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	1.347	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	1.738	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	2.688	acre-feet

Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation

1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
	inches

Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.638	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.254	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	1.797	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	2.688	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	user	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-feet

Depth Increment = ft

Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
Top of Micropool	--	0.00	--	--	--	904	0.021		
	--	1.00	--	--	--	11,766	0.270	6,218	0.143
	--	2.00	--	--	--	50,811	1.166	37,117	0.852
	--	3.00	--	--	--	75,579	1.735	100,818	2.314
	--	3.25	--	--	--	81,862	1.879	120,498	2.766

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: SADDLEHORN RANCH MDDP/PDR

Basin ID: POND D

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Required Volume Calculation

Selected BMP Type =	**EDB**	
Watershed Area =	99.17	acres
Watershed Length =	4,200	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.015	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	10.81%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	70.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	30.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.591	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.833	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.601	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	1.031	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	1.696	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	3.117	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	4.622	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	7.053	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 0 in.) =	0.000	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.557	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.968	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	1.271	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	1.613	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	1.910	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	2.859	acre-feet

Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation

1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
	inches

Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.591	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.241	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	2.027	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	2.859	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	user	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-feet

Depth Increment = ft

Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
Top of Micropool	--	0.00	--	--	--	5,047	0.116		
	--	1.00	--	--	--	21,395	0.491	13,008	0.299
	--	2.00	--	--	--	39,698	0.911	43,371	0.996
	--	3.00	--	--	--	61,991	1.423	94,612	2.172
	--	3.50	--	--	--	76,144	1.748	129,146	2.965

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: SADDLEHORN RANCH MDDP/PDR

Basin ID: POND E

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Required Volume Calculation

Selected BMP Type =	**EDB**	
Watershed Area =	10.80	acres
Watershed Length =	1,000	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.015	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	7.69%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.048	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.057	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.036	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.050	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.067	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.103	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	0.206	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	0.433	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 0 in.) =	0.000	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.034	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.046	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.062	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.085	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.122	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	0.216	acre-feet

Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation

1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
	inches

Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.048	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.009	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.160	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	0.216	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	user	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-feet

Depth Increment = ft

Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
Top of Micropool	--	0.00	--	--	--	3,703	0.085		
	--	1.00	--	--	--	4,931	0.113	4,268	0.098
	--	2.00	--	--	--	6,258	0.144	9,849	0.226
	--	3.00	--	--	--	7,684	0.176	16,882	0.388

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: SADDLEHORN RANCH MDDP/PDR

Basin ID: POND F

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Required Volume Calculation

Selected BMP Type =	**EDB**	
Watershed Area =	116.32	acres
Watershed Length =	5,000	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.013	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	9.90%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	71.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	29.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.644	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.877	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.629	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	1.095	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	1.840	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	3.444	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	5.162	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	8.000	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 0 in.) =	0.000	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.583	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	1.029	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	1.364	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	1.736	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	2.074	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	3.170	acre-feet

Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation

1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
	inches

Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.644	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.233	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	2.294	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	3.170	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	user	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-feet

Depth Increment = ft

Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
Top of Micropool	--	0.00	--	--	--	1,298	0.030		
	--	1.00	--	--	--	12,916	0.297	6,978	0.160
	--	2.00	--	--	--	28,807	0.661	27,681	0.635
	--	3.00	--	--	--	45,533	1.045	65,138	1.495
	--	4.00	--	--	--	56,635	1.300	116,222	2.668
	--	4.50	--	--	--	62,552	1.436	146,018	3.352

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: SADDLEHORN RANCH MDDP/PDR

Basin ID: POND G

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Required Volume Calculation

Selected BMP Type =	**EDB**	
Watershed Area =	40.38	acres
Watershed Length =	3,700	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.015	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	16.70%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.341	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.572	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.377	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.510	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.659	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.925	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	1.456	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	2.326	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 0 in.) =	0.000	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.350	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.475	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.610	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.800	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.986	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.373	acre-feet

Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation

1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
	inches

Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.341	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.231	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.801	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	1.373	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	user	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-feet

Depth Increment = ft

Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
Top of Micropool	--	0.00	--	--	--	904	0.021		
	--	1.00	--	--	--	15,257	0.350	7,928	0.182
	--	2.00	--	--	--	24,452	0.561	27,691	0.636
	--	3.00	--	--	--	29,888	0.686	55,105	1.265
	--	3.50	--	--	--	32,047	0.736	70,589	1.620

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: SADDLEHORN RANCH MDDP/PDR

Basin ID: POND H

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Required Volume Calculation

Selected BMP Type =	**EDB**	
Watershed Area =	32.78	acres
Watershed Length =	1,800	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.010	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	9.42%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.174	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.223	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.145	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.198	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.263	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.392	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	0.731	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	1.425	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.75 in.) =	2.707	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.133	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.183	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.242	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.329	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.449	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	0.743	acre-feet

Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation

1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
2.75	inches

Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.174	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.049	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.520	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	0.743	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	user	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-feet

Depth Increment = ft

	Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
6736	**Top of Micropool**	--	0.00	--	--	--	40	0.001		
6737		--	1.00	--	--	--	3,419	0.078	1,695	0.039
6738		--	2.00	--	--	--	8,532	0.196	7,620	0.175
6739.00		--	3.00	--	--	--	14,546	0.334	19,244	0.442
6740.00		--	4.00	--	--	--	21,360	0.490	37,196	0.854
6741.00		--		--	--	--				
6742.00		--		--	--	--				

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: SADDLEHORN RANCH MDDP/PDR

Basin ID: POND I

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Required Volume Calculation

Selected BMP Type =	**EDB**			
Watershed Area =	44.40	acres		
Watershed Length =	1,900	ft		
Watershed Slope =	0.053	ft/ft		
Watershed Imperviousness =	9.39%	percent		
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	93.0%	percent		
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	7.0%	percent		
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent		
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours		
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input			
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.235	acre-feet		
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.307	acre-feet		
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.200	acre-feet	1.19	inches
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.278	acre-feet	1.50	inches
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.399	acre-feet	1.75	inches
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.679	acre-feet	2.00	inches
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	1.178	acre-feet	2.25	inches
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	2.148	acre-feet	2.52	inches
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.75 in.) =	3.881	acre-feet	2.75	inches
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.185	acre-feet		
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.257	acre-feet		
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.362	acre-feet		
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.495	acre-feet		
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.649	acre-feet		
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.046	acre-feet		

Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation

Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.235	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.072	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.739	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	1.046	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	user	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-feet

Depth Increment = ft

	Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
6699	**Top of Micropool**	--	0.00	--	--	--	0	0.000		
		--	1.00	--	--	--	2,926	0.067	1,434	0.033
		--	2.00	--	--	--	11,781	0.270	8,699	0.200
		--	3.00	--	--	--	20,629	0.474	25,021	0.574
		--	4.00	--	--	--	26,059	0.598	48,365	1.110

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: SADDLEHORN RANCH MDDP/PDR

Basin ID: POND J

Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Required Volume Calculation

Selected BMP Type =	**EDB**	
Watershed Area =	10.10	acres
Watershed Length =	1,083	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.018	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	8.80%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	81.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	19.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.051	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.065	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.045	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.075	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.122	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.225	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	0.355	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	0.590	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 0 in.) =	0.000	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.042	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.070	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.094	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.122	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.152	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	0.244	acre-feet

Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation

1.19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2.25	inches
2.52	inches
	inches

Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.051	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.014	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.179	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	0.244	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H_{total}) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H_{TC}) =	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio ($R_{L/W}$) =	user	
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	user	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (L_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin (H_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin (V_{MAIN}) =	user	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-feet

Depth Increment = ft

Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
Top of Micropool	--	0.00	--	--	--	7,082	0.163		
	--	1.00	--	--	--	8,762	0.201	7,834	0.180
	--	2.00	--	--	--	10,544	0.242	17,470	0.401
	--		--	--	--				
	--		--	--	--				
	--		--	--	--			

APPENDIX E

REFERENCE MATERIALS

Hayden Ranch Basin

Drainage Basin Planning Study

May 2009

URS

URS
9960 Federal Dr.
Suite 300
Colorado Springs, CO 80921
719.531.0001

6,000 3,000 0 6,000 Feet
1" = 6,000'

DATE: 05/08

HAEGLER RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN

VICINITY MAP
FIGURE 1-1

URS NO. 21711039

URS
9960 Federal Dr.
Suite 300
Colorado Springs, CO 80921
719.531.0001

DATE: 09/08

HAEGLER RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN

FUTURE LAND USE
FIGURE 3-3

URS NO. 21711039

URS
9960 Federal Dr.
Suite 300
Colorado Springs, CD 80921
719.531.0001

5,000 2,500 0 5,000 Feet
1" = 5,000'

DATE: 09/08

HAEGLER RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN

WETLANDS
FIGURE 3-4

URS NO. 21711039

URS
9960 Federal Dr.
Suite 300
Colorado Springs, CO 80921
719.531.0001

5,000 2,500 0 5,000 Feet
1" = 5,000'

DATE: 09/08

HAEGLER RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN

SUBBASIN DELINEATION
FIGURE 4-1

URS NO. 21711039

Table 5-3 Existing Hydraulic Deficiencies

Facility Number	Road Crossing	Channel	Existing Size	Existing 100-yr Flow (cfs)	Deficiency
633	Sagecreek Road	N/A	24" CMP	N/A	N/A
634	Sagecreek Road	N/A	24" CMP	N/A	N/A
701	Curtis Road	N/A	18" CMP	N/A	N/A
702	Curtis Road	Tributary 6 (T6)	36" CMP	120	Overtops
703	Curtis Road	Main Stem (MS-06)	24" CMP	590	Overtops
704	Judge Orr Road	Main Stem (MS-06)	Blocked Culvert	540	Overtops
705	Judge Orr Road	N/A	18" CMP	N/A	N/A
706	US 24	N/A	20" Steel Pipe	N/A	N/A
707	US 24	N/A	24" CMP	N/A	N/A
801	Pedestrain Bridge	Main Stem (MS-06)	Bridge	350	Meets Capacity
802	US24	Main Stem (MS-06)	2-66" CMPs	350	Meets Capacity
803	Eastonville Road	Main Stem (MS-07)	27"X21" CMP	25	Overtops
804	Eastonville Road	Tributary 7 (T7)	18" CMP	99	Overtops

Note. 69 Structures were cataloged and located. N/A indicates that the structure was not analyzed because it was not on one of the main channels.

5.14. Results

Hydraulic conditions from the hydraulic model results are summarized in Table 5-4. This includes channel velocity, flow depth, and top width for existing conditions at key locations. Water surface profiles for Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin for the 100-year recurrence interval flood for the existing conditions are presented in Figure 5-4 the HEC-RAS model for Haegler Ranch Drainage Basin for the existing conditions is provided in Appendix B.

The approximate 100-year floodplain as seen in Figure 5-4 varies from a contained floodplain with in a defined channel to a wide floodplain with shallow flooding. Three areas were designated as flooding: 1) the approximate 100-year floodplain as delineated by HEC-RAS, 2) split flow flooding that was estimated from HEC-RAS elevation upstream and contours, and 3) shallow areas connected to the floodplain with less than 1 foot of flooding.

Table 5-4 Existing Conditions HEC-RAS Model

Key Location	Reach and Station	HEC-RAS Result	Recurrence Intervals			
			2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	100-yr
Main stem at US 24	MS-06 72276	Channel velocity (ft/sec)	1.1	1.63	1.98	2.92
		Water surface depth in channel (ft)	1.36	2.44	3.24	6.49
		Top width (ft)	18.23	24.85	29.7	255.62
Main stem at Judge Orr Road	MS-06 67666	Channel velocity (ft/sec)	3.33	4.09	1.76	3.48
		Water surface depth in channel (ft)	0.52	1.04	1.05	1.35
		Top width (ft)	174.53	534.34	535.52	569.34
Main stem at Falcon Highway	MS-05 52353	Channel velocity (ft/sec)	1.05	1.6	2.04	3.59
		Water surface depth in channel (ft)	1.79	3.69	4.96	5.74
		Top width (ft)	31.42	83.76	556.41	592.33
Main stem at Jones Road	MS-03 33189	Channel velocity (ft/sec)	2.45	3.7	1.27	2.51
		Water surface depth in channel (ft)	3.2	5.83	9.25	10.46
		Top width (ft)	47.98	105.51	580.28	667.17
Main stem at Peyton Highway	MS-02 18474	Channel velocity (ft/sec)	0.16	0.4	0.59	1.43
		Water surface depth in channel (ft)	4.14	4.35	4.51	5.15
		Top width (ft)	813.21	871.68	882.22	925.27
Southeast Tributary at Jones Road	T1 22297	Channel velocity (ft/sec)	0.62	1.02	1.47	3.2
		Water surface depth in channel (ft)	2.45	3.52	3.59	3.82
		Top width (ft)	197.35	345.68	351.74	372.17
Southeast Tributary at Peyton Highway	T1 16611	Channel velocity (ft/sec)	1.67	2.25	2.65	4.05
		Water surface depth in channel (ft)	0.08	0.17	0.24	0.51
		Top width (ft)	239.82	241.36	242.51	247.41
Southeast Tributary at Confluence with Main stem	T1 410	Channel velocity (ft/sec)	3.44	0.11	0.18	0.67
		Water surface depth in channel (ft)	1.69	2.01	2.01	2.01
		Top width (ft)	31.89	1169.3	1169.3	1169.3
At Confluence with Geick Basin	MS-01 82	Channel velocity (ft/sec)	2.68	3.85	19.89	17.33
		Water surface depth in channel (ft)	1.45	2.17	1.11	2.36
		Top width (ft)	75.88	255.32	60.67	262.84

Grass channels are designed for depths and velocities to be within the limits of allowable shear stress. Grass lined channels are limited to 1.0 psf shear stress. If calculated shear stress is above this, drop structures must be added to flatten the natural slope of the channel.

Using these criteria, several channel sections were developed to accommodate a range of future flow rates from 100 cfs to 3500 cfs, as shown in Table 6-2. The approximate channel sections were used in the alternatives to accommodate future flows as necessary,

Table 6-2 Channel Dimensions based on Flow Rates

Q (cfs)	Grass		
	Sideslope (h:v)	Bottom (ft)	Depth (ft)
300	4	6	5
500	4	8	5
600	4	15	5
800	4	20	5
900	4	25	5
1000	4	30	5
1500	4	50	5
2000	4	80	5
3000	4	120	5
3500	4	140	5

6.2.2. Culvert Design

Culvert sizes for use in alternative evaluation were estimated based on full flow capacity of reinforced concrete pipe with a minimum slope of 0.50% and concrete end sections. For flows up to 300 cfs single RC pipe culverts with a maximum of 72" diameter were used. For greater flows, multiple RC pipes or 6-foot by 6-foot concrete box culverts with headwalls and flared wingwalls were used. Proposed culverts sizes based on existing flow rates are listed in Table 6-3.

Table 6-3 Existing Conditions Culvert Design

Facility Number	Road Crossing	Channel	Existing Size	Existing 100-yr Flow (cfs)	Deficiency	Necessary Facility
N/A	Peyton Highway	Tributary 1 (T1)	No Culvert	500	Overtops	2-72" RCPs
N/A	Falcon Highway	Tributary 1 (T1)	No Culvert	33	Overtops	36" RCP
301	Peyton Highway	Main Stem (MS-02)	2-33"X48" CMPs	2,500	Overtops	7-6'X6' RCBs
401	Jones Road	Tributary 1 (T1)	2-24" CMPs	370	Overtops	6'X6' RCB
403	Jones Road	Main Stem (MS-03)	3-60" CMPs	2,300	Overtops	6-6'X6' RCBs
405	Murr Road	Main Stem (MS-04)	66" RCP	1,700	Overtops	5-6'X6' RCBs
407	Murr Road	Tributary 3 (T3-01)	66" RCP	670	Overtops	2-6'X6' RCBs
507	Peerless Farms Road	Tributary 3 (T3-01)	60" CMP	600	Overtops	2-6'X6' RCBs
509	Murr Road	Tributary 1 (T1)	2-15" RCPs	220	Overtops	66" RCP
601	Whiting Way	Tributary 1 (T1)	24" CMP	220	Overtops	66" RCP
604	Max Road	Tributary 1 (T1)	18" CMP	220	Overtops	66" RCP
609	Falcon Highway	Tributary 3 (T3-02)	18" CMP	180	Overtops	66" RCP
610	Falcon Highway	Tributary 4 (T4)	24" CMP	200	Overtops	66" RCP
612	Falcon Highway	Tributary 5 (T5)	24" CMP	150	Overtops	60" RCP
628	Falcon Highway	Main Stem (MS-05)	2-60" CMPs	1,000	Overtops	3-6'X6' RCBs
702	Curtis Road	Tributary 6 (T6)	36" CMP	120	Overtops	54" RCP
703	Curtis Road	Main Stem (MS-06)	24" CMP	590	Overtops	2-6'X6' RCBs
704	Judge Orr Road	Main Stem (MS-06)	Blocked Culvert	540	Overtops	2-72" RCPs
801	Pedestrain Bridge	Main Stem (MS-06)	Bridge	350	Meets Capacity	Existing Bridge
802	US24	Main Stem (MS-06)	2-66" CMPs	350	Meets Capacity	Existing Culvert
803	Eastonville Road	Main Stem (MS-07)	27"X21" CMP	25	Overtops	30" RCP
804	Eastonville Road	Tributary 7 (T7)	18" CMP	99	Overtops	48" RCP

6.2.3. Detention Design

All detention pond design is based on Chapter 10, Storage, of the UDFCD SDCM. All ponds were assumed to be "full spectrum" per the SDCM. For final design to be performed later, some of the ponds may be separated into a water quality pond and an off-line major detention pond.

For the Regional Detention Alternative, either the simplified full spectrum sizing method or the hydrograph method was used to size the facility. If the contributing area is less than 160 acres and no

URS
9960 Federal Dr.
Suite 300
Colorado Springs, CO 80921
719.531.0001

5,000 2,500 0 5,000 Feet

1" = 5,000'

DATE: 05/08

HAEGLER RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN
REGIONAL DETENTION
ALTERNATIVES
FIGURE 6-1

URS NO. 21711039

Table 6-5 Regional Detention Pond Summary

Pond	Volume (AF)	Peak Inflow (cfs)		Peak Outflow (cfs)	
		2-yr	100-yr	2-yr	100-yr
RG-01	9.02	100	320	11	63
RG-02	170	600	4800	150	2200
RG-03	0.04	3	70	2	9
RG-04	1.07	19	140	1	55
RG-05	0.03	12	120	11	3

For the 100-year peak flow, flood impacts downstream from the regional detention pond will not increase.

6.3.1.1. Channels

Channels upstream of the regional detention ponds need to be sized for the future undetained 100-year peak flow rates from development, while culverts and channels downstream of regional ponds are sized for the existing 100-year peak flow rates. Proposed channel improvements along the corresponding reaches are summarized in Table 6-6.

proposed flows

Table 6-6 Channel Designs for Regional Detention Alternative

Channel	Existing 100-yr Flow (cfs)	Proposed 100-yr Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Channel Length (ft)	Material
Main Stem (MS-04)	1700	3400	3500	7140	Riprap
Main Stem (MS-05)	1500	3000	3000	11100	Grass
Main Stem (MS-06)	590	890	900	7330	Grass
Main Stem (MS-06)	660	930	1000	3170	Grass
Main Stem (MS-06)	720	1500	1500	4450	Grass
Main Stem (MS-06)	750	1600	2000	3330	Grass
Tributary 3 (T3-01)	720	1500	1500	10710	Grass
Tributary 4 (T4)	200	570	600	1840	Grass
Tributary 5 (T5)	150	240	300	930	Grass
Tributary 5 (T5)	270	410	500	7770	Grass
Tributary 6 (T6)	200	440	500	4270	Grass
Tributary 6 (T6)	240	570	600	3940	Grass

6.3.1.2. Culverts

As with the channels, culverts upstream of a regional detention pond need to be sized for the future undetained 100-year peak flow rates, while culverts and channels downstream are sized for the existing 100-year peak flow rates. Proposed culvert improvements along the corresponding reaches are summarized in Table 6-7 for the Regional Detention Alternative.

Table 6-7 Culvert Designs for Regional Detention

Facility Number	Road Crossing	Channel	Existing Size	Proposed 100-yr Flow (cfs)	Deficiency	Necessary Facility for Proposed 100-year Flow
405	Murr Road	Main Stem (MS-04)	66" RCP	3,400	Overtops	6-10'X6' RCBs
507	Peerless Farms Road	Tributary 3 (T3-01)	60" CMP	1200	Overtops	2-10'X6' RCBs
609	Falcon Highway	Tributary 3 (T3-02)	18" CMP	460	Overtops	2-66" RCPs
610	Falcon Highway	Tributary 4 (T4)	24" CMP	570	Overtops	2-72" RCPs
612	Falcon Highway	Tributary 5 (T5)	24" CMP	240	Overtops	72" RCP
628	Falcon Highway	Main Stem (MS-05)	2-60" CMPs	2,200	Overtops	4-10'X6' RCBs
702	Curtis Road	Tributary 6 (T6)	36" CMP	140	Overtops	60" RCP
703	Curtis Road	Main Stem (MS-06)	24" CMP	890	Overtops	2-8'X6' RCBs
704	Judge Orr Road	Main Stem (MS-06)	Blocked Culvert	830	Overtops	2-8'X6' RCBs
1001	Future Pastura Street	Main Stem (MS-06)	N/A	930	Future Road	2-8'X6' RCBs
1002	Future Arroyo Hondo Blvd. N.	Main Stem (MS-06)	N/A	930	Future Road	2-8'X6' RCBs
1003	Future Arroyo Hondo Blvd. S.	Main Stem (MS-06)	N/A	1500	Future Road	3-8'X6' RCBs
1004	Future Pastura Street	Tributary 6 (T6)	N/A	440	Future Road	2-66" RCPs
1005	Future El Vado Road	Tributary 6 (T6)	N/A	440	Future Road	2-66" RCPs
1006	Future Socorro Trail	Tributary 6 (T6)	N/A	440	Future Road	2-66" RCPs

Note: Changes recommended to other culverts under existing conditions still apply

6.3.2. Subregional Detention

For this alternative, subregional detention ponds are located and sized to address development as it will occur. Locations of proposed subregional detention ponds are shown in Figure 6-2 and are summarized in Table 6-8. A connectivity diagram for the sub-regional HEC-HMS model is shown in Figure 6-3.

Table 6-8 Subregional Detention Pond Summary

Pond	Size (AF)	Peak Inflow (cfs)		Peak Outflow (cfs)	
		2-yr	100-yr	2-yr	100-yr
SR-01	10	100	320	8	90
SR-02	5	14	300	3	250
SR-03	16	210	640	29	530
SR-04	25	200	1120	33	740
SR-05	24	76	570	9	250
SR-06	9	14	180	1	20
SR-07	5	6	140	1	88
SR-08	5	23	240	15	210
SR-09	20	50	430	3	66
SR-10	23	85	860	23	600
SR-11	2	3	70	1	61
SR-12	9	19	140	1	35
SR-13	3	12	120	6	110

Subregional ponds have been sized using the hydrograph routing method described above. In this alternative, all proposed channels and culverts are sized for the existing 100-year peak flow rates, except within proposed developments where it is necessary to provide conveyance for developed flow rates. Flood impacts for the 100-year peak flow downstream of the subregional, full spectrum detention ponds will not increase.

6.3.2.1. Channels

In this alternative, only channel improvements through proposed developments are included, unless an area is undersized for existing conditions. Existing deficiencies are the responsibility of the current land owner or the County, and not the developer, and corrective measures for existing deficiencies are not included in the cost estimates. Proposed channel improvements along the corresponding reaches are summarized in Table 6-9.

Table 6-9 Channel Design for Subregional Detention Alternative

Channel	Existing 100-yr Flow (cfs)	Proposed 100-yr Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Channel Length (ft)	Material
Main Stem (MS-05)	1460	1680	2000	1560	Grass
Main Stem (MS-06)	660	530	600	3120	Grass
Main Stem (MS-06)	720	970	1000	4535	Grass
Main Stem (MS-06)	750	740	800	3190	Grass
Tributary 3 (T3-01)	600	600	600	5000	Grass
Tributary 3 (T3-02)	220	500	500	420	Grass
Tributary 4 (T4)	220	500	500	940	Grass
Tributary 6 (T6)	200	440	500	4280	Grass
Tributary 6 (T6)	240	250	300	1400	Grass

6.3.2.2. Culverts

As with the channels, only the culverts through proposed developments will be effected unless an area is undersized for existing conditions. Any existing deficiencies in the roadway culverts are the responsibility of the County and not the developer, and required culvert improvements are not included in the cost estimates for the alternative. Proposed culvert improvements are summarized in Table 6-10.

Table 6-10 Culvert Design for Subregional Detention Alternative

Facility Number	Road Crossing	Channel	Proposed 100-yr Flow (cfs)	Deficiency	Necessary Facility for Proposed 100-year Flow
301	Peyton Highway	Main Stem (MS-02)	3,370	Overtops	9-6'X6' RCBs
403	Jones Road	Main Stem (MS-03)	2,970	Overtops	8-6'X6' RCBs
405	Murr Road	Main Stem (MS-04)	2,870	Overtops	8-6'X6' RCBs
609	Falcon Highway	Tributary 3 (T3-02)	460	Overtops	2-6'X6' RCBs
1001	Future Pastura Street	Main Stem (MS-06)	930	Future Road	3-6'X6' RCBs
1002	Future Arroyo Hondo Blvd. N.	Main Stem (MS-06)	930	Future Road	3-6'X6' RCBs
1003	Future Arroyo Hondo Blvd. S.	Main Stem (MS-06)	1500	Future Road	4-6'X6' RCBs
1004	Future Pastura Street	Tributary 6 (T6)	440	Future Road	2-66" RCPs
1005	Future El Vado Road	Tributary 6 (T6)	440	Future Road	2-66" RCPs
1006	Future Socorro Trail	Tributary 6 (T6)	440	Future Road	2-66" RCPs

URS
9960 Federal Dr.
Suite 300
Colorado Springs, CO 80921
719.531.0001

5,000 2,500 0 5,000 Feet
1" = 5,000'

DATE: 05/08

HAEGLER RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN
SUBREGIONAL DETENTION
ALTERNATIVES
FIGURE 6-2

URS NO. 21711039

LEGEND

6630	CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
	WATERSHED BOUNDARY
	BASIN BOUNDARY
	ROADS
20+00	RIVER AND ALIGNMENT

1"=200'

200 0 200 400

CHANNEL: MAIN STEM (MS-06)
BASIN: HR0070

STA: 0+00 TO STA: 31+34

Q: 600 CFS

Computer File Information

Full Path:	P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS
Drawing File Name:	CHANNEL.DWG
Acad. Ver. 2006	Scale: 1"=200' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

URS 9960 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Designed by:	KAP
Detailed by:	DRM
Checked by:	JAJ

Structure Numbers

Profiles

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL CHANNELS

Sheet Number MAIN STEM PG 1

MATCHLINE MS-06 PG 1

LEGEND

6630	CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
	WATERSHED BOUNDARY
	BASIN BOUNDARY
	ROADS
20+00	RIVER AND ALIGNMENT

1"=200'

200 0 200 400

CHANNEL: MAIN STEM (MS-06)
BASIN: HR0070

STA: 0+00 TO STA: 31+34

Q: 600 CFS

CHANNEL: MAIN STEM (MS-06)
BASIN: HR0080

STA: 31+34 TO STA: 74+61

Q: 1000 CFS

Computer File Information

Full Path:	P:\21711D39\CAD\PLANSHTS
Drawing File Name:	CHANNEL.DWG
Acad. Ver. 2D06	Scale: 1"=2D0' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

URS 9960 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Designed by:	KAP
Detailed by:	DRM
Checked by:	JAJ

Structure Numbers

Profiles

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL CHANNELS

Sheet Number MAIN STEM PG 2

LEGEND

6630	CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
	WATERSHED BOUNDARY
	BASIN BOUNDARY
	ROADS
20+00	RIVER AND ALIGNMENT

1"=200'

200 0 200 400

CHANNEL: MAIN STEM (MS-06)
BASIN: HR0080

STA: 31+34 TO STA: 74+61

Q: 1000 CFS

Computer File Information

Full Path:	P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS
Drawing File Name:	CHANNEL.DWG
Acad. Ver. 2006	Scale: 1"=200' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

A		
B		
C		

URS 9960 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Designed by:	KAP
Detailed by:	DRM
Checked by:	JAJ

Structure Numbers

Profiles

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL CHANNELS

Sheet Number MAIN STEM PG 3

MATCHLINE MS-06 PG 3

HR0110

HR0080

HR0130

HR0090

HR0090

MAIN STEM MS-06
(SEE PROFILE MS-06)

MATCHLINE MS-06 PG 5

N

LEGEND

6630	CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
	WATERSHED BOUNDARY
	BASIN BOUNDARY
	ROADS
20+00	RIVER AND ALIGNMENT

1"=200'

200 0 200 400

CHANNEL: MAIN STEM (MS-06)
BASIN: HR0080

STA: 31+34 TO STA: 74+61

Q: 1000 CFS

CHANNEL: MAIN STEM (MS-06)
BASIN: HR0090

STA: 74+61 TO STA: 103+62

Q: 800 CFS

Computer File Information

Full Path:	P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS
Drawing File Name:	CHANNEL.DWG
Acad. Ver. 2006	Scale: 1"=200' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

Profiles

URS 8960 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Designed by:	KAP
Detailed by:	DRM
Checked by:	JAJ

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL CHANNELS

Sheet Number MAIN STEM PG 4

MATCHLINE MS-06 PG 4

MAIN STEM MS-06
(SEE PROFILE MS-06)

HR0090

HR0130

HR0210

POND SR-05
(SEE SHEET SR05)

POND SR-04
(SEE SHEET SR04)

HR0090

MAIN STEM MS-06
(SEE MAINSTEM PAGE 5)

TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
(SEE PROFILE TRIBUTARY 6)

HR0140

MATCHLINE MS-06 PG 6

LEGEND

6630	CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
	WATERSHED BOUNDARY
	BASIN BOUNDARY
	ROADS
20+00	RIVER AND ALIGNMENT

1"=200'

200 0 200 400

CHANNEL: MAIN STEM (MS-06)
BASIN: HR0090

STA: 74+61 TO STA: 103+62

Q: 800 CFS

Profiles

Computer File Information

Index of Revisions

Full Path:	P:\21711039\CAO\PLANSHTS	R			
Drawing File Name:	CHANNEL.DWG	△			
Acad. Ver. 2006	Scale: 1"=200' Units: Feet	△			

URS 9960 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Designed by: KAP
Detailed by: DRM
Checked by: JAJ

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL CHANNELS

Sheet Number MAIN STEM PG 5

LEGEND

6630	CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
	WATERSHED BOUNDARY
	BASIN BOUNDARY
	ROADS
20+00	RIVER AND ALIGNMENT

1"=200'

200 0 200 400

CHANNEL: TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
BASIN: HR0110

STA: 0+00 TO STA: 41+73

Q: 500 CFS

Computer File Information

Index of Revisions

Profiles

Full Path:	P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS
Drawing File Name:	CHANNEL.DWG
Acad. Ver. 2006	Scale: 1"=200' Units: Feet

URS 9960 Federal Drive, Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Designed by: KAP
Detailed by: DRM
Checked by: JAJ

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL CHANNELS

Sheet Number TRIBUTARY 6 PG 1

MATCHLINE T6 PG 1

HR0110

TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
(SEE PROFILE TRIBUTARY 6)

HR0110

HR0110

MATCHLINE T6 PG 3

LEGEND

6630	CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
	WATERSHED BOUNDARY
	BASIN BOUNDARY
	ROADS
20+00	RIVER AND ALIGNMENT

1"=200'

200 0 200 400

CHANNEL: TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
BASIN: HR0110

STA: 0+00 TO STA: 41+73

Q: 500 CFS

Computer File Information

Index of Revisions

Profiles

Full Path:	P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS
Drawing File Name:	CHANNEL.DWG
Acad. Ver. 2006	Scale: 1"=200' Units: Feet

URS 2960 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Designed by: KAP
Detailed by: DRM
Checked by: JAJ

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION
ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL CHANNELS

Sheet Number TRIBUTARY 6 PG 2

MATCHLINE T6 PG 2

HR0110

HR0110

TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
(SEE PROFILE TRIBUTARY 6)

HR0090

HR0120

HR0120

MATCHLINE T6 PG 4

LEGEND

6630	CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
	WATERSHED BOUNDARY
	BASIN BOUNDARY
	ROADS
20+00	RIVER AND ALIGNMENT

1"=200'

200 0 200 400

CHANNEL: TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
BASIN: HR0110

STA: 0+00 TO STA: 41+73

Q: 500 CFS

CHANNEL: TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
BASIN: HR0120

STA: 41+73 TO STA: 75+50

Q: 300 CFS

Computer File Information

Index of Revisions

Profiles

Full Path:	P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS
Drawing File Name:	CHANNEL.DWG
Acad. Ver. 2006	Scale: 1"=200' Units: Feet

URS 5960 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Designed by: KAP
Detailed by: DRM
Checked by: JAJ

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL CHANNELS

Sheet Number TRIBUTARY 6 PG 3

MATCHLINE MS-06 PG 2

TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
(SEE PROFILE TRIBUTARY 6)

HR0120

POND SR-05
(SEE SHEET SR05)

POND SR-04
(SEE SHEET SR04)

HR0090

MAIN STEM MS-06
(SEE MAINSTEM PAGE 5)

TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
(SEE PROFILE TRIBUTARY 6)

HR0120

56+00 57+00 58+00 59+00 60+00 61+00 62+00 63+00 64+00 65+00 66+00 67+00 68+00 69+00

92+00 93+00 94+00 95+00 96+00 97+00 98+00 99+00 100+00

6630 6640 6650 6660

MATCHLINE MS-06 PG 6

LEGEND

6630	CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
	WATERSHED BOUNDARY
	BASIN BOUNDARY
	ROADS
20+00	RIVER AND ALIGNMENT

1"=200'

200 0 200 400

CHANNEL: TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
BASIN: HR0120

STA: 41+73 TO STA: 75+50

Q: 300 CFS

Computer File Information

Full Path:	P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS
Drawing File Name:	CHANNEL.DWG
Acad. Ver. 2006	Scale: 1"=200' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

R			

URS 9960 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Profiles

Designed by:	KAP
Detailed by:	DRM
Checked by:	JAJ

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION
ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL CHANNELS

Sheet Number TRIBUTARY 6 PG 4

MS-06 HR0070

SLOPE = 0.60%

(7) 4' DROPS

MS-06 HR0080

SLOPE = 0.60%

(7) 4' DROPS

PROFILE MAIN STEM (MS-06 & MS-05)

LEGEND

- PROPOSED DROP STRUCTURE
- EXISTING THALWEG
- HYDRAULIC GRADE LINE

Computer File Information

Full Path: P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS

Drawing File Name: MAINSTEM PROFILES_PROPOSED.DWG

Acad. Ver. 2006 Scale: 1"=20' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

EL PASO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

URS 9950 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Profiles

Designed by: KAP

Detailed by: DRM

Checked by:

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL PROFILES

Sheet Number MS 06

MS-06 HR0080

SLOPE = 0.60%

(7) 4' DROPS

MS-06 HR0090

SLOPE = 0.60%

(8) 4' DROPS

PROFILE MAIN STEM (MS-06 & MS-05)

LEGEND

- PROPOSED DROP STRUCTURE
- EXISTING THALWEG
- HYDRAULIC GRADE LINE

Computer File Information

Full Path: P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS

Drawing File Name: MAINSTEM PROFILES_PROPOSED.DWG

Acad. Ver. 2006 Scale: 1"=20' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

EL PASO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

URS 9950 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Profiles

Designed by: KAP

Detailed by: DRM

Checked by:

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL PROFILES

Sheet Number MS06 (2)

MS-06 HR0090

SLOPE = 0.60%

(8) 4' DROPS

MS-05 HR0200

SLOPE = 0.40%

(4) 4' DROPS

PROFILE MAIN STEM (MS-06 & MS-05)

LEGEND

- PROPOSED DROP STRUCTURE
- EXISTING THALWEG
- HYDRAULIC GRADE LINE

Computer File Information

Full Path: P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS

Drawing File Name: MAINSTEM PROFILES_PROPOSED.DWG

Acad. Ver. 2006 Scale: 1"=20' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

EL PASO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

URS 9960 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Profiles

Designed by: KAP

Detailed by: DRM

Checked by:

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL PROFILES

Sheet Number MS06 & MS05 (3)

POND SR-03

LEGEND

6630	PROPOSED CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	PROPOSED CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
6630	EXISTING CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	EXISTING CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
	WATERSHED BOUNDARY
	ROADS
	RIVER
	100 YEAR WATER SURFACE ELEVATION
⊠	OUTLET

POND SR-03
DISCHARGE

Q100	530 CFS
Q2	29 CFS
POND VOLUME AC FT	16
BERM WIDTH	10'
SIDESLOPES	8:1

N

1"=200'

200 0 200 400

Computer File Information

Full Path:	P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS
Drawing File Name:	PONDS.DWG
Acad. Ver. 2006	Scale: 1"=20D' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

R			
△			
△			

Profiles

EL PASO COUNTY

URS 9960 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Designed by:	KAP
Detailed by:	DRM
Checked by:	JAJ

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN

Sheet Number SR03

POND SR-04

MAIN STEM MS-06
(SEE MAINSTEM PG 5)
(SEE PROFILE MS-06)

100 YEAR
WS 6653.25'

TOP OF BERM
6656.25'

LOW POINT

MAIN STEM MS-06
(SEE MAINSTEM PG 5)
(SEE PROFILE MS-06)

6670 6660 6650 6640

LEGEND

6630	PROPOSED CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	PROPOSED CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
6630	EXISTING CONTOURS – MAJOR ELEVATION
	EXISTING CONTOURS – MINOR ELEVATION
	WATERSHED BOUNDARY
	ROADS
	RIVER
	100 YEAR WATER SURFACE ELEVATION
⊠	OUTLET

POND SR-04
DISCHARGE

Q100	740 CFS
Q2	33 CFS
POND VOLUME AC FT	25
BERM WIDTH	10'
SIDESLOPES	8:1

N

1"=200'

200 0 200 400

Computer File Information

Full Path:	P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS
Drawing File Name:	PONDS.DWG
Acad. Ver. 2006	Scale: 1"=200' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

Profiles

URS 9950 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Designed by:	KAP
Detailed by:	DRM
Checked by:	JAJ

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN

Sheet Number SR04

POND SR-05

TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
(SEE TRIBUTARY 6 PG 4)
(SEE PROFILE TRIBUTARY 6)
LOW POINT
100 YEAR
WS 6655.50'
TOP OF BERM
6658.50'
TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)
(SEE TRIBUTARY 6 PG 4)
(SEE PROFILE TRIBUTARY 6)
6670
6660
6650
6640
6630
6630
6630

T6 HR0110

SLOPE = 0.70%

(9) 4' DROPS

PROFILE TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)

LEGEND

- PROPOSED DROP STRUCTURE
- EXISTING THALWEG
- HYDRAULIC GRADE LINE

Computer File Information

Full Path: P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS

Drawing File Name: T PROFILE SHEETS 6_PROPOSED.DWG

Acad. Ver. 2006 Scale: 1"=20' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

EL PASO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

URS 9950 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Profiles

Designed by: KAP

Detailed by: DRM

Checked by:

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL PROFILES

Sheet Number T6

T6 HR0110

SLOPE = 0.70%

(9) 4' DROPS

T6 HR0120

SLOPE = 0.90%

(6) 4' DROPS

PROFILE TRIBUTARY 6 (T6)

LEGEND

- PROPOSED DROP STRUCTURE
- EXISTING THALWEG
- HYDRAULIC GRADE LINE

Computer File Information

Full Path: P:\21711039\CAD\PLANSHTS

Drawing File Name: T PROFILE SHEETS 6_PROPOSED.DWG

Acad. Ver. 2006 Scale: 1"=20' Units: Feet

Index of Revisions

EL PASO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

URS 9950 Federal Drive, Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO. 80921 (719) 531-0001 Fax (719) 531-0007

Profiles

Designed by: KAP

Detailed by: DRM

Checked by:

Structure Numbers

HAEGLER RANCH SUB-REGIONAL DETENTION ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL PROFILES

Sheet Number T6 (2)

FIGURE 4-4 SHEET 1

SUBBASINS

NAME	2 YR FLOWS (cfs)		100 YR FLOWS (cfs)		SUBBASIN
	Existing	Future	Existing	Future	AREA (sq. mi)
HR0010	3.1	11	25	52	0.022
HR0020	11	100	99	320	0.108
HR0030	9.0	13	260	300	0.393
HR0040	0.36	0.36	26	26	0.045
HR0050	2.7	4.3	170	200	0.377
HR0060	2.0	3.5	54	66	0.101
HR0070	5.4	210	99	580	0.180
HR0080	2.5	190	87	970	0.482
HR0090	0.95	11	44	160	0.154
HR0100	4.3	5.8	120	140	0.394
HR0110	1.9	72	84	420	0.310
HR0120	2.0	4.0	73	150	0.226
HR0130	0.27	15	29	180	0.185
HR0140	3.5	6.4	110	140	0.283
HR0150	21	21	210	210	0.290
HR0160	6.6	6.6	96	96	0.267
HR0170	15	17	130	150	0.241
HR0180	6.4	6.4	85	85	0.194
HR0190	19	19	190	190	0.340
HR0200	6.3	6.8	140	150	0.272
HR0210	13	24	150	240	0.359
HR0220	6.3	6.6	85	90	0.168
HR0260	0.88	36	60	240	0.186
HR0290	20	57	180	460	0.340

JUNCTIONS

NAME	2 YR FLOWS (cfs)		100 YR FLOWS (cfs)		DRAINAGE
	Existing	Future	Existing	Future	AREA (sq. mi)
JHR0025	14	110	120	370	0.130
JHR0030	21	120	350	630	0.523
JHR0056	24	120	540	830	0.945
JHR0060	25	120	590	890	1.046
JHR0070	28	210	660	930	1.226
JHR0080	29	340	720	1500	1.708
JHR0110	6.1	72	200	440	0.704
JHR0125	37	410	980	2100	2.792
JHR0145	39	420	1100	2400	3.260
JHR0150	42	440	1100	2600	3.550
JHR0160	44	440	1200	2600	3.817
JHR0185	50	450	1400	2700	4.252
JHR0190	65	470	1400	2900	4.592

URS

URS NO. 21711039

DATE: 09/08

FIGURE 4-4 SHEET 2

SUBBASINS

NAME	2 YR FLOWS (cfs) Existing	2 YR FLOWS (cfs) Future	100 YR FLOWS (cfs) Existing	100 YR FLOWS (cfs) Future	SUBBASIN AREA (sq. mi)
HR0160	6.6	6.6	96	96	0.267
HR0190	19	19	190	190	0.340
HR0200	6.3	6.8	140	150	0.272
HR0210	13	24	150	240	0.359
HR0220	6.3	6.6	85	90	0.168
HR0230	7.2	7.5	86	91	0.169
HR0240	2.0	2.0	75	77	0.226
HR0250	10	21	190	330	0.349
HR0260	0.88	36	60	240	0.186
HR0270	2.1	38	64	280	0.169
HR0280	6.5	7.6	87	100	0.192
HR0290	20	57	180	460	0.340
HR0300	2.7	3.6	60	67	0.111
HR0310	2.8	8.8	75	110	0.154
HR0320	4.7	5.8	51	58	0.088
HR0330	13	21	180	230	0.366
HR0340	22	24	170	190	0.194
HR0350	19	20	150	150	0.154
HR0360	8.0	8.0	88	88	0.140
HR0370	21	21	230	230	0.376
HR0380	5.9	6.1	130	140	0.212
HR0390	31	34	280	310	0.457
HR0400	18	18	160	160	0.290
HR0410	7.4	8.4	68	77	0.084
HR0420	1.8	2.5	59	70	0.124
HR0430	4.7	4.7	90	90	0.295
HR0440	5.5	5.5	130	130	0.357
HR0450	9.5	9.5	85	85	0.140
HR0510	0.35	19	33	140	0.157
HR0520	23	24	200	210	0.488
HR0530	1.2	1.2	76	80	0.258
HR0540	0.30	0.30	37	37	0.206
HR0550	0.56	0.57	50	51	0.110
HR0560	0.22	0.22	25	25	0.150
HR0570	8.6	8.9	170	180	0.453
HR0580	10	11	96	98	0.124
HR0590	2.2	2.2	84	84	0.408
HR0600	1.4	1.4	41	41	0.128
HR0610	5.7	5.8	100	110	0.202

JUNCTIONS

NAME	2 YR FLOWS (cfs) Existing	2 YR FLOWS (cfs) Future	100 YR FLOWS (cfs) Existing	100 YR FLOWS (cfs) Future	DRAINAGE AREA (sq mi)
JHR0160	44	440	1200	2600	3.817
JHR0185	50	450	1400	2700	4.252
JHR0190	65	470	1400	2900	4.592
JHR0220	17	30	210	330	0.527
JHR0235	87	480	1700	3300	5.560
JHR0240	88	470	1700	3400	5.786
JHR0275	2.9	69	120	480	0.355
JHR0280	6.5	75	200	570	0.547
JHR0305	29	96	400	870	0.998
JHR0325	36	110	490	1000	1.240
JHR0330	44	120	600	1200	1.606
JHR0340	49	130	640	1300	1.800
JHR0350	52	130	670	1400	1.954
JHR0365	150	600	2200	4800	8.229
JHR0370	150	600	2300	5000	8.605
JHR0380	150	600	2300	5000	8.817
JHR0400	170	600	2400	5300	9.564
JHR0430	5.6	6.7	150	150	0.419
JHR0520	23	43	220	350	0.645
JHR0540	24	44	270	420	1.109
JHR0560	25	44	300	460	1.369
JHR0570	29	48	370	520	1.822
JHR0605	33	52	480	630	2.482

URS

HAEGLER RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN

EXISTING AND FUTURE CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODEL

URS NO. 21711039

DATE: 09/08

FIGURE 4-4 SHEET 3

SUBBASINS

NAME	2 YR FLOWS (cfs) Existing	2 YR FLOWS (cfs) Future	100 YR FLOWS (cfs) Existing	100 YR FLOWS (cfs) Future	SUBBASIN AREA (sq. mi)
HR0390	31	34	280	310	0.457
HR0400	18	18	160	160	0.290
HR0410	7.4	8.4	68	77	0.084
HR0440	5.5	5.5	130	130	0.357
HR0450	9.5	9.5	85	85	0.140
HR0460	4.0	4.0	76	76	0.109
HR0470	7.3	7.6	73	77	0.102
HR0480	0.86	0.86	34	34	0.244
HR0490	9.2	9.8	210	220	0.312
HR0500	3.3	3.4	140	150	0.326
HR0580	10	11	96	98	0.124
HR0590	2.2	2.2	84	84	0.408
HR0600	1.4	1.4	41	41	0.128
HR0610	5.7	5.8	100	110	0.202
HR0620	1.9	1.9	110	120	0.647
HR0630	2.2	2.2	86	86	0.616
HR0640	0.88	0.88	37	37	0.237
HR0650	4.2	4.3	45	46	0.092
HR0660	0.87	0.87	52	52	0.296
HR0670	0.63	0.63	31	31	0.153
HR0680	11	12	110	120	0.206

JUNCTIONS

NAME	2 YR FLOWS (cfs) Existing	2 YR FLOWS (cfs) Future	100 YR FLOWS (cfs) Existing	100 YR FLOWS (cfs) Future	DRAINAGE AREA (sq. mi)
JHR0400	170	600	2400	5300	9.564
JHR0445	180	590	2500	5400	10.424
JHR0465	180	570	2600	5400	10.673
JHR0480	180	570	2600	5400	11.019
JHR0490	180	570	2600	5500	11.331
JHR0605	33	52	480	630	2.482
JHR0610	34	52	500	650	2.684
JHR0620	35	53	560	700	3.331
JHR0640	38	54	670	780	4.184
JHR0655	190	570	3200	5600	15.933
JHR0685	190	550	3200	5600	16.588
HAEGLER	190	550	3200	5600	16.588

URS

HAEGLER RANCH DRAINAGE BASIN

EXISTING AND FUTURE CONDITIONS HYDROLOGIC MODEL

URS NO. 21711039

DATE: 09/08

GIECK RANCH
DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY
El Paso County, Colorado

Volume 1 – Final Report

October 1, 2007
Revised: February 10, 2010

PREPARED FOR:
975 Ford LP, LLP
118 North Tejon Street, Suite 213
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 491-4169
Contact: Neil McLeod

PREPARED BY:
Drexel, Barrell & Co.
3 S. 7th Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80905
(719) 260-0887
Contact: James A. Brzostowicz , P.E.
DBC Project Number: C-7706-2

Figure 2.0
Gieck Ranch Drainage Basins Map
Scale 1" = 7000'

Figure 5.0
Gieck Ranch 2030 Land Use Map
Scale 1" = 7000'

Table 6.2: Summary of Flows at Selected Design Points – 5-year Storm Event

Design Point ID	Design Point Location	Hydrologic Element	Accumulative Area (mi^2)	Existing Peak Flow (cfs)	Future Peak Flow (cfs)	% Difference Peak Flow	Existing Volume (ac-ft)	Future Volume (ac-ft)	% Difference Volume
1	Haegler Diversion at Eastonville Road	HD-J2	0.8	122	359	195%	26	38	43%
2	West Fork at Eastonville Road	WF-J1	0.3	39	130	235%	10	16	59%
3	Main Channel at Eastonville Road	MS-J4	1.3	214	389	82%	37	50	33%
4	Haegler Diversion at Highway 24	HD-J4	1.3	137	396	189%	31	45	43%
5	West Fork at Highway 24	WF-J3	0.4	52	186	258%	16	23	47%
6	Main Channel at Highway 24	MS-J6	2.5	262	591	126%	62	78	27%
7	East Fork at Highway 24	EF-J4	1.2	292	334	14%	44	45	2%
8	Main Channel at Elbert Road	MS-J7	3.0	262	590	126%	68	84	25%
9	East Fork at Elbert Road	EF-J6	2.1	307	344	12%	62	64	4%
10	West Fork at Judge Orr Road	WF-J6	1.5	241	634	163%	72	101	40%
11	Confluence of East Fork and Main Channel	MS-J9	5.7	456	934	105%	134	153	14%
12	Main Channel at Judge Orr Road	MS-J11	6.7	482	997	107%	150	187	25%
13	Confluence of West Fork and Main Channel	MS-J12	11.2	615	1780	189%	240	346	44%
14	Main Channel at Falcon Highway	MS-J16	13.4	662	1907	188%	271	412	52%
15	Main Channel at Peyton Highway	MS-J19	15.1	683	1906	179%	285	428	50%
16	Main Channel at Jones Road	MS-J20	15.6	688	1913	178%	291	440	51%
17	South Fork at Jones Road	SF-J4	1.3	117	117	0%	41	41	0%
18	Confluence of South Fork and Main Channel	MS-J22	17.9	764	1989	161%	341	494	45%
19	Southeast Fork at McDaniels Road	SE-J3	2.4	157	153	-2%	69	69	0%
20	Main Channel at McDaniels Road	MS-J29	19.6	790	1985	151%	355	521	47%
21	Total Combined Outfall	SE-J3 plus MS-J29	22.0	909	2071	128%	424	590	39%

The 5-year storm event future undetained peak flow is estimated to increase by 128% over the existing peak flow while the future volume of runoff is estimated to increase by 39%.

Table 6.4: Summary of Flows at Selected Design Points – 100-year Storm Event

Design Point ID	Design Point Location	Hydrologic Element	Accumulative Area (mi^2)	Existing Peak Flow (cfs)	Future Peak Flow (cfs)	% Difference Peak Flow	Existing Volume (ac-ft)	Future Volume (ac-ft)	% Difference Volume
1	Haegler Diversion at Eastonville Road	HD-J2	0.8	431	1060	146%	77	96	25%
2	West Fork at Eastonville Road	WF-J1	0.3	146	389	166%	29	39	33%
3	Main Channel at Eastonville Road	MS-J4	1.3	730	1233	69%	112	135	20%
4	Haegler Diversion at Highway 24	HD-J4	1.3	521	1223	135%	97	121	24%
5	West Fork at Highway 24	WF-J3	0.4	224	605	170%	49	62	26%
6	Main Channel at Highway 24	MS-J6	2.5	997	1896	90%	194	225	16%
7	East Fork at Highway 24	EF-J4	1.2	1054	1113	6%	124	126	1%
8	Main Channel at Elbert Road	MS-J7	3.0	1010	1896	88%	220	253	15%
9	East Fork at Elbert Road	EF-J6	2.1	1120	1172	5%	183	187	2%
10	West Fork at Judge Orr Road	WF-J6	1.5	1017	2213	117%	244	291	19%
11	Confluence of East Fork and Main Channel	MS-J9	5.7	1817	3068	69%	429	467	9%
12	Main Channel at Judge Orr Road	MS-J11	6.7	1968	3383	72%	487	564	16%
13	Confluence of West Fork and Main Channel	MS-J12	11.2	2732	6104	123%	805	993	23%
14	Main Channel at Falcon Highway	MS-J16	13.4	3045	6784	123%	936	1191	27%
15	Main Channel at Peyton Highway	MS-J19	15.1	3200	6946	117%	1012	1269	25%
16	Main Channel at Jones Road	MS-J20	15.6	3250	7056	117%	1040	1308	26%
17	South Fork at Jones Road	SF-J4	1.3	454	454	0%	133	133	0%
18	Confluence of South Fork and Main Channel	MS-J22	17.9	3650	7392	103%	1210	1489	23%
19	Southeast Fork at McDaniels Road	SE-J3	2.4	547	546	0%	210	210	0%
20	Main Channel at McDaniels Road	MS-J29	19.6	3791	7525	99%	1293	1597	23%
21	Total Combined Outfall	SE-J3 plus MS-J29	22.0	4326	7687	78%	1503	1807	20%

The 100-year storm event future undetained peak flow is estimated to increase by 78% over the existing peak flow while the future volume of runoff is estimated to increase by 20%.

During the hydrologic analysis it was observed that the Black Squirrel Creek lies very close to the eastern boundary of the Gieck Ranch Basin from Falcon Highway downstream to Log Road. It is possible that flow from Black Squirrel Creek could spill into the Gieck Ranch Basin during extreme storm events. The flows in Black Squirrel Creek in this area are expected to be more than 5,000 cfs for the 100-year event. If the Black Squirrel Creek were to overflow its' banks and flow into the Gieck Ranch Basin it could increase the flows shown in the above tables. Possible improvements to address this potential problem include channel improvements to increase the Black Squirrel Creek conveyance in this area or constructing berms on the east bank to prevent overflow.

Table 8.0: Structure Inventory and Evaluation Summary

Structure ID	Location	Type	Existing Condition	Percent of 100-year Flow Passing*	Adequate** Y/N?	Proposed Structure
1	Eastonville Road southeast of structure 2	18" CMP	Good	13%	N	2 - 6' x 3' CBC
2	Eastonville Road at Haegler Diversion	18" CMP	Good	3%	N	1 - 12' x 5' CBC
3	Eastonville Road northeast of structure 2	18" CMP	Good	67%	N	2 - 24" RCP
4	Eastonville Road at West Fork	36" CMP	Good	24%	N	48" x 76" ERCP
5	Eastonville Road northeast of structure 4	30" CMP	Fair	81%	N	2 - 30" CMP
6	Eastonville Road northeast of structure 5	18" CMP	Poor	100%	Y	---
7	Eastonville Road northeast of structure 6	18" CMP	Good	100%	Y	---
8	Eastonville Road northeast of structure 7	18" CMP	Good	93%	N	19" x 30" ERCP
9	Eastonville Road at Main Channel	24" CMP	Fair	2%	N	2 - 10' x 5' CBC
10	Eastonville Road at Main Channel - East Tributary	19" x 28" CMP	Good	4%	N	1 - 12' x 5' CBC
11	Eastonville Road northeast of structure 10	18" CMP	Good	100%	Y	---
12	Eastonville Road northeast of structure 11	24" x 35" CMP	Good	89%	Y	---
13	Eastonville Road at headwaters of East Fork	30" CMP	Good	24%	N	43" x 68" ERCP
14	Upstream of Hwy 24 at Haegler Diversion	2 - 36" CMP	Good	22%	N	2 - 8' x 4' CBC
15	Hwy 24 at Haegler Diversion	4' x 4' CBC	Good	34%	N.E.	---
16	Upstream of Hwy 24 northeast of structure 14	18" CI	Good	100%	N	24" CMP
17	Hwy 24 northeast of structure 15	24" RCP	Good	100%	N.E.	---
18	Upstream of Hwy 24 at West Fork	Bridge	Good	100%	Y	---
19	Hwy 24 at West Fork	Bridge	Good	100%	N.E.	---
20	Upstream of Hwy 24 northeast of structure 18	36" CMP	Good	72%	Y	---
21	Hwy 24 northeast of structure 19	24" CMP	Poor	34%	N.E.	---
22	Upstream of Hwy 24 at Main Channel	Bridge	Good	100%	Y	---
23	Hwy 24 at Main Channel	Bridge	Good	100%	N.E.	---
24	Upstream of Hwy 24 northeast of structure 22	24" CMP	Unknown	100%	Y	---
25	Hwy 24 northeast of structure 23	24" CMP	Unknown	100%	N.E.	---
26	Upstream of Hwy 24 northeast of structure 24	24" CMP	Unknown	100%	Y	---
27	Hwy 24 northeast of structure 25	24" CMP	Fair	100%	N.E.	---
28	Hwy 24 northeast of structure 27	24" CMP	Poor	99%	N.E.	---
29	Upstream of Hwy 24 at East Fork - West Tributary	24" CMP	Fair	6%	N	1 - 12' x 4' CBC
30	Hwy 24 at East Fork - West Tributary	24" CMP	Good	9%	N.E.	---
31	Upstream of Hwy 24 at East Fork - East Tributary	Bridge	Good	100%	Y	---
32	Hwy 24 at East Fork - East Tributary	Bridge	Good	100%	N.E.	---
33	Curtis Road south of Hwy 24	15" CMP	Good	6%	N	36" CMP
34	Elbert Road at East Fork	Bridge	Good	39%	N	50' Span

Table 8.0: Structure Inventory and Evaluation Summary (Cont.)

35	Elbert Road south of structure 34	24" CMP	Good	100%	Y	---
36	Elbert Road at Main Channel	2 - 48" CMP	Good	19%	N	3 - 12' x 4' CBC
37	Elbert Road south of structure 36	24" CMP	Poor	55%	Y	---
38	Judge Orr Road at West Fork	67" x 95" CMP	Good	20%	N	4 - 12' x 5' CBC
39	Judge Orr Road east of structure 38	36" CMP	Good	100%	Y	---
40	Judge Orr Road west of structure 41	24" CMP	Poor	90%	Y	---
41	Judge Orr Road at Main Channel	Bridge	Good	100%	Y	---
42	Falcon Hwy at Main Channel	Bridge	Good	57%	N	85' Span
43	Peyton Road at headwaters of South Fork	24" CMP	Fair	75%	Y	---
44	Peyton Road at Main Channel	4 - 24" RCP	Good	2%	N	5 - 12' x 7' CBC
45	Peyton Road south of structure 44	36" CMP	Poor	100%	Y	---
46	Peyton Road south of structure 45	24" CMP	Good	100%	Y	---
47	East Garrett Road west of structure 48	24" CMP	Poor	100%	Y	---
48	East Garrett Road at South Fork	48" CMP	Good	14%	N	2 - 5' x 4' CBC
49	J.D. Johnson Road at South Fork	4 - 42" RCP	Good	63%	N	2 - 12' x 4' CBC
50	J.D. Johnson Road south of structure 49	30" CMP	Fair	56%	N	36" CMP
51	J.D. Johnson Road south of structure 50	30" CMP	Fair	100%	Y	---
52	Jones Road at Main Channel	60" CMP	Fair	4%	N	6 - 12' x 7' CBC
53	J.D. Johnson Road at Jones Road	30" CMP	Fair	55%	Y	---
54	Jones Road east of J.D. Johnson Road	30" CMP	Good	73%	Y	---
55	Jones Road at South Fork	36" CMP	Good	6%	N	2 - 7' x 5' CBC
56	Jones Road east of structure 55	30" CMP	Fair	67%	Y	---
57	J.D. Johnson Road at Main Channel US of structure 58	3 - 60" RCP	Good	14%	N	85' Span
58	J.D. Johnson Road at Main Channel	30" CMP	Good	1%	N	120' Span
59	J.D. Johnson Road and Log Road	24" CMP	Fair	23%	N	2 - 6' x 3' CBC
60	Main Channel at private driveway	48" CMP (est.)	Unknown	2%	N.E.	---
61	Log Road at Main Channel	Bridge	Good	36%	N	120' Span
62	McDaniel Road at Main Channel	30" x 48" Oval CMP	Good	1%	N	120' Span
63	Log Road and McDaniels Road	24" CMP	Good	2%	N	5 - 6' x 3' CBC

* Road over-topping not included

** Allowable road over-topping included in adequacy analysis

*** Based on proposed (with selected drainage basin plan) flows

N.E. Not Evaluated, not EPCDOT responsibility

VII. Drainage Basin Plan Development

A. Selected Plan

The selected plan consists of integrating the selected alternative outlined in the previous section. This includes the construction of the small regional full spectrum detention basins and the recommended channel improvements shown on the plan and profile sheets located in the Appendices. The future conditions hydrologic and hydraulic models were updated to determine the affect of the full spectrum regional ponds on peak flows, volumes and channel velocities. Revised hydrologic and hydraulic modeling results are provided in Sections 17 and 18 of the Technical Addenda. Table 11 presents a summary of discharge rates for the selected plan incorporating the full spectrum regional detention facilities.

Table 11: Summary of Flows at Selected Design Points – Selected Plan Developed Conditions

Design Point ID	Design Point Location	Hydrologic Element	Q2 (cfs)	Q5 (cfs)	Q10 (cfs)	Q100 (cfs)
1	Haegler Diversion at Eastonville Road	POND HD-S1	5	25	32	338
2	West Fork at Eastonville Road	WF-J2	2	17	45	114
3	Main Channel at Eastonville Road	POND MS-S1	28	119	253	573
4	Main Channel Tributary 2 at Eastonville Road	POND MST2-S1	21	65	126	271
5	East Fork Tributary at Eastonville Road	EFT1-B1	25	46	73	134
6	East Fork at Eastonville Road	EF-B1	33	59	92	168
7	Haegler Diversion at Highway 24	HD-J4	7	33	138	429
8	West Fork at Highway 24	WF-J3	6	38	97	242
9	West Fork Tributary at Highway 24	POND WFT1-S1	1	8	24	66
10	Main Channel at Highway 24	MS-J6	49	190	391	877
11	Main Channel Tributary 3 at Highway 24	MST3-B1	1	3	7	19
12	East Fork Tributary at Highway 24	EFT1-J2	43	95	164	337
13	East Fork at Highway 24	EF-J4	160	334	564	1102
14	Main Channel at Elbert Road (Further South of)	MS-B10	1	2	6	16
15	Main Channel at Elbert Road (South of)	MS-J8	1	3	6	18
16	Main Channel at Elbert Road	MS-J7	50	193	399	896
17	East Fork at Elbert Road	EF-J6	162	344	588	1169
18	Confluence of East Fork and Main Channel	MS-J9	160	390	775	1774
19	West Fork at Judge Orr Road	POND WF-SR1	18	86	273	753
20	Main Channel at Judge Orr Road (West of)	POND WF-S3	1	2	4	11
21	Main Channel at Judge Orr Road	MS-J11	154	407	828	1920
22	Confluence of West Fork and Main Channel	MS-J12	160	500	1085	2679
23	Main Channel at Falcon Highway	MS-J16	141	494	1103	2842
24	Main Channel at Falcon Highway (East of)	MS-B20	2	7	15	38
25	South Fork at Falcon Highway	SF-B1	4	13	27	65
26	Main Channel at Peyton Highway	MS-J19	150	520	1163	3003
27	South Fork at Peyton Highway	SF-J1	18	40	70	148
28	South Fork at J.D. Johnson Road	SF-J4	51	117	212	455
29	Main Channel at Jones Road	MS-J20	154	528	1179	3054
30	South Fork at Jones Road	SF-J5	54	124	226	484
31	South Fork Tributary at Jones Road	SET1-B1	24	47	78	152
32	Main Channel at J.D. Johnson Road (North)	MS-J21	154	529	1184	3068
33	Confluence of South Fork and Main Channel	MS-J22	188	602	1341	3449
34	Main Channel at J.D. Johnson Road (South)	MS-J23	193	612	1367	3520
35	South Fork Tributary at J.D. Johnson Road	SET1-J1	38	77	131	272
36	Main Channel at Log Road (North)	MS-J25	195	616	1375	3546
37	Main Channel at Log Road (South)	MS-J26	196	618	1378	3557
38	Southeast Fork at Log Road	SE-J2	70	145	247	498
39	Main Channel at McDaniels Road	MS-J29	199	626	1395	3594
40	Southeast Fork at McDaniels Road	SE-J3	73	153	263	537
41	Total Combined Outfall	MS-J29 and SE-J3	272	779	1657	4131

Comparison to the existing conditions flows presented in Tables 6.1 through 6.4 shows that implementation of the selected plan will result in developed peak discharge rates that are slightly lower than existing discharge rates. This should reduce potential for flood damage within the basin.

B. Small Regional Detention Basins

The recommended plan includes the construction of 17 small regional detention storage basins, 15 of which would incorporate full spectrum detention. Ponds WF-SR1 and MS-SR1 exceed the contributing area size limitation for full spectrum detention. For these two ponds, the water quality

THIS DRAWING IS CONCEPTUAL IN NATURE AND IS NOT TO BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR FINAL DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OR REMEDIAL ACTION. FURTHER STUDIES UNDER EPC DOT'S DIRECTION SHOULD BE PERFORMED PRIOR TO SUCH DECISIONS.

Legend

- Streams
- Roads
- Basin Boundary
- Matchlines

0 1 2 Miles

PREPARED BY: Drexel, Barrell & Co. Engineers · Surveyors
1800 38TH STREET, BOULDER, COLORADO 80301 (303) 442-4338
3 S 7TH STREET, COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80905 (719) 260-0887
6513 W 4TH STREET, GREELEY, COLORADO 80634 (970) 351-0645
CONTACT: ROBERT BENNETT

PREPARED FOR: REALTY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
25 NORTH TEJON STREET, SUITE 300
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80903
CONTACT: RAY O' SULLIVAN (719) 227-1022

PROJECT INFO: GIECK RANCH
DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

DESIGNED BY: RJB
DRAWN BY: BLF
CHECKED BY: RJB

REVISION DESCRIPTIONS | DATE

DRAWING INFO: GIECK RANCH
KEY MAP
WEST FORK

DATE: AUGUST 2007
SCALE: H: 1" = 6000' V:
JOB NO.: C7706-1
DRAWING NO.: 6D 038
SET: PL
SHEET: K8

Environmental Key

- Ponds
- Riparian: Good
- Riparian: Poor
- Potential Wetlands

The channel is considered dry unless shown as one of the above environmental categories.

Legend

- *Proposed Future Conditions 100-yr Flood Limits*
- Streams
- Reaches
- Reach Breaklines
- Cross-sections
- Roads
- Structures
- Section Lines
- 5-ft contours
- 2-ft contours

N

0 100 200 Feet

Reach	Slope (%)	Q_{100} (cfs)	V_{100} (ft/s)
WF-R7a	1.18	753	2.19
WF-R7b	1.14	753	4.21
WF-R8a	1.36	753	4.05

RECOMMENDED PLAN IMPROVEMENTS

Reach	
WF-R7a	Vegetation Augmentation
WF-R7b	Vegetation Augmentation
WF-R8a	Selective Stabilization

Note:
See Technical Addenda for grade control data.

THIS DRAWING IS CONCEPTUAL IN NATURE AND IS NOT TO BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR FINAL DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OR REMEDIAL ACTION. FURTHER STUDIES UNDER EPC DOT'S DIRECTION SHOULD BE PERFORMED PRIOR TO SUCH DECISIONS.

PREPARED BY: Drexel, Barrell & Co. Engineers · Surveyors
1800 38TH STREET BOULDER, COLORADO 80301 (303) 442-4338
3 S 7TH STREET COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80905 (719) 260-0887
6513 W 4TH STREET GREELEY, COLORADO 80634 (970) 351-0645
CONTACT: ROBERT BENNETT, P.E., CFM

PREPARED FOR: REALTY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
25 NORTH TEJON STREET, SUITE 300
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80903
CONTACT: RAY O' SULLIVAN (719) 227-1022

PROJECT INFO: GIECK RANCH
DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

DESIGNED BY: RJB
DRAWN BY: BLF/MLM
CHECKED BY: RJB/TML

REVISION DESCRIPTIONS	DATE
PER EPCDOT COMMENTS	FEBRUARY 2008
PER EPC FINAL REVIEW	JANUARY 2010

DRAWING INFO: GIECK RANCH DBPS
PLAN VIEW
WEST FORK #3

DATE: AUGUST 2007
SCALE: H: 1" = 200' V: NONE
JOB NO: C7706-2
DRAWING NO.: 6D 038
SET: PL
SHEET: 67

Environmental Key

- Ponds
- Riparian: Good
- Riparian: Poor
- Potential Wetlands

The channel is considered dry unless shown as one of the above environmental categories.

Legend

- *Proposed Future Conditions 100-yr Flood Limits*
- Streams
- Reaches
- Reach Breaklines
- Cross-sections
- Roads
- Structures
- Section Lines
- 5-ft contours
- 2-ft contours

N

0 100 200 Feet

Reach	Slope (%)	Q_{100} (cfs)	V_{100} (ft/s)
WF-R6	1.04	698	3.45
WF-R7a	1.18	753	2.19

RECOMMENDED PLAN IMPROVEMENTS

Reach	
WF-R6	Selective Stabilization
WF-R7a	Vegetation Augmentation

THIS DRAWING IS CONCEPTUAL IN NATURE AND IS NOT TO BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR FINAL DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OR REMEDIAL ACTION. FURTHER STUDIES UNDER EPC DOT'S DIRECTION SHOULD BE PERFORMED PRIOR TO SUCH DECISIONS.

PREPARED BY: Drexel, Barrell & Co. Engineers · Surveyors
1800 38TH STREET, BOULDER, COLORADO 80301 (303) 442-4338
3 S 7TH STREET, COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80905 (719) 260-0887
6513 W 4TH STREET, GREELEY, COLORADO 80634 (970) 351-0645
CONTACT: ROBERT BENNETT, P.E., CFM

PREPARED FOR: REALTY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
25 NORTH TEJON STREET, SUITE 300
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80903
CONTACT: RAY O' SULLIVAN (719) 227-1022

PROJECT INFO: GIECK RANCH
DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

DESIGNED BY: RJB
DRAWN BY: BLF/MLM
CHECKED BY: RJB/TML

REVISION DESCRIPTIONS	DATE
PER EPCDOT COMMENTS	FEBRUARY 2008
PER EPC FINAL REVIEW	JANUARY 2010

DRAWING INFO: GIECK RANCH DBPS
PLAN VIEW
WEST FORK #4

DATE: AUGUST 2007
SCALE: H: 1" = 200' V: NONE
JOB NO: C7706-2
DRAWING NO.: 6D 038
SET: PL
SHEET: 68

Environmental Key

- Ponds
- Riparian: Good
- Riparian: Poor
- Potential Wetlands

The channel is considered dry unless shown as one of the above environmental categories.

Legend

- *Proposed Future Conditions 100-yr Flood Limits*
- Streams
- Reaches
- Reach Breaklines
- Cross-sections
- Roads
- Structures
- Section Lines
- 5-ft contours
- 2-ft contours

0 100 200 Feet

Reach	Slope (%)	Q_{100} (cfs)	V_{100} (ft/s)
WF-R4d	1.45	242	3.60
WF-R5	1.52	394	4.32

RECOMMENDED PLAN IMPROVEMENTS

Reach	
WF-R4d	Selective Stabilization
WF-R5	Selective Stabilization

Note:
See Technical Addenda for grade control data.

THIS DRAWING IS CONCEPTUAL IN NATURE AND IS NOT TO BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR FINAL DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OR REMEDIAL ACTION. FURTHER STUDIES UNDER EPC DOT'S DIRECTION SHOULD BE PERFORMED PRIOR TO SUCH DECISIONS.

PREPARED BY: Drexel, Barrell & Co. Engineers · Surveyors
1800 38TH STREET, BOULDER, COLORADO 80301 (303) 442-4338
3 S 7TH STREET, COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80905 (719) 260-0887
6513 W 4TH STREET, GREELEY, COLORADO 80634 (970) 351-0645
CONTACT: ROBERT BENNETT, P.E., CFM

PREPARED FOR: REALTY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
25 NORTH TEJON STREET, SUITE 300
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80903
CONTACT: RAY O' SULLIVAN (719) 227-1022

PROJECT INFO: GIECK RANCH
DRAINAGE BASIN PLANNING STUDY
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

DESIGNED BY: RJB
DRAWN BY: BLF/MLM
CHECKED BY: RJB/TML

REVISION DESCRIPTIONS	DATE
PER EPCDOT COMMENTS	FEBRUARY 2008
PER EPC FINAL REVIEW	JANUARY 2010

DRAWING INFO: GIECK RANCH DBPS
PLAN VIEW
WEST FORK #5

DATE: AUGUST 2007
SCALE: H: 1" = 200' V: NONE
JOB NO: C7706-2
DRAWING NO.: 6D 038
SET: PL
SHEET: 69

El Paso County Drainage Basin Fees

Resolution No. 17-348

Provide 2019 fees - Res. No. 18-470

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED. FEES UPDATED.

Basin Number	Receiving Waters	Year Studied	Drainage Basin Name		
Drainage Basins with DBPS's:					
CHMS0200	Chico Creek	2013	Haegler Ranch	$9,676	$1,428
CHWS1200	Chico Creek	2001	Bennett Ranch	$10,832	$4,155
CHWS1400	Chico Creek	2013	Falcon	$27,762	$3,814
FOFO2000	Fountain Creek	2001	West Fork Jimmy Camp Creek	$11,775	$3,484
FOFO2600	Fountain Creek	1991*	Big Johnson / Crews Gulch	$17,197	$2,221
FOFO2800	Fountain Creek	1988*	Widefield	$17,197	$0
FOFO2900	Fountain Creek	1988*	Security	$17,197	$0
FOFO3000	Fountain Creek	1991*	Windmill Gulch	$17,197	$258
FOFO3100 / FOFO3200	Fountain Creek	1988*	Carson Street / Little Johnson	$10,490	$0
FOFO3400	Fountain Creek	1984*	Peterson Field	$12,404	$941
FOFO3600	Fountain Creek	1991*	Fisher's Canyon	$17,197	$0
FOFO4000	Fountain Creek	1996	Sand Creek	$17,197	$5,210
FOFO4200	Fountain Creek	1977	Spring Creek	$8,919	$0
FOFO4600	Fountain Creek	1984*	Southwest Area	$17,197	$0
FOFO4800	Fountain Creek	1991	Bear Creek	$17,197	$941
FOFO5400	Fountain Creek	1977	21st Street	$5,174	$0
FOFO5600	Fountain Creek	1964	19th Street	$3,385	$0
FOFO5800	Fountain Creek	1964	Camp Creek	$1,906	$0
FOMO0400	Monument Creek	1986*	Mesa	$8,995	$0
FOMO1000	Monument Creek	1981	Douglas Creek	$10,815	$239
FOMO1200	Monument Creek	1977	Templeton Gap	$11,103	$258
FOMO1400	Monument Creek	1976	Pope's Bluff	$3,445	$588
FOMO1600	Monument Creek	1976	South Rockrimmon	$4,043	$0
FOMO1800	Monument Creek	1973	North Rockrimmon	$5,174	$0
FOMO2000	Monument Creek	1971	Pulpit Rock	$5,703	$0
FOMO2200	Monument Creek	1994	Cottonwood Creek / S. Pine	$17,197	$941
FOMO2400	Monument Creek	1966	Dry Creek	$13,576	$492
FOMO3600	Monument Creek	1989*	Black Squirrel Creek	$7,808	$492
FOMO3700	Monument Creek	1987*	Middle Tributary	$14,351	$0
FOMO3800	Monument Creek	1987*	Monument Branch	$17,197	$0
FOMO4000	Monument Creek	1996	Smith Creek	$7,011	$941
FOMO4200	Monument Creek	1989*	Black Forest	$17,197	$468
FOMO5200	Monument Creek	1993*	Dirty Woman Creek	$17,197	$941
FOMO5300	Fountain Creek	1993*	Crystal Creek	$17,197	$941
Miscellaneous Drainage Basins: [1]					
CHBS0800	Chico Creek		Book Ranch	$16,136	$2,336
CHEC0400	Chico Creek		Upper East Chico	$8,791	$255
CHWS0200	Chico Creek		Telephone Exchange	$9,659	$226
CHWS0400	Chico Creek		Livestock Company	$15,910	$189
CHWS0600	Chico Creek		West Squirrel	$8,293	$3,442
CHWS0800	Chico Creek		Solberg Ranch	$17,197	$0
FOFO1200	Fountain Creek		Crooked Canyon	$5,192	$0
FOFO1400	Fountain Creek		Calhan Reservoir	$4,335	$253
FOFO1600	Fountain Creek		Sand Canyon	$3,132	$0
FOFO2000	Fountain Creek		Jimmy Camp Creek[3]	$17,197	$804
FOFO2200	Fountain Creek		Fort Carson	$13,576	$492
FOFO2700	Fountain Creek		West Little Johnson	$1,133	$0
FOFO3800	Fountain Creek		Stratton	$8,249	$369
FOFO5000	Fountain Creek		Midland	$13,576	$492
FOFO6000	Fountain Creek		Palmer Trail	$13,576	$492
FOFO6800	Fountain Creek		Black Canyon	$13,576	$492
FOMO4600	Monument Creek		Beaver Creek	$10,281	$0
FOMO3000	Monument Creek		Kettle Creek	$9,287	$0
FOMO3400	Monument Creek		Elkhorn	$1,560	$0
FOMO5000	Monument Creek		Monument Rock	$7,454	$0
FOMO5400	Monument Creek		Palmer Lake	$11,919	$0
FOMO5600	Monument Creek		Raspberry Mountain	$4,009	$0
PLPL0200	Monument Creek		Bald Mountain	$8,544	$0
Interim Drainage Basins: [2]					
FOFO1800	Fountain Creek		Little Fountain Creek	$2,199	$0
FOMO4400	Monument Creek		Jackson Creek	$6,807	$0
FOMO4800	Monument Creek		Teachout Creek	$4,727	$710

1. The miscellaneous drainage fee previous to September 1999 resolution was the average of all drainage fees for basins with Basin Planning Studies performed within the last 14 years.

2. Interim Drainage Fees are based upon draft Drainage Basin Planning Studies or the Drainage Basin Identification and Fee Estimation Report. (Best available information suitable for setting a fee.)

3. This is an interim fee and will be adjusted when a DBPS is completed. In addition to the Drainage Fee a surety in the amount of $7,285 per impervious acre shall be provided to secure payment of additional fees in the event that the DBPS results in a fee greater than the current fee. Fees paid in excess of the future revised fee will be reimbursed. See Resolution 06-326 (9/14/06) and Resolution 16-320 (9/07/16).

EPC Stormwater Management

Jennifer Irvine, P.E.

APPENDIX F

DRAINAGE MAPS

SADDLEHORN RANCH SUBDIVISION

EX. CONDITIONS DRAINAGE MAP

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED AND ADDED TO APPENDIX.

Provide a seperate exhibit that has the names of the adjacent properties identified.

LEGEND

- I.D. (A / B): BASIN DESIGNATION
  - I.D.: BASIN IDENTIFIER
  - A: BASIN AREA
  - B: % IMPERVIOUS
- X: DESIGN POINT
- BASIN DELINEATION
- 6100: EXISTING INDEX CONTOURS
- EXISTING INTERMEDIATE CONTOURS
- EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE

Tributary Sub-Basin	Area (acres)	Percent Impervious	Q_5 (cfs)	Q_{100} (cfs)
G1	10.1	2.0%	0.01	0.2
G2	87.6	2.0%	1.7	76.3
H1	166.5	2.0%	2.0	81.2
H2	111.1	2.0%	2.2	91.2
H3	118.9	2.0%	1.4	64.0
H4	63.3	2.0%	1.7	73.1
H5	53.2	2.0%	0.6	28.2
H6	87.6	2.0%	2.9	110.1
CH1	23.9	2.0%	5.4	21.0
CH2	84.2	2.0%	2.7	34.2
CH3	19.1	2.0%	7.3	7.3
Total	825.4	N/A	27.8	586.8

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY TABLE

Tributary Sub-	Q_5 (cfs)	Q_{100} (cfs)
1	7.05	760.1
2	1.7	1.7
3	2.0	2.0
4	2.2	2.2
5	1.4	1.4
6	1.7	1.7
7	6.1	457.1
8	2.9	2.9
9	2.2	129.0
Total	27.1	1357.9

300 150 0 300 600

ORIGINAL SCALE: 1" = 300'

EX. DRAINAGE MAP
824 CURTIS ROAD
25142.00
01/31/19
SHEET 1 OF 1

J·R ENGINEERING
A Westrian Company
Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

X:\2510000.all\2514200\Drawings\Sheet Dwgs_Drainage\2018-10-09_Ex Drainage Map.dwg, EX. DR01, 1/17/2019 10:07:42 AM, CS

SADDLEHORN RANCH SUBDIVISION

PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP

SEE SHEET 2

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE				
Tributary Sub-Basin	Area (acres)	Composite Percent Impervious	Q_5 (cfs)	Q_{100} (cfs)
A	9.2	66.6%	15.4	25.1
B	59.0	10.4%	53.8	97.6
C	102.5	11.4%	81.5	152.7
D	99.2	10.8%	87.8	160.8
E	11.6	11.6%	11.8	21.9
F	116.3	9.9%	79.2	148.9
G	40.4	16.8%	30.3	57.0
H	32.8	9.4%	24.8	46.6
I	44.4	9.4%	64.0	112.0
J	10.1	8.8%	10.5	19.4
UD1	12.4	2.0%	15.3	30.5
UD2	12.8	2.0%	11.4	24.4
UD2.1	14.8	2.0%	20.8	39.2
UD2.2	7.2	2.0%	7.99	16.5
UD3	13.4	2.0%	15.79	32.2
UD4	4.8	2.0%	5.01	10.4
UD5	36.4	2.0%	21.61	46.6
UD6	22.1	2.0%	18.86	40.2
UD7	9.3	2.0%	6.3	13.5
UD8	5.3	2.0%	5.81	12.0
UD8.1	5.3	2.0%	7.9	14.4
UD9	4.8	2.0%	4.51	9.5
UD9.1	6.4	2.0%	8.5	16.4
UD10	11.1	2.0%	10.74	22.7
UD11	6.6	2.0%	7.09	14.7
CH1	23.9	2.0%	14.23	30.7
CH2	84.2	2.0%	33.01	66.7
CH3	19.1	2.0%	8.02	16.7
Total	825.4	N/A	681.9	1,299.1

POND SUMMARY TABLE						
Tributary Sub-Basin	Pond Name	Tributary Acres	WQ Volume (ac-ft)	100-Year Volume (ac-ft)	Provided Volume (ac-ft)	Maximum 100-Year Discharge (cfs)
A	POND A	9.2	0.20	1.14	1.14	2.52
B	POND B	59.0	0.34	1.43	2.17	18.27
C	POND C	102.5	0.64	2.69	2.77	26.01
D	POND D	99.2	0.59	2.86	2.97	47.70
E	POND E	11.6	0.05	0.22	0.39	4.23
F	POND F	116.3	0.64	3.17	3.35	50.04
G	POND G	40.4	0.34	1.37	1.62	10.26
H	POND H	32.8	0.17	0.74	1.18	11.61
I	POND I	44.4	0.24	1.05	1.41	25.02
J	POND J	10.1	0.05	0.24	0.40	5.13

LEGEND

- I.D. (A / B): BASIN DESIGNATION — I.D.: BASIN IDENTIFIER; A: BASIN AREA; B: COMP. % IMPERVIOUS
- X: DESIGN POINT
- BASIN DELINEATION
- 6100: EXISTING INDEX CONTOURS
- EXISTING INTERMEDIATE CONTOURS
- 6100: PROPOSED INDEX CONTOURS
- PROPOSED INTERMEDIATE CONTOURS
- EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION
- PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION
- HP: PROPOSED HIGH POINT
- LP: PROPOSED LOW POINT

SADDLEHORN RANCH
SUBDIVISION
DRAINAGE MAP
25142.00
04/29/19
SHEET 1 OF 2

Grading proposed?

JR RESPONSE: NOT WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THIS REPORT. DRAINAGEWAY IMPROVEMENTS WILL BE DESIGNED AND DETAILED WITH THE FINAL DRAIANGE REPORT. SEE FILING 1 FDR.

Move outside of the ROW and make a permanent facility.

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED. POND MOVED OUTSIDE OF ROW. POND WILL REMAIN IN TEMPOARARY CONFIGURATION UNTIL FUTURE DEVELOPMENT TO THE SOUTH OCCURS. IN THE FUTURE, TEMP. POND A WILL MOVE SOUTH AND BE CONVERTED TO A FULL SPECTRUM FACILITY.

culvert crossing?

JR RESPONSE: ADDRESSED .ALL LOCAL ROAD CULVERT CROSSINGS LABELED.

N

200 100 0 200 400

ORIGINAL SCALE: 1" = 200'

J·R ENGINEERING
A Westrian Company
Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

X:\2510000.all\2514200\Drawings\Sheet Dwgs_Drainage\2019-10-09_Pr Drainage Map.dwg, DR01_200, 4/22/2019 10:14:14 AM, CS

SADDLEHORN RANCH SUBDIVISION
PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP

Identify location of Pond J.

JR RESPONSE: SEE DRAINAGE REPORT TEXT, POND J HAS BEEN REMOVED.

Show overtopping areas on both Judge Orr and Curtis Road.

JR RESPONSE: EXACT OVERTOPPING LIMITS NOT KNOWN. OVERTOPPING NOTE ADDED TO DRAINAGE PLAN AT EACH CULVERT.

Show and label proposed channel improvements.

JR RESPONSE: NOT WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THIS REPORT. DRAINAGEWAY IMPROVEMENTS WILL BE DESIGNED AND DETAILED WITH THE FINAL DRAIANGE REPORT. SEE FILING 1 FDR.

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE				
Tributary Sub-Basin	Area (acres)	Composite Percent Impervious	Q_5 (cfs)	Q_{100} (cfs)
A	9.2	66.6%	15.4	25.1
B	59.0	10.4%	53.8	97.6
C	102.5	11.4%	81.5	152.7
D	99.2	10.8%	87.8	160.8
E	11.6	11.6%	11.8	21.9
F	116.3	9.9%	79.2	148.9
G	40.4	16.8%	30.3	57.0
H	32.8	9.4%	24.8	46.6
I	44.4	9.4%	64.0	112.0
J	10.1	8.8%	10.5	19.4
UD1	12.4	2.0%	15.3	30.5
UD2	12.8	2.0%	11.4	24.4
UD2.1	14.8	2.0%	20.8	39.2
UD2.2	7.2	2.0%	7.99	16.5
UD3	13.4	2.0%	15.79	32.2
UD4	4.8	2.0%	5.01	10.4
UD5	36.4	2.0%	21.61	46.6
UD6	22.1	2.0%	18.86	40.2
UD7	9.3	2.0%	6.3	13.5
UD8	5.3	2.0%	5.81	12.0
UD8.1	5.3	2.0%	7.9	14.4
UD9	4.8	2.0%	4.51	9.5
UD9.1	6.4	2.0%	8.5	16.4
UD10	11.1	2.0%	10.74	22.7
UD11	6.6	2.0%	7.09	14.7
CH1	23.9	2.0%	14.23	30.7
CH2	84.2	2.0%	33.01	66.7
CH3	19.1	2.0%	8.02	16.7
Total	825.4	N/A	681.9	1,299.1

POND SUMMARY TABLE						
Tributary Sub-Basin	Pond Name	Tributary Acres	WQ Volume (ac-ft)	100-Year Volume (ac-ft)	Provided Volume (ac-ft)	Maximum 100-Year Discharge (cfs)
A	POND A	9.2	0.20	1.14	1.14	2.52
B	POND B	59.0	0.34	1.43	2.17	18.27
C	POND C	102.5	0.64	2.69	2.77	26.01
D	POND D	99.2	0.59	2.86	2.97	47.70
E	POND E	11.6	0.05	0.22	0.39	4.23
F	POND F	116.3	0.64	3.17	3.35	50.04
G	POND G	40.4	0.34	1.37	1.62	10.26
H	POND H	32.8	0.17	0.74	1.18	11.61
I	POND I	44.4	0.24	1.05	1.41	25.02
J	POND J	10.1	0.05	0.24	0.40	5.13

LEGEND

- I.D. (A | B): BASIN DESIGNATION — I.D.: BASIN IDENTIFIER; A: BASIN AREA; B: COMP. % IMPERVIOUS
- X: DESIGN POINT
- BASIN DELINEATION
- 6100: EXISTING INDEX CONTOURS
- EXISTING INTERMEDIATE CONTOURS
- 6100: PROPOSED INDEX CONTOURS
- PROPOSED INTERMEDIATE CONTOURS
- EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION
- PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION
- HP: PROPOSED HIGH POINT
- LP: PROPOSED LOW POINT

SADDLEHORN RANCH
DRAINAGE MAP
25142.00
04/29/19
SHEET 2 OF 2

200 100 0 200 400
ORIGINAL SCALE: 1" = 200'

J·R ENGINEERING
A Westrian Company
Centennial 303-740-9393 • Colorado Springs 719-593-2593
Fort Collins 970-491-9888 • www.jrengineering.com

X:\2510000.all\2514200\Drawings\Sheet\Chegg_Drainage\2018-10-09_PI-Drainage Map.dwg, DR01_2001\), 4/22/2019 10:14:16 AM, CS

SP19006-R1-MDDP-PDR-redlines.pdf Markup Summary

1 (4)

Subject: text box
Page Index: 1
Date: 6/13/2019 12:49:22 PM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 1

SP-19-006,

Subject: EPC ENG Approval
Page Index: 1
Date: 6/13/2019 3:28:52 PM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 1

See comment letter.

Subject: Text Box
Page Index: 1
Date: 6/14/2019 1:18:44 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 1

See comment letter.

Subject: EPC ENG Review
Page Index: 1
Date: 6/14/2019 1:19:09 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 1

5 (1)

Subject: Callout
Page Index: 5
Date: 6/13/2019 4:04:02 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 5

Address requirement for LOMR to obtain BFEs in northeast Zone A floodplain.

6 (4)

Subject: Callout
Page Index: 6
Date: 6/13/2019 2:24:22 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 6

Note: this DBPS has not been finalized or adopted by EPC

Subject: Callout
Page Index: 6
Date: 6/14/2019 12:20:08 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 6

Are improvements possible in the jurisdictional wetlands?

Subject: Callout
Page Index: 6
Date: 6/14/2019 12:20:36 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 6

by...

Subject: Cloud+
Page Index: 6
Date: 6/14/2019 12:21:31 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 6

Clarify if this development is proposing these improvements.

---

7 (4)

Subject: Highlight
Page Index: 7
Date: 6/11/2019 12:34:20 PM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 7

Based on discussion with the County,
the site will utilize full spectrum water quality and detention

---

Subject: arrow & box
Page Index: 7
Date: 6/12/2019 10:55:39 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 7

Please add that development upstream is now required to provide FSD. So it is now your opinion that the Sub-regional ponds are no longer needed. (that is what is being proposed, Correct?

Subject: Callout
Page Index: 7
Date: 6/13/2019 2:24:42 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 7

Provide and discuss overtopping analyses.

Subject: Callout
Page Index: 7
Date: 6/14/2019 12:23:36 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 7

Is this proposed to be constructed? Show where on drainage plan.

8 (2)

Subject: Highlight
Page Index: 8
Date: 6/11/2019 12:49:11 PM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 8

Subject: arrow & box
Page Index: 8
Date: 6/12/2019 10:57:25 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 8

Address What the outflow is retaliative to historic, how FSD. will detain to hisoric?

10 (1)

Subject: text box
Page Index: 10
Date: 6/12/2019 9:13:05 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 10

Convert Temp. Pond A to a permanent facility that is not in the ROW of Barrosito Trail.

11 (2)

Subject: text box
Page Index: 11
Date: 6/12/2019 9:48:21 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 11

These items where not shown on the proposed drainage plan.

Subject: Highlight
Page Index: 11
Date: 6/12/2019 9:48:44 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 11

Lavaca Way to Pond J.

12 (1)

Subject: Highlight
Page Index: 12
Date: 6/12/2019 9:48:36 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 12

Pond J

13 (3)

Subject: Highlight
Page Index: 13
Date: 6/12/2019 9:50:26 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 13

Subject: Highlight
Page Index: 13
Date: 6/12/2019 9:50:32 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 13

Subject: text box
Page Index: 13
Date: 6/12/2019 9:51:29 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 13

See comment on Drainage plan. This needs to be a permanent facility.

15 (4)

Subject: text box
Page Index: 15
Date: 6/12/2019 10:01:24 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 15

You will have to demonstrate that the soils and re-vegetation can handle 5 feet per second.

Subject: text box
Page Index: 15
Date: 6/12/2019 9:58:39 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 15

Some of the lots adjacent to the drainage ways will have developed flows discharging into the natural drainage-ways. Please comment on this. Is the proposed ponds designed to address this.

Subject: Arrow
Page Index: 15
Date: 6/12/2019 9:58:49 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 15

Subject: Callout
Page Index: 15
Date: 6/13/2019 2:26:09 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 15

address Froude number and shear stress as well.

16 (1)

Subject: Highlight
Page Index: 16
Date: 6/12/2019 10:02:27 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 16

lot

17 (2)

Subject: arrow & box
Page Index: 17
Date: 6/12/2019 10:38:43 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 17

That number is closer to a 5 acre development. Show calculations that include streets.

Subject: text box
Page Index: 17
Date: 6/12/2019 11:45:01 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 17

Address the 300 feet of Gieck Ranch Channel improvements that are a DBPS obligation for when this parcel develops.
The FSD/SWQ ponds need to be constructed to a public Standard (UDFCD) details, in order to comply with regulations. SDI etc.
Discuss the undersized culverts in Judge Orr & Curtis and how these road over-topping areas are addressed. Does the FEMA floodplain address this?
Address access along the Drainage ways. These three major drainage ways need an adjacent access road for maintenance.
Address Easements of drainage ways and ponds. Easements owned and maintained by Saddlehorn..... inspection access granted through a Maintenance easement with El Paso County

25 (1)

Subject: Cloud+
Page Index: 25
Date: 6/13/2019 2:44:13 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 25

Site extends to south

28 (1)

Subject: Callout
Page Index: 28
Date: 6/13/2019 2:51:07 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 28

Level 2?

37 (1)

Subject: Callout
Page Index: 37
Date: 6/13/2019 2:53:03 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 37

Level 2?

61 (1)

Call out velocity checks or netting to protect soil any time the velocities exceed recommendations in the ECM.

Dep

Subject: text box
Page Index: 61
Date: 6/12/2019 10:45:01 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 61

Call out velocity checks or netting to protect soil any time the velocities exceed recommendations in the ECM.

66 (1)

l Perim (ft) = 17.27
pth, Yc (ft) = 2.30
idth (ft) = 16.59
:) Call out velocity checks or netting to protect soil any time the velocities exceed recommendations in the ECM.

Subject: text box
Page Index: 66
Date: 6/12/2019 10:45:28 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 66

Call out velocity checks or netting to protect soil any time the velocities exceed recommendations in the ECM.

67 (1)

rit Depth, Yc (ft) = 2.30
op Width (ft) = 14.91
GL (ft) = 2.90
Call out velocity checks or netting to protect soil any time the velocities exceed recommendations in the ECM.

Subject: text box
Page Index: 67
Date: 6/12/2019 10:45:38 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 67

Call out velocity checks or netting to protect soil any time the velocities exceed recommendations in the ECM.

69 (1)

Subject: Text Box
Page Index: 69
Date: 6/13/2019 3:06:06 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 69

Are calculations provided for all ditches?

121 (1)

Subject: Sticky Note
Page Index: 121
Date: 10/15/2018 4:09:47 PM
Author: CS
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 121

ms-06 drops

144 (1)

Subject: Text Box
Page Index: 144
Date: 6/13/2019 3:53:59 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 144

Provide 2019 fees - Res. No. 18-470

146 (2)

Subject: arrow & box
Page Index: 146
Date: 6/12/2019 9:15:43 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 146

Provide a seperate exhibit that has the names of the adjacent properties identified.

Subject: Arrow
Page Index: 146
Date: 6/12/2019 9:15:48 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 146

147 (4)

Subject: arrow & box
Page Index: 147
Date: 6/12/2019 10:34:06 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 147

Move outside of the ROW and make a permanent facility.

Subject: arrow & box
Page Index: 147
Date: 6/12/2019 9:34:18 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 147

culvert crossing?

Subject: Arrow
Page Index: 147
Date: 6/12/2019 9:40:39 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 147

Subject: Callout
Page Index: 147
Date: 6/14/2019 1:18:30 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 147

Grading proposed?

148 (3)

Subject: arrow & box
Page Index: 148
Date: 6/12/2019 10:54:45 AM
Author: Steve Kuehster
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 148

Identify location of Pond J.

Subject: Text Box
Page Index: 148
Date: 6/14/2019 1:17:58 PM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 148

Show and label proposed channel improvements.

Subject: Callout
Page Index: 148
Date: 6/14/2019 8:55:33 AM
Author: dsdrice
Color:
Layer:
Space:
Page Label: 148

Show overtopping areas on both Judge Orr and Curtis Road.