DRAINAGE LETTER REPORT

for

WOODFORD MANUFACTURING BUILDING ADDITION LOT 1, BLOCK 1, WAYNOKA ROAD INDUSTRIAL 2121 WAYNOKA ROAD, COLORADO SPRINGS, CO

Prepared for:

Hammers Construction, Inc. 1411 Woolsey Heights Colorado Springs, CO 80915

July 24, 2018 Revised September 14, 2018 Revised October 12, 2018

Prepared by:



19 E. Willamette Ave. Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719)-477-9429 www.jpsengr.com

JPS Project No. 051801 PPR-18-037

WOODFORD MANUFACTURING BUILDING ADDITION LOT 1, BLOCK 1, WAYNOKA ROAD INDUSTRIAL <u>DRAINAGE REPORT STATEMENTS</u>

1. Engineer's Statement:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to be concrete established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in concentration of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for liability accepts of methods, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report:

John P. Sch .E. No. 29891 Developer Statement: 2.

I, the developer have read and will comply with all the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

By Printed Name: JOE 0 ACTER, He Title

10.29-18

Date

3. El Paso County Statement:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, and Engineering Criteria Manual as amended.

	Approved by Elizabeth Nijkamp El Paso County Planning and Community Development on behaff of Jennifer Irvine, County Engineer, ECM Administrator	a	
·	11/05/2018 10·41·46 AM		
Jennifer Irvine, P.E.	11/03/2010 10:41:40 AM	Date	

County Engineer / ECM Administrator

Conditions:

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Property Location and Description

Woodford Manufacturing is planning to construct an addition on the south side of the existing manufacturing building at 2121 Waynoka Road in El Paso County, Colorado. The project site is an existing 8.9-acre developed lot described as Lot 1, Block 1, Waynoka Road Industrial Subdivision. Woodford Manufacturing also owns the adjoining vacant lot to the south (Lot 2, Block 1, Waynoka Road Industrial). The two adjoining lots (El Paso County Assessor's No. 54062-05-001 and 54063-03-001) comprise a total area of 13.5 acres located on the east side of Waynoka Road, north of Palmer Park Boulevard. The properties are zoned Heavy Industrial (I-3).

Waynoka Road is a paved public street adjoining the west boundary of the properties. Waynoka Road curves to the east along both the north boundary of Lot 1 and the south boundary of Lot 2. The existing Cherokee Ridge Golf Course adjoins the east boundary of the two lots.

The site development plan consists of proposed 18,000 square-foot building addition at the southeast corner of the existing industrial building, with associated parking and site improvements impacting a total disturbed area of approximately 3.5 acres. Access will continue to be provided by the two existing driveways on the south side of the building, connecting to Waynoka Road on the west side of the property. There will be no changes to the parking lot on the north side of the existing building. The proposed internal parking area improvements on the south side of the building will be paved with a combination of asphalt, recycled asphalt, and concrete.

B. Scope

In support of the El Paso County Site Development Plan submittal for this project, this report is intended to meet the requirements of a site-specific "Letter Type" drainage report in accordance with El Paso County drainage criteria. This report will provide a summary of site drainage issues impacting the proposed development, including analysis of upstream drainage patterns, site-specific developed drainage patterns, and impacts on downstream facilities. This report is based on the guidelines and criteria presented in the City of Colorado Springs and El Paso County "Drainage Criteria Manual."

C. References

City of Colorado Springs & El Paso County "Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2," revised May, 2014.

G.L. Williams & Partners, Ltd., "Drainage Study and Supplemental Site Information, Waynoka Road Industrial," January 16, 1978.

II. EXISTING / PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

According to the Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS) web soil survey, onsite soils are comprised of Ellicott loamy coarse sand. These soils are classified as hydrologic soils group A. The existing site topography slopes downward to the southwest with grades of approximately 1-3 percent.

Drainage planning for this lot was previously addressed in a report entitled "Drainage Study and Supplemental Site Information, Waynoka Road Industrial," by G.L. Williams & Partners, Ltd., dated January 16, 1978. The subdivision drainage report assumed full coverage of Lots 1 and 2 with "medium industrial lot areas." As discussed in the Williams drainage report, fully developed drainage from the majority of the site (Basins A-D) has been planned to sheet flow in a southwesterly direction and then follow the curb and gutter along the east side of Waynoka Road south to the existing public storm sewer system at Palmer Park Boulevard. Developed drainage from Basin E in the southeast corner of the site was planned to flow into an existing drainage channel within the adjoining golf course, also flowing south to the existing public storm sewer system at Palmer Park Boulevard. Current field conditions indicate that Basin E also flows southerly into the curb and gutter along the east side of Waynoka Road.

The existing drainage patterns are depicted on the enclosed Historic Drainage Plan (Figure EX1, Appendix C). Consistent with the approved subdivision drainage report, the majority of the developed north end of the site has been delineated as Basin A1, which includes the west side of the existing Woodford Manufacturing building and north parking lot. Basin A1 sheet flows southwesterly to Waynoka Road, with developed peak flows calculated as $Q_5 = 7.4$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 14.8$ cfs. The roadway of Waynoka Road along the frontage of the north end of the Woodford Manufacturing site has been delineated as Basins B1 and B2. Drainage from Basin B1 flows south along the east curb line of Waynoka Road, combining with Basin A1 at Design Point #B1.1 with developed peak flows calculated as $O_5 = 8.8$ cfs and $O_{100} = 17.6$ cfs. Drainage from Basin B2 flows south along the west curb line of Waynoka Road to Design Point #B2, with developed peak flows calculated as $Q_5 = 1.6$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 3.2$ cfs. Drainage from Basins A1, B1, and B2 combines at Design Point #1, with developed peak flows calculated as $Q_5 = 10.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 20.4$ cfs. As detailed in Appendix B, the existing 5' Type R storm inlets B1 and B2 intercept flow at Design Point #1, and the carryover flow from these on-grade inlets continues south in Waynoka Road.

The east side of the Woodford Manufacturing Building has been delineated as Basin A2, which sheet flows to an existing drainage swale along the eastern site boundary, draining towards the southeast corner of the building. Developed peak flows at Design Point #A2 are calculated as $Q_5 = 2.2$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 4.5$ cfs.

Proposed Building Addition Project (South Side of Lot 1)

As shown on the enclosed Developed Drainage Plan (Figure D1, Appendix C), the proposed development area on the south side of the existing manufacturing building has been delineated as three on-site drainage basins (D1, D2, and E) for general consistency with the subdivision drainage report. Surface runoff from the developed site will continue to follow the drainage patterns established in the subdivision drainage report. Basins A2, D1, and D2 will flow southwesterly across the site to Waynoka Road.

Recognizing that current County drainage criteria require permanent stormwater quality best management practices for disturbed areas greater than one acre in size, a private Rain Garden will be constructed at the southwest corner of Basin D1 to mitigate developed drainage impacts and meet the current County water quality requirements. The proposed Rain Garden has been sized for fully developed flows from Basin D1, as well as the existing developed flow from Basin A2.

A grated inlet will be installed at the southeast corner of the existing building to intercept surface drainage from Basin A2 (east side of existing building), and private Storm Drain A2 (12") will convey the flow from Basin A2 around the new building addition to daylight in a grass-lined drainage swale flowing southwesterly to the proposed Rain Garden.

The proposed building addition will be graded with protective slopes to provide positive drainage away from the building. Concrete crosspans and drainage swales within the onsite parking areas will convey developed flows to the new Rain Garden at the southwest corner of Lot 1.

Developed flows have been calculated based on the impervious areas associated with the ultimate full industrial development of Basin D1 (south end of the existing Woodford Manufacturing facility on Lot 1). Developed drainage from Basins A2 and D1 will flow southwesterly to the proposed Rain Garden at Design Point #D1.1, with developed peak flows calculated as $Q_5 = 11.7$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 23.3$ cfs. The outlet chase from the Rain Garden will flow into Waynoka Road in accordance with the subdivision drainage report.

The subdivision drainage report assumed full industrial development of this site, and the proposed site development plan is entirely consistent with the approved subdivision drainage plan.

Hydrologic calculations for the parcel are detailed in the attached spreadsheet (Appendix A), and peak flows are identified on Figure D1. The contractor will need to implement standard best management practices for erosion control during construction.

Lot 2 Drainage (Possible Future Development Area)

The vacant areas within Lot 2 at the south end of the site (Basins D2 and E) will sheet flow south towards Design Point #2 at the south end of Lot 2.

Developed drainage from the vacant future development area within Basin D2 (west side of Lot 2) will flow southwesterly to the existing curb and gutter along Waynoka Road. Peak flows at Design Point #D2 are calculated as $Q_5 = 0.6$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 4.2$ cfs.

Developed drainage from the vacant future development area within Basin E (east side of Lot 2) is anticipated to sheet flow to the south corner of Lot 2, with peak flows at Design Point #E calculated as $Q_5 = 0.6$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 4.5$ cfs.

Drainage from Basins A2, D1, D2, and E combines at Design Point #2, with developed peak flows calculated as $Q_5 = 11.8$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 29.4$ cfs.

An additional future water quality and/or stormwater detention facility will be required at the south end of Lot 2 to mitigate the future developed drainage impacts from Basins D2 and E.

Suitable Outfall Analysis

Waynoka Road provides a suitable outfall to the existing downstream public drainage system. As shown in the street capacity calculations in Appendix B, the east side of Waynoka Road has a minor storm allowable street capacity of $Q_5 = 36.7$ cfs and a major storm allowable street capacity $Q_{100} = 137.9$ cfs. The existing street capacity is well above the calculated developed flows from the project site.

Basin C consists of Waynoka Road downstream of DP#1, flowing south to Design Point #C1 at the intersection of Waynoka Road and Palmer Park Boulevard. Developed flows from Basins A1, B1, B2, C, D1, D2, and E combine at Design Point #C1, with historic peak flows calculated as $Q_5 = 20.1$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 52.3$ cfs. The proposed Woodford Manufacturing Building Addition project will increase the developed peak flows at Design Point #C1 to $Q_5 = 25.8$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 57.4$ cfs (less than 10 percent increase). With the carryover flows from upstream Inlets B1 and B2, the total developed flows at Design Point #C1.1 are calculated as $Q_5 = 31.9$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 72.3$ cfs.

As detailed in Appendix B, the existing 10' Type R storm inlet at the northeast corner of Waynoka Road and Palmer Park Boulevard has a capacity of approximately $Q_5 = 13.4$ cfs and $Q_{100} = 23.4$ cfs, which is below both the existing and developed peak flows calculated in this report. However, there is an existing concrete headwall on the east side of the storm inlet (north side of the Palmer Park Boulevard right-of-way) providing a stable overflow path to the existing 36'' culvert inlet in the large sump area adjoining the golf course at the northeast of the intersection. There are no indications of erosion or drainage capacity problems at the existing intersection, so no improvements appear to be warranted at this time.

Z:\051801.hammers-woodford\admin\drg-ltr-woodford-1018.doc

III. DRAINAGE PLANNING FOUR STEP PROCESS

El Paso County Drainage Criteria require drainage planning to include a Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainageways, and implementing long-term source controls.

As stated in DCM Volume 2, the Four Step Process is applicable to all new and redevelopment projects with construction activities that disturb 1 acre or greater or that disturb less than 1 acre but are part of a larger common plan of development. The Four Step Process has been implemented as follows in the planning of this project:

Step 1: Employ Runoff Reduction Practices

• Grass Swales: The proposed drainage plan incorporates grass swales in unpaved areas to encourage stormwater infiltration.

Step 2: Stabilize Drainageways

• There are no major drainageways directly adjacent to this site. Routing flows through the on-site Rain Garden will minimize off-site impacts to existing downstream drainageways.

Step 3: Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)

• Site drainage from the building addition area will be routed through a proposed Rain Garden (RG), which will capture and slowly release the WQCV over a 12-hour design release period.

Step 4: Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs

- On-site drainage will be routed through the private Rain Garden (RG) to minimize introduction of contaminants to the County's public drainage system.
- The Owner will be responsible to implement and maintain a stormwater management plan (SWMP), which will include proper housekeeping practices for the industrial facility.

IV. STORMWATER QUALITY

The proposed drainage and grading plan for this site includes a private Rain Garden (RG) at the southwest corner of Lot 1 to provide the required stormwater quality mitigation for the site in accordance with current El Paso County drainage criteria.

According to the calculations in Appendix A, the required Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) for Design Point D1.1 (Basins A2 and D1) is 5,625 cubic feet, and the proposed Rain Garden provides a volume of 6,017 cubic feet.

The outlet of the Rain Garden will consist of an overflow chase draining to the existing driveway at the northwest corner of Lot 2, and an underdrain will be extended to daylight to the south within Lot 2.

The proposed stormwater quality facilities will be privately owned and maintained by the property owner, and maintenance access is readily available from the existing street.

This site is a platted and previously developed lot with the existing manufacturing facility originally constructed in 1979. Stormwater detention was not required for this site in the previously approved subdivision drainage report, and the allowable street capacity of the existing curb and gutter along Waynoka Road provides a suitable outfall for developed drainage from the site in accordance with the subdivision drainage report. The site is located in the Sand Creek Drainage Basin, and the subdivision drainage report identifies fully developed flows from this site draining south within Waynoka Road to the existing downstream public drainage system flowing into the upper reach of the Sand Creek Center Tributary Channel. The Sand Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study (DBPS) identifies the existing downstream drainage facilities immediately south of this site as adequate.

The Sand Creek DBPS identifies the downstream design point for the Sand Creek Center Tributary Channel (DP45 at Galley Road) as having a future peak 100-year flow of 1,340 cfs, so the developed flow from the Woodford Manufacturing site is negligible (less than 4 percent) in comparison to the major basin flow.

As previously noted, the existing street capacity of Waynoka Road is more than sufficient to convey the developed flow from the project site.

V. FLOODPLAIN IMPACTS

This site is located beyond the limits of the FEMA 100-year floodplain boundaries for the West Fork Sand Creek channel according to the FEMA floodplain map for this area, FIRM Panel No. 08041C0751F dated March 17, 1997 (see Appendix B).

VI. DRAINAGE BASIN FEES

This site is located within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin. No public drainage improvements are required for development of this site. Required drainage fees have been paid during the previous subdivision platting process, so there are no applicable drainage fees required with the Site Development Plan.

VII. SUMMARY

The developed drainage patterns associated with the proposed Woodford Manufacturing Building Addition project (Lot 1, Block 1, Waynoka Road Industrial Subdivision) will remain consistent with the overall drainage plan for this industrial subdivision. The proposed Rain Garden at the southwest corner of Lot 1 will provide water quality mitigation as required for the new site improvements. Proper construction and maintenance of the proposed on-site drainage facilities, in conjunction with proper erosion control practices, will ensure that this developed site has no significant adverse drainage impact on downstream or surrounding areas.

APPENDIX A

HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS



National Cooperative Soil Survey

Conservation Service

Hydrologic Soil Group—El Paso County Area, Colorado (Woodford Manufacturing)





Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
28	Ellicott loamy coarse sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes	A	4.7	100.0%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		4.7	100.0%

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher



Land Line on Cunface	Democrat						Runoff Co	efficients					
Characteristics	Impervious	2-y	ear	5-y	ear	10-y	/ear	ץ-25	/ear	50-y	year	100-	year
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D
Business													
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential													
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.57
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial													
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Heavy Areas	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.39	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas													
Historic Flow Analysis	2												
Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Offsite Flow Analysis (when	45												
landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
- · ·													
Streets													
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Roofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method (Source: UDFCD 2001)

3.2 Time of Concentration

One of the basic assumptions underlying the Rational Method is that runoff is a function of the average rainfall rate during the time required for water to flow from the hydraulically most remote part of the drainage area under consideration to the design point. However, in practice, the time of concentration can be an empirical value that results in reasonable and acceptable peak flow calculations.

For urban areas, the time of concentration (t_c) consists of an initial time or overland flow time (t_i) plus the travel time (t_i) in the storm sewer, paved gutter, roadside drainage ditch, or drainage channel. For nonurban areas, the time of concentration consists of an overland flow time (t_i) plus the time of travel in a concentrated form, such as a swale or drainageway. The travel portion (t_i) of the time of concentration can be estimated from the hydraulic properties of the storm sewer, gutter, swale, ditch, or drainageway. Initial time, on the other hand, will vary with surface slope, depression storage, surface cover, antecedent rainfall, and infiltration capacity of the soil, as well as distance of surface flow. The time of concentration is represented by Equation 6-7 for both urban and non-urban areas.

$$t_c = t_i + t_t \tag{Eq. 6-7}$$

Where:

 t_c = time of concentration (min)

 t_i = overland (initial) flow time (min)

 t_t = travel time in the ditch, channel, gutter, storm sewer, etc. (min)

3.2.1 Overland (Initial) Flow Time

The overland flow time, t_i , may be calculated using Equation 6-8.

$$t_i = \frac{0.395(1.1 - C_5)\sqrt{L}}{S^{0.33}}$$
(Eq. 6-8)

Where:

 t_i = overland (initial) flow time (min)

- C_5 = runoff coefficient for 5-year frequency (see Table 6-6)
- L = length of overland flow (300 ft maximum for non-urban land uses, 100 ft maximum for urban land uses)
- S = average basin slope (ft/ft)

Note that in some urban watersheds, the overland flow time may be very small because flows quickly concentrate and channelize.

3.2.2 Travel Time

For catchments with overland and channelized flow, the time of concentration needs to be considered in combination with the travel time, t_t , which is calculated using the hydraulic properties of the swale, ditch, or channel. For preliminary work, the overland travel time, t_t , can be estimated with the help of Figure 6-25 or Equation 6-9 (Guo 1999).

$$V = C_v S_w^{0.5}$$

Where:

V = velocity (ft/s)

 C_v = conveyance coefficient (from Table 6-7)

 S_w = watercourse slope (ft/ft)

(Eq. 6-9)

Type of Land Surface	C_{v}
Heavy meadow	2.5
Tillage/field	5
Riprap (not buried) [*]	6.5
Short pasture and lawns	7
Nearly bare ground	10
Grassed waterway	15
Paved areas and shallow paved swales	20
* For buried ripron select C yelue based on type of ye	gotativa aquar

Table 6-7.	Conveyance	Coefficient,	C_{v}
------------	------------	--------------	---------

For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of vegetative cover.

The travel time is calculated by dividing the flow distance (in feet) by the velocity calculated using Equation 6-9 and converting units to minutes.

The time of concentration (t_c) is then the sum of the overland flow time (t_i) and the travel time (t_i) per Equation 6-7.

3.2.3 First Design Point Time of Concentration in Urban Catchments

Using this procedure, the time of concentration at the first design point (typically the first inlet in the system) in an urbanized catchment should not exceed the time of concentration calculated using Equation 6-10. The first design point is defined as the point where runoff first enters the storm sewer system.

$$t_c = \frac{L}{180} + 10 \tag{Eq. 6-10}$$

Where:

 t_c = maximum time of concentration at the first design point in an urban watershed (min)

L = waterway length (ft)

Equation 6-10 was developed using the rainfall-runoff data collected in the Denver region and, in essence, represents regional "calibration" of the Rational Method. Normally, Equation 6-10 will result in a lesser time of concentration at the first design point and will govern in an urbanized watershed. For subsequent design points, the time of concentration is calculated by accumulating the travel times in downstream drainageway reaches.

3.2.4 Minimum Time of Concentration

If the calculations result in a t_c of less than 10 minutes for undeveloped conditions, it is recommended that a minimum value of 10 minutes be used. The minimum t_c for urbanized areas is 5 minutes.

3.2.5 Post-Development Time of Concentration

As Equation 6-8 indicates, the time of concentration is a function of the 5-year runoff coefficient for a drainage basin. Typically, higher levels of imperviousness (higher 5-year runoff coefficients) correspond to shorter times of concentration, and lower levels of imperviousness correspond to longer times of



Figure 6-5. Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency

IDF Equations
$I_{100} = -2.52 \ln(D) + 12.735$
$I_{50} = -2.25 \ln(D) + 11.375$
$I_{25} = -2.00 \ln(D) + 10.111$
$I_{10} = -1.75 \ln(D) + 8.847$
$I_5 = -1.50 \ln(D) + 7.583$
$I_2 = -1.19 \ln(D) + 6.035$
Note: Values calculated by equations may not precisely duplicate values read from figure.

WOODFORD MANUFACTURING COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS

NDITIONS
0
õ
HISTORIC

5-YEAR C VALUES											
	TOTAL		SUB-AREA 1			SUB-AREA 2			SUB-AREA 3		
	AREA		DEVELOPMENT/		AREA	DEVELOPMENT/			DEVELOPMENT/		WEIGHTED
BASIN	(AC)	(AC)	COVER	С	(AC)	COVER	С	(AC)	COVER	c	C VALUE
A1	3.09	3.09	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL	0.59							0.590
B1	0.56	0.35	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	0.90	0.21	LANDSCAPED	0.08				0.593
A1,B1	3.65										0.590
B2	0.56	0.35	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	0.90	0.21	LANDSCAPED	0.08				0.593
A1,B1,B2	4.21										0.591
A2	1.00	1.00	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL	0.59							0.590
D1	4.90	4.90	VACANT	0.08							0.080
D2	2.16	2.16	VACANT	0.08							0.080
Е	2.38	2.38	VACANT	0.08							0.080
A2,D1,D2,E	10.44										0.129
U	5.65	3.53	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	0.90	2.12	LANDSCAPED	0.08				0.593
A1,B1,B2,C,D1,D2,E	20.30										0.354
100-YEAR C VALUES											
	TOTAL		SUB-AREA 1			SUB-AREA 2			SUB-AREA 3		
BASIN	AREA (AC)	(AC)	DEVELOPMENT/ COVER	U	AREA (AC)	DEVELOPMENT/ COVER	U	(AC)	DEVELOPMENT/ COVER	U	WEIGHTED C VALUE
A1	3.09	3.09	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL	0.70							0.700
B1	0.56	0.35	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	0.96	0.21	LANDSCAPED	0.35				0.731
A1,B1	3.65										0.705
B2	0.56	0.35	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	0.96	0.21	LANDSCAPED	0.35				0.731
A1,B1,B2	4.21										0.708
A2	1.00	1.00	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL	0.70							0.700
D1	4.90	4.90	VACANT	0.35							0.350
D2	2.16	2.16	VACANT	0.35							0.350
Ш	2.38	2.38	VACANT	0.35							0.350
A2,D1,D2,E	10.44										0.384
C	5.65	3.53	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	0.96	2.12	LANDSCAPED	0.35				0.731
A1,B1,B2,C,D1,D2,E	20.30										0.548

WOODFORD MANUFACTURING BUILDING ADDITION - LOT 1, BLOCK 1, WAYNOKA ROAD INDUSTRIAL RATIONAL METHOD

HISTORIC FLOWS

	-ow	Q100 ⁽⁶⁾	(CFS)	14.80	3.23	17.61	3.23	20.40	4.47	14.88		4.18	4.53	20.63	28.02		52.28	
	PEAK FI	Q5 ⁽⁶⁾	(CFS)	7.43	1.56	8.78	1.56	10.14	2.24	2.03		0.57	0.62	4.13	13.54		20.12	
	SITΥ ⁽⁵⁾	100-YR	(IN/HR)	6.84	7.89	6.84	7.89	6.84	6.39	8.68		5.53	5.44	5.15	6.78		4.70	
	INTEN	5-YR	(IN/HR)	4.08	4.70	4.08	4.70	4.08	3.81	5.17		3.29	3.24	3.07	4.04		2.80	
	TOTAL	Tc ⁽⁴⁾	(MIN)	10.4	6.8	10.4	6.8	10.4	12.4	5.0		17.5	18.1	20.3	10.6		24.3	
	TOTAL	Tc ⁽⁴⁾	(MIN)	10.4	6.8	10.4	6.8	10.4	12.4	4.2		17.5	18.1	20.3	10.6		24.3	
		Tt ⁽³⁾	(MIN)	2.9	3.5		3.5		2.0	4.2	3.7	2.7	3.2		3.2	6.2		
	SCS ^(z)	VELOCITY	(FT/S)	2.83	2.83		2.83		1.00	2.68	2.95	2.83	2.83		2.83	2.83		
annel flow		SLOPE	(FT/FT)	0.020	0.020		0.020		0.010	0.018	0.022	0.020	0.020		0.020	0.020		
Ch	CONVEYANCE	COEFFICIENT	С	20	20		20		10	20	20	20	20		20	20		
	CHANNEL	LENGTH	(FT)	500	009		009		300	680	650	450	550		550	1050		
W		Tco ⁽¹⁾	(MIN)	7.4	3.3		3.3		7.4	0.0		14.8	14.8		7.4			
verland Flo		SLOPE	(FT/FT)	0.02	0.02		0.02		0.02			0.02	0.02		0.02			
Ö		LENGTH	(FT)	100	20		20		100			100	100		100			
		100-YEAR		0.700	0.731	0.705	0.731	0.708	0.700	0.350		0.350	0.350	0.384	0.731		0.548	
	U	5-YEAR		0.590	0.593	0.590	0.593	0.591	0.590	0.080		0.080	0.080	0.129	0.593		0.354	
		AREA	(AC)	3.09	0.56	3.65	0.56	4.21	1.00	4.90		2.16	2.38	10.44	5.65		20.30	
		DESIGN	POINT	A1	B1	B1.1	B2	1	A2	D1		D2	ш	2			C1	
		BASIN		A1	B1	A1,B1	B2	A1,B1,B2	A2	D1	Tt-D1 to DP2	D2	Ш	A2,D1,D2	 C	Tt-DP2 to C1	A1,B1,B2,C,D1,D2,E	

1) OVERLAND FLOW Tco = (0.395*(1.1-RUNOFF COEFFICIENT)*(OVERLAND FLOW LENGTH*(0.5)/(SLOPE^{0}(0.333))
2) SCS VELOCITY = C * ((SLOPE(FT/FT)^0.5))
C = 2.5 FOR HEAVY MEADOW
C = 2.5 FOR THAOY MEADOW
C = 2.5 FOR TILAGE/FIELD
C = 3 FOR TILLAGE/FIELD
C = 3 FOR TPASTURE AND LAWNS
C = 10 FOR NEARLY BARE GROUND
C = 15 FOR GRASSED WATERWAY
C = 2.6 FOR PAVED AREAS AND SHALLOW PAVED SWALES
3) MANING'S CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME = L/V (WHEN CHANNEL VELOCITY IS KNOWN)
4) TC = TCo + TL
*** IF TOTAL TIME OF CONCENTRATION IS LESS THAN 5 MINUTES, THEN 5 MINUTES IS USED
5) INTENSITY BASED ON I-D-F EQUATIONS IN CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL
I₁₀₀ = -2.52 * In(TC) + 7.583
I₁₀₀ = -2.52 * In(TC) + 12.735
G G CIA

WOODFORD MANUFACTURING COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS

DEVELOPED CONDITIONS

5-YEAR C VALUES											
	TOTAL AREA		SUB-AREA 1 DEVELOPMENT/		AREA	SUB-AREA 2 DEVELOPMENT/			SUB-AREA 3 DEVELOPMENT/		WEIGHTED
BASIN	(AC)	(AC)	COVER	U	(AC)	COVER	U	(AC)	COVER	υ	C VALUE
A1	3.09	3.09	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL	0.59							0.590
B1	0.56	0.35	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	06.0	0.21	LANDSCAPED	0.08				0.593
A1,B1	3.65										0.590
B2	0.56	0.35	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	06.0	0.21	LANDSCAPED	0.08				0.593
A1,B1,B2	4.21										0.591
A2	1.00	1.00	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL	0.59							0.590
D1	4.90	4.90	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL	0.59							0.590
D2	2.16	2.16	VACANT	0.08							0.080
ш	2.38	2.38	VACANT	0.08							0.080
A2,D1,D2,E	10.44										0.368
с U	5.65	3.53	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	06.0	2.12	LANDSCAPED	0.08				0.593
A1,B1,B2,C,D1,D2,E	20.30										0.477
100-YEAR C VALUES											
	-4-6-1										
	AREA		SUB-AKEA 1 DEVELOPMENT/		AREA	SUB-AREA 2 DEVELOPMENT/			DEVELOPMENT/		WEIGHTED
BASIN	(AC)	(AC)	COVER	C	(AC)	COVER	C	(AC)	COVER	c	C VALUE
A1	3.09	3.09	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL	0.70							0.700
B1	0.56	0.35	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	0.96	0.21	LANDSCAPED	0.35				0.731
A1,B1	3.65										0.705
B2	0.56	0.35	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	0.96	0.21	LANDSCAPED	0.35				0.731
A1,B1,B2	4.21										0.708
A2	1.00	1.00	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL	0.70							0.700
D1	4.90	4.90	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL	0.70							0.700
D2	2.16	2.16	VACANT	0.35							0.350
Ш	2.38	2.38	VACANT	0.35							0.350
A2,D1,D2,E	10.44										0.548
C	5.65	3.53	BUILDING / PAVEMENT	0.96	2.12	LANDSCAPED	0.35				0.731
A1,B1,B2,C,D1,D2,E	20.30										0.632

WOODFORD MANUFACTURING BUILDING ADDITION - LOT 1, BLOCK 1, WAYNOKA ROAD INDUSTRIAL RATIONAL METHOD

DEVELOPED FLOWS

1																								
	:LOW	Q100 ⁽⁶⁾	(CFS)	14.80	3.23	17.61	3.23	20.40		4.47	29.77	23.33		4.18	4.53	29.44	13.6	43.04	28.02		57.43	14.9	72.33	
	PEAK F	Q5 ⁽⁶⁾	(CFS)	7.43	1.56	8.78	1.56	10.14		2.24	14.94	11.72		0.57	0.62	11.78	5.8	17.58	13.54		25.83	6.1	31.93	
	siTY ⁽⁵⁾	100-YR	(IN/HR)	6.84	7.89	6.84	7.89	6.84		6.39	8.68	5.65		5.53	5.44	5.15			6.78		4.48			
	INTENS	5-YR	(IN/HR)	4.08	4.70	4.08	4.70	4.08		3.81	5.17	3.37		3.29	3.24	3.07			4.04		2.67			
	TOTAL	Tc ⁽⁴⁾	(MIN)	10.4	6.8	10.4	6.8	10.4		12.4	5.0	16.6		17.5	18.1	20.3			10.6		26.5			
	TOTAL	Tc ⁽⁴⁾	(MIN)	10.4	6.8	10.4	6.8	10.4		12.4	4.2	16.6		17.5	18.1	20.3			10.6		26.5			
		Tt ⁽³⁾	(MIN)	2.9	3.5		3.5			5.0	4.2		3.7	2.7	3.2				3.2	6.2				
	SCS ⁽²⁾	VELOCITY	(FT/S)	2.83	2.83		2.83			1.00	2.68		2.95	2.83	2.83				2.83	2.83				
unnel flow		SLOPE	(FT/FT)	0.020	0.020		0.020			0.010	0.018		0.022	0.020	0.020				0.020	0.020				
Cha	CONVEYANCE	COEFFICIENT	c	20	20		20			10	20		20	20	20				20	20				
	CHANNEL (LENGTH	(FT)	500	600		600			300	680		650	450	550				550	1050				
×		Tco ⁽¹⁾	(MIN)	7.4	3.3		3.3			7.4	0.0			14.8	14.8				7.4					
rerland Flo		SLOPE	(FT/FT)	0.02	0.02		0.02			0.02				0.02	0.02				0.02					
Ó		LENGTH	(FT)	100	20		20			100				100	100				100					
		100-YEAR		0.700	0.731	0.705	0.731	0.708		0.700	0.700	0.700		0.350	0.350	0.548			0.731		0.632			
	0	5-YEAR		0.590	0.593	0.590	0.593	0.591		0.590	0.590	0.590		0.080	0.080	0.368			0.593		0.477			
		AREA	(AC)	 3.09	0.56	3.65	0.56	4.21		1.00	4.90	5.90		2.16	2.38	10.44			5.65		20.30			
		DESIGN	POINT	A1	B1	B1.1	B2	1		A2		D1.1		D2	ш	2		2.1			C1		C1.1	
		BASIN		A1	B1	A1,B1	B2	A1,B1,B2		A2	D1	A2,D1	Tt-D1 to DP2	D2	Ш	A2,D1,D2,E	Inlet B1.1 carryover	A2,D1,D2,E	c	Tt-DP2 to C1	A1,B1,B2,C,D1,D2,E	Inlet B1.1,B2 carryover	A1,B1,B2,C,D1,D2,E	

1) OVERLAND FLOW Too = (0.395*(1.1-RUNOFF COEFFICIENT)*(OVERLAND FLOW LENGTH*(0.5)/(SLOPE*(0.333))
2) SCS VELOCITY = C * ((SLOPE(FT/FT)*0.5) C = 2.5 FOR THEAVY MEADOW C = 2.5 FOR TILAGE/FIELD C = 7 FOR SHORT PASTURE AND LAWNS C = 10 FOR NEARLY BARE GROUND C = 10 FOR NEARLY BARE GROUND C = 15 FOR GRASSED WATERWAY C = 20 FOR PAVED AREAS AND SHALLOW PAVED SWALES C = 20 FOR PAVED AREAS AND SHALLOW PAVED SWALES C = 20 FOR PAVED AREAS AND SHALLOW PAVED SWALES C = 20 FOR PAVED AREAS AND SHALLOW PAVED SWALES C = 20 FOR PAVED AREAS AND SHALLOW PAVED SWALES C = 10 FOR UNEL TRAVEL TIME = LV (WHEN CHANNEL VELOCITY IS KNOWN)
3) MANNING'S CHANNEL TRAVEL TIME = LV (WHEN CHANNEL VELOCITY IS KNOWN)
4) TC = Too + Tt WITCH TIME OF CONCENTRATION IS LESS THAN 5 MINUTES, THEN 5 MINUTES IS USED 5) INTENSITY BASED ON 1-D-F EQUATIONS IN CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL 1₁₀ = -2.52 * In(TC) + 7.583 1₁₀₀ = -2.52 * In(TC) + 12.735
6) Q = CIA

RATL.WOODFORD-1018.xls

APPENDIX B

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

INLET A2



CLOGGING FACTOR = 50% Q = 4.6 CFS (CAPACITY) DP-A2 Q100 = 4.5 CFS

Nyioplast 3130 Verona Avenue • Buford, GA 30518 (866) 888-8479 / (770) 932-2443 • Fax: (770) 932-2490 © Nyioplast Inlet Capacity Charts June 2012

Q = 9.2 CFS

Nyloplast 2' x 2' Road & Highway Grate Inlet Capacity Chart

Hydraulic Analysis Report

Project Data

Project Title:WoodfordDesigner:JPSProject Date:Thursday, October 11, 2018Project Units:U.S. Customary UnitsNotes:

Channel Analysis: SD-A2

Notes:

Input Parameters

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.0000 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0160 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0130 Depth: 1.0000 ft

Result Parameters

Flow: 4.5066 cfs = Q100 = 4.5 cfs (DP-A2) Area of Flow: 0.7854 ft² Wetted Perimeter: 3.1416 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.2500 ft Average Velocity: 5.7380 ft/s Top Width: 0.0000 ft Froude Number: 0.0000 Critical Depth: 0.8867 ft Critical Velocity: 6.1204 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0143 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 0.63 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.9984 lb/ft² Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.2496 lb/ft²













INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

Version 4.05 Released March 2017



Design Information (Input)	ODOT Ture D Out Oregins		MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet		Type =	CDOT Type F	R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)		a _{local} =	1.00	1.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)		No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)		Ponding Depth =	7.3	12.0	inches
Grate Information			MINOR	MAJOR	 Override Depths
Length of a Unit Grate		L _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate		W _o =	N/A	N/A	feet
Area Opening Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)		A _{ratio} =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)		C _f (G) =	N/A	N/A	1
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)		C _w (G) =	N/A	N/A	1
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)		C _o (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Information		-	MINOR	MAJOR	-
Length of a Unit Curb Opening		L _o (C) =	10.00	10.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches		H _{vert} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches		H _{throat} =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat (see USDCM Figure ST-5)		Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)		W _p =	2.00	2.00	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)		C _f (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)		C _w (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)		C _o (C) =	0.67	0.67]
Low Head Performance Reductio	n (Calculated)		MINOR	MAJOR	
Depth for Grate Midwidth		d _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation		d _{Curb} =	0.44	0.83	ft
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF _{Combination} =	0.69	1.00	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF _{Curb} =	1.00	1.00	
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets		RF _{Grate} =	N/A	N/A	
		_	MINOR	MAJOR	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)		Q _a =	13.4	23.4	cfs
WARNING: Inlet Capacity less than Q Peak for Minor and Major Storms		Q PEAK REQUIRED =	31.9	72.3	cfs

Design Procedure Form: Rain Garden (RG)				
Deciment	UD-BMP (V	ersion 3.06, November 2016)	Sheet 1 of 2	
Designer:	10S			
Date:	October 11, 2018			
Project:	Woodford Manufacturing Building Addition			
Location: Lot 1, Block 1, Waynoka Road Industrial Subdivision (2121 Way		noka Road, Colorado Springs, CO)		
1. Basin Storage Volume				
 A) Effective Imperviousness of Tributary Area, I_a (100% if all paved and roofed areas upstream of rain garden) 		$I_a = 80.0 \%$		
B) Tributary Area's Imperviousness Ratio (i = $I_a/100$)		i = 0.800		
C) Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) for a 12-hour Drain Time (WQCV= 0.8 * (0.91* i ³ - 1.19 * i ² + 0.78 * i)		WQCV = <u>0.26</u> watershed inc	ches	
D) Contril	outing Watershed Area (including rain garden area)	Area = <u>257,004</u> sq ft		
E) Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume Vol = (WQCV / 12) * Area		$V_{WQCV} = 5,625$ cu ft		
F) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm		d ₆ = in		
 G) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume 		V _{WQCV OTHER} = cu ft		
 H) User Input of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume (Only if a different WQCV Design Volume is desired) 		V _{WQCV USER} = cu ft		
2. Basin Geometry				
A) WQCV	Depth (12-inch maximum)	D _{WQCV} = <u>12</u> in		
B) Rain Garden Side Slopes (Z = 4 min., horiz. dist per unit vertical) (Use "0" if rain garden has vertical walls)		Z = 4.00 ft / ft		
C) Mimim	um Flat Surface Area	A _{Min} = sq ft		
D) Actual Flat Surface Area		$A_{Actual} = 5275$ sq ft		
E) Area at Design Depth (Top Surface Area)		A _{Top} = <u>6759</u> sq ft		
F) Rain Garden Total Volume (V _T = ((A _{Top} + A _{Actual}) / 2) * Depth)		V _T = <u>6,017</u> cu ft		
3. Growing Media		Choose One The Choose One	Media	
4. Underdrain System				
A) Are underdrains provided?		Choose One VES		
B) Underdrain system orifice diameter for 12 hour drain time		U NO		
i) Distance From Lowest Elevation of the Storage Volume to the Center of the Orifice		y= <u>2.0</u> ft		
ii) Volume to Drain in 12 Hours		$Vol_{12} = 5,625$ cu ft		
iii) Orifice Diameter, 3/8" Minimum		D _o = <u>1 3/4</u> in		

Design Procedure Form: Rain Garden (RG)					
Designer: Company:	JPS JPS	Sheet 2 of 2			
Date:	Date: October 11, 2018 Project: Woodford Manufacturing Building Addition				
Project:					
Location: Lot 1, Block 1, Waynoka Road Industrial Subdivision (2121 Waynoka Road, Colorado Springs, CO)					
 Impermeable Geomembrane Liner and Geotextile Separator Fabric A) Is an impermeable liner provided due to proximity of structures or groundwater contamination? 		Choose One O YES NO			
6. Inlet / Outlet Control A) Inlet Control		Choose One O Sheet Flow- No Energy Dissipation Required Concentrated Flow- Energy Dissipation Provided			
7. Vegetation		Choose One Seed (Plan for frequent weed control) Plantings Sand Grown or Other High Infiltration Sod			
8. IrrigationA) Will the rain garden be irrigated?		Choose One O YES O NO			
Notes:		<u></u>			

APPENDIX C

FIGURES





