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## I. Introduction

## Project Overview

This traffic impact study is provided as a planning document and addresses the capacity, geometric, and control requirements associated with the development entitled Bent Grass Dunkin' Donuts.

This proposed commercial development consists of a Dunkin' Donuts coffee/donut shop with drivethrough window. The development is located near the southwest corner of the intersection of Meridian Road with Bent Grass Meadows Drive in El Paso County, Colorado.

## Study Area Boundaries

The study area to be examined in this analysis encompasses the Bent Grass Meadows Drive intersections with Meridian Road and Meridian Park Drive, and proposed site access.

Figure 1 illustrates location of the site and study intersections.

## Site Description

Land for the development is currently vacant and surrounded by a mix of commercial, office, residential, and open space land uses.

The proposed development is understood to entail the new construction of an approximate 2,000square foot Dunkin' Donuts coffee/donut shop with drive-through window.

Proposed access to the development is provided at the following locations: one full-movement access onto Meridian Park Drive (referred to as Site Access).

For purposes of this study, it is anticipated that development construction would be completed by end of Year 2024.

A site plan, as prepared by Ethos Architecture Group, is shown on Figure 2. This plan is provided for illustrative purposes.


## Existing and Committed Surface Transportation Network

Within the study area, Meridian Road and Bent Grass Meadows Drive are the primary roadways that will accommodate traffic to and from the proposed development. The secondary roadways include Meridian Park Drive. A brief description of each roadway is provided below:

Meridian Road is a north-south principal arterial roadway having four through lanes (two lanes in each direction) with exclusive turn lanes at the intersection within the study area. Meridian Road provides a posted speed limit of 55 MPH.

Bent Grass Meadows Drive is an east-west collector roadway having two through lanes (one lanes in each direction) with exclusive turn lanes at the intersections within the study area. Bent Grass Meadows Drive provides a posted speed limit of 35 MPH .

Meridian Park Drive is a north-south local roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction) with shared turn lanes at the intersection within the study area. Meridian Park Drive does not provide a posted speed limit, however pursuant to its classification and Section 2.3.2 of the County's Engineering Criteria Manual ${ }^{1}$ (ECM), it is assumed to have a posted speed limit of 25 MPH .

The study intersection of Meridian Road with Bent Grass Meadows Drive is signalized. All other study intersections operate under a stop-controlled condition. A stop-controlled intersection is defined as a roadway intersection where vehicle rights-of-way are controlled by one or more "STOP" signs.

Pursuant to ongoing adjacent development plans, it is anticipated that Bent Grass Meadows Drive will be extended further west with ultimate connections to Woodmen Frontage Road to the south. For analysis purposes, it is anticipated that this extension would be completed by Year 2024. In reference to the County's Major Transportation Corridors Plan² (MTCP), the remaining study area roadways appear to be built to their ultimate cross-sections.
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## II. Existing Traffic Conditions

Morning (AM) and afternoon (PM) peak hour traffic counts were collected at the intersections of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road and Meridian Park Drive. Average daily (24-hour) traffic volumes were collected on Meridian Road. Counts were collected on Tuesday, March 29, 2022, with AM peak hour counts being collected during the period of 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM, and PM peak hour counts being collected during the period of 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM. These counts are shown on Figure 3.

Traffic count data is included for reference in Appendix A.
Existing signal timing parameters for Meridian Road and Bent Grass Meadows Drive were assumed based on the existing signal head configuration and allowable movements. Timings were used throughout this study to the best extent possible in order to remain consistent with typical County signal coordination plans.


The Signalized and Unsignalized Intersection Analysis techniques, as published in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) by the Transportation Research Board and as incorporated into the SYNCHRO computer program, were used to analyze the study intersections for existing traffic conditions. These nationally accepted techniques allow for the determination of intersection level of service (LOS) based on the congestion and delay of each traffic movement.

Level of service is a method of measurement used by transportation professionals to quantify a driver's perception of travel conditions that include travel time, number of stops, and total amount of stopped delay experienced on a roadway network. The HCM categorizes level of service into a range from "A" which indicates little, if any, vehicle delay, to "F" which indicates a level of operation considered unacceptable to most drivers. These levels of service grades with brief descriptions of the operating condition, for unsignalized and signalized intersections, are included for reference in Appendix B and have been used throughout this study.

The level of service analyses results for existing conditions are summarized in Table 1.
Intersection capacity worksheets developed for this study are provided in Appendix C.

Table 1 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Existing Traffic

| INTERSECTION | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LANE GROUPS | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Road (Signalized) | $\mathrm{A}(7.6)$ | $\mathrm{A}(6.1)$ |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Park Drive (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Westbound Left  <br> Northbound Left and Right A <br> A A A |  |  |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Serv ice

## Existing Traffic Analysis Results

Under existing conditions, operational analysis shows that the signalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road has overall operations at LOS A during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

The unsignalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive has turning movement operations at LOS A during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

## III. Future Traffic Conditions Without Proposed Development

Background traffic is the traffic projected to be on area roadways without consideration of the proposed development. Background traffic includes traffic generated by development of vacant parcels in the area.

To account for projected increases in background traffic for Years 2024 and 2040, and to incorporate anticipated trip generations from adjacent developments not yet built, traffic volumes were referenced from the approved traffic impact study prepared for Bent Grass East Commercial Filing No. 3³. The previously approved traffic impact study includes site trips from other adjacent future developments including Bent Grass East Commercial Filing No. 2, Falcon Meadows at Bent Grass, Banning Lewis Ranch North initial phasing, and Falcon Marketplace.

In order to account for additional undeveloped parcels adjacent to the study site, a compounded annual growth rate of approximately two percent was applied to the Year 2021 total traffic volumes established for the adjacent development, in order to estimate Year 2024 background volumes. This annual growth rate is consistent with regional growth projections and the level of in-fill development expected within the area, and is consistent with the anticipated growth along Meridian Road as defined within the adjacent traffic impact study. Year 2040 background volumes were referenced from Figure 11 - Year 2040 Total Traffic from the previous traffic study.

Pursuant to the proposed and committed area roadway improvements discussed in Section I, Year 2024 and Year 2040 background traffic conditions assume no additional roadway improvements to accommodate regional transportation demands beyond those anticipated with the extension of Bent Grass Meadows Drive. Year 2040 assumes existing signal timing parameters for Meridian Road and Bent Grass Meadows Drive with optimized intersection splits in effort to better long-term intersection performance. This assumption provides for a conservative analysis.

Projected background traffic volumes and intersection geometry for Years 2024 and 2040 are shown on Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively.
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As with existing traffic conditions, the operations of study intersections were analyzed under background conditions, without the proposed development, using the SYNCHRO computer program.

Background traffic level of service analysis results for Year 2024 are listed in Table 2. Year 2040 operational results are summarized in Table 3.

Definitions of levels of service are given in Appendix B. Intersection capacity worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

Table 2 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Background Traffic - Year 2024

| INTERSECTION <br> LANE GROUPS | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Road (Signalized) | C (21.7) | $\mathrm{B}(10.9)$ |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Park Drive (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Westbound Left <br> Northbound Left and Right |  | A |
|  |  |  |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Service

## Background Traffic Analysis Results - Year 2024

Year 2024 background traffic analysis indicates that the signalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road has overall operations at LOS C during the AM peak traffic hour and LOS B during the PM peak traffic hour.

The unsignalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive has turning movement operations at or better than LOS B during both AM and PM peak traffic periods.

# Table 3 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Background Traffic - Year 2040 

| INTERSECTION | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LANE GROUPS | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Road (Signalized) | C (31.0) | C (22.3) |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Park Drive (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Eastbound Left |  |  |
| Westbound Left | A | A |
| Northbound Left, Through and Right | D | A |
| Southbound Left, Through and Right | F | E |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Service

## Background Traffic Analysis Results - Year 2040

By Year 2040 and without the proposed development, the study intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road experiences LOS C operations during both the AM and PM peak traffic hours.

The study intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive experiences turning movement operations at or better than LOS F during both the AM and PM peak traffic hours. It is noted that poor LOS operations include the southbound turning movements which operate at LOS F during both peak traffic hours, and the northbound turning movements operate at LOS E during the PM peak traffic hour only. The LOS E and F operations are attributed to the high through traffic volumes along Bent Grass Meadows Drive and the stop-controlled nature of the intersection.

It is to be noted that it is not uncommon for unsignalized movements to or from an arterial roadway, in urban areas, to operate with noticeable delays during peak traffic hours. In order to mitigate the projected long-term poor operations at Bent Grass Meadows Drive and Meridian Park Drive, it is recommended that an exclusive northbound right turn lane be provided to accommodate the high volume of right-turning vehicles. It is however noted that due to access spacing limitations with the existing northern gas station access at the southeast corner of the study intersection, implementation of an exclusive turn lane may not be feasible. Additionally, an exclusive southbound left turn lane may assist in improving vehicle delays.

## IV. Proposed Project Traffic

## Trip Generation

Standard traffic generation characteristics compiled by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in their report entitled Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, were applied to the proposed land use in order to estimate average daily traffic (ADT), AM Peak Hour, and PM Peak Hour vehicle trips. A vehicle trip is defined as a one-way vehicle movement from a point of origin to a point of destination.

The ITE land use code 937 (Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window) was used for estimating trip generation because of its best fit to the proposed land use description.

Trip generation rates used in this study are presented in Table 4.

Table 4 - Trip Generation Rates

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ITE } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | LAND USE | UNIT | TRIP GENERATION RATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ \text { HOUR } \end{gathered}$ | AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| 937 | Coffee/Donut Shop w/DTW | KSF | 533.57 | 43.80 | 42.08 | 85.88 | 19.50 | 19.50 | 38.99 |

Key: KSF = Thousand Square Feet Gross Floor Area.
Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.
Table 5 illustrates projected ADT, AM Peak Hour, and PM Peak Hour traffic volumes likely generated by the proposed development upon build-out.

Table 5 - Trip Generation Summary

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ITE } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | LAND USE | SIZE | TOTAL TRIPS GENERATED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ \text { HOUR } \end{gathered}$ | AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| 937 | Coffee/Donut Shop w/DTW | 2.0 KSF | 1,067 | 88 | 84 | 172 | 39 | 39 | 78 |
|  |  | Total: | 1,067 | 88 | 84 | 172 | 39 | 39 | 78 |

Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.
Upon build-out, Table 5 illustrates that the proposed development has the potential to generate approximately 1,067 daily trips with 172 of those occurring during the morning peak hour and 78 during the afternoon peak hour.

## Adjustments to Trip Generation Rates

A development of this type is likely to attract trips from within adjacent area land uses as well as passby trips from the adjacent roadway system. ITE defines a pass-by trip as an intermediate stop on the way from an origin to a primary trip destination without a route diversion. Due to this behavior, passby trips are not considered as "new" traffic generated by the development since the trips are already present on the roadway network enroute to their primary destination.

Pass-by trips are especially common to coffee/donut shop land uses given the convenience provided by these businesses on the way to another primary destination such as a place of work or home. As example, published ITE pass-by and diverted link trip data indicates an average trip generation reduction rate of 83 percent during the AM peak traffic hour and 95 percent during the PM peak traffic hour as typical to coffee/donut shops with drive-through window and no indoor seating (ITE Code 938). Reference to ITE's pass-by reduction rates included in Appendix D.

Upon consideration of the proposed land use, reductions were applied pursuant to ITE average data to the proposed land use in order to account for the high probability of pass-by trip generation. Considering the lowest ITE pass-by trip percentage, and the presence of an indoor seating area, a reduction of 60 percent was applied.

It is noted that given the proposed combination of adjacent office and commercial land uses, potential internal capture may be applicable. However, specific internal capture rates can only be assumed. Therefore, in order to maintain a conservative analysis, no additional reductions due to internal capture were applied.

Table 6 illustrates projected ADT, AM Peak Hour, and PM Peak Hour traffic volumes likely generated by the proposed development upon build-out with reductions applied due to pass-by trips.

Table 6 - Trip Generation Summary with Pass-By Trip Reductions

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ITE } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | LAND USE | SIZE | TOTAL NEW TRIPS GENERATED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ \text { HOUR } \end{gathered}$ | AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| Pass-By Trip Reduction: |  |  | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% | 60\% |
| 937 | Coffee/Donut Shop w/DTW | 2.0 KSF | 427 | 35 | 34 | 69 | 16 | 16 | 31 |
|  |  | Total: | 427 | 35 | 34 | 69 | 16 | 16 | 31 |

Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.

Upon build-out and with consideration for pass-by trip reductions, Table 6 illustrates that the proposed development has the potential to generate approximately 427 new daily trips with 69 of those occurring during the morning peak hour and 31 during the afternoon peak hour.

## Trip Distribution

The overall directional distribution of site-generated traffic was determined based on the location of development site within the County, proposed and existing area land uses, allowed turning movements, available roadway network, and pursuant to assumptions made in the approved traffic impact analysis for the adjacent Bent Grass East Commercial Filing No. 3 development.

Overall trip distribution patterns for the development are shown on Figure 6.
Additional pass-by trip distribution is assumed to include vehicle routes heading north-south along Meridian Road. Distribution percentages utilized for pass-by trips are anticipated to be 50 percent from the north and south.

## Trip Assignment

Traffic assignment is how generated and distributed vehicle trips are expected to be loaded onto the available roadway network.

Applying trip distribution patterns to site-generated traffic provides the overall site-generated trip assignments shown on Figure 6.

It is to be noted that the overall site-generated trip assignments shown on Figure 6 represent the combination of both primary trip generation and pass-by trips. Due to the application of pass-by trips, some negative site-generated trips are shown at the study intersections. These negative trips are the result of redistributing existing through volumes along Meridian Road to site-generated ingress volumes.

## V. Future Traffic Conditions With Proposed Developments

Site-generated traffic was added to background traffic projections for Years 2024 and 2040 to develop total traffic projections. For analysis purposes, it was assumed that development construction would be completed by end of Year 2024.

Pursuant to area roadway improvement discussions provided in Section III, Year 2024 and Year 2040 total traffic conditions assume no additional roadway improvements to accommodate regional transportation demands. Roadway improvements associated with site development are expected to be limited to site access and frontage as required by the governing agency.

Projected Year 2024 total traffic volumes and intersection geometry are shown in Figure 7.
Figure 8 shows projected total traffic volumes and intersection geometry for Year 2040.



## VI. Project Impacts

The analyses and procedures described in this study were performed in accordance with the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) and are based upon the worst-case conditions that occur during a typical weekday upon build-out of site development and analyzed land uses. Therefore, study intersections are likely to operate with traffic conditions better than those described within this study, which represent the peak hours of weekday operations only.

## Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service

As with background traffic, the operations of the study intersections were analyzed under projected total traffic conditions using the SYNCHRO computer program. Total traffic level of service analysis results for Years 2024 and 2040 are summarized in Table 7 and Table 8, respectively.

Definitions of levels of service are given in Appendix B. Intersection capacity worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

Table 7 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Total Traffic - Year 2024

| INTERSECTION <br> LANE GROUPS | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Road (Signalized) | C (26.0) | B (11.7) |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Park Drive (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Westbound Left | A | A |
| Northbound Left and Right | C | B |
| Meridian Park Drive / Site Access (Stop-Controlled) |  | A |
| Westbound Left and Right | A | A |
| Southbound Left and Through | A |  |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Serv ice

Table 8 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Total Traffic - Year 2040

| NTERSECTION <br> LANE GROUPS | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Road (Signalized) | $\mathrm{D}(36.0)$ | $\mathrm{C}(23.5)$ |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Park Drive (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | A |  |
| Westbound Left | A | A |
| Northbound Left, Through and Right | F | A |
| Southbound Left, Through and Right | F | F |
| Meridian Park Drive / Site Access (Stop-Controlled) | F |  |
| Westbound Left and Right | A | A |
| Southbound Left and Through | A | A |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Service

## Total Traffic Analysis Results Upon Development Build-Out

Table 8 illustrates how, by Year 2040 and upon development build-out, the signalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road shows an overall LOS D operation during the morning peak traffic hour and LOS C operation during the afternoon peak traffic hour. Compared to the background traffic analysis, the traffic generated by the proposed development is not expected to significantly change the operations of the study intersection.

The stop-controlled intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive is projected to have turning movement operations at LOS F for both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours. It is noted that poor LOS operations still include the northbound and southbound turning movements which operate at LOS F during both peak traffic hours. The LOS F operations are attributed to the high through traffic volumes along Bent Grass Meadows Drive and the stop-controlled nature of the intersection.

The stop-controlled intersection of Meridian Park Drive with Site Access is projected to have turning movement operations at LOS A for both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

It is to be noted that it is not uncommon for unsignalized movements to or from an arterial roadway, in urban areas, to operate with noticeable delays during peak traffic hours. As with background traffic conditions, in order to mitigate the projected long-term poor operations at Bent Grass Meadows Drive and Meridian Park Drive, it is recommended that an exclusive northbound right turn lane be provided to accommodate the high volume of right-turning vehicles. It is however noted that due to access spacing limitations with the existing northern gas station access at the southeast corner of the study intersection, implementation of an exclusive turn lane may not be feasible. Additionally, an exclusive southbound left turn lane may assist in improving vehicle delays.

These intersection operations are similar to background conditions.

## Queue Length Analysis

Queue lengths for study intersections were analyzed using Year 2040 total traffic conditions. The analysis yields estimate of $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths, which have only a five percent probability of being exceeded during the analysis time period. Queue lengths were modeled and are included with the Synchro worksheets in Appendix C.

Some queuing at the intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive was indicated. The greatest queue length anticipated occurs during the afternoon peak hour. The queue length is approximately sixteen vehicles for the northbound turning movements. It is noted that without the proposed development poor intersection operations and vehicle queues continue to be anticipated.

As previously noted, in order to mitigate projected poor intersection operations, and associated vehicle queues, it is recommended that an exclusive northbound right turn lane be provided to accommodate the high volume of right-turning vehicles. It is however noted that due to access spacing limitations with the existing northern gas station access at the southeast corner of the study intersection, implementation of an exclusive turn lane may not be feasible. It is considered likely that given the available roadway width at the intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive, vehicles may behave as though there were exclusive turn lanes as left-turning vehicles may move adjacent to right-turning traffic in order to minimize delays. Such behavior would naturally decrease projected queues.

It is emphasized that projected long-term queuing and operational delays are attributed to the high through volumes along Bent Grass Meadows Drive as well as high opposing right-turning volumes along Meridian Park Drive, and the stop-controlled nature of the intersection. Projected right-turning volumes are pursuant to anticipated future development to the east and south of Meridian Park Drive as detailed in the Bent Grass East Commercial Filing No. 3 traffic impact study. The addition of proposed coffee/donut shop site generated traffic is not considered to cause a significant increase to projected future volumes. The study intersection should continue to be monitored by County Staff in order to determine when appropriate mitigation measures are necessary.

## Auxiliary Lane Analysis

Auxiliary lanes for site development accesses were based on the County's ECM.
Considering development build-out, an evaluation of auxiliary lane requirements, pursuant to Section 2.3.7 of the County's ECM, reveals that the existing turn lanes along Bent Grass Meadows Drive meet County minimum exclusive turn lane requirements and that no changes are recommended.

Section 2.3.7 of the County's ECM also reveals that a southbound left turn lane along Meridian Park Drive at Site Access is not required considering its local roadway classification described in Section II and acceptable levels of service shown in Table 8.

## VII. Conclusion

This traffic impact study was provided as a planning document and addresses the capacity, geometric, and control requirements associated with the development entitled Bent Grass Dunkin' Donuts. This proposed commercial development consists of a Dunkin' Donuts coffee/donut shop with drive-through window. The development is located near the southwest corner of the intersection of Meridian Road with Bent Grass Meadows Drive in El Paso County, Colorado.

The study area examined in this analysis encompasses the Bent Grass Meadows Drive intersections with Meridian Road and Meridian Park Drive, and proposed site access.

Analysis was conducted for critical AM Peak Hour and PM Peak Hour traffic operations for existing traffic conditions, Year 2024 and Year 2040 background traffic conditions, and Year 2024 and Year 2040 total traffic conditions.

Under existing conditions, operational analysis shows that the signalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road has overall operations at LOS A during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours. The unsignalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive has turning movement operations at LOS A during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

Year 2024 background traffic analysis indicates that the signalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road has overall operations at LOS C during the AM peak traffic hour and LOS B during the PM peak traffic hour. The unsignalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive has turning movement operations at or better than LOS B during both AM and PM peak traffic periods.

By Year 2040 and without the proposed development, the study intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road experiences LOS C operations during both the AM and PM peak traffic hours. The study intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive experiences turning movement operations at or better than LOS F during both the AM and PM peak traffic hours. It is noted that poor LOS operations include the southbound turning movements which operate at LOS F during both peak traffic hours, and the northbound turning movements operate at LOS E during the PM peak traffic hour only. The LOS E and F operations are attributed to the high through traffic volumes along Bent Grass Meadows Drive and the stop-controlled nature of the intersection. It is to be noted that it is not uncommon for unsignalized movements to or from an arterial roadway, in urban areas, to operate with noticeable delays during peak traffic hours.

Analysis of future traffic conditions indicates that the addition of site-generated traffic is expected to create no negative impact to traffic operations for the existing and surrounding roadway system upon consideration of various roadway and intersection control improvements assumed within this analysis. With all conservative assumptions defined in this analysis, the study intersections are projected to operate at future levels of service comparable to Year 2040 background traffic conditions. Proposed site access has long-term operations at LOS A during peak traffic periods and upon build-out.

This site is subject to the El Paso County Road Impact Fee Program (Resolution 19-471), as amended. An option for payment will be selected at the final land use approval stage.

APPENDIX A

Traffic Count Data

(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 1 Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive AM
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022
Peak Hour: 07:00 AM - 08:00 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 07:15 AM - 07:30 AM


Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts

| Interval Start Time | Bent Grass Meadows Drive Eastbound |  |  |  | Bent Grass Meadows Drive Westbound |  |  |  | Meridian Road Northbound |  |  |  | Meridian Road Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left |  | Thru Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 19 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 341 | 36 | 494 | 1,970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 17 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 366 | 26 | 531 | 1,912 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 16 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 307 | 21 | 478 | 1,794 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 13 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 24 | 467 | 1,718 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 12 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 27 | 436 | 1,645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 16 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 18 | 413 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 1 | 9 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 9 | 402 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 1 | 7 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 17 | 394 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 121 | 0 | 152 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 2 | 109 | 880 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,173 | 178 | 3,615 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 62 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 65 | 380 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 1,270 | 107 | 1,970 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 1 Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive PM
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022
Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 04:30 PM - 04:45 PM


## Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts

| Interval <br> Start Time | Bent Grass Meadows Drive Eastbound |  |  |  | Bent Grass Meadows Drive Westbound |  |  |  | Meridian Park Drive Northbound |  |  |  | Meridian Park Drive Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru R |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left |  |  | Right |  |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 98 | 16 | 1 | 189 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 591 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 56 | 9 | 0 | 111 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | - 95 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 340 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 2 Meridian Park Drive \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive PM
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022
Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 05:30 PM - 05:45 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles


## Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts

All Traffic Data Services
Date Start： $29-M a r-22$
Site Code： 3
ya SMOOVヨW SSVYפ INヨa＇O＇s ay NVIalyヨw

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 29-Mar-22 } \\ \text { Tue } \end{gathered}$ | NB | SB |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12：00 AM |  | 50 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| 01：00 |  | 19 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 02：00 |  | 12 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 03：00 |  | 11 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |
| 04：00 |  | 24 | 138 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |
| 05：00 |  | 58 | 358 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 416 |
| 06：00 |  | 211 | 1018 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1229 |
| 07：00 |  | 447 | 1364 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1811 |
| 08：00 |  | 547 | 967 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1514 |
| 09：00 |  | 512 | 805 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1317 |
| 10：00 |  | 562 | 757 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1319 |
| 11：00 |  | 656 | 745 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1401 |
| 12：00 PM |  | 774 | 756 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1530 |
| 01：00 |  | 798 | 723 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1521 |
| 02：00 |  | 836 | 808 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1644 |
| 03：00 |  | 1115 | 796 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1911 |
| 04：00 |  | 1379 | 846 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2225 |
| 05：00 |  | 1400 | 836 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2236 |
| 06：00 |  | 1001 | 670 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1671 |
| 07：00 |  | 782 | 438 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1220 |
| 08：00 |  | 521 | 287 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 808 |
| 09：00 |  | 332 | 164 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 496 |
| 10：00 |  | 184 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 259 |
| 11：00 |  | 77 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118 |
| Total |  | 12308 | 12681 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24989 |
| Percent |  | 49．3\％ | 50．7\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak |  | 11：00 | 07：00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 07：00 |
| Vol． |  | 656 | 1364 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1811 |
| PM Peak |  | 17：00 | 16：00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 17：00 |
| Vol． |  | 1400 | 846 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2236 |
| Grand Total |  | 12308 | 12681 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24989 |
| Percent |  | 49．3\％ | 50．7\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADT |  | T 24，989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX B

Level of Service Definitions

The following information can be found in the Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board, 2016: Chapter 19 - Signalized Intersections and Chapter 20 - Two-Way Stop Controlled Intersections.

## Automobile Level of Service (LOS) for Signalized Intersections

Levels of service are defined to represent reasonable ranges in control delay.

## LOS A

Describes operations with a control delay of $10 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$ or less and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is exceptionally favorable or the cycle length is very short. If it is due to favorable progression, most vehicles arrive during the green indication and travel through the intersection without stopping.

## LOS B

Describes operations with control delay between 10 and 20 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is short. More vehicles stop than with LOS A.

## LOS C

Describes operations with control delay between 20 and 35 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when progression is favorable or the cycle length is moderate. Individual cycle failures (i.e., one or more queued vehicles are not able to depart as a result of insufficient capacity during the cycle) may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many vehicles still pass through the intersection without stopping.

## LOS D

Describes operations with control delay between 35 and $55 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$ and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective or the cycle length is long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.

## LOS E

Describes operations with control delay between 55 and 80 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high, progression is unfavorable, and the cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent.

LOS F
Describes operations with control delay exceeding $80 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$ or a volume-to-capacity ratio greater than 1.0 . This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is very high, progression is very poor, and the cycle length is long. Most cycles fail to clear the queue.

## Level of Service (LOS) for Unsignalized TWSC Intersections

| Level of Service (v/c $\leq 1.0)$ | Average Control Delay (s/veh) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

## APPENDIX C

## Capacity Worksheets

|  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7} 1$ | T | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 62 | 86 | 65 | 380 | 1270 | 107 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 62 | 86 | 65 | 380 | 1270 | 107 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.151 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 281 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 93 |  |  |  | 116 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 67 | 93 | 71 | 413 | 1380 | 116 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 15.0\% | 75.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 7.6 | 7.6 | 84.5 | 84.7 | 75.6 | 75.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.09 |
| Control Delay | 45.3 | 16.6 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 7.6 | 1.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 45.3 | 16.6 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 7.6 | 1.3 |
| LOS | D | B | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 28.6 |  |  | 2.2 | 7.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 21 | 0 | 6 | 21 | 194 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 41 | 46 | 15 | 36 | 283 | 17 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 686 | 391 | 386 | 2999 | 2675 | 1225 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.09 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52
Intersection Signal Delay: 7.6 Intersection LOS: A

Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.8\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 56 | 9 | 111 | 61 | 8 | 95 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 56 | 9 | 111 | 61 | 8 | 95 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 61 | 10 | 121 | 66 | 9 | 103 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 369 | 61 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 61 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 308 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1529 | - | 631 | 1004 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 962 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 745 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1529 | - | 581 | 1004 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 581 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 962 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 686 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 4.9 |  | 9.3 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 950 | - | - | 1529 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.118 | - | - | 0.079 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 9.3 | - | - | 7.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.4 | - | - | 0.3 | - |



Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.50
Intersection Signal Delay: 6.1 Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.8\% ICU Level of Service A

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 4 | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 65 | 9 | 91 | 63 | 10 | 115 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 65 | 9 | 91 | 63 | 10 | 115 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 71 | 10 | 99 | 68 | 11 | 125 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% 17 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 170 | 311 | 152 | 734 | 1725 | 258 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 170 | 311 | 152 | 734 | 1725 | 258 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.062 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 115 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 178 |  |  |  | 280 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 185 | 338 | 165 | 798 | 1875 | 280 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 15.0\% | 75.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 15.5 | 15.5 | 74.5 | 73.5 | 59.8 | 59.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.35 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.31 | 0.89 | 0.26 |
| Control Delay | 38.3 | 39.3 | 36.7 | 5.4 | 25.5 | 2.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 38.3 | 39.3 | 36.7 | 5.4 | 25.5 | 2.1 |
| LOS | D | D | D | A | C | A |
| Approach Delay | 38.9 |  |  | 10.7 | 22.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | B | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 54 | 100 | 51 | 82 | 536 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 82 | \#208 | \#139 | 122 | \#783 | 36 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 686 | 459 | 251 | 2601 | 2115 | 1059 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.27 | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.31 | 0.89 | 0.26 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.7 Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.1\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 个 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 248 | 11 | 284 | 125 | 17 | 232 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 248 | 11 | 284 | 125 | 17 | 232 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 270 | 12 | 309 | 136 | 18 | 252 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 1024 | 270 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 270 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 754 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - |  | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1280 | - | 261 | 769 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 775 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 465 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1280 | - | 198 | 769 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 198 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 775 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 353 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 6 |  | 14.6 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 642 | - | - | 1280 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.422 | - | - | 0.241 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 14.6 | - | - | 8.7 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 2.1 | - | - | 0.9 | - |


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | F' | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 188 | 229 | 231 | 1514 | 990 | 211 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 188 | 229 | 231 | 1514 | 990 | 211 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.214 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 399 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 217 |  |  |  | 229 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 204 | 249 | 251 | 1646 | 1076 | 229 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 90.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 12.5\% | 75.0\% | 62.5\% | 62.5\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 13.0 | 13.0 | 97.0 | 96.0 | 81.3 | 81.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.81 | 0.80 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.55 | 0.68 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.20 |
| Control Delay | 55.8 | 19.7 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 10.5 | 1.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 55.8 | 19.7 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 10.5 | 1.6 |
| LOS | E | B | A | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay | 36.0 |  |  | 6.2 | 8.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | A | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 78 | 23 | 34 | 197 | 178 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 111 | 103 | 70 | 322 | 294 | 32 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 715 | 501 | 446 | 2830 | 2396 | 1145 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.20 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68
Intersection Signal Delay: 10.9 Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.9\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 个 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 179 | 4 | 222 | 221 | 17 | 236 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 179 | 4 | 222 | 221 | 17 | 236 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 195 | 4 | 241 | 240 | 18 | 257 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 917 | 195 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 195 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 722 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1373 | - | 302 | 846 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 838 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 481 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1373 | - | 249 | 846 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 249 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 838 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 396 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 4.1 |  | 12.9 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 EBT EBR WBL WBT |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 729 | - | - | 1373 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.377 | - | - | 0.176 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 12.9 | - | - | 8.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 1.8 | - | - | 0.6 | - |


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% 1 | T | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 288 | 383 | 195 | 653 | 1733 | 318 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 288 | 383 | 195 | 653 | 1733 | 318 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.065 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 121 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 145 |  |  |  | 346 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 313 | 416 | 212 | 710 | 1884 | 346 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 13.0 | 76.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 24.0\% | 24.0\% | 13.0\% | 76.0\% | 63.0\% | 63.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 19.0 | 19.0 | 71.0 | 70.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.48 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.29 | 0.93 | 0.33 |
| Control Delay | 38.9 | 70.8 | 80.8 | 6.0 | 30.1 | 2.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 38.9 | 70.8 | 80.8 | 6.0 | 30.1 | 2.0 |
| LOS | D | E | F | A | C | A |
| Approach Delay | 57.1 |  |  | 23.2 | 25.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | C | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 92 | 184 | 84 | 77 | 545 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 135 | \#384 | \#231 | 102 | \#753 | 37 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 652 | 418 | 217 | 2477 | 2017 | 1051 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.48 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.29 | 0.93 | 0.33 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00
Intersection Signal Delay: 31.0 Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.8\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 18.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 331 | 14 | 312 | 189 | 11 | 19 | 2 | 303 | 37 | 4 | 15 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 331 | 14 | 312 | 189 | 11 | 19 | 2 | 303 | 37 | 4 | 15 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | 150 | - | 150 | 150 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 360 | 15 | 339 | 205 | 12 | 21 | 2 | 329 | 40 | 4 | 16 |  |



|  |  |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 485 | 275 | 306 | 1482 | 1154 | 249 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 485 | 275 | 306 | 1482 | 1154 | 249 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.111 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 207 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 299 |  |  |  | 271 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 527 | 299 | 333 | 1611 | 1254 | 271 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 31.0 | 91.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 24.2\% | 24.2\% | 25.8\% | 75.8\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 22.4 | 22.4 | 87.6 | 86.6 | 61.0 | 61.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.51 | 0.51 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.56 | 0.79 | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay | 58.2 | 8.9 | 35.6 | 10.2 | 26.5 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 58.2 | 8.9 | 35.6 | 10.2 | 26.5 | 3.1 |
| LOS | E | A | D | B | C | A |
| Approach Delay | 40.4 |  |  | 14.5 | 22.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | B | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 200 | 0 | 149 | 313 | 396 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 263 | 77 | 250 | 377 | 517 | 47 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 686 | 555 | 490 | 2553 | 1798 | 937 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.77 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0.29 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.3 Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.0\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive




| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.3 | 3.9 | 41.2 | $\$ 340.9$ |
| HCM LOS |  |  | E | F |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 654 | 1233 | - | -1319 | - | -17 |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.906 | 0.007 | - | -0.211 | - | -1.087 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 41.2 | 7.9 | - | - | 8.5 | - | $\$ 340.9$ |
| HCM Lane LOS | E | A | - | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%otile Q(veh) | 11.5 | 0 | - | - | 0.8 | - | - |

## Notes

$\sim:$ Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds $300 \mathrm{~s} \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | T | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 211 | 351 | 195 | 707 | 1699 | 300 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 211 | 351 | 195 | 707 | 1699 | 300 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.065 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 121 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 179 |  |  |  | 326 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 229 | 382 | 212 | 768 | 1847 | 326 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 15.0\% | 75.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 17.4 | 17.4 | 72.6 | 71.6 | 56.7 | 56.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.38 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.30 | 0.92 | 0.31 |
| Control Delay | 37.7 | 46.8 | 51.8 | 5.9 | 29.8 | 2.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 37.7 | 46.8 | 51.8 | 5.9 | 29.8 | 2.2 |
| LOS | D | D | D | A | C | A |
| Approach Delay | 43.4 |  |  | 15.8 | 25.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | B | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 64 | 128 | 83 | 89 | 565 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 100 | \#287 | \#212 | 116 | \#764 | 39 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 686 | 459 | 257 | 2533 | 2005 | 1037 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.33 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.30 | 0.92 | 0.31 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.92
Intersection Signal Delay: 26.0 Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.9\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 4 | MF |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 248 | 14 | 369 | 125 | 20 | 313 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 248 | 14 | 369 | 125 | 20 | 313 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 270 | 15 | 401 | 136 | 22 | 340 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 1208 | 270 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 270 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 938 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1277 | - | 202 | 769 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 775 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 381 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1277 | - | 139 | 769 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 139 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 775 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 261 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 6.8 |  | 19.5 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 604 | - | - | 1277 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.599 | - | - | 0.314 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 19.5 | - | - | 9.1 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | C | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 4 | - | - | 1.4 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | KF |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 84 | 13 | 0 | 88 | 31 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 84 | 13 | 0 | 88 | 31 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 91 | 14 | 0 | 96 | 34 |



|  | 4 | $\geqslant$ | 4 |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「' |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 207 | 247 | 250 | 1502 | 979 | 229 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 207 | 247 | 250 | 1502 | 979 | 229 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.214 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 399 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 220 |  |  |  | 249 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 225 | 268 | 272 | 1633 | 1064 | 249 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 90.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 12.5\% | 75.0\% | 62.5\% | 62.5\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 13.9 | 13.9 | 96.1 | 95.1 | 79.5 | 79.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.80 | 0.79 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.71 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.22 |
| Control Delay | 55.2 | 21.8 | 9.7 | 6.2 | 11.4 | 1.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 55.2 | 21.8 | 9.7 | 6.2 | 11.4 | 1.8 |
| LOS | E | C | A | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay | 37.0 |  |  | 6.7 | 9.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | A | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 87 | 35 | 38 | 202 | 185 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 120 | 119 | 82 | 338 | 306 | 34 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 715 | 503 | 447 | 2804 | 2345 | 1133 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.22 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71
Intersection Signal Delay: 11.7 Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.2\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 4 | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 179 | 6 | 259 | 221 | 19 | 273 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 179 | 6 | 259 | 221 | 19 | 273 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 195 | 7 | 282 | 240 | 21 | 297 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | KF |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 39 | 32 | 0 | 39 | 19 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 39 | 32 | 0 | 39 | 19 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 42 | 35 | 0 | 42 | 21 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 140 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 35 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 105 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 853 | 1038 | - | - | 1576 | - |
| Stage 1 | 987 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 919 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 830 | 1038 | - | - | 1576 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 830 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 987 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 894 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.6 |  | 0 |  | 4.9 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 1038 | 1576 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.041 | 0.027 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.6 | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7\% | F' | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 329 | 423 | 238 | 626 | 1707 | 360 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 329 | 423 | 238 | 626 | 1707 | 360 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.068 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 127 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 179 |  |  |  | 391 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 358 | 460 | 259 | 680 | 1855 | 391 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 15.0\% | 75.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 | 69.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 0.28 | 0.97 | 0.38 |
| Control Delay | 38.9 | 68.5 | 90.0 | 6.3 | 37.8 | 2.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 38.9 | 68.5 | 90.0 | 6.3 | 37.8 | 2.4 |
| LOS | D | E | F | A | D | A |
| Approach Delay | 55.5 |  |  | 29.4 | 31.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | C | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 105 | ~193 | ~120 | 76 | 570 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 151 | \#405 | \#283 | 101 | \#770 | 41 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 686 | 459 | 253 | 2441 | 1911 | 1034 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 0.28 | 0.97 | 0.38 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.02
Intersection Signal Delay: $36.0 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D

Intersection Capacity Utilization 83.1\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive




|  | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach | 60.9 | $\$ 724.7$ |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 | 6.5 | F | F |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 464 | 1353 | - | -1180 | - | - | 31 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.953 | 0.005 | - | -0.366 | - | -1.964 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 60.9 | 7.7 | - | - | 9.8 | - | $\$ 724.7$ |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | A | - | - | A | - | - |
| (ven | 11.6 | 0 | - | - | 1.7 | - | - |




|  | 4 | $\geqslant$ | 4 |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | F' | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 504 | 293 | 325 | 1470 | 1143 | 267 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 504 | 293 | 325 | 1470 | 1143 | 267 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.103 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 192 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 318 |  |  |  | 290 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 548 | 318 | 353 | 1598 | 1242 | 290 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 32.0 | 90.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 26.7\% | 75.0\% | 48.3\% | 48.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 23.3 | 23.3 | 86.7 | 85.7 | 58.3 | 58.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.56 | 0.82 | 0.63 | 0.72 | 0.32 |
| Control Delay | 57.4 | 8.6 | 39.9 | 10.6 | 28.9 | 3.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 57.4 | 8.6 | 39.9 | 10.6 | 28.9 | 3.3 |
| LOS | E | A | D | B | C | A |
| Approach Delay | 39.5 |  |  | 15.9 | 24.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | B | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 207 | 0 | 174 | 320 | 413 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 271 | 78 | 282 | 384 | 527 | 50 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 715 | 581 | 494 | 2528 | 1719 | 918 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.77 | 0.55 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.72 | 0.32 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay: 23.5 Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.3\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive




| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.3 | 4.2 | 63.4 | $\$ 600.4$ |
| HCM LOS |  | $F$ | F |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 630 | 1233 | - | -1317 | - | -16 |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.008 | 0.007 | - | -0.242 | - | -1.547 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 63.4 | 7.9 | - | - | 8.6 | - | $\$ 600.4$ |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | A | - | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 15.7 | 0 | - | - | 0.9 | - | - |

## Notes

$\sim:$ Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds $300 \mathrm{~s} \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 160 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 48 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 112 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 831 | 1021 | - | - | 1559 | - |
| Stage 1 | 974 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 913 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 809 | 1021 | - | - | 1559 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 809 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 974 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 888 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.7 |  | 0 |  | 4.4 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 1021 | 1559 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.042 | 0.027 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.7 | 7.4 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |

## APPENDIX D

ITE's Pass-By Trip Reduction Rates

Table E. 33 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday Land Use Code 938-Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window and No Indoor Seating (Coffee/Espresso Stand)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SIZE } \\ (1,000 \\ \text { SQ.F. } 1 . \\ \text { GFA) } \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION | WEEKDAY SURVEY DATE | NO, OF INTERVEWS | TIME PERIOD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PASSBY } \\ & \operatorname{TRIP}(\%) \end{aligned}$ | NON-PASS-BY TRIPS (\%) |  |  | SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PRIMARY | DIVERTED | total |  |
| 0.1 | Vancouver, W/A | Nov. 1997 | 69 | 6:00 a.m. $-6: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. | 83 | - | - | 17 | Kittelson \& Associates Inc. |

"-" means no data were provided

Table E. 34 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday Land Use Code 938-Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window and No Indoor Seating (Coffee/Espresso Stand)

|  |  | WEEKDAY SIJRVEY DATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO. OF } \\ & \text { NTERVIENS } \end{aligned}$ | TIME PERIOD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PASSBY } \\ & \operatorname{TRRP~(\% )} \end{aligned}$ | NON-PASS-EY TRIPS (\%) |  |  | SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMPLOYEES | LOCATION |  |  |  |  | PRIMARY | DIVERTED | TOTAL |  |
| 1 | Vancouver, W/A | Nov. 1997 | 70 | 6:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m. | 83 | - | - | 17 | Kittelson \& Associates Inc. |
| 1 | Wbodbum, OR | Feb. 1998 | 109 | 6:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m. | 95 | - | - | 5 | Kittelson \& Associates Ina |
| 1 | Vancouver, WA | Fob. 1998 | 83 | 6:00 a.m.-1:00 p.m. | 89 | - | - | 11 | Kittelson \& Associates inc. |

Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 89
"- ${ }^{n}$ means no data were provided

Table E. 35 Pass-By and Non-Pass-By Trips Weekday, AM Peak Period Land Use Code 944-Gasoline/Service Station

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NON-PASS-BY TRIPS (\%) |  |  | ADJ. STREET PEAK HOUR VOLUNE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & (1,000 \\ & S Q . ~ F T . \\ & \text { GFA) } \end{aligned}$ | VEHICLE FUELING POSITIONS | LOCATION | WEEKDAY SURVEY DATE | NO. OF INTERVEWS | TIME PERIOD | PASS-BY <br> TRIP (\%) | PRIMARY | DIVERTED | TOTAL |  | SOLIRCE |
| 2.3 | 6 | Gaithersburg, MD | 1992 | 37 | 7:00-9:00 a.m. | 32 | 41 | 27 | 68 | 2,080 | RBA |
| 2.1 | 6 | Bethesda, MD | 1992 | 26 | 7:00-9:00 a.m. | 58 | 23 | 19 | 42 | 2,080 | REA |
| 1.7 | 6 | Wheaton, MD | 1992 | 21 | 7:00-9:00 a.m. | 67 | 14 | 19 | 33 | 900 | RBA |
| 2.0 | 8 | Gaithersburg, MD | 1992 | 46 | 7:00-9:00 a.m. | 87 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 2,235 | RBA |
| 1.2 | 6 | Damascus, MD | 1992 | 21 | 7:00-9:00 a.m. | 43 | 28 | 29 | 57 | 870 | REA |
| 0.3 | 12 | Wheaton, MD | 1992 | 36 | 7:00-9:00 a.m. | 61 | 8 | 31 | 39 | 3,480 | RBA |

Average Pass-By Trip Percentage: 58
"-_" means no data were provided
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Compare adjusted Land Use \#937 Trip Generation with 2014 Traffic Study and state if rates exceed or remain consistent with the Bent Grass Commercial File \#2 area trip generation estimate (file attached as paperclip)

Reference study from 2014 did not include coffee/donut shop land use within the analysis. Moreover, the reference study included pass-by information from ITE's Trip Generation Handbook, 2nd Edition. This study used the 3rd Edition of ITE's Trip Generation Handbook which is understood to provide for more recent and accurate data. Therefore, comparison will not be provided as land uses from the 2014 study are not the same as that proposed, and reduction rates are outdated.
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July 17, 2014
Mr. Ronald Waldthausen
Land First, Inc.
154 Del Oro Circle
Colorado Springs, CO 80919
RE: Bent Grass East Commercial Bent Grass Ea
Filing No. 2 Filing No. 2
EI Paso County, CO Updated Traffic Impact Analysis LSC \#144330
Dear Mr. Waldthausen:
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this updated traffic impact analysis for the proposed Bent Grass East Commercial Filing 2 development to be located west of Meridian Road dows the site locations.Site access is proposed to Trey Lane. This reso County, Colorado. Figure oments founty staff.
to comments from County staff.
REPORT CONTENTS
The report contains the following:
The proposed land use.
The existing and planned roadways serving the site including number of lanes, current traffic The existing and planned roadways ses at the intersection of Meridian Road/Bent Grass Meadows Drive lane geometry, traffic volumes at the intersection of Meridian Recent traffic count data at the M Projections of additional background traffic for the short-term analysis year. The vehicle-trip generation of the site.
Projections of Bent Grass East Commercial Filing No. 2 site-generated traffic volumes. Projections of additional background traffic (two development scenarios) for the intermediateThese two future development scenarios are included for purposes of evaluating queuing related o the 7 -Eleven access and level of service.

## I. Introduction

## Project Overview

This traffic impact study is provided as a planning document and addresses the capacity, geometric, and control requirements associated with the development entitled Bent Grass Dunkin' Donuts.

This proposed commercial development consists of a Dunkin' Donuts coffee/donut shop with drivethrough window. The development is located near the southwest corner of the intersection of Meridian Road with Bent Grass Meadows Drive in El Paso County, Colorado.

## Study Area Boundaries

The study area to be examined in this analysis encompasses the Bent Grass Meadows Drive intersections with Meridian Road and Meridian Park Drive, and proposed site access.

Figure 1 illustrates location of the site and study intersections.

## Site Description

Land for the development is currently vacant and surrounded by a mix of commercial, office, residential, and open space land uses.

The proposed development is understood to entail the new construction of an approximate 2,000square foot Dunkin' Donuts coffee/donut shop with drive-through window.

Proposed access to the development is provided at the following locations: one full-movement access onto Meridian Park Drive (referred to as Site Access).

For purposes of this study, it is anticipated that development construction would be completed by end of Year 2024.

A site plan, as prepared by Ethos Architecture Group, is shown on Figure 2. This plan is provided for illustrative purposes.


## Existing and Committed Surface Transportation Network

Within the study area, Meridian Road and Bent Grass Meadows Drive are the primary roadways that will accommodate traffic to and from the proposed development. The secondary roadways include Meridian Park Drive. A brief description of each roadway is provided below:

Meridian Road is a north-south principal arterial roadway having four through lanes (two lanes in each direction) with exclusive turn lanes at the intersection within the study area. Meridian Road provides a posted speed limit of 55 MPH.

Bent Grass Meadows Drive is an east-west collector roadway having two through lanes (one lanes in each direction) with exclusive turn lanes at the intersections within the study area. Bent Grass Meadows Drive provides a posted speed limit of 35 MPH .

Meridian Park Drive is a north-south local roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction) with shared turn lanes at the intersection within the study area. Meridian Park Drive does not provide a posted speed limit, however pursuant to its classification and Section 2.3.2 of the County's Engineering Criteria Manual ${ }^{1}$ (ECM), it is assumed to have a posted speed limit of 25 MPH .

The study intersection of Meridian Road with Bent Grass Meadows Drive is signalized. All other study intersections operate under a stop-controlled condition. A stop-controlled intersection is defined as a roadway intersection where vehicle rights-of-way are controlled by one or more "STOP" signs.

Pursuant to ongoing adjacent development plans, it is anticipated that Bent Grass Meadows Drive will be extended further west with ultimate connections to Woodmen Frontage Road to the south. For analysis purposes, it is anticipated that this extension would be completed by Year 2024. In reference to the County's Major Transportation Corridors Plan² (MTCP), the remaining study area roadways appear to be built to their ultimate cross-sections.

[^2]
## II. Existing Traffic Conditions

Morning (AM) and afternoon (PM) peak hour traffic counts were collected at the intersections of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road and Meridian Park Drive. Average daily (24-hour) traffic volumes were collected on Meridian Road. Counts were collected on Tuesday, March 29, 2022, with AM peak hour counts being collected during the period of 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM, and PM peak hour counts being collected during the period of 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM. These counts are shown on Figure 3.

Traffic count data is included for reference in Appendix A.
Existing signal timing parameters for Meridian Road and Bent Grass Meadows Drive were assumed based on the existing signal head configuration and allowable movements. Timings were used throughout this study to the best extent possible in order to remain consistent with typical County signal coordination plans.


The Signalized and Unsignalized Intersection Analysis techniques, as published in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) by the Transportation Research Board and as incorporated into the SYNCHRO computer program, were used to analyze the study intersections for existing traffic conditions. These nationally accepted techniques allow for the determination of intersection level of service (LOS) based on the congestion and delay of each traffic movement.

Level of service is a method of measurement used by transportation professionals to quantify a driver's perception of travel conditions that include travel time, number of stops, and total amount of stopped delay experienced on a roadway network. The HCM categorizes level of service into a range from "A" which indicates little, if any, vehicle delay, to "F" which indicates a level of operation considered unacceptable to most drivers. These levels of service grades with brief descriptions of the operating condition, for unsignalized and signalized intersections, are included for reference in Appendix B and have been used throughout this study.

The level of service analyses results for existing conditions are summarized in Table 1.
Intersection capacity worksheets developed for this study are provided in Appendix C.

Table 1 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Existing Traffic

| INTERSECTION | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LANE GROUPS | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Road (Signalized) | $\mathrm{A}(7.6)$ | $\mathrm{A}(6.1)$ |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Park Drive (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Westbound Left  <br> Northbound Left and Right A <br> A A A |  |  |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Serv ice

## Existing Traffic Analysis Results

Under existing conditions, operational analysis shows that the signalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road has overall operations at LOS A during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

The unsignalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive has turning movement operations at LOS A during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

## III. Future Traffic Conditions Without Proposed Development

Background traffic is the traffic projected to be on area roadways without consideration of the proposed development. Background traffic includes traffic generated by development of vacant parcels in the area.

To account for projected increases in background traffic for Years 2024 and 2040, and to incorporate anticipate trip generations from adjacent developments not yet built, traffic volumes were referenced from the approved traffic impact study prepared for Bent Grass East Commercial Filing No. $3^{3}$. Projected short-term Year 2021 and long-term Year 2040 total traffic volumes from this analysis include expected volumes generated by the adjacent commercial development as well as ongoing residential development located to the west and other studies performed within the overall area.

In order to account for additional undeveloped parcels adjacent to the study site, a compounded annual growth rate of approximately two percent was applied to the Year 2021 total traffic volumes established for the adjacent development, in order to estimate Year 2024 background volumes. This annual growth rate is consistent with regional growth projections and the level of in-fill development expected within the area and is consistent with the anticipated growth along Meridian Road as defined within the adjacent traffic impact study. Year 2040 background volumes were referenced from Figure 11 - Year 2040 Total Traffic from the previous traffic study.

Pursuant to the proposed and committed area roadway improvements discussed in Section I, Year 2024 and Year 2040 background traffic conditions assume no additional roadway improvements to accommodate regional transportation demands beyond those anticipated with the extension of Bent Grass Meadows Drive. Year 2040 assumes existing signal timing parameters for Meridian Road and Bent Grass Meadows Drive with optimized intersection splits in effort to better long-term intersection performance. This assumption provides for a conservative analysis.

Projected background traffic volumes and intersection geometry for Years 2024 and 2040 are shown on Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively.

[^3]Bent Grass Meadows Drive


As with existing traffic conditions, the operations of study intersections were analyzed under background conditions, without the proposed development, using the SYNCHRO computer program.

Background traffic level of service analysis results for Year 2024 are listed in Table 2. Year 2040 operational results are summarized in Table 3.

Definitions of levels of service are given in Appendix B. Intersection capacity worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

Table 2 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Background Traffic - Year 2024

| INTERSECTION <br> LANE GROUPS | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Road (Signalized) | C (21.7) | $\mathrm{B}(10.9)$ |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Park Drive (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Westbound Left <br> Northbound Left and Right |  | A |
|  |  |  |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Serv ice

## Background Traffic Analysis Results - Year 2024

Year 2024 background traffic analysis indicates that the signalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road has overall operations at LOS C during the AM peak traffic hour and LOS B during the PM peak traffic hour.

The unsignalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive has turning movement operations at or better than LOS B during both AM and PM peak traffic periods.

# Table 3 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Background Traffic - Year 2040 

| INTERSECTION | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LANE GROUPS | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Road (Signalized) | C (31.0) | C (22.3) |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Park Drive (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Eastbound Left |  |  |
| Westbound Left | A | A |
| Northbound Left, Through and Right | D | A |
| Southbound Left, Through and Right | F | E |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Service

## Background Traffic Analysis Results - Year 2040

By Year 2040 and without the proposed development, the study intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road experiences LOS C operations during both the AM and PM peak traffic hours.

The study intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive experiences turning movement operations at or better than LOS F during both the AM and PM peak traffic hours. It is noted that poor LOS operations include the southbound turning movements which operate at LOS F during both peak traffic hours, and the northbound turning movements operate at LOS E during the PM peak traffic hour only. The LOS E and F operations are attributed to the high through traffic volumes along Bent Grass Meadows Drive and the stop-controlled nature of the intersection.

It is to be noted that it is not uncommon for unsignalized movements to or from an arterial roadway, in urban areas, to operate with noticeable delays during peak traffic hours. In order to mitigate the projected long-term poor operations at Bent Grass Meadows Drive and Meridian Park Drive, it is recommended that an exclusive northbound right turn lane be provided to accommodate the high volume of right-turning vehicles. It is however noted that due to access spacing limitations with the existing northern gas station access at the southeast corner of the study intersection, implementation of an exclusive turn lane may not be feasible. Additionally, an exclusive southbound left turn lane may assist in improving vehicle delays.

## IV. Proposed Project Traffic

## Trip Generation

Standard traffic generation characteristics compiled by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in their report entitled Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, were applied to the proposed land use in order to estimate average daily traffic (ADT), AM Peak Hour, and PM Peak Hour vehicle trips. A vehicle trip is defined as a one-way vehicle movement from a point of origin to a point of destination.

The ITE land use code 937 (Coffee/Donut Shop with Drive-Through Window) was used for estimating trip generation because of its best fit to the proposed land use description.

Trip generation rates used in this study are presented in Table 4.

Table 4 - Trip Generation Rates

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ITE } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | LAND USE | UNIT | TRIP GENERATION RATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ \text { HOUR } \end{gathered}$ | AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| 937 | Coffee/Donut Shop w/DTW | KSF | 533.57 | 43.80 | 42.08 | 85.88 | 19.50 | 19.50 | 38.99 |

Key: KSF = Thousand Square Feet Gross Floor Area.
Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.
Table 5 illustrates projected ADT, AM Peak Hour, and PM Peak Hour traffic volumes likely generated by the proposed development upon build-out.

Table 5 - Trip Generation Summary

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ITE } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | LAND USE | SIZE | TOTAL TRIPS GENERATED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ \text { HOUR } \end{gathered}$ | AM PEAK HOUR |  |  | PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL | ENTER | EXIT | TOTAL |
| 937 | Coffee/Donut Shop w/DTW | 2.0 KSF | 1,067 | 88 | 84 | 172 | 39 | 39 | 78 |
|  |  | Total: | 1,067 | 88 | 84 | 172 | 39 | 39 | 78 |

Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.
Upon build-out, Table 5 illustrates that the proposed development has the potential to generate approximately 1,067 daily trips with 172 of those occurring during the morning peak hour and 78 during the afternoon peak hour.

## Adjustments to Trip Generation Rates

A development of this type is likely to attract trips from within adjacent area land uses as well as passby trips from the adjacent roadway system. ITE defines a pass-by trip as an intermediate stop on the way from an origin to a primary trip destination without a route diversion. Due to this behavior, passby trips are not considered as "new" traffic generated by the development since the trips are already present on the roadway network enroute to their primary destination.

Pass-by trips are especially common to coffee/donut shop land uses given the convenience provided by these businesses on the way to another primary destination such as a place of work or home. As example, published ITE pass-by and diverted link trip data indicates an average trip generation reduction rate of 83 percent during the AM peak traffic hour and 95 percent during the PM peak traffic hour as typical to coffee/donut shops with drive-through window and no indoor seating (ITE Code 938).

Upon consideration of the proposed land use, reductions were applied pursuant to ITE average data to the proposed land use in order to account for the high probability of pass-by trip generation. Considering the lowest ITE pass-by trip percentage, and the presence of an indoor seating area, a reduction of 60 percent was applied.

It is noted that given the proposed combination of adjacent office and commercial land uses, potential internal capture may be applicable. However, specific internal capture rates can only be assumed. Therefore, in order to maintain a conservative analysis, no additional reductions due to internal capture were applied.

Table 6 illustrates projected ADT, AM Peak Hour, and PM Peak Hour traffic volumes likely generated by the proposed development upon build-out with reductions applied due to pass-by trips.

Table 6 - Trip Generation Summary with Pass-By Trip Reductions

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ITE } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | LAND USE | SIZE | TOTAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ \text { HOUR } \end{gathered}$ | AM PE |  |
|  |  |  |  | ENTER |  |
| Pass-By Trip Reduction: |  |  | 60\% | 60\% |  |
|  | Coffee/Donut Shop w/DTW | 2.0 KSF | 427 | 35 |  |
|  |  | Total: | 427 | 35 |  |

Reference study from 2014 did not include coffee/donut shop land use within the analysis. Moreover, the reference study included pass-by information from ITE's Trip Generation Handbook, 2nd Edition. This study used the 3rd Edition of ITE's Trip Generation Handbook which is understood to provide for more recent and accurate data. Therefore, comparison will not be provided as land uses from the 2014 study are not the same as that proposed, and reduction rates are outdated.
Upon build-out and with consideration for pass-by trip reductio development has the potential to generate approximately 427 r during the morning peak hour and 31 during the afternoon pea

Please provide and add reference chart material for ITE Pass By Reduction Table ITE Code 937 from Version 11. 3rd Edition, included in appendix of revised study.

## Trip Distribution

The overall directional distribution of site-generated traffic was determined based on the location of development site within the County, proposed and existing area land uses, allowed turning movements, available roadway network, and pursuant to assumptions made in the approved traffic impact analysis for the adjacent Bent Grass East Commercial Filing No. 3 development.

Overall trip distribution patterns for the development are shown on Figure 6.
Additional pass-by trip distribution is assumed to include vehicle routes heading north-south along Meridian Road. Distribution percentages utilized for pass-by trips are anticipated to be 50 percent from the north and south.

## Trip Assignment

Traffic assignment is how generated and distributed vehicle trips are expected to be loaded onto the available roadway network.

Applying trip distribution patterns to site-generated traffic provides the overall site-generated trip assignments shown on Figure 6.

It is to be noted that the overall site-generated trip assignments shown on Figure 6 represent the combination of both primary trip generation and pass-by trips. Due to the application of pass-by trips, some negative site-generated trips are shown at the study intersections. These negative trips are the result of redistributing existing through volumes along Meridian Road to site-generated ingress volumes.

Provide analysis for dedicated painted left turn lane on Meridian Park Dr into development per ECM Section 2.3.7.D based am peak VPH. The 60ft ROW will support a dedicated turn lane.

Left turn lane on Meridian Park Drive at Site Access included within auxiliary lane analysis section of the study.


## V. Future Traffic Conditions With Proposed Developments

Site-generated traffic was added to background traffic projections for Years 2024 and 2040 to develop total traffic projections. For analysis purposes, it was assumed that development construction would be completed by end of Year 2024.

Pursuant to area roadway improvement discussions provided in Section III, Year 2024 and Year 2040 total traffic conditions assume no additional roadway improvements to accommodate regional transportation demands. Roadway improvements associated with site development are expected to be limited to site access and frontage as required by the governing agency.

Projected Year 2024 total traffic volumes and intersection geometry are shown in Figure 7.
Figure 8 shows projected total traffic volumes and intersection geometry for Year 2040.



## VI. Project Impacts

The analyses and procedures described in this study were performed in accordance with the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) and are based upon the worst-case conditions that occur during a typical weekday upon build-out of site development and analyzed land uses. Therefore, study intersections are likely to operate with traffic conditions better than those described within this study, which represent the peak hours of weekday operations only.

## Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service

As with background traffic, the operations of the study intersections were analyzed under projected total traffic conditions using the SYNCHRO computer program. Total traffic level of service analysis results for Years 2024 and 2040 are summarized in Table 7 and Table 8, respectively.

Definitions of levels of service are given in Appendix B. Intersection capacity worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

Table 7 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Total Traffic - Year 2024

| INTERSECTION <br> LANE GROUPS | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Road (Signalized) | C (26.0) | B (11.7) |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Park Drive (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Westbound Left | A |  |
| Northbound Left and Right | C | A |
| Meridian Park Drive / Site Access (Stop-Controlled) |  | A |
| Westbound Left and Right | A | A |
| Southbound Left and Through | A |  |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Serv ice

Table 8 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Total Traffic - Year 2040

| NTERSECTION <br> LANE GROUPS | LEVEL OF SERVICE |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM PEAK HOUR | PM PEAK HOUR |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Road (Signalized) | $\mathrm{D}(36.0)$ | $\mathrm{C}(23.5)$ |
| Bent Grass Meadows Drive / Meridian Park Drive (Stop-Controlled) |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | A |  |
| Westbound Left | A | A |
| Northbound Left, Through and Right | F | A |
| Southbound Left, Through and Right | F | F |
| Meridian Park Drive / Site Access (Stop-Controlled) | F |  |
| Westbound Left and Right | A | A |
| Southbound Left and Through | A | A |

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)
Stop-Controlled Intersection: Lev el of Service

## Total Traffic Analysis Results Upon Development Build-Out

Table 8 illustrates how, by Year 2040 and upon development build-out, the signalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road shows an overall LOS D operation during the morning peak traffic hour and LOS C operation during the afternoon peak traffic hour. Compared to the background traffic analysis, the traffic generated by the proposed development is not expected to significantly change the operations of the study intersection.

The stop-controlled intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive is projected to have turning movement operations at LOS F for both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours. It is noted that poor LOS operations still include the northbound and southbound turning movements which operate at LOS F during both peak traffic hours. The LOS F operations are attributed to the high through traffic volumes along Bent Grass Meadows Drive and the stop-controlled nature of the intersection.

The stop-controlled intersection of Meridian Park Drive with Site Access is projected to have turning movement operations at LOS A for both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

It is to be noted that it is not uncommon for unsignalized movements to or from an arterial roadway, in urban areas, to operate with noticeable delays during peak traffic hours. As with background traffic conditions, in order to mitigate the projected long-term poor operations at Bent Grass Meadows Drive and Meridian Park Drive, it is recommended that an exclusive northbound right turn lane be provided to accommodate the high volume of right-turning vehicles. It is however noted that due to access spacing limitations with the existing northern gas station access at the southeast corner of the study intersection, implementation of an exclusive turn lane may not be feasible. Additionally, an exclusive southbound left turn lane may assist in improving vehicle delays.

These intersection operations are similar to background conditions.

## Queue Length Analysis

Queue lengths for study intersections were analyzed using Year 2040 total traffic conditions. The analysis yields estimate of $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths, which have only a five percent probability of being exceeded during the analysis time period. Queue lengths were modeled and are included with the Synchro worksheets in Appendix C.

Some queuing at the intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive was indicated. The greatest queue length anticipated occurs during the afternoon peak hour. The queue length is approximately sixteen vehicles for the northbound turning movements. It is noted that without the proposed development poor intersection operations and vehicle queues continue to be anticipated.

As previously noted, in order to mitigate projected poor intersection operations, and associated vehicle queues, it is recommended that an exclusive northbound right turn lane be provided to accommodate the high volume of right-turning vehicles. It is however noted that due to access spacing limitations with the existing northern gas station access at the southeast corner of the study intersection, implementation of an exclusive turn lane may not be feasible. It is considered likely that given the available roadway width at the intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive, vehicles may behave as though there were exclusive turn lanes as left-turning vehicles may move adjacent to right-turning traffic in order to minimize delays. Such behavior would naturally decrease projected queues.

It is emphasized that projected long-term queuing and operational delays are attributed to the high through volumes along Bent Grass Meadows Drive as well as high opposing right-turning volumes along Meridian Park Drive, and the stop-controlled nature of the intersection. Projected right-turning volumes are pursuant to anticipated future development to the east and south of Meridian Park Drive as detailed in the Bent Grass East Commercial Filing No. 3 traffic impact study. The addition of proposed coffee/donut shop site generated traffic is not considered to cause a significant increase to projected future volumes. The study intersection should continue to be monitored by County Staff in order to determine when appropriate mitigation measures are necessary.

## Auxiliary Lane Analysis

Auxiliary lanes for site development accesses were based on the County's ECM.
Considering development build-out, an evaluation of auxiliary lane requirements, pursuant to Section 2.3.7 of the County's ECM, reveals that the existing turn lanes along Bent Grass Meadows Drive meet County minimum exclusive turn lane requirements and that no changes are recommended.

## VII. Conclusion

This traffic impact study was provided as a planning document and addresses the capacity, geometric, and control requirements associated with the development entitled Bent Grass Dunkin' Donuts. This proposed commercial development consists of a Dunkin' Donuts coffee/donut shop with drive-through window. The development is located near the southwest corner of the intersection of Meridian Road with Bent Grass Meadows Drive in El Paso County, Colorado.

The study area examined in this analysis encompasses the Bent Grass Meadows Drive intersections with Meridian Road and Meridian Park Drive, and proposed site access.

Analysis was conducted for critical AM Peak Hour and PM Peak Hour traffic operations for existing traffic conditions, Year 2024 and Year 2040 background traffic conditions, and Year 2024 and Year 2040 total traffic conditions.

Under existing conditions, operational analysis shows that the signalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road has overall operations at LOS A during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours. The unsignalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive has turning movement operations at LOS A during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

Year 2024 background traffic analysis indicates that the signalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road has overall operations at LOS C during the AM peak traffic hour and LOS B during the PM peak traffic hour. The unsignalized intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive has turning movement operations at or better than LOS B during both AM and PM peak traffic periods.

By Year 2040 and without the proposed development, the study intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Road experiences LOS C operations during both the AM and PM peak traffic hours. The study intersection of Bent Grass Meadows Drive with Meridian Park Drive experiences turning movement operations at or better than LOS F during both the AM and PM peak traffic hours. It is noted that poor LOS operations include the southbound turning movements which operate at LOS F during both peak traffic hours, and the northbound turning movements operate at LOS E during the PM peak traffic hour only. The LOS E and F operations are attributed to the high through traffic volumes along Bent Grass Meadows Drive and the stop-controlled nature of the intersection. It is to be noted that it is not uncommon for unsignalized movements to or from an arterial roadway, in urban areas, to operate with noticeable delays during peak traffic hours.

Analysis of future traffic conditions indicates that the addition of site-generated traffic is expected to create no negative impact to traffic operations for the existing and surrounding roadway system upon consideration of the various roadway and intersection control improvements assumed within this analysis. With all conservative assumptions defined in this analysis, the study intersections are projected to operate at future levels of service comparable to Year 2040 background traffic conditions. Proposed site access has long-term operations at LOS A during peak traffic periods and upon buildout.

APPENDIX A

Traffic Count Data

(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 1 Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive AM
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022
Peak Hour: 07:00 AM - 08:00 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 07:15 AM - 07:30 AM


Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts

| Interval Start Time | Bent Grass Meadows Drive Eastbound |  |  |  | Bent Grass Meadows Drive Westbound |  |  |  | Meridian Road Northbound |  |  |  | Meridian Road Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left |  | Thru Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 19 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 341 | 36 | 494 | 1,970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 17 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 366 | 26 | 531 | 1,912 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 16 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 307 | 21 | 478 | 1,794 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 13 | 141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 24 | 467 | 1,718 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 12 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 27 | 436 | 1,645 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 16 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 18 | 413 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 1 | 9 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 9 | 402 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 1 | 7 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 17 | 394 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 121 | 0 | 152 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 2 | 109 | 880 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,173 | 178 | 3,615 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 62 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 65 | 380 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 1,270 | 107 | 1,970 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 1 Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive PM
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022
Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 04:30 PM - 04:45 PM


## Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts

| Interval <br> Start Time | Bent Grass Meadows Drive Eastbound |  |  |  | Bent Grass Meadows Drive Westbound |  |  |  | Meridian Park Drive Northbound |  |  |  | Meridian Park Drive Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru R |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left |  |  | Right |  |  |  | West | East | South |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 288 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | ) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 98 | 16 | 1 | 189 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 591 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 56 | 9 | 0 | 111 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | - 95 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 340 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(303) 216-2439 www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 2 Meridian Park Drive \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive PM
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2022
Peak Hour: 04:45 PM - 05:45 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 05:30 PM - 05:45 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles


## Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts

All Traffic Data Services
Date Start： $29-M a r-22$
Site Code： 3
ya SMOOVヨW SSVYפ INヨa＇O＇s ay NVIalyヨw

| Start Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 29-Mar-22 } \\ \text { Tue } \end{gathered}$ | NB | SB |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12：00 AM |  | 50 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| 01：00 |  | 19 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 02：00 |  | 12 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| 03：00 |  | 11 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |
| 04：00 |  | 24 | 138 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |
| 05：00 |  | 58 | 358 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 416 |
| 06：00 |  | 211 | 1018 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1229 |
| 07：00 |  | 447 | 1364 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1811 |
| 08：00 |  | 547 | 967 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1514 |
| 09：00 |  | 512 | 805 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1317 |
| 10：00 |  | 562 | 757 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1319 |
| 11：00 |  | 656 | 745 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1401 |
| 12：00 PM |  | 774 | 756 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1530 |
| 01：00 |  | 798 | 723 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1521 |
| 02：00 |  | 836 | 808 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1644 |
| 03：00 |  | 1115 | 796 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1911 |
| 04：00 |  | 1379 | 846 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2225 |
| 05：00 |  | 1400 | 836 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2236 |
| 06：00 |  | 1001 | 670 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1671 |
| 07：00 |  | 782 | 438 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1220 |
| 08：00 |  | 521 | 287 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 808 |
| 09：00 |  | 332 | 164 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 496 |
| 10：00 |  | 184 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 259 |
| 11：00 |  | 77 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118 |
| Total |  | 12308 | 12681 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24989 |
| Percent |  | 49．3\％ | 50．7\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak |  | 11：00 | 07：00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 07：00 |
| Vol． |  | 656 | 1364 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 1811 |
| PM Peak |  | 17：00 | 16：00 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 17：00 |
| Vol． |  | 1400 | 846 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 2236 |
| Grand Total |  | 12308 | 12681 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24989 |
| Percent |  | 49．3\％ | 50．7\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADT |  | T 24，989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX B

Level of Service Definitions

The following information can be found in the Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board, 2016: Chapter 19 - Signalized Intersections and Chapter 20 - Two-Way Stop Controlled Intersections.

## Automobile Level of Service (LOS) for Signalized Intersections

Levels of service are defined to represent reasonable ranges in control delay.

## LOS A

Describes operations with a control delay of $10 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$ or less and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is exceptionally favorable or the cycle length is very short. If it is due to favorable progression, most vehicles arrive during the green indication and travel through the intersection without stopping.

## LOS B

Describes operations with control delay between 10 and 20 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is short. More vehicles stop than with LOS A.

## LOS C

Describes operations with control delay between 20 and 35 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when progression is favorable or the cycle length is moderate. Individual cycle failures (i.e., one or more queued vehicles are not able to depart as a result of insufficient capacity during the cycle) may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many vehicles still pass through the intersection without stopping.

## LOS D

Describes operations with control delay between 35 and $55 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$ and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective or the cycle length is long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.

## LOS E

Describes operations with control delay between 55 and 80 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high, progression is unfavorable, and the cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent.

LOS F
Describes operations with control delay exceeding $80 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$ or a volume-to-capacity ratio greater than 1.0 . This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is very high, progression is very poor, and the cycle length is long. Most cycles fail to clear the queue.

## Level of Service (LOS) for Unsignalized TWSC Intersections

| Level of Service (v/c $\leq 1.0)$ | Average Control Delay (s/veh) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

## APPENDIX C

## Capacity Worksheets

|  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7} 1$ | T | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 62 | 86 | 65 | 380 | 1270 | 107 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 62 | 86 | 65 | 380 | 1270 | 107 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.151 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 281 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 93 |  |  |  | 116 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 67 | 93 | 71 | 413 | 1380 | 116 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 15.0\% | 75.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 7.6 | 7.6 | 84.5 | 84.7 | 75.6 | 75.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.09 |
| Control Delay | 45.3 | 16.6 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 7.6 | 1.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 45.3 | 16.6 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 7.6 | 1.3 |
| LOS | D | B | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay | 28.6 |  |  | 2.2 | 7.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 21 | 0 | 6 | 21 | 194 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 41 | 46 | 15 | 36 | 283 | 17 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 686 | 391 | 386 | 2999 | 2675 | 1225 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 0.09 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52
Intersection Signal Delay: 7.6 Intersection LOS: A

Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.8\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 56 | 9 | 111 | 61 | 8 | 95 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 56 | 9 | 111 | 61 | 8 | 95 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 61 | 10 | 121 | 66 | 9 | 103 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 369 | 61 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 61 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 308 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1529 | - | 631 | 1004 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 962 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 745 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1529 | - | 581 | 1004 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 581 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 962 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 686 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 4.9 |  | 9.3 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 950 | - | - | 1529 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.118 | - | - | 0.079 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 9.3 | - | - | 7.6 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.4 | - | - | 0.3 | - |



Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.50
Intersection Signal Delay: 6.1 Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.8\% ICU Level of Service A

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 4 | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 65 | 9 | 91 | 63 | 10 | 115 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 65 | 9 | 91 | 63 | 10 | 115 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 71 | 10 | 99 | 68 | 11 | 125 |



|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% 17 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 170 | 311 | 152 | 734 | 1725 | 258 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 170 | 311 | 152 | 734 | 1725 | 258 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.062 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 115 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 178 |  |  |  | 280 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 185 | 338 | 165 | 798 | 1875 | 280 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 15.0\% | 75.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 15.5 | 15.5 | 74.5 | 73.5 | 59.8 | 59.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.35 | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.31 | 0.89 | 0.26 |
| Control Delay | 38.3 | 39.3 | 36.7 | 5.4 | 25.5 | 2.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 38.3 | 39.3 | 36.7 | 5.4 | 25.5 | 2.1 |
| LOS | D | D | D | A | C | A |
| Approach Delay | 38.9 |  |  | 10.7 | 22.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | B | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 54 | 100 | 51 | 82 | 536 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 82 | \#208 | \#139 | 122 | \#783 | 36 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 686 | 459 | 251 | 2601 | 2115 | 1059 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.27 | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.31 | 0.89 | 0.26 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.7 Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.1\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 个 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 248 | 11 | 284 | 125 | 17 | 232 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 248 | 11 | 284 | 125 | 17 | 232 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 270 | 12 | 309 | 136 | 18 | 252 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 1024 | 270 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 270 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 754 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - |  | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1280 | - | 261 | 769 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 775 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 465 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1280 | - | 198 | 769 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 198 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 775 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 353 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 6 |  | 14.6 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 642 | - | - | 1280 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.422 | - | - | 0.241 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 14.6 | - | - | 8.7 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 2.1 | - | - | 0.9 | - |


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | F' | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 188 | 229 | 231 | 1514 | 990 | 211 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 188 | 229 | 231 | 1514 | 990 | 211 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.214 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 399 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 217 |  |  |  | 229 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 204 | 249 | 251 | 1646 | 1076 | 229 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 90.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 12.5\% | 75.0\% | 62.5\% | 62.5\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 13.0 | 13.0 | 97.0 | 96.0 | 81.3 | 81.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.81 | 0.80 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.55 | 0.68 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.20 |
| Control Delay | 55.8 | 19.7 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 10.5 | 1.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 55.8 | 19.7 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 10.5 | 1.6 |
| LOS | E | B | A | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay | 36.0 |  |  | 6.2 | 8.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | A | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 78 | 23 | 34 | 197 | 178 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 111 | 103 | 70 | 322 | 294 | 32 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 715 | 501 | 446 | 2830 | 2396 | 1145 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.20 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68
Intersection Signal Delay: 10.9 Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.9\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 个 | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 179 | 4 | 222 | 221 | 17 | 236 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 179 | 4 | 222 | 221 | 17 | 236 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 195 | 4 | 241 | 240 | 18 | 257 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 917 | 195 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 195 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 722 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1373 | - | 302 | 846 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 838 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 481 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1373 | - | 249 | 846 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 249 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 838 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 396 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 4.1 |  | 12.9 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 EBT EBR WBL WBT |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 729 | - | - | 1373 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.377 | - | - | 0.176 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 12.9 | - | - | 8.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 1.8 | - | - | 0.6 | - |


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% 1 | T | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 288 | 383 | 195 | 653 | 1733 | 318 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 288 | 383 | 195 | 653 | 1733 | 318 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.065 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 121 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 145 |  |  |  | 346 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 313 | 416 | 212 | 710 | 1884 | 346 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 13.0 | 76.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 24.0\% | 24.0\% | 13.0\% | 76.0\% | 63.0\% | 63.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 19.0 | 19.0 | 71.0 | 70.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.48 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.29 | 0.93 | 0.33 |
| Control Delay | 38.9 | 70.8 | 80.8 | 6.0 | 30.1 | 2.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 38.9 | 70.8 | 80.8 | 6.0 | 30.1 | 2.0 |
| LOS | D | E | F | A | C | A |
| Approach Delay | 57.1 |  |  | 23.2 | 25.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | C | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 92 | 184 | 84 | 77 | 545 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 135 | \#384 | \#231 | 102 | \#753 | 37 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 652 | 418 | 217 | 2477 | 2017 | 1051 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.48 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.29 | 0.93 | 0.33 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00
Intersection Signal Delay: 31.0 Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.8\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 18.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 331 | 14 | 312 | 189 | 11 | 19 | 2 | 303 | 37 | 4 | 15 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 331 | 14 | 312 | 189 | 11 | 19 | 2 | 303 | 37 | 4 | 15 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | 150 | - | 150 | 150 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 360 | 15 | 339 | 205 | 12 | 21 | 2 | 329 | 40 | 4 | 16 |  |



|  |  |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 485 | 275 | 306 | 1482 | 1154 | 249 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 485 | 275 | 306 | 1482 | 1154 | 249 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.111 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 207 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 299 |  |  |  | 271 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 527 | 299 | 333 | 1611 | 1254 | 271 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 31.0 | 91.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 24.2\% | 24.2\% | 25.8\% | 75.8\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 22.4 | 22.4 | 87.6 | 86.6 | 61.0 | 61.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.51 | 0.51 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.56 | 0.79 | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay | 58.2 | 8.9 | 35.6 | 10.2 | 26.5 | 3.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 58.2 | 8.9 | 35.6 | 10.2 | 26.5 | 3.1 |
| LOS | E | A | D | B | C | A |
| Approach Delay | 40.4 |  |  | 14.5 | 22.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | B | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 200 | 0 | 149 | 313 | 396 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 263 | 77 | 250 | 377 | 517 | 47 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 686 | 555 | 490 | 2553 | 1798 | 937 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.77 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0.29 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.3 Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.0\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive




| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.3 | 3.9 | 41.2 | $\$ 340.9$ |
| HCM LOS |  |  | E | F |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 654 | 1233 | - | -1319 | - | -17 |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.906 | 0.007 | - | -0.211 | - | -1.087 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 41.2 | 7.9 | - | - | 8.5 | - | $\$ 340.9$ |
| HCM Lane LOS | E | A | - | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%otile Q(veh) | 11.5 | 0 | - | - | 0.8 | - | - |

## Notes

$\sim:$ Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds $300 \mathrm{~s} \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | T | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 211 | 351 | 195 | 707 | 1699 | 300 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 211 | 351 | 195 | 707 | 1699 | 300 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.065 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 121 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 179 |  |  |  | 326 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 229 | 382 | 212 | 768 | 1847 | 326 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 15.0\% | 75.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 17.4 | 17.4 | 72.6 | 71.6 | 56.7 | 56.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.38 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.30 | 0.92 | 0.31 |
| Control Delay | 37.7 | 46.8 | 51.8 | 5.9 | 29.8 | 2.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 37.7 | 46.8 | 51.8 | 5.9 | 29.8 | 2.2 |
| LOS | D | D | D | A | C | A |
| Approach Delay | 43.4 |  |  | 15.8 | 25.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | B | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 64 | 128 | 83 | 89 | 565 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 100 | \#287 | \#212 | 116 | \#764 | 39 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 686 | 459 | 257 | 2533 | 2005 | 1037 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.33 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.30 | 0.92 | 0.31 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.92
Intersection Signal Delay: 26.0 Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.9\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 4 | MF |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 248 | 14 | 369 | 125 | 20 | 313 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 248 | 14 | 369 | 125 | 20 | 313 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 270 | 15 | 401 | 136 | 22 | 340 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 1208 | 270 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 270 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 938 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1277 | - | 202 | 769 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 775 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 381 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1277 | - | 139 | 769 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 139 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 775 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 261 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 6.8 |  | 19.5 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 604 | - | - | 1277 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.599 | - | - | 0.314 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 19.5 | - | - | 9.1 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | C | - | - | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 4 | - | - | 1.4 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | KF |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 84 | 13 | 0 | 88 | 31 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 84 | 13 | 0 | 88 | 31 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 91 | 14 | 0 | 96 | 34 |



|  | 4 | $\geqslant$ | 4 |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「' |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 207 | 247 | 250 | 1502 | 979 | 229 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 207 | 247 | 250 | 1502 | 979 | 229 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.214 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 399 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 220 |  |  |  | 249 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 225 | 268 | 272 | 1633 | 1064 | 249 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 90.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 12.5\% | 75.0\% | 62.5\% | 62.5\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 13.9 | 13.9 | 96.1 | 95.1 | 79.5 | 79.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.80 | 0.79 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.71 | 0.62 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.22 |
| Control Delay | 55.2 | 21.8 | 9.7 | 6.2 | 11.4 | 1.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 55.2 | 21.8 | 9.7 | 6.2 | 11.4 | 1.8 |
| LOS | E | C | A | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay | 37.0 |  |  | 6.7 | 9.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | A | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 87 | 35 | 38 | 202 | 185 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 120 | 119 | 82 | 338 | 306 | 34 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 715 | 503 | 447 | 2804 | 2345 | 1133 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.22 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71
Intersection Signal Delay: 11.7 Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.2\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | 4 | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 179 | 6 | 259 | 221 | 19 | 273 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 179 | 6 | 259 | 221 | 19 | 273 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 150 | 150 | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 195 | 7 | 282 | 240 | 21 | 297 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | KF |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 39 | 32 | 0 | 39 | 19 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 39 | 32 | 0 | 39 | 19 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 42 | 35 | 0 | 42 | 21 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 140 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 35 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 105 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 853 | 1038 | - | - | 1576 | - |
| Stage 1 | 987 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 919 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 830 | 1038 | - | - | 1576 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 830 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 987 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 894 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.6 |  | 0 |  | 4.9 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 1038 | 1576 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.041 | 0.027 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.6 | 7.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7\% | F' | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 329 | 423 | 238 | 626 | 1707 | 360 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 329 | 423 | 238 | 626 | 1707 | 360 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.068 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 127 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 179 |  |  |  | 391 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 358 | 460 | 259 | 680 | 1855 | 391 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 75.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 15.0\% | 75.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 | 69.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 0.28 | 0.97 | 0.38 |
| Control Delay | 38.9 | 68.5 | 90.0 | 6.3 | 37.8 | 2.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 38.9 | 68.5 | 90.0 | 6.3 | 37.8 | 2.4 |
| LOS | D | E | F | A | D | A |
| Approach Delay | 55.5 |  |  | 29.4 | 31.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | C | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 105 | ~193 | ~120 | 76 | 570 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 151 | \#405 | \#283 | 101 | \#770 | 41 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 686 | 459 | 253 | 2441 | 1911 | 1034 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 0.28 | 0.97 | 0.38 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.02
Intersection Signal Delay: $36.0 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D

Intersection Capacity Utilization 83.1\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive




|  | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach | 60.9 | $\$ 724.7$ |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 | 6.5 | F | F |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 464 | 1353 | - | -1180 | - | - | 31 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.953 | 0.005 | - | -0.366 | - | -1.964 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 60.9 | 7.7 | - | - | 9.8 | - | $\$ 724.7$ |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | A | - | - | A | - | - |
| (ven | 11.6 | 0 | - | - | 1.7 | - | - |




|  | 4 | $\geqslant$ | 4 |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | F' | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 504 | 293 | 325 | 1470 | 1143 | 267 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 504 | 293 | 325 | 1470 | 1143 | 267 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.103 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1583 | 192 | 3539 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 318 |  |  |  | 290 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 548 | 318 | 353 | 1598 | 1242 | 290 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | pm+pt | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 32.0 | 90.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 26.7\% | 75.0\% | 48.3\% | 48.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 23.3 | 23.3 | 86.7 | 85.7 | 58.3 | 58.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.56 | 0.82 | 0.63 | 0.72 | 0.32 |
| Control Delay | 57.4 | 8.6 | 39.9 | 10.6 | 28.9 | 3.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 57.4 | 8.6 | 39.9 | 10.6 | 28.9 | 3.3 |
| LOS | E | A | D | B | C | A |
| Approach Delay | 39.5 |  |  | 15.9 | 24.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | B | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 207 | 0 | 174 | 320 | 413 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 271 | 78 | 282 | 384 | 527 | 50 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 315 |  |  | 657 | 595 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 160 |  | 700 |  |  | 330 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 715 | 581 | 494 | 2528 | 1719 | 918 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.77 | 0.55 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.72 | 0.32 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay: 23.5 Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.3\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Meridian Road \& Bent Grass Meadows Drive




| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.3 | 4.2 | 63.4 | $\$ 600.4$ |
| HCM LOS |  | $F$ | F |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 630 | 1233 | - | -1317 | - | -16 |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.008 | 0.007 | - | -0.242 | - | -1.547 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 63.4 | 7.9 | - | - | 8.6 | - | $\$ 600.4$ |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | A | - | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 15.7 | 0 | - | - | 0.9 | - | - |

## Notes

$\sim:$ Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds $300 \mathrm{~s} \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 160 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 48 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 112 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 831 | 1021 | - | - | 1559 | - |
| Stage 1 | 974 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 913 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 809 | 1021 | - | - | 1559 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 809 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 974 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 888 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.7 |  | 0 |  | 4.4 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 1021 | 1559 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.042 | 0.027 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 8.7 | 7.4 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Engineering Criteria Manual, El Paso County, October 2020.
    ${ }^{2}$ El Paso County 2016 Major Transportation Corridors Plan Update, Felsburg Holt \& Ullevig, December 2016.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Bent Grass East Commercial Filing No. 3 Updated Traffic Impact Analysis, LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc., October 20, 2021.
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