

Planning and Community
Development Department
2880 International Circle
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

# DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM

Updated: 6/26/2019

#### **PROJECT INFORMATION**

Project Name: FLYING HORSE NORTH FILING NO. 5

Schedule No.(s): 6136000005, 6136004037, 6136003004, 6136000003

Legal Description: TWO TRACTS OF LAND WITHIN SECTIONS 30 & 31, TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 65 WEST OF

THE 6<sup>TH</sup> PM

#### **APPLICANT INFORMATION**

Company: PRI #2, LLC.

Name: DREW BALSICK

oximes Owner oximes Consultant oximes Contractor

Mailing Address: 6835 CORPORATE DRIVE, STE. 200

COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80919

Phone Number: 719-592-9333

FAX Number: -

Email Address: DBALSICK@CLASSICHOMES.COM

#### **ENGINEER INFORMATION**

Company: HR GREEN DEVELOPMENT, LLC.

Name: RICHARD LYON, PE Colorado P.E. Number: 53921

Mailing Address: 1975 RESEARCH PARKWAY, STE. 160

COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO 80920

Phone Number: 719-318-0871

FAX Number: -

Email Address: RICHIE.LYON@HRGREEN.COM

### OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.

Signature of owner (or authorized representative)

12/16/2024

Date

Engineer's Seal, Signature And Date of Signature



**DEVIATION REQUEST** (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

A deviation from the standards of or in Section 2.3.8 of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested.

Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:
2.3.8 Roadway Terminations
Requested is a rural local roadway that exceeds 1,600 feet for rural conditions that terminates at a cul-de-sac. A
deviation is requested to exceed this length with a 2,400 LF section of road that terminates at a cul-de-sac
turnaround as a temporary condition until such time that this roadway extends south to Holmes Road.
turnaround as a temporary condition until such time that this roadway exterior south to homes road.
State the reason for the requested deviation:
The roadway termination is considered a temporary turnaround condition for fire protection. This roadway is an
access for 21 lots within this filing, not exceeding the number of lots requiring a secondary access. The roadway is
ultimately to connect to Holmes Road to the south of the Flying Horse North subdivision.
Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used
as basis):
The alternative is to provide a secondary access which is not feasible for this filing. The filing is limited to 21
proposed lots for this reason.
proposed lots for this reason.

<b>LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION</b> (At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)					
<ul> <li>□ The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation.</li> <li>☑ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.</li> <li>□ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.</li> </ul>					
Provide justification:					
secondary access for this with a PUD amendment t	at the limits of the filing and subdivision bou filing at this time. It is planned to have a fut to incorporate additional property to allow to proposed and approved, this filing is a standa	ure extension to Holmes Road to the south his right-of-way extension. Until such time that			
[WE WILL PROVIDE CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE FIRE DISTRICT FOR APPROVAL OF THIS DEVIATION. WE ARE IN					
	ST FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT RIGHT NOW				
_	IOT YET HAVE OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE	TO ATTACH TO THIS. WE WILL ADDRESS IT			
NEXT ROUND.]	As stated, EPC will not approve				
	without supporting correspondence				
CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL	from the fire district.				
Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is not based exclusively on financial considerations. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the following criteria:  The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.  The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable design by meeting the roadway's traffic criteria.  The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.					
The deviation will not advinfrastructure for vehicul	versely affect safety or operations as it meet ar access, pedestrian access, and stormwate number of lots proposed that are accessed	es traffic criteria and provides the necessary er drainage. A cul-de-sac is provided for a fire by this roadway does not exceed the number			

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost as it is not change in typical section, only
length of roadway to a termination point.
The deviation will not adversely affect acethotic appearance
The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.  The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance as there is no proposed change to the typical section.
The deviation will not adversely affect destrictle appearance as there is no proposed change to the typical section.
The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.
The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards by meeting traffic criteria, stormwater
I drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
drainage criteria, and allows for vehicular and pedestrian use.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  The deviation will not be applicable regarding the County's MS4 permit. Stormwater drainage patterns and conveyance within this proposed modified roadway section is consistent with the standard section.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  The deviation will not be applicable regarding the County's MS4 permit. Stormwater drainage patterns and
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  The deviation will not be applicable regarding the County's MS4 permit. Stormwater drainage patterns and conveyance within this proposed modified roadway section is consistent with the standard section.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  The deviation will not be applicable regarding the County's MS4 permit. Stormwater drainage patterns and conveyance within this proposed modified roadway section is consistent with the standard section.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  The deviation will not be applicable regarding the County's MS4 permit. Stormwater drainage patterns and conveyance within this proposed modified roadway section is consistent with the standard section.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  The deviation will not be applicable regarding the County's MS4 permit. Stormwater drainage patterns and conveyance within this proposed modified roadway section is consistent with the standard section.
The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.  The deviation will not be applicable regarding the County's MS4 permit. Stormwater drainage patterns and conveyance within this proposed modified roadway section is consistent with the standard section.

## **REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:**

Approved by the ECM Administrator		
	e criteria for approval. A deviation from Sectiond.	of the ECM is
Г	Т	
L	Т	
Denied by the ECM Administrator		
This request has been determined not to have me hereby denied.	et criteria for approval. A deviation from Section	of the ECM is
Γ	Т	
L	J	
ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITION	DNS:	

#### 1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

#### 1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

#### 1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

#### 1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship
  on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is
  available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not
  modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to
  the public.

#### 1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

## 1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

#### 1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.

## Lyon, Richie

From: Josh Bartlett <josh.bartlett@bffire.org>
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2024 5:53 PM

**To:** Lyon, Richie; David Rocco

**Subject:** Re: County Deviation Request for Flying Horse North Filing No. 5

This email came from outside the HR Green organization. Please use caution when clicking on hyperlinks and opening attachments

Richie, I have a request for a meeting with CSFD Fire Marshal Brett Lacey to discuss your projects. I will update you as soon as I can.

Bartlett

From: Lyon, Richie <richie.lyon@hrgreen.com> Sent: Monday, December 16, 2024 11:14 AM

To: David Rocco <david.rocco@bffire.org>; Josh Bartlett <josh.bartlett@bffire.org>

Subject: RE: County Deviation Request for Flying Horse North Filing No. 5

You don't often get email from richie.lyon@hrgreen.com. <u>Learn why this is important</u> For this one, no not yet.

#### Richie Lyon, P.E.

Project Manager – Land Development Direct 719.394.2435 | **Mobile** 719.318.0871

The contents of this transmission and any attachments are confidential and intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited.

From: David Rocco <david.rocco@bffire.org> Sent: Monday, December 16, 2024 11:13 AM

To: Lyon, Richie <richie.lyon@hrgreen.com>; Josh Bartlett <josh.bartlett@bffire.org>

Subject: Re: County Deviation Request for Flying Horse North Filing No. 5

This email came from outside the HR Green organization. Please use caution when clicking on hyperlinks and opening attachments

Hi Richie- Hey I am trying to keep track of all the loose ends here... Did we get you an answer on this?

From: Lyon, Richie < <a href="mailto:richie.lyon@hrgreen.com">richie.lyon@hrgreen.com</a>>
Sent: Friday, December 13, 2024 9:41 AM

To: David Rocco < david.rocco@bffire.org>; Josh Bartlett < josh.bartlett@bffire.org>

Subject: County Deviation Request for Flying Horse North Filing No. 5

You don't often get email from richie.lyon@hrgreen.com. Learn why this is important

#### Hi David and Josh,

Thanks again for meeting with us this week to discuss the fire protection plan for Flying Horse North. This is a separate matter that I am emailing about, it is regarding specifically Filing No. 5 and its cul-de-sac roadway. Filing No. 5 is shown in the attachment. It is 21 lots with what we consider to be a temporary dead-end roadway with a firetruck turnaround. This is temporary because, as you know, we intend to extend that road down to Holmes Road in Filing 7. For the moment, the County is requesting that we get correspondence from Black Forest Fire Protection approving of this roadway and its cul-de-sac / turnaround. The roadway is 2,400 LF which is longer than the allowable 1,500 LF roadway termination length without a deviation.

Please let me know if you have any questions on this. We are looking to resubmit this filing as soon as we can get correspondence from you gentlemen to grant this deviation with the County.

Thank you,

## Richie Lyon, PE

Project Manager – Land Development HR Green® | Building Communities. Improving Lives.





1975 Research Pkwy., Suite 160 **Direct** 719.394.2435 | **Mobile** 719.318.0871 HRGREEN.COM

#### Richie.Lyon@hrgreen.com

The contents of this transmission and any attachments are confidential and intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited.

# V3\_Second deviation request..pdf Markup Summary

# Text Box (1)



Subject: Text Box Page Label: 4 Author: Bret

**Date:** 12/27/2024 9:39:03 AM

Status: Color: Layer: Space: As stated, EPC will not approve without supporting correspondence from the fire district.