

SOILS AND GEOLOGY STUDY FLYING HORSE EAST – SKETCH PLAN HIGHWAY 94 AND ENOCH ROAD EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

Prepared for:

Flying Horse Development, LLC 2138 Flying Horse Club Drive Colorado Springs, Colorado 80921

Attn: Drew Balsick

December 20, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

ENTECH ENGINEERING, INC.

Logan L. Langford, P.G. Sr. Geologist

Entech Job no. 240074

Reviewed by:



Joseph C. Goode Jr., P.E. President

PCD No.

LLL

Entech Job No. 240074



Table of Contents

1	SUMMARY	1
2	GENERAL SITE CONDITIONS AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2
3	SCOPE OF THE REPORT	2
4	FIELD INVESTIGATION	3
5	SOIL, GEOLOGY, AND ENGINEERING GEOLOGY	3
	5.1 General Geology	3
	5.2 Soil Conservation Survey	4
	5.3 Site Stratigraphy	4
	5.4 Soil Conditions	5
	5.5 Groundwater	6
6	ENGINEERING GEOLOGY – IDENTIFICATION AND MITIGATION OF GEOLOGIC HAZARDS	7
	6.1 Relevance of Geologic Conditions to Land Use Planning	12
7	ECONOMIC MINERAL RESOURCES	13
8	EROSION CONTROL	14
9	ROADWAY AND EMBANKMENT CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS	15
10	CLOSURE	16
11	REFERENCES	17

FIGURES

Γιζατε Τ. ντοππεγινιαρ	
Figure 2: USGS Map	
Figure 3: Site and Exploration Plan	
Figure 4: Sketch Plan	
Figure 5: Soil Survey Map	
Figure 6: Geologic Map of the Pueblo 1°x2° Quadrangle South-Central Color	ado
Figure 7: Geology/Engineering Geology Map	
Figure 8: Floodplain Map	
Figure 9: USFWS Wetlands Map	
Figure 10: Typical Perimeter Drain Details	
Figure 11: Underslab Drainage Layer (Capillary Break)	
Figure 12: Interceptor Drain Detail	
Figure 13: Overexcavation Drain	

APPENDIX A: Site Photographs APPENDIX B: Test Boring and Test Pit Logs APPENDIX C: Laboratory Test Results APPENDIX D: Nova Tech Test Well July 8, 1985, Well Log APPENDIX E: Soil Survey Descriptions



1 SUMMARY

Project Location

The site consists of Sections 13 and 14, and the N½ of Sections 23 and 24, Township 14 South, Range 64 West of the 6th Principal Meridian in El Paso County, Colorado. The site is located approximately 4½ miles east of the Colorado Springs, Colorado city limits at the southeast corner of Enoch Road and Highway 94.

Project Description

Flying Horse East sketch plan consist of 1824.2 acres with proposed development consisting of low to high density residential, commercial, business park, hotel, school site, open space, and other associated site improvements. The development will be serviced by Cherokee Metro District.

Scope of Report

This report presents the results of our geologic evaluation and treatment of engineering geologic hazard study.

Land Use and Engineering Geology

This site was found to be suitable for the proposed development. Areas were encountered where the geologic conditions will impose some minor constraints on development and land use. These include areas of artificial fill, expansive soils, hydrocompaction, floodplain, potential seasonally shallow and seasonally shallow/ponded areas of water, and the potential for elevated radon levels. Based on the proposed development plan, it appears that these areas will have some impact on the development. These conditions will be discussed in greater detail in the report.

It is our opinion that the development can be achieved if the observed geologic conditions on site are either avoided or properly mitigated. All recommendations are subject to the limitations discussed in the report.



2 GENERAL SITE CONDITIONS AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The site consists of Sections 13 and 14, and the N½ of Sections 23 and 24, Township 14 South, Range 64 West of the 6th Principal Meridian in El Paso County, Colorado. The site is located approximately 4½ miles east of the Colorado Springs, Colorado city limits at the southeast corner of Enoch Road and Highway 94. The location of the site is as shown on the Vicinity Map, Figure 1.

The topography of the site varies from gently to moderately sloping generally to the northeast and south along ridge that bisects the central portion of the site with minor drainages with the main drainage located in the northern and northeastern portion of the site, and low-lying areas and blowouts that have the potential for seasonally ponded water. The minor drainages on site generally flow in northerly southerly directions, and the main drainage flows to the southeast through the site. Water was not observed in any of the drainages at the time of our site investigation. Several stock ponds/tanks are located across the site which are fed by existing water wells. The site contains primarily field grasses, weeds, yucca, and cacti in with areas of scattered trees around the existing ranch house in the north-central portion of the site. Site photographs are included in Appendix A. The locations and directions of the photographs are indicated in Figure 3.

Flying Horse East sketch plan consist of 1824.2 acres with proposed development consisting of low to high density residential, commercial, business park, hotel, school site, open space along the main drainage in the northern and northeastern portions of the site, and other associated site improvements. The development will be serviced by Cherokee Metro District. Seven detention ponds across the site are indicated on the sketch plan. Proposed development and grading plans were not available at the time of this report. The Site and Exploration Plan is presented in Figure 3, and the Sketch Plan is presented in Figure 4.

3 SCOPE OF THE REPORT

The scope of the report will include a general geologic analysis utilizing published geologic data. Detailed site-specific mapping will be conducted to obtain general information in respect to major geographic and geologic features, geologic descriptions and their effects on the development of the property.



4 FIELD INVESTIGATION

Our field investigation consisted of the preparation of a geologic map of any bedrock features and significant surficial deposits. The Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), previously the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) survey was also reviewed to evaluate the site. The position of mappable units within the subject property are shown on the Geologic Map. Our mapping procedures involved both field reconnaissance and measurements and air photo reconnaissance and interpretation. The same mapping procedures have also been utilized to produce the Geology/Engineering Geology Map which identified pertinent geologic conditions affecting development. The field mapping was performed by personnel of Entech Engineering, Inc. in November and December of 2024.

Eighty (80) test borings were drilled across the site as part of this study to determine the soils classification and engineering characteristics. The borings were drilled to depths of 20 feet using a truck-mounted, continuous flight auger drilling rig supplied and operated by Entech. The location Test Borings is indicated on the Site and Exploration Plan, Figure 3.

Laboratory testing was performed on some of the soils to classify and determine the soils engineering characteristics. Laboratory tests included moisture content testing, ASTM D-2216, tests included grain-size analysis ASTM D-422, Atterberg Limits ASTM D-4318, volume change testing using one dimensional swell/collapse testing. Sulfate testing was performed on select samples to evaluate potential for below grade concrete degradation due to sulfate attack. Results of the laboratory testing are included in Appendix C. A Summary of Laboratory Test Results is presented in Table C-1.

5 SOIL, GEOLOGY, AND ENGINEERING GEOLOGY

5.1 General Geology

Physiographically, the site lies in the western portion of the Great Plains Physiographic Province. Approximately 19 miles to the west is a major structural feature known as the Ute Pass Fault. This fault marks the boundary between the Great Plains Physiographic Province and the Southern Rocky Mountain Province. The site exists within the southern edge of a large structural feature known as the Denver Basin. Bedrock in the area tends to be very gently dipping in a northerly direction (Reference 5). The rocks in the area of the site are sedimentary in nature, and typically Tertiary to Cretaceous in age. The bedrock underlying the site consists of the Dawson Formation of Tertiary to Cretaceous Age. Overlying this formation are unconsolidated deposits of man-



placed fills, eolian sands and alluvial soils of the Quaternary Age. Some colluvial soils exist which are deposited by gravity and sheetwash. The alluvial soils were deposited by water in the drainages on site. Man-made soils exist as earthen dams and erosion berms. The site's stratigraphy will be discussed in more detail in Section 5.3.

5.2 Soil Conservation Survey

The Natural Resource Conservation Service (Reference 6), previously the Soil Conservation Service (Reference 7) has mapped nine soil types within Flying Horse East (Figure 5). In general, the soils classify as sandy loam. The soils are described as follows:

Туре	Description
2	Ascalon sandy loam, 1 – 3% slopes
3	Ascalon sandy loam, 3 – 9% Slopes
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 – 9% slopes
10	Blendon sandy loam, 0 – 3% slopes
11	Bresser sandy loam, cool, 0 – 3% slopes
12	Bresser sandy loam, cool, 3 – 5% slopes
96	Truckton sandy loam, 0 – 3% slopes
100	Truckton-Bresser complex, eroded
116	Udic Haplusterts

Complete description of the soil type is presented in Appendix E. The soils have generally been described to have moderate to rapid permeabilities. Limitations on development include limited ability to support a load, shrink swell potential, slopes and frost action potential. Possible hazards with soil erosion are present on the site. The erosion potential can be controlled with vegetation. The majority of the soils have been described to have moderate erosion hazards.

5.3 Site Stratigraphy

The Geologic Map of the Pueblo 1°x2° Quadrangle South-Central Colorado showing the site is presented in (Figure 6, Reference 3). The Geology Map prepared for the site is presented in Figure 6. Four mappable units were identified on this site which are described as follows:



- **Qaf Artificial Fill of Holocene Age:** These are man placed fill deposits associated with erosion berms, earthen dams, and stockpiles of fill located across the site. Other areas of fill may exist on the site other than those mapped due to on-going construction.
- **Qp Piney Creek Alluvium of Holocene Age:** This material is a water-deposited alluvium, typically classified as a silty to well-graded sand, brown to dark brown in color and of moderate density. The Piney Creek Alluvium can sometimes be very highly stratified containing thin layers of very silty and clayey soil.
- **Qpl Playa Deposits of Holocene Age:** These are moderately consolidated clay, silt and sand formed by blowouts in the eolian sands that form seasonal ponds during wet seasons.
- **Qes** Eolian Sand of Quaternary Age: These deposits are fine to medium grained soil deposited on the site by the action of prevailing winds from the west and northwest. They typically occur as large dune deposits or narrow ridges. These soils are typically tan to brown in color and tend to have very uniform or well-sorted gradation. These materials tend to have a relatively high permeability and low density.

The bedrock underlying the site consists of the Dawson Formation of Tertiary to Cretaceous Age. The Dawson Formation typically consists of arkosic sandstone with interbedded fine-grained sandstone, siltstone and claystone. Overlying this formation are variable layers of man placed fill deposits, eolian, alluvial deposits, and residual soil. The residual soils were derived from the insitu weathering of the bedrock materials on-site. These soils consisted of silty to clayey sands and sandy clays.

The soils listed above were mapped from site-specific mapping, the Geologic Map of the Pueblo 1°x2° Quadrangle South-Central Colorado by the Unite States Geologic Survey in 1978 (Reference 3), the *Geologic Map of the Corral Bluffs Quadrangle* distributed by the United States Geological Survey in 1968 (Reference 4), and the *Geologic Map of the Colorado Springs-Castle Rock Area*, distributed by the US Geological Survey in 1979 (Reference 9). The Test Boring Logs used in evaluating the site and are included in Appendix B. The Geology Map prepared for the site is presented in Figure 7.

5.4 Soil Conditions

The soils encountered in the Test Borings can be grouped into four general soil and rock types. The soils were classified using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).



<u>Soil Type 1</u> classified as sand with varying amounts of silt and clay (SM, SW-SM, SC-SM, SW-SM-SC, SC). The sand was encountered in all of the borings at the surface grade to 8 feet and extended to approximately 3 feet bgs to the termination of the borings (20 feet). The sand was encountered at loose to dense states and dry to moist conditions. The majority of the sands were encountered at medium dense states. One dimensional swell/collapse testing on a samples of the sand resulted in volume changed of -2.0% to 1.8%, indicating low expansion potentials and low to moderate consolidation potentials.

<u>Soil Type 2</u> classified as clay with varying amounts of sand and sandy silt (CL, ML). The clay and silt were encountered 23 of the test borings at depths ranging from the ground surface to 19 feet bgs and extending to depths ranging from 3 to 20 feet bgs. The clay and silt were encountered at medium stiff to hard consistencies and moist conditions. One dimensional swell/collapse testing on a samples of the clay resulted in volume changes of -1.5% to 0.9%, indicating low expansion potentials and low consolidation potentials.

<u>Soil Type 3</u> classified as silty to clayey sandstone (SM, SC), or as a silty sand and clayey sand when classified as a soil. The sandstone was encountered in TB-3, TB-67, TB-69, and TB-74 at 18 to 19 feet bgs, and extended to the termination of the test borings (20 feet). The sandstone was encountered at dense to very dense states and moist conditions. The sandstone is typically nonexpansive.

<u>Soil Type 4</u> classified as claystone and siltstone (CL, ML), or as a sandy clay, clay with sand, and sandy silt when classified as a soil. The claystone was encountered in TB-12, TB-48, TB-53, TB-62, TB-64 at depths of 14 to 18 feet bgs and extended to the termination of the test borings (20 feet). The claystone and siltstone were encountered at very stiff to hard consistencies and moist conditions. One dimensional swell/collapse testing on a sample of the siltstone resulted in volume change of 0%.

The Test Boring Logs are presented in Appendix B, and the depth to bedrock and groundwater are presented on Table B-1. Laboratory Test Results are presented in Appendix C, and a Summary of Laboratory Test Results is presented in Table C-1.

5.5 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered in TB-3 at 18.5 feet, the remaining borings were dry to the depths drilled which were drilled to 20 feet. Due to livestock that was on the site at the time the borings were backfilled subsequent to drilling. Areas potentially seasonal shallow and seasonal



groundwater have been mapped in the drainages and low-lying areas on the site. These areas are discussed in the following section. Fluctuation in groundwater conditions may occur due to variations in rainfall and other factors not readily apparent at this time. It should be noted that in the sandy materials on-site, some groundwater conditions might be encountered due to the variability in the soil profile. Isolated sand and gravel layers within the soils, sometimes only a few feet in thickness and width, can carry water in the subsurface. Groundwater may also flow on top of the underlying bedrock. Builders and planners should be cognizant of the potential for the occurrence of such subsurface water features during construction on-site and deal with each individual problem as necessary at the time of construction.

6 ENGINEERING GEOLOGY – IDENTIFICATION AND MITIGATION OF GEOLOGIC HAZARDS

Detailed mapping has been performed on this site to produce an Engineering Geology Map Figure 7. This map shows the location of various geologic conditions of which the developers should be cognizant during the planning, design and construction stages of the project. These hazards and the recommended mitigation techniques are as follows:

Artificial Fill - Constraint

These are areas of man-placed fill associated with minor fill piles, erosion berms, and embankments across the site.

<u>Mitigation</u>: The fill will likely be mitigated with future site grading. The erosion berms can either be avoided or removed from building areas on each. The fill on this site is considered uncontrolled for construction purposes. Any uncontrolled fill encountered beneath foundations or drainage structures will require removal and recompaction at a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Procter Dry Density, ASTM D-1557.

Areas of Erosion - Constraint

These are isolated areas that are undergoing erosion by water and sheetwash producing gullies and rill erosion and are located along portions of the minor drainages across the site.

<u>Mitigation</u>: Due to the nature of the soils on this site, virtually all the soils are subject to erosion by wind and water. Areas of erosion can occur across the entire site, particularly if the soils are disturbed during construction. Vegetation reduces the potential for erosion. In areas where erosion is actively taking place check dams, regrading and revegetation using channel lining mats to anchor vegetation may be needed. Further recommendations for erosion control are discussed under Section 9.0 "Erosion Control" of this report. Recommendations pertaining to revegetation



may require input from a qualified landscape architect and/or the Natural Resource Conservation Service (previously Soil Conservation Service).

Expansive Soils - Constraint

Potentially expansive soils were encountered in some of the test borings drilled on the site. These occurrences are typically sporadic; therefore, none have been indicated on the maps. These clays or claystone, if encountered beneath foundations, can cause differential movement in the structure foundation. These occurrences should be identified and dealt with on an individual basis.

<u>Mitigation</u> Should expansive soils be encountered beneath the foundation; mitigation will be necessary. Mitigation of expansive soils will require special foundation design. Overexcavation and replacement with non-expansive soils at a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557 is a suitable mitigation, which is common in the area. Another alternative in areas of highly expansive soils is the use of drilled pier foundation systems. Typical minimum pier depths are on the order of 25 feet or more and require penetration into the bedrock material a minimum of 4 to 6 feet, depending upon building loads. Floor slabs on expansive soils should be expected to experience movement. Overexcavation and replacement has been successful in minimizing slab movements. The use of structural floors should be determined after additional investigation of each building site.

Hydrocompaction - Constraint

Areas in which hydrocompaction have been identified are acceptable as building sites. In areas identified for this hazard classification, however, we anticipate a potential for settlement movements upon saturation of these surficial soils. The low density, uniform grain sized, windblown sand deposits are particularly susceptible to this type of phenomenon. Additionally, loose or collapsible soils other than those mapped may be encountered on this site.

<u>Mitigation:</u> The potential for settlement movement is directly related to saturation of the soils below the foundation areas. Therefore, good surface and subsurface drainage is extremely critical in these areas in order to minimize the potential for saturation of these soils. The ground surface around all permanent structures should be positively sloped away from the structure to all points, and water must not be allowed to stand or pond anywhere on the site. We recommend that the ground surface within 10 feet of the structures be sloped away with a minimum gradient of five percent. If this is not possible on the upslope side of the structures, then a well-defined swale should be created to intercept the surface water and carry it quickly and safely around and away



from the structures. Roof drains should be made to discharge well away from the structures and into areas of positive drainage. Where several structures are involved, the overall drainage design should direct water away from structures. Planting and watering in the immediate vicinity of the structures, as well as general lawn irrigation, should be minimized

Areas of loose or collapsible soils may also be encountered in these areas. Should loose or collapsible soils be encountered beneath foundations, removal and recompaction of the upper 2 to 3 feet with thorough moisture conditioning at a minimum of 95 percent of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557 will be necessary. Specific recommendations should be made after additional investigation of each building site.

Slope Stability and Landslide Susceptibility - Hazard

The majority of the slopes on-site are gradually sloping and do not exhibit any past or potential unstable slopes or landslides. It is recommended that any future grading or fill slopes should be 3:1 or flatter.

Subsidence Area – Hazard

Based on a review of a Subsidence Investigation Report for the Colorado Springs area by Dames and Moore, 1985 (Reference 10) and the mining report for the Colorado Springs coalfield (Reference 11), the site is not undermined. The closest underground mine in the area is the Franceville Coal Mine, approximately 3½ miles to the southwest of the site. The site is not mapped within any potential subsidence zones.

Groundwater and Floodplain Areas - Constraint

Several minor drainages are located across the site with the main drainage located in the northern and northeastern portion of the site, and low-lying areas and blowouts that have the potential for seasonally ponded water. The main drainage on the site has been mapped within a floodplain according to the FEMA Map Nos. 08041C0785G and 08041C0805G, (Figure 8, Reference 8). This main drainage will be open space. Areas where potential seasonally shallow and shallow groundwater have been indicated on the site geology/engineering geology map, Figure 7. Lots adjacent to the drainages may experience higher groundwater levels during peak flows. Finished floor levels must be a minimum of one floor above any floodplain level. **Exact floodplain locations and drainage studies are beyond the scope of this report.**

The potential seasonally shallow groundwater and seasonally shallow groundwater areas located on the site are shown on the Geology Map, Figure 6. Portions of these areas mapped with these



constraints have been identified in the National Wetland Inventory as Freshwater Emergent Wetland habitats classified as R4SBC (Riverine – R, Intermittent – 4, Streambed – SB, Seasonally Flooded – C) PUSA (Palustrine – P, Unconsolidated Shore – US, Temporary Flooded – A), and PEM1A (Palustrine – P, Emergent – EM, Persistent – 1, Temporary Flooded – A) (Figure 9, Reference 9).

Groundwater was encountered in TB-3 at 18.5 feet, the remaining borings were dry to the depths drilled which were drilled to 20 feet. Due to livestock that was on the site at the time the borings were backfilled subsequent to drilling. Buildings should maintain a minimum separation of 3 feet between the lowest foundation grade and the maximum anticipated groundwater level. Subsurface perimeter drains are recommended for structures with useable below grade space. Additional drains may be required in building areas close drainages to help prevent the intrusion of water into areas below grade. Shallow groundwater areas can be mitigated with the installation of drains. Typical drain options/details are presented in Figures 10 through 13. These areas are discussed as follows:

Potential Seasonally Shallow Groundwater - Constraint

The areas identified with this constraint are the minor drainages located across the site. In these areas, we would anticipate periodic high subsurface moisture conditions and frost heave potential on a seasonal basis. Additional, highly organic soils could be encountered in these areas. These areas lie within proposed drainage easements, or will likely be regraded as part of the proposed development. Minor drainage swales in building areas should be properly diverted away from the structures. Any structures in or adjacent to these areas should follow the mitigation discussed below.

<u>Seasonal Shallow Groundwater:</u> The areas identified with this constraint are the playa (Qpl) deposit in the western portion of the site, stock ponds located on the site, and the main drainage in the northern and northeastern portion of the site. In these areas, we would anticipate the potential for periodically high subsurface moisture conditions and possible frost heave potential, depending on the soil conditions. These areas are located along some of the minor drainages and behind earthen dams across the site. It is anticipated these areas would be regraded and filled or avoided by the development. Areas of shallow groundwater may exhibit unstable subgrade conditions in terms of bearing support of construction equipment during overlot grading. Areas immediately adjacent to drainage may also experience higher subsurface moisture conditions during periods of higher flows.



<u>Mitigation:</u> In these locations, foundations subject to severe frost heave potential should penetrate sufficient depth so as to discourage the formation of ice lenses beneath foundations. At this location and elevation, foundation depth for frost protection is 30 inches. In areas where high subsurface moisture conditions are anticipated periodically, a subsurface perimeter drain will be necessary to help prevent the intrusion of water into areas located below grade. Subsurface perimeter drains may be necessary to prevent the intrusion of water into areas located below grade. Typical drain details are presented in Figure 9. Where shallow groundwater is encountered, underslab drains or interceptor drains may be necessary Figures 10 and 11. Specific recommendations should be made after additional investigation has been completed and building locations have been identified on a lot by lot basis. Swales should be created to intercept surface runoff and carry it safely around and away from structures.

Debris Fans/Debris Flow Susceptibility - Hazard

The site is not mapped within any area susceptible to debris flows according to the *Debris Flow Susceptibility Map of El Paso County, Colorado,* by McCoy, Morgan, and Berry (Reference 10).

Shallow Bedrock - Constraint

Bedrock was encountered in nine of the test borings at depths ranging from the 14 to 19 feet bgs. A Summary of the Depth to Bedrock is included in Table B-1. Where shallow bedrock is encountered, excavation/grading may be difficult requiring track-mounted excavators with ripper attachments. Depending on final grading plans, bedrock may be encountered in deeper cuts for utility excavations.

Faults – Hazard

The closest fault is the Ute Pass Fault, located approximately 19 miles west of the site. No faults are mapped in the site itself. Previously, Colorado was mapped entirely within Seismic Zone 1, a very low seismic risk. Additionally, the International Residential Code (IRC), 2003, currently places this area in Seismic Design Category B, also a low seismic risk. According to a report by the Colorado Geological Survey by Kirkman and Rogers, Bulletin 43 (1981) (Reference 11), this area should be designed for Zone 2 due to more recent data on the potential for movement in this area and any resultant earthquakes.

Radon – Hazard

Radon is a colorless, tasteless radioactive gas with a United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) specified action level of 4.0 picocuries per liter (pCi/L) of air. Radon gas has a very short half-life of 3.8 days. Radon levels for the 80930 area were not available in the report



by the Colorado Geologic Survey in the open file, Report No. 91-4 (Reference 12). Average Radon levels for the nearby zip codes 80808 and 80831 zip codes are presented in the table below:

Average Rad	on Levels of 14.60 for the	Average Radon Levels of 4.50 for the	
80	908 Zip Code	80831 Zip Code	
0 < 4 pCi/L	50.00%	0.00%	
4 < 10 pCi/L	0.00%	100.00%	
10 < 20 pCi/L	0.00%	0.00%	
> 20 pCi/L	50.00%	0.00%	

Mitigation:

The potential for high radon levels is present for the site. Build-up of radon gas can usually be mitigated by providing increased ventilation of basement and crawlspace and sealing joints. **Specific requirements for mitigation should be based on site specific testing.**

6.1 Relevance of Geologic Conditions to Land Use Planning

The proposed development will consist of low to high density residential, commercial, business park, hotel, school site, and open space along the main drainage in the northern and northeastern portion of the site. The development will be serviced by Cherokee Metro District. It is our opinion that the existing geologic and engineering geologic conditions will impose some constraints on the proposed development and construction. The most significant problems affecting development will be those associated with the drainages on site that can be avoided. Other hazards on site can be satisfactorily mitigated through proper engineering design and construction practices.

The upper sand soils are typically at medium states and should provide good support for foundations. Loose soils, if encountered at foundation depth, will require mitigation. Foundations anticipated for the site are standard spread footings possibly in conjunction with overexcavation in areas of expansive soils or recompaction in areas of loose soils. Excavation is anticipated to be moderate with rubber-tired equipment for the site sand materials and will require track mounted equipment for the dense sandstone. Expansive layers may also be encountered in the soil and bedrock on this site. Areas of expansive soils encountered on site are sporadic; therefore, none have been indicated on the maps. Expansive soils, if encountered, will require special foundation design and/or overexcavation. These soils will not prohibit development.

Areas of hydrocompaction have been identified on this site where there is the potential for settlement movements upon saturation of the surficial soils. Good surface and subsurface



drainage are critical in these areas, and the ground surface should be positively sloped away from structures at all points. Roof drains should be made to discharge well away from structures and planting and watering in the immediate vicinity of structures should be minimized.

Several minor drainages are located across the site with the main drainage located in the northern and northeastern portion of the site, and low-lying areas and blowouts that have the potential for seasonally ponded water. The main drainage on the site has been mapped within a floodplain according to the FEMA Map Nos. 08041C0785G and 08041C0805G, (Figure 8, Reference 8). This main drainage will be open space. Areas where potential seasonally shallow and shallow groundwater have been indicated on the site geology/engineering geology map, Figure 7. Lots adjacent to the drainages may experience higher groundwater levels during peak flows. Finished floor levels must be a minimum of one floor above any floodplain level. **Exact floodplain locations and drainage studies are beyond the scope of this report.**

Areas of fill were observed on site that are associated with erosion berms, embankments, and areas of man-placed fill piles. It is anticipated the fill piles and erosion berms will be mitigated during site grading. Any uncontrolled fill encountered beneath foundations should be removed and recompacted at a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557.

In summary, development of the site can be achieved if the items mentioned above are mitigated. These items can be mitigated through proper design and construction or through avoidance. Investigation on each lot is also recommended prior to construction.

7 ECONOMIC MINERAL RESOURCES

Some of the sandy materials on-site could be considered a low grade sand resource. According to the *El Paso County Aggregate Resource Evaluation Map* (Reference 13), portions of the area are mapped as stream terrace and floodplain deposits. According to the *Atlas of Sand, Gravel and Quarry Aggregate Resources, Colorado Front Range Counties* distributed by the Colorado Geological Survey (Reference 14), areas of the site is mapped with E3 – eolian sand and U3 – upland deposits potential fine aggregate resources. According to the *Evaluation of Mineral and Mineral Fuel Potential* (Reference 15), the area of the site has been mapped as "Little or No Potential" for industrial minerals. It is possible sand materials on site could be an aggregate resource. However, considering the silty to clayey nature of much of these materials and



abundance of similar materials through the region and the close proximity to developed land, they would be considered to have little significance as an economic resource.

According to *the Evaluation of Mineral and Mineral Fuel Potential of El Paso County State Mineral Lands* (Reference 15), the site is mapped within the Denver Basin Coal Region. However, the area of the site has been mapped as "Poor" for coal resources. No active or inactive mines have been mapped in the area of the site. No metallic mineral resources have been mapped on the site (Reference 15).

The site has been mapped as "Fair" for oil and gas resources (Reference 14). No oil or gas fields have been discovered in the area of the site. The sedimentary rocks in the area may lack the geologic structure for trapping oil or gas; therefore, it may not be considered a significant resource. Hydraulic fracturing is a new method that is being used to extract oil and gas from rocks. It utilizes pressurized fluid to extract oil and gas from rocks that would not normally be productive. The area of the site has not been explored to determine if the rocks underlying the site would be commercially viable utilizing hydraulic fracturing. The practice of hydraulic fracturing has come under review due to concerns about environmental impacts, health and safety.

8 EROSION CONTROL

The soil types observed on the site are mildly to highly susceptible to wind erosion, and moderately to highly susceptible to water erosion. A minor wind erosion and dust problem may be created for a short time during and immediately after construction. Should the problem be considered severe enough during this time, watering of the cut areas or the use of chemical palliative may be required to control dust. However, once construction has been completed and vegetation re-established, the potential for wind erosion should be considerably reduced.

With regard to water erosion, loosely compacted soils will be the most susceptible to water erosion, residually weathered soils and weathered bedrock materials become increasingly less susceptible to water erosion. For the typical soils observed on site, allowable velocities or unvegetated and unlined earth channels would be on the order of 3 to 4 feet/second, depending upon the sediment load carried by the water. Permissible velocities may be increased through the use of vegetation to something on the order of 4 to 7 feet/second, depending upon the type of vegetation established. Should the anticipated velocities exceed these values, some form of channel lining material may be required to reduce erosion potential. These might consist of some of the synthetic channel lining materials on the market or conventional riprap. In cases where



ditch-lining materials are still insufficient to control erosion, small check dams or sediment traps may be required. The check dams will serve to reduce flow velocities, as well as provide small traps for containing sediment. The determination of the amount, location and placement of ditch linings, check dams and of the special erosion control features should be performed by or in conjunction with the drainage engineer who is more familiar with the flow quantities and velocities.

Cut and fill slope areas will be subjected primarily to sheetwash and rill erosion. Unchecked rill erosion can eventually lead to concentrated flows of water and gully erosion. The best means to combat this type of erosion is, where possible, the adequate re-vegetation of cut and fill slopes. Cut and fill slopes having gradients more than three (3) horizontal to one (1) vertical become increasingly more difficult to revegetate successfully. Therefore, recommendations pertaining to the vegetation of the cut and fill slopes may require input from a qualified landscape architect and/or the Soil Conservation Service.

9 ROADWAY, EMBANKMENT, and STORM WATER FACILITY CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS

In general, the site soils are suitable for the construction of roadways and embankments. Groundwater is not anticipated to affect roadway or pond construction. If road or embankment excavations encroach on the groundwater level unstable soil conditions may be encountered. Unstable soils are not anticipated in areas of shallow bedrock. Excavation of saturated soils will be difficult with rubber-tired equipment. Stabilization using shot rock or geogrids may be necessary.

Any areas to receive fill should have all topsoil, organic material or debris removed. Prior to fill placement Entech should observe the subgrade. Fill must be properly benched and compacted to minimize potentially unstable conditions in slope areas. Fill slopes should be 3:1. The subgrade should be scarified and moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557, prior to placing new fill. Areas receiving fill may require stabilization with rock or fabric if shallow groundwater conditions are encountered.

New fill should be placed in thin lifts not to exceed 6 inches after compaction while maintaining at least 95% of its maximum Modified Proctor Dry Density, ASTM D-1557. These materials should be placed at a moisture content conducive to compaction, usually 0 to $\pm 2\%$ of Proctor optimum



moisture content. The placement and compaction of fill should be observed and tested by Entech during construction. Entech should approve any import materials prior to placing or hauling them to the site. Additional investigation will be required for pavement designs once roadway grading is completed and utilities are installed.

10 CLOSURE

It is our opinion that the existing geologic engineering and geologic conditions will impose some constraints on development and construction of the site. The majority of these conditions can be mitigated through proper engineering design and construction practices. The proposed development and use are consistent with anticipated geologic and engineering geologic conditions.

It should be pointed out that because of the nature of data obtained by random sampling of such variable and non-homogeneous materials as soil and rock, it is important that we be informed of any differences observed between surface and subsurface conditions encountered in construction and those assumed in the body of this report. Additional investigations will be required as development plans are prepared. Construction and design personnel should be made familiar with the contents of this report. Reporting such discrepancies to Entech Engineering, Inc. soon after they are discovered would be greatly appreciated and could possibly help avoid construction and development problems.

This report has been prepared for Flying Horse Development, LLC for application to the proposed project in accordance with generally accepted geologic soil and engineering practices. No other warranty expressed or implied is made.

We trust that this report has provided you with all the information that you required. Should you require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact Entech Engineering, Inc.



11 REFERENCES

- 1. Natural Resource Conservation *Service*, September 3, 2024. *Web Soil Survey*. United States Department Agriculture, http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm.
- 2. United States Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service. June 1981. *Soil Survey* of *El Paso County Area, Colorado.*
- 3. Scott, Glen R., Taylor, Richard B., Epis, Rudy C., and Wobus, Reinhard A. 1978. *Geologic Structure Map of the Pueblo 1° x 2° Quadrangle, North-Central Colorado*. Sheet 2. U.S. Geologic Survey. Map I-1022.
- 4. Soister, Paul E., 1968. *Geologic map of the Corral Bluffs Quadrangle, El Paso County, Colorado*. USGS, Map GQ-783
- 5. Trimble, Donald E. and Machette, Michael N. 1979. *Geologic Map of the Colorado Springs-Castle Rock Area, Front Range Urban Corridor, Colorado*. USGS, Map I-857-F.
- 6. Dames and Moore. 1985. *Colorado Springs Subsidence Investigation*. State of Colorado Division of Mined Land Reclamation.
- 7. City of Colorado Springs Planning Department, August 1967. *Mining Report, Colorado Springs Coal Field.*
- 8. Federal Emergency Management Agency. December 7, 2018. *Flood Insurance Rate Maps for El Paso County, Colorado.* Map Numbers 08041C0785G & 08041C0805G.
- 9. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. *National Wetlands Inventory*. Department of the Interior, fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html.
- 10. McCoy, Kevin M., Morgan, Matthew L., and Berry, Karen A., 2018. *Debris Flow Susceptibility Map of El Paso County, Colorado.* Colorado Geological Survey. Open-File Report 18-11.
- 11. Kirkman, Robert M. and Rogers, William P. 1981. *Earthquake Potential in Colorado*. Colorado Geological Survey. Bulletin 43.
- 12. Colorado Geological Survey. 1991. *Results of the 1987-88 EPA Supported Radon Study in Colorado*. Open-file Report 91-4.
- 13. El Paso County Planning Development. December 1995. El Paso County Aggregate Resource Evaluation Maps.
- 14. Schwochow, S.D.; Shroba, R.R. and Wicklein, P.C. 1974. *Atlas of Sand, Gravel, and Quarry Aggregate Resources, Colorado Front Range Counties*. Colorado Geological Survey. Special Publication 5-B.
- 15. Keller, John W.; TerBest, Harry and Garrison, Rachel E. 2003. *Evaluation of Mineral and Mineral Fuel Potential of El Paso County State Mineral Lands Administered by the Colorado State Land Board*. Colorado Geological Survey. Open-File Report 03-07.



FIGURES









FLYING HORSE EAST

IH33



LEGEND

	SITE BOUNDARY
	ROADWAYS
• • • • • •	PROPOSED TRAILS
	EXISTING DRAINAGE
	DRAINAGE WETLAND
	LOW DENSITY
	MEDIUM DENSITY
	HIGH DENSITY
	COMMERCIAL
	BUSINESS PARK
	SCHOOL
	HOTEL
S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	DETENTION POND
	PARK/POCKET PARK
*	DETENTION POND
*	ENTRY SIGNAGE

AND	USE SU	MMARY		
Y	ACREAGE	SITE PERCENTAGE	DENSITY	UNIT RANGE
RES	SIDENTIAL PA	RCELS		
L	137.1 AC.	7.5%	1-3	137 - 411
TIAL	524.1 AC.	28.8%	3 - 5.5	1572 - 2883
NL.	138.1 AC.	7.6%	5.5 - 14	759 - 1933
DTAL	799.3 AC.	43.9%		2468 - 5227
NON-F	RESIDENTIAL	PARCELS		
	34.2 AC.	1.9%		
	481.5 AC.	26.4%		
	92.6 AC.	5.1%		
	22.7 AC.	1.2%		
DTAL	631 AC.	34.6%		
	73.2 AC.	4.0%		
	13.0 AC.	0.7%		
	305.9 AC.	16.8%		
OTAL	1822.4 AC	100.0%		2468 - 5227
RS. GEN	ERAL OPEN SPACE. E	ASEMENTS AND LANDSCAPE SUFFE	85.	



(1)

FLYING HORSE EAST - SKETCH PLAN FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT, LLC JOB NO. 240074

FIG. 4







Legend:

Qaf -	Artificial Fill of Holocene Age:
	man-placed fill deposits
Qp -	Piney Creek Alluvium of Holocene Age:
	water deposited sands and clays
Qpl -	Playa Deposit of Holocene Age:
	blowouts in eolian sand that form seasonal ponds
Qes -	Eolian Sand of Holocene to late Pleistocene Age:
	wind blown sand deposits

fp (1) floodplain

h (2) - hydrocompaction

- psw (3) potential seasonally shallow groundwater area
- sw (4) seasonally shallow groundwater/seasonally ponded water



DIPPOLITO MANFRED 17075

•

тв-37

MEDIUM

DENSITY

76.4 AC

TB-35

POCKET

€ тв-34







Wetlands

Estuarine and Marine

Deepwater

Estuarine and Marine Wetland

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Freshwater Forested/Shrub

Wetland

Freshwater Pond

Lake

1

Other

Riverine

Riparian

Forested/Shrub

Herbaceous

USFWS WETLANDS MAP

FLYING HORSE EAST - SKETCH PLAN FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT, LLC JOB NO. 240074

FIG. 9



NOTES:

-GRAVEL SIZE IS RELATED TO DIAMETER OF PIPE PERFORATIONS-85% GRAVEL GREATER THAN 2x PERFORATION DIAMETER.

-PIPE DIAMETER DEPENDS UPON EXPECTED SEEPAGE. 4-INCH DIAMETER IS MOST OFTEN USED.

-ALL PIPE SHALL BE PERFORATED PLASTIC. THE DISCHARGE PORTION OF THE PIPE SHOULD BE NON-PERFORATED PIPE.

-FLEXIBLE PIPE MAY BE USED UP TO 8 FEET IN DEPTH, IF SUCH PIPE IS DESIGNED TO WITHSTAND THE PRESSURES. RIGID PLASTIC PIPE WOULD OTHERWISE BE REQUIRED.

-MINIMUM GRADE FOR DRAIN PIPE TO BE 1% OR 3 INCHES OF FALL IN 25 FEET.

-DRAIN TO BE PROVIDED WITH A FREE GRAVITY OUTFALL, IF POSSIBLE. A SUMP AND PUMP MAY BE USED IF GRAVITY OUT FALL IS NOT AVAILABLE.



PERIMETER DRAIN DETAIL

FLYING HORSE EAST - SKETCH PLAN FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT, LLC

JOB NO. 240074

FIG. 10









APPENDIX A: Site Photographs



Job No. 240074



Job No. 240074






Job No. 240074













APPENDIX B: Test Boring Logs



TABLE B-1

DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER & BEDROCK

TEST BORING	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER (ft.)	DEPTH TO BEDROCK (ft.)	TEST BORING	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER (ft.)	DEPTH TO BEDROCK (ft.)
1	>20	>20	37	>20	>20
2	>20	>20	38	>20	>20
3	18.5	19	39	>20	>20
4	>20	>20	40	>20	>20
5	>20	>20	41	>20	>20
6	>20	>20	42	>20	>20
7	>20	>20	43	>20	>20
8	>20	>20	44	>20	>20
9	>20	>20	45	>20	>20
10	>20	>20	46	>20	>20
11	>20	>20	47	>20	>20
12	>20	18	48	>20	18
13	>20	>20	49	>20	>20
14	>20	>20	50	>20	>20
15	>20	>20	51	>20	>20
16	>20	>20	52	>20	>20
17	>20	>20	53	>20	18
18	>20	>20	54	>20	>20
19	>20	>20	55	>20	>20
20	>20	>20	56	>20	>20
21	>20	>20	57	>20	>20
22	>20	>20	58	>20	>20
23	>20	>20	59	>20	>20
24	>20	>20	60	>20	>20
25	>20	>20	61	>20	>20
26	>20	>20	62	>20	14
27	>20	>20	63	>20	>20
28	>20	>20	64	>20	14
29	>20	>20	65	>20	>20
30	>20	>20	66	>20	>20
31	>20	>20	67	>20	18
32	>20	>20	68	>20	>20
33	>20	>20	69	>20	18
34	>20	>20	70	>20	>20
35	>20	>20	71	>20	>20
36	>20	>20	72	>20	>20



TABLE B-1

DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER & BEDROCK

TEST BORING	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER (ft.)	DEPTH TO BEDROCK (ft.)
73	>20	>20
74	>20	18
75	>20	>20
76	>20	>20
77	>20	>20
78	>20	>20
79	>20	>20
80	>20	>20

Project: Flying Horse East Client: Flying Horse Development Job No: 240074

TEST BORING 1 DATE DRILLED 11/18/202	24						TEST BORING DATE DRILLED 11/15/20	<u>2</u>)24					
REMARKS	epth (ft)	ymbol	amples	lows per foot	Vatercontent %	oil Type	REMARKS	lepth (ft)	ymbol	amples	lows per foot	Vatercontent %	oil Type
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to		S S	S	В	5	S	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to		S S	S	В	5	S
BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to	-						BROWN, DENSE to MEDIUM						
LOOSE, MOIST	-			16	5.5	1	DENSE, MOIST	-			46	4.6	1
	5			8	8.6	1		5	ŀŀ		40	5.3	1
				-									-
	-							-					
	-							-					
	10			19	4.4	1		10			38	4.2	1
	-							-					
	-												
	15	.		8	6.7	1		15			25	4.4	1
	-							-	ŀŀ				
	-												
				44	0.0	4					20	0 7	
	20	: •		11	0.0			20-	: •		30	0.7	I
	l	I						I	1 1		I		
4													
A ENITECH							IESI BORING LOG	5			·	2400	чО. 74
ENGINEERING, INC.							FLYING HORSE EAST				-		
ENGINEERING, INC.							FLYING HORSE DEVELOPM	ENT			F	IG.	B-1

TEST BORING 5	24						TEST BORING 6				
REMARKS					_		REMARKS				
	epth (ft)	'mbol	amples	ows per foot	atercontent %	ii Type	spth (ft) mbol	amples	ows per foot	atercontent %	il Type
DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Ď	ŝ	Sa	Ble	Ň	So	DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Sa	Blc	Ň	So
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	-			19	4.7	1	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to	•	13	3.6	1
to DRY	5			14	5.8	1			10	5.3	1
	10			17	18	1		•	15	44	1
	-			.,	1.0				10		•
	15			19	3.2	1			9	5.9	1
	20			15	13.1	1	20 <u>-</u>		10	8.0	1
									,	JOB N 2400	NO. 74
ENGINEERING, INC.							FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT		F	IG.	B-3

TEST BORING 7							TEST BORING 8	1					
DATE DRILLED 11/15/20	24						DATE DRILLED 11/15/20	24					
REMARKS							REMARKS						
DRY TO 19.5', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 19', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
12" TOPSOIL	-		1				12" TOPSOIL						
SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	-		·	14	3.3	1	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST				10	5.2	1
CLAY, SANDY, BROWN, STIFF,	5			8	8.3	2		5_			14	4.9	1
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, MOIST	10			27	5.1	1					13	3.9	1
	15			33	4.1	1		15			27	3.1	1
	20			18	5.8	1	CLAY, SANDY, BROWN, VERY STIFF, MOIST	20			24	9.1	2



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING 9 DATE DRILLED 11/15/20	24						TEST BORING 10 DATE DRILLED 11/18/2024		
REMARKS DRY TO 19.5', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Natercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS (t) DRY TO 20'. 12/4/24 DRY TO 20'. 12/4/24	Vatercontent %	Soll Lype
12" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST				19	3.6	1	12" TOPSOIL SAND, WITH SILT, BROWN to TAN, MEDIUM DENSE to LOOSE,	4.9	<u>,, </u>
to DRY	5			20	4.1	1	DRY to MOIST	2.9	1
	10			25	6.8	1	10 - 9	4.9	1
	15			19	2.2	1	15 8	7.5	1
	20			17	6.7	1	209	6.4	1
								JOB N(24007	Э. 4
ENGINEERING, INC.							FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT	FIG. B	-5

TEST BORING 11						TEST BORING 12	!					
DATE DRILLED 11/18/20	24					DATE DRILLED 11/18/20	24					
REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft) Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
6" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST			14	3.2	1	6" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to LOOSE, DRY				14	2.6	1
	5		20	4.5	1		5			13	2.7	1
			12	4.8	1		10			9	5.6	1
	15		12	3.8	1		15			19	5.8	1
	20		17	3.4	1	SILTSTONE, VERY WEAK, BROWN, HIGHLY WEATHERED (SILT, SANDY, HARD, MOIST)	20	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		<u>50</u> 11"	16.6	4



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING 13							TEST BORING 14	L					
DATE DRILLED 11/18/202	24						DATE DRILLED 11/18/20	24					
REMARKS							REMARKS						
DRY TO 19', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 19.5', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
6" TOPSOIL	-	1.					SAND, SILTY, DARK BROWN to	-					
BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to	-			15	2.5	1	BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	-	 . .		14	3.0	1
LOOSE, DRY to MOIST													
	5_			10	3.0	1		5_			11	4.2	1
	10			13	3.8	1	SAND, CLAYEY, DARK BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	10			10	15.5	1
	15			6	4.0	1	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	15			16	5.6	1
	20			9	5.2	1		20			20	3.5	1



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

	2 6.3	1
	1 2.9	1
	9 5.8	1
to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, DRY to MOIST 20 1.7 1 SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, 5 12 2.7 1 MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, DRY to MOIST 2	3 6.8 7 2.0	2 1
DRY TO 20', 12/4/24 D D Samples Vater content % V	Watercontent %	Soil Type
TEST BORING15TEST BORING16DATE DRILLED11/18/2024DATE DRILLED11/18/2024REMARKSREMARKSREMARKSI		

FLYING HORSE EAST ENGINEERING, INC. FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT

TEST BORING 17 DATE DRILLED 11/19/20	24						TEST BORING 18 DATE DRILLED 11/19/2024				
REMARKS	epth (ft)	ymbol	amples	lows per foot	/atercontent %	oil Type	REMARKS	ymbol amples	lows per foot	/atercontent %	oil Type
6" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to		<u>í</u>	Ő	<u> </u>	<u>></u> 2.9	ى تە 1	DRY TO 20', 12/4/24 Image: Comparison of the compariso		<u> </u>	<u>></u> 3.6	<u>رة</u> 1
MOIST	5			22	2.2	1	LOOSE, DRY to MOIST 5		8	1.6	1
	10			22	2.2	1	10		11	2.2	1
	15			17	3.5	1	15		12	4.7	1
	20			20	9.7	1	20		10	2.7	1
							TEST BORING LOGS		,	JOB N 2400	NO. 74
ENGINEERING, INC.							FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT		F	IG.	B-9

TEST BORING 19 DATE DRILLED 11/19/20) 24						TEST BORING 20 DATE DRILLED 11/19/2024					
REMARKS DRY TO 20' 12/4/24	Jepth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	slows per foot	Vatercontent %	soil Type	REMARKS (t) DRY TO 20' 12/4/24	Vatercontent %	soil Type			
6" TOPSOIL SAND, WITH SILT, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to MOIST	5			23 26	2.8 2.4	1	12" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, LOOSE to DENSE, DRY to MOIST 5	9 2.7 9 2.4	1			
	10			28	1.6	1		8 1.5	1			
	15			23	2.4	1		1 5.8	1			
SAND, CLAYEY, BROWN, LOOSE, MOIST	20	// /		8	15.9	1		6 2.1	1			
			I				TEST BORING LOGS	JOB 1 2400	NO. 174			
ENGINEERING, INC.						FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT						

TEST BORING 21 DATE DRILLED 11/19/202	24					TEST BORING 22 DATE DRILLED 11/19/20	24					
REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
6" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to			16	5.1	1	12" TOPSOIL CLAY, SANDY, DARK BROWN, VERY STIFF, MOIST	-			19	5.8	2
DENSE, DRY to MOISI	5		19	2.0	1		5			18	7.3	2
	10 10 10		33	3.7	1	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, DRY to MOIST	10			37	2.8	1
	15		19	2.6	1		15			24	3.2	1
	20		36	1.6	1		20			11	4.2	1



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING 23 DATE DRILLED 11/19/20	24						TEST BORING 24 DATE DRILLED 11/26/20	4)24			
REMARKS DRY TO 18.5', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 19', 12/4/24	Depth (ft) Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
12" TOPSOIL CLAY, WITH SAND, BROWN, VERY	-						24" TOPSOIL SAND, CLAYEY-SILTY, TAN,				
STIFF, MOIST SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to				20	6.9	2	MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, DRY to MOIST		12	1.6	1
BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, DRY to MOIST	5			13	3.1	1			25	1.9	1
	-										
	10			17	3.4	1			40	3.7	1
	-										
	15			27	2.6	1		15	13	6.6	1
	-			10						7.4	
	20			13	6.2	1		20 .	26	7.4	1
4								•			
							FLYING HORSE EAST	3		2400	74
ENGINEERING, INC.							FLYING HORSE DEVELOPM	ENT	F	IG. E	8-12

TEST BORING 25 DATE DRILLED 11/26/2024	4					TEST BORING 26 DATE DRILLED 11/26/2024			
REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Jepth (ft) Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
24" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, MOIST to DRY	5 -	•	15 21	4.4 2.4	1	24" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, DRY to MOIST	30 13	1.8 2.8	1
1	 10 		25	1.6	1		14	3.6	1
1	15		34	1.5	1		30	6.2	1
2	20 -		35	5.9	1	20	36	3.2	1
						TEST BORING LOGS		JOB 1 2400	NO. 74 8 .1 2

TEST BORING 27 DATE DRILLED 11/26/20	24						TEST BORING 28 DATE DRILLED 11/26/2024		
REMARKS DRY TO 20' 12/4/24	Jepth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	slows per foot	Vatercontent %	soil Type	REMARKS	slows per root Vatercontent %	soil Type
6" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	5			12 11	5.3 4.3	1	18" TOPSOIL CLAY, SANDY, BROWN, STIFF, MOIST SAND, SILTY, BROWN to TAN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST to DRY	15 7.8 15 7.5	2
	10			11	3.3	1		14 2.7	1
	15			27	8.0	1		17 3.5	1
	20			28	15.2	1	20 <u>- 1</u> 1	18 5.6	1
							TEST BORING LOGS	JOB 2400	NO.)74
						FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT	FIG. E	3-14	

TEST BORING 29 DATE DRILLED 11/26/20	24						TEST BORING 30 DATE DRILLED 11/26/2024			
REMARKS	Jepth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	slows per foot	Vatercontent %	soil Type	REMARKS	slows per foot	Vatercontent %	Soil Type
6" TOPSOIL SAND. SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to	•		•	ш	>	0)	6" TOPSOIL		>	<u></u>
BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to MOIST	-			11	3.7	1	DENSE to LOOSE, MOIST	13	3.7	1
	5			10	3.9	1	5 -	10	3.1	1
	10			11	4.3	1		5	6.4	1
	15			18	1.7	1		19	4.1	1
	20		•	22	7.0	1	20	13	5.7	1
	C	H					TEST BORING LOGS	J(2	OB N 2400	10. 74
ENGINEERING, INC.							FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT	FI	G. B	8-15

TEST BORING 31 DATE DRILLED 11/26/2024				TEST BORING 32 DATE DRILLED 11/25/20	24			
REMARKS	Symbol Samples	Blows per foot Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
12" TOPSOIL SAND, CLAYEY-SILTY, BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST		15 9.5	1	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST		11	6.8	1
5 SAND, SILTY, TAN, LOOSE to		10 12.9	1			11	3.5	1
MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST		δ /.8	1	SILT, SANDY, GRAY, VERY STIFF to HARD, MOIST		20	4.3	1
15		24 11.6	1			27	23.7	2
20		27 10.1	1		20 -	37	27.4	2
		-						
	NC.			FLYING HORSE EAST	S INT	, F	JOB N 2400 I G. E	10. 74 3-16

TEST BORING 33 DATE DRILLED 11/25/202	24						TEST BORING 3 DATE DRILLED 11/25/2	4 024				
REMARKS	pth (ft)	mbol	mples	ws per foot	atercontent %	il Type	REMARKS	pth (ft)	nbol	mples ws per foot	atercontent %	il Type
DRY TO 19.5', 12/4/24	Del	Syr	Sal	Blo	Wa	Soi	DRY TO 19', 12/4/24	Del	Syr	Bo	Wa	Soi
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to	_						SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to		••••			
BROWN, LOOSE to DENSE, MOIST	_						BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to		ŀŀ			
to DRY	-			14	3.5	1	LOOSE, DRY to MOIST	_		15	2.5	1
	5			Q	20	1		5		7	36	1
	5-			0	3.0	1		5		· ·	5.0	1
	-							-				
	-							-] []			
	-							-				
	10			30	2.6	1		10		9	6.8	1
]										
	-											
	-	.						-				
	15			30	11 0	1		15		11	27	1
	¹³ -	'		30	11.9	1				- ' '	5.7	I
	-							_				
	-	['						-				
	-							-				
	20			33	10.8	1		20		9	4.3	1
	н	l					S			JOB 1 2400	NO. 74	
ENGINEERING, INC.							FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPM	ENT		F	IG. E	8-17

TEST BORING 35 DATE DRILLED 11/25/202	24						TEST BORING 36 DATE DRILLED 11/25/2024				
REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS (1) DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to	-	1.1					SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to	1			
DENSE, MOIST	-			18	3.7	1	LOOSE, MOIST to VERY MOIST		16	3.7	1
	5			12	3.8	1	5 -		12	4.2	1
	-										
	10			13	4.2	1	10		6	5.7	1
	-										
	15			18	4.7	1	15		15	15.6	1
	20			44	3.4	1	20		18	14.3	1
					0.1			•••		11.0	•
	С	Н	I				TEST BORING LOGS		,	JOB N 2400	₩0. 74
ENGINEERING, INC.							FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT		F	IG. E	3-18

IEST BORING 37	~ 4						IEST BORING 38	0.4					
DATE DRILLED 11/25/20	24	1	1				DATE DRILLED 11/25/20	24					
NEMANING	(ft) (lo	oles	s per foot	rcontent %	ype		(ft) ר	loc	oles	s per foot	rcontent %	_ype
	eptł	ymt	amp	;wo	/ate	oil T		eptł	ymt	amp	;wo	/ate	oil T
DRY TO 20', 12/4/24		Ś	ŝ	Β	\$	Ō	DRY TO 19, 12/4/24		Ś.	Ő	В	\$	Ň
BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to LOOSE, MOIST to DRY	-			13	5.8	1	DENSE, DRY to MOIST		•		15	2.5	1
	5			9	3.4	1		5	•		11	3.8	1
	10			8	4.4	1		10	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		19	3.4	1
	15			10	6.4	1	CLAY, SLIGHTLY SANDY, GRAY, STIFF to VERY STIFF, MOIST	15			11	27.1	2
	20			20	2.1	1		20			24	22.4	2



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING 39							TEST BORING 40	
DATE DRILLED 11/25/20	24						DATE DRILLED 11/26/2024	
REMARKS	oth (ft)	nbol	nples	ws per foot	Itercontent %	l Type	BEMARKS ath (ft) mbol mples mples mples I Type I Type	-
DRY TO 19', 12/4/24	Dep	Syr	Sar	Blo	Wa	Soi	DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	
SAND, WITH SILT, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, LOOSE to DENSE, MOIST	-			15	9.3	1	6" TOPSOIL CLAY, SANDY, BROWN, STIFF, MOIST 13 5.0 2	_
	5			9	8.4	1	5 12 15.3 2	
	10			27	3.3	1	SAND, SILTY, TAN, DENSE, MOIST	
	15			22	5.2	1		
	20			32	5.9	1	20 35 5.2 1	
	•	-				•		



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING 41 DATE DRILLED 11/26/20	24						TEST BORING 42 DATE DRILLED 12/2/2024				
REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
CLAY, SANDY, BROWN, VERY	-				-	0,	CLAY, SANDY, BROWN, VERY			/	
STIFF, MOIST	-			19	6.8	2	STIFF, MOIST		16	4.9	2
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	5			12	5.2	1	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST		14	6.3	1
to DRY	10			18	4.8	1			20	4.2	1
	15			15	3.1	1			18	4.8	1
	20			14	2.8	1	20 -	. . 	18	3.0	1
		1									I
ENTECH							TEST BORING LOGS			JOB N 2400	NO. 74
ENGINEERING, INC.							FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT		F	IG. E	3-21

TEST BORING 43 DATE DRILLED 12/2/2020	4						TEST BORING 44 DATE DRILLED 12/2/2024				
REMARKS DRY TO 19.5', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft) Symbol	samples Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MEDIUM	•			Ш	/	0,	SAND, WITH CLAY and SILT,				
DENSE TO DENSE, MOIST	-			14	3.1	1	DENSE, MOIST		17	4.2	1
	5			11	8.9	1		5	15	4.1	1
	-										
	10			11	9.6	1		10	18	4.3	1
	-										
	15			10	4.5	1			29	7.9	1
	-										
	20			44	3.2	1	2	20	36	3.6	1
	I	1				1					
	C	Н	1				TEST BORING LOGS			JOB N 2400	NO. 74
ENGINEERING, INC.							FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMEN	IT	F	IG. E	8-22

TEST BORING 45 DATE DRILLED 12/2/202	TEST BORING 46 DATE DRILLED 12/3/2024												
REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft) Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	
SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE. MOIST	-		•				SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE. MOIST		•				
	-			21	3.1	1				14	3.8	1	
	5			13	4.5	1		5		13	6.3	1	
	•		•						•				
	10			24	55	1			•	10	A E	1	
	-			24	5.5	I			•	13	4.5		
									•				
	15			16	7.3	1		15		12	16.7	1	
	-								•				
	-												
	20			17	4.7	1		20		20	4.1	1	
	I	I				I		1 1	I			ļ	
					TEST BORING LOGS					JOB NO. 240074			
							FIG. B-23						

TEST BORING	47				TEST BORING 48	}					
DATE DRILLED 12/2	2/2024	DATE DRILLED 12/3/202	4								
REMARKS					REMARKS					~	l
DRY TO 19', 12/4/24	Depth (ft) Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 19.5', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per root	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MEDIU	JM	:			SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to						
DENSE, MOIST to DRY		15	3.6	1	TAN, MEDIUM DENSE to LOOSE, DRY to MOIST	-		1	16	2.8	1
	5	16	4.6	1		5		1	13	3.2	1
		18	6.0	1		10			7	4.5	1
		29	2.4	1	SAND, CLAYEY, TAN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	14	26.3	1
	20	25	4.9	1	SILTSTONE, EXTREMELY WEAK, TAN, HIGHLY WEATHERED (SILT, SLIGHTLY SANDY, HARD, MOIST)	20		5	50	18.2	4



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING 49 TEST BORING 50																	
DATE DRILLED 12/2/2020	4	I	I I					12/2/2024	4								
REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	L	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type			
SAND, SILTY, DARK BROWN.		• •			-		SAND, SILTY, BROWN,	LOOSE to				_	-				
MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	-			22	6.9	1	MEDIUM DENSE, DRY	to MOIST	-			9	4.0	1			
	5			15	8.3	1			5			11	2.3	1			
CLAY, WITH SAND, DARK BROWN, VERY STIFF to HARD, MOIST	10			19	9.2	2			10			9	2.9	1			
	15			18	15.7	2			15			9	11.9	1			
	20	1		38	12.1	2			20	1.1.		13	5.9	1			
					TEST BORING LOGS FLYING HORSE EAST							JOB NO. 240074					
						FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT								FIG. B-25			
TEST BORING 51 DATE DRILLED 12/3/202	24					TEST BORING DATE DRILLED 12/	52 3/2024										
--	--------------------	--------	----------------	--------------------	---------------	---	--------------	--------------------	--------	---------------	--------------------	---------------					
REMARKS	epth (ft) ymbol	amples	lows per foot	/atercontent %	oil Type	REMARKS	1	epth (ft) vmhol	amples	lows per foot	/atercontent %	oil Type					
DRY TO 20', 12/4/24 CLAY, SANDY, BROWN, VERY STIFF to STIFF, MOIST		S.	<u>m</u> 17	<u>></u> 5.5	<u>ن</u> 2	DRY TO 20', 12/4/24 SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MED DENSE to LOOSE, DRY to M(UM DIST			面 10	<u>></u> 2.9	<u>ज</u> 1					
SAND, SILTY, TAN, MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, MOIST	5		12	7.4	2			5		9	4.5	1					
			18	3.2	1		1	10 		7	4.1	1					
	15 -	•	20	4.7	1		1	15 .		10	3.5	1					
	20	•	32	5.2	1		2	20		10	3.7	1					
	CH	1					OGS				JOB 1 2400	NO. 74					
ENGINEERIN	G, INC					FLYING HORSE DEVELO	DPMEN	Т		F	IG. E	3-26					

TEST BORING 53							TEST BORING 54	ŀ					
DATE DRILLED 11/27/20	24				•		DATE DRILLED 11/27/20	24					
REMARKS							REMARKS					. 0	
				oot	nt %						oot	nt %	
	(f		s	er f	onte	e		(f		s	er f	onte	ЭС
	ţh (j	lodi	ple	d sv	erco	Ţ		ţh (i	lodi	aldr	d sv	erco	Typ
DRY TO 19', 12/4/24	Dep	Syn	San	Blov	Wat	Soil	DRY TO 19.5', 12/4/24	Dep	Syn	San	Blov	Wat	Soil
SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MEDIUM	_	1. 1. ()			-		6" TOPSOIL	—					
DENSE to LOOSE, DRY to MOIST	_]		10		4	SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MEDIUM	-			10	7.0	4
	-			19	2.8		DENSE to DENSE, DRY to MOIST	-			19	7.0	I
	5			11	5.1	1		5			24	2.7	1
	-							-					
	-							-					
	10			29	5.0	1		10			15	3.2	1
]											
	-							-					
	-							-					
SILT, SANDY, GRAY, HARD, MOIST	15			38	22.4	2		15			38	4.3	1
	-												
	-							-					
SILTSTONE, VERY WEAK, GRAY,	-							-					
WEATHERED (SILT, SANDY, HARD,	20	÷÷		<u>50</u>	21.2	4		20			40	3.9	1
MOIST)				11"									



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING 55 DATE DRILLED 11/27/20	24						TEST BORING 56 DATE DRILLED 12/3/202	6 24			
REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft) Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
12" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, TAN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	-			18	3.4	1	CLAY, SANDY, BROWN, VERY STIFF to STIFF, MOIST		10	8.4	2
	5			15	5.7	1	SAND, SILTY, TAN, MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, DRY to MOIST	5	13	7.8	2
	10			24	4.6	1			21	2.9	1
	15			20	4.1	1			21	3.2	1
	20			15	5.8	1		20	37	3.7	1
							TEST BORING LOG	S		JOB I	NO.

FLYING HORSE EAST

FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT

240074

FIG. B-28

ENTECH

TEST BORING 57	4						TEST BORING 5	8				
DRY TO 20' 12/4/24	Jepth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	slows per foot	Vatercontent %	soil Type	REMARKS	Depth (ft)	Symbol Samples	slows per foot	Vatercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to		0,	5	ш	>	0)	SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MEDIUM		0 0	ш	>	0)
BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	-			16	51	1	DENSE, MOIST			14	4 1	1
	-			10	0.1					17	7.1	•
	5			13	5.0	1		5		12	3.8	1
	10			29	5.3	1				17	7.1	1
	-											
	15]] .		17	6.0	1		15		22	3.7	1
	-											
	20			12	8.6	1		20		19	3.6	1
	C	H	I					S			JOB N 2400	NO. 74
ENGINEERIN	G , 1	NC	•				FLYING HORSE DEVELOPM	ENT		F	IG. E	8-29

TEST BORING 59 DATE DRILLED 12/2/2024 TEST BORING 60 DATE DRILLED 11/27/2024 REMARKS I I III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 DRY TO 19.5, 12/4/24 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 DRY TO 19.5, 12/4/24 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 DRY TO 19.5, 12/4/24 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 DENSE, MOIST III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 DENSE, MOIST III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 DENSE, MOIST III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 SAND, CLAYEY, BROWN, MEDIUM III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, DENSE, DRY to III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, DENSE, DRY to III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 III/27/2024 SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, DENSE, DRY to III/20/200 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>JOB</th> <th>NO.</th>										JOB	NO.
TEST BORING 59 TEST BORING 60 DATE DRILLED 12/2/2024 Remarks 11/27/2024 Remarks 0									T		
TEST BORING DATE DRILLED 59 12/2/2024 TEST BORING DATE DRILLED 60 11/27/2024 REMARKS Image: Constraint of the state of the st		20	/		31	22.6	1	20	31	5.4	1
TEST BORING 59 TEST BORING 60 DATE DRILLED 12/2/2024 REMARKS Image: Constraint of the second	SAND, CLAYEY, GRAY, DENSE, MOIST	15			35	21.9	1		41	5.5	1
TEST BORING DATE DRILLED 59 12/2/2024 TEST BORING DATE DRILLED 60 11/27/2024 REMARKS Image: Construction of the second sec	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, DENSE, DRY	10			32	1.7	1	SAND, SILTY, TAN, DENSE, DRY to	42	2.4	1
TEST BORING 59 TEST BORING 60 DATE DRILLED 12/2/2024 DATE DRILLED 11/27/2024 REMARKS Image: Construction of the structure of	DENSE, MOIST	5			24 13	4.1 6.2	1 1	SAND, CLAYEY, BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	14 10	3.6 4.5	1
TEST BORING59TEST BORING60DATE DRILLED12/2/2024DATE DRILLED11/27/2024REMARKSREMARKSREMARKSImage: Constraint of the second	DRY TO 19.5', 12/4/24 SAND, CLAYEY, BROWN, MEDIUM	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 19', 12/4/24	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
	TEST BORING 59 DATE DRILLED 12/2/2024 REMARKS	4						TEST BORING 60 DATE DRILLED 11/27/2024 REMARKS			



IESI BURING LOGS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT 240074

TEST BORING 61							TEST BORING 62)					
DATE DRILLED 11/27/20	24						DATE DRILLED 11/27/20	24					
REMARKS							REMARKS						
DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MEDIUM							SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN,		•••				
DENSE to DENSE, MOIST to DRY	_			27	3.5	1	MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST				16	7.4	1
	5			25	13.3	1		5			14	3.6	1
	10			25	12.8	1		10			19	9.6	1
	15			31	2.8	1	CLAYSTONE, VERY WEAK, GRAY, WEATHERED (CLAY, SANDY, HARD, MOIST)	15			<u>50</u> 5"	12.2	4
	20			33	12.9	1		20			35	26.5	4



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

							I						
TEST BORING 63	0.4						TEST BORING 64	- 					
DATE DRILLED 11/2//20	24						DATE DRILLED 12/2/202	:4					<u> </u>
DRY TO 20'. 12/4/24	Jepth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Natercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 19.5'. 12/4/24	Jepth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Natercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, WITH SILT, TAN, LOOSE to						•,	SAND, SILTY, BROWN, MEDIUM					-	<u> </u>
MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST to DRY				12	3.8	1	DENSE, MOIST	-			14	3.9	1
	5			9	4.2	1		5			12	4.0	1
	10			12	2.1	1		10			23	9.7	1
	15			11	4.0	1	CLAYSTONE, VERY WEAK, GRAY, WEATHERED (CLAY, SANDY, HARD, MOIST)	15			39	23.9	4
	20			16	15.9	1		20			<u>50</u> 10"	21.7	4



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

	15			24	4.6	1		16	6.5	1
	10			9	2.7	1		24	2.0	1
LOOSE, MOIST	5			13 7	3.0 3.7	1	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST to DRY	17	7.0 3.0	2
DRY TO 20', 12/4/24 SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foo	ی C Watercontent	 Soil Type 	DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	L Blows per foo	2 Watercontent	 Soil Type
DATE DRILLED 11/21/202 REMARKS	24			t	%		TEST BORING 66 DATE DRILLED 11/21/2024 REMARKS	t	%	

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT

TEST BORING 67 DATE DRILLED 11/21/20	,)24						TEST BORING 68 DATE DRILLED 11/21/20	24				
REMARKS DRY TO 19.5', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Jepth (ft)	Symbol	Samples Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST to DRY	-	•[1]				0,	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to LOOSE,		<u>.</u>			0,
	-			24	3.8	1	MOIST			24	3.8	1
	5			15	4.5	1		5		17	3.0	1
	10			18	1.8	1		10		21	5.7	1
	15			12	3.3	1		15	· · · • · • • · • • · • • · •	16	3.0	1
SANDSTONE, VERY WEAK, GRAY, COMPLETELY WEATHERED (SAND, SILTY, DENSE, MOIST)	20			36	13.1	3		20		7	13.2	1
	C	Н					TEST BORING LOG	5			JOB 1 2400	NO. 74
ENGINEERIN	G,	NC					FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPME	NT			FIG. E	3-34

TEST BORING 69							TEST BORING 70)					
DATE DRILLED 11/21/20	24	1				1	DATE DRILLED 11/21/20	24	1				
REMARKS DRY TO 19', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 19.5', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	-			21	3.7	1	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to MOIST				16	1.7	1
	5			22	3.0	1		5			15	4.3	1
	10			21	3.8	1		10			17	4.1	1
SAND, VERY SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	15			15	28.4	1	CLAY, SANDY, LIGHT BROWN, VERY STIFE, MOIST	15			14	3.4	1
SANDSTONE, VERY WEAK, GRAY, COMPLETELY WEATHERED (SAND, SILTY, DENSE, MOIST)	20			36	30.1	3		20			25	24.1	2



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING 71 DATE DRILLED 11/21/202	24						TEST BORING 72 DATE DRILLED 11/21/20	<u>2</u>)24					
REMARKS							REMARKS						
DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 19', 12/4/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN,	-	11.					SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN,	_					
MEDIUM DENSE to LOOSE, MOIST to DRY	-			9	4.1	1	to MOIST	-			20	2.5	1
	5			16	9.9	1		5			12	2.4	1
	10			22	8.2	1		10			15	3.6	1
	15			28	2.7	1		15			30	4.5	1
	20		•	19	2.7	1	CLAY, SANDY, BLACK, MEDIUM STIFF, MOIST	20			5	30.8	2



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

	л							н ри					
DATE DRILLED 12/3/202	+		1				DATE DRILLED 12/3/202	.4					
	epth (ft)	/mbol	amples	ows per foot	atercontent %	oil Type		epth (ft)	/mbol	amples	ows per foot	atercontent %	oil Type
DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	ă	Ś	ů	В	\geq	Ň	DRY TO 19.5', 12/4/24	ă	Ś	ů	В	\geq	Ň
SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST to DRY	-			15	2.5	1	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to LOOSE, DRY				12	3.0	1
	5			13	4.4	1		5			5	2.5	1
	10			15	1.8	1	CLAY, SANDY, BROWN to TAN, VERY STIFF, MOIST	10			17	13.4	2
	15			22	2.7	1		15			17	11.4	2
	20		•	21	12.8	1	SANDSTONE, EXTREMELY WEAK, BROWN, MODERATELY WEATHERED (SAND, SILTY, VERY DENSE, MOIST)	20			<u>50</u> 7"	17.4	3



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING 75							TEST BORING 76	3				
DATE DRILLED 11/14/20	24						DATE DRILLED 11/14/20)24				
REMARKS							REMARKS					
DRY TO 20', 11/14/24	Depth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft) Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
12" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN to BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE, MOIST	-			17	3.6	1	12" TOPSOIL CLAY, SANDY, BROWN, STIFF, MOIST			15	6.3	2
	5		•	22	3.9	1	SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN, MEDIUM DENSE to LOOSE, DRY to MOIST	5		22	2.3	1
	10			19	3.9	1			•	27	1.5	1
	15			28	5.9	1				9	3.6	1
	20			16	6.0	1		20	•	10	4.6	1



FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING 77 DATE DRILLED 11/14/202	24			TEST BORING 78 DATE DRILLED 11/19/2024					
REMARKS DRY TO 20', 12/4/24	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS DRY TO 19', 12/4/24	Depth (ft) Symbol Samples	Blows per foot	Watercontent %	Soil Type
12" TOPSOIL CLAY, SANDY, DARK BROWN, STIFF, MOIST		14	6.7	2	12" TOPSOIL CLAY, SANDY, DARK BROWN, STIFF, MOIST		18	4.9	2
MEDIUM DENSE to LOOSE, DRY to MOIST	5	20	2.7	1	MEDIUM DENSE to DENSE, DRY	5	17	1.7	1
		22	4.4	1			38	1.2	1
		14	3.3	1			16	7.8	1
CLAY, SANDY, DARK BROWN, STIFF, MOIST	20	15	14.0	2		20	18	2.8	1
	СН					S		JOB 1 2400	NO. 174

FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT

TEST BORING 79 DATE DRILLED 11/19/2024							TEST BORING 80 DATE DRILLED 11/19/2024		
REMARKS DRY TO 20'. 12/4/24	Jepth (ft)	Symbol	Samples	Blows per foot	Natercontent %	Soil Type	REMARKS (t) DRY TO 19.5'. 12/4/24	Natercontent %	Soil Type
12" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN,				19	29	1	12" TOPSOIL SAND, SILTY, LIGHT BROWN,	3 3 3	1
MEDIUM DENSE, DRY to MOIST	5			23	2.3	1		5 2.6	1
	.								
	10			23	3.1	1		6 2.8	1
	•								
	15			15	1.3	1		9 1.5	1
	20			16	5.3	1	20 20 1	7 3.3	1
							TEST BORING LOGS	JOB N 2400	NO. 74
						FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT	240074 FIG. B-40		



APPENDIX C: Laboratory Testing Results



 TABLE C-1

 SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SOIL	TEST BORING	DEPTH	WATER	DRY DENSITY (PCE)	PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX		SWELL/ CONSOL		
	NO.	(F1)	(70)	(FCF)	(%)				(VVI 70)	(70)	0303	SOIL DESCRIPTION
1	1	5			25.5			-			SM	SAND, SILTY
1	2	2-3			15.3	29	27	2			SM	SAND, SILTY
1	4	10			23.4						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	5	5			20.1						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	6	2-3			23.8						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	8	2-3			25.5						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	9	10			20.3						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	10	5			7.5	NV	NP	NP	0.00		SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	11	10			29.4						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	13	2-3			22.8						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	14	5			33.4						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	15	10			7.9						SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	17	2-3			28.2	24	20	4			SM	SAND, SILTY
1	18	5			13.4						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	19	10			6.6						SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	20	15			47.2						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	21	10			41.1						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	24	2-3			30.7	22	17	5	<0.01		SC-SM	SAND, CLAYEY-SILTY
1	25	5			29.2						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	26	10			12.4						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	27	5			14.7						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	29	10			17.0						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	30	15			18.6						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	31	5	12.3	109.3	39.9	24	18	6	<0.01	-0.7	SC-SM	SAND, CLAYEY-SILTY
1	32	5	32.1	85.5	28.2					1.8	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	33	2-3			19.9						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	34	5			16.2						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	35	10			47.2						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	36	5			35.4		1		1		SM	SAND, SILTY
1	37	2-3			44.6						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	38	5			25.2						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	39	10	1		10.5	NV	NP	NP	<0.01		SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	43	5			46.2						SM	SAND. SILTY
1	44	15			11.6	23	16	7			SW-SC-SM	SAND, WITH CLAY and SILT

Project: Flying Horse East Client: Flying Horse Development Job No: 240074



SOIL TYPE	TEST BORING NO.	DEPTH (FT)	WATER (%)	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE (%)	liquid Limit	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	SULFATE (WT %)	SWELL/ CONSOL (%)	USCS	SOIL DESCRIPTION
1	45	5			22.3						SM	SAND. SILTY
1	46	10			35.5						SM	SAND. SILTY
1	47	5			18.7						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	50	2-3			31.4						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	52	10			14.3						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	53	5			16.4	NV	NP	NP			SM	SAND, SILTY
1	54	10			16.2						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	55	2-3			20.4						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	57	2-3			35.6						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	58	5			33.7						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	59	5			46.1						SC	SAND, CLAYEY
1	60	5	7.4	104.3	38.0	30	22	8		-2.0	SC	SAND, CLAYEY
1	61	2-3			42.4						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	62	5			12.0						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	63	2-3			11.4						SW-SM	SAND, WITH SILT
1	64	5			19.7						SM	SAND,S ILTY
1	65	5			28.6	NV	NP	NP	0.00		SM	SAND, SILTY
1	66	2-3			71.1				0.00		CL	CLAY, WITH SAND
1	68	5			18.2						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	70	5			18.4						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	71	10	8.9	98.1	40.6					-1.4	SM	SAND, SILTY
1	72	2-3			12.1						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	73	2-3			15.5						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	75	5			33.1						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	77	10			16.3	NV	NP	NP	<0.01		SM	SAND, SILTY
1	79	5			16.4						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	80	10			27.0						SM	SAND, SILTY
1	69	15			48.4	49	39	10			SM	SAND, SILTY
2	76	2-3	9.8	102.2	64.8	30	22	8	0.00	0.1	CL	CLAY, SANDY
2	3	5	24.1	99.2	76.2					0.6	CL	CLAY, WITH SAND
2	7	5	9.7	103.3	68.4	29	21	8		-0.8	CL	CLAY, SANDY
2	16	2-3	7.9	98.2	73.7	26	19	7	<0.01	-1.5	CL	CLAY, WITH SAND
2	22	5	8.5	103.7	68.5	30	21	9		0.2	CL	CLAY, SANDY
2	23	2-3	8.3	105.4	71.3					0.9	CL	CLAY, WITH SAND
2	28	2-3			59.9						CL	CLAY, SANDY
2	32	20			53.7	60	43	17	0.00		ML	SILT, SANDY
2	38	15	27.0	89.8	84.2	47	23	24	<0.01	-0.5	CL	CLAY, SLIGHTLY SANDY

Project: Flying Horse East Client: Flying Horse Development Job No: 240074



SOIL TYPE	TEST BORING NO.	DEPTH (FT)	WATER (%)	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	PASSING NO. 200 SIEVE (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	SULFATE (WT %)	SWELL/ CONSOL (%)	USCS	SOIL DESCRIPTION
2	40	5			66.9						CL	CLAY, SANDY
2	41	2-3			65.5						CL	CLAY, SANDY
2	42	2-3			54.6	26	18	8			CL	CLAY, SANDY
2	49	10			74.6	36	23	13			CL	CLAY, WITH SAND
2	51	5			66.1						CL	CLAY, SANDY
2	56	5			69.5						CL	CLAY, SANDY
2	64	15	31.1	88.1	59.4					0.9	CL	CLAY, SANDY
2	74	10			69.0						CL	CLAY, SANDY
2	78	2-3	7.6	104.7	53.2					-0.9	CL	CLAY, SANDY
3	67	20			35.9	NV	NP	NP	0.00		SM	SANDSTONE (SAND, SILTY)
4	12	20			66.4				0.00		ML	SILTSTONE (SILT, SANDY)
4	48	20			87.7	NV	NP	NP			ML	SILTSTONE (SILT, SLIGHTLY SANDY)
4	62	20			61.3						CL	CLAYSTONE (CLAY, SANDY)



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.9%
20	94.5%
40	77.4%
100	43.0%
200	25.5%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	93.4%
10	66.8%
20	39.8%
40	27.7%
100	18.1%
200	15.3%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	27
Liquid Limit	29
Plastic Index	2

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	96.5%
40	78.4%
100	38.5%
200	23.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING 5 DEPTH (FT) 5

SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY SOIL TYPE 1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	95.8%
40	73.6%
100	32.0%
200	20.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	6	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.5%
20	86.6%
40	66.1%
100	36.3%
200	23.8%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.9%
20	94.9%
40	75.5%
100	35.8%
200	25.5%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	100.0%
3/8"	98.8%
4	91.9%
10	80.8%
20	71.0%
40	58.3%
100	28.7%
200	20.3%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.7%
20	89.3%
40	43.3%
100	12.7%
200	7.5%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.8%
98.4%
84.7%
46.7%
29.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	13	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.8%
88.8%
71.6%
36.9%
22.8%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.6%
20	92.2%
40	77.6%
100	47.3%
200	33.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

<u>TEST BORING</u>	15	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, WITH SILT
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	10	SOIL TYPE 1



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	94.6%
10	75.7%
20	43.7%
40	23.8%
100	10.6%
200	7.9%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	17	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	Finer
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	98.8%
20	87.7%
40	75.5%
100	46.7%
200	28.2%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	20
Liquid Limit	24
Plastic Index	4

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	96.9%
20	78.0%
40	54.7%
100	25.3%
200	13.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	93.5%
10	64.9%
20	40.5%
40	24.1%
100	9.1%
200	6.6%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.9%
20	96.8%
40	90.8%
100	67.5%
200	47.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.8%
20	92.8%
40	78.5%
100	47.3%
200	41.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074
TEST BORING	24	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, CLAYEY-SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



0.5.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	99.3%
10	86.6%
20	57.9%
40	49.3%
100	37.8%
200	30.7%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	17
Liquid Limit	22
Plastic Index	5

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SC-SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	100.0%
3/8"	99.0%
4	99.0%
10	93.4%
20	75.3%
40	64.1%
100	42.9%
200	29.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	98.9%
10	91.0%
20	69.1%
40	49.9%
100	21.4%
200	12.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
95.2%
75.3%
51.8%
23.2%
14.7%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



1

Grain size (mm)

0.1

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

10

10% 0% 100

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	97.1%
20	78.2%
40	60.1%
100	30.3%
200	17.0%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

0.01





Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
98.8%
72.3%
57.4%
31.2%
18.6%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, CLAYEY-SILTY SOIL TYPE 1



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	95.2%
40	77.4%
100	50.7%
200	39.9%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	18
Liquid Limit	24
Plastic Index	6

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SC-SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.6%
90.7%
70.4%
39.7%
28.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	37	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.9%
20	93.4%
40	79.4%
100	54.9%
200	44.6%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	33	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	99.7%
10	96.7%
20	85.4%
40	65.9%
100	31.4%
200	19.9%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.4%
81.7%
59.3%
27.2%
16.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	35	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	10	SOIL TYPE 1



U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.4%
20	92.7%
40	80.4%
100	58.9%
200	47.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.7%
20	96.0%
40	83.6%
100	51.0%
200	35.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	99.4%
10	98.2%
20	92.3%
40	72.1%
100	38.0%
200	25.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	Finer
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	96.7%
10	73.0%
20	39.1%
40	23.9%
100	12.9%
200	10.5%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Percent
Finer
100.0%
99.6%
98.2%
86.4%
60.0%
46.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





0.5.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	97.2%
20	73.7%
40	46.7%
100	17.4%
200	11.6%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	16
Liquid Limit	23
Plastic Index	7

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SC-SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

1

0.1

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

10

100

U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	Finer
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	98.5%
40	87.5%
100	40.1%
200	22.3%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

0.01



1

Grain size (mm)



10

30% 20% 10% 0% 100

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	97.5%
40	80.7%
100	48.0%
200	35.5%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

#200 •

0.01

0.1

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



1

Grain size (mm)

0.1

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

10

10% 0% 100

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	99.3%
40	74.8%
100	32.4%
200	18.7%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

0.01

TEST BORING	50	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
97.7%
82.6%
68.0%
41.5%
31.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.6%
93.3%
70.1%
27.8%
14.3%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	97.2%
20	86.0%
40	60.1%
100	25.9%
200	16.4%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.8%
84.7%
57.2%
27.1%
16.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	55	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
97.3%
73.3%
33.7%
20.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	57	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	99.3%
40	91.7%
100	52.6%
200	35.6%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	94.8%
40	76.0%
100	45.3%
200	33.7%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.2%
90.8%
76.3%
55.2%
46.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SC



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



<u>GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS</u>

U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.1%
20	93.7%
40	76.1%
100	50.5%
200	38.0%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	22
Liquid Limit	30
Plastic Index	8

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SC



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	61	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	99.2%
10	90.9%
20	80.7%
40	70.7%
100	55.0%
200	42.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	95.3%
20	89.4%
40	72.9%
100	31.5%
200	12.0%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	63	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, WITH SILT
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	96.8%
20	94.7%
40	83.6%
100	31.4%
200	11.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SW-SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	Finer
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.6%
20	92.6%
40	74.9%
100	36.6%
200	19.7%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	99.2%
40	90.5%
100	51.6%
200	28.6%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.4%
20	98.2%
40	95.1%
100	86.8%
200	71.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.8%
84.7%
58.8%
29.0%
18.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074


U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.6%
20	91.3%
40	69.4%
100	33.6%
200	18.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	99.5%
10	98.5%
20	97.0%
40	84.1%
100	55.1%
200	40.6%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	72	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
DEPTH (FT)	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	98.9%
10	97.6%
20	80.2%
40	54.6%
100	22.8%
200	12.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	73	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 1



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	100.0%
4	99.6%
10	97.8%
20	83.4%
40	62.2%
100	26.6%
200	15.5%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	93.4%
40	77.6%
100	47.6%
200	33.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.3%
20	87.0%
40	57.6%
100	25.7%
200	16.3%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.3%
20	89.3%
40	64.3%
100	29.3%
200	16.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.3%
20	83.7%
40	64.8%
100	37.4%
200	27.0%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.7%
20	99.4%
40	95.2%
100	70.6%
200	48.4%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	39
Liquid Limit	49
Plastic Index	10

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.9%
20	99.3%
40	96.2%
100	79.8%
200	64.8%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	22
Liquid Limit	30
Plastic Index	8

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

<u>TEST BORING</u> DEPTH (FT)

3

5

SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, WITH SAND SOIL TYPE 2



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	98.9%
20	96.2%
40	92.9%
100	83.5%
200	76.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

<u>TEST BORING</u> DEPTH (FT)

7

5

SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY SOIL TYPE 2



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.8%
20	96.7%
40	90.9%
100	79.6%
200	68.4%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	21
Liquid Limit	29
Plastic Index	8

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	98.6%
40	95.7%
100	85.0%
200	73.7%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	19
Liquid Limit	26
Plastic Index	7

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY SOIL TYPE 2



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	99.0%
40	94.8%
100	81.8%
200	68.5%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	21
Liquid Limit	30

Plastic Index 9

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	23	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, WITH SAND
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 2



U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.7%
20	98.5%
40	95.7%
100	84.2%
200	71.3%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	28	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	<u>SOIL TYPE</u> 2



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.8%
20	98.1%
40	91.3%
100	71.4%
200	59.9%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	Finer
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	
20	100.0%
40	98.0%
100	70.1%
200	53.7%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	43
Liquid Limit	60
Plastic Index	17

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: ML



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

38

15

SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SLIGHTLY SANDY SOIL TYPE 2



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	
20	100.0%
40	99.2%
100	96.1%
200	84.2%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	23
Liquid Limit	47
Plastic Index	24

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



1

Grain size (mm)

0.1

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

10

20% 10% 0%

U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.6%
20	96.7%
40	93.5%
100	79.9%
200	66.9%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

0.01

TEST BORING	41	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	<u>SOIL TYPE</u> 2



U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	99.1%
40	93.9%
100	77.5%
200	65.5%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	42	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 2



U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.8%
20	96.0%
40	87.6%
100	69.7%
200	54.6%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	18
Liquid Limit	26
Plastic Index	8

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	100.0%
20	99.8%
40	98.2%
100	91.4%
200	74.6%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	23
Liquid Limit	36
Plastic Index	13

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY SOIL TYPE 2



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
98.5%
96.3%
84.9%
66.1%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY SOIL TYPE 2



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.8%
99.2%
96.4%
84.1%
69.5%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.6%
97.2%
78.1%
59.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



Grain size (mm)

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.2%
95.7%
91.7%
80.9%
69.0%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	78	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	<u>SOIL TYPE</u> 2



Percent
<u>Finer</u>
100.0%
99.8%
96.8%
86.3%
64.8%
53.2%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	<u>Finer</u>
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	100.0%
10	99.2%
20	96.4%
40	91.5%
100	63.2%
200	35.9%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: SM



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
<u>Sieve #</u>	Finer
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	
20	100.0%
40	99.7%
100	93.2%
200	66.4%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: ML



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	Finer
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	
20	100.0%
40	99.5%
100	97.9%
200	87.7%

ATTERBERG LIMITS

Plastic Limit	NP
Liquid Limit	NV
Plastic Index	NP

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: ML



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074





U.S.	Percent
Sieve #	Finer
3"	
1 1/2"	
3/4"	
1/2"	
3/8"	
4	
10	
20	100.0%
40	99.1%
100	77.4%
200	61.3%

SOIL CLASSIFICATION

USCS CLASSIFICATION: CL



LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	31	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, CLAYEY-SILTY
DEPTH (FT)	5	SOIL TYPE 1



SWELL/COLLAPSE TEST RESULTS

NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	109
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	12.3%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	-0.7%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	32	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	20	SOIL TYPE 1



SWELL/COLLAPSE TEST RESULTS

NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	86
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	32.1%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	1.8%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	60
DEPTH (FT)	5

SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, CLAYEY SOIL TYPE 1



SWELL/COLLAPSE TEST RESULTS

NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	104
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	7.4%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	-2.0%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	71	SOIL DESCRIPTION SAND, SILTY
DEPTH (FT)	10	<u>SOIL TYPE</u> 1



SWELL/COLLAPSE TEST RESULTS

NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	98
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	8.9%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	-1.4%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	76	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY
DEPTH (FT)	2-3	SOIL TYPE 2



SWELL/COLLAPSE TEST RESULTS

NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	102
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	9.8%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	0.1%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074
TEST BORING	3	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, WITH SAND
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	5	<u>SOIL TYPE</u> 2



NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	99
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	24.1%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	0.6%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	
DEPTH (FT)	

7

5

SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY SOIL TYPE 2



SWELL/COLLAPSE TEST RESULTS

NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	103
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	9.7%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	-0.8%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	16	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, WITH SAND
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 2



NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	98
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	7.9%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	-1.5%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	22
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	5

SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY SOIL TYPE 2



SWELL/COLLAPSE TEST RESULTS

NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	104
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	8.5%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	0.2%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	23	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, WITH SAND
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 2



NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	105
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	8.3%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	0.9%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	38	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SLIGHTLY SANDY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	15	<u>SOIL TYPE</u> 2



NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	90
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	27.0%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	-0.5%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	64	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY
DEPTH (FT)	15	SOIL TYPE 2



NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	88
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	31.1%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	0.9%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074

TEST BORING	78	SOIL DESCRIPTION CLAY, SANDY
<u>DEPTH (FT)</u>	2-3	SOIL TYPE 2



NATURAL UNIT DRY WEIGHT (PCF):	105
NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT:	7.6%
SWELL/COLLAPSE (%):	-0.9%



SWELL TEST RESULTS

FLYING HORSE EAST FLYING HORSE DEVELOPMENT JOB NO. 240074



APPENDIX D: Nova Tech Test Well July 8, 1985, Well Log

W IR 25 77				E AD		
COLORADO DIVISI TRIE FORM MAIST BE SUBMITTED 1313 Sherm			/ISION O terman Str	F WATER RESOURCE		
WITHIN O		OF COMPLETION De	enver, Colo	Colorado 80203 MAY 1 4 1996		
OF THE WORK DESCRIBED HERE. ON, TYPE OR PRINT IN BLACK WELL COMPLETION A INK. PERMIT NUN				MP INSTALLATION REPORT WATER RESOURCES		
WELL ON	WNER_	Shirley L. Brown		% of the% of Sec,		
	12	19 Lake Plaza Dr., Suite A				
ADURES	5 <u> </u>	Dorado Springs, CO 80906		1.14 <u>2</u> , n. <u>64</u> , <u> </u>		
DATE CO	OMPLET	FED July 8	, 19 <u>85</u>	HOLE DIAMETER		
		WELL LOG		<u>15</u> in. from <u>0</u> to <u>1190</u> ft.		
From	To	Type and Color of Material	Water Loc.	in, from to ft.		
o	100	Sand, Eolian deposit		in. from to ft. DRILLING METHOD		
100	. 225	Denver formation		CASING RECORD: Plain Casing		
	555	sandstone 120-140		See Attachment to ft.		
		200-210, 235-240; shale 100-120, 140-200,		Size & kind from to ft.		
		210-235, 240-335		Size & kind from to ft.		
335	720	Arapahoe formation				
		590-600, 640-650, 700-720		Perforated Casing See Attachment		
		shale 350-410, 425-590,		Size & kind from to ft.		
720	10 1 0	Laramie Shale formation.		Size & kind from to ft.		
1010	1180	Laramie Fox Hills formation sand, fine grained		Size & kind from to ft.		
		1010-1100; sand and interbedded shale				
		1100-1180		GROUTING RECORD		
				Material = 0 = 1030		
		Geophysical log		Recompost Mathed Resitive dignlagement		
		submitted previously		Hatement method <u>restarys argenents</u>		
				GRAVEL PACK: Size <u>14-20</u>		
:				Interval 1010-1190		
				TEST DATA		
				Date Tested August 13-14 1985		
				Static Water Level Prior to Test		
				Type of Test Pump Submersible		
				Length of Test24_hours		
				Sustained Yield (Metered) <u>60</u>		
1 1	Use a	additional pages necessary to complete log.		Final Pumping Water Level800		

. K



APPENDIX E: Soil Survey Descriptions

2—Ascalon sandy loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 367q Elevation: 5,500 to 6,500 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 47 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 130 to 150 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Ascalon and similar soils: 98 percent Minor components: 2 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Ascalon

Setting

Landform: Flats Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Mixed alluvium and/or eolian deposits

Typical profile

A - 0 to 8 inches: sandy loam Bt - 8 to 21 inches: sandy clay loam BC - 21 to 27 inches: sandy loam Ck1 - 27 to 48 inches: sandy loam Ck2 - 48 to 60 inches: loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water
(Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 10 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e

JSDA

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R069XY026CO - Sandy Plains Other vegetative classification: SANDY PLAINS (069BY026CO) Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: 1 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Data Source Information



3—Ascalon sandy loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2tlny Elevation: 3,870 to 5,960 feet Mean annual precipitation: 13 to 18 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 54 degrees F Frost-free period: 95 to 155 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Ascalon and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Ascalon

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Wind-reworked alluvium and/or calcareous sandy eolian deposits

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 6 inches: sandy loam Bt1 - 6 to 12 inches: sandy clay loam Bt2 - 12 to 19 inches: sandy clay loam Bk1 - 19 to 35 inches: fine sandy loam Bk2 - 35 to 80 inches: fine sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 9 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Medium Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 5.98 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of flooding: None Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 10 percent Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.1 to 1.9 mmhos/cm) Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0 Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 6e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R067BY024CO - Sandy Plains Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Olnest

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R067BY024CO - Sandy Plains Hydric soil rating: No

Vona

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R067BY024CO - Sandy Plains Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

8—Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369v Elevation: 4,600 to 5,800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 48 degrees F Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Blakeland and similar soils: 98 percent Minor components: 2 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Blakeland

Setting

Landform: Flats, hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or eolian deposits derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 11 inches: loamy sand AC - 11 to 27 inches: loamy sand C - 27 to 60 inches: sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 9 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (5.95 to 19.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

JSDA

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Data Source Information



10—Blendon sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3671 Elevation: 6,000 to 6,800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 48 degrees F Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Blendon and similar soils: 98 percent Minor components: 2 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Blendon

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans, terraces Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Sandy alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

A - 0 to 10 inches: sandy loam Bw - 10 to 36 inches: sandy loam C - 36 to 60 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Low Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 2 percent Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

JSDA

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Data Source Information



11—Bresser sandy loam, cool, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2tlph
Elevation: 5,850 to 6,880 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F
Frost-free period: 100 to 130 days
Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated and the product of I (soil erodibility) x C (climate factor) does not exceed 60

Map Unit Composition

Bresser, cool, and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Bresser, Cool

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Tertiary aged alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 5 inches: sandy loam Bt1 - 5 to 8 inches: sandy loam Bt2 - 8 to 27 inches: sandy clay loam Bt3 - 27 to 36 inches: sandy loam C - 36 to 80 inches: loamy coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.1 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4c Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Truckton

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

Yoder

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Alluvial fans Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R049XY214CO - Gravelly Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

12—Bresser sandy loam, cool, 3 to 5 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2tlpd
Elevation: 6,300 to 6,800 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 13 to 19 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 50 degrees F
Frost-free period: 125 to 140 days
Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated and the product of I (soil erodibility) x C (climate factor) does not exceed 60

Map Unit Composition

Bresser, cool, and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Bresser, Cool

Setting

Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Tertiary aged alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 5 inches: sandy loam Bt1 - 5 to 8 inches: sandy loam Bt2 - 8 to 27 inches: sandy clay loam Bt3 - 27 to 36 inches: sandy loam C - 36 to 80 inches: loamy coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 5 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.1 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Truckton

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

Yoder

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Alluvial fans Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R049XY214CO - Gravelly Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

96—Truckton sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2yvrd
Elevation: 5,400 to 7,000 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 23 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 52 degrees F
Frost-free period: 90 to 155 days
Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated and the product of

I (soil erodibility) x C (climate factor) does not exceed 60

Map Unit Composition

Truckton and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Truckton

Setting

Landform: Fan remnants, interfluves Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Wind re-worked alluvium derived from arkose

Typical profile

A - 0 to 4 inches: sandy loam Bt1 - 4 to 12 inches: sandy loam Bt2 - 12 to 19 inches: sandy loam C - 19 to 80 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 1 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.1 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e *Hydrologic Soil Group:* A *Ecological site:* R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill *Hydric soil rating:* No

Minor Components

Blakeland

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Hills, interfluves Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder, backslope, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest Down-slope shape: Convex, linear Across-slope shape: Convex, linear Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

Bresser

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Terraces, interfluves Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant, frequently ponded

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Closed depressions Down-slope shape: Concave, linear Across-slope shape: Concave Ecological site: R067BY010CO - Closed Depression Hydric soil rating: Yes

Urban land

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Ellicott, occasionally flooded

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Drainageways, flood plains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave, linear Ecological site: R067BY031CO - Sandy Bottomland Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

100—Truckton-Bresser complex, eroded

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 3672 Elevation: 6,300 to 7,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Truckton, eroded, and similar soils: 60 percent *Bresser, eroded, and similar soils:* 35 percent *Minor components:* 5 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Truckton, Eroded

Setting

Landform: Flats, hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or arkosic residuum weathered from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 8 inches: sandy loam Bt - 8 to 24 inches: sandy loam C - 24 to 60 inches: coarse sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 9 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (1.98 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

JSDA

Description of Bresser, Eroded

Setting

Landform: Hills, flats Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or arkosic residuum weathered from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 8 inches: sandy loam Bt - 8 to 27 inches: sandy clay loam BC - 27 to 36 inches: sandy loam C - 36 to 60 inches: loamy coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 9 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Medium Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: 4 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Data Source Information

116—Udic Haplusterts

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2qnmp Elevation: 5,500 to 6,500 feet Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 49 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 115 to 145 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Udic haplusterts, ponded, and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Udic Haplusterts, Ponded

Setting

Landform: Closed depressions Landform position (three-dimensional): Dip Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 5 inches: clay Bw - 5 to 17 inches: clay Bss1 - 17 to 28 inches: clay Bss2 - 28 to 36 inches: clay Bss3 - 36 to 68 inches: clay

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Moderately well drained
Runoff class: Negligible
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to low (0.00 to 0.01 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: Occasional
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 2 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 12.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3c Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4c *Hydrologic Soil Group:* D *Ecological site:* R067BY010CO - Closed Depression *Hydric soil rating:* No

Minor Components

Feterita, ponded

Percent of map unit: 9 percent Landform: Closed depressions Landform position (three-dimensional): Dip Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Ecological site: R067BY010CO - Closed Depression Other vegetative classification: Clayey Plains #42 (067XY042CO_2) Hydric soil rating: Yes

Pachic haplustolls

Percent of map unit: 6 percent Landform: Closed depressions, terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Dip Down-slope shape: Concave, linear Across-slope shape: Concave, linear Ecological site: R067BY010CO - Closed Depression Other vegetative classification: LOAMY FOOTHILLS (048AY284CO) Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information