TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

For

Prairie Ridge Rezones &

PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan El Paso County, Colorado

PCD File No. P2313, P2314, P2316 & SP239

November 2023

Prepared for:

Classic SRJ, LLC 2138 Flying Horse Club Drive Colorado Springs, Colorado 80921

Prepared by:



8700 Turnpike Drive, Suite 240 Westminster, Colorado 80031 (303) 458-9798

6 South Tejon Street, Suite 618 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 203-6639

> Project Engineer/Manager: Mike Rocha, Principal Brandon Wilson, EIT

Engineer in Responsible Charge: Fred Lantz, PE

23410 11/30/2023

23-061924

Traffic Engineer's Statement

The attached traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.

Just Last	11/30/2023
Fred Lantz, P.E. #23410	Date
<u>Developer's Statement</u>	
I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all co	ommitments made on my behalf within this report.
Classic Communities 6385 Corporate Drive, Suite 200	Date
Colorado Springs, CO 80919	

Table of Contents

I. Introduction	1
Project Overview Study Area Boundaries Site Description Existing and Committed Surface Transportation Network	1 1
II. Existing Traffic Conditions	
Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Existing Traffic Existing Traffic Analysis Results	8
III. Future Traffic Conditions Without Proposed Development	9
Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Background TrafficBackground Traffic Analysis Results – Year 2027Background Traffic Analysis Results – Year 2040	12
IV. Proposed Project Traffic	14
Trip Generation	15
V. Future Traffic Conditions With Proposed Developments	19
Total Traffic Auxiliary Lane Analysis	19
VI. Project Impacts	22
Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Total Traffic Total Traffic Analysis Results Upon Development Build-Out Queue Length Analysis Recommended Improvements	22 24 25
VII. Conclusion	28

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Location	3
Figure 2 – Preliminary Plan	
Figure 3 – Existing Traffic Volumes & Intersection Geometry	
Figure 4 – Background Traffic Volumes & Intersection Geometry – Year 2027	10
Figure 5 – Background Traffic Volumes & Intersection Geometry – Year 2040	
Figure 6A – Distribution and Site Generated Assignment – Year 2027	
Figure 6B – Distribution and Site Generated Assignment – Year 2040	18
Figure 7 – Total Traffic Volumes & Intersection Geometry – Year 2027	20
Figure 8 – Total Traffic Volumes & Intersection Geometry – Year 2040	21
Table 1 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Existing Traffic	
Table 1 Intersection Conscity Analysis Cummany Existing Traffic	c
Table 2 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Background Traffic – Year 2027	
Table 3 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Background Traffic – Year 2040	
Table 4 – Trip Generation Rates	
Table 5 – Trip Generation Summary	
Table 6 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Total Traffic – Year 2027	
	2/
Table 7 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Total Traffic – Year 2040	
Table 7 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Total Traffic – Year 2040 Table 8 – Turn Lane Queues and Storage Requirements – Total Traffic – Year 2040 Table 9 – Recommended Improvements Summary	26

Appendices

APPENDIX A	TRAFFIC COUNT DATA
APPENDIX B	LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS
APPENDIX C	CAPACITY WORKSHEETS

I. Introduction

Project Overview

This traffic impact study is provided as a planning document and addresses the capacity, geometric, and control requirements associated with the preliminary plan for the development entitled PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan (Jaynes Property). This traffic impact study is also provided as an update to the master traffic impact study associated with the sketch plan prepared for Jaynes Property. Add that Preliminary Plan is only

This proposed mixed-use development consists of a variety of residential, neighborhood commercial and park land uses. The 142-acre development is located along the west side of Vollmer Road between Poco Road and Dines Boulevard in El Paso County, Colorado.

108.89 acres of the overall 142 acres.

Grand Peak Academy, located on Cowpoke

Rd, is within two miles of the project location.

Provide a discussion of the impact the

Study Area Boundaries

The study area to be examined in this and subdivision would have for any potential

Poco Road, Briargate Parkway (future) an pedestrian routes in the area. intersection with the key site development roadway (ruture) and the right-in/right-out commercial access (future).

Consistent with Section B.2.3.B of Appendix B – Transportation Impact Study Guidelines from the County's Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM)², the study area did not extend south towards Marksheffel Road since the development's trip distribution pattern does not anticipate much, if any, site traffic traveling to/from Marksheffel Road.

Figure 1 illustrates location of the site and study intersections.

Site Description

Land for the development is vacant and surrounded predominately by existing or proposed residential land uses.

The proposed development's preliminary plan remains conceptual and not all land uses have been finalized. However, there is understood to be a maximum capacity for 450 single-family dwelling units (approximately 230 single-family detached housing dwelling units and 220 single-family attached housing dwelling units) and 4.5 acres of commercial land uses (approximately 30,000 square feet of commercial land use assuming an FAR of 0.15).

¹ Jaynes Property: Traffic Impact Study, SM ROCHA, LLC, January 19, 2023.

² El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, El Paso County, October 2020.


Add sight distance for every access and whether it can be met for proposed conditions.

Considering the conceptual nature of the proposed development, nuture access will generally include two access drives along future Briargate Parkway as well as roadways aligning with the existing intersections along Vollmer Road. For purposes of this analysis, primary points of entry to the overall development area are provided at the following locations:

- One full-movement access serving as the west leg of the Vollmer Road and (future) Sam Bass Drive intersection. Sam Bass Drive is located approximately 1,400 feet north of (future) Briargate Parkway.
- One full-movement access serving as the west leg of the Briargate Parkway and Vollmer Road intersection.
- One full-movement access serving as the west leg of the Vollmer Road and Dines Boulevard intersection. Dines Boulevard is located approximately 1,000 feet south of (future) Briargate Parkway. Access movements may be restricted to right-in/right-out or three-quarter movement due to geometric or design constraints.
- One full-movement accesses on (future) Briargate Parkway serving as the north/south roadway connection to proposed development (referred to as Street A). Street A is located approximately 1,000 feet west of Vollmer Road.
- One right-in/right-out access on (future) Briargate Parkway serving the commercial portion of the development (referred to as Commercial Access). Commercial Access is approximately 500 feet west of Vollmer Road.


For purposes of this study, it is anticipated that development construction would be phased, with initial development phasing assumed to be completed by Year 2027, while total development build-out is assumed to be completed by Year 2040.

A preliminary plan, as prepared by N.E.S. Inc., is shown in Figure 2. This plan is provided for illustrative purposes only.





PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan Traffic Impact Study Figure 1 SITE LOCATION





PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan

Traffic Impact Study

Existing and Committed Surface Transportation Network

Within the study area, Vollmer Road and Briargate Parkway are the primary roadways that will accommodate traffic to and from the proposed development. A secondary roadway includes Poco Road. A brief description of each roadway, based on the County's 2016 Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP)³ and ECM, as well as the City of Colorado Springs' Major Thoroughfare Plan⁴, is provided below:

<u>Vollmer Road</u> is a north-south, minor arterial roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction) with shared turn lanes at the intersections within the study area. Vollmer Road provides a posted speed limit of 45 MPH.

Pursuant to the County's 2040 MTCP Roadway Plan, Vollmer Road is envisioned to be widened from two to four through lanes from Briargate Parkway to Marksheffel Road and remain as a two through lane roadway north of Briargate Parkway. Recently approved traffic studies^{5,6} for area development on the east side of Vollmer Road have proposed a modification to the MTCP Roadway Plan and recommend the widening of Vollmer Road to four through lanes between Briargate Parkway to Poco Road. The intermediate vision of Vollmer Road would remain as a two-lane roadway north of Poco Road and remain two lanes through the industrial segment south of Dines Boulevard and north of Sterling Ranch Filing 2.

<u>Briargate Parkway</u> is a future east-west, four-lane principal arterial roadway. Briargate Parkway design plans, for the portion east of Vollmer Road to Sterling Ranch Road, are understood to be under County review as of this study date. The Briargate Parkway extension west of Vollmer Road to Black Forest Road, and ultimately to N Powers Boulevard, will be completed through various future private development or public improvement projects. Briargate Parkway is envisioned to provide a posted speed limit of 45 MPH.

<u>Poco Road</u> is an east-west roadway having two through lanes (one lane in each direction) with shared turn lanes at the intersection within the study area. The County's MTCP does not provide a roadway classification for Poco Road. However, per Sections 2.2.4 and 2.3.2 of the County's ECM, the roadway's estimated right-of-way (ROW) width and its connection to Vollmer Road, Poco Road is assumed to be classified as a collector roadway with a posted speed limit of 35 MPH.

The study intersections along Vollmer Road stop-controlled intersection is defined as a round controlled by one or more "STOP" signs.

Beyond that described in this section, no other regional or specific improvements for the above-described roadways are known to be planned or committed at this time.

³ El Paso County 2016 Major Transportation Corridors Plan Update, Felsburg Holt & Ullevig, December 2016.

⁴ Major Thoroughfare Plan, City of Colorado Springs, August 2011.

⁵ The Retreat at Timber Ridge Preliminary Plan Transportation Memorandum, LSC Transportation Consultants Inc., June 29, 2018.

⁶ Homestead North Phase 1 Updated Traffic Impact Study, LSC Transportation Consultants Inc., January 11, 2022.

II. Existing Traffic Conditions

Morning (AM) and afternoon (PM) peak hour traffic counts were collected at the following intersections:

- Vollmer Road / Poco Road
- Vollmer Road / Dines Boulevard

Note: Per ECM Section B.3.1, counts should be no more than 1 year old from date of submittal. Updated counts will be needed for TIS submitted with final plat submittal.

Average daily (24-hour) traffic volumes for study areas were derived from collected intersection peak hour volumes using standard average daily traffic volume conversion relationships or from adjacent traffic studies as earlier referenced.

Peak hour traffic counts and 24-hour traffic volumes mentioned above were obtained from the previous Jaynes Property master traffic impact study. Counts were collected on Thursday, March 24, 2022, with AM peak hour counts being collected during the period of 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM, and PM peak hour counts being collected during the period of 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM.


In order to represent traffic volumes during existing conditions (Year 2023), these counts were grown one year at a conservative annual growth rate of seven percent. In comparison to population growth estimates provided by the Pikes Peak Area Council of Governments' (PPACG) 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan⁷, this annual growth rate is aggressive but is considered to be consistent with long-term regional growth projections and the level of in-fill development expected within the area.

Existing volumes and intersection geometry are shown in Figure 3. Referenced traffic count data is included for reference in Appendix A.

Traffic counts are more than one year old. Explain your reasoning or method for choosing seven percent growth.

_

⁷ Moving Forward 2045: Pikes Peak Area Regional Transportation Plan, Pikes Peak Area Council of Governments, January 2020.





PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan Traffic Impact Study

AM / PM Peak Hour (ADT): Average Daily Traffic

Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Existing Traffic

The Signalized, Unsignalized, and Roundabout Intersection Analysis techniques, as published in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) by the Transportation Research Board and as incorporated into the SYNCHRO computer program, were used to analyze the study intersections for existing and future traffic conditions. These nationally accepted techniques allow for the determination of intersection level of service (LOS) based on the congestion and delay of each traffic movement and based on the volume to capacity ratio and control delay for each approach.

Level of service is a method of measurement used by transportation professionals to quantify a driver's perception of travel conditions that include travel time, number of stops, and total amount of stopped delay experienced on a roadway network. The HCM categorizes level of service into a range from "A" which indicates little, if any, vehicle delay, to "F" which indicates a level of operation considered unacceptable to most drivers. These levels of service grades with brief descriptions of the operating condition, for unsignalized and signalized intersections, are included for reference in Appendix B and have been used throughout this study.

The level of service analyses results for existing conditions are summarized in Table 1.

Intersection capacity worksheets developed for this study are provided in Appendix C.

Table 1 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Existing Traffic

INTERSECTION	LEVEL OF SERVICE			
LANE GROUPS	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR		
Poco Road / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled)				
Eastbound Left, Through and Right	Α	В		
Westbound Left, Through and Right	В	В		
Northbound Left, Through and Right	Α	Α		
Southbound Left, Through and Right	Α	Α		
Dines Boulevard / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled)				
Westbound Left and Right	В	В		
Southbound Left and Through	Α	Α		

Key: Stop-Controlled Intersection: Level of Service

Existing Traffic Analysis Results

Under existing conditions, the stop-controlled intersections of Poco Road and Dines Boulevard with Vollmer Road have turn movement operations at or better than LOS B during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

III. Future Traffic Conditions Without Proposed Development

Background traffic is the traffic projected to be on area roadways without consideration of the proposed development. Background traffic includes traffic generated by development of vacant parcels in the area.


To account for projected increases in background traffic for Years 2027 and 2040, a compounded annual growth rate was determined using population growth estimates provided by the PPACG 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. As mentioned in Section II, PPACG's 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan anticipates a 20-year growth rate between one and three percent. Because this area of the County is experiencing a large degree of regional growth and in order to provide for a conservative analysis, a growth rate of seven percent was applied to existing traffic volumes, where short-term or long-term background traffic volumes were not considered in adjacent development traffic studies. This annual growth rate is aggressive but is considered to be consistent with long-term regional growth projections and the level of in-fill development expected within the area.

Additionally, this study's background traffic analysis includes through traffic and intersection traffic generated by adjacent developments as described within the earlier referenced traffic studies for Retreat at Timber Ridge and Homestead North Phase I.

Pursuant to the committed area roadway improvements discussed in Section I, Year 2027 background traffic conditions assume the completion of various, earlier explained, roadway improvements for Vollmer Road (south of Poco Road) and Briargate Parkway (east of Vollmer Road) to accommodate regional transportation demands. Year 2040 background traffic conditions assume the new construction and westerly extension of Briargate Parkway (west of Vollmer Road). Year 2040 also assumes signal timing parameters for Briargate Parkway and Vollmer Road consistent with that described in the referenced traffic study for Homestead North Phase I. These assumptions provide for a conservative analysis.


Projected background traffic volumes and intersection geometry for Year 2027 are shown on Figure 4.

Figure 5 shows projected background traffic volumes and intersection geometry for Year 2040.





PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan Traffic Impact Study AM / PM Peak Hour (ADT) : Average Daily Traffic





PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan

Traffic Impact Study

November 2023

Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Background Traffic

As with existing traffic conditions, the operations of study intersections were analyzed under background conditions, without the proposed development, using the SYNCHRO computer program.

Background traffic level of service analysis results for Year 2027 are listed in Table 2. Year 2040 operational results are summarized in Table 3.

Definitions of levels of service are given in Appendix B. Intersection capacity worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

Table 2 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Background Traffic – Year 2027

INTERSECTION	LEVEL OF SERVICE			
LANE GROUPS	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR		
Poco Road / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled) Eastbound Left, Through and Right Westbound Left, Through and Right Northbound Left, Through and Right Southbound Left, Through and Right	B B A A	B C A A		
Sam Bass Drive / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled) Westbound Left and Right Southbound Left	B A	B A		
Briargate Parkway / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled) Westbound Left Westbound Right Southbound Left	B A A	B A A		
Dines Boulevard / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled) Westbound Left and Right Southbound Left	B A	C A		

Key: Stop-Controlled Intersection: Level of Service

Background Traffic Analysis Results - Year 2027

Year 2027 background traffic analysis indicates that all stop-controlled intersections within the study area experience turn movement operations at or better than LOS B during the morning peak traffic hour and LOS C during the afternoon peak traffic hour.

Table 3 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Background Traffic – Year 2040

INTERSECTION	LEVEL OF SERVICE			
LANE GROUPS	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR		
Poco Road / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled) Eastbound Left, Through and Right Westbound Left, Through and Right Northbound Left, Through and Right Southbound Left, Through and Right	B C A	B D A A		
Sam Bass Drive / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled) Westbound Left and Right Southbound Left	B A	C A		
Briargate Parkway / Vollmer Road (Signalized)	C (29.0)	D (50.9)		
Dines Boulevard / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled) Westbound Left and Right Southbound Left	C A	E B		

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)

Stop-Controlled Intersection: Level of Service

Background Traffic Analysis Results – Year 2040

By Year 2040 and without the proposed development, the signalized intersection of Briargate Parkway and Vollmer Road is projected to have an overall operation at LOS C during the morning peak traffic hour and LOS D within the afternoon peak hour. These projected operations remain similar to referenced traffic studies for adjacent development.

All stop-controlled intersections within the study area project turn movement operations at or better than LOS C during the AM peak traffic hour and LOS D during the PM peak traffic hour. An exception is the existing westbound left and right turn movement for Dines Boulevard at Vollmer Road where a LOS E is projected during the afternoon peak hour. The LOS E operation is attributed to the long-term projected through traffic volume along Vollmer Road and the stop-controlled nature of the intersection.

It is emphasized that it is not uncommon for unsignalized movements to or from an arterial roadway, in urbanized areas, to operate with noticeable delays during peak traffic hours. It is, however, likely that turn movements will operate better than the results obtained with this HCM Two-Way Stop-Control (TWSC) level of service analysis would indicate, as HCM analysis limitations may not accurately account for the effect of vehicle platooning and gaps caused by upstream signals. Upstream signal controls along Vollmer Road may create additional gaps in the traffic stream for turning movements at Dines Boulevard which could provide mitigation to the LOS E operations projected during the peak afternoon traffic hour.

Provide suggestion for physical improvement of LOS E at the intersection of Vollmer Rd and Dines Blvd.

IV. Proposed Project Traffic

Trip Generation

Standard traffic generation characteristics compiled by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in their report entitled Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, were applied to the proposed land use in order to estimate average daily traffic (ADT), AM Peak Hour, and PM Peak Hour vehicle trips. A vehicle trip is defined as a one-way vehicle movement from a point of origin to a point of destination.

The ITE land use codes 210 (Single-Family Detached Housing), 215 (Single-Family Attached Housing), and 822 (Strip Retail Plaza) were used for estimating trip generation because of their conservative rates and best fit to the proposed land use descriptions.

Due to the conceptual nature of the proposed development, no specific commercial land uses have been determined. As such, a floor-area-ratio (FAR) of 0.15 was applied to the assumed commercial area of development.

Change to Retreat at Prairie Ridge

If still conceptual, why did FAR drop from 0.20 to 0.15, which was used in TIS for the Sketch Plan?

As actual land uses, densities or site plans within the Jaynes Property preliminary plan become defined over time and through additional County land use approval procedures, it is expected that traffic generation characteristics considered within this study will need to be updated by more specific traffic analyses or studies to help assess if transportation improvements are needed to mitigate potential traffic impacts.

Trip generation rates used in this study are presented in Table 4.

Table 4 – Trip Generation Rates

			TRIP GENERATION RATES						
ITE			24	24 AM PEAK HOUR			PM PEAK HOUR		
CODE	LAND USE	UNIT	HOUR	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL
210	Single-Family Detached	DU	9.43	0.18	0.52	0.70	0.59	0.35	0.94
215	Single-Family Attached	DU	7.20	0.15	0.33	0.48	0.32	0.25	0.57
822	Strip Retail Plaza	KSF	54.45	1.42	0.94	2.36	3.30	3.30	6.59

Key: KSF = Thousand Square Feet Gross Floor Area.

Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.

Table 5 illustrates projected ADT, AM Peak Hour, and PM Peak Hour traffic volumes likely generated by the proposed development upon build-out.

Table 5 – Trip Generation Summary

			TOTAL TRIPS GENERATED						
ITE			24	AM	PEAK H	OUR	PM	PEAK HO	DUR
CODE	LAND USE	SIZE	HOUR	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL	ENTER	EXIT	TOTAL
Site De	velopment - Sketch Plan								
210	Single-Family Detached	298 DU	2,810	54	154	209	176	104	280
215	Single-Family Attached	332 DU	2,390	49	110	159	108	81	189
822	Strip Retail Plaza	39.2 KSF	2,134	56	37	93	129	129	258
		Sketch Plan Total:	7,335	159	301	460	414	314	728
Site De	velopment - Preliminary Pla	<u>n</u>							
210	Single-Family Detached	230 DU	2,169	42	119	161	136	80	216
215	Single-Family Attached	220 DU	1,584	33	73	106	71	54	125
822	Strip Retail Plaza	30.0 KSF	1,634	42	28	71	99	99	198
	Pr	eliminary Plan Total:	5,386	117	220	337	307	233	539
		Difference Total:	-1,949	-42	-81	-123	-107	-81	-188

KSF = Thousand Square Feet Gross Floor Area.

Kev:

Note: All data and calculations above are subject to being rounded to nearest value.

Upon build-out and without consideration of applicable vehicle trip reductions, Table 5 illustrates that the proposed development has the potential to generate approximately 5,386 daily trips with 337 of those occurring during the morning peak hour and 539 during the afternoon peak hour.

Compared to trip generation estimates from the previous Jaynes Property master traffic impact study associated with the sketch plan, trip generation estimates associated with the preliminary plan, as shown in Table 5, represent an approximate 27 percent decrease in site trips.

Adjustments to Trip Generation Rates

While a mixed-use development of this type is likely to attract trips from within area land uses as well as pass-by or diverted linked trips from the adjacent roadway system, no trip reduction was taken in this analysis due to its conceptual nature. This assumption provides for a conservative analysis.

Trip Distribution & Assignment


The construction of this development is assumed to be phased with the initial phase being completed by Year 2027 and entailing the portion of residential (153 dwelling units) located south of future Briargate Parkway. The build-out phase entails the construction of commercial land uses as well as 297 residential dwelling units north of the future Briargate Parkway.

Traffic assignment is how generated and distributed vehicle trips are expected to be loaded onto the available roadway network.

The initial and overall directional distribution of site-generated traffic was determined based on the location of development site within the County, proposed and existing area land uses, allowed turning movements, available roadway network, and in compliance to the adjacent traffic study prepared for Homestead North Phase I previously referenced.

Trip distribution patterns for the initial phase of development are shown in Figure 6A. Applying trip distribution patterns to initial phase of site-generated traffic provides the initial site-generated trip assignments are also shown on Figure 6A.


Overall, long-term, trip distribution patterns and site-generated traffic assignment for development build-out are shown on Figure 6B.





PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan Traffic Impact Study (%) : Overall SITE-GENERATED TRIPS

AM / PM Peak Hour





PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan Traffic Impact Study

SITE-GENERATED TRIPS

AM / PM Peak Hour

V. Future Traffic Conditions With Proposed Developments

Total traffic is the traffic projected to be on area roadways with consideration of the proposed development. Total traffic includes background traffic projections for Years 2027 and 2040 with consideration of site-generated traffic. For analysis purposes, it was assumed that overall development construction would be completed by end of Year 2040.

Pursuant to area roadway improvement discussions provided in Section III, Year 2027 and Year 2040 total traffic conditions assume no additional roadway improvements to accommodate regional transportation demands than that described for each background analysis year. Roadway improvements associated with site development are expected to be limited to site access and frontage as required by the governing agency.

Projected Year 2027 total traffic volumes and intersection geometry are shown in Figure 7.

Figure 8 shows projected total traffic volumes and intersection geometry for Year 2040.

Total Traffic Auxiliary Lane Analysis


Future traffic conditions should include long term impacts. This study ends at the full development phase and does not consider Auxiliary lanes for site development access dri impacts 20 years beyond the end of the development phases.

An evaluation of auxiliary lane requirements, pursuant to section z.s.r or the county's Low, reveals that a southbound right turn deceleration lane along Vollmer Road at Briargate Parkway is not required until Year 2040, upon overall build-out of the surrounding area, when the southbound right turn ingress volume exceeds the 25 VPH threshold. In addition, a right turn deceleration for the westbound right turn at the intersection of Briargate Parkway and Commercial Access is required since the expected peak hour right turn ingress volume exceeds the 25 vehicles per hour threshold.

Considering development build-out (Year 2040), peak hour volumes for southbound right turn ingress movements along Vollmer Road at Sam Bass Drive and Dines Boulevard are not projected to exceed the 25 vehicles per hour threshold. However, right turn lanes were assumed for analysis purposes. Dedicated right turn lanes were also assumed along the future, ultimate section of Briargate Parkway at Vollmer Road.

Section 2.3.7 of the County's ECM also reveals that, by Year 2040, an exclusive left turn deceleration lane is required along ultimate Briargate Parkway at Vollmer Road, and along Vollmer Road at Dines Boulevard and Briargate Parkway since the projected left turn ingress volume exceeds the County's threshold of 10 vehicles per hour.


Due to the conservative analysis performed throughout this study and the conceptual nature of site development, it is expected that auxiliary lane requirements evaluated within this study will need to be updated by more specific traffic analyses or studies as actual area development occurs, to help assess if transportation improvements are needed to meet the County's vehicle volume thresholds.





PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan Traffic Impact Study

AM / PM Peak Hour (ADT): Average Daily Traffic





PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan

AM / PM Peak Hour (ADT): Average Daily Traffic Traffic Impact Study

VI. Project Impacts

The analyses and procedures described in this study were performed in accordance with the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) and are based upon the worst-case conditions that occur during a typical weekday upon build-out of site development and analyzed land uses. Therefore, study intersections are likely to operate with traffic conditions better than those described within this study, which represent the peak hours of weekday operations only.

Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service – Total Traffic

As with background traffic, the operations of the study intersections were analyzed under projected total traffic conditions using the SYNCHRO computer program. Total traffic level of service analysis results for Years 2027 and 2040 are summarized in Table 6 and Table 7, respectively.

Definitions of levels of service are given in Appendix B. Intersection capacity worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

Table 6 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary - Total Traffic - Year 2027

INTERSECTION	SECTION LEVEL OF SERV				
LANE GROUPS	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR			
Poco Road / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled)					
Eastbound Left, Through and Right	В	В			
Westbound Left, Through and Right	В	С			
Northbound Left, Through and Right	Α	Α			
Southbound Left, Through and Right	A	Α			
Sam Bass Drive / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled)					
Westbound Left and Right	В	В			
Southbound Left	А	Α			
Briargate Parkway / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled)					
Eastbound Left	В	В			
Eastbound Through	Α	Α			
Eastbound Right	А	Α			
Westbound Left	В	С			
Westbound Through	Α	Α			
Westbound Right	A	Α			
Northbound Left	A	Α			
Southbound Left	A	A			
Dines Boulevard / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled)					
Eastbound Left, Through and Right	А	Α			
Westbound Left, Through and Right	С	С			
Northbound Left	Α	Α			
Southbound Right	А	A			
Street A / Briargate Parkway (Roundabout)					
Eastbound Through	Α	Α			
Eastbound Through and Right	Α	Α			
Westbound Left and Through	Α	Α			
Westbound Through	Α	Α			
Northbound Left and Right	A	А			

Key: Stop-Controlled Intersection: Level of Service Roundabout Intersection: Level of Service

Table 7 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary – Total Traffic – Year 2040

INTERSECTION	LEVEL OF SERVICE				
LANE GROUPS	AM PEAK HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR			
Poco Road / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled)					
Eastbound Left, Through and Right	В	В			
Westbound Left, Through and Right	С	D			
Northbound Left, Through and Right	A	A			
Southbound Left, Through and Right	A	A			
Sam Bass Drive / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled)					
Eastbound Left, Through and Right	С	В			
Westbound Left, Through and Right	В	C			
Northbound Left	A	A			
Southbound Left	A	A			
Briargate Parkway / Vollmer Road (Signalized)	C (30.1)	D (50.6)			
Dines Boulevard / Vollmer Road (Stop-Controlled)					
Eastbound Left, Through and Right	В	В			
Westbound Left, Through and Right	С	F			
Northbound Left	A	A			
Southbound Left	A	В			
Street A / Briargate Parkway (Roundabout)					
Eastbound Left and Through	Α	С			
Eastbound Through and Right	A	С			
Westbound Left and Through	В	С			
Westbound Through and Right	C	C C			
Northbound Left, Through and Right Southbound Left, Through and Right	A D	C			
		0			
Briargate Parkway / Commercial Access (Stop-Controlled)					
Southbound Right	С	С			

Key: Signalized Intersection: Level of Service (Control Delay in sec/veh)

Stop-Controlled Intersection: Level of Service Roundabout Intersection: Level of Service

Total Traffic Analysis Results Upon Development Build-Out

Table 7 illustrates how, by Year 2040 and upon assumed development build-out, the signalized intersection of Briargate Parkway with Vollmer Road experiences overall operations at LOS C during the morning peak traffic hour and LOS D during the afternoon peak traffic hour.

The stop-controlled intersections along Vollmer Road are projected to have turn movement operations at or better than LOS C during the morning peak traffic hour and LOS D or better during the afternoon peak traffic hour. Exceptions would include the westbound turning movements at the intersection of Dines Boulevard and Vollmer Road which operate at LOS F during the PM peak traffic hour. The LOS F operation is attributed to the through traffic volume along Vollmer Road and the stop-controlled nature of the intersection.

The stop-controlled intersection of Briargate Parkway with Commercial Access is anticipated to have turning movement operations at LOS C during the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

The roundabout-controlled intersection of Street A and Briargate Parkway is expected to have turning movement operations at LOS D or better during the morning peak traffic hour and LOS C during the afternoon peak traffic hour.

It is again emphasized that it is not uncommon for unsignalized movements to or from an arterial roadway, in urbanized areas, to operate with noticeable delays during peak traffic hours. It is, however, likely that turn movements will operate better than the results obtained with this HCM Two-Way Stop-Control (TWSC) level of service analysis would indicate, as the HCM analysis limitations may not accurately account for the effect of vehicle platooning and gaps caused by upstream signals. Upstream signal controls along Vollmer Road may create additional gaps in the traffic stream for turning movements onto Vollmer Road which could provide mitigation to the LOS F operation projected during the PM peak traffic hour.

Queue Length Analysis

Queue lengths for the study intersections were analyzed using Year 2040 total traffic conditions. The analysis yields estimate of 95th percentile queue lengths, which have only a five percent probability of being exceeded during the analysis time period. An average vehicle length of 25 feet was assumed. Queue lengths were modeled and are included with the Synchro worksheets in Appendix C.

Table 8 summarizes the 95th percentile queue results in comparison to the projected storage requirements for turn movements within study area for Year 2040. Table 8 further provides recommended turn lane lengths based on minimum requirements from Section 2.3.7 of the County's ECM, projected 95th percentile queue lengths, and assuming design speeds for future roadways.

Include small discussion for Vollmer/Briargate intersection describing longer queue lengths needed.

Table 8 - Turn Lane Queues and Storage Requirements - Total Traffic - Year 2040

				AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour	Recommended
Intersection	Intersection Turn Movement		Existing Turn Lane	95th Percentile	95th Percentile	Turn Lane Length
Intersection			Length (feet)	Queue Length	Queue Length	(feet)
				(feet)	(feet)	(.000)
			Signalized Inter	sections		
		L	-	46'	258'	260'
	EB	T	-	355'	831'	-
		R	-	0'	21'	235'
		L	-	207'	185'	235'
	WB	Т	-	612'	712'	-
Briargate Parkway /		R	-	9'	0'	235'
Vollmer Road		L	-	141'	297'	300'
	NB	Т	-	90'	249'	-
		R	-	45'	252'	255'
		L	-	97'	98'	235'
	SB	Т	_	191'	179'	-
		R	-	59'	18'	235'
		S	top-Controlled In	tersections		
	EB	L,T,R	<u>-</u>	0'	0'	-
Poco Road / Vollmer	WB	L,T,R	_	23'	38'	_
Road	NB	L,T,R	_	0'	0'	-
Noau	SB	L,T,R	_	0'	0'	-
				-		
	EB	L,T,R	-	5'	5'	-
	NB NB	L,T,R	-	15'	13'	-
0		┝╘	-	0'	0'	235'
Sam Bass Drive /		Ţ	-	0'	0'	-
Vollmer Road		R	-	0'	0'	235'
		L	-	0'	0'	235'
		Ţ	-	0'	0'	-
		R	-	0'	0'	235'
	EB	L,T,R	-	15'	18'	-
	WB	L,T,R	-	18'	50'	-
		L	-	3'	5'	-
Dines Boulevard /	NB	Т	-	0'	0'	-
Vollmer Road		R	380'	0'	0'	235'
		L	-	0'	3'	235'
	SB	T	-	0'	0'	-
		R	-	0'	0'	-
	EB	T	-	0'	0'	-
Briargate Parkway /	WB	T	-	0'	0'	-
Commercial Access		R	-	0'	0'	235'
	SB	R	-	8'	35'	-
		T	Roundabout Inte		0.55	
	EB	L,T	-	50'	250'	-
Otros t A / D is set		T,R	-	50'	325'	-
Street A / Briargate	WB	L,T	-	175'	200'	-
Parkway		T,R	-	225'	250'	-
	NB	L,T,R		0'	25	-
	SB	L,T,R	-	75'	25'	-

Note: Turn Lane Length does not include taper length.

Recommended Improvements

Table 9 illustrates the recommended roadway and intersection control improvements associated with the proposed Jaynes Property development and adjacent area.

Highlighted items show a different resporparty than was shown on Sketch Plan TIS Why have they changed?

Table 9 – Recommended Improvements Summary

IMPROVEMENT	TYPE	TIMING	RESPONSIBILITY
Signalization of Vollmer Road / Briargate Parkway	Traffic Signal	When Warranted	By Others (Sterling Ranch)
Widen Vollmer Road to four-lane cross-section from Marksheffel Road to Poco Road (excluding industrial development site)	Roadway Segment	Shown on MTCP by 2040	By Others (Sterling Ranch)
Construct Sam Bass Drive west of Vollmer Road	Roadway Segment	With Final Plat Application(s) / Site Development	Applicant / Developer
Construct Dines Boulevard west of Vollmer Road	Roadway Segment	With Final Plat Application(s) / Site Development	Applicant / Developer
Construct Briargate Parkway west of Vollmer Road	Roadway Segment	With Final Plat Application(s) / Site Development	Developer (subject to reimbursement under the County's Road Impact Fee Program) or PPRTA
Construct southbound right turn lanes along Vollmer Road at Sam Bass Drive and Dines Boulevard	Auxiliary Lane	With final phasing of Site Development	Applicant / Developer (upon appropriate development phase)
Construct southbound right turn lane along Vollmer Road at Briargate Parkway	Auxiliary Lane	Upon overall development built- out (DHV < 25 VPH)	Applicant / Developer (upon appropriate development phase)
Construct northbound left turn lanes along Vollmer Road at Dines Boulevard	Auxiliary Lane	Construction estimated by 2023 / 2024	By Others (Sterling Ranch)
Construct northbound left turn lanes along Vollmer Road at Briargate Parkway	Auxiliary Lane	Construction estimated by 2023 / 2024	By Others (Sterling Ranch)

Recommended improvements, as shown in Table 9 above, which may be reimbursable under the County's MTCP include roadway widening improvements and auxiliary lane improvements along Vollmer Road or Briargate Parkway.

What about other necessary

improvements, such as Briargate/Dines intersection?

VII. Conclusion

This traffic impact study is provided as a planning document and addressed the capacity, geometric, and control requirements associated with the preliminary plan for the development entitled PrairieRidge Filing No. 1 Preliminary Plan (Jaynes Property). This traffic impact study is also provided as an update to the master traffic impact study⁸ associated with the sketch plan prepared for Jaynes Property. This proposed mixed-use development consists of a variety of residential, neighborhood commercial and park land uses. The 142-acre development is located along the west side of Vollmer Road between Poco Road and Dines Boulevard in El Paso County, Colorado.

The study area to be examined in this analysis encompasses the Vollmer Road intersections with Poco Road, Briargate Parkway (future) and Dines Boulevard, and the Briargate Parkway (future) intersection with the key site development roadway (future) and the right-in/right-out commercial access (future).

Analysis was conducted for critical AM Peak Hour and PM Peak Hour traffic operations for existing traffic conditions, Year 2027 and Year 2040 background traffic conditions, and Year 2027 and Year 2040 total traffic conditions.

Analysis of existing traffic conditions indicates that the stop-controlled intersections of Poco Road and Dines Boulevard with Vollmer Road have turn movement operations at or better than LOS B during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

Without the proposed development, Year 2027 background operational analysis shows all stop-controlled intersections within the study area experience turn movement operations at or better than LOS C during both the morning and afternoon peak traffic hours.

By Year 2040 and without the proposed development, the signalized intersection of Briargate Parkway and Vollmer Road is projected to have an overall operation at or better than LOS C during the morning peak traffic hour and LOS D or better during the afternoon peak hour, consistent with referenced traffic studies for adjacent developments. All stop-controlled intersections within the study area project turn movement operations at or better than LOS D during both peak traffic hours. The exception is the existing westbound left and right turn movement for Dines Boulevard at Vollmer Road where an LOS E is projected during the afternoon peak hour. The LOS E operation is attributed to the long-term projected through traffic volume along Vollmer Road and the stop-controlled nature of the intersection.

Analysis of future traffic conditions indicates that the addition of site-generated traffic is expected to create no negative impact to traffic operations for the existing and surrounding roadway system upon roadway and intersection control improvements assumed within this analysis.

This site is subjected to the El Paso County Road Impact Fee Program (Resolution 19-471), as amended. An option for payment will be selected at the final land use approval stage.

_

⁸ Jaynes Property: Traffic Impact Study, SM ROCHA, LLC, January 19, 2023.

APPENDIX A

Traffic Count Data




Location: 5 Vollmer Road & Dines Blvd AM


Date: Thursday, March 24, 2022 **Peak Hour:** 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM

Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval		Blvd ound			Dines Westb		,	Vollmer Northb				Vollme Southl			Rolling	Pedestrian Crossings						
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru Rig	ht	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South N	North
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	1	0	0	25	14	0	2	16	0	69	370	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	3	0	0	22	13	0	1	29	0	83	389	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	5	0	0	27	13	0	0	39	0	105	381	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	6	0	0	38	21	0	1	36	0	113	375	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	3	0	0	25	7	0	1	29	0	88	348	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	2	0	0	23	8	0	0	24	0	75		0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	1	1	0	28	13	1	2	30	0	99		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	4	0	0	31	8	0	0	28	0	86		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	0	0	0	0	137	0	25	1	0	219	97	1	7	231	C	718		0	0	0	0
Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0	70	0	17	0	0	112	54	0	3	133	3	0 389)	0	0	0	0




Location: 5 Vollmer Road & Dines Blvd PM


Date: Thursday, March 24, 2022 **Peak Hour:** 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM

Peak 15-Minutes: 04:00 PM - 04:15 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval		Blvd ound			Dines Westb			Vollmer Northb				Vollmei Southl				Rolling	Pedestrian Crossings					
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru Ri	ght	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South	North
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	3	0	0	46	18	0	2	44	0	137	470	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	5	0	0	36	25	0	5	37	0	121	441	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	2	0	0	35	11	0	3	30	0	93	436	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	49	21	0	3	30	0	119	452	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	5	0	0	42	18	0	2	27	0	108	425	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	3	0	0	39	17	0	4	36	0	116		0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	1	0	0	36	21	0	8	31	0	109		0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	1	0	0	30	16	0	4	27	0	92		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	0	0	0	0	122	0	20	0	0	313	147	0	31	262	C	895		0	0	0	0
Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0	65	0	10	0	0	166	75	0	13	14	1	0 470)	0	0	0	0




Location: 6 Vollmer Road & Poco Road AM


Date: Thursday, March 24, 2022 **Peak Hour:** 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM

Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval	Poco Road Eastbound					Poco F Westb			Vollmer Northb				Vollmei Southl				Rolling	Pedestrian Crossings				
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru R	ight	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South N	North
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	15	0	1	20	0	46	264	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	18	8	0	2	27	0	58	279	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	26	8	0	2	36	0	76	273	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	34	9	0	4	33	0	84	265	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	18	10	0	0	32	0	61	245	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	24	3	0	0	23	0	52		0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	2	0	1	24	6	0	1	29	0	68		0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	23	10	0	0	26	0	64		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	2	0	3	0	11	1	7	0	3	177	69	0	10	226	0	509		0	0	0	0
Peak Hour	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	4	0	1	96	35	0	8	128	3	0 279)	0	0	0	0




Location: 6 Vollmer Road & Poco Road PM


Date: Thursday, March 24, 2022 **Peak Hour:** 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM

Peak 15-Minutes: 04:15 PM - 04:30 PM

Peak Hour - All Vehicles



Peak Hour - Pedestrians/Bicycles on Crosswalk



Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

Traffic Counts

Interval		Poco Eastb				Poco F Westb				Vollmer Northb				Vollme Southl	Road cound			Rolling	Ped	lestriar	n Crossir	ngs
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Hour	West	East	South I	North
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	4	0	0	41	4	0	1	29	2	90	339	0	0	0	0
4:15 PM	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	40	6	0	1	39	2	95	325	0	0	0	0
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	32	3	0	1	28	0	66	313	0	0	0	0
4:45 PM	0	1	0	1	0	7	0	1	0	0	43	8	0	0	27	0	88	321	0	0	0	0
5:00 PM	0	0	0	2	0	6	0	1	0	0	44	3	0	0	20	0	76	302	0	0	0	0
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	37	4	0	0	29	1	83		0	0	0	0
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	2	0	0	33	3	0	1	29	0	74		0	0	0	0
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	1	27	4	0	0	31	0	69		0	0	0	0
Count Total	0	2	0	3	0	50	1	11	0	1	297	35	0	4	232	5	641		0	0	0	0
Peak Hour	0	2	0	1	0	21	1	7	0	0	156	5 21	0	3	123	3 4	4 339	9	0	0	0	0

APPENDIX B

Level of Service Definitions

The following information is referenced from the <u>Highway Capacity Manual: A Guide for Multimodal Mobility Analysis</u>, 6th Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2016: Chapter 19 – Signalized Intersections.

Motorized Vehicle Level of Service (LOS) for Signalized Intersections

Levels of service are defined to represent reasonable ranges in control delay.

- **LOS A** Describes operations with a control delay of 10 s/veh or less and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is exceptionally favorable or the cycle length is very short. If it is due to favorable progression, most vehicles arrive during the green indication and travel through the intersection without stopping.
- **LOS B** Describes operations with control delay between 10 and 20 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is short. More vehicles stop than with LOS A.
- **LOS C** Describes operations with control delay between 20 and 35 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when progression is favorable or the cycle length is moderate. Individual *cycle failures* (i.e., one or more queued vehicles are not able to depart as a result of insufficient capacity during the cycle) may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many vehicles still pass through the intersection without stopping.
- **LOS D** Describes operations with control delay between 35 and 55 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective or the cycle length is long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.
- **LOS E** Describes operations with control delay between 55 and 80 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high, progression is unfavorable, and the cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent.
- **LOS F** Describes operations with control delay exceeding 80 s/veh or a volume-to-capacity ratio greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is very high, progression is very poor, and the cycle length is long. Most cycles fail to clear the queue.

Control Delay	LOS by Volume-t	o-Capacity Ratio ^a
(s/veh)	<i>v/c</i> ≤ 1.0	v/c > 1.0
≤ 10	Α	F
> 10 – 20	В	F
> 20 – 35	С	F
> 35 – 55	D	F
> 55 – 80	Е	F
> 80	F	F

Note: a For approach-based and intersectionwide assessments, LOS is defined solely by control delay.

The following information is referenced from the <u>Highway Capacity Manual: A Guide for Multimodal Mobility Analysis</u>, 6th Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2016: Chapter 20 – Two-Way Stop-Controlled Intersections, Chapter 21 – All-Way Stop-Controlled Intersections, and Chapter 22 - Roundabouts.

Motorized Vehicle Level of Service (LOS) for Unsignalized & Roundabout Intersections

LOS is a quantitative stratification of performance measure(s) representing quality of service. Quality of service describes how well a transportation facility or service operates from a traveler's perspective. LOS is measured on an A – F scale, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions from a traveler's perspective.

Control Delay	LOS by Volume-t	o-Capacity Ratio ^a
(s/veh)	<i>v/c</i> ≤ 1.0	<i>v/c</i> > 1.0
0 – 10	A	F
> 10 – 15	В	F
> 15 – 25	С	F
> 25 – 35	D	F
> 35 – 50	E	F
> 50	F	F

Note: The LOS criteria apply to each lane on a given approach and to each approach on the minor street. LOS is not calculated for major-street approaches or for the intersection as a whole.

^a For approaches and intersectionwide assessment, LOS is defined solely by control delay.

APPENDIX C Capacity Worksheets

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	0.7											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		44			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	0	6	1	4	1	103	37	9	139	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	0	6	1	4	1	103	37	9	139	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	0	0	7	1	4	1	112	40	10	151	0
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	308	325	151	305	305	132	151	0	0	152	0	0
Stage 1	171	171	-	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	137	154	-	171	171	_	-	-	_	-	-	_
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	_	_	_	_	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	_	2.218	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	644	593	895	647	608	917	1430	_	_	1429	_	-
Stage 1	831	757	-	869	785	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	866	770	-	831	757	-	_	_	_	_	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	_		-	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	636	588	895	642	603	917	1430	-	-	1429	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	636	588	-	642	603			-	_	-	-	_
Stage 1	830	751	-	868	784	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	860	769	_	824	751	_	-	_	_	-	-	_
5 tang e -	300			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>								
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	0			10.1			0.1			0.5		
HCM LOS	Ā			В			7 .1			3.0		
	, ,											
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1\	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1430	-	-			1429	-	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.001	-	-	-	0.017		-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.5	0	-	0	10.1	7.5	0	-			
HCM Lane LOS		Α	Ā	_	A	В	Α	A	_			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	-	0.1	0	-	-			
	,					V. 1	- 0					

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.4					
		14/5-5		NE -	07:	05=
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y			7		4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	75	18	123	58	3	142
Future Vol, veh/h	75	18	123	58	3	142
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	380	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	82	20	134	63	3	154
	Minor1		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	294	134	0	0	197	0
Stage 1	134	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	160	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	697	915	-	-	1376	-
Stage 1	892	-	-	_		-
Stage 2	869	_	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	303		_	_		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	696	915	_	_	1376	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	696	313	_		1370	_
Stage 1	892	-		_	-	-
· ·	867	-		-	-	
Stage 2	007	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	10.7		0		0.2	
HCM LOS	В					
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-		730	1376	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-		0.138		
HCM Control Delay (s	\	-	-	10.7	7.6	0
HCM Lane LOS				10.7 B	7.0 A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	.)	-	-	0.5	0	
)	-	-	0.5	U	-

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	1											
	•									0.71		
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	2	0	1	22	1	7	0	167	22	3	141	4
Future Vol, veh/h	2	0	1	22	1	7	0	167	22	3	141	4
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	2	0	1	24	1	8	0	182	24	3	153	4
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	360	367	155	356	357	194	157	0	0	206	0	0
Stage 1	161	161	100	194	194	194	107		U	200		
•	199	206		162	163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy			0.22	6.12		0.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-		5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	2 240	6.12	5.52	2 240	0.040	-	-	0.040	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018			4.018		2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	596	562	891	599	569	847	1423	-	-	1365	-	-
Stage 1	841	765	-	808	740	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	803	731	-	840	763	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %	F^^	F0.	001	F^=	F^^	C 1=	4400	-	-	400=	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	589	561	891	597	568	847	1423	-	-	1365	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	589	561	-	597	568	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	841	763	-	808	740	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	795	731	-	837	761	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.4			10.9			0			0.2		
HCM LOS	В			В								
3 <u></u>												
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	NIRD	EBLn1\	MRI n1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
	IL		INDI					SDI	SDK			
Capacity (veh/h)		1423	-	-	664	640	1365	-	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-		0.005		0.002	-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		0	-	-	10.4	10.9	7.6	0	-			
HCM Lane LOS	,	A	-	-	В	В	A	Α	-			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0	0.2	0	-	-			

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.1					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥			7		4
Traffic Vol, veh/h	70	11	179	80	14	151
Future Vol, veh/h	70	11	179	80	14	151
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	380	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	76	12	195	87	15	164
WWW.	10	12	100	O i	10	107
Major/Minor	Minor1	<u> </u>	/lajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	389	195	0	0	282	0
Stage 1	195	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	194	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	_	_	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	_	_	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	_	_	2.218	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	615	846	_	_	1280	_
Stage 1	838	-	_	_	- 1200	_
Stage 2	839					-
Platoon blocked, %	003	_		_	_	
	607	846	-		1200	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	607		-	-	1280	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	607	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	838	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	828	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	11.6		0		0.7	
HCM LOS	11.0 B				J.1	
TIOWI LOO	U					
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	631	1280	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.14	0.012	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	-	11.6	7.8	0
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В	Α	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	1)	-	-	0.5	0	-
	,			3.0	- 0	

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.5											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		44			44			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	0	5	77	1	12	2	223	50	15	207	2
Future Vol, veh/h	1	0	5	77	1	12	2	223	50	15	207	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	1	0	5	84	1	13	2	242	54	16	225	2
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	538	558	226	534	532	269	227	0	0	296	0	0
Stage 1	258	258	-	273	273	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	280	300	-	261	259	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	454	438	813	457	453	770	1341	-	-	1265	-	-
Stage 1	747	694	-	733	684	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	727	666	-	744	694	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	440	431	813	448	446	770	1341	-	-	1265	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	440	431	-	448	446	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	746	684	-	732	683	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	712	665	-	729	684	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.1			14.6			0.1			0.5		
HCM LOS	В			В								
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1\	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1341	-	-	712	474	1265	-	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.002	-	-	0.009			-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.7	0	-	10.1	14.6	7.9	0	-			
HCM Lane LOS		Α	A	-	В	В	A	A	-			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0	0.8	0	-	-			
	•											

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.7					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	N/F		ΛΛ	7		^
Traffic Vol, veh/h	131	24	262	75	5	358
Future Vol, veh/h	131	24	262	75	5	358
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	250	250	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	_	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	142	26	285	82	5	389
IVIVIIIL FIOW	142	20	200	02	5	309
Major/Minor N	Minor1	N	//ajor1	I	Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	490	143	0	0	367	0
Stage 1	285		_	-	-	-
Stage 2	205	-	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	_		4.14	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	0.34		-	4.14	_
	5.84		-	_	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		2 22	-	-		-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	-	-	2.22	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	507	879	-	-	1188	-
Stage 1	738	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	809	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	505	879	-	-	1188	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	505	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	738	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	806	-	-	-	-	-
-						
	\A/D		NID		0.0	
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	14.6		0		0.1	
HCM LOS	В					
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	+	NBT	NIDDV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
			INDEA			
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	541	1188	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-		0.005	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	14.6	8	-
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В	Α	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		-	-	1.3	0	-

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.5					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥			7	ች	^
Traffic Vol, veh/h	17	9	266	8	3	286
Future Vol, veh/h	17	9	266	8	3	286
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	150	200	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	18	10	289	9	3	311
	Minor1		//ajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	451	145	0	0	298	0
Stage 1	289	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	162	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	-	-	4.14	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	_	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	-	-	2.22	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	537	876	-	-	1260	-
Stage 1	735	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	850	_	-	_	_	-
Platoon blocked, %	300		_	_		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	536	876	_	_	1260	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	536	- 070	-	_	1200	_
Stage 1	735	-	-	_	_	-
				-		
Stage 2	848	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	11.1		0		0.1	
HCM LOS	В				• • •	
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	619	1260	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.046	0.003	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	11.1	7.9	-
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В	Α	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.1	0	-
.,						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.4					
		WED	NET	NDD	ODI	OPT
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations		7	^	7	<u> ነ</u>	^
Traffic Vol, veh/h	63	6	275	11	3	300
Future Vol, veh/h	63	6	275	11	3	300
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	375	0	-	250	250	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	68	7	299	12	3	326
	Minor1		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	468	150	0	0	311	0
Stage 1	299	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	169	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	-	-	4.14	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	-	-	2.22	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	524	870	_	_	1246	_
Stage 1	726	-	_	_	-	-
Stage 2	843	-	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	040	_	_	_		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	523	870		-	1246	-
				-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	523	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	726	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	841	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	12.6		0		0.1	
HCM LOS	12.0 B		- 0		J. 1	
1 JOINI LOO	U					
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1V	VBLn2	SBL
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	523	870	1246
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.131		
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	12.9	9.2	7.9
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В	A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.4	0	0
TOW JOHN JUNE Q(VEI)	1			J.7	U	U

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	1.6											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		44			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	3	0	2	49	1	10	4	275	85	15	250	6
Future Vol, veh/h	3	0	2	49	1	10	4	275	85	15	250	6
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	3	0	2	53	1	11	4	299	92	16	272	7
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1		1	Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	667	707	276	662	664	345	279	0	0	391	0	0
Stage 1	308	308		353	353	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	359	399	-	309	311	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	372	360	763	375	381	698	1284	-	-	1168	-	-
Stage 1	702	660	-	664	631	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	659	602	-	701	658	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	360	353	763	368	373	698	1284	-	-	1168	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	360	353	-	368	373	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	699	649	-	661	628	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	645	600	-	688	647	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ŭ												
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	13			15.7			0.1			0.4		
HCM LOS	В			C								
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1284	-	-	456	400	1168	-	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.003	-	-	0.012			-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.8	0	-	13	15.7	8.1	0	-			
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	-	В	C	A	A	-			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0	0.6	0	-	-			
	,											

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.9					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	٦		†	7	ሻ	*
Traffic Vol, veh/h	86	15	429	144	20	313
Future Vol, veh/h	86	15	429	144	20	313
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	250	250	-
Veh in Median Storage		-	0	-		0
Grade, %	0	_	0	_	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	93	16	466	157	22	340
miner ion			100	101		0.0
	Minor1		//ajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	680	233	0	0	623	0
Stage 1	466	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	214	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	-	-	4.14	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	-	-	2.22	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	385	769	-	-	954	-
Stage 1	598	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	801	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	376	769	-	-	954	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	376	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	598	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	783	-	-	-	-	-
0						
Annroach	WD		ND		CD	
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	17.1		0		0.5	
HCM LOS	С					
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	407	954	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	_		0.023	_
HCM Control Delay (s)		_	-	17.1	8.9	-
HCM Lane LOS		-	_	C	A	_
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		_	-	1.1	0.1	-
TOW JOHN JULIE Q(VEII)				1.1	0.1	

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥			₹.	ሻ	^
Traffic Vol, veh/h	11	6	358	27	10	291
Future Vol, veh/h	11	6	358	27	10	291
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	150	200	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	12	7	389	29	11	316
		•				
	Minor1		//ajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	569	195	0	0	418	0
Stage 1	389	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	180	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	-	-	4.14	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	_	_	2.22	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	452	814	-	_	1138	-
Stage 1	654	-	_	_		_
Stage 2	833	_	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	000		_	_		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	447	814	-	-	1138	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	447	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	654	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	825	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	12		0		0.3	
HCM LOS	В				0.0	
TIOM LOO	U					
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	532	1138	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.035	0.01	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	12	8.2	-
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В	Α	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.1	0	-
.,						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.9					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	<u>ነ</u>		↑ ↑	7	<u>ነ</u>	↑ ↑
Traffic Vol, veh/h	41	4	406	38	10	292
Future Vol, veh/h	41	4	406	38	10	292
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	_ 0	_ 0	_ 0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-		-	None
Storage Length	375	0	-	250	250	-
Veh in Median Storage		-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	45	4	441	41	11	317
N.A. '. (N.A.	N 4: 4				4 . 0	
	Minor1		/lajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	622	221	0	0	482	0
Stage 1	441	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	181	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	-	-	4.14	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	-	-	2.22	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	419	783	-	-	1077	-
Stage 1	616	_	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	832	_	-	-	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	002		_	_		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	415	783			1077	_
	415	703	_	-	1011	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			-	-	-	-
Stage 1	616	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	824	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	14.2		0		0.3	
HCM LOS	В				- 0.0	
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1V	VBLn2	SBL
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	415	783	1077
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.107		0.01
HCM Control Delay (s))	-	-	14.7	9.6	8.4
HCM Lane LOS		_	_	В	Α	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	_	-	0.4	0	0
HOW JOHN JOHN Q(VEN	1			U. T	U	U

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	1.7											
		EDT	EDD	WDI	WDT	WDD	NDI	NDT	NDD	CDI	CDT	CDD
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4	_		4	_		4	•		4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	5	62	0	5	2	235	24	1	407	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	5	62	0	5	2	235	24	1	407	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	е,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	0	5	67	0	5	2	255	26	1	442	2
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	720	730	443	720	718	268	444	0	0	281	0	0
Stage 1	445	445		272	272			-	_		-	_
Stage 2	275	285	_	448	446		_	_		_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12			4.12	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	0.22	6.12	5.52	0.22	7.12	-		7.12	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	_	6.12	5.52	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318		4.018	3.318	2.218	_		2.218	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	343	349	615	343	355	771	1116	_	_	1282	-	_
	592	575	010	734	685	111	1110	-	-	1202	-	-
Stage 1 Stage 2	731	676	-	590	574	-	_	-	-	-		-
Platoon blocked, %	131	0/0	-	590	3/4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	340	348	615	339	354	771	1116	-	-	1282	-	-
		348	013			771	1110	-	-	1202	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	340		-	339	354	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	591	574	-	733	684	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	724	675	-	584	573	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.9			17.8			0.1			0		
HCM LOS	В			С								
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1\	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1116	-	-	615	354	1282	_				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.002	_		0.009			-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s))	8.2	0	_	10.9	17.8	7.8	0	_			
HCM Lane LOS		Α	A	_	В	C	Α.	A	_			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	1)	0	-	_	0	0.8	0	-	_			
HOW SOUT MUTE Q(VEH	1)	U	-	_	U	0.0	U	-				

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.6					
		WED	NET	NDD	ODI	ODT
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥		^	7	ች	^
Traffic Vol, veh/h	29	13	350	12	4	748
Future Vol, veh/h	29	13	350	12	4	748
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	250	250	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	32	14	380	13	4	813
	Minor1		//ajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	795	190	0	0	393	0
Stage 1	380	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	415	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	-	-	4.14	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	-	-	2.22	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	325	820	-	-	1162	-
Stage 1	661	-	_	_	-	-
Stage 2	635	_	-	-	_	-
Platoon blocked, %	300		_	_		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	324	820	_	_	1162	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	324	020	_		1102	
Stage 1	661	-	_	-	_	-
-			-	-	-	-
Stage 2	633	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	15.2		0		0	
HCM LOS	C					
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-		1162	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.114	0.004	-
HCM Control Delay (s))	-	-	15.2	8.1	-
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	С	Α	-
I ICIVI Lane LOS				•		

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.1					
		WED	NDT	NDD	CDI	CDT
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	Y	•	^	7	ዃ	^
Traffic Vol, veh/h	62	3	258	14	1	473
Future Vol, veh/h	62	3	258	14	1	473
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	_ 0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	150	200	-
Veh in Median Storage		-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	67	3	280	15	1	514
Major/Minor I	Minor1	N	/lajor1	N	Major2	
		140		0	295	0
Conflicting Flow All	539		0		290	
Stage 1	280	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	259	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	-	-	4.14	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	-	-	2.22	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	473	882	-	-	1263	-
Stage 1	742	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	761	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	473	882	-	-	1263	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	473	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	742	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	760	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	13.7		0		0	
HCM LOS	В					
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-		1263	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	_	0.146		_
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-		7.9	-
HCM Lane LOS		-	_	В	A	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		_	_	0.5	0	-
Holvi Jour Joure W(Ver)				0.0	U	

Lane Group EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations 1
Traffic Volume (vph) 69 835 58 373 1488 69 89 146 128 81 321 133 Future Volume (vph) 69 835 58 373 1488 69 89 146 128 81 321 133 Satd. Flow (prot) 1770 3539 1583 3433 3539 1583 1770 3539 1583 Flt Permitted 0.095 0.950 0.384 0.651 Satd. Flow (perm) 177 3539 1583 3433 3539 1583 715 3539 1583 1583 Satd. Flow (perm) 177 3539 1583 3433 3539 1583 715 3539 1583 1213 3539 1583 Satd. Flow (perm) 177 3539 1583 3433 3539 1583 715 3539 1583 1213 3539 1583 Satd. Flow (perm) 75 908 63 405 <td< th=""></td<>
Future Volume (vph) 69 835 58 373 1488 69 89 146 128 81 321 133 Satd. Flow (prot) 1770 3539 1583 3433 3539 1583 1770 3539 1583 1770 3539 1583 1770 3539 1583
Satd. Flow (prot) 1770 3539 1583 3433 3539 1583 1770 3539 1583 1770 3539 1583 1770 3539 1583 1770 3539 1583
Fit Permitted 0.095 0.950 0.384 0.651 Satd. Flow (perm) 177 3539 1583 3433 3539 1583 715 3539 1583 1213 3539 1583 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 155 155 109 155 155 155 Lane Group Flow (vph) 75 908 63 405 1617 75 97 159 139 88 349 145 Turn Type pm+pt NA Perm Prot NA Perm pm+pt NA Perm Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 3 8 7 4 Detector Phase 5 2 2 1 6 8 8 4 4
Satd. Flow (perm) 177 3539 1583 3433 3539 1583 715 3539 1583 1213 3539 1583 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 155 155 109 155 155 155 Lane Group Flow (vph) 75 908 63 405 1617 75 97 159 139 88 349 145 Turn Type pm+pt NA Perm Prot NA Perm pm+pt NA Perm pm+pt NA Perm Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 3 8 7 4 Detector Phase 5 2 2 1 6 6 3 8 7 4 4
Satd. Flow (RTOR) 155 109 155 155 Lane Group Flow (vph) 75 908 63 405 1617 75 97 159 139 88 349 145 Turn Type pm+pt NA Perm Prot NA Perm pm+pt NA Perm pm+pt NA Perm Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 3 8 7 4 Permitted Phases 2 2 2 6 8 8 4 4 Detector Phase 5 2 2 1 6 3 8 8 7 4 4
Lane Group Flow (vph) 75 908 63 405 1617 75 97 159 139 88 349 145 Turn Type pm+pt NA Perm Prot NA Perm pm+pt NA Perm pm+pt NA Perm Perm Perm pm+pt NA Perm Pe
Turn Type pm+pt NA Perm Prot NA Perm pm+pt NA Perm pm+pt NA Perm pm+pt NA Perm Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 3 8 7 4 Permitted Phases 2 2 2 6 8 8 4 4 Detector Phase 5 2 2 1 6 6 3 8 8 7 4 4
Protected Phases 5 2 1 6 3 8 7 4 Permitted Phases 2 2 6 8 8 4 4 Detector Phase 5 2 2 1 6 6 3 8 7 4 4
Permitted Phases 2 2 6 8 8 4 4 Detector Phase 5 2 2 1 6 6 3 8 7 4 4
Detector Phase 5 2 2 1 6 6 3 8 8 7 4 4
Switch Phase
Minimum Initial (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0
Minimum Split (s) 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.
Total Split (s) 10.0 53.0 53.0 22.0 65.0 65.0 15.0 30.0 30.0 15.0 30.0 30.0
Total Split (%) 8.3% 44.2% 44.2% 18.3% 54.2% 54.2% 12.5% 25.0% 25.0% 12.5% 25.0% 25.0%
Yellow Time (s) 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0
All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.
Total Lost Time (s) 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0
Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lag Lead Lag Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes
Recall Mode None None None None None Min Min None Min Min
Act Effct Green (s) 45.8 40.4 40.4 16.4 55.0 55.0 23.4 16.5 16.5 23.2 16.4 16.4
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.46 0.40 0.40 0.16 0.55 0.55 0.23 0.17 0.17 0.23 0.16 0.16
v/c Ratio 0.45 0.63 0.09 0.72 0.83 0.08 0.37 0.27 0.36 0.27 0.60 0.37
Control Delay 21.6 26.6 0.2 51.2 25.8 1.3 33.9 41.5 7.9 31.8 46.2 8.8
Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.
Total Delay 21.6 26.6 0.2 51.2 25.8 1.3 33.9 41.5 7.9 31.8 46.2 8.8
LOS C C A D C A C D A C D A
Approach Delay 24.7 29.8 27.8 34.7
Approach LOS C C C
Queue Length 50th (ft) 19 255 0 144 491 0 53 53 0 48 125 0
Queue Length 95th (ft) 46 349 0 #225 668 11 95 86 43 89 175 49
Internal Link Dist (ft) 3244 884 915 1327
Turn Bay Length (ft) 375 250 375 250 250 250 250 250
Base Capacity (vph) 166 1825 891 626 2250 1046 283 950 538 347 950 538
Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Reduced v/c Ratio 0.45 0.50 0.07 0.65 0.72 0.07 0.34 0.17 0.26 0.25 0.37 0.27

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 120

Actuated Cycle Length: 99.9

Natural Cycle: 75

Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83


Intersection Signal Delay: 29.0 Intersection Capacity Utilization 75.8% ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 4: Vollmer Road & Briargate Parkway



Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	1.3											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		44>			4			4			44	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	2	42	0	3	4	575	83	6	355	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	2	42	0	3	4	575	83	6	355	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	_	None	_	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e.# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	0	2	46	0	3	4	625	90	7	386	2
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1		1	Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1081	1124	387	1080	1080	670	388	0	0	715	0	0
Stage 1	401	401	-	678	678	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	680	723	-	402	402	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	195	205	661	196	218	457	1170	-	-	885	-	-
Stage 1	626	601	-	442	452	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	441	431	-	625	600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	191	202	661	193	215	457	1170	-	-	885	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	191	202	-	193	215	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	622	595	-	439	449	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	435	428	-	617	594	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ŭ												
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.5			28.6			0			0.2		
HCM LOS	В			D								
		NDI	NOT	NDD	EDL (MDL 4	051	057	000			
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	זנ	NBL	NBT		EBLn1\		SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1170	-	-	661	201	885	-	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.004	-	-	0.003			-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.1	0	-	10.5	28.6	9.1	0	-			
HCM Lane LOS	,	A	A	-	В	D	A	Α	-			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0	0.9	0	-	-			

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.7					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥		^	7	ሻ	^
Traffic Vol, veh/h	20	9	1024	42	13	661
Future Vol, veh/h	20	9	1024	42	13	661
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	250	250	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	22	10	1113	46	14	718
	Minor1		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	1500	557	0	0	1159	0
Stage 1	1113	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	387	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	-	-	4.14	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	-	-	2.22	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	113	474	-	-	599	-
Stage 1	276	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	656	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	110	474	-	-	599	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	110	-	_	-	-	-
Stage 1	276	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 2	641		_	_		_
Glaye Z	041	_	_	_		-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	36.9		0		0.2	
HCM LOS	Е					
Minor Long/Major My	.1	NDT	NDDV	VDI 54	CDI	CDT
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	IL	NBT	INRKA	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	144	599	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-				-
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	36.9	11.2	-
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	Е	В	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh))	-	-	0.8	0.1	-

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.8					
		WED	NDT	NDD	CDI	CDT
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥		^	7	<u>ች</u>	^
Traffic Vol, veh/h	38	2	660	58	5	394
Future Vol, veh/h	38	2	660	58	5	394
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	150	200	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	41	2	717	63	5	428
	Minor1		//ajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	941	359	0	0	780	0
Stage 1	717	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	224	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	-	-	4.14	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	_	_	2.22	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	262	638	_	_	833	_
Stage 1	445	-	_	_	-	_
Stage 2	792					-
Platoon blocked, %	192	-	-	-	•	-
	060	620	-	-	022	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	260	638	-	-	833	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	260	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	445	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	787	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	21		0		0.1	
			U		U. I	
HCM LOS	С					
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-		833	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-		0.162		-
HCM Control Delay (s	١	_	_	21	9.3	_
HCM Lane LOS		-	_	C	3.5 A	_
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh				0.6	0	
TION SOUT WITE Q(Ven)	-	-	0.0	U	-

4: Vollmer Road & Briargate Parkway

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	•	†	<i>></i>	>	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	J.	† †	7	1,1	† †	7	ř	^	7	ř	^	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	230	1447	105	346	1216	82	206	459	368	94	223	115
Future Volume (vph)	230	1447	105	346	1216	82	206	459	368	94	223	115
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3539	1583	3433	3539	1583	1770	3539	1583	1770	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.088			0.950			0.377			0.324		
Satd. Flow (perm)	164	3539	1583	3433	3539	1583	702	3539	1583	604	3539	1583
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			200			155			400			200
Lane Group Flow (vph)	250	1573	114	376	1322	89	224	499	400	102	242	125
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases	2		2			6	8		8	4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	3	8	8	7	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	20.0	44.0	44.0	35.0	59.0	59.0	20.0	28.0	28.0	13.0	21.0	21.0
Total Split (%)	16.7%	36.7%	36.7%	29.2%	49.2%	49.2%	16.7%	23.3%	23.3%	10.8%	17.5%	17.5%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	Min	Min	None	Min	Min
Act Effct Green (s)	59.9	45.8	45.8	17.7	49.3	49.3	33.2	20.8	20.8	22.5	14.7	14.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.53	0.41	0.41	0.16	0.44	0.44	0.30	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.13	0.13
v/c Ratio	0.86	1.09	0.15	0.70	0.85	0.11	0.66	0.76	0.65	0.51	0.52	0.33
Control Delay	57.5	85.1	0.4	52.5	34.9	0.3	43.0	52.5	9.5	41.0	51.1	2.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	57.5	85.1	0.4	52.5	34.9	0.3	43.0	52.5	9.5	41.0	51.1	2.6
LOS	E	F	Α	D	С	Α	D	D	Α	D	D	Α
Approach Delay		76.6			36.9			35.3			36.0	
Approach LOS		E			D			D			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	133	~702	0	143	462	0	138	191	0	58	92	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#290	#899	0	188	561	1	214	255	90	105	136	2
Internal Link Dist (ft)		3244		_	884			915			1327	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	375		250	375		250	250		250	250		250
Base Capacity (vph)	305	1443	763	927	1720	849	354	733	645	206	509	399
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.82	1.09	0.15	0.41	0.77	0.10	0.63	0.68	0.62	0.50	0.48	0.31

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 120

Actuated Cycle Length: 112.2

Natural Cycle: 90

Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.09


Year 2040 - PM Peak Hour

Intersection Signal Delay: 50.9 Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 84.4% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15

- Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
 Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
- # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 4: Vollmer Road & Briargate Parkway



Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.5											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	LUL	- ♣	- LDI	VVDL	₩	WDIN	NDL	4	NDI	ODL	- 351	אשט
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	0	5	77	++>	12	2	222	50	15	211	2
Future Vol, veh/h	1	0	5	77	1	12	2	222	50	15	211	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	- - ш	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	1	0	5	84	1	13	2	241	54	16	229	2
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	541	561	230	537	535	268	231	0	0	295	0	0
Stage 1	262	262	230	272	272	200	201	-		200	-	-
Stage 2	279	299	-	265	263	_		_		_	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	_	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	0.22	6.12	5.52	0.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-
	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-		-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			3.318			3.318	2.218	-		2.218	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018			4.018			-	-		-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	452	436	809	455	452	771	1337	-	-	1266	-	-
Stage 1	743	691	-	734	685	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	728	666	-	740	691	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %	400	400	000	440	111	771	4007	-	-	4000	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	438	429	809	446	444	771	1337	-	-	1266	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	438	429	-	446	444	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	742	681	-	733	684	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	713	665	-	724	681	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.1			14.6			0.1			0.5		
HCM LOS	В			В								
s 200												
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1\	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1337		-	709	473	1266					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.002	_		0.009			-	_			
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.7	0		10.1	14.6	7.9	0	_			
HCM Lane LOS		Α.	A	-	В	B	7.9 A	A	_			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	1)	0	- -		0	0.8	0	- -				
Holvi sour wille Q(ver	IJ	U	-	-	U	0.0	U	-	-			

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	3.5											
		EDT	EDD	WDI	WOT	WDD	NDI	NDT	NDD	CDI	CDT	CDD
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4		<u>*</u>	^	7	7	↑ ↑	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	29	131	0	24	12	274	75	5	379	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	29	131	0	24	12	274	75	5	379	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	-	250	250	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	0	32	142	0	26	13	298	82	5	412	0
Major/Minor I	Minor2			Minor1			Major1					
Conflicting Flow All	597	828	206	540	746	149	412	0	0	<u>Major2</u> 380	0	0
Stage 1	422	422	-	324	324	-	712	-	_	-	-	-
Stage 2	175	406	_	216	422	_						
Critical Hdwy	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	4.14		_	4.14	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.54	5.54	0.34	6.54	5.54	0.34	7.14	_	_	7.14	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1 Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	_	_	_	-	_	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	2.22	_	_	2.22	-	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	387	305	800	425	340	871	1143	_	-	1175	-	-
Stage 1	580	587	- 000	662	648	0/1	1143	-	-	1175	-	-
Stage 2	810	596	-	766	587	-	-	-	-	-		-
Platoon blocked, %	010	290	-	700	307	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	371	300	800	403	335	871	1143	-	-	1175		-
						0/1	1143	-	-	11/3	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	371	300	-	403	335 641	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	574	585	-	655		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	777	589	-	733	585	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	9.7			18.2			0.3			0.1		
HCM LOS	Α			С								
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	f	NBL	NBT	NRR	EBLn1\	WRI n1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1143			800	440	1175	ODT	וםט			
, ,			-	-				-	-			
HCM Control Polov (a)		0.011	-	-		0.383		-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.2	-	-	9.7	18.2	8.1	-	-			
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	A	C	A	-	-			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	-	-	0.1	1.8	0	-	-			

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.5					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	N/F		^	7		^
Traffic Vol, veh/h	17	9	275	8	3	290
Future Vol, veh/h	17	9	275	8	3	290
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-		-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	150	200	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	18	10	299	9	3	315
	Minor1		//ajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	463	150	0	0	308	0
Stage 1	299	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	164	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	-	-	4.14	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	-	-	2.22	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	528	870	-	-	1249	-
Stage 1	726	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	848	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	527	870	-	-	1249	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	527	-	_	_		-
Stage 1	726	_	_	_	_	-
Stage 2	846	_	_	_	_	_
Olago Z	UTU					
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	11.2		0		0.1	
HCM LOS	В					
Minor Lanc/Major Muss	\	NDT	NIDDV	MDI 51	CDI	SBT
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	IL	NBT		VBLn1	SBL	
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-		1249	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-		0.046		-
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-		7.9	-
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В	Α	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.1	0	-

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	2												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	<u></u>	7	ሻ	↑	7	ሻ	^	7	ሻ	^	7	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	Ö	21	63	0	6	8	275	11	3	300	4	
Future Vol, veh/h	9	0	21	63	0	6	8	275	11	3	300	4	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	250	375	-	250	250	-	250	250	-	250	
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	_	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	10	0	23	68	0	7	9	299	12	3	326	4	
Major/Minor	Minor2		1	Minor1	-	N	Major1		ı	Major2			
Conflicting Flow All	500	661	163	486	653	150	330	0	0	311	0	0	
Stage 1	332	332	-	317	317	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	
Stage 2	168	329	_	169	336	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Critical Hdwy	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	4.14	_	-	4.14	_	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	2.22	-	_	2.22	_	_	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	454	381	853	464	385	870	1226	-	_	1246	-	_	
Stage 1	655	643	-	669	653	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	
Stage 2	817	645	-	816	640	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	
Platoon blocked, %	0	0.0		0.0	0.0			-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	447	378	853	448	382	870	1226	-	_	1246	-	_	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	447	378		448	382		-	-	_	-	-	-	
Stage 1	650	642	-	664	648	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	805	640	-	792	639	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2.33.92 =													
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	10.5			14			0.2			0.1			
HCM LOS	10.5 B			В			J.Z			0.1			
HOW LOO	U												
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	NIRD	=RI n1 I	EBLn2 I	=BI n3\/	VRI n1V	VRI n2V	VRI n3	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	IIL	1226				<u> </u>	853	448	VDLIIZV -		1246	301	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.007	-	-	0.022		0.027			0.007	0.003	-	
HCM Control Delay (s	١	8	-	-		0	9.3	14.5	0	9.2	7.9		
HCM Lane LOS)	A	-	-	13.2 B	A	9.3 A	14.5 B	A	9.2 A	7.9 A	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	1)	0	-	-	0.1	- A	0.1	0.5	- A	0	0	-	
TOW JOHN JOHN W(VEI	1)	U	_	_	U. I	-	0.1	0.5	_	U	U	-	

Intersection							
Intersection Delay, s/veh	2.8						
Intersection LOS	Α						
Approach		EB		WB		NB	
Entry Lanes		2		2		1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		2		2		2	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		0		13		33	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		0		13		34	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		13		0		0	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		0		34		13	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0		0		0	
Ped Cap Adj		1.000		1.000		1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		0.0		2.7		2.8	
Approach LOS		-		Α		Α	
Lane	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left		
Designated Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LR		
Assumed Moves	LT	TR	L	TR	LR		
RT Channelized							
Lane Util							
	0.500	0.500	1.000	0.000	1.000		
Follow-Up Headway, s	0.500 2.667	0.500 2.535	1.000 2.667	0.000 2.535	1.000 2.535		
Follow-Up Headway, s Critical Headway, s							
	2.667	2.535	2.667	2.535	2.535		
Critical Headway, s	2.667 4.645	2.535 4.328	2.667 4.645	2.535 4.328	2.535 4.328		
Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h	2.667 4.645 0	2.535 4.328 0	2.667 4.645 13	2.535 4.328 0	2.535 4.328 34		
Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	2.667 4.645 0 1334	2.535 4.328 0 1405	2.667 4.645 13 1350	2.535 4.328 0 1420	2.535 4.328 34 1420		
Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h Entry HV Adj Factor	2.667 4.645 0 1334 1.000	2.535 4.328 0 1405 1.000	2.667 4.645 13 1350 1.000	2.535 4.328 0 1420 1.000	2.535 4.328 34 1420 0.971		
Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h Entry HV Adj Factor Flow Entry, veh/h	2.667 4.645 0 1334 1.000	2.535 4.328 0 1405 1.000	2.667 4.645 13 1350 1.000	2.535 4.328 0 1420 1.000	2.535 4.328 34 1420 0.971 33		
Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h Entry HV Adj Factor Flow Entry, veh/h Cap Entry, veh/h V/C Ratio Control Delay, s/veh	2.667 4.645 0 1334 1.000 0 1334	2.535 4.328 0 1405 1.000 0 1405	2.667 4.645 13 1350 1.000 13	2.535 4.328 0 1420 1.000 0 1420	2.535 4.328 34 1420 0.971 33 1378		
Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h Entry HV Adj Factor Flow Entry, veh/h Cap Entry, veh/h V/C Ratio	2.667 4.645 0 1334 1.000 0 1334 0.000	2.535 4.328 0 1405 1.000 0 1405 0.000	2.667 4.645 13 1350 1.000 13 1350 0.010	2.535 4.328 0 1420 1.000 0 1420 0.000	2.535 4.328 34 1420 0.971 33 1378 0.024		

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	1.7												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	3	0	2	49	1	10	4	281	85	15	259	6	
Future Vol, veh/h	3	0	2	49	1	10	4	281	85	15	259	6	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	3	0	2	53	1	11	4	305	92	16	282	7	
Major/Minor I	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2			
Conflicting Flow All	683	723	286	678	680	351	289	0	0	397	0	0	
Stage 1	318	318	-	359	359	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	365	405	-	319	321	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	363	352	753	366	373	692	1273	-	-	1162	-	-	
Stage 1	693	654	-	659	627	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	654	598	-	693	652	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	351	345	753	359	366	692	1273	-	-	1162	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	351	345	-	359	366	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	690	644	-	656	624	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	640	596	-	680	642	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
·													
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	13.2			16.1			0.1			0.4			
HCM LOS	В			C									
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR				
Capacity (veh/h)		1273	-	-	446	390	1162	-	-				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.003	-	-	0.012			-	-				
HCM Control Delay (s)		7.8	0	-	13.2	16.1	8.1	0	-				
HCM Lane LOS		A	A	-	В	C	A	A	-				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh))	0	-	-	0	0.6	0	-	-				
						0.0							

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	2.8												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		4			4		ሻ	^	7	ሻ	ħβ		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	21	86	0	15	31	460	144	20	328	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	21	86	0	15	31	460	144	20	328	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	-	250	250	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	0	0	23	93	0	16	34	500	157	22	357	0	
Major/Minor I	Minor2		N	Minor1			Major1		N	Major2			
Conflicting Flow All		1126			969	250	357	0	0	657	0	0	
	719		179	791 568			357		U			0	
Stage 1	401 318	401 725	-	223	568 401	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2 Critical Hdwy	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	4.14	-	-	4.14	-		
	6.54	5.54		6.54	5.54	0.94	4.14	-	-			-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54			-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2 Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	2.22	-	-	2.22		-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	316	203	833	280	252	750	1198	-	-	926	-	-	
	597	599		475	505	750	1190	-	-			-	
Stage 1	668	428	-	759	599	-		-	-	-	-		
Stage 2 Platoon blocked, %	000	420	-	109	233	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	297	193	833	262	239	750	1198	-	_	926	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	297	193	- 000	262	239	150	1130	-	-	920	-	-	
Stage 1	580	585		462	491	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	
Stage 2	635	416	-	721	585	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	
Glaye Z	000	710	-	1 4 1	303	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	9.4			24.8			0.4			0.5			
HCM LOS	Α			С									
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1\	NBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR				
Capacity (veh/h)		1198	-	-	833	290	926	-	-				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.028	-	-		0.379		-	-				
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.1	-	-	9.4	24.8	9	-	-				
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	A	C	A	-	-				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh))	0.1	-	-	0.1	1.7	0.1	-	-				
Sin oour round a(von)		0.1			V. 1	1.1	V. 1						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4					
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	¥			7		^
Traffic Vol, veh/h	11	6	364	27	10	300
Future Vol, veh/h	11	6	364	27	10	300
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-			None
Storage Length	0	-	-	150	200	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	0	-	-	0
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	12	7	396	29	11	326
		•				
	Minor1		//ajor1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	581	198	0	0	425	0
Stage 1	396	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	185	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.84	6.94	-	-	4.14	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.84	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	3.32	-	-	2.22	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	445	810	-	-	1131	-
Stage 1	649	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	828	_	_	_	_	-
Platoon blocked, %			_	_		-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	441	810		_	1131	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	441		_	_	- 101	_
Stage 1	649					
•	820	-	_	-	-	-
Stage 2	020	_	_	_	-	-
Approach	WB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	12.1		0		0.3	
HCM LOS	В					
J = 0 0						
			NES	1 (D.)	0	0
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBT	NBRV	VBLn1	SBL	SBT
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-		1131	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.035	0.01	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	12.1	8.2	-
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В	Α	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	-	0.1	0	-

Intersection														
nt Delay, s/veh	1.5													
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations	ሻ	↑	7	ሻ	↑	7	ሻ	^	7	ሻ	^	7		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	6	0	15	41	0	4	21	406	38	10	292	9		
Future Vol, veh/h	6	0	15	41	0	4	21	406	38	10	292	9		
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free		
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None		
Storage Length	0	-	250	375	-	250	250	-	250	250	-	250		
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-		
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-		
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92		
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
Mvmt Flow	7	0	16	45	0	4	23	441	41	11	317	10		
Major/Minor N	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2				
Conflicting Flow All	606	867	159	668	836	221	327	0	0	482	0	0		
Stage 1	339	339	-	487	487	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	267	528	-	181	349	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	381	289	858	344	302	783	1229	-	-	1077	-	-		
Stage 1	649	638	-	531	549	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	715	526	-	803	632	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	371	281	858	330	293	783	1229	-	-	1077	-	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	371	281	-	330	293	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 1	637	632	-	521	539	-	-	_	_	-	-	-		
Stage 2	698	516	-	780	626	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Ŭ														
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB				
HCM Control Delay, s	10.9			16.9			0.4			0.3				
HCM LOS	В			C						J.0				
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1	EBLn2 I	EBLn3\	VBLn1V	VBLn2V	VBLn3	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)		1229	-	-	371	-	858	330	-	783	1077	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.019	_		0.018		0.019			0.006	0.01	_	_	
HCM Control Delay (s)		8	-	-	14.9	0	9.3	17.6	0	9.6	8.4	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	14.3 B	A	A.S	17.0	A	J.0	Α	_	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.1	_	_	0.1	-	0.1	0.5	-	0	0	_	_	
TOTAL SOUT FOUND Q(VEIT)		0.1			0.1		0.1	0.0		U	U			

Intersection							
Intersection Delay, s/veh	2.8						
Intersection LOS	Α						
Approach		EB		WB		NB	
Entry Lanes		2		2		1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		2		2		2	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		0		33		23	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		0		34		23	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		34		0		0	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		0		23		34	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0		0		0	
Ped Cap Adj		1.000		1.000		1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		0.0		2.9		2.7	
Approach LOS		-		Α		Α	
Lane	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left		
Designated Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LR		
Assumed Moves	LT	TR	L	TR	LR		
RT Channelized							
Lane Util	0.500	0.500	1.000	0.000	1.000		
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.667	2.535	2.667	2.535	2.535		
Critical Headway, s	4.645	4.328	4.645	4.328	4.328		
Entry Flow, veh/h	0	0	34	0	23		
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1308	1380	1350	1420	1420		
Entry HV Adj Factor	1.000	1.000	0.971	1.000	1.000		
Flow Entry, veh/h	0	0	33	0	23		
Cap Entry, veh/h	1308	1380	1310	1420	1420		
V/C Ratio	0.000	0.000	0.025	0.000	0.016		
Control Delay, s/veh	2.8	2.6	2.9	2.5	2.7		
LOS	A	Α	Α	Α	Α		

Intersection													
Int Delay, s/veh	1.9												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
	LDL		LDIN	WDL		WDIX	NDL	4	NDIX	ODL	↔	SDIX	
Lane Configurations	٥	- ♣		68	4	_	2		25	1		2	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	5		0	5	2	247	35	1	413	2	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	5	68	0	5	2	247	35	1	413	2	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_ 0	0	_ 0	_ 0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	0	0	5	74	0	5	2	268	38	1	449	2	
Major/Minor	Minor2		-	Minor1			Major1			Major2	-		
Conflicting Flow All	746	762	450	746	744	287	451	0	0	306	0	0	
Stage 1	452	452	-	291	291	201	701	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	294	310	_	455	453	_		_			-	_	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12		_	4.12	_	-	
	6.12	5.52		6.12	5.52	0.22	4.12	_	-				
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-			-	-	-		-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			- 240	6.12	5.52	2 240	0.040	-	-	- 0.040	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018		3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	330	335	609	330	343	752	1109	-	-	1255	-	-	
Stage 1	587	570	-	717	672	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	714	659	-	585	570	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	327	334	609	326	342	752	1109	-	-	1255	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	327	334	-	326	342	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	586	569	-	716	671	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	707	658	-	579	569	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	11			18.8			0.1			0			
HCM LOS	В			C			J. 1						
Minor Lane/Major Mvn	nt	NBL	NBT	NRR	EBLn1\	WRI n1	SBL	SBT	SBR				
Capacity (veh/h)		1109		HOIL	609	339	1255	CDT	ODIC				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio			-	-				-					
		0.002	-			0.234		-	-				
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.3	0	-	11	18.8	7.9	0	-				
HCM Lane LOS	\	A	Α	-	В	С	A	Α	-				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	0	0.9	0	-	-				

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	1.8											
		EDT	EDD	WDI	WDT	WDD	NDI	NDT	NDD	CDI	CDT	CDD
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	_	- ♠			4	10	`	^	7	7	↑ ↑	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	96	29	0	13	22	386	12	4	761	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	96	29	0	13	22	386	12	4	761	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_ 0	_ 0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	250	250	-	0
Veh in Median Storage	e, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	0	104	32	0	14	24	420	13	4	827	0
Major/Minor	Minor2		ı	Minor1			Major1		1	Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1093	1316	414	890	1303	210	827	0	0	433	0	0
Stage 1	835	835	717	468	468	210	JZ1	-	-	700	-	-
Stage 2	258	481	_	422	835	_			_	_	_	
Critical Hdwy	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	4.14			4.14	_	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.54	5.54	0.34	6.54	5.54	0.34	7.14	_	_	7.14	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	_	_	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	169	156	587	237	159	796	800	_	-	1123	-	-
	328	381	301	545	560	130	000	-	-	1123	-	-
Stage 1 Stage 2	724	552	-	580	381	-	_	-	-	-	_	-
Platoon blocked, %	124	332	-	500	301	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	162	151	587	190	154	796	800	-	-	1123	_	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver					154	190	000	-	-	1123	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	162	151	-	190	543	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	318	379	-	529		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	690	535	-	475	379	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	12.5			22.7			0.5			0		
HCM LOS	В			С								
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	NRR	EBLn1\	MRI n1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
	IL		NDT					ODT	וםט			
Capacity (veh/h)		800	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	249	1123	-	-			
HCM Cantrol Dalay (a)		0.03	-			0.183	0.004	-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.6	-	-		22.7	8.2	-	-			
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	В	C	A	-	-			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.6	0.7	0	-	-			

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	1.6											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		44			4		ሻ	^	7	ሻ	^	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	23	0	0	62	0	3	0	258	14	1	480	5
Future Vol, veh/h	23	0	0	62	0	3	0	258	14	1	480	5
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	·-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	200	-	150	200	-	150
Veh in Median Storage	,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	_	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	25	0	0	67	0	3	0	280	15	1	522	5
Major/Minor N	Minor2			Minor1			Major1		N	Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	664	819	261	543	809	140	527	0	0	295	0	0
Stage 1	524	524	201	280	280	140	JZI	U	U	230	-	U
Stage 2	140	295	-	263	529	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	4.14	_	-	4.14	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.54	5.54	0.34	6.54	5.54	0.34	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	_	_	-	-	-	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-
	346	309	738	423	313	882	1036	-	-	1263		-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	504	528		703	678	002	1030	-	-	1203	-	-
Stage 1		668	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	849	000	-	719	525	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %	345	309	738	423	313	000	1036	-	-	1263	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver					313	882	1030	-	-	1203	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	345	309	-	423		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	504	527	-	703	678	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	846	668	-	718	524	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	16.2			14.9			0			0		
HCM LOS	С			В								
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1036	-	-	345	433	1263	-	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	-	0.072	0.163	0.001	-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		0	-	-	16.2	14.9	7.9	-	-			
HCM Lane LOS		Α	-	-	С	В	Α	-	-			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	-	-	0.2	0.6	0	-	-			

	٠	→	•	•	←	•	1	†	/	/	ţ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	Ť	^	7	ሻሻ	^	7	ř	^	7	ሻ	^	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	69	858	71	373	1499	69	125	146	128	81	321	140
Future Volume (vph)	69	858	71	373	1499	69	125	146	128	81	321	140
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3539	1583	3433	3539	1583	1770	3539	1583	1770	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.091			0.950			0.274			0.651		
Satd. Flow (perm)	170	3539	1583	3433	3539	1583	510	3539	1583	1213	3539	1583
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			155			109			155			155
Lane Group Flow (vph)	75	933	77	405	1629	75	136	159	139	88	349	152
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases	2		2			6	8		8	4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	3	8	8	7	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	10.0	57.0	57.0	26.0	73.0	73.0	16.0	26.0	26.0	11.0	21.0	21.0
Total Split (%)	8.3%	47.5%	47.5%	21.7%	60.8%	60.8%	13.3%	21.7%	21.7%	9.2%	17.5%	17.5%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	Min	Min	None	Min	Min
Act Effct Green (s)	47.7	42.5	42.5	17.4	57.7	57.7	29.6	21.6	21.6	20.8	14.5	14.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.45	0.40	0.40	0.17	0.55	0.55	0.28	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.14	0.14
v/c Ratio	0.48	0.65	0.11	0.72	0.84	0.08	0.52	0.22	0.31	0.32	0.72	0.43
Control Delay	24.4	27.7	0.3	51.4	25.2	1.0	40.6	40.9	7.2	37.7	55.1	11.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	24.4	27.7	0.3	51.4	25.2	1.0	40.6	40.9	7.2	37.7	55.1	11.4
LOS	С	С	Α	D	С	Α	D	D	Α	D	Е	В
Approach Delay		25.5			29.4			30.0			41.2	
Approach LOS		С			С			С			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	20	275	0	148	507	0	79	53	0	50	131	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	46	355	0	207	612	9	141	90	45	97	191	59
Internal Link Dist (ft)		412			884			915			1327	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	375		250	375		250	500		250	250		250
Base Capacity (vph)	156	1825	891	715	2387	1103	281	747	456	272	561	381
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.48	0.51	0.09	0.57	0.68	0.07	0.48	0.21	0.30	0.32	0.62	0.40

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 120

Actuated Cycle Length: 105.3

Natural Cycle: 75 Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84


Timings

4: Vollmer Road & Briargate Parkway

Intersection Signal Delay: 30.1 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 78.1% ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 4: Vollmer Road & Briargate Parkway



Intersection							
Intersection Delay, s/veh	12.9						
Intersection LOS	В						
Approach		EB		WB	NB	SB	
Entry Lanes		2		2	1	1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		2		2	2	2	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/	h	1084		1945	44	130	
Demand Flow Rate, vehi	/h	1106		1983	44	133	
Vehicles Circulating, veh	/h	113		68	1131	1981	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		2001		1107	88	70	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #	/h	0		0	0	0	
Ped Cap Adj		1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		7.4		15.0	7.7	30.0	
Approach LOS		Α		В	А	D	
Lane	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Left	
Designated Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LTR	LTR	
Assumed Moves	LT	TR	LT	TR	LTR	LTR	
RT Channelized							
Lane Util 0).470	0.530	0.470	0.530	1.000	1.000	
Follow-Up Headway, s 2	2.667	2.535	2.667	2.535	2.535	2.535	
, ,	1.645	4.328	4.645	4.328	4.328	4.328	
Entry Flow, veh/h	520	586	932	1051	44	133	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	1217	1290	1268	1340	543	264	
Entry HV Adj Factor 0	0.980	0.980	0.981	0.981	0.992	0.976	
Flow Entry, veh/h	510	575	914	1031	44	130	
Cap Entry, veh/h	1192	1265	1244	1315	539	257	
V/C Ratio C).427	0.454	0.735	0.784	0.081	0.505	
Control Delay, s/veh	7.4	7.5	14.1	15.8	7.7	30.0	
				^	Δ.	D	
LOS	Α	Α	В	С	A	D	

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.2					
			14/5-	\	0	055
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		^				7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	998	1744	20	0	25
Future Vol, veh/h	0	998	1744	20	0	25
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	0	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	, # -	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	1085	1896	22	0	27
	//ajor1		Major2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	-	0	-	0	-	948
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	-	-	-	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	-	-	-	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	-	-	-	0	262
Stage 1	0	_	-	_	0	-
Stage 2	0	_	_	-	0	_
Platoon blocked, %	- 0	_	_	_	0	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	_	-	-	-	_	262
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0		20.3	
HCM LOS	- 0		- 0		20.5 C	
TIOWI LOO					U	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	t	EBT	WBT	WBR S	SBL _{n1}	
Capacity (veh/h)		-	_	-	262	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	-	0.104	
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	-	20.3	
HCM Lane LOS		_	-	_	C	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)				_	0.3	
HOW JOHN JOHNE Q(VEII)					0.0	

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	1.9											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		44			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	2	57	0	3	4	587	94	6	370	2
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	2	57	0	3	4	587	94	6	370	2
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	e,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	0	2	62	0	3	4	638	102	7	402	2
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1		1	Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1116	1165	403	1115	1115	689	404	0	0	740	0	0
Stage 1	417	417	-	697	697	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	699	748	-	418	418	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	185	194	647	185	208	446	1155	-	-	867	-	-
Stage 1	613	591	-	431	443	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	430	420	-	612	591	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	181	191	647	182	205	446	1155	-	-	867	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	181	191	-	182	205	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	609	585	-	428	440	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	424	417	-	604	585	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	10.6			34			0			0.1		
HCM LOS	В			D						V		
				_								
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	VBI n1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1155	-	-	647	188	867	-	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.004	-		0.003			_	_			
HCM Control Delay (s)		8.1	0	-	10.6	34	9.2	0	_			
HCM Lane LOS		Α	A	-	В	D	Α.Δ	A	_			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	_	0	1.5	0	-	-			
HOW JOHN JOHN Q VEN	1	J			0	1.0						

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.6											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4		ķ	^	7	٦	^	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	108	20	0	9	59	1083	42	13	670	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	108	20	0	9	59	1083	42	13	670	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	250	250	-	0
Veh in Median Storage	,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	0	117	22	0	10	64	1177	46	14	728	0
Major/Minor N	Minor2		1	Minor1			Major1		N	Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	1473	2107	364	1697	2061	589	728	0	0	1223	0	0
Stage 1	756	756	-	1305	1305	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	717	1351	-	392	756	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	88	51	633	60	54	452	871	-	-	566	-	-
Stage 1	366	414	-	169	228	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	387	217	-	604	414	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	80	46	633	45	49	452	871	-	-	566	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	80	46	-	45	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	339	404	-	157	211	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	351	201	-	480	404	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	12			112.2			0.5			0.2		
HCM LOS	В			F								
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1V	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		871	-	-	633	62	566	-	-			
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.074	_			0.508		_	_			
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.5	-	-		112.2	11.5	-	-			
HCM Lane LOS		Α.	-	-	В	F	В	_	-			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	-	-	0.7	2	0.1	-	-			
		7.2			V .,	_						

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	1.2											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4		ሻ	^	7	ሻ	^	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	23	0	0	38	0	2	0	660	58	5	140	14
Future Vol, veh/h	23	0	0	38	0	2	0	660	58	5	140	14
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	·-	None	<u> </u>	_	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	200	-	150	200	-	150
Veh in Median Storage,	,# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	25	0	0	41	0	2	0	717	63	5	152	15
Major/Minor N	Minor2			Minor1			Major1		N	/lajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	521	942	76	803	894	359	167	0	0	780	0	0
Stage 1	162	162	-	717	717	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	359	780	-	86	177	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Critical Hdwy	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	4.14	_	_	4.14	_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.54	5.54	- 0.54	6.54	5.54	-	- 1.17	_	_		_	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	2.22	_	<u>-</u>	2.22	_	_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	438	261	970	275	279	638	1408	_	_	833	_	_
Stage 1	824	763	-	387	432	- 300	- 100	_	_	-	_	_
Stage 2	632	404	-	912	752	_	-	-	_	_	_	-
Platoon blocked, %	002	.01		V I Z	. 02			-	-		-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	434	259	970	274	277	638	1408	-	_	833	_	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	434	259	-	274	277	-		_	_	-	_	_
Stage 1	824	758	-	387	432	_	-	-	_	_	_	-
Stage 2	630	404	-	907	747	_	_	_	_	-	-	-
2.5.30 2												
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.8			20.1			0			0.3		
HCM LOS	В			C								
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	t	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		1408	-	-	434	282	833	-				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	-		0.154		-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		0	-	-	13.8	20.1	9.3	-	-			
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	В	С	Α	-	-			
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0	-	-	0.2	0.5	0	-	-			
		-					-					

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	<i>></i>	>	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	^	7	1,1	† †	7	ř	^	7	, j	^	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	230	1470	114	346	1247	82	300	459	368	94	223	131
Future Volume (vph)	230	1470	114	346	1247	82	300	459	368	94	223	131
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	3539	1583	3433	3539	1583	1770	3539	1583	1770	3539	1583
Flt Permitted	0.075			0.083			0.286			0.469		
Satd. Flow (perm)	140	3539	1583	300	3539	1583	533	3539	1583	874	3539	1583
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			155			200			238			200
Lane Group Flow (vph)	250	1598	124	376	1355	89	326	499	400	102	242	142
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	8		8	4		4
Detector Phase	5	2	2	1	6	6	3	8	8	7	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	23.0	58.0	58.0	16.0	51.0	51.0	32.0	30.0	30.0	16.0	14.0	14.0
Total Split (%)	19.2%	48.3%	48.3%	13.3%	42.5%	42.5%	26.7%	25.0%	25.0%	13.3%	11.7%	11.7%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	Min	Min	Max	Min	Min
Act Effct Green (s)	67.8	53.0	53.0	59.0	48.0	48.0	41.0	25.0	25.0	20.0	9.0	9.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56	0.44	0.44	0.49	0.40	0.40	0.34	0.21	0.21	0.17	0.08	0.08
v/c Ratio	0.84	1.02	0.16	0.87	0.96	0.12	0.71	0.68	0.77	0.45	0.91	0.47
Control Delay	54.5	62.1	1.9	49.3	51.7	0.3	41.4	49.1	28.9	36.4	92.2	7.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	54.5	62.1	1.9	49.3	51.7	0.3	41.4	49.1	28.9	36.4	92.2	7.0
LOS	D	E	Α	D	D	A	D	D	С	D	F	Α
Approach Delay		57.4			48.7			40.5			55.6	
Approach LOS		Е			D			D			Е	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	136	~692	0	100	545	0	203	188	121	55	100	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#258	#831	21	<mark>#185</mark>	#712	0	297	249	#252	98	#179	18
Internal Link Dist (ft)		412			884			915			1327	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	375		250	375		250	500		250	250		250
Base Capacity (vph)	325	1563	785	434	1414	752	460	737	518	227	265	303
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.77	1.02	0.16	0.87	0.96	0.12	0.71	0.68	0.77	0.45	0.91	0.47

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 120

Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.02

Year 2040 - PM Peak Hour

Intersection Signal Delay: 50.6 Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 90.0% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15


Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 4: Vollmer Road & Briargate Parkway



Intersection					
Intersection Delay, s/veh1	9.5				
Intersection LOS	С				
Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
Entry Lanes	2	2	1	1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes	2	2	2	2	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	2036	1920	54	90	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	2077	1958	55	92	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	140	132	2088	1908	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	1860	2011	129	182	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	0	
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh	21.4	17.4	20.7	21.0	
Approach LOS	С	С	С	С	
Lane L	eft Right	Left Right	Left	Left	
Designated Moves	LT TR	LT TR	LTR	LTR	
Assumed Moves	LT TR	LT TR	LTR	LTR	
RT Channelized					
Lane Util 0.4	70 0.530	0.470 0.530	1.000	1.000	
Follow-Up Headway, s 2.6	67 2.535	2.667 2.535	2.535	2.535	
, ,	4.328	4.645 4.328	4.328	4.328	
	76 1101	920 1038		92	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h 11	87 1261	1195 1269	241	280	
Entry HV Adj Factor 0.9	81 0.980	0.981 0.980	0.987	0.980	
Flow Entry, veh/h	57 1079	902 1018	54	90	
Cap Entry, veh/h 11	64 1236	1173 1245	237	275	
V/C Ratio 0.8	322 0.873	0.770 0.818	0.229	0.328	
Control Delay, s/veh 1	9.6 23.0	16.3 18.4	20.7	21.0	
LOS	C C	C C	С	С	
95th %tile Queue, veh	10 13	8 10	1	1	

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.6					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	LUL	↑ ↑	↑ ↑	7	ODL	7 JUG
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1814	1608	70	0	89
Future Vol, veh/h	0	1814	1608	70	0	89
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-		-		- Olop	None
Storage Length	_	-	_	250	_	0
Veh in Median Storage	e.# -	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	1972	1748	76	0	97
WWWIICTIOW	U	1012	1140	70	U	J1
	Major1		Major2		/linor2	
Conflicting Flow All	-	0	-	0	-	874
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	-	-	-	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	-	-	-	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	-	-	-	0	293
Stage 1	0	-	-	-	0	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	-	0	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	293
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		0		23.2	
HCM LOS	U		U		23.2 C	
TIOWI LOG					U	
Minor Lane/Major Mvm	nt	EBT	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1	
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	-	293	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	-	0.33	
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	-	23.2	
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	-	С	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh))	-	-	-	1.4	