

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN

Prepared for: **CLASSIC COMPANIES** 2138 FLYING HORSE CLUB DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80921 (719) 592-9333

Prepared by: CLASSIC CONSULTING 619 N. CASCADE AVE SUITE 200 COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80903 (719) 785-0790

> Job No. 1308.01 PCD File No. PUDSP243



619 N. Cascade Ave, Suite 200 | Colorado Springs, CO 80903 | (719) 785-0790

ClassicConsulting.net

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applitude master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

O ALAN WHO PRE	
	10/24/2024
Marc A. Whorton Colorado P.E. #37155	Date

OWNER'S/DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT:

I, the owner/developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Business Name:	CLASSIC COMPANIES
Ву:	Perint
Title:	De lorbour - Pries
Address:	2138 Flying Horse Club Drive
	Colorado Springs, CO 80921

EL PASO COUNTY:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Joshua Palmer, P.E. County Engineer / ECM Administrator

Date

Conditions:



PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

PURPOSE	Page	1
GENERAL DESCRIPTION	Page	1
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	Page	1
PROPOSED DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS	Page	3
DETENTION FACILITIES / STORMWATER QUALITY	Page	7
DRAINAGE CRITERIA	Page	8
FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT	Page	10
DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES	Page	10
SUMMARY	Page	11
REFERENCES	Page	12

APPENDICES VICINITY MAP SOILS MAP (S.C.S. SURVEY) F.E.M.A. MAP HYDROLOGIC / STORMWATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS DRAINAGE MAPS



PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN

PURPOSE

The intent of the owner/developer is to develop the Urban Landing Property. The purpose of this Preliminary Drainage Report, as part of the Preliminary Plan submittal, is to identify all drainage features and facilities and to estimate peak rates of stormwater runoff, from on-site and off-site sources. Also, the purpose is to outline the necessary improvements to safely route developed storm water runoff to adequate outfall facilities. The drainage improvements proposed in this report are preliminary in nature and a final drainage report is required upon any development within the property that detail the 'to be constructed' drainage systems and detention ponds.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The Urban Landing Preliminary Plan property is 6.576 acres, as located in a portion of section 36, township 11 south, range 67 west of the sixth principal meridian. The site is bounded on the north by Spanish Bit Dr., to the south by existing undeveloped property owned by a church, to the east by an existing rural residential 5-ac. lot and to the west by Struthers Road. The site is within the Jackson Creek drainage basin. The proposed use as shown on the concurrent Preliminary Plan submittal is single family residential (detached) with a total of 49 units, private roads, open space and detention/SWQ pond. Public roadway access will be from Spanish Bit Dr.

The average soil condition reflects Hydrologic Group "B" (Peyton-Pring complex, Pring coarse sandy loam and a small portion of Brussett loam) as determined by the "Soil Survey of El Paso County Area," prepared by the Soil Conservation Service (see map in Appendix).

EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

This property is located in the Jackson Creek drainage basin. Existing conditions across this property are mainly native grasses and yucca with a natural ravine traversing the site draining from northeast to southwest. Existing slopes range from 2% to 12% across the site. The entire



property generally drains in a southwesterly direction towards the existing lowpoint on the property at the southeast corner of Spanish Bit Dr. and Struthers Road. Spanish Bit Dr. is currently constructed as a rural local roadway with sideroad ditches. This public road is paved up to the Big R access to the north and then gravel east of that intersection. Along with the development of the Big R, rip-rap was installed along the north side of the roadway to facilitate drainage along that side of the road down to the intersection with Struthers Road. The Big R development also constructed a detention/SWQ facility on the northeast corner of the intersection. The outfall for this pond is dual 36" RCP culverts under Spanish Bit Dr. that daylight into an informal holding basin that is partially rip-rapped on the proposed development property. These flows are then conveyed westerly under Struthers Road in an existing 6'x4' CBC. Struthers Road to the south of this intersection (approx. 350 LF) drains north towards this intersection. The east side of the roadway drains around the corner into Spanish Bit Dr. and then immediately down a paved rundown into this existing holding basin.

East of this property exists the Chaparral Hills 5-ac. rural residential neighborhood. A significant portion of this off-site development is tributary to the existing natural ravine on the property. This off-site flow enters the property as sheet flow at the northeast corner from Lot 26, Chaparral Hills Subd. This large off-site basin has been accounted for in both the pre-development and developed drainage calculations.

The following descriptions represent the existing on and off-site basins and design points affecting this property: (Reference the Pre-development Drainage Map in the Appendix)

Design Point E1 ($Q_5 = 5$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 22$ cfs) consists of the 12.8-acre off-site tributary area from Basin OS-1. As mentioned earlier, this area is developed as large lot rural residential (5-ac. lots) sheet flowing towards the northeast corner of the property. These off-site flows then enter the property and travel within the natural ravine towards Struthers Road and the existing 6'x4' CBC.



Design Point E2 (Q₅ = **0.5 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **3.3 cfs)** consists of the off-site tributary area from Basin OS-3 (0.49 ac.) and the on-site Basin EX-2 (1.3 ac.). Basin OS-3 is also currently developed as large lot rural residential. These minor off-site flows then enter the property within Basin EX-2 as sheet flow. The combined sheet flows continue to sheet flow off-site into the undeveloped church property within Basin OS-4.

Design Point E3 ($Q_5 = 2 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 8 \text{ cfs}$ **)** consists of the sheet flow from Design Point E2 combining with the sheet flow of Basin OS-4 (2.1 ac.). These sheet flows then enter Struthers Road, travel as C&G flow in a northerly direction towards Spanish Bit Dr. The flows then turn the corner and are conveyed down the paved rundown within the property.

Design Point E4 ($Q_5 = 7 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 31 \text{ cfs}$ **)** consists of the off-site flows described above along with the major portion of the property within Basin EX-1 (5.8 ac.). These flows represent the total combined runoff from both on-site and off-site tributary area across this property except those coming from the existing dual 36" RCP culverts under Spanish Bit Dr.

PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

Development within the proposed Preliminary Plan is planned for urban residential with associated curb, gutter, sidewalk and paved private streets. Overlot grading is anticipated for the majority of the development along with installation of urban services provided through the Donala Water and Sanitation District. Proposed impervious areas will sheet flow across yards and landscape areas to slow runoff and increase time of concentration. This will minimize the effects of impervious areas. At design points where developed flows are greater than in the existing condition, detention facilities will be proposed providing an Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) in the lower portion of the facility storage volume with an outlet control device. Frequent and infrequent inflows are released at rates approximating undeveloped conditions. This



increased runoff at a low rate over an extended period of time, up to 72 hours. This means that frequent storms, smaller than the 2-year event, will be reduced to very low flows near or below the sediment carrying threshold value for downstream drainage ways. Also, by incorporating an outlet structure that limits the 100-year runoff to the undeveloped condition rate, the discharge hydrograph for storms between the 2-year and the 100-year event will approximate the hydrograph for the undeveloped conditions and will help effectively mitigate the effects of development. Prior to development within this property, a final drainage report and construction plans will be required detailing the requirements and specifics of proposed facilities.

Due to current drainage criteria, detention/stormwater quality facilities are proposed. The following are preliminary design points for developed conditions with descriptions of anticipated basin areas and preliminary storm systems:

Design Point 1 ($Q_5 = 5 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 23 \text{ cfs}$ **)** consists of off-site sheet flows from Basin OS-1 (12.8 ac.) east of the site and the minor developed flows from Basin A (0.10 ac.). These combined flows will be collected by a proposed private 30" RCP within a drainage tract maintained by the HOA and routed further downstream.

Design Point 2 (Q₅ = **1.5 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **3.2 cfs)** consists of the minor off-site sheet flows from Basin OS-2A (0.13 ac.) and developed flows from Basin B (0.75 ac.). These combined flows will be collected by a proposed 5' Type R sump inlet within the private roadway. A proposed private 18" RCP will then route the collected flows downstream towards Design Point 3. **Design Point 3 (Q**₅ = **0.6 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **1.1 cfs)** consists of the minor developed flows from Basin C (0.18 ac.). A proposed 5' Type R sump inlet will collect the flows and then combine with the upstream flows from Design Point 2. A proposed private 18" RCP will then route the roadway tract. Emergency overflow for this sump condition will pond up 12" and then spill around the corner down Spanish Bit Dr.



Design Point 4 (Q_5 = 2.4 cfs, Q_{100} = 6.8 cfs) consists of the off-site sheet flows from Basin OS-2B (1.5 ac.) and developed flows from Basin D (1.1 ac.). These combined flows will be collected by a proposed area drain behind the curb and a 5' Type R sump inlet within the private roadway. A proposed private 18" RCP will then route the collected flows downstream towards Design Point 5. Design Point 5 (Q_5 = 1.0 cfs, Q_{100} = 2.0 cfs) consists of the minor developed flows from Basin E (0.31 ac.). These flows will also be collected by a proposed 5' Type R sump inlet within the private roadway. The flows combine with the upstream flows collected from Design Point 4 and are routed via a proposed private 24" RCP towards Design Point 6. Emergency overflow for this sump condition will pond up 8" and then spill around the corner westerly down Urban Landing View.

Design Point 6 (Q₅ = **0.6 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **1.8 cfs)** consists of the developed sheet flows from Basin F (0.60 ac.). These flows will be collected by a proposed area drain within the open space area. The collected flows then combine with the upstream flows and are then routed via a proposed private 24" RCP towards Design Point 7.

Design Point 7 ($Q_5 = 4.6$ **cfs,** $Q_{100} = 9.4$ **cfs)** consists of the developed flows from Basin G (0.58 ac.) and flows from Basin H (1.6 ac.). These combined flows will be collected by a proposed 5' Type R sump inlet within the private roadway. The collected flows then combine with the upstream flows and are then routed via a proposed private 30" RCP towards the proposed onsite pond. **Design Point 8 (** $Q_5 = 2.3$ **cfs,** $Q_{100} = 5.1$ **cfs)** consists of off-site sheet flows from Basin OS-3A (0.37 ac.) and developed flows from Basin I (1.3 ac.). The combined flows will be collected by a proposed 5' Type R sump inlet within the private roadway. These collected flows also combine with the upstream flows and are then routed via the proposed private 30" RCP within a storm esmt. towards the on-site pond. Emergency overflow for this sump condition will pond up 9" and then spill over the high point to the west, around the corner and then down Spanish Bit Dr.



Design Point 9 (Q₅ = **0.4 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **1.3 cfs)** consists of the developed flows from **Basin OS-3B** (0.04 ac.) and **Basin J1** (0.44 ac.) that are routed via a proposed grass lined swale (2.0% min.) within the open space Tract C towards a proposed area drain and then routed via a private 18" RCP into the proposed pond. **Basin J2** (0.56 ac.) ($Q_5 = 0.5 cfs, Q_{100} = 1.8 cfs$) consists of developed flows that sheet flow directly into the proposed pond.

Basin OS-4 (2.1 ac.) ($Q_5 = 1.6 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 5.1 \text{ cfs}$) consists of the off-site sheet flows from the undeveloped church property to the south. These existing sheet flows currently enter the east side of Struthers Road and then travel as curb and gutter flow in a northerly direction towards Spanish Bit Dr. Once at the intersection with Spanish Bit Dr., the flows travel around the corner, combine with the developed flows from Basin K and are then conveyed directly into the existing holding basin on the southeast corner via an existing paved rundown. **Basin K** (0.20 ac.) ($Q_5 = 0.6 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 1.2 \text{ cfs}$) consists of the developed flows from a small portion of the development property and the south side of Spanish Bit Road. These developed flows travel as curb and gutter flows towards the existing paved rundown. With the proposed installation of curb and gutter along the south side of Spanish Bit Dr., a curb chase will be designed to convey these developed flows from the curb into the existing paved rundown. Further detailed design included with Final Drainage Report and CDs. **Basin L** (0.16 ac.) ($Q_5 = 0.1 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 0.5 \text{ cfs}$) consists of the area of the existing holding basin. These existing flows continue to directly enter the existing 6'x4' CBC under Struthers Road.

The final drainage report for the adjacent commercial development north of Spanish Bit Dr., "Preliminary & Final Drainage Report for Cathedral Rock Commons Commercial", prepared by JPS Engineering, approved April 2023 describes the current developed flows being released through the dual 36" RCP pipes under Spanish Bit Dr. ($Q_5 = 31.2 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 73.9 \text{ cfs}$) These flows combined with the proposed pond release ($Q_5 = 4.5 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 31 \text{ cfs}$) are all tributary to the existing 6'x4' CBC under Struthers Road. This public facility seems to be in good condition and has capacity to convey 219 cfs. Thus, this public facility and holding basin will continue to be



adequate to convey all the developed flows in this area under Struthers Road. Additional design calculations for these existing facilities will be required with the final drainage report.

Design Point 10 (Q_5 = 15 cfs, Q_{100} = 45 cfs) represents the total area and developed flows tributary to the proposed on-site detention/SWQ pond. The total tributary area is 22.36 ac. with a 30.6% weighted imperviousness. (See Appendix)

DETENTION FACILITIES / STORMWATER QUALITY

Final design of this recommended facility that include planning for water quality management of storm water runoff features will be designed during final design and construction of the proposed improvements. Storm water quality measures will be utilized in order to reduce the amount of sediment, debris and pollutants that are allowed to be released downstream. These features include Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin Sedimentation Facilities. Site Planning and design techniques should limit impervious area, minimize directly impervious area, lengthen time of travel and increase infiltration in order to decrease the rate and volume of stormwater runoff. Facilities that require detention will provide an Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) in the lower portion of the facility storage volume that will release the more frequent storms at a slower rate to help minimize the effects of development of this property.

The proposed Pond is intended to provide detention and stormwater quality for nearly the entire property, including the off-site basins tributary to this site as described above. The total anticipated developed flows entering this facility are as follows: (See Appendix for MHFD-Detention pond design sheets):



Pond (Full Spectrum EDB)Total Tributary Acreage:22.36 ac.Total Site Impervious tributary to Pond 1:30.6%0.286 Ac.-ft. WQCV required0.418 Ac.-ft. EURV required with 4:1 max. slopes0.800 Ac.-ft. 100-yr. required storage1.504 Ac.-ft. required totalTotal Peak In-flow:Q5 = 15 cfs, Q100 = 45 cfsPond Peak Design Release:Q5 = 4.5 cfs, Q100 = 31 cfsRelease per Pre-development Conditions (Design Point E4):Q5 = 7 cfs, Q100 = 31 cfs

This proposed detention facility is to be private with maintenance of all private drainage facilities outside the public Right-of-Way including the pond by the Urban Landing HOA. All drainage facilities within the public Right of Way to be public with maintenance by El Paso County.

DRAINAGE CRITERIA

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, as revised in November 1991 and October 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014. Individual basin design used for detention/SWQ basin sizing was calculated using the Rational Method. Runoff Coefficients are based on the imperviousness of the particular land use and the hydrologic soil type in accordance with Table 6-6. The average rainfall intensity, by recurrence interval found in the Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) curves in Figure 6-5. Mile High Flood District (MHFD)-Detention spreadsheet Ver. 4.06 used for Preliminary Detention/SWQ design. (See Appendix)



The City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County DCM requires the Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long-term source controls. The Four Step Process pertains to management of smaller, frequently occurring storm events, as opposed to larger storms for which drainage and flood control infrastructure are sized. Implementation of these four steps helps to achieve storm water permit requirements.

This site adheres to this Four Step Process as follows:

- Employ Runoff Reduction Practices: Proposed urban lot impervious areas (roof tops, patios, etc.) will sheet flow across landscaped yards and through open space areas to slow runoff and increase time of concentration prior to being conveyed to the proposed public streets or detention facilities. This will minimize directly connected impervious areas within the project site.
- 2. Stabilize Drainageways: The existing natural drainageway on-site will be overlot graded and urbanized with the proposed residential development. Within this development, private urban street sections will be constructed along with buried storm systems to handle the developed runoff. The final drainage report will better detail these capture methods and any required improvements to do so along with necessary hydraulic analysis and emergency overflow routing methods per County standards. After developed flows utilize the runoff reduction practices through the yards and open spaces, developed flows will travel via curb and gutter within the private streets and eventually private storm systems. These collected flows are then routed directly to the proposed on-site extended detention basin (full-spectrum facility).
- 3. **Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV):** Runoff from this development will be treated through capture and slow release of the WQCV and excess urban runoff volume



(EURV) in the proposed Full-Spectrum permanent Extended Detention Basin designed per current El Paso County drainage criteria. The few basins that are not able to be captured and routed to a permanent extended detention basin (K and L) qualify for an exclusion I.7.1.C.1 - 20% exclusion less than 1 acre.

4. Consider need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs: No industrial uses are proposed within this development. However, a site-specific storm water quality and erosion control plan and narrative will be submitted along with the grading and erosion control plan. Details such as site-specific sediment and erosion control construction BMP's will be detailed in this plan and narrative to protect receiving waters. BMP's will be constructed and maintained as the development has been graded and erosion control methods employed.

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is located within a floodplain as determined by the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (F.I.R.M.) Map Numbers 08041C0286G and 0841C0287G, effective date, December 7, 2018 (See Appendix).

DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES

Any applicable drainage and bridge fees shall be provided prior to final plat recordation of any development within this site. These fees will be calculated in the FDR for County review and approval.



SUMMARY

The proposed Urban Landing property development is within the Jackson Creek Drainage Basin. The points of storm water release from the proposed site are required to be at or below the calculated historic flow quantities. This development does not impact any downstream facility or property to an extent greater than that which currently exists in the 'historic' conditions. All drainage facilities within this report were sized according to the Drainage Criteria Manuals and the full-spectrum storm water quality requirements. Prior to development of this property, a separate Final Drainage Report will be required to be submitted and approved by El Paso County that details all storm systems, pond design and fee calculation.

PREPARED BY:

Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC

Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Project Manager

maw/1308.01/130801PDR.doc



REFERENCES

- 1. City of Colorado Springs/County of El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in November 1991 and October 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014.
- 2. El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, adopted December 23, 2004, revised December 13, 2016 and Published in 2018. Online content updated October 14, 2020.
- 3. "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1, 2 & 3" Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, dated January 2016.
- 4. "Big R Retail Center Final Drainage Report", M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., dated March 2012
- 5. "Preliminary & Final Drainage Report for Cathedral Rock Commons Commercial", JPS Engineering, approved April, 2023.
- 6. "Drainage Report for Chaparral Hills", Colorado Engineering, Inc., dated 1971




APPENDIX



VICINITY MAP







SOILS MAP (S.C.S SURVEY)



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

MAP	LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI) Soils Soil Map Unit Polygons Oli Map Unit	 Spoil Area Stony Spot Very Stony Spot 	DEPENDENTION The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000. Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale. Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
 Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water Perennial Water Rock Outcrop Saline Spot Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot Sinkhole Slide or Slip Sodic Spot 	Aerial Photography	 This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data a of the version date(s) listed below. Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 21, Aug 24, 2023 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger. Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 9, 2021—Jun 2021 The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.



Мар	Unit	Legend
-----	------	--------

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
14	Brussett loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes	11.5	4.2%
45	Kutch clay loam, 5 to 20 percent slopes	0.5	0.2%
68	Peyton-Pring complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes	97.4	36.0%
71	Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	64.4	23.8%
92	Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes	0.7	0.2%
93	Tomah-Crowfoot complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	96.5	35.6%
Totals for Area of Interest		270.9	100.0%



El Paso County Area, Colorado

14—Brussett loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 367j Elevation: 7,200 to 7,500 feet Frost-free period: 115 to 125 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Brussett and similar soils: 85 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Brussett

Setting

Landform: Flats Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Eolian deposits

Typical profile

A - 0 to 8 inches: loam BA - 8 to 12 inches: loam Bt - 12 to 26 inches: clay loam Bk - 26 to 60 inches: silt loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 9.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3c Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R048AY222CO - Loamy Park Hydric soil rating: No

USDA

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 21, Aug 24, 2023



El Paso County Area, Colorado

68—Peyton-Pring complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369f Elevation: 6,800 to 7,600 feet Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Peyton and similar soils: 40 percent Pring and similar soils: 30 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Peyton

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or arkosic residuum weathered from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 12 inches: sandy loam Bt - 12 to 25 inches: sandy clay loam BC - 25 to 35 inches: sandy loam C - 35 to 60 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 5 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Low Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4c Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R049XY216CO - Sandy Divide Hydric soil rating: No

USDA

Description of Pring

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 14 inches: coarse sandy loam

C - 14 to 60 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R048AY222CO - Loamy Park Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 21, Aug 24, 2023



El Paso County Area, Colorado

71—Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369k Elevation: 6,800 to 7,600 feet Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Pring and similar soils: 85 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Pring

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 14 inches: coarse sandy loam C - 14 to 60 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R048AY222CO - Loamy Park Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes Other soils Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 21, Aug 24, 2023



F.E.M.A. MAP



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal datum was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website a http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12

National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202

1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile paselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.


Contact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

f you have **questions about this map** or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip. El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Tab

El Paso County vertical Datum Onse	aciapie
	Vertical Datum
Flooding Source	Offset (ft)

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION


Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The **community map repository** should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations** (BFEs) and/or **floodways** have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control structures**. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The **projection** used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The **horizontal datum** was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the **North American Vertical Datum** of **1988** (**NAVD88**). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same **vertical datum**. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12

National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202

1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.


Contact **FEMA Map Service Center** (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

If you have **questions about this map** or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call **1-877-FEMA MAP** (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Vertical Datum Flooding Source

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION


Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



HYDROLOGIC / STORMWATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS



For Colorado Springs and much of the Fountain Creek watershed, the 1-hour depths are fairly uniform and are summarized in Table 6-2. Depending on the location of the project, rainfall depths may be calculated using the described method and the NOAA Atlas maps shown in Figures 6-6 through 6-17.

Return	1-Hour	6-Hour	24-Hour
Period	Depth	Depth	Depth
2	1.19	1.70	2.10
5	1.50	2.10	2.70
10	1.75	2.40	3.20
25	2.00	2.90	3.60
50	2.25	3.20	4.20
100	2.52	3.50	4.60
	Where Z=	6,840 ft/10)0

Table 6-2. Rainfall Depths for Colorado Springs

These depths can be applied to the design storms or converted to intensities (inches/hour) for the Rational Method as described below. However, as the basin area increases, it is unlikely that the reported point rainfalls will occur uniformly over the entire basin. To account for this characteristic of rain storms an adjustment factor, the Depth Area Reduction Factor (DARF) is applied. This adjustment to rainfall depth and its effect on design storms is also described below. The UDFCD UD-Rain spreadsheet, available on UDFCD's website, also provides tools to calculate point rainfall depths and Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves² and should produce similar depth calculation results.

2.2 Design Storms

Design storms are used as input into rainfall/runoff models and provide a representation of the typical temporal distribution of rainfall events when the creation or routing of runoff hydrographs is required. It has long been observed that rainstorms in the Front Range of Colorado tend to occur as either shortduration, high-intensity, localized, convective thunderstorms (cloud bursts) or longer-duration, lowerintensity, broader, frontal (general) storms. The significance of these two types of events is primarily determined by the size of the drainage basin being studied. Thunderstorms can create high rates of runoff within a relatively small area, quickly, but their influence may not be significant very far downstream. Frontal storms may not create high rates of runoff within smaller drainage basins due to their lower intensity, but tend to produce larger flood flows that can be hazardous over a broader area and extend further downstream.

• **Thunderstorms**: Based on the extensive evaluation of rain storms completed in the Carlton study (Carlton 2011), it was determined that typical thunderstorms have a duration of about 2 hours. The study evaluated over 300,000 storm cells using gage-adjusted NEXRAD data, collected over a 14-year period (1994 to 2008). Storms lasting longer than 3 hours were rarely found. Therefore, the results of the Carlton study have been used to define the shorter duration design storms.

To determine the temporal distribution of thunderstorms, 22 gage-adjusted NEXRAD storm cells were studied in detail. Through a process described in a technical memorandum prepared by the City of Colorado Springs (City of Colorado Springs 2012), the results of this analysis were interpreted and normalized to the 1-hour rainfall depth to create the distribution shown in Table 6-3 with a 5 minute time interval for drainage basins up to 1 square mile in size. This distribution represents the rainfall

Land Use or Surface	Percent	Runoff Coefficients											
Characteristics	Impervious	2-year		5-year		10-year		25-year		50-year		100-year	
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D
Business										-			
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	<u>0.4</u> 5	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential													-
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0,54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.30	0.57
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.36	D.37	0:46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial													
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.62	0.55						
Heavy Areas	90	0.57	0.80	0.59	0.63	0.63 0.75	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Theory Acces	30	0.71	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.39	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	D.48	0.41	0.54
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	D.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas						-							_
Historic Flow Analysis								_					
Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.38	0.31	0.43	0.35	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Offsite Flow Analysis (when		·					0.52	0.34	0.57	0.55	0.55	0.50	0.50
landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0,44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
Streets	-												
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.00	0.07							
Gravel	80	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
		0.57	0.00	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	· 0.74
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Roofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method (Source: UDFCD 2001)

3.2 Time of Concentration

One of the basic assumptions underlying the Rational Method is that runoff is a function of the average rainfall rate during the time required for water to flow from the hydraulically most remote part of the drainage area under consideration to the design point. However, in practice, the time of concentration can be an empirical value that results in reasonable and acceptable peak flow calculations.

For urban areas, the time of concentration (t_c) consists of an initial time or overland flow time (t_i) plus the travel time (t_i) in the storm sewer, paved gutter, roadside drainage ditch, or drainage channel. For nonurban areas, the time of concentration consists of an overland flow time (t_i) plus the time of travel in a concentrated form, such as a swale or drainageway. The travel portion (t_i) of the time of concentration can be estimated from the hydraulic properties of the storm sewer, gutter, swale, ditch, or drainageway. Initial time, on the other hand, will vary with surface slope, depression storage, surface cover, antecedent rainfall, and infiltration capacity of the soil, as well as distance of surface flow. The time of concentration is represented by Equation 6-7 for both urban and non-urban areas.

í

	[Hydrologic		Pre-Development CN			
Fully Developed Urban Areas {vegetation established} ¹	Treatment	Condition	5%1	HSG A	HSG B	HSG C	HSG D
Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.):							
Poor condition (grass cover < 50%)				68	79	86	89
Fair condition (grass cover 50% to 75%)				49	69	79	84
Good condition (grass cover > 75%)				39	61	74	80
Impervious areas:							<u> </u>
Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc. (excluding right-of-wa	4			98	98	98	98
Streets and roads:							
Paved; curbs and storm sewers (excluding right-of-way)				98	98	98	98
Paved; open ditches (Including right-of-way)				83	89	92	93
Gravel (including right-of-way)				76	85	89	91
Dirt (including right-of-way)				72	82	87	89
Western desert urban areas:							
Natural desert landscaping (pervious areas only) Artificial desert landscaping (impervious weed barrier, desert			•	63	77	85	88
shrub with 1- to 2-inch sand or gravel mulch and basin borders)				96	96	96	96
Urban districts:							
Commercial and business			85	89	92	94	95
Industrial			72	81	88	91	93
Residential districts by average lot size:							
1/8 acre or less (town houses)			65	77	85	90	92
1/4 acre			38	61	75	83	87
1/3 acre			30	57	72	81	86
1/2 acre			25	54	70	80	85
1 acre			20	51	68	79	84
2 acres			12	46	65	77	82
		Hydrologic					
Developing Urban Areas ¹	Treatment ²	Condition ³	%1	HSG A	HSG B	HSG C	HSG D
Newly graded areas (pervious areas only, no vegetation)				77	86	91	94
Cultivated Agricultural Lands ¹	Treatment	Hydrologic Condition	%I	HSG A	HSG B	HSG C	HSG D
	Bare soil			77	86	91	94
Fallow	Crop residue	Poor		76	85	90	93
	cover (CR)	Good		74	83	88	90
	Straight row	Poor		72	81	88	91
	(SR)	Good		67	78	85	89
	SR + CR	Poor		71	80	87	90
	Sitter	Good	+	64	75	82	85
	Contoured (C)	Poor		70	79	84	88
Row crops	contoured (c)	Good		65	75	82	86
	C+CR	Poor		69	78	83	87
		Good		64	74	81	85
	Contoured &	Poor		66	74	80	82
	terraced (C&T)	Good		62	71	78	81
	C&T+CR	Poor		65	73	79	81
		Good		61	70	77	80
	SR	Poor	****	65	76	84	88
		Good		63	75	. 83	87
	SR + CR	Poor		64	75	83	86
		Good		60	72	80 93	84
	c c	Poor Good		63 61	74 73	82 81	85
Small grain		Poor		61	73	81 81	<u>84</u> 84
	C + CR Poor	Good		62	73	81	84 83
		Poor		60	72	80 79	83
	C&T	Good		59	72	79 78	81
		Poor			70 71	78 78	81
	C&T+CR	F001		00	/ / /	/0 /	
		Good		58	69	77	80

Table 6-10. NRCS Curve Numbers for Frontal Storms & Thunderstorms for Developed Conditions (ARCII)




Figure 6-5. Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency

IDF Equations $I_{100} = -2.52 \ln(D) + 12.735$ $I_{50} = -2.25 \ln(D) + 11.375$ $I_{25} = -2.00 \ln(D) + 10.111$ $I_{10} = -1.75 \ln(D) + 8.847$ $I_5 = -1.50 \ln(D) + 7.583$ $I_2 = -1.19 \ln(D) + 6.035$ Note: Values calculated by
equations may not precisely
duplicate values read from figure.

JOB NAME:	URBAN LANDING - PRELIMINARY PLAN
JOB NUMBER:	1308.01
DATE:	08/30/24
CALCULATED BY:	MAW

PRE-DEVELOPMENT BASIN RUNOFF COEFFICIENT SUMMARY

			C VAL	UE DCM TAB	BLE 6-6			C VALUE DCM TABLE 6-6						WEIGHTED "C" VALUE			W	CA	WEIGHTED IMP.	
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (AC)	LAND USE	PERCENT IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	LAND USE	PERCENT IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	PERCENT
EX-1	5.80	UNDEV.	2.0%	5.30	0.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED ROAD	100.0%	0.50	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.16	0.41	0.60	0.93	2.39	10.4%
EX-2	1.30	UNDEV.	2.0%	1.30	0.03	0.09	0.36			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.04	0.12	0.47	2.0%
OS-1	12.80	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	12.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	GRAVEL ROAD	80.0%	0.80	0.57	0.59	0.7	0.08	0.15	0.41	1.06	1.91	5.24	11.6%
OS-2	1.50	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	1.50	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.18	0.59	7.0%
OS-3	0.49	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	0.49	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.02	0.06	0.19	7.0%
OS-4	2.10	UNDEV.	2.0%	1.68	0.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED ROAD	100.0%	0.42	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.20	0.25	0.48	0.42	0.53	1.01	21.6%

Job Nami	E:	URBAN L	ANDING - I	PRELIA	MINAR	Y PLAN												
JOB NUM	BER:	1308.01						_					Table 6	-7. Con	veyance	Coeffi	cient, C	v
DATE:		07/31/03						_					Type	ofIan	d Surfac	P		C,
CALC'D B	Y:	MAW						_				Heavy	meadow		Juint		_	2.5
Return	1-Hour	ľ													1			5
Period	Depth	-										Ripra	p (not bu	ried)*	$t_{c} = \frac{1}{18}$	-+10	-	6.5
2	1.19	-		(0 305(1	1-C	T	$V = C_v S_w^{-0.5} \qquad \text{Tc=L/V}$					pasture a				_	7
10	1.50	-		$t_i = -$	0.595(1	c 0.33	NL	V	$=C_{v}$	Sw	Tc=L/V	Nearly	y bare gr	ound				10
25	2.00	7				3						Grass	ed water	way				15
50	2.00	-													w paved			20
100	2.23	-										For but	ried riprap,	select C _v	value based	l on type o	f vegetativ	ve cover.
100	2.52			PRE	E-DEV	ELOPI	MENT	BASI	N RUN	NOFF \$	SUMM	ARY						
WEIGHTED				OVER	LAND		STRE	ET / CH	ANNEL	FLOW	Tc INTENSITY				TOT	TOTAL FLOWS		
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Height <i>(ft)</i>	Tc (min)	Length (ft)	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	l(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100 <i>(cfs</i>)
EX-1	0.60	0.93	2.39	0.16	300	10	19.8	520	2.0%	1.4	6.1	25.9	2.16	2.70	4.54	1.3	3	11
EX-2	0.04	0.12	0.47	0.09	300	10	21.2					21.2	2.40	3.00	5.04	0.1	0.4	2.4
OS-1	1.06	1.91	5.24	0.15	300	9	20.7	530	2.5%	1.1	8.0	28.7	2.04	2.55	4.28	2	5	22
OS-2	0.08	0.18	0.59	0.12	250	8	19.1					19.1	2.53	3.16	5.31	0.2	0.6	3
OS-3	0.02	0.06	0.19	0.12	240	8	18.4					18.4	2.57	3.21	5.39	0.1	0.2	1.0
					1													

URBAN LANDING - PRELIMINARY PLAN
1308.01
08/30/24
MAW

*ALL STORM SEWER TO BE PRIVATE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

PRE-DEVELOPMENT SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

					Inten	sity	Fl	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins / Design Point	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	l(5)	l(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Facility/ Inlet Size*
E1	OS-1	1.91	5.24	28.7	2.55	4.28	5	22	EXIST. NATURAL SWALE
E2	OS-3, EX-2	0.18	0.66	21.2	3.00	5.04	0.5		SHEET FLOW OFF-SITE
E3	OS-4, Flows from DP E2	0.71	1.67	23.9	2.82	4.73	2		EXIST. ASPHALT RUNDOWN
E4	EX-1, OS-2, Flows from E1	3.02	8.21	35.7	2.22	3.73	7	I ³ ≺1	EXIST. 6'X4' CBC AT STRUTHERS

 JOB NAME:
 URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN - PDR

 JOB NUMBER:
 1308.01

 DATE:
 08/30/24

 CALCULATED BY:
 MAW

			C VAL	LUE DCM TAE	BLE 6-6				C VAI	LUE DCM TAE	BLE 6-6			WEIGI	HTED "C" VA	LUE		WEIGHTED C	A	Weighted IMP.
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (AC)	LAND USE	PERCENT IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	LAND USE	PERCENT IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	PERCENT
OS-1	12.80	RES. 5 AC,	7.0%	12.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	GRAVEL RD.	80.0%	0.80	0.57	0.59	0.70	0.08	0.15	0.41	1.06	1.91	5.24	11.6%
OS-2A	0.13	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	0.13	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.01	0.02	0.05	7.0%
OS-2B	1.50	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	1.50	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.18	0.59	7.0%
OS-3A	0.37	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	0.37	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.02	0.04	0.14	7.0%
OS-3B	0.04	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	0.04	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.02	7.0%
OS-4	2.10	UNDEV.	2.0%	1.68	0.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.42	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.20	0.25	0.48	0.42	0.53	1.01	21.6%
A	0.10	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.10	0.41	0.45	0.59			0.00	0.18	0.25	0.47	0.41	0.45	0.59	0.04	0.05	0.06	65.0%
В	0.75	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.45	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.30	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.74	0.45	0.47	0.55	79.0%
С	0.18	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.11	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.07	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.73	0.11	0.11	0.13	78.6%
D	1.10	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.90	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.20	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.50	0.53	0.66	0.55	0.59	0.72	71.4%
E	0.31	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.19	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.12	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.62	0.73	0.18	0.19	0.23	78.5%
F	0.60	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.25	0.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.20	0.26	0.47	0.12	0.15	0.28	31.2%
G	0.58	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.35	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.23	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.74	0.35	0.36	0.43	78.9%
H	1.60	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	1.35	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.49	0.52	0.65	0.78	0.83	1.04	70.5%
	1.30	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	1.05	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.50	0.54	0.66	0.65	0.70	0.86	71.7%
 J1	0.44	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.15	0.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.29	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.17	0.23	0.46	0.08	0.10	0.20	26.8%
J2	0.56	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.10	0.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.39	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.16	0.20	0.45	0.00	0.12	0.25	24.6%
	0.20	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.10	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.10	0.89	0.12	0.96	0.65	0.68	0.43	0.03	0.12	0.16	82.5%
K	0.20	OPEN SPACE	13.0%	0.10	0.41	0.45	0.39	FAVED RD.	100.0%	0.10	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.03	0.00	0.78	0.13	0.14	0.10	13.0%
L	0.10	OPEN SPACE	13.0%	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.41			0.00	0.09	0.90	0.90	0.07	0.10	0.41	0.01	0.03	0.07	13.0%

TOTAL AREA TRIBUTARY TO

POND 1 22.36 30.6%

JOB NAM	JOB NAME: URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN - PDR						PDR											
JOB NUM	IBER:	1308.01						-					Table 6	-7. Con	veyance	Coeffi	cient, C	v
DATE:		04/23/24						_					Type	e of Land	d Surface	e		C _v
CALC'D B	BY:	MAW						-				Heav	y meadow		Julia		_	2.5
Return Period	1-Hour Depth											Tillag	e/field		$t_c = \frac{I}{18}$	- + 10		5
2	1.19															0		6.5
5	1.50			t - (0.395(1	$.1 - C_5$	\sqrt{L}	Į	$V = C_{\rm s}$	S _w ^{0.5}	Tc=L/V		-	and lawn	5		_	7
10	1.75			<i>i</i> -		S ^{0.33}			- 1	w			y bare gr ed water				_	10 15
25	2.00	-												~	w paved	swales	_	20
50 100	2.25				For buried riprap, select C_v value based on type of v								f vegetativ	e cover.				
100	2.32					BAS	IN RU	NOFF	SUMI	MARY								
		WEIGHTE	D		OVER	RLAND		STRE	et / Ch	IANNEL	FLOW	Tc	I	NTENSIT	Υ	TOT	AL FLO	ows
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Height <i>(ft)</i>	Tc (min)	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Slope <i>(%)</i>	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	l(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(100) (cfs)
OS-1	1.06	1.91	5.24	0.15	300	9	20.7	530	2.5%	1.1	8.0	28.7	2.04	2.55	4.28	2	5	22
OS-2A	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.08	100	3	12.8					12.8	3.00	3.76	6.31	0.02	0.06	0.32
OS-2B	0.08	0.18	0.59	0.12	250	8	19.1					19.1	2.53	3.16	5.31	0.2	0.6	3.1
OS-3A	0.02	0.04	0.14	0.12	240	8	18.4					18.4	2.57	3.21	5.39	0.0	0.1	0.8
OS-3B	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.12	55	3	7.5					7.5	3.64	4.56	7.66	0.01	0.02	0.12
OS-4	0.42	0.53	1.01	0.25	300	9	18.5	320	1.0%	2.0	2.7	21.2	2.40	3.00	5.04	1.0	1.6	5.1
A	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.08	50	1.5	9.1					9.1	3.41	4.28	7.18	0.1	0.2	0.4
В	0.45	0.47	0.55	0.08	80	1.6	13.1	150	2.0%	2.8	0.9	14.0	2.90	3.63	6.09	1.3	1.7	3.4
С	0.11	0.11	0.13				5.0					5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	0.4	0.6	1.1
D	0.55	0.59	0.72	0.25	100	2	12.2	100	2.0%	2.1	0.8	13.0	2.98	3.74	6.27	1.6	2.2	4.5
E	0.18	0.19	0.23				5.0					5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	0.8	1.0	2.0
F	0.12	0.15	0.28	0.25	100	2	12.2					12.2	3.06	3.83	6.43	0.4	0.6	1.8
G	0.35	0.36	0.43	0.25	100	2	12.2					12.2	3.06	3.83	6.43	1.1	1.4	2.7
Н	0.78	0.83	1.04	0.25	80	1.6	10.9	225	2.5%	3.2	1.2	12.1	3.07	3.84	6.45	2.4	3.2	6.7
I	0.65	0.70	0.86	0.25	80	1.6	10.9	450	3.0%	3.5	2.2	13.1	2.97	3.73	6.25	1.9	2.6	5.4

JOB NAME	:	URBAN L	ANDING P.	RELIM	INARY	PLAN -	PDR											
JOB NUME	BER:	1308.01						-					Table 6	-7. Con	veyance	e Coeffi	cient, C	v
DATE:		04/23/24											Type	ofland	Surfac			C.
CALC'D B`	Y:	MAW										Heavy	Type of Land Surface Heavy meadow					2.5
Return	1-Hour											-			1	t.	_	5
Period 2	Depth 1.19	-										Ripra	p (not bu	ried)*	$t_{c} = \frac{1}{18}$	$\frac{1}{80} + 10$		6.5
5	1.19	-			0 305(1	1-0	\sqrt{I}			0.5				and lawn				7
10	1.75			$t_i = -$	0.395(1	~0.33	NL	I	C_{v}	Sw	Tc=L/V	Nearl	y bare gr	ound				10
25	2.00					3						Grass	ed water	way				15
50	2.00														w paved			20
100	2.52	-				BAS	IN RU	NOFF	SUMI	MARY		For bu	ried riprap,	select C _v	value based	i on type o	f vegetativ	re cover.
		WEIGHTEI	D		OVER	LAND		STRE	ET / CH	ANNEL	FLOW	Tc	IN	ITENSIT	Υ	TOT	AL FLO	ows
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Height <i>(ft)</i>	Tc (min)	Length (ft)	Slope <i>(%)</i>	Velocity (fps)	Tc (min)	TOTAL (min)	I(2) <i>(in/hr)</i>	l(5) (in/hr)	l(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) (cfs)	Q(10 (cfs)
J1	0.08	0.10	0.20	0.25	100	2.5	11.3	420	2.5%	2.4	3.0	14.3	2.87	3.59	6.03	0.2	0.4	1.2
J2	0.09	0.12	0.25	0.25	60	2	8.0	120	2.0%	2.1	0.9	8.9	3.43	4.30	7.22	0.3	0.5	1.8
К	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.25	30	0.6	6.7	85	1.5%	2.4	0.6	7.3	3.68	4.61	7.74	0.5	0.6	1.2
L	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.25	80	3.2	8.7					8.7	3.46	4.34	7.29	0.0	0.1	0.5

JOB NAME:	URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN - PDR
JOB NUMBER:	1308.01
DATE:	08/30/24
CALCULATED BY:	MAW

*ALL STORM SEWER TO BE PRIVATE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

Flow Intensity Equivalent Facility/ Inlet Design **Contributing Basins /** Equivalent Maximum Q(100) I(5) I(100) Q(5) Point(s) **Design Point** CA(5) CA(100) Тс Size* OS-1, A 5.30 28.7 2.55 4.28 PROP. 30" RCP 1.96 5 1 23 5' TYPE R OS-2A, B 2 0.49 0.60 19.4 3.13 5.26 3.2 1.5 SUMP INLET 5' TYPE R 0.13 8.68 3 С 0.11 5.0 5.17 0.6 1.1 SUMP INLET 5' TYPE R 4 OS-2B, D 5.21 2.4 0.77 1.31 19.8 3.10 6.8 SUMP INLET 5' TYPE R 5 E 0.19 0.23 8.68 2.0 5.0 5.17 1.0 SUMP INLET F 0.15 0.28 12.2 6.43 AREA DRAIN 6 3.83 0.6 1.8 10' TYPE R AT-G, H 9.4 7 1.20 1.46 12.4 3.81 6.39 4.6 GRADE INLET 5' TYPE R OS-3A, I 0.74 1.00 20.6 5.11 5.1 8 3.05 2.3 SUMP INLET RIP-RAP OS-3B, J1 0.22 3.59 6.03 1.3 9 0.11 14.3 0.4 RUNDOWN TOTAL INFLOW TO POND 1 10 5.84 10.79 2.48 15 45 POND 1 30.0 4.17 (INCL. DP-9 AND BASIN J2)

SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

	Design Procedure Form: I	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)
	UD-BMP	(Version 3.07, March 2018) Sheet 1 of 3
Designer:	MARC A. WHORTON, P.E.	
Company:		
Date:	April 24, 2024 URBAN LANDING PRELIMINNARY PLAN - PDR	
Project: Location:	POND 1	
Looution.		
1. Basin Storage V	/olume	
A) Effective Imp	erviousness of Tributary Area, I _a	l _a = <u>30.6</u> %
B) Tributary Are	a's Imperviousness Ratio (i = $I_{\rm a}/$ 100)	i =
C) Contributing	Watershed Area	Area = 22.360 ac
D) For Watersh Runoff Prod	eds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average ucing Storm	d ₆ = 0.42 in
E) Design Cond (Select EUR)	cept V when also designing for flood control)	Choose One Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)
	me (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time .0 * (0.91 * i ³ - 1.19 * i ² + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)	V _{DESIGN} = ac-ft
Water Quali	teds Outside of the Denver Region, ty Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume $_{\rm R}$ = (d ₆ *(V _{DESIGN} /0.43))	V _{DESIGN OTHER} = 0.279 ac-ft
	f Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume ferent WQCV Design Volume is desired)	V _{DESIGN USER} =ac-ft
i) Percenta ii) Percenta	logic Soil Groups of Tributary Watershed ge of Watershed consisting of Type A Soils age of Watershed consisting of Type B Soils age of Watershed consisting of Type C/D Soils	$ \begin{array}{c c} HSG_{A} = & 0 & \% \\ HSG_{B} = & 100 & \% \\ HSG_{CD} = & 0 & \% \end{array} $
For HSG A For HSG B	in Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume $EURV_{A} = 1.68 * i^{1.28}$ $EURV_{R} = 1.36 * i^{1.08}$ /D: EURV _{CID} = 1.20 * i ^{1.08}	EURV _{DESIGN} = 0.705 ac-f t
	f Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume ferent EURV Design Volume is desired)	EURV _{DESIGN USER} =ac-ft
	angth to Width Ratio to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)	L : W = 2.0 : 1
3. Basin Side Slop	es.	
A) Basin Maxim (Horizontal d	um Side Slopes distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Z = 4.00 ft / ft
4. Inlet		
A) Describe me	eans of providing energy dissipation at concentrated	
inflow location	ons:	
5. Forebay		
A) Minimum Fo (V _{FMIN}		V _{FMIN} = ac-ft
B) Actual Foreb		V _F = 0.008 ac-ft
C) Forebay Dep (D _F		D _F = 18.0 in
D) Forebay Disc	charge	
i) Undetaine	ed 100-year Peak Discharge	Q ₁₀₀ = 44.00 cfs
ii) Forebay (Q _F = 0.02	Discharge Design Flow $2 * Q_{100}$	Q _F = 0.88 cfs
E) Forebay Disc		E churre dire
,,		Choose Orie Berm With Pipe Wall with Rect. Notch Wall with V-Notch Weir
F) Discharge Pi	pe Size (minimum 8-inches)	Calculated D _P =in
G) Rectangular	Notch Width	Calculated $W_N = $ 5.3 in

	Design Procedure Form:	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	MARC A. WHORTON, P.E. CLASSIC CONSULTING April 24, 2024 URBAN LANDING PRELIMINNARY PLAN - PDR POND 1	Sheet 2 of 3
 6. Trickle Channel A) Type of Trick F) Slope of Trick 	kle Channel	Choose \overline{One} Oconcrete Soft Bottom S = 0.0100 ft / ft
	cropool (2.5-feet minimum) a of Micropool (10 ft ² minimum)	$D_{M} = \underbrace{2.5}_{M} ft$ $A_{M} = \underbrace{107}_{O} sq ft$ $Orifice Plate$ $Other (Describe):$
D) Smallest Din (Use UD-Detent E) Total Outlet A		D _{orifice} = <u>1.38</u> inches A _{ct} = <u>4.80</u> square inches
(Minimum red B) Minimum Initi (Minimum vol	a Volume ial Surcharge Volume commended depth is 4 inches) ial Surcharge Volume lume of 0.3% of the WQCV) arge Provided Above Micropool	$D_{1S} = 6$ in $V_{1S} = 36$ cu ft $V_s = 53.5$ cu ft
B) Type of Scree in the USDCM, i	ty Screen Open Area: $A_t = A_{ct} * 38.5*(e^{-0.095D})$ en (If specifying an alternative to the materials recommended indicate "other" and enter the ratio of the total open are to the for the material specified.) Other (Y/N): N	A _t = <u>162</u> square inches Aluminum Amico-Klemp SR Series with Cross Rods 2" O.C.
D) Total Water (E) Depth of Des (Based on o F) Height of Wa G) Width of Wa	l Open Area to Total Area (only for type 'Other') Quality Screen Area (based on screen type) sign Volume (EURV or WQCV) design concept chosen under 1E) ter Quality Screen (H _{TR}) ter Quality Screen Opening (W _{openina}) inches is recommended)	User Ratio = $A_{total} = 228$ sq. in. H = 4.85 feet $H_{TR} = 86.2$ inches $W_{opening} = 12.0$ inches VALUE LESS THAN RECOMMENDED MIN. WIDTH. WIDTH HAS BEEN SET TO 12 INCHES.

	Design Procedure Form:	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)	
Designer:	MARC A. WHORTON, P.E.		Sheet 3 of 3
Company:	CLASSIC CONSULTING		
Date:	April 24, 2024		
Project:	URBAN LANDING PRELIMINNARY PLAN - PDR		
Location:	POND 1		
10. Overflow Em			
A) Describe	embankment protection for 100-year and greater overtopping:		
	Overflow Embankment tal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Ze = 4.00 ft / ft	
11. Vegetation		Choose One	
12. Access			
A) Describe	Sediment Removal Procedures		
N (
Notes:			

	Design Procedure Form:	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)
	UD-BMP	(Version 3.07, March 2018) Sheet 1 of 3
Designer:	MARC A. WHORTON, P.E.	
Company:		
Date:		
Project:	URBAN LANDING PRELIMINNARY PLAN - PDR RIP-RAP RUNDOWN AT DP-9	
Location:		
1. Basin Storage \	/olume	
A) Effective Imp	erviousness of Tributary Area, I _a	l _a = 25.1 %
B) Tributary Are	a's Imperviousness Ratio (i = $I_a / 100$)	i = 0.251
C) Contributing	Watershed Area	Area = 0.480 ac
D) For Watersh Runoff Prod	neds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average ucing Storm	d ₆ = 0.42 in
E) Design Cone (Select EUR	cept V when also designing for flood control)	Choose One Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)
	me (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time I.0 * (0.91 * i ³ - 1.19 * i ² + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)	V _{DESIGN} =ac-ft
Water Quali	neds Outside of the Denver Region, ty Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume $_{\rm R} = (d_{\rm e}^{*}(V_{\rm DESIGN}/0.43))$	V _{DESIGN OTHER} ≡ 0.005 ac-ft
	f Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume ferent WQCV Design Volume is desired)	V _{DESIGN USER} =ac-ft
i) Percenta ii) Percenta	logic Soil Groups of Tributary Watershed ge of Watershed consisting of Type A Soils age of Watershed consisting of Type B Soils age of Watershed consisting of Type C/D Soils	$ \begin{array}{c} HSG_{A} = & 0 & \% \\ HSG_{B} = & 100 & \% \\ HSG_{CD} = & 0 & \% \end{array} $
For HSG A For HSG B	in Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume : EURV _A = 1.68 * i ^{1.28} : EURV _n = 1.36 * i ^{1.08} /D: EURV _{C/D} = 1.20 * i ^{1.08}	EURV _{DESIGN} = 0.012 ac-f t
	f Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume ferent EURV Design Volume is desired)	EURV _{DESIGN USER} =ac-ft
	angth to Width Ratio to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)	L : W = 2.0 : 1
3. Basin Side Slop	es	
	num Side Slopes distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Z = 4.00 ft / ft
4. Inlet		
 A) Describe me inflow location 	eans of providing energy dissipation at concentrated ons:	
5. Forebay		
A) Minimum Fo	rebay Volume = 0% of the WQCV)	V _{FMIN} = 0.000 ac-ft A FOREBAY MAY NOT BE NECESSARY FOR THIS SIZE SITE
B) Actual Fore		V _F =ac-ft
C) Forebay Dep (D _F		$D_{\rm F} =$ in
D) Forebay Disc		· · · ·
, -	ed 100-year Peak Discharge	Q ₁₀₀ = 1.30 cfs
	Discharge Design Flow	$Q_F = $ 0.03 cfs
E) Forebay Disc	sharge Design	Choose One
		O Berm With Pipe Flow too small for berm w/ pipe O Wall with Rect. Notch Wall with V-Notch Weir
F) Discharge Pi	pe Size (minimum 8-inches)	Calculated D _P =in
G) Rectangular	Notch Width	Calculated W _N = in

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

		DING PREI	IMINARY PLAN - PDR										-
Basin ID:													_
	2 DNE 1		~										
		Ĺ											
T market		K				1							
	1 AND 2	0RIFIC	E .	Depth Increment =	0.50	ft Optional				Optional			т
POOL Example Zone		on (Retenti	on Pond)	Stage - Storage	Stage	Override	Length	Width	Area	Override	Area	Volume	L
				Description	(ft)	Stage (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft ²)	Area (ft ²)	(acre)	(ft 3)	ł
tershed Information		1		Top of Micropool		0.00				100	0.002		ļ
Selected BMP Type =	EDB	_		68		1.50				6,000	0.138	4,575	ļ
Watershed Area =	22.36	acres		70		3.50				8,121	0.186	18,696	1
Watershed Length = Watershed Length to Centroid =	1,800	ft		72 74		5.50				10,393	0.239	37,210	╀
Watershed Length to Centrold = Watershed Slope =	900 0.035	ft ft/ft		74		7.50 8.50				12,882 14,138	0.296	60,485 73,995	╀
Watershed Imperviousness =	30.60%	percent		75		0.50				14,150	0.323	73,355	╀
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	0.0%	percent											t
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	100.0%	percent											t
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent											t
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours											Γ
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	-											ſ
After providing required inputs above inc													ſ
depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate rung													
the embedded Colorado Urban Hydro		-	Optional User Overrides										┞
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.286	acre-feet	acre-feet acre-feet										╞
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) = 2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.19 in.) =	0.703	acre-feet acre-feet	1.19 inches										┝
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.15 in.) =	1.145	acre-feet	1.19 inches										┝
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	1.546	acre-feet	1.75 inches										t
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	2.148	acre-feet	2.00 inches										t
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	2.604	acre-feet	2.25 inches										t
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	3.212	acre-feet	2.52 inches										Г
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.1 in.) =	4.312	acre-feet	3.10 inches										Γ
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.504	acre-feet											
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.720	acre-feet											
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	1.046	acre-feet											
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	1.210	acre-feet											╞
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume = Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.274	acre-feet acre-feet											╀
Approximate 100 yr betendon volume -	1.501												t
fine Zones and Basin Geometry													t
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.286	acre-feet											t
Zone 2 Volume (EURV - Zone 1) =	0.418	acre-feet											Γ
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) =	0.800	acre-feet											ſ
Total Detention Basin Volume =	1.504	acre-feet											Ļ
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft ³											1
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft											╞
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{total}) =	user	ft											╀
Depth of Trickle Channel (H _{TC}) =	user	ft e/e											╀
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) = Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S_{main}) =	user	ft/ft H:V											+
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R _{L/W}) =	user										1	-	t
_uom conger to Waar Rado (RLW) =	2.50	1											t
Initial Surcharge Area (A _{ISV}) =	user	ft 2											t
Surcharge Volume Length (L _{ISV}) =	user	ft											t
Surcharge Volume Width (W _{ISV}) =	user	ft											Γ
Depth of Basin Floor $(H_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft											ſ
Length of Basin Floor $(L_{FLOOR}) =$	user	ft											ſ
Width of Basin Floor (W _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft											1
Area of Basin Floor (A _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft ²											1
Volume of Basin Floor (V _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft ³											╀
Depth of Main Basin (H _{MAIN}) =	user	ft ft											╀
Length of Main Basin $(L_{MAIN}) =$ Width of Main Basin $(W_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft ft											+
Area of Main Basin (WMAIN) =	user	ft ²									L		t
Volume of Main Basin (V _{MAIN}) =	user	ft ³											t
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V _{total}) =	user	acre-feet											t
		-											F
													+

Volume (ac-ft)

0.105


0.429

0.854

1.389 1.699

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022)



DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022 Project: URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN - PDR Basin ID: POND 1 Estimated Estimated ZONE 1 Stage (ft) Volume (ac-ft) Outlet Type VOLUME EURV WQCV Zone 1 (WQCV) 0.286 2.69 Orifice Plate 100-YEAR Zone 2 (EURV) 4.85 0.418 Orifice Plate ZONE 1 AND 2 Zone 3 (100-year) 7.89 0.800 Weir&Pipe (Restrict) PERMANENT Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond) Total (all zones) 1.504 User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP) Calculated Parameters for Underdrain ft (distance below the filtration media surface) Underdrain Orifice Area Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = N/A N/A ft² Underdrain Orifice Centroid = Underdrain Orifice Diameter = N/A inches N/A feet Calculated Parameters for Plate User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP) Centroid of Lowest Orifice = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) WO Orifice Area per Row = 0.00 N/A lft² Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate = 4.85 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Elliptical Half-Width = feet N/A Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = 19.40 inches Elliptical Slot Centroid = N/A feet Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = Elliptical Slot Area =]ft² N/A sq. inches N/A User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest) Row 1 (required) Row 2 (optional) Row 3 (optional) Row 4 (optional) Row 5 (optional) Row 6 (optional) Row 7 (optional) Row 8 (optional) Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft) 0.00 1.62 3.23 Orifice Area (sq. inches) 1.50 1.50 1.80 Row 9 (optional) Row 10 (optional) Row 11 (optional) Row 12 (optional) Row 13 (optional) Row 14 (optional) Row 15 (optional) Row 16 (optional) Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft) Orifice Area (sg. inches) User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular) Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected lft² Invert of Vertical Orifice = N/A N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Vertical Orifice Area N/A N/A Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice = N/A N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Vertical Orifice Centroid = N/A N/A feet inches Vertical Orifice Diameter = N/A N/A User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Grate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir and No Outlet Pipe) Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir Zone 3 Weir Not Selected Zone 3 Weir Not Selected Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = 4.85 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Height of Grate Upper Edge, H_t = N/A 5.85 N/A feet Overflow Weir Slope Length = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = 8.00 N/A feet 4.12 N/A feet Overflow Weir Grate Slope = 4.00 N/A H:V Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area = 8.31 N/A Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris = 26.09 ft² 4.00 N/A feet N/A Close Mesh Grate Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris = Overflow Grate Type = N/A 13.05 N/A fť Debris Clogging % = 50% N/A % User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice) Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate Zone 3 Restrictor Not Selected Zone 3 Restrictor Not Selected Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = 0.50 Outlet Orifice Area = ft² N/A ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) 3.14 N/A Outlet Pipe Diameter = 24.00 N/A inches Outlet Orifice Centroid : 1.00 N/A feet Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = 24.00 . inches Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe = 3.14 N/A radians User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal) Calculated Parameters for Spillway Spillway Invert Stage= 6.50 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Spillway Design Flow Depth= 0.45 feet Spillway Crest Length = Stage at Top of Freeboard = 40.00 feet 7.95 feet Spillway End Slopes = 3.00 H:V Basin Area at Top of Freeboard 0.31 acres Freeboard above Max Water Surface = 1.00 feet Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard = 1.52 acre-ft Routed Hydrograph Results in the Inflow H ohs table ns W throu The user can override the c ina new val EURV Design Storm Return Period = WQCV 2 Year 5 Year 10 Year 25 Year 50 Year 100 Year 500 Year One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = 1.50 3.10 4.312 N/A N/A 1.19 1.75 2.00 2.25 2.52 0.709 CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) 0.286 0.703 1.145 1.546 2.148 2.604 3.212 Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = 3.212 N/A N/A 0.709 1.145 1.546 2.148 2.604 4.312 CUHP Predevelopment Peak O (cfs) : N/A N/A 9.3 21.0 36.8 2.2 6.1 16.8 26.9 OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = N/A N/A 31.0 Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, g (cfs/acre) : 0.10 N/A N/A 0.31 0.42 0.75 0.94 1.39 1.65 Peak Inflow Q (cfs) 17.5 38.1 50.5 26.2 31.5 N/A N/A 8.3 13.5 Peak Outflow Q (cfs) : 0.1 0.3 0.3 4.5 8.9 23.1 31.0 46.0 17.5 Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q = N/A N/A N/A 0.6 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.0 Structure Controlling Flow : Plate Overflow Weir 1 Plate Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir Spillway 1.4 Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = N/A N/A N/A 0.2 0.3 0.7 0.9 1.2 Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) = 46 68 67 67 60 53 Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) 48 74 65 71 72 72 71 69 68

Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =

Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =

Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres)

2.69

0.17

0 286

4.85

0.22

4.65

0.22

0.661

5.36

0.23

5.63

0.24

0.883

6.01

0.25

6.21

0.26

1.03

6.47


0.27

1 096

6.67

0.27

1 150



DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Inflow Hydrographs

		verride the calcu	lated inflow hyd	lrographs from t	his workbook wi	th inflow hydrog	raphs developed	l in a separate pr	ogram.	CLILID		
	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP		
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]		
5.00 min	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.14		
-	0:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.66	0.83	0.56	0.71	0.69	1.00		
-	0:20:00	0.00	0.00	1.48 4.83	2.37	3.14 11.92	1.50 4.85	1.77 5.90	2.01 6.90	3.16 11.74		
-	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	7.67	8.35 12.87	16.92	18.02	22.25	25.79	35.51		
-	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	8.25	13.51	17.54	24.07	29.24	35.43	47.42		
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	8.00	12.82	16.60	26.16	31.54	38.09	50.46		
-	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	7.30	11.74	15.47	25.14	30.25	37.48	49.57		
-	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	6.68	10.84	14.18	24.20	29.09	35.95	47.51		
-	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	6.10	9.87	13.02	22.13	26.66	33.63	44.49		
-	1:00:00 1:05:00	0.00	0.00	5.66	9.09	12.12	20.25	24.47	31.55	41.85		
-	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	5.28 4.78	8.42 7.77	11.34 10.57	18.70 16.87	22.67 20.50	29.92 26.81	39.73 35.74		
-	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	4.28	7.03	9.80	15.09	18.38	23.68	31.73		
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	3.80	6.25	8.79	13.22	16.07	20.40	27.33		
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	3.39	5.61	7.86	11.49	13.96	17.45	23.43		
-	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	3.09	5.17	7.14	10.08	12.28	15.23	20.52		
-	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	2.88	4.83	6.54	8.98	10.95	13.50	18.21		
-	1:40:00	0.00	0.00	2.69	4.40	6.00	8.06	9.83	12.02	16.20		
-	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	2.51 2.34	3.98 3.59	5.50 5.03	7.24 6.48	8.82 7.90	10.69 9.47	14.39 12.73		
-	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	2.10	3.22	4.52	5.77	7.02	8.31	11.15		
-	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	1.86	2.84	3.96	5.08	6.17	7.22	9.67		
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	1.57	2.36	3.27	4.24	5.13	5.99	7.97		
-	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	1.28	1.89	2.61	3.40	4.11	4.78	6.31		
-	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	1.01	1.46	2.02	2.61	3.13	3.62	4.74		
-	2:20:00 2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.77	1.09 0.85	1.56 1.25	1.89 1.37	2.26	2.57 1.86	3.40 2.52		
-	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.39	0.69	1.25	1.03	1.00	1.39	1.90		
-	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.57	0.84	0.79	0.97	1.03	1.43		
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.46	0.68	0.61	0.75	0.76	1.06		
_	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.38	0.55	0.47	0.58	0.56	0.78		
-	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.30	0.44	0.36	0.45	0.40	0.56		
-	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.24	0.35	0.28	0.34	0.29	0.41		
-	3:00:00 3:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.19	0.27	0.22	0.27	0.23	0.33		
-	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.11 0.09	0.15	0.21 0.17	0.17 0.14	0.21 0.17	0.19 0.15	0.26		
-	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.09	0.17	0.14	0.17	0.13	0.16		
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.12		
_	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.09		
-	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06		
-	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03		
-	3:40:00 3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02		
-	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
+	4:10:00 4:15:00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	4:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	4:30:00 4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	4:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	4:50:00 4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	5:05:00 5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	5:10:00 5:15:00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	5:25:00 5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	5:45:00 5:50:00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	5:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
	6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN


MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022) Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships

The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically. The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

Stage - Storage Description	Stage [ft]	Area [ft ²]	Area [acres]	Volume [ft ³]	Volume [ac-ft]	Total Outflow [cfs]	
							For best results, include the
							stages of all grade slope
							changes (e.g. ISV and Floor from the S-A-V table on
							Sheet 'Basin'.
							Also include the inverts of a outlets (e.g. vertical orifice,
							overflow grate, and spillway
							where applicable).
							1
							4
]
]
							1
							4
							4
							-
							-
							_
							-
							-
							4
							1
]
							1
							4
							4
							4
							1
							1
							4
							4
							1
							4
							-
							4
				1	1	1	1

DRAINAGE MAPS





E DCM TAB	LE 6-6				C VAL	JE DCM TAB	LE 6-6			WEIGI	HTED "C" \	/ALUE	w	CA	WEIGHTED IMP.	
AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	LAND USE	PERCENT IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	PERCENT
5.30	0.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED ROAD	100.0%	0.50	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.16	0.41	0.60	0.93	2.39	10.4%
1.30	0.03	0.09	0.36			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.04	0.12	0.47	2.0%
12.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	GRAVEL ROAD	80.0%	0.80	0.57	0.59	0.7	0.08	0.15	0.41	1.06	1.91	5.24	11.6%
1.50	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.18	0.59	7.0%
0.49	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.02	0.06	0.19	7.0%
1.68	0.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED ROAD	100.0%	0.42	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.20	0.25	0.48	0.42	0.53	1.01	21.6%


		the second value of the se	the second se
		in the second	1
	50		

							BASI	N RUI	NOFF	SUM	MARY	,							
I.F			WEIGHTE	D		OVER	LAND		STREE	et / Ch	ANNEL	FLOW	Тс	IN	ITENSI	ΤY	TOTAL FLOWS		JWS
	BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Height <i>(ft)</i>	Тс <i>(min)</i>	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Slope <i>(%)</i>	Velocity (fps)	Tc (<i>min</i>)	TOTAL <i>(min)</i>	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	l(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) <i>(c</i> fs)	Q(100) <i>(cfs)</i>
C	EX-1	0.60	0.93	2.39	0.16	300	10	19.8	520	2.0%	1.4	6.1	25.9	2.16	2.70	4.54	1.3	3	11
_	EX-2	0.04	0.12	0.47	0.09	300	10	21.2					21.2	2.40	3.00	5.04	0.1	0.4	2.4
~																			
	OS-1	1.06	1.91	5.24	0.15	300	9	20.7	530	2.5%	1.1	8.0	28.7	2.04	2.55	4.28	2	5	22
/	OS-2	0.08	0.18	0.59	0.12	250	8	19.1					19.1	2.53	3.16	5.31	0.2	0.6	3
	OS-3	0.02	0.06	0.19	0.12	240	8	18.4					18.4	2.57	3.21	5.39	0.1	0.2	1.0
	OS-4	0.42	0.53	1.01	0.25	300	9	18.5	320	1.0%	2.0	2.7	21.1	2.41	3.01	5.05	1.0	1.6	5
	OS-4	0.42	0.53	1.01	0.25	300	9	18.5	320	1.0%	2.0	2.7	21.1	2.41	3.01	5.05	1.0	1.6	

		SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY														
С						Inten	sity	Fl	ow							
¢	Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins / Design Point	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	l(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Facility/ Inlet Size*						
ļ	E1	OS-1	1.91	5.24	28.7	2.55	4.28	5	22	EXIST. NATURAL SWALE						
0	E2	OS-3, EX-2	0.18	0.66	21.2	3.00	5.04	0.5	3.3	SHEET FLOW OFF-SITE						
	E3	OS-4, Flows from DP E2	0.71	1.67	23.9	2.82	4.73	2	8	EXIST. ASPHALT RUNDOWN						
ļ	E4	EX-1, OS-2, Flows from E1	3.02	8.21	35.7	2.22	3.73	7	31	EXIST. 6'X4' CBC AT STRUTHERS						

 <u>CLASSIC</u>
 3 /01 /01

	CLASSIC.	PRELIMINARY PRE-DEVELOP	DRAINAG	E REPORT		CLASS
IJ	CONSULTING	DESIGNED BY	MAW	SCALE	DATE	3/21/24
		DRAWN BY	MAW	(H) 1"= 100'	SHEET 1	OF 2
	619 N. Cascade Avenue, Suite 200 (719)785—0790 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719)785—0799 (Fax)	CHECKED BY		(V) 1"= N/A	JOB NO.	1308.01



		B	ASIN RUNO	FF COEFI	FICIENT S	UMMAF	RY								
6-6				C VAL	UE DCM TABI	_E 6-6			WEIGH	ITED "C" VA	LUE		WEIGHTED (CA	WEIGHTED IMP.
C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	LAND USE	PERCENT IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	PERCENT
0.05	0.12	0.39	GRAVEL RD.	80.0%	0.80	0.57	0.59	0.70	0.08	0.15	0.41	1.06	1.91	5.24	11.6%
0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.01	0.02	0.05	7.0%
0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.18	0.59	7.0%
0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.02	0.04	0.14	7.0%
0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.02	7.0%
0.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.42	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.20	0.25	0.48	0.42	0.53	1.01	21.6%
0.41	0.45	0.59			0.00	0.18	0.25	0.47	0.41	0.45	0.59	0.04	0.05	0.06	65.0%
0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.30	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.74	0.45	0.47	0.55	79.0%
0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.07	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.73	0.11	0.11	0.13	78.6%
0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.20	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.50	0.53	0.66	0.55	0.59	0.72	71.4%
0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.12	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.62	0.73	0.18	0.19	0.23	78.5%
0.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.20	0.26	0.47	0.12	0.15	0.28	31.2%
0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.23	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.74	0.35	0.36	0.43	78.9%
0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.49	0.52	0.65	0.78	0.83	1.04	70.5%
0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.50	0.54	0.66	0.65	0.70	0.86	71.7%
0.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.29	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.17	0.23	0.46	0.08	0.10	0.20	26.8%
0.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.39	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.16	0.22	0.45	0.09	0.12	0.25	24.6%
0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.10	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.65	0.68	0.78	0.13	0.14	0.16	82.5%
0.07	0.16	0.41			0.00	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.07	0.16	0.41	0.01	0.03	0.07	13.0%

SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY											
					Intensity		Flow				
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins / Design Point	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Facility/ Inlet Size*		
1	OS-1, A	1.96	5.30	28.7	2.55	4.28	5	23	PROP. 30" RCP		
2	OS-2A, B	0.49	0.60	19.4	3.13	5.26	1.5	3.2	5' TYPE R SUMP INLET		
3	С	0.11	0.13	5.0	5.17	8.68	0.6	1.1	5' TYPE R SUMP INLET		
4	OS-2B, D	0.77	1.31	19.8	3.10	5.21	2.4	6.8	5' TYPE R SUMP INLET		
5	E	0.19	0.23	5.0	5.17	8.68	1.0	2.0	5' TYPE R SUMP INLET		
6	F	0.15	0.28	12.2	3.83	6.43	0.6	1.8	AREA DRAIN		
7	G, H	1.20	1.46	12.4	3.81	6.39	4.6	9.4	10' TYPE R AT- GRADE INLET		
8	OS-3A, I	0.74	1.00	20.6	3.05	5.11	2.3	5.1	5' TYPE R SUMP INLET		
9	OS-3B, J1	0.11	0.22	14.3	3.59	6.03	0.4	1.3	RIP-RAP RUNDOWN		

10.79

5.84

TOTAL INFLOW TO POND 1 (INCL. DP-9 AND BASIN J2)

10

BASIN RUI	NOFF SUMMARY
	STREET / CHANNEL ELC


30.0

2.48

4.17

15

۱		WEIGHTED				OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				Tc INTENSITY			TOTAL FLOWS		
	BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)		Height	Тс			Velocity	Tc	TOTAL	l(2)	l(5)	l(100)	Q(2)	Q(5)	Q(100)
	Drioni	0/(2)	0/((0)	0/(100)	0(0)	(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(<i>min</i>)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
	OS-1	1.06	1.91	5.24	0.15	300	9	20.7	530	2.5%	1.1	8.0	28.7	2.04	2.55	4.28	2	5	22
	OS-2A	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.08	100	3	12.8					12.8	3.00	3.76	6.31	0.02	0.06	0.32
	OS-2B	0.08	0.18	0.59	0.12	250	8	19.1					19.1	2.53	3.16	5.31	0.2	0.6	3.1
	OS-3A	0.02	0.04	0.14	0.12	240	8	18.4					18.4	2.57	3.21	5.39	0.0	0.1	0.8
	OS-3B	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.12	55	3	7.5					7.5	3.64	4.56	7.66	0.01	0.02	0.12
	OS-4	0.42	0.53	1.01	0.25	300	9	18.5	320	1.0%	2.0	2.7	21.2	2.40	3.00	5.04	1.0	1.6	5.1
	А	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.08	50	1.5	9.1					9.1	3.41	4.28	7.18	0.1	0.2	0.4
	В	0.45	0.47	0.55	0.08	80	1.6	13.1	150	2.0%	2.8	0.9	14.0	2.90	3.63	6.09	1.3	1.7	3.4
	С	0.11	0.11	0.13				5.0					5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	0.4	0.6	1.1
	D	0.55	0.59	0.72	0.25	100	2	12.2	100	2.0%	2.1	0.8	13.0	2.98	3.74	6.27	1.6	2.2	4.5
	E	0.18	0.19	0.23				5.0					5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	0.8	1.0	2.0
	F	0.12	0.15	0.28	0.25	100	2	12.2					12.2	3.06	3.83	6.43	0.4	0.6	1.8
	G	0.35	0.36	0.43	0.25	100	2	12.2					12.2	3.06	3.83	6.43	1.1	1.4	2.7
	Н	0.78	0.83	1.04	0.25	80	1.6	10.9	225	2.5%	3.2	1.2	12.1	3.07	3.84	6.45	2.4	3.2	6.7
	Ι	0.65	0.70	0.86	0.25	80	1.6	10.9	450	3.0%	3.5	2.2	13.1	2.97	3.73	6.25	1.9	2.6	5.4
	J1	0.08	0.10	0.20	0.25	100	2.5	11.3	420	2.5%	2.4	3.0	14.3	2.87	3.59	6.03	0.2	0.4	1.2
	J2	0.09	0.12	0.25	0.25	60	2	8.0	120	2.0%	2.1	0.9	8.9	3.43	4.30	7.22	0.3	0.5	1.8
	K	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.25	30	0.6	6.7	85	1.5%	2.4	0.6	7.3	3.68	4.61	7.74	0.5	0.6	1.2
	L	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.25	80	3.2	8.7					8.7	3.46	4.34	7.29	0.0	0.1	0.5



<u>LEGEND</u> **DESCRIPTION**

(6910)

(E1)

 \leq

MAW (H) 1"= 50' | SHEET 2 OF 2

(V) 1"= N/A JOB NO. 1308.01

RUNDOWN

POND 1

45

<u>SYMBOL</u>

EXISTING GROUND CONTOUR

BASIN BOUNDARY

DESIGN POINT

BASIN IDENTIFIER

AREA IN ACRES

EXISTING DIRECTION OF FLOW

STORM SEWER

URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT DEVELOPED DRAINAGE MAP

ASSIC 5 DATE 8/30/24



50