

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN

Prepared for: **CLASSIC COMPANIES** 2138 FLYING HORSE CLUB DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80921 (719) 592-9333

Prepared by: CLASSIC CONSULTING 619 N. CASCADE AVE SUITE 200 COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80903 (719) 785-0790

> Job No. 1308.01 PCD File No. PUDSP243



619 N. Cascade Ave, Suite 200 | Colorado Springs, CO 80903 | (719) 785-0790

ClassicConsulting.net

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors, or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

O SALAN WIR BEI	
	10/24/2024
Marc A. Whorton Colorado P.E. #37155	Date

OWNER'S/DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT:

I, the owner/developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Business Name:	CLASSIC COMPANIES
Ву:	Reing
Title:	Des Woor - Pres
Address:	2138 Flying Horse Club Drive
	Colorado Springs, CO 80921

EL PASO COUNTY:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Joshua Palmer, P.E. County Engineer / ECM Administrator 12/26/2024

Date

Conditions:



PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

PURPOSE	Page	1
GENERAL DESCRIPTION	Page	1
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	Page	1
PROPOSED DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS	Page	3
DETENTION FACILITIES / STORMWATER QUALITY	Page	7
DRAINAGE CRITERIA	Page	8
FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT	Page	10
DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES	Page	10
SUMMARY	Page	11
REFERENCES	Page	12

APPENDICES VICINITY MAP SOILS MAP (S.C.S. SURVEY) F.E.M.A. MAP HYDROLOGIC / STORMWATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS DRAINAGE MAPS



PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT FOR URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN

PURPOSE

The intent of the owner/developer is to develop the Urban Landing Property. The purpose of this Preliminary Drainage Report, as part of the Preliminary Plan submittal, is to identify all drainage features and facilities and to estimate peak rates of stormwater runoff, from on-site and off-site sources. Also, the purpose is to outline the necessary improvements to safely route developed storm water runoff to adequate outfall facilities. The drainage improvements proposed in this report are preliminary in nature and a final drainage report is required upon any development within the property that detail the 'to be constructed' drainage systems and detention ponds.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The Urban Landing Preliminary Plan property is 6.576 acres, as located in a portion of section 36, township 11 south, range 67 west of the sixth principal meridian. The site is bounded on the north by Spanish Bit Dr., to the south by existing undeveloped property owned by a church, to the east by an existing rural residential 5-ac. lot and to the west by Struthers Road. The site is within the Jackson Creek drainage basin. The proposed use as shown on the concurrent Preliminary Plan submittal is single family residential (detached) with a total of 49 units, private roads, open space and detention/SWQ pond. Public roadway access will be from Spanish Bit Dr.

The average soil condition reflects Hydrologic Group "B" (Peyton-Pring complex, Pring coarse sandy loam and a small portion of Brussett loam) as determined by the "Soil Survey of El Paso County Area," prepared by the Soil Conservation Service (see map in Appendix).

EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

This property is located in the Jackson Creek drainage basin. Existing conditions across this property are mainly native grasses and yucca with a natural ravine traversing the site draining from northeast to southwest. Existing slopes range from 2% to 12% across the site. The entire



property generally drains in a southwesterly direction towards the existing lowpoint on the property at the southeast corner of Spanish Bit Dr. and Struthers Road. Spanish Bit Dr. is currently constructed as a rural local roadway with sideroad ditches. This public road is paved up to the Big R access to the north and then gravel east of that intersection. Along with the development of the Big R, rip-rap was installed along the north side of the roadway to facilitate drainage along that side of the road down to the intersection with Struthers Road. The Big R development also constructed a detention/SWQ facility on the northeast corner of the intersection. The outfall for this pond is dual 36" RCP culverts under Spanish Bit Dr. that daylight into an informal holding basin that is partially rip-rapped on the proposed development property. These flows are then conveyed westerly under Struthers Road in an existing 6'x4' CBC. Struthers Road to the south of this intersection (approx. 350 LF) drains north towards this intersection. The east side of the roadway drains around the corner into Spanish Bit Dr. and then immediately down a paved rundown into this existing holding basin.

East of this property exists the Chaparral Hills 5-ac. rural residential neighborhood. A significant portion of this off-site development is tributary to the existing natural ravine on the property. This off-site flow enters the property as sheet flow at the northeast corner from Lot 26, Chaparral Hills Subd. This large off-site basin has been accounted for in both the pre-development and developed drainage calculations.

The following descriptions represent the existing on and off-site basins and design points affecting this property: (Reference the Pre-development Drainage Map in the Appendix)

Design Point E1 ($Q_5 = 5$ cfs, $Q_{100} = 22$ cfs) consists of the 12.8-acre off-site tributary area from Basin OS-1. As mentioned earlier, this area is developed as large lot rural residential (5-ac. lots) sheet flowing towards the northeast corner of the property. These off-site flows then enter the property and travel within the natural ravine towards Struthers Road and the existing 6'x4' CBC.



Design Point E2 (Q₅ = **0.5 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **3.3 cfs)** consists of the off-site tributary area from Basin OS-3 (0.49 ac.) and the on-site Basin EX-2 (1.3 ac.). Basin OS-3 is also currently developed as large lot rural residential. These minor off-site flows then enter the property within Basin EX-2 as sheet flow. The combined sheet flows continue to sheet flow off-site into the undeveloped church property within Basin OS-4.

Design Point E3 ($Q_5 = 2 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 8 \text{ cfs}$ **)** consists of the sheet flow from Design Point E2 combining with the sheet flow of Basin OS-4 (2.1 ac.). These sheet flows then enter Struthers Road, travel as C&G flow in a northerly direction towards Spanish Bit Dr. The flows then turn the corner and are conveyed down the paved rundown within the property.

Design Point E4 ($Q_5 = 7 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 31 \text{ cfs}$ **)** consists of the off-site flows described above along with the major portion of the property within Basin EX-1 (5.8 ac.). These flows represent the total combined runoff from both on-site and off-site tributary area across this property except those coming from the existing dual 36" RCP culverts under Spanish Bit Dr.

PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

Development within the proposed Preliminary Plan is planned for urban residential with associated curb, gutter, sidewalk and paved private streets. Overlot grading is anticipated for the majority of the development along with installation of urban services provided through the Donala Water and Sanitation District. Proposed impervious areas will sheet flow across yards and landscape areas to slow runoff and increase time of concentration. This will minimize the effects of impervious areas. At design points where developed flows are greater than in the existing condition, detention facilities will be proposed providing an Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) in the lower portion of the facility storage volume with an outlet control device. Frequent and infrequent inflows are released at rates approximating undeveloped conditions. This



increased runoff at a low rate over an extended period of time, up to 72 hours. This means that frequent storms, smaller than the 2-year event, will be reduced to very low flows near or below the sediment carrying threshold value for downstream drainage ways. Also, by incorporating an outlet structure that limits the 100-year runoff to the undeveloped condition rate, the discharge hydrograph for storms between the 2-year and the 100-year event will approximate the hydrograph for the undeveloped conditions and will help effectively mitigate the effects of development. Prior to development within this property, a final drainage report and construction plans will be required detailing the requirements and specifics of proposed facilities.

Due to current drainage criteria, detention/stormwater quality facilities are proposed. The following are preliminary design points for developed conditions with descriptions of anticipated basin areas and preliminary storm systems:

Design Point 1 ($Q_5 = 5 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 23 \text{ cfs}$ **)** consists of off-site sheet flows from Basin OS-1 (12.8 ac.) east of the site and the minor developed flows from Basin A (0.10 ac.). These combined flows will be collected by a proposed private 30" RCP within a drainage tract maintained by the HOA and routed further downstream.

Design Point 2 (Q₅ = **1.5 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **3.2 cfs)** consists of the minor off-site sheet flows from Basin OS-2A (0.13 ac.) and developed flows from Basin B (0.75 ac.). These combined flows will be collected by a proposed 5' Type R sump inlet within the private roadway. A proposed private 18" RCP will then route the collected flows downstream towards Design Point 3. **Design Point 3 (Q**₅ = **0.6 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **1.1 cfs)** consists of the minor developed flows from Basin C (0.18 ac.). A proposed 5' Type R sump inlet will collect the flows and then combine with the upstream flows from Design Point 2. A proposed private 18" RCP will then route the roadway tract. Emergency overflow for this sump condition will pond up 12" and then spill around the corner down Spanish Bit Dr.



Design Point 4 (Q_5 = 2.4 cfs, Q_{100} = 6.8 cfs) consists of the off-site sheet flows from Basin OS-2B (1.5 ac.) and developed flows from Basin D (1.1 ac.). These combined flows will be collected by a proposed area drain behind the curb and a 5' Type R sump inlet within the private roadway. A proposed private 18" RCP will then route the collected flows downstream towards Design Point 5. Design Point 5 (Q_5 = 1.0 cfs, Q_{100} = 2.0 cfs) consists of the minor developed flows from Basin E (0.31 ac.). These flows will also be collected by a proposed 5' Type R sump inlet within the private roadway. The flows combine with the upstream flows collected from Design Point 4 and are routed via a proposed private 24" RCP towards Design Point 6. Emergency overflow for this sump condition will pond up 8" and then spill around the corner westerly down Urban Landing View.

Design Point 6 (Q₅ = **0.6 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **1.8 cfs)** consists of the developed sheet flows from Basin F (0.60 ac.). These flows will be collected by a proposed area drain within the open space area. The collected flows then combine with the upstream flows and are then routed via a proposed private 24" RCP towards Design Point 7.

Design Point 7 (Q_5 = 4.6 cfs, Q_{100} = 9.4 cfs) consists of the developed flows from Basin G (0.58 ac.) and flows from Basin H (1.6 ac.). These combined flows will be collected by a proposed 5' Type R sump inlet within the private roadway. The collected flows then combine with the upstream flows and are then routed via a proposed private 30" RCP towards the proposed onsite pond. Design Point 8 (Q_5 = 2.3 cfs, Q_{100} = 5.1 cfs) consists of off-site sheet flows from Basin OS-3A (0.37 ac.) and developed flows from Basin I (1.3 ac.). The combined flows will be collected by a proposed 5' Type R sump inlet within the private roadway. These collected flows also combine with the upstream flows and are then routed via the proposed private 30" RCP within a storm esmt. towards the on-site pond. Emergency overflow for this sump condition will pond up 9" and then spill over the high point to the west, around the corner and then down Spanish Bit Dr.



Design Point 9 (Q₅ = **0.4 cfs, Q**₁₀₀ = **1.3 cfs)** consists of the developed flows from **Basin OS-3B** (0.04 ac.) and **Basin J1** (0.44 ac.) that are routed via a proposed grass lined swale (2.0% min.) within the open space Tract C towards a proposed area drain and then routed via a private 18" RCP into the proposed pond. **Basin J2** (0.56 ac.) ($Q_5 = 0.5 cfs, Q_{100} = 1.8 cfs$) consists of developed flows that sheet flow directly into the proposed pond.

Basin OS-4 (2.1 ac.) ($Q_5 = 1.6 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 5.1 \text{ cfs}$) consists of the off-site sheet flows from the undeveloped church property to the south. These existing sheet flows currently enter the east side of Struthers Road and then travel as curb and gutter flow in a northerly direction towards Spanish Bit Dr. Once at the intersection with Spanish Bit Dr., the flows travel around the corner, combine with the developed flows from Basin K and are then conveyed directly into the existing holding basin on the southeast corner via an existing paved rundown. **Basin K** (0.20 ac.) ($Q_5 = 0.6 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 1.2 \text{ cfs}$) consists of the developed flows from a small portion of the development property and the south side of Spanish Bit Road. These developed flows travel as curb and gutter flows towards the existing paved rundown. With the proposed installation of curb and gutter along the south side of Spanish Bit Dr., a curb chase will be designed to convey these developed flows from the curb into the existing paved rundown. Further detailed design included with Final Drainage Report and CDs. **Basin L** (0.16 ac.) ($Q_5 = 0.1 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 0.5 \text{ cfs}$) consists of the area of the existing holding basin. These existing flows continue to directly enter the existing 6'x4' CBC under Struthers Road.

The final drainage report for the adjacent commercial development north of Spanish Bit Dr., "Preliminary & Final Drainage Report for Cathedral Rock Commons Commercial", prepared by JPS Engineering, approved April 2023 describes the current developed flows being released through the dual 36" RCP pipes under Spanish Bit Dr. ($Q_5 = 31.2 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 73.9 \text{ cfs}$) These flows combined with the proposed pond release ($Q_5 = 4.5 \text{ cfs}$, $Q_{100} = 31 \text{ cfs}$) are all tributary to the existing 6'x4' CBC under Struthers Road. This public facility seems to be in good condition and has capacity to convey 219 cfs. Thus, this public facility and holding basin will continue to be



adequate to convey all the developed flows in this area under Struthers Road. Additional design calculations for these existing facilities will be required with the final drainage report.

Design Point 10 (Q_5 = 15 cfs, Q_{100} = 45 cfs) represents the total area and developed flows tributary to the proposed on-site detention/SWQ pond. The total tributary area is 22.36 ac. with a 30.6% weighted imperviousness. (See Appendix)

DETENTION FACILITIES / STORMWATER QUALITY

Final design of this recommended facility that include planning for water quality management of storm water runoff features will be designed during final design and construction of the proposed improvements. Storm water quality measures will be utilized in order to reduce the amount of sediment, debris and pollutants that are allowed to be released downstream. These features include Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basin Sedimentation Facilities. Site Planning and design techniques should limit impervious area, minimize directly impervious area, lengthen time of travel and increase infiltration in order to decrease the rate and volume of stormwater runoff. Facilities that require detention will provide an Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) in the lower portion of the facility storage volume that will release the more frequent storms at a slower rate to help minimize the effects of development of this property.

The proposed Pond is intended to provide detention and stormwater quality for nearly the entire property, including the off-site basins tributary to this site as described above. The total anticipated developed flows entering this facility are as follows: (See Appendix for MHFD-Detention pond design sheets):



Pond (Full Spectrum EDB)Total Tributary Acreage:22.36 ac.Total Site Impervious tributary to Pond 1:30.6%0.286 Ac.-ft. WQCV required0.418 Ac.-ft. EURV required with 4:1 max. slopes0.800 Ac.-ft. 100-yr. required storage1.504 Ac.-ft. required totalTotal Peak In-flow:Q5 = 15 cfs, Q100 = 45 cfsPond Peak Design Release:Q5 = 4.5 cfs, Q100 = 31 cfsRelease per Pre-development Conditions (Design Point E4):Q5 = 7 cfs, Q100 = 31 cfs

This proposed detention facility is to be private with maintenance of all private drainage facilities outside the public Right-of-Way including the pond by the Urban Landing HOA. All drainage facilities within the public Right of Way to be public with maintenance by El Paso County.

DRAINAGE CRITERIA

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, as revised in November 1991 and October 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014. Individual basin design used for detention/SWQ basin sizing was calculated using the Rational Method. Runoff Coefficients are based on the imperviousness of the particular land use and the hydrologic soil type in accordance with Table 6-6. The average rainfall intensity, by recurrence interval found in the Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) curves in Figure 6-5. Mile High Flood District (MHFD)-Detention spreadsheet Ver. 4.06 used for Preliminary Detention/SWQ design. (See Appendix)



The City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County DCM requires the Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainage ways, and implementing long-term source controls. The Four Step Process pertains to management of smaller, frequently occurring storm events, as opposed to larger storms for which drainage and flood control infrastructure are sized. Implementation of these four steps helps to achieve storm water permit requirements.

This site adheres to this Four Step Process as follows:

- Employ Runoff Reduction Practices: Proposed urban lot impervious areas (roof tops, patios, etc.) will sheet flow across landscaped yards and through open space areas to slow runoff and increase time of concentration prior to being conveyed to the proposed public streets or detention facilities. This will minimize directly connected impervious areas within the project site.
- 2. Stabilize Drainageways: The existing natural drainageway on-site will be overlot graded and urbanized with the proposed residential development. Within this development, private urban street sections will be constructed along with buried storm systems to handle the developed runoff. The final drainage report will better detail these capture methods and any required improvements to do so along with necessary hydraulic analysis and emergency overflow routing methods per County standards. After developed flows utilize the runoff reduction practices through the yards and open spaces, developed flows will travel via curb and gutter within the private streets and eventually private storm systems. These collected flows are then routed directly to the proposed on-site extended detention basin (full-spectrum facility).
- 3. **Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV):** Runoff from this development will be treated through capture and slow release of the WQCV and excess urban runoff volume



(EURV) in the proposed Full-Spectrum permanent Extended Detention Basin designed per current El Paso County drainage criteria. The few basins that are not able to be captured and routed to a permanent extended detention basin (K and L) qualify for an exclusion I.7.1.C.1 - 20% exclusion less than 1 acre.

4. Consider need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs: No industrial uses are proposed within this development. However, a site-specific storm water quality and erosion control plan and narrative will be submitted along with the grading and erosion control plan. Details such as site-specific sediment and erosion control construction BMP's will be detailed in this plan and narrative to protect receiving waters. BMP's will be constructed and maintained as the development has been graded and erosion control methods employed.

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

No portion of this site is located within a floodplain as determined by the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (F.I.R.M.) Map Numbers 08041C0286G and 0841C0287G, effective date, December 7, 2018 (See Appendix).

DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES

Any applicable drainage and bridge fees shall be provided prior to final plat recordation of any development within this site. These fees will be calculated in the FDR for County review and approval.



SUMMARY

The proposed Urban Landing property development is within the Jackson Creek Drainage Basin. The points of storm water release from the proposed site are required to be at or below the calculated historic flow quantities. This development does not impact any downstream facility or property to an extent greater than that which currently exists in the 'historic' conditions. All drainage facilities within this report were sized according to the Drainage Criteria Manuals and the full-spectrum storm water quality requirements. Prior to development of this property, a separate Final Drainage Report will be required to be submitted and approved by El Paso County that details all storm systems, pond design and fee calculation.

PREPARED BY:

Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC

Marc A. Whorton, P.E. Project Manager

maw/1308.01/130801PDR.doc



REFERENCES

- 1. City of Colorado Springs/County of El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in November 1991 and October 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014.
- 2. El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, adopted December 23, 2004, revised December 13, 2016 and Published in 2018. Online content updated October 14, 2020.
- 3. "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1, 2 & 3" Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, dated January 2016.
- 4. "Big R Retail Center Final Drainage Report", M&S Civil Consultants, Inc., dated March 2012
- 5. "Preliminary & Final Drainage Report for Cathedral Rock Commons Commercial", JPS Engineering, approved April, 2023.
- 6. "Drainage Report for Chaparral Hills", Colorado Engineering, Inc., dated 1971



APPENDIX



VICINITY MAP







SOILS MAP (S.C.S SURVEY)



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

MAP	LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.
Soils Soil Map Unit Polygons	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can ca
Soil Map Unit Lines	 ✓ Other ✓ Special Line Features 	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de
Special Point Features Blowout	Water Features Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
Clay Spot	Transportation +++ Rails	measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:
Closed Depression	 Interstate Highways US Routes 	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Me
Cravelly Spot	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distort distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such a Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
 Lava Flow Marsh or swamp 	Background Aerial Photography	accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified c
Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water		Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 21, Aug 24, 2023
 Perennial Water Rock Outcrop 		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scale 1:50,000 or larger.
Saline Spot		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 9, 2021— 2021
 Severely Eroded Spot Sinkhole 		The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines v compiled and digitized probably differs from the backgroun imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor
Slide or Slip		shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.



Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
14	Brussett loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes	11.5	4.2%
45	Kutch clay loam, 5 to 20 percent slopes	0.5	0.2%
68	Peyton-Pring complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes	97.4	36.0%
71	Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	64.4	23.8%
92	Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes	0.7	0.2%
93	Tomah-Crowfoot complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	96.5	35.6%
Totals for Area of Interest		270.9	100.0%



El Paso County Area, Colorado

14—Brussett loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 367j Elevation: 7,200 to 7,500 feet Frost-free period: 115 to 125 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Brussett and similar soils: 85 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Brussett

Setting

Landform: Flats Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Eolian deposits

Typical profile

A - 0 to 8 inches: loam BA - 8 to 12 inches: loam Bt - 12 to 26 inches: clay loam Bk - 26 to 60 inches: silt loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 9.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3c Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R048AY222CO - Loamy Park Hydric soil rating: No

USDA

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 21, Aug 24, 2023



El Paso County Area, Colorado

68—Peyton-Pring complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369f Elevation: 6,800 to 7,600 feet Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Peyton and similar soils: 40 percent Pring and similar soils: 30 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Peyton

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or arkosic residuum weathered from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 12 inches: sandy loam Bt - 12 to 25 inches: sandy clay loam BC - 25 to 35 inches: sandy loam C - 35 to 60 inches: sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 5 percent Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Low Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.60 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4c Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R049XY216CO - Sandy Divide Hydric soil rating: No

USDA

Description of Pring

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 14 inches: coarse sandy loam

C - 14 to 60 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R048AY222CO - Loamy Park Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 21, Aug 24, 2023



El Paso County Area, Colorado

71—Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369k Elevation: 6,800 to 7,600 feet Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Pring and similar soils: 85 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Pring

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 14 inches: coarse sandy loam C - 14 to 60 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R048AY222CO - Loamy Park Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes Other soils Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 21, Aug 24, 2023



F.E.M.A. MAP



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The horizontal datum was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website a http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12

National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202

1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile paselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip. El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table

Err uso oounty	Vertious Data	II OHJUL	TUDIC
Elooding Source			Vertical Datu
Tioballig boarce			Unset (i

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION

Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The **community map repository** should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations** (BFEs) and/or **floodways** have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control structures**. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The **projection** used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The **horizontal datum** was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the **North American Vertical Datum** of **1988** (**NAVD88**). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same **vertical datum**. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/ or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12

National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202

1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact **FEMA Map Service Center** (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at http://www.msc.fema.gov/.

If you have **questions about this map** or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call **1-877-FEMA MAP** (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Vertical Datum Flooding Source

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION

Panel Location Map



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



HYDROLOGIC / STORMWATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS



For Colorado Springs and much of the Fountain Creek watershed, the 1-hour depths are fairly uniform and are summarized in Table 6-2. Depending on the location of the project, rainfall depths may be calculated using the described method and the NOAA Atlas maps shown in Figures 6-6 through 6-17.

Return	1-Hour	6-Hour	24-Hour		
Period	Depth	Depth	Depth		
2	1.19	1.70	2.10		
5	1.50	2.10	2.70		
10	1.75	2.40	3.20		
25	2.00	2.90	3.60		
50	2.25	3.20	4.20		
100	2.52	3.50	4.60		
1	Where Z=	6.840 ft/10)0		

Table 6-2. Rainfall Depths for Colorado Springs

These depths can be applied to the design storms or converted to intensities (inches/hour) for the Rational Method as described below. However, as the basin area increases, it is unlikely that the reported point rainfalls will occur uniformly over the entire basin. To account for this characteristic of rain storms an adjustment factor, the Depth Area Reduction Factor (DARF) is applied. This adjustment to rainfall depth and its effect on design storms is also described below. The UDFCD UD-Rain spreadsheet, available on UDFCD's website, also provides tools to calculate point rainfall depths and Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves² and should produce similar depth calculation results.

2.2 Design Storms

Design storms are used as input into rainfall/runoff models and provide a representation of the typical temporal distribution of rainfall events when the creation or routing of runoff hydrographs is required. It has long been observed that rainstorms in the Front Range of Colorado tend to occur as either shortduration, high-intensity, localized, convective thunderstorms (cloud bursts) or longer-duration, lowerintensity, broader, frontal (general) storms. The significance of these two types of events is primarily determined by the size of the drainage basin being studied. Thunderstorms can create high rates of runoff within a relatively small area, quickly, but their influence may not be significant very far downstream. Frontal storms may not create high rates of runoff within smaller drainage basins due to their lower intensity, but tend to produce larger flood flows that can be hazardous over a broader area and extend further downstream.

• **Thunderstorms**: Based on the extensive evaluation of rain storms completed in the Carlton study (Carlton 2011), it was determined that typical thunderstorms have a duration of about 2 hours. The study evaluated over 300,000 storm cells using gage-adjusted NEXRAD data, collected over a 14-year period (1994 to 2008). Storms lasting longer than 3 hours were rarely found. Therefore, the results of the Carlton study have been used to define the shorter duration design storms.

To determine the temporal distribution of thunderstorms, 22 gage-adjusted NEXRAD storm cells were studied in detail. Through a process described in a technical memorandum prepared by the City of Colorado Springs (City of Colorado Springs 2012), the results of this analysis were interpreted and normalized to the 1-hour rainfall depth to create the distribution shown in Table 6-3 with a 5 minute time interval for drainage basins up to 1 square mile in size. This distribution represents the rainfall

Land Use or Surface	Percent	Percent Runoff Coefficients											
Characteristics	Impervious	2-year		5-year		10-year		25-year		50-year		100-year	
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG A&B HSG C&D		HSG C&D	HSG A&B HSG C&D		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D
Business													
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.53	D.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential					<u> </u>								_
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0,45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.47	0.01	0.55	0.07	0.02	0.55	0.05
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.50	0.40	0.57	0.30	0.50
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.37	0:46	0.45	0.52	0.46	0.57
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial	<u> </u>												
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.50	0.62	0.63	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Heavy Areas	90	0.57	0.00	0.59	0.03	0.03	0.55	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
newy Areas	30	0.71	0.75	. 0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.30	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	D.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.40	0.55	0.52
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas											. –		_
Historic Flow Analysis-													
Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.30	0.71	0.45	0.76	0.54
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.10	0.17	0.20	0.20	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Forest		0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.00	0.10 0.00	0.12	0.2.5	0.23	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Offsite Flow Analysis (when					- 0.50	. 0.72	0.52	0.34	0.34	0.95	0.93	0.90	0.96
landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
		- 1										0.54	
Streets												-	
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	D.90	0.92	0.92	0,94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.50
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Roofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.97	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method (Source: UDFCD 2001)

3.2 Time of Concentration

One of the basic assumptions underlying the Rational Method is that runoff is a function of the average rainfall rate during the time required for water to flow from the hydraulically most remote part of the drainage area under consideration to the design point. However, in practice, the time of concentration can be an empirical value that results in reasonable and acceptable peak flow calculations.

For urban areas, the time of concentration (t_c) consists of an initial time or overland flow time (t_i) plus the travel time (t_i) in the storm sewer, paved gutter, roadside drainage ditch, or drainage channel. For nonurban areas, the time of concentration consists of an overland flow time (t_i) plus the time of travel in a concentrated form, such as a swale or drainageway. The travel portion (t_i) of the time of concentration can be estimated from the hydraulic properties of the storm sewer, gutter, swale, ditch, or drainageway. Initial time, on the other hand, will vary with surface slope, depression storage, surface cover, antecedent rainfall, and infiltration capacity of the soil, as well as distance of surface flow. The time of concentration is represented by Equation 6-7 for both urban and non-urban areas.

í

		Linder la sia			1		
Fully Developed Urban Areas (vegetation established) ¹	Treatment	Condition	%I	HSG A	HSG B	HSG C	HSG D
Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.):							
Poor condition (grass cover < 50%)				68	79	86	8 9
Fair condition (grass cover 50% to 75%)				49	69	79	84
Good condition (grass cover > 75%)				39	61	74	80
Impervious areas:							
Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc. (excluding right-of-way				98	98	98	98
Streets and roads:							
Paved; curbs and storm sewers (excluding right-of-way)			-	98	98	98	98
Paved; open ditches (Including right-of-way)				83	89	92	93
Gravel (including right-of-way)				76	85	89	91
Dirt (including right-of-way)				72	82	87	89
Western desert urban areas:							
Natural desert landscaping (pervious areas only)				63	77	85	88
Artificial desert landscaping (impervious weed barrier, desert				00	96		00
shrub with 1- to 2-inch sand or gravel mulch and basin borders)				90	90	96	96
Urban districts:							
Commercial and business			85	89	92	94	95
Industrial			72	81	88	91	93
Residential districts by average lot size:							
1/8 acre or less (town houses)			65	77	85	90	92
1/4 acre			38	61	75	83	87
1/3 acre			30	57	72	81	86
1/2 acre			25	54	70	80	85
1 acre			20	51	68	79	84
2 acres			12	46	65	77	82
Provident and the second		Hydrologic					
veveloping Urban Areas	Treatment	Condition ³	761	HSG A	HSG B	HSG C	HSG D
Newly graded areas (pervious areas only, no vegetation)				77	86	91	94
Cultivated Agricultural Lands ¹	Treatment	Hydrologic Condition	%I	HSG A	HSG B	HSG C	HSG D
	Dasa sali			77	86	91	94
	Bare soli						02
Fallow	Crop residue	Poor		76		90	53
Fallow	Crop residue cover (CR)	Poor Good		76 74	85 83	90 88	90
Fallow	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row	Poor Good Poor		76 74 72	85 83 81	90 88 88	90 91
Fallow	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR)	Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67	85 83 81 78	90 88 88 85	90 91 89
Fallow	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR	Poor Good Poor Good Poor		76 74 72 67 71	85 83 81 78 80	90 88 88 85 87	90 91 89 90
Fallow	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64	85 83 81 78 80 75	90 88 88 85 87 82	90 91 89 90 85
Fallow	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR	Poor Good Paor Good Paar Good Poor		76 74 72 67 71 64 70	85 83 81 78 80 75 79	90 88 88 85 87 82 84	90 91 89 90 85 88
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C)	Poor Good Poor Good Paar Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 79 75	90 88 88 85 87 82 84 82	90 91 89 90 85 88 88 86
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C)	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 75 78	90 88 85 87 82 84 82 83	90 91 89 90 85 88 88 86 87
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C)	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 79 75 78 78 74	90 88 85 87 82 84 82 83 83 81	90 91 89 90 85 88 88 86 87 85
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured &	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 78 78 74 74	90 88 85 87 82 84 82 83 83 81 80	90 91 89 90 85 88 88 86 87 85 82
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T)	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66 62	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 78 78 74 74 74 71	90 88 85 87 82 84 82 83 83 81 80 78	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 88 86 87 85 85 82 81
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 65 64 66 62 65	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 78 78 74 74 74 71 73	90 88 85 87 82 84 82 83 83 81 80 78 79	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 88 86 87 85 82 81 81
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66 62 65 61	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 78 74 74 74 71 73 70	90 88 88 87 82 84 82 83 81 80 78 79 77	90 90 89 90 85 85 88 86 87 87 85 82 81 81 80
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR SR	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66 62 65 61 65	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 78 74 74 74 71 73 70 76	90 88 88 85 87 82 84 82 83 81 80 78 79 77 84	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 85 85 88 86 87 85 85 82 81 81 80 88
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR SR	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66 62 65 61 65 63	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 78 74 74 74 74 74 71 73 70 76 75	90 88 88 85 87 82 83 82 83 81 80 78 79 77 84 83	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 85 85 82 82 81 81 81 80 88 87
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR SR SR + CR	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66 62 65 61 65 61 65 63 64	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 78 74 74 74 74 74 74 71 73 70 76 75 75	90 88 88 85 87 82 84 82 83 81 80 78 79 77 84 83 83 83	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 85 85 82 82 81 81 81 80 88 87 88 87 88 87 88 87 88
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR SR SR + CR	Poor Good Paar Good Paar Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66 62 65 61 65 63 64 60	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 78 74 74 74 74 74 74 71 73 70 76 75 75 75 72	90 88 88 85 87 82 84 83 81 80 78 79 77 84 83 83 83 83 80	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 85 85 82 82 81 81 81 80 88 87 88 88 87 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR SR SR + CR C	Poor Good Paor Good Paar Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66 62 65 61 65 63 64 60 63	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 78 74 74 74 74 74 74 71 73 70 76 75 75 72 72 74	90 88 85 87 82 84 83 81 80 78 79 77 84 83 83 83 83 80 80 82	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 85 82 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 82 81 81 81 82 81 81 82 81 81 82 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 84 83 85 83 83 84 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR SR SR + CR C	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66 62 65 61 63 64 60 63 61	85 83 81 78 80 75 78 74 74 71 73 70 76 75 75 72 74 73	90 88 88 85 87 82 84 83 83 81 80 78 79 77 77 84 83 83 83 83 80 82 81	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 86 87 85 82 81 81 81 81 81 80 88 87 88 81 81 81 81 81 82 81 81 81 82 83 83 83 84 84
Fallow Row crops Small grain	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR SR SR + CR C C + CR Poor	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66 62 65 61 62 63 61 62	85 83 81 78 80 75 78 74 71 73 70 75 75 75 77 70 76 75 75 75 72 74 73 73 73 73 73 73	90 88 88 85 87 82 84 82 83 81 80 78 79 77 77 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 81 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 81	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 86 87 85 82 81 81 80 88 81 80 88 81 81 80 88 81 81 80 88 84 84 85 84 84
Fallow Row crops Small grain	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR SR SR + CR C C C C + CR Poor	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 65 69 64 66 62 65 61 65 63 64 60 63 61 62 63 64 60	85 83 81 78 79 75 78 74 71 73 70 76 75 75 72 74 73 72 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 72	90 88 88 85 87 82 84 83 81 80 78 79 77 77 84 83 83 83 83 83 80 82 81 81 80	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 86 87 82 81 81 80 88 81 80 88 81 80 88 81 80 88 81 82 81 81 80 88 84 85 84 84 83
Fallow Row crops Small grain	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T + CR SR SR + CR SR C C C + CR Poor C&T	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66 62 65 61 65 63 64 60 63 61 62 63 64 60 61 62 60 61	85 83 81 78 80 75 78 74 71 73 70 76 75 72 74 73 72 73 73 73 73 73 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	90 88 88 85 87 82 84 83 81 80 78 80 77 84 83 83 83 83 83 80 82 81 81 80 79 979	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 87 85 82 81 81 80 88 81 80 88 81 81 80 88 84 88 84 84 84 83 82
Fallow Row crops Small grain	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR SR SR + CR C C + CR Poor C + CR Poor C & T	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 67 65 61 65 63 64 60 63 61 62 63 61 62 63 61 62 63 61 62 60 61 59	85 83 81 78 80 75 79 75 78 74 71 73 70 76 75 72 74 73 72 74 73 72 74 73 72 74 73 72 72 70	90 88 88 85 82 82 83 81 80 78 79 77 84 83 83 83 80 82 81 81 80 79 77 78	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 87 85 82 81 81 80 88 81 80 88 87 86 88 87 86 88 87 86 88 87 82 81 82 83 84 83 82 83 82 83 83 83 84 83 83 83 84 83 83 83 83 84 83 83 84 83 83 84 83 83 84 83 85 83 83 84 84 85 85 88 85 88 88 86 87 87 85 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88
Fallow Row crops	Crop residue cover (CR) Straight row (SR) SR + CR Contoured (C) C + CR Contoured & terraced (C&T) C&T+ CR SR SR + CR C C + CR Poor C + CR Poor C & T C & T	Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor Good Poor		76 74 72 67 71 64 70 65 69 64 66 62 65 61 62 63 64 60 63 61 62 63 61 62 63 61 62 60 61 62 63 61 62 63 61 62 63 61 62 60 61 59 60	85 83 81 78 70 75 78 74 71 73 70 75 72 74 73 73 72 72 70 73 70 73 73 72 70 71	90 88 88 85 87 82 84 82 83 81 80 78 79 77 84 83 83 83 80 82 81 81 80 79 77 84 79 77 78 79 77 84 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	90 90 91 89 90 85 88 87 85 82 81 81 80 88 87 86 88 87 86 88 87 86 84 85 84 83 82 81 81 81

Table 6-10. NRCS Curve Numbers for Frontal Storms & Thunderstorms for Developed Conditions (ARCII)



Figure 6-5. Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency

IDF Equations $I_{100} = -2.52 \ln(D) + 12.735$ $I_{50} = -2.25 \ln(D) + 11.375$ $I_{25} = -2.00 \ln(D) + 10.111$ $I_{10} = -1.75 \ln(D) + 8.847$ $I_5 = -1.50 \ln(D) + 7.583$ $I_2 = -1.19 \ln(D) + 6.035$ Note: Values calculated by
equations may not precisely
duplicate values read from figure.

JOB NAME:	URBAN LANDING - PRELIMINARY PLAN
JOB NUMBER:	1308.01
DATE:	08/30/24
CALCULATED BY:	MAW

PRE-DEVELOPMENT BASIN RUNOFF COEFFICIENT SUMMARY

			C VALUE DCM TABLE 6-6					WEIGHTED "C" VALUE			WEIGHTED CA			Weighted IMP.						
	TOTAL		PERCENT						PERCENT											
BASIN	AREA (AC)	LAND USE	IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	LAND USE	IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	PERCENT
EX-1	5.80	UNDEV.	2.0%	5.30	0.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED ROAD	100.0%	0.50	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.16	0.41	0.60	0.93	2.39	10.4%
EX-2	1.30	UNDEV.	2.0%	1.30	0.03	0.09	0.36			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.04	0.12	0.47	2.0%
OS-1	12.80	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	12.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	GRAVEL ROAD	80.0%	0.80	0.57	0.59	0.7	0.08	0.15	0.41	1.06	1.91	5.24	11.6%
OS-2	1.50	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	1.50	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.18	0.59	7.0%
0S-3	0.49	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	0.49	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.02	0.06	0.19	7.0%
OS-4	2.10	UNDEV.	2.0%	1.68	0.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED ROAD	100.0%	0.42	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.20	0.25	0.48	0.42	0.53	1.01	21.6%

JOB NAM	:	URBAN LA	ANDING - I	PRELIN	MINAR	Y PLAN	r											
JOB NUM	BER:	1308.01						-					Table 6	-7. Con	veyance	e Coeffi	cient, C	v
DATE:		07/31/03						-					Type	e of Land	Surfac	e		C
CALC'D B	Y:	MAW						_				Heav	v meadow	N	a Sui lac			2.5
Return	1-Hour											Tillag	e/field		1			5
Period	Depth	-								Ripra	p (not bu	ried)*	$t_c = \frac{1}{18}$	$\frac{-}{30}$ + 10		5.5		
2	1.19			(395(1	1-C.	h/I			. 0.5		Short pasture and lawns						7
10	1.50	-		$t_i = -$	0.000(1	c ^{0.33}	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	L	$=C_{v}$	w	I c=L/V	Nearl	y bare gr	ound				10
25	2.00	-				5						Grass	ed water	way				15
50	2.00	-										Paved	l areas ar	nd shallo	w paved	swales		20
100	2.20	7										For bu	ried riprap,	select C _v	value based	l on type o	f vegetativ	e cover.
	2.02	-		PRE	E-DEV	ELOPI	MENT	BASI	N RUN	NOFF \$	SUMM	ARY						
		WEIGHTED			OVERLAND			STREET / CHANNEL FLOW			Tc	II	NTENSIT	Y	TOTAL FLOWS			
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length	Height	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Тс	TOTAL	I(2)	I(5)	I(100)	Q(2)	Q(5)	Q(100)
		()	~ /	()	(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
EX-1	0.60	0.93	2.39	0.16	300	10	19.8	520	2.0%	1.4	6.1	25.9	2.16	2.70	4.54	1.3	3	11
EX-2	0.04	0.12	0.47	0.09	300	10	21.2					21.2	2.40	3.00	5.04	0.1	0.4	2.4
OS-1	1.06	1.91	5.24	0.15	300	9	20.7	530	2.5%	1.1	8.0	28.7	2.04	2.55	4.28	2	5	22
OS-2	0.08	0.18	0.59	0.12	250	8	19.1					19.1	2.53	3.16	5.31	0.2	0.6	3
OS-3	0.02	0.06	0.19	0.12	240	8	18.4					18.4	2.57	3.21	5.39	0.1	0.2	1.0
OS-4	0.42	0.53	1.01	0.25	300	9	18.5	320	1.0%	2.0	2.7	21.1	2.41	3.01	5.05	1.0	1.6	5

JOB NAME:	URBAN LANDING - PRELIMINARY PLAN
JOB NUMBER:	1308.01
DATE:	08/30/24
CALCULATED BY:	MAW

*ALL STORM SEWER TO BE PRIVATE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

PRE-DEVELOPMENT SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

					Inten	sity	FI	ow	
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins / Design Point	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	l(5)	l(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Facility/ Inlet Size*
E1	OS-1	1.91	5.24	28.7	2.55	4.28	5	22	EXIST. NATURAL SWALE
E2	OS-3, EX-2	0.18	0.66	21.2	3.00	5.04	0.5	3.3	SHEET FLOW OFF-SITE
E3	OS-4, Flows from DP E2	0.71	1.67	23.9	2.82	4.73	2	8	EXIST. ASPHALT RUNDOWN
E4	EX-1, OS-2, Flows from E1	3.02	8.21	35.7	2.22	3.73	7	31	EXIST. 6'X4' CBC AT STRUTHERS

 JOB NAME:
 URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN - PDR

 JOB NUMBER:
 1308.01

 DATE:
 08/30/24

 CALCULATED BY:
 MAW

			C VAL	UE DCM TAE	BLE 6-6			C VALUE DCM TABLE 6-6						WEIGHTED "C" VALUE				WEIGHTED IMP.		
	TOTAL		PERCENT						PERCENT											
BASIN	AREA (AC)	LAND USE	IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	LAND USE	IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	PERCENT
OS-1	12.80	RES. 5 AC,	7.0%	12.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	GRAVEL RD.	80.0%	0.80	0.57	0.59	0.70	0.08	0.15	0.41	1.06	1.91	5.24	11.6%
OS-2A	0.13	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	0.13	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.01	0.02	0.05	7.0%
OS-2B	1.50	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	1.50	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.18	0.59	7.0%
OS-3A	0.37	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	0.37	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.02	0.04	0.14	7.0%
OS-3B	0.04	RES. 5 AC.	7.0%	0.04	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.02	7.0%
OS-4	2.10	UNDEV.	2.0%	1.68	0.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.42	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.20	0.25	0.48	0.42	0.53	1.01	21.6%
A	0.10	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.10	0.41	0.45	0.59			0.00	0.18	0.25	0.47	0.41	0.45	0.59	0.04	0.05	0.06	65.0%
В	0.75	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.45	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.30	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.74	0.45	0.47	0.55	79.0%
С	0.18	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.11	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.07	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.73	0.11	0.11	0.13	78.6%
D	1.10	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.90	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.20	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.50	0.53	0.66	0.55	0.59	0.72	71.4%
E	0.31	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.19	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.12	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.62	0.73	0.18	0.19	0.23	78.5%
F	0.60	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.25	0.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.20	0.26	0.47	0.12	0.15	0.28	31.2%
G	0.58	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.35	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.23	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.74	0.35	0.36	0.43	78.9%
Н	1.60	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	1.35	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.49	0.52	0.65	0.78	0.83	1.04	70.5%
I	1.30	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	1.05	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.50	0.54	0.66	0.65	0.70	0.86	71.7%
J1	0.44	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.15	0.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.29	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.17	0.23	0.46	0.08	0.10	0.20	26.8%
J2	0.56	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.17	0.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.39	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.16	0.22	0.45	0.09	0.12	0.25	24.6%
К	0.20	RES. 1/8 AC.	65.0%	0.10	0.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.10	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.65	0.68	0.78	0.13	0.14	0.16	82.5%
L	0.16	OPEN SPACE	13.0%	0.16	0.07	0.16	0.41			0.00	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.07	0.16	0.41	0.01	0.03	0.07	13.0%

TOTAL AREA TRIBUTARY TO

POND 1 22.36 30.6%

JOB NAM	E:	URBAN L	ANDING P	RELIM	INARY	PLAN -	PDR											
JOB NUM	BER:	1308.01						_					Table 6	-7. Con	veyance	e Coeffi	cient, C	v
DATE:		04/23/24						-					Type	e of I an	d Surfac	e		C
CALC'D B	Y:	MAW						-				Heav	y meadow	N	o surrec			2.5
Return	1-Hour	7										Tillag	e/field		1	10		5
Period 2	Depth 1.19	-									Ripra	$\frac{1}{\text{Riprap (not buried)}^*} t_c = \frac{1}{180} + 10 - \frac{1}{180} + 10 - \frac{1}{180} + \frac{1}{$					6.5	
5	1.50	-			$0.395(1.1-C_c)\sqrt{L}$					0.5		Short	pasture a	and lawn	5			7
10	1.75	-		$t_i = -$		S ^{0.33}		,	$V = C_{v^{k}}$	Sw W	IC-L/V	Nearl	y bare gr	ound				10
25	2.00											Grass	ed water	way			_	15
50	2.25	-										For bu	i areas ar	select C.	w paved	swales i on type o	f vegetativ	20 ve cover.
100	2.52	_				BAS	IN RU	NOFF	SUMI	MARY				•			U.	
		WEIGHTEI	D		OVER	RLAND		STRE	ET / CH	ANNEL	FLOW	Tc	1	NTENSIT	ſΥ	тот	AL FLO	ows
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length	Height	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Tc	TOTAL	I(2)	l(5)	I(100)	Q(2)	Q(5)	Q(100)
					(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
OS-1	1.06	1.91	5.24	0.15	300	9	20.7	530	2.5%	1.1	8.0	28.7	2.04	2.55	4.28	2	5	22
OS-2A	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.08	100	3	12.8					12.8	3.00	3.76	6.31	0.02	0.06	0.32
OS-2B	0.08	0.18	0.59	0.12	250	8	19.1					19.1	2.53	3.16	5.31	0.2	0.6	3.1
OS-3A	0.02	0.04	0.14	0.12	240	8	18.4					18.4	2.57	3.21	5.39	0.0	0.1	0.8
OS-3B	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.12	55	3	7.5					7.5	3.64	4.56	7.66	0.01	0.02	0.12
OS-4	0.42	0.53	1.01	0.25	300	9	18.5	320	1.0%	2.0	2.7	21.2	2.40	3.00	5.04	1.0	1.6	5.1
А	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.08	50	1.5	9.1					9.1	3.41	4.28	7.18	0.1	0.2	0.4
В	0.45	0.47	0.55	0.08	80	1.6	13.1	150	2.0%	2.8	0.9	14.0	2.90	3.63	6.09	1.3	1.7	3.4
С	0.11	0.11	0.13				5.0					5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	0.4	0.6	1.1
D	0.55	0.59	0.72	0.25	100	2	12.2	100	2.0%	2.1	0.8	13.0	2.98	3.74	6.27	1.6	2.2	4.5
E	0.18	0.19	0.23				5.0					5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	0.8	1.0	2.0
F	0.12	0.15	0.28	0.25	100	2	12.2					12.2	3.06	3.83	6.43	0.4	0.6	1.8
G	0.35	0.36	0.43	0.25	100	2	12.2					12.2	3.06	3.83	6.43	1.1	1.4	2.7
Н	0.78	0.83	1.04	0.25	80	1.6	10.9	225	2.5%	3.2	1.2	12.1	3.07	3.84	6.45	2.4	3.2	6.7
I	0.65	0.70	0.86	0.25	80	1.6	10.9	450	3.0%	3.5	2.2	13.1	2.97	3.73	6.25	1.9	2.6	5.4

JOB NAM	E:	URBAN L	ANDING P	RELIM	INARY	PLAN -	PDR	_										
JOB NUN	BER:	1308.01						_					Table 6	-7. Con	veyance	e Coeffi	cient, C	
DATE:		04/23/24						_					Type	ofIan	d Surfac	0		C
CALC'D E	Y:	MAW						_				Heav	v meadou	v	u Sui lac	c	2	2.5
Return	1-Hour											Tillag	e/field		1	. 10		5
Period 2	Depth 1 19	-										Ripra	p (not bu	ried)*	$t_c = \frac{1}{18}$	$\frac{-}{30}$ + 10	(5.5
5	1.10	- -		(395(1	1-C	VI.			~ 0.5		Short	pasture a	and lawn	5			7
10	1.75	-		$t_i = -$		c ^{0.33}	// 2	L	$=C_{v^{k}}$	Sw	I C=L/V	Nearl	y bare gr	ound				10
25	2.00	-				5						Grass	ed water	way				15
50	2.00	-										Paved	l areas ar	d shallo	w paved	swales		20
100	2.25	-										For bu	ried riprap,	select Cv	value based	l on type o	f vegetativ	e cover.
100	2.52					BAS	IN RU	NOFF	SUMI	MARY								
		WEIGHTE)		OVER	LAND		STREET / CHANNEL FLOW			Tc	11	TENSIT	ſΥ	тот	AL FLC	ows	
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length	Height	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Тс	TOTAL	I(2)	I(5)	I(100)	Q(2)	Q(5)	Q(100)
	()	()	~ /	()	(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
J1	0.08	0.10	0.20	0.25	100	2.5	11.3	420	2.5%	2.4	3.0	14.3	2.87	3.59	6.03	0.2	0.4	1.2
J2	0.09	0.12	0.25	0.25	60	2	8.0	120	2.0%	2.1	0.9	8.9	3.43	4.30	7.22	0.3	0.5	1.8
К	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.25	30	0.6	6.7	85	1.5%	2.4	0.6	7.3	3.68	4.61	7.74	0.5	0.6	1.2
L	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.25	80	3.2	8.7					8.7	3.46	4.34	7.29	0.0	0.1	0.5

Job Name:	URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN - PDR
JOB NUMBER:	1308.01
DATE:	08/30/24
CALCULATED BY:	MAW

*ALL STORM SEWER TO BE PRIVATE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

Flow Intensity Equivalent Facility/ Inlet Design **Contributing Basins /** Equivalent Maximum Q(100) I(5) I(100) Q(5) Point(s) **Design Point** CA(5) CA(100) Tc Size* OS-1, A 5.30 28.7 2.55 4.28 PROP. 30" RCP 1.96 5 1 23 5' TYPE R OS-2A, B 2 0.49 0.60 19.4 3.13 5.26 3.2 1.5 SUMP INLET 5' TYPE R 0.13 8.68 3 С 0.11 5.0 5.17 0.6 1.1 SUMP INLET 5' TYPE R 4 OS-2B, D 5.21 2.4 0.77 1.31 19.8 3.10 6.8 SUMP INLET 5' TYPE R 5 E 0.19 0.23 8.68 2.0 5.0 5.17 1.0 SUMP INLET F 0.15 0.28 12.2 6.43 AREA DRAIN 6 3.83 0.6 1.8 10' TYPE R AT-G, H 9.4 7 1.20 1.46 12.4 3.81 6.39 4.6 GRADE INLET 5' TYPE R OS-3A, I 0.74 1.00 20.6 5.11 5.1 8 3.05 2.3 SUMP INLET RIP-RAP OS-3B, J1 0.22 3.59 6.03 1.3 9 0.11 14.3 0.4 RUNDOWN TOTAL INFLOW TO POND 1 10 5.84 10.79 2.48 15 45 POND 1 30.0 4.17 (INCL. DP-9 AND BASIN J2)

SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY

	Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)								
	UD-BMP	(Version 3.07, March 2018) Sheet 1 of 3							
Designer:	MARC A. WHORTON, P.E.								
Company:									
Date:									
Location:	POND 1								
Looution.									
1. Basin Storage V	/olume								
A) Effective Imp	erviousness of Tributary Area, I _a	l _a = <u>30.6</u> %							
B) Tributary Are	a's Imperviousness Ratio (i = $I_{\rm a}/$ 100)	i =							
C) Contributing	Watershed Area	Area = 22.360 ac							
D) For Watersh Runoff Prod	eds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average ucing Storm	d ₆ = 0.42 in							
E) Design Cond (Select EUR)	cept V when also designing for flood control)	Choose One Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)							
F) Design Volu (V _{DESIGN} = (1	me (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time .0 * (0.91 * i ³ - 1.19 * i ² + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)	V _{DESIGN} = ac-ft							
G) For Watersh Water Quali (V _{WQCV OTHER}	teds Outside of the Denver Region, ty Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume $_{\rm R}$ = (d ₆ *(V _{DESIGN} /0.43))	V _{DESIGN OTHER} = 0.279 ac-ft							
H) User Input o (Only if a dif	f Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume ferent WQCV Design Volume is desired)	V _{DESIGN USER} =ac-ft							
I) NRCS Hydro i) Percenta ii) Percenta iii) Percent	logic Soil Groups of Tributary Watershed ge of Watershed consisting of Type A Soils age of Watershed consisting of Type B Soils age of Watershed consisting of Type C/D Soils	$ \begin{array}{c c} HSG_{A} = & 0 & \% \\ HSG_{B} = & 100 & \% \\ HSG_{CD} = & 0 & \% \end{array} $							
J) Excess Urba For HSG A: For HSG B: For HSG C	in Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume $EURV_{A} = 1.68 * i^{1.28}$ $EURV_{R} = 1.36 * i^{1.08}$ /D: EURV _{CID} = 1.20 * i ^{1.08}	EURV _{DESIGN} = 0.705 ac-f t							
K) User Input o (Only if a dif	f Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume ferent EURV Design Volume is desired)	EURV _{DESIGN USER} =ac-ft							
2. Basin Shape: Le (A basin length	angth to Width Ratio to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)	L : W = 2.0 : 1							
3 Basin Side Slop	es.								
A) Basin Maxin (Horizontal o	um Side Slopes distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Z = 4.00 ft / ft							
4. Inlet									
A) Describe me	eans of providing energy dissipation at concentrated								
inflow location	ons:								
5. Forebay									
A) Minimum Fo (V _{FMIN}	rebay volume = <u>3%</u> of the WQCV)	v _{FMIN} = <u>U.UU8</u> ac-π							
B) Actual Foreb	bay Volume	V _F = 0.008 ac-ft							
C) Forebay Dep (D _F	th = <u>18</u> inch maximum)	D _F = 18.0 in							
D) Forebay Disc	charge								
i) Undetaine	ed 100-year Peak Discharge	Q ₁₀₀ = 44.00 cfs							
ii) Forebay (Q _F = 0.02	Discharge Design Flow $2 * Q_{100}$	Q _F = 0.88 cfs							
E) Forebay Disc	charge Design	E churre dire							
,, .		Choose Une Berm With Pipe Flow too small for berm w/ pipe Wall with Rect. Notch Wall with V-Notch Weir							
F) Discharge Pi	pe Size (minimum 8-inches)	Calculated D _P =in							
G) Rectangular	Notch Width	Calculated $W_N = $ 5.3 in							

	Design Procedure Form:	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	MARC A. WHORTON, P.E. CLASSIC CONSULTING April 24, 2024 URBAN LANDING PRELIMINNARY PLAN - PDR POND 1	Sheet 2 of 3
 6. Trickle Channel A) Type of Trick F) Slope of Trick 	kle Channel skle Channel	Choose \overline{One} Oconcrete Soft Bottom S = 0.0100 ft / ft
 7. Micropool and C A) Depth of Mic B) Surface Area C) Outlet Type 	Dutlet Structure cropool (2.5-feet minimum) a of Micropool (10 ft ² minimum)	$D_{M} = \underbrace{2.5}_{M} ft$ $A_{M} = \underbrace{107}_{O} sq ft$ $Orifice Plate$ $Other (Describe):$
D) Smallest Din (Use UD-Detent E) Total Outlet A	nension of Orifice Opening Based on Hydrograph Routing tion) Area	D _{orifice} = <u>1.38</u> inches A _{ct} = <u>4.80</u> square inches
 8. Initial Surcharge A) Depth of Initi (Minimum red B) Minimum Initi (Minimum vol C) Initial Surcha 	a Volume ial Surcharge Volume commended depth is 4 inches) ial Surcharge Volume lume of 0.3% of the WQCV) arge Provided Above Micropool	$D_{1S} = 6$ in $V_{1S} = 36$ cu ft $V_s = 53.5$ cu ft
 Trash Rack A) Water Qualit B) Type of Screeting the USDCM, in the USDCM, in the USDCM, in total screen are 	ty Screen Open Area: $A_t = A_{ct} * 38.5*(e^{-0.095D})$ en (If specifying an alternative to the materials recommended indicate "other" and enter the ratio of the total open are to the for the material specified.) Other (Y/N): N	A _t = <u>162</u> square inches Aluminum Amico-Klemp SR Series with Cross Rods 2" O.C.
 C) Ratio of Tota D) Total Water (E) Depth of Des (Based on of F) Height of Wa G) Width of Wal (Minimum of 12) 	l Open Area to Total Area (only for type 'Other') Quality Screen Area (based on screen type) sign Volume (EURV or WQCV) design concept chosen under 1E) ter Quality Screen (H _{TR}) ter Quality Screen Opening (W _{openina}) inches is recommended)	User Ratio = $A_{total} = 228$ sq. in. H = 4.85 feet $H_{TR} = 86.2$ inches $W_{opening} = 12.0$ inches VALUE LESS THAN RECOMMENDED MIN. WIDTH. WIDTH HAS BEEN SET TO 12 INCHES.

	Design Procedure Form:	Extended Detention Basin (EDB)						
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	Designer: MARC A. WHORTON, P.E. Company: CLASSIC CONSULTING Date: April 24, 2024 Project: URBAN LANDING PRELIMINNARY PLAN - PDR Location: POND 1							
 Overflow Emb A) Describe e B) Slope of O (Horizonta) 	ankment embankment protection for 100-year and greater overtopping: iverflow Embankment I distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Ze = ft / ft						
11. Vegetation		Choose One Irrigated Not Irrigated						
12. Access A) Describe S	Sediment Removal Procedures							
Notes:								

	Design Procedure Form: Extended Detention Basin (EDB)							
	UD-BMP	(Version 3.07, March 2018) Sheet 1 of 3						
Designer:	MARC A. WHORTON, P.E.							
Company:								
Date:								
Project:								
Location:								
1. Basin Storage \	/olume							
A) Effective Imp	erviousness of Tributary Area, I _a	l _a = 25.1 %						
B) Tributary Are	a's Imperviousness Ratio (i = $I_a / 100$)	i = 0.251						
C) Contributing	Watershed Area	Area = 0.480 ac						
D) For Watersh Runoff Prod	neds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average ucing Storm	d ₆ = 0.42 in						
E) Design Cone (Select EUR	cept V when also designing for flood control)	Choose One Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV)						
F) Design Volu (V _{DESIGN} = (1	me (WQCV) Based on 40-hour Drain Time I.0 * (0.91 * i ³ - 1.19 * i ² + 0.78 * i) / 12 * Area)	V _{DESIGN} =ac-ft						
G) For Watersł Water Quali (V _{WQCV OTHEN}	neds Outside of the Denver Region, ty Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume $_{\rm R} = (d_{\rm e}^{*}(V_{\rm DESIGN}/0.43))$	V _{DESIGN OTHER} ≡ 0.005 ac-ft						
H) User Input o (Only if a dif	f Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume ferent WQCV Design Volume is desired)	V _{DESIGN USER} =ac-ft						
I) NRCS Hydro i) Percenta ii) Percenta iii) Percent	logic Soil Groups of Tributary Watershed ge of Watershed consisting of Type A Soils age of Watershed consisting of Type B Soils age of Watershed consisting of Type C/D Soils	$ \begin{array}{c} HSG_{A} = & 0 & \% \\ HSG_{B} = & 100 & \% \\ HSG_{CD} = & 0 & \% \end{array} $						
J) Excess Urba For HSG A For HSG B For HSG C	in Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume : EURV _A = 1.68 * i ^{1.28} : EURV _n = 1.36 * i ^{1.08} /D: EURV _{C/D} = 1.20 * i ^{1.08}	EURV _{DESIGN} = 0.012 ac-f t						
K) User Input o (Only if a dif	f Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) Design Volume ferent EURV Design Volume is desired)	EURV _{DESIGN USER} =ac-ft						
2. Basin Shape: Le (A basin length	angth to Width Ratio to width ratio of at least 2:1 will improve TSS reduction.)	L : W = 2.0 : 1						
3. Basin Side Slop	es							
A) Basin Maxin (Horizontal d	num Side Slopes distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred)	Z = 4.00 ft / ft						
4 Inlet								
4. miet								
 A) Describe me inflow location 	eans of providing energy dissipation at concentrated ons:							
5. Forebay								
A) Minimum Fo	rebay Volume	V _{FMIN} = 0.000 ac-ft A FOREBAY MAY NOT BE						
B) Actual Fore	y Volume	V _F =ac-ft						
C) Forebay Dep (D₌	nth = 12 inch maximum)	Dr =						
D) Forebay Disc		· · · ·						
i) Undetaine	- ed 100-year Peak Discharge	Q ₁₀₀ = 1.30 cfs						
ii) Forebay (Q _F = 0.0	Discharge Design Flow 2 * Q ₁₀₀)	Q _F =cfs						
E) Forebay Disc	sharge Design	Choose One						
		O Berm With Pipe Flow too small for berm w/ pipe O Wall with Rect. Notch Wall with V-Notch Weir						
F) Discharge Pi	pe Size (minimum 8-inches)	Calculated D _P =in						
G) Rectangular	Notch Width	Calculated W _N = in						

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022)												
Project: URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN - PDR												
Basin ID:	POND 1											
	2 ONE 1		_									
		I										
T		100-YEA	R	Donth Incoment	0.50	ام						
	1 AND 2	ORIFICE		Depth Increment =	0.50	Optional				Optional		
POOL Example Zone	Configuratio	on (Retentio	on Pond)	Stage - Storage	Stage (ft)	Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width	Area (ft ²)	Override Area (ft ²)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft 3)
Watershed Information				Top of Micropool		0.00				100	0.002	(11)
Selected BMP Type =	EDB	1		68		1.50				6,000	0.138	4,575
Watershed Area =	22.36	acres		70		3.50				8,121	0.186	18,696
Watershed Length =	1,800	ft		72		5.50				10,393	0.239	37,210
Watershed Length to Centroid =	900	ft		74		7.50				12,882	0.296	60,485
Watershed Slope =	0.035	ft/ft		75		8.50				14,138	0.325	73,995
Watershed Imperviousness =	30.60%	percent										
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	0.0%	percent										
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent										
Target WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours										
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	1										
After providing required inputs above in	luding 1-hour i	rainfall										
depths, click 'Run CUHP' to generate run	off hydrograph	s using										
the embedded Colorado Urban Hydro	yrapn Procedu	ne. 1	Optional User Overrides								ļ	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.286	acre-feet	acre-feet									
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.703	acre-feet	acre-feet									
5-vr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.15 in.) =	1,145	acre-feet	1.50 inches									
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	1.546	acre-feet	1.75 inches									
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	2.148	acre-feet	2.00 inches									
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	2.604	acre-feet	2.25 inches									
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	3.212	acre-feet	2.52 inches									
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.1 in.) =	4.312	acre-feet	3.10 inches									
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.504	acre-feet										
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	1.046	acre-feet										
Approximate 10 yr Detention Volume =	1.210	acre-feet										
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	1.274	acre-feet										
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	1.504	acre-feet										
Define Zones and Basin Geometry		1										
Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.286	acre-feet										
Zone 2 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2) -	0.410	acre-feet										
Total Detention Basin Volume =	1.504	acre-feet										
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	user	ft ³										
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	user	ft										
Total Available Detention Depth $(H_{total}) =$	user	ft									L	
Depth of Trickle Channel (H _{TC}) =	user	ft									 	
Slope of Trickle Channel (S_{TC}) =	user	nt/ft H·V										
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (P) -	user											
basin tengan to widan kabo (kt/w) =	0.501	1										
Initial Surcharge Area (A_{ISV}) =	user	ft 2										
Surcharge Volume Length $(L_{ISV}) =$	user	ft										
Surcharge Volume Width (W_{ISV}) =	user	ft										
Depth of Basin Floor (H _{FLOOR}) =	user	ft									 	
Length of Basin Floor (L _{FLOOR}) =	user	nt e										
width of Basin Floor (W _{FLOOR}) =	user	₽ ²										
Volume of Basin Floor (V_{FLOOR}) =	user	ft ³										
Depth of Main Basin $(H_{MAIN}) =$	user	ft										
Length of Main Basin (L _{MAIN}) =	user	ft										
Width of Main Basin (W_{MAIN}) =	user	ft										
Area of Main Basin (A _{MAIN}) =	user	ft ²									 	
Volume of Main Basin (V _{MAIN}) =	user	ft ³										
calculated lotal basin volume (V_{total}) =	user	acre-reet										

130801 MHFD-Detention_v4-06 POND 1 with off-site, Basin

Volume (ac-ft)

0.105

0.429

0.854

1.389 1.699

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022)



DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022 Project: URBAN LANDING PRELIMINARY PLAN - PDR Basin ID: POND 1 Estimated Estimated ZONE 1 Stage (ft) Volume (ac-ft) Outlet Type VOLUME EURV WQCV Zone 1 (WQCV) 0.286 2.69 Orifice Plate 100-YEAR Zone 2 (EURV) 4.85 0.418 Orifice Plate ZONE 1 AND 2 Zone 3 (100-year) 7.89 0.800 Weir&Pipe (Restrict) PERMANENT Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond) Total (all zones) 1.504 User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP) Calculated Parameters for Underdrain ft (distance below the filtration media surface) Underdrain Orifice Area Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = N/A N/A ft² Underdrain Orifice Centroid = Underdrain Orifice Diameter = N/A inches N/A feet Calculated Parameters for Plate User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP) Centroid of Lowest Orifice = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) WO Orifice Area per Row = 0.00 N/A lft² Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate = 4.85 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Elliptical Half-Width = feet N/A Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = 19.40 inches Elliptical Slot Centroid = N/A feet Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = Elliptical Slot Area =]ft² N/A sq. inches N/A User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest) Row 1 (required) Row 2 (optional) Row 3 (optional) Row 4 (optional) Row 5 (optional) Row 6 (optional) Row 7 (optional) Row 8 (optional) Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft) 0.00 1.62 3.23 Orifice Area (sq. inches) 1.50 1.50 1.80 Row 9 (optional) Row 10 (optional) Row 11 (optional) Row 12 (optional) Row 13 (optional) Row 14 (optional) Row 15 (optional) Row 16 (optional) Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft) Orifice Area (sg. inches) User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular) Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected Not Selected lft² Invert of Vertical Orifice = N/A N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Vertical Orifice Area N/A N/A Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice = N/A N/A ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Vertical Orifice Centroid = N/A N/A feet inches Vertical Orifice Diameter = N/A N/A User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Grate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir and No Outlet Pipe) Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir Zone 3 Weir Not Selected Zone 3 Weir Not Selected Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho = 4.85 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Height of Grate Upper Edge, H_t = N/A 5.85 N/A feet Overflow Weir Slope Length = Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = 8.00 N/A feet 4.12 N/A feet Overflow Weir Grate Slope = 4.00 N/A H:V Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area = 8.31 N/A Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris = 26.09 ft² 4.00 N/A feet N/A Close Mesh Grate Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris = Overflow Grate Type = N/A 13.05 N/A fť Debris Clogging % = 50% N/A % User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice) Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate Zone 3 Restrictor Not Selected Zone 3 Restrictor Not Selected Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = 0.50 Outlet Orifice Area = ft² N/A ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) 3.14 N/A Outlet Pipe Diameter = 24.00 N/A inches Outlet Orifice Centroid : 1.00 N/A feet Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = 24.00 . inches Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe = 3.14 N/A radians User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal) Calculated Parameters for Spillway Spillway Invert Stage= 6.50 ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) Spillway Design Flow Depth= 0.45 feet Spillway Crest Length = Stage at Top of Freeboard = 40.00 feet 7.95 feet Spillway End Slopes = 3.00 H:V Basin Area at Top of Freeboard 0.31 acres Freeboard above Max Water Surface = 1.00 feet Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard = 1.52 acre-ft Routed Hydrograph Results in the Inflow H ohs table ns W throu The user can override the c ina new val EURV Design Storm Return Period = WQCV 2 Year 5 Year 10 Year 25 Year 50 Year 100 Year 500 Year One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) = 1.50 3.10 4.312 N/A N/A 1.19 1.75 2.00 2.25 2.52 0.709 CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft) 0.286 0.703 1.145 1.546 2.148 2.604 3.212 Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) = 3.212 N/A N/A 0.709 1.145 1.546 2.148 2.604 4.312 CUHP Predevelopment Peak O (cfs) : N/A N/A 9.3 21.0 36.8 2.2 6.1 16.8 26.9 OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) = N/A N/A 31.0 Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, g (cfs/acre) : 0.10 N/A N/A 0.31 0.42 0.75 0.94 1.39 1.65 Peak Inflow Q (cfs) 17.5 38.1 50.5 26.2 31.5 N/A N/A 8.3 13.5 Peak Outflow Q (cfs) : 0.1 0.3 0.3 4.5 8.9 23.1 31.0 46.0 17.5 Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q = N/A N/A N/A 0.6 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.0 Structure Controlling Flow : Plate Overflow Weir 1 Plate Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir 1 Overflow Weir Spillway 1.4 Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) = N/A N/A N/A 0.2 0.3 0.7 0.9 1.2 Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) = 46 68 67 67 60 53 Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) 48 74 65 71 72 72 71 69 68

Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =

Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =

Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres)

2.69

0.17

0 286

4.85

0.22

4.65

0.22

0.661

5.36

0.23

5.63

0.24

0.883

6.01

0.25

6.21

0.26

1.03

6.47

0.27

1 096

6.67

0.27

1 150



DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

Inflow Hydrographs

The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate progra

r	The user carro			lographs nom t		an innow nydrog			ogram.	
	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP
Time Interval	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
5.00	0.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5.00 min	0.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.14
	0:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.66	0.83	0.56	0.71	0.69	1.00
	0:20:00	0.00	0.00	1.48	2.37	3.14	1.50	1.77	2.01	3.16
ľ	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	4.83	8 35	11.92	4 85	5 90	6.90	11 74
	0.30.00	0.00	0.00	7.67	12.87	16.92	18.02	22.25	25.70	35.51
	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	7.07	12.07	17.52	24.07	22.25	25.75	47.42
	0.33.00	0.00	0.00	8.25	13.51	17.54	24.07	29.24	35.43	47.42
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	8.00	12.82	16.60	26.16	31.54	38.09	50.46
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	7.30	11.74	15.47	25.14	30.25	37.48	49.57
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	6.68	10.84	14.18	24.20	29.09	35.95	47.51
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	6.10	9.87	13.02	22.13	26.66	33.63	44.49
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	5.66	9.09	12.12	20.25	24.47	31.55	41.85
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	5.28	8.42	11.34	18.70	22.67	29.92	39.73
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	4.78	7.77	10.57	16.87	20.50	26.81	35.74
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	4.28	7.03	9.80	15.09	18.38	23.68	31.73
İ	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	3.80	6 25	8 79	13.22	16.07	20.40	27 33
ľ	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	3 30	5.61	7.86	11 40	13.96	17 45	23.43
·	1.20.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	5.01	7.00	10.00	12.20	15.22	20.53
ŀ	1.25.00	0.00	0.00	3.09	5.1/	7.14	10.08	12.28	15.23	20.52
·	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	2.88	4.83	6.54	8.98	10.95	13.50	18.21
	1:40:00	0.00	0.00	2.69	4.40	6.00	8.06	9.83	12.02	16.20
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	2.51	3.98	5.50	7.24	8.82	10.69	14.39
l	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	2.34	3.59	5.03	6.48	7.90	9.47	12.73
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	2.10	3.22	4.52	5.77	7.02	8.31	11.15
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	1.86	2.84	3.96	5.08	6.17	7.22	9.67
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	1.57	2.36	3.27	4.24	5.13	5.99	7.97
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	1.28	1.89	2.61	3.40	4.11	4.78	6.31
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	1.01	1.46	2.02	2.61	3.13	3.62	4.74
·	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.77	1.09	1.56	1.89	2.26	2 57	3 40
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.59	0.85	1.30	1.05	1.66	1.86	2 52
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.60	1.02	1.07	1.00	1.00	1.00
	2.30.00	0.00	0.00	0.4/	0.69	1.02	1.03	1.20	1.39	1.90
	2.33.00	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.57	0.84	0.79	0.97	1.03	1.43
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.46	0.68	0.61	0.75	0.76	1.06
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.38	0.55	0.47	0.58	0.56	0.78
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.30	0.44	0.36	0.45	0.40	0.56
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.24	0.35	0.28	0.34	0.29	0.41
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.19	0.27	0.22	0.27	0.23	0.33
	3:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.15	0.21	0.17	0.21	0.19	0.26
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.12	0.17	0.14	0.17	0.15	0.21
ľ	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.16
·	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.12
	3.25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.10	0.05	0.00
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.09
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.08
ŀ	3.33:00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
r	3:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02
	3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01
	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ļ	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
[4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l	4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
l	4:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ŀ	4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ŀ	4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ľ	5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ľ	5:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ľ	5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
[5:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ŀ	5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ŀ	5.45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ŀ	5.50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ŀ	6.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
I	0.00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022) Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships

The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically. The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

Stage - Storage	Stage	Area	Area	Volume	Volume	Total]
Description	[#1	[fft ²]	[acres]	[ft ³]	[ac-ft]	[cfs]	
	1.4	1.c. 1	[]	[14] J	1	1	
							For best results, include the
							stages of all grade slope
							the charge (e.g. ISV and Floor)
							Shoot 'Pasin'
							Sheet Basin.
							Also include the inverts of all
							outlets (e.g. vertical orifice,
							overflow grate, and spillway,
							where applicable).
							4
							-
							4
							4
							4
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							4
							4
							{
							4
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							4
							-
							4
							4
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							1
							{
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							4
							1
							1
							1
							1
]
							ł
							4
							4
							4
							4
							1
							1
							1
							1
]
]
]

DRAINAGE MAPS





DCM TAB	LE 6-6				C VALUE DCM TABLE 6-6					WEIGHTED "C" VALUE			W	WEIGHTED IMP.		
					PERCENT											
REA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	LAND USE	IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	PERCENT
5.30	0.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED ROAD	100.0%	0.50	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.10	0.16	0.41	0.60	0.93	2.39	10.4%
1.30	0.03	0.09	0.36			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.04	0.12	0.47	2.0%
12.00	0.05	0.12	0.39	GRAVEL ROAD	80.0%	0.80	0.57	0.59	0.7	0.08	0.15	0.41	1.06	1.91	5.24	11.6%
1.50	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.18	0.59	7.0%
0.49	0.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.02	0.06	0.19	7.0%
1.68	0.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED ROAD	100.0%	0.42	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.20	0.25	0.48	0.42	0.53	1.01	21.6%

		the second value of the se	the second day of the second d
		in the second	a floorer
	C^{r_2}		
		194	

							BASI	N RUI	NOFF	SUM	MARY								
SIL			WEIGHTEI)		OVER	LAND		STREE	ET / CH	ANNEL	FLOW	Tc	IN	ITENSI	ſY	TOT	AL FLC)WS
	BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Height <i>(ft)</i>	Тс <i>(min)</i>	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (<i>min</i>)	TOTAL <i>(min)</i>	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	l(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) <i>(c</i> fs)	Q(100) <i>(cfs)</i>
	EX-1	0.60	0.93	2.39	0.16	300	10	19.8	520	2.0%	1.4	6.1	25.9	2.16	2.70	4.54	1.3	3	11
	EX-2	0.04	0.12	0.47	0.09	300	10	21.2					21.2	2.40	3.00	5.04	0.1	0.4	2.4
-	OS-1	1.06	1.91	5.24	0.15	300	9	20.7	530	2.5%	1.1	8.0	28.7	2.04	2.55	4.28	2	5	22
·	OS-2	0.08	0.18	0.59	0.12	250	8	19.1					19.1	2.53	3.16	5.31	0.2	0.6	3
1	OS-3	0.02	0.06	0.19	0.12	240	8	18.4					18.4	2.57	3.21	5.39	0.1	0.2	1.0
	OS-4	0.42	0.53	1.01	0.25	300	9	18.5	320	1.0%	2.0	2.7	21.1	2.41	3.01	5.05	1.0	1.6	5
ຸດ 🗋 🔪 🔪			7-																

	SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY											
					Inten	sity	Fl	ow				
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins / Design Point	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Facility/ Inlet Size*			
E1	OS-1	1.91	5.24	28.7	2.55	4.28	5	22	EXIST. NATURAL SWALE			
E2	OS-3, EX-2	0.18	0.66	21.2	3.00	5.04	0.5	3.3	SHEET FLOW OFF-SITE			
E3	OS-4, Flows from DP E2	0.71	1.67	23.9	2.82	4.73	2	8	EXIST. ASPHALT RUNDOWN			
E4	EX-1, OS-2, Flows from E1	3.02	8.21	35.7	2.22	3.73	7	31	EXIST. 6'X4' CBC			

<u>TLASSIC</u>
 2/01/01

CONSULTING DESIGNED BY MAW SCALE DATE 3/21/	/24
DRAWN BY MAW (H) 1"= 100' SHEET 1 OF	2
619 N. Cascade Avenue, Suite 200 (719)785-0790 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719)785-0799 (Fax) CHECKED BY (V) 1"= N/A JOB NO. 1308.	.01



		B	ASIN RUNC		FICIENT S		Pγ								
-6				C VALUE DCM TABLE 6-6						WEIGHTED "C" VALUE			WEIGHTED C	A	WEIGHTED IMP.
(2)	C(5)	C(100)	LAND USE	PERCENT IMP.	AREA (AC)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	C(2)	C(5)	C(100)	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	PERCENT
.05	0.12	0.39	GRAVEL RD.	80.0%	0.80	0.57	0.59	0.70	0.08	0.15	0.41	1.06	1.91	5.24	11.6%
.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.01	0.02	0.05	7.0%
.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.08	0.18	0.59	7.0%
.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.02	0.04	0.14	7.0%
.05	0.12	0.39			0.00	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.02	7.0%
.03	0.09	0.36	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.42	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.20	0.25	0.48	0.42	0.53	1.01	21.6%
.41	0.45	0.59			0.00	0.18	0.25	0.47	0.41	0.45	0.59	0.04	0.05	0.06	65.0%
.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.30	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.74	0.45	0.47	0.55	79.0%
.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.07	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.73	0.11	0.11	0.13	78.6%
.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.20	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.50	0.53	0.66	0.55	0.59	0.72	71.4%
.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.12	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.62	0.73	0.18	0.19	0.23	78.5%
.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.35	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.20	0.26	0.47	0.12	0.15	0.28	31.2%
.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.23	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.60	0.63	0.74	0.35	0.36	0.43	78.9%
.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.49	0.52	0.65	0.78	0.83	1.04	70.5%
.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.25	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.50	0.54	0.66	0.65	0.70	0.86	71.7%
.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.29	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.17	0.23	0.46	0.08	0.10	0.20	26.8%
.41	0.45	0.59	OPEN SPACE	7.0%	0.39	0.05	0.12	0.39	0.16	0.22	0.45	0.09	0.12	0.25	24.6%
.41	0.45	0.59	PAVED RD.	100.0%	0.10	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.65	0.68	0.78	0.13	0.14	0.16	82.5%
.07	0.16	0.41			0.00	0.89	0.90	0.96	0.07	0.16	0.41	0.01	0.03	0.07	13.0%

	SURFACE ROUTING SUMMARY										
					Inten	sity	FI	ow			
Design Point(s)	Contributing Basins / Design Point	Equivalent CA(5)	Equivalent CA(100)	Maximum Tc	I(5)	I(100)	Q(5)	Q(100)	Facility/ Inlet Size*		
1	OS-1, A	1.96	5.30	28.7	2.55	4.28	5	23	PROP. 30" RCP		
2	OS-2A, B	0.49	0.60	19.4	3.13	5.26	1.5	3.2	5' TYPE R SUMP INLET		
3	с	0.11	0.13	5.0	5.17	8.68	0.6	1.1	5' TYPE R SUMP INLET		
4	OS-2B, D	0.77	1.31	19.8	3.10	5.21	2.4	6.8	5' TYPE R SUMP INLET		
5	E	0.19	0.23	5.0	5.17	8.68	1.0	2.0	5' TYPE R SUMP INLET		
6	F	0.15	0.28	12.2	3.83	6.43	0.6	1.8	AREA DRAIN		
7	G, H	1.20	1.46	12.4	3.81	6.39	4.6	9.4	10' TYPE R AT- GRADE INLET		
8	OS-3A, I	0.74	1.00	20.6	3.05	5.11	2.3	5.1	5' TYPE R SUMP INLET		
9	OS-3B, J1	0.11	0.22	14.3	3.59	6.03	0.4	1.3			

10.79

5.84

TOTAL INFLOW TO POND 1 (INCL. DP-9 AND BASIN J2)

10

BASIN RUI	NOFF SUMMARY
	STREET / CHANNEL ELC

30.0

2.48

4.17

15

WEIGHTED			OVERLAND				STREET / CHANNEL FLOW				Tc	INTENSITY		TOTAL FLOWS				
BASIN	CA(2)	CA(5)	CA(100)	C(5)	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Height <i>(ft)</i>	Tc (<i>min)</i>	Length <i>(ft)</i>	Slope (%)	Velocity (fps)	Tc (<i>min</i>)	TOTAL <i>(min)</i>	l(2) (in/hr)	l(5) (in/hr)	l(100) (in/hr)	Q(2) (cfs)	Q(5) <i>(cfs)</i>	Q(100) <i>(cfs)</i>
OS-1	1.06	1.91	5.24	0.15	300	9	20.7	530	2.5%	1.1	8.0	28.7	2.04	2.55	4.28	2	5	22
OS-2A	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.08	100	3	12.8					12.8	3.00	3.76	6.31	0.02	0.06	0.32
OS-2B	0.08	0.18	0.59	0.12	250	8	19.1					19.1	2.53	3.16	5.31	0.2	0.6	3.1
OS-3A	0.02	0.04	0.14	0.12	240	8	18.4					18.4	2.57	3.21	5.39	0.0	0.1	0.8
OS-3B	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.12	55	3	7.5					7.5	3.64	4.56	7.66	0.01	0.02	0.12
OS-4	0.42	0.53	1.01	0.25	300	9	18.5	320	1.0%	2.0	2.7	21.2	2.40	3.00	5.04	1.0	1.6	5.1
А	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.08	50	1.5	9.1					9.1	3.41	4.28	7.18	0.1	0.2	0.4
В	0.45	0.47	0.55	0.08	80	1.6	13.1	150	2.0%	2.8	0.9	14.0	2.90	3.63	6.09	1.3	1.7	3.4
С	0.11	0.11	0.13				5.0					5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	0.4	0.6	1.1
D	0.55	0.59	0.72	0.25	100	2	12.2	100	2.0%	2.1	0.8	13.0	2.98	3.74	6.27	1.6	2.2	4.5
E	0.18	0.19	0.23				5.0					5.0	4.12	5.17	8.68	0.8	1.0	2.0
F	0.12	0.15	0.28	0.25	100	2	12.2					12.2	3.06	3.83	6.43	0.4	0.6	1.8
G	0.35	0.36	0.43	0.25	100	2	12.2					12.2	3.06	3.83	6.43	1.1	1.4	2.7
Н	0.78	0.83	1.04	0.25	80	1.6	10.9	225	2.5%	3.2	1.2	12.1	3.07	3.84	6.45	2.4	3.2	6.7
I	0.65	0.70	0.86	0.25	80	1.6	10.9	450	3.0%	3.5	2.2	13.1	2.97	3.73	6.25	1.9	2.6	5.4
J1	0.08	0.10	0.20	0.25	100	2.5	11.3	420	2.5%	2.4	3.0	14.3	2.87	3.59	6.03	0.2	0.4	1.2
J2	0.09	0.12	0.25	0.25	60	2	8.0	120	2.0%	2.1	0.9	8.9	3.43	4.30	7.22	0.3	0.5	1.8
К	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.25	30	0.6	6.7	85	1.5%	2.4	0.6	7.3	3.68	4.61	7.74	0.5	0.6	1.2
L	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.25	80	3.2	8.7					8.7	3.46	4.34	7.29	0.0	0.1	0.5

25	0		50	100
	SCALE	.: 1″ =	= 50'	

619 N. Cascade Avenue, Suite 200

Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903

<u>LEGEND</u> **DESCRIPTION**

RUNDOWN

POND 1

45

<u>SYMBOL</u>

(6910)

(E1)

 \leq

EXISTING GROUND CONTOUR

BASIN BOUNDARY

DESIGN POINT

BASIN IDENTIFIER AREA IN ACRES

EXISTING DIRECTION OF FLOW

STORM SEWER

URBAN LANDING

PRELIMINARY PLAN

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT DEVELOPED DRAINAGE MAP

