

PRELIMINARY/FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT

LOTS 1 & 2, SPACE VILLAGE FILING NO. 3
6809 SPACE VILLAGE AVENUE
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

PREPARED FOR:

Kum & Go, L.C.
6400 Westown Parkway
West Des Moines, IA 50266
(515) 457-6232
Contact: Ryan Halder

PREPARED BY:

Olsson Associates
1800 Fall River Drive, Suite 200
Loveland, CO 80538
(970) 461-7733
Contact: Josh Erramouspe

September 8, 2017
Olsson Project No. 017-1754



ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

This report and plan for the final drainage design of 6809 Space Village Avenue (Kum & Go #692) was prepared by me (or under my direct supervision) in accordance with the provisions of City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2 Drainage Design and Technical Criteria for the owners thereof. I understand that El Paso County does not and will not assume liability for drainage facilities designed by others.

Josh Erramouspe
Colorado Licensed Professional Engineer No. 42141

DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT

I, the developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Kum & Go, L.C. hereby certifies that the drainage facilities for 6809 Space Village Avenue (Kum & Go #692) shall be constructed according to the design presented in this report. I understand that El Paso County does not and will not assume liability for the drainage facilities designed and/or certified by my engineer and that EL Paso County reviews drainage plans pursuant to Colorado Revised Statutes, Title 30, Article 28; but cannot, on behalf of 6809 Space Village Avenue (Kum & Go #692), guarantee that final drainage design review will absolve Kum & Go, L.C. and/or their successors and/or assigns of future liability for improper design. I further understand that approval of the final plat does not imply approval of my engineer's drainage design.

Kum & Go, L.C.

By: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

EL PASO COUNTY

For the County Engineer

Date

Table of Contents

1.0 Purpose 1

2.0 General Site Description 1

3.0 Drainage Criteria..... 1

 3.1 Hydrologic Criteria..... 2

 3.2 Hydraulic Criteria..... 2

4.0 Existing Conditions 2

 4.1 Soils Condition 3

 4.2 Existing Site Conditions..... 3

 4.3 Existing Drainage Conditions..... 3

5.0 Proposed Drainage Conditions 3

 5.1 Proposed Basin Description 3

 5.2 Allowable Release Rate 4

6.0 Water Quality 4

 6.1 Outlet Structure 4

 6.2 Four Step Process..... 5

7.0 Floodplain Statement..... 5

8.0 Summary 5

9.0 References 6

List of Tables

Table 1. Runoff coefficient equations based on NRCS soil group and storm return period 2

Table 2. Allowable Release Rate Breakdown..... 4

List of Figures

Figure 1. Vicinity Map..... 1

1.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this Preliminary/Final Drainage Report for 6809 Space Village Avenue (the SITE) is to identify on-site and off-site drainage patterns associated with the proposed development of a convenience store with fuel on the currently-vacant lot. This report will also analyze storm sewer and inlet sizing, as well as explain how to safely convey post-developed stormwater to existing stormwater outfall infrastructure.

2.0 GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

The SITE is a 4.132-acre parcel situated in the northwest quarter of Section 17, Township 14 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian, County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The SITE is bounded to the north by Space Village Avenue, to the east by Lot 1, Space Village Filing No. 2 and 6685 Space Village Avenue, to the west by Peterson Road, and to the south by Lot 1, Cowperwood SAIC and Lot 1, Peterson Office Project. A convenience store with fuel will be developed on approximately 1.77 acres within the site. The remaining 2.36 acres has already been developed with retail uses and their associated drive aisles and parking lots.




Figure 1. Vicinity Map

3.0 DRAINAGE CRITERIA

This report has been prepared in accordance with criteria set forth in the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 2 (the MANUAL). As directed by El Paso County, Urban Drainage Flood Control District's current Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual (USDCM) was used to supplement the MANUAL. Specifically, full spectrum design, as detailed in Chapter 12, Section 3.0-5.0 of USDCM Volume 2, was used to design the detention pond for this project, per

the MANUAL.

3.1 Hydrologic Criteria

The rational method was used to compute stormwater runoff, as preferred for areas less than 90 acres. The 5-yr and 100-yr design storms were used to size on-site pipes and inlets per the MANUAL.

The MANUAL was used to obtain C-values for each design storm based on hydrologic soil type and imperviousness. Weighted and composite C-values were then calculated for each basin. The C-values were calculated using the formulas presented in Table 1. Refer to Appendix A for hydrologic calculations.

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method
(Source: UDFCD 2001)

Land Use or Surface Characteristics	Percent Impervious	Runoff Coefficients											
		2-year		5-year		10-year		25-year		50-year		100-year	
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D
Business													
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential													
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.57
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.36	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial													
Light Areas	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Heavy Areas	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.39	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas													
Historic Flow Analysis-- Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Offsite Flow Analysis (when landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
Streets													
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.96
Roofs	90	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50

Table 1. Runoff coefficient equations based on NRCS soil group and storm return period

3.2 Hydraulic Criteria

Hydraulic calculations were prepared in accordance with the criteria set forth in the MANUAL and the USDCM. The hydrodynamic method was used for hydraulic grade line analysis. Refer to Appendix B for hydraulic calculations.

4.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

4.1 Soils Condition

Existing soils within the SITE consist entirely of Truckton sandy loam. The NRCS hydrologic soil classification assigned to this type of soil is Type A. Refer to Appendix A for NRCS web soil survey mapping.

4.2 Existing Site Conditions

The SITE, which lies within the Sand Creek Drainage Basin, is currently being used as a parking lot for the surrounding developments. There is asphalt paving covering approximately 50% of the SITE. The remaining area is covered in grass and landscape gravel.

4.3 Existing Drainage Conditions

The existing drainage on the site generally flows from northeast to southwest with slopes ranging from 1%-10%. Refer to the Existing Drainage Basin Map in Appendix C.

Basin EX-1 encompasses approximately 1.77 acres. The basin is comprised of approximately 50% grassy landscape and 50% asphalt pavement. Runoff ($Q_5=2.64$ cfs, $Q_{100}=7.18$ cfs) flows into the existing 24" RCP culvert located on the west side of the SITE. The runoff is discharged from the culvert to the west side of Peterson Road.

Basin EX-2 encompasses approximately 0.93 acres south of the proposed convenience store lot. The basin is comprised of mostly asphalt pavement, with small portions of roof & landscape. Runoff ($Q_5=3.52$ cfs, $Q_{100}=7.82$ cfs) flows into the existing 24" RCP culvert located on the west side of the SITE. The runoff is discharged from the culvert on the west side of Peterson Road. The drainage patterns within the basin will not be altered after the convenience store lot is developed, however the basin has been analyzed here since the runoff from this basin will be routed through the convenience store's proposed detention basin.

Basin EX-3 encompasses an additional 1.50 acres of land to the north & west of the SITE currently discharges stormwater surface runoff ($Q_5=3.14$ cfs, $Q_{100}=7.67$ cfs) to the existing 24" RCP culvert located on the west side of the SITE. Again, since the drainage patterns associated with this additional 1.50 acres will not be altered after the convenience store lot is developed, we have not performed any additional analysis for this acreage. We have, however, included the runoff from this acreage in our sizing calculations for the proposed grated inlet that will replace the existing 24" RCP flared end section located on the west side of the SITE.

5.0 PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

5.1 Proposed Basin Description

In general, development of the SITE according to the enclosed proposed drainage map, will not adversely affect the existing storm sewer infrastructure. The majority of site will drain through a private storm sewer system to the private underground on-site extended detention basin, and will ultimately enter the El Paso County storm sewer system. A small portion of the site will flow off-site, undetained and will enter the El Paso County storm sewer system as it does in the existing condition. The extended detention basin will discharge to the existing 24" RCP pipe at the west side of the SITE. Refer to the Proposed Drainage Basin Map in Appendix C for more detail on basin delineation and proposed stormwater infrastructure. A more detailed breakdown of the runoff generated on-site is described as follows:

- Basin B-1 is the proposed building, and encompasses 0.15 acres entirely comprised of rooftop. Runoff generated within this basin ($Q_5=0.48$ cfs, $Q_{100}=1.09$ cfs) will be conveyed through roof drains (DP 1) to the extended detention basin.
- Basin B-2 is the proposed fueling canopy, and encompasses approximately 0.14 acres

entirely comprised of rooftop. Runoff generated within this basin ($Q_5=0.46$ cfs, $Q_{100}=1.04$ cfs) will be captured by roof drains (DP 2), and will be conveyed via pipe to the extended detention basin.

- Basin B-3 covers almost all the remaining site area. This basin encompasses approximately 1.46 acres, and is comprised of landscaping and asphaltic concrete pavement. Runoff generated within this basin ($Q_5=4.30$ cfs, $Q_{100}=10.31$ cfs) flows south-westerly from the northeast corner of the property. The runoff will be captured by a proposed 2'x3' ADS Steel Bar/MAG Grate Inlet (DP 3), and will be conveyed directly into the extended detention basin.
- Basin B-4 covers a small portion of the site that is undetained and encompasses approximately 0.02 acres of landscaping. Runoff generated within this basin ($Q_5=0.01$ cfs, $Q_{100}=0.06$ cfs) will flow overland and eventually enter the El Paso County storm sewer as it does in the existing condition.
- Basin OS-1 is the off-site portion of flow that is captured by the inlet (described in Basin B-3 as DP3) and routed through the extended detention basin. This basin encompasses approximately 0.93 acres, and is comprised of asphaltic concrete pavement and existing rooftop. Runoff generated within this basin ($Q_5=3.63$ cfs, $Q_{100}=7.99$ cfs) will flow through the extended detention basin and will not be detained as it is not being detained in the existing condition.

Emergency overflow routing is provided within the proposed extended detention basin by means of bypassing the detention to flow into Peterson Boulevard.

5.2 Allowable Release Rate

The proposed extended detention basin's allowable unit release rate was determined using UD-Detention Version 3.07. The allowable release rate was determined to be 1.06 cfs given the following parameters:

- NRCS Soil Type A
- Watershed Slope = 0.018 ft/ft
- Watershed Length = 450 ft
- Watershed Area = 1.77 acres (77,077 ft²)

Basin B-4 has a 100 YR year routed flow rate of 0.06 cfs, which flows offsite undetained. Therefore, this undetained flow reduces the allowable 100-year discharge from the SITE to 1.00 cfs. The results are summarized in Table 2 below:


UDFCD Allowable 100-yr Release Rate	Undetained Flow	Resultant Allowable 100-yr Release Rate
1.06 cfs	0.06 cfs	1.00

Table 2. Allowable Release Rate Breakdown

6.0 WATER QUALITY

6.1 Outlet Structure

A privately owned & maintained underground extended detention basin (UEDB) will be utilized to provide water quality on-site. The water quality capture volume (WQCV) and detention pond



were sized using UD-Detention_v3.07, and the composite site imperviousness was determined to be 83% for the areas that are tributary to the detention pond. Runoff generated on-site will be detained in the proposed detention pond, and a three-stage outlet structure will be constructed.

Stage 1 of the outlet structure will be a water quality plate bolted to the front of a weir inside a flow control manhole. The volume of the first stage is 0.051 ac-ft. The three-holed plate will allow the water quality event to drain in 40 hours. Stage 2 of the structure will drain the excess urban runoff volume (EURV). The volume of this stage is 0.144 ac-ft. This stage will be controlled by a circular orifice with an invert placed at the WQCV WSEL. Stage 3 will control the 100-yr event by utilizing a rectangular restrictor plate with a circular orifice cut-out placed over the pipe that outfalls from the flow control manhole. The volume of this stage is 0.105 ac-ft. Refer to Appendix A for design calculations related to this outlet structure.

6.2 Four Step Process

Step 1 – Runoff Reduction Practices

During the initial planning phase of the site, every effort was made to reduce pavement.

Step 2 – Implement BMPs that Provide a Water Quality Capture Volume with Slow Release

An extended detention basin is being proposed on the site, with a water quality release time of 40 hours.

Step 3 – Stabilize Drainageways

Much of the site now flows via curb and gutter. This decreases the impact of erosion on surface flow, thus stabilizing the drainage system.

Step 4 – Source Control BMPs

The entirety of Basin B-3, which encompasses 82% of the SITE, flows through landscaped area which reduces sedimentation in the storm sewer system.

7.0 FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

Per FEMA Firm Map Number 08041C0754 F, the proposed site is designated as Zone X, and is therefore not in a floodplain.

8.0 SUMMARY

In summary, assuming the SITE (6809 Space Village Avenue) will be developed according to the enclosed Proposed Drainage Map, the drainage pattern of the SITE will be minimally altered when compared to existing drainage patterns. The ultimate discharge point will remain the same for this site (the 24" RCP culvert crossing Peterson Road). Almost all the runoff generated on-site will be detained in the proposed extended detention basin on the south side of the site, and released at a controlled rate to the afore-mentioned existing 24" RCP culvert. The extended detention basin was designed using the full spectrum detention method, and as such, will have three different release rates (WQCV, EURV, and the 100YR event). If the SITE is constructed in accordance with the enclosed Proposed Drainage Basin Map, it is anticipated that development of the SITE will not adversely impact downstream stormwater infrastructure.



9.0 REFERENCES


- “Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1.” Colorado Springs, CO (1994)
- “Urban Storm Drainage.” Criteria Manual Volume 1 (2017)
- “Urban Storm Drainage.” Criteria Manual Volume 2 (2017)
- “Urban Storm Drainage.” Criteria Manual Volume 3 (2010)



APPENDIX A

HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



Custom Soil Resource Report


MAP LEGEND


Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)


Soils


 Soil Map Unit Polygons


 Soil Map Unit Lines


 Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features

 Blowout

 Borrow Pit


 Clay Spot

 Closed Depression

 Gravel Pit

 Gravelly Spot

 Landfill

 Lava Flow

 Marsh or swamp

 Mine or Quarry

 Miscellaneous Water


 Perennial Water

 Rock Outcrop

 Saline Spot

 Sandy Spot

 Severely Eroded Spot


 Sinkhole


 Slide or Slip

 Sodic Spot

 Spoil Area

 Stony Spot


 Very Stony Spot

 Wet Spot

 Other

 Special Line Features

Water Features

 Streams and Canals


Transportation

 Rails


 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

Background

 Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado

Survey Area Data: Version 14, Sep 23, 2016

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 3, 2014—Jun 17, 2014

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

El Paso County Area, Colorado (CO625)			
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
96	Truckton sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	2.2	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		2.2	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

Basin Name	Basin Description	Paved 100% (acres)	Building 90% (acres)	Gravel 40% (acres)	Landscape 2% (acres)	Total Area (ac)	C5	C100	Percent Imperviousness
EX-1	On-Site	0.90	-	-	0.87	1.77	0.50	0.66	51.6%
EX-2	Off-Site	0.73	0.16	-	0.04	0.93	0.84	0.91	94.2%
EX-3	Off-Site	1.03	-	-	0.47	1.50	0.64	0.77	69.2%
TOTAL						2.70	0.61	0.75	66%

BASIN	OVERLAND FLOW				GUTTER FLOW 1			GUTTER FLOW 2			Total T _c (min)	Check T _c Eq 6-5	Final T _c (min)
	L1 (ft)	S1 (%)	C5	Ti (min)	L2 (ft)	V (ft/s)	T2 (min)	L3 (ft)	V (ft/s)	T3 (min)			
EX-1	130.0	0.46%	0.50	16.33	300.0	2.9	1.7				18.06	12.36	12.36
EX-2	130.0	1.54%	0.84	4.76	90.0	2.8	0.5				5.29	4.76	5.00
EX-3	100.0	2.50%	0.64	6.17	666.0	2.6	4.3				10.47	10.96	10.47

Basin Characteristics						Intensities		Sub-basin	
BASIN NAME	Description	AREA (acres)	C5	C100	Tc* (min)	I5 (in/hr)	I 100 (in/hr)	Q 5-yr (cfs)	Q 100-yr (cfs)
EX-1	On-Site	1.77	0.50	0.66	12.4	3.01	6.16	2.64	7.18
EX-2	Off-Site	0.93	0.84	0.91	5.0	4.52	9.24	3.52	7.82
EX-3	Off-Site	1.50	0.64	0.77	10.5	3.25	6.63	3.14	7.67
* If time of concentration was less than 5 minutes, 5 minutes was used.									

Basin Name	Basin Description	Paved 100% (acres)	Building 90% (acres)	Gravel 40% (acres)	Landscape 2% (acres)	Total Area (ac)	C5	C100	Percent Imperviousness
B-1	Building	-	0.15	-	-	0.15	0.73	0.81	90.0%
B-2	Canopy	-	0.14	-	-	0.14	0.73	0.81	90.0%
B-3	Detained On-Site	1.07	-	-	0.40	1.46	0.68	0.79	73.4%
B-4	Undetained On-Site	-	-	-	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.35	2.0%
OS-1	Off-Site Improvements	0.76	0.16	-	0.01	0.93	0.86	0.93	97.4%
TOTAL						2.70	0.74	0.84	83%

BASIN	OVERLAND FLOW				GUTTER FLOW 1			GUTTER FLOW 2			Total T _c (min)	Check T _c Eq 6-5	Final T _c (min)
	L1 (ft)	S1 (%)	C5	Ti (min)	L2 (ft)	V (ft/s)	T2 (min)	L3 (ft)	V (ft/s)	T3 (min)			
B-1	25.0	1.00%	0.73	3.39							3.39	4.62	5.00
B-2	25.0	1.00%	0.73	3.39							3.39	4.62	5.00
B-3	20.0	3.00%	0.68	2.40	460.0	2.3	3.4				5.76	9.18	5.76
B-4	10.0	25.00%	0.08	2.02							2.02	17.73	5.00
OS-1	130.0	1.54%	0.86	4.27	90.0	2.9	0.5				4.79	4.26	5.00

Basin Characteristics						Intensities		Sub-basin	
Basin Name	Description	Area (acres)	C5	C100	Tc* (min)	I5 (in/hr)	I 100 (in/hr)	Q 5-yr (cfs)	Q 100-yr (cfs)
B-1	Building	0.15	0.73	0.81	5.00	4.52	9.24	0.48	1.09
B-2	Canopy	0.14	0.73	0.81	5.00	4.52	9.24	0.46	1.04
B-3	Detained On-Site	1.46	0.68	0.79	5.76	4.33	8.86	4.30	10.31
B-4	Undetained On-Site	0.02	0.08	0.35	5.00	4.52	9.24	0.01	0.06
OS-1	Off-Site Improvements	0.93	0.86	0.93	5.00	4.52	9.24	3.63	7.99
* If time of concentration was less than 5 minutes, 5 minutes was used.									

4.1.2 100-year Release Rates

The maximum allowable 100-year release rate for a full spectrum detention facility is equal to 90 percent of the predevelopment discharge for the upstream watershed. This release rate for full spectrum detention basins has been shown to be effective in controlling future development peak discharges in a watershed to levels below predevelopment conditions in the 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year events downstream of multiple detention basins.

The predevelopment 100-year unit discharge for specific soil types per acre of tributary catchment varies based on the ratio of the flow length squared to the watershed area as well as the watershed slope and is provided in Tables 12-6, 12-7, and 12-8. The values in these tables must be multiplied by 0.9 to determine the allowable 100-year release from a watershed.


Development of these tables is documented in a Technical Memorandum entitled *UDFCD Predeveloped Peak Unit Flowrates*, dated December 21, 2016. This is available at www.udfcd.org.

Table 12-6. Predevelopment peak unit discharge for NRCS hydrologic soil group A

Unit Discharge (cfs/acre): NRCS Hydrologic Soil Group A							
(L = total flow length)	Watershed Slope ≤ 0.01 ft/ft						
	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year
L ² /Area: ≤2:1	0.0009	0.009	0.016	0.18	0.38	0.62	1.14
L ² /Area: 3:1	0.0008	0.008	0.013	0.15	0.32	0.53	0.97
L ² /Area: ≥4:1	0.0007	0.007	0.011	0.14	0.28	0.47	0.87
	Watershed Slope = 0.02 ft/ft						
	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year
L ² /Area: ≤2:1	0.0011	0.011	0.018	0.21	0.44	0.72	1.30
L ² /Area: 3:1	0.0009	0.009	0.015	0.18	0.37	0.60	1.11
L ² /Area: ≥4:1	0.0008	0.008	0.013	0.16	0.33	0.54	0.99
	Watershed Slope = 0.03 ft/ft						
	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year
L ² /Area: ≤2:1	0.0011	0.012	0.020	0.23	0.48	0.78	1.41
L ² /Area: 3:1	0.0010	0.010	0.016	0.19	0.40	0.66	1.20
L ² /Area: ≥4:1	0.0009	0.009	0.014	0.17	0.35	0.58	1.07
	Watershed Slope ≥ 0.04 ft/ft						
	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year
L ² /Area: ≤2:1	0.0012	0.013	0.021	0.25	0.51	0.82	1.48
L ² /Area: 3:1	0.0010	0.011	0.017	0.21	0.43	0.69	1.26
L ² /Area: ≥4:1	0.0009	0.009	0.015	0.18	0.38	0.62	1.13

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Basin ID:



Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

Selected BMP Type = **EDB**

Watershed Area =	1.77	acres
Watershed Length =	450	ft
Watershed Slope =	0.018	
Watershed Imperviousness =	83.00%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group C/D =	0.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depth =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.051	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.195	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.02 in.) =	0.116	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.31 in.) =	0.152	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.57 in.) =	0.190	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.99 in.) =	0.248	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.35 in.) =	0.299	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.74 in.) =	0.358	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.79 in.) =	0.517	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.110	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.145	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.178	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.234	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.268	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	0.300	acre-feet

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) = 0.051 acre-feet


Zone 2 Volume (EVR - Zone 1)	=	0.144	acre-feet
Zone 3 Volume (100-year - Zones 1 & 2)	=	0.105	acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume	=	0.300	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV)	=	user	ft ³
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD)	=	user	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H _{DA})	=	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H _{TC})	=	user	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S _{TC})	=	user	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S _{MB})	=	user	H/V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R _{LW})	=	user	
Initial Surcharge Area (A _{SV})	=	user	ft ²
Surcharge Volume Length (L _{SV})	=	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (W _{SV})	=	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H _{BF})	=	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (L _{BF})	=	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W _{BF})	=	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A _{BF})	=	user	ft ²
Volume of Basin Floor (V _{BF})	=	user	ft ³
Depth of Main Basin (H _{MB})	=	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L _{MB})	=	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W _{MB})	=	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A _{MB})	=	user	ft ²
Volume of Main Basin (V _{MB})	=	user	ft ³
Calculated Total Basin Volume (V _{TB})	=	user	acre-feet

Depth increment =

2017-09-08 UD-Detention v3.07 71754.xlsm, Basin

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)




Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: _____

Basin ID: _____



Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)

	Stage (ft)	Zone Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	0.54	0.051	Orifice Plate
Zone 2 (EURV)	1.37	0.144	Circular Orifice
Zone 3 (100-year)	2.33	0.105	Weir&Pipe (Circular)
		0.300	Total

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = ft (distance below the filtration media surface)
Underdrain Orifice Diameter = inches

Calculated Parameters for Underdrain

Underdrain Orifice Area = ft²
Underdrain Orifice Centroid = feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

Invert of Lowest Orifice = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = inches
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = sq. inches (diameter = 1-1/16 inches)

Calculated Parameters for Plate

WQ Orifice Area per Row = ft²
Elliptical Half-Width = feet
Elliptical Slot Centroid = feet
Elliptical Slot Area = ft²

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="0.18"/>	<input type="text" value="0.36"/>					
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	<input type="text" value="0.95"/>	<input type="text" value="0.95"/>	<input type="text" value="0.95"/>					

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Zone 2 Circular	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	<input type="text" value="0.54"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	<input type="text" value="1.37"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	<input type="text" value="0.50"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	inches

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice

	Zone 2 Circular	Not Selected	
Vertical Orifice Area =	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft ²
Vertical Orifice Centroid =	<input type="text" value="0.02"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and Grate (Flat or Sloped)

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, H _o =	<input type="text" value="1.50"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	<input type="text" value="4.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet
Overflow Weir Slope =	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	H:V (enter zero for flat grate)
Horiz. Length of Weir Sides =	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet
Overflow Grate Open Area % =	<input type="text" value="100%"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	%, grate open area/total area
Debris Clogging % =	<input type="text" value="0%"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	%

Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	
Height of Grate Upper Edge, H ₁ =	<input type="text" value="1.50"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet
Over Flow Weir Slope Length =	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet
Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	should be ≥ 4
Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris =	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft ²
Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris =	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft ²

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

	Zone 3 Circular	Not Selected	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Circular Orifice Diameter =	<input type="text" value="4.50"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	inches

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate

	Zone 3 Circular	Not Selected	
Outlet Orifice Area =	<input type="text" value="0.11"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	ft ²
Outlet Orifice Centroid =	<input type="text" value="0.19"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	feet
Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	<input type="text" value="N/A"/>	radians

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Spillway Invert Stage = ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Spillway Crest Length = feet
Spillway End Slopes = H:V
Freeboard above Max Water Surface = feet

Calculated Parameters for Spillway




Spillway Design Flow Depth = feet
Stage at Top of Freeboard = feet
Basin Area at Top of Freeboard = acres

Routed Hydrograph Results

	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
Design Storm Return Period =									
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	0.53	1.07	1.02	1.30	1.57	1.99	2.35	2.74	3.79
Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.051	0.195	0.116	0.152	0.190	0.248	0.298	0.358	0.517
OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =									
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	0.050	0.195	0.116	0.152	0.189	0.247	0.297	0.358	0.517
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.18	0.45	1.15
Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.8	2.0
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	0.8	3.0	1.8	2.3	2.9	3.8	4.5	5.4	7.8
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.8	3.5
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.4	6.3	7.0	2.1	0.9	1.7
Structure Controlling Flow =	Plate	Vertical Orifice 1	Vertical Orifice 1	Vertical Orifice 1	Vertical Orifice 1	Overflow Grate 1	Outlet Plate 1	Outlet Plate 1	Spillway
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	36	48	42	45	48	50	48	47	42
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	40	55	48	52	55	58	58	57	55
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	0.46	1.26	0.83	1.02	1.23	1.56	1.77	2.22	2.81
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.10	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.10
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.044	0.178	0.103	0.137	0.173	0.221	0.246	0.289	0.347

Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)



S-A-V-D Chart Axis Override	X-axis	Left Y-Axis	Right Y-Axis
minimum bound			
maximum bound			

Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Summary Stage-Area-Volume-Discharge Relationships

The user can create a summary S-A-V-D by entering the desired stage increments and the remainder of the table will populate automatically.


The user should graphically compare the summary S-A-V-D table to the full S-A-V-D table in the chart to confirm it captures all key transition points.

[illegible]



APPENDIX B


HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS



Node ID:	Out-1B-C	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Rim (ft):		6268.21	6266.82	6268.13	6268.69	6268.99	6269.40	6269.60
Invert (ft):		6264.45	6264.90	6266.00	6266.46	6266.66	6266.86	6267.06
Min Pipe Cover (ft):		3.10	1.25	1.46	1.56	1.66	1.87	1.87
Max HGL (ft):	6264.43	6264.86	6265.18	6266.29	6266.76	6266.99	6267.20	6267.41
Link ID:	B-C	C-D	D-E	E-F	F-G	G-H	H-I	
Length (ft):	20.19	45.20	110.70	45.66	19.92	19.92	19.91	
Dia (in):	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	
Slope (ft/ft):	0.0171	0.0100	0.0100	0.0100	0.0100	0.0100	0.0100	
Up Invert (ft):	6264.45	6264.90	6266.00	6266.46	6266.66	6266.86	6267.06	
Dn Invert (ft):	6264.10	6264.45	6264.90	6266.00	6266.46	6266.66	6266.86	
Max Q (cfs):	0.84	0.44	0.46	0.46	0.47	0.48	0.49	
Max Vel (ft/s):	4.19	2.52	3.41	3.32	2.99	2.79	2.71	
Max Depth (ft):	0.37	0.34	0.28	0.29	0.32	0.34	0.35	

Canopy Main

Sheet 1 of 1



Node ID:	Out-1B-C	C	CA	CB	CC	CD
Rim (ft):		6268.21	6268.67	6268.87	6269.09	6269.31
Invert (ft):	6264.10	6264.45	6264.67	6265.00	6265.33	6265.66
Min Pipe Cover (ft):		3.10	3.33	3.21	3.09	2.99
Max HGL (ft):	6264.44	6264.87	6265.01	6265.32	6265.65	6265.99
Link ID:	B-C	C-CA	CA-CB	CB-CC	CC-CD	
Length (ft):	20.19	22.16	33.00	33.00	33.00	
Dia (in):	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	
Slope (ft/ft):	0.0171	0.0100	0.0100	0.0100	0.0100	
Up Invert (ft):	6264.45	6264.67	6265.00	6265.33	6265.66	
Dn Invert (ft):	6264.10	6264.45	6264.67	6265.00	6265.33	
Max Q (cfs):	0.87	0.48	0.48	0.49	0.50	
Max Vel (ft/s):	4.22	2.78	2.83	2.92	2.94	
Max Depth (ft):	0.38	0.38	0.33	0.32	0.33	

KG 692 - Curb Cut

Project Description

Solve For Headwater Elevation


Input Data

Discharge		18.00	ft ³ /s
Crest Elevation		0.00	ft
Tailwater Elevation		0.00	ft
Crest Surface Type	Paved		
Crest Breadth		0.50	ft
Crest Length		16.50	ft


Results

Headwater Elevation	0.50	ft
Headwater Height Above Crest	0.50	ft
Tailwater Height Above Crest	0.00	ft
Weir Coefficient	3.09	US
Submergence Factor	1.00	
Adjusted Weir Coefficient	3.09	US
Flow Area	8.25	ft ²
Velocity	2.18	ft/s
Wetted Perimeter	17.50	ft
Top Width	16.50	ft

Nyloplast 2' x 3' Steel Bar / MAG Grate Inlet Capacity Chart



Nyloplast 30" Dome Grate Inlet Capacity Chart





3130 Verona Avenue • Buford, GA 30518
(866) 888-8479 / (770) 932-2443 • Fax: (770) 932-2490
© Nyloplast Inlet Capacity Charts June 2012




APPENDIX C

DRAINAGE MAPS

DWG: F:\2017\1501-2000\017-1754\40-Design\Reports\GNCV\Drainage\AutoCAD\C_XBSN_71754.dwg
DATE: Sep 08, 2017 3:07pm
XREFS: C_XBASE_71754 C_PCINT_71754
USER: emorton



NOTE:
ALL PROPOSED STORM PIPE
SHALL BE PRIVATELY OWNED
AND MAINTAINED, AND SHALL
BE HDPE UNLESS OTHERWISE
NOTED.



BASIN OS-1 DETAIL

LEGEND

EXISTING PROPERTY LINE	---
PROPOSED PROPERTY LINE	---
PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR	---
PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR	---
EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR	---
EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR	---
PROPOSED STORM SEWER	12"SD 12"SD
BASIN DELINEATION	---
TIME OF CONCENTRATION FLOWPATH	---
DRAINAGE ARROW	→

SYMBOLS

A	BASIN DESCRIPTION
B	BASIN AREA
C	MINOR EVENT COMPOSITE C-VALUE
D	MAJOR EVENT COMPOSITE C-VALUE
E	DESIGN POINT
F	MINOR EVENT PEAK RUNOFF (CFS)
G	MAJOR EVENT PEAK RUNOFF (CFS)

SUMMARY RUNOFF TABLE					
BASIN NAME	AREA (ACRES)	C5	C100	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
EX-1	1.77	0.50	0.66	2.64	7.18
EX-2	0.93	0.84	0.91	3.52	7.82
EX-3	1.50	0.64	0.77	3.14	7.67

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY			
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
4	EX-3	3.14	7.67

6400 Westown Parkway
West Des Moines, Iowa 50266
P: 515-226-0128
F: 515-223-9873

#0692 - COLORADO SPRINGS, CO

SPACE VILLAGE AVENUE AND PETERSON BOULEVARD

EXISTING DRAINAGE BASIN MAP


KG PROJECT TEAM:
RDR: JXH
SDM: RJH
CPM: TLK

REVISION DESCRIPTION	DATE	REVISIONS


DATE: 09-08-2017

SHEET NUMBER: 1 OF 1

DWG: F:\2017\1501-2000\017-1754\40-Design\Reports\GNCV\Drainage\AutoCAD\C_PBSN_71754.dwg
DATE: Sep 08, 2017 2:39pm
XREFS: C_PBASE_71754 C_XBASE_71754 C_PCONT_71754
USER: emorton



NOTE:
ALL PROPOSED STORM PIPE SHALL BE
PRIVATELY OWNED AND MAINTAINED,
AND SHALL BE HDPE UNLESS
OTHERWISE NOTED.



LEGEND

EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
PROPOSED PROPERTY LINE
PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR
PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
PROPOSED STORM SEWER
BASIN DELINEATION
TIME OF CONCENTRATION FLOWPATH
DRAINAGE ARROW

SUMMARY RUNOFF TABLE

BASIN NAME	AREA (ACRES)	C5	C100	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
B-1	0.13	0.73	0.81	0.48	1.09
B-2	0.14	0.73	0.81	0.46	1.04
B-3	1.48	0.68	0.79	4.30	10.31
B-4	0.02	0.08	0.35	0.01	0.06
OS-1	0.93	0.86	0.93	3.63	7.99

DESIGN POINT SUMMARY

DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	Q5 (CFS)	Q100 (CFS)
1	B-1	0.48	1.09
2	B-2	0.94	2.12
3	B-3, OS-1	7.78	17.98

LEGEND

A = BASIN DESCRIPTION
B = BASIN AREA
C = MINOR EVENT COMPOSITE C-VALUE
D = MAJOR EVENT COMPOSITE C-VALUE
E = DESIGN POINT
F = MINOR EVENT PEAK RUNOFF (CFS)
G = MAJOR EVENT PEAK RUNOFF (CFS)

MOLSSON ASSOCIATES

Kum & Go

6400 Westown Parkway
West Des Moines, Iowa 50266
P: 515-226-0128
F: 515-223-9873

#0692 - COLORADO SPRINGS, CO
SPACE VILLAGE AVENUE AND PETERSON BOULEVARD
PROPOSED DRAINAGE BASIN MAP

KG PROJECT TEAM:
RDR: JXH
SDM: RJH
CPM: TLK

REVISION DESCRIPTION	DATE	REVISIONS

DATE: 09-08-2017
SHEET NUMBER: 1 OF 1



APPENDIX D

UNDERGROUND DETENTION BASIN DETAILS

PROJECT INFORMATION	
ENGINEERED PRODUCT MANAGER:	EVAN FISCHGRUND 720-250-8047 EVAN.FISCHGRUND@ADS-PIPE.COM
ADS SALES REP:	JAMES CURRY 303-406-1105 JAMES.CURRY@ADS-PIPE.COM
PROJECT NO:	201235



KUM & GO - EL PASSO

COLORADO SPRINGS, CO

STORMTECH CHAMBER SPECIFICATIONS

- CHAMBERS SHALL BE STORMTECH SC-740 OR SC-310.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE MANUFACTURED FROM VIRGIN POLYPROPYLENE OR POLYETHYLENE RESINS.
- CHAMBER ROWS SHALL PROVIDE CONTINUOUS, UNOBSTRUCTED INTERNAL SPACE WITH NO INTERNAL SUPPORT PANELS THAT WOULD IMPEDE FLOW OR LIMIT ACCESS FOR INSPECTION.
- THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THE CHAMBERS, THE STRUCTURAL BACKFILL, AND THE INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS SHALL ENSURE THAT THE LOAD FACTORS SPECIFIED IN THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS, SECTION 12.12, ARE MET FOR: 1) LONG-DURATION DEAD LOADS AND 2) SHORT-DURATION LIVE LOADS, BASED ON THE AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK WITH CONSIDERATION FOR IMPACT AND MULTIPLE VEHICLE PRESENCES.
- CHAMBERS SHALL MEET ASTM F2922 (POLYETHYLENE) OR ASTM F2418-16 (POLYPROPYLENE), "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED AND ALLOWABLE LOADS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787, "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- ONLY CHAMBERS THAT ARE APPROVED BY THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER WILL BE ALLOWED. THE CHAMBER MANUFACTURER SHALL SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING UPON REQUEST TO THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL BEFORE DELIVERING CHAMBERS TO THE PROJECT SITE:
 - A STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SEALED BY A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER THAT DEMONSTRATES THAT THE SAFETY FACTORS ARE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1.95 FOR DEAD LOAD AND 1.75 FOR LIVE LOAD, THE MINIMUM REQUIRED BY ASTM F2787 AND BY AASHTO FOR THERMOPLASTIC PIPE.
 - A STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SEALED BY A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER THAT DEMONSTRATES THAT THE LOAD FACTORS SPECIFIED IN THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS, SECTION 12.12, ARE MET. THE 50 YEAR CREEP MODULUS DATA SPECIFIED IN ASTM F2418 OR ASTM F2922 MUST BE USED AS PART OF THE AASHTO STRUCTURAL EVALUATION TO VERIFY LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE.
 - STRUCTURAL CROSS SECTION DETAIL ON WHICH THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION IS BASED.
- CHAMBERS AND END CAPS SHALL BE PRODUCED AT AN ISO 9001 CERTIFIED MANUFACTURING FACILITY.

IMPORTANT - NOTES FOR THE BIDDING AND INSTALLATION OF THE SC-310/SC-740 SYSTEM

- STORMTECH SC-310 & SC-740 CHAMBERS SHALL NOT BE INSTALLED UNTIL THE MANUFACTURER'S REPRESENTATIVE HAS COMPLETED A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH THE INSTALLERS.
- STORMTECH SC-310 & SC-740 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/SC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- CHAMBERS ARE NOT TO BE BACKFILLED WITH A DOZER OR AN EXCAVATOR SITUATED OVER THE CHAMBERS. STORMTECH RECOMMENDS 3 BACKFILL METHODS:
 - STONESHOOTER LOCATED OFF THE CHAMBER BED.
 - BACKFILL AS ROWS ARE BUILT USING AN EXCAVATOR ON THE FOUNDATION STONE OR SUBGRADE.
 - BACKFILL FROM OUTSIDE THE EXCAVATION USING A LONG BOOM HOE OR EXCAVATOR.
- THE FOUNDATION STONE SHALL BE LEVELED AND COMPACTED PRIOR TO PLACING CHAMBERS.
- JOINTS BETWEEN CHAMBERS SHALL BE PROPERLY SEATED PRIOR TO PLACING STONE.
- MAINTAIN MINIMUM - 6" (150 mm) SPACING BETWEEN THE CHAMBER ROWS.
- EMBEDMENT STONE SURROUNDING CHAMBERS MUST BE A CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE 3/4-2" (20-50 mm).
- THE CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES WITH CHAMBER FOUNDATION MATERIALS BEARING CAPACITIES TO THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER.
- ADS RECOMMENDS THE USE OF "FLEXSTORM CATCH IT" INSERTS DURING CONSTRUCTION FOR ALL INLETS TO PROTECT THE SUBSURFACE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FROM CONSTRUCTION SITE RUNOFF.

NOTES FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

- STORMTECH SC-310 & SC-740 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- THE USE OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT OVER SC-310 & SC-740 CHAMBERS IS LIMITED:
 - NO EQUIPMENT IS ALLOWED ON BARE CHAMBERS.
 - NO RUBBER TIRED LOADERS, DUMP TRUCKS, OR EXCAVATORS ARE ALLOWED UNTIL PROPER FILL DEPTHS ARE REACHED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
 - WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT CAN BE FOUND IN THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- FULL 36" (900 mm) OF STABILIZED COVER MATERIALS OVER THE CHAMBERS IS REQUIRED FOR DUMP TRUCK TRAVEL OR DUMPING.

USE OF A DOZER TO PUSH EMBEDMENT STONE BETWEEN THE ROWS OF CHAMBERS MAY CAUSE DAMAGE TO THE CHAMBERS AND IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE BACKFILL METHOD. ANY CHAMBERS DAMAGED BY THE "DUMP AND PUSH" METHOD ARE NOT COVERED UNDER THE STORMTECH STANDARD WARRANTY.

CONTACT STORMTECH AT 1-888-892-2694 WITH ANY QUESTIONS ON INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS OR WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.

PROPOSED LAYOUT


382	STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBERS
70	STORMTECH SC-310 END CAPS
6	STONE ABOVE (in)
6	STONE BELOW (in)
40	% STONE VOID
13,101	INSTALLED SYSTEM VOLUME (CF) (PERIMETER STONE INCLUDED)
10,412	SYSTEM AREA (ft²)
484	SYSTEM PERIMETER (ft)

PROPOSED ELEVATIONS

MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (TOP OF PAVEMENT/UNPAVED):	6272.95
MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED WITH TRAFFIC):	6266.95
MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED NO TRAFFIC):	6266.45
MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (BASE OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT):	6266.45
MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (TOP OF RIGID PAVEMENT):	6266.45
TOP OF STONE:	6265.45
TOP OF SC-310 CHAMBER:	6264.95
8" TOP MANIFOLD INVERT:	6263.91
12" ISOLATOR ROW INVERT:	6263.70
12" BOTTOM MANIFOLD INVERT:	6263.70
BOTTOM OF SC-310 CHAMBER:	6263.62
BOTTOM OF STONE:	6263.12

NOTES

- THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER MUST REVIEW ELEVATIONS AND IF NECESSARY ADJUST GRADING TO ENSURE THE CHAMBER COVER REQUIREMENTS ARE MET.
- THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER MUST REVIEW THE PROXIMITY OF THE CHAMBERS TO THE BUILDING/STRUCTURE. NO FOUNDATION LOADS SHALL BE TRANSMITTED TO THE CHAMBERS. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER MUST CONSIDER EFFECTS OF POSSIBLE SATURATED SOILS ON BEARING CAPACITY OF SOILS AND SEEPAGE INTO BASEMENTS.
- THIS PLAN REFLECTS THE CHAMBER SYSTEM DESIGN BY THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER USING STORMTECH COMPONENTS. THE SUITABILITY OF THE CHAMBER SYSTEM TO MEET ANY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER. STORMTECH'S SCOPE OF WORK IS LIMITED TO THE ASSEMBLED PRODUCT DIMENSIONS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR INSTALLATION.



KUM & GO - EL PASSO

COLORADO SPRINGS, CO


DATE: 9-1-17

DRAWN: SDM

PROJECT #: 201235


CHECKED: GFI

REV	DWN	CKD	DESCRIPTION



Detention • Retention • Water Quality

70 INWOOD ROAD, SUITE 3 | ROCKY HILL | CT | 06067
860-529-8188 | 888-892-2694 | WWW.STORMTECH.COM



ADVANCED DRAINAGE SYSTEMS, INC.

4640 TRUEMAN BLVD
HILLIARD, OH 43026

0

15'

30'

THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN PREPARED BASED ON INFORMATION PROVIDED TO ADS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER OR OTHER PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER SHALL REVIEW THIS DRAWING PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER TO ENSURE THAT THE PRODUCT(S) DEPICTED AND ALL ASSOCIATED DETAILS MEET ALL APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS, AND PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.

2

SHEET


OF

5

ACCEPTABLE FILL MATERIALS: STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBER SYSTEMS

MATERIAL LOCATION		DESCRIPTION	AASHTO MATERIAL CLASSIFICATIONS	COMPACTION / DENSITY REQUIREMENT
D	FINAL FILL: FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'D' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE 'C' LAYER TO THE BOTTOM OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT OR UNPAVED FINISHED GRADE ABOVE. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE PART OF THE 'D' LAYER	ANY SOIL/ROCK MATERIALS, NATIVE SOILS, OR PER ENGINEER'S PLANS. CHECK PLANS FOR PAVEMENT SUBGRADE REQUIREMENTS.	N/A	PREPARE PER SITE DESIGN ENGINEER'S PLANS. PAVED INSTALLATIONS MAY HAVE STRINGENT MATERIAL AND PREPARATION REQUIREMENTS.
C	INITIAL FILL: FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'C' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE EMBEDMENT STONE ('B' LAYER) TO 18" (450 mm) ABOVE THE TOP OF THE CHAMBER. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE A PART OF THE 'C' LAYER.	GRANULAR WELL-GRADED SOIL/AGGREGATE MIXTURES, <35% FINES OR PROCESSED AGGREGATE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE MATERIALS CAN BE USED IN LIEU OF THIS LAYER.	AASHTO M145 ¹ A-1, A-2-4, A-3 OR AASHTO M43 ¹ 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57, 6, 67, 68, 7, 78, 8, 89, 9, 10	BEGIN COMPACTIONS AFTER 12" (300 mm) OF MATERIAL OVER THE CHAMBERS IS REACHED. COMPACT ADDITIONAL LAYERS IN 6" (150 mm) MAX LIFTS TO A MIN. 95% PROCTOR DENSITY FOR WELL GRADED MATERIAL AND 95% RELATIVE DENSITY FOR PROCESSED AGGREGATE MATERIALS. ROLLER GROSS VEHICLE WEIGHT NOT TO EXCEED 12,000 lbs (53 kN). DYNAMIC FORCE NOT TO EXCEED 20,000 lbs (89 kN).
B	EMBEDMENT STONE: FILL SURROUNDING THE CHAMBERS FROM THE FOUNDATION STONE ('A' LAYER) TO THE 'C' LAYER ABOVE.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE	AASHTO M43 ¹ 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57	NO COMPACTION REQUIRED.
A	FOUNDATION STONE: FILL BELOW CHAMBERS FROM THE SUBGRADE UP TO THE FOOT (BOTTOM) OF THE CHAMBER.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE	AASHTO M43 ¹ 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57	PLATE COMPACT OR ROLL TO ACHIEVE A FLAT SURFACE. ^{2 3}

- PLEASE NOTE:
- THE LISTED AASHTO DESIGNATIONS ARE FOR GRADATIONS ONLY. THE STONE MUST ALSO BE CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR. FOR EXAMPLE, A SPECIFICATION FOR #4 STONE WOULD STATE: "CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR NO. 4 (AASHTO M43) STONE".
 - STORMTECH COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS ARE MET FOR 'A' LOCATION MATERIALS WHEN PLACED AND COMPACTED IN 6" (150 mm) (MAX) LIFTS USING TWO FULL COVERAGES WITH A VIBRATORY COMPACTOR.
 - WHERE INFILTRATION SURFACES MAY BE COMPROMISED BY COMPACTION, FOR STANDARD DESIGN LOAD CONDITIONS, A FLAT SURFACE MAY BE ACHIEVED BY RAKING OR DRAGGING WITHOUT COMPACTION EQUIPMENT. FOR SPECIAL LOAD DESIGNS, CONTACT STORMTECH FOR COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS.



NOTES:

- SC-310 CHAMBERS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2418 "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR POLYPROPYLENE (PP) CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS", OR ASTM F2922 "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR POLYETHYLENE (PE) CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- SC-310 CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- "ACCEPTABLE FILL MATERIALS" TABLE ABOVE PROVIDES MATERIAL LOCATIONS, DESCRIPTIONS, GRADATIONS, AND COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS FOR FOUNDATION, EMBEDMENT, AND FILL MATERIALS.
- THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ASSESSING THE BEARING RESISTANCE (ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY) OF THE SUBGRADE SOILS AND THE DEPTH OF FOUNDATION STONE WITH CONSIDERATION FOR THE RANGE OF EXPECTED SOIL MOISTURE CONDITIONS.
- PERIMETER STONE MUST BE EXTENDED HORIZONTALLY TO THE EXCAVATION WALL FOR BOTH VERTICAL AND SLOPED EXCAVATION WALLS.
- ONCE LAYER 'C' IS PLACED, ANY SOIL/MATERIAL CAN BE PLACED IN LAYER 'D' UP TO THE FINISHED GRADE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE SOILS CAN BE USED TO REPLACE THE MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS OF LAYER 'C' OR 'D' AT THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER'S DISCRETION.

REV

DWN

CKD

DESCRIPTION

KUM & GO - EL PASSO

COLORADO SPRINGS, CO

DATE: 9-1-17

DRAWN: SDM

PROJECT #: 201235

CHECKED: GFI

StormTech

Detention • Retention • Water Quality

70 INWOOD ROAD, SUITE 3 | ROCKY HILL | CT | 06067
860-529-8188 | 888-892-2694 | WWW.STORMTECH.COM

ADS

ADVANCED DRAINAGE SYSTEMS, INC.

4640 TRUEMAN BLVD
HILLIARD, OH 43026

3

SHEET OF 5


THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN PREPARED BASED ON INFORMATION PROVIDED TO ADS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER OR OTHER PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER SHALL REVIEW THIS DRAWING PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER TO ENSURE THAT THE PRODUCT(S) DEPICTED AND ALL ASSOCIATED DETAILS MEET ALL APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS, AND PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.



SC-310 6" INSPECTION PORT DETAIL

NYLOPLAST DRAIN BASIN

NTS




NOTES

- 8-30" (200-750 mm) GRATES/SOLID COVERS SHALL BE DUCTILE IRON PER ASTM A536 GRADE 70-50-05
- 12-30" (300-750 mm) FRAMES SHALL BE DUCTILE IRON PER ASTM A536 GRADE 70-50-05
- DRAIN BASIN TO BE CUSTOM MANUFACTURED ACCORDING TO PLAN DETAILS
- DRAINAGE CONNECTION STUB JOINT TIGHTNESS SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM D3212 FOR CORRUGATED HDPE (ADS & HANCOR DUAL WALL) & SDR 35 PVC
- FOR COMPLETE DESIGN AND PRODUCT INFORMATION: WWW.NYLOPLAST-US.COM
- TO ORDER CALL: **800-821-6710**

A	PART #	GRATE/SOLID COVER OPTIONS		
8" (200 mm)	2808AG	PEDESTRIAN LIGHT DUTY	STANDARD LIGHT DUTY	SOLID LIGHT DUTY
10" (250 mm)	2810AG	PEDESTRIAN LIGHT DUTY	STANDARD LIGHT DUTY	SOLID LIGHT DUTY
12" (300 mm)	2812AG	PEDESTRIAN AASHTO H-10	STANDARD AASHTO H-20	SOLID AASHTO H-20
15" (375 mm)	2815AG	PEDESTRIAN AASHTO H-10	STANDARD AASHTO H-20	SOLID AASHTO H-20
18" (450 mm)	2818AG	PEDESTRIAN AASHTO H-10	STANDARD AASHTO H-20	SOLID AASHTO H-20
24" (600 mm)	2824AG	PEDESTRIAN AASHTO H-10	STANDARD AASHTO H-20	SOLID AASHTO H-20
30" (750 mm)	2830AG	PEDESTRIAN AASHTO H-20	STANDARD AASHTO H-20	SOLID AASHTO H-20

SC-310 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION


NTS



NOMINAL CHAMBER SPECIFICATIONS

SIZE (W X H X INSTALLED LENGTH)	34.0" X 16.0" X 85.4"	(864 mm X 406 mm X 2169 mm)
CHAMBER STORAGE	14.7 CUBIC FEET	(0.42 m³)
MINIMUM INSTALLED STORAGE*	31.0 CUBIC FEET	(0.88 m³)
WEIGHT	35.0 lbs.	(16.8 kg)

*ASSUMES 6" (152 mm) ABOVE, BELOW, AND BETWEEN CHAMBERS



PRE-FAB STUBS AT BOTTOM OF END CAP FOR PART NUMBERS ENDING WITH "B"
PRE-FAB STUBS AT TOP OF END CAP FOR PART NUMBERS ENDING WITH "T"
PRE CORED END CAPS END WITH "PC"

PART #	STUB	A	B	C
SC310EPE06T / SC310EPE06TPC	6" (150 mm)	9.6" (244 mm)	5.8" (147 mm)	---
SC310EPE06B / SC310EPE06BPC			---	0.5" (13 mm)
SC310EPE08T / SC310EPE08TPC	8" (200 mm)	11.9" (302 mm)	3.5" (89 mm)	---
SC310EPE08B / SC310EPE08BPC			---	0.6" (15 mm)
SC310EPE10T / SC310EPE10TPC	10" (250 mm)	12.7" (323 mm)	1.4" (36 mm)	---
SC310EPE10B / SC310EPE10BPC			---	0.7" (18 mm)
SC310EPE12B	12" (300 mm)	13.5" (343 mm)	---	0.9" (23 mm)

ALL STUBS, EXCEPT FOR THE SC310EPE12B ARE PLACED AT BOTTOM OF END CAP SUCH THAT THE OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF THE STUB IS FLUSH WITH THE BOTTOM OF THE END CAP. FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONTACT STORMTECH AT 1-888-892-2694.

* FOR THE SC310EPE12B THE 12" (300 mm) STUB LIES BELOW THE BOTTOM OF THE END CAP APPROXIMATELY 0.25" (6 mm). BACKFILL MATERIAL SHOULD BE REMOVED FROM BELOW THE N-12 STUB SO THAT THE FITTING SITS LEVEL.

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE NOMINAL

KUM & GO - EL PASSO
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO

SDM

DRAWN:

9-1-17

DATE:

PROJECT #:

201235

CHECKED:

GFI

3300 VECONA AVE
HILLIARD, OH 43026
860-529-8188 | 888-892-2694 | WWW.STORMTECH.COM
Stormtech
Nyloplast
ADVANCED DRAINAGE SYSTEMS, INC.

4640 TRUEMAN BLVD
HILLIARD, OH 43026
Stormtech
ADVANCED DRAINAGE SYSTEMS, INC.

