



# FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT

## GRANDVIEW RESERVE FILING NO. 1

El Paso County, Colorado

---

PREPARED FOR:  
**D.R. Horton**  
**9555 S. Kingston Court**  
**Englewood, CO**

PREPARED BY:  
**Galloway & Company, Inc.**  
**1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305**  
**Colorado Springs, CO 80920**

DATE:  
**March 15, 2024**

*PCD Filing No.: SF2311*

**ENGINEER'S STATEMENT**

*The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Trevan Edwards, PE #60124  
For and on behalf of Galloway & Company, Inc.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**DEVELOPER'S CERTIFICATION**

*I, The developer, have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.*

By: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Address: D.R. Horton  
9555 S. Kingston Court  
Englewood, CO

**EL PASO COUNTY CERTIFICATION**

*Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joshua Palmer, P.E.  
County Engineer/ECM Administrator

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Conditions:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Purpose .....	4
II.	General Description.....	4
III.	Drainage Criteria .....	4
IV.	Interim Drainage Conditions.....	5
	HISTORIC CONDITIONS .....	5
	HISTORIC OFF-SITE FLOWS.....	5
	INTERIM OFF-SITE FLOWS .....	6
	INTERIM ON-SITE FLOWS.....	6
V.	Four Step Process.....	7
	1. Employ Runoff Reduction Practices.....	7
	2. Stabilize Channels.....	7
	3. Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV).....	7
	4. Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs.....	8
VI.	Proposed Drainage Conditions .....	8
VII.	Storm Sewer System.....	10
VIII.	Proposed Water Quality Detention Ponds .....	11
IX.	Proposed Channel Improvements.....	11
X.	Maintenance .....	12
XI.	Wetlands Mitigation .....	12
XII.	Floodplain Statement .....	12
XIII.	Drainage Fees & Maintenance.....	12
XIV.	Conclusion .....	14
XV.	References .....	15

Appendices:

- A. Exhibits and Figures
- B. MDDP & DBPS Sheet References
- C. Hydrologic Computations
- D. Hydraulic Computations
- E. Water Quality Computations
- F. Drainage Maps & Water Quality Plan

## I. Purpose

The purpose of this Final Drainage Report is to identify on and offsite drainage patterns, locate and identify tributary or downstream drainage features and facilities that impact the site, and to identify which types of drainage facilities will be needed and where they will be located. This report will remain in general compliance with the approved MDDP prepared by HR Green, dated November 2020 and Preliminary Drainage Report (PDR) prepared by Galloway & Company, Inc., dated January 19, 2024.

## II. General Description

The Filing No. 1 project site is a single-family residential development located in the Falcon area of El Paso County, Colorado. The Filing No. 1 project site is located in a portion of the South half of Section 21, the North half of Section 28, Township 12 South, Range 64 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> Principal Meridian, County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The subject property includes Eastonville Road to the west, which was studied separately in the "*Eastonville Road Preliminary Drainage Report*", by HR Green, September 2023, EPC # CDR2321 (**E-PDR**), and is currently in review with El Paso County. The project site is bounded by undeveloped land proposed as future development to the east, and undeveloped land within the Waterbury Development to the south. A Vicinity Map is included in **Appendix A.**

This final drainage report is the basis for the drainage facility design in conformance with the previously approved MDDP for the site prepared by HR Green, "*Grandview Reserve Master Development Drainage Plan*", HR Green, November 2020, EPC # SKP201 (**MDDP**) and the approved preliminary drainage report, "*Preliminary Drainage Report - Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1*", Galloway & Company, Inc., January 19, 2024 (**PDR**). The site consists of approximately 37.564 acres and includes 119 dwelling units.

The existing soil types within the proposed site as determined by the NRCS Web Soil Survey for El Paso County Area consist of Columbine gravelly sandy loam (hydrologic soil group A) and Stapleton sandy loam (hydrologic soil group B). See the soils map included in **Appendix A.**

## III. Drainage Criteria

Hydrology calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, as revised in November 1991 and October 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014.

The drainage calculations were based on the criteria manual Figure 6-5 and IDF equations to determine the intensity and are listed in Table 1 below.

**Table 1 - Precipitation Data**

Return Period	One Hour Depth (in).	Intensity (in/hr)
5-year	1.50	5.17
100-year	2.52	8.68

The rational method was used to calculate peak flows as the tributary areas are less than 100 acres. The rational method has been proven to be accurate for basins of this size and is based on the following formula:

Q = CIA

Where:

Q = Peak Discharge (cfs)  
C = Runoff Coefficient  
I = Runoff intensity (inches/hour)  
A = Drainage area (acres)

The runoff coefficients are calculated based on land use, percent imperviousness, and design storm for each basin, as shown in the drainage criteria manual (Table 6-6). Composite values were calculated using the residential, streets, roofs, and lawns coefficient from the manual.

EASTONVILLE  
POND HAS BEEN  
REMOVED FROM  
THIS SENTENCE

The 100-year event was used as the major storm event. The 5-year event was used for the UD-Inlets v5.01 spreadsheet was utilized for the sizing of the proposed sump inlets.

The UD-Detention v4.04 spreadsheet was utilized for the design of the proposed on-site water quality ponds, Ponds D, E, and Eastonville Pond.

From the drainage map, it looks like this pond does not fall within Filing 1.

## IV. Interim Drainage Conditions

### HISTORIC CONDITIONS

The site is contained fully within one major drainage basin; the Gieck Ranch Drainage Basin and is tributary to Black Squirrel Creek. The site generally drains from north to south with an average slope of 2% outside of the channel. The rational method was used to analyze the individual basins within the site because their size permits it.

### HISTORIC OFF-SITE FLOWS

As described in the approved "Preliminary Drainage Report for Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1", Galloway & Company, February 2024, EPC # PUDSP2110 (PDR). There is one (1) major drainageway bordering the Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1 project site to the northeast that currently conveys existing on & off-site flows through and adjacent to the project site to the southeast; This is the Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 (Hereon referred to as Channel A), located along the northeastern boundary of the project site. Channel A drainageway generally flows to the southeast towards Highway 24, before crossing via existing drainage structures. This drainageway is analyzed in the report titled "Grandview Reserve CLOMR Report," Prepared by HR Green. This report is still in review – a discussion will be included in the report about the difference between FEMA flows and the Meridian Ranch MDDP. Subsequent Final Drainage Reports will be revised as necessary to incorporate any changes from the CLOMR report.

Existing upstream tributary analysis (the areas west of Eastonville Road) was performed as part of the E-PDR and includes basins EX1, EX2, EX3, EX4, EX5, EX6, and EX7. See the E-PDR in Appendix F for reference. A description of critical design points from the E-PDR that enter the site are summarized below.

**Channel A:** enters the site via an existing 18" (Public) CMP under Eastonville Rd. The flows at this point are associated with **Design Point 4** of the **E-PDR** and correlates to **Design Point G06** of "*The Sanctuary Filing 1 FDR (Meridian Ranch)*", Tech Contractors, August 2022; Per the **E-PDR**, the total upstream tributary area is 832.7 acres, and Channel A flows entering the existing pipe culvert at **Design Point 4** are:  $Q_5 = 22.4$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 491.0$  cfs.

**Design Point 5:** off-site flows enter the site via an existing 18" (Public) CMP crossing Eastonville Rd. The off-site flows are associated with **Design Point 5** of the **E-PDR**; Per the **E-PDR**, the total upstream tributary area is 22.35 acres, and flows entering the existing pipe culvert at **Design Point 5** are:  $Q_5 = 7.0$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 43.3$  cfs.

**Design Point 6:** off-site flows enter the site via an existing 18" (Public) CMP crossing Eastonville Rd. The off-site flows are associated with **Design Point 6** of the **E-PDR**; Per the **E-PDR**, the total upstream tributary area is 3.05 acres, and flows entering the existing pipe culvert at **Design Point 5** are:  $Q_5 = 1.2$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 6.9$  cfs.

Following the preliminary drainage report (PDR), the "existing" condition for this FDR will be after the preliminary / interim overlot grading on the site has taken place.

In the interim condition, overland grading operations will have taken place within the Grandview Reserve Subdivision in preparation for the ultimate proposed condition. While this activity is taking place within the proposed subdivision, no activity is anticipated west of Eastonville Road. The proposed project site lies completely within the Gieck Ranch Drainage Basin and is also situated within two (2) of the larger identified basins (D & E) which have been broken down into four (4) smaller sub-basins. More specifically, within the interim drainage condition, the project site is located within Basins EA-1, TSB-D1, TSB-E1, & TSB-E2. Site runoff will be collected via swales and diverted to one of the three proposed temporary sediment basins. All necessary calculations can be found in this report.

REVISED AS REQUESTED

### INTERIM OFF-SITE FLOWS

Existing upstream tributary analysis (the areas west of Eastonville Road) was performed as part of the **E-PDR** and was discussed earlier in the report under **Section IV – Off-Site Flows**. These design basins remain the same as the existing condition during the interim phase and discussion of them are not included in this section.

North portion of Filing 1, southwest of overall Grandview site.

### INTERIM ON-SITE FLOWS

**Basin TSB-D1** (10.09 AC,  $Q_5 = 2.8$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 20.0$  cfs): Located at the southwestern portion of the site, Basin TSB-D1 consists of future residential lots and future roadways. In the interim overland graded phase of development, imperviousness for this sub-basin can be described as nearly bare ground (2%). Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the east where it is intercepted by proposed TSB-D1 at **DP 18**. From there, treated runoff from TSB-D1 will be discharged downstream directly to existing Channel A.

**Basin TSB-E1** (8.21 AC,  $Q_5 = 2.5$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 18.0$  cfs): Located at the southern portion of the site, Basin TSB-E1 consists of future residential lots and future roadways. In the interim overland graded phase of development, imperviousness for this sub-basin can be described as nearly bare ground (2%). Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the east where it is intercepted by proposed TSB-E1 at **DP 19**. From there, treated runoff from TSB-E1 will be discharged downstream directly to **Basin TSB-E2**.

**Basin TSB-E2** (13.57 AC,  $Q_5 = 4.0$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 28.3$  cfs): Located at the southeastern portion of the site, Basin TSB-E2 consists of future residential lots and future roadways. In the interim overland graded phase of development, imperviousness for this sub-basin can be described as nearly bare ground (2%). Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the east where it is intercepted by proposed TSB-E2 at **DP 20**. From there, treated runoff from TSB-E2 will be discharged downstream directly to existing Channel A.

**Design Point 20** ( $Q_5 = 6.5$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 46.3$  cfs): Located at the south portion of the site, this design point accounts for the total combined flows from **Basin TSB-E1 & TSB-E2**. Flows from this design point are discharged directly into the existing Channel A.

**Basin EA-1** (2.50 AC,  $Q_5 = 0.7$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 5.1$  cfs): Located along the southeastern property line, Basin EA-1 consists primarily of un-developed disturbed area with a temporary diversion swale put in place to convey existing off-site flows from **DP 5 & 6** through the site to Channel A, as they had in the existing condition. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow into a temporary trapezoidal diversion swale (Swale OS-1) with a 4' bottom width and 3' deep. Flows will then be conveyed north and discharge directly into Channel A at **DP 21**.

Each of the temporary sediment basins (TSBs) has been sized according to the detail from City of Colorado Springs Stormwater Quality Manual, Figure SB-1 and the pond calculations in the Mile High Flood District (MHFD) spreadsheet. Riser pipes within each TSB will discharge flows downstream, following the interim grading patterns, which will adhere to historic drainage patterns and eventually enter respective drainageway (Channel A). Similarly, each TSB will have an overflow spillway which will discharge excess flows downstream in the same drainage pattern as the discharge from the riser pipes within the corresponding TSB. See **Appendix D** for calculation spreadsheets.

## V. Four Step Process

The Four Step Process is used to minimize the adverse impacts of urbanization and is a vital component of developing a balanced, sustainable project. Below identifies the approach to the four-step process:

### 1. Employ Runoff Reduction Practices

This step uses low impact development (LID) practices to reduce runoff at the source. Generally, rather than creating point discharges that are directly connected to impervious areas runoff is routed through pervious areas to promote infiltration. The **IRF** method was used and calculations can be found in **Appendix**

THIS IS NO LONGER APPLICABLE PER OUR MEETINGS WITH THE COUNTY WQ MAP HAS BEEN UPDATED IN APPENDIX F

### 2. Stabilize Channels

This step implements stabilization to channels to **infrastructure** and controlling sediment loading from **point discharges**. The existing channel analysis at **tributary #2 (MST)** is to be completed by others and a report for the channel **submitted for review** separately.

### 3. Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)

This step utilizes formalized water quality capture volume to slow the release of runoff from the site. The EURV volume will release in 72 hours, while the WQCV will release in no less than 40 hours. On-site water quality control volume detention ponds will provide water quality treatment for all of the

Discuss that runoff reduction is provided to satisfy water quality requirements for part of Basin D-6.

developed areas, prior to the runoff being released into either of the major drainage ways. Refer to WQCV Plan in **Appendix F**.

#### 4. Consider Need for Industrial and Commercial BMPs

As this project is all residential development and no commercial or industrial proposed, there will be no need for any specialized BMPs which would be required at an industrial or commercial site.

REVISED AS REQUESTED

## VI. Proposed Drainage Conditions

The proposed project site lies completely within the Gieck Ranch **14** Drainage Basin and other larger basins (D & E) which have been broken down into **thirteen (13)** smaller sub-basins. All site Basins (OS) were analyzed as part of the **E-PDR**. Site runoff for Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1 will be collected via inlets & pipes and diverted to one of the two proposed full spectrum detention ponds. No offsite flows enter the Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1 project site. All necessary calculations can be found within the appendices of this report.

REVISED AS REQUESTED

Also reference CDR-22-008, which is the CD's and drainage report for the channel improvements

There are no proposed major channel improvements for Channel A associated with this project site / development. The analysis for the channel was completed by HR Green (*Grandview Reserve CLOMR Report*, HR Green; March 22, 2023 (**CLOMR**)). A copy of the CLOMR Report is included in **Appendix G** for reference – the CLOMR Report is currently still in review. Final design values will be revised as necessary in subsequent Final Drainage Report submittals.

The project site will provide two (2) Full Spectrum Extended Detention Basins (EDBs). Ponds D & E will discharge treated runoff at historic rates directly into Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 (**MDDP**) / Channel A (**E-PDR**).

As has been mentioned previously, the project site is proposed to have a land use of single family residential. The project site will consist primarily of 1/8 Acre lots, with some 1/4 Acre and 1/3 Acre lots, public roadways, along with dedicated Tracts for amenity uses.

**Basin D-1** (2.73 AC,  $Q_5 = 2.6$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 8.0$  cfs): Located on the western portion of the project site, adjacent to Eastonville Road. This basin consists of residential lots and the west half of Kate Meadow Lane. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the adjacent roadways. Flows will then be routed, via curb & gutter, to a proposed (public) 5' CDOT Type 'R' sump inlet, located on the west side of Kate Meadow Lane (**DP D1**), just north of the intersection of Kate Meadow Lane & Farm Close Court. In the major storm event, flows will overtop the roadway crown and will be split between basins D-1 and D-2. Emergency overflows will be routed downstream via proposed curb and gutter to Design Point D4 within Farm Close Court.

**Basin D-2** (0.57 AC,  $Q_5 = 1.0$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 2.5$  cfs): Located on the western portion of the project site, this basin consists of residential lots and the eastern half of Kate Meadow Lane. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the adjacent roadway. Flows will then be routed, via curb & gutter, to a proposed (public) 5' CDOT Type 'R' sump inlet, located on the east side of Kate Meadow Lane (**DP D2**), just north of the intersection of Kate Meadow Lane & Farm Close Court. In the major storm event, flows will overtop the roadway crown and will be split between basins D-1 and D-2. Emergency overflows will be routed downstream via proposed curb and gutter to Design Point D4 within Farm Close Court.



and north portion of Kate Meadow Lane

**Basin D-3** (4.33 AC,  $Q_5 = 6.1$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 16.3$  cfs): Located in the west-central portion of the project site, this basin consists of residential lots and the western half of Farm Close Court. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the adjacent roadway. Flows will then be routed, via curb & gutter, to a proposed (public) 15' CDOT Type 'R' inlet in sump condition, located on the west side of Farm Close Court (**DP D4**), southeast of the intersection of Kate Meadow Lane & Farm Close Court cul-de-sac. In the major storm event, runoff will overtop the roadway crown and will be split between basins D-3 and D-4. Emergency overflows will overtop the crown and be routed downstream via an emergency overflow swale to the east. The swale conveys runoff directly to Pond D.

REVISED AS REQUESTED

**Basin D-4** (3.65 AC,  $Q_5 = 4.4$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 11.8$  cfs): Located in the east-central portion of the project site, this basin consists of residential lots and the eastern half of Farm Close Court. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the adjacent roadway. Flows will then be routed, via curb & gutter, to a proposed (public) 15' CDOT Type 'R' inlet in sump condition, located on the east side of Farm Close Court (**DP D6**), just southeast of the intersection of Kate Meadow Lane & Farm Close Court cul-de-sac. In the major storm event, flows will overtop the roadway crown and will be split between basins D-3 and D-4. Emergency overflows will overtop curb & gutter and be routed downstream via a graded swale within the maintenance access path to Pond D.

CHANNEL A HAS BEEN ADDED AS QUALIFIER

Is this the same as Channel A?

**Basin D-5** (1.59 AC,  $Q_5 = 0.7$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 3.0$  cfs): Located along the northwest corner of the project site, adjacent to the Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway. This basin consists partially of residential lots and the proposed (private) Full Spectrum Detention Pond D. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow directly to Pond D. Flows will then be routed to the outlet structure (**DP D7**), via a concrete trickle channel, where it will eventually discharge, at historic rates, into the adjacent Main Stem channel.

**Basin D-6** (0.92 AC,  $Q_5 = 0.2$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 1.5$  cfs): Located along the northwest corner of the project site, adjacent to the Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway. This basin consists of the undeveloped area outside and downstream of the proposed (private) Full Spectrum Detention Pond D. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow directly to the Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway. All roof drains (for lots 18-20) within this sub-basin will be directed toward Farm Close Court, no impervious surfaces will be allowed within the rear lot setbacks and runoff reduction will be implemented within this sub-basin.

**Basin E-1** (4.47 AC,  $Q_5 = 4.1$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 12.4$  cfs): Located in the southwestern portion of the project site, this basin consists of residential lots, the southern half of Brixham Drive, Starcross Court, and the southern half of Kate Meadow Lane. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the adjacent roadways. Flows will then be routed, via curb & gutter, to a proposed (public) 15' CDOT Type 'R' at-grade inlet, located approximately 150-feet to the northeast of the intersection between Kate Meadow Lane and Starcross Court (**DP E1**). Bypass flows are conveyed downstream via curb & gutter to **DP E4**.

**Basin E-2** (1.94 AC,  $Q_5 = 3.3$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 8.4$  cfs): Located on the southwestern portion of the project site, this basin consists of residential lots, a small portion of Mill Yard Circle, and the north half of Kate Meadow Lane. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the adjacent roadways. Flows will then be routed, via curb & gutter, to a proposed (public) 15' CDOT Type 'R' at-grade inlet, located approximately 150-feet to the northeast of the intersection between Kate Meadow Lane and Starcross Court (**DP E2**). Bypass flows are conveyed downstream via curb & gutter to **DP E4**.

**Basin E-3a** (2.90 AC,  $Q_5 = 4.3$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 11.0$  cfs): Located on the south-central portion of the project site, this basin consists of residential lots the western and southern half of Mill Yard Circle as well as a portion of Kate Meadow Lane. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the adjacent roadways. Flows will

then be routed, via curb & gutter, to a proposed (public) 15' CDOT Type 'R' at-grade inlet, located just southeast from the intersection between Kate Meadow Lane and Mill Yard Circle (DP E4). In the major storm event, flows will overtop the roadway crown and will be split between basins E-3a and E-4a. Bypass flows are conveyed downstream via curb & gutter to DP E7.

REVISED TO 10' INLET TO MATCH BASIN MAP

**Basin E-3b** (2.12 AC,  $Q_5 = 3.5$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 8.9$  cfs): Located on the southeastern portion of the project site, this basin consists of the rear portion of residential lots along Kate Meadow Lane and full residential lots and the western half of Mill Yard Circle near the cul-de-sac. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the adjacent roadways. Flows will then be routed, via curb & gutter, to a proposed (public) 15' CDOT Type 'R' sump inlet, located just northeast from the cul-de-sac of Mill Yard Circle (DP E7). In the major storm event, flows will overtop the roadway crown and will be split between basins E-3b and E-4b. Emergency overflows will overtop the crown and be routed downstream via an emergency overflow swale to the southeast which conveys runoff directly to Pond E via a graded emergency overflow swale.

**Basin E-4a** (7.45 AC,  $Q_5 = 6.8$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 20.3$  cfs): Located in the central portion of the project site, this basin consists of residential lots and the northern and eastern half of Mill Yard Circle. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the adjacent roadway. Flows will then be routed, via curb & gutter, to a proposed (public) 15' CDOT Type 'R' at-grade inlet, located just southeast from the intersection between Kate Meadow Lane and Mill Yard Circle (DP E5). In the major storm event, flows will overtop the roadway crown and will be split between basins E-4a and E-3a. Bypass flows are conveyed downstream via curb & gutter to DP E9.

**Basin E-4b** (1.00 AC,  $Q_5 = 1.7$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 4.2$  cfs): Located on the southeastern corner of the project site, this basin consists of residential lots and the eastern half of Mill Yard Circle near the cul-de-sac. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow to the adjacent roadway. Flows will then be routed, via curb & gutter, to a proposed (public) 15' CDOT Type 'R' sump inlet, located just southeast from the intersection between Kate Meadow Lane and Mill Yard Circle (DP E5). In the major storm event, flows will overtop the roadway crown and will be split between basins E-3b and E-4b. Emergency overflows will overtop the curb and be routed downstream via an emergency overflow swale to the southeast which conveys runoff directly to Pond E via a graded emergency overflow swale.

DP E9

**Basin E-5** (1.43 AC,  $Q_5 = 0.3$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 1.8$  cfs): Located on the southeast corner of the project site, adjacent to the Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway. This basin consists of the proposed (private) Full Spectrum Detention Pond E. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow directly to Pond E. Flows will then be routed to the outlet structure (DP E10), via a concrete trickle channel. Flows will be discharged, at historic rates, into the adjacent Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway.

REVISED AS REQUESTED

**Basin E-6** (2.40 AC,  $Q_5 = 0.7$  cfs,  $Q_{100} = 4.4$  cfs): Located on the southeast corner of the project site, adjacent to the Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway. This basin consists of the undeveloped area outside and downstream of the proposed (private) Full Spectrum Detention Pond E. Runoff from this basin will sheet flow directly to the Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway and offsite to the east.

## VII. Storm Sewer System

All development is anticipated to be urban and will include storm sewer & street inlets. Storm sewers collect storm water runoff and convey the water to the water quality facilities prior to discharging. Storm sewer systems will be designed to the 100-year storm and checked with the 5-year storm. Inlets will be

placed at sump areas and intersections where street flow is larger than street capacity. UDFCD Inlet spreadsheet has been used to determine the size of all sump inlets.

There will be two (2) proposed storm systems within the project site. Each of the two storm sewer systems will discharge storm water into its correlated WQCV pond.

Each system will consist of reinforced concrete pipe (RCP), CDOT Type 'R' inlets, and storm sewer manholes.

Furthermore, there are two (2) proposed overflow swales at the low-points within Farm Close Court and Mill Yard Circle which convey flows to Ponds D and E, respectively. The swales were analyzed using the Bentley software FlowMaster to properly size channels (trapezoidal 3' W x 2.0' D for Pond D overflow swale) and (triangular 7.38' top W x 2.5' D for Pond E overflow swale), to convey the 100-year flows from the respective basins to corresponding outfall location (Pond D and Pond E), while providing 1.0-ft of freeboard. The sizing calculations can be found in **Appendix D**.

This Final drainage report includes details concerning sump and at-grade inlet locations, street capacity, storm sewer sizing, outlet protection and locations. The calculations can be found in **Appendix D**.

### VIII. Proposed Water Quality Detention Ponds

Two (2) Full Spectrum Detention Ponds will be provided for the proposed site. Both of these ponds (Ponds D & E) are private and will be maintained by the DISTRICT, once established. These detention ponds are proposed to be full spectrum and will provide water quality and detention. The WQCV and EURV release will be controlled with an orifice plate. The release rates for the WQCV and EURV will be 40-hours and 72-hours, respectively. All storm event volumes up to the 100-year event will be controlled by orifice and/or restrictor plate and will be designed to release at or below the pre-development flow rate. Outlet structures, forebays, trickle channels, etc. are included with this final drainage report. The required FSD pond volumes are as described below:

**Pond D:** Located centrally on the site, just west of the Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway. This pond will discharge into the Main Stem channel. The required volume WQCV and EURV are 0.227 Ac-Ft & 0.782 Ac-Ft, respectively. The provided storage for the WQCV and EURV are 0.229 Ac-Ft & 0.787 Ac-Ft, respectively. The total required detention basin volume is 1.596 Ac-Ft. The total provided detention basin storage is 1.115 Ac-Ft. In the 100-year event, Pond D releases 90% of the peak flow (8.0 cfs).

REVISED AS REQUESTED

THIS HAS BEEN INCLUDED

is located on the south side of the Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway. This pond will discharge into the Main Stem channel. The required volume WQCV and EURV are 0.377 Ac-Ft & 1.295 Ac-Ft, respectively. The provided storage for the WQCV and EURV are 0.377 Ac-Ft & 1.301 Ac-Ft, respectively. The total required detention basin volume is 2.639 Ac-Ft. The total provided detention basin storage is 1.824 Ac-Ft. **In the 100-year event, Pond D releases 90% of the predeveloped peak flow (8.0 cfs).** In the 100-year event, Pond E releases 90% of the predeveloped peak flow (14.9 cfs).

Delete this statement.

Include what the historic rates were.

### IX. Proposed Channel Improvements

According to the **MDDP**, there is one (1) major drainageway that runs immediately adjacent to the project site. The Gieck Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway (**E-PDR**) along the northeastern boundary

of the project site conveying runoff from the northwest to the southeast. There are no proposed major channel improvements for Channel A as part of this project (to be determined with EPC # CDR-22-008; *Grandview Reserve Geick Basin Channel*). An analysis has been done for Channel A with both existing and future condition flows as described within the *Grandview Reserve CLOMR Report*, HR Green; March 22, 2023 (**CLOMR**). All HEC-RAS modelling, velocities, shear, depths, etc. are included within the CLOMR, which can be found in **Appendix E**. Both scenarios, throughout the channel fall within the channel stability criteria.

A majority of the developed runoff will be captured and conveyed to one of the corresponding water quality and detention facilities and release at or below historic levels. Ponds D and E will release directly into the Geick Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway. These basins are contained within the backs of lots and will provide water quality through runoff reduction; impervious areas will not be permitted in the back of these lots and roof drains are to drain to the front. Therefore, there will be no adverse impact to downstream facilities. The analysis for the drainageway (Channel A) and offsite upstream tributary capture was done by HR Green within the *Grandview Reserve CLOMR Report*, HR Green; March 22, 2023 (**CLOMR**) which will be submitted separately for review. A copy of this report is included in **Appendix E**.

## **X. Maintenance**

After completion of construction and upon the Board of County Commissioners acceptance, it is anticipated all drainage facilities within the public Right-of-Way are to be owned and maintained by El Paso County (i.e. Eastonville Road FSD).

Both private detention ponds are to be owned and maintained by the Grandview Reserve Metropolitan District No. 2 (DISTRICT), once established, unless an agreement is reached stating otherwise. The proposed Geick Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway is not proposed to be disturbed. Maintenance access for all full spectrum detention facilities will be provided from public Right-of-Way.

## **XI. Wetlands Mitigation**

There are two existing wetlands on site associated with the one (1) major channel, Geick Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway. The wetlands are contained within the existing channel with the wetland in Geick Ranch Tributary #1 / Channel A drainageway being classified as jurisdictional. The wetlands USACE determination will be provided with the *Grandview Reserve CLOMR Report*, HR Green; March 22, 2023 (**CLOMR**), which can be found in Appendix D. Wetlands maintenance will be the responsibility of the Grandview Reserve Metropolitan District No. 2 (DISTRICT).

## **XII. Floodplain Statement**

A portion of the project sit lies with Zone A Special Flood Hazard Area as defined by the FIRM Map number 08041C0552G effective December 7, 2018. A copy of the FIRM Panel is included in **Appendix A**. FEMA-approved floodplain elevations are required to be shown on final plats.

## **XIII. Drainage Fees & Maintenance**

Geick Ranch Basin is not listed as part of the El Paso County drainage basin fee program. Unless otherwise instructed, no drainage fees will be assessed.

**COST OPINION**

Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Cost
<b>Storm Drain Infrastructure (Public)</b>				
24" RCP	655	LF	\$96.00	\$62,880.00
30" RCP	305	LF	\$120.00	\$36,600.00
36" RCP	440	LF	\$150.00	\$66,000.00
42" RCP	165	LF	\$275.00	\$45,375.00
CDOT TYPE R 5' Curb Inlet	3	EA	\$5,500.00	\$16,500.00
CDOT TYPE R 15' Curb Inlet	7	EA	\$10,000.00	\$70,000.00
CDOT Storm 5' DIA Manhole	15	EA	\$7,500.00	\$112,500.00
CDOT Storm 6' DIA Manhole	1	EA	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
<b>Subtotal</b>				<b>\$419,855.00</b>
<b>Total (Public)</b>				<b>\$419,855.00</b>
<b>Contingency</b>			10%	<b>\$41,985.50</b>
<b>Grand Total (Public)</b>				<b>\$461,840.50</b>
<b>Storm Drain Infrastructure (Private)</b>				
Trapezoidal Channel	175	LF	\$12.00	\$2,100.00
Triangular Channel	150	LF	\$8.00	\$1,200.00
Channel RECP (North American Green)	4,538	SY	\$8.00	\$36,304.00
18" Flared End Section	2	EA	\$2,750.00	\$5,500.00
<b>Subtotal</b>				<b>\$45,104.00</b>
<b>Pond D Improvements (Private)</b>				
Earthwork	7,435	CY	\$20.00	\$148,700.00
Forebay	1	EA	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
Hand Rail Fence (Forebays)	180	LF	\$6.00	\$1,080.00
Type L Rip-Rap (Emergency Spillway)	75	CY	\$120.00	\$9,000.00
Trickle Channel	325	LF	\$15.00	\$4,875.00
Outlet Structure w/ Micropool	1	EA	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00
18" RCP Storm Pipe	100	LF	\$80.00	\$8,000.00
Gravel Maintenance Access	39	CY	\$45.00	\$1,755.00
<b>Subtotal</b>				<b>\$198,410.00</b>
<b>Pond E Improvements (Private)</b>				
Earthwork	5,775	CY	\$20.00	\$115,500.00
Forebay	1	EA	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
Hand Rail Fence (Forebays)	180	LF	\$6.00	\$1,080.00
Type L Rip-Rap (Emergency Spillway)	75	CY	\$120.00	\$9,000.00
Trickle Channel	450	LF	\$15.00	\$6,750.00
Outlet Structure w/ Micropool	1	EA	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00
18" RCP Storm Pipe	70	LF	\$80.00	\$5,600.00
Gravel Maintenance Access	26	CY	\$45.00	\$1,170.00
<b>Subtotal</b>				<b>\$164,100.00</b>
<b>Total (Private)</b>				<b>\$407,614.00</b>
<b>Contingency</b>			10%	<b>\$40,761.40</b>
<b>Grand Total (Private)</b>				<b>\$448,375.40</b>

## XIV. Conclusion

The Grandview Reserve residential subdivision lies within the Gieck Ranch Drainage Basin. Water quality for the project site is provided in two (2) on-site Full Spectrum Detention Ponds; Ponds D & E. All drainage facilities within this report were sized according to the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manuals. The proposed facilities are adequate to protect the site from generated runoff. The site runoff will not adversely affect the downstream facilities and surrounding developments. There is one (1) major drainageway bordering the Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1 project site to the northeast, which will be addressed by the report titled "Grandview Reserve CLOMR Report," Prepared by HR Green. The two (2) WQCV ponds will be maintained by a newly established Grandview Reserve Metropolitan District No. 2 (DISTRICT).

and runoff reduction.

N/A PER MEETING  
WITH COUNTY

## XV. References

1. *El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual*, 1990.
2. *Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume 2*, City of Colorado Springs, 2002.
3. *El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual Update*, 2015.
4. *El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual*, 2020.
5. *Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual*, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, January 2016 (with current revisions).
6. *Gieck Ranch Drainage Basin Study (DBPS)*, Drexel Barrell, October 2010 (Not adopted by County).
7. *Grandview Reserve Master Development Drainage Plan (MDDP)*, HR Green, November 2020.
8. *Grandview Reserve CLOMR Report*, HR Green; March 22, 2023.
9. *Meridian Ranch MDDP*, January 2018, updated 2021.
10. *Eastonville Road Preliminary Drainage Report*, HR Green, September 2023.
11. *The Sanctuary Filing 1 FDR (Meridian Ranch)*, Tech Contractors, August 2022.

Unresolved from Submittal 1 -

For proposed runoff reduction measures:

- The runoff reduction RPA is considered a WQ Facility and requires a signed Maintenance Agreement
- All RPA/SPA areas will need to be within a no build/drainage easement (or tract) and discussed in the maintenance agreement and O&M manual.
- Vegetation should have a uniform density of at least 80%.
- RPA/SPA limits must be shown on GEC Plans (not just FDR) so our SW inspectors and the QSM know that these areas are to remain pervious and vegetated (80%). Our SW inspectors do not look at drainage reports.


N/A PER MEETING  
W/ COUNTY



# **APPENDIX A**

## **Exhibits and Figures**





**GRANDVIEW RESERVE**  
**FILING NO. 1**  
 EASTONVILLE RD  
 SCALE: 1"=2,000'  
**VICINITY MAP**

Project No:	HRG02
Drawn By:	JDM
Checked By:	CMWJ
Date:	03/15/2024

**Galloway**

1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305  
 Colorado Springs, CO 80920  
 719.900.7220 • [GallowayUS.com](http://GallowayUS.com)

**NOTES TO USERS**

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where **Base Flood Elevations (BFEs)** and/or **floodways** have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating purposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

**Coastal Base Flood Elevations** shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0' North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Users of this FIRM should be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations shown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by **flood control structures**. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The **projection** used in the preparation of this map was Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) zone 13. The **horizontal datum** was NAD83, GRS80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or UTM zones zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the **North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88)**. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/> or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services  
NOAA, NINGS12  
National Geodetic Survey  
SSMC-3, #9202  
1315 East-West Highway  
Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for **bench marks** shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/>.

**Base Map** information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Paso County, Colorado Springs Utilities, City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.

This map reflects more detailed and up-to-date **stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations** than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables in the Flood Insurance Study Report (which contains authoritative hydraulic data) may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on this map. The profile baselines depicted on this map represent the hydraulic modeling baselines that match the flood profiles and Floodway Data Tables if applicable, in the FIS report. As a result, the profile baselines may deviate significantly from the new base map channel representation and may appear outside of the floodplain.

**Corporate limits** shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.


Please refer to the separately printed **Map Index** for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels, community map repository addresses, and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

Contact **FEMA Map Service Center (MSC)** via the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMX) 1-877-336-2627 for information on available products associated with this FIRM. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. The MSC may also be reached by Fax at 1-800-358-9620 and its website at <http://www.msc.fema.gov/>.

If you have **questions about this map** or questions concerning the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at <http://www.fema.gov/business/nfp>.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table	
Flooding Source	Vertical Datum Offset (ft)
REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION	


**Panel Location Map**



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado Water Conservation Board.



NOTE: MAP AREA SHOWN ON THIS PANEL IS LOCATED WITHIN TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 64 WEST.

**LEGEND**

- SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
- The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), also known as the base flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. The Special Flood Hazard Area is the area subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Hazard include Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V, and VE. The Base Flood Elevation is the water-surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood.
- ZONE A** No Base Flood Elevations determined.
- ZONE AE** Base Flood Elevations determined.
- ZONE AH** Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood Elevations determined.
- ZONE AO** Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined.
- ZONE AR** Special Flood Hazard Area Formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently derelictified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.
- ZONE A99** Area to be protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Federal flood protection system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations determined.
- ZONE V** Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Flood Elevations determined.
- ZONE VE** Coastal flood zone with velocity hazard (wave action); Base Flood Elevations determined.
- FLOODWAY AREAS IN ZONE AE
- The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights.
- OTHER FLOOD AREAS
- ZONE X** Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood; areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance flood.
- OTHER AREAS
- ZONE X** Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.
- ZONE D** Areas in which flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.
- COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS
- OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs)
- CBRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.
- Floodplain boundary
- Floodway boundary
- Zone D boundary
- CBRS and OPA boundary
- Boundary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Flood Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities.
- Base Flood Elevation line and value; elevation in feet\* (EL 987)
- Base Flood Elevation value where uniform within zone; elevation in feet
- \* Referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)
- Cross section line
- Transect line
- Geographic coordinates referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83)
- 1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, zone 13
- 5000-foot grid ticks; Colorado State Plane coordinate system, central zone (FIPSZONE 0502), Lambert Conformal Conic Projection
- Bench mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of this FIRM panel)
- River Mile
- MAP REPOSITORIES
- Refer to Map Repositories list on Map Index
- EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP
- MARCH 17, 1997**
- EFFECTIVE DATE(S) OF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL
- DECEMBER 7, 2018** - to update corporate limits, to change Base Flood Elevations and Special Flood Hazard Areas, to update map format, to add roads and road names, and to incorporate previously issued Letters of Map Revision.
- For community map revision history prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community Map History Table located in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.
- To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your Insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

**PANEL 052G**

**FIRM**  
FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP  
**EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**PANEL 552 OF 1300**  
(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)

CONTAINS:


COMMUNITY	NUMBER	PANEL	SUFFIX
EL PASO COUNTY	080059	052G	G

**MAP NUMBER 08041C0552G**


**MAP REVISED DECEMBER 7, 2018**

Federal Emergency Management Agency

Hydrologic Soil Group—El Paso County Area, Colorado  
(Grandview Reserve Soil Map)



Map Scale: 1:18,800 if printed on A landscape (11" x 8.5") sheet.



Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 13N WGS84



Hydrologic Soil Group—El Paso County Area, Colorado  
(Grandview Reserve Soil Map)

**MAP LEGEND**

**Area of Interest (AOI)**









 Area of Interest (AOI)

**Soils**

**Soil Rating Polygons**





-  A
-  A/D
-  B
-  B/D
-  C
-  C/D
-  D
-  Not rated or not available

**Soil Rating Lines**

-  A
-  A/D
-  B
-  B/D
-  C
-  C/D
-  D
-  Not rated or not available

**Soil Rating Points**






-  A
-  A/D
-  B
-  B/D

-  C
-  C/D
-  D
-  Not rated or not available


**Water Features**

 Streams and Canals

**Transportation**

-  Rails
-  Interstate Highways
-  US Routes
-  Major Roads
-  Local Roads

**Background**

 Aerial Photography

**MAP INFORMATION**

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Web Soil Survey URL:  
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado  
Survey Area Data: Version 17, Sep 13, 2019

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Sep 8, 2018—May 26, 2019

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	A	22.4	2.6%
19	Columbine gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	A	450.7	52.5%
83	Stapleton sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	B	385.4	44.9%
<b>Totals for Area of Interest</b>			<b>858.5</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.



**NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 8, Version 2**  
**Location name: Peyton, Colorado, USA\***  
**Latitude: 38.985°, Longitude: -104.565°**  
**Elevation: 6975.71 ft\*\***



\* source: ESRI Maps  
 \*\* source: USGS

**POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES**

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St. Laurent, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

[PF\\_tabular](#) | [PF\\_graphical](#) | [Maps & aeriels](#)

**PF tabular**

<b>PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches)<sup>1</sup></b>										
Duration	Average recurrence interval (years)									
	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	0.239 (0.189-0.303)	0.291 (0.231-0.370)	0.381 (0.301-0.486)	0.461 (0.361-0.589)	0.576 (0.440-0.768)	0.671 (0.499-0.904)	0.770 (0.554-1.06)	0.875 (0.604-1.24)	1.02 (0.678-1.48)	1.14 (0.733-1.67)
10-min	0.350 (0.277-0.444)	0.426 (0.338-0.542)	0.558 (0.441-0.711)	0.674 (0.529-0.863)	0.844 (0.644-1.13)	0.982 (0.731-1.32)	1.13 (0.811-1.56)	1.28 (0.884-1.81)	1.49 (0.992-2.17)	1.66 (1.07-2.44)
15-min	0.426 (0.338-0.541)	0.520 (0.412-0.660)	0.681 (0.537-0.867)	0.823 (0.645-1.05)	1.03 (0.785-1.37)	1.20 (0.891-1.62)	1.37 (0.988-1.90)	1.56 (1.08-2.21)	1.82 (1.21-2.65)	2.03 (1.31-2.98)
30-min	0.608 (0.482-0.771)	0.740 (0.586-0.940)	0.968 (0.764-1.23)	1.17 (0.916-1.49)	1.46 (1.11-1.94)	1.70 (1.26-2.29)	1.94 (1.40-2.68)	2.21 (1.52-3.12)	2.57 (1.71-3.73)	2.86 (1.85-4.19)
60-min	0.775 (0.615-0.984)	0.933 (0.739-1.19)	1.21 (0.956-1.54)	1.46 (1.15-1.87)	1.84 (1.41-2.47)	2.16 (1.61-2.92)	2.49 (1.80-3.45)	2.85 (1.97-4.05)	3.37 (2.24-4.90)	3.78 (2.44-5.55)
2-hr	0.943 (0.754-1.19)	1.13 (0.898-1.42)	1.46 (1.16-1.84)	1.76 (1.39-2.23)	2.22 (1.72-2.97)	2.62 (1.97-3.52)	3.04 (2.21-4.19)	3.50 (2.45-4.95)	4.16 (2.80-6.03)	4.70 (3.06-6.85)
3-hr	1.03 (0.829-1.29)	1.22 (0.978-1.53)	1.57 (1.25-1.97)	1.90 (1.51-2.40)	2.41 (1.88-3.22)	2.86 (2.17-3.84)	3.35 (2.45-4.60)	3.88 (2.73-5.48)	4.66 (3.15-6.74)	5.29 (3.46-7.69)
6-hr	1.20 (0.968-1.49)	1.40 (1.13-1.74)	1.78 (1.44-2.22)	2.16 (1.73-2.70)	2.76 (2.18-3.66)	3.28 (2.52-4.39)	3.86 (2.86-5.29)	4.51 (3.21-6.34)	5.46 (3.73-7.86)	6.24 (4.12-9.01)
12-hr	1.38 (1.13-1.70)	1.61 (1.31-1.98)	2.05 (1.67-2.53)	2.48 (2.00-3.07)	3.15 (2.51-4.15)	3.74 (2.89-4.96)	4.39 (3.28-5.96)	5.12 (3.67-7.13)	6.17 (4.25-8.82)	7.04 (4.69-10.1)
24-hr	1.60 (1.31-1.95)	1.87 (1.54-2.28)	2.38 (1.94-2.91)	2.85 (2.32-3.51)	3.60 (2.88-4.67)	4.24 (3.29-5.56)	4.94 (3.71-6.63)	5.71 (4.12-7.87)	6.82 (4.73-9.66)	7.73 (5.20-11.0)
2-day	1.85 (1.54-2.24)	2.18 (1.80-2.63)	2.76 (2.28-3.35)	3.29 (2.70-4.01)	4.11 (3.30-5.27)	4.80 (3.76-6.22)	5.54 (4.19-7.36)	6.35 (4.62-8.68)	7.50 (5.25-10.5)	8.44 (5.73-11.9)
3-day	2.03 (1.69-2.44)	2.39 (1.98-2.87)	3.02 (2.50-3.64)	3.60 (2.97-4.36)	4.47 (3.60-5.69)	5.20 (4.09-6.70)	5.98 (4.55-7.90)	6.83 (4.99-9.28)	8.03 (5.65-11.2)	9.00 (6.15-12.7)
4-day	2.18 (1.82-2.61)	2.56 (2.13-3.06)	3.22 (2.68-3.87)	3.82 (3.16-4.62)	4.73 (3.83-6.00)	5.49 (4.33-7.04)	6.30 (4.81-8.30)	7.18 (5.26-9.72)	8.43 (5.95-11.7)	9.43 (6.46-13.3)
7-day	2.58 (2.17-3.07)	2.98 (2.50-3.54)	3.68 (3.08-4.39)	4.32 (3.60-5.18)	5.29 (4.31-6.65)	6.09 (4.84-7.76)	6.96 (5.34-9.09)	7.89 (5.82-10.6)	9.21 (6.55-12.8)	10.3 (7.10-14.4)
10-day	2.93 (2.48-3.47)	3.37 (2.84-3.98)	4.13 (3.47-4.90)	4.81 (4.02-5.74)	5.83 (4.76-7.29)	6.68 (5.32-8.45)	7.58 (5.85-9.86)	8.55 (6.34-11.4)	9.92 (7.09-13.7)	11.0 (7.65-15.4)
20-day	3.91 (3.33-4.58)	4.51 (3.84-5.29)	5.52 (4.68-6.50)	6.39 (5.39-7.55)	7.63 (6.25-9.37)	8.62 (6.90-10.8)	9.64 (7.47-12.4)	10.7 (7.98-14.1)	12.2 (8.74-16.6)	13.3 (9.31-18.4)
30-day	4.70 (4.02-5.47)	5.44 (4.65-6.34)	6.65 (5.66-7.78)	7.66 (6.49-9.00)	9.06 (7.44-11.0)	10.1 (8.15-12.5)	11.2 (8.74-14.3)	12.3 (9.24-16.2)	13.8 (9.98-18.7)	15.0 (10.5-20.6)
45-day	5.67 (4.88-6.57)	6.55 (5.63-7.60)	7.97 (6.82-9.27)	9.12 (7.77-10.7)	10.7 (8.79-12.9)	11.9 (9.56-14.5)	13.0 (10.2-16.4)	14.2 (10.6-18.4)	15.6 (11.3-21.0)	16.7 (11.9-23.0)
60-day	6.49 (5.60-7.48)	7.46 (6.43-8.62)	9.01 (7.74-10.4)	10.3 (8.77-11.9)	11.9 (9.82-14.3)	13.1 (10.6-16.0)	14.3 (11.2-18.0)	15.5 (11.7-20.0)	16.9 (12.3-22.6)	18.0 (12.8-24.6)





<sup>1</sup> Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS). Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

[Back to Top](#)

**PF graphical**

### PDS-based depth-duration-frequency (DDF) curves


Latitude: 38.9850°, Longitude: -104.5650°



[Back to Top](#)

## Maps & aerials


Small scale terrain



Large scale terrain




Large scale map



Large scale aerial





[Back to Top](#)

---

[US Department of Commerce](#)  
[National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration](#)  
[National Weather Service](#)  
[National Water Center](#)  
1325 East West Highway  
Silver Spring, MD 20910  
Questions?: [HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov](mailto:HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov)

[Disclaimer](#)

## Rating Options

*Aggregation Method:* Dominant Condition

*Component Percent Cutoff:* None Specified

*Tie-break Rule:* Higher

## **APPENDIX C**

### **Hydrologic Computations**

**COMPOSITE % IMPERVIOUS CALCULATIONS: EXISTING & INTERIM**

Subdivision: Grandview Reserve  
 Location: CO, El Paso County

Project Name: Grandview Subdivision PDR - Interim Conditions  
 Project No.: HRG01  
 Calculated By: TJE  
 Checked By: BAS  
 Date: 12/21/23

Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	Paved/Gravel Roads			Lawns/Undeveloped			Residential - 1/8 Acre			Residential - 1/4 Acre			Residential - 1/3 Acre			Residential - 1/2 Acre			Residential - 1 Acre			Basins Total Weighted % Imp.
		% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	
<b>EXISTING</b>																							
For Existing Western Offsite Sub-basin analysis, see Rational Calcs Included, from titled "Eastonville Road Preliminary Drainage Report", by HR Green, September 2023																							
ES-1	16.37	100	0	0	2	16.37	2	65	0	0	40	0	0	30	0	0	25	0	0	20	0	0	2
ES-2	46.05	100	0	0	2	46.05	2	65	0	0	40	0	0	30	0	0	25	0	0	20	0	0	2
ES-3	64.3	100	0	0	2	64.3	2	65	0	0	40	0	0	30	0	0	25	0	0	20	0	0	2
ES-4	2.68	100	0	0	2	2.68	2	65	0	0	40	0	0	30	0	0	25	0	0	20	0	0	2
ES-5	26.15	100	0	0	2	26.15	2	65	0	0	40	0	0	30	0	0	25	0	0	20	0	0	2
ES-6	21.26	100	0	0	2	21.26	2	65	0	0	40	0	0	30	0	0	25	0	0	20	0	0	2
<b>INTERIM</b>																							
For Existing Western Offsite Sub-basin analysis, see Rational Calcs Included, from titled "Eastonville Road Preliminary Drainage Report", by HR Green, September 2023																							
A-1	2.29	100	0.00	0.0	2	2.29	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
A-2	3.96	100	0.00	0.0	2	3.96	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
EA-1	2.50	100	0.00	0.0	2	2.50	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
TSB-A1	10.67	100	0.00	0.0	2	10.67	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
TSB-A2	4.56	100	0.00	0.0	2	4.56	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
TSB-A3	13.72	100	0.00	0.0	2	13.72	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
TSB-B1	14.03	100	0.00	0.0	2	14.03	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
TSB-B2	14.48	100	0.00	0.0	2	14.48	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
TSB-C1	11.26	100	0.00	0.0	2	11.26	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
TSB-C2	11.92	100	0.00	0.0	2	11.92	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
TSB-C3	15.29	100	0.00	0.0	2	15.29	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
TSB-D1	10.09	100	0.00	0.0	2	10.09	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
TSB-E1	8.21	100	0.00	0.0	2	8.21	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0
TSB-E2	13.57	100	0.00	0.0	2	13.57	2.0	65.0	0.00	0.0	40	0.00	0.0	30	0.00	0.0	25	0.00	0.0	20	0.00	0.0	2.0

Lot Type Identification:	
Lot Size (SF)	Lot Size (Acre)
0 - 8,167	1/8 Acre
8,168 - 12,704	1/4 Acre
12,705 - 18,149	1/3 Acre
18,150 - 32,670	1/2 Acre
32,671 - 43,560	1 Acre

**NOTES:**  
 % Impervious values are taken directly from Table 6-6 in the Colorado Springs DCM Vol. 1. CH. 6 (Referencing UDFCD 2001)

**COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT CALCULATIONS: EXISTING & INTERIM**

Subdivision: Grandview Reserve  
 Location: CO, El Paso County

Project Name: Grandview Subdivision PDR - Interim Conditions  
 Project No.: HRG01  
 Calculated By: TJE  
 Checked By: BAS  
 Date: 12/21/23

Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	Paved/Gravel Roads			Lawns/Undeveloped			Roofs			Residential - 1/8 Acre			Residential - 1/4 Acre			Residential - 1/3 Acre			Residential - 1/2 Acre			Residential - 1 Acre			Composite C <sub>5</sub>	Composite C <sub>100</sub>
		C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Area (ac)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Area (ac)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Area (ac)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Area (ac)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Area (ac)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Area (ac)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Area (ac)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Area (ac)		
<b>EXISTING</b>																											
For Existing Western Offsite Sub-basin analysis, see Rational Calcs Included, from titled "Eastonville Road Preliminary Drainage Report", by HR Green, September 2023																											
ES-1	16.37	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	16.37	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
ES-2	46.05	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	46.05	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
ES-3	64.30	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	64.30	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
ES-4	2.68	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	2.68	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
ES-5	26.15	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	26.15	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
ES-6	21.26	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	21.26	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
<b>INTERIM</b>																											
For Existing Western Offsite Sub-basin analysis, see Rational Calcs Included, from titled "Eastonville Road Preliminary Drainage Report", by HR Green, September 2023																											
A-1	2.29	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	2.29	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
A-2	3.96	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	3.96	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
EA-1	2.50	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	2.50	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
TSB-A1	10.67	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	10.67	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
TSB-A2	4.56	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	4.56	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
TSB-A3	13.72	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	13.72	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
TSB-B1	14.03	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	14.03	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
TSB-B2	14.48	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	14.48	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
TSB-C1	11.26	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	11.26	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
TSB-C2	11.92	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	11.92	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
TSB-C3	15.29	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	15.29	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
TSB-D1	10.09	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	10.09	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
TSB-E1	8.21	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	8.21	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36
TSB-E2	13.57	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.09	0.36	13.57	0.73	0.81	0.00	0.45	0.59	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.25	0.47	0.00	0.22	0.46	0.00	0.20	0.44	0.00	0.09	0.36

Lot Type Identification:	
Lot Size (SF)	Lot Size (Acre)
0 - 8,167	<= 1/8 Acre
8,168 - 12,704	1/4 Acre
12,705 - 18,149	1/3 Acre
18,150 - 32,670	1/2 Acre
32,671 - 43,560	1 Acre

**NOTES:**  
 C values are taken directly from Table 6-6 in the Colorado Springs DCM Vol. 1. CH. 6 (Referencing UDFCD 2001)  
 Coefficients use HSG A&B soils - Refer to "Appendix A: Exhibits and Figures" for soil map

# STANDARD FORM SF-2: EXISTING & INTERIM TIME OF CONCENTRATION

Subdivision: Grandview Reserve  
Location: CO, El Paso County

Project Name: Grandview Subdivision PDR - Interim Conditions  
Project No.: HRG01  
Calculated By: TJE  
Checked By: BAS  
Date: 12/21/23

SUB-BASIN						INITIAL/OVERLAND			TRAVEL TIME					Tc CHECK			FINAL
BASIN ID	D.A. (AC)	Hydrologic Soils Group	Impervious (%)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	(T <sub>i</sub> )			(T <sub>t</sub> )					(T <sub>c</sub> )			T <sub>c</sub> (MIN)
						L (FT)	S (%)	T <sub>i</sub> (MIN)	L (FT)	S (%)	C <sub>v</sub>	VEL. (FPS)	T <sub>t</sub> (MIN)	COMP. T <sub>c</sub> (MIN)	TOTAL LENGTH(FT)	Calculated T <sub>c</sub> (MIN)	
<b>EXISTING</b>																	
For Existing Western Offsite Sub-basin analysis, see Rational Calcs Included, from titled "Eastonville Road Preliminary Drainage Report", by HR Green, September 2023																	
ES-1	16.37	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	300	3.3	21.6	1433	2.5	15	2.4	10.0	31.6	1732.7	19.6	31.6
ES-2	46.05	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	300	2.5	23.6	3127	2.0	15	2.1	24.7	48.3	3427.0	29.0	48.3
ES-3	64.30	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	300	3.2	21.7	3964	2.1	15	2.2	30.4	52.1	4263.6	33.7	52.1
ES-4	2.68	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	300	2.5	23.8	462	2.4	15	2.3	3.3	27.1	762.3	14.2	27.1
ES-5	26.15	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	300	3.1	22.1	2121	2.3	15	2.3	15.6	37.7	2420.8	23.4	37.7
ES-6	21.26	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	300	2.6	20.0	1488	2.1	15	2.2	11.4	22.2	1788.6	10.0	22.2
<b>INTERIM</b>																	
For Existing Western Offsite Sub-basin analysis, see Rational Calcs Included, from titled "Eastonville Road Preliminary Drainage Report", by HR Green, September 2023																	
A-1	2.29	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	40	2.0	9.3	927	2.9	10	1.7	9.1	18.4	967.0	15.4	15.4
A-2	3.96	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	56	2.0	11.0	828	2.5	10	1.6	8.7	19.7	884.0	14.9	14.9
EA-1	2.50	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	160	5.0	13.7	1254	0.5	10	0.7	29.6	43.2	1414.0	17.9	17.9
TSB-A1	10.67	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	136	2.0	17.1	865	3.0	10	1.7	8.4	25.5	1001.0	15.6	15.6
TSB-A2	4.56	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	163	2.0	18.7	749	3.8	10	1.9	6.4	25.1	912.0	15.1	15.1
TSB-A3	13.72	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	159	2.0	18.5	1220	2.3	10	1.5	13.4	31.9	1379.0	17.7	17.7
TSB-B1	14.03	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	212	2.0	21.4	1035	3.2	10	1.8	9.6	31.0	1247.0	16.9	16.9
TSB-B2	14.48	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	60	2.0	11.4	1245	2.8	10	1.7	12.4	23.7	1305.0	17.3	17.3
TSB-C1	11.26	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	300	2.0	25.4	1105	2.0	10	1.4	12.9	38.3	1405.0	17.8	17.8
TSB-C2	11.92	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	50	2.0	10.4	1151	3.1	10	1.8	10.9	21.3	1201.0	16.7	16.7
TSB-C3	15.29	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	181	2.0	19.7	1745	2.6	10	1.6	18.2	38.0	1926.0	20.7	20.7
TSB-D1	10.09	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	155	2.0	18.3	1450	2.0	10	1.4	17.1	35.4	1605.0	18.9	18.9
TSB-E1	8.21	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	150	2.0	18.0	842	4.1	10	2.0	6.9	24.9	992.0	15.5	15.5
TSB-E2	13.57	A	2.0	0.09	0.36	300	2.0	25.4	989	2.0	10	1.4	11.7	37.1	1289.0	17.2	17.2

**NOTES:**

$T_i = (0.395 * (1.1 - C_5) * L)^{0.5} / ((S)^{0.33})$ , S in ft/ft

$T_t = L / 60V$  (Velocity From Fig. 501)

Velocity  $V = C_v * S^{0.5}$ , S in ft/ft

Tc Check =  $10 + L / 180$

For Urbanized basins a minimum T<sub>c</sub> of 5.0 minutes is required.

For non-urbanized basins a minimum T<sub>c</sub> of 10.0 minutes is required

**STANDARD FORM SF-3: EXISTING & INTERIM  
STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN  
(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)**

Subdivision: Grandview Reserve  
 Location: CO, El Paso County  
 Design Storm: 5-Year

Project Name: Grandview Subdivision PDR - Interim Conditions  
 Project No.: HRG01  
 Calculated By: TJE  
 Checked By: BAS  
 Date: 12/21/23

STREET	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET		PIPE		TRAVEL TIME			REMARKS
		Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	
<b>EXISTING</b>																				
	1	EX1	321.53				28.3				28.3									**SEE NOTE
	2	EX2	18.88				1.7				1.7									**SEE NOTE
	3	EX3	131.26				6.1				6.1									**SEE NOTE
	4	EX4	832.70				22.4				22.4									**SEE NOTE
	5	EX5	22.35				7.0				7.0									**SEE NOTE
	6	EX6	3.05				1.2				1.2									**SEE NOTE
	7	EX7	1.47				0.9				0.9									**SEE NOTE
	X1	ES-1	16.37	0.09	31.6	1.47	2.35	3.5			4.7									Sheet flow to Channel A Total Flow from DP 6 & Basin ES-1
	X2	ES-2	46.05	0.09	48.3	4.14	1.82	7.5			36.9									Sheet flow to Channel A Total Flow from DP 4, DP 5 & Basin ES-2
	X3	ES-3	64.30	0.09	52.1	5.79	1.73	10.0			10.0									Sheet flow offsite - outfalls to Channel B
	X4	ES-4	2.68	0.09	27.1	0.24	2.57	0.6			0.6									Sheet flow offsite - outfalls to Channel B
	X5	ES-5	26.15	0.09	37.7	2.35	2.12	5.0			5.0									Sheet flow offsite - outfalls to Channel B
	X6	ES-6	31.26	0.09	32.3	2.81	2.32	6.5			40.9									Sheet flow offsite - outfalls to Channel B Total Flow from DP 1, DP 3 & ES-6
	X7										56.5									Total Existing Flow offsite - outfalls to Channel B
**For Existing Western Offsite Sub-basin analysis, see Rational Calcs Included, from titled "Eastonville Road Preliminary Drainage Report", by HR Green, September 2023																				
<b>INTERIM</b>																				
	8	A-1	2.29	0.09	15.4	0.21	3.42	0.7			0.7									Flows onsite through Pr. Swale A-1
	9	TSB-A1	10.67	0.09	15.6	0.96	3.40	3.3			3.3									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded
	10	A-2	3.96	0.09	14.9	0.36	3.47	1.2			10.6									Flows offsite through Pr. Swale A-2 Combined flow of Basin A-2, DP 3 & DP 9
	11	TSB-A2	4.56	0.09	15.1	0.41	3.46	1.4			1.4									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded
	12	TSB-A3	13.72	0.09	17.7	1.23	3.21	3.9			5.4									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded Combined flow of Basin TSB-A3 & DP 11
	13	TSB-B1	14.03	0.09	16.9	1.26	3.27	4.1			4.1									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded
	14	TSB-B2	14.48	0.09	17.3	1.30	3.24	4.2			8.3									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded Combined flow of Basin TSB-B2 & DP13
	15	TSB-C1	11.26	0.09	17.8	1.01	3.19	3.2			3.2									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded
	16	TSB-C2	11.92	0.09	16.7	1.07	3.30	3.5			3.5									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded
	17	TSB-C3	15.29	0.09	20.7	1.38	2.96	4.1			7.3									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded Combined flow of Basin TSB-C3 & DP 15
	18	TSB-D1	10.09	0.09	18.9	0.91	3.10	2.8			2.8									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded
	19	TSB-E1	8.21	0.09	15.5	0.74	3.41	2.5			2.5									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded
	20	TSB-E2	13.57	0.09	17.2	1.22	3.25	4.0			6.5									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded Combined flow of Basin TSB-E2 & DP 19
	21	EA-1	2.50	0.09	17.9	0.23	3.19	0.7			8.9									Existing Eastonville Road Combined flow of Basin EA-1, DP 5 & DP 6

**STANDARD FORM SF-3: EXISTING & INTERIM  
STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN  
(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)**

Subdivision: Grandview Reserve  
 Location: CO, El Paso County  
 Design Storm: 100-Year

Project Name: Grandview Subdivision PDR - Interim Conditions  
 Project No.: HRG01  
 Calculated By: TJE  
 Checked By: BAS  
 Date: 12/21/23

STREET	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET		PIPE			TRAVEL TIME			REMARKS
		Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Tt (min)	
<b>EXISTING</b>																					
	1	EX1	321.53								365.2									**SEE NOTE	
	2	EX2	18.88								18.8									**SEE NOTE	
	3	EX3	131.26								112.1									**SEE NOTE	
	4	EX4	832.70								491.0									**SEE NOTE	
	5	EX5	22.35								43.3									**SEE NOTE	
	6	EX6	3.05								6.9									**SEE NOTE	
	7	EX7	1.47								4.2									**SEE NOTE	
	X1	ES-1	16.37	0.36	31.6	5.89	4.19	24.7			31.6									Sheet flow to Channel A Total Flow from DP 6 & Basin ES-1	
	X2	ES-2	46.05	0.36	48.3	16.58	3.24	53.7			588.0									Sheet flow to Channel A Total Flow from DP 4, DP 5 & Basin ES-2	
	X3	ES-3	64.30	0.36	52.1	23.15	3.09	71.5			71.5									Sheet flow offsite - outfalls to Channel B	
	X4	ES-4	2.68	0.36	27.1	0.96	4.57	4.4			4.4									Sheet flow offsite - outfalls to Channel B	
	X5	ES-5	26.15	0.36	37.7	9.41	3.77	35.5			35.5									Sheet flow offsite - outfalls to Channel B	
	X6	ES-6	31.26	0.36	32.3	11.25	4.13	46.5			523.8									Sheet flow offsite - outfalls to Channel B Total Flow from DP 1, DP 3 & ES-6	
	X7										635.2									Total Existing Flow offsite - outfalls to Channel B	
**For Existing Western Offsite Sub-basin analysis, see Rational Calcs Included, from titled "Eastonville Road Preliminary Drainage Report", by HR Green, September 2023																					
<b>INTERIM</b>																					
	8	A-1	2.29	0.36	15.4	0.82	6.09	5.0			5.0									Flows onsite through Pt. Swale A-1	
	9	TSB-A1	10.67	0.36	15.6	3.84	6.06	23.3			23.3									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded	
	10	A-2	3.96	0.36	14.9	1.43	6.18	8.8			144.2									Flows offsite through Pr. Swale A-2 Combined flow of Basin A-2, DP 3 & DP 9	
	11	TSB-A2	4.56	0.36	15.1	1.64	6.15	10.1			10.1									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded	
	12	TSB-A3	13.72	0.36	17.7	4.94	5.71	28.2			38.3									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded Combined flow of Basin TSB-A3 & DP 11	
	13	TSB-B1	14.03	0.36	16.9	5.05	5.82	29.4			29.4									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded	
	14	TSB-B2	14.48	0.36	17.3	5.21	5.77	30.1			59.5									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded Combined flow of Basin TSB-B2 & DP13	
	15	TSB-C1	11.26	0.36	17.8	4.05	5.68	23.0			23.0									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded	
	16	TSB-C2	11.92	0.36	16.7	4.29	5.87	25.2			25.2									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded	
	17	TSB-C3	15.29	0.36	20.7	5.50	5.27	29.0			52.0									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded Combined flow of Basin TSB-C3 & DP 15	
	18	TSB-D1	10.09	0.36	18.9	3.63	5.52	20.0			20.0									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded	
	19	TSB-E1	8.21	0.36	15.5	2.96	6.07	18.0			18.0									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded	
	20	TSB-E2	13.57	0.36	17.2	4.89	5.79	28.3			46.3									Residential Undeveloped-Overland Graded Combined flow of Basin TSB-E2 & DP 19	
	21	EA-1	2.50	0.36	17.9	0.90	5.68	5.1			55.3									Existing Eastonville Road Combined flow of Basin EA-1, DP 5 & DP 6	



### COMPOSITE % IMPERVIOUS CALCULATIONS

**Subdivision:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Location:** CO, Falcon (El Paso County)

**Project Name:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Project No.:** HRG02.20  
**Calculated By:** TJE  
**Checked By:** BAS  
**Date:** 3/14/24

Basin ID	Total Area (ac)	Paved Roads			Lawns / Undeveloped			Residential - 1/8 Acre			Basins Total Weighted % Imp.
		% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	% Imp.	Area (ac)	Weighted % Imp.	
D-1	2.73	100		0.0	2	0.80	0.6	65	1.93	46.0	46.6
D-2	0.57	100		0.0	2		0.0	65	0.57	65.0	65.0
D-3	4.33	100		0.0	2	0.36	0.2	65	3.97	59.6	59.8
D-4	3.65	100	0.11	3.0	2	0.48	0.3	65	3.06	54.5	57.8
D-5	1.59	100		0.0	2	1.07	1.3	65	0.52	21.3	22.6
D-6	0.92	100		0.0	2	0.75	1.6	65	0.17	12.0	13.6
E-1	4.47	100		0.0	2	1.26	0.6	65	3.21	46.7	47.3
E-2	1.94	100		0.0	2		0.0	65	1.94	65.0	65.0
E-3a	2.90	100		0.0	2		0.0	65	2.90	65.0	65.0
E-3b	2.12	100		0.0	2		0.0	65	2.12	65.0	65.0
E-4a	7.45	100		0.0	2	1.92	0.5	65	5.53	48.2	48.7
E-4b	1.00	100		0.0	2		0.0	65	1.00	65.0	65.0
E-5	1.43	100		0.0	2	1.18	1.7	65	0.25	11.4	13.1
E-6	2.40	100	0.25	10.4	2	2.00	1.7	65	0.15	4.1	16.2

**Unresolved:**  
Need to include area for roads within each basin. Such as D-1 has west half of Kate Meadow Lane & D-2 has east half of Kate Meadow Lane. Only, D-5, D-6, E-5 and E-6 appear to not have any paved roads within them.

REVISED PER LOTS 58 AND 59 BEING REMOVED AND ADDED TO TRACT C - OTHER AREAS ARE ACCOUNTED FOR IN RESIDENTIAL IMPERVIOUSNESS PER MEETING W/ COUNTY

**STANDARD FORM SF-2  
TIME OF CONCENTRATION**

**Subdivision:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Location:** CO, Falcon (El Paso County)

**Project Name:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Project No.:** HRG02.20  
**Calculated By:** TJE  
**Checked By:** BAS  
**Date:** 3/14/24

SUB-BASIN						INITIAL/OVERLAND			TRAVEL TIME					Tc CHECK			FINAL
DATA						(T <sub>i</sub> )			(T <sub>t</sub> )					(URBANIZED BASINS)			
BASIN ID	D.A. (AC)	Hydrologic Soils Group	Impervious (%)	C <sub>100</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	L (FT)	S (%)	T <sub>i</sub> (MIN)	L (FT)	S (%)	C <sub>v</sub>	VEL. (FPS)	T <sub>t</sub> (MIN)	COMP. T <sub>c</sub> (MIN)	TOTAL LENGTH (FT)	Urbanized T <sub>c</sub> (MIN)	T <sub>c</sub> (MIN)
D-1	2.73	A	46.6	0.47	0.32	64	4.8	6.8	425	2.2	20.0	3.0	2.4	9.2	489.0	12.7	9.2
D-2	0.57	A	65.0	0.62	0.50	18	2.0	3.7	313	1.0	20.0	2.0	2.6	6.3	331.0	11.8	6.3
D-3	4.33	A	59.8	0.58	0.45	25	2.0	4.7	522	1.5	20.0	2.4	3.6	8.3	547.0	13.0	8.3
D-4	3.65	A	57.8	0.56	0.43	70	4.0	6.5	679	1.5	20.0	2.4	4.6	11.1	749.0	14.2	11.1
D-5	1.59	A	22.6	0.29	0.13	72	25.0	5.2	238	0.5	20.0	1.4	2.8	8.0	310.0	11.7	8.0
D-6	0.92	A	13.6	0.22	0.07	40	33.3	3.7				0.0	0.0	3.7	40.0	10.2	5.0
E-1	4.47	A	47.3	0.48	0.33	55	3.0	7.3	804	3.0	20.0	3.5	3.9	11.1	859.0	14.8	11.1
E-2	1.94	A	65.0	0.62	0.50	31	2.0	4.9	346	3.0	20.0	3.5	1.7	6.5	377.0	12.1	6.5
E-3a	2.90	A	65.0	0.62	0.50	55	4.0	5.1	644	1.5	20.0	2.4	4.4	9.5	699.0	13.9	9.5
E-3b	2.12	A	65.0	0.62	0.50	55	4.0	5.1	248	1.0	20.0	2.0	2.1	7.2	303.0	11.7	7.2
E-4a	7.45	A	48.7	0.49	0.34	55	4.0	6.5	813	1.5	20.0	2.4	5.5	12.0	868.0	14.8	12.0
E-4b	1.00	A	65.0	0.62	0.50	55	4.0	5.1	248	1.0	20.0	2.0	2.1	7.2	303.0	11.7	7.2
E-5	1.43	A	13.1	0.21	0.06	75	15.0	6.7	318	0.5	20.0	1.4	3.7	10.4	393.0	12.2	10.4
E-6	2.40	A	16.2	0.24	0.08	50	33.3	4.1				0.0	0.0	4.1	50.0	10.3	5.0

**NOTES:**

$T_i = (0.395 * (1.1 - C_5) * L^{0.5}) / ((S)^{0.33})$ , S in ft/ft

$T_t = L / 60V$  (Velocity From Fig. 501)

Velocity  $V = C_v * S^{0.5}$ , S in ft/ft

Tc Check =  $10 + L / 180$

For Urbanized basins a minimum T<sub>c</sub> of 5.0 minutes is required.

For non-urbanized basins a minimum T<sub>c</sub> of 10.0 minutes is required

**STANDARD FORM SF-3**  
**STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN**  
(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
Location: CO, Falcon (El Paso County)  
Design Storm: 5-Year

Project Name: Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
Project No.: HRG02.20  
Calculated By: TJE  
Checked By: BAS  
Date: 3/14/24

STREET	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET		PIPE				TRAVEL TIME			REMARKS
		Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (Inches)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Tt (min)		
	D1	D-1	2.73	0.32	9.2	0.87	3.03	2.6						2.6							CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP)	
	D2	D-2	0.57	0.50	6.3	0.29	3.46	1.0						1.0							CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP)	
	D3													3.6							DP D1 + D2	
	D4	D-3	4.33	0.45	8.3	1.95	3.15	6.1						6.1							CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP)	
	D5													9.8							DP D3 + D4	
	D6	D-4	3.65	0.43	11.1	1.57	2.80	4.4						14.2							CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP) -> BASIN D-4 + DP D5	
	D7	D-5	1.59	0.13	8.0	0.21	3.19	0.7						14.8							TOTAL FLOW ENTERING POND D	
	D8	D-6	0.92	0.07	5.0	0.06	3.70	0.2						0.2							DISCHARGE FROM POND D (MHFD - DETENTION) FLOWS OFF SITE TO CHANNEL B	
	E1	E-1	4.47	0.33	11.1	1.48	2.79	4.1				3.0	0.0	4.1							CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (AT-GRADE) Qcap=4.1 cfs, Qco=0 cfs to DP E4	
	E2	E-2	1.94	0.50	6.5	0.97	3.42	3.3				3.0	0.0	3.3							CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (AT-GRADE) Qcap=3.3 cfs, Qco=0 cfs to DP E4	
	E3													7.4							DP E1 + E2	
	E4	E-3a	2.9	0.50	9.5	1.45	2.98	4.3				1.5	0.0	4.3							CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (AT-GRADE) Qcap=4.3 cfs, Qco=0 cfs to DP E7	
	E5	E-4a	7.45	0.34	12.0	2.53	2.70	6.8				1.5	0.2	6.6							CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (AT-GRADE) Qcap=6.6 cfs, Qco=0.2 cfs to DP E9	
	E6													18.3							DP E3 + E4 + E5	
	E7	E-3b	2.12	0.50	7.2	1.06	3.31	3.5						3.5							CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP)	
	E8													21.8							DP E6 + E7	
	E9	E-4b	1.00	0.50	7.2	0.50	3.31	1.7	12.0	0.59	2.70	1.7		1.7							CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP) -> BASIN E-4b + DP E8	
	E10	E-5	1.43	0.06	10.4	0.09	2.87	0.3						2.0							TOTAL FLOW ENTERING POND E	
	E11	E-6	2.40	0.08	5.0	0.19	3.70	0.7						0.4							DISCHARGE FROM POND E (MHFD - DETENTION) FLOWS OFF SITE TO CHANNEL B	

**REVISED AS  
REQUESTED**

At-grade inlets should be shown/have flows crossing over the crown. Bypass flows will continue in c&g to next inlet. It's ok to do this on the sump inlets as shown but indicate what the 100-year water elevation will be at those locations, so we can see how much is inundated during the major storm. Give me a call if you want to discuss this more in depth. Also see comments on inlet management spreadsheet.

STANDARD FORM SF-3  
STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM DESIGN  
(RATIONAL METHOD PROCEDURE)

Subdivision: Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
Location: CO, Falcon (El Paso County)  
Design Storm: 100-Year

Project  
Calculat  
Check

STREET	Design Point	DIRECT RUNOFF							TOTAL RUNOFF				STREET		REMARKS						
		Basin ID	Area (Ac)	Runoff Coeff.	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Tc (min)	C*A (Ac)	I (in/hr)	Q (cfs)	Slope (%)	Street Flow (cfs)	Design Flow (cfs)	Slope (%)	Pipe Size (in)	Length (ft)	Velocity (fps)	Tt (min)	
	D1	D-1	2.73	0.47	9.2	1.28	6.23	8.0						5.3							FLOW OVER TOP CROWN -> Q=(8.0+2.5)/2=5.3 CFS CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP)
	D2	D-2	0.57	0.62	6.3	0.35	7.11	2.5						5.3						FLOW OVER TOP CROWN -> Q=(8.0+2.5)/2=5.3 CFS CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP)	
	D3													10.5						DP D1 + D2	
	D4	D-3	4.33	0.58	8.3	2.51	6.48	16.3						14.1						FLOW OVER TOP CROWN -> Q=(16.3+11.8)/2=14.1 CFS CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP)	
	D5													24.6						DP D3 + D4	
	D6	D-4	3.65	0.56	11.1	2.04	5.76	11.8						25.8						FLOW OVER TOP CROWN -> Q=(16.3+11.8)/2=14.1 CFS CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP) -> BASIN D-4 + DP D5	
	D7	D-5	1.59	0.29	8.0	0.46	6.57	3.0						28.8						TOTAL FLOW ENTERING POND D	
	D8													8.0						DISCHARGE FROM POND D (MHFD - DETENTION) FLOWS OFF SITE TO CHANNEL B	
		D-6	0.92	0.22	5.0	0.20	7.62	1.5													
	E1	E-1	4.47	0.48	11.1	2.15	5.75	12.4				3.0	2.5	9.9						CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (AT-GRADE) Qcap=9.9 cfs, Qco=2.5 cfs to DP E4	
	E2	E-2	1.94	0.62	6.5	1.20	7.04	8.4				3.0	0.6	7.8						CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (AT-GRADE) Qcap=7.8 cfs, Qco=0.6 cfs to DP E4	
	E3													17.7						DP E1 + E2	
	E4	E-3a	2.9	0.62	9.5	1.80	6.13	11.0	11.1	2.32	5.75	13.3	1.5	5.1	11.7					CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (AT-GRADE) -> Q=(13.3+20.3)/2=16.8 CFS Qcap=11.7 cfs, Qco=5.1 cfs to DP E9	
	E5	E-4a	7.45	0.49	12.0	3.65	5.55	20.3				16.8	1.5	5.1	11.7					CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (AT-GRADE) -> Q=(13.3+20.3)/2=16.8 CFS Qcap=11.7 cfs, Qco=5.1 cfs to DP E9	
	E6													41.1						DP E3 + E4 + E5	
	E7	E-3b	2.12	0.62	7.2	1.31	6.81	8.9	9.5	2.20	6.13	13.5		11.0						FLOW OVER TOP CROWN -> Q=(13.5+8.5)/2=11.0 CFS CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP)	
	E8													52.1						DP E6 + E7	
	E9	E-4b	1	0.62	7.2	0.62	6.81	4.2	12.0	1.54	5.55	8.5		63.1						FLOW OVER TOP CROWN -> Q=(13.5+8.5)/2=11.0 CFS CDOT TYPE 'R' INLET (SUMP) -> BASIN E-4b + DP E8	
	E10	E-5	1.43	0.21	10.4	0.30	5.91	1.8						64.9						TOTAL FLOW ENTERING POND E	
	E11	E-6	2.40	0.24	5.0	0.58	7.62	4.4						14.9						DISCHARGE FROM POND E (MHFD - DETENTION) FLOWS OFF SITE TO CHANNEL B	

## **APPENDIX D**

### **Hydraulic Computations**

# INLET MANAGEMENT

Worksheet Protected

INLET NAME	Inlet D1 (Basin D-1)	Inlet D2 (Basin D-2)	Inlet D4 (Basin D-3)	Inlet D6 (Basin D-4)
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	In Sump	In Sump	In Sump	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

### USER-DEFINED INPUT

User-Defined Design Flows				
Minor $Q_{known}$ (cfs)	2.6	1.0	6.1	4.4
Major $Q_{known}$ (cfs)	5.3	5.3	14.1	14.1
Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream <span style="font-weight: normal; color: #0070c0;">Inlets must be organized from upstream (left) to downstream (right) in order for bypass flows to be linked.</span>				
Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received
Minor Bypass Flow Received, $Q_b$ (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, $Q_b$ (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Watershed Characteristics				
Subcatchment Area (acres)				
Percent Impervious				
NRCS Soil Type				
Watershed Profile				
Overland Slope (ft/ft)				
Overland Length (ft)				
Channel Slope (ft/ft)				
Channel Length (ft)				
Minor Storm Rainfall Input				
Design Storm Return Period, T, (years)				
One-Hour Precipitation, P <sub>1</sub> , (inches)				
Major Storm Rainfall Input				
Design Storm Return Period, T, (years)				
One-Hour Precipitation, P <sub>1</sub> , (inches)				


### CALCULATED OUTPUT

<b>Minor Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>4.4</b>
<b>Major Total Design Peak Flow, Q (cfs)</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>14.1</b>	<b>14.1</b>
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, $Q_b$ (cfs)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, $Q_b$ (cfs)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

# ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)


**Project:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Inlet ID:** Inlet D1 (Basin D-1)



<b>Gutter Geometry:</b>										
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	$T_{BACK} = 7.5$ ft									
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	$S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft									
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{BACK} = 0.020$									
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches									
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown	$T_{CROWN} = 16.0$ ft									
Gutter Width	$W = 0.83$ ft									
Street Transverse Slope	$S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft									
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)	$S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft									
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition	$S_o = 0.000$ ft/ft									
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{STREET} = 0.016$									
Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; margin-right: 10px;"> <tr><th></th><th>Minor Storm</th><th>Major Storm</th></tr> <tr><td><math>T_{MAX}</math></td><td>16.0</td><td>16.0</td></tr> <tr><td><math>d_{MAX}</math></td><td>4.4</td><td>7.7</td></tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm	$T_{MAX}$	16.0	16.0	$d_{MAX}$	4.4	7.7
	Minor Storm	Major Storm								
$T_{MAX}$	16.0	16.0								
$d_{MAX}$	4.4	7.7								
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; margin-right: 10px;"> <tr><th></th><th>Minor Storm</th><th>Major Storm</th></tr> <tr><td><math>d_{MAX}</math></td><td>4.4</td><td>7.7</td></tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm	$d_{MAX}$	4.4	7.7			
	Minor Storm	Major Storm								
$d_{MAX}$	4.4	7.7								
Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>									
<b>Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread</b>										
Water Depth without Gutter Depression ( $T * S_x * 12$ )	$y = 3.84$ inches									
Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ( $W * S_w * 12$ )	$d_c = 0.8$ inches									
Gutter Depression ( $d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$ )	$a = 0.63$ inches									
Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ( $y + a$ )	$d = 4.47$ inches									
Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )	$T_x = 15.2$ ft									
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)	$E_o = 0.149$									
Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_x$	$Q_x = 0.0$ cfs									
Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_T - Q_x - Q_{BACK}$ )	$Q_w = 0.0$ cfs									
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$ cfs									
Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread	$Q_T = \text{SUMP}$ cfs									
Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section	$V = 0.0$ fps									
$V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth	$V*d = 0.0$									
<b>Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth</b>										
Theoretical Water Spread	$T_{TH} = 15.7$ ft									
Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )	$T_{x, TH} = 14.9$ ft									
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)	$E_o = 0.152$									
Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{x, TH}$	$Q_{x, TH} = 0.0$ cfs									
Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance $T_{CROWN}$ )	$Q_x = 0.0$ cfs									
Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_d - Q_x$ )	$Q_w = 0.0$ cfs									
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$ cfs									
Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)	$Q = \text{SUMP}$ cfs									
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section	$V = 0.0$ fps									
$V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth	$V*d = 0.0$									
Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$	$R = \text{SUMP}$									
Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)	$Q_d = \text{SUMP}$ cfs									
Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)	$d =$ inches									
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)	$d_{CROWN} =$ inches									
<b>MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition</b>										
<b>MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition</b>										
	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; margin-right: 10px;"> <tr><th></th><th>Minor Storm</th><th>Major Storm</th></tr> <tr><td><math>Q_{allow}</math></td><td>SUMP</td><td>SUMP</td></tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm	$Q_{allow}$	SUMP	SUMP			
	Minor Storm	Major Storm								
$Q_{allow}$	SUMP	SUMP								

# INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR		MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening			
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	Type =		CDOT Type R Curb Opening	
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	a <sub>local</sub> =		3.00	3.00
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	No =		1	1
<b>Grate Information</b>	Ponding Depth =		4.4	7.7
Length of a Unit Grate	L <sub>o</sub> (G) =		N/A	N/A
Width of a Unit Grate	W <sub>o</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =		N/A	N/A
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =		N/A	N/A
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =		N/A	N/A
<b>Curb Opening Information</b>	L <sub>o</sub> (C) =		5.00	5.00
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	H <sub>vert</sub> =		6.00	6.00
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H <sub>throat</sub> =		6.00	6.00
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	Theta =		63.40	63.40
Angle of Throat	W <sub>o</sub> =		0.83	0.83
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	C <sub>f</sub> (C) =		0.10	0.10
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =		3.60	3.60
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =		0.67	0.67
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	Coef =		N/A	N/A
<b>Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)</b>	Clog =		N/A	N/A
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Q <sub>wi</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Q <sub>wa</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	Q <sub>oi</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>oa</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>mi</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Grate Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	Q <sub>ma</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>Grate</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Interception with Clogging				
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow				
Interception without Clogging				
Interception with Clogging				
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)				
<b>Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)</b>	Coef =		1.00	1.00
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Clog =		0.10	0.10
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Q <sub>wi</sub> =		3.8	10.1
Curb Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	Q <sub>wa</sub> =		3.4	9.1
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>oi</sub> =		8.4	11.0
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>oa</sub> =		7.6	9.9
Curb Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	Q <sub>mi</sub> =		5.3	9.8
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>ma</sub> =		4.7	8.8
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>Curb</sub> =		3.4	8.8
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)				
<b>Resultant Street Conditions</b>	L =		5.00	5.00
Total Inlet Length	T =		15.7	29.5
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)	d <sub>CROWN</sub> =		0.0	3.2
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown				
<b>Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)</b>	d <sub>Grate</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d <sub>Curb</sub> =		0.30	0.57
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =		1.00	1.00
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =		N/A	N/A
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets				
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	Q <sub>s</sub> =		3.4	8.8
<b>Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (&gt;Q Peak)</b>	Q <sub>PEAK REQUIRED</sub> =		2.6	5.3

\*THIS NOTE WILL BE ADDED TO RELEVANT SHEETS\*  
WATER FLOWS OVER CROWN IN 100-YR EVENT, BUT MEETS CRITERIA OF 0 FLOW OVER CROWN IN 5-YR EVENT


Explain what is happening with this message (all sheets)



## ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)


**Project:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Inlet ID:** Inlet D2 (Basin D-2)



<b>Gutter Geometry:</b>																	
Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb	$T_{BACK} = 7.5$ ft																
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)	$S_{BACK} = 0.020$ ft/ft																
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{BACK} = 0.020$																
Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line	$H_{CURB} = 6.00$ inches																
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown	$T_{CROWN} = 16.0$ ft																
Gutter Width	$W = 0.83$ ft																
Street Transverse Slope	$S_x = 0.020$ ft/ft																
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)	$S_w = 0.083$ ft/ft																
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition	$S_o = 0.000$ ft/ft																
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)	$n_{STREET} = 0.016$																
Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th></th> <th>Minor Storm</th> <th>Major Storm</th> <th></th> </tr> <tr> <td><math>T_{MAX}</math></td> <td>16.0</td> <td>16.0</td> <td>ft</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>d_{MAX}</math></td> <td>4.4</td> <td>7.7</td> <td>inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm		$T_{MAX}$	16.0	16.0	ft	$d_{MAX}$	4.4	7.7	inches		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Minor Storm	Major Storm															
$T_{MAX}$	16.0	16.0	ft														
$d_{MAX}$	4.4	7.7	inches														
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>															
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm																	
Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions																	
<b>Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread</b>																	
Water Depth without Gutter Depression ( $T * S_x * 12$ )	$y = 3.84$ inches																
Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ( $W * S_w * 12$ )	$d_c = 0.8$ inches																
Gutter Depression ( $d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$ )	$a = 0.63$ inches																
Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ( $y + a$ )	$d = 4.47$ inches																
Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )	$T_x = 15.2$ ft																
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)	$E_o = 0.149$																
Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_x$	$Q_x = 0.0$ cfs																
Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_T - Q_x - Q_{BACK}$ )	$Q_w = 0.0$ cfs																
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$ cfs																
Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread	$Q_T = \text{SUMP}$ cfs																
Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section	$V = 0.0$ fps																
$V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth	$V*d = 0.0$																
<b>Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth</b>																	
Theoretical Water Spread	$T_{TH} = 15.7$ ft																
Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )	$T_{x, TH} = 14.9$ ft																
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)	$E_o = 0.152$																
Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section $T_{x, TH}$	$Q_{x, TH} = 0.0$ cfs																
Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance $T_{CROWN}$ )	$Q_x = 0.0$ cfs																
Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_d - Q_x$ )	$Q_w = 0.0$ cfs																
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$ cfs																
Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)	$Q = \text{SUMP}$ cfs																
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section	$V = 0.0$ fps																
$V*d$ Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth	$V*d = 0.0$																
Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction, $d \geq 6"$	$R = \text{SUMP}$																
Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)	$Q_d = \text{SUMP}$ cfs																
Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)	$d =$ inches																
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)	$d_{CROWN} =$ inches																
<b>MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition</b>																	
<b>MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition</b>																	
$Q_{allow} =$	<table border="1" style="display: inline-table; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th></th> <th>Minor Storm</th> <th>Major Storm</th> <th></th> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>SUMP</td> <td>SUMP</td> <td>cfs</td> </tr> </table>		Minor Storm	Major Storm			SUMP	SUMP	cfs								
	Minor Storm	Major Storm															
	SUMP	SUMP	cfs														

# INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)




Design Information (Input)	MINOR      MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> = 3.00	3.00 inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No = 1	1
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth = 4.4	7.7 inches
<b>Grate Information</b>		
Length of a Unit Grate	L <sub>o</sub> (G) = N/A	N/A feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W <sub>o</sub> = N/A	N/A feet
Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> = N/A	N/A
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) = N/A	N/A
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) = N/A	N/A
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) = N/A	N/A
<b>Curb Opening Information</b>		
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L <sub>o</sub> (C) = 5.00	5.00 feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> = 6.00	6.00 inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H <sub>throat</sub> = 6.00	6.00 inches
Angle of Throat	Theta = 63.40	63.40 degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> = 0.83	0.83 feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C <sub>f</sub> (C) = 0.10	0.10
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) = 3.60	3.60
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) = 0.67	0.67
<b>Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)</b>		
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Coef = N/A	N/A
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Clog = N/A	N/A
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR      MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>wi</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>wa</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Grate Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR      MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>oi</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>oa</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow	MINOR      MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>mi</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>ma</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q<sub>Grate</sub> = N/A</b>	<b>N/A cfs</b>
<b>Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)</b>		
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Coef = 1.00	1.00
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Clog = 0.10	0.10
Curb Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR      MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>wi</sub> = 3.8	10.1 cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>wa</sub> = 3.4	9.1 cfs
Curb Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR      MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>oi</sub> = 8.4	11.0 cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>oa</sub> = 7.6	9.9 cfs
Curb Opening Capacity as Mixed Flow	MINOR      MAJOR	
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>mi</sub> = 5.3	9.8 cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>ma</sub> = 4.7	8.8 cfs
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q<sub>Curb</sub> = 3.4</b>	<b>8.8 cfs</b>
<b>Resultant Street Conditions</b>		
Total Inlet Length	L = 5.00	5.00 feet
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)	T = 15.7	29.5 ft. >T-Crown
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown	d <sub>CROWN</sub> = 0.0	3.2 inches
<b>Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)</b>		
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d <sub>Grate</sub> = N/A	N/A ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d <sub>Curb</sub> = 0.30	0.57 ft
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> = N/A	N/A
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> = 1.00	1.00
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> = N/A	N/A
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q<sub>s</sub> = 3.4</b>	<b>8.8 cfs</b>
<b>Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (&gt;Q Peak)</b>	Q <sub>PEAK REQUIRED</sub> = 1.0	5.3 cfs

## ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

**Project:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Inlet ID:** Inlet D4 (Basin D-3)



**Gutter Geometry:**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb  
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)  
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$T_{BACK}$ =	7.5	ft
$S_{BACK}$ =	0.020	ft/ft
$n_{BACK}$ =	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line  
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown  
 Gutter Width  
 Street Transverse Slope  
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)  
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition  
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$H_{CURB}$ =	6.00	inches
$T_{CROWN}$ =	16.0	ft
$W$ =	0.83	ft
$S_x$ =	0.020	ft/ft
$S_w$ =	0.083	ft/ft
$S_o$ =	0.000	ft/ft
$n_{STREET}$ =	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm  
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm  
 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX}$ =	16.0	16.0	ft
$d_{MAX}$ =	4.4	7.7	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread**

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ( $T * S_x * 12$ )  
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ( $W * S_w * 12$ )  
 Gutter Depression ( $d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$ )  
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ( $y + a$ )  
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_x$   
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread  
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$y$ =	3.84	3.84	inches
$d_c$ =	0.8	0.8	inches
$a$ =	0.63	0.63	inches
$d$ =	4.47	4.47	inches
$T_x$ =	15.2	15.2	ft
$E_o$ =	0.149	0.149	
$Q_x$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_w$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_T$ =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
$V$ =	0.0	0.0	fps
$V*d$ =	0.0	0.0	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth**

Theoretical Water Spread  
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_{XTH}$   
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance  $T_{CROWN}$ )  
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_d - Q_x$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)  
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth  
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction,  $d \geq 6"$   
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)


	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{TH}$ =	15.7	29.5	ft
$T_{XTH}$ =	14.9	28.6	ft
$E_o$ =	0.152	0.079	
$Q_{XTH}$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_x$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_w$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q$ =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
$V$ =	0.0	0.0	fps
$V*d$ =	0.0	0.0	
$R$ =	SUMP	SUMP	
$Q_d$ =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
$d$ =			inches
$d_{CROWN}$ =			inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition  
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow}$ =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

# INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)




Design Information (Input)	MINOR      MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	$a_{local}$ =	3.00 inches
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	No =	1
<b>Grate Information</b>	Ponding Depth =	4.4 inches
Length of a Unit Grate	$L_o$ (G) =	7.7 inches
Width of a Unit Grate	$W_o$ =	N/A feet
Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	$A_{ratio}$ =	N/A feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	$C_f$ (G) =	N/A
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	$C_w$ (G) =	N/A
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	$C_o$ (G) =	N/A
<b>Curb Opening Information</b>	$L_o$ (C) =	15.00 feet
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	$H_{vert}$ =	6.00 inches
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	$H_{throat}$ =	6.00 inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	Theta =	63.40 degrees
Angle of Throat	$W_o$ =	0.83 feet
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	$C_f$ (C) =	0.10
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	$C_w$ (C) =	3.60
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	$C_o$ (C) =	0.67
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	$Q_{grate}$ =	N/A cfs
<b>Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)</b>	$Q_{wa}$ =	N/A cfs
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	$Q_{oi}$ =	N/A cfs
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	$Q_{oa}$ =	N/A cfs
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	$Q_{mi}$ =	N/A cfs
Interception without Clogging	$Q_{ma}$ =	N/A cfs
Interception with Clogging	$Q_{grate}$ =	N/A cfs
Grate Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	$Q_{curb}$ =	6.2 cfs
Interception without Clogging	$Q_{mi}$ =	11.9 cfs
Interception with Clogging	$Q_{ma}$ =	11.4 cfs
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow	$Q_{curb}$ =	6.2 cfs
Interception without Clogging	$Q_{mi}$ =	11.9 cfs
Interception with Clogging	$Q_{ma}$ =	11.4 cfs
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	$Q_{curb}$ =	6.2 cfs
<b>Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)</b>	$Q_{mi}$ =	11.9 cfs
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	$Q_{ma}$ =	11.4 cfs
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	$Q_{curb}$ =	6.2 cfs
Curb Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	$Q_{mi}$ =	11.9 cfs
Interception without Clogging	$Q_{ma}$ =	11.4 cfs
Interception with Clogging	$Q_{curb}$ =	6.2 cfs
Curb Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	$Q_{mi}$ =	11.9 cfs
Interception without Clogging	$Q_{ma}$ =	11.4 cfs
Interception with Clogging	$Q_{curb}$ =	6.2 cfs
Curb Opening Capacity as Mixed Flow	$Q_{mi}$ =	11.9 cfs
Interception without Clogging	$Q_{ma}$ =	11.4 cfs
Interception with Clogging	$Q_{curb}$ =	6.2 cfs
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	$Q_{mi}$ =	11.9 cfs
<b>Resultant Street Conditions</b>	$Q_{ma}$ =	11.4 cfs
Total Inlet Length	$Q_{curb}$ =	6.2 cfs
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)	L =	15.00 feet
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown	T =	15.7 feet <span style="background-color: yellow; color: red; font-weight: bold;">&gt;T-Crown</span>
<b>Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)</b>	$d_{crown}$ =	0.0 inches
Depth for Grate Midwidth	$d_{grate}$ =	N/A ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	$d_{curb}$ =	0.30 ft
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	$RF_{grate}$ =	N/A
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	$RF_{curb}$ =	0.67
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	$RF_{combination}$ =	0.88
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	$Q_s$ =	6.2 cfs
<b>Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (&gt;Q Peak)</b>	$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	6.1 cfs

# ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

**Project:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Inlet ID:** Inlet D6 (Basin D-4)



**Gutter Geometry:**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb  
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)  
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$T_{BACK}$ =	7.5	ft
$S_{BACK}$ =	0.020	ft/ft
$n_{BACK}$ =	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line  
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown  
 Gutter Width  
 Street Transverse Slope  
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)  
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition  
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$H_{CURB}$ =	6.00	inches
$T_{CROWN}$ =	16.0	ft
$W$ =	0.83	ft
$S_x$ =	0.020	ft/ft
$S_w$ =	0.083	ft/ft
$S_o$ =	0.000	ft/ft
$n_{STREET}$ =	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm  
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm  
 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX}$ =	16.0	16.0	ft
$d_{MAX}$ =	4.4	7.7	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread**

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ( $T * S_x * 12$ )  
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ( $W * S_w * 12$ )  
 Gutter Depression ( $d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$ )  
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ( $y + a$ )  
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_x$   
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread  
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$y$ =	3.84	3.84	inches
$d_c$ =	0.8	0.8	inches
$a$ =	0.63	0.63	inches
$d$ =	4.47	4.47	inches
$T_x$ =	15.2	15.2	ft
$E_o$ =	0.149	0.149	
$Q_x$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_w$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_T$ =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
$V$ =	0.0	0.0	fps
$V*d$ =	0.0	0.0	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth**

Theoretical Water Spread  
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_{X,TH}$   
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance  $T_{CROWN}$ )  
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_d - Q_x$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)  
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth  
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction,  $d \geq 6"$   
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)


	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{TH}$ =	15.7	29.5	ft
$T_{X,TH}$ =	14.9	28.6	ft
$E_o$ =	0.152	0.079	
$Q_{X,TH}$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_x$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_w$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$ =	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q$ =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
$V$ =	0.0	0.0	fps
$V*d$ =	0.0	0.0	
$R$ =	SUMP	SUMP	
$Q_d$ =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
$d$ =			inches
$d_{CROWN}$ =			inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition  
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow}$ =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs


# INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



<b>Design Information (Input)</b>		<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>MINOR</th> <th>MAJOR</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Type =</td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">CDOT Type R Curb Opening</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>a_{local}</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.00</td> <td>inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>N_o</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ponding Depth =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4.4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">7.7</td> <td>inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">MINOR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>L_o (G)</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td>feet</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>W_o</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td>feet</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>A_{ratio}</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>C_f (G)</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>C_w (G)</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>C_o (G)</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">MINOR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>L_o (C)</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">15.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">15.00</td> <td>feet</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>H_{vert}</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.00</td> <td>inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>H_{throat}</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.00</td> <td>inches</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Theta =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">63.40</td> <td style="text-align: center;">63.40</td> <td>degrees</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>W_p</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.83</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.83</td> <td>feet</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>C_f (C)</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.10</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>C_w (C)</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.60</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.60</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>C_o (C)</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.67</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.67</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">MINOR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>d_{grate}</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td>ft</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>d_{curb}</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.30</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.57</td> <td>ft</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>RF_{grate}</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>RF_{curb}</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.67</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.88</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>RF_{combination}</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N/A</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">MINOR</td> <td style="text-align: center;">MAJOR</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>Q_s</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">21.6</td> <td>cfs</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4.4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">14.1</td> <td>cfs</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			MINOR	MAJOR		Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening			$a_{local}$ =	3.00	3.00	inches	$N_o$ =	1	1		Ponding Depth =	4.4	7.7	inches		MINOR	MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths	$L_o (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	feet	$W_o$ =	N/A	N/A	feet	$A_{ratio}$ =	N/A	N/A		$C_f (G)$ =	N/A	N/A		$C_w (G)$ =	N/A	N/A		$C_o (G)$ =	N/A	N/A			MINOR	MAJOR		$L_o (C)$ =	15.00	15.00	feet	$H_{vert}$ =	6.00	6.00	inches	$H_{throat}$ =	6.00	6.00	inches	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees	$W_p$ =	0.83	0.83	feet	$C_f (C)$ =	0.10	0.10		$C_w (C)$ =	3.60	3.60		$C_o (C)$ =	0.67	0.67			MINOR	MAJOR		$d_{grate}$ =	N/A	N/A	ft	$d_{curb}$ =	0.30	0.57	ft	$RF_{grate}$ =	N/A	N/A		$RF_{curb}$ =	0.67	0.88		$RF_{combination}$ =	N/A	N/A			MINOR	MAJOR		$Q_s$ =	6.2	21.6	cfs	$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	4.4	14.1	cfs
	MINOR	MAJOR																																																																																																																									
Type =	CDOT Type R Curb Opening																																																																																																																										
$a_{local}$ =	3.00	3.00	inches																																																																																																																								
$N_o$ =	1	1																																																																																																																									
Ponding Depth =	4.4	7.7	inches																																																																																																																								
	MINOR	MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Override Depths																																																																																																																								
$L_o (G)$ =	N/A	N/A	feet																																																																																																																								
$W_o$ =	N/A	N/A	feet																																																																																																																								
$A_{ratio}$ =	N/A	N/A																																																																																																																									
$C_f (G)$ =	N/A	N/A																																																																																																																									
$C_w (G)$ =	N/A	N/A																																																																																																																									
$C_o (G)$ =	N/A	N/A																																																																																																																									
	MINOR	MAJOR																																																																																																																									
$L_o (C)$ =	15.00	15.00	feet																																																																																																																								
$H_{vert}$ =	6.00	6.00	inches																																																																																																																								
$H_{throat}$ =	6.00	6.00	inches																																																																																																																								
Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees																																																																																																																								
$W_p$ =	0.83	0.83	feet																																																																																																																								
$C_f (C)$ =	0.10	0.10																																																																																																																									
$C_w (C)$ =	3.60	3.60																																																																																																																									
$C_o (C)$ =	0.67	0.67																																																																																																																									
	MINOR	MAJOR																																																																																																																									
$d_{grate}$ =	N/A	N/A	ft																																																																																																																								
$d_{curb}$ =	0.30	0.57	ft																																																																																																																								
$RF_{grate}$ =	N/A	N/A																																																																																																																									
$RF_{curb}$ =	0.67	0.88																																																																																																																									
$RF_{combination}$ =	N/A	N/A																																																																																																																									
	MINOR	MAJOR																																																																																																																									
$Q_s$ =	6.2	21.6	cfs																																																																																																																								
$Q_{PEAK REQUIRED}$ =	4.4	14.1	cfs																																																																																																																								
Type of Inlet: <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">CDOT Type R Curb Opening</span> Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above) Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening) Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression) <b>Grate Information</b> Length of a Unit Grate Width of a Unit Grate Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90) Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70) Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60) Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80) <b>Curb Opening Information</b> Length of a Unit Curb Opening Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches Angle of Throat Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet) Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10) Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7) Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)  <b>Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)</b> Depth for Grate Midwidth Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets  Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition) <span style="color: blue; font-weight: bold;">Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (&gt;Q Peak)</span>																																																																																																																											

Show expanded version,  
as on previous inlet sheets



REVISED AS  
REQUESTED

**INLET MANAGEMENT**

Worksheet Protected

**REVISED AS REQUESTED**

Per hydrology spreadsheet, flows at E-4a are 20.3 cfs for major storm.

INLET NAME	Inlet E1 (Basin E-1)	Inlet E2 (Basin E-2)	Inlet E4 (Basin E-3a)	Inlet E5 (Basin E-4a)	Inlet E7 (Basin E-3b)	Inlet E9 (Basin E-4b)
Site Type (Urban or Rural)	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN	URBAN
Inlet Application (Street or Area)	STREET	STREET	STREET	STREET	STREET	STREET
Hydraulic Condition	On Grade	On Grade	On Grade	On Grade	In Sump	In Sump
Inlet Type	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	CDOT Type R Curb Opening

**USER-DEFINED INPUT**

User-Defined Design Flows						
Minor $Q_{Design}$ (cfs)	4.1	3.3	4.3	6.8	3.5	1.7
Major $Q_{Design}$ (cfs)	12.4	8.4	14.5	16.8	8.9	4.2

Bypass (Carry-Over) Flow from Upstream	Inlets must be organized from upstream (left) to downstream (right) in order for bypass flows to be linked.					
Receive Bypass Flow from:	No Bypass Flow Received	No Bypass Flow Received	User-Defined	No Bypass Flow Received	User-Defined	User-Defined
Minor Bypass Flow Received, $Q_b$ (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Major Bypass Flow Received, $Q_b$ (cfs)	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	2.1	6.8

Watershed Characteristics						
Subcatchment Area (acres)						
Percent Impervious						
NRCS Soil Type						

Watershed Profile						
Overland Slope (ft/ft)						
Overland Length (ft)						
Channel Slope (ft/ft)						
Channel Length (ft)						

Minor Storm Rainfall Input						
Design Storm Return Period, $T_r$ (years)						
One-Hour Precipitation, $P_1$ (inches)						

Major Storm Rainfall Input						
Design Storm Return Period, $T_r$ (years)						
One-Hour Precipitation, $P_1$ (inches)						

**CALCULATED OUTPUT**

Minor Total Design Peak Flow, $Q$ (cfs)	4.1	3.3	4.3	6.8	3.5	1.7
Major Total Design Peak Flow, $Q$ (cfs)	12.4	8.4	16.8	16.8	11.0	11.0
Minor Flow Bypassed Downstream, $Q_b$ (cfs)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	N/A	N/A
Major Flow Bypassed Downstream, $Q_b$ (cfs)	2.5	0.6	5.1	5.1	N/A	N/A

Bypass flow received should be 3.1, per previous inlet sheets

5.1 cfs?

02 cfs?


5.1 cfs?

**THESE HAVE BEEN RECALCULATED AND REVISED**

## ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

**Project:**  
**Inlet ID:** Inlet E1 (Basin E-1)



**Gutter Geometry:**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb  
Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)  
Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T <sub>BACK</sub> =	7.5	ft
S <sub>BACK</sub> =	0.020	ft/ft
n <sub>BACK</sub> =	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line  
Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown  
Gutter Width  
Street Transverse Slope  
Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)  
Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition  
Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

H <sub>CURB</sub> =	6.00	inches
T <sub>CROWN</sub> =	16.0	ft
W =	0.83	ft
S <sub>X</sub> =	0.020	ft/ft
S <sub>W</sub> =	0.083	ft/ft
S <sub>O</sub> =	0.030	ft/ft
n <sub>STREET</sub> =	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm  
Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm  
Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T <sub>MAX</sub> =	16.0	16.0	ft
d <sub>MAX</sub> =	4.4	7.7	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread**

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ( $T * S_x * 12$ )  
Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ( $W * S_w * 12$ )  
Gutter Depression ( $d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$ )  
Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ( $y + a$ )  
Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T<sub>X</sub>  
Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$ )  
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread  
Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section  
V\*d Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y =	3.84	3.84	inches
d <sub>c</sub> =	0.8	0.8	inches
a =	0.63	0.63	inches
d =	4.47	4.47	inches
T <sub>X</sub> =	15.2	15.2	ft
E <sub>O</sub> =	0.149	0.149	
Q <sub>X</sub> =	12.6	12.6	cfs
Q <sub>W</sub> =	2.2	2.2	cfs
Q <sub>BACK</sub> =	0.0	0.0	cfs
<b>Q<sub>T</sub> =</b>	<b>14.8</b>	<b>14.8</b>	<b>cfs</b>
V =	7.9	7.9	fps
V*d =	2.9	2.9	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth**

Theoretical Water Spread  
Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T<sub>X,TH</sub>  
Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T<sub>CROWN</sub>)  
Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_d - Q_x$ )  
Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)  
Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section  
V\*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth  
Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction,  $d \geq 6"$   
Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)  
Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)  
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T <sub>TH</sub> =	15.7	29.5	ft
T <sub>X,TH</sub> =	14.9	28.6	ft
E <sub>O</sub> =	0.152	0.079	
Q <sub>X,TH</sub> =	12.0	68.6	cfs
Q <sub>X</sub> =	12.0	59.4	cfs
Q <sub>W</sub> =	2.1	5.8	cfs
Q <sub>BACK</sub> =	0.0	1.3	cfs
Q <sub>T</sub> =	14.1	66.6	cfs
V =	7.8	11.6	fps
V*d =	2.8	7.4	
R =	1.00	0.60	
<b>Q<sub>d</sub> =</b>	<b>14.1</b>	<b>40.2</b>	<b>cfs</b>
d =	4.40	6.34	inches
d <sub>CROWN</sub> =	0.00	1.88	inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion  
MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Spread Criterion


Q <sub>allow</sub> =	14.1	14.8	cfs
----------------------	------	------	-----

**Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 4.10 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'**  
**Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 12.40 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'**



# INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)




Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	$3.0$	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$N_o = 1$	$1$	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_o = 15.00$	$15.00$	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_o = N/A$	$N/A$	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	$N/A$	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	$0.10$	
<b>Street Hydraulics: OK - <math>Q &lt; Q_{allowable}</math> Street Capacity</b>			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i> )	$Q_o = 4.1$	$12.4$	cfs
Water Spread Width	$T = 9.8$	$15.0$	ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 3.0$	$4.2$	inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at $T_{MAX}$ )	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	$0.0$	inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 0.250$	$0.159$	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $T_x$	$Q_x = 3.1$	$10.4$	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 1.0$	$2.0$	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	$0.0$	cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.18$	$0.26$	sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 5.8$	$7.5$	fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 6.0$	$7.2$	inches
<b>Grate Analysis (Calculated)</b>			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	$N/A$	ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_o-GRATE = N/A$	$N/A$	
<b>Under No-Clogging Condition</b>			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	$N/A$	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	$N/A$	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_x = N/A$	$N/A$	
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	$N/A$	cfs
<b>Under Clogging Condition</b>			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateCoeff = N/A$	$N/A$	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateClog = N/A$	$N/A$	
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	$N/A$	ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	$N/A$	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	$N/A$	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_x = N/A$	$N/A$	
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	$N/A$	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	$N/A$	cfs
<b>Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)</b>			
Equivalent Slope $S_e$	$S_e = 0.111$	$0.078$	ft/ft
Required Length $L_T$ to Have 100% Interception	$L_T = 11.73$	$24.25$	ft
<b>Under No-Clogging Condition</b>			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of $L$ , $L_T$ )	$L = 11.73$	$15.00$	ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 4.1$	$10.2$	cfs
<b>Under Clogging Condition</b>			
Clogging Coefficient	$CurbCoeff = 1.31$	$1.31$	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$CurbClog = 0.04$	$0.04$	
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 11.73$	$14.35$	ft
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 4.1$	$9.9$	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.0$	$2.5$	cfs
<b>Summary</b>			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 4.1$	$9.9$	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.0$	$2.5$	cfs
Capture Percentage = $Q_o/Q_o$	$C\% = 100$	$80$	%

# ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

**Project:**  
**Inlet ID:** Inlet E2 (Basin E-2)



**Gutter Geometry:**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb  
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)  
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$T_{BACK}$	7.5	ft
$S_{BACK}$	0.020	ft/ft
$n_{BACK}$	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line  
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown  
 Gutter Width  
 Street Transverse Slope  
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)  
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition  
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$H_{CURB}$	6.00	inches
$T_{CROWN}$	16.0	ft
$W$	0.83	ft
$S_x$	0.020	ft/ft
$S_w$	0.083	ft/ft
$S_o$	0.030	ft/ft
$n_{STREET}$	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm  
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm  
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX}$	16.0	16.0	ft
$d_{MAX}$	4.4	7.7	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread**

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ( $T * S_x * 12$ )  
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ( $W * S_w * 12$ )  
 Gutter Depression ( $d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$ )  
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ( $y + a$ )  
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_x$   
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread  
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$y$	3.84	3.84	inches
$d_c$	0.8	0.8	inches
$a$	0.63	0.63	inches
$d$	4.47	4.47	inches
$T_x$	15.2	15.2	ft
$E_o$	0.149	0.149	
$Q_x$	12.6	12.6	cfs
$Q_w$	2.2	2.2	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_T$	14.8	14.8	cfs
$V$	7.9	7.9	fps
$V*d$	2.9	2.9	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth**

Theoretical Water Spread  
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_{X,TH}$   
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance  $T_{CROWN}$ )  
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_d - Q_x$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)  
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth  
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction,  $d \geq 6"$   
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{TH}$	15.7	29.5	ft
$T_{X,TH}$	14.9	28.6	ft
$E_o$	0.152	0.079	
$Q_{X,TH}$	12.0	68.6	cfs
$Q_x$	12.0	59.4	cfs
$Q_w$	2.1	5.8	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$	0.0	1.3	cfs
$Q$	14.1	66.6	cfs
$V$	7.8	11.6	fps
$V*d$	2.8	7.4	
$R$	1.00	0.60	
$Q_d$	14.1	40.2	cfs
$d$	4.40	6.34	inches
$d_{CROWN}$	0.00	1.88	inches


MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion  
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Spread Criterion

$Q_{allow}$	14.1	14.8	cfs
-------------	------	------	-----

**Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 3.30 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'**  
**Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 8.40 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'**

# INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)




Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	$3.0$	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$No = 1$	$1$	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_o = 15.00$	$15.00$	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_o = N/A$	$N/A$	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	$N/A$	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	$0.10$	
<b>Street Hydraulics: OK - Q &lt; Allowable Street Capacity</b>			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i> )	$Q_o = 3.3$	$8.4$	cfs
Water Spread Width	$T = 9.0$	$12.9$	ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 2.8$	$3.7$	inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at $T_{MAX}$ )	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	$0.0$	inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 0.272$	$0.187$	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $T_x$	$Q_x = 2.4$	$6.8$	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 0.9$	$1.6$	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	$0.0$	cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.16$	$0.23$	sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 5.5$	$6.8$	fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 5.8$	$6.7$	inches
<b>Grate Analysis (Calculated)</b>			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	$N/A$	ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_o-GRATE = N/A$	$N/A$	
<b>Under No-Clogging Condition</b>			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	$N/A$	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	$N/A$	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_x = N/A$	$N/A$	
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	$N/A$	cfs
<b>Under Clogging Condition</b>			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateCoeff = N/A$	$N/A$	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateClog = N/A$	$N/A$	
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	$N/A$	ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	$N/A$	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	$N/A$	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_x = N/A$	$N/A$	
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	$N/A$	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	$N/A$	cfs
<b>Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)</b>			
Equivalent Slope $S_e$	$S_e = 0.119$	$0.088$	ft/ft
Required Length $L_T$ to Have 100% Interception	$L_T = 10.17$	$18.82$	ft
<b>Under No-Clogging Condition</b>			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of $L, L_T$ )	$L = 10.17$	$15.00$	ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 3.3$	$7.9$	cfs
<b>Under Clogging Condition</b>			
Clogging Coefficient	$CurbCoeff = 1.31$	$1.31$	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$CurbClog = 0.04$	$0.04$	
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 10.17$	$14.35$	ft
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 3.3$	$7.8$	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_i - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.0$	$0.6$	cfs
<b>Summary</b>			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 3.3$	$7.8$	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.0$	$0.6$	cfs
Capture Percentage = $Q_o/Q_o$	$C\% = 100$	$92$	%

# ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

**Project:**

**Inlet ID:** Inlet E4 (Basin E-3a)



**Gutter Geometry:**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb  
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)  
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$T_{BACK}$	7.5	ft
$S_{BACK}$	0.020	ft/ft
$n_{BACK}$	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line  
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown  
 Gutter Width  
 Street Transverse Slope  
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)  
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition  
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$H_{CURB}$	6.00	inches
$T_{CROWN}$	16.0	ft
$W$	0.83	ft
$S_x$	0.020	ft/ft
$S_w$	0.083	ft/ft
$S_o$	0.015	ft/ft
$n_{STREET}$	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm  
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm  
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX}$	16.0	16.0	ft
$d_{MAX}$	4.4	7.7	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread**

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ( $T * S_x * 12$ )  
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ( $W * S_w * 12$ )  
 Gutter Depression ( $d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$ )  
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ( $y + a$ )  
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_x$   
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread  
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$y$	3.84	3.84	inches
$d_c$	0.8	0.8	inches
$a$	0.63	0.63	inches
$d$	4.47	4.47	inches
$T_x$	15.2	15.2	ft
$E_o$	0.149	0.149	
$Q_X$	8.9	8.9	cfs
$Q_W$	1.6	1.6	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_T$	10.5	10.5	cfs
$V$	5.6	5.6	fps
$V*d$	2.1	2.1	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth**

Theoretical Water Spread  
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_{X,TH}$   
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance  $T_{CROWN}$ )  
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_d - Q_x$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)  
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth  
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction,  $d \geq 6"$   
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{TH}$	15.7	29.5	ft
$T_{X,TH}$	14.9	28.6	ft
$E_o$	0.152	0.079	
$Q_{X,TH}$	8.5	48.5	cfs
$Q_X$	8.5	42.0	cfs
$Q_W$	1.5	4.1	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$	0.0	0.9	cfs
$Q$	10.0	47.1	cfs
$V$	5.5	8.2	fps
$V*d$	2.0	5.3	
$R$	1.00	1.00	
$Q_d$	10.0	47.1	cfs
$d$	4.40	7.70	inches
$d_{CROWN}$	0.00	3.23	inches


MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion  
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow}$	10.0	47.1	cfs

**Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 4.30 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'**  
**Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 16.80 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'**

# INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)




Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	$a_{LOCAL} = 3.0$	$3.0$	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$N_o = 1$	$1$	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	$L_o = 15.00$	$15.00$	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	$W_o = N/A$	$N/A$	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	$C_f (G) = N/A$	$N/A$	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	$C_f (C) = 0.10$	$0.10$	
<b>Street Hydraulics: OK - Q &lt; Allowable Street Capacity</b>			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i> )	$Q_o = 4.3$	$16.8$	cfs
Water Spread Width	$T = 11.4$	$16.0$	ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	$d = 3.4$	$5.2$	inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at $T_{MAX}$ )	$d_{CROWN} = 0.0$	$0.8$	inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	$E_o = 0.212$	$0.124$	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section $T_x$	$Q_x = 3.4$	$14.7$	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	$Q_w = 0.9$	$2.1$	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	$Q_{BACK} = 0.0$	$0.0$	cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	$A_w = 0.20$	$0.33$	sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	$V_w = 4.5$	$6.2$	fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	$d_{LOCAL} = 6.4$	$8.2$	inches
<b>Grate Analysis (Calculated)</b>			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	$L = N/A$	$N/A$	ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	$E_{o-GRATE} = N/A$	$N/A$	
<b>Under No-Clogging Condition</b>			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	$N/A$	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	$N/A$	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_x = N/A$	$N/A$	
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = N/A$	$N/A$	cfs
<b>Under Clogging Condition</b>			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateCoeff = N/A$	$N/A$	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$GrateClog = N/A$	$N/A$	
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	$L_e = N/A$	$N/A$	ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	$V_o = N/A$	$N/A$	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	$R_f = N/A$	$N/A$	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	$R_x = N/A$	$N/A$	
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = N/A$	$N/A$	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$ (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	$Q_b = N/A$	$N/A$	cfs
<b>Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)</b>			
Equivalent Slope $S_e$	$S_e = 0.097$	$0.065$	ft/ft
Required Length $L_T$ to Have 100% Interception	$L_T = 12.28$	$29.57$	ft
<b>Under No-Clogging Condition</b>			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of $L$ , $L_T$ )	$L = 12.28$	$15.00$	ft
Interception Capacity	$Q_i = 4.3$	$12.1$	cfs
<b>Under Clogging Condition</b>			
Clogging Coefficient	$CurbCoeff = 1.31$	$1.31$	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	$CurbClog = 0.04$	$0.04$	
Effective (Unclogged) Length	$L_e = 12.28$	$14.35$	ft
Actual Interception Capacity	$Q_a = 4.3$	$11.7$	cfs
Carry-Over Flow = $Q_o - Q_a$	$Q_b = 0.0$	$5.1$	cfs
<b>Summary</b>			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	$Q = 4.3$	$11.7$	cfs
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	$Q_b = 0.0$	$5.1$	cfs
Capture Percentage = $Q_o/Q_b$	$C\% = 100$	$70$	%

## ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

**Project:**  
**Inlet ID:** Inlet E5 (Basin E-4a)



**Gutter Geometry:**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb  
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)  
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$T_{BACK}$	7.5	ft
$S_{BACK}$	0.020	ft/ft
$n_{BACK}$	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line  
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown  
 Gutter Width  
 Street Transverse Slope  
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)  
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition  
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$H_{CURB}$	6.00	inches
$T_{CROWN}$	16.0	ft
$W$	0.83	ft
$S_x$	0.020	ft/ft
$S_w$	0.083	ft/ft
$S_o$	0.015	ft/ft
$n_{STREET}$	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm  
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm  
 Allow Flow Depth at Street Crown (check box for yes, leave blank for no)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX}$	16.0	16.0	ft
$d_{MAX}$	4.4	7.7	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread**

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ( $T * S_x * 12$ )  
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ( $W * S_w * 12$ )  
 Gutter Depression ( $d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$ )  
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ( $y + a$ )  
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_x$   
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread  
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$y$	3.84	3.84	inches
$d_c$	0.8	0.8	inches
$a$	0.63	0.63	inches
$d$	4.47	4.47	inches
$T_x$	15.2	15.2	ft
$E_o$	0.149	0.149	
$Q_X$	8.9	8.9	cfs
$Q_W$	1.6	1.6	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$	0.0	0.0	cfs
$Q_T$	10.5	10.5	cfs
$V$	5.6	5.6	fps
$V*d$	2.1	2.1	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth**

Theoretical Water Spread  
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_{X TH}$   
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance  $T_{CROWN}$ )  
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_d - Q_x$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)  
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth  
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction,  $d \geq 6"$   
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{TH}$	15.7	29.5	ft
$T_{X TH}$	14.9	28.6	ft
$E_o$	0.152	0.079	
$Q_{X TH}$	8.5	48.5	cfs
$Q_X$	8.5	42.0	cfs
$Q_W$	1.5	4.1	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$	0.0	0.9	cfs
$Q_d$	10.0	47.1	cfs
$V$	5.5	8.2	fps
$V*d$	2.0	5.3	
$R$	1.00	1.00	
$Q_d$	10.0	47.1	cfs
$d$	4.40	7.70	inches
$d_{CROWN}$	0.00	3.23	inches


MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion  
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is based on Depth Criterion

$Q_{allow}$	10.0	47.1	cfs
-------------	------	------	-----

**Minor storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 6.80 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'**  
**Major storm max. allowable capacity GOOD - greater than the design peak flow of 16.80 cfs on sheet 'Inlet Management'**

# INLET ON A CONTINUOUS GRADE

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)




Design Information (Input)	MINOR	MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening		
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a')	3.0	3.0	inches
Total Number of Units in the Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	1	1	
Length of a Single Unit Inlet (Grate or Curb Opening)	15.00	15.00	ft
Width of a Unit Grate (cannot be greater than W, Gutter Width)	N/A	N/A	ft
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Grate (typical min. value = 0.5)	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Unit Curb Opening (typical min. value = 0.1)	0.10	0.10	
<b>Street Hydraulics: OK - Q &lt; Allowable Street Capacity</b>			
Design Discharge for Half of Street (from <i>Inlet Management</i> )	6.8	16.8	cfs
Water Spread Width	13.6	16.0	ft
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	3.9	5.2	inches
Water Depth at Street Crown (or at T <sub>MAX</sub> )	0.0	0.8	inches
Ratio of Gutter Flow to Design Flow	0.176	0.124	
Discharge outside the Gutter Section W, carried in Section T <sub>x</sub>	5.6	14.7	cfs
Discharge within the Gutter Section W	1.2	2.1	cfs
Discharge Behind the Curb Face	0.0	0.0	cfs
Flow Area within the Gutter Section W	0.24	0.33	sq ft
Velocity within the Gutter Section W	5.0	6.2	fps
Water Depth for Design Condition	6.9	8.2	inches
<b>Grate Analysis (Calculated)</b>			
Total Length of Inlet Grate Opening	N/A	N/A	ft
Ratio of Grate Flow to Design Flow	N/A	N/A	
<b>Under No-Clogging Condition</b>			
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	N/A	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	N/A	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	N/A	N/A	
Interception Capacity	N/A	N/A	cfs
<b>Under Clogging Condition</b>			
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	N/A	N/A	
Effective (unclogged) Length of Multiple-unit Grate Inlet	N/A	N/A	ft
Minimum Velocity Where Grate Splash-Over Begins	N/A	N/A	fps
Interception Rate of Frontal Flow	N/A	N/A	
Interception Rate of Side Flow	N/A	N/A	
Actual Interception Capacity	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>cfs</b>
Carry-Over Flow = Q <sub>o</sub> - Q <sub>i</sub> (to be applied to curb opening or next d/s inlet)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>cfs</b>
<b>Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet Analysis (Calculated)</b>			
Equivalent Slope S <sub>e</sub>	0.084	0.065	ft/ft
Required Length L <sub>T</sub> to Have 100% Interception	16.57	29.57	ft
<b>Under No-Clogging Condition</b>			
Effective Length of Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet (minimum of L, L <sub>T</sub> )	15.00	15.00	ft
Interception Capacity	6.7	12.1	cfs
<b>Under Clogging Condition</b>			
Clogging Coefficient	1.31	1.31	
Clogging Factor for Multiple-unit Curb Opening or Slotted Inlet	0.04	0.04	
Effective (Unclogged) Length	14.35	14.35	ft
Actual Interception Capacity	<b>6.6</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>cfs</b>
Carry-Over Flow = Q <sub>o</sub> - Q <sub>i</sub>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>cfs</b>
<b>Summary</b>			
Total Inlet Interception Capacity	<b>6.6</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>cfs</b>
Total Inlet Carry-Over Flow (flow bypassing inlet)	<b>0.2</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>cfs</b>
Capture Percentage = Q <sub>i</sub> /Q <sub>o</sub>	<b>97</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>%</b>

**ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)**

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Inlet ID: **Inlet E7 (Basin E-3b)**



**Gutter Geometry:**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb  
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)  
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$T_{BACK}$	=	7.5	ft
$S_{BACK}$	=	0.020	ft/ft
$n_{BACK}$	=	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line  
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown  
 Gutter Width  
 Street Transverse Slope  
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)  
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition  
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

$H_{CURB}$	=	6.00	inches
$T_{CROWN}$	=	16.0	ft
$W$	=	0.83	ft
$S_x$	=	0.020	ft/ft
$S_w$	=	0.083	ft/ft
$S_o$	=	0.000	ft/ft
$n_{STREET}$	=	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm  
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm  
 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{MAX}$	=	16.0	ft
$d_{MAX}$	=	4.4	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread**

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ( $T * S_x * 12$ )  
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ( $W * S_w * 12$ )  
 Gutter Depression ( $d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$ )  
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ( $y + a$ )  
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_x$   
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread  
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$y$	=	3.84	inches
$d_c$	=	0.8	inches
$a$	=	0.63	inches
$d$	=	4.47	inches
$T_x$	=	15.2	ft
$E_o$	=	0.149	
$Q_X$	=	0.0	cfs
$Q_W$	=	0.0	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$	=	0.0	cfs
$Q_T$	=	SUMP	cfs
$V$	=	0.0	fps
$V*d$	=	0.0	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth**

Theoretical Water Spread  
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section  $T_{X,TH}$   
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance  $T_{CROWN}$ )  
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_d - Q_x$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)  
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section  
 $V*d$  Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth  
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction,  $d \geq 6"$   
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$T_{TH}$	=	15.7	ft
$T_{X,TH}$	=	14.9	ft
$E_o$	=	0.152	
$Q_{X,TH}$	=	0.0	cfs
$Q_X$	=	0.0	cfs
$Q_W$	=	0.0	cfs
$Q_{BACK}$	=	0.0	cfs
$Q$	=	SUMP	cfs
$V$	=	0.0	fps
$V*d$	=	0.0	
$R$	=	SUMP	
$Q_d$	=	SUMP	cfs
$d$	=		inches
$d_{CROWN}$	=		inches


MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition  
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
$Q_{allow}$	=	SUMP	cfs



# INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)




Design Information (Input)	MINOR      MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening	
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> = 3.00	3.00 inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No = 1	1
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth = 4.4	7.7 inches
<b>Grate Information</b>	MINOR	MAJOR
Length of a Unit Grate	L <sub>o</sub> (G) = N/A	N/A feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W <sub>o</sub> = N/A	N/A feet
Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> = N/A	N/A
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) = N/A	N/A
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) = N/A	N/A
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) = N/A	N/A
<b>Curb Opening Information</b>	MINOR	MAJOR
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L <sub>o</sub> (C) = 10.00	10.00 feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> = 6.00	6.00 inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H <sub>throat</sub> = 6.00	6.00 inches
Angle of Throat	Theta = 63.40	63.40 degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> = 0.83	0.83 feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C <sub>f</sub> (C) = 0.10	0.10
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) = 3.60	3.60
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) = 0.67	0.67
<b>Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)</b>	MINOR	MAJOR
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Coef = N/A	N/A
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Clog = N/A	N/A
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR	MAJOR
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>wi</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>wa</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Grate Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR	MAJOR
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>oi</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>oa</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow	MINOR	MAJOR
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>mi</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>ma</sub> = N/A	N/A cfs
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q<sub>Grate</sub> = N/A</b>	<b>N/A cfs</b>
<b>Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)</b>	MINOR	MAJOR
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Coef = 1.25	1.25
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Clog = 0.06	0.06
Curb Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR	MAJOR
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>wi</sub> = 5.5	17.9 cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>wa</sub> = 5.2	16.8 cfs
Curb Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR	MAJOR
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>oi</sub> = 16.9	22.0 cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>oa</sub> = 15.8	20.6 cfs
Curb Opening Capacity as Mixed Flow	MINOR	MAJOR
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>mi</sub> = 9.0	18.5 cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>ma</sub> = 8.4	17.3 cfs
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q<sub>Curb</sub> = 5.2</b>	<b>16.8 cfs</b>
<b>Resultant Street Conditions</b>	MINOR	MAJOR
Total Inlet Length	L = 10.00	10.00 feet
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)	T = 15.7	29.5 ft. >T-Crown
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown	d <sub>CROWN</sub> = 0.0	3.2 inches
<b>Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)</b>	MINOR	MAJOR
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d <sub>Grate</sub> = N/A	N/A ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d <sub>Curb</sub> = 0.30	0.57 ft
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> = N/A	N/A
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> = 0.82	1.00
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> = N/A	N/A
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q<sub>s</sub> = 5.2</b>	<b>16.8 cfs</b>
<b>Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (&gt;Q Peak)</b>	Q <sub>PEAK REQUIRED</sub> = 3.5	11.0 cfs

**ALLOWABLE CAPACITY FOR ONE-HALF OF STREET (Minor & Major Storm)**

(Based on Regulated Criteria for Maximum Allowable Flow Depth and Spread)

Project:

Inlet ID: **Inlet E9 (Basin E-4b)**



**Gutter Geometry:**

Maximum Allowable Width for Spread Behind Curb  
 Side Slope Behind Curb (leave blank for no conveyance credit behind curb)  
 Manning's Roughness Behind Curb (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

T <sub>BACK</sub> =	7.5	ft
S <sub>BACK</sub> =	0.020	ft/ft
n <sub>BACK</sub> =	0.020	

Height of Curb at Gutter Flow Line  
 Distance from Curb Face to Street Crown  
 Gutter Width  
 Street Transverse Slope  
 Gutter Cross Slope (typically 2 inches over 24 inches or 0.083 ft/ft)  
 Street Longitudinal Slope - Enter 0 for sump condition  
 Manning's Roughness for Street Section (typically between 0.012 and 0.020)

H <sub>CURB</sub> =	6.00	inches
T <sub>CROWN</sub> =	16.0	ft
W =	0.83	ft
S <sub>X</sub> =	0.020	ft/ft
S <sub>W</sub> =	0.083	ft/ft
S <sub>O</sub> =	0.000	ft/ft
n <sub>STREET</sub> =	0.016	

Max. Allowable Spread for Minor & Major Storm  
 Max. Allowable Depth at Gutter Flowline for Minor & Major Storm  
 Check boxes are not applicable in SUMP conditions

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T <sub>MAX</sub> =	16.0	16.0	ft
d <sub>MAX</sub> =	4.4	7.7	inches
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based On Allowable Spread**

Water Depth without Gutter Depression ( $T * S_x * 12$ )  
 Vertical Depth between Gutter Lip and Gutter Flowline ( $W * S_w * 12$ )  
 Gutter Depression ( $d_c - (W * S_x * 12)$ )  
 Water Depth at Gutter Flowline ( $y + a$ )  
 Allowable Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T<sub>X</sub>  
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_T - Q_X - Q_{BACK}$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Maximum Flow Based On Allowable Spread  
 Flow Velocity within the Gutter Section  
 V\*d Product: Flow Velocity times Gutter Flowline Depth

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
y =	3.84	3.84	inches
d <sub>c</sub> =	0.8	0.8	inches
a =	0.63	0.63	inches
d =	4.47	4.47	inches
T <sub>X</sub> =	15.2	15.2	ft
E <sub>O</sub> =	0.149	0.149	
Q <sub>X</sub> =	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q <sub>W</sub> =	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q <sub>BACK</sub> =	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q <sub>T</sub> =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
V =	0.0	0.0	fps
V*d =	0.0	0.0	

**Maximum Capacity for 1/2 Street based on Allowable Depth**

Theoretical Water Spread  
 Theoretical Spread for Discharge outside the Gutter Section ( $T - W$ )  
 Gutter Flow to Design Flow Ratio by FHWA HEC-22 method (Eq. 7-7)  
 Theoretical Discharge outside the Gutter Section, carried in Section T<sub>X TH</sub>  
 Actual Discharge outside the Gutter Section, (limited by distance T<sub>CROWN</sub>)  
 Discharge within the Gutter Section ( $Q_d - Q_x$ )  
 Discharge Behind the Curb (e.g., sidewalk, driveways, & lawns)  
 Total Discharge for Major & Minor Storm (Pre-Safety Factor)  
 Average Flow Velocity Within the Gutter Section  
 V\*d Product: Flow Velocity Times Gutter Flowline Depth  
 Slope-Based Safety Factor for Minor/Major Storm depth reduction,  $d \geq 6"$   
 Max Flow based on Allowable Depth (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Gutter Flowline (Safety Factor Applied)  
 Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown (Safety Factor Applied)


	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
T <sub>TH</sub> =	15.7	29.5	ft
T <sub>X TH</sub> =	14.9	28.6	ft
E <sub>O</sub> =	0.152	0.079	
Q <sub>X TH</sub> =	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q <sub>X</sub> =	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q <sub>W</sub> =	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q <sub>BACK</sub> =	0.0	0.0	cfs
Q <sub>d</sub> =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
V =	0.0	0.0	fps
V*d =	0.0	0.0	
R =	SUMP	SUMP	
Q <sub>d</sub> =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs
d =			inches
d <sub>CROWN</sub> =			inches

MINOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition  
 MAJOR STORM Allowable Capacity is not applicable to Sump Condition

	Minor Storm	Major Storm	
Q <sub>allow</sub> =	SUMP	SUMP	cfs

# INLET IN A SUMP OR SAG LOCATION

MHFD-Inlet, Version 5.03 (August 2023)



Design Information (Input)	MINOR		MAJOR	
Type of Inlet	CDOT Type R Curb Opening			
Local Depression (additional to continuous gutter depression 'a' from above)	a <sub>local</sub> =	3.00	3.00	inches
Number of Unit Inlets (Grate or Curb Opening)	No =	1	1	
Water Depth at Flowline (outside of local depression)	Ponding Depth =	4.4	7.7	inches
<b>Grate Information</b>				
Length of a Unit Grate	L <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	feet
Width of a Unit Grate	W <sub>o</sub> =	N/A	N/A	feet
Open Area Ratio for a Grate (typical values 0.15-0.90)	A <sub>ratio</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for a Single Grate (typical value 0.50 - 0.70)	C <sub>f</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.15 - 3.60)	C <sub>w</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.80)	C <sub>o</sub> (G) =	N/A	N/A	
<b>Curb Opening Information</b>				
Length of a Unit Curb Opening	L <sub>o</sub> (C) =	15.00	15.00	feet
Height of Vertical Curb Opening in Inches	H <sub>vert</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Height of Curb Orifice Throat in Inches	H <sub>throat</sub> =	6.00	6.00	inches
Angle of Throat	Theta =	63.40	63.40	degrees
Side Width for Depression Pan (typically the gutter width of 2 feet)	W <sub>p</sub> =	0.83	0.83	feet
Clogging Factor for a Single Curb Opening (typical value 0.10)	C <sub>f</sub> (C) =	0.10	0.10	
Curb Opening Weir Coefficient (typical value 2.3-3.7)	C <sub>w</sub> (C) =	3.60	3.60	
Curb Opening Orifice Coefficient (typical value 0.60 - 0.70)	C <sub>o</sub> (C) =	0.67	0.67	
<b>Grate Flow Analysis (Calculated)</b>				
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Coef =	N/A	N/A	
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Clog =	N/A	N/A	
Grate Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR MAJOR			
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>wi</sub> =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>wa</sub> =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR MAJOR			
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>oi</sub> =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>oa</sub> =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Grate Capacity as Mixed Flow	MINOR MAJOR			
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>mi</sub> =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>ma</sub> =	N/A	N/A	cfs
Resulting Grate Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q<sub>Grate</sub></b> =	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>cfs</b>
<b>Curb Opening Flow Analysis (Calculated)</b>				
Clogging Coefficient for Multiple Units	Coef =	1.31	1.31	
Clogging Factor for Multiple Units	Clog =	0.04	0.04	
Curb Capacity as a Weir (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR MAJOR			
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>wi</sub> =	6.5	22.6	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>wa</sub> =	6.2	21.6	cfs
Curb Capacity as an Orifice (based on MHFD - CSU 2010 Study)	MINOR MAJOR			
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>oi</sub> =	25.3	33.0	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>oa</sub> =	24.2	31.5	cfs
Curb Opening Capacity as Mixed Flow	MINOR MAJOR			
Interception without Clogging	Q <sub>mi</sub> =	11.9	25.4	cfs
Interception with Clogging	Q <sub>ma</sub> =	11.4	24.3	cfs
Resulting Curb Opening Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q<sub>Curb</sub></b> =	<b>6.2</b>	<b>21.6</b>	<b>cfs</b>
<b>Resultant Street Conditions</b>				
Total Inlet Length	L =	15.00	15.00	feet
Resultant Street Flow Spread (based on street geometry from above)	T =	15.7	29.5	ft. >T-Crown
Resultant Flow Depth at Street Crown	d <sub>CROWN</sub> =	0.0	3.2	inches
<b>Low Head Performance Reduction (Calculated)</b>				
Depth for Grate Midwidth	d <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	ft
Depth for Curb Opening Weir Equation	d <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.30	0.57	ft
Grated Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Grate</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Curb Opening Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Curb</sub> =	0.67	0.88	
Combination Inlet Performance Reduction Factor for Long Inlets	RF <sub>Combination</sub> =	N/A	N/A	
Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes clogged condition)	<b>Q<sub>s</sub></b> =	<b>6.2</b>	<b>21.6</b>	<b>cfs</b>
<b>Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms (&gt;Q Peak)</b>	Q <sub>PEAK REQUIRED</sub> =	1.7	11.0	cfs

# Channel Report

## Pond D Emergency Overflow Swale

### Trapezoidal

Bottom Width (ft) = 3.00  
 Side Slopes (z:1) = 3.00, 3.00  
 Total Depth (ft) = 2.00  
 Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00  
 Slope (%) = 2.00  
 N-Value = 0.030

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.95  
 Q (cfs) = 28.10  
 Area (sqft) = 5.56  
 Velocity (ft/s) = 5.00  
 Wetted Perim (ft) = 9.01  
 Hydraulic Radius (ft) = 1.01  
 Manning's n (ft) = 8.70  
 Slope (ft) = 1.35

### Calculations

Compute by:  
 Known Q (cfs)


Known Q  
 = 28.10

LINING HAS BEEN  
 ADDED TO  
 SWALES AND IS  
 SHOWN IN TEXT  
 AND APPENDIX

Is swale lined?

28.8 cfs if Total flow  
 entering pond per  
 hydrology spreadsheet

THIS HAS BEEN  
 REVISED



# Channel Report

## Pond E Emergency Overflow Swale

### Triangular

Side Slopes (z:1) = 3.00, 3.00  
Total Depth (ft) = 2.50

Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00  
Slope (%) = 2.00  
N-Value = 0.030

### Calculations


Compute by: Known Q  
Known Q (cfs) = 22.00

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 1.23  
Q (cfs) = 22.00  
Area (sqft) = 4.54  
Velocity (ft/s) = 4.85  
Wetted Perim (ft) = 7.78  
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 1.28  
Top Width (ft) = 7.38  
EGL (ft) = 1.60

Why did the flow drop so much from previous version?

THIS HAS BEEN REVISED



## OVERFLOW SWALE RIPRAP SIZING CALCULATIONS

**Subdivision:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Location:** CO, Falcon (El Paso County)


THESE HAVE BEEN REMOVED. LINING SHEETS HAVE BEEN ADDED TO THIS APPENDIX

**Project Name:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Project No.:** HRG02.20  
**Calculated By:** TJE  
**Checked By:** BAS  
**Date:** 3/20/24


STORM DRAIN SYSTEM					
	Pond D Overflow Swale	Pond E Overflow Swale	Pond D FES	Pond E FES	
Q100 (cfs)	28.1	22.0	8.0	14.9	Flows are the greater of proposed vs. future
D or H (in)	24	30	18	18	
W (ft)	3	0			
Slope (%)	2.00	2	1	1	
Yn (in)	11.40	14.76	18.00	18.00	
Yt (ft)	unknown	unknown	unknown	unknown	If "unknown" Yt/D=0.4
Yt/D, Yt/H	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	
Supercritical	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Q/D <sup>2.5</sup> , Q/WH <sup>1.5</sup>	3.31	2.23	2.90	5.41	
Q/D <sup>1.5</sup> , Q/WH <sup>0.5</sup>					
Da, Ha (in) *	17.70	22.38	18.00	18.00	Da=0.5(D+Yn), Ha=0.5(H+Yn)
Q/Da <sup>1.5</sup> , Q/WHa <sup>0.5</sup> *	7.71	8.64	4.35	8.11	
d50 (in), Required	3.24	7.47	3.77	7.01	
d50 (in)	9	9	9	9	
RipRap Size	Type L	Type L	Type L	Type L	
1/(2 tan q)	1.00	1.00	4.50	2.25	Fig. 9-35 OR Fig 9-36
Erosive Soils	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
At	5.11	4.00	1.45	2.71	At=Q/5.5
L	3.4	1.5	4.2	6.8	L=(1/(2 tan q))(At/Yt - D)
Min L	6.0	7.5	4.5	4.5	Min L=3D or 3H
Max L	20.0	25.0	15.0	15.0	Max L=10D or 10H
Length (ft)	6.0	7.5	4.5	7.0	
Bottom Width (ft)	6.0	7.5	4.5	4.5	Width=3D (Minimum)
Riprap Depth (in)	18	18	18	18	Depth=2(d50)
Type II Base Depth (in)	6	6	6	6	
Cutoff Wall	No	No	Yes	Yes	
Cutoff Wall Depth (ft)			3.0	3.0	Depth of Riprap and Base
Cutoff Wall Width (ft)			6.2	6.2	

# Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1

## D Basin Schematic



# Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1 E Basin Schematic





# Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1

## FlexTable: Conduit Table

### Active Scenario: 5-YR Event

Label	Start Node	Stop Node	Diameter (in)	Material	Manning's n	Length (User Defined) (ft)	Slope (Calculated) (ft/ft)	Invert (Start) (ft)	Invert (Stop) (ft)	Flow (cfs)	Velocity (ft/s)	Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft)
P-1	E3A	E3B	30.0	Concrete	0.012	68.6	0.007	6,955.27	6,954.75	7.40	6.05	6,956.17	6,955.50
P-2	E3B	E3C	30.0	Concrete	0.012	30.2	0.007	6,954.55	6,954.32	7.40	6.05	6,955.45	6,955.48
P-3	E3C	E6	36.0	Concrete	0.012	66.7	0.007	6,953.82	6,953.32	7.40	5.94	6,955.41	6,955.42
P-3 (1)	E6	E6A	36.0	Concrete	0.012	92.0	0.007	6,953.22	6,952.53	18.30	7.68	6,954.59	6,953.66
P-4	E6A	S-67 (2)	36.0	Concrete	0.012	55.4	0.007	6,952.43	6,952.01	18.30	7.68	6,953.80	6,953.16
P-4 (1)	S-67 (2)	E8	36.0	Concrete	0.012	32.3	0.007	6,951.92	6,951.67	18.30	7.68	6,953.29	6,953.43
P-9	E2	E3	24.0	Concrete	0.012	35.4	0.027	6,958.38	6,957.40	3.30	7.78	6,959.01	6,958.32
P-10	E1	E3	24.0	Concrete	0.012	9.0	0.027	6,957.65	6,957.40	4.10	8.29	6,958.36	6,958.32
P-35	E11	O-E2	18.0	Concrete	0.012	66.2	0.010	6,945.69	6,945.01	0.40	3.05	6,945.92	6,945.21
P-36	D8	O-D2	18.0	Concrete	0.012	98.7	0.010	6,964.99	6,964.01	0.20	2.45	6,965.16	6,964.15
P-39	E3	E3A	30.0	Concrete	0.012	205.8	0.007	6,956.91	6,955.36	7.40	6.05	6,957.81	6,956.11
P-40	E4	E6	24.0	Concrete	0.012	9.2	0.020	6,954.51	6,954.32	4.30	7.51	6,955.33	6,955.42
P-41	E5	E6	24.0	Concrete	0.012	29.1	0.010	6,954.62	6,954.32	6.60	6.62	6,955.53	6,955.42
P-42	D3	S-54	24.0	Concrete	0.012	82.0	0.046	6,980.35	6,976.60	3.60	9.55	6,981.02	6,976.96
P-43	S-54	S-55	24.0	Concrete	0.012	89.0	0.010	6,976.31	6,975.42	1.32	4.16	6,976.70	6,975.73
P-44	S-55	S-56	24.0	Concrete	0.012	72.0	0.009	6,975.31	6,974.70	1.32	3.93	6,975.71	6,975.03
P-45	S-56	S-57	24.0	Concrete	0.012	81.0	0.009	6,974.60	6,973.91	1.32	3.93	6,974.99	6,974.23
P-46	S-57	S-58	24.0	Concrete	0.012	66.0	0.009	6,973.81	6,973.24	1.32	3.93	6,974.20	6,973.57
P-47	S-58	S-59	24.0	Concrete	0.012	83.5	0.009	6,973.14	6,972.43	1.32	3.93	6,973.54	6,972.75
P-48	S-59	D5	24.0	Concrete	0.012	47.0	0.009	6,972.33	6,971.92	1.32	3.93	6,972.72	6,972.47
P-49	D5	D6	36.0	Concrete	0.012	27.7	0.015	6,970.93	6,970.51	9.80	8.25	6,971.92	6,971.63
P-50	D3	D1	24.0	Concrete	0.012	9.2	-0.010	6,980.66	6,980.75	2.60	5.08	6,981.31	6,981.39
P-51	D3	D2	24.0	Concrete	0.012	29.2	-0.005	6,980.66	6,980.80	1.00	3.00	6,981.38	6,981.39
P-52	D6	S-67 (3)	36.0	Concrete	0.012	127.8	0.015	6,970.41	6,968.49	14.20	9.18	6,971.61	6,969.31
P-53	E7	E8	36.0	Concrete	0.012	8.2	0.005	6,951.72	6,951.67	3.50	4.14	6,953.42	6,953.43
P-54	E8	E9	42.0	Concrete	0.012	30.2	0.005	6,951.17	6,951.02	21.80	6.89	6,952.61	6,952.33
P-55	E9	O-E1	42.0	Concrete	0.012	131.8	0.005	6,950.92	6,950.26	1.70	3.27	6,951.31	6,951.30
P-56	D4	D5	24.0	Concrete	0.012	9.2	0.015	6,972.06	6,971.92	6.10	7.49	6,972.94	6,972.64
P-57 (1)	S-67 (3)	O-D1	36.0	Concrete	0.012	27.8	0.010	6,968.29	6,968.01	14.20	7.94	6,969.49	6,968.99

# Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1

## FlexTable: Manhole Table

### Active Scenario: 5-YR Event

Label	Notes	Elevation (Ground) (ft)	Elevation (Invert in 1) (ft)	Elevation (Invert Out) (ft)	Flow (Total Out) (cfs)	Headloss Method	Headloss Coefficient (Standard)	Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft)
D1	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (5')	6,985.58	(N/A)	6,980.75	2.60	Standard	0.000	6,981.31	6,981.31
D2	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (5')	6,985.58	(N/A)	6,980.80	1.00	Standard	0.000	6,981.38	6,981.38
D3	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,985.25	6,980.66	6,980.35	3.60	Standard	1.520	6,981.02	6,981.39
D4	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,977.69	(N/A)	6,972.06	6.10	Standard	0.000	6,972.94	6,972.94
D5	MH-ECCENTRIC (6' %%C)	6,977.36	6,971.92	6,970.93	9.80	Standard	1.520	6,971.92	6,972.47
D6	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,977.69	6,970.51	6,970.41	14.20	Standard	0.050	6,971.61	6,971.63
D8	MODIFIED CDOT TYPE DPOND D OUTLET STRUCTURE(SEE GEC PLAN)	6,969.69	(N/A)	6,964.99	0.20	Standard	0.000	6,965.16	6,965.16
E1	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,962.81	(N/A)	6,957.65	4.10	Standard	0.000	6,958.36	6,958.36
E2	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,963.03	(N/A)	6,958.38	3.30	Standard	0.000	6,959.01	6,959.01
E3	5' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,962.47	6,957.40	6,956.91	7.40	Standard	1.520	6,957.81	6,958.32
E3A	5' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,960.42	6,955.36	6,955.27	7.40	Standard	0.100	6,956.17	6,956.21
E3B	5' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,959.71	6,954.75	6,954.55	7.40	Standard	0.100	6,955.45	6,955.49
E3C	5' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,959.59	6,954.32	6,953.82	7.40	Standard	1.320	6,955.41	6,955.48
E4	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,959.26	(N/A)	6,954.51	4.30	Standard	0.000	6,955.33	6,955.33
E5	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,959.26	(N/A)	6,954.62	6.60	Standard	0.000	6,955.53	6,955.53
E6	6' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,958.92	6,953.32	6,953.22	18.30	Standard	1.570	6,954.59	6,955.42
E6A	5' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,958.00	6,952.53	6,952.43	18.30	Standard	0.100	6,953.80	6,953.85
E7	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (10')	6,957.60	(N/A)	6,951.72	3.50	Standard	0.000	6,953.42	6,953.42
E8	MH-ECCENTRIC (6' %%C)	6,957.24	6,951.67	6,951.17	21.80	Standard	1.520	6,952.61	6,953.43
E9	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15')	6,957.59	6,951.02	6,950.92	1.70	Standard	0.050	6,951.31	6,951.32
E11	MODIFIED CDOT TYPE DPOND E OUTLET STRUCTURE(SEE GEC PLAN)	6,951.93	(N/A)	6,945.69	0.40	Standard	0.050	6,945.92	6,945.93
S-54	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,986.15	6,976.60	6,976.31	1.32	Standard	0.000	6,976.70	6,976.70
S-55	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,984.27	6,975.42	6,975.31	1.32	Standard	0.100	6,975.71	6,975.72
S-56	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,981.04	6,974.70	6,974.60	1.32	Standard	0.400	6,974.99	6,975.05
S-57	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,979.22	6,973.91	6,973.81	1.32	Standard	0.400	6,974.20	6,974.26
S-58	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,978.52	6,973.24	6,973.14	1.32	Standard	0.400	6,973.54	6,973.59
S-59	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,977.64	6,972.43	6,972.33	1.32	Standard	0.400	6,972.72	6,972.78
S-67 (2)	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,957.45	6,952.01	6,951.92	18.30	Standard	0.100	6,953.29	6,953.34
S-67 (3)	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,973.84	6,968.49	6,968.29	14.20	Standard	0.400	6,969.49	6,969.67


# Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1

## FlexTable: Outfall Table


### Active Scenario: 5-YR Event

Label	Elevation (Ground) (ft)	Elevation (Invert) (ft)	Boundary Condition Type	Elevation (User Defined Tailwater) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade (ft)	Flow (Total Out) (cfs)
O-D2	6,966.22	6,964.01	Free Outfall		6,964.15	0.20
O-E2	6,947.22	6,945.01	Free Outfall		6,945.21	0.40
O-D1	6,973.84	6,968.01	User Defined Tailwater	6,969.15	6,968.99	14.20
O-E1	6,957.59	6,950.26	User Defined Tailwater	6,951.30	6,951.30	1.70


**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - D3 to D5 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 5-YR Event**




**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - D1 to D2 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 5-YR Event**




**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - D4 to O-D1 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 5-YR Event**



**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - D7 to O-D2 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 5-YR Event**




**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - E3 to E8 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 5-YR Event**







**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - E1 to E2 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 5-YR Event**




**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - E4 to E5 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 5-YR Event**



**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - E7 to O-E1 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 5-YR Event**



**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - E10 to O-E2 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 5-YR Event**



**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**FlexTable: Conduit Table**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**

Label	Start Node	Stop Node	Diameter (in)	Material	Manning's n	Length (User Defined) (ft)	Slope (Calculated) (ft/ft)	Invert (Start) (ft)	Invert (Stop) (ft)	Flow (cfs)	Velocity (ft/s)	Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft)
P-1	E3A	E3B	30.0	Concrete	0.012	68.6	0.007	6,955.27	6,954.75	17.70	7.68	6,957.01	6,957.04
P-2	E3B	E3C	30.0	Concrete	0.012	30.2	0.007	6,954.55	6,954.32	17.70	7.68	6,957.02	6,956.97
P-3	E3C	E6	36.0	Concrete	0.012	66.7	0.007	6,953.82	6,953.32	17.70	2.50	6,956.85	6,956.81
P-3 (1)	E6	E6A	36.0	Concrete	0.012	92.0	0.007	6,953.22	6,952.53	41.10	9.44	6,955.31	6,955.27
P-4	E6A	S-67 (2)	36.0	Concrete	0.012	55.4	0.007	6,952.43	6,952.01	41.10	9.44	6,955.21	6,955.08
P-4 (1)	S-67 (2)	E8	36.0	Concrete	0.012	32.3	0.007	6,951.92	6,951.67	41.10	5.81	6,955.03	6,954.92
P-9	E2	E3	24.0	Concrete	0.012	35.4	0.027	6,958.38	6,957.40	7.80	9.98	6,959.37	6,959.22
P-10	E1	E3	24.0	Concrete	0.012	9.0	0.027	6,957.65	6,957.40	9.90	10.67	6,959.17	6,959.22
P-35	E11	O-E2	18.0	Concrete	0.012	66.2	0.010	6,945.69	6,945.01	14.90	8.43	6,947.60	6,946.42
P-36	D8	O-D2	18.0	Concrete	0.012	98.7	0.010	6,964.99	6,964.01	8.00	6.95	6,966.09	6,964.94
P-39	E3	E3A	30.0	Concrete	0.012	205.8	0.007	6,956.91	6,955.36	17.70	7.68	6,958.33	6,957.05
P-40	E4	E6	24.0	Concrete	0.012	9.2	0.020	6,954.51	6,954.32	11.70	3.72	6,956.83	6,956.81
P-41	E5	E6	24.0	Concrete	0.012	29.1	0.010	6,954.62	6,954.32	11.70	3.72	6,956.87	6,956.81
P-42	D3	S-54	24.0	Concrete	0.012	82.0	0.046	6,980.35	6,976.60	10.50	13.03	6,981.51	6,977.22
P-43	S-54	S-55	24.0	Concrete	0.012	89.0	0.010	6,976.31	6,975.42	10.50	7.50	6,977.47	6,976.34
P-44	S-55	S-56	24.0	Concrete	0.012	72.0	0.009	6,975.31	6,974.70	10.50	7.08	6,976.48	6,975.95
P-45	S-56	S-57	24.0	Concrete	0.012	81.0	0.009	6,974.60	6,973.91	10.50	7.08	6,975.76	6,975.16
P-46	S-57	S-58	24.0	Concrete	0.012	66.0	0.009	6,973.81	6,973.24	10.50	7.08	6,974.97	6,974.49
P-47	S-58	S-59	24.0	Concrete	0.012	83.5	0.009	6,973.14	6,972.43	10.50	7.08	6,974.30	6,973.68
P-48	S-59	D5	24.0	Concrete	0.012	47.0	0.009	6,972.33	6,971.92	10.50	7.08	6,973.49	6,973.50
P-49	D5	D6	36.0	Concrete	0.012	27.7	0.015	6,970.93	6,970.51	24.60	10.72	6,972.53	6,971.76
P-50	D3	D1	24.0	Concrete	0.012	9.2	-0.010	6,980.66	6,980.75	5.30	6.23	6,982.24	6,982.24
P-51	D3	D2	24.0	Concrete	0.012	29.2	-0.005	6,980.66	6,980.80	5.30	4.85	6,982.25	6,982.24
P-52	D6	S-67 (3)	36.0	Concrete	0.012	127.8	0.015	6,970.41	6,968.49	25.80	10.86	6,972.05	6,970.20
P-53	E7	E8	36.0	Concrete	0.012	8.2	0.005	6,951.72	6,951.67	11.00	1.56	6,954.92	6,954.92
P-54	E8	E9	42.0	Concrete	0.012	30.2	0.005	6,951.17	6,951.02	52.10	8.60	6,953.43	6,953.47
P-55	E9	O-E1	42.0	Concrete	0.012	131.8	0.005	6,950.92	6,950.26	63.10	8.94	6,953.41	6,952.67
P-56	D4	D5	24.0	Concrete	0.012	9.2	0.015	6,972.06	6,971.92	14.10	9.40	6,973.41	6,973.50
P-57 (1)	S-67 (3)	O-D1	36.0	Concrete	0.012	27.8	0.010	6,968.29	6,968.01	25.80	9.37	6,969.93	6,970.18


**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**FlexTable: Manhole Table**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**

Label	Notes	Elevation (Ground) (ft)	Elevation (Invert in 1) (ft)	Elevation (Invert Out) (ft)	Flow (Total Out) (cfs)	Headloss Method	Headloss Coefficient (Standard)	Hydraulic Grade Line (Out) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade Line (In) (ft)
D1	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (5')	6,985.58	(N/A)	6,980.75	5.30	Standard	0.000	6,982.24	6,982.24
D2	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (5')	6,985.58	(N/A)	6,980.80	5.30	Standard	0.000	6,982.25	6,982.25
D3	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,985.25	6,980.66	6,980.35	10.50	Standard	1.520	6,981.51	6,982.24
D4	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,977.69	(N/A)	6,972.06	14.10	Standard	0.000	6,973.41	6,973.41
D5	MH-ECCENTRIC (6' %%C)	6,977.36	6,971.92	6,970.93	24.60	Standard	1.520	6,972.53	6,973.50
D6	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,977.69	6,970.51	6,970.41	25.80	Standard	0.050	6,972.05	6,972.08
D8	MODIFIED CDOT TYPE DPOND D OUTLET STRUCTURE(SEE GEC PLAN)	6,969.69	(N/A)	6,964.99	8.00	Standard	0.000	6,966.09	6,966.09
E1	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,962.81	(N/A)	6,957.65	9.90	Standard	0.000	6,959.17	6,959.17
E2	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,963.03	(N/A)	6,958.38	7.80	Standard	0.000	6,959.37	6,959.37
E3	5' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,962.47	6,957.40	6,956.91	17.70	Standard	1.520	6,958.33	6,959.22
E3A	5' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,960.42	6,955.36	6,955.27	17.70	Standard	0.100	6,957.01	6,957.05
E3B	5' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,959.71	6,954.75	6,954.55	17.70	Standard	0.100	6,957.02	6,957.04
E3C	5' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,959.59	6,954.32	6,953.82	17.70	Standard	1.320	6,956.85	6,956.97
E4	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,959.26	(N/A)	6,954.51	11.70	Standard	0.000	6,956.83	6,956.83
E5	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15') - (PUB)	6,959.26	(N/A)	6,954.62	11.70	Standard	0.000	6,956.87	6,956.87
E6	6' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,958.92	6,953.32	6,953.22	41.10	Standard	1.570	6,955.31	6,956.81
E6A	5' %%C SDMH - (PUB)	6,958.00	6,952.53	6,952.43	41.10	Standard	0.100	6,955.21	6,955.27
E7	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (10')	6,957.60	(N/A)	6,951.72	11.00	Standard	0.000	6,954.92	6,954.92
E8	MH-ECCENTRIC (6' %%C)	6,957.24	6,951.67	6,951.17	52.10	Standard	1.520	6,953.43	6,954.92
E9	CDOT-TYPE R INLET (15')	6,957.59	6,951.02	6,950.92	63.10	Standard	0.050	6,953.41	6,953.47
E11	MODIFIED CDOT TYPE DPOND E OUTLET STRUCTURE(SEE GEC PLAN)	6,951.93	(N/A)	6,945.69	14.90	Standard	0.050	6,947.60	6,947.66
S-54	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,986.15	6,976.60	6,976.31	10.50	Standard	0.000	6,977.47	6,977.47
S-55	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,984.27	6,975.42	6,975.31	10.50	Standard	0.100	6,976.48	6,976.52
S-56	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,981.04	6,974.70	6,974.60	10.50	Standard	0.400	6,975.76	6,975.95
S-57	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,979.22	6,973.91	6,973.81	10.50	Standard	0.400	6,974.97	6,975.16
S-58	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,978.52	6,973.24	6,973.14	10.50	Standard	0.400	6,974.30	6,974.49
S-59	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,977.64	6,972.43	6,972.33	10.50	Standard	0.400	6,973.49	6,973.68
S-67 (2)	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,957.45	6,952.01	6,951.92	41.10	Standard	0.100	6,955.03	6,955.08
S-67 (3)	MH-ECCENTRIC (5' %%C)	6,973.84	6,968.49	6,968.29	25.80	Standard	0.400	6,969.93	6,970.20

**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**FlexTable: Outfall Table**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**


Label	Elevation (Ground) (ft)	Elevation (Invert) (ft)	Boundary Condition Type	Elevation (User Defined Tailwater) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade (ft)	Flow (Total Out) (cfs)
O-D2	6,966.22	6,964.01	Free Outfall		6,964.94	8.00
O-E2	6,947.22	6,945.01	Free Outfall		6,946.42	14.90
O-D1	6,973.84	6,968.01	User Defined Tailwater	6,970.18	6,970.18	25.80
O-E1	6,957.59	6,950.26	User Defined Tailwater	6,952.60	6,952.67	63.10

**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - D3 to D5 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**







**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - D1 to D2 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**




**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - D4 to O-D1 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**




**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - D7 to O-D2 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**




**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - E3 to E8 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**




**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - E1 to E2 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**




**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - E4 to E5 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**



**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - E7 to O-E1 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**



**Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1**  
**Profile Report**  
**Engineering Profile - E10 to O-E2 (HRG02\_FDR Storm Analysis.stsw)**  
**Active Scenario: 100-YR Event**





## **APPENDIX E**

### **Water Quality Computations**

## DETENTION POND TRIBUTARY AREAS

**Subdivision:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Location:** CO, Falcon (El Paso County)

**Project Name:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Project No.:** HRG02.20  
**Calculated By:** TJE  
**Checked By:** BAS  
**Date:** 3/14/24

### Pond 'D'

Basin	Area	% Imp
D-1	2.73	46.6
D-2	0.57	65.0
D-3	4.33	59.8
D-4	3.65	57.8
D-5	1.59	22.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>12.87</b>	<b>52.1</b>

### Pond 'E'

Basin	Area	% Imp
E-1	4.47	47.3
E-2	1.94	65.0
E-3a	2.90	65.0
E-3b	2.12	65.0
E-4a	7.45	48.7
E-4b	1.00	65.0
E-5	1.43	13.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>21.31</b>	<b>52.1</b>

**Design Procedure Form: Runoff Reduction**

UD-BMP (Version 3.07, March 2018)

Sheet 1 of 1

**Designer:** TJE  
**Company:** Galloway  
**Date:** March 15, 2024  
**Project:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Location:** Falcon, CO -> D Basins

**SITE INFORMATION (User Input in Blue Cells)**

WQCV Rainfall Depth = 0.60 inches  
 Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm,  $d_6$  = 0.43 inches (for Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Figure 3-1 in USDCM Vol. 3)

Area Type	DCIA	SPA	UIA:RPA	SPA						
Area ID			Basin D-6	Basin D 6						
Downstream Design Point ID	Pond D	Pond D	Offsite	Offsite						
Downstream BMP Type	EDB	EDB	None	None						
DCIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	292,082	--	--	--						
UIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	--	--	5,450	--						
RPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	--	--	2,592	--						
SPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	--	268,535	--	32,033						
HSG A (%)	--	100%	100%	100%						
HSG B (%)	--	0%	0%	0%						
HSG C/D (%)	--	0%	0%	0%						
Average Slope of RPA (ft/ft)	--	--	0.200	--						
UIA:RPA Interface Width (ft)	--	--	180.00	--						

**CALCULATED RUNOFF RESULTS**

Area ID			Basin D-6	Basin D 6						
UIA:RPA Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	--	--	8,042	--						
L / W Ratio	--	--	0.25	--						
UIA / Area	--	--	0.6777	--						
Runoff (in)	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00						
Runoff (ft <sup>3</sup> )	12170	0	0	0						
Runoff Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0	13427	227	1602						

**CALCULATED WQCV RESULTS**

Area ID			Basin D-6	Basin D 6						
WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	12170	0	227	0						
WQCV Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0	0	227	0						
WQCV Reduction (%)	0%	0%	100%	0%						
Untreated WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	12170	0	0	0						

**CALCULATED DESIGN POINT RESULTS (sums results from all columns with the same Downstream Design Point ID)**

Downstream Design Point ID	Pond D	Offsite								
DCIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	292,082	0								
UIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	0	5,450								
RPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	0	2,592								
SPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	268,535	32,033								
Total Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	560,617	40,075								
Total Impervious Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	292,082	5,450								
WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	12,170	227								
WQCV Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0	227								
WQCV Reduction (%)	0%	100%								
Untreated WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	12,170	0								

**CALCULATED SITE RESULTS (sums results from all columns in worksheet)**

Total Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	600,692
Total Impervious Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	297,532
WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	12,397
WQCV Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	227
WQCV Reduction (%)	2%
Untreated WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	12,170

Update area as needed per previous comments in the DR. The RPA needs to be in a no-build easement or tract.

THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REMOVED

**Design Procedure Form: Runoff Reduction**

UD-BMP (Version 3.07, March 2018)

Sheet 1 of 1

Designer: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Company: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date: March 15, 2024  
 Project: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Location: \_\_\_\_\_

**SITE INFORMATION (User Input in Blue Cells)**

WQCV Rainfall Depth = 0.60 inches  
 Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm,  $d_6$  = 0.43 inches (for Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Figure 3-1 in USDCM Vol. 3)

Area Type	DCIA	SPA	UIA:RPA	SPA						
Area ID			Basin E-6	Basin E 6						
Downstream Design Point ID	Pond E	Pond E	Offsite	Offsite						
Downstream BMP Type	EDB	EDB	None	None						
DCIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	483,625	--	--	--						
UIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	--	--	16,936	--						
RPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	--	--	2,823	--						
SPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	--	444,639	--	84,785						
HSG A (%)	--	100%	100%	100%						
HSG B (%)	--	0%	0%	0%						
HSG C/D (%)	--	0%	0%	0%						
Average Slope of RPA (ft/ft)	--	--	0.200	--						
UIA:RPA Interface Width (ft)	--	--	70.00	--						

**CALCULATED RUNOFF RESULTS**

Area ID			Basin E-6	Basin E 6						
UIA:RPA Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	--	--	19,759	--						
L / W Ratio	--	--	4.03	--						
UIA / Area	--	--	0.8571	--						
Runoff (in)	0.50	0.00	0.11	0.00						
Runoff (ft <sup>3</sup> )	20151	0	179	0						
Runoff Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0	22232	527	4239						

**CALCULATED WQCV RESULTS**

Area ID			Basin E-6	Basin E 6						
WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	20151	0	706	0						
WQCV Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0	0	527	0						
WQCV Reduction (%)	0%	0%	75%	0%						
Untreated WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	20151	0	179	0						

**CALCULATED DESIGN POINT RESULTS (sums results from all columns with the same Downstream Design Point ID)**

Downstream Design Point ID	Pond E	Offsite								
DCIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	483,625	0								
UIA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	0	16,936								
RPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	0	2,823								
SPA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	444,639	84,785								
Total Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	928,264	104,544								
Total Impervious Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	483,625	16,936								
WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	20,151	706								
WQCV Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0	527								
WQCV Reduction (%)	0%	75%								
Untreated WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	20,151	179								

**CALCULATED SITE RESULTS (sums results from all columns in worksheet)**

Total Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	1,032,808
Total Impervious Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	500,561
WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	20,857
WQCV Reduction (ft <sup>3</sup> )	527
WQCV Reduction (%)	3%
Untreated WQCV (ft <sup>3</sup> )	20,330


Basin E-6 is shown as not needing RR per the Drainage maps. Update so it is consistent. If it is not needed you do not need to provide these calcs, if it is clearly show on maps.

THIS SHEET HAS BEEN REMOVED



# DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER




*MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022)*





# DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

*MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022)*



S-A-V-D Chart Axis Override	X-axis	Left Y-Axis	Right Y-Axis
minimum bound			
maximum bound			



# DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

Outflow Hydrograph Workbook Filename:

## Inflow Hydrographs


The user can override the calculated inflow hydrographs from this workbook with inflow hydrographs developed in a separate program.

Time Interval	SOURCE	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP	CUHP
	TIME	WQCV [cfs]	EURV [cfs]	2 Year [cfs]	5 Year [cfs]	10 Year [cfs]	25 Year [cfs]	50 Year [cfs]	100 Year [cfs]	500 Year [cfs]
5.00 min	0:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.61
	0:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.58	1.26	1.75	1.38	1.91	1.98	3.17
	0:20:00	0.00	0.00	2.99	4.13	5.09	3.59	4.45	4.97	7.10
	0:25:00	0.00	0.00	6.28	8.31	10.70	7.46	8.96	10.12	15.36
	0:30:00	0.00	0.00	7.00	9.19	11.56	15.54	20.83	25.44	40.48
	0:35:00	0.00	0.00	6.26	8.10	10.07	16.96	22.74	29.77	45.99
	0:40:00	0.00	0.00	5.47	6.93	8.55	15.55	20.77	27.08	41.91
	0:45:00	0.00	0.00	4.49	5.83	7.24	13.19	17.47	23.51	36.70
	0:50:00	0.00	0.00	3.74	4.98	6.04	11.37	14.91	19.80	31.12
	0:55:00	0.00	0.00	3.26	4.33	5.30	9.24	11.93	16.15	25.36
	1:00:00	0.00	0.00	2.91	3.85	4.75	7.85	10.00	13.83	21.81
	1:05:00	0.00	0.00	2.58	3.40	4.22	6.78	8.55	12.15	19.27
	1:10:00	0.00	0.00	2.12	2.98	3.73	5.62	7.01	9.58	14.97
	1:15:00	0.00	0.00	1.74	2.53	3.32	4.61	5.65	7.41	11.38
	1:20:00	0.00	0.00	1.50	2.20	2.92	3.63	4.35	5.30	7.98
	1:25:00	0.00	0.00	1.37	2.01	2.56	2.99	3.54	3.95	5.87
	1:30:00	0.00	0.00	1.30	1.91	2.32	2.51	2.96	3.17	4.63
	1:35:00	0.00	0.00	1.26	1.83	2.15	2.21	2.60	2.71	3.88
	1:40:00	0.00	0.00	1.24	1.64	2.03	2.01	2.36	2.40	3.37
	1:45:00	0.00	0.00	1.22	1.50	1.94	1.88	2.20	2.20	3.04
	1:50:00	0.00	0.00	1.20	1.39	1.89	1.79	2.09	2.05	2.79
	1:55:00	0.00	0.00	1.03	1.31	1.79	1.73	2.02	1.96	2.65
	2:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.91	1.22	1.62	1.69	1.98	1.92	2.59
	2:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.88	1.17	1.22	1.43	1.39	1.87
	2:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.63	0.83	0.87	1.01	0.99	1.33
	2:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.44	0.58	0.61	0.71	0.70	0.94
	2:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.30	0.40	0.42	0.49	0.48	0.64
	2:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.20	0.27	0.28	0.33	0.32	0.43
	2:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.13	0.18	0.19	0.22	0.22	0.29
	2:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.18
	2:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09
	2:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
	2:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:05:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:10:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:15:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:20:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:25:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:30:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:35:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:40:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:45:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:50:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5:55:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6:00:00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	



# DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

*MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022)*




# DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022)

Project: **Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1 - Final Drainage Report**

Basin ID: **Pond E**



	Estimated Stage (ft)	Estimated Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	3.13	0.377	Orifice Plate
Zone 2 (EURV)	4.64	0.918	Rectangular Orifice
Zone 3 (100-year)	5.58	0.717	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
<b>Total (all zones)</b>		<b>2.012</b>	

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = **N/A** ft (distance below the filtration media surface)  
 Underdrain Orifice Diameter = **N/A** inches

Calculated Parameters for Underdrain

OUR ORIGINAL VALUES ARE CORRECT

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

Centroid of Lowest Orifice = **0.00** ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
 Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate = **3.13** ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
 Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing = **12.50** inches  
 Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row = **1.07** sq. inches (diameter = 1-1/8 inches)

Elliptical Half-Width = **N/A** feet  
 Elliptical Slot Centroid = **N/A** feet  
 Elliptical Slot Area = **N/A** ft<sup>2</sup>

0.99?

2.08

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	0.00	1.04	2.09					
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	1.07	1.07	1.07					

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)								

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

Zone 2 Rectangular **3.12** Not Selected  
 Invert of Vertical Orifice = **3.13** ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
 Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice = **4.64** ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
 Vertical Orifice Height = **2.00** inches  
 Vertical Orifice Width = **3.25** inches

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice

	Zone 2 Rectangular	Not Selected	ft <sup>2</sup>
Vertical Orifice Area =	0.05	N/A	
Vertical Orifice Centroid =	0.08	N/A	

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox with Flat or Sloped Grate and Outlet Pipe OR Rectangular/Trapezoidal Weir and No Outlet Pipe)

Zone 3 Weir **4.64** Not Selected  
 Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, H<sub>o</sub> = **4.67** ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
 Overflow Weir Front Edge Length = **6.00** feet  
 Overflow Weir Grate Slope = **0.00** H:V  
 Horiz. Length of Weir Sides = **3.00** feet  
 Overflow Grate Type = **Type C Grate**  
 Debris Clogging % = **50%**

Calculated Parameters for Overflow Weir

	Zone 3 Weir	Not Selected	feet
Height of Grate Upper Edge, H <sub>u</sub> =	4.67	N/A	
Overflow Weir Slope Length =	3.00	N/A	
Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =	10.25	N/A	
Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris =	12.53	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris =	6.26	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

Zone 3 Restrictor **11.75** Not Selected  
 Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe = **1.58** ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)  
 Outlet Pipe Diameter = **18.00** inches  
 Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert = **11.75** inches

Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate

	Zone 3 Restrictor	Not Selected	ft <sup>2</sup>
Outlet Orifice Area =	1.22	N/A	
Outlet Orifice Centroid =	0.55	N/A	feet
Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	1.88	N/A	radians

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)

Spillway Invert Stage = **6.25** ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft) ✓  
 Spillway Crest Length = **70.00** feet ✓  
 Spillway End Slopes = **4.00** H:V ✓  
 Freeboard above Max Water Surface = **1.00** feet ✓

Calculated Parameters for Spillway

Spillway Design Flow Depth =	0.39	feet
Stage at Top of Freeboard =	7.64	feet
Basin Area at Top of Freeboard =		
Basin Volume at Top of Freeboard =		

## Routed Hydrograph Results

The user can override the default CUHP hydrographs and runoff volumes by entering new values in the Inflow Hydrograph

	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year
Design Storm Return Period	N/A	N/A	0.93	1.21	1.46	1.84		
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in)	N/A	N/A	0.93	1.21	1.46	1.84		
CUHP Runoff Volume (acre-ft)	0.377	1.295	0.710	0.949	1.195	1.631		
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft)	N/A	N/A	0.710	0.949	1.195	1.631		
CUHP Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs)	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.2	0.4	2.0		
OPTIONAL Override Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs)	N/A	N/A						
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre)	N/A	N/A	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.09		
Peak Inflow Q (cfs)	N/A	N/A	12.6	16.5	20.7	30.3		
Peak Outflow Q (cfs)	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	2.0		
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.9	1.2	1.3		
Structure Controlling Flow	Plate	Vertical Orifice 1	Vertical Orifice 1	Vertical Orifice 1	Vertical Orifice 1	Overflow Weir 1	Overflow Weir 1	Outlet Plate 1
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.5	1.1
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours)	38	67	53	60	66	70	68	66
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours)	<b>40</b>	72	56	63	70	76	75	74
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft)	3.13	4.64	3.68	4.05	4.40	4.84	5.05	5.35
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres)	0.44	0.72	0.60	0.65	0.69	0.73	0.75	0.78
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft)	0.377	1.301	0.663	0.890	1.127	1.439	1.602	1.824




AN EXPLANATION HAS BEEN INCLUDED TO VIII. PROPOSED WATER QUALITY DETENTION PONDS IN THE TEXT PORTION

Unresolved from Submittal 1: Verify - ratio should be around 1

This needs to be closer to 1.0 for the minor storm

# DETENTION BASIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DESIGN

*MHFD-Detention, Version 4.06 (July 2022)*



S-A-V-D Chart Axis Override	X-axis	Left Y-Axis	Right Y-Axis
minimum bound			
maximum bound			



THIS HAS BEEN  
UPDATED. FOREBAY  
CALCULATIONS HAVE  
BEEN ADDED TO  
APPENDIX AS WELL

Indicate how these were determined since they don't match % impervious & areas shown on Detention Pond tributary areas spreadsheet

Subdivision: Grandview Reserve      Name: Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
 Location: CO, Falcon (El Paso County)      Project No.: HRG02.20  
 Calculated By: TJE  
 Checked By: BAS  
 Date: 3/14/24

	Forebay D-1	Forebay E-1	
Impervious % (I)	56.2%	54.90%	Total impervious area of contributing upstream basins
WQCV Drain Time Coeff (a)	1	1	a = 1 for 40 Hr WQCV Drain Time
Tributary Area (Ac)	11.28	19.88	
Forebay Depth (Ft)	1.50	1.50	(see Table EDB-4 of the USDCM Volume 3 for depth requirement)
% of WQCV for Forebay Volume	3.0%	3.0%	(see Table EDB-4 of the USDCM Volume 3 for requirement)
100-year Discharge (Q)	25.80	63.10	100-Year Flow entering Forebay (undetained)
WQCV Depth (in)	0.22	0.22	WQCV Depth = $a(0.91*I^3 - 1.19*I^2 + 0.78*I)$
WQCV Volume (Ac-Ft)	0.21	0.36	
Forebay Volume (Cu. Ft.)	275	477	
Forebay Discharge (Q)	0.52	1.26	(Release 2% of 100-year discharge via notch or berm/pipe configuration)
Forebay Notch Height (in)	15.00	15.00	(3" depression @ top of forebay assumed per COS DCM Volume 1, 13-30)
<b>Forebay Design Results</b>			
Minimum Forebay Area (Sq. Ft.)	<b>183</b>	<b>318</b>	
Forebay Notch width (in)	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	From $Q=C_w*W*H^{1.5}$ assuming $C_w=3.33$ for sharp-crested weir - <b>If notch width &lt;3", use 3" minimum.</b>

# Channel Report

## Pond D Trickle Channel

### Rectangular

Bottom Width (ft) = 2.00  
Total Depth (ft) = 0.50  
Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00  
Slope (%) = 0.50  
N-Value = 0.012

### Calculations


Compute by: Known Q  
Known Q (cfs) = 0.52

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.13  
Q (cfs) = 0.520  
Area (sqft) = 0.26  
Velocity (ft/s) = 2.00  
Wetted Perim (ft) = 2.26  
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.13  
Top Width (ft) = 2.00  
EGL (ft) = 0.19

Depth shown on plans is 4", 0.33'

THIS HAS BEEN REVISED ON PLANS TO MATCH 6", 0.50





# Channel Report

## Pond E Trickle Channel

### Rectangular

Bottom Width (ft) = 2.00  
Total Depth (ft) = 0.50  
Invert Elev (ft) = 100.00  
Slope (%) = 0.50  
N-Value = 0.012

### Calculations


Compute by: Known Q  
Known Q (cfs) = 1.26

### Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 0.23  
Q (cfs) = 1.260  
Area (sqft) = 0.46  
Velocity (ft/s) = 2.74  
Wetted Perim (ft) = 2.46  
Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 0.24  
Top Width (ft) = 2.00  
EGL (ft) = 0.35

Depth shown on plans is 4", 0.33'

THIS HAS BEEN REVISED ON PLANS TO MATCH 6", 0.50



## Micropool/ISV SIZING CALCULATIONS

**Project Name:** Grandview Reserve Filing No. 1  
**Project No.:** HRG02.20  
**Calculated By:** TJE  
**Checked By:** BAS  
**Date:** 3/14/24

	Pond D	Pond E	
WQCV Volume (Ac-Ft)	0.227	0.377	From MHFD-Detention Spreadsheet
Provided ISV Depth (in)	6.00	6.00	4" Min. per USDCM, Volume 3
Provided Micropool/ISV Area (Sq. Ft.)	90.00	102.00	
Provided ISV Volume (Cu. Ft.)	45.00	51.00	
<b>Micropool/ISV Design Results</b>			
Minimum Micropool Area (Sq. Ft.)	<b>59</b>	<b>99</b>	Assuming ISV above - <b>Min. 10 ft<sup>2</sup> per USDCM, Volume 3</b>
Required ISV Volume (Cu. Ft.)	<b>30</b>	<b>49</b>	0.3% of WQCV, per USDCM, Volume 3
Is Required Micropool Area Met?	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	
Is Required ISV Volume Met?	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	

Figure 13-12c. Emergency Spillway Protection





Figure 13-12d. Riprap Types for Emergency Spillway Protection



## **APPENDIX F**

### **Drainage Maps**



Basin ID	Area (acres)	Q <sub>5</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs)
*EX1	321.53	28.3	365.2
*EX2	18.88	1.7	18.8
*EX3	131.26	6.1	112.1
*EX4	832.70	22.4	491.0
*EX5	22.35	7.0	43.3
*EX6	3.05	1.2	6.9
*EX7	1.47	0.9	4.2
ES-1	16.37	3.5	24.7
ES-2	46.05	7.5	53.7
ES-3	64.30	10.0	71.5
ES-4	2.68	0.6	4.4
ES-5	26.15	5.0	35.5
ES-6	31.26	6.5	46.5

\*Values taken from Eastonville Road PDR prepared by HR Green, Dated September 2023

Design Point	Q <sub>5</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs)
X1	4.7	31.6
X2	38.9	688.0
X3	10.0	71.5
X4	0.6	4.4
X5	5.0	35.5
X6	40.9	623.8
X7	56.5	635.2
* 1	28.3	365.2
* 2	1.7	18.8
* 3	6.1	112.1
* 4	22.4	491.0
* 5	7.0	43.3
* 6	1.2	6.9
* 7	0.9	4.2

\*Values taken from Eastonville Road PDR prepared by HR Green, Dated September 2023

NOTE:  
 1. FOR EXISTING WESTERN OFFSITE SUB-BASIN ANALYSIS AS WELL AS PROPOSED EASTONVILLE ROAD SUB-BASIN ANALYSIS, SEE "EASTONVILLE ROAD FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT", BY HR GREEN, SEPTEMBER 2022.



**Galloway**  
 6162 S. Willow Drive, Suite 320  
 Greenwood Village, CO 80111  
 303.770.8884  
 gallowayus.com

**PRELIMINARY**  
 NOT FOR BIDDING  
 NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

COPYRIGHT  
 THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY. COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.


**GRANDVIEW RESERVE HISTORIC DRAINAGE MAP**

THIS DRAINAGE MAP IS FROM THE APPROVED PDR, "GRANDVIEW RESERVE FILING NO.1", APPROVED ON 03/06/2024

THIS LABEL HAS BEEN UPDATED TO REFLECT THIS

Include sheet EX-1 if Filing 1 boundary extends onto that sheet

Label linetype, turn off or include in legend



**DRAINAGE LEGEND**

- EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
- PROPOSED PROPERTY LINE
- EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
- EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
- BASIN BOUNDARY LINE
- BASIN DESIGNATION
- 5-YEAR RUNOFF IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND
- 100-YEAR RUNOFF IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND
- BASIN AREA IN ACRES
- DESIGN POINT
- DIRECTION OF RUNOFF
- EXISTING BOUNDARY EASEMENT
- EXISTING TELEPHONE LINE
- EXISTING POWER LINE
- EXISTING FENCE
- EXISTING GAS LINE
- EXISTING WETLANDS
- EXISTING LIMITS OF WETLAND
- EXISTING WETLAND SETBACK
- EXISTING FEMA FLOOD PLAIN, ZONE A

Label all easements

OFFSITE CONTRIBUTION  
 Q(5)=47.0 CFS  
 Q(100)=43.3 CFS  
 OFFSITE AREA=22.35 AC.  
 (OBTAINED FROM EASTONVILLE RD. PDR)

LAND USE: VACANT LAND < 35 AND < 100 ACRE  
 PLAT NO. -  
 PO BOX 80036 SAN DIEGO CA, 92138

4 WAY RANCH JOINT VENTURE LLC  
 PARCEL NO. 420000417  
 ZONE: PUD  
 LAND USE: VACANT LAND > 100 ACRES  
 PLAT NO. -  
 PO BOX 50223 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80949


4 WAY RANCH JOINT VENTURE LLC  
 PARCEL NO. 420000366  
 ZONE: A-35  
 LAND USE: VACANT LAND > 100 ACRES  
 PLAT NO. -  
 PO BOX 50223 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80949

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE PLAN  
 GRANDVIEW RESERVE  
 FOR  
 HR GREEN, INC  
 EASTONVILLE RD  
 EL PASO COUNTY, PEYTON, CO 80831

#	Date	Issue / Description	Init.

Project No: HRG 1.20  
 Drawn By: TJE  
 Checked By: GRD  
 Date: 1/23/2024

EXISTING DRAINAGE MAP



EX4  
832.70

OFFSITE CONTRIBUTION  
(THE SANCTUARY FILING 1 PDR)  
Q(5)=+22.4 CFS  
Q(100)=+491.0 CFS  
OFFSITE AREA=432.7 AC  
(OBTAINED FROM EASTONVILLE RD. PDR)

OFFSITE CONTRIBUTION  
Q(5)=+17.0 CFS  
Q(100)=+413.3 CFS  
OFFSITE AREA=22.35 AC  
(OBTAINED FROM EASTONVILLE RD. PDR)

EX5  
22.35

EX6  
3.05

EX7  
1.47


DO WE HAVE A CAD THAT SHOWS THIS?

Proposed contour labels

THIS DRAINAGE MAP IS FROM THE APPROVED PDR, "GRANDVIEW RESERVE FILING NO.1", APPROVED ON 03/06/2024

THIS LABEL HAS BEEN UPDATED TO REFLECT THIS

**GRANDVIEW RESERVE INTERIM DRAINAGE MAP**




**DRAINAGE LEGEND**

- - - - EXISTING PROPERTY LINE
- --- EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
- --- EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
- --- PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR
- --- PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
- --- TSB BASIN BOUNDARY LINE
- XXXXXX EXISTING WETLANDS
- XXXXXX EXISTING LIMITS OF WETLAND
- XXXXXX EXISTING WETLAND SETBACK
- XXXXXX EXISTING FEMA FLOOD PLAIN, ZONE A
- - - - 100-YR WATER SURFACE ELEVATION AND FLOODPLAIN

**DESIGN POINT SUMMARY TABLE**

Design Point	Q <sub>5</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs)
2	1.7	18.8
3	6.1	112.1
4	22.4	491.0
5	7.0	43.3
6	1.2	6.9
7	0.9	4.2
8	0.7	5.0
9	3.3	23.3
10	10.6	144.2
11	1.4	10.1
12	5.4	38.3
13	4.1	29.4
14	8.3	59.5
15	3.2	23.0
16	3.5	25.2
17	7.3	52.0
18	2.8	20.0
19	2.5	18.0
20	6.5	46.3
21	8.9	55.3

\* Values taken from Eastonville Road PDR prepared by HR Green, Dated September 2023






**NOTE:**

- FOR EXISTING WESTERN OFFSITE SUB-BASIN ANALYSIS AS WELL AS PROPOSED EASTONVILLE ROAD SUB-BASIN ANALYSIS, SEE "EASTONVILLE ROAD-- LONDONDERRY DR. TO REX RD. PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT", BY HR GREEN, DATED SEPTEMBER 2023.
- ALL OFF SITE FLOWS NORTHWEST OF REX ROAD NOTED IN THIS REPORT WILL VARY FROM THOSE IN THE CLOMR REPORT PREPARED BY HR GREEN. THIS IS DUE TO SLIGHT DIFFERENCES IN HYDROLOGIC MODELING. THE FLOW AT THE INLET OF THE PROPOSED REX ROAD CULVERT IS 262 CFS IN THE SUBMITTED CLOMR MODEL, AS OPPOSED TO 280 CFS FROM THE ACCEPTED MERIDIAN RANCH DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN MODEL OUTPUT. THE FLOW AT THE OUTLET OF THE PROPOSED REX ROAD CULVERT IS 536 CFS. THIS VALUE COMES FROM THE NEXT DOWNSTREAM DESIGN POINT AT THE INLET OF THE PROPOSED DAMLISH ROAD AND IS CARRIED UPSTREAM TO THE PREVIOUS DESIGN POINT.
- PROPOSED CHANNEL DESIGN AND ANALYSIS FOR BOTH ON-SITE TRIBUTARIES (MAIN STEM AND MAIN STEM TRIBUTARY NUMBER 2) IS PROVIDED IN A SEPARATE REPORT "GRANDVIEW RESERVE CLOMR REPORT", BY HR GREEN, DATED MARCH 22, 2023. ALL CHANNEL CULVERTS ARE SHOWN FOR REFERENCE ONLY AND ACTUAL SIZING AND ANALYSIS IS PROVIDED WITHIN THE CLOMR REPORT.

**RUNOFF SUMMARY TABLE**

Basin ID	Area (acres)	Q <sub>5</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs)
EX2	18.88	1.7	18.8
EX3	131.26	6.1	112.1
EX4	832.70	22.4	491.0
EX5	22.35	7.0	43.3
EX6	3.05	1.2	6.9
EX7	1.47	0.9	4.2
A-1	2.29	0.7	5.0
A-2	3.96	1.2	8.8
EA-1	2.50	0.7	5.1
TSB-A1	10.67	3.3	23.3
TSB-A2	4.56	1.4	10.1
TSB-A3	13.72	3.9	28.2
TSB-B1	14.03	4.1	29.4
TSB-B2	14.48	4.2	30.1
TSB-C1	11.26	3.2	23.0
TSB-C2	11.92	3.5	25.2
TSB-C3	15.29	4.1	28.0
TSB-D1	10.09	2.8	20.0
TSB-E1	8.21	2.5	18.0
TSB-E2	13.57	4.0	28.3


\* Values taken from Eastonville Road PDR prepared by HR Green, Dated September 2023



**Galloway**  
1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305  
Colorado Springs, CO 80920  
719.900.7220  
GallowayUS.com

**PRELIMINARY**  
NOT FOR BIDDING  
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

**COPYRIGHT**  
THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY. COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.




**PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE PLAN**  
**GRANDVIEW RESERVE FILING NO. 1**  
FOR  
**HR GREEN, INC**  
EASTONVILLE RD  
EL PASO COUNTY, PEYTON, CO 80831

#	Date	Issue / Description	Init.

Project No: HRG 1.20  
Drawn By: T.J.E.  
Checked By: GRD  
Date: 1/23/2024


**INTERIM DRAINAGE MAP**

**INT-2**  
Sheet 2 of 3




**COPYRIGHT**  
 THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY. COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.


**DRAINAGE MAPS  
 GRANDVIEW RESERVE FILING NO. 1  
 4 SITE INVESTMENTS, LLC (D.R. HORTON)**  
 EASTONVILLE RD & REX RD  
 EL PASO COUNTY, FALCON, CO 80831



THIS HAS BEEN UPDATED TO MATCH OUR CALCULATIONS



Highlighted values do not match with calculations in appendix. Please update. Show and label swale lining



UNITS HAVE BEEN ADDED

POND D	
WQCV	0.229
EURV	0.558
100-YEAR	0.328
TOTAL	1.115

POND E	
WQCV	0.377
EURV	0.924
100-YEAR	0.146
TOTAL	1.824

What do these values represent? All label or heading

DO WE SHOW THIS EVEN THOUGH THIS WAS ON PREVIOUSLY APPROVED REPORT?

Label DP's where flows enter from offsite (DP 4, 5 & 6)

Unresolved: Add adjacent property information

REVISED AS REQUESTED

EASEMENTS WERE ON APPROVED REPORT. THEY DO NOT APPLY TO THESE PLANS  
 VERIFY W/ CALEB

TRAIL EASEMENT HAS BEEN REMOVED. LABEL HAS BEEN REMOVED

Unresolved: Add adjacent property information

REVISED AS REQUESTED

Show and label same easements as on previous sheets

Unresolved: Add adjacent property information

REVISED AS REQUESTED

BASIN SUMMARY TABLE			
Tributary Sub-basin	Area (acres)	Q <sub>s</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs)
D-1	2.73	2.6	8.0
D-2	0.57	1.0	2.5
D-3	4.33	6.1	16.3
D-4	3.65	4.4	11.8
D-5	1.59	0.7	3.0
D-6	0.92	0.2	1.5
E-1	4.47	4.1	12.4
E-2	1.94	3.3	8.4
E-3a	2.90	4.3	11.0
E-3b	2.12	3.5	8.9
E-4a	7.45	6.8	20.3
E-4b	1.00	1.7	4.2
E-5	1.43	0.3	1.8
E-6	2.40	0.7	4.4




DESIGN POINT SUMMARY TABLE		
Design Point	Q <sub>s</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>100</sub> (cfs)
D1	2.6	5.3
D2	1.0	5.3
D3	3.6	10.5
D4	6.1	14.1
D5	9.8	24.6
D6	14.2	25.8
D7	14.8	28.8
D8	0.2	8.0
E1	4.1	9.9
E2	3.3	7.8
E3	7.4	17.7
E4	4.3	11.7
E5	6.6	11.7
E6	18.3	41.1
E7	3.5	11.0
E8	21.8	52.1
E9	1.7	63.1
E10	2.0	64.9
E11	0.4	14.9

#	Date	Issue / Description	Init.

Project No: HRG02  
 Drawn By: TJE  
 Checked By: BAS  
 Date: 03/21/2024

PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP

**DR-2**



**Galloway**  
 1155 Kelly Johnson Blvd., Suite 305  
 Colorado Springs, CO 80920  
 719.900.7220  
 GallowayUS.com

**COPYRIGHT**  
 THESE PLANS ARE AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE AND ARE THE PROPERTY OF GALLOWAY, AND MAY NOT BE DUPLICATED, DISCLOSED, OR REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GALLOWAY. COPYRIGHTS AND INFRINGEMENTS WILL BE ENFORCED AND PROSECUTED.

**DRAINAGE MAPS**  
**GRANDVIEW RESERVE FILING NO. 1**  
**4 SITE INVESTMENTS, LLC (D.R. HORTON)**

EASTONVILLE RD & REX RD  
 EL PASO COUNTY, FALCON, CO 80831

#	Date	Issue / Description	Init.

Project No: HRG02  
 Drawn By: T.JE  
 Checked By: BAS  
 Date: 03/15/2024



# V\_2 Drainage Report.pdf Markup Summary

## Callout (35)

id) was performed as part of the E-4b Phase. These design basins and discussion of them are not shown on this plan. **Is this portion of Filing 1, southwest of Grandview site.**

a southern portion of the site, in the eastern overhead graded about as much as basin ground (2%), 1 by proposed TSS-D1 at DP 18, is directly to existing Channel A.

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 3:21:19 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

North portion of Filing 1, southwest of overall Grandview site.

4 The major storm event. The 5-year event was used as the basis for design of the existing stormwater management system. The 5-year event was used as the basis for design of the proposed stormwater management system. **Is this the drainage pond, a little to the west of the pond, as shown on the plan?**

one major drainage basin, the Glick Ranch Drainage Basin and the site generally drains from north to south with an average of 0.5% slope. A rational method was used to analyze the individual basins within the site.

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 5  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:11:54 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

From the drainage map, it looks like this pond does not fall within Filing 1.

Conditions

one major drainage basin, the Glick Ranch Drainage Basin and the site generally drains from north to south with an average of 0.5% slope. A rational method was used to analyze the individual basins within the site.

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:22:38 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Also reference CDR-22-008, which is the CD's and drainage report for the channel improvements

**Is this north portion of Kate Meadow Lane?**

the west-central portion of the project site, runoff from this basin via curb & gutter, to a proposed (public) 18" dia Farm Close Court (DP D4), southeast.

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 9  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:26:32 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

and north portion of Kate Meadow Lane

D-4. Emergency within the site. **Is this the same as Channel A?**

er of the project site, sits partially of from this basin will 7), via concrete Main Stem channel.

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 9  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:36:56 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Is this the same as Channel A?

inlet, located just southeast of DP E5). In the major storm event and E-4b. Emergency overflow swale to the southeast wale. **DP E9**

3 cfs): Located on the south

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 10  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:47:45 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

DP E9

& 1.301 Ac-Ft, respectively. The total detention basin storage is 1,824 ac-ft (8.0 cfs). In the 100-year event, the MDDP, there is one (1) major

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 11  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:52:10 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Delete this statement.


The provided storage for the WQCV and EURLV are equal detention basin volume is 1,536 Ac-Ft. The 100-year event, Pond E releases 50% of the total of each reach tributary #1 Channel. The required volume WQCV and EURLV are equal detention basin volume is 2,048 Ac-Ft. The 100-year event, Pond E releases 50% of the total of each reach tributary #1 Channel. The required volume WQCV and EURLV are equal detention basin volume is 2,048 Ac-Ft. The 100-year event, Pond E releases 50% of the total of each reach tributary #1 Channel.

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 11  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:52:26 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Include what the historic rates were.

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 451  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 5:04:33 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved:  
Need to include area for roads within each basin. Such as D-1 has west half of Kate Meadow Lane & D-2 has east half of Kate Meadow Lane. Only, D-5, D-6, E-5 and E-6 appear to not have any paved roads within them.



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 458  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 5:10:36 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Explain what is happening with this message (all sheets)

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 465  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 5:18:05 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Per hydrology spreadsheet, flows at E-4a are 20.3 cfs for major storm.

**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 465  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 5:18:48 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Bypass flow received should be 3.1, per previous inlet sheets



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 465  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 5:19:45 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

5.1 cfs?



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 465  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 11:37:30 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

5.1 cfs?




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 454  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 11:36:25 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

At-grade inlets should be shown/have flows crossing over the crown. Bypass flows will continue in c&g to next inlet. It's ok to do this on the sump inlets as shown but indicate what the 100-year water elevation will be at those locations, so we can see how much is inundated during the major storm. Give me a call if you want to discuss this more in depth. Also see comments on inlet management spreadsheet.




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 465  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 11:37:28 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

02 cfs?




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 478  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 11:46:56 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

28.8 cfs if Total flow entering pond per hydrology spreadsheet




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 478  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 11:47:49 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Is swale lined?




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 479  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 11:50:12 AM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Why did the flow drop so much from previous version?




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 513  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 12:11:38 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

This needs to be closer to 1.0 for the minor storm




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 12:55:59 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

This needs to be closer to 1.0 for the minor storm




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** 521  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:19:02 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Indicate how these were determined since they don't match % impervious & areas shown on Detention Pond tributary areas spreadsheet



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_ Ex. Drainage Map-EX-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:24:45 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Include sheet EX-1 if Filing 1 boundary extends onto that sheet




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_ Ex. Drainage Map-EX-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:25:22 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Label linetype, turn off or include in legend




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Interim Drainage Map-INT-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:26:17 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Show and label BFE's




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Interim Drainage Map-INT-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:40:41 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved:  
Label all easements




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Ex. Drainage Map-EX-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:30:33 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Note hard to read




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Ex. Drainage Map-EX-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:30:52 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Should gas line be outside of easement



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Interim Drainage Map-INT-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:32:06 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Proposed contour labels



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Interim Drainage Map-INT-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:35:03 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved:  
Missing property line




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Interim Drainage Map-INT-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:37:25 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Remove matchlines if not included with this report




**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:40:04 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Missing easement line



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:50:00 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Highlighted values do not match with calculations in appendix. Please update. Show and label swale lining



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:50:37 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Label property boundary



**Subject:** Callout  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:52:34 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

What do these values represent? All label or heading

Checkmark (7)

5.65	ft (relati
50.00	feet
4.00	H:V
1.00	feet

**Subject:** Checkmark  
**Page Label:** 513  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:21:44 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

5.65	ft (relati
50.00	feet
4.00	H:V
1.00	feet

**Subject:** Checkmark  
**Page Label:** 513  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:21:53 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

zoidal)

5.65	ft (relati
50.00	feet
4.00	H:V

**Subject:** Checkmark  
**Page Label:** 513  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:22:52 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

zoidal)

6.25	ft (relati
70.00	feet
4.00	H:V
1.00	feet

**Subject:** Checkmark  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:25:34 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

6.25	ft (relati
70.00	feet
4.00	H:V
1.00	feet

**Subject:** Checkmark  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:25:37 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

70.00	feet
4.00	H:V
1.00	feet

**Subject:** Checkmark  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:25:39 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

zoidal)


6.25	ft (relati
70.00	feet
4.00	H:V

**Subject:** Checkmark  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:26:15 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

---

## Cloud+ (1)

---



**Subject:** Cloud+  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Interim Drainage Map-INT-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:36:42 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Show swale grading

---

## Highlight (18)

---

Off-Site Flows. These design basins  
se and discussion of them are not

at the southwestern portion of the site.  
egs. In the interim overlaid graded  
described as nearly bare ground (2%).  
epted by proposed TSB-D1 at DP 18.  
stream directly to existing Channel A.

**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 6  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 3:20:47 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

southwestern portion of the site

ieck Ranch Drainag  
to thirteen (13) sm  
Site runoff for Gran  
ne two proposed ful

**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 3:49:00 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

thirteen (13)

spreadsheet was utilized

4,04 spreadsheet was utili  
and Eastonville Pond.

ainade Conditions

**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 5  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:11:14 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Eastonville Pond

nd run residential  
will sheet flow to t  
lic) 15' CDOT Typ  
n the major storm  
and F-4b. Emerae

**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 10  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:44:43 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

15' CDOT

OP (E5). I  
b and E

**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 10  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:47:33 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


E5






**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 11  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:51:56 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

n the 100-year event, Pond D releases 90% of the predeveloped peak flow (8.0 cfs)




**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 458  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 5:08:42 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

ft. >T-Crown




**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 460  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 5:10:46 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

ft. >T-Crown




**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 462  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 5:11:34 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

ft. >T-Crown



**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 465  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 5:15:37 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


16.8



**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** 465  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 5:18:34 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**


2.5

0.0  
0.6


Subject: Highlight  
Page Label: 465  
Author: CDurham  
Date: 4/15/2024 5:18:39 PM  
Status:  
Color:   
Layer:  
Space:

0.6

= 5.56  
= 5.06  
= 9.01


Subject: Highlight  
Page Label: 478  
Author: CDurham  
Date: 4/16/2024 11:47:41 AM  
Status:  
Color:   
Layer:  
Space:

22.0


Subject: Highlight  
Page Label: 480  
Author: CDurham  
Date: 4/16/2024 11:51:20 AM  
Status:  
Color:   
Layer:  
Space:


2.0


28.1


Subject: Highlight  
Page Label: 480  
Author: CDurham  
Date: 4/16/2024 11:51:30 AM  
Status:  
Color:   
Layer:  
Space:


8.1



Subject: Highlight  
Page Label: [1] Layout1  
Author: CDurham  
Date: 4/16/2024 2:49:19 PM  
Status:  
Color:   
Layer:  
Space:




Subject: Highlight  
Page Label: [1] Layout1  
Author: CDurham  
Date: 4/16/2024 2:49:21 PM  
Status:  
Color:   
Layer:  
Space:



**Subject:** Highlight  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:49:25 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

SW - Highlight (4)



**Subject:** SW - Highlight  
**Page Label:** 7  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 3:13:56 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Provide Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV)  
This step utilizes formalized water quality capture volume to slow the

= 1.07 sq. inches (diameter = 1-1/8 inches)

Click Show/Hide/Expand from Invert to Sort/Hide

Row 1 (optional)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)
0.00	1.04	0.00
0.00	1.07	0.00
Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)

**Subject:** SW - Highlight  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:53:17 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

1.07 1.07 1.07

= 2.00  
 = 0.50

**Subject:** SW - Highlight  
**Page Label:** 523  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:57:15 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

0.50

= 2.00  
 = 0.50

**Subject:** SW - Highlight  
**Page Label:** 522  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 5:24:52 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:**   
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

0.50

SW - Textbox (1)




**Subject:** SW - Textbox  
**Page Label:** 15  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 3:38:34 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved from Submittal 1 -


For proposed runoff reduction measures:  
- The runoff reduction RPA is considered a WQ Facility and requires a signed Maintenance Agreement  
- All RPA/SPA areas will need to be within a no build/drainage easement (or tract) and discussed in the maintenance agreement and O&M manual.  
- Vegetation should have a uniform density of at least 80%.  
- RPA/SPA limits must be shown on GEC Plans (not just FDR) so our SW inspectors and the QSM know that these areas are to remain pervious and vegetated (80%). Our SW inspectors do not look at drainage reports.

SW - Textbox with Arrow (18)



**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 14  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:48:24 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

and runoff reduction.



**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 7  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 3:14:57 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Discuss that runoff reduction is provided to satisfy water quality requirements for part of Basin D-6.




**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:07:04 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Note: this runoff reduction area needs to be located within a tract or easement. Update these shapes as needed.




**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 509  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 3:55:57 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Update area as needed per previous comments in the DR. The RPA needs to be in a no-build easement or tract.



**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 510  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:04:18 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Basin E-6 is shown as not needing RR per the Drainage maps. Update so it is consistent. If it is not needed you do not need to provide these calcs, if it is clearly show on maps.



**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:08:19 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

what area is going to be RR within E-6? The calculations claim that there is treatment proposed in E-6 but the legend does not indicate that.




**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 513  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:12:30 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved from Submittal 1: Verify - ratio should be around 1




**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:24:14 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved from Submittal 1: Verify - ratio should be around 1



**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:34:20 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved from Submittal 1: Provide accompanying map delineating the UIAs, RPAs, and SPAs.



**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:37:48 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved from Submittal 1 - Proposed maintenance path will not be pervious - these areas do not match the exemption stated.

0.00	Rt (relative to basin bottom at St
3.13	Rt (relative to basin bottom at St
12.50	inches
1.07	sq. inches (diameter = 1-1/8 inc

**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:37:35 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved from Submittal 1 - In all of the areas there are land that will be developed - riprap or a maintenance path. This exclusion does not work for those elements. Apply other exclusions and shade accordingly or treat.

0.00	Rt (relative to basin bottom at St
3.13	Rt (relative to basin bottom at St
12.50	inches
1.07	sq. inches (diameter = 1-1/8 inc

Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

Row 1 (required)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optio
0.00	1.04	2.09
0.97	1.97	1.97

**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:53:13 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

0.99?

sin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)

ester = 1-1/8 inches) 2.08

Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Ro
2.09		
1.97		

**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:53:38 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

2.08

3.13	N/A
4.64	N/A
7 nn	N/A

**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:54:14 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

3.12

4.67	N/A	Rt (relative to basin l
0.00	N/A	met
0.00	N/A	H-V
1.00	N/A	met
Type C Grate	N/A	
50%	N/A	

Zone 3 Restrictor Not Selected Rt (distance below to

**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:56:30 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

4.64

- = 2.00
- = 0.50
- = 100.00
- = 0.50
- = 0.012

Known Q = 1.26

Depth shown on plans is 4" 0.33'

**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 523  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 4:57:37 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Depth shown on plans is 4" , 0.33'

Configuration (Retention Pond) DATE: 4/15/2024

Used to drain WOCV in a Filtration BMP	
N/A	R (distance below top of storm media)
N/A	inches

3.12

Filtration Size (filter typically used to drain WOCV at)	
0.00	R (relative to basin bottom at Stage 1)
3.12	R (relative to basin bottom at Stage 1)
12.50	inches
1.07	sq. inches (diameter = 1-1/8 inches)

**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 518  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 5:16:07 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

3.12

= 2.00	Depth shown on plans is 4", 0.33'
= 0.50	
= 100.00	
= 0.50	
= 0.012	

Known Q = 0.52

Del  
Q (Are  
Val  
We  
Cnl  
Tst  
EG

**Subject:** SW - Textbox with Arrow  
**Page Label:** 522  
**Author:** Mikayla Hartford  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 5:24:59 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Depth shown on plans is 4", 0.33'

Text Box (18)



**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 8  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 4:20:58 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

14

Total Inlet Interception Capacity (assumes stopped coast)  
 Inlet Capacity IS GOOD for Minor and Major Storms

Show expanded version,  
 as on previous inlet sheets

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** 464  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/15/2024 5:14:26 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Show expanded version, as on previous inlet sheets

Label all easements

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Ex. Drainage Map-EX-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:23:52 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Label all easements

Show Filing 1 boundary


**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Ex. Drainage Map-EX-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:24:21 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Show Filing 1 boundary




**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Interim Drainage Map-INT-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:28:58 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Adjacent property information




**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Interim Drainage Map-INT-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:42:16 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved:  
 Add adjacent property information




**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Interim Drainage Map-INT-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:38:01 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Include same note as used on DP 4 & 5 for DP 6




**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Interim Drainage Map-INT-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:40:45 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Show existing water tank



**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Ex. Drainage Map-EX-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:39:39 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Show existing water tank



**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:41:18 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Show and label same easements as on previous sheets



Unresolved:  
Add adjacent  
property  
information

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:41:44 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved:  
Add adjacent property information

Unresolved:  
Add adjacent  
property  
information

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:42:00 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved:  
Add adjacent property information

Unresolved:  
Add adjacent  
property  
information

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [2] HRG01\_Interim Drainage Map-INT-2  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:42:09 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved:  
Add adjacent property information

Unresolved:  
Add adjacent  
property  
information

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:42:36 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Unresolved:  
Add adjacent property information

Add adjacent  
property  
information


**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:48:23 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Add adjacent property information

Channel A

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:50:46 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Channel A



---

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:50:55 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Channel A



---

**Subject:** Text Box  
**Page Label:** [1] Layout1  
**Author:** CDurham  
**Date:** 4/16/2024 2:51:35 PM  
**Status:**  
**Color:** ■  
**Layer:**  
**Space:**

Label DP's where flows enter from offsite (DP 4, 5 & 6)