FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT

FOR

WIDEFIELD WATER & SANITATION DISTRICT

BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION REPLACEMENT

EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO

100% Submittal

February 2020

Prepared for:

JDS Hydro Consultants, Inc. 5455 Tech Center Drive, Suite 100 Colorado Springs, CO 80919

Prepared by:



20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 110 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 955-5485

Project #70-077 CID No. 2019.815.2027 PCD Project # PPR-21-069

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR WIDEFIELD WATER & SANITATION DISTRICT BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION REPLACEMENT

DRAINAGE PLAN STATEMENTS

ENGINEERS STATEMENT

The attached drainage plan and report was prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

Darin L. Moffett, P.E. #38923 For and on Behalf of M&S Civil Consultants, Inc

DEVELOPER'S STATEMENT

I, the developer have read and will comply with all the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

BY: Pohol (

TITLE District Engines DATE: 2/1

ADDRESS: Widefield Water and Sanitation District 8495 Fontaine Blvd. Colorado Springs, CO80925

EL PASO COUNTY'S STATEMENT

Filed in accordance with the requirements of El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2, and the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, as amended.

BY:___

DATE:____

Jennifer Irvine, P.E. County Engineer/ECM Administrator

CONDITIONS



FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR WIDEFIELD WATER & SANITATION DISTRICT BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION REPLACEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PURPOSE	4
GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	4
SOILS	4
HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS	5
HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS	5
FLOOD PLAIN STATEMENT	5
DRAINAGE CRITERIA	5
FOUR STEP PROCESS	5
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	5
PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	6
EROSION CONTROL	7
CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION	8
DRAINAGE AND BRIDGE FEES	8
SUMMARY	8
REFERENCES	9

APPENDIX

Vicinity Map Soils Map Annotated FIRM Panel Hydrologic Calculations Hydraulic Calculations Grading Erosion Control Plan Proposed and Existing Drainage Maps

FINAL DRAINAGE REPORT FOR WIDEFIELD WATER & SANITATION DISTRICT BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION REPLACEMENT

PURPOSE

This document is intended to serve as the Final Drainage report for the Widefield Water & Sanitation District Booster 2 Pump Station. The purpose of this document is to identify and analyze the on and offsite drainage patterns and to ensure that post development runoff is routed through the sitesafely.

GENERAL LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The Widefield Water & Sanitation District (WWSD) Booster 2 Pump Station and associated infrastructure and site improvements are to be constructed within both an un-platted parcel and Tract D of Widefield County Club Heights East both of which contiguous and under the ownership of the WWSD.

The parcels of land are located within a portion of the northwest quarter of the southeast quarter and within a portion of the southwest corner of the northeast quarter of Section 19, Township 15 South, Range 65 West of the 6th P.M. in El Paso County, Colorado. The site to the north and south by developed residential lots (Lot 7 & Lot 8 of Block 1) within Widefield Country Club Heights East and to east by existing Metropolitan Street Rights-of-Way and to the west by a portion of the Fountain Mutual Irrigation Company Channel (FMIC). Flows from this site are tributary to the East Big Johnson drainage basin and are ultimately tributary to Fountain Creek.

With the exception of the existing tank, pump house, fences and underground utilities the two parcels remain largely undeveloped. Vegetation is sparse, consisting of native grasses. The site has likely experienced overlot grading activities associated within the construction of the existing facilities and adjacent subdivision which occurred in the latter part of the 1970's. The existing site terrain generally slopes from east to west at grade rates that vary between 1% and 10%. The side slopes of the adjacent FMIC channel, directly to the west and southwest of the subject possess steep vegetative slopes that typically range between 1:1-2:1 (H:V).

As previously discussed the proposed site improvement will include a new pump station building and gravel access/parking lot. A small asphalt or concrete access drive with cantilever gate and additional security fencing will added along with below ground utility extensions necessary to connect the pump house to the existing tank site and subsequent waterlines. A small storm water collection system and landscaping will also be provided.

SOILS

Soils for this project are delineated on the Soils map in the appendix as have been determined to be Truckton Sandy Loam (97) and Wiley Silt Loam which have been characterized as Hydrologic Soil Types "A". Soils in the study area are shown as mapped by S.C.S. in the "Soils Survey of El Paso County Area". As previously discussed, vegetation on the site is sparse, consisting of native grasses and weeds.

HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Storm Drainage Design Criteria manual and where applicable the Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual. The Rational Method was used to estimate stormwater runoff anticipated from design storms with 5-year and 100-year recurrence intervals.

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

Hydraulic calculations were estimated using the Manning's Formula and the methods described in the El Paso County and City of Colorado Springs Storm Drainage Design Criteria manual. The relevant data sheets are included in the appendix of this report.

FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Panel No. 08041C0756 G, effective date December 07, 2018. An annotated FIRM Panel (Floodplain Map) is included in the Appendix to illustrate that <u>NO</u> portion of the site lies within the regulatory 100year floodplain.

DRAINAGE CRITERIA

Unresolved. Per FEMA website panel number is 08041C0952G. Please revise.

This drainage analysis has been prepared in accordance with the current city of colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manuals. Calculations were performed to determine runoff quantities for the 5-year and 100-year frequency storms for developed conditions using the Rational Method as required for basins having areas less than 100 acres.

FOUR STEP PROCESS/WATER QUALITY

The proposed project is considered to be development with less than 1 acre of disturbance and is not part of a large common plan of development or sale, as a result no water quality improvements are required per the El Paso County Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit.

The proposed and existing conditions have been developed for information purposes and to ensure runoff is safely routed and erosion control is properly implemented. As the proposed earthwork moving activities are anticipated to exceed 500 cubic yards a Stormwater manage Program Plan (SWMP) and Erosion and Stormwater Quality Control Permit (ESQCP) will be provided. A copy of the Proposed Grading and Erosion Control Plans are provided within the appendix of this report.

EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

The two parcels that make up the existing site consist of approximately 1.69 acres. With the exception of the existing tank, pump house, fences and underground utilities the parcels remain largely undeveloped. The existing site terrain generally slopes from east to west at grade rates that vary between 1% and 10%. A small ridgeline which runs east to west generally divides the subject site into a north and south basin. With the exception of a small portion of the adjacent residential lot located to the south of the site and a very small portion of the adjacent Rights-of-Way (0.01 ac), the site is not burdened by offsite runoff, having nearly all of the site drainage directed to the adjacent Fountain Mutual Irrigation Company Channel as sheet flow. Onsite inspection shows limited reeling along the channel slopes from subjectsite

runoff. A small onsite area located at the southeast corner of the site (0.03 acres) discharges to the adjacent Metropolitan street curb and gutter where it is direct to a low point and sump inlet located north of the subject site. Flows intercepted by the inlet appear to be directed to the FMIC via an existing storm sewer (located to the north of lot 7).

Detailed Existing Drainage Discussion

Basin OS1, 0.01 acres, ($Q_5 < 0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100} < 0.1$ cfs), consists of a small area of existing vegetated rights of way located to the west of the subject site. Runoff produced within the Basin OS1 sheet flows to into Basin A.

Basin A, 0.83 acres, $(Q_5=0.3 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100}=1.9 \text{ cfs})$, consists of a portion of the undeveloped Tract D. Runoff produced within the Basin A combines with flows from Basin OS1 and travels as both sheet and concentrated runoff to the northwest and to the existing FMIC channel. The combined runoff from the 0.84 acres totals 0.3 cfs and 2.0 cfs in the 5 and 100 year storm events respectively at east channel bank (Design Point 1).

Basin OS2, 0.11 acres, ($Q_5=0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100}=0.4$ cfs), consists of a portion of residential Lot 3, Blk 1 of Widefield County Club Heights East. Runoff produced within the Basin OS2 travel west as sheet flow and enters Basin B along the southern property boundary.

Basin B, 0.83 acres, ($Q_5=0.4$ cfs, $Q_{100}=2.3$ cfs), consists of the majority of the south half of the site and includes the existing pump house and water tank. Runoff from Basin B combines with flows from offsite basin OS2 and travels as sheet and concentrated flow to the south west property line and the existing FMIC channel at Design Point 2 ($Q_5=0.3$ cfs, $Q_{100}=2.7$ cfs).

Basin C, 0.03 acres, $(Q_5 < 0.1 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100}=0.1 \text{ cfs})$, consists of a segment of existing vegetated roadway rights of way. Runoff produced within the Basin C sheet flows to the west curb and gutter of existing Metropolitan Street. Flows collected with the west curb and gutter section is conveyed north to an existing offsite sump inlet.

PROPOSED DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS

General Concept Drainage Discussion

In the proposed condition the site improvements will include installation of a new pump station building and gravel access/parking lot. A small asphalt or concrete access drive with cantilever gate and additional security fencing will added along with the various below ground utility extensions necessary to connect the pump house to the existing tank site and subsequent waterlines. Runoff within the south half of the two parcels generally not be disturbed and will continue to drain as in the existing condition. While drainage from the north half of the site, where improvements are proposed, will now be collected and conveyed by a pair of earthen swales which will direct runoff to a proposed storm drain located at the far west end of the site. A small offsite easement will be required to construct and maintain the proposed storm sewer pipe and outfall. A riprap pad is proposed at the terminus of the pipe to protect the existing channel from any erosion that otherwise might be caused from the existing concentrated. In addition to the riprap armoring around the proposed flared end section, seeded permanent erosion control blanket is proposed to aid in protecting and restoring vegetation to the steep slope of the FMIC channel, where the channel bank will need to be removed and replaced in order to install the pipe. A small amount of riprap is also planned at the entrance of the storm sewer to stabilize the steep slope at the proposed A detailed drainage discussion for each proposed conditions sub-basin is described FES.

below. A worksheet detailing the calculated coefficients for each sub-basin and developed times of concentration can be found in the appendix under Hydrologic Calculations.

Detailed Drainage Discussion

Basin A1, 0.07 acres, ($Q_5 < 0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100}=0.2$ cfs), consists of a segment of slope grading located along the north and northwest sides of the development. Flows produced within the Basin A1 sheet flow to the existing FMIC channel as in the existing condition. Flows reaching the northwest boundary of the site at Design Point 1 are calculated at less than 0.1 cfs the 5 year event and 0.2 cfs in the 100 year stormevent.

Basin A2, 0.50 acres, ($Q_5=1.4$ cfs, $Q_{100}=2.5$ cfs), consists of a portion of the proposed booster pump house, proposed gravel parking area as well as re-vegetated portions of the existing lot. Runoff from Basin A1 is routed as surface runoff via a min. 1'deep earthen swale to DP1A.

Basin OS1, 0.01 acres, $(Q_5 < 0.1 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} < 0.1 \text{ cfs})$, consists of a small area of existing vegetated rights of
way located to the west of the subject site. Runoff produced within the Basin OS1 sheet flows to into
Basin A3.UNRESOLVED: areas do not match those shown
on drainage map. Revise to remove discrepancies.

Basin A3, 0.10 acres, ($Q_5=0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100}=0.4$ cfs), consists of a portion of the proposed booster pump house, proposed gravel parking area as well as re-vegetated portions of the existing lot. Runoff from Basin A3 is routed as surface runoff via a min. 1'deep earthen swale to DP1A, where it ultimately combines with flows from Basins OS1 and A2 at a proposed 18" private reinforced concrete culvert totaling $Q_5=0.8$ cfs, $Q_{100}=2.6$ cfs. The installation of a culvert to convey runoff from the site directly to the FMIC, will significantly reduce any potential erosion caused by the uncontrolled release of developed runoff across the steep channel embankment slopes.

JDS Hydro has determined in correspondence with the FMIC that approximately 50 cfs is conveyed by the irrigation channel. Given an calculated slope of 0.05%, a bottom width of approximately 9' and 1 to 1 (H:V) side slopes and a n value of 0.03, the calculated normal depth of the channel is approximately 30" at a velocity of 1.7' feet per second. This low tailwater condition will not adversely affect the proposed hydraulics of the proposed storm culvert given the pipe grade and entrance elevation and limited runoff there by allowing for free discharge. Given the design slope of 13.5% the proposed 18" culvert will convey the 2.6 cfs at a normal depth of 3.2" and at a velocity of 12.4 feet per second in the 100 year event. Refer to the Hydraulic Calculations portion of the appendix for additional information.

Basin OS2, 0.11 acres, ($Q_5=0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100}=0.4$ cfs), consists of a portion of residential Lot 3, Blk 1 of Widefield County Club Heights East. Runoff produced within the Basin OS2 travel west as sheet flow and enters Basin B along the southern property boundary.

Basin B, 0.83 acres, ($Q_5=0.4$ cfs, $Q_{100}=2.1$ cfs), consists of the majority of the south half of the site and includes the existing pump house and water tank. Runoff from Basin B combines with flows from offsite basin OS2 and travels as sheet and concentrated flow to the south west property line and the existing FMIC channel at Design Point 2 ($Q_5=0.6$ cfs, $Q_{100}=2.9$ cfs).

Basin C, 0.03 acres, ($Q_5 < 0.1$ cfs, $Q_{100}=0.1$ cfs), consists of a segment of existing vegetated roadway rights of way. Runoff produced within the Basin C sheet flows to the west curb and gutter of existing Metropolitan Street. Flows collected with the west curb and gutter section is conveyed north to an existing offsite sump inlet.

It should be noted that the estimated combined runoff discharged from the site in the existing condition totals ~ 4.7 cfs (direct addition of DP1, DP1A &DP2) while the total discharge from the proposed

condition is only slightly higher at 5.7 cfs (direct addition of DP1&DP2). These minor increases in flows of approximately 1 cfs are considered negligible. With the installation of the recommended infrastructure, and the presence of an adequate outfall structure, no negative effects are anticipated to water quality or downstream facilities. Furthermore thru the implementation of outfall protection, slope stabilization as well as site stabilization (reseeding) the potential of erosion from construction and function should be mitigated.

EROSION CONTROL

It is the policy of the El Paso County that we submit a grading and erosion control plan with the drainage report. Proposed erosion control blanket, silt fence, vehicle traffic control, concrete washout area are proposed as erosion control measures. Recommendations for Stabilized staging area and stockpile management are also included.

CONSTRUCTION COST OPINION

(Private) Drainage Facilities NON-Reimbursable:

Item	Description	Qua	ntity	Unit	Cost		Cost
1.	18" Storm Sewer	50	LF	\$60	/LF		\$3,000.00
2.	18" Flared End Sections	2	EA	\$900	/EA		\$1,800.00
3.	D50=9" Riprap	5	CY	\$50	/CYF		\$250.00
4.	Erosion Control Blanket	42	SY	\$9	/SY		\$575.00
						Total \$	\$5,625.00

DRAINAGE & BRIDGE FEES

The site is currently platted as a Tract. No re-plat is being submitted and the structure is being constructed on the Tract via administrative relief (ADM-19-026) in which it was determined that the proposed project will not require a "1041" permit. Thus no drainage and bridge fees are required for the development of the site.

M &S Civil Consultants, Inc. (M&S) cannot and does not guarantee the construction cost will not vary from these opinions of probable costs. These opinions represent our best judgment as design professionals familiar with the construction industry and this development in particular. The above is only an estimate of the facility cost and drainage basin fee amounts in 2017.

SUMMARY

The installation of the proposed facilities associated the construction of Widefield Water and Sanitation District Booster 2 Pump Station site shall not adversely affect adjacent or downstream properties per this final drainage report. The proposed drainage facilities will adequately convey, detain and route runoff from tributary onsite and existing offsite flows to the Sand Creek Drainage channel. Maintenance of the proposed private facilities shall be the responsibility of the WWSD.

REFERENCES

- 1.) "City of Colorado Springs/County of El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in November 1991 and October 1994 with County adopted Chapter 6 and Section 3.2.1 of Chapter 13 of the City of Colorado Springs /El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual as revised in May 2014".
- 2.) "Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1, 2, & 3 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, dated 2016."
- 3.) Web Soil Survey, USDA NRCS Soils Map <u>https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm</u>
- 4.) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Federal Emergency Management Agency, Effective date December 7, 2018. <u>https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home</u>

APPENDIX

VICINITY MAP





SOILS MAP



NOT TO SCALE



MAP UNIT SYMBOL	MAP UNIT NAME	RATING	WIDEFIELD WATER AND SANITATION DISTRICT BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION
97	Truckton sandy loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes	A	SOILS MAP
108	Wiley silt loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes	В	

CONSULTANTS, INC

CIVIL

ANNOTATED FIRM PANEL



HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

WIDEFIELD WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICT - BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS (Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

												RUNOFF C	OEFFICIENT
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (SF)	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀
A	36008.1896	0.83	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.59	0.70	0.83	0.09	0.36	0.09	0.36
В	36198.4781	0.83	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.59	0.70	0.80	0.09	0.36	0.12	0.38
С	1281.6639	0.03	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.59	0.70	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.09	0.36
OS1	520.2481	0.01	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.01	0.09	0.36	0.09	0.36
OS2	4830.2806	0.11	0.02	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.10	0.09	0.36	0.20	0.44

WIDEFIELD WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICT - BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

(Area Drainage Summary)

From Area Runoff	Coefficient Sumn	ary			OVERLA	IND		ST	REET / CH	ANNEL FLC	DW	Time of T	ravel (T _t)	INTEN	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	T _t	TOTAL	CHECK	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀
	(Acres)	From DCM	1 Table 5-1		(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
A	0.83	0.09	0.36	0.09	100	5.5	10.4	90	2.9%	1.2	1.3	11.7	11.1	3.9	6.5	0.3	1.9
В	0.83	0.12	0.38	0.12	60	4	7.3	150	5.3%	1.6	1.5	8.9	11.2	4.3	7.2	0.4	2.3
С	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.09	15	0.3	5.6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	5.6	10.1	5.0	8.4	0.0	0.1
OS1	0.01	0.09	0.36	0.09	10	0.2	4.6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	4.6	10.1	5.3	8.9	0.0	0.0
OS2	0.11	0.20	0.44	0.20	50	2	7.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	7.3	10.3	4.6	7.7	0.1	0.4

* Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.

Calculated by: DLM Date: 8/26/2019 Checked by: VAS

WIDEFIELD WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICT - BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS (Basin Routing Summary)

	From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary	v			OVI	ERLAND		PIPE	E / CHA	NNEL FLO)W	Time of Travel (T _t)	INTE	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS	
DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA ₅	CA ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _c	Length	Slope	Velocity	T _t	TOTAL	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀	COMMENTS
					(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	
1	OS1, A	0.08	0.30									11.7	3.9	6.5	0.3	2.0	
					Basin E	B Tc was use	ed	1									
2	OS2, B, C	0.13	0.38									8.9	4.3	7.2	0.6	2.7	
					Basin A	A Tc was use	ed										

WIDEFIELD WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICT - BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS (Area Runoff Coefficient Summary)

												RUNOFF C	OEFFICIENT
BASIN	TOTAL AREA (SF)	TOTAL AREA (Acres)	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	AREA (Acres)	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	C ₁₀₀
A1	2864.3099	0.07	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.59	0.70	0.07	0.09	0.36	0.09	0.36
A2	21650.5603	0.50	0.06	0.90	0.96	0.09	0.59	0.70	0.35	0.09	0.36	0.27	0.49
A3	15244.5339	0.35	0.02	0.90	0.96	0.07	0.59	0.70	0.27	0.09	0.36	0.22	0.45
В	32440.8858	0.74	0.03	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.59	0.70	0.71	0.09	0.36	0.13	0.39
С	1281.6639	0.03	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.59	0.70	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.09	0.36
OS1	207.67	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.00	0.09	0.36	0.09	0.36
OS2	4830.2806	0.11	0.02	0.90	0.96	0.00	0.30	0.50	0.10	0.09	0.36	0.20	0.44

WIDEFIELD WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICT - BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

(Area Drainage Summary)

From Area Runoff	Coefficient Sumn	iary			OVERL/	1ND		ST	REET / CH	ANNEL FLC)W	Time of T	Travel (T _t)	INTEN	SITY *	TOTAL	FLOWS
BASIN	AREA TOTAL	C ₅	C ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	T _t	TOTAL	CHECK	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀
	(Acres)	From DCM	M Table 5-1		(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)
Al	0.07	0.09	0.36	0.09	10	0.5	3.4	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	5.0	10.1	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.2
A2	0.50	0.27	0.49	0.27	90	5.5	7.8	285	1.9%	1.4	3.4	11.2	12.1	3.8	6.5	0.5	1.6
A3	0.35	0.22	0.45	0.22	90	5.5	8.3	250	2.2%	1.5	2.8	11.1	11.9	3.9	6.5	0.3	1.0
В	0.74	0.13	0.39	0.13	60	4	7.3	150	5.3%	1.6	1.5	8.8	11.2	4.3	7.2	0.4	2.1
С	0.03	0.09	0.36	0.09	15	0.3	5.6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	5.6	10.1	5.0	8.4	0.0	0.1
OS1	0.00	0.09	0.36	0.09	10	0.2	4.6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	5.0	10.1	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.0
OS2	0.11	0.20	0.44	0.20	50	2	7.3	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	7.3	10.3	4.6	7.7	0.1	0.4

* Intensity equations assume a minimum travel time of 5 minutes.

Calculated by: DLM Date: 8/26/2019 Checked by: VAS

WIDEFIELD WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRICT - BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS (Basin Routing Summary) From Area Runoff Coefficient Summary OVERLAND PIPE / CHANNEL FLOW Time of Travel (T,) INTENSITY * TOTAL FLOWS COMMENTS

DESIGN POINT	CONTRIBUTING BASINS	CA ₅	CA ₁₀₀	C ₅	Length	Height	T _C	Length	Slope	Velocity	T _t	TOTAL	I ₅	I ₁₀₀	Q5	Q ₁₀₀	COMMENTS
					(ft)	(ft)	(min)	(ft)	(%)	(fps)	(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(in/hr)	(c.f.s.)	(c.f.s.)	
1	A1	0.01	0.02									5.0	5.2	8.7	0.0	0.2	Sheet flows to FMIR
					Basin A	1 Tc was us	ed	1									
1A	OS1, A2, A3	0.21	0.40									11.9	3.9	6.5	0.8	2.6	Prop 18" RCP (private)
					Basin A	3 Tc was us	ed										
2	OS2, B, C	0.12	0.35									5.6	5.0	8.4	0.6	2.9	Sheet flows to FMIR
					Basin C	Tc was use	ed										

HYDRAULIC CALCULATIONS

Project Description		
Friction Method	Manning	
Solve For	FUIIIUId Normal Depth	
	Normal Depth	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.013	
Channel Slope	0.135 ft/ft	
Diameter	18.0 in	
Discharge	2.60 cfs	
Results		
Normal Depth	3.2 in	
Flow Area	0.2 ft ²	
Wetted Perimeter	1.3 ft	
Hydraulic Radius	1.9 in	
Top Width	1.14 ft	
Critical Depth	7.3 in	
Percent Full	17.6 %	
Critical Slope	0.005 ft/ft	
Velocity	12.44 ft/s	
Velocity Head	2.40 ft	
Specific Energy	2.67 ft	
Froude Number	5.125	
Maximum Discharge	41.52 cfs	
Discharge Full	38.59 cfs	
Slope Full	0.001 ft/ft	
Flow Type	Supercritical	
GVF Input Data		
Downstream Depth	0.0 in	
Length	0.0 ft	
Number Of Steps	0	
GVF Output Data		
Upstream Depth	0.0 in	
Profile Description	N/A	
Profile Headloss	, 0.00 ft	
Average End Depth Over Rise	0.0 %	
Normal Depth Over Rise	17.6 %	
Downstream Velocity	Infinity ft/s	
Upstream Velocity	Infinity ft/s	
Normal Depth	3.2 in	
Critical Depth	7.3 in	
Channel Slope	0.135 ft/ft	
Critical Slope	0.005 ft/ft	

Worksheet for 18" Pipe @ 13.5% - 2.6 cfs

Untitled1.fm8 8/29/2019 Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 FlowMaster [10.02.00.01] Page 1 of 1

Project Description		
Friction Method	Manning	
Thedon Flechou	Formula	
Solve For	Normal Depth	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.030	
Channel Slope	0.001 ft/ft	
Left Side Slope	1.000 H:V	
Right Side Slope	1.000 H:V	
Bottom Width	9.00 ft	
Discharge	50.00 cfs	
Results		
Normal Depth	30.3 in	
Flow Area	29.1 ft ²	
Wetted Perimeter	16.1 ft	
Hydraulic Radius	21.6 in	
Top Width	14.05 ft	
Critical Depth	11.4 in	
Critical Slope	0.015 ft/ft	
Velocity	1.72 ft/s	
Velocity Head	0.05 ft	
Specific Energy	2.57 ft	
Froude Number	0.211	
Flow Type	Subcritical	
GVF Input Data		
Downstream Depth	0.0 in	
Length	0.0 ft	
Number Of Steps	0	
GVF Output Data		
Upstream Depth	0.0 in	
Profile Description	N/A	
Profile Headloss	0.00 ft	
Downstream Velocity	0.00 ft/s	
Upstream Velocity	0.00 ft/s	
Normal Depth	30.3 in	
Critical Depth	11.4 in	
Channel Slope	0.001 ft/ft	
Critical Slope	0.015 ft/ft	

Worksheet for FMIC Irrigation Channel - 50 cfs

GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN

STANDARD CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

- . ALL DRAINAGE AND ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION SHALL MEET THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS OF THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS/EL PASO COUNTY DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUMES 1 AND 2, AND THE EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL
- CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE NOTIFICATION AND FIELD LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, WHETHER SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR NOT, BEFORE BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION. LOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. CALL 811 TO CONTACT THE UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF COLORADO SPRINGS.
- 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP A COPY OF THESE APPROVED PLANS, THE GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN, THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP), THE SOILS AND GEOTECHNICAL REPORT AND THE APPROPRIATE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS AT THE JOB SITE AT ALL TIME INCLUDING THE FOLLOWING: 3.1 EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL (ECM)
- 3.2 CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS/EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUMES 1 AND 2. 3.3 COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (CDOT) STANDARDS SPECIFICATION FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION.
- 3.4 CDOT M&S STANDARDS
- 4. IT IS THE DESIGN ENGINEERS RESPONSIBILITY TO ACCURACY SHOW EXISTING CONDITION BOTH ONSITE AND OFFSITE ON THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS. ANY MODIFICATION NECESSARY DUE TO CONFLICT OMISSIONS OR CHANGED CONDITIONS WILL BE ENTIRELY THE DEVELOPERS RESPONSIBILITY TO RECTIFY.
- 5. ONCE THE ESQCP HAS BEEN ISSUED, THE CONTRACTOR MAY INSTALL THE INITIAL STAGE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPS AS INDICATED ON THE GEC. A PRECONSTRUCTION MEETING BETWEEN THE CONTRACTOR, ENGINEER, AND EL PASO COUNTY WILL BE HELD PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE APPLICANT TO COORDINATE THE MEETING TIME AND PLACE WITH COUNTY PCD INSPECTIONS STAFF
- 6. IT IS THE CONTRACTORS RESPONSIBILITY TO UNDERSTAND THE REQUIREMENTS OF ALL JURISDICTIONAL AGENCIES AND TO OBTAIN ALL REQUIRED PERMITS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO EL PASO COUNTY EROSION AND STORM WATER QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT (ESQCP), US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEER ISSUED 401 AND/OR 404 PERMITS AND COUNTY AND STATE FUGITIVE DUST PERMITS.
- 7. ALL CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC MUST ENTER/EXIT THE CONSTRUCTION SITE AT APPROVED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS POINTS.
- 8. ANY TEMPORARY SIGNAGE AND STRIPING SHALL COMPLY WITH EL PASO COUNTY DOW AND MUTCD CRITERIA
- 9. CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN ANY PERMITS REQUIRE BY EL PASO COUNTY DOT INCLUDING WORK WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY AND SPECIAL TRANSPORT PERMITS.
- 10. THE LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION SHALL REMAIN WITHIN THE PROPERTY LINE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. THE OWNER/DEVELOPER SHALL OBTAIN WRITTEN PERMISSION AND EASEMENTS, WHERE REQUIRED, FROM ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNER(S) PRIOR TO ANY OFFSITE DISTURBANCE GRADING, OR CONSTRUCTION.

GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL NOTES:

- STORMWATER DISCHARGES FROM CONSTRUCTION SITES SHALL NOT CAUSE OR THREATEN TO CAUSE POLLUTION, CONTAMINATION, OR DEGRADATION OF STATE WATERS. ALL WORK AND EARTH DISTURBANCE SHALL BE DONE IN A MANNER THAT MINIMIZES POLLUTION OF ANY ON-SITE OR OFF SITE WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS.
- . NOTWITHSTANDING ANYTHING DEPICTED IN THESE PLANS IN WORDS OR GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION, ALL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RELATED TO ROADS, STORM DRAINAGE AND EROSION CONTROL SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MOST RECENT VERSION OF THE RELEVANT ADOPTED EL PASO COUNTY STANDARDS, INCLUDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, THE ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL, THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, AND THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUME 2. ANY DEVIATIONS FROM REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS MUST BE REQUESTED, AND APPROVED, IN WRITING.
- . A SEPARATE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP) FOR THIS PROJECT SHALL BE COMPLETED AND AN EROSION AND STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT (ESQCP) ISSUED PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. DURING CONSTRUCTION THE SWMP IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DESIGNATED QUALIFIED STORMWATER MANAGÉR OR CERTIFIED EROSION CONTROL INSPECTOR AND SHALL BE LOCATED ON SITE AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL BE KEPT UP TO DATE WITH WORK PROGRESS AND CHANGES IN THE FIELD.
- 4. ONCE THE ESQCP IS APPROVED AND A "NOTICE TO PROCEED" HAS BEEN ISSUED, THE CONTRACTOR MAY INSTALL THE INITIAL STAGE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AS INDICATED ON THE GEC. A PRECONSTRUCTION MEETING BETWEEN THE CONTRACTOR, ENGINEER, AND EL PASO COUNTY WILL BE HELD PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE APPLICANT TO COORDINATE THE MEETING TIME AND PLACE WITH COUNTY STAFF
- 5. CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ACTIVITIES THAT MAY CONTRIBUTE POLLUTANTS TO STORMWATER. TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES FOR ALL SLOPES, CHANNELS, DITCHES, OR ANY DISTURBED LAND AREA SHALL BE COMPLETED IMMEDIATELY UPON COMPLETION OF THE DISTURBANCE.
- 5. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED AND REMAIN IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION UNTIL PERMANENT SOIL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE IMPLEMENTED AND FINAL STABILIZATION IS ESTABLISHED. ALL PERSONS ENGAGED IN LAND DISTURBANCE ACTIVITIES SHALL ASSESS THE ADEQUACY OF CONTROL MEASURES AT THE SITE AND IDENTIFY IF CHANGES TO THOSE CONTROL MEASURES. ALL CHANGES TO TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INCORPORATED IN TO THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION.
- TEMPORARY STABILIZATION SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED ON DISTURBED AREAS AND STOCKPILES WHERE GROUND DISTURBING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY HAS PERMANENTLY CEASED OR TEMPORARILY CEASED FOR LONGER THAN 14 DAYS. AN AREA THAT IS GOING TO REMAIN IN AN INTERIM STATE FOR MORE THAN 60 DAYS SHALL ALSO BE STABILIZED.
- 8. FINAL STABILIZATION MUST BE IMPLEMENTED AT ALL APPLICABLE CONSTRUCTION SITES. FINAL STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED WHEN ALL GROUND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES ARE COMPLETE AND ALL DISTURBED AREAS EITHER HAVE A UNIFORM VEGETATIVE COVER WITH INDIVIDUAL PLAN DENSITY OF 70 PERCENT OF PRE-DISTURBANCE LEVELS ESTABLISHED OR EQUIVALENT PERMANENT ALTERNATIVE STABILIZATION METHOD IS IMPLEMENTED. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE REMOVED UPON FINAL STABILIZATION AND BEFORE PERMIT CLOSURE.
- 9. ALL PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES SHALL BE INSTALLED AS DEFINED IN THE APPROVED PLANS. ANY PROPOSED CHANGES THAT EFFECT THE HYDROLOGY OR HYDRAULICS OF A PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ECM ADMINISTRATOR PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION
- 10. ANY EARTH DISTURBANCE SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN SUCH A MANNER SO AS TO EFFECTIVELY REDUCE ACCELERATED SOIL EROSION AND RESULTING SEDIMENTATION. ALL DISTURBANCES SHALL BE DESIGNED, CONSTRUCTED, AND COMPLETED SO THAT THE EXPOSED AREA OF ANY DISTURBED LAND SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE SHORTEST PRACTICAL PERIOD OF TIME. PRE-EXISTING VEGETATION SHALL BE PROTECTED AND MAINTAINED WITHIN 50 HORIZONTAL FEET OF A WATERS OF THE STATE, UNLESS INFEASIBLE.
- 11. COMPACTION OF SOIL MUST BE PREVENTED IN AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION CONTROL MEASURES OR WHERE FINAL STABILIZATION WILL BE ACHIEVED BY VEGETATIVE COVER. AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION CONTROL SHALL ALSO BE PROTECTED FROM SEDIMENTATION DURING CONSTRUCTION UNTIL FINAL STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED.
- 12. ANY TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT FACILITY DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED FOR THE CONVEYANCE OF STORMWATER AROUND, THROUGH, OR FROM THE EARTH DISTURBANCE AREA SHALL BE A STABILIZED CONVEYANCE DESIGNED TO MINIMIZE EROSION AND THE DISCHARGE OF SEDIMENT OFF SITE. 13. CONCRETE WASH WATER SHALL BE CONTAINED AND DISPOSED OF IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SWMP. NO WASH WATER SHALL BE DISCHARGED TO OR ALLOWED TO
- RUNOFF TO STATE WATERS, INCLUDING ANY SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM OR FACILITIES. CONCRETE WASHOUT SHALL NOT BE LOCATED IN AN AREA WHERE SHALLOW GROUNDWATER MAY BE PRESENT, OR WITHIN 50 FEET OF A SURFACE WATER BODY. 14. DEWATERING OPERATIONS: UNCONTAMINATED GROUND WATER MAY BE DISCHARGED ON SITE, BUT MAY NOT LEAVE THE SITE IN THE FORM OF SURFACE RUNOFF.
- 15. EROSION CONTROL BLANKETING IS TO BE USED ON SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1.
- 16. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF ALL WASTES FROM THE CONSTRUCTION SITE FOR DISPOSAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL AND STATE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS. NO CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, TREE SLASH, BUILDING MATERIAL WASTES OR UNUSED BUILDING MATERIALS SHALL BE BURIED, DUMPED, OR DISCHARGED AT THE SITE.
- 17. WASTE MATERIALS SHALL NOT BE TEMPORARILY PLACED OR STORED IN THE STREET, ALLEY, OR OTHER PUBLIC WAY, UNLESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AN APPROVED TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN. CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED BY EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING IF DEEMED NECESSARY, BASED ON SPECIFIC CONDITIONS AND CIRCUMSTANCES.
- 18. TRACKING OF SOILS AND CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS OFF-SITE SHALL BE MINIMIZED. MATERIALS TRACKED OFF-SITE SHALL BE CLEANED UP AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF IMMEDIATELY.
- 19. THE OWNER/DEVELOPER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF ALL CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, DIRT, TRASH, ROCK, SEDIMENT, SOIL, AND SAND THAT MAY ACCUMULATE IN ROADS, STORM DRAINS AND OTHER DRAINAGE CONVEYANCE SYSTEMS AND STORMWATER APPURTENANCES AS A RESULT OF SITE DEVELOPMENT.
- 20. THE QUANTITY OF MATERIALS STORED ON THE PROJECT SITE SHALL BE LIMITED, AS MUCH AS PRACTICAL, TO THAT QUANTITY REQUIRED TO PERFORM THE WORK IN AN ORDERLY SEQUENCE. ALL MATERIALS STORED ON-SITE SHALL BE STORED IN A NEAT, ORDERLY MANNER, IN THEIR ORIGINAL CONTAINERS, WITH ORIGINAL MANUFACTURER'S LABELS.
- 21. NO CHEMICALS ARE TO BE USED BY THE CONTRACTOR, WHICH HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO BE RELEASED IN STORMWATER UNLESS PERMISSION FOR THE USE OF A SPECIFIC CHEMICAL IN GRANTED IN WRITING BY THE ECM ADMINISTRATOR. IN GRANTING THE USE OF SUCH CHEMICALS, SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND MONITORING MAY BE REQUIRED.
- 22. BULK STORAGE OF PETROLEUM PRODUCTS OR OTHER LIQUID CHEMICALS IN EXCESS OF 55 GALLONS SHALL HAVE ADEQUATE SECONDARY CONTAINMENT PROTECTION TO CONTAIN ALL SPILLS AND PREVENT ANY SPILLED MATERIAL FROM ENTERING STATE WATERS, INCLUDING ANY SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM OR FACILITIES
- 23. NO PERSON SHALL CAUSE THE IMPEDIMENT OF STORMWATER FLOW IN THE FLOW LINE OF THE CURB AND GUTTER OR IN THE DITCH FLOW LINE.
- 24. INDIVIDUALS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE COLORADO WATER QUALITY CONTROL ACT (TITLE 25, ARTICLE 8, CRS), AND THE CLEAN WATER ACT (33 USC 1344), IN ADDITION TO THE REQUIREMENTS INCLUDED IN THE DCM VOLUME II AND THE ECM APPENDIX I. ALL APPROPRIATE PERMITS MUST BE OBTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION (NPDES, FLOODPLAIN, 404, FUGITIVE DUST, ETC.). IN THE EVENT OF CONFLICTS BETWEEN THESE REQUIREMENTS AND LAWS, RULES, OR REGULATIONS OF OTHER FEDERAL, STATE, OR COUNTY AGENCIES, THE MORE RESTRICTIVE LAWS, RULES, OR REGULATIONS SHALL APPLY.
- 25. ALL CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC MUST ENTER/EXIT THE SITE AT APPROVED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS POINTS.
- 26. PRIOR TO ACTUAL CONSTRUCTION THE PERMITEE SHALL VERIFY THE LOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES.
- 27. A WATER SOURCE SHALL BE AVAILABLE ON SITE DURING EARTHWORK OPERATIONS AND UTILIZED AS REQUIRED TO MINIMIZE DUST FROM EARTHWORK EQUIPMENT AND WIND.
- 28. THE SOILS REPORT FOR THIS SITE HAS BEEN PREPARED BY VIVID ENGINEERING GROUP, INC, ENTITLED GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT, WIDEFIELD WATER AND SANITATION TANK, VICINITY OF METROPLITAN STREET AND KIPLING STREET, WIDEFIELD COLORADO, DATED OCTOBER 31, 2019, AND SHALL BE CONSIDERED A PART OF THESE PLANS.
- 29. AT LEAST TEN DAYS PRIOR TO THE ANTICIPATED START OF CONSTRUCTION, FOR PROJECTS THAT WILL DISTURB 1 ACRE OR MORE, THE OWNER OR OPERATOR OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY SHALL SUBMIT A PERMIT APPLICATION FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGE TO THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT, WATER QUALITY DIVISION. THE APPLICATION CONTAINS CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION OF A STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP), OF WHICH THIS GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN MAY BE A PART. FOR INFORMATION OR APPLICATION MATERIALS CONTACT:
 - COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT WATER QUALITY CONTROL DIVISION WQCD - PERMITS 4300 CHERRY CREEK DRIVE SOUTH DENVER, CO 80246-1530 ATTN: PERMITS UNIT

WIDEFIELD WATER AND SANITATION **DISTRICT - BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATIO**

COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO **GRADING & EROSION CONTROL PLAN**



ADDITIONAL NOTES:

STAGING AREA TO BE DETERMINED BY CONTRACTOR IN THE FIELD. THE LOCATIONS SHALL BE DELINEATED ON THIS PLAN BY THE CONTRACTOR. THE EROSION CONTROL DELINEATED ON THIS PLAN SHALL BE REGULARLY UPDATED BY THE CONTRACTOR.

NO PORTION OF THIS PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN A DESIGNATED FEMA FLOODPLAIN IN ACCORDANCE WITH FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAPS (FIRM) 08041C00952G, EFFECTIVE DATE DECEMBER 7, 2018.

TOPOGRAPHY SURVEY CONDUCTED BY M&S CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC (DATED 05/03/2019). SEE SURVEY FOR ESTABLISHED CONTROL. THE FOLLOWING COORDINATE SYSTEM AND DATUM RECORD IS AS FOLLOWS:

ELEVATION DA	TUM: NAVD88				
POINT	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEVATION		
	(FT)	(FT)	(+1)	CULLET	
U347	15000.000	20000.000	5662.75	SHEET	INDEX
CP 5013 (WAC KRAETT (LS 2154)	14927.827 LI)	18496.980	5640.39	SHEET 1 SHEET 2 SHEET 3 SHEET 4	TITLE SHEET GRADING & ERO GRADING & ERO GRADING & ERO

FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY

)	ľ		
		5	

AGENCIES	
OWNER:	WIDEFIELD WATER & SANITATION DISTRICT 8495 FONTAINE BLVD COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80925 ROBERT BANNISTER P.E. (719) 955–6118
CONSULTING/DESIGN ENGINEER:	JDS–HYDRO CONSULTANTS, INC. 5540 TECH CENTER DR, STE 100 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 MARIO DIPASQUALE, PE (719) 227–0072
DRAINAGE ENGINEER	M&S CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC 20 BOULDER CRESCENT, SUITE 110 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 VIRGIL A. SANCHEZ P.E. (719) 955–5485
COUNTY ENGINEERING:	EL PASO COUNTY PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 2880 INTERNATIONAL CIRCLE, SUITE 110 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80910 GLENN, P.E. (719) 520–6300
TRAFFIC ENGINEERING:	EL PASO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS 3275 AKERS DRIVE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80922 JENNIFER IRVINE, P.E. (719) 520–6460
WATER & WASTEWATER RESOURCES:	WIDEFIELD WATER & SANITATION DISTRICT 8495 FONTAINE BLVD COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80925
ELECTRICAL ENGINEER:	CHAVEZ, TIFFANY & AYERS 611 N NEVADA AVE, STE 4 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 CONTACT: JOSH AYERS, PE PHONE: (719) 636–0021

FGRO

A P A A

AN

CONTROL

EROSION

ઝ

GRADING

HOR M& CON

STATION

PUMP

 \sim

BOOSTER

WWSD

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

THIS GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN WAS PREPARED UNDER MY DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. SAID PLAN HAS BEEN PREPARED ACCORDING TO THE CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY THE COUNTY FOR GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS. I ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY LIABILITY CAUSED BY NEGLIGENT ACTS. FRRORS OR OMISSIONS ON MY PART IN PREPARING THIS REPORT.

DARIN L. MOFFETT COLORADO P.E. NO. 38923 FOR AND ON BEHALF OF M&S CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC.

OWNER'S STATEMENT:

THE OWNER WILL COMPLY WITH ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL

ON BEHALF OF WIDEFIELD WATER & SANITATION DISTRICT (WWSD) 8495 FONTAINE BLVD COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80925 (719) 955–6118

	EL PASO COUNTY: COUNTY PLAN REVIEW IS F CRITERIA. THE COUNTY IS DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEV	ROVIDED ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANC NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AN ATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT TH	E WITH COUNTY DESIGN ID ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, IE JOB SITE. THE COUNTY	APRV'D. E		JTHORIZED CHANGE
	THROUGH THE APPROVAL O AND/OR ACCURACY OF THI	OF THIS DOCUMENT ASSUMES NO RESPONSI S DOCUMENT.	BILITY FOR COMPLETENESS			FOR, UNAL
	CODE, DRAINAGE CRITERIA	AND ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL AS AMI	ENDED.			IABLE VG AN
	IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECM CONSTRUCTION FOR A PER ENGINEER. IF CONSTRUCTI TO BE RESUBMITTED FOR A COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	SECTION 1.12, THESE CONSTRUCTION DOCI IOD OF 2 YEARS FROM THE DATE SIGNED I ON HAS NOT STARTED WITHIN THOSE 2 YEA APPROVAL, INCLUDING PAYMENT OF REVIEW DIRECTORS DISCRETION.	UMENTS WILL BE VALID FOR BY THE EL PASO COUNTY ARS, THE PLANS WILL NEED FEES AT THE PLANNING AND			esponsible, or l aust be in writin
INC (DATED 05/03/2019). DINATE SYSTEM AND DATUM	JENNIFER IRVINE, P.E. COUNTY ENGINEER/ECM	I ADMINISTRATOR	DATE	TION:		THESE PLANS WILL NOT BE
SHEET INDEX		STATEMENT:	FOR LOCATIN	ESCRIP		ARING NS. A
SHEET 1 TITLE SHEET SHEET 2 GRADING & EROSION SHEET 3 GRADING & EROSION	CONTROL PLAN	THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS RECOGNIZES THE DESIGN ENGINEER AS HAVING RESPONSIBILITY FOR	& MARKING GAS, ELECTRIC, WATER & WATER &	BY:		HESE PLA
SHEET 4 GRADING & EROSION	CONTROL DETAILS CONTROL DETAILS	LIMITED ITS SCOPE OF REVIEW ACCORDINGLY. RESUBMITTAL REQUIRED IF CONSTRUCTION HAS	FOR BURIED UTILITY INFORMATION	IONS: DATE:		HE ENGINE SES OF TI F THESE I
SHEET 5 GRADING & EROSION SHEET 6 GRADING & EROSION SHEET 7 GRADING & EROSION	CONTROL DETAILS	NOT COMMENCED WITHIN 180 DAYS	48 HRS BEFORE YOU DIC	3 18 1		FD0

DATE

DATE



File: 0:\70077A Widefield W&S JDS Hydro\7010 metropolitan street\dwg\Const Dwg\FGR02.dwg Plotstamp: 2/4/2022 12:12 PN

EC-2 Temporary and Permanent Seeding (TS/PS)

soil amendments and rototill them into the soil to a depth of 6 inches or more.

Topsoil should be salvaged during grading operations for use and spread on areas to be revegetated later. Topsoil should be viewed as an important resource to be utilized for vegetation establishment, due to its water-holding capacity, structure, texture, organic matter content, biological activity, and nutrient content. The rooting depth of most native grasses in the semi-arid Denver metropolitan area is 6 to 18 inches. At a minimum, the upper 6 inches of topsoil should be stripped, stockpiled, and ultimately respread across areas that will be revegetated.

Where topsoil is not available, subsoils should be amended to provide an appropriate plant-growth medium. Organic matter, such as well digested compost, can be added to improve soil characteristics conducive to plant growth. Other treatments can be used to adjust soil pH conditions when needed. Soil testing, which is typically inexpensive, should be completed to determine and optimize the types and amounts of amendments that are required.

If the disturbed ground surface is compacted, rip or rototill the surface prior to placing topsoil. If adding compost to the existing soil surface, rototilling is necessary. Surface roughening will assist in placement of a stable topsoil layer on steeper slopes, and allow infiltration and root penetration to greater depth.

Prior to seeding, the soil surface should be rough and the seedbed should be firm, but neither too loose nor compacted. The upper layer of soil should be in a condition suitable for seeding at the proper depth and conducive to plant growth. Seed-to-soil contact is the key to good germination.

Seed Mix for Temporary Vegetation

To provide temporary vegetative cover on disturbed areas which will not be paved, built upon, or fully landscaped or worked for an extended period (typically 30 days or more), plant an annual grass appropriate for the time of planting and mulch the planted areas. Annual grasses suitable for the Denver metropolitan area are listed in Table TS/PS-1. These are to be considered only as general recommendations when specific design guidance for a particular site is not available. Local governments typically specify seed mixes appropriate for their jurisdiction.

Seed Mix for Permanent Revegetation

To provide vegetative cover on disturbed areas that have reached final grade, a perennial grass mix should be established. Permanent seeding should be performed promptly (typically within 14 days) after reaching final grade. Each site will have different characteristics and a landscape professional or the local jurisdiction should be contacted to determine the most suitable seed mix for a specific site. In lieu of a specific recommendation, one of the perennial grass mixes appropriate for site conditions and growth season listed in Table TS/PS-2 can be used. The pure live seed (PLS) rates of application recommended in these tables are considered to be absolute minimum rates for seed applied using proper drill-seeding equipment.

If desired for wildlife habitat or landscape diversity, shrubs such as rubber rabbitbrush (*Chrysothamnus* nauseosus), fourwing saltbush (Atriplex canescens) and skunkbrush sumac (Rhus trilobata) could be added to the upland seedmixes at 0.25, 0.5 and 1 pound PLS/acre, respectively. In riparian zones, planting root stock of such species as American plum (Prunus americana), woods rose (Rosa woodsii), plains cottonwood (Populus sargentii), and willow (Populus spp.) may be considered. On non-topsoiled upland sites, a legume such as Ladak alfalfa at 1 pound PLS/acre can be included as a source of nitrogen for perennial grasses.

TS/PS-2

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

EC-2 Temporary and Permanent Seeding (TS/PS)

Table TS/PS-2. Minimum Drill Seeding Rates for Perennial Grasses (cont.)

Common Name	Botanical Name	Growth Season ^b	Growth Form	Seeds/ Pound	Pounds of PLS/acre
Sandy Soil Seed Mix		1			
Blue grama	Bouteloua gracilis	Warm	Sod-forming bunchgrass	825,000	0.5
Camper little bluestem	Schizachyrium scoparium 'Camper'	Warm	Bunch	240,000	1.0
Prairie sandreed	Calamovilfa longifolia	Warm	Open sod	274,000	1.0
Sand dropseed	Sporobolus cryptandrus	Cool	Bunch	5,298,000	0.25
Vaughn sideoats grama	Bouteloua curtipendula 'Vaughn'	Warm	Sod	191,000	2.0
Arriba western wheatgrass	Agropyron smithii 'Arriba'	Cool	Sod	110,000	5.5
Total					10.25
Heavy Clay, Rocky Foothill Seed	l Mix				
Ephriam crested wheatgrass ^d	Agropyron cristatum 'Ephriam'	Cool	Sod	175,000	1.5
Oahe Intermediate wheatgrass	Agropyron intermedium 'Oahe'	Cool	Sod	115,000	5.5
Vaughn sideoats grama ^e	Bouteloua curtipendula 'Vaughn'	Warm	Sod	191,000	2.0
Lincoln smooth brome	Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln'	Cool	Sod	130,000	3.0
Arriba western wheatgrass	Agropyron smithii 'Arriba'	Cool	Sod	110,000	5.5
Total					17.5

doubled if seed is broadcast and should be increased by 50 percent if the seeding is done using a Brillion Drill or is applied through hydraulic seeding. Hydraulic seeding may be substituted for drilling only where slopes are steeper than 3:1. If hydraulic seeding is used, hydraulic mulching should be done as a separate operation.

^o See Table TS/PS-3 for seeding dates.

² If site is to be irrigated, the transition turf seed rates should be doubled.

^d Crested wheatgrass should not be used on slopes steeper than 6H to 1V.

Can substitute 0.5 lbs PLS of blue grama for the 2.0 lbs PLS of Vaughn sideoats grama.

Seeding dates for the highest success probability of perennial species along the Front Range are generally in the spring from April through early May and in the fall after the first of September until the ground freezes. If the area is irrigated, seeding may occur in summer months, as well. See Table TS/PS-3 for appropriate seeding dates.

EC-2

	Annual Grasses (Numbers in table reference species in Table TS/PS-1)		Perennial Grasses	
Seeding Dates	Warm	Cool	Warm	Cool
January 1–March 15			✓	✓
March 16–April 30	4	1,2,3	~	\checkmark
May 1–May 15	4		✓	
May 16–June 30	4,5,6,7			
July 1–July 15	5,6,7			
July 16–August 31				
September 1–September 30		8,9,10,11		
October 1–December 31			✓	\checkmark

Mulch

Cover seeded areas with mulch or an appropriate rolled erosion control product to promote establishment of vegetation. Anchor mulch by crimping, netting or use of a non-toxic tackifier. See the Mulching BMP Fact Sheet for additional guidance.

Maintenance and Removal

Monitor and observe seeded areas to identify areas of poor growth or areas that fail to germinate. Reseed and mulch these areas, as needed.

Seeded areas may require irrigation, particularly during extended dry periods. Targeted weed control may also be necessary.

12:13

TS/PS-5

June 2012

TS/PS-6

Temporary and Permanent Seeding (TS/PS) EC-2

Minimum Drill Seeding Rates for Various Temporary Annual Grasses

pecies ^a non name)	Growth Season ^b	Pounds of Pure Live Seed (PLS)/acre ^c	Planting Depth (inches)
	Cool	35 - 50	1 - 2
g wheat	Cool	25 - 35	1 - 2
g barley	Cool	25 - 35	1 - 2
al ryegrass	Cool	10 - 15	1/2
;	Warm	3 - 15	$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4}$
igrass	Warm	5–10	1/2 - 3/4
um	Warm	5–10	$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4}$
r wheat	Cool	20–35	1 - 2
r barley	Cool	20–35	1 - 2
r rye	Cool	20–35	1 - 2
ale	Cool	25-40	1 - 2

Successful seeding of annual grass resulting in adequate plant growth will usually produce enough dead-plant residue to provide protection from wind and water erosion for an additional year. This assumes that the cover is not disturbed or mowed closer than 8 inches.

Hydraulic seeding may be substituted for drilling only where slopes are steeper than 3:1 or where access limitations exist. When hydraulic seeding is used, hydraulic mulching should be applied as a separate operation, when practical, to prevent the seeds from being encapsulated in

See Table TS/PS-3 for seeding dates. Irrigation, if consistently applied, may extend the use of cool season species during the summer months. Seeding rates should be doubled if seed is broadcast, or increased by 50 percent if done using a Brillion Drill or by hydraulic seeding.

> Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

TS/PS-3

Temporary and Permanent Seeding (TS/PS)

Table TS/PS-3. Seeding Dates for Annual and Perennial Grasses

An area that has been permanently seeded should have a good stand of vegetation within one growing season if irrigated and within three growing seasons without irrigation in Colorado. Reseed portions of the site that fail to germinate or remain bare after the first growing season.

Protect seeded areas from construction equipment and vehicle access.

Table TS/PS-2. Minimum Drill Seeding Rates for Perennial Grasses on ^a Botanical Growth Growth Seeds/ Pounds of e Name Season ^b Form Pound PLS/acre id Mix Season ^b Season ^b Season ^b Season ^b Season ^b
on ^a Botanical Growth Seeds/ Pounds of e Name Season ^b Form Pound PLS/acre
ed Mix
Sporobolus airoidesCoolBunch1,750,0000.25
Elymus cinereusCoolBunch165,0002.5wheatgrassAgropyron riparium 'Sodar'CoolSod170,0002.5
Agropyron elongatum 'Jose' Cool Bunch 79,000 7.0
AtgrassAgropyron smithii 'Arriba'CoolSod110,0005.517.75
Seed Mix Agropyron cristatum Cool Sod 175 000 2 0
eargrass'Ephriam'CoolSou175,0002.0Festuca ovina 'duriuscula'CoolBunch565,0001.0
me Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln' Cool Sod 130,000 3.0
heatgrassAgropyron riparium 'Sodar'CoolSod170,0002.5atgrassAgropyron smithii 'Arriba'CoolSod110,0007.0
Soil Seed Mix
Alopecurus pratensis Cool Sod 900,000 0.5
Agrostis albaWarmOpen sod5,000,0000.25Phalaris arundinaceaCoolSod68,0000.5
me Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln' Cool Sod 130,000 3.0
ass Panicum virgatum 'Pathfinder' Warm Sod 389,000 1.0
Agropyron elongatum 'Alkar' Cool Bunch 79,000 5.5
ed Mix ^e
DuegrassPoa compressa 'Ruebens'CoolSod2,500,0000.5Festuca ovina 'duriuscula'CoolBunch565.0001.0
yegrass Lolium perenne 'Citation' Cool Sod 247,000 3.0
me bromus inermis ieyss Cool Sod 130,000 3.0
Mulching (MU)
e and seed-free cereal grain straw should be applied evenly at a rate of 2 tons per acre and or fastened by a method suitable for the condition of the site. Straw mulch must be ot merely placed) on the surface. This can be accomplished mechanically by crimping or ackifiers or nets. Anchoring with a crimping implement is preferred, and is the uethod for areas flatter than 3:1. Mechanical crimpers must be capable of tucking the long o the soil to a depth of 3 inches without cutting them. An agricultural disk, while not an may work if the disk blades are dull or blunted and set vertically; however, the frame may hted to afford proper soil penetration.
ie used in place of straw; however, because hay is comprised of the entire plant including with hay may seed the site with non-native grass species which might in turn out-compete Alternatively, native species of grass hay may be purchased, but can be difficult to find pensive than straw. Purchasing and utilizing a certified weed-free straw is an easier and hing method. When using grass hay, follow the same guidelines as for straw (provided
heltered from the wind and heavy runoff, spraying a tackifier on the mulch is satisfactory place. For steep slopes and special situations where greater control is needed, erosion anchored with stakes should be used instead of mulch.
ing consists of wood centrose fibers mixed with water and a tackitying agent and should ate of no less than 1,500 pounds per acre (1,425 lbs of fibers mixed with at least 75 lbs of hydraulic mulcher. For steeper slopes, up to 2000 pounds per acre may be required for eeding. Hydromulch typically requires up to 24 hours to dry; therefore, it should not be ately prior to inclement weather. Application to roads, waterways and existing vegetation ed.
mats, blankets, or nets are recommended to help stabilize steep slopes (generally 3:1 and erways. Depending on the product, these may be used alone or in conjunction with grass Normally, use of these products will be restricted to relatively small areas. nats made of straw and jute, straw-coconut, coconut fiber, or excelsior can be used instead the ECM/TRM BMP for more information.)
or binders may be used to anchor mulch. Check with the local jurisdiction for allowed ufacturer's recommendations should be followed at all times. (See the Soil Binder BMP ation on general types of tackifiers.)
or binders may be used to anchor mulch. Check with the local jurisdiction for allowed ufacturer's recommendations should be followed at all times. (See the Soil Binder BMP ation on general types of tackifiers.) e used as mulch. It provides protection of exposed soils to wind and water erosion and in of precipitation. An aggregate base course can be spread on disturbed areas for rmanent stabilization. The rock mulch layer should be thick enough to provide full osed soil on the area it is applied.
or binders may be used to anchor mulch. Check with the local jurisdiction for allowed ufacturer's recommendations should be followed at all times. (See the Soil Binder BMP ation on general types of tackifiers.) e used as mulch. It provides protection of exposed soils to wind and water erosion and on of precipitation. An aggregate base course can be spread on disturbed areas for rmanent stabilization. The rock mulch layer should be thick enough to provide full osed soil on the area it is applied. Ice and Removal
or binders may be used to anchor mulch. Check with the local jurisdiction for allowed ufacturer's recommendations should be followed at all times. (See the Soil Binder BMP ation on general types of tackifiers.) e used as mulch. It provides protection of exposed soils to wind and water erosion and m of precipitation. An aggregate base course can be spread on disturbed areas for rmanent stabilization. The rock mulch layer should be thick enough to provide full osed soil on the area it is applied. It ce and Removal the bare ground surface should not be more than 10 percent exposed. Reapply mulch, as ' bare areas. FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE (

- .
- .
- .
- .
- .

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 June 2012

SC-6	
$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{C}^{-}\mathbf{U}$	

Inlet Protection (IP)

IP-3. Rock Sock Inlet Protection for Sump/Area Inlet

- IP-4. Silt Fence Inlet Protection for Sump/Area Inlet
- IP-5. Over-excavation Inlet Protection

IP-6. Straw Bale Inlet Protection for Sump/Area Inlet

CIP-1. Culvert Inlet Protection

Propriety inlet protection devices should be installed in accordance with manufacturer specifications.

More information is provided below on selecting inlet protection for sump and on-grade locations.

Inlets Located in a Sump

When applying inlet protection in sump conditions, it is important that the inlet continue to function during larger runoff events. For curb inlets, the maximum height of the protective barrier should be lower than the top of the curb opening to allow overflow into the inlet during larger storms without excessive localized flooding. If the inlet protection height is greater than the curb elevation, particularly if the filter becomes clogged with sediment, runoff will not enter the inlet and may bypass it, possibly causing localized flooding, public safety issues, and downstream erosion and damage from bypassed flows.

Area inlets located in a sump setting can be protected through the use of silt fence, concrete block and rock socks (on paved surfaces), sediment control logs/straw wattles embedded in the adjacent soil and stacked around the area inlet (on pervious surfaces), over-excavation around the inlet, and proprietary products providing equivalent functions.

Inlets Located on a Slope

For curb and gutter inlets on paved sloping streets, block and rock sock inlet protection is recommended in conjunction with curb socks in the gutter leading to the inlet. For inlets located along unpaved roads, also see the Check Dam Fact Sheet.

Maintenance and Removal

Inspect inlet protection frequently. Inspection and maintenance guidance includes:

- Inspect for tears that can result in sediment directly entering the inlet, as well as result in the contents of the BMP (e.g., gravel) washing into the inlet.
- Check for improper installation resulting in untreated flows bypassing the BMP and directly entering the inlet or bypassing to an unprotected downstream inlet. For example, silt fence that has not been properly trenched around the inlet can result in flows under the silt fence and directly into the inlet.
- Look for displaced BMPs that are no longer protecting the inlet. Displacement may occur following larger storm events that wash away or reposition the inlet protection. Traffic or equipment may also crush or displace the BMP.
- Monitor sediment accumulation upgradient of the inlet protection.

IP-2

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

SC-6	Inlet Protection (IP)	Inlet Pr
	GENERAL INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES	 Remove se BMP effec protection. Remove se the function
	1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR: -LOCATION OF INLET PROTECTION. -TYPE OF INLET PROTECTION (IP.1, IP.2, IP.3, IP.4, IP.5, IP.6)	Propriety in
	2. INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE INSTALLED PROMPTLY AFTER INLET CONSTRUCTION OR PAVING IS COMPLETE (TYPICALLY WITHIN 48 HOURS). IF A RAINFALL/RUNOFF EVENT IS FORECAST, INSTALL INLET PROTECTION PRIOR TO ONSET OF EVENT.	in a timely
	3. MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.	Inlet protection reached final st
	INLET PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES	
	 INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE. 	
	2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.	
	3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.	
	4. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE REMOVED AS NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMP EFFECTIVENESS, TYPICALLY WHEN STORAGE VOLUME REACHES 50% OF CAPACITY, A DEPTH OF 6" WHEN SILT FENCE IS USED, OR ½ OF THE HEIGHT FOR STRAW BALES.	
	5. INLET PROTECTION IS TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS PERMANENTLY STABILIZED, UNLESS THE LOCAL JURISDICTION APPROVES EARLIER REMOVAL OF INLET PROTECTION IN STREETS.	
	6. WHEN INLET PROTECTION AT AREA INLETS IS REMOVED, THE DISTURBED AREA SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOP SOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED, OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.	
	(DETAIL ADAPTED FROM TOWN OF PARKER, COLORADO AND CITY OF AURORA, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)	
	NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.	
	NOTE: THE DETAILS INCLUDED WITH THIS FACT SHEET SHOW COMMONLY USED, CONVENTIONAL METHODS OF INLET PROTECTION IN THE DENVER METROPOLITAN AREA. THERE ARE MANY PROPRIETARY INLET PROTECTION METHODS ON THE MARKET. UDFCD NEITHER ENDORSES NOR DISCOURAGES USE OF PROPRIETARY INLET PROTECTION; HOWEVER, IN THE EVENT PROPRIETARY METHODS ARE USED, THE APPROPRIATE DETAIL FROM THE MANUFACTURER MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE SWMP AND THE BMP MUST BE INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED AS SHOWN IN THE MANUFACTURER'S DETAILS.	
	NOTE: SOME MUNICIPALITIES DISCOURAGE OR PROHIBIT THE USE OF STRAW BALES FOR INLET PROTECTION. CHECK WITH LOCAL JURISDICTION TO DETERMINE IF STRAW BALE INLET PROTECTION IS ACCEPTABLE.	
IP-8	Urban Drainage and Flood Control DistrictAugust 2013Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3	August 2013

August 2013

Cacacaci ROCK SOCKS ~ ~ ~ = CURB SOCK -FLOW ---IP-4

SC-6

Remove sediment ac
BMP effectiveness,
protection. For silt f
Remove sediment ac
the functionality of t

Propriety inlet protect
manufacturer specific
in a timely manner to

tabilization.



Inlet Protection (IP)



Vehicle Tracking Control (VTC)



Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 IP-3

November 2010

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

SC-6 CIP ROCK SOCK		WWSD BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION	GRADING & EROSION CONTROL PLAN	ROJECT NO. 70-077 SCALE: DATE: 02-04-2022 HORIZONTAL: HORIZONTAL:	TECKED BY: ULM N/A SHEET 4 OF 8 FGR04
ING IDITION. JON AS ACE IN BE		102 E. PIKES PEAK AVE., 5TH FLOOR	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 PHONE: 719.955.5485		TANTS, INC.
IP-7		3923	FOR AND ON BEHALF OF	M&S CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC.	CIVIL CONSUL
VTC CAN BE CONST. ES ARE ALLY ED ON IDES)		DARIN L. MOFFETT, COLORADO P.E. NO. 38	00%		
9" (MIN.) SPECIFIED TON, USE AASHTO #3 OR 6" FABRIC		APRV'D. BY: DATE:		WING	E IN WRITING AND MUST BE APPROVED BY
DTEXTILE	FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY	NS: VTE: BY: DESCRIPTION:			ENGINEER PREPARING THESE PLANS WILL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE, C IR USES OF THESE PLANS. ALL CHANGES TO THE PLANS MUST E PREPARER OF THESE PLANS.
	48 HRS BEFORE YOU DIG CALL 1-800-922-1987	REVISIC NO.			분으분 CAUTION

SM-4

Vehicle Tracking Control (VTC)

Silt Fence (SF)

_____ SF _____ SF _____ SF ____



5. SEDIMENT TRACKED ONTO PAVED ROADS IS TO BE REMOVED THROUGHOUT THE DAY AND AT THE END OF THE DAY BY SHOVELING OR SWEEPING. SEDIMENT MAY NOT BE WASHED DOWN STORM SEWER DRAINS.

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM CITY OF BROOMFIELD, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

VTC-6

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3



Length /FIRST ROTATE SECOND ******** POSTS SHALL BE JOINED AS

EXISTING

GROUND

November 2010

SM-6

otherwise. stabilized surface. disturbed. materials staging in roadways is required. Maintenance and Removal

Maintenance of stabilized staging areas includes maintaining a stable surface cover of gravel, repairing perimeter controls, and following good housekeeping practices.

When construction is complete, debris, unused stockpiles and materials should be recycled or properly disposed. In some cases, this will require disposal of contaminated soil from equipment leaks in an appropriate landfill. Staging areas should then be permanently stabilized with vegetation or other surface cover planned for the development.

November 2010



Minimizing Long-Term Stabilization Requirements

• Utilize off-site parking and restrict vehicle access to the site.

• Use construction mats in lieu of rock when staging is provided in an area that will not be disturbed

• Consider use of a bermed contained area for materials and equipment that do not require a

• Consider phasing of staging areas to avoid disturbance in an area that will not be otherwise

See Detail SSA-1 for a typical stabilized staging area and SSA-2 for a stabilized staging area when

STABILIZED STAGING AREA MAINTENANCE NOTES

5. STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHALL BE ENLARGED IF NECESSARY TO CONTAIN PARKING, STORAGE, AND UNLOADING/LOADING OPERATIONS. 6. THE STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHALL BE REMOVED AT THE END OF CONSTRUCTION. GRANULAR MATERIAL SHALL BE REMOVED OR, IF APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION

USED ON SITE, AND THE AREA COVERED WITH TOPSOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION. NOTE: MANY MUNICIPALITIES PROHIBIT THE USE OF RECYCLED CONCRETE AS GRANULAR MATERIAL FOR STABILIZED STAGING AREAS DUE TO DIFFICULTIES WITH RE-ESTABLISHMEN

VEGETATION IN AREAS WHERE RECYCLED CONCRETE WAS PLACED. NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETA CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010

SSA-4

Name Normality Nor					, 	
Current (SYT) OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 Common Prime Common Prime OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 OUVIS GUN00 <t< th=""><th>Fanaa (SE)</th><th></th><th>N</th><th>ETAILS</th><th></th><th>FGR05</th></t<>	Fanaa (SE)		N	ETAILS		FGR05
1000000000000000000000000000000000000	rence (Sr)		STATIC	ROL DE	-04-2022	0F 8
Model Normality	N FOR WATER		PUMP	CONT	DATE: 02.	SHEET 5
ыл и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	OM FOR		R 2	NOI		
Numerica 000000000000000000000000000000000000	ROLLING.)STE	ROS	SCALE:	N/A /ERTICAL N/A
ите инжена а мала а мала	ANCHOR HERE SHOULD		BOC	ы М		>
разла ка 2000 од от 2000 од	UTY STAPLES HE FABRIC		WSD	NG	70-077	DLM DLM VAS
1.30. С О <td< td=""><td>SHOULD BE DOK" H TO KEEP</td><td></td><td>Š</td><td>RADI</td><td>CT NO.</td><td>IEU BY: BY: ED BY:</td></td<>	SHOULD BE DOK" H TO KEEP		Š	RADI	CT NO.	IEU BY: BY: ED BY:
Image: Service 2010 Image: Service 2010 Tree (SSA) Image: Service 2010 Tree (SSA) Image: Service 2010 Free	- 20').			5	PROJEC	DESIGN DRAWN CHECKE
мочие ма ма м	CONDITION. S SOON AS		1 OOR	0903		
Image: Market Marke			VE. 5TH F	GS, CO 8 85		
M MERIZIA M MERIZIA <t< td=""><td>IPON</td><td></td><td>=S PEAK A</td><td>DO SPRIN</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	IPON		=S PEAK A	DO SPRIN		
• малаа, • и малаа, • у малаа, • у малаа, • у малаа, • у малаа, • у малаа, • и малаа,	AS NEEDED MULATED		102 E. PIKI	COLORAI PHONE: 7		
International monositional segments International segments International segments International segments International segments International segments International segments International segments International segments International segments International segments <td>S SAGGING,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>NC.</td>	S SAGGING,					NC.
Bio CR0.5. November 2010 rea (SSA) Bio CR0.5. Bio CR0.5. <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>R</td><td>VTS, I</td></tr<>					R	VTS, I
November 2010 rea (SSA) икона, обла не вола, стер не вола, по вола, стер не вола, с	SDICTION.			0	$\overline{\bigcirc}$	IL TAN
Inversion 2010 rea (SSA) rea (SSA) <	RD DETAILS. IEN				Щ	NSN
Invented 2010 Image: Comparison of the State of the Stat						
rea (SSA) Image: Comparison of the second of	November 2010					CIV
rea (SSA) rowna, row				 	NTS,	
Inter (SDAY) EXAMPLE	rea (SSA)		~	R AND HALF OF	&S CIVIL NSULTAN C.	
). 38923		<u> </u>	
ыколо, стор, не соот, не вольного по регист. November 2010 November			P.E. NC			
DIGUE NEW OF 0.00% DESIGN	ARKING,		LORADO			
	CTION. THE DICTION, OR		ETT, COI			
	INULAR ISHMENT OF		L. MOFF			
November 2010 FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY Interference of the second o	RD DETAILS. EN		DARIN			
			1	00% DRA	DESI(WING	GN S
November 2010			DATE:			GES TO BY THE
			BY:			ED CHAN
November 2010 FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY November 2010 Image: State of the st			APRV'D.			authoriz st be af
November 2010 FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY November 2010 FOR LOCATING MARING LEEPHONE VELEPHONE Starting Maring Maring Starting Maring Ma						FOR, UN : AND MU
November 2010 FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY November 2010 FOR LOCATING MARRING CAS, WATER & MARRING LINES						r liable N writing
November 2010 FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY						VSIBLE, O UST BE IN
November 2010 November 2010						3E RESPO Plans M
November 2010 FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY Image: November 2010 FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY						TO THE
November 2010 November 2010 Novemb		FUR INFURIVIATION PURPUSE UNLY				PLANS W CHANGES
November 2010 FOR LOCATING & MARKING GAS, ELECTRIC, WATER & TELEPHONE LINES Solution			<pre>{IPTION:</pre>			G THESE VS. ALL ANS.
November 2010 ELECTRIC, WATER & TELEPHONE LINES Image: Comparison of the second s		FOR LOCATING & MARKING GAS,	DESCR			PREPARIN
FOR BURIED UTILITY INFORMATION	November 2010	ELECTRIC, WATER & TELEPHONE LINES	S:			NGINEER ES OF TH RER OF T
		FOR BURIED UTILITY INFORMATION 48 HRS BEFORE YOU DIG	EVISION:			THE E OR US PREPA

Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP) EC-6 • **Turf Reinforcement Mat (TRM)**: A rolled erosion control product composed of non-degradable synthetic fibers, filaments, nets, wire mesh, and/or other elements, processed into a permanent, threedimensional matrix of sufficient thickness. TRMs, which may be supplemented with degradable components, are designed to impart immediate erosion protection, enhance vegetation establishment and provide long-term functionality by permanently reinforcing vegetation during and after **Product Description** maturation. Note: TRMs are typically used in hydraulic applications, such as high flow ditches and channels, steep slopes, stream banks, and shorelines, where erosive forces may exceed the limits of natural, unreinforced vegetation or in areas where limited vegetation establishment is anticipated. Tables RECP-1 and RECP-2 provide guidelines for selecting rolled erosion control products appropriate to site conditions and desired longevity. Table RECP-1 is for conditions where natural vegetation alone Mulch Control Nets will provide permanent erosion control, whereas Table RECP-2 is for conditions where vegetation alone will not be adequately stable to provide long-term erosion protection due to flow or other conditions. Netless Rolled Erosion Control Blankets Single-net Erosion Control Blankets & Open Weave Textiles Double-net Erosion Control Blankets Mulch Control Nets Erosion Control Blankets & Open Weave Textiles (slowly degrading) **Erosion Control** Blankets & Open Weave Textiles on the C Factor.) acceptable by the engineer. RECP-2 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District November 2010 November 2010 Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 **EC-6 Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP)** ECB **DIVERSION DITCH** TYPICALLY AT TOP OF JOINT ANCHOR _ TOP OF UNDISTURBED PERIMETER SOIL TRENCH, TYP. / CHANNEL BANK ANCHOR TRENCH, TYP ANCHOR DETAILS GEOTEXTILE FABRIC OR MAT, TYP 6 "MIN, TYP. ∽ SINGLE EDGE STAKE, TYP. COMPACTED BACKFILL, TYP TYPE OF ECB AS INDICATED IN PLAN VIEW, INSTALL IN PERIMETER ANCHOR TRENCH DISTURBED AREAS OF STREAMS AND DRAINAGE CHANNELS 1 D ABOVE CHANNEL INVERT. ECB SHALL GENERALLY BE ORIENTED PERIMETER PARALLEL TO FLOW DIRECTION (I.E. LONG DIMENSIONS OF BLANKET ANCHOR PARALLEL TO FLOWLINES) STAKING PATTERN SHALL MATCH ECB TRENCH OR AND/OR CHANNEL TYPE. JOINT, TYP. TWO EDGES OF TWO ECB-1. PIPE OUTLET TO DRAINAGEWAY ADJACENT ROLLS JOINT ANCHOR TRENCH TYPE OF ECB, JOINT ANCHOR INDICATED IN PLAN VIEW TRENCH, TYP STRAW LOOP FROM - MIDDLE OF ECB SHALL ROLL EXTEND TO 1 TOP OF THE CHANNEL INTERMEDIATE ANCHOR TRENCH PERIMETER ANCHOR TRENCH, TYP. COMPACTED SUBGRADE STAKING PATTERN PER MANUFACTURER SPEC. OR PATTERN 4:1-3:1 SLOPES ∠ BASED ON ECB AND/OR CHANNEL TYPE (SEE STAKING OVERLAPPING JOINT PATTERN DETAIL) --- 3" MIN. ECB-2. SMALL DITCH OR DRAINAGEWAY 12" MIN. WOOD STAKE DETAIL RECP-6 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District November 2010 November 2010 Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP)

EC-6

RECP-3

EC-6

Table RECP-1. ECTC Standard Specification for Temporary Rolled Erosion Control Products (Adapted from Erosion Control Technology Council 2005)

Slo Applica	pe ttions*	Channel Applications*	Minimum Tensile Strength ¹	Expected Longevity
Maximum Gradient	C Factor ^{2,5}	Max. Shear Stress ^{3,4,6}		
5:1 (H:V)	≤0.10 @ 5:1	0.25 lbs/ft ² (12 Pa)	5 lbs/ft (0.073 kN/m)	
4:1 (H:V)	≤0.10 @ 4:1	0.5 lbs/ft ² (24 Pa)	5 lbs/ft (0.073 kN/m)	Up to 12
3:1 (H:V)	≤0.15 @ 3:1	1.5 lbs/ft ² (72 Pa)	50 lbs/ft (0.73 kN/m)	months
2:1 (H:V)	≤0.20 @ 2:1	1.75 lbs/ft ² (84 Pa)	75 lbs/ft (1.09 kN/m)	
5:1 (H:V)	≤0.10 @ 5:1	0.25 lbs/ft ² (12 Pa)	25 lbs/ft (0.36 kN/m)	24 months
1.5:1 (H:V)	≤0.25 @ 1.5:1	2.00 lbs/ft ² (96 Pa)	100 lbs/ft (1.45 kN/m)	24 months
1:1 (H:V)	≤0.25 @ 1:1	2.25 lbs/ft ² (108 Pa)	125 lbs/ft (1.82 kN/m)	36 months

* C Factor and shear stress for mulch control nettings must be obtained with netting used in conjunction with pre-applied mulch material. (*See Section 5.3 of Chapter 7 Construction BMPs for more information on the C Factor*)

¹ Minimum Average Roll Values, Machine direction using ECTC Mod. ASTM D 5035.

² C Factor calculated as ratio of soil loss from RECP protected slope (tested at specified or greater gradient, H:V) to ratio of soil loss from unprotected (control) plot in large-scale testing.

³ Required minimum shear stress RECP (unvegetated) can sustain without physical damage or excess

erosion (> 12.7 mm (0.5 in) soil loss) during a 30-minute flow event in large-scale testing.

⁴ The permissible shear stress levels established for each performance category are based on historical experience with products characterized by Manning's roughness coefficients in the range of 0.01 - 0.05. ⁵ Acceptable large-scale test methods may include ASTM D 6459, or other independent testing deemed

acceptable by the engineer. ⁶ Per the engineer's discretion. Recommended acceptable large-scale testing protocol may include ASTM

D 6460, or other independent testing deemed acceptable by the engineer.

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

Rolled Erosion Control Products (RECP)



RECP-7

EC-6 Rolled Erosion Control Products

 Table RECP-2. ECTC Standard Specification for Permanent¹ Rolled Erosion Contro

 (Adapted from: Erosion Control Technology Council 2005)

Product Type	Slope Applications	Channel Applications	
	Maximum Gradient	Maximum Shear Stress ^{4,5}	
TRMs with a minimum thickness of 0.25 inches (6.35 mm) per ASTM D 6525 and UV stability of 80% per ASTM D 4355 (500 hours exposure).	0.5:1 (H:V)	6.0 lbs/ft ² (288 Pa)	1
	0.5:1 (H:V)	8.0 lbs/ft ² (384 Pa)	1:
	0.5:1 (H:V)	10.0 lbs/ft ² (480 Pa)	1'

¹ For TRMs containing degradable components, all property values must be obtained on the degradable portion of the matting alone.

² Minimum Average Roll Values, machine direction only for tensile strength determination <u>D 6818</u> (Supersedes Mod. <u>ASTM D 5035</u> for RECPs)

 3 Field conditions with high loading and/or high survivability requirements may warrant the with a tensile strength of 44 kN/m (3,000 lb/ft) or greater.

⁴Required minimum shear stress TRM (fully vegetated) can sustain without physical dama erosion (> 12.7 mm (0.5 in.) soil loss) during a 30-minute flow event in large scale testing.

⁵ Acceptable large-scale testing protocols may include <u>ASTM D 6460</u>, or other independen deemed acceptable by the engineer.

Design and Installation

RECPs should be installed according to manufacturer's specifications and guidelines. Regative of product used, it is important to ensure no gaps or voids exist under the material and corners of the material are secured using stakes and trenching. Continuous contact between and the soil is necessary to avoid failure. Never use metal stakes to secure temporary eroside products. Often wooden stakes are used to anchor RECPs; however, wood stakes may press and maintenance challenges and generally take a long time to biodegrade. Some local jurise had favorable experiences using biodegradable stakes.

This BMP Fact Sheet provides design details for several commonly used ECB applications

ECB-1 Pipe Outlet to Drainageway

ECB-2 Small Ditch or Drainageway

ECB-3 Outside of Drainageway

RECP-4Urban Drainage and Flood Control DistrictNoUrban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

EC-6 Rolled Erosion Control Products

EROSION CONTROL BLANKET INSTALLATION NOTES

 SEE PLAN VIEW FOR: -LOCATION OF ECB.

-TYPE OF ECB (STRAW, STRAW-COCONUT, COCONUT, OR EXCELSIOR). -AREA, A, IN SQUARE YARDS OF EACH TYPE OF ECB.

 100% NATURAL AND BIODEGRADABLE MATERIALS ARE PREFERRED FOR RECPS, ALTH SOME JURISDICTIONS MAY ALLOW OTHER MATERIALS IN SOME APPLICATIONS.
 IN AREAS WHERE ECBS ARE SHOWN ON THE PLANS. THE PERMITTEE SHALL PLACE

3. IN AREAS WHERE ECBS ARE SHOWN ON THE PLANS, THE PERMITTEE SHALL PLACE TOPSOIL AND PERFORM FINAL GRADING, SURFACE PREPARATION, AND SEEDING AND M SUBGRADE SHALL BE SMOOTH AND MOIST PRIOR TO ECB INSTALLATION AND THE ECB BE IN FULL CONTACT WITH SUBGRADE. NO GAPS OR VOIDS SHALL EXIST UNDER THE BLANKET.

4. PERIMETER ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE USED ALONG THE OUTSIDE PERIMETER OF BLANKET AREAS.

5. JOINT ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE USED TO JOIN ROLLS OF ECBs TOGETHER (LONGITUDINALLY AND TRANSVERSELY) FOR ALL ECBs EXCEPT STRAW WHICH MAY USE AN OVERLAPPING JOINT.

6. INTERMEDIATE ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE USED AT SPACING OF ONE-HALF ROLL FOR COCONUT AND EXCELSIOR ECBs.7. OVERLAPPING JOINT DETAIL SHALL BE USED TO JOIN ROLLS OF ECBs TOGETHER F

ON SLOPES. 8. MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS OF ECBs SHALL CONFORM TO TABLE ECB-1.

9. ANY AREAS OF SEEDING AND MULCHING DISTURBED IN THE PROCESS OF INSTALLI SHALL BE RESEEDED AND MULCHED.

10. DETAILS ON DESIGN PLANS FOR MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY STABILIZATION WILL GOVERN DIFFERENT FROM THOSE SHOWN HERE.

TABLE ECB-1. ECB MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS								
TYPE	COCONUT CONTENT	STRAW CONTENT	EXCELSIOR CONTENT	RECOMMENDED NETTING**				
STRAW*	_	100%	-	DOUBLE/ NATURAL				
STRAW- COCONUT	30% MIN	70% MAX	-	DOUBLE/ NATURAL				
COCONUT	100%	-	_	DOUBLE/ NATURAL				
EXCELSIOR	_	-	100%	DOUBLE/ NATURAL				
*STRAW ECRo MAY	ONLY BE LISED	OUTSIDE OF STRE	AMS AND DRAINAG	E CHANNEL				

*STRAW ECBS MAY ONLY BE USED OUTSIDE OF STREAMS AND DRAINAGE CHANNEL. **ALTERNATE NETTING MAY BE ACCEPTABLE IN SOME JURISDICTIONS

RECP-8

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 No

		r , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(RECP) trol Products Minimum Tensile Strength ^{2,3} 125 lbs/ft (1.82 kN/m) 150 lbs/ft (2.19 kN/m) 175 lbs/ft (2.55 kN/m) ne non-		WWSDBOOSTER2PUMPSTATIONSRADING& EROSIONCONTROLDETAILSSRADING& EROSIONCONTROLDETAILSSCT NO. 70-077SCALE:DATE:02-04-2022INED BY:JWPN/AN/AIN BY:JWPN/AIN BY:JWPSHEET 6 OF 8IN BY:VERTICAL:SHEET 6 OF 8IN BY:VAIN BY:JWPIN BY:JWP
n using <u>ASTM</u> ne use of a TRM age or excess nt testing gardless of the 1 that all		20 BOULDER CRESCENT, SUITE 110 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 PHONE: 719.955.5485 PROJI DESIG DRAW CHEC
ovember 2010		CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC.
(RECP) THOUGH E MULCHING. B SHALL		L. MOFFETT, COLORADO P.E. NO. 38923 FOR AND ON BEHALF OF M&S CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC.
E ALL		
E LENGTH FOR ECBs		DRAWINGS
ING ECBS		APRV'D. BY: APRV'D. BY: BE RESPONSIBLE, OR LIABLE FOR, UNAUTHORIZED CH THE PLANS MUST BE IN WRITING AND MUST BE APP
	FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY	E PLANS WILL NOT ALL CHANGES TO S.
ovember 2010	FOR LOCATING & MARKING GAS, ELECTRIC, WATER & TELEPHONE LINES FOR BURIED UTILITY INFORMATION 48 HRS BEFORE YOU DIG CALL 1-800-922-1987	REVISIONS: NO. DATE: BY: DESCRIPTION: THE ENCINEER PREPARING THESE THE ENCINEER PREPARING THESE THE PREPARER OF THESE PLANS.



ent (SM)		WWSD BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION	GRADING & EROSION CONTROL DETAILS	PROJECT NO. 70–077 SCALE: DATE: 02–04–2022 HORIZONTAL: DATE: 02–04–2022	DEAUN BY: JWP VERTICAL: SHEET 7 OF 8 FGR07 CHECKED BY: VAS N/A
		100 F PIKES PEAK AVE 5TH FLOOR	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 PHONE: 719.955.5485		
ovember 2010					CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC
Ins (CD)		ORADO P.E. NO. 38923	FOR AND ON Behalf of	CONSULTANTS, INC.	
IN D BE IN MOVED AS SEDIMENT MAGE SUCH		DARIN L. MOFFETT, COU	00% DRA	DESIC	GN S
E BLANKET, rocad) DETAILS.		APRV'D. BY: DATE:			e responsible, or liable for, unauthorized changes He plans must be in writing and must be approved by
ovember 2010	FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY	REVISIONS: 40. DATE: BY: DESCRIPTION:			THE ENGINEER PREPARING THESE PLANS WILL NOT BE TO OR USES OF THESE PLANS. ALL CHANGES TO THE THE PREPARER OF THESE PLANS.



Concrete Washout Area (CWA)

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON

CAPACITY FOR CONCRETE WASTE. CONCRETE MATERIALS, ACCUMULATED IN PIT, SHALL BE REMOVED ONCE THE MATERIALS HAVE REACHED A DEPTH OF 2'. 5. CONCRETE WASHOUT WATER, WASTED PIECES OF CONCRETE AND ALL OTHER DEBRIS

IN THE SUBSURFACE PIT SHALL BE TRANSPORTED FROM THE JOB SITE IN A WATER-TIGHT CONTAINER AND DISPOSED OF PROPERLY. 6. THE CWA SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL ALL CONCRETE FOR THE PROJECT IS PLACED.

7. WHEN THE CWA IS REMOVED, COVER THE DISTURBED AREA WITH TOP SOIL, SEED AND MULCH OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAIL ADAPTED FROM DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO AND THE CITY OF PARKER, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD). NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

> Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

November 2010

REVISIONS:			DARII	V L. MOFFETT, COLORADO P.E. NO. 38923			
NO. DATE: BY: DESCI	SRIPTION:	APRV'D. BY: DATE:					WWSU BUUSIER Z FUMF SIAIIUN
			1(102 E. PIKES PEAK AVE., 51H FLOOR	
		Ĺ)(COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80903	
)%				
			70) ^	BEHALF UF		PHONE: / 19.935.5485	
		W	D				
			E	CONSULTANTS,			PRUJECT NO. /U-U// SCALE: DATE: 02-04-2022
			SI	INC.			HORIZONTAL
THE ENGINEER PREPARIN TO OR USES OF THESE - THE PREPARER OF THES.	NG THESE PLANS WILL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE, OR LIABLE FOR, UNA. PLANS. ALL CHANGES TO THE PLANS MUST BE IN WRITING AND N SE PLANS.	UTHORIZED CHANGES IUST BE APPROVED BY	GN		CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC.		DESIGNED BY: DLM N/A N/A DRAWN BY: JWP VERTICAL: SHEET 8 OF 8 FGR08 CHECKED BY: VAS N/A

FOR INFORMATION PURPOSE ONLY



PROPOSED AND EXISTING DRAINAGE MAP



WWSD BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION

COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO EXISTING DRAINAGE MAP



<u>LEGEND</u> BASIN DESIGNATION . SURFACE DESIGN POINT (DP) PIPE RUN REFERENCE LABEL 4BASIN BOUNDARY EXISTING INDEX CONTOUR (5') (6920) EXISTING NOMINAL CONTOUR (1') WWSD SITE BOUNDARY EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARROW HIGH POINT LOW POINT PROPOSED STORM SEWER PIPE FLARED END SECTION EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARROW

PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION

BASIN SUMMARY						
BASIN	AREA (ACRES)	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀			
А	0.83	0.3	1.9			
В	0.83	0.4	2.3			
С	0.03	0.0*	0.1			
OS1	0.01	0.0*	0.0*			
OS2	0.11	0.1	0.4			

* RUNOFF FROM BASIN IS LESS THAN 0.1 CFS

DESIGN		NT SU	JMMARY
DESIGN POINT	Q ₅	Q ₁₀₀	BASIN & DES. PTS
1	0.3	2.0	0S1,0S2,A
2	0.6	2.7	A1,0S3



	102 E. PIKES PEAK AVE, SUITE 500	WW:	SD E	BOOSTER	2 PUMP STA	TION
COLO PHON PHON	COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 PHONE: 719.955.5485		EXI	STING DR	AINAGE MAP	
		PROJECT NO. 7	0-077	SCALE:	DATE: 8/21/2019	
		DESIGNED BY: DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY:	DLM DLM VAS	1"=20' VERTICAL: N/A	SHEET 1 OF 1	EDM



WWSD BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION COUNTY OF EL PASO, STATE OF COLORADO PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP FEBRUARY 2020 <u>LEGEND</u> BASIN DESIGNATION . SURFACE DESIGN POINT (DP) PIPE RUN REFERENCE LABEL BASIN BOUNDARY EXISTING INDEX CONTOUR (5') EXISTING NOMINAL CONTOUR (1') WWSD SITE BOUNDARY EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARROW HIGH POINT LOW POINT PROPOSED STORM SEWER PIPE FLARED END SECTION EXISTING FLOW DIRECTION ARROW PROPOSED FLOW DIRECTION text on pg 7 above lists A = 0.10ac. Revise to remove discrepancies. BASIN SUMMARY AREA BASIN (ACRES) Q5 Q10 WIDEFIELD COUNTRY CLUB 0.07 0.0* 0.2 A1 HEIGHTS EAST 0.50 0.5 1.6 A2 A3 0.35 0.3 1.0 B 0.74 0.4 2.1 0.03 0.0* 0.1 С OS1 <0.01</th> 0.0* 0.0* OS2 0.11 0.1 0.4 * RUNOFF FROM BASIN IS LESS THAN 0.1 CFS DESIGN POINT SUMMARY Q5 Q100 BASIN & DES. PTS DESIGN POINT 1 0.0 0.2 A1 1A 0.8 2.6 OS1, A2, A3 2 0.6 2.9 OS2, B, C text on pg 7 above lists A = 0.83ac. Revise to remove discrepancies. 1 1" = 60' ഗ 60 120 30 Scale in Feet WWSD BOOSTER 2 PUMP STATION 102 E. PIKES PEAK AVE, SUITE 500 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 PROPOSED DRAINAGE MAP PHONE: 719.955.5485

PROJECT NO. 70-077		SCALE:	DATE: 2/4/2022	
DESIGNED BY: DRAWN BY: CHECKED BY:	DLM DLM VAS	HORIZONTAL: 1"=20' VERTICAL: N/A	SHEET 1 OF 1	PDM

CIVIL CONSULTANTS, INC.