

Planning and Community
Development Department
2880 International Circle
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

Colorado Springs, Co Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM

Updated: 6/26/2019

	Website www.elpasoco.com		
PROJECT INFORM	ATION		
Project Name:	Skyline at Lorson Ranch		
Schedule No.(s): 5500000440, 5500000274, 5500000275, 5513300005, 5513310105			
Legal Description:	See Attached		
APPLICANT INFOR	MATION		
Company :	Matrix Design Group		
Name :	Jason Alwine		
	☐ Owner ☒ Consultant ☐ Contractor		
Mailing Address:	2435 Research Parkway, Suite 300, Colorado Springs, CO 80920		
Phone Number:	(719) 575-0100		
FAX Number:	A SM COS BLOCK		
Email Address :	jason.alwine@matrixdesigngroup.com		
ENGINEER INFORM	ATION		
Company:	Core Engineering Group		
Name :	Richard Schindler, P.E. Colorado P.E. Number: 33997		
Mailing Address:	15004 1 st Avenue S.		
	Burnsville, MN 55306		
Phone Number:	(719) 570-1100		
FAX Number:			
Email Address:	Rich@ceg1.com		
To the best of my kno and complete. I am fund the familiarized mys understand that an incomplete of County Comhis application is base condition (s) of approve	authorized representative) Date		
150	8 2000000000000000000000000000000000000		

Page 1 of 6

PCD File No. _____

A deviation from the standards of or in Section 2.5.2.(C2) of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested.			
Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:			
Pedestrian ramps at 4-way intersections.			
State the reason for the requested deviation:			
Allow only two pedestrian ramps to be constructed at the T-intersection of Garganey Lane and Sora Street and allow only six pedestrian ramps to be constructed at Sora Street and Lamprey Drive.			
Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used as basis):			
Both the intersections are in proximity of another intersection with all ramps installed as required. Installation of all corner ramps at these two intersections is not feasible as the grading cannot meet ADA requirements along the ADA curb returns. However, the intersections are providing multiple access points and does not limit pedestrian movements.			

DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

(At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)
 □ The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation. ☑ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility. □ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.
Provide justification:
Both the intersections are in close proximity of other intersections with all the required ramps constructed. Installation of all corner ramps at these two intersections is not feasible as the grading cannot meet ADA requirements along the ADA curb returns (where required). However, the intersections are providing different access points and does not limit pedestrian movements.
The standard does not impose any particular hardship on the applicant. However, it will be more beneficial to pedestrian continuity and public safety due to grading concerns and inability to meet ADA requirements (where required).
CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL
Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is <u>not based exclusively on financial</u> <u>considerations</u> . The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with <u>all of the following criteria</u> :
The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.
Elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing
due to grading concerns and inability to meet ADA slope requirements (where required). A pedestrian will be able to cross at alternate locations within approximately 85' or less of the eliminated ramps.
alternate locations within approximately 85' or less of the eliminated ramps.
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.
alternate locations within approximately 85' or less of the eliminated ramps.
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations. Elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing due to grading concerns and inability to meet ADA slope requirements (where required). A pedestrian will be able to cross at
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations. Elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing due to grading concerns and inability to meet ADA slope requirements (where required). A pedestrian will be able to cross at
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations. Elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing due to grading concerns and inability to meet ADA slope requirements (where required). A pedestrian will be able to cross at
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations. Elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing due to grading concerns and inability to meet ADA slope requirements (where required). A pedestrian will be able to cross at
The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations. Elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing due to grading concerns and inability to meet ADA slope requirements (where required). A pedestrian will be able to cross at

	The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.
	Maintaining fewer pedestrian ramps will be less expensive.
	The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.
	Fewer pedestrian ramps will not visually affect the intersection's appearance nor prevent adequate, safe pedestrian movements.
l	
	The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards
	The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.
	The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards. The deviation will meet the design intent and purpose of the ECM as elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing due to inability to meet ADA slope requirements.
	The deviation will meet the design intent and purpose of the ECM as elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not
	The deviation will meet the design intent and purpose of the ECM as elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not
	The deviation will meet the design intent and purpose of the ECM as elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not
	The deviation will meet the design intent and purpose of the ECM as elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not
	The deviation will meet the design intent and purpose of the ECM as elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not
	The deviation will meet the design intent and purpose of the ECM as elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing due to inability to meet ADA slope requirements.
	The deviation will meet the design intent and purpose of the ECM as elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing due to inability to meet ADA slope requirements. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
	The deviation will meet the design intent and purpose of the ECM as elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing due to inability to meet ADA slope requirements. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
	The deviation will meet the design intent and purpose of the ECM as elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing due to inability to meet ADA slope requirements. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.
	The deviation will meet the design intent and purpose of the ECM as elimination of ramps at each of the intersections will not impede pedestrian movements and will result in safer pedestrian crossing due to inability to meet ADA slope requirements. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

Approved by the ECM Administrator This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval granted based on the justification provided.	. A deviation from Section 2.5.2.(C2) of the ECM is hereby
Γ	7
L	J
Denied by the ECM Administrator This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval denied. Γ	. A deviation from Section 2.5.2.(C2) of the ECM is hereby
L ₁	L
ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:	

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not
 modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to
 the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.

PCD	File	No.	

