



JR is seeking approval of Vollmer North only at this time and is reviewing the new culvert designs for Vollmer Babarick to determine if temporary construction easements are needed. Please do not review, JR will make a separate submital for Vollmer Babarick Interim at a later date.

Prepared For:

SR Land, LLC

20 Boulder Crescent, Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 720-491-3024

Contractor Information :_____ Qualified Stormwater Manager :_____

Prepared By:

JR Engineering, LLC

5475 Tech Center Drive, Suite 235 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80919 (303) 267-6240 Contact: Mike Bramlett

JR Project No. 25188.00

March 2023

PCD Filing No.: CDR-21-10

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | Applicant / Contact Information | . 1 |
|----|---|-----|
| 2. | Site Description and Location | . 1 |
| 3. | Proposed Sequence of Major Activities | . 3 |
| 4. | BMPs for Stormwater Pollution Prevention | . 3 |
| 5. | Final Stabilization and Long-Term Stormwater Management | . 6 |
| 6. | Inspection and Maintenance | . 7 |

Appendices

- A. Vicinity MapB. Soils & Firm Map
- C. GEC Plans and Details
- D. SWMP Checklist

1. Applicant / Contact Information

Owner/Developer: SR Land, LLC

Attn: Jim Morley

20 Boulder Crest, Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80903

(720) 491-3024

Engineer: JR Engineering, LLC

5475 Tech Center Drive, Suite 235 Colorado Springs, CO 80919

Attn: Mike Bramlett (303) 267-6240 mbramlett@irengineering.com

SWMP Administrator: Contractor

Contractor: To Be Determined

SWMP Checklist Item 15: Provide the name(s) of ultimate

receiving waters; size, type and location of stormwater outfalls or

storm sewer system discharge.

2. Site Description and Location

Vollmer Babarick segment interim roadway improvements (the site) is a public right of way adjacent to and west of parcel 5233002001 (RV and Boat Storage), 5233002002 (StageCo), 5233002003 (a private residence), 5233001002 (a private residence) and 5233001001 (undeveloped land). The site is located in the north half of Section 33, Township 12 South, Range 65 West of the Sixth Principal Meridian in the County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The site is bounded by large lot residential parcels to the west, Vollmer Road North segment to the north, industrial parcels and private residences to the east and the Vollmer South roadway segment to the south. The Vollmer Babarick segment project will add paved shoulders to each side of Vollmer Road as an interim improvement until such time as additional right of way is obtained and the segment can eventually be completed into a completed minor arterial roadway cross section. Refer to the vicinity map in Appendix A for additional information.

The subject site is approximately 3.15 acres and is comprised of existing asphalt roadway, road side ditches and variable sloping grasslands that generally slope(s) downward to the east at 2 to 7% towards the Sand Creek tributary basin.

Site details:

- a. Estimated area to undergo disturbance: 3.15 acres (Total Area = 3.15 acres)
- b. Per a NRCS web soil survey, the site is made up of Type A and B soils. Type B soils have a moderate infiltration when thoroughly wet. Type A soils have a high infiltration when thoroughly wet. A NRCS soil survey map has been presented in Appendix B. BMPs will be installed and maintained to mitigate adverse impacts due to soil erosion. Removal of temporary BMP's will not occur until full site stabilization has been achieved, and then final site cleanup will occur. This

this seems very low. The % should not include previously disturbed areas or impervious areas such as the existing roadway. If the area has been disturbed recently, please use a nearby site that hasnt been disturbed to calculated the % vegetation

mitigates adverse impacts due to soil erosion potential. Adverse impacts of soil erosion include stream/ water pollution associated with increased turbidity.

- c. Existing vegetation: An aerial survey was used to determine percent cover of native grasses (approximately 15% coverage).
- d. Location and description of potential pollution sources: Potential sources of pollution include:
 - Vehicle, equipment maintenance, and fueling all designated fueling and maintenance areas shall be located a minimum of 100 feet from any drainage course whenever possible. If the fueling area is located on a pervious surface, the area shall be covered with a non-pervious lining so as to prevent soil contamination by way of infiltration. Any spillage shall be cleaned up immediately.

see comment on page 4

- All exposed and stored soils all exposed soils will be seeded and mulched upon completion of construction within the vicinity. Silt fence will be utilized to contain sediment deposited by runoff until seeding can take. Silt fence or a similar barrier should be installed as needed around long-term stockpiles (30 days+). Vehicle Tracking Control should be installed at access points to minimize sediment deposition from vehicles exiting the site.
- Vehicle tracking of sediments if sediment is tracked onto the street, a reasonable attempt shall be made to clean up sediment and mud deposits as soon as possible. A street sweeper may be used as necessary. Vehicle Tracking Control shall be installed at all vehicular access points to the site. -Management of contaminated soils appropriate measures will be taken to clean up the cause of the contaminated soil. All contaminated soils must be disposed of offsite in an appropriate manner.
- Vehicle, equipment maintenance, and fueling all designated fueling and maintenance areas shall be located a minimum of 100 feet from any drainage course whenever possible. If the fueling area is located on a pervious surface, the area shall be covered with a non-pervious lining so as to prevent soil contamination by way of infiltration. Any spillage shall be cleaned up immediately.
- On-site waste management practices (waste piles, liquid wastes, dumpsters, etc.) dumpsters will be utilized as needed to remove trash from the site. Any waste material found on-site or generated by construction activities will be disposed of in a manner that prevents polluting of storm water discharges. In the event that waste is to be stored on-site, it shall be in an area located a minimum of 100 feet from any drainage course whenever possible. Waste disposal bins should be checked for leaks and overflow capacity and emptied weekly or when capacity reaches 80% full. Whenever waste is not stored in a non-porous container, it shall be in an area enclosed by a 12-inch high compacted earthen ridge. If the enclosed waste area is located on porous soil, the area shall be covered with a non-porous lining to prevent soil contamination. Whenever precipitation is predicted, the waste shall be covered with a non-porous cover, anchored on all sides to prevent its removal by wind, in

order to prevent precipitation from leaching out potential pollutants from the waste. Portable toilets will be located a minimum of 10 feet from stormwater inlets and 50 feet from state waters. They will be secured at all four corners to prevent overturning and cleaned on a weekly basis. They will be inspected daily for spills.

The locations of these sources are shown in the GEC plans in Appendix C or will be determined by the contractor.

- e. Spill prevention and pollution controls for dedicated batch plants: Not applicable for this site since there will be no dedicated batch plants.
- f. Location and description of anticipated non-stormwater components of discharge: A potential source of non-stormwater discharge could be the irrigation of permanent seeding (PS). Irrigation will be kept at a rate so as to not create runoff.
- g. The site is comprised of variable sloping grasslands and existing asphalt road that generally slope(s) downward to the east at 2 to 7% towards the Sand Creek tributary basin.
- h. There are no stream crossings through the project site.

3. Proposed Sequence of Major Activities

The project will follow standard construction sequences for construction, ie., grading, utility installation, street paving, and landscaping. The contractor will be responsible for implementing and maintaining the erosion and sediment control measures described in this document and the accompanying design drawings. The contractor may designate these tasks to certain subcontractors as they see fit, but the ultimate responsibility for implementing these controls and their proposed function at each phase of the project remains with the contractor. The order of major activities (with estimated completion dates) will be as follows:

- 1. Install VTC and other perimeter soil erosion control measures (Spring 2023).
- 2. Clear and rough grade for improvements (Summer 2023).
- 3. Fine grading and placement of asphalt shoulders (Fall 2023).
- 4. Install landscaping/vegetated surface treatments (Fall 2023).
- 5. Clean up and final stabilization (Spring 2024).
- 6. Remove BMPs once final stabilization is achieved (Fall 2024)

Update dates so they match the ESQCP dates for start and end and are accurate.

4. BMPs for Stormwater Pollution Prevention

See GEC plans in Appendix C for BMP locations and detail sheets. The total area of disturbance on the site is 15,266 SY. The volume of cut is 435 CY, and the total volume of fill is 1,269 CY. This storm water management report does not rely on control measures owned or operated by another entity.

- a. Erosion and Sediment Controls
 - i. Structural BMPs:
 - 1. Silt fence (SF) along downstream limits of disturbed areas to filter sediment from runoff

- 2. Construction marker (CM) to identify limits of construction (LOC)
- 3. Vehicle tracking control (VTC) at site entrance to prevent sediment from leaving the site via vehicle tires
- 4. Inlet protection (IP) around culvert entrances
- 5. Check Dam (CD) to counteract erosion by reducing energy
- 6. Site grading around entire stockpile are, all road slope toward detention pond. No developed storm water offsite.
- ii. Non structural BMPs:
 - 1. Permanent seeding (PS) to stabilize disturbed areas
- b. Materials Handling and Spill Prevention
 - i. General Materials Handling Practices:
 - Potential pollutants shall be stored and used in a manner consistent with the manufacturer's instructions in a secure location. To the extent practical, material storage areas should not be located near storm drain inlets and should be equipped with covers, roofs, or secondary containment as required to prevent storm water from contacting stored materials. Chemicals that are not compatible shall be stored in segregated areas so that spilled materials cannot combine and react.
 - 2. Disposal of materials shall be in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and applicable local, state, and federal regulations.
 - 3. Materials no longer required for construction shall be removed from the site as soon as possible.
 - 4. Adequate garbage, construction waste, and sanitary waste handling and disposal facilities shall be provided as necessary to keep the site clear of obstruction and BMPs clear and functional.
 - ii. Specific Materials Handling Practices
 - 1. All pollutants, including waste materials and demolition debris, that occur onsite during construction shall be handled in a way that does not contaminate storm water.
 - 2. All chemicals including liquid products, petroleum products, water treatment chemicals, and wastes stored onsite shall be covered and protected from vandalism.
 - 3. Maintenance, fueling, and repair of all equipment and vehicles involving oil changes, hydraulic system drain down, degreasing operations, fuel tank drain down and removal, and other activities which may result in the accidental release of contaminants, shall be conducted under cover during wet weather and on an impervious surface to prevent release of contaminants onto the ground. Materials spilled during maintenance operations shall be cleaned up immediately and properly disposed of. There will be no batch plants onsite.
 - 4. Wheel wash water shall be settled and discharged onsite by infiltration.
 - 5. Application of agricultural chemicals, including fertilizers and pesticides, shall be conducted in a manner and at application rates

- that will not result in loss of chemical to storm water runoff. Follow manufacturer's recommendations for application rates and procedures.
- 6. pH-modifying sources shall be managed to prevent contamination of runoff and storm water collected onsite. The most common sources of pH-modifying materials are bulk cement, cement kiln dust (CKD), fly ash, new concrete washing and curing waters, waste streams generated from concrete grinding and sawing, exposed aggregate processes, and concrete pumping and mixer washout waters.

iii. Spill Prevention and Response Procedures

- 1. The primary objective in responding to a spill is to quickly contain the material(s) and prevent or minimize their migration into storm water runoff and conveyance systems. If the release has impacted onsite storm water, it is critical to contain the released materials onsite and prevent their release into receiving waters.
- 2. Spill Response Procedures:
 - a. Notify site superintendent immediately when a spill, or the threat of a spill, is observed. The superintendent shall assess the situation and determine the appropriate response.
 - b. If spills represent an imminent threat of escaping onsite facilities and entering the receiving waters, site personnel shall respond immediately to contain the release and notify the superintendent after the situation has stabilized.
 - c. The site superintendent, or his/her designee, shall be responsible for completing a spill reporting form and for reporting the spill to the appropriate agency.
 - d. Spill response equipment shall be inspected and maintained as necessary to replace any materials used in spill response activities.
- 3. Spill kits shall be on-hand at all fueling sites. Spill kit location(s) shall be reported to the SWMP administrator.
- 4. Absorbent materials shall be on-hand at all fueling areas for use in containing inadvertent spills. Containers shall be on-hand at all fueling sites for disposal of used absorbents.
- 5. Recommended components of spill kits include the following:
 - a. Oil absorbent pads (one bale)
 - b. Oil absorbent booms (40 feet)
 - c. 55-gallon drums (2)
 - d. 9-mil plastic bags (10)
 - e. Personal protective equipment including gloves and goggles
- 6. Concrete wash water: unless confined in a pre-defined, bermed containment area, the cleaning of concrete truck delivery chutes is prohibited at the job site.
- 7. Notification procedures:
 - a. In the event of an accident or spill, the SWMP administrator

SWMP Checklist Item 21. Add text stating that the SWMP should be viewed as a "living document" that is continuously being reviewed and modified as a part of the overall process of evaluating and managing SW quality issues at the site. The QSM shall amend the SWMP when there is a change in design, construction, O&M of the site which would require the implementation of new or revised BMPs or if the SWMP proves to be ineffective in achieving the general objectives of controlling pollutants in SW discharges associated with construction activity or when BMPs are no longer necessary and are removed.

shall be notified.

- b. Depending on the nature of the spill material involved, the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (24-hour spill reporting line: 887-518-5608), downstream water users, or other agencies may also need to be notified.
- c. Any spill of oil which 1) violates water quality standards, 2) produces a "sheen" on a surface water, or 3) causes a sludge or emulsion, or any hazardous substance release, or hazardous waste release which exceeds the reportable quantity, must be reported immediately by telephone to the National Response Center Hotline at (800) 424-8802.

5. Final Stabilization and Long-Term Stormwater Management

- a. Permanent seeding will be provided to achieve long-term stabilization of the site.
- b. Seed Mix: "Foothills" or approved equal.
- c. Seeding Application Rate: Drill seed 0.25" to 0.5" into the soil. In small areas not accessible to a drill, hand broadcast at double the rate and rake 0.25" to 0.5" into the soil. Apply seed at the following rates:
 - i. Dryland: 20-25 lbs/acre
 - ii. Irrigated: 40 lbs/acre
- d. Soil stabilization Practices:
 - i. Mulching Application: Apply 1-1/2 tons of certified weed free hay per acre mechanically crimped into the soil in combination with an organic mulch tackifier. On slopes and ditches requiring a blanket, the blanket shall be placed in lieu of much and mulch tackifier.
- e. Soil Conditioning and Fertilization Requirements:
 - i. Soil conditioner, organic amendment shall be applied to all seeded areas at 3 CY / 1000 SF.
 - ii. Fertilizer shall consist of 90% fungal biomass (mycelium) and 10% potassium-magnesia with a grade of 6-1-3 or approved equal. Fertilizer shall be applied as recommended by seed supplier.
- f. A full spectrum extended basin detention pond will provided long-term stormwater management of the site. This pond will provide better control of the of the runoff rates over an extended period of time (up to 72 hours). A trickle channel will be place within the pond/basin to improve the water quality and aesthetic value.
- g. Final stabilization is reached when all soil-disturbing activities at the site have been completed, and uniform vegetative cover has been established with an individual plan density of at least 70 percent of pre-disturbance levels, or equivalent permanent, physical erosion reduction methods have been employed.

6. Inspection and Maintenance

- a. Inspection Schedules:
 - i. The contractor shall inspect BMPs once every 14 days at a minimum, and

immediately (within 24 hours) after any precipitation or snowmelt event that causes surface erosion (i.e. that results in storm water running across the ground), to ensure that BMPs are maintained in effective operating condition. The QSM will be sufficiently qualified for the required duties per the ECM Appendix 1.5.2.A.

b. Inspection Procedures:

- i. Site Inspection / Observation Items:
 - 1. Construction site perimeter and discharge points
 - 2. All disturbed areas
 - 3. Areas used for material / waste storage that are exposed to precipitation
 - 4. Other areas having a significant potential for storm water pollution, such as demolition areas or concrete washout areas, or locations where vehicles enter or leave the site
 - 5. Erosion and sediment control measures identified in the SWMP
 - 6. Any other structural BMPs that may require maintenance, such as secondary containment around fuel tanks, or the conditions of spill response kits.


ii. Inspection Requirements:


- 1. Determine if there is any evidence of, or potential for, pollutants entering the receiving waters.
- 2. Review BMPs to determine if they still meet design and operational criteria in the SWMP, and if they continue to adequately control pollutants at the site.
- 3. Upgrade and/or revise any BMPs not operating in accordance with the SWMP and update the SWMP to reflect any revisions.
- 4. The SWMP should be continuously reviewed and modified as a part of the overall process of evaluating and managing stormwater quality issues at the site. The Qualified Stormwater Manager shall amend the SWMP when there is a change in design, construction, operation or maintenance of the site which would require the implementation of new or revised BMPs. The SWMP should also be amended if it proves to be ineffective in achieving the general objectives of controlling pollutants in stormwater discharges associated with construction activity or when temporary BMPs are no longer necessary they are removed.

iii. BMP Maintenance / Replacement and Failed BMPs:

- The contractor shall remove sediment that has been collected by perimeter controls, such as silt fence and inlet protection, on a regular basis to prevent failure of BMPs, and remove potential of sediment from being discharged from the site in the event of BMP failure.
- 2. Removed sediment must be moved to an appropriate location where it will not become an additional pollutant source, and should never be placed in ditches or streams.
- 3. The contractor shall update the GEC as required with any new

- BMPs added during the construction period.
- 4. The contractor shall address BMPs that have failed or have the potential to fail without maintenance or modifications, as soon as possible, immediately in most cases, to prevent discharge of pollutants.
- iv. Record Keeping and Documenting Inspections:
 - 1. The contractor shall maintain records of all inspection reports, including signed inspection logs, at the project site.
 - 2. The permittee shall document inspection results and maintain a record of the results for a period of 3 years following expiration or inactivation of permit coverage.
 - 3. Site inspection records shall include the following:
 - a. Inspection date
 - b. Name and title of personnel making the inspection
 - c. Location of discharges of sediment or other pollutants from the site
 - d. Location(s) of BMPs in need of maintenance
 - e. Location(s) of BMPs that failed to operate as designed or proved inadequate for a particular location
 - f. Location(s) where additional BMPs are needed that were not in place at the time of inspection
 - g. Deviations from the minimum inspection schedule





APPENDIX B – SOILS & FIRM MAP



NRCS

Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for El Paso County Area, Colorado



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2 053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

| Preface | 2 |
|---|----|
| How Soil Surveys Are Made | |
| Soil Map | |
| Soil Map | |
| Legend | 10 |
| Map Unit Legend | |
| Map Unit Descriptions | 11 |
| El Paso County Area, Colorado | 13 |
| 8—Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes | 13 |
| 71—Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes | 14 |
| References | 16 |

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

Custom Soil Resource Report

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.


After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

Custom Soil Resource Report

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

Soil Map Unit Polygons

-

Soil Map Unit Lines

Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features

(

Blowout

 \boxtimes

Borrow Pit

Ж

Clay Spot

~

Closed Depression

 \Diamond

losed Depressie

aga

Gravel Pit

...

Gravelly Spot

0

Landfill Lava Flow



Marsh or swamp

2

Mine or Quarry

^

Miscellaneous Water

0

Perennial Water
Rock Outcrop

į.

Saline Spot

. .

Sandy Spot

_

Severely Eroded Spot

Λ

Sinkhole

Ø

Sodic Spot

Slide or Slip

__.._

8

Spoil Area Stony Spot



Very Stony Spot



Wet Spot Other

Δ

Special Line Features

Water Features

_

Streams and Canals

Transportation

ransp

Rails

~

Interstate Highways

US Routes

 \sim

Major Roads

~

Local Roads

Background

1

Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24.000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 2, 2022

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50.000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—Oct 20, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

| Map Unit Symbol | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------|----------------|
| 8 | Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes | 3.3 | 40.5% |
| 71 | Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes | 4.8 | 59.5% |
| Totals for Area of Interest | | 8.1 | 100.0% |

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

Custom Soil Resource Report

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An association is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

El Paso County Area, Colorado

8—Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369v Elevation: 4,600 to 5,800 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 14 to 16 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 48 degrees F

Frost-free period: 125 to 145 days

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Blakeland and similar soils: 98 percent

Minor components: 2 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Blakeland

Setting

Landform: Flats, hills

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, talf

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock and/or eolian deposits

derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 11 inches: loamy sand AC - 11 to 27 inches: loamy sand C - 27 to 60 inches: sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 1 to 9 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained

Runoff class: Low

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (5.95

to 19.98 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e

Hydrologic Soil Group: A

Ecological site: R049XB210CO - Sandy Foothill

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Other soils

Percent of map unit: 1 percent

Custom Soil Resource Report

Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

71—Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369k Elevation: 6,800 to 7,600 feet

Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Pring and similar soils: 85 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Pring

Setting

Landform: Hills

Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope

Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

A - 0 to 14 inches: coarse sandy loam
C - 14 to 60 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: Low

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00

in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 6.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Ecological site: R048AY222CO - Loamy Park

Hydric soil rating: No

Custom Soil Resource Report

Minor Components

Pleasant

Percent of map unit: Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Other soils

Percent of map unit: Hydric soil rating: No

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

NOTES TO USERS

This map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does not necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. The community map repository should be consulted for possible updated or additional flood hazard information.

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways lives been determined, user as exchanged to consult the Flood within the Flood instances Study (FIS) groot that accompanies the FRM. Users about to assess that BFEs shown on the FRM represent counciles whole-stood to assess that BFEs shown on the FRM represent counciles whole-stood should not be used as the second of the second consistency of should not be used as the second consistency of should not be used as the second consistency of food elevation data presented in the FIS seport should be usitized in conjunction with the FIRM to prospect of corrections and or thought management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0° North American Vertical Deturn of 1988 (NAVD98). Users of this FRM should be aware that coastal food elevations are also provided in the Summey of Stillwate Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for the jurisdiction. Elevations above in the Summery of Stillwater Elevations table should be used for construction and/or foodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevations shown on the FRM.

Boundaries of the fleoderays were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The flooderays were based on flydraufic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood insurance lorgiam. Flooderay withs and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood Insurance Study report for this Justiciation.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insurance

The projection used in the preparation of this map use. Universal Transverse Memorph (UTIN) come 3.3 The horizontal datum was M-BOSS CRESS of Services (Differences in datum spheroid, projection of UTIN production of Fifther for adjacent jurisdictions may result in sight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood electricisms on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVDS). These flood electricism must be compared to stockure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For formation requiring conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Detum of 1923 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey verbale as 19th/Inversion spring or contact the National Geodetic Survey verbale as 47th/Inversion spring or contact the National Geodetic Survey value to 30dress:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 National Geodetic Survey SSMC-3, #9202 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench marks shown on this map, please contact the Information Services Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.ngaa.gov/.

Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Pasc County, Colorado Springs Utilities, and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2008.

uses a term union as or joined and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delinations than those shown on the previous IRMA for the jurisdiction. The properties of the propert

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the tim of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panets; community map repository addresses, and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panets on which each community is located.


If you have questions about this map or questions concerning the National Flo Insurance Program in general, please call 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfjo.

El Paso County Vertical Datum Offset Table Vertical Datum Offset Table

Flooding Source Vertical Datum
Offset (ft)

REFER TO SECTION 3.3 OF THE EL PASO COUNTY FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY
FOR STREAM BY STREAM VERTICAL DATUM CONVERSION INFORMATION


Panel Location M



This Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) was produced through a Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) agreement between the State of Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) and the Federal Emergency Management



Additional Flood Hazard information and resources are available from local communities and the Colorado



LEGEND SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS (SFHAS) SUBJECT TO INUNDATION BY THE 1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD The 1% annual chance flood (100-year flood), dole known as the base flood, is the flood that has a 1% chance of being equated or exceeded in any glavel year. The Special Flood Heard Are is the erres subject to Rooding by the 1% annual chance flood. Areas of Special Flood Special Flood shazard include zones X, AE, AH, AO, AR, AO, W, AO, W and VE. The base Flood Dilevetion is the wade-surface elevation of the 1% annual chance chance flood. ZONE A No base flood Blenstors determined. Bose Flood Blenstors determined. ZONE AE Bese Flood Blenstors determined. Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Mood Benations determined. Flood depths of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); average depths determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined. ZONE AO determines. Special Flood Hazard Area Formerly protected from the 19% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being resoned to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood. ZONE V Costal flood zone with velocity hezard (wave action); no Base Flood Elevations determined. ZONE VE Costal flood zone with velocity hezard (wave action); Base Flood Elevations determined. The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights. OTHER FLOOD AREAS OTHER AREAS ZONE X Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain ZONE 0 Areas in which flood hazards are undetermined, but possible. COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs) CBRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas Zone D Boundary • • • • • • • • CBRS and OPA boundary Boundary dividing Special Flood Hazard Areas of different Base Flood Develons, flood depths or flood velocities. ~~ 513 ~~ Base Flood Devation line and value; elevation in feet* (EL 987) Base Flood Bevation value where uniform within zone; elevation in feet* $\langle \overline{\mathbf{A}} \rangle$ — $\langle \overline{\mathbf{A}} \rangle$ Cross section line (23)------(23) 97" 07" 30.00" 32" 22" 30.00" Geographic coordinates referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAO 83) 1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, zone 13 475801N 8000000 FT EFFECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP MARCH 17, 1997 To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620. MAP SCALE 1" = 500"





(SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)

CONTAINS:

COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SLEFTX

CONTAINS STORMS STO

Q, 2450 CCUNTY 00059 3533 C

obics to User: The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map orders: the Consecutity Number shown above should be used on insurance applications for the userot comments.

MAP NUMBER 08041C0533G MAP REVISED DECEMBER 7, 2018


| mitted. Update this appendix once all comments are addressed. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| APPENDIX C – GEC PLANS AND DETAILS |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL STANDARD NOTES

- STORMWATER DISCHARGES FROM CONSTRUCTION SITES SHALL NOT CAUSE OR THREATEN TO CAUSE POLLUTION, CONTAMINATION, OR DEGRADATION OF STATE WATERS. ALL WORK AND EARTH DISTURBANCE SHALL BE DONE IN A MANNER THAT MINIMIZES POLLUTION OF ANY ON-SITE OR OFF-SITE WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS.
- NOTWITHSTANDING ANYTHING DEPICTED IN THESE PLANS IN WORDS OR GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION, ALL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RELATED TO ROADS, STORM DRAINAGE AND EROSION CONTROL SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MOST RECENT VERSION OF THE RELEVANT ADOPTED EL PASO COUNTY STANDARDS, INCLUDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, THE ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL, THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, AND THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUME 2. ANY DEVIATIONS FROM REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS MUST BE REQUESTED, AND APPROVED, IN WRITING.
- A SEPARATE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SMWP) FOR THIS PROJECT SHALL BE COMPLETED AND AN EROSION AND STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT (ESQCP) ISSUED PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. MANAGEMENT OF THE SWMP DURING CONSTRUCTION IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DESIGNATED QUALIFIED STORMWATER MANAGER OR CERTIFIED EROSION CONTROL INSPECTOR. THE SWMP SHALL BE LOCATED ON SITE AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL BE KEPT UP TO DATE WITH WORK PROGRESS AND CHANGES IN THE FIELD.
- ONCE THE ESOCP IS APPROVED AND A "NOTICE TO PROCEED" HAS BEEN ISSUED. THE CONTRACTOR MAY INSTALL THE INITIAL STAGE FROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AS INDICATED ON THE APPROVED GEC. A PRECONSTRUCTION MEETING BETWEEN THE CONTRACTOR. ENGINEER. AND EL PASO COUNTY WILL BE HELD PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE APPLICANT TO COORDINATE THE MEETING TIME AND PLACE WITH COUNTY STAFF.
- CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ACTIVITIES THAT COULD CONTRIBUTE POLLUTANTS TO STORMWATER. CONTROL MEASURES FOR ALL SLOPES, CHANNELS, DITCHES, AND DISTURBED LAND AREAS SHALL BE INSTALLED IMMEDIATELY UPON COMPLETION
- . ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED AND REMAIN IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION UNTIL PERMANENT SOIL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE IMPLEMENTED AND FINAL STABILIZATION IS ESTABLISHED. ALL PERSONS ENGAGED IN LAND DISTURBANCE ACTIVITIES SHALL ASSESS THE ADEQUACY OF CONTROL MEASURES AT THE SITE AND IDENTIFY IF CHANGES TO THOSE CONTROL MEASURES ARE NEEDED TO ENSURE THE CONTINUED EFFECTIVE PERFORMANCE OF THE CONTROL MEASURES. ALL CHANGES TO TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INCORPORATED INTO THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN.
- TEMPORARY STABILIZATION SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED ON DISTURBED AREAS AND STOCKPILES WHERE GROUND DISTURBING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY HAS PERMANENTLY CEASED OR TEMPORARILY CEASED FOR LONGER THAN 14 DAYS.
- 8. FINAL STABILIZATION MUST BE IMPLEMENTED AT ALL APPLICABLE CONSTRUCTION SITES. FINAL STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED WHEN ALL GROUND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES ARE COMPLETE AND ALL DISTURBED AREAS EITHER HAVE A UNIFORM VEGETATIVE COVER WITH INDIVIDUAL PLANT DENSITY OF 70 PERCENT OF PRE-DISTURBANCE LEVELS ESTABLISHED OR EQUIVALENT PERMANENT ALTERNATIVE STABILIZATION METHOD IS IMPLEMENTED. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE REMOVED UPON FINAL STABILIZATION AND BEFORE
- 9. ALL PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES SHALL BE INSTALLED AS DESIGNED IN THE APPROVED PLANS. ANY PROPOSED CHANGES THAT AFFECT THE DESIGN OR FUNCTION OF PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ECM ADMINISTRATOR PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION.
- 10. EARTH DISTURBANCES SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN SUCH A MANNER SO AS TO EFFECTIVELY MINIMIZE ACCELERATED SOIL EROSION AND RESULTING SEDIMENTATION. ALL DISTURBANCES SHALL BE DESIGNED, CONSTRUCTED, AND COMPLETED SO THAT THE EXPOSED AREA OF ANY DISTURBED LAND SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE SHORTEST PRACTICAL PERIOD OF TIME. PRE-EXISTING VEGETATION SHALL BE PROTECTED AND MAINTAINED
- 1. COMPACTION OF SOIL MUST BE PREVENTED IN AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION CONTROL MEASURES OR WHERE FINAL STABILIZATION WILL BE ACHIEVED BY VEGETATIVE COVER. AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL ALSO BE PROTECTED FROM SEDIMENTATION DURING CONSTRUCTION UNTIL FINAL STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED. IF COMPACTION PREVENTION IS NOT FEASIBLE DUE TO SITE CONSTRAINTS, ALL AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION AND VEGETATION CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE LOOSENED PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF THE CONTROL MEASURE(S)
- 12. ANY TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT FACILITY DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED FOR THE CONVEYANCE OF STORMWATER AROUND, THROUGH, OR FROM THE EARTH DISTURBANCE AREA SHALL BE A STABILIZED CONVEYANCE DESIGNED TO MINIMIZE EROSION AND THE DISCHARGE OF SEDIMENT OFF
- 13. CONCRETE WASH WATER SHALL BE CONTAINED AND DISPOSED OF IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SWMP, NO WASH WATER SHALL BE DISCHARGED TO OR ALLOWED TO ENTER STATE WATERS, INCLUDING ANY SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM OR FACILITIES. CONCRETE WASHOUTS SHALL NOT BE LOCATED IN AN AREA WHERE SHALLOW GROUNDWATER MAY BE PRESENT, OR WITHIN 50 FEET OF A SURFACE WATER BODY, CREEK OR STREAM.
- 14. DURING DEWATERING OPERATIONS OF UNCONTAMINATED GROUND WATER MAY BE DISCHARGED ON SITE, BUT SHALL NOT LEAVE THE SITE IN THE FORM OF SURFACE RUNOFF UNLESS AN APPROVED STATE DEWATERING PERMIT IS IN PLACE.
- 15. EROSION CONTROL BLANKETING OR OTHER PROTECTIVE COVERING SHALL BE USED ON SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1.
- 16. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF ALL WASTES FROM THE CONSTRUCTION SITE FOR DISPOSAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL AND STATE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS. NO CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, TREE SLASH, BUILDING MATERIAL WASTES OR UNUSED BUILDING MATERIALS SHALL BE BURIED, DUMPED, OR DISCHARGED AT THE SITE.
- 17. WASTE MATERIALS SHALL NOT BE TEMPORARILY PLACED OR STORED IN THE STREET, ALLEY, OR OTHER PUBLIC WAY, UNLESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AN APPROVED TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN. CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED BY EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING IF DEEMED NECESSARY. BASED ON SPECIFIC CONDITIONS AND CIRCUMSTANCES.
- 18. TRACKING OF SOILS AND CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS OFF-SITE SHALL BE MINIMIZED. MATERIALS TRACKED OFF-SITE SHALL BE CLEANED UP AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF IMMEDIATELY.
- 19. THE OWNER/DEVELOPER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF ALL CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, DIRT, TRASH, ROCK, SEDIMENT, SOIL, AND SAND THAT MAY ACCUMULATE IN ROADS, STORM DRAINS AND OTHER DRAINAGE CONVEYANCE SYSTEMS AND STORMWATER APPURTENANCES AS A RESULT OF SITE DEVELOPMENT.
- 20. THE QUANTITY OF MATERIALS STORED ON THE PROJECT SITE SHALL BE LIMITED, AS MUCH AS PRACTICAL, TO THAT QUANTITY REQUIRED TO PERFORM THE WORK IN AN ORDERLY SEQUENCE. ALL MATERIALS STORED ON-SITE SHALL BE STORED IN A NEAT, ORDERLY MANNER, IN THEIR ORIGINAL CONTAINERS. WITH ORIGINAL MANUFACTURER'S LABELS.
- 21. NO CHEMICAL(S) HAVING THE POTENTIAL TO BE RELEASED IN STORMWATER ARE TO BE STORED OR USED ONSITE UNLESS PERMISSION FOR THE USE OF SUCH CHEMICAL(S) IS GRANTED IN WRITING BY THE ECM ADMINISTRATOR. IN GRANTING APPROVAL FOR THE USE OF SUCH CHEMICAL(S), SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND MONITORING MAY BE REQUIRED.
- 22. BULK STORAGE OF ALLOWED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS OR OTHER ALLOWED LIQUID CHEMICALS IN EXCESS OF 55 GALLONS SHALL REQUIRE ADEQUATE SECONDARY CONTAINMENT PROTECTION TO CONTAIN ALL SPILLS ONSITE AND TO PREVENT ANY SPILLED MATERIALS FROM ENTERING STATE WATERS, ANY SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM OR OTHER FACILITIES.
- 23. NO PERSON SHALL CAUSE THE IMPEDIMENT OF STORMWATER FLOW IN THE CURB AND GUTTER OR DITCH EXCEPT WITH APPROVED SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES.
- 24. OWNER/DEVELOPER AND THEIR AGENTS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE "COLORADO WATER QUALITY CONTROL ACT" (TITLE 25. ARTICLE 8. CRS). AND THE "CLEAN WATER ACT" (33 USC 1344), IN ADDITION TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, DCM VOLUME II AND THE ECM APPENDIX I. ALL APPROPRIATE PERMITS MUST BE OBTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION (1041, NPDES, FLOODPLAIN, 404, FUGITIVE DUST, ETC.). IN THE EVENT OF CONFLICTS BETWEEN THESE REQUIREMENTS AND OTHER LAWS, RULES, OR REGULATIONS OF OTHER FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, OR COUNTY AGENCIES, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE LAWS, RULES, OR REGULATIONS SHALL APPLY.
- 25. ALL CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC MUST ENTER/EXIT THE SITE ONLY AT APPROVED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS POINTS.
- 26. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION THE PERMITTEE SHALL VERIFY THE LOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES.
- 27. A WATER SOURCE SHALL BE AVAILABLE ON SITE DURING EARTHWORK OPERATIONS AND SHALL BE UTILIZED AS REQUIRED TO MINIMIZE DUST FROM EARTHWORK EQUIPMENT AND WIND.
- 28. THE SOILS REPORT FOR THIS SITE HAS BEEN PREPARED BY ENTECH (JULY 2020) AND SHALL BE CONSIDERED A PART OF THESE PLANS.
- 29. AT LEAST TEN (10) DAYS PRIOR TO THE ANTICIPATED START OF CONSTRUCTION, FOR PROJECTS THAT WILL DISTURB ONE (1) ACRE OR MORE. THE OWNER OR OPERATOR OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY SHALL SUBMIT A PERMIT APPLICATION FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGE TO THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT, WATER QUALITY DIVISION. THE APPLICATION CONTAINS CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION OF A STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP), OF WHICH THIS GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN MAY BE A PART. FOR INFORMATION OR APPLICATION MATERIALS CONTACT:

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT WATER QUALITY CONTROL DIVISION WQCD - PERMITS 4300 CHERRY CREEK DRIVE SOUTH DENVER, CO 80246-1530

ATTN: PERMITS UNIT



VICINITY MAP

SHEET INDEX

GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL INITAL & INTERIM PLAN

GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL FINAL PLAN GESC DETAILS

STANDARD NOTES FOR EL PASO COUNTY CONSTRUCTION PLANS

- 1. ALL DRAINAGE AND ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION SHALL MEET THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS OF THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS/EL PASO COUNTY DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, VOLUMES 1 AND 2, AND THE EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE NOTIFICATION AND FIELD NOTIFICATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, WHETHER SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR NOT, BEFORE BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION. LOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. CALL 811 TO CONTACT THE UTILITY NOTIFICATION CENTER OF COLORADO (UNCC).
- 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP A COPY OF THESE APPROVED PLANS, THE GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN, THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP), THE SOIL AND GEOTECHNICAL REPORT, AND THE APPROPRIATE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS AT THE JOB SITE AT ALL TIMES, INCLUDING THE FOLLOWING:
- 3.1. EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL (ECM) 3.2. CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS/ EL PASO COUNTY DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, VOLUMES 1 AND 2
- COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (CDOT) STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION 3.4. CDOT M&S STANDARDS
- 4. NOTWITHSTANDING ANYTHING DEPICTED IN THESE PLANS IN WORDS OR GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION, ALL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RELATED TO ROADS. STORM DRAINAGE AND EROSION CONTROL SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MOST RECENT VERSIONS OF THE RELEVANT ADOPTED EL PASO COUNTY STANDARDS, INCLUDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, THE EINGEERI9NG CRITERIA MANUAL, THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, AND THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUME 2. ANY DEVIATIONS FROM REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS MUST BE REQUESTED, AND APPROVED, IN WRITING. ANY MODIFICATIONS NECESSARY TO MEET CRITERIA AFTER-THE-FACT WILL BE ENTIRELY THE DEVELOPER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO
- 5. IT IS THE DESIGN ENGINEER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO ACCURATELY SHOW EXISTING CONDITIONS, BOTH ONSITE AND OFFSITE, ON THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS. ANY MODIFICATIONS NECESSARY DUE TO CONFLICTS, OMISSIONS, OR CHANGED CONDITIONS WILL BE ENTIRELY THE DEVELOPER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO
- 6. CONTRACTOR SHALL SCHEDULE A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH EL PASO COUNTY PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INSPECTIONS, PRIOR TO STARTING CONSTRUCTION.
- 7. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO UNDERSTAND THE REQUIREMENTS OF ALL JURISDICTIONAL AGENCIES TO OBTAIN ALL REQUIRED PERMITS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO EL PASO COUNTY EROSION AND STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT (ESQCP), REGIONAL BUILDING FLOODPLAIN DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS-ISSUED 401 AND/OR 404 PERMITS, AND COUNTY AND STATE FUGITIVE DUST PERMITS.
- 8. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT DEVIATE FROM THE PLANS WITHOUT FIRST OBTAINING WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM THE DESIGN ENGINEER AND PCD. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE DESIGN ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY UPON DISCOVERY OF ANY ERRORS OR INCONSISTENCIES.
- 9. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE GEOTECHNICAL TESTING PER ECM STANDARDS. PAVEMENT DESIGN SHALL BE APPROVED BY EL PASO COUNTY PCD PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF CURB AND GUTTER AND PAVEMENT.
- 10. ALL CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC MUST ENTER/EXIT THE SITE AT APPROVED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS POINTS.
- 11. SIGHT VISIBILITY TRIANGLES ARE IDENTIFIED IN THE PLANS SHALL BE PROVIDED AT ALL INTERSECTIONS. OBSTRUCTIONS GREATER THAN 18 INCHES ABOVE FLOWLINE ARE NOT ALLOWED IN SIGHT TRIANGLES.
- 12. SIGNING AND STRIPING SHALL COMPLY WITH EL PASO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND MUTCD CRITERIA.
- 13. CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN ANY PERMITS REQUIRED BY EL PASO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, INCLUDING WORK WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY AND SPECIAL TRANSPORT PERMITS.
- 14. THE LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION SHALL REMAIN WITHIN THE PROPERTY LINE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. THE OWNER/DEVELOPER SHALL OBTAIN WRITTEN PERMISSION AND EASEMENTS, WHERE REQUIRED, FROM ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNER(S) PRIOR TO ANY OFF-SITE DISTURBANCE, GRADING, OR
- 15. ALL STORM DRAIN PIPES SHALL BE CLASS III RCP UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED AND APPROVED BY PCD.

COUNTY ENGINEERING:

WATER RESOURCES:

OWNER/DEVELOPER: SR LAND, LLC 20 BOULDER CRESCENT, SUITE 201 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903

JR ENGINEERING, LLC CIVIL ENGINEER: 5475 TECH CENTER DRIVE

COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80919 MIKE BRAMLETT P.E. (303) 267-6240

> AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 2880 INTERNATIONAL CIRCLE, SUITE 110 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80910 JEFF RICE, P.E. (719) 520-6300

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING: EL PASO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS 3275 AKERS DRIVE

> COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80922 JENNIFER IRVINE, P.E. (719) 520-6460 STERLING RANCH METRO DISTRICT ENGINEERS

JAMES F. MORLEY (719) 471-1742

JDS-HYDRO CONSULTANTS 545 E. PIKES PEAK AVE., SUITE 300 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 JOHN MCGINN (719) 668-8769

BLACK FOREST FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT FIRE DISTRICT: 11445 TEACHOUT ROAD

> COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80908 CHIEF BRYAN JACK (719) 495-4300 COLORADO SPRINGS UTILITIES

GAS DEPARTMENT: 7710 DURANT DR. COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80947 TIM WENDT (719) 668-3556

ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT MOUNTAIN VIEW ELECTRIC 11140 E. WOODMEN ROAD FALCON, CO 80831

COMMUNICATIONS: QWEST COMMUNICATIONS (U.N.C.C. LOCATORS) (800) 922-1987 AT&T (LOCATORS) (719) 635-3674

(719) 495–2283

CITY STORMWATER: STORMWATER ENTERPRISE 30 S. NEVADA AVENUE, SUITE 401 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903

(719) - 385 - 5918

EL PASO COUNTY STATEMENT **BASIS OF BEARING**

BENCHMARKS

NORTHING = 411416.273

EASTING = 235167.071

NORTHING = 410095.404

EASTING = 235052.131

NORTHING = 411399.962

EASTING = 233849.817

ELEVATION = 7030.82

11624".

OF 2,722.56 FEET.

ELEVATION = 7000.40

ELEVATION = 7023.42

1. THE TOP OF AN ALUMINUM SURVEYORS CAP.

2. THE TOP OF A RED PLASTIC SURVEYORS CAP

CORNER OF PAWNEE RANCHEROS SUBDIVISION

3. THE TOP OF A RED PLASTIC SURVEYORS CAP,

BOUNDARY CORNER OF BARBARICK SUBDISION

SAID LINE BEARS N89"14"14"E, A DISTANCE

Know what's **below**.

Call before you dig

STAMPED "38141", AT THE SOUTHWEST

ILLEGIBLE, AT THE NORTHWEST BOUNDARY

CORNER OF BARBARICK SUBDIVISION

STAMPED "9853", AT THE SOUTHEAST BOUNDARY

COUNTY PLAN REVIEW IS PROVIDED ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH BEARINGS ARE BASED ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF COUNTY DESIGN CRITERIA. THE COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY SECTION 34, TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSIONS, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL 65 WEST OF THE 6TH P.M. AS BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. THE COUNTY THROUGH THE APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/OR MONUMENTED AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT. OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER BY A 2-1/2" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "LS

FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE EL PASO COUNTY LAND 11624" AND AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER DEVELOPMENT CODE, DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, VOLUMES 1 AND 2, AND OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER BY A ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL AS AMENDED. 2-1/2" ALUMINUM CAP STAMPED "LS

IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECM SECTION 1.12, THESE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WILL BE VALID FOR CONSTRUCTION FOR A PERIOD OF 2 YEARS FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY THE EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEER. IF CONSTRUCTION HAS NOT STARTED WITHIN THOSE 2 YEARS, THE PLANS WILL NEED TO BE RESUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL, INCLUDING PAYMENT OF REVIEW FEES AT THE PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTORS DISCRETION.

JOSHUA PALMER, P.E.

COUNTY ENGINEER/ECM ADMINISTRATOR

OWNER/DEVELOPER STATEMENT

THE OWNER/DEVELOPER HAVE READ AND WILL COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN AND ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN THESE DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS.

JAMES F. MORLEY SR LAND, LLC

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

20 BOULDER CRESCENT, SUITE 201

COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903

THIS GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN WAS PREPARED UNDER MY DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. SAID PLAN HAS BEEN PREPARED ACCORDING TO THE CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY THE COUNTY FOR GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS. I ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY LIABILITY CAUSED BY ANY NEGLIGENT ACTS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS ON MY PART IN PREPARING THIS PLANS.


MIKE A. BRAMLETT, P.E. COLORADO P.E. 32314 FOR AND ON BEHALF OF JR ENGINEERING ALLOW A

 \circ


(BARBAF ONDITION

SHEET 1 OF


JOB NO. 25188.01




X:\2510000.all\2518801\Drawings\Sheet Dwgs\Vollmer Road (Barbarick Interim)\GESC Plans\2518801 Vollmer Barbarick Interim GESC Plan.dwg, GESC Ini



X:\2510000.all\2518801\Drawings\Sheet Dwgs\Vollmer Road (Barbarick Interim)\GESC Plans\2518801 Vollmer Barbarick Interim GESC Plan.dwg, GESC





Appropriate Uses

Use mulch in conjunction with seeding to help protect the seedbed and stabilize the soil. Mulch can also be used as a temporary cover on low to mild slopes to help temporarily stabilize disturbed areas where growing season constraints prevent effective reseeding. Disturbed areas should be properly mulched and tacked, or seeded, mulched and tacked promptly after final grade is reached (typically within no longer than 14 days) on portions of the site not otherwise permanently stabilized.

Standard dry mulching is encouraged in most jurisdictions; however, hydromulching may not be allowed in certain jurisdictions or may not be allowed near waterways.

Do not apply mulch during windy conditions.

Design and Installation

Prior to mulching, surface-roughen areas by rolling with a crimping or punching type roller or by track walking. Track walking should only be used where other methods are impractical because track walking with heavy equipment typically compacts the soil.

A variety of mulches can be used effectively at construction sites. Consider the following:

| Mulch | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Functions | | | | |
| Erosion Control | Yes | | | |
| Sediment Control | Moderate | | | |
| Site/Material Management | No | | | |

June 2012 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

Temporary and Permanent Seeding (TS/PS)

Table TS/PS-3. Seeding Dates for Annual and Perennial Grasses

| | (Numbers in | Annual Grasses (Numbers in table reference species in Table TS/PS-1) | | Perennial Grasses | |
|--------------------------|-------------|--|------|-------------------|--|
| Seeding Dates | Warm | Cool | Warm | Cool | |
| January 1–March 15 | | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| March 16–April 30 | 4 | 1,2,3 | ✓ | ✓ | |
| May 1–May 15 | 4 | | ✓ | | |
| May 16–June 30 | 4,5,6,7 | | | | |
| July 1–July 15 | 5,6,7 | | | | |
| July 16–August 31 | | | | | |
| September 1–September 30 | | 8,9,10,11 | | | |
| October 1–December 31 | | | ✓ | ✓ | |

Mulch

Cover seeded areas with mulch or an appropriate rolled erosion control product to promote establishment of vegetation. Anchor mulch by crimping, netting or use of a non-toxic tackifier. See the Mulching BMP Fact Sheet for additional guidance.

Maintenance and Removal

Monitor and observe seeded areas to identify areas of poor growth or areas that fail to germinate. Reseed and mulch these areas, as needed.

An area that has been permanently seeded should have a good stand of vegetation within one growing season if irrigated and within three growing seasons without irrigation in Colorado. Reseed portions of the site that fail to germinate or remain bare after the first growing season.

Seeded areas may require irrigation, particularly during extended dry periods. Targeted weed control may

Protect seeded areas from construction equipment and vehicle access.

| TS/PS-6 | Urban Drainage and Flood Control District | June 2012 |
|---------|---|-----------|
| | Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 | |

EC-2 Temporary and Permanent Seeding (TS/PS)

Table TS/PS-2. Minimum Drill Seeding Rates for Perennial Grasses

| Common ^a Name | Botanical Name | Growth Season ^b | Growth Form | Seeds/ Pound | Pounds of PLS/acre |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Alakali Soil Seed Mix | | | | | |
| Alkali sacaton | Sporobolus airoides | Cool | Bunch | 1,750,000 | 0.25 |
| Basin wildrye | Elymus cinereus | Cool | Bunch | 165,000 | 2.5 |
| Sodar streambank wheatgrass | Agropyron riparium 'Sodar' | Cool | Sod | 170,000 | 2.5 |
| Jose tall wheatgrass | Agropyron elongatum 'Jose' | Cool | Bunch | 79,000 | 7.0 |
| Arriba western wheatgrass | Agropyron smithii 'Arriba' | Cool | Sod | 110,000 | 5.5 |
| Total | | | | | 17.75 |
| Fertile Loamy Soil Seed Mix | | | | 1 | |
| Ephriam crested wheatgrass | Agropyron cristatum 'Ephriam' | Cool | Sod | 175,000 | 2.0 |
| Dural hard fescue | Festuca ovina 'duriuscula' | Cool | Bunch | 565,000 | 1.0 |
| Lincoln smooth brome | Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln' | Cool | Sod | 130,000 | 3.0 |
| Sodar streambank wheatgrass | Agropyron riparium 'Sodar' | Cool | Sod | 170,000 | 2.5 |
| Arriba western wheatgrass | Agropyron smithii 'Arriba' | Cool | Sod | 110,000 | 7.0 |
| Total | | | | | 15.5 |
| High Water Table Soil Seed Mix | K | | | | |
| Meadow foxtail | Alopecurus pratensis | Cool | Sod | 900,000 | 0.5 |
| Redtop | Agrostis alba | Warm | Open sod | 5,000,000 | 0.25 |
| Reed canarygrass | Phalaris arundinacea | Cool | Sod | 68,000 | 0.5 |
| Lincoln smooth brome | Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln' | Cool | Sod | 130,000 | 3.0 |
| Pathfinder switchgrass | Panicum virgatum 'Pathfinder' | Warm | Sod | 389,000 | 1.0 |
| Alkar tall wheatgrass | Agropyron elongatum 'Alkar' | Cool | Bunch | 79,000 | 5.5 |
| Total | | | | | 10.75 |
| Transition Turf Seed Mix ^c | | | | | |
| Ruebens Canadian bluegrass | Poa compressa 'Ruebens' | Cool | Sod | 2,500,000 | 0.5 |
| Dural hard fescue | Festuca ovina 'duriuscula' | Cool | Bunch | 565,000 | 1.0 |
| Citation perennial ryegrass | Lolium perenne 'Citation' | Cool | Sod | 247,000 | 3.0 |
| Lincoln smooth brome | Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln' | Cool | Sod | 130,000 | 3.0 |
| Total | | | | | 7.5 |

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District June 2012 Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

Temporary and Permanent Seeding (TS/PS)

Table TS/PS-2. Minimum Drill Seeding Rates for Perennial Grasses (cont.)

| Common Name | Botanical Name | Growth Season ^b | Growth Form | Seeds/ Pound | Pounds of PLS/acre |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Sandy Soil Seed Mix | - | | | | |
| Blue grama | Bouteloua gracilis | Warm | Sod-forming bunchgrass | 825,000 | 0.5 |
| Camper little bluestem | Schizachyrium scoparium 'Camper' | Warm | Bunch | 240,000 | 1.0 |
| Prairie sandreed | Calamovilfa longifolia | Warm | Open sod | 274,000 | 1.0 |
| Sand dropseed | Sporobolus cryptandrus | Cool | Bunch | 5,298,000 | 0.25 |
| Vaughn sideoats grama | Bouteloua curtipendula 'Vaughn' | Warm | Sod | 191,000 | 2.0 |
| Arriba western wheatgrass | Agropyron smithii 'Arriba' | Cool | Sod | 110,000 | 5.5 |
| Total | | | | | 10.25 |
| Heavy Clay, Rocky Foothill Seed | l Mix | | | | |
| Ephriam crested wheatgrass ^d | Agropyron cristatum 'Ephriam' | Cool | Sod | 175,000 | 1.: |
| Oahe Intermediate wheatgrass | Agropyron intermedium 'Oahe' | Cool | Sod | 115,000 | 5.3 |
| Vaughn sideoats gramae | Bouteloua curtipendula 'Vaughn' | Warm | Sod | 191,000 | 2.0 |
| Lincoln smooth brome | Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln' | Cool | Sod | 130,000 | 3.0 |
| Arriba western wheatgrass | Agropyron smithii 'Arriba' | Cool | Sod | 110,000 | 5.5 |
| Total | | | | | 17.5 |

All of the above seeding mixes and rates are based on drill seeding followed by crimped straw mulch. These rates should be doubled if seed is broadcast and should be increased by 50 percent if the seeding is done using a Brillion Drill or is applied through hydraulic seeding. Hydraulic seeding may be substituted for drilling only where slopes are steeper than 3:1. If

hydraulic seeding is used, hydraulic mulching should be done as a separate operation.


^b See Table TS/PS-3 for seeding dates.

^c If site is to be irrigated, the transition turf seed rates should be doubled.

d Crested wheatgrass should not be used on slopes steeper than 6H to 1V. ^e Can substitute 0.5 lbs PLS of blue grama for the 2.0 lbs PLS of Vaughn sideoats grama.

June 2012 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

ENGINEERING



VOLLMER ROAD (BARBARICK)

- INTERIM CONDITION

GESC DETAILS

SHEET 4 OF 6

SC-1

1. SILT FENCE MUST BE PLACED AWAY FROM THE TOE OF THE SLOPE TO ALLOW FOR WATER

PONDING, SILT FENCE AT THE TOE OF A SLOPE SHOULD BE INSTALLED IN A FLAT LOCATION

AT LEAST SEVERAL FEET (2-5 FT) FROM THE TOE OF THE SLOPE TO ALLOW ROOM FOR

2. A UNIFORM 6" X 4" ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE EXCAVATED USING TRENCHER OR SILT

3. COMPACT ANCHOR TRENCH BY HAND WITH A "JUMPING JACK" OR BY WHEEL ROLLING.

COMPACTION SHALL BE SUCH THAT SILT FENCE RESISTS BEING PULLED OUT OF ANCHOR

4. SILT FENCE SHALL BE PULLED TIGHT AS IT IS ANCHORED TO THE STAKES. THERE SHOULD

5. SILT FENCE FABRIC SHALL BE ANCHORED TO THE STAKES USING 1" HEAVY DUTY STAPLES

6. AT THE END OF A RUN OF SILT FENCE ALONG A CONTOUR, THE SILT FENCE SHOULD BE

EXTENDING PERPENDICULAR TO THE CONTOUR SHOULD BE OF SUFFICIENT LENGTH TO KEEP

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION.

MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS

POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE

. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON

4. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF THE SILT FENCE SHALL BE REMOVED AS NEEDED TO MAINTAIN THE FUNCTIONALITY OF THE BMP, TYPICALLY WHEN DEPTH OF ACCUMULATED

5. REPAIR OR REPLACE SILT FENCE WHEN THERE ARE SIGNS OF WEAR, SUCH AS SAGGING,

6. SILT FENCE IS TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION, OR IS REPLACED BY AN EQUIVALENT PERIMETER

7. WHEN SILT FENCE IS REMOVED, ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOPSOIL,

SEEDED AND MULCHED OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED AS APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION.

 ${\hbox{NOTE:}}$ MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN

(DETAIL ADAPTED FROM TOWN OF PARKER, COLORADO AND CITY OF AURORA, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

TURNED PERPENDICULAR TO THE CONTOUR TO CREATE A "J-HOOK." THE "J-HOOK"

RUNOFF FROM FLOWING AROUND THE END OF THE SILT FENCE (TYPICALLY 10' - 20').

7. SILT FENCE SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.

BE NO NOTICEABLE SAG BETWEEN STAKES AFTER IT HAS BEEN ANCHORED TO THE STAKES.

OR NAILS WITH 1" HEADS, STAPLES AND NAILS SHOULD BE PLACED 3" ALONG THE FABRIC

FENCE INSTALLATION DEVICE. NO ROAD GRADERS, BACKHOES, OR SIMILAR EQUIPMENT SHALL BE USED.

SILT FENCE INSTALLATION NOTES

DOWN THE STAKE.

SILT FENCE MAINTENANCE NOTES

DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.


DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

TEARING, OR COLLAPSE.

DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

SEDIMENTS IS APPROXIMATELY 6".

EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE



SF-1. SILT FENCE

November 2010

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 SF-3

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

75'-0' MIN B" MIN OF COURSE AGGREGATE ON ALL CONSTRUCTION ROADS, PARKING AREAS, STAGING AREA, LOADING/UNLOADING AREAS, AND STORAGE AREAS. COARSE AGGREGAT PAVEMENT -3 INCHES (D₅₀) GEOTEXTILE (MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS IN APPENDIX B, TABLE MT-3) <u>SECTION</u> VEHICLE TRACKING VEHICLE TRACKING NOTES INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS 1. ALL ENTRANCES TO THE CONSTRUCTION SITE ARE 1. REGULAR INSPECTIONS ARE TO BE MADE OF ALL TO BE STABILIZED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION STABILIZED AREAS, ESPECIALLY AFTER STORM 2. CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES ARE TO BE BUILT WITH 2. STONES ARE TO BE REAPPLIED PERIODICALLY AND AN APRON TO ALLOW FOR TURNING TRAFFIC, BUT WHEN REPAIR IS NECESSARY SHOULD NOT BE BUILT OVER EXISTING PAVEMENT EXCEPT FOR A SLIGHT OVERLAP. 3. SEDIMENT TRACKED ONTO PAVED ROADS IS TO BE REMOVED DAILY BY SHOVELING OR SWEEPING 3. AREAS TO BE STABILIZED ARE TO BE PROPERLY SEDIMENT IS NOT TO BE WASHED DOWN STORM GRADED AND COMPACTED PRIOR TO LAYING DOWN SEWER DRAINS. GEOTEXTILE AND STONE. 4. STORM SEWER INLET PROTECTION IS TO BE IN 4. CONSTRUCTION ROADS, PARKING AREAS, PLACE, INSPECTED, AND CLEANED IF NECESSARY LOADING/UNLOADING ZONES, STORAGE AREAS, AND STAGING AREAS ARE TO BE STABILIZED. 5. OTHER ASSOCIATED SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES ARE TO BE INSPECTED TO ENSURE GOOD WORKING 5. CONSTRUCTION ROADS ARE TO BE BUILT TO CONFORM TO SITE GRADES, BUT SHOULD NOT HAVE SIDE SLOPES OR ROAD GRADES THAT ARE

> Figure VT-2 City of Colorado Springs Stormwater Quality

Vehicle Tracking Application Examples

Vehicle Tracking Control (VTC) SM-4

STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR -LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE(S)/EXIT(S). -TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE(S)/EXITS(S) (WITH/WITHOUT WHEEL WASH, CONSTRUCTION MAT OR TRM).

2. CONSTRUCTION MAT OR TRM STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES ARE ONLY TO BE USED ON SHORT DURATION PROJECTS (TYPICALLY RANGING FROM A WEEK TO A MONTH) WHERE THERE WILL BE LIMITED VEHICULAR ACCESS.

3. A STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT SHALL BE LOCATED AT ALL ACCESS POINTS WHERE VEHICLES ACCESS THE CONSTRUCTION SITE FROM PAVED RIGHT-OF-WAYS. 4. STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.

5. A NON-WOVEN GEOTEXTILE FABRIC SHALL BE PLACED UNDER THE STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT PRIOR TO THE PLACEMENT OF ROCK.

6. UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION, ROCK SHALL CONSIST OF DOT SECT. #703, AASHTO #3 COARSE AGGREGATE OR 6" (MINUS) ROCK. STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE

EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE. 2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON

4. ROCK SHALL BE REAPPLIED OR REGRADED AS NECESSARY TO THE STABILIZED ENTRANCE/EXIT TO MAINTAIN A CONSISTENT DEPTH. 5. SEDIMENT TRACKED ONTO PAVED ROADS IS TO BE REMOVED THROUGHOUT THE DAY AND


THE END OF THE DAY BY SHOVELING OR SWEEPING. SEDIMENT MAY NOT BE WASHED DOWN STORM SEWER DRAINS. MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARIATION OF THE STREET WHEN CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM CITY OF BROOMFIELD, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

Stockpile Management (SP)

MM-2

November 2010



SP-1. STOCKPILE PROTECTION

STOCKPILE PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

 SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:

 LOCATION OF STOCKPILES.

 -TYPE OF STOCKPILE PROTECTION.

2. INSTALL PERIMETER CONTROLS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THEIR RESPECTIVE DESIGN DETAILS. SILT FENCE IS SHOWN IN THE STOCKPILE PROTECTION DETAILS; HOWEVER, OTHER TYPES OF PERIMETER CONTROLS INCLUDING SEDIMENT CONTROL LOGS OR ROCK SOCKS MAY BE SUITABLE IN SOME CIRCUMSTANCES. CONSIDERATIONS FOR DETERMINING THE APPROPRIATE TYPE OF PERIMETER CONTROL FOR A STOCKPILE INCLUDE WHETHER THE STOCKPILE IS LOCATED ON A PERVIOUS OR IMPERVIOUS SURFACE, THE RELATIVE HEIGHTS OF THE PERIMETER CONTROL AND STOCKPILE, THE ABILITY OF THE PERIMETER CONTROL TO CONTAIN THE STOCKPILE WITHOUT FAILING IN THE EVENT THAT MATERIAL FROM THE STOCKPILE SHIFTS OR SLUMPS AGAINST THE PERIMETER, AND OTHER FACTORS.

3. STABILIZE THE STOCKPILE SURFACE WITH SURFACE ROUGHENING, TEMPORARY SEEDING AND MULCHING, EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS, OR SOIL BINDERS. SOILS STOCKPILED FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD (TYPICALLY FOR MORE THAN 60 DAYS) SHOULD BE SEEDED AND MULCHED WITH A TEMPORARY GRASS COVER ONCE THE STOCKPILE IS PLACED (TYPICALLY WITHIN 14 DAYS). USE OF MULCH ONLY OR A SOIL BINDER IS ACCEPTABLE IF THE STOCKPILE WILL BE IN PLACE FOR A MORE LIMITED TIME PERIOD (TYPICALLY 30-60 DAYS).

4. FOR TEMPORARY STOCKPILES ON THE INTERIOR PORTION OF A CONSTRUCTION SITE, WHERE OTHER DOWNGRADIENT CONTROLS, INCLUDING PERIMETER CONTROL, ARE IN PLACE, STOCKPILE PERIMETER CONTROLS MAY NOT BE REQUIRED.

MM-2

Stockpile Management (SM)

STOCKPILE PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

STOCKPILE PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

4. IF PERIMETER PROTECTION MUST BE MOVED TO ACCESS SOIL STOCKPILE, REPLACE PERIMETER CONTROLS BY THE END OF THE WORKDAY.

5. STOCKPILE PERIMETER CONTROLS CAN BE REMOVED ONCE ALL THE MATERIAL FROM THE STOCKPILE HAS BEEN USED.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM PARKER, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

Stabilized Staging Area (SSA)

CONSTRUCTION SITE ACCESS

> STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE (SEE DETAILS VTC-1

TO VTC-3)

SEE PLAN VIEW FOR

FROM THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

FENCE AND CONSTRUCTION FENCING.

DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

STABILIZED STAGING AREA MAINTENANCE NOTES

STORAGE, AND UNLOADING/LOADING OPERATIONS.

DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

November 2010

SM-6

STABILIZED STAGING AREA MAINTENANCE NOTES

EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

STABILIZED STAGING AREA INSTALLATION NOTES

-LOCATION OF STAGING AREA(S).

— SF/CF —— SF/CF —

ONSITE

CONSTRUCTION

VEHICLE

PARKING (IF

NEEDED)

— SF/CF —— SF/CF →

SSA-1. STABILIZED STAGING AREA

-CONTRACTOR MAY ADJUST LOCATION AND SIZE OF STAGING AREA WITH APPROVAL

2. STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE NEEDS OF THE SITE.

OVERSIZING RESULTS IN A LARGER AREA TO STABILIZE FOLLOWING CONSTRUCTION.

3. STAGING AREA SHALL BE STABILIZED PRIOR TO OTHER OPERATIONS ON THE SITE.

SECT. #703, AASHTO #3 COARSE AGGREGATE OR 6" (MINUS) ROCK.

4. THE STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHALL CONSIST OF A MINIMUM 3" THICK GRANULAR

5. UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION, ROCK SHALL CONSIST OF DOT

6. ADDITIONAL PERIMETER BMPs MAY BE REQUIRED INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO SILT

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION.

MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS

POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON

4. ROCK SHALL BE REAPPLIED OR REGRADED AS NECESSARY IF RUTTING OCCURS OR UNDERLYING SUBGRADE BECOMES EXPOSED.

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

5. STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHALL BE ENLARGED IF NECESSARY TO CONTAIN PARKING,

6. THE STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHALL BE REMOVED AT THE END OF CONSTRUCTION. THE

NOTE: MANY MUNICIPALITIES PROHIBIT THE USE OF RECYCLED CONCRETE AS GRANULAR MATERIAL FOR STABILIZED STAGING AREAS DUE TO DIFFICULTIES WITH RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN

GRANULAR MATERIAL SHALL BE REMOVED OR, IF APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION,

USED ON SITE, AND THE AREA COVERED WITH TOPSOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED OR

OTHERWISE STABILIZED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION.

VEGETATION IN AREAS WHERE RECYCLED CONCRETE WAS PLACED.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

Stabilized Staging Area (SSA)

EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE

CONSTRUCTION

3" MIN. THICKNESS

GRANULAR MATERIAL

SILT FENCE OR CONSTRUCTION

SM-6

SSA-3

 ∞ AND, DER CP TTE 20 PRINGS F. MC 471-

ADO JAMI

(BARBARICK) CONDITION

JOB NO. **25188.01**

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 SP-3

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

November 2010

November 2010

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

VTC-6

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

November 2010

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

November 2010

SHEET 5 OF 6

TYPICALLY AT TOP C

PERIMETER ANCHOR TRENCH OR JOINT, TYP.

STAGGER OVERLAPS

PERIMETER ANCHOR

ECB-3. OUTSIDE OF DRAINAGEWAY

- OVERLAPPING JOINT

STAKING PATTERN PER

MANUFACTURER SPEC. OR PATTERN BASED ON ECB AND/OR SLOPE

TYPE (SEE STAKING PATTERN DETAIL)

EC-6

RECP-7

SC-6

1½" (MINUS) CRUSHED ROCK ENCLOSED IN WIRE MESH

4" TO 6" MAX A

6"-10" DEPENDING

SEDIMENT LOADS

ON EXPECTED

ROCK SOCK PLAN

GRADATION TABLE

SIEVE SIZE MASS PERCENT PASSING SQUARE MESH SIEVES

MATCHES SPECIFICATIONS FOR NO. 4

FRACTURED FACE, ALL SIDES.

COARSE AGGREGATE FOR CONCRETE PER AASHTO M43. ALL ROCK SHALL BE

NO. 4

ANY GAP AT JOINT SHALL BE FILLED WITH AN ADEQUATE

AMOUNT OF 1½" (MINUS) CRUSHED ROCK AND WRAPPED WITH ADDITIONAL WIRE MESH SECURED TO ENDS OF ROCK

ADDITIONAL WIRE WRAPPING, ROCK SOCKS CAN BE

REINFORCED SOCK. AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO FILLING JOINTS BETWEEN ADJOINING ROCK SOCKS WITH CRUSHED ROCK AND

OVERLAPPED (TYPICALLY 12-INCH OVERLAP) TO AVOID GAPS.

1½" (MINUS) CRUSHED ROCK ENCLOSED IN WIRE MESH

O" ON BEDROCK OR

HARD SURFACE, 2"

ROCK SOCK SECTION

ROCK SOCK JOINTING

ROCK SOCK INSTALLATION NOTES

-LOCATION(S) OF ROCK SOCKS.

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:

IN SOIL

ROCK SOCK,

WIRE TIE ENDS

GROUND SURFACE

2. CRUSHED ROCK SHALL BE 11/2" (MINUS) IN SIZE WITH A FRACTURED FACE (ALL SIDES)

4. WIRE MESH SHALL BE SECURED USING "HOG RINGS" OR WIRE TIES AT 6" CENTERS

RS-1. ROCK SOCK PERIMETER CONTROL

3. WIRE MESH SHALL BE FABRICATED OF 10 GAGE POULTRY MESH, OR EQUIVALENT, WITH A


5. SOME MUNICIPALITIES MAY ALLOW THE USE OF FILTER FABRIC AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO WIRE MESH FOR THE ROCK ENCLOSURE.

AND SHALL COMPLY WITH GRADATION SHOWN ON THIS SHEET (11/2" MINUS).

MAXIMUM OPENING OF 1/2", RECOMMENDED MINIMUM ROLL WIDTH OF 48"

ALONG ALL JOINTS AND AT 2" CENTERS ON ENDS OF SOCKS.

SHEET 6 OF 6 JOB NO. **25188.01**



Urban Drainage and Flood Control District November 2010 Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

COCONUT OR EXCELSIOR STAKING PATTERNS BY ECB TYPE LOW FLOW CHANNEL HIGH FLOW CHANNEL STAKING PATTERNS BY SLOPE OR CHANNEL TYPE

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

LENGTH, L CHANNEL GRADE
UPSTREAM AND — - TOP OF CHECK DAM DOWNSTREAM CHECK DAM ELEVATION VIEW EXCAVATION TO NEAT LINE, AVOID OVER-EXCAVATION, (TYP.) D50 = 12" RIPRAP, TYPE M OR TYPE L D50= 9" (SEE TABLE MD-7, MAJOR DRAINAGE, VOL. 1 FOR GRADATION) - CHANNEL GRADE FLOW --EXCAVATION TO NEAT LINE, AVOID OVER-EXCAVATION D50 = 12" RIPRAP, TYPE M OR TYPE L D50=9" (SEE TABLE MD-7, MAJOR DRAINAGE, VOL. 1 FOR CHANNEL GRADE **PROFILE** CD-1. CHECK DAM

November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

RS-2

November 2010

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

Rock Sock (RS)

RECP-6

ROCK SOCK MAINTENANCE NOTES 1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

4. ROCK SOCKS SHALL BE REPLACED IF THEY BECOME HEAVILY SOILED, OR DAMAGED BEYOND REPAIR.


5. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF ROCK SOCKS SHALL BE REMOVED AS NEEDED TO MAINTAIN FUNCTIONALITY OF THE BMP, TYPICALLY WHEN DEPTH OF ACCUMULATED SEDIMENTS IS APPROXIMATELY ½ OF THE HEIGHT OF THE ROCK SOCK. 6. ROCK SOCKS ARE TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS

STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION. 7. WHEN ROCK SOCKS ARE REMOVED, ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOPSOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED AS APPROVED BY LOCAL

(DETAIL ADAPTED FROM TOWN OF PARKER, COLORADO AND CITY OF AURORA, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD) NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN

NOTE: THE DETAILS INCLUDED WITH THIS FACT SHEET SHOW COMMONLY USED, CONVENTIONAL METHODS OF ROCK SOCK INSTALLATION IN THE DENVER METROPOLITAN AREA. THERE ARE MANY OTHER SIMILAR PROPRIETARY PRODUCTS ON THE MARKET, UDFCD NEITHER NDORSES NOR DISCOURAGES USE OF PROPRIETARY PROTECTION PRODUCTS; HOWEVER, IN THE EVENT PROPRIETARY METHODS ARE USED, THE APPROPRIATE DETAIL FROM THE MANUFACTURER MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE SWMP AND THE BMP MUST BE INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED AS SHOWN IN THE MANUFACTURER'S DETAILS.

Inlet Protection (IP)



CIP-1. CULVERT INLET PROTECTION

CULVERT INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES 1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR

-LOCATION OF CULVERT INLET PROTECTION. 2. SEE ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL FOR ROCK GRADATION REQUIREMENTS AND JOINTING

CULVERT INLET PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON

DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE. 4. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF THE CULVERT SHALL BE REMOVED WHEN THE

SEDIMENT DEPTH IS 1/2 THE HEIGHT OF THE ROCK SOCK.

5. CULVERT INLET PROTECTION SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS PERMANENTLY STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.


(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM AURORA, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

SC-6

Inlet Protection (IP)




BLOCK AND CURB SOCK INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

SEE ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL FOR INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.

2. CONCRETE "CINDER" BLOCKS SHALL BE LAID ON THEIR SIDES AROUND THE INLET IN A SINGLE ROW, ABUTTING ONE ANOTHER WITH THE OPEN END FACING AWAY FROM THE CURB.

3. GRAVEL BAGS SHALL BE PLACED AROUND CONCRETE BLOCKS, CLOSELY ABUTTING ONE ANOTHER AND JOINTED TOGETHER IN ACCORDANCE WITH ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL.



CURB ROCK SOCK INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES 1. SEE ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.

2. PLACEMENT OF THE SOCK SHALL BE APPROXIMATELY 30 DEGREES FROM PERPENDICULAR IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION OF FLOW.

3. SOCKS ARE TO BE FLUSH WITH THE CURB AND SPACED A MINIMUM OF 5 FEET APART. 4. AT LEAST TWO CURB SOCKS IN SERIES ARE REQUIRED UPSTREAM OF ON-GRADE INLETS.

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District

SC-5

RS-3 August 2013

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3

August 2013

APPENDIX D - SWMP CHECKLIST



EL PASO COUNTY STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN CHECKLIST

EPC Project Number: CDR 21-10 Vollmer Babarick Interim Segment

| | Revised: October 2021 | Applicant | EPC |
|------------|--|-----------|-----|
| . <u>S</u> | FORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (in the "Applicant" column specify the page number for each item) | | |
| 1 | Applicant (owner/designated operator), SWMP Preparer, Qualified Stormwater Manager, and Contractor Information. (On cover/title sheet) | Y | |
| 2 | Table of Contents | Υ | |
| 3 | Site description and location to include: vicinity map with nearest street/crossroads description | Υ | |
| 4 | Narrative description of construction activities proposed (e.g., may include clearing and grubbing, temporary stabilization, road grading, utility / storm installation, final grading, final stabilization, and removal of temporary control measures) | Y | |
| 5 | Phasing plan – may require separate drawings indicating initial, interim, and final site phases for larger projects. Provide "living maps" that can be revised in the field as conditions dictate | Y | |
| 6 | Proposed sequence for major activities: Provide a construction schedule of anticipated starting and completion dates for each stage of land-disturbing activity depicting conservation measures anticipated, including the expected date on which the final stabilization will be completed | Y | |
| 7 | Estimates of the total site area and area to undergo disturbance; current area of disturbance must be updated on the SWMP as changes occur | Y | |
| 8 | Soil erosion potential and impacts on discharge that includes a summary of the data used to determine soil erosion potential | Y | |
| 9 | A description of existing vegetation at the site and percent ground cover and method used to determine ground cover | Y | |
| 10 | Location and description of all potential pollution sources including but not limited to: disturbed and stored soils; vehicle tracking; management of contaminated soils; loading and unloading operations; outdoor storage of materials; vehicle and equipment maintenance and fueling; significant dust generating process; routine maintenance activities involving fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, detergents, fuels, solvents, oils, etc.; on-site waste management; concrete truck/equipment washing; dedicated asphalt, concrete batch plants and masonry mixing stations; non-industrial waste such as trash and portable toilets | Y | |
| 11 | Material handling to include spill prevention and response plan and procedures | Y | |
| 12 | Spill prevention and pollution controls for dedicated batch plants | Y | |
| 13 | Other SW pollutant control measures to include waste disposal and off-site soil tracking | Y | |
| 14 | Location and description of any anticipated allowable non-stormwater discharge (ground water, springs, irrigation, discharge covered by CDPHE Low Risk Guidance, etc.) | Y | |
| 15 | Name(s) of ultimate receiving waters; size, type and location of stormwater outfall or storm sewer system discharge | Υ | |
| 16 | Description of all stream crossings located within the project area or statement that no streams cross the project area | Y | |



EL PASO COUNTY STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN CHECKLIST

EPC Project Number: CDR 21-10

| | Revised: October 2021 | Applicant | EPC |
|--------------|---|-----------|-----|
| 17 | SWMP Map to include: | | |
| 17a | construction site boundaries | Υ | |
| 17b | flow arrows to depict stormwater flow directions | Υ | |
| 17c | all areas of disturbance | Υ | |
| 17d | areas of cut and fill | Υ | |
| 17e | areas used for storage of building materials, soils (stockpiles) or wastes | Υ | |
| 17f | location of any dedicated asphalt / concrete batch plants | Υ | |
| 17g | location of all structural control measures | Υ | |
| 17h | location of all non-structural control measures | Υ | |
| 17i | springs, streams, wetlands and other surface waters, including areas that require maintenance of pre-existing vegetation within 50 feet of a receiving water | Υ | |
| 18 | Narrative description of all structural control measures to be used. Modifications to EPC standard control measures must meet or exceed County-approved details | Υ | |
| 19 | Description of all non-structural control measures to be used including seeding, mulching, protection of existing vegetation, site watering, sod placement, etc. | Υ | |
| 20 | Technical drawing details for all control measure installation and maintenance; custom or other jurisdiction's details used must meet or exceed EPC standards | Υ | |
| 21 | Procedure describing how the SWMP is to be revised | Υ | |
| 22 | Description of Final Stabilization and Long-term Stormwater Quality (describe nonstructural and structural measures to control SW pollutants after construction operations have been completed, including detention, water quality control measure etc.) | Υ | |
| 23 | Specification that final vegetative cover density is to be 70% of pre-disturbed levels | Υ | |
| 24 | Outline of permit holder inspection procedures to install, maintain, and effectively operate control measures to manage erosion and sediment | Υ | |
| 25 | Record keeping procedures identified to include signature on inspection logs and location of SWMP records on-site | Υ | |
| 26 | If this project relies on control measures owned or operated by another entity, a documented agreement must be included in the SWMP that identifies location, installation and design specifications, and maintenance requirements and responsibility of the control measure(s) | Y | |
| | Please note: all items above must be addressed. If not applicable, explain why, simply identifying "not applicable" will not satisfy CDPHE requirement of explanation. | | |
| 2. <u>Al</u> | DDITIONAL REPORTS/PERMITS/DOCUMENTS | | |
| а | Grading and Erosion Control Plan (signed) | Υ | |
| b | Erosion and Stormwater Quality Control Permit (ESQCP) (signed) | Υ | |



EL PASO COUNTY STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN CHECKLIST

EPC Project Number: CDR 21-10

| | Revised: October 2021 | Applicant | EPC |
|-------------|---|-----------|-----|
| 3. <u>A</u> | PPLICANT COMMENTS | | |
| а | | | |
| b | | | 1 |
| С | | | |
| 4. <u>C</u> | HECKLIST REVIEW CERTIFICATIONS | | ā — |
| а | Applicant: The Stormwater Management Plan was prepared under my direction and supervision and | Y | |
| b | Review Engineer: The Stormwater Management Plan was reviewed and found to meet the checklist requirements except where otherwise noted or allowed by an approved deviation request. Review Engineer Date | | |