## MEMORANDUM

DATE: November 17, 2017
TO: Kari Parsons/Gilbert LaForce - El Paso County Planning and Community Development
FROM: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon - LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
SUBJECT: Abert Ranch Subdivision
P-17-005 and SP-17-007
Response to Comments Memorandum
LSC \#164890

Following are the LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. responses to the August 4, 2017 El Paso County Development Services Department comments regarding the March 20, 2017 Transportation Memorandum by LSC.

Page 1: Per ECM Table 2-5, minimum centerline radius is 300 ft. Revise or submit a deviation request.

LSC Response: A deviation request is included with this resubmittal.
Page 1: Add PCD File No.: P-17-005 and SP-17-007.
LSC Response: The project numbers have been added.
Page 4: Identify the surfacing (paved?)
LSC Response: This information has been added to the report.
Page 4: Provide a summary for Silver Nell Drive and Albert Drive. The temporary access from Albert Drive to Steppler Road is required. This may need to be the primary access to the lots until the Silver Nell/Albert Drive connection is made. If the Silver Nell/Albert Drive connection is constructed in conjunction with Settlers View Subdivision, then the temporary access will be used as a secondary access for emergency access only. The emergency access will be removed once the Albert Drive/Settlers Ranch Road intersection is constructed.

LSC Response: This information has been added to the report.


Development Services Department
DEVIATION REVIEW
2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

Phone: 719.520.6300
Fax: 719.520.6695
Website www.elpasoco.com

## AND DECISION FORM

Procedure \# R-FM-051-07
Issue Date: 12/31/07
Revision Issued: 00/00/00
DSD FILE NO.:

## General Property Information:

Address of Subject Property (Street Number/Name): 0 Steppler Road
Tax Schedule ID(s) \#: 6100000464
Legal Description of Property: SE4NE4NE4 \& NE4SE4NE4 SEC 23-11-66 \& S2NW4NW4 SEC 24-11-66
Subdivision or Project Name: Abert Ranch

Section of ECM from Which Deviation is Sought: Section 2.3.2 Design Standards by Functional Classification Specific Criteria from Which a Deviation is Sought: Table 2-5 Minimum centerline curve radius for a Rural Local roadway (300').

Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: The applicant is requesting a deviation to allow a 270 -foot centerline curve radius for the proposed Abert Ranch Drive north of Silver Nell Drive (proposed).

Reason for the Requested Deviation: The deviation is needed given the topography and to allow for a connection to Silver Nell Drive to the west (proposed) and the planned future connection to Settlers Ranch Road to the south.

## Applicant Information:

Applicant: Hannigan \& Associates
Email Address: hannigan.and.assoc@gmail.com
Applicant is: $\qquad$ Owner $\qquad$ Consultant $\qquad$ Contractor
Mailing Address: 19360 Spring Valley Road Monument State: CO Postal Code: 80132
Telephone Number: 719-481-8292
Fax Number:481-9071

## Engineer Information:

Engineer: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon, P.E., PTOE
Email Address: jeff@Isctrans.com
Company Name: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
Mailing Address: 545 E Pikes Peak Ave. Suite 210 Col. Springs
Registration Number: 31684
Telephone Number: (719) 633-2868
State: CO Postal Code: 80903
State of Registration: Colorado
Fax Number: (719) 633-5430

## Explanation of Request (Attached diagrams, figures and other documentation to clarify request):

Section of ECM from Which Deviation is Sought: Section 2.3.2 Design Standards by Functional Classification Specific Criteria from Which a Deviation is Sought: Table 2-5 Minimum centerline curve radius for a Rural Local roadway (300').

Proposed Nature and Extent of Deviation: The applicant is requesting a deviation to allow a 270 -foot centerline curve radius for the proposed Abert Ranch Drive north of Silver Nell Drive (proposed). Please refer to attached exhibit.

Reason for the Requested Deviation: The deviation is needed given the topography and to allow for a connection to Silver Nell Drive to the west (proposed) and the planned future connection to Settlers Ranch Road to the south.

Comparison of Proposed Deviation to ECM Standard: Proposed centerline radius is 270 feet. The standard is 300 feet (minimum).

Applicable Regional or National Standards used as Basis: The ECM minimum radii for Urban Local and Urban Local Low Volume roadways are 200 feet and 100 feet respectively.
El Paso County Procedures Manual
Procedure \# R-FM-051-07
Issue Date: 12/31/07
Revision Issued: 00/00/00

## Application Consideration: <br> CHECK IF APPLICATION MEETS CRITERIA FOR <br> CONSIDERATION

$\square$ The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
V Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.

The deviation is needed given the topography on the site and to allow for the planned future connection to Settlers Ranch Road to the south. This parcel is adjacent to Steppler Road. However, no permanent access to Steppler Road is allowed. Interim access to Silver Nell is needed and the alignment of existing Silver Nell at the Grandview Subdivision/proposed Settlers View Subdivision border affects the street layouts in both the Settlers View subdivision and this subdivision. The planned alignment of Settlers Ranch Road also dictates the Abert Ranch Street layout. The site is bordered on the north with Grandview

## JUSTIFICATION

 Subdivision lots.$\square$ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

## If at least one of the criteria listed above is not met, this application for deviation cannot be considered.

## Criteria for Approval:

PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA HAVE BEEN SATISFIED BY THIS REQUEST

The request for a deviation is not based exclusively on financial considerations.

The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.

The deviation is needed given the topography and to allow for a connection to Silver Nell Drive to the west (proposed) and the planned future connection to Settlers Ranch Road to the south.

Given the site/plan-specific conditions, the proposed design with the 270-foot radius will be a comparable design and will be comparable in terms of the quality of improvement. This is due to the length of the street overall, the length on either side of the proposed curve and the anticipated low traffic volumes. The proposed curves are also on a short cul-de-sac street and not a through street.

The proposed centerline radius of 270 feet is 30 feet tighter than the standard 300foot radius. This will not be problematic because 1) the overall length of the street is short; 2) The street length on either side of the proposed curves is short -- about 300 feet between the beginning of the first horizontal curve just north of Silver Nell Drive (which is shown to meet standards at 300 -foot radius) and the planned intersection with Settlers Ranch Road to the south. On the east end of the street, the straight section between the end of the horizontal curve and the center of the cul-de-sac is only 130 feet; and 3) with only traffic generated by seven lots and no through traffic (short cul-de-sac street), the traffic volumes will be low.

The deviation will not affect maintenance as the street radius will be able to accommodate County snow plows and other maintenance vehicles.

The deviation will not affect aesthetic appearance as the street will be comparable to the standard.

## Owner, Applicant and Engineer Declaration:

El Paso County Procedures Manual
Procedure \# R-FM-051-07
Issue Date: 12/31/07
Revision Issued: 00/00/00
DSD File No.

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.
$\overline{\text { Signature of owner (or authorized representative) }} \overline{\text { Date }}$

Signature of applicant (if different from owner)


## Review and Recommendation: <br> APPROVED by the ECM Administrator

Date
This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval. A deviation from Section of ECM is hereby granted based on the justification provided. Comments:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Additional comments or information are attached.

## DENIED by the ECM Administrator

Date
This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval. A deviation from Section of ECM is hereby denied. Comments:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
___ Additional comments or information are attached.

El Paso County Procedures Manual
Procedure \# R-FM-051-07
Issue Date: 12/31/07
Revision Issued: 00/00/00
DSD File No. $\qquad$


LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.
545 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 633-2868

FAX (719) 633-5430
E-mail: Isc@lsctrans.com
Website: http://www.lsctrans.com

Abert Ranch Subdivision<br>Updated Transportation Memorandum PCD File Nos.: P-17-005 and SP-17-007<br>(LSC \#164890)<br>November 17, 2017

## Traffic Engineer's Statement

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.

Jeffrey C. Hodscon, P.E., \#31684

## Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.
545 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 633-2868

FAX (719) 633-5430
E-mail: Isc@Isctrans.com
Website: http://www.lsctrans.com

November 17, 2017
Mr. Jerry Hannigan
Jerome W. Hannigan and Associates, Inc.
19360 Spring Valley Road
Monument, CO 80132

RE: Abert Ranch Subdivision<br>El Paso County, CO<br>PCD File Nos.: P-17-005 \& SP-17-007<br>Updated Transportation Memorandum<br>LSC \#164890

Dear Jerry:
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this updated transportation memorandum for the proposed Abert Ranch subdivision. The site is located generally northwest of the intersection of Hodgen Road and Steppler Road in El Paso County, Colorado. The site's location is shown in Figure 1. Site access would be through adjacent subdivisions as the site is not directly adjacent to Steppler Road. This analysis has been prepared in conjunction with the proposed Settlers View subdivision, which is adjacent to Abert Ranch. LSC has prepared a separate traffic report for Settlers View.

## REPORT CONTENTS

The report contains the following:

- Existing roadway and traffic conditions in the vicinity of the site, including the intersection lane geometries, traffic controls, posted speed limits, functional classifications, intersection spacing and alignment, etc.
- Existing peak-hour turning movement traffic counts and/or estimates of future background traffic volumes at the intersections of:
- Steppler Road at Silver Nell Drive
- Steppler Road at Settler's Ranch Road (future)
- Description of the proposed land use.
- Estimates of the average weekday and peak-hour vehicle-trips to be generated by the site.
- Projected site-generated traffic volumes on roadways and intersections to provide access to the site.
- Analysis of the resulting traffic impacts from the site including the development's relative average daily traffic volume impacts and intersection level of service analysis.
- Findings and recommendations.


## LAND USE AND ACCESS

## Site Land Use and Access

Abert Ranch is a proposed single-family residential subdivision consisting of 10 lots, each a minimum of 2.5 acres. Primary site access would initially be to Steppler Road via a full-use, temporary access easement to Steppler Road through lots 9 and 10. This access would convert to an emergency-vehicle-only access once the Silver Nell Drive access connection to Steppler through the proposed Settlers View and existing Grandview subdivisions is established. A permanent second access to the south will become available in the future via the proposed future extension of Settlers Ranch Road to Steppler Road once constructed by the developer of Settlers Ranch. Once the permanent second access via Setters Ranch Road is established, the temporary access to Steppler through lots 9 and 10 would be removed and the temporary access easement would expire.

## Adjacent Subdivisions - Existing and Proposed

## Settlers View

Settlers View is a proposed single-family residential subdivision consisting of 14 lots, each a minimum of 2.5 acres. The location of the site is shown in Figure 1. Figure 1 also shows the proposed adjacent Abert Ranch site. The existing Grandview subdivision is located to the north of the Settlers View and Abert Ranch sites and the eastern portion of Settlers Ranch is located to the south. The Settlers View site plan/subdivision plat is shown in Appendix Figure 1.

## Settlers Ranch

Settlers Ranch is located south and southeast of the site. Filing 1 to the southeast has been developed. The Settlers Ranch Road extension to Steppler Road will be added with future Filing 2. This future road connection will provide secondary access to both Abert Ranch and this site (via the proposed Abert Ranch subdivision roads).

## Grandview

Grandview is located to the north of the Settlers View and Abert Ranch sites. It is partially developed, but Silver Nell Drive through Grandview has been completed and provides access to Steppler Road. Silver Nell Drive will provide the initial access to both the Settlers View and Abert Ranch subdivisions.

## EXISTING ROADWAY AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

## Area Roadways

Major roadways in the vicinity of the site are summarized below:

State Highway (SH) 83 extends from Colorado Springs north to Parker and areas of southeast Denver. In the vicinity of the site, SH 83 is classified as a Regional Highway (R-A). At this location, SH 83 is a two-lane rural highway with two- to four-foot shoulders and a speed limit of 60 miles per hour (mph). The intersection with Hodgen Road is signalized.

Hodgen Road is a two-lane paved Rural Minor Arterial that extends west from the intersection of Roller Coaster Road/Baptist Road to Eastonville Road. The speed limit on Hodgen Road is generally 55 mph east of SH 83.

Walker Road/SH 105 - Highway 105 west of SH 83 is a Principal Arterial, while Walker Road east of SH 83 is a Collector roadway. Both are currently two-lane roadways, but the Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) shows a future four-lane cross section on SH 105 west of SH 83. The intersection with SH 83 is unsignalized.

The temporary access from Albert Drive to Steppler Road is
Steppler Road is curr required. This may need to be the primary access to the lots until 2014 inventory documt the Silver Nell/Albert Drive connection is made. If the Silver as a Collector. Stepple1 foot-wide paved road b that point. The posted s Nell/Albert Drive connection is constructed in conjunction with Settlers View Subdivision, then the temporary access will be used as a secondary access for emergency access only. The Silver Nell Drive is a p emergency access will be removed once the Albert Drive/Settlers Grandview subdivision Ranch Road intersection is constructed.
feet. Silver Nell Drive i Unresolved. Add a narrative regarding the temporary into the preposed Abe Drive. emergency access and timing for removal. Who is responsible for maintenance in the interim?
AbertlRanch Drive is a proposed paved Rural Local roadway within the proposed Abert Ranch subdivision. The roadway is shown to extend north from the planned Settlers Ranch Road through a planned intersection with the future extension of Silver Nell Drive to its planned terminus as a cul-de-sac.

## Traffic Volumes

Turning movement counts were conducted on Tuesday, August 30, 2016 from 4:00 to 6:00 p.m. and on September 1, 2016 from 6:30 to 8:30 a.m. at the intersection of Steppler Road at Silver Nell Drive. Count reports are attached. Based on these count data, existing morning and evening weekday peak-hour traffic volumes at this intersection are shown in Figure 3. Estimates of the average daily traffic volumes on Steppler Road based on these peak-hour counts are also shown in Figure 3.

## Level of Service

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of congestion or delay at an intersection and is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A is indicative of little congestion or delay. LOS F indicates a high level of congestion or delay. Table 1 shows the level of service delay ranges for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 1: Intersection Levels of Service Delay Ranges

| Level of Service | Signalized Intersections |  | Unsignalized Intersections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Control Delay (seconds per vehicle) | $\mathbf{V} / \mathbf{C}^{(\mathbf{1})}$ | Average Control Delay (seconds per vehicle) ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| A | 10.0 sec or less | less than 0.60 | 10.0 sec or less |
| B | $10.1-20.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.60-0.69 | $10.1-15.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| C | $20.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.70-0.79 | $15.1-25.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| D | $35.1-55.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.80-0.89 | $25.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| E | $55.1-80.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | 0.90-0.99 | $35.1-50.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| F | 80.1 sec or more | 1.00 and greater | 50.1 sec or more |

(1) Source: Transportation Research Circular 212
(2) For unsignalized intersections if V/C ratio is greater than 1.0 the level of service is LOS F regardless of the projected average control delay per vehicle.

The intersection of Steppler Road at Silver Nell Drive has been analyzed in Synchro to determine the current level of service using the unsignalized method of analysis procedures from the Highway Capacity Manual, 2010 Edition. The level of service is "A."

## TRIP GENERATION

Estimates of the vehicle-trips projected to be generated by Abert Ranch have been made using the nationally published trip generation rates from Trip Generation, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2012 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Land use code 210 - Single-Family Detached Housing was categorized using the Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2012 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and used for trip generation estimates. The proposed Abert Ranch subdivision is projected to generate about 95 total vehicle-trips on the average weekday during a 24 -hour period, with about half entering the site and half exiting the site during the evening peak hour. The peakhour trip generation is also summarized. A detailed trip generation estimate for the development, including ITE rates for the proposed land use, is presented in Table 6 (attached).

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

Distribution of the site-generated vehicle-trips to the study area streets and intersections is a necessary component in determining the site's traffic impacts. Figure 4 shows the directional distribution estimate for the site-generated trips. The figure shows the percentages of the site-generated vehicle-trips projected to be oriented to and from the site's major approaches. Estimates were based on the following factors: the proposed land use and access plan, the area street system, and anticipated area future development.

## Site-Generated Traffic

When the directional distribution percentages (from Figure 4) were applied to the trip generation estimates (from Table 6), the site-generated traffic volumes on the adjacent streets were determined. Figure 5 shows the projected site-generated traffic volumes.

## EXISTING VS. EXISTING PLUS SITE-GENERATED TRAFFIC/LOS

## Traffic Volumes

Figure 7 shows the sum of the existing weekday traffic volumes (from Figure 3) and site-generated weekday traffic volumes (from Figure 4). The existing plus site-generated trips identify the site's short-term traffic impacts assuming buildout of all three aforementioned subdivisions. Appendix Figure 2 shows the projected background traffic generated by Settlers View.

## Levels of Service

## Morning Peak Hour

All approaches at the intersection of Steppler Road at Silver Nell Drive currently operate at and are projected to remain at LOS A during the morning peak hour upon site buildout. A summary of projected 2040 background plus site-generated LOS and control delays during the morning peak hour is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Projected Peak-Hour LOS and Control Delays by Intersection (2016 a.m.)

| Intersection | Traffic Control* | Scenario | NBL | EBL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steppler Road @ <br> Silver Nell Dr | TWSC | Existing | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (seconds) <br> Steppler Road @ <br> Silver Nell Dr |  |  |  |  |  | TWSC | Existing | 7.3 | 8.5 |
|  | Existing + Site (short-term) | 7.3 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Evening Peak Hour

All approaches at the intersection of Steppler Road at Silver Nell Drive currently operate at and are projected to remain at LOS A during the evening peak hour upon site buildout. A summary of projected 2040 background plus site-generated LOS and control delays during the morning peak hour is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Projected Peak-Hour LOS and Control Delays by Intersection (2016 p.m.)

| Intersection | Traffic Control* | Scenario | NBL | EBL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOS |  |  |  |  |
| Steppler Road @ Silver Nell Dr | TWSC | Existing | A | A |
|  |  | Existing + Site (short-term) | A | A |
| Control Delay (seconds) |  |  |  |  |
| Steppler Road @ Silver Nell Dr | TWSC | Existing | 7.3 | 8.4 |
|  |  | Existing + Site (short-term) | 7.3 | 8.5 |

## 2040 BACKGROUND VS. 2040 TOTAL TRAFFIC/LOS

## Traffic Volumes

Figure 8 shows the projected 2040 background traffic volumes based on existing turning movement counts (from Figure 3), the historic growth rate, and projected future development. Projected 2040 background plus site-generated weekday traffic volumes are shown in Figure 9. Appendix Figure 3 shows the long-term site-generated traffic volumes for the Abert Ranch and Settlers View subdivisions combined.

## Levels of Service

## Morning Peak Hour

All approaches at the intersections of Steppler Road/Silver Nell Drive and Steppler Road/Settlers Ranch Road are projected to operate at LOS A during the 2040 morning peak hour with and without considering site-generated trips. A summary of projected 2040 background plus sitegenerated LOS and control delays during the morning peak hour is shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Projected Peak-Hour LOS and Control Delays by Intersection (2040 a.m.)

| Intersection | Traffic Control* | Scenario | NBL | EBL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOS |  |  |  |  |
| Steppler Road @ Silver Nell Dr | TWSC | 2040 Background | A | A |
|  |  | 2040 Background + Site | A | A |
| Steppler Road @ Settler's Ranch Rd | TWSC | 2040 Background | A | A |
|  |  | 2040 Background + Site | A | A |
| Control Delay (seconds) |  |  |  |  |
| Steppler Road @ Silver Nell Dr | TWSC | 2040 Background | 7.3 | 8.5 |
|  |  | 2040 Background + Site | 7.3 | 8.6 |
| Steppler Road @ Settlers Ranch Rd | TWSC | 2040 Background | 7.3 | 8.7 |
|  |  | 2040 Background + Site | 7.3 | 8.7 |
| * TWSC = two-way stop-sign control |  |  |  |  |

## Evening Peak Hour

All approaches at the intersections of Steppler Road/Silver Nell Drive and Steppler Road/Settlers Ranch Road are projected to operate at LOS A during the 2040 morning peak hour with and without considering site-generated trips. A summary of projected 2040 background plus site-generated LOS and control delays during the evening peak hour is shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Projected Peak-Hour LOS and Control Delays by Intersection (2040 p.m.)

| Intersection | Traffic Control* | Scenario | NBL | EBL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOS |  |  |  |  |
| Steppler Road @ Silver Nell Dr | TWSC | 2040 Background | A | A |
|  |  | 2040 Background + Site | A | A |
| Steppler Road @ | TWSC | 2040 Background | A | A |
| Settler's Ranch Rd |  | 2040 Background + Site | A | A |
| Control Delay (seconds) |  |  |  |  |
| Steppler Road @ Silver Nell Dr | TWSC | 2040 Background | 7.3 | 8.6 |
|  |  | 2040 Background + Site | 7.3 | 8.6 |
| Steppler Road @ Settler's Ranch Rd | TWSC | 2040 Background | 7.3 | 8.6 |
|  |  | 2040 Background + Site | 7.3 | 8.7 |

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Trip Generation

The proposed Abert Ranch subdivision is projected to generate about 95 new vehicle-trips on the average weekday with about half entering and half exiting the site. The projected morning peakhour trip generation for the site (total "driveway" trips) is two entering and six exiting trips. The projected evening peak-hour trip generation for the site (total "driveway" trips) is six entering and four exiting trips.

## Level of Service Analysis

All levels of service at the intersections analyzed are projected to be "A." Please refer to the Level of Service sections above for detailed findings and results of the intersection level of service analysis.

## Auxiliary Turn Lanes

Neither Silver Nell/Steppler nor Settlers Ranch Road/Steppler will exceed Engineering Criteria Manual thresholds requiring auxiliary left- and right-turn lanes.

## Street Classification

The streets within this proposed subdivision should be classified as Rural Local streets.

## Secondary Access Phasing

This report contains a description of the phasing of secondary access. Please refer to the Site Land Use and Access section of this report for details.

## County Road Improvement Fee Program

This project will need to participate in the County Road Improvement Fee Program.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.
Sincerely,
LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

JCH:JAB:bjwb
Enclosures: Table 6
Figure 1 - Figure 9
Appendix Figure 1 - Appendix Figure 3
Traffic Count Reports
Level of Service Reports

Table 6: Trip Generation Estimate and Comparison

| Lots | ITE Land Use Code | Land Use Description | Value | Units | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  | Total Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average Weekday Traffic | A.M. |  | P.M. |  | Average Weekday Traffic | A.M. |  | P.M. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | In | Out | In | Out |  | In | Out | In | Out |
| Abert Ranch Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-10 | 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 10 | DU (2) | 9.52 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 95 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| Settler's View Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-14 | 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 14 | DU | 9.52 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 133 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 5 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 228 | 5 | 14 | 15 | 9 |

(1) Source: "Trip Generation, 9th Edition, 2012" by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE)
(2) DU = dwelling units



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |










LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., \#210
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado Springs, CO 809@3vame : Steppler Rd - Silver Nell Dr AM

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (719) 633-2868 } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Site Code }
\end{array}: 00164720 \\
& \text { Start Date }: 09 / 01 / 2016 \\
& \text { Page No }: 1
\end{array}
$$

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Steppler Rd From North |  |  |  | From East |  |  |  | Steppler Rd From South |  |  |  | Silver Nell Dr From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |


| 07:00 AM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 30 |


| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Apprch \% | 4.5 | 95.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 0.0 |
| Total \% | 1.9 | 40.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 0.0 |

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., \#210
Colorado Springs, CO 809103lame : Steppler Rd - Silver Nell Dr AM
(719) 633-2868 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site Code }: 00164720 \\ & \text { Start Date }: 09 / 01 / 2016\end{aligned}$

Page No : 2

|  | Steppler Rd From North |  |  |  |  | From East |  |  |  |  | Steppler Rd From South |  |  |  |  | Silver Nell Dr From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Thr | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline \text { Lef } \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \mathrm{ds} \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \mathrm{ht} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline \text { Thr } \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Lef t | Pe ds | App. <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \mathrm{ht} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Thr } \\ \text { u } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Lef t | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \text { ds } \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \mathrm{ht} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Thr u | Lef | Pe ds | App. <br> Total | Int. <br> Total |




LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., \#210
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado Springs, CO 809@3Vame : Steppler Rd - Silver Nell Dr PM

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (719) 633-2868 } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Site Code }
\end{array} \text { :00164720 } \\
\text { Start Date }: 08 / 30 / 2016 \\
\text { Page No }: 1
\end{array}
$$

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | StepplerRd From North |  |  |  | From East |  |  |  | Steppler Rd From South |  |  |  | Silver Nell Dr From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 04:00 PM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 |


| 05 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | 05:00 PM


| Grand Total | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 66 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 5.6 | 94.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 1.5 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 |  |

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
545 E. Pikes Peak Ave., \#210
Colorado Springs, CO 809@3Vame : Steppler Rd - Silver Nell Dr PM
(719) 633-2868 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site Code }: 00164720 \\ & \text { Start Date }: 08 / 30 / 2016\end{aligned}$

Page No : 2

|  | StepplerRd From North |  |  |  |  | From East |  |  |  |  | Steppler Rd From South |  |  |  |  | Silver Nell Dr From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rig } \\ \mathrm{ht} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline \text { Thr } \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Lef t | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \mathrm{ds} \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline \text { Thr } \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Lef t | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \mathrm{ds} \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Thr } \\ \text { u } \end{array}$ | Lef t | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \mathrm{ds} \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \end{gathered}$ | Thr u | Lef t | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pe} \\ & \mathrm{ds} \end{aligned}$ | App. <br> Tota | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |








| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 7 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 1 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 7 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 1 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 8 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 1 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 22 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 22 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized |  | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 24 | 14 | 16 | 14 | 4 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 8 | 3 | 13 | 33 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 8 | 3 | 13 | 33 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 9 | 3 | 14 | 36 | 0 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | r |  |  | -1 | 1 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 5 | 9 | 37 | 26 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 5 | 9 | 37 | 26 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 5 | 10 | 40 | 28 | 2 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 3 | 3 | 48 | 32 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 3 | 3 | 48 | 32 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 3 | 3 | 52 | 35 | 2 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 95 | 36 |  | 37 | 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 36 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 59 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 |  | 4.12 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 |  | 2.218 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 905 | 1037 |  | 1574 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 986 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 964 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 903 | 1037 |  | 1574 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 903 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 986 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 962 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 8.6 |  |  | 0.4 |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1574 | - 1000 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | - 0.004 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.3 | 08.6 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A A | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - 0 | - | - |  |  |  |  |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 4 | 10 | 3 | 15 | 40 | 1 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 4 | 10 | 3 | 15 | 40 | 1 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 11 | 3 | 16 | 43 | 1 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | r |  |  | -1 | 1 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 5 | 9 | 38 | 28 | 3 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 5 | 9 | 38 | 28 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 5 | 10 | 41 | 30 | 3 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 5 | 6 | 45 | 30 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 5 | 6 | 45 | 30 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 3 | 5 | 7 | 49 | 33 | 4 |



