

Kari Parsons

From: Elizabeth Nijkamp
Sent: Wednesday, February 19, 2020 11:03 AM
To: Kari Parsons
Subject: FW: Sterling Ranch Lift station and force main, PPR1763

Please add to the file as comments.

Elizabeth Nijkamp, PE
Engineer Review Manager
719-520-7852

From: Elizabeth Nijkamp
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 5:33 PM
To: 'Virgil Sanchez' <virgils@mscivil.com>
Cc: Darin Moffett <darin@mscivil.com>; jmorley3870@aol.com; Geno Tellez <geno@mscivil.com>; C & C Land <candclandllc@aol.com>
Subject: RE: Sterling Ranch Lift station and force main, PPR1763

Gentlemen,

In the interest of time, I have a couple questions on the CD's:

1. In looking at the CD's I do not see how you get to this site. It shows future Marksheffel Road, but how do you get there with no road? I am assuming that you will follow the install of the line and stay within the limits of disturbance which is about 30' wide? If that is the case, I can add a note to the plans. Let me know and I can do that and you don't need to make any changes.
2. I don't get the mess that we call sheet FM 1.24. This pipe is under the proposed ditch for Meridian given that it is 17.5' in from the ROW line. Reference PCD's comment from the previous revision. I have no way of telling that it will be at the appropriate depth for the future ditch. Can you please tell me how I can figure that out. what will be the finished grade of the FL of the C&G? I can then subtract the ditch depth and the pipe depth to get the amount of cover.
3. On sheet FM1.25, can I assume that the plan view in the top right corner is showing proposed grading of Meridian? If so, it doesn't appear to match what the cross section (width) of a six lane urban section. See comment 2 above and comments on previous submitted plan set. I need to be assured that this works with the proposed ditch.

If we can get these items cleared up I can approve the CD's. I will move onto the GEC tomorrow.

Elizabeth Nijkamp, PE
Engineer Review Manager
719-520-7852

From: Virgil Sanchez <virgils@mscivil.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 4:02 PM
To: Elizabeth Nijkamp <ElizabethNijkamp@elpasoco.com>
Cc: Darin Moffett <darin@mscivil.com>; Kari Parsons <kariparsons@elpasoco.com>; jmorley3870@aol.com; Geno Tellez <geno@mscivil.com>
Subject: Re: Sterling Ranch Lift station and force main, PPR1763

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Sounds good. Kari, Please allow me to upload the SWMP and SWMP checklist.

Virgil A. Sanchez, P.E.

MS Civil Consultants, Inc.
102 E. Pikes Peak, 5th Floor
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
Mail: PO Box 1360
Colorado Springs, CO 80901
719-491-0818 cell

On Tue, Feb 11, 2020 at 3:57 PM Elizabeth Nijkamp <ElizabethNijkamp@elpasoco.com> wrote:

Please do that and Kari will ask for you to resubmit those two documents.

Elizabeth Nijkamp, PE

Engineer Review Manager

719-520-7852

From: Virgil Sanchez <virgils@mscivil.com>

Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 3:54 PM

To: Elizabeth Nijkamp <ElizabethNijkamp@elpasoco.com>

Cc: Darin Moffett <darin@mscivil.com>; Kari Parsons <kariparsons@elpasoco.com>; jmorley3870@aol.com; Geno Tellez <geno@mscivil.com>

Subject: Re: Sterling Ranch Lift station and force main, PPR1763

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the El Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Elizabeth,

We added a list at the end of the SWMP checklist justifying the N/A's, like you said. Same as what we have done in the past. Since this is a pipeline project, it doesn't fit the norm.

We can place the justifications in the SWMP itself, no problem, and place a "check mark" on the checklist.

Virgil A. Sanchez, P.E.

MS Civil Consultants, Inc.

102 E. Pikes Peak, 5th Floor

Colorado Springs, CO 80903

Mail: PO Box 1360

Colorado Springs, CO 80901

719-491-0818 cell

On Tue, Feb 11, 2020 at 3:40 PM Elizabeth Nijkamp <ElizabethNijkamp@elpasoco.com> wrote:

Gentlemen,

In the interest of silencing the squeaky wheel (and getting Chaz some work), I am going to take a look at the plans as listed above.

My first item is that I was going to just double check to make sure everything is in order and I have all the documents.

In looking at the SWMP checklist I see that you have a significant number of NA's and then you have the reasons below that (still in the checklist) explains why you put an NA. the checklist specifically states that you can't have NA in a SWMP checklist. This justification needs to be *in the SWMP report*, and not on the checklist, at that time you can put a check mark on the checklist and not "na".

I am hoping that that is what you did. If not, please make those corrections let Kari know so that she can request that you re-upload the SWMP and revised checklist. In the meantime I will start with the CD's and GEC plan and checklist.

Thanks,

Elizabeth Nijkamp, PE

Engineer Review Manager

Planning and Community Development

El Paso County

719-520-7852

www.elpasoco.com