



**Planning and Community
Development Department**
2880 International Circle
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910
 Phone: 719.520.6300
 Fax: 719.520.6695
 Website www.elpasoco.com

DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM

Updated: 6/26/2019

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name : Trinity Ranch Estates, Filing No. 1

Schedule No.(s) : 3400000455

Legal Description :

**FYI: Number 1 deviation will be approved when
the Designer address Review 1 comments.**

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Company : 20165 Howle Street, LLC

Name : Terry Street

☒ Owner ☐ Consultant ☐ Contractor

Mailing Address : 8576 Via Grwynn, Fair Oaks, CA 95528

Phone Number : 916-849-4134

FAX Number : N/A

Email Address : terrystreet2012@gmail.com

ENGINEER INFORMATION

Company : Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Name : Kevin Kofford, PE

Colorado P.E. Number : 57234

Mailing Address : 2 N. Nevada Avenue Suite 900, Colorado Springs, CO 80903

Phone Number : 719-453-0181

FAX Number :


Email Address : Kevin.kofford@kimley-horn.com

OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.

DocuSigned by:

8/4/2026


 Signature of owner (or authorized representative)
 0FC148C7C89C40D...

Date

Engineer's Seal, Signature
And Date of Signature



DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

A deviation from the standards of or in Section **2.6 Structure Design** of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested.

Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:

ECM Section 2.6.9.G

G. Multiple pipe crossings. Due to maintenance issues, parallel culverts (a.k.a. culvert batteries) are not permitted except in areas where low headwater is unavoidable, areas where approach velocity is supercritical, or in the vicinity of a bend immediately upstream. Refer to Chapter 9 of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual for additional information.

provide an exhibit
showing the proposed
culverts

State the reason for the requested deviation:

A request allow for multiple pipe crossing (i.e. parallel culverts) for Culvert P4 and P7, double 30-inch RCP culverts to allow for the proposed roadway to cross over a shallow and wide natural drainageway.

Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used as basis):

The request proposes allowing for double 30-inch RCP culverts in place of a single larger culvert. Allowing for multiple culverts (parallel culverts) is a standard practice allowed by CDOT and other jurisdictions that allows for flexibility in design for more constraining locations. This multiple pipe crossing proposes to follow the CDOT standards as outlined in Section 9.2.3-Design Features of Chapter 9 of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual. The pipes will be spaced at the minimum spacing identified in the CDOT Standard Detail M-206-1. An exhibit showing the design and location is provided attached to this deviation request.

LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION

(At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)

- ☐ The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation.
- ☒ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- ☐ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

Provide justification:

The following reasons provide justification for why a modified cross section is being requested:

- The existing drainage way is wide and shallow. At the location of the culvert, the drainageway starts to transition from a small concentrated drainageway to an approximate 100' wide natural channel way, in more a sheet flow type condition. Crossing a shallow and wider channel lends to a design with a smaller culvert diameter to avoid large amounts of fill.
- Proposed design maintaining the 1-foot minimum cover over the proposed pipe.
- Without a double barrel, a 60" pipe would be required, causing approx. 1.5' feet of additional fill to the roadway.
- Meet the criteria outlined in the CDOT Drainage Design Manual outlined in Section 9.2.3
- The pipes will be spaced at the minimum spacing identified in the CDOT Standard Detail M-206-1.

2' min. required per
ECM 4.3.6

CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is **not based exclusively on financial considerations**. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with **all of the following criteria**:

The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.

The multiple pipes are design to have the same capacity as the larger single pipe/ culvert. Section 2.6.9.G of the ECM references Chapter 9 of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual, within the section. This design follows the criteria of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual which allows for a multiple pipe application. The pipes will be spaced at the minimum spacing identified in the CDOT Standard Detail M-206-1.

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.

Designs with multiple pipe applications are common and do not adversely affect safety or operations. The multiple pipes are design to have the same capacity as the larger single pipe/ culvert. 30-inch culverts are also large enough to maintain and clear debris without much more difficulty than a 60-inch pipe.

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and cost. The modification doesn't not include any component or features which require specialized maintenance activities and/or equipment.

The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.

The multiple pipe design will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance. Without a multiple pipe design, the roadway would have 1.5 feet of additional fill which would not allow the roadway to blend into the hillside in a balanced cut/fill condition and look aesthetically unpleasing.

The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.

Section 2.6.9.G of the ECM references Chapter 9 of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual, within the section. This design follows the criteria of the CDOT Drainage Design Manual which allows for a multiple pipe application. So, even though the ECM and the CDOT manuals seem to contradict each other, the intent is that multiple barrel/ pipe applications are generally allowed if the conditions listed within the CDOT Drainage Manual are met.

The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.

The proposed design modifications do not change the ability to remain consistent with the control measure requirements of the County MS4 permit. All the runoff from the proposed roadways will be captured and receive water quality treatment provided by an existing detention pond.

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

Approved by the ECM Administrator

This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval. A deviation from Section _____ of the ECM is hereby granted based on the justification provided.

┌

┐

└

┘

Denied by the ECM Administrator

This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval. A deviation from Section _____ of the ECM is hereby denied.

┌

┐

└

┘

ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:

--

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.



**Planning and Community
Development Department**
2880 International Circle
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910
 Phone: 719.520.6300
 Fax: 719.520.6695
 Website www.elpasoco.com

DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM

Updated: 6/26/2019

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name : Trinity Ranch Estates, Filing No. 1

Schedule No.(s) : 3400000455

Legal Description :

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Company : 20165 Howle Street, LLC

Name : Terry Street

☒ Owner ☐ Consultant ☐ Contractor

Mailing Address : 8576 Via Grwynn, Fair Oaks, CA 95528

Phone Number : 916-849-4134

FAX Number : N/A

Email Address : terrystreet2012@gmail.com

ENGINEER INFORMATION

Company : Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Name : Kevin Kofford, PE

Colorado P.E. Number : 57234

Mailing Address : 2 N. Nevada Avenue Suite 900, Colorado Springs, CO 80903

Phone Number : 719-453-0181


FAX Number :

Email Address : Kevin.kofford@kimley-horn.com

OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval.


DocuSigned by:


 Signature of owner (or authorized representative)
0FC148C7C89C40D...

8/4/2026

Date

Engineer's Seal, Signature
And Date of Signature



DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

A deviation from the standards of or in Section **2.6 Structure Design** of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested.

Identify the specific ECM standard which a deviation is requested:

2.3.8.A Roadway Terminations - Cul-de-Sacs

The ECM criteria states that rural cul-de-sacs/non-through-roads shall have a maximum length of 1,600 feet.

per ECm 2.3.8 this deviation will not be considered without an express endorsement from the fire district.

A deviation wouldnt be needed for this as there an emergency access provided serving as the second access.

Explain the proposed deviation:

The proposed deviation: The cul-de-sac/non-through-street lengths proposed would exceed the ECM standard. There are no road connections providing access to this parcel. A secondary access has been provided but the roads are not suitable for the Project.

Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used as basis):

The request is to allow "non-through street" (Trilogy Ct. and Golden Eagle Dr.) with the following length:

- Trilogy Ct. shown in the Preliminary plan has a total length of 1748'. This exceeds the minimum length of a "non-through street".
- Golden Eagle Dr. in the Preliminary Plan has a total length of 943', however, for Filing No. the cul-de-sac length is 2133'.

The deviation would only be needed until:

- Trinity Ranch Dr. is continued to the north and in a developed condition would continue to connect with McDaniels Road, or another road connection is made.
- Filing No. 2 is constructed. Also note that Trinity Ranch Dr. will be graded and maintained as an emergency access.

provide an exhibit of the proposed cul-de-sacs showing the length

LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION

(At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this deviation request to be considered.)

- ☐ The ECM standard is inapplicable to the particular situation.
- ☒ Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- ☐ A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

Provide justification:

The following reasons provide justification for why an extended non-through street" is being requested:

- The property is bounded to the north and to the west by separate ownership and it is not longer possible to continue the through street of Golden Eagle beyond what is shown in the Preliminary Plan
- The proposed length of Trilogy Ct. to the west and to the east will 860' to the west and 788' to the east from the intersection of Trinity Ranch Dr.

CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considered if the request is **not based exclusively on financial considerations**. The deviation must not be detrimental to public safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include supporting information demonstrating compliance with **all of the following criteria**:

The deviation will achieve the intended result with a comparable or superior design and quality of improvement.

- The applicant is proposing to provide an ROW for emergency access as shown in Preliminary Plan during Filing No. 1 and then to be constructed and utilized as a secondary access point during Filing No. 2.
- The deviation would only be needed until the Trinity Ranch Dr. connection north to McDaniel's Rd is established in the future or another road connection is made. The timing of this future connection is unknown but would likely be established as this area continues to develop.

The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations.

Emergency access will be provided during all Filings of the proposed Preliminary Plan.

The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost.

Connection to Golden Eagle Dr. within the neighboring development has been proposed, greatly improving maintenance. All improvements are to be design and constructed to county standards.

The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance.

Aesthetic appearance would not be altered with this deviation as the roads connecting to the site would remain unchanged. The road connections into the site from Raygor would be improved along with the construction of the subdivision roads.

The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards.

- The applicant is proposing to provide an ROW for emergency access as shown in Preliminary Plan during Filing No. 1 and then to be constructed and utilized as a secondary access point during Filing No. 2.
- The deviation would only be needed until the Trinity Ranch Dr. connection north to McDaniel's Rd is established in the future or another road connection is made. The timing of this future connection is unknown but would likely be established as this area continues to develop.

The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, as applicable.

The requested deviation meets control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the MS4 Permit. Grading and Erosion Control Plans and SWMP Report will provide protection of existing conditions and erosion control measures per standards.

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

Approved by the ECM Administrator

This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval. A deviation from Section _____ of the ECM is hereby granted based on the justification provided.

┌

┐

L

└

Denied by the ECM Administrator

This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval. A deviation from Section _____ of the ECM is hereby denied.

┌

┐

L

└

ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.