

## MASTER DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE REPORT FOR MEADOWLAKE RANCH SKETCH PLAN SKP-18-004

NOVEMBER 2018

Prepared for: **NES, INC**. 619 N. CASCADE AVENUE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80907 719-471-0073

Prepared by: **TERRA NOVA ENGINEERING, INC 721 S. 23<sup>RD</sup> STREET** COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80904 (719) 635-6422

Job No. 1822.00

### DRAINAGE REPORT STATEMENT

## **Design Engineer's Statement**

This attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

L DUCETT, P.E. 32339

Seal

## **Developers** Statement

I, \_\_\_\_\_\_ the developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

Business Name

By:	 	 	
Title:	 	 	
Address:			_

El Paso County Approval:

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 & 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Jennifer Irvine,	
County Engineer / ECM Administrator	

Date

Conditions:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS:

PURPOSEPage	e 4
GENERAL DESCRIPTIONPage	e 4
EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONSPage	e 5
DEVELOPED DRAINAGE CONDITIONSPage	e 9
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTSPage	11
MDDP CONFORMANCEPage	11
FOUR STEP PROCESSPage	12
DETENTION FACILITIESPage	12
HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONSPage	12
FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT	13
DRAINAGE/BRIDGE FEESPage	13
SUMMARYPage	13
REFERENCESPage	14

## APPENDICES

VICINITY MAP SOILS MAP F.E.M.A. MAP EXHIBITS HYDROLOGIC / DETENTION CALCULATIONS DRAINAGE AREA MAPS

#### PURPOSE

This document is a Master Development Drainage Plan for the Meadowlake Ranch Sketch Plan. The purpose of this report is to schematically address on-site and off-site drainage patterns as discussed and approved within the Master Development Drainage Plan (MDDP) for Meadowlake Ranch and provide general methods to handle these flows based on the Sketch Plan via on-site detention and possible channel improvements in order to limit any flows released off-site to historic levels or less. This report will remain in general compliance with the El Paso County Drainage Criteria and will be followed up with a Preliminary Drainage Report submitted in conjunction with any Preliminary Plan submittals. Thus, some County review was completed on this report but no approval was required for the Sketch Plan approval.

#### **GENERAL DESCRIPTION**

The Meadowlake Ranch (Sketch Plan) site is located at the northwest corner of State Highway 24 and Judge Orr Road. The site is bounded on the north by Bandanero Drive and on the northwest by Eastonville Road. To the west are properties in the Woodmen Hills Filing No. 10 subdivision and to the northeast are properties owned by Distinctive Marine Company. Judge Orr Road and State Highway 24 form the southern and eastern borders, respectively. The El Paso County's Rock Island Trail runs between the eastern property line and State Highway 24.

Include acreage of the overall site included in the sketch plan

The primary site influences affecting the proposed land use are the Meadow Lake Airport and the ponds/wetlands within the property. To mitigate the impact of air traffic, industrial land use is proposed for the south-central portion of the site nearest the airport. To the west of this industrial area, urban residential land use is proposed to be served by the Woodmen Hills Metropolitan District. To the south of the urban residential area, a frontage of commercial land use is proposed along Judge Orr Road to its intersection with State Highway 24. For the balance of the property, north and east of the industrial area, rural residential land use with well and septic systems is proposed and will be accessed via Bandanero Drive.

Within the proposed urban residential area the existing ponds/wetlands will form part of an open space. The ponds/wetlands are fed by a spring in the northeast portion of the property next to the old railroad right of way along Eastonville Road. The system of ponds within the wetlands, running generally north to south, was excavated by horse-drawn equipment in the early 1900s and the ponds

were reportedly connected by pipes and French drains. The ponds/wetlands are situated along the high ground between the Bennett and Haegler basins and form an independent basin within the property.
 See comment on proposed drainage map. concerning the isolated Wetland area.

Soils for this project are delineated by the S.C.S. "El Paso County Area Soil Survey" as Columbine (gravelly sandy loam) and Stapleton (sandy loam) with Hydrologic Group designations of A and B, respectively (see Appendix). The majority of the site is covered with native grasses with a greater variety of vegetation along the natural drainage ways and in the wetland areas.

#### **EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS**

The boundary between the Bennett Ranch Basin and the Haegler Ranch Basin runs generally north to south along the eastern edge of the ponds/wetlands area on the property. Therefore, roughly the western third of the property is subject to the Bennett Ranch Pilot Project, Drainage Basin Planning Study, (Stormwater & Environmental Consultants, November 2001) and the remaining eastern portion is subject to the Haegler Ranch Basin, Drainage Basin Planning Study, (URS, May 2009).

The Bennett Ranch DBPS recommended regional detention ponds, roadway culvert upgrades, and channel improvements be used to mitigate flooding in and downstream of the Bennett Ranch Basin as the basin is developed. The regional detention ponds, located in the upper reaches of the basin, are required to release at 80% of existing flow values to reduce peak flows downstream. The improvements noted in the Bennett Ranch DBPS in the area of Meadowlake Ranch are in place (see Exhibit in the Appendices). The 24" pipe culvert under Judge Orr Road (Design Point 1) was not addressed in the report. The 24 inch pipe is evaluated for ? and shown on page?

The Haegler Ranch DBPS recommended subregional detention ponds, roadway culvert upgrades, and channel improvements be used to mitigate flooding in and downstream of the Haegler Ranch Basin. The purpose of the detention basins is to limit peak discharges to existing levels and are to be installed as the basin is developed. One of the proposed subregional detention ponds (SR-2) is identified in the Haegler Ranch DBPS as located in the Meadowlake Ranch Basin EX-3 (Design Point 3). The basin is shown as providing 5 ac-ft of storage with a release value of Q100= 250 cfs (see Exhibit in the Appendices). There are no channel improvements recommended in the Haegler

Ranch DBPS for the Meadowlake Ranch property and the downstream crossing at State Highway 24 is considered adequate.

Existing drainage from the Meadowlake Ranch (Sketch Plan) site is generally from northwest to southeast by way of existing natural drainage swales. The outfall channel from the Bennett Ranch Regional Detention Pond that regulates the developed areas to the northwest of the site runs just outside the western property line. The Bennet Ranch DBPS listed the channel segment running south from Eastonville Road to Judge Orr Road along the west side of the Meadowlake Ranch property (hereafter, the Eastonville Channel) as deficient and recommended the channel be improved to carry the outflow from the then proposed Bennett Ranch Regional Detention Pond located on the north side of Eastonville Road. The natural channel was left mostly intact to preserve wetlands, but the flow capacity of the drainage way was improved by constructing an adjacent trapezoidal channel approximately 2600 ft long at a slope of 1% having a low flow channel 24 ft wide by 1 ft deep within a main channel set at 65 ft wide with drop structures every 800 ft (Eastonville Channel Improvement, Woodmen Hills Metro/GTL Development, JDS-Hydro Consultants, August 2005). Per the Final Drainage And Erosion Control – Woodmen Hills Filing No. 11 (URS, November 2002), the major storm design flow for the Eastonville Channel is 1164 cfs. At a depth of 2.5 feet the capacity of the trapezoidal channel, not including the adjacent natural channel, is approximately 1171 cfs. At that depth the drainage way's eastern bank is not overtopped (see Exhibit in the Appendices). The slope of the Meadowlake Ranch property drains away from the eastern bank of the Eastonville Channel, making the channel bank the drainage boundary and essentially separating the runoff in the channel, which flows south, from the runoff on the property, which drains east. Therefore, existing runoff from the Meadowlake Ranch site does not contribute to Bennett Ranch Regional Detention Pond outflows and runoff from the proposed conditions will be routed away from that conveyance route as well.

In addition, the Bennett Ranch DBPS recommended that the existing dual 36" pipe culverts under Judge Orr Road be replaced with a box culvert 30 ft wide by 7 ft high. However, at the time of this report, the flow from the Eastonville Channel is conveyed under Judge Orr Road via a recently constructed double 12' x 8' box culvert which has replaced the interim triple 72" CMP culverts put in place due do a roadway washout. The LOMR dated September 2008, which covers this drainage channel from just north of Judge Orr Road southward to Highway 24, indicates that Judge Orr Road Call out who is responsible for improvements to this "natural" trapezoidal channel. Would Meadowlake Ranch be responsible for a some of these improvements as they develop next to this channel?

is overtopped by the 100 year flood at the crossing and to the west of the crossing. The Meadowlake Ranch property is minimally affected by the overtopping of Judge Orr Road.

The existing ponds/wetlands area contains five standing water ponds that have been in existence since the early 1900s and are fed by a spring and runoff from the immediately surrounding area. The downstream berms for the ponds are well vegetated and stable with no discernable outlet structures. As a consequence, the area is not considered to be contributing to the runoff from the property (see Exhibit in the Appendices). Should future development increase runoff to the ponds/wetlands area or if the ponds/wetlands are eliminated for development, then the appropriate hydrologic, hydraulic, and geotechnical analyses for this area must be included in the future Drainage Report. Please account for the 63 acres as shown on the existing drainage plan as "wetland area"; there is not enough information included in this MDDP to support that both the 5 yr and 100 yr storms completely infiltrate for this area.

The Rock Island Trail running outside the eastern property line intercepts runoff from the site rather than allowing it to flow directly into the drainage ways along State Highway 24.

Concentrated runoff enters the site at two locations along its boundary with Bandanero Drive draining Basins OS-1 and OS-2. Per the approved design in the Final Drainage Report for 4 Way Ranch Phase 1 – Filing No. 1, (JR Engineering, March 2006) the developed flows for Basin OS-1 (118 ac) are Q5= 16 cfs and Q100= 119.0 cfs. Flow passes under Bandanero Drive via dual 36" RCP culverts. As designed, the allowable headwater elevation is 6932.40 and the computed headwater elevation for Q100 is 6932.34. A visit to the site has indicated that the pipe culverts are silted in with cattails and grasses. Should the pipe culverts not perform as designed, the flow would divert into the ditch running east along the north side of the roadway and end up at the pipe culvert conveying flow from Basin OS-2 under Bandanero Drive.

Per the approved design in the Final Drainage Report for 4 Way Ranch Phase 1 – Filing No. 1, (JR Engineering, March 2006), the developed flows for Basin OS-2 (13.4 ac) are Q5= 11.3 cfs and Q100= 25.5 cfs. Flow passes under Bandanero Drive via a 30" RCP culvert (inlet elevation 6915.31). As designed, the allowable headwater elevation is 6918.00 and the computed headwater elevation for Q100 is 6917.50. The maximum allowable headwater elevation without overtopping the roadway is 6918.80 and the capacity of the culvert under that headwater depth (assuming inlet control with HW/D= 1.4) is 33 cfs, which provides some extra capacity should any flow from Basin

OS-1 be diverted to Basin OS-2 (see Exhibit in the Appendices). In any case, should the roadway overtop at either location, the flow would continue on to Design Point 3 as before.

The topography as shown in the Final Drainage Report for 4 Way Ranch Phase I – Filing No. 1 indicates a swale ditch along the property line at northeast corner of the Meadowlake Ranch site that directs flow toward the east. Also, the topography as shown in the Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Report for Four Way Ranch, (JR Engineering, March 2005) indicates that along the eastern boundary of the property the ground slopes southeast away from Meadowlake Ranch.

The hydrologic data taken from the Final Drainage Report for 4 Way Ranch Phase 1 – Filing No. 1, (JR Engineering, March 2006) for basins OS-1 and OS-2 is used in the hydrologic modeling for Meadowlake Ranch.

The runoff leaving the property is conveyed offsite at one of three locations. The first location is Design Point 1 where runoff from basin EX-1 passes through a 24" CMP under Judge Orr Road near its intersection with State Highway 24. This point drains approximately the western third of the site and encompasses range land, wetland area and the ranch house. No offsite areas contribute to this runoff. The total drainage area for EX-1 is 55.7 acres, producing runoff values of Q5=4.0 cfs and Q100= 29.1 cfs for existing conditions. The headwater to depth ratio for a 24" pipe under inlet control is HW/D= 0.6 for the 5 year event and HW/D= 3.0 for the 100 year event (see Exhibit in the Appendices). At HW/D=3.0, Judge Orr Road would be overtopped. Therefore, the 24" pipe culvert may need to be upgraded. However, the downstream drainage way for Design Point 1 will need to be further studied in future Drainage Reports to assess the impact to Design Point 1 because just downstream of Judge Orr Rd the flow goes east under Rock Island Trail via a 42" CMP and into the ditch area between the trail and State Highway 24. The flow then passing southeast under Highway 24 is restricted by an 18" opening on the inlet end of a 10'x5' box culvert. Presumably, the runoff would pond between the State Highway 24 and Rock Island Trail, as well as the area between Rock Island Trail and Judge Orr Road. Potentially, the ponding could also extend to the north side of Judge Orr Road (see Exhibit in Appendices).

The second location is Design Point 2 where runoff from basin EX-2 passes through a 20" iron pipe under the Rock Island Trail and enters the public drainage way adjacent to State Highway 24. EX-2 encompasses a small area in the southern part of the site and includes range land and some ranch buildings. No offsite areas contribute this runoff. There are areas where the runoff from EX-2 ponds along Rock Island Trail, but the grade breaks are such that the flow would make its way to Design Point 2 before overtopping the trail. In addition, runoff likely ponds between Rock Island Trail and State Highway 24 to some degree as well. The total drainage area for EX-2 is 24.0 acres, producing runoff values of Q5= 2.3 cfs and Q100= 15.5 cfs for existing conditions.

The third location is Design Point 3 where runoff from basin EX-3 passes through dual 66" CMPs under State Highway 24 at the southeast corner of the site. Design Point 3 drains the northeastern portion of the property, including runoff from the offsite conveyances at Bandanero Drive (OS-1 & OS-2). The drainage area for EX-3, made up of primarily range land, is 168.9 acres, not including the offsite contributing areas, and produces runoff values of Q5= 13.6 cfs and Q100= 102.1 cfs for existing conditions. The combined drainage area for basins OS-1, OS-2 and EX-3 is 300.4 acres producing existing condition flows of Q5=41.1 cfs and Q100= 247.0 cfs. The headwater to depth ratio for the dual 66" pipes under inlet control is HW/D< 0.5 for the 5 year event and HW/D= 0.85 for the 100 year event; therefore, the system is adequate (see Exhibit in the Appendices). This is also the finding of the Haegler Ranch DBPS.

Changes in the 4 Way Ranch Drainage Plan to the north of the Meadowlake Ranch site could affect the drainage design of Meadowlake Ranch as given in this report and should be considered in future drainage reports for this site.

#### DEVELOPED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

This MDDP is schematically addressing on-site and off-site drainage patterns for the developed conditions of this site. The individual Preliminary and Final Drainage Report(s) will better define developed flows within each basin to determine curb capacity/at-grade inlet requirements and specific sump inlet sizing based on flows for developed conditions.

Basin PR-1 will largely encompass the area addressed in EX-1. The proposed land uses for this area include urban residential, commercial, wetlands and open space. The total drainage area for PR-1 is

77.9 acres, producing runoff values of Q5= 114.4 cfs and Q100= 234.2 cfs for developed conditions. All runoff will be conveyed to Design Point 1 located near the intersection of Judge Orr Road and State Highway 24. Full Spectrum Detention will be provided at Design Point 1 by Pond-1 and proposed outflows will not exceed the flows for existing conditions. Please also comment on the 24 inch storm sewer under Judge Orr. either indicate there will be over detention to address it or that it will be up graded. DP-1: Pond 1 – Preliminary Sizing (Full Spectrum Detention)

Required WQCV = 1.270 ac-ft Required EURV = 4.035 ac-ft Required 100-Yr Detention Volume = 7.215 ac-ft Approximate size: L= 350 ft, W= 175 ft, D=4.5 ft Existing Flow at DP1:  $Q_5 = 4.0 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 29.1 \text{ cfs}$ Proposed Inflow at DP1:  $Q_5 = 114.4 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 234.2 \text{ cfs}$ Proposed Outflow at Pond-1:  $Q_5 = 4.0 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 29.1 \text{ cfs}$ Concrete Riser Box elevation = TBD Max. 100 yr. WSE = TBD

Basin PR-2 encompasses the areas addressed in EX-2 and EX-3 by eliminating Design Point 2 and routing all the runoff for the proposed conditions to Design Point 3. The proposed land uses for this area include industrial, commercial, urban residential and rural residential. The drainage area for PR-2 is 206.9 acres, not including the offsite basins, and produces runoff values of Q5= 253.6 cfs and Q100= 553.8 cfs for developed conditions. The combined flows for PR-2, OS-1, and OS-2 for the developed conditions are Q5= 275.5 cfs and Q100=714.3 cfs.

At Design Point 3 the water quality needs for the combined area of basins PR-2, OS-1, and OS-2 for the proposed conditions will be addressed by Pond 2. The release flows for Pond-2 will be at or below the values for the existing conditions; therefore, the downstream drainage way at this location will not be affected by the elimination of Design Point 2.

#### DP-3: Pond 2 – Preliminary Sizing (Water Quality and Detention)

Required WQCV = 3.491 ac-ft Required EURV = 3.968 ac-ft Required 100-Yr Detention Volume = 16.667 ac-ft Approximate size: L= 550 ft, W= 275 ft, D=4.5 ftExisting Flow at DP3: $Q_5 = 41.1 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 247.0 \text{ cfs}$ Proposed Inflow at DP3: $Q_5 = 275.5 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 714.3 \text{ cfs}$ Proposed Outflow at Pond-2: $Q_5 = 41.1 \text{ cfs}, Q_{100} = 247.0 \text{ cfs}$ Concrete Riser Box elevation = TBDPlease call out how the proposed pond 2<br/>design includes the detention requirements<br/>of the regional pond SR - 02 per the Haeglar<br/>Ranch DBPS.

The drainage way for Design Point 2 as it proceeds downstream from State Highway 24 is an open field lacking a well-defined channel. Rerouting the runoff to Design Point 3 will not cause any hydraulic impact downstream of Design Point 2; however, there is a potential water rights issue stemming from the rerouting of the runoff which should be addressed in future Drainage Reports and a Deviation Request may be necessary. An alternative to rerouting the runoff from Design Point 2 would be to leave the drainage pattern as it exists and provide a detention pond at Design Point 2 that would provide water quality control and maintain post-development runoff at existing

levels. Please state: "The existing dual 60 inch culverts under Highway 24 have capacity for these proposed flows."

#### CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS

Neither the Bennett Ranch DBPS nor the Haeglar Ranch DBPS recommends channel improvements within the Meadowlake Ranch project site. However, any needed channel improvements due to the developed conditions will likely be phased based on Final Platting. Channel improvement design will also be presented with each individual Final Plat. The specific areas where the natural channels are either too shallow or incised, improvements will be provided to handle the developed flows and control velocities. Probable improvements in such areas may include but not be limited to the following: minor grade control structures, weirs, vegetation enhancements and varying channel widths. Detailed design of these natural channel corridors will be further presented in the final drainage report(s).

#### MDDP CONFORMANCE

This proposed MDDP, from a drainage standpoint, follows the general scheme of the Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Report for Four Way Ranch, (JR Engineering, March 2005).

#### FOUR STEP PROCESS

As part of a Low Impact Design approach, the existing ponds/wetlands in the western portion of the site will be retained as open space. In addition, the wetlands along the natural drainage ways in the eastern portion of the site will also be retained, some as part of a buffer zone between industrial and residential land uses and the remainder left in-situ and avoided by building sites. The Four Step Process to minimize the adverse effects of urbanization is addressed as follows:

<u>Reduce Runoff</u> – To promote infiltration where possible, runoff will be routed across grass buffers (MDCIA) and grass swales will be used to convey surface flow.

<u>Treat and Slowly Release WQCV</u> – Two EDBs are proposed that will provide water quality treatment for the site.

<u>Stabilize Stream Channels</u> – Existing stable channels receiving runoff from the development will receive no increase in flow due to the development. Existing channels within the development will be stabilized as needed and new channels will be designed for stability.

<u>Source Controls</u> – The site includes areas designated for commercial and industrial land use. Therefore, site specific source control practices should be implemented as appropriate to the land usage at the time of construction to protect the receiving waters.

#### **DETENTION FACILITIES**

All on-site detention facilities will be designed to accommodate the required full spectrum Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) as described by the Denver Urban Drainage and Flood Control District. These facilities are proposed to be publically owned and maintained by a District. The onsite developed outflows from the detention facilities will be limited to existing levels.

Sizing and placement of the detention facilities are based on the preliminary calculations contained in the Appendix. Additional design information related to exact size, location, and outlet structure design will be provided for each facility within the final drainage report(s) on an as platted basis.

#### HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Hydrologic calculations were performed using the City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, dated May 2014. The overall drainage basin design was calculated using HEC-HMS 4.2 and the SCS methodology described in the Drainage Criteria Manual. Hydrologic Soil Group B was used for all calculations to be consistent with the procedures used in the Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Report for Four Way Ranch, (JR Engineering, March 2005) and the Final Drainage Report for 4 Way Ranch Phase 1 – Filing No. 1, (JR Engineering, March 2006).

### FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT

The LOMR dated September, 2008 for Map No. 08041C575 F indicates that a small portion of the southwest corner of the Meadowlake Ranch site may fall within the FEMA 100-year floodplain.

### **DRAINAGE & BRIDGE FEES**

Portions of this site are within both the Bennett Ranch and Haegler Ranch Drainage Basins. The Drainage and Bridge Fees are as follows:

Basin Name	Drainage Fee (per Imp. Acre)	Bridge Fee (per Imp. Acre)
Bennett Ranch	\$10,832	\$4,155
Haegler Ranch	\$9,676	\$1,428

These fees will be calculated according to which basin the developed properties lie within at time of Final Platting using the impervious acreage method approved by El Paso County.

### SUMMARY

This proposed development remains consistent with the previously approved reports. All detention facilities will be designed to release at or below existing rates. The proposed development will not adversely impact surrounding developments.

PREPARED BY:

Terra Nova Engineering, Inc.

L Ducett, P.E. President 1822.00/DRAINAGE/MDDP Report Items/182200mddp.doc

#### REFERENCES

- 1. City of Colorado Springs/County of El Paso Drainage Criteria Manual, dated May 2014.
- 2. Master Development Drainage Plan Bennett Ranch (URS, August 2000).
- 3. Bennett Ranch Pilot Project, Drainage Basin Planning Study, (Stormwater & Environmental Consultants, November 2001).
- 4. Final Drainage And Erosion Control Woodmen Hills Filing No. 11 (URS, November 2002).
- 5. Master Development Drainage Plan and Preliminary Drainage Report for Four Way Ranch, (JR Engineering, March 2005).
- 6. Final Drainage Report for 4 Way Ranch Phase 1 Filing No. 1, (JR Engineering, March 2006).
- 7. Eastonville Channel Improvement, Woodmen Hills Metro / GTL Development, (JDS-Hydro Consultants, August 2005).
- 8. Haegler Ranch Basin, Drainage Basin Planning Study, (URS, May 2009).

**APPENDICES** 

VICINITY MAP



SOILS MAP





SOILS MAP

Not to Scale

F.E.M.A MAP







Not to Scale

## **EXHIBITS**

Bennett Ranch DBPS Plans Haegler Ranch DBPS Plans Eastonville Channel Improvements Meadowlake Ranch Pond/Wetland Areas Bandanero Drive – HW/D for 30" Pipe Culvert at Basin OS-2 Judge Orr Road – HW/D for 24" Pipe Culvert at Basin EX-1 Design Point 1 Downstream Drainage Way State Highway 24 – HW/D for Dual 66" Pipe Culverts at Basin EX-3 Sourced from Bennett Ranch Pilot Project, Drainage Basin Planning Study, (Stormwater & Environmental Consultants, November 2001). Highlighted areas are in the vicinity of the Meadowlake Ranch project site.









Q100	250 CFS
Q2	3 CFS
POND VOLUME AC FT	5
BERM WIDTH	10 <b>'</b>
SIDESLOPES	8:1



## **Eastonville Channel Capacity (depth = 2.5 ft)**

#### Q100 = 1164 cfsEXISTING CUT AREA (typ) GROUND WEST CATCH 8:1 SLOPE PROPOSED CHANNEL EXISTING TRICKLE CHANNEL 24.0' LOW FLOW NO-CONSTRUCTION AREA CHANNEL BOTTOM (TYP) EAST CATCH 10:1 SLOPE TYPICAL CHANNEL DETAIL SECTION EASTONVILLE ROAD Low Flow Channel Z1:1 (side slopes, ft) 8 **Ó** COUNTY ZONE Z<sub>2</sub>:1 (side slopes, ft) 8 24 **DRATED AREAS** w (bottom width, ft) А d (depth, ft) 1 80059 Area (sqft) 40.00 Eastonville Wetted Perimeter (ft) 40.12 Channel Improvements AREA RE BY LOMB **Above Low Flow Channel** MARCH 19, 2004 SOUTHERN Z<sub>1</sub>:1 (side slopes, ft) 10 2 butary to Z<sub>2</sub>:1 (side slopes, ft) 8 Squirrel REVISED w (bottom width, ft) 65 est Fork d (depth, ft) 1.5 AREA 🕉 Area (sqft) 124.50 ınch Baśin Wetted Perimeter (ft) 83.25 0 AKE Area = 40.00 + 124.50 = 164.50 sqft Wetted Perimeter = 40.12 + 83.25 - 40.00 = 83.37 ft JUDGE ORR ROAD Channel at 2.5 ft deep n (mannings) 0.033 ANI LOMR dated s (channel slope, ft/ft) 0.01 September 2008 Area (sqft) 164.50 for FIRM Wetted Perimeter (ft) 83.37 08041C0575 Velocity (fps) 7.1 /24 Q(cfs)1171.1 > 1164 cfs ZONE DRIVE



#### Single 30" Pipe Culvert under Bandanero Drive (Basin OS-2) Square Edge with Headwall Maximum allowable HW/D = 1.4



**CHART 1B** 

BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS JAN. 1963

WITH INLET CONTROL

Single 24" Pipe Culvert under Judge Orr Road (Design Point 1) Q5= 4.0 cfs, Q100= 29.1 cfs Projecting End



BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS JAN. 1963

WITH INLET CONTROL



Dual 66" Pipe Culverts under Highway 24 (Design Point 3) Total Flow: Q5= 41.1 cfs, Q100= 247.0 cfs (Q5= 20.6 cfs per culvert, Q100= 123.5 cfs per barrel)



BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS JAN. 1963

HYDROLOGIC/DETENTION CALCULATIONS

## DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS SUMMARY TABLE 1 Meadowlake Ranch

## **Rainfall/Runoff - SCS METHOD**

### **Basin Parameters and Results - Existing Conditions**

Basin	Aı	rea	CN % Imp.		T <sub>c</sub>		Т	lag	Q5	Q100
Name	acres	sq mi	weighte	weighted values		min	hr	min	cfs	cfs
EX-1	55.7	0.087	61	0	1.06	64	0.64	38	4.0	29.1
EX-2	24.0	0.038	62	0	0.88	53	0.53	32	2.3	15.5
EX-3	168.9	0.264	61	0	0.86	52	0.52	31	13.6	102.1
OS-1	118.3	0.185	62	2	0.35	21	0.21	13	24.4	144.2
OS-2	13.2	0.021	65	12	0.32	19	0.19	11	7.3	24.3

## **Basin Parameters and Results - Proposed Conditions**

Basin	Aı	rea	CN % Imp. weighted values		J	- c	Т	lag	Q5	Q100
Name	acres	sq mi			hr	min	hr	min	cfs	cfs
PR-1	77.9	0.122	77	44	0.42	25	0.25	15	114.4	234.2
PR-2	206.9	0.323	74	36	0.46	28	0.27	16	253.6	553.8
OS-1	118.3	0.185	62	2	0.35	21	0.21	13	24.4	144.2
OS-2	13.2	0.021	65	12	0.32	19	0.19	11	7.3	24.3

## DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS SUMMARY TABLE 2 Meadowlake Ranch

### **Reach Routing Paramters - MUSKINGUM-CUNGE METHOD**

			Manning	Bottom	Side	
Reach Name	length	Slope	s n	Width	Slopes	Description
Reach Name	ft	ft/ft		ft	Z:1	Description
Thru EX-3	2850	0.0168	0.025	0	30	existing grassy swale
Thru PR-2	2155	0.016	0.025	10	4	proposed trapezoidal channel

#### **Reach Routing Results - Existing Conditions**

Design	Area	Q5	Q100	Notos
Point	sq mi	cfs	cfs	Notes
1	0.087	4.0	29.1	No routing - Basin EX-1 only
2	0.038	2.3	15.5	No routing - Basin EX-2 only
3	0.470	41.1	247.0	Basins OS-1, OS-2 & EX-3

#### **Reach Routing Results - Proposed Conditions**

Design	Area	Q5	Q100	Notes								
Point	sq mi	cfs	cfs	notes								
1	0.122	114.4	234.2	No routing - Basin PR-1 only								
2		-		Area included in PR-2								
3	0.526	275.5	714.3	Basins OS-1, OS-2 & PR-2								

## SCS METHOD - CN & Percent Impervious Meadowlake Ranch

		WEIGHTED	UNDEVI	ELOPED	COMMI	COMMERCIAL		RANCH or INDUSTRIAL		URBAN RES.		L RES.			
BASIN	TO	ГAL	CN	AREA	CN	AREA	CN	AREA	CN	AREA	CN	AREA	CN	NOTES	
	(Acres)	(sq mi)	CN	UN	(Acres)		(Acres)		(Acres)		(Acres)		(Acres)		
EX-1	55.7	0.087	61	55.7	61										
EX-2	24.0	0.038	62	23.0	61			1.0	74					Ranch	
EX-3	168.9	0.264	61	168.9	61										
PR-1	77.9	0.122	77			19.4	92			58.5	72				
PR-2	206.9	0.323	74			7.1	92	62.7	88	42.2	72	94.9	65	Industrial	
OS-1*	118.3	0.185	62	99.0	61							19.3	65		
OS-2*	13.2	0.021	65									13.2	65.00		

		WEIGHTED	UNDEV	ELOPED	COMMI	COMMERCIAL		RANCH or INDUSTRIAL		N RES.	RURAL RES.			
BASIN	TO	ГAL	0/ Imm	AREA	% Imp	AREA	% Imp	AREA	% Imp	AREA	% Imp	AREA	% Imp	NOTES
	(Acres)	(sq mi)	% mp	(Acres)		(Acres)		(Acres)		(Acres)		(Acres)		
EX-1	55.7	0.087	0	55.7	0									
EX-2	24.0	0.038	0	24.0	0									
EX-3	168.9	0.264	0	168.9	0									
PR-1	77.9	0.122	44			19.4	85			58.5	30			
PR-2	206.9	0.323	36			7.1	85	62.7	72	42.2	30	94.9	12	Industrial
OS-1*	118.3	0.185	2	99.0	0							19.3	12	
OS-2*	13.2	0.021	12									13.2	12	

\* Basin areas and CNs are from the MDDP Four Way Ranch and the FDR for 4 Way Ranch Filing No. 1

BASIN: EX-1

T <sub>c</sub>		T <sub>lag</sub>	
( <b>h</b> r)	(min)	( <b>h</b> r)	(min)
1.06	64	0.64	38

OVERLAND FLOW						
n P <sub>2</sub> Length Height Slope T <sub>i</sub>						
	(in)	( <i>ft</i> )	( <i>ft</i> )	(ft/ft)	( <b>h</b> r)	
n from Table 6-11: dense grasses						
0.24 1.19 300 7.0 0.023 0.88						

SHALLOW CONC. FLOW						
Length Slope Velocity T <sub>t</sub>						
( <i>ft</i> )	(%)	(fps)	( <i>hr</i> )			
Velocity from Fig. 6.25: Grassed Waterway						
970	1.9%	2.7	0.10			

CONCENTRATED FLOW						
Length Velocity T <sub>t</sub>						
( <i>ft</i> )	(fps) (hr)					
Velocity from Mannings Equation						
1260	4.6	0.08				

Mannings Equa	tion			
Open Channe	el			
z:1 (side slopes, ft)	50	Mannings Equa	ition	
w (bottom width, ft)	0	Pipe Flowing 1/2 Full		
d (depth, ft)	1	d (diameter, in)		
n (roughness coef.)	0.025	n (mannings)		
s (channel slope, ft/ft)	0.015	s (pipe slope, ft/ft)		
Area (sqft)	50.00	Area (sqft)	0.00	
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	100.02	Wetted Perimeter (ft) 0.00		
Velocity (fps)	4.6	Velocity (fps)	#DIV/0!	

BASIN: EX-2

T <sub>c</sub>		T <sub>lag</sub>	
( <b>h</b> r)	(min)	( <b>h</b> r)	(min)
0.88	53	0.53	32

OVERLAND FLOW						
n P <sub>2</sub> Length Height Slope T <sub>i</sub>						
	(in)	( <i>ft</i> )	( <i>ft</i> )	(ft/ft)	( <b>h</b> r)	
n from Table 6-11: dense grasses						
0.24 1.19 300 9.0 0.030 0.80						

SHALLOW CONC. FLOW						
Length Slope Velocity T <sub>t</sub>						
( <i>ft</i> )	(%)	(fps)	( <i>hr</i> )			
Velocity from Fig. 6.25: Grassed Waterway						
520	3.3%	2.8	0.05			

CONCENTRATED FLOW						
Length Velocity T <sub>t</sub>						
( <i>ft</i> )	(fps)	( <b>h</b> r)				
Velocity from Mannings Equation						
610	5.9	0.03				

Mannings Equa	tion			
Open Channe	el			
z:1 (side slopes, ft)	50	Mannings Equation		
w (bottom width, ft)	0	Pipe Flowing 1/2 Full		
d (depth, ft)	1	d (diameter, in)		
n (roughness coef.)	0.025	n (mannings)		
s (channel slope, ft/ft)	0.025	s (pipe slope, ft/ft)		
Area (sqft)	50.00	Area (sqft)	0.00	
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	100.02	Wetted Perimeter (ft) 0.00		
Velocity (fps)	5.9	Velocity (fps)	#DIV/0!	

BASIN: EX-3

T <sub>c</sub>		T <sub>lag</sub>	
( <b>h</b> r)	(min)	( <b>h</b> r)	(min)
0.86	52	0.52	31

OVERLAND FLOW						
n P <sub>2</sub> Length Height Slope T <sub>i</sub>						
	(in)	( <i>ft</i> )	( <i>ft</i> )	(ft/ft)	( <b>h</b> r)	
n from Table 6-11: dense grasses						
0.24 1.19 300 20.0 0.067 0.58						

SHALLOW CONC. FLOW				
Length	Slope	Velocity	T <sub>t</sub>	
( <i>ft</i> )	(%)	(fps)	( <i>hr</i> )	
Velocity from Fig. 6.25: Grassed Waterway				
1720	2.6%	2.4	0.20	

CONCENTRATED FLOW				
Length	Velocity	T <sub>t</sub>		
( <i>ft</i> )	(fps)	( <b>hr</b> )		
Velocity from Mannings Equation				
1260	4.3	0.08		

Mannings Equa	tion		
Open Channe	el		
z:1 (side slopes, ft) 30		Mannings Equation	
w (bottom width, ft)	0	Pipe Flowing 1/2 Full	
d (depth, ft)	1	d (diameter, in)	
n (roughness coef.)	0.025	n (mannings)	
s (channel slope, ft/ft)	0.013	s (pipe slope, ft/ft)	
Area (sqft)	30.00	Area (sqft)	0.00
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	60.03	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	0.00
Velocity (fps)	4.3	Velocity (fps)	#DIV/0!

BASIN: PR-1

T <sub>c</sub>		T <sub>lag</sub>	
( <b>h</b> r)	(min)	( <b>h</b> r)	(min)
0.42	25	0.25	15

<b>OVERLAND FLOW</b>					
n P <sub>2</sub> Length Height Slope T <sub>i</sub>					
	(in)	( <i>ft</i> )	( <i>ft</i> )	(ft/ft)	( <b>h</b> r)
n from Table 6-11: short prairie grass					
0.15	1.19	100	2.0	0.020	0.27

SHALLOW CONC. FLOW				
Length	Slope	Velocity	T <sub>t</sub>	
( <i>ft</i> )	(%)	(fps)	( <i>hr</i> )	
Velocity from Fig. 6.25: Paved Area				
500	2.0%	2.8	0.05	

CONCENTRATED FLOW				
Length	Velocity	T <sub>t</sub>		
( <i>ft</i> )	(fps)	( <b>h</b> r)		
Velocity from Mannings Equation				
4100	11.0	0.10		

Mannings Equa	tion		
Open Channe	el		
z:1 (side slopes, ft)		Mannings Equation	
w (bottom width, ft)		Pipe Flowing 1/2 Full	
d (depth, ft)		d (diameter, in) 36	
n (roughness coef.)	0.025	n (mannings)	0.013
s (channel slope, ft/ft)		s (pipe slope, ft/ft)	0.014
Area (sqft)	0.00	Area (sqft)	3.53
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	0.00	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	4.71
Velocity (fps)	#DIV/0!	Velocity (fps)	11.2

BASIN: PR-2

T <sub>c</sub>		T <sub>lag</sub>	
( <b>h</b> r)	(min)	( <b>h</b> r)	(min)
0.46	27	0.27	16

<b>OVERLAND FLOW</b>					
n	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	Length	Height	Slope	Ti
	<i>(in)</i>	( <i>ft</i> )	( <i>ft</i> )	( <i>ft/ft</i> )	( <b>h</b> r)
n from Table 6-11: short prairie grass					
0.15	1.19	100	4.0	0.040	0.20

SHALLOW CONC. FLOW				
Length	Slope	Velocity	T <sub>t</sub>	
( <i>ft</i> )	(%)	(fps)	( <i>hr</i> )	
Velocity from Fig. 6.25: Grassed Waterway				
1425	2.8%	2.5	0.16	

<b>CONCENTRATED FLOW</b>				
Length	Velocity	T <sub>t</sub>		
( <i>ft</i> )	(fps)	( <b>hr</b> )		
Velocity from Mannings Equation				
2155	6.3	0.10		

Mannings Equa	tion					
Open Channe	el					
z:1 (side slopes, ft)	4	Mannings Equa	ition			
w (bottom width, ft)	10	Pipe Flowing 1/2 Full				
d (depth, ft)	1	d (diameter, in)				
n (roughness coef.)	0.025	n (mannings)				
s (channel slope, ft/ft)	0.016	s (pipe slope, ft/ft)				
Area (sqft)	14.00	Area (sqft)	0.00			
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	18.25	Wetted Perimeter (ft) 0.0				
Velocity (fps)	6.3	Velocity (fps) #DIV/0				

## **SCS METHOD - Time of Concentration**

BASIN: OS-1\*

T	c	T <sub>lag</sub>			
( <b>h</b> r)	(min)	( <b>h</b> r)	(min)		
0.35	21	0.21	13		

\* values for  $T_{\rm c}$  and  $T_{\rm lag}$  are from the MDDP for Four Way Ranch

BASIN: OS-2 derived from FDR for 4 Way Ranch Filing No. 1

T	c	T	lag
( <b>h</b> r)	(min)	( <b>h</b> r)	(min)
0.32	19	0.19	12

OVERLAND FLOW								
n	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	Length	Height	Slope	Ti			
	(in)	( <i>ft</i> )	( <i>ft</i> )	( <i>ft/ft</i> )	( <b>h</b> r)			
	n fron	1 Table 6-11:	short prairie	grass				
0.15	1.19	130	8.0	0.062	0.21			

SHALLOW CONC. FLOW							
Length	Slope	T <sub>t</sub>					
( <i>ft</i> )	(%)	(fps) (hr)					
Veloc	ity from Fig.	6.25: Paved	Area				
470	2.6%	2.4	0.05				

CONCENTRATED FLOW								
Length	Velocity	T <sub>t</sub>						
( <i>ft</i> )	(ft) (fps) (h							
Velocity fro	Velocity from Mannings Equation							
900	4.5	0.06						

Mannings Equa	tion					
Open Channe	el					
z:1 (side slopes, ft)	4	Mannings Equa	ition			
w (bottom width, ft)	0	Pipe Flowing 1/2 Full				
d (depth, ft)	1	d (diameter, in)				
n (roughness coef.)	0.025	n (mannings)				
s (channel slope, ft/ft)	0.015	s (pipe slope, ft/ft)				
Area (sqft)	4.00	Area (sqft)	0.00			
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	8.25	Wetted Perimeter (ft) 0.00				
Velocity (fps)	4.5	Velocity (fps)	#DIV/0!			



Global Summary Results for Run "Existing 5yr"							
Project: 1822.00 Meadowlake Ranch Simulation Run: Existing 5yr							
Start of Run: 01Jul2018, 12:00 Basin Model: Meadowlake Ranch Existing End of Run: 03Jul2018, 12:00 Meteorologic Model: El Paso Cnty 5 Compute Time:23Jul2018, 10:50:08 Control Specifications:Control 1							
Show Elements: All Element	s 🗸 V	olume Units: 💿 IN	O AC-FT So	rting: Alphabetic 🗸			
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume			
Element	(MI2)	(CFS)		(IN)			
Design Point 1	0.087	4.0	02Jul2018, 00:46	0.26			
Design Point 2	0.038	2.3	02Jul2018, 00:36	0.29			
Design Point 3	0.470	41.1	02Jul2018, 00:20	0.31			
EX-1	0.087	4.0	02Jul2018, 00:46	0.26			
EX-2	0.038	2.3	02Jul2018, 00:36	0.29			
EX-3	0.264	13.6	02Jul2018, 00:36	0.26			
05.1	0.185	24.4	02Jul2018, 00:10	0.33			
05-1				0.05			
0S-1 OS-2	0.021	7.3	02Jul2018, 00:06	0.65			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr"	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20	0.85			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro	0.021 0.206 Its for Run "Exist ject: 1822.00 Meadow	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr"	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20 ation Run: Existing 100yr	0.85			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time	0.021 0.206 Its for Run "Exist ject: 1822.00 Meadow 01Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 12:00 ::23Jul2018, 10:52:14	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" vlake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi	ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Ex Iodel: El Paso Cnty 100 cations:Control 1	0.65 0.37			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time Show Elements: All Elements	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" vlake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi polume Units: () IN	ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Ex Iodel: El Paso Cnty 100 cations:Control 1	d.65 0.37			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time Show Elements: All Element Hydrologic	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" vlake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi plume Units: () IN Peak Discharge	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20 ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Ex Iodel: El Paso Cnty 100 cations:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor Time of Peak	disting			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time Show Elements: All Element Hydrologic Element	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" vlake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi plume Units: () IN Peak Discharge (CFS)	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20 ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Ex Iodel: El Paso Cnty 100 cations:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor Time of Peak	isting			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time Show Elements: All Element Hydrologic Element Design Point 1	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" Vake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi plume Units: (•) IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 29.1	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20 ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Ex Iodel: El Paso Cnty 100 cations:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:36	disting			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time Show Elements: All Element Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 2	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" Vake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi plume Units: () IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 29.1 15.5	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20 ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Ex Iodel: El Paso Cnty 100 cations:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:36 02Jul2018, 00:28	ting: Alphabetic v Volume (IN) 1.14 1.20			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time Show Elements: All Element Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 2 Design Point 3	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" Vake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi plume Units: (•) IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 29.1 15.5 247.0	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20 ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Ex Iodel: El Paso Cnty 100 cations:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:36 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:16	0.85 0.37 0.37 issting iting: Aphabetic v Volume (IN) 1.14 1.20 1.22			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time Show Elements: All Elements Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 2 Design Point 2 Design Point 3 EX-1	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" Vake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi plume Units: (•) IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 29.1 15.5 247.0 29.1	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20 ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Existing 100 cations:Control 1 AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:36 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:16 02Jul2018, 00:36	0.65 0.37 0.37 issting iting: Aphabetic v Volume (IN) 1.14 1.20 1.22 1.14			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time Show Elements: All Element Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 2 Design Point 2 Design Point 3 EX-1 EX-2	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" vlake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi bolume Units: () IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 29.1 15.5 247.0 29.1 15.5	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20 ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Ex lodel: El Paso Cnty 100 cations:Control 1 OAC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:36 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:36 02Jul2018, 00:28	0.85           0.37			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time Show Elements: All Element Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 2 Design Point 2 Design Point 3 EX-1 EX-1 EX-2 EX-3	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" vlake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi colume Units: (•) IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 29.1 15.5 247.0 29.1 15.5 102.1	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20 ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Existing 100 cations:Control 1 AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:36 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:28	0.85           0.37           isiting           kisting           Volume           (IN)           1.14           1.20           1.14           1.20           1.14			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time Show Elements: All Element Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 2 Design Point 2 Design Point 3 EX-1 EX-2 EX-3 OS-1	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" vlake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi olume Units: (•) IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 29.1 15.5 247.0 29.1 15.5 102.1 144.2	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20 ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Existing 100 cations:Control 1 AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:36 02Jul2018, 00:36 02Jul2018, 00:36 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:28	0.85         0.37           0.37         Image: Constraint of the second se			
OS-1 OS-2 Thru EX-3 Global Summary Resu Pro Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time Show Elements: All Element Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 2 Design Point 2 Design Point 3 EX-1 EX-2 EX-3 OS-1 OS-2	0.021 0.206	7.3 31.0 ing 100yr" vlake Ranch Simul Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi olume Units: (•) IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 29.1 15.5 247.0 29.1 15.5 102.1 144.2 24.3	02Jul2018, 00:06 02Jul2018, 00:20 ation Run: Existing 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Existing 100 cations:Control 1 AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:36 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:28 02Jul2018, 00:28	0.85         0.37           0.37         Image: Constraint of the second se			



Pro	ject: 1822.00 Meado	wlake Ranch Simu	ation Run: Proposed Syr				
Start of Run: 01Jul2018, 12:00 Basin Model: Meadowlake Ranch Developed End of Run: 03Jul2018, 12:00 Meteorologic Model: El Paso Cnty 5 Compute Time:23Jul2018, 10:57:44 Control Specifications:Control 1							
Show Elements: All Elements	~ V	olume Units: 🔘 IN	O AC-FT Sor	ting: Alphabetic 🗸			
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (MI2)	Peak Discharge (CFS)	Time of Peak	Volume (IN)			
Design Point 1	0.122	114.4	02Jul2018, 00:08	1.67			
Design Point 3	0.526	275.5	02Jul2018, 00:08	1.02			
OS-1	0.185	24.4	02Jul2018, 00:10	0.33			
OS-2	0.021	7.3	02Jul2018, 00:06	0.65			
PR-1	0.122	114.4	02Jul2018, 00:08	1.67			
PR-2	0.320	253.6	02Jul2018, 00:08	1.44			
The DD D	0.006	21.1	021-12019-00-14	0.27			
Global Summary Resul	ts for Run "Prop	oosed 100yr"	023012016, 00:14				
Global Summary Resul	ts for Run "Prop	oosed 100yr" lake Ranch Simula	tion Run: Proposed 100yr				
Slobal Summary Resul Proje Start of Run: ( End of Run: ( Compute Time:2	ts for Run "Prop ect: 1822.00 Meadow 01Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 12:00 13Jul2018, 10:57:51	lake Ranch Simula Basin Model: Meteorologic Mo Control Specifica	tion Run: Proposed 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Dev del: El Paso Cnty 100 stions:Control 1	/eloped			
Slobal Summary Resul Proje Start of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 Compute Time:2 Show Elements: All Elements	ts for Run "Prop ect: 1822.00 Meadow 01Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 12:00 23Jul2018, 10:57:51	Dosed 100yr" lake Ranch Simula Basin Model: Meteorologic Mo Control Specifica olume Units: () IN	tion Run: Proposed 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Dev del: El Paso Cnty 100 stions:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor	veloped			
Slobal Summary Resul Proje Start of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 Compute Time:2 Show Elements: All Elements Hydrologic	ts for Run "Prop ect: 1822.00 Meadow 11Jul2018, 12:00 13Jul2018, 12:00 13Jul2018, 10:57:51	Dosed 100yr" lake Ranch Simula Basin Model: Meteorologic Mo Control Specifica olume Units: IN Peak Discharge	tion Run: Proposed 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Dev del: El Paso Cnty 100 tions:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor Time of Peak	veloped ting: Alphabetic v			
Slobal Summary Resul Proje Start of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 Compute Time: Show Elements: All Elements Hydrologic Element	ts for Run "Prop ect: 1822.00 Meadow 11Jul2018, 12:00 13Jul2018, 12:00 13Jul2018, 10:57:51	Dosed 100yr" lake Ranch Simula Basin Model: Meteorologic Mo Control Specifica olume Units: IN Peak Discharge (CFS)	tion Run: Proposed 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Dev del: El Paso Cnty 100 ations:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor Time of Peak	veloped ting: Alphabetic v Volume (IN)			
Slobal Summary Resul Proje Start of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 Compute Time:2 Show Elements: All Elements Hydrologic Element Design Point 1	ts for Run "Prop ect: 1822.00 Meadow 01Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 12:00 23Jul2018, 10:57:51 v Drainage Area (MI2) 0.122	Dosed 100yr" lake Ranch Simula Basin Model: Meteorologic Mo Control Specifica olume Units: IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 234.2	tion Run: Proposed 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Dev del: El Paso Cnty 100 ations:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:08	veloped ting: Alphabetic v Volume (IN) 3.31			
Slobal Summary Resul Proje Start of Run: ( End of Run: ( Compute Time;) Show Elements: All Elements Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 3	ts for Run "Prop ect: 1822.00 Meadow 01Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 10:57:51 v Drainage Area (MI2) 0.122 0.526	Jake Ranch Simula Basin Model: Meteorologic Mo Control Specifica olume Units: IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 234.2 714.3	tion Run: Proposed 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Dev del: El Paso Cnty 100 ations:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08	veloped ting: Alphabetic v Volume (IN) 3.31 2.32			
Slobal Summary Resul Proje Start of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 Compute Time: Show Elements: All Elements Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 3 DS-1	0.206 ts for Run "Prop ect: 1822.00 Meadow 01Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 10:57:51 V Drainage Area (MI2) 0.122 0.526 0.185	Jake Ranch Simula Basin Model: Meteorologic Mo Control Specifica olume Units: IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 234.2 714.3 144.2	tion Run: Proposed 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Dev del: El Paso Cnty 100 ations:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08	veloped ting: Alphabetic v Volume (IN) 3.31 2.32 1.27			
Slobal Summary Resul Proje Start of Run: ( End of Run: ( Compute Time;) Show Elements: All Elements Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 3 DS-1 DS-2	0.206 ts for Run "Prop ect: 1822.00 Meadow 01Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 10:57:51 V Drainage Area (MI2) 0.122 0.526 0.185 0.021	Jake Ranch Simula Basin Model: Meteorologic Mo Control Specifica olume Units: IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 234.2 714.3 144.2 24.3	tion Run: Proposed 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Dev del: El Paso Cnty 100 ations:Control 1 O AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:06	veloped ting: Alphabetic v Volume (IN) 3.31 2.32 1.27 1.78			
Slobal Summary Resul Proje Start of Run: ( End of Run: ( Compute Time; Show Elements: All Elements Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 3 DS-1 DS-2 PR-1	0.206 ts for Run "Prop ect: 1822.00 Meadow 01Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 10:57:51 V Drainage Area (MI2) 0.122 0.526 0.185 0.021 0.122	Dosed 100yr" lake Ranch Simula Basin Model: Meteorologic Mo Control Specifica olume Units: () IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 234.2 714.3 144.2 24.3 234.2	tion Run: Proposed 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Dev del: El Paso Cnty 100 ations:Control 1 AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08	veloped ting: Alphabetic v Volume (IN) 3.31 2.32 1.27 1.78 3.31			
Start of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 Compute Time:2 Show Elements: All Elements Hydrologic Element Design Point 1 Design Point 3 DS-1 DS-2 PR-1 PR-2	0.206 ts for Run "Prop ect: 1822.00 Meadow 01Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 12:00 03Jul2018, 10:57:51 V Drainage Area (MI2) 0.122 0.526 0.185 0.021 0.122 0.320	oosed 100yr" lake Ranch Simula Basin Model: Meteorologic Mo Control Specifica olume Units: () IN Peak Discharge (CFS) 234.2 714.3 144.2 24.3 234.2 553.8	tion Run: Proposed 100yr Meadowlake Ranch Dev del: El Paso Cnty 100 stions:Control 1 AC-FT Sor Time of Peak 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08 02Jul2018, 00:08	veloped ting: Alphabetic v Volume (IN) 3.31 2.32 1.27 1.78 3.31 2.97			

#### DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

				UD-De	tention, Version 3	3.07 (Febr	uary 2017)						Clear Work	oook	Г
Project: 1822.00 Meadowlake Ranch															
Basin ID:	Pond-1														
ZONE	1 AND 2	ORIFICE	IR E		Depth Increment =	0.5	ft								
PERMANENT ORIFIC POOL Example Zone	e Configurat	tion (Reten	tion Pond)		Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Vidth (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)	
Required Volume Calculation		_			Top of Micropool		0.00				61,250	1.406			lг
Extended Dotention Barin (EDB) 🗾 💌	EDB						0.50				63,366	1.455	30,521	0.701	
Watershed Area =	77.90	acres		_			1.00				65,514	1.504	62,719	1.440	11
Watershed Length =	4,700	ft		]			1.50				67,694	1.554	95,999	2.204	11
Watershed Slope =	0.014	ft/ft		-			2.00				69,906	1.605	130,377	2.993	
Watershed Imperviousness =	46.00%	percent					2.50				72,150	1.656	166,590	3.824	1
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	100.0%	percent					3.00				74,426	1.709	203,234	4.666	
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	0.0%	percent					3.50				76,734	1.762	241,024	5.533	
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent					4.00				79,074	1.815	279,976	6.427	
Desired VQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours					4.50				81,446	1.870	320,106	7.349	
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	Uror Input			-			5.00				83,850	1.925	361,430	8.297	1
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	1.270	acre-feet	Optional Us	er Override			5.50				86,286	1.981	403,964	9.274	
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	4.036	acre-feet	1-hr Precipit	ation			6.00				88,754	2.038	447,724	10.278	11
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1= 1.03 in.) =	2.377	acre-feet	1.03	inches			6.50				91,254	2.095	492,726	11.311	11
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.32 in.) =	3.184	acre-feet	1.32	inches											11
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.59 in.) =	4.064	acre-feet	1.59	inches											11
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.01 in.) =	5.651	acre-feet	2.01	inches											
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.38 in.) =	7.535	acre-feet	2.38	inches											11
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.77 in.) =	9.801	acre-feet	2.77	inches											11
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.85 in.) =	16.027	acre-feet	3.85	inches											11
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	2.239	acre-feet		-											11
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	3.002	acre-feet													11
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	3.798	acre-feet													11
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	5.166	acre-feet													11
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	6.097	acre-feet													11
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	7.215	acre-feet													11
·		-													11
Stage-Storage Calculation															
Zano 1Valumo (WQCV) 💌	1.270	acre-feet													1  -
Zano 2 Valumo (EURV - Zano 1) 👻	2.767	acre-feet													
Zano 3 Valumo (100-yoar - Zanor 1 % 2) 🔹 🔻	3.179	acre-feet													
Total Detention Basin Volume =	7.215	acre-feet													
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) -	UCAT	1													1

#### UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017) Clear Workbook Project: 1822.00 Meadolake Ranch Basin ID: Pond-2 Detention for Basin PR-2 VOLUME EURY WOC 100-YEAR Depth Increment = ORIFICE ZONE 1 AND 2 Optional Optional Stage - Storage Length Width Override Volume Volume Stage Override Area Area Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond) Description Area (ft^2) (R) Stage (ft) (R) (8) (R\*2) (acre) (R^3) (ac-ft) Top of Micropool **Required Volume Calculation** EDB Extended Detention Barin (EDB) Ŧ Watershed Area = 206.90 acres Watershed Length = 3,620 ft. Watershed Slope = 0.027 R/R Watershed Imperviousness = 36.00% percent Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A = 0.0% percent Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B = 100.0% percent Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D = 0.0% percent Desired WQCV Drain Time = 40.0 hours Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths = Urer Input -Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) = 2.914 acre-feet Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) = 7.756 acre-feet 2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.03 in.) = 5.240 acre-feet 1.03 inches 5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.32 in.) = 7.472 acre-feet 1.32 inches 10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.59 in.) = 11.238 1.59 acre-feet inches 25-yr Runoff Volume (P1= 2.01 in.) = 19.413 acre-feet 2.01 inches 50-yr Runoff Volume (P1= 2.38 in.) = 25.330 acre-feet 2.38 inches 100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.77 in.) = 32.988 2.77 inches acre-feet 500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.85 in.) = 51.745 acre-feet 3.85 inches Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume = 4.895 acre-feet Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume = 7.013 acre-feet Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume : 10.165 acre-feet Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume = 12.728 acre-feet Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume = 14.080 acre-feet Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume = 16.963 acre-feet Stage-Storage Calculation Zano 1Valumo (WQCV) Ŧ 2.914 acre-feet 4.842 Zano 2 Valumo (EURV - Zano 1) • acre-feet 9.207 Zone 3 Valume (100-year - Zones 1 % 2) acre-feet Ŧ

Total Detention Basin Volume =

Initial Surcharde Volume (ISV) -

16.963

acre-feet

#### DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER

DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER													
UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)													
Project:								_	olear Hond	Joon			
Basin ID:	Pond-2 V	Q for Offsi	ite Basins (OS-1 and C	S-2) and Detention	n for Basir	n PR-2							
	Depth Increment =	0.5	ft Optional				Optional						
Example Zon	e Configura	tion (Reter	ntion Pond)	Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	(ft)	Area (ft^2)	Area (R°2)	Area (acre)	(ft^3)	(ac-ft)
Required Volume Calculation				Top of Micropool		0.00				151,250	3.472		
Extended Detention Barin (EDB) 🔻	EDB					0.50				154,566	3.548	74,909	1.720
Watershed Area =	338.70	acres				1.00				157,914	3.625	152,995	3.512
Watershed Length =	7,685	ft				1.50				161,294	3.703	232,763	5.344
Watershed Slope =	0.018	ft/ft				2.00				164,706	3.781	314,229	7.214
Watershed Imperviousness =	22.00%	percent				2.50				168,150	3.860	399,090	9.162
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	0.0%	percent				3.00				171,626	3.940	484,034	11.112
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	100.0%	percent				3.50				175,134	4.021	570,724	13.102
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent				4.00				178,674	4.102	659,176	15.133
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	40.0	hours				4.50				182,246	4.184	749,406	17.204
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	UrerInput		-			5.00				185,850	4.267	841,430	19.317
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	3.491	acre-feet	Optional User Override			5.50				189,486	4.350	935,264	21.471
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	7.460	acre-feet	1-hr Precipitation			6.00				193,154	4.434	1,030,924	23.667
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.03 in.) =	4.799	acre-feet	1.03 inches			6.50				196,854	4.519	1,128,426	25.905
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.32 in.) =	7.123	acre-feet	1.32 inches										
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.59 in.) =	12.289	acre-feet	1.59 inches										
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1= 2.01 in.) =	25.775	acre-feet	2.01 inches										
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1= 2.38 in.) =	35.372	acre-feet	2.38 inches										
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.77 in.) =	47.961	acre-feet	2.77 inches										
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.85 in.) =	78.135	acre-feet	3.85 inches										
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	4.473	acre-feet											
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	6.679	acre-feet											
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	10.855	acre-feet											
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	14.622	acre-feet											
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	16.254	acre-feet											
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	20.586	acre-feet											
		-											
Stage-Storage Calculation													
Zano 1Valumo (WQCV) 👻	3.491	acre-feet											
Zano 2 Valumo (EURV - Zano 1) 👻	3.968	acre-feet	Total detention										
Zano 3 Valumo (Uror Dofined - Zanor 1 & 2) 💌	9.207	acre-feet	volume is less than										
Total Detention Basin Volume =	16.667	acre-feet	100-year volume.										
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) -	usar	1						I			[	1	

## DRAINAGE AREA MAPS



P-7 12.22	BASIN DESIGNATION AREA IN BASIN (AC)
D	DESIGN POINT
	BASIN BOUNDARY
	EXISTING 2' CONTOUR
	EXISTING 10' CONTOU
	FEMA MAPPED EXISTI
←	ROAD AND DITCH FLC

DESIGN POINT
BASIN BOUNDARY
EXISTING 2' CONTOUR
EXISTING 10' CONTOUR
FEMA MAPPED EXISTING FLOODPLAIN
ROAD AND DITCH FLOW DIRECTION
SURFACE FLOW DIRECTION

----- PROPERTY LINE

# EXISTING CONDITIONS

BASIN	MI^2	Q5 CFS	Q100 CFS
EX-1	0.087	4.0	29.1
EX-2	0.038	2.3	15.5
EX-3	0.264	13.6	102.1
0S-1	0.185	24.4	144.2
0S-2	0.021	7.3	24.3

See comment on page 8 of the report to address the 63 acres.

DATE	
NS DESCRIPTION	
s THESE NO. ROVED ATE S.	ERING, R USE ED BY TION.
UNTIL SUCH TIME AS DRAWINGS ARE APPF BY THE APPROPRI REVIEWING AGENCIE	TERRA NOVA ENGINE INC. APPROVES THEI ONLY FOR THE PURPOSES DESIGNAT WRITTEN AUTHORIZA <sup>7</sup>
NOS NOS	R ROAD 31-8401
PREPARED FOR DAN FERGUS "TNI- DAN FERCI	2 JUDGE OR ON CO 8083
<	1320 PEYT
arra Nova	Engineering Solution
co 80904	422 Creative C
721 S. 23RD STREET COLORADO SPRINGS,	0FFICE: 719–635–6 FAX: 719–635–6426 www.tnesinc.com
AKE MDDP	ainage plan
MEADOWL	EXISTING DR
DESIGNED DRAWN BY CHECKED I-SCALE	BY DWD / DWD BY LD AS SHOWN N/A
OB NO. 1 DATE ISSU SHEET NO	822.00 IED 11/14/18 . 1 OF 3



	BASIN DESIGNATION AREA IN BASIN (AC) DESIGN POINT BASIN BOUNDARY EXISTING 2' CONTOUR EXISTING 10' CONTOUR FEMA MAPPED EXISTING FLOODPLAIN ROAD AND DITCH FLOW DIRECTION SURFACE FLOW DIRECTION	UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THESE NO. DESCRIPTION DATE DRAWINGS ARE APPROVED BY THE APPROPRIATE REVIEWING AGENCIES,	TERRA NOVA ENGINEERING, INC. APPROVES THEIR USE ONLY FOR THE PURPOSES DESIGNATED BY WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION.
	SC250 North American Green fabric Location PROPERTY LINE	PREPARED FOR: DAN FERGUSON	r, Inc. 13202 JUDGE ORR ROAD PEYTON CO 80831-8401
		721 S. 23RD STREET COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80904	OFFICE: 719–635–6422 Creating Engineering Www.tnesinc.com
	24" CMP CULVERT INV (SE): 6887.47 FILLED WITH DIRT 24" CMP CULVERT INV (NW): 6883.03 FILLED WITH DIRT	MEADOWLAKE MDDP	EXISTING DRAINAGE PLAN DETAILED
2		DESIGNED DRAWN BY CHECKED H-SCALE V-SCALE JOB NO. 1- DATE ISSU SHEET NO	BY DWD DWD BY LD AS SHOWN N/A 822.00 ED 11/14/18 . 2 OF 3



PROPOSED	CONDITIONS

BASIN	MI^2	Q5 CFS	Q100 CFS
PR-1	0.122	114.4	234.2
PR-2	0.323	253.6	553.8
0S-1	0.185	24.4	144.2
0S-2	0.021	7.3	24.3

DESCRIPTION DATE		
CH TIME AS THESE S ARE APPROVED APPROPRIATE C AGENCIES,	OVA ENGINEERING, COVA ENGINEERING, COVES THEIR USE DR THE S DESIGNATED BY COVES THE CO	
red for: ERGUSON I FFRCIISON REVIEWING	ECR ROAD TERRA NO C ORR ROAD INC. APPI ONLY FO ONLY FO PURPOSE WRITTEN	
DAN FE	ring, Inc. 13202 JUDC g solutions PEYTON CO	
er s, co 80904 Terra Nov	6422 $Q_{callive} C_{callive} C_{ivil Bngineerin}$	
721 S. 23RD STRE COLORADO SPRING	OFFICE: 719-635- FAX: 719-635-64 www.tnesinc.com	
MEADOWLAKE MDDP	POSED DRAINAGE PLAN	
DESIGNED BY DWD DRAWN BY DWD		
CHECKED H-SCALE V-SCALE JOB NO. 18 DATE ISSU	BY LD AS SHOWN N/A B22.00 ED 7/24/18 2 OF 2	

# Markup Summary

#### Steve Kuehster (16)

The Mashakika Rash, Shesh Haiji no is kenadi et he ordina and jaige for Masi. The oir is located at the Mashaki Barkenski Rash. The water apoption is made by Barkenski and in the ordinate an population start of the Toubunit and in the ordinate and a start and an and an and and the Hall and the start of the start of the Hall and Hall and Hall and Hall and Hall and Hall and Hall Barkenski and Hall and Hall and Hall and Hall and proble tradead within the proper, The singup he length of the mash and the start of the start of the start of the start of the proble for the start of the start of the start of the start of the proble for the start of the start of the start of the start of the start, The start of the start of the start of the start of the starts. The start of the start of the start of the start of the starts. The start of the start of the start of the start of the starts. The start of the starts. The start of the start of the starts the start of the start of the start of the start of the starts the starts the starts the starts. The start of the starts the start of the starts the start the starts the sta Subject: text box Page Label: 4 Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/11/2019 10:57:43 AM Color:

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

Subject: arrow & box Page Label: [1] PR-DR Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/11/2019 11:16:58 AM Color:

![](_page_52_Picture_6.jpeg)

Subject: Arrow Page Label: [1] PR-DR Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/11/2019 11:17:11 AM Color:

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

![](_page_52_Picture_8.jpeg)

Subject: Arrow Page Label: [1] PR-DR Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/11/2019 11:17:30 AM Color:

Subject: text box Page Label: 7 Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/11/2019 11:41:09 AM Color:

![](_page_52_Picture_11.jpeg)

Subject: text box Page Label: [1] EX-DR Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/11/2019 11:47:16 AM Color:

![](_page_52_Picture_13.jpeg)

Subject: text box Page Label: 10 Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/11/2019 12:05:57 PM Color:

.....

.....

Subject: text box Page Label: 11 Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/11/2019 12:10:08 PM Color:

Use Dec.7, 2018 FIRM Panel. 4 Subject: text box Page Label: 21 Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/11/2019 12:37:30 PM Color: Include acreage of the overall site included in the sketch plan

Area to be graded to flow away from the wetlands?

Area to be graded to flow away from the wetlands? Show proposed contours.

Please account for the 63 acres as shown on the existing drainage plan as "wetland area"; there is not enough information included in this MDDP to support that both the 5 yr and 100 yr storms completely infiltrate for this area.

See comment on page 8 of the report to address the 63 acres.

\_\_\_\_\_

Please also comment on the 24 inch storm sewer under Judge Orr. either indicate there will be over detention to address it or that it will be up graded.

.....

Please state: "The existing dual 60 inch culverts under Highway 24 have capacity for these proposed flows."

Use Dec.7, 2018 FIRM Panel.

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

Subject: text box Page Label: 11 Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/11/2019 12:59:38 PM Color:

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

Subject: text box Page Label: 5 Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/11/2019 8:53:15 AM Color:

.....

Please call out how the proposed pond 2 design includes the detention requirements of the regional pond SR - 02 per the Haeglar Ranch DBPS.

The 24 inch pipe is evaluated for ? and shown on page?

![](_page_53_Picture_6.jpeg)

Subject: arrow & box Page Label: [1] PR-DR Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/12/2019 8:35:21 AM Color:

![](_page_53_Picture_8.jpeg)

Subject: text box Page Label: [1] PR-DR Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/12/2019 9:11:19 AM Color:

![](_page_53_Picture_10.jpeg)

Subject: text box Page Label: 6 Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/12/2019 9:22:40 AM Color:

![](_page_53_Picture_12.jpeg)

Subject: Arrow Page Label: 6 Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/12/2019 9:24:37 AM Color:

![](_page_53_Picture_14.jpeg)

Subject: text box Page Label: 5 Author: Steve Kuehster Date: 2/12/2019 9:27:02 AM Color:

.....

If this Wetland area does not need to be accounted for in the final drainage calculations then provide an exhibit that shows how this volume is contained.

Additionally call what needs to be done to the embankments/grading/new outfall structures etc. to support this area that is proposed to contain the 100 yr storm.

-----

Call out who is responsible for improvements to this "natural" trapezoidal channel. Would Meadowlake Ranch be responsible for a some of these improvements as they develop next to this channel?

.....

See comment on proposed drainage map. concerning the isolated Wetland area.