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This report has been prepared to document the results of the Traffic Study for the Citizen on Constitution development proposed to be located on the southwest corner of the Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road intersection in El Paso County, Colorado. For the purposes of this analysis, Citizen on Constitution is anticipated to include approximately 226 multifamily housing residences. It is expected that Citizen on Constitution will be completed in the next couple of years; therefore, analysis was conducted for the 2023 and 2045 horizons.

The purpose of this traffic study is to identify project traffic generation characteristics to determine potential project traffic related impacts on the local street system and to develop the necessary mitigation measures required for the identified traffic impacts. The following intersections were incorporated into this traffic study in accordance with the El Paso County standards and requirements:

- Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive
- Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road

In addition, the proposed full movement access along the future extension of Akers Drive and a right-out access along Marksheffel Road were evaluated.

Regional access to the Citizen on Constitution project will be provided by US Highway 24 (US24), Powers Boulevard (SH-21), and State Highway 94 (SH-94). Primary access will be provided by Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road. Direct access will be provided by a full movement access along Akers Drive located approximately 300 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to centerline) and a right-out access along Marksheffel Road approximately 400 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center).

Citizen on Constitution is expected to generate approximately 1,230 weekday daily trips, with 76 of these trips occurring during the morning peak hour and 97 of these trips occurring during the afternoon peak hour.

Based on the analysis presented in this report, Kimley-Horn believes Citizen on Constitution will be successfully incorporated into the existing and future roadway network. Analysis of the existing
street network, the proposed project development, and expected traffic volumes resulted in the following conclusions and recommendations:

## 2023 Recommendations:

- With construction of the Citizen on Constitution development, a south leg is proposed to be constructed at the existing Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection. Based on configuration of the north leg of this intersection providing chevron striping for a future through lane, it is believed that this leg will provide a southbound through lane when the south leg is constructed. Based on El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), a northbound left turn lane will likely be warranted at the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection; however, a separate northbound right turn lane is not expected to be warranted with buildout future traffic projections. As such, it is recommended that the south leg of the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection provide a left turn lane and a shared through/right turn lane. It is also recommended that this intersection continue to operate with stop control and a R1-1 "STOP" sign be installed on the northbound approach. To meet El Paso County standards, an eastbound right turn lane should be provided at this intersection. To be consistent with the intersections in the surrounding area, it is recommended that the third eastbound through lane (outside through lane) at this intersection be dropped as a forced right turn lane.
- With completion of the Citizen on Constitution project, a full movement access is proposed along the new south leg of Akers Drive approximately 300 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center) and a right-out only access is proposed along Marksheffel Road approximately 400 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center). Based on prior coordination, the project access along Akers Drive will be constructed as a single lane roundabout with yield control on all three approaches. It is recommended that a R1-1 "STOP" sign be installed on the eastbound exiting approach at the right-out only access along Marksheffel Road. To further identify the proposed access along Marksheffel Road as a right-out only driveway, it is recommended that a R3-2 "NO LEFT TURN" sign be placed underneath the STOP sign. Further, a R6-1(R) "ONE WAY" sign should also be installed within the raised center median of Marksheffel Road. To restrict right turn entrance as well, a R3-1 "NO RIGHT TURN" sign should be installed facing drivers traveling southbound along Marksheffel Road as well as a R5-1 "DO NOT ENTER" sign to be placed behind the STOP
sign. The driveway throat at the proposed right-out only access should be oriented to further restrict entering movements.


## Deviations Required

The following deviations will be provided at the subdivision stage (i.e. preliminary plan/final plat) for the ECM administrators consideration:

- A deviation will be provided to request full movement access from a future south leg of Akers Drive at Constitution Avenue. The future south leg of Akers Drive along Constitution Avenue will be located approximately 1,050 feet west of Marksheffel Road (measured edge line to center), and approximately 825 feet east of Hannah Ridge Drive. According to the El Paso Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) section 2.2.5.B, spacing of roads accessing an urban principal arterial that will result in a full movement intersection shall be planned at one-half mile. However, as stated in the ECM, one parcel access shall be granted to each existing lot, if it does not create safety or operational problems. The intersection of Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive is expected to meet operational, vehicle queue, and sight distance standards; therefore, it is believed that a south leg of Akers Drive at Constitution Avenue should be granted to allow for one full movement access to the existing lot.
- A deviation will be provided in support of allowing a right-out only access along Marksheffel Road. The proposed right-out access along Marksheffel Road will be located approximately 400 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center). The proposed right-out only access along Marksheffel Road is expected to meet operational, vehicle queue, and sight distance standards; therefore, it is believed that this access should be granted to only allow right-turn exiting movements.
- The access along the new south leg of Akers Drive will be approximately 300 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center). According to the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual section 2.2.5.D, spacing of intersections along urban collector roadways from an arterial roadway should be 330 feet from the right-of-way line of the arterial to the centerline of the access roadway. Therefore, the proposed access along the new south leg of Akers Drive does not meet ECM standards. The access along Akers Drive is expected
to meet operational, vehicle queue, and sight distance standards. A deviation will need to be provided to request for this proposed access to remain at the proposed location.
- A northbound left turn lane is warranted at the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection and based on an El Paso County ECM design speed of 40 miles per hour for an Urban Non-Residential Collector, the deceleration lane length required is 155 feet plus a 160foot taper. Additionally, 50 feet of storage is required by El Paso County due to the peak hour volume. Therefore, this left turn lane is recommended to provide a length of 205 feet plus a 160 -foot taper. The proposed spacing between Constitution Avenue and the access intersection is not sufficient to allow for a 205-foot northbound left turn lane plus 160-foot taper at the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection. It should be noted a 165 -foot northbound left turn lane plus a 120 -foot taper based on a design speed of 30 mph is expected to be accommodated with the proposed location of the project access along Akers Drive. A deviation will be requested to allow for the northbound left turn lane at the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection be constructed with a design speed of 30 miles per hour. El Paso County could explore a continuous northbound left turn lane with two receiving lanes extending from the roundabout to the south which would provide 205 feet of storage. Without a taper and continuous left turn lane, a deviation may not be necessary.


## 2045 Recommendations:

- A traffic signal is warranted with 2045 traffic volume projections at the intersection of Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive. It is recommended that El Paso County monitor traffic volumes at this intersection in the future to determine if signalization is the appropriate control. It should be noted the north leg of this intersection is the controlling approach that triggers the need for signal control and project traffic is only expected to utilize the south leg of this intersection.
- If future traffic volumes are realized by 2045, Marksheffel Road may need to have three through lanes in each direction northbound and southbound along the project frontage. With this improvement, it is recommended that the westbound right turn lane at the intersection of Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road operate with yield control.


## General Recommendations

- Any on-site or offsite improvements should be incorporated into the Civil Drawings and conform to standards of the El Paso County and the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) - 2009 Edition.


### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has prepared this report to document the results of the Traffic Study for the Citizen on Constitution development proposed to be located on the southwest corner of the Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road intersection in El Paso County, Colorado. A vicinity map illustrating the Citizen on Constitution development is shown in Figure 1. For the purposes of this analysis, the project is anticipated to include approximately 226 multifamily housing units. A conceptual land use plan is attached in Appendix G. It is expected that Citizen on Constitution will be completed in the next two years; therefore, analysis was conducted for the 2023 and 2045 horizons.

The purpose of this traffic study is to identify project traffic generation characteristics to determine potential project traffic related impacts on the local street system and to develop the necessary mitigation measures required for the identified traffic impacts. The following intersections were incorporated into this traffic study in accordance with the El Paso County standards and requirements:

- Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive
- Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road

In addition, the proposed full movement access along the future extension of Akers Drive and a right-out access along Marksheffel Road were evaluated.

Regional access to the Citizen on Constitution project will be provided by US Highway 24 (US24), Powers Boulevard (SH-21), and State Highway 94 (SH-94). Primary access will be provided by Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road. Direct access will be provided by a full movement access along Akers Drive located approximately 300 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to centerline) and a right-out access along Marksheffel Road approximately 400 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center).


CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
VICINITY MAP
FIGURE 1

### 3.0 EXISTING AND FUTURE CONDITIONS

### 3.1 Existing Study Area

The existing site is comprised of vacant land. Industrial uses and some residential uses are located north and south of the site. A retail center and residential uses are located to the east while residential developments are located to the west of the project. The extended area consists primarily of single-family residences with undeveloped land to the east.

### 3.2 Existing and Future Roadway Network

Constitution Avenue extends eastbound and westbound with two through lanes in each direction with a posted speed limit of 50 miles per hour and is classified as a principal arterial roadway. Marksheffel Road extends in the north-south direction with two through lanes in each direction and has a posted speed limit of 50 miles per hour and is classified as a principal arterial roadway. Akers Drive extends north-south with one through lane in each direction and is classified as a collector roadway.

The El Paso County 2016 Major Transportation Corridor Plan Update (MTCP) does not identify any roadway improvement projects within the study limits of this project.

The unsignalized T-intersection of Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive (\#1) operates with stop control on the southbound approach. The eastbound approach of this intersection consists of a left turn lane and three through lanes while the westbound approach provides a left turn lane, two through lanes, and a right turn lane. The southbound approach includes a left turn lane and a channelized right turn lane operating with free movements. An aerial photo of the existing intersection configuration is below (north is up - typical).


Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive (\#1)

The signalized intersection of Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road (\#2) operates with protected left turn phasing on the northbound and southbound approaches and protectivepermissive left turn phasing on the eastbound and westbound approaches. The eastbound and westbound approaches of this intersection consist of a left turn lane, two through lanes, and a right turn lane. The eastbound right turn lane operates with yield control while the westbound right turn lane operates as a free movement. The northbound and southbound approaches consist of dual left turn lanes, two through lanes, and a free right turn lane. An aerial photo of the existing intersection configuration is below.


Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road (\#2)

The intersection lane configuration and control for the study area intersections are shown in Figure 2.
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### 3.3 Existing Traffic Volumes

Existing turning movement counts were conducted at the study intersections on Tuesday, September 21, 2021 during the morning and afternoon peak hours. The counts were conducted during the morning and afternoon peak hours of adjacent street traffic in 15-minute intervals from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM on this count date. The existing intersection traffic volumes are shown in Figure 3 with count sheets provided in Appendix A.

### 3.4 Unspecified Development Traffic Growth

According to traffic projections from the El Paso County 2016 Major Transportation Corridor Plan Update (MTCP) traffic model, the area surrounding the site is expected to have an average 25year growth factor of 1.31. This growth factor equates to an annual growth rate of 1.10 percent. Future traffic volume projections and growth rate calculations are provided in Appendix B. However, to be conservative and consistent with the recently approved Urban Collection at Palmer Village Traffic Study, a two (2) percent annual growth rate was used to calculate future traffic volumes at the study area key intersections. This annual growth rate was used to estimate short-term 2023 and long-term 2045 traffic volume projections at the key intersections. In addition, traffic volume potential from the undeveloped parcels surrounding the future Akers Drive south of Constitution Avenue was conservatively included as background traffic in 2045. Through coordination with County staff, these future parcels to the south were evaluated with multifamily residential use. In addition, it is believed that Akers Drive will eventually provide a connection with Colorado Tech Drive in the long-term future; however, all adjacent development residential traffic was routed to the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection to provide a conservative analysis. The calculated background traffic volumes for 2023 and 2045 are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively.


Tuesday, September 21, 2021
7:15 to 8:15AM (4:15 to 5:15PM)


Tuesday, September 21, 2021 7:00 to 8:00AM (4:30 to 5:30PM)


## LEGEND

Study Area Key Intersection
Weekday AM(PM)
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

XX,X00 Estimated Daily Traffic Volume
CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION
EL PAS COUNTY, COLORADO
FIGURE 3
2021 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES


## LEGEND

## Study Area Key Intersection <br> Weekday AM(PM) <br> Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

$X X, X 00$ Estimated Daily Traffic Volume
CITIZEN ON CONSTITUTION
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
FIGURE 4 2023 Background traffic volumes Kimley>>) Horn


## LEGEND


$X X X(X X X)$
Weekday AM(PM)
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
$X X, X 00$ Estimated Daily Traffic Volume

EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
FIGURE 5 2045 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES

### 4.0 PROJECT TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS

### 4.1 Trip Generation

Site-generated traffic estimates are determined through a process known as trip generation. Rates and equations are applied to the proposed land use to estimate traffic generated by the development during a specific time interval. The acknowledged source for trip generation rates is the Trip Generation Manual' published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). ITE has established trip rates in nationwide studies of similar land uses. For this study, Kimley-Horn used the ITE Trip Generation Report fitted curve equations that apply to Mid-Rise Multifamily Housing (ITE Land Use Code 221), for traffic associated with the development.

Citizen on Constitution is expected to generate approximately 1,230 weekday daily trips, with 76 of these trips occurring during the morning peak hour and 97 of these trips occurring during the afternoon peak hour. Calculations were based on the procedure and information provided in the ITE Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition - Volume 1: User's Guide and Handbook, 2017. Table 1 summarizes the estimated trip generation for the Citizen on Constitution. The trip generation worksheets are included in Appendix C.

Table 1 - Citizen on Constitution Traffic Generation

| Land Use and Size | Weekday Vehicle Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Mid-Rise Multifamily Housing (ITE 221) 226 Dwelling Units | 1,230 | 20 | 56 | 76 | 59 | 38 | 97 |

[^0]
### 4.2 Trip Distribution

Distribution of site traffic on the street system was based on the area street system characteristics, existing traffic patterns, existing and anticipated surrounding demographic information, existing and anticipated surrounding employment areas, and the proposed access system for the project. Due to the residential nature of the site, a cursory observation of the number of office/businesses within an approximate 12-mile radius of the site and commercial properties within a 5 -mile radius of the site was utilized as a basis for trip distribution. It is believed that residents travelling west of the site will travel along Constitution Avenue to the west to access Powers Boulevard, whereas residents travelling further from the project site will use Marksheffel Road to the south and Constitution Avenue to the east to access Highway 24. Finally, relatively low employment opportunities and commercial sites exist directly north of the site as shown by the small distribution to the north along Marksheffel Road. The directional distribution of traffic is a means to quantify the percentage of site-generated traffic that approaches the site from a given direction and departs the site back to the original source. The project trip distribution for the proposed development is illustrated in Figure 6.

### 4.3 Traffic Assignment

Citizen on Constitution traffic assignment was obtained by applying the project trip distribution to the estimated traffic generation of the development shown in Table 1. Traffic assignment is shown in Figure 7.

### 4.4 Total (Background Plus Project) Traffic

Site traffic volumes were added to the background volumes to represent estimated traffic conditions for the short-term 2023 buildout horizon and long-term 2045 twenty-year planning horizon. These total traffic volumes for the study area are illustrated for the 2023 and 2045 horizon years in Figures 8 and 9, respectively.





### 5.0 TRAFFIC OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

Kimley-Horn's analysis of traffic operations in the site vicinity was conducted to determine potential capacity deficiencies in the 2023 and 2045 development horizons at the identified key intersections. The acknowledged source for determining overall capacity is the current edition of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)².

### 5.1 Analysis Methodology

Capacity analysis results are listed in terms of Level of Service (LOS). LOS is a qualitative term describing operating conditions a driver will experience while traveling on a particular street or highway during a specific time interval. It ranges from $A$ (very little delay) to $F$ (long delays and congestion). Based on El Paso County standards, the threshold for acceptable LOS is not less than LOS D during peak hours. Table 2 shows the definition of level of service for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 2 - Level of Service Definitions

| Level of <br> Service | Signalized Intersection <br> Average Total Delay <br> (sec/veh) | Unsignalized Intersection <br> Average Total Delay <br> (sec/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| C | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| D | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| E | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

Definitions provided from the Highway Capacity Manual, Sixth Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2016.

Study area intersections were analyzed based on average total delay analysis for signalized and unsignalized intersections. Under the unsignalized analysis, the LOS for a two-way stopcontrolled intersection is determined by the computed or measured control delay and is defined for each minor movement. LOS for a two-way stop-controlled intersection is not defined for the intersection as a whole. LOS for signalized, roundabout, and four-way stop controlled intersections are defined for each approach and for the overall intersection.

[^1]
### 5.2 Key Intersection Operational Analysis

Calculations for the operational level of service at the key intersections for the study area are provided in Appendix D. The existing year analysis is based on the lane geometry and intersection control shown in Figure 2. Existing peak hour factors were utilized in the existing and 2023 horizon analysis years while the HCM urban standard of 0.92 was used for the longterm 2045 horizon analysis. The signalized intersection analysis utilizes the observed cycle lengths with optimized phasing and timing. Based on increased national attention given to establishing appropriate yellow and all-red clearance intervals to improve intersection safety, these have been calculated and are applied for approaches at the signalized intersections. The increase in yellow and all red time sacrifices intersection capacity for improved safety. Synchro traffic analysis software was used to analyze the signalized, and unsignalized key intersections for HCM level of service.

## Constitution Avenue \& Akers Drive (\#1)

The unsignalized T-intersection of Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive (\#1) operates with stop control on the southbound approach. The intersection movements operate acceptably at LOS C or better during both peak hours under existing conditions. With construction of the Citizen on Constitution development, a south leg is proposed to be constructed at this intersection to align with Akers Drive to the north. Based on configuration of the north leg of this intersection providing chevron striping for a future through lane, it is believed that this leg will provide a southbound through lane when the south leg is constructed. Based on El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), a northbound left turn lane will likely be warranted at this intersection; however, a separate northbound right turn lane is not expected to be warranted with buildout future traffic projections. As such, it is recommended that the south leg of the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection provide a left turn lane and a shared through/right turn lane. It is also recommended that this intersection continue to operate with stop control and a R1-1 "STOP" sign be installed on the northbound approach. To meet El Paso County standards, an eastbound right turn lane should be provided at this intersection. To be consistent with the intersections in the surrounding area, it is recommended that the third eastbound through lane (outside through lane) at this intersection be dropped as a forced right turn lane. With these improvements and project traffic, all movements at this intersection are anticipated to continue operating at an acceptable LOS D or better during the peak hours in 2023.

With future traffic projections in 2045 including the development of the surrounding parcels south of Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive, multiple movements at this intersection may operate with LOS F during the afternoon peak hour in 2045. To meet El Paso County standards for acceptable intersection operations, a four-hour vehicular volume signal warrant was completed at this intersection and it was found that a signal is warranted in 2045 (warrant Figure attached in Appendix E). It should be noted that the signal warrant was met due to traffic on the north leg of the intersection and not project traffic. With signalization, this intersection is anticipated to operate acceptably in 2045 with project traffic. Table 3 provides the results of the LOS analysis conducted at this intersection.

Table 3 - Constitution Avenue \& Akers Drive LOS Results

|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS |
| Scenario | 10.7 | B | 10.7 | B |
| 2021 Existing | 20.9 | C | 20.5 | C |
| Soutbound Left | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Southbound Left Right |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 Background | 11.0 | B | 10.9 | B |
| Eastbound Left | 22.2 | C | 21.6 | C |
| Southbound Left | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Southbound Right | 29.0 |  | D | 27.3 |
| 2023 Background Plus Project \# | 11.9 | B | 12.4 | D |
| Northbound Left | 11.0 | B | 10.9 | B |
| Northbound Through/Right | 10.0 | B | 10.9 | B |
| Eastbound Left | 29.1 | D | 29.5 | D |
| Westbound Left | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Southbound Left | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Southbound Through |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound Right | 84.1 | F | 107.8 | F |
| 2045 Background \# | 14.7 | B | 16.8 | C |
| Northbound Left | 15.9 | C | 15.7 | C |
| Northbound Through/Right | 12.4 | B | 15.9 | C |
| Eastbound Left | 126.4 | F | 144.7 | F |
| Westbound Left | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Southbound Left | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Southbound Through | 8.0 | A | 9.0 | A |
| Southbound Right | 2045 Total Traffic \#\# |  |  |  |

\# = Stop controlled south leg, southbound through lane, northbound left turn lane, northbound right turn/through lane, and an eastbound right turn lane
\#\# = \# + Signalized

## Constitution Avenue \& Marksheffel Road (\#2)

The signalized intersection of Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road (\#2) operates with protected left turn phasing on the northbound and southbound approaches and protectivepermissive left turn phasing on the eastbound and westbound approaches. The intersection operates acceptably at LOS D during both peak hours under existing conditions. With project traffic and the existing lane configurations and control, this intersection is anticipated to continue operating at an acceptable level of service during the peak hours throughout the 2023 horizon. If future traffic volumes are realized by 2045, Marksheffel Road may need to have three through lanes in each direction northbound and southbound at the intersection with Constitution Avenue. With the widening of Marksheffel Road at this intersection, it is recommended that the westbound right turn lane at this intersection operate with yield control. With these improvements this intersection is anticipated to operate acceptably in 2045 with project traffic. Table 4 provides the results of the LOS analysis conducted at this intersection.

Table 4 - Constitution Avenue \& Marksheffel Road LOS Results

| Scenario | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS | Delay <br> (sec/veh) | LOS |
| 2021 Existing | 42.1 | D | 43.2 | D |
| 2023 Background | 44.5 | D | 45.1 | D |
| 2023 Background Plus Project | 48.8 | D | 45.2 | D |
| 2045 Background | 94.9 | F | 96.3 | F |
| 2045 Background Plus Project \# | 54.7 | D | 54.3 | D |

\# = Three northbound and southbound through lanes, and a yield controlled westbound right turn lane

## Project Accesses

With completion of the Citizen on Constitution project, a full movement access is proposed along the new south leg of Akers Drive approximately 300 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center) and a right-out only access is proposed along Marksheffel Road approximately 400 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center). Based on prior coordination, the project access along Akers Drive will be constructed as a single lane roundabout with yield control on all three approaches. It is recommended that a R1-1 "STOP" sign be installed on the eastbound exiting approach at the right-out only access along Marksheffel Road. To further identify the proposed access along Marksheffel Road as a right-out only driveway, it is recommended that a R3-2 "NO LEFT TURN" sign be placed underneath the STOP sign. Further, a R6-1(R) "ONE WAY" sign should also be installed within the raised center median of Marksheffel Road. To restrict right turn entrance as well, a R3-1 "NO RIGHT TURN" sign should be installed facing drivers traveling southbound along Marksheffel Road as well as a R5-1 "DO NOT ENTER" sign to be placed behind the STOP sign. The driveway throat at the proposed rightout only access should be oriented to further restrict entering movements. Table 5 provides the results of the level of service for these project street accesses. As shown in the table, the Akers Drive access is anticipated with LOS A with roundabout control throughout 2045. The eastbound approach Marksheffel Road Access in anticipated to operate acceptably in 2023. However, by 2045 Marksheffel Road may need to be reconstructed with three through lanes in each direction. With this improvement, the Marksheffel Road Access is anticipated to operate acceptably in 2045.

Table 5 - Project Access Level of Service Results

| Intersection | 2023 Total Traffic |  |  |  | 2045 Total Traffic |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  | Delay (sec/ veh) | LOS | Delay (sec/ veh) | LOS | Delay (sec/ veh) | LOS | Delay (sec/ veh) | LOS |
| Akers Dr Access (\#3) | 2.9 | A | 3.0 | A | 3.0 | A | 3.2 | A |
| Marksheffel Rd Access (\#4) Eastbound Approach | 17.8 | C | 11.0 | B | 20.2 \# | C \# | 12.4 \# | B \# |

\# = Three northbound and southbound through lanes

### 5.3 El Paso County Turn Lane Requirement Analysis

The El Paso County ECM was used to determine if left and right turn lanes are warranted along Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road. El Paso County classifies Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road as Principal Arterial roadways. According to EI Paso County ECM guidelines for Principal Arterials, a left turn lane is required for any access with a projected peak hour left turning volume of 10 vehicles per hour or greater, a right turn lane is required for any access with a projected peak hour right turning volume of 25 vehicles per hour or greater, and a right turn acceleration lane is required for any access with a projected peak hour right turning volume of 50 vehicles per hour or greater when the posted speed on the roadway is greater than 40 miles per hour.

It is anticipated that the south leg of Akers Drive will be classified as a collector roadway. According to El Paso County ECM guidelines for Minor Arterials and Lower Classifications, a left turn lane is required for any access with a projected peak hour left turning volume of 25 vehicles per hour or greater, a right turn lane is required for any access with a projected peak hour right turning volume of 50 vehicles per hour or greater, and a right turn acceleration lane is generally not required.

## Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive:

- A westbound left turn lane exists and is warranted at this intersection based on projected 2023 total traffic volumes being 30 westbound left turns during the peak hour and the threshold being 10 vehicles per hour. The existing westbound left turn lane is 225 feet with a 200 -foot taper. Based on the 50 -mile per hour speed limit, the deceleration lane length is 235 feet, plus a 200-foot taper. Additionally, 50 feet of storage is required by El Paso County due to the peak hour volume. Therefore, this left turn lane should provide a length of 285 feet plus a 200 -foot taper which is the current length of this turn lane.
- A northbound left turn lane is warranted at this intersection based on projected 2023 total traffic volumes being 28 northbound left turns during the peak hour and the threshold being 25 vehicles per hour. Based on an El Paso County ECM design speed of 40 miles per hour for an Urban Non-Residential Collector, the deceleration lane length required is 155 feet plus a 160 -foot taper. Additionally, 50 feet of storage is required by El Paso County due to the peak hour volume. Therefore, this left turn lane is recommended to provide a
length of 205 feet plus a 160-foot taper. It should be noted that two access scenarios have been provided at the Akers Drive Access. With the roundabout control development scenario at the Akers Drive Access, the proposed spacing between Constitution Avenue and the roundabout is not sufficient to allow for the taper of the northbound left turn lane at the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection. Therefore, a continuous northbound left turn lane could extend along Akers Drive from Constitution Avenue to the proposed roundabout. With stop control development scenario at the Akers Drive Access, the proposed spacing between Constitution Avenue and the access intersection is not sufficient to allow for a 205 -foot northbound left turn lane plus 160 -foot taper at the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection. It should be noted a 165 -foot northbound left turn lane plus a 120 -foot taper based on a design speed of 30 mph is expected to be accommodated with the proposed location of the project access along Akers Drive. Otherwise, El Paso County could explore a continuous northbound left turn lane with two receiving lanes extending from the roundabout to the south which would provide 205 feet of storage. Without a taper and continuous left turn lane, a deviation may not be necessary
- An eastbound right turn lane is warranted at this intersection based on projected 2023 total traffic volumes being 30 eastbound right turns during the peak hour and the threshold being 25 vehicles per hour. Based on the 50 -mile per hour speed limit, the deceleration lane length is 235 feet, plus a 200 -foot taper. Additionally, 50 feet of storage is required by El Paso County due to the peak hour volume. Therefore, this right turn lane should provide a length of 285 feet plus a 200 -foot taper. However, to be consistent with the intersections in the surrounding area, it is recommended that the third eastbound through lane (outside through lane) at this intersection be dropped as a forced right turn lane.
- A northbound right turn lane is not warranted at this intersection based on projected 2023 total traffic volumes being 17 northbound right turns during the peak hour and the threshold being 25 vehicles per hour.
- A northbound right to eastbound acceleration lane is not warranted at this intersection based on projected 2023 total traffic volumes being 17 northbound right turns during the peak hour and the threshold being 50 vehicles per hour.


## Marksheffel Road Access:

- An eastbound right to southbound acceleration lane is not warranted at this intersection based on projected 2023 total traffic volumes being 11 eastbound right turns during the peak hour and the threshold being 50 vehicles per hour.


### 5.4 Vehicle Queuing Analysis

A vehicle queuing analysis was conducted for the study area intersections. The queuing analysis was performed using Synchro presenting the results of the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths. Results are shown in the following Table 6 with calculations provided within the level of service operational sheets of Appendix D for unsignalized intersections and Appendix F for signalized intersections.

Table 6 - Turn Lane Queuing Analysis Results

| Intersection Turn Lane | Existing <br> Turn Lane <br> Length <br> (feet) | 2023 Calculated Queue (feet) | 2023 <br> Recommended Length (feet) | 2045 Calculated Queue (feet) | 2045 Recommended Length (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constitution Ave \& Akers Dr (\#1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | 200' | 25 | 200' | 82 | 200' |
| Eastbound Right | DNE | 25 | C (EC) | 25 | C (EC) |
| Westbound Left | 285 | 25 | 285 | 25 | 285' |
| Northbound Left | DNE | 25 | 165'+120'T (EC) | 86 | 165'+120'T (EC) |
| Southbound Left | 375' | $25^{\prime}$ | 375' | 92 | 375' |
| Constitution Ave \& Marksheffel Rd (\#2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | 450' | 137 | 450' | 348' | 450' |
| Westbound Left | 225 | 140' | 225 | 223 | 225 |
| Northbound Left | 425/725'DL | 216 | 425/725'DL | 369 | 425/725'DL |
| Southbound Left | 525' DL | 162' | 525 ' DL | 250' | 525 DL |

DNE = Does Not Exist; C = Continuous; EC = El Paso County Standards; DL = Dual Left Turn Lanes; Blue Text $=$ Recommendation

As shown in the table above, all vehicle queues are expected to be managed in the available turn lane lengths throughout 2045.

### 5.5 Access Spacing Requirements and Internal Roadway Classifications

According to El Paso County 2016 Major Transportation Corridors Plan Update, Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road are classified as Principal Arterials while the south leg of Akers Drive will have the character of a collector roadway. The following identifies the intersection spacing requirements for the access intersections associated with the project:

## Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive

The future south leg of Akers Drive along Constitution Avenue will be located approximately 1,050 feet west of Marksheffel Road (measured edge line to center), and approximately 825 feet east of Hannah Ridge Drive. According to the El Paso Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), spacing of roads accessing an urban principal arterial that will result in a full movement intersection shall be planned at one-half mile and should the one-half mile spacing not be "viable of practical" for providing access to adjacent lane, a deviation may be considered by the ECM administrator. However, as stated in the ECM, one parcel access shall be granted to each existing lot, if it does not create safety or operational problems. The intersection of Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive is expected to meet operational, vehicle queue, and sight distance standards; therefore, it is believed that a south leg of Akers Drive at Constitution Avenue should be granted to allow for one full movement access to the existing lot. A deviation will be provided to request full movement access from a future south leg of Akers Drive at Constitution Avenue.

## Akers Drive Access

The access along the new south leg of Akers Drive will be approximately 300 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center). According to the EI Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual, spacing of intersections along urban collector roadways from an arterial roadway should be 330 feet from the right-of-way line of the arterial to the centerline of the access roadway. Therefore, the proposed access along the new south leg of Akers Drive does not meet ECM standards. The access along Akers Drive is expected to meet operational, vehicle queue, and sight distance standards. With the roundabout scenario at the Akers Drive Access, the proposed spacing between Constitution Avenue and the access intersection is sufficient to allow for the 165 -foot northbound left turn lane plus 120-taper at the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection. A deviation will need to be provided to request for this proposed access to remain at the proposed location.

## Marksheffel Road Right-Out Only Access

The proposed right-out access along Marksheffel Road will be located approximately 400 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center). The proposed right-out only access along Marksheffel Road is expected to meet operational, vehicle queue, and sight distance standards; therefore, it is believed that this access should be granted to only allow right-turn exiting movements. A deviation will be provided in support of allowing a right-out only access along Marksheffel Road. The future segment of Akers Drive south of Constitution Avenue and the Project Access meets El Paso County average daily traffic threshold standard of 3,000 vehicles per day for a local street; however, this segment of roadway will be classified as an urban nonresidential collector. Specifically, Akers Drive south of Constitution Avenue is expected to have approximately 1,100 vehicles per day. Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road meet the El Paso County average daily threshold standard of 40,000 vehicles per day for an Urban Arterial 4lane roadway in 2023. Attached Figure 10 illustrates the circulation plan and street classification map for roadways internal and external to the Citizen on Constitution project.
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### 5.6 Sight Distance Evaluation

It is recommended that sight triangles be provided at all site access points to give drivers exiting the site a clear view of oncoming traffic. Landscaping and objects within sight triangles must not obstruct drivers' views of the adjacent travel lanes. El Paso County ECM design intersection sight distances for left turn and right turn from stop (from Table 2-35) were evaluated at the accesses along Constitution Avenue, Akers Drive, and Marksheffel Road. Further, ECM design sight distances for left turn from stop from public street intersections (Table 2-21) was evaluated at the intersection of Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive. ECM does not provide sight distances for right-turning vehicles from stop for public street intersections; therefore, AASHTO standards were used for right-turn from stop distances at the intersection of Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive. The following identifies sight distance requirements for the access intersections:

## Marksheffel Road Right-Out Only Access

According to Table 2-35 (Entering Sight Distance for Driveways) from ECM and a posted speed limit of 50 miles per hour along Marksheffel Road, the intersection sight distance for a vehicle turning right from stop along a four-lane roadway is 600 feet. Therefore, all obstructions for right turning vehicles from stop should be clear to the left within the triangle created with a vertex point located 10 feet from the edge of the major road traveled way and a line-of-sight distance of 600 feet located in the middle of the nearest southbound through lane along Marksheffel Road for the right-out access. It is believed that the proposed right-out only access along Marksheffel Road is appropriately located to provide the necessary sight distance needed for through volumes along Marksheffel Road. As this access is located approximately 400 feet from Constitution Avenue, sight distances of 600 feet will not be provided for vehicles turning from Constitution Avenue to southbound Marksheffel Road; however, these vehicles will be traveling at speeds much slower than 50 miles per hour. Therefore, it is believed that the proposed access along Marksheffel Road is appropriately located to provide necessary sight distances.

## Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive

According to Table 2-21 from ECM and a roadway design speed of 50 miles per hour along Constitution Avenue, the intersection sight distance for a vehicle turning left from stop is 555 feet for a two-lane roadway. Since Constitution Avenue is not a two-lane roadway and crosses two additional lanes and a median, additional sight distance needs to be added based on factors from AASHTO. According to AASHTO 9.5.3.2.1 Case B1 - Left Turn from the Minor Road, for left turns
onto two-way roadways with more than two lanes, including turn lanes, 0.5 seconds should be added to the time gap for passenger cars for each additional lane while median widths should be converted to equivalent number of lanes (i.e. 18-foot median would require an additional lane and a half and 0.75 seconds). Based on this, two additional lanes and median accounts for an additional 1.75 seconds and approximately 130 feet of sight distance ( $1.75 \mathrm{sec} * 50 \mathrm{mph} * 5280$ $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{mi} / 3600 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and a total of 685 feet of sight distance ( 555 feet +130 feet).

With AASHTO standards, the sight distance for a vehicle turning right from stop is 480 feet. Therefore, all obstructions for left turning vehicles from stop should be clear to the right within the triangle created with a vertex point located 10 feet from the edge of the major road traveled way (typical position of the minor road driver's eye when stopped) and a line-of-sight distance of 685 feet located in the middle of the nearest westbound through lane along Constitution Avenue for the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection. Likewise, all obstructions for right turning vehicles from stop should be clear to the left within the triangle created with a vertex point located 13 feet from the edge of the major road traveled way and a line-of-sight distance of 480 feet located in the middle of the nearest eastbound through lane along Constitution Avenue. It is believed that the intersection of Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive is appropriately located to provide necessary sight distances.

### 5.7 Bicycle and Pedestrian Access

Sidewalks are provided along both sides of the Marksheffel Road. A sidewalk is provided on the south side of Constitution Avenue east of Marksheffel Road. Adjacent to the site, there are no bicycle lanes along Marksheffel Road or Constitution Avenue. Sidewalks are proposed with the project adjacent to the property frontages along Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive.

### 5.8 Road Impact Fees and Right-of-Way Designation

Road impact fees were evaluated based on the El Paso County Road Impact Fee Schedule. Based on these fee schedule guidelines, the fee per multi-family dwelling unit is $\$ 2,407$. Therefore, the road impact fee for the proposed 226 multi-family residences is expected to be $\$ 543,982$. Road impact fee calculations are shown in Table 7. During the final plat process, the project team will determine if the impact fees are paid up front or if the property will be included in one of the available public improvement districts with reduced upfront costs. The project team will determine payment methods with the final plat.

Table 7 - Road Impact Fees

| Use | Units | Fee / Unit | Total Fee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multi-Family Housing | 226 | $\$ 2,407$ | $\$ 543,982$ |

El Paso County has requested that right-of-way be dedicated along Constitution Avenue. The project is dedicating 20 feet of right-of-way along Constitution Avenue to account for their share of the future 160 feet of right-of-way.

### 5.9 Improvement Summary

Based on the results of the intersection operational, turn lane evaluations, and vehicle queuing analysis, the key intersection recommended improvements and control are shown in Figure 11 for 2023 and Figure 12 for 2045.



### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analysis presented in this report, Kimley-Horn believes Citizen on Constitution will be successfully incorporated into the existing and future roadway network. Analysis of the existing street network, the proposed project development, and expected traffic volumes resulted in the following conclusions and recommendations:

## 2023 Recommendations:

- With construction of the Citizen on Constitution development, a south leg is proposed to be constructed at the existing Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection. Based on configuration of the north leg of this intersection providing chevron striping for a future through lane, it is believed that this leg will provide a southbound through lane when the south leg is constructed. Based on EI Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), a northbound left turn lane will likely be warranted at the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection; however, a separate northbound right turn lane is not expected to be warranted with buildout future traffic projections. As such, it is recommended that the south leg of the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection provide a left turn lane and a shared through/right turn lane. It is also recommended that this intersection continue to operate with stop control and a R1-1 "STOP" sign be installed on the northbound approach. To meet El Paso County standards, an eastbound right turn lane should be provided at this intersection. To be consistent with the intersections in the surrounding area, it is recommended that the third eastbound through lane (outside through lane) at this intersection be dropped as a forced right turn lane.
- With completion of the Citizen on Constitution project, a full movement access is proposed along the new south leg of Akers Drive approximately 300 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center) and a right-out only access is proposed along Marksheffel Road approximately 400 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center). Based on prior coordination, the project access along Akers Drive will be constructed as a single lane roundabout with yield control on all three approaches. It is recommended that a R1-1 "STOP" sign be installed on the eastbound exiting approach at the right-out only access along Marksheffel Road. To further identify the proposed access along Marksheffel Road as a right-out only driveway, it is recommended that a R3-2 "NO LEFT TURN" sign be placed
underneath the STOP sign. Further, a R6-1(R) "ONE WAY" sign should also be installed within the raised center median of Marksheffel Road. To restrict right turn entrance as well, a R3-1 "NO RIGHT TURN" sign should be installed facing drivers traveling southbound along Marksheffel Road as well as a R5-1 "DO NOT ENTER" sign to be placed behind the STOP sign. The driveway throat at the proposed right-out only access should be oriented to further restrict entering movements.


## Deviations Required

The following deviations will be provided at the subdivision stage (i.e. preliminary plan/final plat) for the ECM administrators consideration:

- A deviation will be provided to request full movement access from a future south leg of Akers Drive at Constitution Avenue. The future south leg of Akers Drive along Constitution Avenue will be located approximately 1,050 feet west of Marksheffel Road (measured edge line to center), and approximately 825 feet east of Hannah Ridge Drive. According to the El Paso Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) section 2.2.5.B, spacing of roads accessing an urban principal arterial that will result in a full movement intersection shall be planned at one-half mile. However, as stated in the ECM, one parcel access shall be granted to each existing lot, if it does not create safety or operational problems. The intersection of Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive is expected to meet operational, vehicle queue, and sight distance standards; therefore, it is believed that a south leg of Akers Drive at Constitution Avenue should be granted to allow for one full movement access to the existing lot.
- A deviation will be provided in support of allowing a right-out only access along Marksheffel Road. The proposed right-out access along Marksheffel Road will be located approximately 400 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center). The proposed right-out only access along Marksheffel Road is expected to meet operational, vehicle queue, and sight distance standards; therefore, it is believed that this access should be granted to only allow right-turn exiting movements.
- The access along the new south leg of Akers Drive will be approximately 300 feet south of Constitution Avenue (measured edge line to center). According to the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual section 2.2.5.D, spacing of intersections along urban collector
roadways from an arterial roadway should be 330 feet from the right-of-way line of the arterial to the centerline of the access roadway. Therefore, the proposed access along the new south leg of Akers Drive does not meet ECM standards. The access along Akers Drive is expected to meet operational, vehicle queue, and sight distance standards. A deviation will need to be provided to request for this proposed access to remain at the proposed location.
- A northbound left turn lane is warranted at the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection and based on an El Paso County ECM design speed of 40 miles per hour for an Urban Non-Residential Collector, the deceleration lane length required is 155 feet plus a 160foot taper. Additionally, 50 feet of storage is required by El Paso County due to the peak hour volume. Therefore, this left turn lane is recommended to provide a length of 205 feet plus a 160 -foot taper. The proposed spacing between Constitution Avenue and the access intersection is not sufficient to allow for a 205-foot northbound left turn lane plus 160-foot taper at the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection. It should be noted a 165 -foot northbound left turn lane plus a 120-foot taper based on a design speed of 30 mph is expected to be accommodated with the proposed location of the project access along Akers Drive. A deviation will be requested to allow for the northbound left turn lane at the Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive intersection be constructed with a design speed of 30 miles per hour. El Paso County could explore a continuous northbound left turn lane with two receiving lanes extending from the roundabout to the south which would provide 205 feet of storage. Without a taper and continuous left turn lane, a deviation may not be necessary.


## 2045 Recommendations:

- A traffic signal is warranted with 2045 traffic volume projections at the intersection of Constitution Avenue and Akers Drive. It is recommended that El Paso County monitor traffic volumes at this intersection in the future to determine if signalization is the appropriate control. It should be noted the north leg of this intersection is the controlling approach that triggers the need for signal control and project traffic is only expected to utilize the south leg of this intersection.
- If future traffic volumes are realized by 2045, Marksheffel Road may need to have three through lanes in each direction northbound and southbound along the project frontage. With
this improvement, it is recommended that the westbound right turn lane at the intersection of Constitution Avenue and Marksheffel Road operate with yield control.


## General Recommendations

- Any on-site or offsite improvements should be incorporated into the Civil Drawings and conform to standards of the El Paso County and the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) - 2009 Edition.


## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A

## Intersection Count Sheets



Note: Two-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 7:00 AM | 8 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 12 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 12 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 7 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 15 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 11 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 6 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 74 | 58 | 0 | 19 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hr | 34 | 28 | 0 | 5 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  |  | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | AKERS DR |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 65 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 67 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 27 | 84 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 83 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 86 |
| Count Total | 0 | 7 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 151 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 3 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 67 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  | 0 |  |  | AKERS DR |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.


Note: Two-hour count summary volumes include heavy vehicles but exclude bicycles in overall count.

| Interval Start | Heavy Vehicle Totals |  |  |  |  | Bicycles |  |  |  |  | Pedestrians (Crossing Leg) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | EB | WB | NB | SB | Total | East | West | North | South | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 6 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 7 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 32 | 34 | 0 | 6 | 72 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hr | 19 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  |  | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | AKERS DR |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 48 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 36 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 34 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 |
| Count Total | 0 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 72 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  | 0 |  |  | AKERS DR |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.
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Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  |  | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  |  | MARKSHEFFEL RD |  |  |  | MARKSHEFFEL RD |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 41 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 34 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 32 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 52 | 159 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 53 | 171 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 48 | 185 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 201 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 44 | 193 |
| Count Total | 0 | 29 | 30 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 17 | 0 | 23 | 88 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 69 | 8 | 352 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 42 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 34 | 3 | 159 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  | MARKSHEFFEL RD |  |  | MARKSHEFFEL RD |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.
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| Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  |  | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  |  | MARKSHEFFEL RD |  |  |  | MARKSHEFFEL RD |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 53 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 36 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 149 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 23 | 119 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 29 | 115 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 19 | 98 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 82 |
| Count Total | 0 | 8 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 76 | 3 | 231 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 43 | 0 | 115 | 0 |
| Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interval Start | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  |  | CONSTITUTION AVE |  |  |  | MARKSHEFFEL RD |  |  |  | MARKSHEFFEL RD |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT |  | TH | RT | LT |  | TH | RT | LT |  | TH | RT | LT |  | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.

## APPENDIX B

## Future Traffic Projections

MTCP Growth Rate: Oitizen on Constitution

| Location | 2015AADT | 2040AADT | Growth Factor | Growth Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Constitution Ave W/O Marksheffel Rd | 10800 | 14200 | 1.31 | $1.10 \%$ |

## APPENDIX C

## Trip Generation Worksheets

## Kimley»Horn

$$
\quad \text { Job No. } 096481004
$$

## TRIP GENERATION MANUAL TECHNIQUES

ITE Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition, Fitted Curve Equations
Land Use Code - Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) (221)
Independant Variable - Dwelling Units (X)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=226 \\
& T=\text { Average Vehicle Trip Ends }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. (Series 200 Page 74)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.98 \operatorname{Ln}(X)-0.98 \\
& \operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.98 * \quad \operatorname{Ln}(226.0)-0.98
\end{aligned}
$$

Directional Distribution: 26\% ent. 74\% exit.

| $\mathrm{T}=$ | 76 | Average Vehicle Trip Ends |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | entering | 56 | exiting |

$$
20+56=76
$$

## Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. (Series 200 Page 75)

```
\(\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.96 \operatorname{Ln}(X)-0.63\)
\(\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.96^{*} \operatorname{Ln}(226.0)-0.63\)
```

Directional Distribution: 61\% ent. 39\% exit. $\mathrm{T}=97 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends 59 entering 38 exiting $59+38=97$

## Weekday (Series 200 Page 73)

$\begin{array}{lll}(T)=5.45^{*}(X)-1.75 & & \\ (T)=5.45 * *\end{array}$
Directional Distribution: $50 \%$ ent. $50 \%$ exit. $\mathrm{T}=1230 \quad$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends 615 entering 615 exiting $615+615=1230$

## Peak Hour of Generator, Saturday (Series 200 Page 79)

```
(T) = 0.42*(X) +6.73
(T) = 0.42 * 226 + 6.73
```

    Directional Distribution: 49\% ent. 51\% exit.
        T = 102 Average Vehicle Trip Ends
    50 entering 52 exiting
    \(50+52=102\)
    
## APPENDIX D

## Intersection Analysis Worksheets









| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1080 | 0 | - | 0 | 1521 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - | - | 1035 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 486 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.14 | - | - | - | 6.29 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.84 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 6.04 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.22 | - | - | - | 3.67 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 641 | - | - | - | 135 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 296 | 0 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 550 | 0 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 641 | - | - | - | 128 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 253 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 280 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 550 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.3 |  | 0 |  | 21.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 641 | - | - | - | 253 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.053 | - | - | - | 0.143 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 10.9 | - | - | - | 21.6 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | - | C | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.5 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }_{1}$ | 4 | F＇ |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 108 | 1264 | 10 | 6 | 1309 | 60 | 28 | 0 | 17 | 61 | 0 | 154 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 108 | 1264 | 10 | 6 | 1309 | 60 | 28 | 0 | 17 | 61 | 0 | 154 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | Free |
| Storage Length | 200 | － | 0 | 225 | － | 0 | 150 | － | － | 375 | － | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 117 | 1374 | 11 | 7 | 1423 | 65 | 30 | 0 | 18 | 66 | 0 | 167 |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay，s | 1.2 | 0.1 | 57.9 | 126.4 |
| HCM LOS |  | $F$ | F |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 中4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 个 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | F |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 48 | 1472 | 60 | 48 | 1456 | 63 | 38 | 0 | 22 | 51 | 0 | 90 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 48 | 1472 | 60 | 48 | 1456 | 63 | 38 | 0 | 22 | 51 | 0 | 90 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | None | － | － | Free |
| Storage Length | 200 | － | 0 | 225 | － | 0 | 150 | － | － | 375 | － | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | \＃ | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 2 | － | － | 2 | － |
| Grade，\％ | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － | － | 0 | － |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 52 | 1600 | 65 | 52 | 1583 | 68 | 41 | 0 | 24 | 55 | 0 | 98 |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay，s | 0.5 | 0.5 | 74.4 | 144.7 |
| HCM LOS |  | $F$ | $F$ |  |


| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt | NBLn1 NBLn2 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 SBLn3 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity（veh／h） | 72 | 328 | 387 | - | - | 382 | - | - | 72 |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | V | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 个4 | F | \% | ¢ $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 108 | 1264 | 20 | 16 | 1309 | 60 | 56 | 0 | 61 | 154 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 108 | 1264 | 20 | 16 | 1309 | 60 | 56 | 0 | 61 | 154 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split (\%) | 81.3\% | 81.3\% | 81.3\% | 81.3\% | 81.3\% | 81.3\% | 18.8\% | 18.8\% | 18.8\% | 18.8\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.52 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.53 |
| Control Delay | 14.5 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 20.7 | 3.8 | 49.5 | 0.7 | 50.5 | 27.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 14.5 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 20.7 | 3.8 | 49.5 | 0.7 | 50.5 | 27.5 |
| LOS | B | A | A | A | C | A | D | A | D | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.3 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 31.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 15.0 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.5\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Akers Dr \& Constitution Ave



## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

|  | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $4$ | 9 | $\pm$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{7}$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 48 | 1472 | 60 | 48 | 1456 | 63 | 38 | 0 | 51 | 90 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 48 | 1472 | 60 | 48 | 1456 | 63 | 38 | 0 | 51 | 90 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 78.3\% | 78.3\% | 78.3\% | 78.3\% | 78.3\% | 78.3\% | 21.7\% | 21.7\% | 21.7\% | 21.7\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 89.5 | 89.5 | 89.5 | 89.5 | 89.5 | 89.5 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 21.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.61 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.60 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay | 10.8 | 8.3 | 1.1 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 4.9 | 43.7 | 0.4 | 44.9 | 20.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 10.8 | 8.3 | 1.1 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 4.9 | 43.7 | 0.4 | 44.9 | 20.1 |
| LOS | B | A | A | B | B | A | D | A | D | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.1 |  |  | 14.0 |  |  | 27.7 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 28 (23\%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 12.1 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 61.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Akers Dr \& Constitution Ave


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 | F | ${ }^{*}$ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 48 | 1472 | 60 | 48 | 1456 | 63 | 38 | 0 | 22 | 51 | 0 | 90 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 48 | 1472 | 60 | 48 | 1456 | 63 | 38 | 0 | 22 | 51 | 0 | 90 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | ， | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 52 | 1600 | 65 | 52 | 1583 | 68 | 41 | 0 | 24 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | ， | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 224 | 2650 | 1182 | 220 | 2650 | 1182 | 314 | 0 | 284 | 291 | 335 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 302 | 3554 | 1585 | 298 | 3554 | 1585 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 1387 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 52 | 1600 | 65 | 52 | 1583 | 68 | 41 | 0 | 24 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 302 | 1777 | 1585 | 298 | 1777 | 1585 | 1418 | 0 | 1585 | 1387 | 1870 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 11.4 | 25.0 | 1.3 | 11.7 | 24.5 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 35.9 | 25.0 | 1.3 | 36.7 | 24.5 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 224 | 2650 | 1182 | 220 | 2650 | 1182 | 314 | 0 | 284 | 291 | 335 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.23 | 0.60 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.60 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.00 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 224 | 2650 | 1182 | 220 | 2650 | 1182 | 314 | 0 | 284 | 291 | 335 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 15.2 | 7.1 | 4.0 | 15.5 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 41.6 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 43.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.4 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 0.9 | 8.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 8.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 17.6 | 8.1 | 4.1 | 16.1 | 7.2 | 4.1 | 42.5 | 0.0 | 41.6 | 44.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | B | A | A | B | A | A | D | A | D | D | A |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1717 |  |  | 1703 |  |  | 65 |  |  | 55 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 8.2 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 42.2 |  |  | 44.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），$s$ |  | 26.0 |  | 94.0 |  | 26.0 |  | 94.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s |  | 21.5 |  | 89.5 |  | 21.5 |  | 89.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s |  | 4.9 |  | 37.9 |  | 7.6 |  | 38.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s |  | 0.1 |  | 23.6 |  | 0.1 |  | 23.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for［SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

2：Marksheffel Rd \＆Constitution Ave

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{1 *}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{17}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 135 | 334 | 325 | 93 | 455 | 206 | 313 | 724 | 32 | 191 | 1341 | 115 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 135 | 334 | 325 | 93 | 455 | 206 | 313 | 724 | 32 | 191 | 1341 | 115 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 24.0 |  | 10.5 | 23.5 |  | 11.0 | 24.0 |  | 11.0 | 24.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 14.0 | 24.8 |  | 14.6 | 25.4 |  | 19.0 | 62.6 |  | 18.0 | 61.6 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．7\％ | 20．7\％ |  | 12．2\％ | 21．2\％ |  | 15．8\％ | 52．2\％ |  | 15．0\％ | 51．3\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C－Max |  | None | C－Max |  |
| Act Efftt Green（s） | 26.6 | 18.6 | 120.0 | 27.9 | 19.3 | 120.0 | 13.1 | 58.0 | 120.0 | 11.2 | 56.1 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.22 | 0.16 | 1.00 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.48 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 0.47 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.65 | 0.22 | 0.41 | 0.85 | 0.14 | 0.89 | 0.45 | 0.02 | 0.63 | 0.86 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 67.9 | 53.7 | 0.3 | 38.7 | 64.2 | 0.2 | 78.3 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 61.7 | 35.4 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 67.9 | 53.7 | 0.3 | 38.7 | 64.2 | 0.2 | 78.3 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 61.7 | 35.4 | 0.1 |
| LOS | E | D | A | D | E | A | E | C | A | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.3 |  |  | 43.6 |  |  | 37.7 |  |  | 36.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 37.4 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 85．6\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：2：Marksheffel Rd \＆Constitution Ave


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 性 | 「 | 7 | 性 | 「 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 性 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 135 | 334 | 325 | 93 | 455 | 206 | 313 | 724 | 32 | 191 | 1341 | 115 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 135 | 334 | 325 | 93 | 455 | 206 | 313 | 724 | 32 | 191 | 1341 | 115 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 144 | 355 | 0 | 99 | 484 | 0 | 333 | 770 | 0 | 203 | 1427 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 199 | 587 |  | 242 | 551 |  | 374 | 1786 |  | 262 | 1670 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 144 | 355 | 0 | 99 | 484 | 0 | 333 | 770 | 0 | 203 | 1427 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 8.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 42.7 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 8.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 42.7 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 199 | 587 |  | 242 | 551 |  | 374 | 1786 |  | 262 | 1670 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.73 | 0.61 |  | 0.41 | 0.88 |  | 0.89 | 0.43 |  | 0.77 | 0.85 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 199 | 587 |  | 269 | 589 |  | 374 | 1786 |  | 346 | 1670 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 41.2 | 46.5 | 0.0 | 39.5 | 49.6 | 0.0 | 52.8 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 54.4 | 28.2 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 12.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 5.8 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（ $50 \%$ ），veh／In | 4.3 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 18.9 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 53.6 | 48.2 | 0.0 | 40.6 | 63.2 | 0.0 | 74.9 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 62.2 | 34.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D |  | D | E |  | E | B |  | E | C |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 499 | A |  | 583 | A |  | 1103 | A |  | 1630 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 49.8 |  |  | 59.4 |  |  | 36.4 |  |  | 37.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 15.1 | 66.3 | 12.8 | 25.8 | 19.0 | 62.4 | 14.0 | 24.6 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 12.0 | 56.6 | 9.1 | 18.8 | 13.0 | 55.6 | 8.0 | ${ }^{*} 20$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I1），s | 8.9 | 18.5 | 7.5 | 13.1 | 13.4 | 44.7 | 10.0 | 18.0 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.2 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 42.1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

2：Marksheffel Rd \＆Constitution Ave

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％${ }^{*}$ | 性 | F | \％${ }^{1}$ | 性 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 114 | 560 | 258 | 123 | 459 | 269 | 371 | 1269 | 46 | 215 | 739 | 110 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 114 | 560 | 258 | 123 | 459 | 269 | 371 | 1269 | 46 | 215 | 739 | 110 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | ， | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 24.0 |  | 10.5 | 23.5 |  | 11.0 | 24.0 |  | 11.0 | 24.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 14.0 | 30.0 |  | 14.4 | 30.4 |  | 26.0 | 59.6 |  | 16.0 | 49.6 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．7\％ | 25．0\％ |  | 12．0\％ | 25．3\％ |  | 21．7\％ | 49．7\％ |  | 13．3\％ | 41．3\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C－Max |  | None | C－Max |  |
| Act Efftt Green（s） | 31.1 | 23.2 | 120.0 | 32.8 | 24.1 | 120.0 | 18.0 | 54.5 | 120.0 | 10.0 | 46.5 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.87 | 0.17 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.18 | 0.77 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 0.80 | 0.57 | 0.07 |
| Control Delay | 41.9 | 61.4 | 0.2 | 49.9 | 50.0 | 0.2 | 59.3 | 35.1 | 0.0 | 74.8 | 31.6 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 41.9 | 61.4 | 0.2 | 49.9 | 50.0 | 0.2 | 59.3 | 35.1 | 0.0 | 74.8 | 31.6 | 0.1 |
| LOS | D | E | A | D | D | A | E | D | A | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 42.1 |  |  | 34.3 |  |  | 39.5 |  |  | 37.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 38.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 83．1\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：2：Marksheffel Rd \＆Constitution Ave


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 性 | 「 | \％ | 性 | F＇ | \％${ }^{1}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 114 | 560 | 258 | 123 | 459 | 269 | 371 | 1269 | 46 | 215 | 739 | 110 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 114 | 560 | 258 | 123 | 459 | 269 | 371 | 1269 | 46 | 215 | 739 | 110 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 121 | 596 | 0 | 131 | 488 | 0 | 395 | 1350 | 0 | 229 | 786 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 236 | 668 |  | 212 | 680 |  | 462 | 1635 |  | 283 | 1451 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.41 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 121 | 596 | 0 | 131 | 488 | 0 | 395 | 1350 | 0 | 229 | 786 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 6.5 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 13.4 | 39.7 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 20.2 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 6.5 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 13.4 | 39.7 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 20.2 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 236 | 668 |  | 212 | 680 |  | 462 | 1635 |  | 283 | 1451 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.51 | 0.89 |  | 0.62 | 0.72 |  | 0.85 | 0.83 |  | 0.81 | 0.54 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 236 | 711 |  | 212 | 737 |  | 576 | 1635 |  | 288 | 1451 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（1） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 36.9 | 47.5 | 0.0 | 37.2 | 45.5 | 0.0 | 50.8 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 27.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 1.9 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（ $50 \%$ ），veh／ln | 3.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 38.7 | 60.6 | 0.0 | 42.5 | 48.6 | 0.0 | 60.9 | 33.1 | 0.0 | 69.7 | 28.4 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | E |  | D | D |  | E | C |  | E | C |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 717 | A |  | 619 | A |  | 1745 | A |  | 1015 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 56.9 |  |  | 47.3 |  |  | 39.4 |  |  | 37.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 15.8 | 61.2 | 14.4 | 28.6 | 22.0 | 55.0 | 14.0 | 29.0 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 10.0 | 53.6 | 8.9 | 24.0 | 20.0 | 43.6 | 8.0 | ${ }^{*} 25$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I1），s | 9.8 | 41.7 | 9.0 | 21.6 | 15.4 | 22.2 | 8.5 | 17.4 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 43.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

2: Marksheffel Rd \& Constitution Ave


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{1+1}$ | 个个 | 「 | ${ }^{1 *}$ | 个4 | F＇ |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 140 | 347 | 338 | 97 | 473 | 214 | 326 | 753 | 33 | 199 | 1395 | 120 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 140 | 347 | 338 | 97 | 473 | 214 | 326 | 753 | 33 | 199 | 1395 | 120 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 149 | 369 | 0 | 103 | 503 | 0 | 347 | 801 | 0 | 212 | 1484 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 197 | 594 |  | 243 | 565 |  | 374 | 1763 |  | 271 | 1656 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 149 | 369 | 0 | 103 | 503 | 0 | 347 | 801 | 0 | 212 | 1484 |  |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 8.0 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 45.9 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 8.0 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 45.9 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 197 | 594 |  | 243 | 565 |  | 374 | 1763 |  | 271 | 1656 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.76 | 0.62 |  | 0.42 | 0.89 |  | 0.93 | 0.45 |  | 0.78 | 0.90 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 197 | 594 |  | 267 | 589 |  | 374 | 1763 |  | 346 | 1656 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 41.5 | 46.4 | 0.0 | 39.1 | 49.4 | 0.0 | 53.0 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 54.3 | 29.4 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 15.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 28.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 4.6 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 20.8 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 56.9 | 48.4 | 0.0 | 40.3 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 63.0 | 37.4 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | D |  | D | E |  | F | C |  | E | D |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 518 | A |  | 606 | A |  | 1148 | A |  | 1696 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 50.9 |  |  | 60.6 |  |  | 39.0 |  |  | 40.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 15.4 | 65.5 | 13.0 | 26.1 | 19.0 | 61.9 | 14.0 | 25.1 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{6} 6$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 12.0 | 56.6 | 9.1 | 18.8 | 13.0 | 55.6 | 8.0 | ${ }^{*} 20$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I1），s | 9.2 | 19.6 | 7.7 | 13.6 | 13.9 | 47.9 | 10.0 | 18.6 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.2 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 44.5
HCM 6th LOS D

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

2：Marksheffel Rd \＆Constitution Ave

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | 「 | \％ 1 | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{17}$ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 119 | 583 | 268 | 128 | 478 | 280 | 386 | 1320 | 48 | 224 | 769 | 114 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 119 | 583 | 268 | 128 | 478 | 280 | 386 | 1320 | 48 | 224 | 769 | 114 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 24.0 |  | 10.5 | 23.5 |  | 11.0 | 24.0 |  | 11.0 | 24.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 14.0 | 30.0 |  | 14.4 | 30.4 |  | 26.0 | 59.6 |  | 16.0 | 49.6 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．7\％ | 25．0\％ |  | 12．0\％ | 25．3\％ |  | 21．7\％ | 49．7\％ |  | 13．3\％ | 41．3\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C－Max |  | None | C－Max |  |
| Act Efftt Green（s） | 31.4 | 23.4 | 120.0 | 33.1 | 24.3 | 120.0 | 18.3 | 54.2 | 120.0 | 10.1 | 45.9 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 0.28 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.61 | 0.90 | 0.18 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.19 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.82 | 0.60 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 44.7 | 64.3 | 0.2 | 51.8 | 50.7 | 0.3 | 60.0 | 37.8 | 0.0 | 77.1 | 32.6 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 44.7 | 64.3 | 0.2 | 51.8 | 50.7 | 0.3 | 60.0 | 37.8 | 0.0 | 77.1 | 32.6 | 0.1 |
| LOS | D | E | A | D | D | A | E | D | A | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 44.2 |  |  | 34.9 |  |  | 41.7 |  |  | 38.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 40.1 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 85．7\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：2：Marksheffel Rd \＆Constitution Ave


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F＇ | ${ }^{1 *}$ | 个个 | 「 | ${ }^{17}$ | 个个 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 119 | 583 | 268 | 128 | 478 | 280 | 386 | 1320 | 48 | 224 | 769 | 114 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 119 | 583 | 268 | 128 | 478 | 280 | 386 | 1320 | 48 | 224 | 769 | 114 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 127 | 620 | 0 | 136 | 509 | 0 | 411 | 1404 | 0 | 238 | 818 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 234 | 685 |  | 210 | 696 |  | 477 | 1613 |  | 288 | 1419 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 127 | 620 | 0 | 136 | 509 | 0 | 411 | 1404 | 0 | 238 | 818 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 6.8 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 42.8 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 21.6 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 6.8 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 42.8 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 21.6 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 234 | 685 |  | 210 | 696 |  | 477 | 1613 |  | 288 | 1419 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.54 | 0.91 |  | 0.65 | 0.73 |  | 0.86 | 0.87 |  | 0.83 | 0.58 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 234 | 711 |  | 210 | 737 |  | 576 | 1613 |  | 288 | 1419 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（1） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 36.6 | 47.4 | 0.0 | 37.0 | 45.3 | 0.0 | 50.6 | 29.6 | 0.0 | 54.1 | 28.1 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.6 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 3.1 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 9.4 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 39.2 | 62.3 | 0.0 | 43.8 | 48.8 | 0.0 | 61.6 | 36.3 | 0.0 | 71.8 | 29.8 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | E |  | D | D |  | E | D |  | E | C |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 747 | A |  | 645 | A |  | 1815 | A |  | 1056 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 58.4 |  |  | 47.7 |  |  | 42.0 |  |  | 39.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Phs Duration $(G+Y+R c)$ ，s | 16.0 | 60.5 | 14.4 | 29.1 | 22.6 | 53.9 | 14.0 | 29.5 |
| Change Period $(\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc})$ ，s | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | $* 6$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 10.0 | 53.6 | 8.9 | 24.0 | 20.0 | 43.6 | 8.0 | $* 25$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 10.1 | 44.8 | 9.3 | 22.5 | 16.0 | 23.6 | 8.8 | 18.1 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿C），s | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 45.1
HCM 6th LOS D

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

2: Marksheffel Rd \& Constitution Ave


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 性 | 「 | 7 | 性 | 「 | \％ 7 | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％${ }^{17}$ | 性 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 148 | 355 | 338 | 97 | 476 | 214 | 330 | 753 | 33 | 199 | 1395 | 123 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 148 | 355 | 338 | 97 | 476 | 214 | 330 | 753 | 33 | 199 | 1395 | 123 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 157 | 378 | 0 | 103 | 506 | 0 | 351 | 801 | 0 | 212 | 1484 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 254 | 734 |  | 284 | 626 |  | 414 | 1616 |  | 277 | 1475 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 157 | 378 | 0 | 103 | 506 | 0 | 351 | 801 | 0 | 212 | 1484 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 8.5 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 49.8 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 8.5 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 49.8 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 254 | 734 |  | 284 | 626 |  | 414 | 1616 |  | 277 | 1475 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.62 | 0.51 |  | 0.36 | 0.81 |  | 0.85 | 0.50 |  | 0.76 | 1.01 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 355 | 903 |  | 440 | 918 |  | 504 | 1616 |  | 504 | 1475 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 36.9 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 37.2 | 47.5 | 0.0 | 51.7 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 54.1 | 35.1 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 24.9 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 3.9 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 26.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 39.4 | 42.8 | 0.0 | 38.0 | 51.0 | 0.0 | 62.6 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 58.4 | 60.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D |  | D | D |  | E | C |  | E | F |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 535 | A |  | 609 | A |  | 1152 | A |  | 1696 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 41.8 |  |  | 48.8 |  |  | 35.9 |  |  | 59.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 15.6 | 60.6 | 13.0 | 30.8 | 20.4 | 55.8 | 16.7 | 27.1 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{6} 6$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 17.5 | 30.5 | 18.0 | 30.5 | 17.5 | 30.5 | 17.5 | ${ }^{3} 31$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I1），s | 9.2 | 21.0 | 7.6 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 51.8 | 10.5 | 18.4 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.4 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.7 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 48.8 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

2：Marksheffel Rd \＆Constitution Ave

|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | F | \％ | 个4 | F＇ | \％${ }^{1}$ | 性 | F | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 125 | 589 | 268 | 128 | 487 | 280 | 398 | 1320 | 48 | 224 | 769 | 123 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 125 | 589 | 268 | 128 | 487 | 280 | 398 | 1320 | 48 | 224 | 769 | 123 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 24.0 |  | 10.5 | 23.5 |  | 11.0 | 24.0 |  | 11.0 | 24.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 13.0 | 30.0 |  | 14.4 | 31.4 |  | 27.0 | 59.6 |  | 16.0 | 48.6 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．8\％ | 25．0\％ |  | 12．0\％ | 26．2\％ |  | 22．5\％ | 49．7\％ |  | 13．3\％ | 40．5\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C－Max |  | None | C－Max |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 30.5 | 23.5 | 120.0 | 34.1 | 25.3 | 120.0 | 19.0 | 54.1 | 120.0 | 10.1 | 45.2 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.25 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.67 | 0.91 | 0.18 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.19 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.82 | 0.61 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 49.7 | 65.1 | 0.2 | 51.8 | 49.3 | 0.3 | 59.1 | 37.9 | 0.0 | 77.1 | 33.3 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 49.7 | 65.1 | 0.2 | 51.8 | 49.3 | 0.3 | 59.1 | 37.9 | 0.0 | 77.1 | 33.3 | 0.1 |
| LOS | D | E | A | D | D | A | E | D | A | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 45.5 |  |  | 34.3 |  |  | 41.7 |  |  | 38.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 40.3 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 85．8\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：2：Marksheffel Rd \＆Constitution Ave


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F＇ | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个个 | 「 | ${ }^{17}$ | 个个 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 125 | 589 | 268 | 128 | 487 | 280 | 398 | 1320 | 48 | 224 | 769 | 123 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 125 | 589 | 268 | 128 | 487 | 280 | 398 | 1320 | 48 | 224 | 769 | 123 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 133 | 627 | 0 | 136 | 518 | 0 | 423 | 1404 | 0 | 238 | 818 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 226 | 689 |  | 209 | 731 |  | 491 | 1609 |  | 288 | 1400 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 133 | 627 | 0 | 136 | 518 | 0 | 423 | 1404 | 0 | 238 | 818 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 7.0 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 21.7 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 7.0 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 21.7 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 226 | 689 |  | 209 | 731 |  | 491 | 1609 |  | 288 | 1400 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.59 | 0.91 |  | 0.65 | 0.71 |  | 0.86 | 0.87 |  | 0.83 | 0.58 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 226 | 711 |  | 209 | 767 |  | 605 | 1609 |  | 288 | 1400 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（1） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 37.8 | 47.3 | 0.0 | 36.9 | 44.3 | 0.0 | 50.3 | 29.7 | 0.0 | 54.1 | 28.6 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 4.0 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 3.4 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 9.6 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 41.8 | 62.9 | 0.0 | 43.8 | 47.2 | 0.0 | 60.6 | 36.6 | 0.0 | 71.8 | 30.4 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | E |  | D | D |  | E | D |  | E | C |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 760 | A |  | 654 | A |  | 1827 | A |  | 1056 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 59.2 |  |  | 46.5 |  |  | 42.1 |  |  | 39.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 16.0 | 60.3 | 14.4 | 29.3 | 23.1 | 53.3 | 13.0 | 30.7 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 10.0 | 53.6 | 8.9 | 24.0 | 21.0 | 42.6 | 7.0 | ${ }^{*} 26$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I1），s | 10.1 | 44.9 | 9.3 | 22.7 | 16.4 | 23.7 | 9.0 | 18.3 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 2.0 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 45.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | $D$ |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个 4 | F | \％ | 性 | 「 | 7\％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F | \％＊＊ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 225 | 545 | 523 | 150 | 735 | 331 | 503 | 1165 | 51 | 307 | 2157 | 188 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 225 | 545 | 523 | 150 | 735 | 331 | 503 | 1165 | 51 | 307 | 2157 | 188 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 239 | 580 | 0 | 160 | 782 | 0 | 535 | 1239 | 0 | 327 | 2295 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 164 | 660 |  | 197 | 666 |  | 374 | 2080 |  | 382 | 2088 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.59 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 239 | 580 | 0 | 160 | 782 | 0 | 535 | 1239 | 0 | 327 | 2295 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 7.0 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 26.6 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 70.5 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 7.0 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 26.6 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 70.5 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 164 | 660 |  | 197 | 666 |  | 374 | 2080 |  | 382 | 2088 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 1.46 | 0.88 |  | 0.81 | 1.17 |  | 1.43 | 0.60 |  | 0.86 | 1.10 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 164 | 660 |  | 197 | 666 |  | 374 | 2080 |  | 403 | 2088 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（1） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 43.6 | 47.5 | 0.0 | 41.1 | 48.8 | 0.0 | 53.5 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 52.4 | 24.7 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 236.6 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 93.4 | 0.0 | 208.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 52.7 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 12.3 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 42.8 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 280.3 | 60.4 | 0.0 | 63.3 | 142.2 | 0.0 | 261.5 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 68.3 | 77.5 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | F | E |  | E | F |  | F | B |  | E | F |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 819 | A |  | 942 | A |  | 1774 | A |  | 2622 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 124.6 |  |  | 128.8 |  |  | 90.8 |  |  | 76.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | E |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 19.3 | 76.7 | 13.2 | 28.3 | 19.0 | 77.0 | 13.0 | 28.5 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 14.0 | 53.0 | 7.7 | 21.8 | 13.0 | 54.0 | 7.0 | ${ }^{*} 23$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 13.2 | 28.6 | 9.7 | 21.1 | 15.0 | 72.5 | 9.0 | 24.5 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 94.9 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | F |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | F | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 195 | 913 | 415 | 198 | 756 | 433 | 609 | 2041 | 74 | 346 | 1189 | 195 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 195 | 913 | 415 | 198 | 756 | 433 | 609 | 2041 | 74 | 346 | 1189 | 195 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 207 | 971 | 0 | 211 | 804 | 0 | 648 | 2171 | 0 | 368 | 1265 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 164 | 785 |  | 156 | 755 |  | 605 | 2117 |  | 259 | 1762 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.06 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 3554 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 207 | 971 | 0 | 211 | 804 | 0 | 648 | 2171 | 0 | 368 | 1265 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1777 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 7.0 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 71.5 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 33.4 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 7.0 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 71.5 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 33.4 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 164 | 785 |  | 156 | 755 |  | 605 | 2117 |  | 259 | 1762 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 1.26 | 1.24 |  | 1.35 | 1.06 |  | 1.07 | 1.03 |  | 1.42 | 0.72 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 164 | 785 |  | 156 | 755 |  | 605 | 2117 |  | 259 | 1762 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 41.6 | 46.8 | 0.0 | 42.3 | 47.2 | 0.0 | 49.5 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 55.5 | 23.7 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 157.8 | 117.6 | 0.0 | 192.9 | 51.3 | 0.0 | 57.3 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 210.1 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 8.7 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 35.4 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 199.5 | 164.4 | 0.0 | 235.2 | 98.6 | 0.0 | 106.8 | 50.6 | 0.0 | 265.6 | 26.2 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | F | F |  | F | F |  | F | F |  | F | C |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1178 | A |  | 1015 | A |  | 2819 | A |  | 1633 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 170.6 |  |  | 127.0 |  |  | 63.5 |  |  | 80.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 15.0 | 78.0 | 12.0 | 32.5 | 27.0 | 66.0 | 13.0 | 31.5 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 9.0 | 55.0 | 6.5 | 26.0 | 21.0 | 43.0 | 7.0 | ${ }^{*} 26$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I1），s | 11.0 | 73.5 | 8.5 | 28.5 | 23.0 | 35.4 | 9.0 | 27.5 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 96.3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | F |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

2: Marksheffel Rd \& Constitution Ave


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | F | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 个乐个 | 「 | Yi＊ | 个乐个 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 233 | 553 | 523 | 150 | 738 | 331 | 507 | 1165 | 51 | 307 | 2157 | 191 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 233 | 553 | 523 | 150 | 738 | 331 | 507 | 1165 | 51 | 307 | 2157 | 191 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 248 | 588 | 0 | 160 | 785 | 0 | 539 | 1239 | 0 | 327 | 2295 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 223 | 788 |  | 264 | 755 |  | 461 | 2675 |  | 393 | 2574 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 5106 | 1585 | 3456 | 5106 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 248 | 588 | 0 | 160 | 785 | 0 | 539 | 1239 | 0 | 327 | 2295 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1702 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 11.0 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 48.6 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 11.0 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 48.6 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 223 | 788 |  | 264 | 755 |  | 461 | 2675 |  | 393 | 2574 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 1.11 | 0.75 |  | 0.61 | 1.04 |  | 1.17 | 0.46 |  | 0.83 | 0.89 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 223 | 788 |  | 319 | 755 |  | 461 | 2675 |  | 518 | 2574 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（1） | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 39.3 | 52.3 | 0.0 | 34.1 | 47.2 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 52.1 | 26.8 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 88.8 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 43.4 | 0.0 | 97.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 5.2 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 6.8 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 20.2 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 128.1 | 55.7 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 90.7 | 0.0 | 149.5 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 60.7 | 32.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | F | E |  | D | F |  | F | B |  | E | C |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 836 | A |  | 945 | A |  | 1778 | A |  | 2622 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 77.2 |  |  | 81.5 |  |  | 58.2 |  |  | 35.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 19.6 | 69.4 | 15.9 | 32.6 | 22.0 | 67.0 | 17.0 | 31.5 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{6} 6$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 18.0 | 42.0 | 14.1 | 22.4 | 16.0 | 44.0 | 11.0 | ${ }^{*} 26$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 13.1 | 20.3 | 10.3 | 21.5 | 18.0 | 50.6 | 13.0 | 27.5 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.5 | 9.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 54.7 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F | \％ | 性 | 「 | \％${ }^{1 *}$ | 个个4 | F | ${ }^{7 *}$ | 个种 | F |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 195 | 913 | 415 | 198 | 756 | 433 | 609 | 2041 | 74 | 346 | 1189 | 195 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 195 | 913 | 415 | 198 | 756 | 433 | 609 | 2041 | 74 | 346 | 1189 | 195 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 207 | 971 | 0 | 211 | 804 | 0 | 648 | 2171 | 0 | 368 | 1265 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 248 | 838 |  | 249 | 844 |  | 728 | 2532 |  | 374 | 2009 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.20 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 1781 | 3554 | 1585 | 3456 | 5106 | 1585 | 3456 | 5106 | 1585 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 207 | 971 | 0 | 211 | 804 | 0 | 648 | 2171 | 0 | 368 | 1265 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1781 | 1777 | 1585 | 1728 | 1702 | 1585 | 1728 | 1702 | 1585 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 10.7 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 44.8 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 24.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 10.7 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 44.8 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 24.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 248 | 838 |  | 249 | 844 |  | 728 | 2532 |  | 374 | 2009 |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.83 | 1.16 |  | 0.85 | 0.95 |  | 0.89 | 0.86 |  | 0.98 | 0.63 |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 248 | 838 |  | 275 | 844 |  | 864 | 2532 |  | 374 | 2009 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（1） | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 29.6 | 31.7 | 0.0 | 32.8 | 45.1 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 29.3 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 17.2 | 82.3 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 41.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 5.1 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 46.8 | 114.0 | 0.0 | 52.7 | 65.4 | 0.0 | 56.1 | 30.6 | 0.0 | 95.2 | 30.8 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | F |  | D | E |  | E | C |  | F | C |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1178 | A |  | 1015 | A |  | 2819 | A |  | 1633 | A |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 102.2 |  |  | 62.8 |  |  | 36.4 |  |  | 45.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 19.0 | 66.0 | 18.2 | 34.3 | 31.3 | 53.7 | 18.0 | 34.5 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | ${ }^{*} 6$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 13.0 | 43.0 | 14.5 | 26.0 | 30.0 | 26.0 | 12.0 | ${ }^{*} 29$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I1），s | 14.8 | 46.8 | 12.6 | 30.3 | 23.9 | 26.0 | 12.7 | 28.8 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 54.3
HCM 6th LOS D

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
Unsignalized Delay for［NBR，EBR，WBR，SBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．

| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 2.9 |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |
| Approach | WB | SB |  |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 49 | 0 | 22 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 50 | 0 | 22 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 22 | 0 | 50 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.00 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | 2.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | A | - | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LR | TR | LT |
| Assumed Moves | LR | TR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 1.000 |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2.609 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 4.976 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 22 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 50 | 0 | 1380 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1380 | 1349 | 1.000 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.980 | 1.000 | 22 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 49 | 0 | 1380 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1352 | 1349 | 0.016 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.036 | 0.000 | 2.7 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | 2.7 | A |
| LOS | A | A | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 3.0 |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  | NB |
| Approach | WB | 1 | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 33 | 0 | 64 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 34 | 65 | 65 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 65 | 0 | 34 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 1.000 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | - | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LR | TR | LT |
| Assumed Moves | LR | LT |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 34 | 0 | 65 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1380 | 1291 | 1380 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.971 | 1.000 | 0.985 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 33 | 0 | 64 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1339 | 1291 | 1359 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.047 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| LOS | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection |  |  |  |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 3.0 |  | NB |
| Intersection LOS | A |  | SB |
| Approach | WB | 1 | 1 |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 49 | 39 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 49 | 50 | 39 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 50 | 22 | 0 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 50 | 17 | 0 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 22 | 0 | 1.000 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 1.000 | A |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 3.0 | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 3.1 | A |  |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LR | TR | LT |
| Assumed Moves | LR | LT |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 50 | 50 | 39 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1311 | 1349 | 1380 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.991 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 49 | 49 | 39 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1285 | 1323 | 1368 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.038 | 0.037 | 0.028 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| LOS | A | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 995 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 6.94 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.32 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | *294 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | 1 |  | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | *294 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 17.8 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS C

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBTEBLn1 | SBT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 294 | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.041 | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 17.8 | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity | \$: Delay exceeds 300s |  | +: Computation Not Defined | *: All major volume in platoon |



| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 633 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 6.94 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.32 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | $* 607$ | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | 1 |  | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | $* 607$ | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, $s$ | 11 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBTEBLn1 | SBT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 607 | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - 0.014 | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 11 | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - 0 | - |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity | \$: Delay exceeds 300s |  | +: Computation Not Defined | *: All major volume in platoon |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 1538 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 7.14 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.92 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | *249 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | 1 |  | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | *249 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 20.2 | 0 | 0 |

HCM LOS C

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT EBLn1 | SBT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 249 | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - 0.048 | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 20.2 | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity | \$: Delay exceeds 300s |  | +: Computation Not Defined | *: All major volume in platoon |



| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 980 | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 7.14 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.92 | - | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | *492 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Platoon blocked, \% | 1 |  | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | *492 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.4 | 0 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBTEBLn1 | SBT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 492 | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.018 | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 12.4 | - |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity | \$: Delay exceeds 300s |  | +: Computation Not Defined | *: All major volume in platoon |

## APPENDIX E

## Signal Warrant Figure



## APPENDIX F

## Queue Analysis Worksheets

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 117 | 1374 | 22 | 17 | 1423 | 65 | 61 | 37 | 66 | 167 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.52 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.53 |
| Control Delay | 14.5 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 20.7 | 3.8 | 49.5 | 0.7 | 50.5 | 27.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 14.5 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 20.7 | 3.8 | 49.5 | 0.7 | 50.5 | 27.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 28 | 172 | 0 | 6 | 587 | 14 | 43 | 0 | 46 | 50 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 82 | 208 | 5 | m6 | m434 | m11 | 86 | 0 | 92 | 123 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 512 |  |  | 1058 |  |  | 252 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 200 |  |  | 225 |  |  | 150 |  | 375 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 227 | 2742 | 1231 | 242 | 2742 | 1241 | 211 | 325 | 204 | 318 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.52 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.53 |

## Intersection Summary

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 52 | 1600 | 65 | 52 | 1583 | 68 | 41 | 24 | 55 | 98 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.61 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.60 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay | 10.8 | 8.3 | 1.1 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 4.9 | 43.7 | 0.4 | 44.9 | 20.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 10.8 | 8.3 | 1.1 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 4.9 | 43.7 | 0.4 | 44.9 | 20.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 12 | 261 | 0 | 18 | 305 | 10 | 27 | 0 | 37 | 22 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 34 | 315 | 10 | m20 | m293 | m12 | 61 | 0 | 77 | 72 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 512 |  |  | 1058 |  |  | 252 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) | 200 |  |  | 225 |  |  | 150 |  | 375 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 167 | 2639 | 1197 | 164 | 2639 | 1197 | 252 | 334 | 247 | 336 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.61 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.60 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.29 |

## Intersection Summary

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 157 | 378 | 360 | 103 | 506 | 228 | 351 | 801 | 35 | 212 | 1484 | 131 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.55 | 0.48 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.75 | 0.14 | 0.73 | 0.58 | 0.02 | 0.58 | 1.17 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 33.9 | 42.2 | 0.3 | 27.6 | 52.5 | 0.2 | 58.3 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 57.4 | 122.4 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 33.9 | 42.2 | 0.3 | 27.6 | 52.5 | 0.2 | 58.3 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 57.4 | 122.4 | 0.1 |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) | 85 | 134 | 0 | 54 | 195 | 0 | 135 | 255 | 0 | 81 | $\sim 734$ | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) | 121 | 170 | 0 | 83 | 241 | 0 | 183 | 381 | 0 | 119 | \#1016 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 1058 |  |  | 960 |  |  | 381 |  |  | 511 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 450 |  |  | 225 |  |  | 575 |  |  | 525 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 333 | 917 | 1583 | 435 | 914 | 1583 | 521 | 1388 | 1583 | 500 | 1264 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.47 | 0.41 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.55 | 0.14 | 0.67 | 0.58 | 0.02 | 0.42 | 1.17 | 0.08 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 133 | 627 | 285 | 136 | 518 | 298 | 423 | 1404 | 51 | 238 | 818 | 131 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.67 | 0.91 | 0.18 | 0.71 | 0.70 | 0.19 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.82 | 0.61 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 49.7 | 65.1 | 0.2 | 51.8 | 49.3 | 0.3 | 59.1 | 37.9 | 0.0 | 77.1 | 33.3 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 49.7 | 65.1 | 0.2 | 51.8 | 49.3 | 0.3 | 59.1 | 37.9 | 0.0 | 77.1 | 33.3 | 0.1 |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) | 76 | 250 | 0 | 77 | 195 | 0 | 162 | 514 | 0 | 95 | 273 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) | \#137 | \#351 | 0 | \#140 | 257 | 0 | 216 | 622 | 0 | \#162 | 347 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 1058 |  |  | 960 |  |  | 381 |  |  | 511 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 450 |  |  | 225 |  |  | 575 |  |  | 525 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 199 | 707 | 1583 | 193 | 763 | 1583 | 600 | 1595 | 1583 | 289 | 1334 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.67 | 0.89 | 0.18 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.19 | 0.70 | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.82 | 0.61 | 0.08 |

## Intersection Summary

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\dagger$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 248 | 588 | 556 | 160 | 785 | 352 | 539 | 1239 | 54 | 327 | 2295 | 203 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.11 | 0.82 | 0.99 | 0.63 | 1.04 | 0.64 | 1.18 | 0.66 | 0.03 | 0.72 | 1.23 | 0.13 |
| Control Delay | 118.4 | 52.5 | 55.0 | 39.6 | 90.7 | 16.7 | 146.5 | 34.2 | 0.0 | 59.0 | 143.2 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 118.4 | 52.5 | 55.0 | 39.6 | 90.7 | 16.7 | 146.5 | 34.2 | 0.0 | 59.0 | 143.2 | 0.2 |
| Queue Length 50th ( t ) | ~172 | 235 | ~241 | 87 | -346 | 53 | ~257 | 294 | 0 | 125 | -804 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) | \#348 | \#335 | \#480 | 142 | \#472 | 158 | \#369 | 354 | 0 | 173 | \#898 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 1058 |  |  | 960 |  |  | 381 |  |  | 511 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 450 |  |  | 225 |  |  | 575 |  |  | 525 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 224 | 714 | 559 | 280 | 752 | 549 | 457 | 1866 | 1583 | 514 | 1864 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.11 | 0.82 | 0.99 | 0.57 | 1.04 | 0.64 | 1.18 | 0.66 | 0.03 | 0.64 | 1.23 | 0.13 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 207 | 971 | 441 | 211 | 804 | 461 | 648 | 2171 | 79 | 368 | 1265 | 207 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.87 | 1.23 | 0.69 | 0.80 | 0.96 | 0.81 | 0.84 | 1.19 | 0.05 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 0.13 |
| Control Delay | 67.5 | 149.2 | 12.8 | 51.1 | 67.7 | 31.0 | 55.1 | 127.1 | 0.1 | 98.4 | 77.7 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 67.5 | 149.2 | 12.8 | 51.1 | 67.7 | 31.0 | 55.1 | 127.1 | 0.1 | 98.4 | 77.7 | 0.2 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 125 | $\sim 485$ | 31 | 112 | 325 | 157 | 246 | ~743 | 0 | 148 | -393 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#257 | \#623 | 120 | \#223 | \#452 | \#327 | 308 | \#838 | 0 | \#250 | \#517 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 1058 |  |  | 960 |  |  | 381 |  |  | 511 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( ft ) | 450 |  |  | 225 |  |  | 575 |  |  | 525 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 239 | 790 | 636 | 275 | 840 | 571 | 858 | 1822 | 1583 | 371 | 1232 | 1583 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.87 | 1.23 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 0.96 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 1.19 | 0.05 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 0.13 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

## APPENDIX G

## Conceptual Site Plan
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