## Traffic Engineer's Statement

# Revise all text from filing no. "4A" to filing no. "4" <br> Walden Preserve 2 Filing No. 4A Traffic Technical Memorandum (LSC \#184810) <br> September 7, 2018 

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.

## Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC. 545 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 210 Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 633-2868

FAX (719) 633-5430
E-mail: Isc@lsctrans.com
Website: http://www.Isctrans.com

September 7, 2018

Mr. Matt Dunston
Walden Holdings 1, LLC
17145 Colonial Park Drive
Monument, CO 80132

## RE: Walden Preserve 2 Filing No. 4A <br> El Paso County, CO <br> Traffic Technical Memorandum <br> LSC \#184810

Dear Matt:

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this traffic technical memorandum for Filing 4A of the Walden Preserve 2 development. As shown in Figure 1, the site is generally located east of State Highway (SH) 83 and north of Hodgen Road, north of Colorado Springs in unincorporated El Paso County, Colorado. More specifically, the site is located northeast of the intersection of Walden Way and Pinehurst Circle (south intersection).

LSC prepared a traffic impact study (TIS) for the entire Walden Preserve 2 development dated September 14, 2014 and an addendum report for the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) dated November 3, 2014. Since completion of the TIS and addendum report 43 lots for single-family homes have been platted in Filings 1 through 3 and public improvements required for those filings have been completed. An additional 23 lots are currently proposed to be platted as Filing 4A.

An amendment to the PUD Plan is proposed as part of this filing. The proposed amendment would shift one single-family lot from the north end of the development (future Filing 7) to the middle of the development (currently proposed Filing 4A). This change will have a negligible effect on the results/findings of the overall traffic report.

## REPORT CONTENTS

This report presents:

- Recent/current street and traffic conditions in the vicinity of the site for identification of existing and planned street widths, lane geometries, traffic controls, posted speed limits, street classification, etc.
- Intersection sight distance at the proposed access to this filing - the intersection of Pinehurst Circle/Walden Way.
- Comparison of the current Walden Preserve 2 land uses to those shown in the PUD Plan TIS for the same land areas.
- The projected average weekday and peak-hour vehicle-trips to be generated by the proposed filing and amendment to the PUD Plan.
- The assignment of the projected trips to the existing and planned street system.
- The recommended street classifications for the internal streets within the proposed development.
- The project's obligation (if any) to the County roadway improvement fee program.
- The project's CDOT requirements per Access Permit No. 215017.


## ROADWAY AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

## Area Streets and Roads

The major roadways in the vicinity of the site are shown in Figure 1 and are described below.
State Highway (SH) $\mathbf{8 3}$ extends from Colorado Springs north to Parker and areas of southeast Denver. In the vicinity of the site, SH 83 is classified as a Regional Highway (R-A). At this location SH 83 is a two-lane rural highway with two- to four-foot shoulders and a speed limit of 60 miles per hour ( mph ). The intersections with Hodgen Road and Walker Road are signalized. The intersection with Walden Way is unsignalized with Stop-sign control for the westbound traffic.

Hodgen Road is a two-lane paved Rural Minor Arterial road that extends west from the intersection of Roller Coaster Road/Baptist Road to Eastonville Road. The speed limit on Hodgen Road is generally 55 mph east of SH 83 .

Walden Way is a local roadway that extends southeast from SH 83 to the intersection of Timber Meadows Drive/Pond View Place.

Timber Meadows Drive is a Minor Collector roadway that extends south from the intersection of Walden Way/Pond View Place to just south of Hodgen Road.

Walker Road/Highway 105. Highway 105 west of State Highway 83 is a Principal Arterial and Walker Road east of State Highway 83 is a Collector roadway. Both are currently two-lane roadways but the Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) shows a future four-lane cross section on Highway 105 west of SH 83.


The Walden Preserve 2 PUD plar intersection? connection north to Walker Road (Pinehyrst Circle). This will be a significant improvement to the trafic aistribution system of the project and will result in a reduced traffic impact on both the north section of Walden Way just east of SH 83 and Timber Meadow Drive to the south. This connection is not required with this filing. However, the connection is required prior to any additional development beyond this filing.

The developers of Walden Preserve 2 are working with School District 38, which owns a 70 -acre parcel on the southeast corner of the intersection of SH 83 and Walker Road. It is anticipated that a school will be built on the site in the short term. It is our understanding that the location of the Walden District wastewater treatment plant (1,400 feet west of Highway 83) has been selected for the intersection of Walker Road and the future connection.

## SH 83/Walker/SH 105

At the time the PUD Plan TIS and amendment report were prepared the intersection of SH 83/Walker Road/SH 105 was two-way Stop-sign controlled. The need to signalize this intersection and estimates for fair share contributions towards the cost of the signal were a major focus of both reports. Since completion of the reports a traffic signal has been installed by CDOT. The November 3, 2014 addendum report identified a fair share contribution of 17.6 percent of the total cost for all of Walden Preserve 2. The currently proposed Filing 4A represents 19.8 percent of the total development ( 23 of 116 lots). CDOT Access Permit No. 215017 established the obligations for future subdivision filings (of which this Filing 4A is one). A copy of Access Permit No. 215017 is attached for reference. A CDOT access permit application will need to be submitted to CDOT for this filing for purposes of processing an amount due of $\$ 6,714.69$ for the previously identified fair share contribution associated with this filing to the traffic signal (now in place) at Highway 83 and Walker Road. This amount represents the prorated amount for 23 lots. (The original escrow table included in the Access Permit showed $\$ 6,422.75$ for 22 lots for this filing, which was previously called Filing 3.)

## SH 83/Walden Way

The PUD Plan TIS assumed the intersection of SH 83/Walden Way would be restricted to right-in/right-out only. Prior to completion of the amendment report the applicant held discussions with the residents along Walden Way. The applicant indicated to LSC that many of the residents were resistant to either closing off the intersection entirely or installing major improvements to it, for instance constructing a raised island to prohibit left-turn movements and converting the intersection to a right in/right out. The amendment report therefore presented a revised analysis assuming no changes to this intersection. The report concluded that no improvements would be needed at this intersection in the foreseeable future.

Was there a CDOT access permit that specified converting to a RIRO? On the original study, what was the trigger which would warrant the change to a RIRO? Coordinate with CDOT for their requirements regarding any proposal to Highway 83.

## LAND USE AND ACCESS

The PUD Plan TIS and amendment report assumed Walden Preserve 2 would be developed with 116 lots for single-family homes. The number of lots in the currently proposed amendment for the entire development remains the same but lot line adjustments have resulted in one additional lot in the area currently proposed as Filing No 4A and one less lot in the north end of the development shown as future Filing 7.

The currently proposed Filing 4A is planned to include $\mathbf{2 3}$ lots for single-family homes. Access is proposed to an extension of Pinehurst Circle. The site plan is shown in Figure 2.

## INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE

The intersection sight distance at the planned new east leg of the intersection of Pinehurst Circle and Walden Way has been field-checked and meets County standards. The posted speed limit on Walden Way is 30 mph . The ECM-prescribed intersection sight distance is 335 feet. The fieldmeasured sight distance is over 600 feet to the north and south along Walden Way.

## TRIP GENERATION

The site-generated vehicle-trips were estimated using the nationally published trip generation rates from Trip Generation, 10th Edition, 2017 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Table 1 shows the current trip generation estimate for the currently proposed Filing 4A and the entire Walden Preserve 2 development at buildout. Table 1 also shows the trip generation estimate from the PUD Plan TIS and amendment report for comparison. The trip generation estimate from the previous report was based on the trip generation rates from the Trip Generation $9^{\text {th }}$ edition.

As shown in Table 1 Walden Preserve Filing 4A is projected to generate about 217 new vehicletrips on the average weekday, with about one-half of the vehicles entering and one-half of the vehicles exiting in a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., about four vehicles would enter and 13 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 4:30 and 6:30 p.m., about 14 vehicles would enter and eight vehicles would exit the site.

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The directional distribution of the site-generated traffic volumes on the adjacent roadway system is an important factor in determining the site's traffic impacts. The specific trip distribution estimates are shown in Figure 3. These estimates represent the percentages of the sitegenerated traffic volumes projected to be oriented to and from the major approaches to the site. The directional distribution estimates are based on the following factors: traffic counts conducted in the area; the location of the site with respect to the Colorado Springs metropolitan
area and other developed areas; the existing and planned roadway system serving the site, particularly SH 83 and Hodgen Road, and Highway 105; and the land uses proposed for the site.

When the distribution percentages (from Figure 3) are applied to the trip generation estimates (from Table 1), the resulting site-generated traffic volumes can be determined. Figures 4 and 5 show the daily and weekday morning and afternoon peak-hour short-term site-generated traffic volume estimates. The short-term site-generated traffic volumes shown in Figure 4 assume Pinehurst Circle has been extended north of Walden Way adjacent to Filing 4A only. The shortterm site-generated traffic volumes shown in Figure 5 assume Pinehurst Circle has been extended north to Walker Road. Figure 6 shows the long-term site-generated traffic volumes. The longterm site-generated traffic volumes assume Pinehurst Circle has been extended north to Walker Road.

## 2040 TOTAL TRAFFIC

Please refer to PUD Plan TIS and amendment report for the 2040 total traffic volumes and level of service analysis.

## SUBDIVISION STREET CLASSIFICATIONS

All streets within the currently proposed Walden Preserve 2 Filing 4A including the extension of Pinehurst Circle should be classified as Rural Local.

## TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT FEE PROGRAM

This contradicts the final plat note which states road impact fee to be paid in full. Verify with the developer and revise either the TIS or the Plat to match.

The proposed subdivision filing will be required to palticipate in the countywide Iransportation Improvement Fee Program. This project will annex into the 10 mil PID. Based on a per-lot upfront building permit fee of $\$ 923$ per dwelling unit, the total building permit fee amount for the 23 lots within Filing 4A would be $\$ 21,229$.

## CDOT SIGNAL CONTRIBUTION PER ACCESS PERMIT NO. 215017

A CDOT access permit application will need to be submitted to CDOT for purposes of processing an amount due to CDOT of $\$ 8714.69$ for the previously identified contribution associated with this filing to the traffic signal (now in place) at Highway 83 and Walker Road. This amount represents the prorated amount for 23 lots. (The original escrow table included in the Access Permit showed $\$ 6,422.75$ for 22 Iфts for this filing, which was previously called Filing 3.)

Provide an updated Traffic Signal Escrow Table (Exhibit A of the access permit) which includes the filing 3 and this filing 4A.
FYI: Provide an updated tally with each subsequent final plat application.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.
Respectfully Submitted,

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

effrey C. Hodsdon, P.E., PTOE
Principal

JCH:KDF:bjwb

## Enclosures: Table 1

Figures 1-6
Walden Preserve 2 Amended PUD Plan
TIS for the overall PUD and CDOT Addendum Report (for reference) CDOT Access Permit No. 215017 (included for reference)

1. Add a "recommendations and conclusions" section.
2. ECM B.1.3 notes that if the original TIS is older than 3 years, an entirely new TIS shall be prepared. Either comply with this section or submit a deviation request. If the deviation request is approved then a condition of approval will likely be placed to note that an entirely new TIS shall be prepared (w/ new traffic counts) with the subsequent final plat application.

| Table 1 <br> Walden Preserve 2 Filing No. 4A <br> Trip Generation Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ITE <br> Land <br> Use <br> Code | Land <br> Use <br> Description | Trip Generation Units | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  | Total Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Average Weekday Traffic | Morning Peak Hour |  | Afternoon Peak Hour |  | Average Weekday Traffic | Morning Peak Hour |  | Afternoon Peak Hour |  |
| Filing |  |  |  |  | In | Out | In | Out |  |  |  | In | Out |
| Currently Proposed Filing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4A | 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 23 DU ${ }^{(2)}$ | 9.44 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 217 | 4 | 13 | 14 | 8 |
| Recorded Plats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 21 DU | 9.44 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 198 | 4 | 12 | 13 | 8 |
| 2 | 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 18 DU | 9.44 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 170 | 3 | 10 | 11 | 7 |
| 3 | 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 4 DU | 9.44 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 38 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | Total Filings 1, 2 \& 3 | 43 DU |  |  |  |  |  | 406 | 8 | 24 | 27 | 16 |
|  |  | Total Filings 1-4 | 66 DU |  |  |  |  |  | 623 | 12 | 37 | 41 | 24 |
| Future Filings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 14 DU | 9.44 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 132 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 5 |
| 6 | 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 13 DU | 9.44 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 123 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| 7 | 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 23 DU | 9.44 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 217 | 4 | 13 | 14 | 8 |
|  |  | Total Filings 5, 6 \& 7 | 50 DU |  |  |  |  |  | 472 | 9 | 28 | 31 | 18 |
|  |  | Total at Buildout | 116 DU |  |  |  |  |  | 1,095 | 21 | 64 | 72 | 42 |
| Buildout Trip Generation Estimate from Walden Preserve 2 Preliminary Plan and Filings 1 and 2 Updated Traffic Impact Study by LSC dated 9/17/2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | $116 \mathrm{DU}^{(2)}$ | 9.52 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 1,104 | 22 | 65 | 73 | 43 |
| Notes: <br> (1) Source: "Trip Generation, 10th Edition, 2017" by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) <br> (2) DU = Dwelling Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |







Figure 5

## Assignment of Short-Term Site-Generated Traffic <br> With Pinehurst Extension
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516 North Tejon Street<br>Colorado Springs, CO 80903<br>(719) 633-2868<br>FAX (719) 633-5430<br>E-mail: Isc@Isccs.com

September 17, 2014
Mr. Matt Dunston
Walden Holdings 1, LLC
17145 Colonial Park Drive
Monument, CO 80132

## RE: Walden Preserve 2 <br> Preliminary Plan and Filings 1 and 2 Updated Traffic Impact Study LSC \#144380

Dear Mr. Dunston:

LSC has prepared this updated traffic impact report for the planned Walden Preserve 2 residential development. This report has been prepared for submittal with the Preliminary Plan and Filings 1 and 2 plat submittals. The previous traffic impact study was dated May 31, 2013 and was submitted with the PUD. The site is located east of State Highway (SH) 83 and north of Hodgen Road, north of Colorado Springs in unincorporated El Paso County, Colorado. The site is planned to include 116 single-family detached houses at buildout. Primary access will be to SH 83 on the west via Walden Way, to Hodgen Road on the south via Timber Meadows Drive, and to Walker Road via an extension of Pinehurst Circle (following Filing No. 3). The site location is shown on Figure 1.

This updated report is being prepared for submittal to El Paso County Development Services and the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT). The report contains an estimate of the vehicle trips to be generated by the proposed development, estimates of the projected site-generated traffic volumes on the area street system, and impacts of additional traffic on the roadway system. The report also includes recommendations for roadway system improvements to mitigate the traffic impacts. The report presents the estimated percentage contribution to the future signal at SH 83 and Walker and improvements at Walden Way/SH 83.

## PREVIOUS REPORTS

The previous reports for Walden Preserve are dated December 8, 2005 and May 31, 2013 (the May 2013 report was for Walden Preserve 2). The December 8, 2005 report had originally shown the conversion of the State Highway 83/Walden Way intersection to a right-in/right-out. The May 31, 2013 report also showed this. This report continues to reflect the conversion to a right-in/right-out-
only intersection as shown on the approved PUD plan. A new Colorado State Highway Access Permit will be required for the SH 83/Walden Way intersection.

This report is an update to the May 31, 2013 report reflecting the approved PUD plan including the future connection north to Walker Road following the development of 66 lots. This report also has been updated to 2040 traffic and includes the potential development of the middle school site to the north. Aside from these changes (which, with the PUD plan approved, included the removal of the north connection to Walden Way previously shown) the plan is basically the same as the plan shown in the May 31, 2013 report.

## BACKGROUND AND LAND USE PLAN

The development is located in a residential area. There are existing subdivisions surrounding the site. Figure 2 presents a context map of the Walden Preserve development site and the surrounding area. The figure shows the other area developments and vacant parcels.

Appendix Figure 1 shows a plan exhibit from the traffic study dated May 31, 2013 submitted with the PUD plan. This has been provided for reference.

Please refer to the PUD report dated May 31, 2013 for the complete history and explanation of this exhibit. This has been included as the signal warrant analysis included the older PUD Filings 1 and 2.

## Phasing and Access

Figure 3 shows the approved PUD plan with the proposed phasing plan. The initial phase (Phases 1 and 2) will include the 42 lots located on the south end of the site. Access to this initial phase is planned on Pond View Place and to Walden Way aligning with Needles Drive.

Access to SH 83 would be at the existing Walden Way intersection. This access point is to be converted to a right-in/right-out.

Following Filing 3 (Phase 3), an extension of Pinehurst Circle would be constructed north from Filing 3 to Walker Road. Phases 3 through 7 would all have access to Pinehurst Circle.

## ROADWAY AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

## Area Streets and Roads

The major roadways in the vicinity of the site are shown in Figure 1 and are described below.

- State Highway (SH) $\mathbf{8 3}$ extends from Colorado Springs north to Parker and areas of southeast Denver. In the vicinity of the site, SH 83 is classified as a Regional Highway (R-A). At this location, SH 83 is a two-lane rural highway with two to four-foot shoulders and a speed limit of

60 miles per hour ( mph ). The intersection with Hodgen Road is signalized. The intersection with Walden Way is unsignalized with Stop-sign control for the westbound traffic. This intersection is planned to be converted to a right-in/right-out.

- Hodgen Road is a two-lane paved Rural Minor Arterial road which extends west from the intersection of Roller Coaster Road/Baptist Road to Eastonville Road. The speed limit on Hodgen Road is generally 55 mph east of SH 83.
- Walden Way is a local roadway which extends southeast from SH 83 to the intersection of Timber Meadows Drive/Pond View Place.
- Timber Meadows Drive is a Minor Collector roadway which extends south from the intersection of Walden Way/Pond View Place to just south of Hodgen Road.
- Walker Road/Highway 105. Highway 105 west of State Highway 83 is a Principal Arterial and Walker Road east of State Highway 83 is a Collector roadway. Both are currently two-lane roadways but the Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) shows a future four-lane cross section on Highway 105 west of SH 83. The intersection with SH 83 is unsignalized. This report assumes planned CDOT improvements at this intersection.


## Existing (2012) Traffic and Lane Geometry

Figure 4 shows the current lane geometry plus weekday morning and afternoon peak-hour traffic count data. Peak-hour traffic volumes are shown for SH 83/Hodgen Road, SH 83/Walden Way, SH 83/Highway 105/Walker Road, Walden Way/Pond View Place, and Timber Meadows Drive/Hodgen Road. The peak-hour volumes are based on data collected by LSC in December 2011, April 2012, and November 2012. Figure 4 also shows the 2013 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) on SH 83 based on data from the Colorado Department of Transportation and the average daily traffic on Pond View Place east of Walden Way based on a machine count by LSC in November 2012. The traffic count reports are attached.

## Existing (2012) Levels of Service

The existing (2012) levels of service at the key area intersections are also shown in Figure 4. All of the analyzed intersections are shown to operate at acceptable levels of service. Further discussion and explanation on levels of service is presented later in this report.

## Projected Future Background Traffic

Figures 5 and 6 show the projected background traffic volumes for the years 2017 and 2040, respectively, on the area roadway system. Background traffic is the traffic projected to be on the roadway system without consideration of Walden Preserve 2 traffic. The 2017 background traffic volumes include the through traffic and the traffic generated by the development of area vacant
parcels including the original Walden Preserve (not Walden Preserve 2) Filings 1 and 2, Settler's Ranch located just south of the site, and Majestic Pines but assume zero traffic generated by the site. The 2017 background traffic volumes also incorporate the conversion of the SH 83/Walden Way intersection to a right-in/right-out. The 2040 background traffic volumes assume the extension of Pinehurst Circle north to Walker Road. The 2040 background traffic includes traffic estimated to be generated by the development of the parcel located on the southeast corner of Walker/SH 83 as a middle school with access to Pinehurst Circle just south of Walker Road. The background traffic volumes are estimates by LSC based on CDOT 20-year growth factors and previous work completed by LSC in the vicinity of the site.

## TRIP GENERATION

The Walden Preserve 2 development will contain 116 single-family detached houses upon completion. The amount of traffic to be generated by Walden Preserve 2 has been estimated using the nationally published trip generation rates found in Trip Generation, 9th Edition, 2012 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The average weekday and peak-hour vehicle-trips have been estimated. Table 1 shows the results of the trip generation estimate.

As shown in Table 1, Phase 1 and Phase 2 combined are expected to generate about 400 vehicle-trips on the average weekday, with about 200 vehicles entering and 200 vehicles exiting during a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, about eight vehicles would enter and 24 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, about 26 vehicles would enter and 16 vehicles would exit the site. The morning peak hour generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m. and the afternoon peak hour generally occurs for one hour between 4:30 and 6:30 p.m.

At buildout, the development is expected to generate about 1,100 vehicle-trips on the average weekday, with about 550 vehicles entering and 550 vehicles exiting during a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, about 22 vehicles would enter and 65 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, about 74 vehicles would enter and 49 vehicles would exit the site.

## TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The directional distribution of the site-generated traffic volumes on the adjacent roadway system is an important factor in determining the site's traffic impacts. The specific trip distribution estimates are shown in Figure 7. These estimates represent the percentages of the site-generated traffic volumes projected to be oriented to and from the major approaches to the site. The directional distribution estimates are based on the following factors: traffic counts conducted in the area; the location of the site with respect to the Colorado Springs metropolitan area and other developed areas; the existing and planned roadway system serving the site, particularly SH 83 and Hodgen Road, and Highway 105; and the land uses proposed for the site.

## TRAFFIC IMPACTS

## Site-Generated Traffic

When the distribution percentages (from Figure 7) are applied to the trip generation estimates (from Table 1), the resulting site-generated traffic volumes can be determined. Figures 8 and 9 show the daily and weekday morning and afternoon peak-hour site-generated traffic volume estimates for Phases 1 and 2 and at buildout. The Phases 1 and 2 site-generated traffic represents the additional traffic from the 42 lots located on the south end of the site. The buildout site-generated traffic represents the traffic from the 116 new lots.

## Years 2017 and 2040 Total Traffic

The total traffic volumes for the years 2017 and 2040 are shown in Figures 10 and 11, respectively. The 2017 total traffic volumes are the sum of the 2017 background traffic volumes (from Figure 5) plus the initial phase site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 8). The 2040 total traffic volumes are the sum of the 2040 background traffic volumes (from Figure 6) plus the buildout site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 9).

## Projected Levels of Service

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of congestion or delay at an intersection. Level of service is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A is indicative of very little congestion or delay. LOS F is indicative of a high level of congestion or delay

The SH 83/Hodgen Road, SH 83/Walden Way, Hodgen Road/Timber Meadow Drive, and Walden Way/Timber Meadows/Pond Place intersections have been analyzed to determine the existing and projected levels of service using Synchro. The level of service analysis results are shown in Figures 10 and 11. The level of service reports are attached. Unsignalized intersection levels of service are expressed in terms of the levels of service of specific turning movements/approaches-most notably, the minor street approach or specific turning movements. Signalized intersections also include the level of service for the overall intersection.

- SH 83/Hodgen Road: The intersection of SH 83/Hodgen Road is projected to continue to operate at a satisfactory level of service during the morning and afternoon peak hours based on 2017 and 2040 total traffic volumes.
- SH 83/Walden Way: The right-in/right-out SH 83/Walden Way intersection is projected to operate at LOS B for the westbound right-turn movement during the morning and afternoon peak hours based on the projected 2017 and 2040 total traffic volumes.
- Hodgen Road/Timber Meadow Drive: The Stop-sign-controlled Hodgen Road/Timber Meadow Drive intersection is projected to operate at a satisfactory level of service during the
morning and afternoon peak hours for the side street approaches based on the projected 2017 and 2040 total traffic volumes.
- Timber Meadows Drive/Walden Way/Pond View Place: The Stop-sign-controlled Timber Meadows/Walden Way/Pond View intersection is projected to operate at a satisfactory level of service during peak hours for all approaches based on projected 2017 and 2040 total traffic volumes.
- SH 83/Walker Road/Highway 105: By 2017, with assumed increases in background traffic alone, the minor street approach left and through movements would see delay in the E and F ranges during peak periods. However, these movement levels of service would improve once the intersection is signalized. Once signalized, this intersection is projected to operate at a satisfactory level of service based on projected 2017 and 2040 total traffic volumes.
- Pinehurst Circle/Walker Road: Pinehurst Circle was assumed to be extended north to Walker Road some time after Phases 1 and 2 but before the 67th lot is constructed in Walden Preserve 2. The new intersection of Pinehurst/Waker is projected to operate at a satisfactory level of service as a two-way stop-sign-controlled intersection based on the projected 2040 total traffic volumes.


## Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

LSC has completed a traffic signal warrant analysis for the SH 83/Highway 105/Walker Road intersection to estimate both the timing of the signal (based on the intersection meeting warrants) and the percentage of traffic by this project at the time the signal may become warranted. LSC estimates that warrants may be met by 2018 assuming growth in background traffic, buildout of Walden Preserve (which may not occur by 2048), the restriction of SH 83/Walden Way to right-/right-out only and the connection of Pinehurst Circle to Walker Road. The traffic from this project would constitute 15 percent of the eastbound and westbound approach volumes based on the total estimated traffic volumes at the time it is projected to meet a four-hour volume warrant.

The estimated warrants are based on the turning movement counts completed at the SH 83/Highway 105 intersection, estimated growth in through traffic, a projected shift in existing traffic volumes due to the restriction of Walden Way/SH 83 to right-in/right-out only, and the extension of Pinehurst Circle to Walker Road, and site-generated traffic estimates at buildout of Walden Preserve 2.

Table 2 shows the peak-hour traffic volumes for background and site traffic. The attached Appendix Figure 2 shows the specific breakdown of the traffic volumes assumed in this analysis and the total 2018 volumes. Table 3 shows the peak-hour traffic expanded to two hours in the morning peak and two hours in the afternoon peak. Table 4 shows Table 3 volumes with a growth factor applied to the background traffic volumes. The factor was increased from 1.0 until the resulting four hours worth of volumes were shown to meet the thresholds for the four-hour volumes. With the warrants shown to be met with a growth factor of 1.2, this could potentially translate to approximately year 2018.

Table 4 also shows the calculated site-generated percentage of the total at the time the signal is projected to meet a warrant based on minor street approach left and through turning movements only. This percentage is based on a weighted average of the site-generated to total percentages of all four hours analyzed.

## Average Daily Traffic Impacts

Each of the figures shows the projected average daily traffic volumes on the roadway sections.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Trip Generation

The initial two plats within the Walden Preserve development (Phase 1 and Phase 2) are collectively expected to generate about 400 vehicle-trips on the average weekday, with about 200 vehicles entering and 200 vehicles exiting during a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, about eight vehicles would enter and 24 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, about 26 vehicles would enter and 16 vehicles would exit the site.

At buildout, the Walden Preserve development is expected to generate about 1,100 vehicle-trips on the average weekday, with about 550 vehicles entering and 550 vehicles exiting during a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, about 22 vehicles would enter and 65 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, about 74 vehicles would enter and 49 vehicles would exit the site.

## Average Daily Traffic Impacts

The figures show the projected average daily traffic volumes on the roadway sections within the Walden Preserve development.

## Projected Levels of Service

Figures 5, 6, 10, and 11 show the level of service analysis results. The recommended traffic control and lane geometry for the years 2017 and 2040 are shown in Figures 10 and 11, respectively. Level of service was discussed in detail previously in this report.

All intersections are projected to operate at acceptable levels of service through the horizon year. The exception is anticipated to be the minor street approaches at the SH 83/Walker Road intersection prior to the traffic signal being warranted. CDOT has indicated that this project will be required to participate in the future traffic signal at this intersection.

## Recommendations

## SH 83/Walden Way

LSC recommends that the Walden Way/SH 83 intersection be restricted to right-in/right-out with Phase 1. As the southbound left-turn lane is currently warranted, the conversion to right-in/right-out would need to occur with the first phase. New development traffic added to the Walden Way access to SH 83 would need to be approved by CDOT through the access permit process. Also, a right-turn acceleration lane would not be required. The right-in/right-out access would need to be designed to physically prevent left-turning movements. Given the rural, high-speed design of the road, LSC recommends that a right-turn channelizing island be installed using a raised channelizing island with beveled curb (not vertical curb) set back from the edge of the northbound through lane. LSC also recommends that the island include narrow extensions for a short distance to the north and south to further discourage left turning movements. The design should also include pavement markings and breakaway object markers. "No left turn" signs must also be used. The intersection will remain Stopsign controlled. A northbound right-turn deceleration lane is not required by code, however a portion of a right-turn lane will be needed for northbound right-turning traffic to maneuver to the right of the channelizing island. Attached is a preliminary concept for the intersection improvements. The northbound right-turn lane may be shortened in the final design, pending CDOT approval, as a northbound right-turn deceleration lane is not required based on turning volumes.

## Hodgen Road/Timber Meadow Drive

No further improvements will be necessary.

## Hodgen Road

Hodgen Road east of SH 83 has recently been upgraded with a PPRTA project. No further widening of Hodgen Road would be necessary as a result of this project. The intersection with SH 83 is signalized.

## SH 83/Walker Road/Highway 105

CDOT has completed intersection improvements including additional laneage and traffic islands to channelize right-turn movements on the eastbound and westbound intersection approaches. Side-street-traffic-actuated flashing yellow warning beacons have also been installed on the northbound and southbound approaches to the intersection for safety. As growth continues to occur in the area and through traffic increases along SH 83, a traffic signal is expected to be warranted (LSC growth assumptions would translate to a signal being warranted by 2020).

## Calculated Percentage Toward the Future Traffic Signal

Based on calculations presented in Table 4, LSC estimates that at buildout, Walden Preserve traffic at this intersection would constitute 15.5 percent of the total volume for the eastbound/westbound
through/left turning movements. The following Table 5 presents the suggested percentages by filing to be included as part of the access permit from CDOT.

| Table 5 <br> Calculated Percentage Contribution <br> SH 83/Walker Road Signal |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Filing No. 1 | $5.88 \%$ <br> (including traffic from <br> original Filings 1 and 2) |
| Filing No. 2 | $1.37 \%$ |
| Filing No. 3 | $1.53 \%$ |
| Walker Connection is Installed |  |
| Filing No. 4 | $1.55 \%$ |
| Filing No. 5 | $1.44 \%$ |
| Filing No. 6 | $3.81 \%$ |
| Project Total | $15.58 \%$ |

Funds escrowed to CDOT for a future traffic signal at this intersection should be eligible for credit and reimbursement through the countywide fee program. The Highway 83 and Highway 105 intersection is the intersection of MTCP roadways. The applicant will need to go before the Fee Advisory Committee to request approval of credit.

## Walker Road/Pinehurst Circle

Based on the criteria contained in the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and the projected 2040 total traffic volumes, an eastbound right-turn deceleration lane and a westbound left-turn lane will be required on Walker Road approaching Pinehurst Circle. The need for these lanes is primarily due to the assumption that the parcel located southeast of SH 83 and Walker Road will be developed as a middle school with access to Pinehurst Circle.

## Pedestrian Trail Crossings

The trail approaches (not roadway approaches) to the roadway trail intersections should be posted with Stop-signs if these are intended to be used by cyclists. If the sight distance is limited and the trails are determined to be significant enough to warrant them, advance yellow warning signs for pedestrian crossings could be installed along with pavement markings in the form of crosswalks as appropriate per the Manual on Uniform traffic Control Devices (MUTCD).

## Roadway Classifications

Figure 12 shows the recommended street classifications in the vicinity of the site. Streets within the first two plats would be rural local streets.

## Intersection Cost Sharing Analysis

LSC has prepared a cost sharing analysis for the Walden Way/Highway 83 right-in/right-out improvements. The results of this analysis suggest a contribution of 23 percent from Majestic Pines and the remaining 77 percent from Walden Preserve 2. Either project could file for cost recovery against other future developments if it is anticipated that said other developments' traffic would benefit from the completion of this improvement (i.e., if another projects' traffic would otherwise add turning movements to the southbound left turn at this intersection if the improvement were not completed).

## Countywide Roadway Improvement Fee Program

This project will be required to participate in this fee program.
*****

We trust this traffic impact study will assist you in gaining approval of the proposed Walden Preserve 2 Preliminary Plan and first two plats. Please contact me if you have any questions or need further assistance.

Sincerely,
LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.


Figures 1-12
Appendix Figures 1-2
Traffic Count Reports
Level of Service Reports

| Table 1 <br> Walden Preserve PUD Development Plan Trip Generation Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITE <br> Land <br> Use <br> Code | Land Use Description | Trip Generation Units | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  | Total Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average Weekday Traffic | Morning Peak Hour |  | Afternoon Peak Hour |  | Average Weekday Traffic | Morning Peak Hour |  | Afternoon Peak Hour |  |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | In | Out |  | In | Out | In | Out |
| Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 42 DU ${ }^{(2)}$ | 9.52 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 400 | 8 | 24 | 26 | 16 |
| Buildout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 116 DU ${ }^{(2)}$ | 9.52 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 1,104 | 22 | 65 | 73 | 43 |
| Notes: <br> (1) Source: "Trip Generation, 9th Edition, 2012" by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) <br> (2) DU = Dwelling Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 2 <br> Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis Peak-Hour Volumes Walden Preserve |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicles Per Hour |  |
|  | Peak Hour 7:00-8:00 a.m. | Peak Hour 4:45-5:45 p.m. |
| MINOR STREET TRAFFIC <br> Eastbound <br> Site-Generated Traffic ${ }^{(1)}$ <br> Left <br> Through <br> Right <br> Background Traffic ${ }^{(2)}$ Left <br> Through <br> Right | 0 <br> 9 <br> \#\#\# <br> 11 <br> 32 <br> \#\#\# | 0 <br> 30 <br> \#\# <br> 45 <br> 68 <br> \#\#\# |
| Westbound Site-Generated Traffic Left Through | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | 5 12 |
| Background Traffic Left Through | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ |
| Eastbound Minor Street | 52 | 143 |
| Westbound Minor Street | 163 | 87 |
| MAJOR STREET TRAFFIC <br> Northbound Site-Generated Traffic |  |  |
| Left | 13 | 9 |
| Through | 3 | 2 |
| Right <br> Background Traffic | 4 | 12 |
| Left | 111 | 143 |
| Through | 130 | 245 |
| Right <br> Southbound | 17 | 40 |
| Site-Generated Traffic |  |  |
| Left | 2 | 5 |
| Through | 0 | 1 |
| Right <br> Background Traffic | 0 | 0 |
| Left | 9 | 8 |
| Through | 237 | 167 |
| Right | 47 | 34 |
| Major Street Totals |  |  |
|  | 7:00-8:00 a.m. | 5:00-6:00 p.m. |
|  | 573 | 666 |
| Notes: <br> (1) Includes Filing 1, 2 and Current PUD Amendment Area <br> (2) Based on 2012 traffic volumes with shift in traffic pattern due to the restriction of Walden Way/SH 83 and a new connection to Walker |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. |  |  |







| 2013 CDOT Project <br> LEGEND: $\begin{aligned} (45) & =\text { Speed Limit } \\ \boxed{8} & =\text { Traffic Signal } \\ & =\text { Stop Sign } \end{aligned}$ <br> $620=$ Average Weekday Traffic <br> $\frac{26}{31}=\frac{\text { AM Peak-Hour Traffic }}{\text { PM P }} * *$ See attached count reports for specific count dates $*$ See attached count reports for specific count dates Traffic counts by LSC Dec. 2011, April 2012 \& Nov. 2012 <br> $\frac{A}{A}=\frac{\text { Individual AM Peak-Hour Level of Service }}{\text { Individual PM Peak-Hour Level of Service }}$ <br> $\frac{\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{A}}=\frac{\text { Entire Intersection AM Peak-Hour Level of Service }}{\text { Entire Intersection PM Peak-Hour Level of Service }}$ <br> Traffic Count Data, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control and Level of Sevice |
| :---: |












LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado Springs FDCBame : Timber Meadow Dr - Hodgen Rd AM
(719) 633-286Bite Code : 00000000

Start Date: 04/19/2012
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Timber Meadow Dr From North |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From East |  |  |  | Timber Meadow Dr From South |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ t \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { Righ } \\ t \end{aligned}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ t \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | Ped s | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 06:30 AM | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 114 |
| 06:45 AM | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 114 |
| Total | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 228 |


| 07:00 AM | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 117 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 AM | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 155 |
| 07:30 AM | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 70 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 105 |
| 07:45 AM | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 109 |
| Total | 50 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 315 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 76 | 20 | 0 | 486 |


| 08:00 AM | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 6 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 08:15 AM | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 4 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 82 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 597 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 153 | 33 | 0 |
| Apprch \% | 91.1 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 98.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 76.5 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 78.5 | 16.9 | 0.0 |
| Total \% | 9.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 65.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 16.9 | 3.6 | 0.0 |

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
Colorado Springsfriblame : Timber Meadow Dr - Hodgen Rd AM (719) 633-2868ite Code : 00000000 Start Date : 04/19/2012
Page No : 2


LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado SpringsFDGVame : Timber Meadow Dr - Hodgen Rd PM (719) 633-2868ite Code : 00000000

Start Date : 04/19/2012
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Timber Meadow Dr From North |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From East |  |  |  | Timber Meadow Dr From South |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | ${ }_{\text {Righ }}^{\text {t }}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathbf{t}}{\mathrm{Righ}}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ s \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ \mathbf{t} \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ \mathbf{t} \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | Ped 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 04:15 PM | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 66 | 10 | 0 | 129 |
| 04:30 PM | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 68 | 11 | 0 | 131 |
| 04:45 PM | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 10 | 0 | 119 |
| Total | 20 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 111 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 194 | 31 | 0 | 379 |


| $05: 00$ | PM | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 57 | 10 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 71 | 14 | 0 | 147 |
| $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 9 | 0 | 108 |
| $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 50 | 12 | 0 | 106 |
| Total | 24 | 1 | 2 | 0 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 226 | 45 | 0 | 479 |


| 06:00 PM | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 50 | 14 | 0 | 104 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Grand Total | 49 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 291 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 22 | 470 | 90 | 0 | 962 |
| Apprct \% | 83.1 | 3.4 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 97.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 33.3 | 52.4 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 80.8 | 15.5 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 5.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 30.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 48.9 | 9.4 | 0.0 |  |

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
 (719) 633-2868ite Code : 00000000 Start Date : 04/19/2012
Page No :2


LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

$$
516 \text { N. Tejon St. }
$$

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
Colorado Springs, CO
(719) 633-2868

File Name : Hwy 83-Hodgen AM
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 12/14/2011
Page No :1
Groups Printed-Unshifted

|  | Hwy 83 From North |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From East |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hwy } 83 \\ \text { From South } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | $\begin{gathered} \text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| 06:35 AM | 7 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| 06:40 AM | 0 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| 06:50 AM | 0 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| 06:55 AM | 1 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| Total | 8 | 129 | 19 | 0 | 44 | 22 | 81 | 0 | 7 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 368 |


| 07:00 AM | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:05 AM | 0 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 78 |
| 07:10 AM | 0 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| 07:15 AM | 1 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 89 |
| 07:20 AM | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 85 |
| 07:25 AM | 0 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 97 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 74 |
| 07:35 AM | 0 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 79 |
| 07:40 AM | 0 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 68 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 88 |
| 07:50 AM | 1 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| 07:55 AM | 1 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| Total | 3 | 307 | 42 | 0 | 87 | 64 | 185 | 0 | 25 | 112 | 28 | 0 | 51 | 28 | 14 | 0 | 946 |
| 08:00 AM | 1 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 08:05 AM | 1 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 08:10 AM | 1 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 68 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 74 |
| 08:20 AM | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 63 |
| 08:25 AM | 2 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 69 |
| Grand Total | 17 | 561 | 72 | 0 | 167 | 103 | 314 | 0 | 50 | 205 | 41 | 0 | 94 | 59 | 25 | 0 | 1708 |
| Apprch \% | 2.6 | 86.3 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 17.6 | 53.8 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 69.3 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 52.8 | 33.1 | 14.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 1.0 | 32.8 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 6.0 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 12.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  |

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
Colorado Springs, CO
(719) 633-2868

File Name : Hwy 83 -Hodgen AM
Site Code $: 00000000$
Start Date $: 12 / 14 / 2011$
Page No :2

|  | Hwy 83 From North |  |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From East |  |  |  |  | Hwy 83 From South |  |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \mathrm{Rig} \\ \mathrm{ht} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Thr } \\ u \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ s \\ \hline \end{array}$ | App. Total | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Rig } \\ \mathrm{ht} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Thr } \\ u \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | App. Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rig } \\ \mathrm{ht} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Thr} \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | App. Total | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rig} \\ \mathrm{ht} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Thr} \\ \mathrm{u} \end{gathered}$ | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | App. Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |
| Peak Hour From 06:30 AM to 08:25 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersecti оп | 07:05 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | 4 | 319 | 43 | 0 | 366 | 90 | 57 | 183 | 0 | 330 | 29 | 110 | 29 | 0 | 168 | 54 | 31 | 14 | 0 | 99 | 963 |
| Percent | 1.1 | 87. | 11. 7 | 0.0 |  | 27. | 17. 3 | 55. | 0.0 |  | 17. 3 | 65. 5 | 17. | 0.0 |  | 54. | 31. 3 | 14. | 0.0 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { 07:25 } \\ \text { Volume } \end{array}$ | 0 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 5 | 6 | 21 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 97 |
| Peak | 07:20 AM |  |  |  |  | 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  | 07:55 AM |  |  |  |  | 07:25 AM |  |  |  |  | 0.827 |
| Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Int. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 1010 |  | 18 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 0.72 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 5 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 19 | $10$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 0.55 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |
| Peak |  |  |  |  | 0.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Factor |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

| Colorado Springs, CO | File Name : Hwy 83-Hodgen pM |
| :---: | :--- |
| $(719) 633-2868$ | Site Code :00000000 |
|  | Start Date :12/13/2011 |
|  | Page No :1 |


|  | Hwy 83 From North |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From East |  |  |  | Hwy 83 From South |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 04:15 PM | 3 | 47 | 22 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 73 | 21 | 0 | 6 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 262 |
| 04:30 PM | 5 | 48 | 31 | 0 | 21 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 30 | 73 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 285 |
| 04:45 PM | 5 | 43 | 27 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 61 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 222 |
| Total | 13 | 138 | 80 | 0 | 49 | 38 | 29 | 0 | 77 | 207 | 55 | 0 | 16 | 58 | 8 | 1 | 769 |


| 05:00 PM | 3 | 39 | 27 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 24 | 82 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 253 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 8 | 41 | 19 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 31 | 89 | 23 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 279 |
| 05:30 PM | 7 | 29 | 15 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 42 | 82 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 255 |
| 05:45 PM | 2 | 44 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 26 | 70 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 225 |
| Total | 20 | 153 | 75 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 3 | 123 | 323 | 64 | 0 | 31 | 78 | 20 | 0 | 1012 |
| 06:00 PM | 2 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 84 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 219 |
| Grand Total | 35 | 314 | 178 | 0 | 97 | 95 | 77 | 3 | 221 | 614 | 134 | 0 | 50 | 151 | 30 | 1 | 2000 |
| Apprch \% | 6.6 | 59.6 | 33.8 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 34.9 | 28.3 | 1.1 | 22.8 | 63.4 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 65.1 | 12.9 | 0.4 |  |
| Total \% | 1.8 | 15.7 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 11.1 | 30.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 0.1 |  |

```
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
    5 1 6 ~ N . ~ T e j o n ~ S t .
    Colorado Springs, CO
    (719) 633-2868
```

|  | Hwy 83 From North |  |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From East |  |  |  |  | Hwy 83 From South |  |  |  |  | Hodgen Rd From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Thr} \\ \mathrm{u} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | App. Total | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rig} \\ \mathrm{ht} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Thr} \\ \mathrm{u} \end{array}$ | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | App. <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \text { ht } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Thr } \\ \mathrm{u} \end{array}$ | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | App. Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rig } \\ \mathrm{ht} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Thr } \\ \mathrm{d} \end{array}$ | Left | Ped s | App. <br> Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour From 04:15 PM to 06:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1
$\begin{array}{r}\text { Intersecti } \\ \text { on }\end{array} 04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$

File Name: Hwy 83 - Walden Way AM
Site Code $: 00000000$
Start Date $: 04 / 18 / 2012$
Page No $: 1$

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Hwy 83 From North |  |  |  | Walden WAy From East |  |  |  | Hwy 83 From South |  |  |  | Walden Way From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ t \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { Righ } \\ t \end{aligned}$ | Thru | Left | Ped | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ t \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { Righ } \\ t \end{aligned}$ | Thru | Left | Ped s | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 |
| Total | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 283 |


| 07:00 AM | 0 | 96 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 94 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 89 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 |
| Total | 0 | 377 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 657 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 712 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 443 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1197 |
| Apprch \% | 0.0 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 99.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $100 .$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 59.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 37.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
Colorado Springs, CO (719) 633-2868



LSC Transportation Consultants, inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado Springs, CO
(719) 633-2868

File Name : Hwy 83 - Walden Way PM
Site Code $: 00000000$
Start Date $: 04 / 18 / 2012$
Page No $: 1$
Groups Printed-Unshifted

|  | Hwy 83 From North |  |  |  | Walden Way From East |  |  |  | Hwy 83 From South |  |  |  | Walden Way From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ t \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ t \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Righ $t$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 80 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 66 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 187 |
| Total | 0 | 194 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 506 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 86 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 62 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 |
| Total | 0 | 310 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 409 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 738 |


| 06:00 PM | 0 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Grand Total | 0 | 560 | 18 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 756 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apprch \% | 0.0 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 76.2 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 99.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total $\%$ | 0.0 | 41.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 55.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. } & \\
\begin{array}{cl}
516 \mathrm{~N} . \text { Tejon St. } & \\
\text { Colorado Springs, CO } & \text { File Name :Hwy } 83 \text { - Walden Way PW } \\
(719) 633-2868 & \text { Site Code :00000000 } \\
& \text { Start Date :04/18/2012 } \\
& \text { Page No :2 }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$




LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado Springs, CO (719) 633-2868

File Name : US 83 - CR105 AM
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 11/15/2012
Page No : 1


| 08:00 AM | 2 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 32 | 24 | 0 | 22 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 177 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 08:15 AM | 6 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 37 | 21 | 0 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| Grand Total | 76 | 431 | 14 | 0 | 26 | 139 | 90 | 0 | 34 | 271 | 195 | 0 | 201 | 56 | 23 | 0 | 1556 |
| Apprch $\%$ | 14.6 | 82.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 54.5 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 54.2 | 39.0 | 0.0 | 71.8 | 20.0 | 8.2 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 4.9 | 27.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 8.9 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 17.4 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  |

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
Colorado Springs, CO (719) 633-2868

File Name : US 83-CR105 AM
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 11/15/2012
Page No:2


LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
Lsc Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado Springs, CO (719) 633-2868

File Name : US 83 - CR105 PM
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 11/15/2012
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed- Unshifted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | US Hwy 83 From North |  |  |  | CR 105 <br> From East |  |  |  | US Hwy 83 From South |  |  |  | CR 105 From West |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | $\mathrm{Righ}_{t}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ \mathbf{t} \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int, } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 04:00 PM | 11 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 60 | 27 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 229 |
| 04:15 PM | 7 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 52 | 27 | 0 | 22 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 205 |
| 04:30 PM | 9 | 53 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 41 | 25 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 200 |
| 04:45 PM | 9 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 63 | 36 | 0 | 27 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 223 |
| Total | 36 | 174 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 44 | 35 | 0 | 33 | 216 | 115 | 0 | 99 | 51 | 32 | 0 | 857 |
| 05:00 PM | 6 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 68 | 38 | 0 | 32 | 21 | 15 | 0 | 253 |
| 05:15 PM | 7 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 58 | 34 | 0 | 19 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 201 |
| 05:30 PM | 12 | 53 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 56 | 35 | 0 | 22 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 233 |
| 05:45 PM | 7 | 41 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 62 | 34 | 0 | 25 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 216 |
| Total | 32 | 172 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 48 | 15 | 0 | 40 | 244 | 141 | 0 | 98 | 52 | 47 | 0 | 903 |
| Grand Total | 68 | 346 | 22 | 0 | 14 | 92 | 50 | 0 | 73 | 460 | 256 | 0 | 197 | 103 | 79 | 0 | 1760 |
| Apprch \% | 15.6 | 79.4 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 59.0 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 58.3 | 32.4 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 27.2 | 20.8 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 3.9 | 19.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 26.1 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 0.0 |  |

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
Colorado Springs, CO
(719) 633-2868

File Name : US 83 - CR105 PM
Site Cade :00000000
Start Date : 11/15/2012
Page No : 2



## COUNTER MEASURES INC.

1889 YORK ST
N/S STREET: TMMBER MEADOWS DR
EW STREET: POND VIEW PL
DENVER, COLORADO
303-333-7409
CITY: BLACK FOREST
COUNTY: EL PASO
Groups Printed- VEHICLES
File Name : TIMBPOND
Site Code : 00000005
Start Date : 11/15/2012
Page No : 1

|  | TIMBER MEADOWDR Southbound |  |  | POND VIEW PL Westbound |  |  | TMAER MEADOW DR Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |


| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | $1{ }^{10}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 6 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Total | 1 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |


| $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Tatal | 0 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 0 | $\mathbf{Q}$ | 0 | 14 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| Grand Total | 1 | 22 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 262 |
| Apprch \% | 4.3 | 95.7 | 0.0 | 97.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 26.2 | 73.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 0.4 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 40.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 36.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

## COUNTER MEASURES INC.

N/S STREET: TMBER MEADOWS DR ENN STREET: POND VIEW PL

DENVER, COLORADO
File Name: TIMBPOND
303-333-7409
Site Code : 00000005
Start Date : 11/15/2012
COUNTY: EL PASO

|  | TIMBER MEADOW DRSouthbound |  |  |  | POND VIEW PL Westbound |  |  |  | TIMBER MEADOWDR Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. <br> Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ |
| Peak Hour From 06:30 AM to 08:30 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Volume | $\begin{gathered} 06: 45 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{AM}_{7}$ | 0 | $7$ | 38 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| Percent | 0.0 | $100 .$ | 0.0 |  | 97.4 | 0.0 | 2.6 |  | 0.0 | 22.7 | 77.3 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { 07:30 } \\ \text { Volume } \end{array}$ | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Peak Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.810 |
| High Int. Volume | $\begin{array}{r} 07: 30 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | AM |  |  | 07:30 $14$ | M |  |  | 07:15 | AM |  |  | 6:15:00 | AM |  |  |  |
| Peak Factor |  | 4 | 0 | 4 0.438 |  | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 0.696 \end{array}$ | 0 | 1 | 9 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 0.550 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |



## COUNTER MEASURES INC.

1889 YORK ST
N/S STREET: TMMBER MEADOWS DR EN STREET: POND VIEW PL

DENVER, COLORADO
303-333-7409
File Name : TIMBPOND
Site Code : 00000005
Start Date : 11/15/2012
Page No :2

|  | TIMBER MEADOW DRSouthbound |  |  |  | POND VIEW PL Westbound |  |  |  | TIMBER MEADOW DR Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ |
| Peak Hour From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Volume | $\begin{array}{r} 05: 00 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | PM 6 | 0 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 14 | 41 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| Percent | 0.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 100 . \\ 0 . \end{array}$ | 0.0 |  | 100. 0. | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 25.5 | 74.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| 05:15 Volume | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Peak Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.837 |
| High Int. Volume | $\begin{gathered} 05: 15 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | PM | 0 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 05: 15 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { PM }{ }_{0}$ | 0 |  | 05:15 | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.917 |  |  |  |  |  |



| Start | 16-Apr-12 |  | 17-Apr-12 |  | 18-Apr-12 |  | 19-Apr-12 |  | 20-Apr-12 |  | Weekday Average |  | 21-Apr-12 |  | 22-Apr-12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Northbou nd | Southbo und | Northbou nd | Southbo und | Northbou nd | Southbo und | Northbou nd | Southbo und | Northbou nd | Southbo und | Northbou nd | Southbo und | Northbou nd | Southbo und | Northbou nd | Southbo und |
| 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 01:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 02:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 03:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 04:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 1 |
| 05:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 06:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1 | 31 | 1 | 31 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 6 |
| 07:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 10 | 54 | 10 | 54 | 10 | 26 | 5 | 10 |
| 08:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21 | 43 | 21 | 43 | 13 | 31 | 6 | 32 |
| 09:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 9 | 39 | 9 | 39 | 22 | 56 | 5 | 27 |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21 | 44 | 32 | 42 | 26 | 43 | 19 | 41 | 20 | 33 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30 | 27 | 35 | 52 | 32 | 40 | 22 | 47 | 21 | 32 |
| 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM | * | * | * | * | * | * | 29 | 30 | 36 | 24 | 32 | 27 | 36 | 35 | 30 | 26 |
| 01:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19 | 28 | 22 | 37 | 20 | 32 | 26 | 33 | 26 | 27 |
| 02:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 30 | 23 | 29 | 32 | 30 | 28 | 36 | 26 | 32 | 19 |
| 03:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 50 | 61 | 39 | 26 | 44 | 44 | 33 | 41 | 30 | 28 |
| 04:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 50 | 60 | 49 | 48 | 50 | 54 | 25 | 39 | 20 | 29 |
| 05:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 46 | 40 | 43 | 47 | 44 | 44 | 27 | 31 | 41 | 17 |
| 06:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 52 | 26 | 53 | 43 | 52 | 34 | 19 | 29 | 17 | 13 |
| 07:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 36 | 29 | 23 | 21 | 30 | 25 | 19 | 10 | 18 | 16 |
| 08:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21 | 14 | 17 | 10 | 19 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 17 | 6 |
| 09:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 21 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 5 | 9 | 7 |
| 10:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 7 | 3 | 21 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 11:00 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 412 | 387 | 480 | 572 | 469 | 570 | 363 | 475 | 318 | 342 |
| Day |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11:00 | 10:00 | 11:00 | 07:00 | 11:00 | 07:00 | 09:00 | 09:00 | 11:00 | 10:00 |
| Vol. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 44 | 35 | 54 | 32 | 54 | 22 | 56 | 21 | 33 |
| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18:00 | 15:00 | 18:00 | 16:00 | 18:00 | 16:00 | 12:00 | 15:00 | 17:00 | 16:00 |
| Vol. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 61 | 53 | 48 | 52 | 54 | 36 | 41 | 41 | 29 |
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Location: HIGHVIEW DR SIO WALKER RD City:
County: EL PASO
Direction: NORTHBOUND-SOUTHBOUND


Location: HIGHVIEW DR S/O WALKER RD City:
County: EL PASO
Direction: NORTHBOUND-SOUTHBOUND


Location: POND VIEW DR E/O TIMBER MEADOW City:
County: EL PASO
Direction: EASTBOUND-WESTBOUND

Location: POND VIEW DR E/O TMBER MEADOW
City:
County: EL PASO
Direction: EASTBOUND-WESTBOUND

Direction: EASTBOUND-WESTBOUND


Location: WOODHAVEN DR SIO WALKER RD City:
County: EL PASO
Direction: NORTHBOUND-SOUTHBOUND

1889 YORK ST
DENVER,COLORADO 80206 Site Code: 111312


Location: WOODHAVEN DR S/O WALKER RD City:
County: EL. PASO
Direction: NORTHBOUND-SOUTHBOUND

1889 YORK ST
DENVER,COLORADO 80206
303-333-7409


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\longleftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | P |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | \% | \% | ¢ | F | \% | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{1}$ |
| Volume (vph) | 14 | 31 | 54 | 183 | 57 | 90 | 29 | 110 | 29 | 43 | 319 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 27.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.48 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.34 |
| Control Delay | 12.0 | 12.2 | 4.5 | 18.4 | 12.6 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 7.2 | 8.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 12.0 | 12.2 | 4.5 | 18.4 | 12.6 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 7.2 | 8.6 |
| LOS | B | B | A | B | B | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.0 |  |  | 13.5 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 8.5 |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 50.8
Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.48

Intersection Signal Delay: 9.7
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.3\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: A
ICU Level of Service B

Splits and Phases: 1: SH 83 \& Hodgen Rd


[^0]Synchro 8 Report

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 5 | 13 | 257 | 0 | 5 | 377 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 83 | 83 | 78 | 78 | 99 | 99 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 16 | 329 | 0 | 5 | 381 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 720 | 329 | 0 | 0 | 329 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 329 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 391 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 398 | 717 | - | - | 1242 | - |
| Stage 1 | 734 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 688 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 396 | 717 | - | - | 1242 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 396 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 734 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 685 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.4 | 0 | 0.1 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 585 | 1242 |
| - | - |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.037 | 0.004 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection  <br> Int Delay, S/veh 8.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 11 | 29 | 118 | 52 | 87 | 13 | 111 | 130 | 17 | 8 | 238 | 47 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Yeild | - | - | Yeild | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 200 | - | - | 200 | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 11 | 29 | 118 | 68 | 114 | 17 | 117 | 137 | 18 | 8 | 238 | 47 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 682 | 625 | 238 | 640 | 625 | 137 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 254 | 254 | - | 371 | 371 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 428 | 371 | - | 269 | 254 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 364 | 401 | 801 | 388 | 401 | 911 | 1329 | - | - | 1447 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 750 | 697 | - | 649 | 620 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 605 | 620 | - | 737 | 697 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 252 | 364 | 801 | 289 | 364 | 911 | 1329 | - | - | 1447 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 252 | 364 | - | 289 | 364 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 684 | 693 | - | 592 | 565 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 432 | 565 | - | 599 | 693 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.2 | 26.7 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| HCM LOS | B | D |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBREBLn1 | EBLn2WBLn1WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1329 | - | - | 324 | 801 | 332 | 911 | 1447 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.088 | - | -0.123 | 0.147 | 0.551 | 0.019 | 0.006 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8 | - | -17.7 | 10.3 | 28.4 | 9 | 7.5 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | C | B | D | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.3 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 0 | - | - |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | P |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | \% | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | \% | \% | 4 | 「 | \% | $\hat{}$ |
| Volume (vph) | 17 | 73 | 24 | 38 | 43 | 55 | 71 | 305 | 114 | 104 | 171 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| Control Delay | 14.0 | 15.2 | 3.7 | 15.0 | 14.4 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 4.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 14.0 | 15.2 | 3.7 | 15.0 | 14.4 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 4.6 |
| LOS | B | B | A | B | B | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 12.6 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 4.9 |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 45.9
Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.29

Intersection Signal Delay: 6.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.4\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: A
ICU Level of Service B

Splits and Phases: 1: SH 83 \& Hodgen Rd


[^1]Synchro 8 Report

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 1 | 9 | 417 | 2 | 11 | 314 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 83 | 83 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Mumt Flow | 1 | 11 | 444 | 2 | 11 | 324 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 791 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 446 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 445 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 346 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 361 | 617 | - | - | 1125 | - |
| Stage 1 | 650 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 721 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 357 | 617 | - | - | 1125 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 357 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 650 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 712 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.4 | 0 | 0.3 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 575 | 1125 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.021 | 0.01 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 11.4 | 8.2 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 45 | 57 | 100 | 18 | 52 | 5 | 143 | 245 | 40 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Yeild | - | - | Yeild | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 200 | - | - | 200 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 74 | 74 | 74 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 93 | 93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 61 | 77 | 135 | 18 | 52 | 5 | 154 | 263 | 43 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 794 | 768 | 183 | 806 | 768 | 263 | 183 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 197 | 197 | - | 571 | 571 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 597 | 571 | - | 235 | 197 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 306 | 332 | 859 | 300 | 332 | 776 | 1392 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 805 | 738 | - | 506 | 505 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 490 | 505 | - | 768 | 738 | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 240 | 294 | 859 | 185 | 294 | 776 | 1392 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 240 | 294 | - | 185 | 294 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 716 | 734 | - | 450 | 449 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 383 | 449 | - | 576 | 734 | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 21.1 | 23.4 | 2.6 |
| HCM LOS | $C$ | $C$ |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1392 | - | - | 267 | 859 | 255 | 776 | 1301 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.11 | - | - | 0.516 | 0.157 | 0.275 | 0.006 | 0.005 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.9 | - | - | 32 | 10 | 24.4 | 9.7 | 7.8 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | D | B | C | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.4 | - | - | 2.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Movement | 6 | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | Free | Free | Free |
| Sign Control | - | - | None |
| RT Channelized | 100 | - | 100 |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 7 | 183 | 38 |
| Mvmt Flow |  |  |  |


| Major/Minor | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 263 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 2.218 | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 1301 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1301 | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 |  |  |  |

Approach SB

HCM Control Delay, s 0.2
HCMLOS

## Minor Lane/Major Mvmt

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | , | $\frac{1}{\downarrow}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\dagger$ |
| Volume (vph) | 15 | 52 | 65 | 229 | 100 | 105 | 35 | 180 | 38 | 56 | 390 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.56 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.44 |
| Control Delay | 11.7 | 12.2 | 4.2 | 19.9 | 12.9 | 4.0 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 2.9 | 8.1 | 10.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 11.7 | 12.2 | 4.2 | 19.9 | 12.9 | 4.0 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 2.9 | 8.1 | 10.4 |
| LOS | B | B | A | B | B | A | A | A | A | A | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.2 |  |  | 14.4 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 10.1 |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | B |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 52.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 10.8 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.0\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: SH 83 \& Hodgen Rd


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume (vph) | 13 | 34 | 141 | 61 | 103 | 15 | 134 | 153 | 20 | 0 | 278 | 55 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 28.2\% | 28.2\% | 28.2\% | 28.2\% | 28.2\% | 28.2\% | 71.8\% | 71.8\% | 71.8\% | 71.8\% | 71.8\% | 71.8\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 13.3 | 13.3 |  | 13.3 | 13.3 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 47.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.15 | 0.34 |  | 0.72 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.05 |
| Control Delay |  | 24.4 | 7.4 |  | 41.6 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 1.6 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 24.4 | 7.4 |  | 41.6 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 1.6 |
| LOS |  | C | A |  | D | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 11.6 |  |  | 38.7 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 4.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 71
Actuated Cycle Length: 71
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.4
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.0\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 5: SH 83 \& Walker Road



| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.6 | 0 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 667 | 1185 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.036 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 10.6 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 55 | 85 | 1 | 0 | 460 | 21 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 300 | - | 100 | 0 | - | 100 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 98 | 98 | 98 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mumt Flow | 56 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 575 | 26 | 18 | 2 | 0 |
| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  | inor1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 575 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 874 | 774 | 87 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 199 | 199 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 675 | 575 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | 4.1 | - | - | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | 2.2 | - | - | 3.5 | 4 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1008 | - | - | 1522 | - | - | 272 | 332 | 977 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 807 | 740 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 447 | 506 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1008 | - | - | 1522 | - | - | 161 | 314 | 977 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 161 | 314 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 762 | 699 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 276 | 506 |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 3.4 | 0 | 29.1 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | D |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 169 | 1008 | - | - | 1522 | - | - | 477 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.118 | 0.056 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.478 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 29.1 | 8.8 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 19.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | D | A | - | - | A | - | - | C |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.4 | 0.2 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 2.5 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |
| Movement | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 20 | 1 | 141 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 71 | 71 | 71 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 28 | 1 | 199 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 775 | 774 | 575 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 575 | 575 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 200 | 199 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.1 | 5.5 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.1 | 5.5 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 4 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 318 | 332 | 521 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 507 | 506 | - |
| $\quad 806$ | 740 | - |  |
| Stage 2 |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | 303 | 314 | 521 |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 303 | 314 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 479 | 506 | - |
| Stage 1 | 759 | 699 | - |
| Stage 2 |  |  |  |


| Approach | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 19.3 |
| HCM LOS | C |

## Minor Lane/Major Mvmt

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 15.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 13 | 34 | 141 | 61 | 103 | 15 | 134 | 153 | 20 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Yeild | - | - | Yeild | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 200 | - | - | 200 | 100 | - | 100 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 13 | 34 | 141 | 80 | 136 | 20 | 141 | 161 | 21 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 807 | 739 | 278 | 756 | 739 | 161 | 278 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 296 | 296 | - | 443 | 443 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 511 | 443 | - | 313 | 296 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 300 | 345 | 761 | 325 | 345 | 884 | 1285 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 712 | 668 | - | 594 | 576 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 545 | 576 | - | 698 | 668 | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 176 | 305 | 761 | 221 | 305 | 884 | 1285 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 176 | 305 | - | 221 | 305 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 634 | 664 | - | 529 | 513 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 349 | 513 | - | 536 | 664 | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.7 | 53.5 | 3.6 |
| HCM LOS | B | F |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1285 | - | - | 254 | 761 | 267 | 884 | 1418 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.11 | - | - | 0.185 | 0.185 | 0.808 | 0.022 | 0.006 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.1 | - | - | 22.4 | 10.8 | 57.6 | 9.2 | 7.6 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | C | B | F | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.4 | - | - | 0.7 | 0.7 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 0 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |
| Movement | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 9 | 278 | 55 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 100 | - | 100 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 278 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Major/Minor | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 161 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1418 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1418 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |

Approach SB

HCM Control Delay, s 0.2
HCM LOS

## Minor Lane/Major Mvmt

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 86 | 9 | 15 | 35 | 0 | 34 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 93 | 10 | 16 | 38 | 0 | 37 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 72 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 35 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 37 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.41 | 6.21 | - | - | 4.11 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.509 | 3.309 | - | - | 2.209 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 935 | 1041 | - | - | 1558 | - |
| Stage 1 | 990 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 988 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 935 | 1041 | - | - | 1558 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 935 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 990 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 988 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.3 | 0 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 944 | 1558 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.109 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 9.3 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | A | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.4 | 0 | - |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | - | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 7 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | F |
| Volume (vph) | 20 | 128 | 30 | 51 | 74 | 65 | 85 | 405 | 146 | 139 | 225 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% | 64.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.39 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 0.24 |
| Control Delay | 13.4 | 15.9 | 4.4 | 15.0 | 14.4 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 5.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 13.4 | 15.9 | 4.4 | 15.0 | 14.4 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 5.7 |
| LOS | B | B | A | B | B | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 13.7 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 5.6 |  |  | 6.4 |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 47.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 7.6 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.9\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: SH 83 \& Hodgen Rd


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | F' | \% | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume (vph) | 53 | 68 | 120 | 21 | 61 | 6 | 170 | 288 | 47 | 7 | 194 | 40 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 28.6\% | 28.6\% | 28.6\% | 28.6\% | 28.6\% | 28.6\% | 71.4\% | 71.4\% | 71.4\% | 71.4\% | 71.4\% | 71.4\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | -1.0 | -1.0 |  | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Efftt Green (s) |  | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 13.0 | 13.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.58 | 0.38 |  | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay |  | 33.8 | 7.1 |  | 25.5 | 0.2 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 1.6 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 33.8 | 7.1 |  | 25.5 | 0.2 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 1.6 |
| LOS |  | C | A |  | C | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 20.5 |  |  | 23.7 |  |  | 4.6 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.58
Intersection Signal Delay: 10.1
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 42.8\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 5: SH 83 \& Walker Road


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 13 | 490 | 2 | 0 | 389 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 83 | 83 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 16 | 521 | 2 | 0 | 401 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 923 | 522 | 0 | 0 | 523 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 522 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 401 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 302 | 559 | - | - | 1054 | - |
| Stage 1 | 599 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 681 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 302 | 559 | - | - | 1054 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 302 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 599 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 681 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.6 | 0 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 559 | 1054 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.028 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 11.6 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 174 | 300 | 13 | 1 | 180 | 22 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 300 | - | 100 | 0 | - | 100 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 86 | 86 | 86 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mumt Flow | 202 | 349 | 15 | 1 | 222 | 27 | 12 | 2 | 2 |
| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 222 | 0 | 0 | 349 | 0 | 0 | 1042 | 978 | 349 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 753 | 753 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 289 | 225 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | 4.1 | - | - | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | 2.2 | - | - | 3.5 | 4 | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1359 | - | - | 1221 | - | - | 210 | 252 | 699 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 405 | 420 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 723 | 721 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1359 | - | - | 1221 | - | - | 157 | 214 | 699 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 157 | 214 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 345 | 358 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 610 | 720 |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 2.9 | 0 | 26.8 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | D |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 181 | 1359 | - | - | 1221 | - | - | 595 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.088 | 0.149 | - | - | 0.001 | - | - | 0.244 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 26.8 | 8.1 | - | - | 8 | - | - | 13 |
| HCM Lane LOS | D | A | - | - | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.3 | 0.5 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |
|  | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Movement | 12 | 1 | 90 |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Sign Control | - | - | None |
| RT Channelized | - | - | - |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 71 | 71 | 71 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 17 | 1 | 127 |


|  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Major/Minor | 980 | 978 | 222 |
| Conflicting Flow All | 225 | 225 | - |
| Stage 1 | 755 | 753 | - |
| Stage 2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.1 | 5.5 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.1 | 5.5 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 3.5 | 4 | 3.3 |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 231 | 252 | 823 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 782 | 721 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 404 | 420 | - |
| Stage 2 |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | 203 | 214 | 823 |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 203 | 214 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 666 | 720 | - |
| Stage 1 | 341 | 358 | - |
| Stage 2 |  |  |  |


| Approach | SB |
| :--- | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13 |
| HCM LOS | B |

## Minor Lane/Major Mvmt

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 53 | 68 | 120 | 21 | 61 | 6 | 170 | 288 | 47 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | Yeild | - | - | Yeild | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 200 | - | - | 200 | 100 | - | 100 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% |  | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 74 | 74 | 74 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 93 | 93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 72 | 92 | 162 | 21 | 61 | 6 | 183 | 310 | 51 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 937 | 906 | 216 | 952 | 906 | 310 | 216 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 231 | 231 | - | 675 | 675 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 706 | 675 | - | 277 | 231 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 245 | 276 | 824 | 239 | 276 | 730 | 1354 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 772 | 713 | - | 444 | 453 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 427 | 453 | - | 729 | 713 | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 175 | 237 | 824 | 121 | 237 | 730 | 1354 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 175 | 237 | - | 121 | 237 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 668 | 708 | - | 384 | 392 | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 309 | 392 | - | 506 | 708 | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 39.6 | 35.7 | 2.7 |
| HCM LOS | E | E |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | EBLn2 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1354 | - | - | 205 | 824 | 190 | 730 | 1250 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.135 | - | - | 0.798 | 0.197 | 0.432 | 0.008 | 0.006 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.1 | - | - | 68.6 | 10.4 | 37.6 | 10 | 7.9 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | F | B | E | B | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.5 | - | - | 5.7 | 0.7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 7 | 194 | 40 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 100 | - | 100 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 216 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Major/Minor | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 310 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | -12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1250 | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | 1250 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 |  |  |  |

```
Approach SB
```

HCM Control Delay, s 0.2
HCMLOS

## Minor Lane/Major Mvmt

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 53 | 5 | 66 | 99 | 0 | 41 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 |
| Mumt Flow | 58 | 5 | 72 | 108 | 0 | 45 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 171 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 126 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 45 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.41 | 6.21 | - | - | 4.11 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.509 | 3.309 | - | - | 2.209 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 821 | 927 | - | - | 1403 | - |
| Stage 1 | 902 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 980 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 821 | 927 | - | - | 1403 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 821 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 902 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 980 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.7 | 0 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 829 | 1403 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.076 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 9.7 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | A | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.2 | 0 | - |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 个个 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume (vph) | 123 | 97 | 203 | 353 | 309 | 140 | 133 | 418 | 86 | 152 | 651 | 218 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial ( s ) | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 9.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 11.1\% | 16.7\% | 16.7\% | 22.2\% | 27.8\% | 27.8\% | 61.1\% | 61.1\% | 61.1\% | 61.1\% | 61.1\% | 61.1\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.8 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 30.5 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 26.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.40 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.53 | 0.24 | 0.56 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.30 |
| Control Delay | 14.8 | 29.2 | 8.8 | 16.4 | 23.4 | 5.0 | 24.8 | 13.0 | 0.8 | 17.8 | 14.6 | 3.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 14.8 | 29.2 | 8.8 | 16.4 | 23.4 | 5.0 | 24.8 | 13.0 | 0.8 | 17.8 | 14.6 | 3.2 |
| LOS | B | C | A | B | C | A | C | B | A | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 15.2 |  |  | 17.1 |  |  | 13.8 |  |  | 12.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 65.9
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.57

Intersection Signal Delay: 14.5
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.2\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service D

Splits and Phases: 1: SH 83 \& Hodgen Rd


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | 个个 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个个 | F |
| Volume（vph） | 27 | 103 | 281 | 176 | 200 | 48 | 263 | 309 | 127 | 46 | 565 | 111 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（ s ） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  | －1．0 | －1．0 |  | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  | 23.6 | 23.6 |  | 23.6 | 23.6 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 38.4 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.34 | 0.34 |  | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.27 | 0.42 |  | 0.83 | 0.09 | 0.68 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.13 |
| Control Delay |  | 19.0 | 5.1 |  | 39.6 | 6.3 | 21.7 | 8.1 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 1.8 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 19.0 | 5.1 |  | 39.6 | 6.3 | 21.7 | 8.1 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 1.8 |
| LOS |  | B | A |  | D | A | C | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 9.5 |  |  | 35.8 |  |  | 12.1 |  |  | 7.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 70
Actuated Cycle Length： 70
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBTL and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 50
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.83
Intersection Signal Delay： 14.8
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69．0\％
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：5：SH 83 \＆Walker Road


## 3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#1 7:00

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | $R$ | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 4.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 10.1 | 9.3 | 1.7 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#2 7:15

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 4.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 24.3 | 9.8 | 2.2 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#3 7:30

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh $(s)$ | 4.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 12.5 | 8.7 | 1.8 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#4 7:45

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 11.6 | 8.2 | 1.6 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Entire Run

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | $T$ | $R$ | $L$ | $T$ | $R$ | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 4.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 15.7 | 9.6 | 1.9 |



| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.8 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -644 | 893 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.034 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -10.8 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | 0 |
| ( |  | - |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 77 | 16 | 25 | 30 | 8 | 41 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 84 | 17 | 27 | 33 | 9 | 45 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 105 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 43 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 62 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.41 | 6.21 | - | - | 4.11 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.509 | 3.309 | - | - | 2.209 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 895 | 1030 | - | - | 1550 | - |
| Stage 1 | 982 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 963 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 890 | 1030 | - | - | 1550 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 890 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 982 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 957 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.4 | 0 | 1.2 |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -911 | 1550 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.111 | 0.006 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 9.4 | 7.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95 \% $\%$ tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.4 | 0 |
| H |  | - |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 1 | 101 | 173 | 93 | 283 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 250 | 250 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 75 | 75 | 92 | 92 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 110 | 231 | 124 | 308 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 3 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 308 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 669 | 668 | 110 | 668 | 668 | 308 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 112 | 112 | - | 556 | 556 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 557 | 556 | - | 112 | 112 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1253 | - | - | 1480 | - | - | 371 | 379 | 943 | 372 | 379 | 732 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 893 | 803 |  | 515 | 513 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 515 | 513 | - | 893 | 803 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1253 | - | - | 1480 | - | - | 345 | 347 | 943 | 311 | 347 | 732 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 345 | 347 | - | 311 | 347 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 892 | 802 | - | 515 | 470 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 470 | 470 | - | 796 | 802 |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 2.2 | 20.7 | 9.9 |
| HCM LOS |  | $C$ | A |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 NBLn2 |  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 345 | 943 | 1253 | - | -1480 | - | -732 |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.537 | 0.107 | 0.001 | - | -0.084 | - | -0.004 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 26.9 | 9.3 | 7.9 | - | - | 7.7 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | D | A | A | - | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95 \%th \%tile Q(veh) | 3 | 0.4 | 0 | - | - | 0.3 | - | - |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 个个 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume (vph) | 195 | 293 | 304 | 148 | 193 | 170 | 253 | 643 | 292 | 151 | 314 | 223 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial ( s ) | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 9.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 10.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 11.1\% | 22.2\% | 22.2\% | 11.1\% | 22.2\% | 22.2\% | 66.7\% | 66.7\% | 66.7\% | 66.7\% | 66.7\% | 66.7\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 24.1 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 24.1 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 27.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.43 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.46 | 0.68 | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.35 | 0.58 | 0.21 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay | 17.4 | 32.2 | 6.3 | 17.4 | 25.0 | 6.1 | 18.0 | 12.1 | 2.4 | 22.1 | 10.2 | 2.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 17.4 | 32.2 | 6.3 | 17.4 | 25.0 | 6.1 | 18.0 | 12.1 | 2.4 | 22.1 | 10.2 | 2.6 |
| LOS | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | B | A | C | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 18.6 |  |  | 16.5 |  |  | 11.0 |  |  | 10.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 64
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.6
Intersection Capacity Utilization 78.6\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service D

Splits and Phases: 1: SH 83 \& Hodgen Rd


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \％ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | F |
| Volume（vph） | 108 | 130 | 238 | 60 | 110 | 17 | 334 | 584 | 100 | 21 | 390 | 81 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  | －1．0 | －1．0 |  | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  | 17.9 | 17.9 |  | 17.9 | 17.9 | 44.1 | 44.1 | 44.1 | 44.1 | 44.1 | 44.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.26 | 0.26 |  | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.79 | 0.43 |  | 0.56 | 0.04 | 0.60 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay |  | 42.5 | 5.3 |  | 28.6 | 4.7 | 14.1 | 6.8 | 1.8 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 1.9 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 42.5 | 5.3 |  | 28.6 | 4.7 | 14.1 | 6.8 | 1.8 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 1.9 |
| LOS |  | D | A |  | C | A | B | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 23.9 |  |  | 26.4 |  |  | 8.7 |  |  | 5.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 70
Actuated Cycle Length： 70
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBTL and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.79
Intersection Signal Delay： 12.9
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 64．5\％
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：5：SH 83 \＆Walker Road


## 3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#1 5:00

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | $R$ | $L$ | $T$ | $R$ | LTR | $L$ | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 16.0 | 4.4 | 1.0 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#2 5:15

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 14.0 | 5.5 | 1.0 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#3 5:30

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh $(s)$ | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 17.2 | 4.8 | 1.0 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#4 5:45

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 13.9 | 5.5 | 1.0 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Entire Run

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | $T$ | $R$ | L | $T$ | $R$ | LTR | $L$ | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 15.3 | 5.5 | 1.1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 12 | 1006 | 2 | 0 | 688 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 500 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 83 | 83 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 14 | 1070 | 2 | 0 | 709 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1425 | 535 | 0 | 0 | 1070 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1070 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 355 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.8 | 6.9 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 129 | 495 | - | - | 659 | - |
| Stage 1 | 295 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 686 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 129 | 495 | - | - | 659 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 129 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 295 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 686 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.5 | 0 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 495 | 659 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.029 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 12.5 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 47 | 6 | 21 | 85 | 3 | 26 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 51 | 7 | 23 | 92 | 3 | 28 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 104 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 69 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 35 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.41 | 6.21 | - | - | 4.11 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.509 | 3.309 | - | - | 2.209 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 896 | 997 | - | - | 1480 | - |
| Stage 1 | 956 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 990 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 894 | 997 | - | - | 1480 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 894 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 956 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 988 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.2 | 0 | 0.8 |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 905 | 1480 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.064 | 0.002 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 9.2 | 7.4 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.2 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 3 | 190 | 57 | 24 | 139 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 26 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 250 | - | 250 | 250 | - | - |  | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 3 | 207 | 62 | 26 | 151 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 28 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 151 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 417 | 416 | 207 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 213 | 213 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 204 | 203 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1430 | - | - | 1364 | - | - | 546 | 527 | 833 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 789 | 726 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 798 | 733 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1430 | - | - | 1364 | - | - | 536 | 516 | 833 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 536 | 516 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 787 | 724 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 781 | 719 |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 | 1.1 | 11.4 |
| HCM LOS |  | $B$ |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 536 | 833 | 1430 | - | - | 1364 | - | - | 895 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.095 | 0.034 | 0.002 | - | - | 0.019 | - | - | 0.002 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 12.4 | 9.5 | 7.5 | - | - | 7.7 | - | - | 9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | A | - | - | A | - | - | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |
| Movement | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 2 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 416 | 416 | 151 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 203 | 203 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 213 | 213 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 547 | 527 | 895 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 799 | 733 | - |
| $\quad 789$ | 726 | - |  |
| Stage 2 |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | 520 | 516 | 895 |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 520 | 516 | - |
| Stage 1 | 797 | 719 | - |
| Stage 2 | 761 | 724 | - |


| Approach | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9 |
| HCM LOS | A |

## Minor Lane/Major Mvmt

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 个个 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume (vph) | 125 | 100 | 203 | 373 | 319 | 140 | 133 | 422 | 91 | 152 | 657 | 220 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial ( s ) | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 9.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 11.1\% | 16.7\% | 16.7\% | 22.2\% | 27.8\% | 27.8\% | 61.1\% | 61.1\% | 61.1\% | 61.1\% | 61.1\% | 61.1\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.8 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 30.9 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 26.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.40 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.49 | 0.60 | 0.54 | 0.24 | 0.57 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.30 |
| Control Delay | 15.1 | 29.5 | 8.8 | 17.1 | 23.6 | 5.0 | 25.5 | 13.1 | 0.9 | 18.0 | 14.7 | 3.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 15.1 | 29.5 | 8.8 | 17.1 | 23.6 | 5.0 | 25.5 | 13.1 | 0.9 | 18.0 | 14.7 | 3.2 |
| LOS | B | C | A | B | C | A | C | B | A | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 15.5 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 13.9 |  |  | 12.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 66.4
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.60

Intersection Signal Delay: 14.8
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.3\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service E

Splits and Phases: 1: SH 83 \& Hodgen Rd


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F＇ | \％ | 个4 | F |
| Volume（vph） | 27 | 109 | 281 | 183 | 210 | 50 | 271 | 311 | 131 | 47 | 565 | 111 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  | －1．0 | －1．0 |  | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min |
| Act Effict Green（s） |  | 24.8 | 24.8 |  | 24.8 | 24.8 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 37.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.35 | 0.35 |  | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.26 | 0.41 |  | 0.83 | 0.09 | 0.73 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.33 | 0.13 |
| Control Delay |  | 18.7 | 5.0 |  | 39.4 | 6.3 | 25.1 | 8.4 | 1.8 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 1.8 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 18.7 | 5.0 |  | 39.4 | 6.3 | 25.1 | 8.4 | 1.8 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 1.8 |
| LOS |  | B | A |  | D | A | C | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 9.4 |  |  | 35.7 |  |  | 13.6 |  |  | 8.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 70
Actuated Cycle Length： 70
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBTL and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.83
Intersection Signal Delay： 15.5
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69．7\％
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：5：SH 83 \＆Walker Road


## 3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#1 7:00

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | $R$ | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 5.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 14.6 | 10.0 | 2.3 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#2 7:15

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 7.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 21.9 | 12.2 | 2.9 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#3 7:30

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 20.3 | 9.2 | 2.5 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#4 7:45

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 4.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.9 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 2.7 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Entire Run

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | $R$ | L | T | $R$ | LTR | $L$ | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 5.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 11.6 | 2.7 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 27 | 685 | 1 | 0 | 1029 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 500 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 83 | 83 | 95 | 78 | 99 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 33 | 721 | 1 | 0 | 1083 |



| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.9 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -641 | 890 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.051 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -10.9 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.2 | 0 |
| ( | - |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 86 | 19 | 32 | 33 | 9 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 93 | 21 | 35 | 36 | 10 | 74 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 146 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 53 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 93 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.41 | 6.21 | - | - | 4.11 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.41 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.509 | 3.309 | - | - | 2.209 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 849 | 1017 | - | - | 1536 | - |
| Stage 1 | 972 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 933 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 843 | 1017 | - | - | 1536 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 843 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 972 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 926 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.8 | 0 | 0.9 |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -870 | 15356 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.131 | 0.006 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 9.8 | 7.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | 0 |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | A | A |
| A | A |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 1 | 101 | 184 | 93 | 283 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 75 | 75 | 92 | 92 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 110 | 245 | 124 | 308 | 0 | 209 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 3 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 308 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 669 | 668 | 110 | 668 | 668 | 308 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 112 | 112 | - | 556 | 556 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 557 | 556 | - | 112 | 112 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1253 | - | - | 1480 | - | - | 371 | 379 | 943 | 372 | 379 | 732 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 893 | 803 |  | 515 | 513 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 515 | 513 | - | 893 | 803 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1253 | - | - | 1480 | - | - | 345 | 347 | 943 | 311 | 347 | 732 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 345 | 347 | - | 311 | 347 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 892 | 802 | - | 515 | 470 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 470 | 470 | - | 796 | 802 |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 2.2 | 23.5 | 9.9 |
| HCM LOS |  | $C$ | A |  |



|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{*}$ | 个 | F | \％ | 个个 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Volume（vph） | 199 | 302 | 304 | 162 | 200 | 170 | 253 | 656 | 309 | 152 | 318 | 224 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 9.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．1\％ | 22．2\％ | 22．2\％ | 11．1\％ | 22．2\％ | 22．2\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | －2．0 | －2．0 | －2．0 | －2．0 | －2．0 | －2．0 | －2．0 | －2．0 | －2．0 | －2．0 | －2．0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 24.1 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 24.1 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 28.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.44 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.71 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.35 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.37 | 0.59 | 0.21 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay | 18.2 | 33.7 | 6.4 | 19.1 | 25.7 | 6.2 | 17.9 | 12.1 | 2.4 | 22.6 | 10.2 | 2.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 18.2 | 33.7 | 6.4 | 19.1 | 25.7 | 6.2 | 17.9 | 12.1 | 2.4 | 22.6 | 10.2 | 2.6 |
| LOS | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | B | A | C | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 19.6 |  |  | 17.5 |  |  | 10.8 |  |  | 10.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 64.4
Natural Cycle： 60
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.71
Intersection Signal Delay： 14.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79．9\％
Analysis Period（min） 15

Intersection LOS：B
ICU Level of Service D

Splits and Phases：1：SH 83 \＆Hodgen Rd


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \％ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | 个4 | F |
| Volume（vph） | 108 | 150 | 239 | 65 | 117 | 18 | 339 | 585 | 112 | 24 | 390 | 81 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 35．7\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ | 64．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） |  | －1．0 | －1．0 |  | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min | C－Min |
| Act Effct Green（s） |  | 18.7 | 18.7 |  | 18.7 | 18.7 | 43.3 | 43.3 | 43.3 | 43.3 | 43.3 | 43.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio |  | 0.27 | 0.27 |  | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| v／c Ratio |  | 0.82 | 0.42 |  | 0.61 | 0.04 | 0.62 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay |  | 44.3 | 5.2 |  | 30.2 | 5.3 | 15.0 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 2.0 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 44.3 | 5.2 |  | 30.2 | 5.3 | 15.0 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 2.0 |
| LOS |  | D | A |  | C | A | B | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 25.4 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 5.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 70
Actuated Cycle Length： 70
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBTL and 6：SBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay： 13.8
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 66．5\％
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：5：SH 83 \＆Walker Road


## 3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#1 5:00

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | $T$ | $R$ | $L$ | $T$ | $R$ | LTR | $L$ | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 12.1 | 4.2 | 1.3 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#2 5:15

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 22.2 | 5.9 | 1.3 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#3 5:30

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 16.2 | 5.0 | 1.1 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Interval \#4 5:45

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | R | L | T | R | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh $(s)$ | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 14.1 | 4.6 | 1.2 |

3: Timber Meadow Drive \& Hodgen Rd Performance by lane Entire Run

| Lane | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movements Served | L | T | $R$ | L | T | $R$ | LTR | L | TR |  |
| Stop Del/Veh (s) | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 16.7 | 5.2 | 1.2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 18 | 1018 | 7 | 0 | 694 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 500 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 83 | 83 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 22 | 1083 | 7 | 0 | 715 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1441 | 541 | 0 | 0 | 1083 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1083 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 358 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.8 | 6.9 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 126 | 491 | - | - | 652 | - |
| Stage 1 | 291 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 684 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 126 | 491 | - | - | 652 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 126 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 291 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 684 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.7 | 0 | 0 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 491 | 652 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.044 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 12.7 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | $B$ | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |



| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.7 | 0 | 1 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 839 | 1435 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.079 | 0.005 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 9.7 | 7.5 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.3 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 3 | 190 | 93 | 24 | 139 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 26 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 250 | - | 250 | 250 | - | - |  | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 3 | 207 | 101 | 26 | 151 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 28 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 151 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 417 | 416 | 207 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 213 | 213 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 204 | 203 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.12 | 5.52 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1430 | - | - | 1364 | - | - | 546 | 527 | 833 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 789 | 726 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 798 | 733 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1430 | - | - | 1364 | - | - | 536 | 516 | 833 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | 536 | 516 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 787 | 724 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 781 | 719 |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.1 | 1.1 | 11.7 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | NBLn2 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 536 | 833 | 1430 | - | - | 1364 | - | - | 895 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.034 | 0.002 | - | - | 0.019 | - | - | 0.002 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 12.6 | 9.5 | 7.5 | - | - | 7.7 | - | - | 9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | A | - | - | A | - | - | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | - | - | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |
| Movement | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 2 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 416 | 416 | 151 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 203 | 203 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 213 | 213 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 547 | 527 | 895 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 799 | 733 | - |
| $\quad 789$ | 726 | - |  |
| Stage 2 |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | 520 | 516 | 895 |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 520 | 516 | - |
| Stage 1 | 797 | 719 | - |
| Stage 2 | 761 | 724 | - |


| Approach | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9 |
| HCM LOS | A |

## Minor Lane/Major Mvmt

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

516 North Tejon Street<br>Colorado Springs, CO 80903<br>(719) 633-2868<br>FAX (719) 633-5430<br>E-mail: lsc@Isces.com

November 3, 2014

Mr. Matt Dunston
Walden Holdings 1, LLC
17145 Colonial Park Drive
Monument, CO 80132

## RE: Walden Preserve 2 <br> Preliminary Plan and Filings 1 and 2 <br> Addendum Report for CDOT <br> LSC \#144380

Dear Mr. Dunston:

LSC has prepared this letter addendum to the September 17, 2014 traffic report for Walden Preserve 2. This letter has been prepared due to the results of updated traffic counts at the Walden Way/State Highway 83 intersection and changes to the internal road layout of the subdivision which will shift some site-generated traffic from the north section of Walden Way to the north to Walker Road.

This addendum report concludes that: 1) no improvements are warranted now or are projected to be warranted in the foreseeable future at the intersection of Walden Way/SH 83, and 2) a Four-Hour Volume traffic signal warrant appears to already be warranted at the intersection of SH83 and Walker/Highway 105.

The site location is shown in Figure 1. The development context map is shown in Figure 2. This report presents a proposed change from the future right-in/right-out configuration shown in the traffic report for the intersection of Walden Way/State Highway 83 to keeping the intersection as a full-movement intersection. This addendum letter also provides a status update regarding the proposed future Walden Preserve 2 connection to Walker Road.

This addendum report contains the following:

- An introduction to the proposed September 17, 2014 traffic report modification regarding the Walden Way/State Highway 83 intersection.
- Status update regarding the proposed Walden Preserve 2 connection to Walker Road.
- Current morning and afternoon peak-hour traffic volume counts at the intersection of Walden Way/State Highway 83. These update the April 2012 volumes contained in the September 17, 2014 traffic report. Based on these counts, this report also presents estimated adjustments to the November 2012 counts at Walker/SH 83. It is based on these adjustments that a traffic signal warrant appears to be met currently.
- Status of nearby/adjacent subdivisions relative to the number of constructed homes.
- Updates to the projected background traffic volumes at the Walden Way/State Highway 83 intersection contained in the September 17, 2014 traffic report. Updates to the projected background traffic volumes at Walker/State Highway 83.
- Updates to the projected site-generated traffic volumes at the Walden Way/State Highway 83 intersection contained in the September 17, 2014 traffic report and updates to the projected sitegenerated traffic volumes at the Walker/State Highway 83 intersection.
- Updated projections of future total traffic at these two intersections and levels of service.
- Update to the traffic signal warrant analysis and anticipated percentages of participation by this project at Walker Road/State Highway 105.
- Updates to recommendations for the Walden Way/State Highway 83 intersection with the proposal to keep the intersection as it currently exists, full movement, and concentrate efforts on the Walker Road/SH 83 intersection.


## INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

During the development review process of the Walden Preserve 2 PUD plan, the applicant proposed a future connection north to Walker Road. This will be a significant improvement to the traffic distribution system of the project and will result in a reduced traffic impact on both the north section of Walden Way just east of SH 83 and Timber Meadow Drive to the south. The other significant change to the plan was the removal of the northern connection between Walden Preserve 2 and Walden Way. This change will further discourage Walden Preserve 2 trips from using the north section of Walden Way in favor of the future connection to the north to Walker Road. These two changes from the previous plan iterations are illustrated in Figure 3.

The applicant has held discussions with the residents along Walden Way. The applicant has indicated to LSC that many of the residents are resistant to either closing off the intersection entirely or installing major improvements to it, for instance constructing a raised island to prohibit left-turn movements and converting the intersection to a right-in-right-out. The applicant has indicated to LSC from their discussions that residents are not dissatisfied with the current configuration and do not see a need for improvements. Given the views expressed by the Walden Way residents and the proposed investment in the Walker Road connection and other efforts by the applicant to discourage Walden Preserve 2 traffic from using the north end of Walden Way, the applicant would prefer to focus resources on improvements to Walker Road in the vicinity of the proposed location of the Walden PUD connection to Walker Road and the signalization of Walker Road/State Highway 83.

The developers of Walden Preserve 2 are working with School District 38, which owns a 70-acre parcel on the southeast corner of the intersection. It is anticipated that eventually a school of some sort, not a high school, will be built on the site and will contribute more traffic at the intersection of Walker Road/SH 83. Therefore, the developers are proposing to concentrate their efforts at Walker/SH 83, where funding will be most beneficial as the traffic signal is warranted. The Walker Road intersection is identified in the County Major Thoroughfares Transportation Plan as a Major Collector. The west leg of the intersection (Highway 105) is a Principal Arterial. It is also important to note that Highway 105 west of Highway 83 is a PPRTA project and PPRTA funds may be available to match developer contributions for future signalization.

The applicant met with CDOT on October 3, 2014 to discuss the concept of focusing efforts on the improvements to Walker Road and signalization of Walker Road/State Highway 105 rather than toward construction costs to restrict Walden Way to right-in/right out. CDOT was receptive to the concept of focusing efforts at Walker/SH 83 and requested this proposal/letter update from LSC on behalf of the applicant. LSC has been requested by the applicant to reevaluate the originally proposed right-in/right-out at Walden Way. CDOT requested an analysis of the Walden Way intersection assuming the current full-movement configuration and the effects of this change at the SH 83/Highway 105/Walker Road intersection. The effects of this change at SH83/Walker Road of interest to CDOT is primarily the change in signal percentage contribution by Walden Preserve 2.

## WALKER ROAD CONNECTION STATUS

The applicant and consultant team met with the County Engineer on-location in the field on Walker Road to discuss options for the proposed future intersection of Walker Road/Walden Preserve 2 north connection. Options discussed included an intersection aligning with Shannon Road ( 890 feet west of Highway 83) and an intersection at the location of the Walden District wastewater treatment plant ( 1,400 feet west of Highway 83). The potential for the need for both access points depending of the size and circulation/capacity needs of the future school at the southeast corner of Highway 83/ Walker Road was also discussed. The applicant will be conducting some initial design work to evaluate these options relative to sight distance and potential future right-of-way and turn lane needs. As preliminary design concepts are developed, these would be sent to CDOT for review as although this project will primarily involve the County road, we anticipate interest by CDOT because of the proximity to the SH 83 intersection. The developers plan to have the street connection north to Walker Road constructed by the time half the homes are built within Walden Preserve 2.

## UPDATED TRAFFIC VOLUME COUNTS

LSC has completed updated traffic counts during the morning and afternoon peak hour at the Walden Way/SH 83 intersection. The count data sheets are attached for reference. The attached Figure 4 shows the count results from the new counts taken in October 2014. Through traffic on SH 83 has increased since the previous count. Regarding the southbound left-turn movement, the previous count from 2012 indicated an afternoon southbound peak-hour left-turn volume of 11 vehicles per hour, which was over the 10 vph maximum volume before a left-turn lane would be required (RA Classification in the State Highway Access Code). The recent October 2014 count shows a southbound left-turn volume of four vehicles per hour.

## STATUS OF ADJACENT SUBDIVISIONS

Figure 2 shows the existing and planned area subdivisions. Figure 3 shows the adjacent subdivisions, the total number of lots within Walden III, Walden Preserve Filing 2 and the large lot area of Filing No. 1 and the number of homes built.

The purpose of compiling these data is 1 ) to evaluate the current and previous intersection turning volumes at Walden Way against the area trip generation and 2) to estimate the added turning movements at the intersection that would be generated by the future, yet-to-be-built homes in these areas. This information has been used to estimate both the background and site-generated turning movements at the Walden Way/SH 83 intersection.

The primary current users of the Walden Way intersection and the north end of Walden Way are the 41 homes in Walden III (excepting lots with access directly to SH 83). Also, homes have been built on most of the lots in Walden Preserve Filing 2, the next closest subdivision to the Walden Way/ SH 83 intersection.

The southbound left-turn volume and the westbound right-turn volume have decreased from the 2012 traffic count despite additional homes having been constructed in the original Walden Preserve Filings 1 and 2 near the Walden Way/Pond View Place intersection to the south. There are a couple of possible reasons for the turn movement reductions despite the additional homes: 1) The general commuter peak distribution to/from this area may have shifted slightly from north to south since 2012 possibly due to improved economic conditions in the Colorado Springs area. 2) Through traffic has increased on State Highway 83 and traffic from the subdivisions in the Walden/Settlers Ranch area and resident motorists are opting to utilize the more major intersections of Hodgen/SH 83 and Walker/SH 83 to either travel eastbound straight across SH 83 and use Walker and Hodgen and the local/collector street network as a route to their homes rather than using the Walden Way/SH 83 intersection.

## UPDATED TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS AND ANALYSIS

Figures 5 and 6 show revised background traffic estimates. Figure 7 shows the directional distribution and Figures 8 and 9 show the revised estimates of site-generated turning movement volumes at the Walden Way/SH 83 and Walker Road/Highway 83 intersections. Figures 10 and 11 show the resulting updated total traffic volumes, levels of service, and laneage.

Based on the estimated turning movements and the State Highway Access Code turning volume threshold, auxiliary turn lane improvements at Walden Way/SH 83 would not be required.

Tables 1 and 2 show the revised signal warrant analysis and estimates of signal warrant fair share percentage for Walden Preserve. Based on estimated existing traffic at Highway 83/Walker Road, a signal is currently warranted at this intersection.

## SUMMARY

The data and projections contained in this addendum report support leaving the intersection of Walden Way/SH 83 as it currently exists. The applicant's updated percentage toward SH 83/Walker signalization is 17.6 percent. The signal appears to be warranted now, earlier than previously anticipated, primarily due to significant increases in peak-hour through traffic on SH 83 since 2012.

Please contact me if you have any questions or need further assistance.
Sincerely,
LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.


Figures 1-11
Traffic Count Reports

| Table 1 <br> Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis Peak-Hour Volumes Walden Preserve 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicles Per Hour |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak Hour } \\ \text { 7:00-8:00 a.m. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak Hour } \\ \text { 4:45-5:45 p.m. } \end{gathered}$ |
| MINOR STREET TRAFFIC <br> Westbound <br> Site-Generated Traffic ${ }^{(1)}$ <br> Left <br> Through <br> Right <br> Background Traffic ${ }^{(2)}$ <br> Left <br> Through <br> Right | 6 <br> 20 <br> \#\#\# <br> 55 <br> 90 <br> \#\#\# | 4 <br> 14 <br> \#\# <br> 25 <br> 55 <br> \#\#\# |
| Eastbound Site-Generated Traffic <br> Left <br> Through <br> Right | 0 <br> 8 <br> \#\#\# | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 27 \\ \# \# \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Background Traffic Left <br> Through <br> Right | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 30 \\ \# \# \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ 60 \\ \# \# \# \end{gathered}$ |
| Westbound Minor Street | 171 | 98 |
| Eastbound Minor Street | 53 | 132 |
| MAJOR STREET TRAFFIC <br> Northbound Site-Generated Traffic |  |  |
| Left <br> Through | 10 3 | 7 2 |
| Right Background Traffic | 4 | 12 |
| Left | 125 | 150 |
| Through | 190 | 240 |
| Right <br> Southbound | 20 | 50 |
| Site-Generated Traffic |  |  |
| Left <br> Through | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Right Background Traffic | 0 | 0 |
| Left | 10 | 10 |
| Through | 250 | 315 |
| Right | 50 | 40 |
| Major Street Totals |  |  |
|  | 7:00-8:00 a.m. | 5:00-6:00 p.m. |
|  | 664 | 832 |
| Notes: <br> (1) Includes original Filings 1, 2 and buildout of Walden Preserve 2 <br> (2) Based on Existing (2014) Traffic Volumes |  |  |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. |  |  |










Figure 8

Phases 1 \& 2 (Fil. 1 \& 2) Site-Generated Traffic




LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. Colorado Springs, CO
File Name: Hwy 83 - Walden Way AM2
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 10/08/2014
Page No :1
Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Hwy 83 From North |  |  |  | Walden Way From East |  |  |  | Hwy 83 From South |  |  |  | From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | $\underset{t}{\operatorname{Righ}}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{Righ}}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Righ }}}{\text { R }}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{Righ}}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Ped} \\ \mathrm{~s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| Total | 0 | 165 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 308 |


| 07:00 AM | 0 | 105 | 0 | $0{ }^{6}$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 113 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 99 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 |
| Total | 0 | 428 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 769 |


| 08:00 AM | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 98 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 769 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 604 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1399 |
| Apprch \% | 0.0 | 99.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $100 .$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 55.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

```
LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
                516 N. Tejon St.
    Colorado Springs, CO File Name : Hwy 83- Walden Way Am2
    (719) 633-2868
    Site Code :00000000
    Start Date : 10/08/2014
    Page No :2
```



|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

File Name : Hwy 83 - Walden Way PM3
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 10/14/2014
Page No :1
Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Hwy 83 From North |  |  |  | Walden Way From East |  |  |  | Hwy 83 From South |  |  |  | Walden Way From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | $\underset{t}{\text { Righ }}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ t \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ t \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Righ } \\ \text { t } \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 95 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 214 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 |
| Total | 0 | 406 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 442 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 863 |


| $05: 00 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | 102 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 110 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 |
| $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 108 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 |
| $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 94 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 |
| Total | 0 | 414 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 415 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 846 |


| Grand Total | 0 | 820 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 857 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 0.0 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 98.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 48.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 50.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
516 N. Tejon St.
Colorado Springs, CO
File Name : Hwy 83 - Walden Way PM3
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date: 10/14/2014
Page No : 2


COLORADO
Department of Transportation
Transportation Systems
Maragement 8 Operations
Region 2 Traffic Section

## 905 Erie Ave., P.O. Box 536

Pueblo, Colorado 81002
(719) 546-5407 Fax:(719) 562-5523

May 28, 2015

ATTN: Jeff Hodsdon
LSC Transportation Consultants
516 North Tejon Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

## RE: State Highway Access Permit No. 215017, Located on Highway 83, Milepost 28.0, in El Paso

Dear Jeff,
The Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) has received your signed permit and application fee. A copy of the issued permit enclosed. CDOT has issued a Notice to Proceed for this permit since the permit did not require any additional construction of the access. Please keep a copy of the access permit and the notice to proceed for your files.

If ycu have any questions or need more information, please contact me at the office listed above.
Respectfully,


Valerie Sword
Region 2 Access Manager

[^2]

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION STATE HIGHWAY ACCESS PERMIT


| Parmit fee $\$ 300.00$ |
| :--- |
| The Permittee(s); |
| F-I Pasu Count Publie Sve Dept |
| Andre Brakin |
| i 275 Akers Drive |
| Culorado Springs. CO 80922 |
| $719-30-6460$ |

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Region/Section/Patrol } \\ 2 / 04 / \text { Quntana/21 }\end{array}\right.$

s hereby granted pernission to have an access to the state highway at the iocation noted below. The access shall be construcled, maintainedand used in acordance with this permit irclucing the State Highway Access Code and any attachmenis tems, conditions and exhibits. This permilmay be revoked by the issuing authonty if at any time the permitted access and its use violate any parts of this permit. The issuing authorty. the Department ano their duly apoor :ad zjents and employees shail be neld harmless against any action for personal injury or property damage sustained by reason of the exercise of the perm:
Location: Walden Preserve 2 Filings 1 and 2
Parcel is located East of Hwy 83 and South of HWY 50 (Walker Rd.)

| Access to Provide Service to | I and 1 ec corme | (sice ar (exum) | (1)nis, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ngle-Family Detached Housing | 42 | EACH |

Additional Information:
Escrow of $\$ 39.996 .20$ for future signal at Walker Road is reguired.


MUNICIPALITY OR COUNTY APPROVAL
Required only when the appropriste local autherity retains issuing authority


Upon the signing of this permit the permittee agrees to the terms and conditions and referenced aftachments contained herein. All construction shall be completed in an expeditious and safe manner and shall be finished within 45 days from initiation. The permitted access shall be completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the permit prior to being used.

The permittee shall notify Todd Ausbun with the Colorado Department of Transportation in
Pueblo, Colorado at (719) 696-1403, at least 48 hours prior to commencing construction within the State
Highway right-of-way.
The parson sigitg as the permittee must be the owner or legal representative of the property served by the permitted access and have full authority to accept the permit and its terms and conditions

| Permittee Signature | Pint Name | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

This permit is not valid until signed by a duly authorized representative of the Department. COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION


## State Highway Access Permit

Form 101, Page 2
The following paragraphs are excerpts of the State Highway Access Code. These are provided for your convenience but do not alleviate compliance with all sections of the Access Code. A copy of the State Highway Access Code is available from your local issuing authority (local government) or the Colorado Department of Transportation (Department). When this permit was issued, the issuing authority made its decision based in part on information submitted by the applicant, on the access category which is assigned. to the highway, what alternative access to other public roads and streets is available, and safety and design standards. Changes in use or design not approved by the permit or the issuing authority may cause the revocation or suspension of the permit.

## APPEALS

1. Should the permittee or applicant object to the denial of a permit application by the Department or object to any of the terms or conditions of a permit placed there by the Department, the applicant and permittee (appellant) have a right to appeal the decision to the [Transportation] Commission [of Colorado]. To appeal a decision, submit a request for administrative hearing to the Transportation Commission of Colorado within 60 days of transmittal of notice of derial or transmiftal of the permit for signature. Submit the request to the Transportation Commission of Colorado, 4201 East Arkansas Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80222-3400. The request shall include reasons for the appeal and may include changes; revisions, or conditions that would be acceptable to the permittee or applicant.
2. Any appeal by the applicant or permittee of action by a local issuing authority shal! be filed with the local authority and be consistent with the appeal procedures of the local authority.
3. In submitting the request for administrative hearing, the appellant has the option of including within the appeal a request for a review by the Department's internal administrative review committee pursuant to [Code] subsection 2.10. When such committee review is requested, processing of the appeal for formal administrative hearing; $2.9(5)$ and (6), shall be suspended until the appellant notifies the Commission to proceed with the administrative hearing, or the appellant submits a request to the Commission or the administrative law judge to withdraw the appeal. The two administrative processes, the internal administrative review committee, and the administrative hearing, may not run concurrently.
4. Regardiess of any communications, meetings, administrative reviews or negotiations with the Department or the internal administrative review Committee regarding revisions or objections to the permit or a denial, if the permittee or applicant wishes to appeal the Department's decision to the Commission for a hearing, the appeal must be brought to the Commission within 60 days of transmittal of notice of denial or transmittal of the permit.

## PERMIT EXPIRATION

1. A permit shall be considered expired if the access is not under construction within one year of the permit issue date or before the expiration of any authorized extension. When the permittee is unable to commence construction within one year after the permit issue date, the permittee may request a one year extension from the issuing authority. No more than two one-year extensions may be granted under any circumstances. If the access is n of under construction within three years from date of issue the permit will be considered expired. Any request for an extension must be in writing and submitted to the issuing authority before the permit expires. The request should state the reasons why the extension is necessary, when construction is anticipated, and include a copy of page 1 (face of permit) of the access permit. Extension approvals shall be in witing. The local issuing authority shall obtain the concurrence of the Department prior to the approval of an extension, and shall notify the Department of all denied extensions within ten days. Any person wishing to resstablish an access permit that has expired may begin again with the application procedures. An approved Notice to Proceed, automatically renews the access permit for the period of the Notice to Proceed.

## CONSTRUCTION

1. Construction may not begin until a Notice to Proceed is approved. (Code subsection 2.4]

## 2. The construction of the access and its appurtenances

 as required by the terms and conditions of the permit shall be completed at the expense of the permittee except as provided in subsection 2.14. All materials used in the construction of the access within the highway right-of-way or on permanent easements, become public property. Any materials removed from the highway right-of-way will be disposed of only as directed by the Department. All fencing, guard rail; traffic control devices and other equipment and materials removed in the course of access construction shall be given to the Department unless otherwise instructed by the permit or the Department inspector.3. The permittee shall notify the individual or the office specified on the permit or Notice to Proceed at least two working days prior to any construction within state highway right-of-way. Construction of the access shall not proceed until both the access permit and the Notice to Proceed are issued. The access shall be completed in an expeditious and safe manner and shall be finished within 45 days from initiation of construction within the highway right-of-way. A construction time extension not to exceed 30 working days may be requested from the individual or office specified on the permit.
4. The issuing authority and the Department may inspect the access during construction and upon completion of the access to ensure that all terms and conditions of the permit are met. Inspectors are authorized to enforee the conditions of the permit during construction and to halt any activities within state right-of-way that do not comply with the provisions of the permit, that conflict with concurrent highway construction or maintenance work, that endanger
highway property, natural or cultural resources protected by law, or the health and safety of workers or the public.
5. Prior to using the access, the permittee is required to complete the construction according to the terms and conditions of the permit. Failure by the permittee to abide by all permit terms and conditions shall be sufficient cause for the Department or issuing authority to initiate action to suspend or revoke the permit and close the access. If in the determination of the Department or issuing authority the failure to comply with or complete the construction requirements of the permit create a highway safety hazard, such shall be sufficient cause for the summary suspension of the permit. If the permittee wishes to use the access prior to completion, arrangements must be approved by the issuing authority and Department and included in the permit. The Department or issuing authority may order a halt to any unauthorized use of the access pursuant to statutory and regulatory powers. Reconstruction or improvement of the access may be required when the permittee has failed to meet required specifications of design or materials. If any construction element fails within two years due to improper construction or material specifications; the permittee shall be responsible for all repairs. Failure to make such repairs may result in suspension of the permit and closure of the access.
6. The permittee shall provide construction traffic control devices at all times during access construction; in conformance with the M.U.T.C.D. as required by section 42-4-104, C.R.S., as amended.
7. A utility permit shall be obtained for anly utility work within highway right-of-way. Where necessary to remove, relocate, or repair a traffic control device or public or private utilities for the construction of a permitted access, the relocation, removal or repair shall be accomplished by the permittee without cost to the Department or issuing authority, and at the direction of the Department or utility company. Any damage to the state highway or other public right-of-way teyond that which is allowed in the permit shall be repaired immediately: The permittee is responsible for the repair of any utility damaged in the course of access construction, reconstruction or repair.
8. In the event it becomes necessary to remove any right-of-way fence, the posts on either side of the access shall be securely braced with an approved end post before the fence is cut to prevent any slacking of the remaining fence. All posts and wire removed are Department property and shall be turned over to a representative of the Department.
9. The permittee shall ensure that a copy of the permit is available for review at the construction site at all times. The permit may require the contractor to notify the individual or office specified on the permit at any specified phases in. construction to allow the field inspector to inspect various aspects of corstruction such as concrete forms, subbase, base course compaction, and materials specifications. Minor changes and additions may be ordered by the Department or local authority field inspector to meet unanticipated site conditions.
10. Each access shail be constructed in a manner that shall not cause water to enter onto the roadway or shoulder, and shall not interfere with the existing drainage system on the
right-of-way or any adopted municipal system and drainage plan.
11. By accepting the permit, permittee agrees to save, indemnify, and hold harmless to the extent allowed by law, the issuing authority, the Department, its officers, and employees from suits, actions, claims of any type or character brought because of injuries or damage sustained by any person resulting from the permittee's use of the access permit during the construction of the access.

## CHANGES IN ACCESS USE AND PERMIT VIOLATIONS

1. It is the responsibility of the property owner and permittee to ensure that the use of the access to the property is not in violation of the Code, permit terms and conditions or the Act. The terms and conditions of any permit are binding upon all assigns, successors-in-interest, heirs and occupants. If any significant changes are made or will be made in the use of the property which will affect access operation, traffic volume and or vehicle type, the permittee or property owner shall contact the local issuing authority or the Department to determine if a new access permit and modifications to the access are required.
2. When an access is constructed or used in violation of the Code, section 43-2-147(5)(c), C.R.S., of the Act applies. The Department or issuing authority may summarily suspend an access permit and immediately: order closure of the access when its contimued use presents an immediate threat to public health; welfare or safety. Summary suspenșion shall comply with article 4 of title 24, C.R.S.

## MAINTENANCE

1. The permittee, his or her heirs, successors-in-interest, assigns, and occupants of the property serviced by the access shall be responsible for meeting the terms and conditions of the permit, the reparr and maintenance of the access beyond the edge of the roadway including any cattle guard and gate, and the removal or clearance of snow or ice upon the access even tholigh deposited on the access in the course of Department show removal operations. Within unincorporated areas the Department will keep access culverts clean as part of maintenance of the highway drainage system. However, the permittee is responsible for the repair and replacement of any access-related culverts within the right-of-way. Within incorporated areas, drainage responsibilities for municipalities are determined by statute and local ordinance. The Department will maintain the roadway including auxiliary lanes and shoulders, except in those cases where the access installation has failed due to improper access construction and/or failure to follow permit requirements and specifications in which case the permittee shall be responsible for such repair. Any significant repairs such as culvert replacement, resurfacing, or changes in design or specifications, requires authorization from the Department.
2. A NOTICE TO PROCEED TO CONSTRUCTION, CDOT Form 1265, is required before beginning the construction of the access or any activity in the highway right-of-way. All submittals, documents, plans, and other items that must be completed shall be submitted and approved by the Department before a NOTICE TO PROCEED to construction will be issued.
3. The access is located on the east side of State Highway 83, at Walker Road or approximately milepost 28.13.
4. This section of highway is a Category R-A highway.
5. The Permittee/Applicant shall provide the Department with the following submittals, documents, plans and other items for review prior to the issuance of a NOTICE TO PROCEED to construction:
a) A written request for a NOTICE TO PROCEED including the access permit number listed above.
b) The Permittee/Applicant shall provide the Department with an Escrow document in the amount of $\$ 39,996.20$ for the future installation of a signal at Walker Road.
6. This Access Permit is issued to allow access to State Highway 83 for a change in use of the property. The previous use of the access was to serve the County road Walker Rd. The access will now serve Walker Rd and a 42-lot residential subdivision - Walden Preserve 2 Filings 1 \& 2.
7. No new construction or improvements are required by the issuance of this Access Permit.
8. The Permittee shall refer to all additional standard requirements attached to this permit. This includes CDOT Form 101b, enclosed additional terms, conditions, exhibits, and noted attachments.
9. The following criteria were used to establish this Access Permit:
a) The Application for Access Permit (CDOT Form 137) dated February 18, 2015 and accepted by the regional office on April 6, 2015 and all attachments.
b) State Highway Access Code, Volume 2, CCR-601-1; Effective date August 31, 1998
c) The State Highway Access Category Assignment Schedule, as revised.
d) The Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) M\&S Standard Plans
e) Vicinity Map
f) Exhibit A, Traffic Signal Escrow Table prepared by LSC Transportation Consultants
g) Approved Traffic Report, signed and sealed by Jeff Hodsdon, PE \#31684, dated November 3, 2015.
10. This Access Permit is issued in accordance with the 1998 State Highway Access Code (2CCR 601-1), and is based in part upon the information submitted by the Permittee. This Access Permit is only for the use and purpose stated in the Application and on the Permit. Any changes; based upon existing and/or anticipated future conditions in traffic volumes, drainage, types of traffic, or other operational aspects may render this permit void, requiring a new Application for Access Permit to be submitted for review by the Department and/or Issuing Authority.
11. If necessary, minor changes, corrections and/or additions to the Permit may be ordered by the Department Inspector, other Department representative, or the local authority, to meet unanticipated site conditions. Changes may not be in violation of the State Highway Access Code. All major changes to the permit must be approved in writing by the Department prior to commencement of any work on or within the State Hishway right-of-way.
12. Becking maneuvers within and into the State Highway right-of-way are strictly prohibited. All vehicles shall enter and exit the highway right-of-way in a forward movement. Backing into the right-of-way shall be considered a violation of the Terms and Conditions of the Access Permit and may result in the revocation of the Permit by the Department and/or Issuing Authority.
13. This access will be allowed a full movement. However, left turn movements in and out of this access may be prohibited at some future date.
14. Any additional permits and clearances required by other Federal, State, Local Government Agencies or Ditch Companies is the responsibitity of the Permittee and/or Applicant.
15. The Permittee is responsible for obtaining any necessary additional federal, state and/or local government agency permits or clearances required for construction of the access. Approval of this access permit does not constitute verification of this action by the Permittee.
16. All access permit requirements shall be met prior to the herein-authorized use of this access.
17. The Permittee is responsible for any utilities and/or traffic control devices disrupted by the construction of this access and all expense incurred for repair. There are existing utilities on the highway right-of-way by permit. Owners of those utilities must be contacted. Any work necessary to protect existing permitted utilities, such as encasements, bulwarks, etc. will be the responsibility of the Permittee.
a) The Permittee is hereby advised that other utilities may exist within the proposed permit area. Permittee shall implement any and all measures to protect any existing utilities from damage.
b) Non-Destructive Air-vacuum Excavation (potholing) to expose the utilities being surveyed to determine their exact depth and location maybe necessary before any work commences. A core hole saw cut is the recommended method of entry through pavement for potholing. Flowfill is required for backfill of the core hole under the pavement or on the roadway.
c) The vacuum excavation technique is used not only to expose utilities but also for other uses that are benefited by the non-invasive/non-destructive, environmentally friendly technology such as dewatering or drill fluid/saw cutting fluid removal.
d) The Contractor shall utilize a spotter to assist in the visual inspection of ald excavation work as it progresses near existing CDOT Intelligent Transportation Systems fiber optic line conduits, pull boxes and manholes. The Contractor shall provide a spotter to aid equipment operators when construction activities are near marked or unmarked fiber lines.
e) The spotter shall observe all excavation work as it progresses to ensure that no damage occurs to existing underground fiber lines. When the spotter has visual sight of the underground conduit, the spotter shall notify the equipment operator of the proximity to the conduit and begin to guide the excavation work. The spotter shall guide all excavation work around the conduit to ensure no damage occurs.
18. Additional CDOT permits are required for work involving water, sanitary sewer, gas, electrical, telephone and landscaping within the right-of-way.
19. The Permittee shall maintain adequate, unobstructed sight distance in both directions from the access. When determining the distance between accesses, the point of tangent shall be used where a radius is present, or the beginning of the curb cut. The minimum sight distance that shall be maintained along the highway for the access shall be 450 feet. The minimum sight distance that shall be maintained for the vehicle entering the highway shall be 550 feet.
20. Any landscaping or potentially obstructing objects such as but not limited to advertising signs, structures, trees, and bushes, shall be designed, placed, and maintained at a height not to interfere with the sight distance needed by any vehicle using the access. Planting of tree(s), which will be over 4 inches in caliper at maturity, will not be allowed within 30 feet of the edge of the traveled way. All other objects shall not exceed a total height of thirty inches from the top of final grade. The Department will require any object or landscaping that becomes unsightly or is considered to be a traffic hazard to be removed by the Permittee at no cost to the Department.
21. It is the responsibility of the Permittee to prevent all livestock from entering the State Highway right of way at this access location. Any livestock that does enter the highway right of way shall be the sole responsibility of the Permittee.
22. The access width, for an access without curbs, shall be measured exclusive of the radii or flares. The width of any non-traversal median is not counted as part of the access width. Only the travel portion is measured.
23. All discharges to the CDOT highway drainage system must comply with the applicable provisions of the Colorado Water Quality Control Act and the Colorado Discharge Permit Regulations, and are subject to inspection by the CDOT and CDPHE. CDOT recommends this development devise and implement a permanent plan for periodic removal and disposal of sediment from detention facilities and for maintenance of development detention facilities. Attached is the CDOT Environmental Clearances Information Summary listing some of the more commonly encountered environmental permits/clearances that may apply to activities and contacts for questions regarding these permits/clearances.
24. Within unincorporated areas, the Department will keep access culverts clean as part of maintenance of the highway drainage system. However, the Permittee is responsible for the repair and replacement of any access-related culverts within the right-of-way. Within incorporated areas, drainage responsibilities for municipalities are determined by statute and local ordinance.
25. The highway drainage system is for the protection of the state highway right-of-way, structures, and appurtenances. It is not designed nor intended to serve the drainage requirement of abutting or other properties beyond undeveloped historical flow. Drainage to the state highway right-of-way shall not exceed the undeveloped historical rate of flow.
26. All drainage appurtenances required for detention and release shall be located and fully maintainable
cutside the highway right-of-way.
27. This Permit hereby replaces all previous access permit(s) for this ownership, which now become nuld and void.
28. CDOT retains the right to perform any necessary maintenance work in this area.
29. A "Notice to Proceed" (CDOT Form 1265) is required to complete the access permitting process, even when construction is not required.


## Traffic Signal Escrow Amounts State Highway 83/Walker Road Intersection

Walden Preserve 2 Subdivision



Instructions: - Contact the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) or your local government to determine your issuing authority?

- Contact the issuing authority to determine what plans and other documents are required to be submitted with your application.
- Complete this form (some questions may not apply to you) and attach all necessary documents and Submit it to the issuing authority

Please print

- Submit an application for each access affected.
- If you have any questions contact the issuing authority.
- For additional information see CDOT's Access Management website at http://www.dot.state.co.us/AccessPermits/index.hitm


18) Check with the issuing authority to determine which of the following documents are required to complete the review of your application.
a) Property map indicating other access, bordering roads and streets.
b) Higtway and driveway plan profile.
c) Drainage plan showing impact to the highway right-of-way.
d) Map and letters detailing utility locations before and after development in and along the right-of-way.
e) Subdivision, zoning, or development plan.
f) Proposed access design.
g) Parcel and ownership maps including easements.
h) Traffic studies.
i) Proof of ownership.

1- It is the applicant's responsibility to contact appropriate agencies and obtain all environmental clearances that apply to their activities. Such clearances may include Corps of Engineers 404 Permits or Colorado Discharge Permit System permits, or ecological, archeological, historical or cultural resource clearances. The CDOT Environmental Clearances Information Summary presents contact information for agencies administering certain clearances, information about prohibited discharges, and may be obtained from Regional CDOT Utility/Special Use Permit offices or accessed via the CDOT Planning/Construction-Environmental-Guidance webpage hitp://www.dot.state.co.us/environmental/Forms.asp.

2- All workers within the State Highway right of way shall comply with their employer's safety and health policies/ procedures, and all applicable U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations - including, but not limited to the applicable sections of 29 CFR Part 1910-Occupational Safety and Health Standards and 29 CFR Part 1926 - Safety and Health Regulations for Construction.

Personal protective equipment (e.g. head protection, footwear, high visibility apparel, safety glasses, hearing protection, respirators, gioves, etc.) shall be worn as appropriate for the work being performed, and as specified in regulation. At a minimurm, all workers in the State Highway right of way, except when in their vehicles, shall wear the following personal protective equipment: High visibility apparel as specified in the Traffic Control provisions of the documentation accompanying the Notice to Proceed related to this permit (at a minimum, ANSI/ISEA 107-1999, class 2); head protection that complies with the ANSI Z89.1-1997 standard; and at all construction sites or whenever there is danger of injury to feet, workers shall comply with OSHA's PPE requirements for foot protection per 29 CFR 1910.136, 1926.95, and 1926.96. If required, such footwear shall meet the requirements of ANSI Z41-1999.

Where any of the above-referenced ANSI standards have been revised, the most recent version of the standard shall apply.

3- The Permittee is responsible for complying with the Revised Guidelines that have been adopted by the Access Board under the American Disabilities Act (ADA). These guidelines define traversable slope requirements and prescribe the use of a defined pattern of truncated domes as detectable warnings at street crossings. The new Standards Plans and can be found on the Design and Construction Project Support web page at:
[http://www.dot.state.co.us/DesignSupport/](http://www.dot.state.co.us/DesignSupport/), then click on Design Bulletins.
If an access permit is issued to you, it will state the terms and conditions for its use. Any changes in the use of the permitted access not consistent with the terms and conditions listed on the permit may be considered a violation of the permit.

The applicant declares under penalty of perjury in the second degree, and any other applicable state or federal laws, that all information provided on this form and submitted attachments are to the best of their knowledge
true and complete. true and complete.

I understand receipt of an access permit does not constitute permission to start access construction work.


BANK\&TRUST
IRREVOCABLE STANDBY LETTER OF CREDIT NO. 2015-3

DATE: May 15, 2015 AMOUNT: $\$ 39,996.20$

EXPIRATION DATE: None
TO: STATE OF COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGION 2 TRAFFIC AND SAFETY
P.O. BOX 536


PUEBLO, CO 81002
RE: Colorado State Highway Access Permit No. 215017

## ATTENTION: Valerie Sword. Access Manager, CDOT Region 2

We hereby issue an Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit in your favor for the account of Custom Castles Building Company, Inc. for the development of Walden Preserve 2, Filing No. 1 and Filing No. 2 as per access permit No. 215017. Requests to draw on this letter of credit will require a written draft presented to us andmust be accompanied with the following documents:

1. Your officially signed statement that Custom Castles Building Company, Inc.'s payment of the amount on the referenced access permit is due but unpaid after 30 days' notice to Custom Castles Building Company, Inc.
2. The original letter of credit issued by the undersigned bank.

The issuer shall not be in any way responsible for performance by any beneficiary of its obligations, nor for the form, sufficiency, correctness, genuineness, authority of any person signing, falsification or legal effect of any documents called for if such documents on their face appear in order.

This Letter of Credit is subject to the law and customs and practices of the trade existing in the area where the beneficiary is located, said Letter of Credit shall be subject to the Uniform Customs and Practice of Documentary Credits (1983 Revision, International Chamber of Commerce, Publication No. 400.

## Integrity Bank \& Trust - Bank

By: Michael Casarez, Commercial Loan Officer


May 15, 2015
Valerie Sword
Region 2 Access Manager
CDOT - Region 2 Traffic Section
905 Erie Avenue
Pueblo, CO 81002

516 North Tejon Street<br>Colorado Springs, CO 80903<br>(719) 633-2868<br>FAX (719) 633-5430<br>E-mail: Isc@lsces.com

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { RE: } & \text { Notice to Proceed Request } \\
\text { Walden Preserve } 2 \text { Filings } 1 \& 2 \\
\text { LSC \#144380 }
\end{array}
$$

Dear Valerie:
The purpose of this letter is to request the Notice to Proceed (NTP) for Access Permit number 201017 along with the finalized access permit. Please find enclosed the signed access permit (signed by the permittee, the County Engineer), the permit fee, and the letter of credit from Integrity Bank. From our previous discussions, it is our understanding that a letter of credit would be acceptable to CDOT in lieu of a cash escrow to satisfy permit condition number 4 b .

Regarding the letter of credit process, the following is our understanding. When CDOT starts a project to install the signal referenced in the access permit, assigns a project code to the project, and opens a project financial account, CDOT will send a letter requesting payment of the $\$ 39,996.20$ by Custom Castles Building Company, Inc., and Custom Castles Building Company, Inc. agrees to pay that amount within 30 days of receipt of that request. If CDOT receives that payment within that time, CDOT will return the letter of credit to Custom Castles Building Company, Inc. in exchange for the payment and deposit the funds in the signalization project account. If CDOT does not receive that payment within that time, but not otherwise, CDOT may draw on the letter of credit and will deposit the funds in the signalization project account.

Provided the attached letter of credit is in a form acceptable to CDOT, it is our understanding that the terms requirements for issuance of the NTP have been met. Please prepare and issue the final permit and NTP as soon as possible as these items are required for subdivision plat recordation.

Sincerely,


Jefficy C. Hodsdon, P.E., PTOE

JCH:bjwb

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Enclosed: } & \text { Executed Access Permit } \\
& \text { Permit Fee Check } \\
& \text { Letter of Credit from Integrity Bank }
\end{array}
$$




[^0]:    1: SH 83 \& Hodgen Rd
    Existing (2012) Traffic AM Peak Hour

[^1]:    1: SH 83 \& Hodgen Rd
    Existing (2012) Traffic PM Peak Hour

[^2]:    XC: Andre Brackin, El Paso County
    Karami
    Lollar
    Quintana/Patrol 21
    Jagow/Lewis/file

