

Planning and Community
Development Department
2880 International Circle
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

Phone: 719.520.6300 Fax: 719.520.6695

Website www.elpasoco.com

DEVIATION REQUEST AND DECISION FORM IN ASSOCIATION WITH A REQUEST FOR A PUD MODIFICATION FROM THE ECM

Updated: 6/26/2019

Pursuant to the <u>El Paso County Land Development Code</u>, the Board of County Commissioners may approve as part of the Planned Unit Development (PUD) approval a Modification to the <u>Engineering Criteria Manual</u> standards provided the Board can make the findings listed Section 4.2.6.F.2.h of the <u>Land Development Code</u>:

The proposal provides for the general health, safety, and welfare of the citizens and at least one of the following benefits:

- Preservation of natural features;
- Provision of a more livable environment, such as the installment of street furniture, decorative street lighting or decorative paving materials;
- Provision of a more efficient pedestrian system;
- · Provision of additional open space;
- · Provision of other public amenities not otherwise required by the Code; or
- The proposed modification is granted in exchange for the open space and/or amenity designs provided in the PUD development plan and/or development guide.

The review and approval of this Deviation does not authorize construction of the requested improvements until and unless the Board of County Commissioners approves the Modifications in association with the Planned Unit Development request, the applicant has received approval of all associated engineering documents, the applicant has provided the necessary financial assurances, and a construction permit has been issued by the Planning and Community Development Department.

| PROJECT INFORMATION | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project Name : | : Villages at Sterling Ranch | | | | | | |
| Schedule No.(s): | : 52000-00-552 | | | | | | |
| Legal Description : | : As defined on PUD / Preliminary Plan | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | APPLICANT INFORMATION | | | | | | |
| Company : Name : | Classic SRJ Land, LLC Loren Moreland | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Mailing Address : | | | | | | | |
| Maining / Idai 000 . | Colorado Springs, CO 80921 | | | | | | |
| | 30.01.03.0 Sp.iii.go, 30.0 3002.1 | | | | | | |
| Phone Number : | : 719-592-9333 | | | | | | |
| FAX Number : | : | | | | | | |
| Email Address : | : lorenm@classichomes.com | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ENGINEER INFORM | MATION | | | | | | |
| Company : | : Classic Consulting Engineers & Surveyors, LLC | | | | | | |
| Name : | : Kyle Campbell Colorado P.E. Nu | umber: 29794 | | | | | |
| Mailing Address: | : 619 N. Cascade Ave. Suite 200 | | | | | | |
| | Colorado Springs, CO 80903 | | | | | | |
| Phone Number : | : 719-785-0790 | | | | | | |
| FAX Number : | : 719-785-0799 | | | | | | |
| Email Address : | : kcampbell@classicconsulting.net | | | | | | |
| OWNER, APPLICANT, AND ENGINEER DECLARATION To the best of my knowledge, the information on this application and all additional or supplemental documentation is true, factual and complete. I am fully aware that any misrepresentation of any information on this application may be grounds for denial. I have familiarized myself with the rules, regulations and procedures with respect to preparing and filing this application. I also understand that an incorrect submittal will be cause to have the project removed from the agenda of the Planning Commission, Board of County Commissioners and/or Board of Adjustment or delay review until corrections are made, and that any approval of this application is based on the representations made in the application and may be revoked on any breach of representation or condition(s) of approval. | | | | | | | |
| Signature of owner (o | Signature of owner (or authorized representative) Date | | | | | | |
| Engineer's Seal, Sign And Date of Signature | - | | | | | | |
| | Г | | | | | | |

DEVIATION REQUEST (Attach diagrams, figures, and other documentation to clarify request)

| A deviation from the standards of or in Section <u>ECM 2.3.8.A</u> of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) is requested. |
|---|
|---|

Roadway Terminations - Hammerhead turnarounds are not permitted as permanent roadway terminations.

Please provide exhibit/plan showing the location of the dead ends (include length) and road termini.

State the reason for the requested deviation:

The unique urban residential community consists of small lot single-family detached lots. While the main community access is from standard public local roadways, the use of the private roadways is limited to closed looped areas that will be signed as being private. Private hammerheads are proposed for the three southerly roadway terminus since access to Oak Park Drive is not allowed. Adequate emergency vehicle backing and maneuvering areas are provided.

This situation occurs on Naperville Heights, Branson Heights and Fort Wayne Heights. The length of southerly roadway terminus is only approximately 120'.

Explain the proposed alternative and compare to the ECM standards (May provide applicable regional or national standards used as basis):

| ne proposed short dead-end stubs allow the continuations of the community design concept with no adverse impacts to resident aneuverability. Only residents occupying the proposed six (6) lots will use the area to access their garages. Anyone unfamiliar with the site wild utilize the principle ready to turners and ovit if peopled | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| could utilize the private roadway to turnaround and exit, if needed. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| LIMITS OF CONSIDERATION (At least one of the conditions listed below must be met for this of | deviation request to be considered.) |
|---|---|
| alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is | s or impediments impose an undue hardship and an equivalent available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility. esign or construction problem, and if not modified, the standard will |
| Provide justification: | |
| | ccess spacing along Oak Park Drive (i.e. no access), and the small amount of |
| | |
| | |
| CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL | |
| Per ECM section 5.8.7 the request for a deviation may be considerations. The deviation must not be detrimental to publi supporting information demonstrating compliance with all of the | c safety or surrounding property. The applicant must include following criteria: |
| The deviation will achieve the intended result with a compara The proposed private turn arounds utilizes the country's local (low access | volume) street section and maintains resident and emergency vehicle |
| | |
| The deviation will not adversely affect safety or operations. | |
| | operations impacts are anticipated as the three locations will be signed as n. |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| The deviation will not adversely affect maintenance and its associated cost. | |
|---|----------------------|
| As maintenance of the proposed private roads will be by the Metro District, no adverse maintenance or it's associated cos | ts will be realized. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| The deviation will not adversely affect aesthetic appearance. | |
| The private roadway turn around is the same width as a public country local (low volume) street section, therefore no adv | erse aesthetic |
| impacts are anticipated. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| The deviation meets the design intent and purpose of the ECM standards. | |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not a | ffect residential or |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not at emergency vehicle access. The fire district is a review entity for the application. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, a | |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not at emergency vehicle access. The fire district is a review entity for the application. | |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not at emergency vehicle access. The fire district is a review entity for the application. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, a | |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not at emergency vehicle access. The fire district is a review entity for the application. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, a | |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not at emergency vehicle access. The fire district is a review entity for the application. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, a | |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not at emergency vehicle access. The fire district is a review entity for the application. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, a | |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not at emergency vehicle access. The fire district is a review entity for the application. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, a | |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not at emergency vehicle access. The fire district is a review entity for the application. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, a | |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not at emergency vehicle access. The fire district is a review entity for the application. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, a | |
| Providing individual lot access is still proposed with the proposed private street, and the proposed turn around does not at emergency vehicle access. The fire district is a review entity for the application. The deviation meets the control measure requirements of Part I.E.3 and Part I.E.4 of the County's MS4 permit, a | |

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

| Approved by the ECM Administrator This request has been determined to have met the criteria for approval. hereby granted based on the justification provided. | A deviation from Section | of the ECM is |
|---|--|---------------|
| Γ | ٦ | |
| | | |
| L | T | |
| Denied by the ECM Administrator This request has been determined not to have met criteria for approval. hereby denied. | A deviation from Section | of the ECM is |
| Г | ٦ | |
| | | |
| L | Т | |
| ECM ADMINISTRATOR COMMENTS/CONDITIONS: | | |
| The Deviation is only valid until and unless the Board of County Comm Modifications. | lissioners approves the Planned Unit Developme | :nt |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

1.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this resource is to provide a form for documenting the findings and decision by the ECM Administrator concerning a deviation request. The form is used to document the review and decision concerning a requested deviation. The request and decision concerning each deviation from a specific section of the ECM shall be recorded on a separate form.

1.2. BACKGROUND

A deviation is a critical aspect of the review process and needs to be documented to ensure that the deviations granted are applied to a specific development application in conformance with the criteria for approval and that the action is documented as such requests can point to potential needed revisions to the ECM.

1.3. APPLICABLE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

Section 5.8 of the ECM establishes a mechanism whereby an engineering design standard can be modified when if strictly adhered to, would cause unnecessary hardship or unsafe design because of topographical or other conditions particular to the site, and that a departure may be made without destroying the intent of such provision.

1.4. APPLICABILITY

All provisions of the ECM are subject to deviation by the ECM Administrator provided that one of the following conditions is met:

- The ECM standard is inapplicable to a particular situation.
- Topography, right-of-way, or other geographical conditions or impediments impose an undue hardship
 on the applicant, and an equivalent alternative that can accomplish the same design objective is
 available and does not compromise public safety or accessibility.
- A change to a standard is required to address a specific design or construction problem, and if not
 modified, the standard will impose an undue hardship on the applicant with little or no material benefit to
 the public.

1.5. TECHNICAL GUIDANCE

The review shall ensure all criteria for approval are adequately considered and that justification for the deviation is properly documented.

1.6. LIMITS OF APPROVAL

Whether a request for deviation is approved as proposed or with conditions, the approval is for project-specific use and shall not constitute a precedent or general deviation from these Standards.

1.7. REVIEW FEES

A Deviation Review Fee shall be paid in full at the time of submission of a request for deviation. The fee for Deviation Review shall be as determined by resolution of the BoCC.