LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.
545 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 210
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
(719) 633-2868

FAX (719) 633-5430
E-mail: Isc@lsctrans.com
Website: http://www.Isctrans.com

# Lorson Ranch PK-8 School Traffic Impact and Access Analysis (LSC \#184180) <br> May 11, 2018 

## Traffic Engineer's Statement

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.


## Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.

(719) 633-2868

FAX (719) 633-5430
E-mail: Isc@lsctrans.com
Website: http://www.Isctrans.com

May 11, 2018

Mr. Dennis Neal
Widefield School District
3645 Widefield Drive
Colorado Springs, CO 80911

RE: Lorson Ranch PK-8 School<br>El Paso County, Colorado<br>Traffic Impact and Access Analysis<br>LSC \#184180

Dear Mr. Neal:

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this traffic impact analysis for the proposed Lorson Ranch school to be located within the Lorson Ranch East development in El Paso County, Colorado. The site location is shown on Figure 1.

## REPORT CONTENTS

The report contains the following:

- Recent/current street and traffic conditions in the vicinity of the site and the recent report for Lorson Ranch East for identification of existing and planned street widths, lane geometries, traffic controls, posted speed limits, street classification, etc.
- Existing traffic volumes at the key intersections in the vicinity of the site and estimates of short-term and 2040 background traffic volumes.
- The projected average weekday and peak-hour vehicle trips to be generated by the proposed school.
- The assignment of the projected trips to the existing and planned street system.
- The resulting short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes on the street system.
- The resulting traffic impacts. The traffic impacts have been quantified by determining the future levels of service at the intersections of Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard, Lamprey Drive/Fontaine Boulevard and the proposed site access point intersections on Fontaine Boulevard.
- An estimate of the on-site vehicle stacking/queuing distances needed to accommodate buses and morning and afternoon peak parent drop-off and pick-up queues.
- Recommendations for street functional classification, traffic controls, and auxiliary turn lanes.

Mr. Dennis Neal<br>Lorson Ranch PK-8 School
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## SITE DEVELOPMENT AND LAND USE

## Land Use

A school for students from pre-school to eighth grade is planned to be located northeast of the future intersection of Fontaine Boulevard and Lamprey Drive within the Lorson Ranch development. The school is planned to be constructed in a single phase. At buildout the school is planned to support about 990 students. This includes about 90 preschool-aged students (45 students during the morning session and 45 students in the afternoon session) and 100 students in each grade from kindergarten to eighth grade.

The school district is currently in the process of updating their school boundary map, however they anticipate that a very high percentage of the students who will attend the proposed school would live within the Lorson Ranch development. A bell schedule has also not been set, however, based on the bell schedule of existing schools within the district and the constraints of the school bus schedules, it is anticipated that the middle level students (sixth through eighth grade) would start 30 to 45 minutes before the elementary level students and the preschool would start 30 minutes after the elementary level students.

## Access Points

## Provide times assumed in this report.

The site plan is shown in Figure 2. A bus loop is planned on the north side of the campus with access to Lamprey Drive about 1,250 feet northeast of Fontaine Boulevard aligning with Shavers Drive. The district estimates about four buses will serve the proposed school.

Access for staff and visitor parking and the parent pick-up and drop-off loop is proposed to Fontaine Boulevard. An entrance-only access is proposed about 955 feet east of Lamprey. A rightturn only exit is proposed about 480 feet to the west ( 475 feet east of Lamprey). Vehicles wishing to travel east on Fontaine Boulevard after exiting the school will be able to perform a U-turn at the Fontaine/Lamprey roundabout intersection.

## Sight Distance

Figure 3 shows the sight distance analysis for the bus loop intersection to Lamprey Drive. The analysis is based on a design speed of 40 miles per hour.

## Pedestrian and Bicycle Route Analysis

Figure 4 shows a pedestrian and bicycle route analysis for the school.

## On-Site Circulation

The parent pick-up/drop-off loop shown on the site plan shows about 500 feet of on-site stacking distance. Based on an empirical formula developed by the Municipal School Transportation

Assistance (MSTA) for the North Carolina Department of Transportation (note: this is used locally by the City of Colorado Springs), a high demand stacking distance of 1,391 feet would be required during the elementary school peak period and 659 feet would be required during the middle school peak periods. The high demand queue length is a precaution for atypical events, including bad weather, school performances, and other special events. The proposed 30 - to 45 -minute offset between the middle level and elementary bell times should provide adequate time for the queues to dissipate such that it will only be necessary to provide the higher of the two predicted stacking lengths ( 1,391 feet). This queue distance is exclusive of a recommended five- to seven-vehicle-long drop-off/pick-up zone. Figure 5 shows the proposed circulation plan proposed by the applicant to be implemented during peak pick-up and drop-off times to prevent vehicles from queuing on public streets. As shown on Figure 5 the circulation plan provides for about 1,375 feet of stacking in addition to a 175 -foot drop-off/pick-up zone.

## ROADWAY AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

## Area Roadways

Figure 1 shows the roadways in the vicinity of the site. The major roadways are identified below followed by a brief description of each.

- Marksheffel Road extends north from the Link Road/C\&S Road intersection in Fountain, Colorado to north of Woodmen Road. Marksheffel Road is shown as a future four-lane Expressway on the County Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP). The posted speed limit on Marksheffel Road at Fontaine Boulevard is 45 miles per hour (mph). The PPRTA has completed the Marksheffel Road upgrade between Mesa Ridge Parkway and Bradley Road. This included intersection improvements at the Fontaine Boulevard intersection.
- Fontaine Boulevard is designated as a four-lane Urban Principal Arterial east of Marksheffel Road and it has been constructed as such from Marksheffel Road east to Old Glory Drive. As part of the Lorson Ranch East development Fontaine Boulevard will be extended east from Old Glory Drive. In the interim, an Urban Non-Residential Collector Street will be constructed east of Stingray Lane as development progresses. The posted speed limit on Fontaine Boulevard is 35 mph just east of (and a short distance west of) Marksheffel Road. The speed limit increases to 45 mph just east of the bridge over Jimmy Camp Creek.


## Baseline Traffic Volumes

Figure 6 shows the recent traffic volumes at the intersection of Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard. These "baseline" traffic volumes were based on traffic counts conducted by LSC in March 2017. The traffic count reports are attached.

## Baseline Levels of Service

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of congestion or delay at an intersection. Level of service is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A represents control delay of less than 10 seconds for unsignalized and signalized intersections. LOS F represents control delay of more than 50 seconds for unsignalized intersections and more than 80 seconds for signalized intersections. Table 1 shows the level of service delay ranges.

| Table 1 <br> Intersection Levels of Service Delay Ranges |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signalized Intersections | Unsignalized Intersections |  |  |
|  | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds per vehicle) | V/C ${ }^{(1)}$ | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds per vehicle) ${ }^{(2)}$ |
|  | 10.0 sec or less | less than 0.60 | 10.0 sec or less |
| B | $10.1-20.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $0.60-0.69$ | $10.1-15.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| C | $20.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $0.70-0.79$ | $15.1-25.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| D | $35.1-55.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $0.80-0.89$ | $25.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| E | $55.1-80.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $0.90-0.99$ | $35.1-50.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| F | 80.1 sec or more | 1.00 and greater | 50.1 sec or more |
| (1) Source: Transportation Research Circular 212 |  |  |  |
| (2) For unsignalized intersections if V/C ratio is greater than 1.0 the level of service is LOS |  |  |  |
| F regardless of the projected average control delay per vehicle. |  |  |  |

The intersection of Marksheffel/Fontaine was analyzed to determine the baseline levels of service using Synchro. Figure 6 shows the level of service analysis results. As shown on the figure, all movements this intersection are level of service C or better during the peak hours. The level of service (LOS) reports are attached.

## SHORT-TERM (YEAR 2020) BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Background traffic is the traffic estimated to be on the roadways without the school traffic. Background traffic includes the baseline (from March 2017 counts) traffic and increases in through traffic on Marksheffel Road due to both regional growth and the recent extension of Mesa Ridge Parkway east to Marksheffel Road. The portion of the baseline traffic volumes was also assumed to be rerouted due to the extension of Mesa Ridge Parkway east to Marksheffel Road. A portion of the existing traffic that currently travels to and from the west on Fontaine Boulevard was assumed to shift to travel to and from the south on Marksheffel Road to this new connection. The short-term background traffic also includes traffic generated by buildout of the residential portion of Lorson Ranch subdivisions north of Lorson Boulevard between Jimmy Camp Creek and the east tributary, the Carriage Meadows North and Carriage Meadows South subdivisions located west of Jimmy Camp Creek, and Lorson Ranch East but assumes zero traffic generated by school. The short-term background volumes assume Lorson Boulevard has been
constructed east of Marksheffel Road to serve the Carriage Meadows South subdivision (with a street connection north to Fontaine Boulevard) but does not cross Jimmy Camp Creek (main channel). The short-term background traffic volumes are shown in Figure 7.

## 2040 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Figure 8 shows the projected 2040 background traffic volumes. The 2040 background traffic volumes are based on estimates of traffic projected to be generated at buildout of the Lorson Ranch Sketch Plan (excluding the traffic projected to be generated by Lorson Ranch East) and traffic volumes shown in the Marksheffel Road South Corridor Preservation Plan dated July 2014. Appendix Table 1 shows the trip generation estimates for all existing and future land uses assumed to be built out by 2040 in the Lorson Ranch development. The 2040 background volumes also assume full buildout of the street network within Lorson Ranch but assume Meridian Road has not been extended south to Fontaine Boulevard.

## TRIP GENERATION

Estimates of the traffic volumes expected to be generated by the site have been made using the nationally published trip generation rates found in Trip Generation, 10 th Edition, 2017 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Table 2 shows the results of the trip generation estimates. Table 2 also shows a comparison of the trip generation estimate for this same site assumed in the Lorson Ranch East Updated Traffic Impact and Access Analysis by LSC dated November 9, 2017. The estimate contained in the Lorson Ranch East TIA assumed a school serving 1,000 students ( 500 elementary aged students and 500 middle school aged students). The estimate was made using the $9^{\text {th }}$ edition of the Trip Generation manual. The trip generation rates shown in the current $10^{\text {th }}$ edition showed an increase for both elementary and middle schools.

As shown in Table 2, the proposed school is projected to generate about 1,943 new vehicle trips on the average weekday, with about one-half of the vehicles entering and one-half of the vehicles exiting in a 24 -hour period. This is about 488 more vehicle trips than were estimated in the Lorson Ranch East TIA. During the morning peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., about 344 vehicles would enter and 293 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour of the school, which was assumed to occur for one hour between 2:30 to 4:30 p.m., about 154 vehicles would enter and 186 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour of the adjacent street traffic, which generally occurs for one hour between 4:30 and 6:30 p.m., about 73 vehicles would enter and 76 vehicles would exit the site.

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The directional distribution of the site-generated traffic volumes on the street and roadway system serving the site is one of the most important factors in determining the site's traffic impacts. The number of vehicle trips assigned within the Lorson Ranch development were based on the internal trip estimates shown in Appendix Table 1. These trips were assigned based on number and location of existing and planned residential dwellings within Lorson Ranch. Figure 9
shows the external trip distribution estimates (external to Lorson Ranch). The directional distribution estimates have been based on the location of the site with respect to the regional residential, employment, commercial, and activity centers; the land use proposed; the access/roadway connections assumed; and the roadway network.

Figures 10 and 11 show the short-term and long-term site-generated traffic volume estimates, respectively. These volumes were determined by first assigning the internal vehicle trips to the street network based on the location of the existing and planned residential dwellings within Lorson Ranch. The short-term estimate assumes buildout of the residential portion of Lorson Ranch subdivisions north of Lorson Boulevard between Jimmy Camp Creek and the east tributary, the Carriage Meadows North and Carriage Meadows South subdivisions located west of Jimmy Camp Creek, and Lorson Ranch East Filing No. 1. The long-term site-generated traffic volumes assume buildout of the Lorson Ranch development. The external vehicle trips were then assigned to the street network by applying the trip distribution percentages (from Figure 9) to the external trip generation estimates. The internal and external site-generated traffic volumes were then summed to determine the total site-generated traffic volumes.

## PROJECTED TOTAL TRAFFIC

Figure 12 shows the short-term total traffic volumes. These volumes are the sum of the shortterm background traffic volumes (from Figure 7) plus the short-term site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure 10).

Figure 13 shows the 2040 total traffic volumes. These volumes are the sum of the 2040 background traffic volumes (from Figure 8) plus the long-term site-generated traffic volumes (from Figure11).

## PROJECTED LEVELS OF SERVICE

The intersections of Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard and Fontaine/Lamprey and the site access points have been analyzed to determine the projected levels of service for the short-term and 2040 background and total traffic volumes based on the signalized method of analysis from Synchro and the unsignalized method of analysis procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual, 2010 Edition by the Transportation Research Board. The level of service reports are attached. The results of the analysis are shown in Figures 7,8, 12, and 13.

## Marksheffel/Fontaine

The signal-controlled Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard intersection is projected to continue to operate at a level of service D overall or better based on the short-term and 2040 background and total traffic conditions.

## Fontaine/Lamprey

The intersection of Fontaine/Lamprey is planned to be constructed as a one-lane modern roundabout. The one-lane roundabout would work with the interim Non-Residential Collector cross-section and all approaches are projected to operate at a LOS D or better during peak hours based on the projected short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes.

## Fontaine Boulevard Site Access Points

All movements at the site access points to Fontaine Boulevard are projected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hours based on the projected short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes as two-way stop-sign-controlled intersections.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Trip Generation

- The proposed school is projected to generate about 1,943 new vehicle trips on the average weekday, with about one-half of the vehicles entering and one-half of the vehicles exiting in a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., about 344 vehicles would enter and 293 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour of the school, which was assumed to occur for one hour between 2:30 to 4:30 p.m., about 154 vehicles would enter and 186 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour of the adjacent street traffic, which generally occurs for one hour between 4:30 and 6:30 p.m., about 73 vehicles would enter and 76 vehicles would exit the site.


## Projected Levels of Service

- The signal-controlled Marksheffel Road/Fontaine Boulevard intersection is projected to continue to operate at level of service D or better based on the short-term and 2040 background and total traffic conditions.
- The intersection of Fontaine/Lamprey is planned to be constructed as a one-lane modern roundabout. The one-lane roundabout would work with the interim Non-Residential Collector cross section and all approaches are projected to operate at a LOS D or better during peak hours based on the projected short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes.
- All movements at the site access points to Fontaine Boulevard are projected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hours based on the projected short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes es two-way stop-sign controlled intersections.


## Circulation

- During peak drop-off and pick-up times LSC recommends traffic cones be used to direct traffic in the pattern shown in Figure 5 to prevent vehicles from queuing on public streets. During afternoon parent pick-up time, as the proposed circulation plan routes parent pick-up vehicles through the parking lot drive aisles, parents will not be able to pull to a curb, temporarily park their vehicles (remaining in their vehicles) and wait for their children to exit the building and walk to the vehicles. Therefore, the school will need to develop a system using vehicle identification numbers and staff coordination whereby students are lined up in the loading zone ready to load the parent vehicles in order of position in queue.


## Recommended Auxiliary Turn Lanes on Fontaine Boulevard

- Based on the projected long-term traffic volumes, a westbound right-turn deceleration lane would be required on Fontaine Boulevard approaching the proposed school entrance. This lane should be 235 feet long plus a 200-foot taper.
- Based on the projected long-term traffic volumes, an eastbound left-turn lane would be required on Fontaine Boulevard approaching the proposed school entrance. The NonResidential Collector would provide one through lane in each direction plus a center two-way left-turn lane. This center painted median would accommodate left turns at this intersection.


## Discuss if these improvements were (will be) provided with the Fontaine Blvd. improvements or will be provided by the school  escrowncmay be required.
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Enclosures: Table 2
Appendix Table 1
Figures 1-13
Traffic Count Reports
Level of Service Reports


## MSTA School Traffic Calculations

AM and PM Peak Traffic Estimates
(These numbers do not reflect peak hour traffic volumes)
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## Counts by LSC

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
File Name : Marksheffel Rd - Fontaine AM
Site Code : 00174860
Start Date : 12/05/2017
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Marksheffel Rd From North |  |  |  | Fontaine Blvd From East |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd From South |  |  |  | Fontaine Blvd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Int. } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 06:30 AM | 5 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 92 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 70 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 285 |
| 06:45 AM | 6 | 29 | 4 | 0 | 35 | 107 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 69 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 309 |
| Total | 11 | 63 | 9 | 0 | 65 | 199 | 27 | 0 | 9 | 139 | 22 | 0 | 10 | 26 | 14 | 0 | 594 |


| 07:00 AM | 3 | 48 | 5 | 0 | 61 | 108 | 14 | 0 | 11 | 91 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 384 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 AM | 7 | 31 | 6 | 0 | 46 | 85 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 62 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 32 | 8 | 0 | 324 |
| 07:30 AM | 5 | 32 | 12 | 0 | 32 | 48 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 68 | 19 | 0 | 12 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 289 |
| 07:45 AM | 5 | 30 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 54 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 67 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 37 | 6 | 0 | 259 |
| Total | 20 | 141 | 38 | 0 | 157 | 295 | 50 | 0 | 31 | 288 | 49 | 0 | 39 | 123 | 25 | 0 | 1256 |


| 08:00 AM | 2 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 90 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 35 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 251 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 08:15 AM | 3 | 35 | 7 | 0 | 19 | 92 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 37 | 4 | 0 | 270 |
| Grand Total | 36 | 266 | 62 | 0 | 263 | 676 | 96 | 0 | 49 | 502 | 88 | 0 | 64 | 223 | 46 | 0 | 2371 |
| Apprch \% | 9.9 | 73.1 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 65.3 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 78.6 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 67.0 | 13.8 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 1.5 | 11.2 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 28.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 21.2 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 9.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 |  |

File Name : Marksheffel Rd - Fontaine AM
Site Code : 00174860
Start Date : 12/05/2017
Page No : 2


## Counts by LSC

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.
File Name : Marksheffel Rd - Fontaine PM
Site Code : 00174860
Start Date : 12/05/2017
Page No : 1
Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Marksheffel Rd From North |  |  |  | Fontaine Blvd From East |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd From South |  |  |  | Fontaine Blvd From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| 04:00 PM | 10 | 56 | 30 | 0 | 12 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 46 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 74 | 4 | 0 | 306 |
| 04:15 PM | 11 | 61 | 28 | 0 | 14 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 38 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 86 | 6 | 0 | 331 |
| 04:30 PM | 9 | 55 | 36 | 0 | 15 | 38 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 40 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 81 | 7 | 0 | 330 |
| 04:45 PM | 14 | 73 | 33 | 0 | 16 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 81 | 9 | 0 | 321 |
| Total | 44 | 245 | 127 | 0 | 57 | 149 | 17 | 0 | 58 | 161 | 34 | 0 | 48 | 322 | 26 | 0 | 1288 |
| 05:00 PM | 12 | 64 | 40 | 0 | 16 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 46 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 105 | 6 | 0 | 377 |
| 05:15 PM | 9 | 73 | 34 | 0 | 13 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 33 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 96 | 5 | 0 | 343 |
| 05:30 PM | 6 | 47 | 31 | 0 | 20 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 26 | 41 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 93 | 7 | 0 | 342 |
| 05:45 PM | 6 | 38 | 31 | 0 | 8 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 86 | 7 | 0 | 271 |
| Total | 33 | 222 | 136 | 0 | 57 | 172 | 13 | 0 | 84 | 147 | 30 | 0 | 34 | 380 | 25 | 0 | 1333 |
| Grand Total | 77 | 467 | 263 | 0 | 114 | 321 | 30 | 0 | 142 | 308 | 64 | 0 | 82 | 702 | 51 | 0 | 2621 |
| Apprch \% | 9.5 | 57.9 | 32.6 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 69.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 27.6 | 59.9 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 84.1 | 6.1 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 2.9 | 17.8 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 11.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 26.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 |  |

File Name : Marksheffel Rd - Fontaine PM
Site Code : 00174860
Start Date : 12/05/2017
Page No : 2


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ＊ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | F | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 23 | 140 | 49 | 46 | 328 | 125 | 41 | 184 | 11 | 39 | 92 | 44 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 23 | 140 | 49 | 46 | 328 | 125 | 41 | 184 | 11 | 39 | 92 | 44 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | ， | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.58 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 29.9 | 28.4 | 9.7 | 30.3 | 33.7 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 1.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 29.9 | 28.4 | 9.7 | 30.3 | 33.7 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 1.8 |
| LOS | C | C | A | C | C | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 24.2 |  |  | 26.9 |  |  | 4.7 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 79.1
Natural Cycle： 40
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.58
Intersection Signal Delay： 18.5
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 43．8\％
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


[^0]Synchro 8 Report

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ＊ | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | F | \％ | 个个 | F | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 18 | 344 | 64 | 18 | 169 | 49 | 31 | 79 | 32 | 90 | 139 | 71 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 18 | 344 | 64 | 18 | 169 | 49 | 31 | 79 | 32 | 90 | 139 | 71 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | ， | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ | 66．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 | 55.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.09 | 0.59 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 28.1 | 34.3 | 9.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 1.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 28.1 | 34.3 | 9.4 | 30.4 | 30.4 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 1.3 |
| LOS | C | C | A | C | C | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.3 |  |  | 26.1 |  |  | 3.7 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 78.1
Natural Cycle： 40
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.59
Intersection Signal Delay： 18.3
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 38．9\％
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


[^1]Synchro 8 Report
KDF

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | ＋ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 「 | ＊ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 28 | 131 | 64 | 371 | 356 | 237 | 61 | 244 | 131 | 77 | 118 | 50 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 28 | 131 | 64 | 371 | 356 | 237 | 61 | 244 | 131 | 77 | 118 | 50 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 35.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．1\％ | 12．2\％ | 12．2\％ | 38．9\％ | 40．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 11.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 31.5 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.54 | 0.28 | 0.74 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 20.5 | 45.5 | 2.7 | 28.1 | 21.1 | 4.6 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 3.1 | 14.5 | 13.4 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 20.5 | 45.5 | 2.7 | 28.1 | 21.1 | 4.6 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 3.1 | 14.5 | 13.4 | 0.1 |
| LOS | C | D | A | C | C | A | B | B | A | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.0 |  |  | 19.7 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 11.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 80.7
Natural Cycle： 45
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.74
Intersection Signal Delay： 17.8
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58．5\％
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd



|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $>$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢个 | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }_{1}$ | 4 | F |
| Trafic Volume（vph） | 28 | 162 | 64 | 433 | 409 | 299 | 61 | 244 | 167 | 113 | 118 | 50 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 28 | 162 | 64 | 433 | 409 | 299 | 61 | 244 | 167 | 113 | 118 | 50 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 35.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．1\％ | 12．2\％ | 12．2\％ | 38．9\％ | 40．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ | 48．9\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 11.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 34.2 | 30.5 | 30.5 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.1 | 39.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.17 | 0.69 | 0.28 | 0.81 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.07 |
| Control Delay | 21.0 | 54.5 | 2.8 | 31.4 | 20.9 | 4.4 | 14.8 | 16.0 | 3.2 | 16.7 | 14.5 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 21.0 | 54.5 | 2.8 | 31.4 | 20.9 | 4.4 | 14.8 | 16.0 | 3.2 | 16.7 | 14.5 | 0.2 |
| LOS | C | D | A | C | C | A | B | B | A | B | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 37.8 |  |  | 20.6 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 12.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 83.4
Natural Cycle： 50
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.81
Intersection Signal Delay： 19.6
Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 64．2\％
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 504 | 362 | 177 | 4 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 514 | 369 | 180 | 4 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 9 | 175 | 457 | 540 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 535 | 462 | 66 | 4 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 4.6 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  | 1.000 |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2.609 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 4.976 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 514 | 369 | 180 | 796 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1367 | 1154 | 866 | 1.000 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.981 | 0.981 | 0.983 | 4 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 504 | 362 | 177 | 796 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1341 | 1132 | 851 | 0.005 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.376 | 0.320 | 0.208 | 4.6 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.4 | A |
| LOS | A | A | A | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |


|  | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach | 0 | 10.1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT | WBT SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -1083 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.356 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -10.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | -1.6 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | SB |  |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 263 | 80 | 110 | 0 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 269 | 82 | 112 | 193 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 4 | 111 | 78 | 0 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 189 | 79 | 195 | 0 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.000 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.0 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 4.3 | 3.5 | 3.6 | - |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A |  |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  | 1.000 |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2.609 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 4.976 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 0 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 269 | 82 | 112 | 1133 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1374 | 1232 | 1274 | 1.000 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.979 | 0.981 | 0.982 | 0 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 263 | 80 | 110 | 1133 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1346 | 1209 | 1252 | 0.000 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.196 | 0.067 | 0.088 | 3.2 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 4.3 | 3.5 | 3.6 | A |
| LOS | A | A | A | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个4 | 个 |  |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 200 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 75 | 80 | 95 | 95 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 6.23 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | -3.319 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 1083 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 1083 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 8.7 |
| HCM LOS |  | A |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT | WBT SBLn1 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -1083 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.094 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -8.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | \％ | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 个个 | F | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 36 | 245 | 48 | 577 | 713 | 556 | 154 | 548 | 202 | 201 | 523 | 45 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 36 | 245 | 48 | 577 | 713 | 556 | 154 | 548 | 202 | 201 | 523 | 45 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | ， | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | Free | 2 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 |  | 10.0 | 35.0 |  | 15.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．1\％ | 16．7\％ | 16．7\％ | 27．8\％ | 33．3\％ |  | 11．1\％ | 38．9\％ |  | 16．7\％ | 44．4\％ | 44．4\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 14.7 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 18.8 | 27.6 | 88.5 | 35.6 | 30.6 | 88.5 | 9.4 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 1.00 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.22 | 0.67 | 0.14 | 0.83 | 0.68 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.13 | 0.58 | 0.39 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 22.7 | 47.3 | 0.8 | 44.7 | 31.3 | 0.7 | 18.1 | 24.7 | 0.2 | 44.7 | 20.5 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 22.7 | 47.3 | 0.8 | 44.7 | 31.3 | 0.7 | 18.1 | 24.7 | 0.2 | 44.7 | 20.5 | 0.2 |
| LOS | C | D | A | D | C | A | B | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 37.8 |  |  | 26.3 |  |  | 18.1 |  |  | 25.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 88.5
Natural Cycle： 60
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.83
Intersection Signal Delay： $25.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 62．9\％ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 8.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 278 | 573 | 185 | 193 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 283 | 584 | 189 | 197 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 71 | 251 | 225 | 773 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 899 | 163 | 129 | 62 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 4.8 | 10.2 | 4.9 | 10.1 |
| Approach LOS | A | B | A | B |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 283 | 584 | 189 | 197 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1283 | 1068 | 1097 | 627 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.982 | 0.981 | 0.979 | 0.980 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 278 | 573 | 185 | 193 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1260 | 1048 | 1074 | 615 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.220 | 0.547 | 0.172 | 0.314 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 4.8 | 10.2 | 4.9 | 10.1 |
| LOS | A | B | A | B |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{17}$ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 7$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 65 | 898 | 144 | 470 | 528 | 461 | 118 | 243 | 750 | 755 | 326 | 65 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 65 | 898 | 144 | 470 | 528 | 461 | 118 | 243 | 750 | 755 | 326 | 65 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | Free | 2 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 19.0 | 39.0 |  | 10.0 | 14.0 |  | 27.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．1\％ | 33．3\％ | 33．3\％ | 21．1\％ | 43．3\％ |  | 11．1\％ | 15．6\％ |  | 30．0\％ | 34．4\％ | 34．4\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 31.9 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 14.8 | 35.8 | 89.8 | 16.5 | 9.5 | 89.8 | 22.5 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.40 | 1.00 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.19 | 0.95 | 0.25 | 0.87 | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.52 | 0.69 | 0.50 | 0.91 | 0.33 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 14.2 | 51.7 | 2.1 | 53.7 | 20.9 | 0.5 | 29.0 | 49.7 | 1.1 | 49.1 | 26.3 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 14.2 | 51.7 | 2.1 | 53.7 | 20.9 | 0.5 | 29.0 | 49.7 | 1.1 | 49.1 | 26.3 | 0.4 |
| LOS | B | D | A | D | C | A | C | D | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 42.9 |  |  | 24.9 |  |  | 14.7 |  |  | 39.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 89.8
Natural Cycle： 90
Control Type：Semi Act－Uncoord
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.95
Intersection Signal Delay： $30.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81．5\％ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 12.1 |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 1034 | 355 | 133 | 132 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 1055 | 362 | 136 | 135 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 0 | 367 | 836 | 498 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 633 | 605 | 219 | 231 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 14.5 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 6.1 |
| Approach LOS | B | A | A | A |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 4.909 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 135 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 1055 | 362 | 136 | 830 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1380 | 949 | 588 | 0.978 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.980 | 0.980 | 132 |  |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 1034 | 355 | 812 |  |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1353 | 930 | 133 | 0.163 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.765 | 0.381 | 675 | 6.1 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 14.5 | 8.1 | 0.231 | A |
| LOS | B | 2.3 | 1 |  |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 4 4 | \％ | \％${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | 个4 | F | 7\％ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 36 | 276 | 48 | 639 | 766 | 618 | 154 | 548 | 238 | 237 | 523 | 45 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 36 | 276 | 48 | 639 | 766 | 618 | 154 | 548 | 238 | 237 | 523 | 45 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | Free | 2 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 |  | 10.0 | 35.0 |  | 15.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．1\％ | 16．7\％ | 16．7\％ | 27．8\％ | 33．3\％ |  | 11．1\％ | 38．9\％ |  | 16．7\％ | 44．4\％ | 44．4\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 14.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 19.6 | 28.5 | 89.4 | 35.3 | 30.3 | 89.4 | 9.7 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.39 |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.22 | 0.75 | 0.14 | 0.90 | 0.72 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.16 | 0.67 | 0.40 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 22.9 | 51.7 | 0.8 | 50.4 | 32.4 | 0.8 | 18.4 | 25.2 | 0.2 | 48.0 | 20.8 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 22.9 | 51.7 | 0.8 | 50.4 | 32.4 | 0.8 | 18.4 | 25.2 | 0.2 | 48.0 | 20.8 | 0.2 |
| LOS | C | D | A | D | C | A | B | C | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 42.0 |  |  | 28.4 |  |  | 17.8 |  |  | 27.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 89.4
Natural Cycle： 60
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.90
Intersection Signal Delay： 27.0
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65．5\％ ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh20.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  | NB | SB |
| Approach | EB | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 959 | 284 | 201 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 574 | 978 | 290 | 1154 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 585 | 255 | 535 | 79 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 98 | 570 | 148 | 0 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 1261 | 0 | 0 | 1.000 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 18.8 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | 31.8 | 9.0 | C |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 | 2.609 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 | 4.976 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 585 | 978 | 290 | 205 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1249 | 1064 | 800 | 425 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.981 | 0.980 | 0.979 | 0.980 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 574 | 959 | 284 | 417 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1225 | 1043 | 783 | 0.482 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.469 | 0.919 | 0.363 | 18.8 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 7.8 | 31.8 | 9.0 | C |
| LOS | A | D | A | 3 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 个4 | 个 |  |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 443 | 473 | 0 | 0 | 289 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 443 | 473 | 0 | 0 | 289 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 200 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 75 | 80 | 95 | 95 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 591 | 591 | 0 | 0 | 385 |


|  | Major2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major/Minor | Major1 | Manor2 |  |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 591 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 6.23 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | -3.319 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 506 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 506 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 31.4 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | $D$ |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT | WBT SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -506 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.762 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -31.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | -6.6 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 269 | 174 | 473 | 71 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 269 | 174 | 473 | 71 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 235 | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 75 | 94 | 94 | 75 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 359 | 185 | 503 | 95 | 0 | 0 |



|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 个4 | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ | \% ${ }^{1+1}$ | ¢4 | F | \% | ¢4 | F | \% ${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 性 | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 65 | 911 | 144 | 478 | 535 | 469 | 118 | 243 | 765 | 770 | 326 | 65 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 65 | 911 | 144 | 478 | 535 | 469 | 118 | 243 | 765 | 770 | 326 | 65 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | Free | 2 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 |  | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 10.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 19.0 | 39.0 |  | 10.0 | 14.0 |  | 27.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 11.1\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 21.1\% | 43.3\% |  | 11.1\% | 15.6\% |  | 30.0\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 |  | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | Max | Max |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 31.9 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 14.9 | 35.9 | 89.9 | 16.3 | 9.3 | 89.9 | 22.7 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.40 | 1.00 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.19 | 0.96 | 0.25 | 0.88 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.70 | 0.51 | 0.93 | 0.34 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 14.2 | 54.5 | 2.1 | 54.8 | 21.0 | 0.5 | 29.2 | 50.5 | 1.2 | 50.9 | 26.3 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 14.2 | 54.5 | 2.1 | 54.8 | 21.0 | 0.5 | 29.2 | 50.5 | 1.2 | 50.9 | 26.3 | 0.4 |
| LOS | B | D | A | D | C | A | C | D | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 45.3 |  |  | 25.3 |  |  | 14.8 |  |  | 41.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 89.9
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.96
Intersection Signal Delay: $31.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C

Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.5\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Marksheffel Rd \& Fountaine Blvd





| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT | WBT SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -688 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.129 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -11 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | $-\quad 0.4$ |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ | 个 | 个 | $\mathbf{T}$ |  | $\mathbf{r}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 65 | 575 | 337 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 65 | 575 | 337 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 235 | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 68 | 605 | 355 | 8 | 0 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 363 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 355 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | - | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | - | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1196 | - | - | - | 0 | 689 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1196 | - | - | - | - | 689 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.8 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT WBR SBLn1 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1196 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.057 | - | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.2 | - | - | - | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | - | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.2 | - | - | - | - |

## Markup Summary

## dsdrice (4)

| $\qquad$ <br> Address the countywide traffic fee <br> - traffic fee. | Subject: Text Box <br> Page Label: 9 <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 7/5/2018 11:52:56 AM <br> Color: | Address the countywide traffic fee. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subject: Text Box <br> Page Label: 3 <br> Author: dsdrice <br> Date: 7/9/2018 4:27:20 PM <br> Color: | Provide times assumed in this report. |



```
Subject: Cloud+ Page Label: 8 one?
```

Author: dsdrice
Date: 7/9/2018 4:39:35 PM
Color:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

[^2]Discuss if these improvements were (will be) provided with the Fontaine Blvd. improvements or will be provided by the school district, and when. If justified not to be constructed initially escrow may be required.


[^0]:    1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd
    Existing Traffic AM Peak Hour

[^1]:    1：Marksheffel Rd \＆Fountaine Blvd
    Existing Traffic PM Peak Hour

[^2]:    Subject: Text Box
    Page Label: 9
    Author: dsdrice
    Date: 7/9/2018 4:41:12 PM
    Color:

