











On Mon, Apr 15, 2024 at 12:02 PM Bret Dilts < BretDilts@elpasoco.com > wrote:

I apologize I didn't get back to you sooner. I did follow up with one of our senior engineers on this last week and didn't get that message out to you.

Thanks,



From: Darin Moffett <<u>Darin@mscivil.com</u>> Sent: Monday, April 15, 2024 11:42 AM

To: Bret Dilts <u>*BretDilts@elpasoco.com</u>>; Lacey Dean2 <u>*LaceyDean2@elpasoco.com</u>> Subject: Fwd: Question on FAE Comment (PPR243)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the EI Paso County technology network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Please call IT Customer Support at 520-6355 if you are unsure of the integrity of this message.

Bret,

Any thoughts on this?

We have had all the other documents loaded and ready to go...

Darin Moffett <Darin@mscivil.com> to Bret, LaceyDean2 ▼

May 7, 2024, 12:39 PM ☆ ← :

Lacey/Bret

Confused by the comment from EPC on the FAE for Bradley Point Filing No. 1 Site Development Plan after all the back and forth we had.

Per Bret's email attached.(highlighted yellow) no FAE was required.

However we recieved the comment of both requiring and providing an FAE for this site development plan.

Please note that our client has paid the FAE amount required to construct the site (per MS212)

If a phone call is easier please feel free to contact me at 719-649-9624

Lacey Dean2 to Bret, me ▼

May 14, 2024, 11:15 AM 🛕 👆 🚦

I am so sorry for the confusion. I cannot speak for Bret, but I know that I failed to remember the conversation upon actually reviewing the project. I will clarify with Bret, and then remove the FAE from the project...

I appreciate your patience. We often have many projects to review at once and sometimes the specifics of conversations surrounding each projects gets lost in the shuffle.

I will touch base with you when I remove it.

Lacey Dean2 to me, Bret ▼

May 14, 2024, 11:27 AM ☆ ← :

It does not seem on my end that I added the FAE back in as a required doc, but rather just requested you resubmit in my comments. Please disregard these comments about the FAE and if EDARP is asking for it on your end, just write a word document stating that, per our discussions, you do not need it. The submission of that word document should pacify the system into letting you submit. I will add a note into the project regarding our discussion to hopefully avoid future confusion.