

**From:** [Justine Robinson](#)  
**To:** [Tamara Baxter](#)  
**Cc:** [CGS\\_LUR](#)  
**Subject:** RE: [External] Solace of Colorado Springs Site Development Plan  
**Date:** Monday, December 7, 2020 3:11:51 PM

---

Hi Tamara,

I spoke with the reviewer of this project. He said that provided the reviews continue to be routine as the first one was, no additional fees are anticipated. A non-routine review in this case would be any issues raised that required resubmittal of the geology report or site plans.

**Justine Robinson | Program Assistant**

Colorado Geological Survey  
1801 Moly Road, Golden, CO 80401  
[COLORADOSCHOOLOFMINES | Colorado Geological Survey](#)

---

**From:** Tamara Baxter <[tbaxter@nescolorado.com](mailto:tbaxter@nescolorado.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, December 4, 2020 2:57 PM  
**To:** Justine Robinson <[justinerobinson@mines.edu](mailto:justinerobinson@mines.edu)>  
**Subject:** [External] Solace of Colorado Springs Site Development Plan

Ms. Robinson,

We are in the process of submitting a Site Development Plan to El Paso County Planning next week for the above mentioned project. We had paid the receipt (see attached) for CGS to review the Soils and Geology Report for the Preliminary Plan for this project. No changes are anticipated with this report and were wondering if the fee can be waived for this step in the entitlement process. Below is the comment received from CGS for the Preliminary Plan review. Unfortunately we did not received a CGS contact to send this email directly to. Thanks and look forward to hearing from you or one of the reviewers.  
Tamara

**Tamara Baxter**

**N.E.S. Inc.**  
619 North Cascade Avenue, Suite 200  
Colorado Springs, CO 80903  
Main 719.471.0073 | Fax 719.471.0267  
[www.nescolorado.com](http://www.nescolorado.com)

---

Colorado Geological Survey	CGS concurs with CTL that the site does not include geologic hazards that would preclude the planned development. In addition to the geologic hazards identified by CTL consolidation or collapse of loose alluvial sands may occur at this site. This is demonstrated by blow-counts less than 10 shown on logs TH-2 and 4. During site-specific soils and foundation investigations CGS recommends that loose sands encountered be tested for consolidation potential. Provided CTL's recommendations are strictly adhered to, CGS has no objection to approval of the Preliminary Plan. Specifically, their recommendations for surface drainage and irrigation and their recommendations that the Civil Engineer consider channel improvements including energy dissipation structure when preparing development design plans.
----------------------------	---

**Disclaimer**

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by Mimecast, a leader in email security and cyber resilience. Mimecast integrates email defenses with brand protection, security awareness training, web security, compliance and other essential capabilities. Mimecast helps protect large and small organizations from malicious activity, human error and technology failure; and to lead the movement toward building a more resilient world. To find out more, visit our website.