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## Preliminary / Final Drainage Report


#### Abstract

The purpose of this Preliminary / Final Drainage Report is to identify drainage patterns and quantities within and affecting the proposed Koinonia Ranch site. The development project is a residential subdivision with six (6) $5.0 \pm$ acre lots, and one (1) tract. The report will identify specific solutions to problems on-site and off-site resulting from the proposed project. The report and included maps present results of hydrologic and drainage facilities analyses. The report will discuss the recommended drainage improvements to the site and identify drainage requirements relative to the proposed project. This report has been prepared and submitted in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County development approval process. An Appendix is included with this report with pertinent calculations and graphs used in the drainage analyses and design.


## 1 General Location and Description

### 1.1 Location

The proposed Koinonia Ranch site is located within the southeast one-quarter of the southwest onequarter of Section 19, Township 12 South, Range 65 west of the 6th principal meridian in El Paso County, Colorado. The $39 \pm$ acre site is situated north of Old Ranch Road. The site is generally west of Black Forest Road, north of Old Ranch Road. The site contains an existing single-family residential property using the address of 6170 Old Ranch Road. The El Paso County Assessor's Schedule Number for the site is 5219000059 . The proposed site has never been platted. A Vicinity Map is included in the Appendix.
The south edge of the site is adjacent to an unplattted and undeveloped parcel zoned PUD (Planned Unit Development). Unplatted property zoned RR-5 and containing two single family residences is located adjacent to the east side of the site. Unplatted and undeveloped property zoned RR-5 is located adjacent to the west side of the site. The site is located in El Paso County's Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin.

### 1.2 Description of Property

The Koinonia Ranch site $39 \pm$ acres and is zoned RR-5 (Residential Rural (5 Acres)). The property is the location of a single-family residence with an existing unpaved driveway.

The site is covered with native grass and weeds in good condition, and coniferous trees. There is dense tree coverage on the northern portion of the site and sparse tree coverage on the southern portion of the site. The existing site topography slopes to the south towards Old Ranch Road with grades that range from $3 \%$ to $30 \%$.
There are no major drainage ways in the Koinonia Ranch site. All storm runoff flows south to Old Ranch Road. An existing culvert discharges the flows south of Old Ranch Road. There is no storm drain system located within Old Ranch Road or the surrounding area. The site is located in the cottonwood creek major drain basin. The flows from the site flow south and eventually enter cottonwood creek, a tributary to Monument creek.

According to the National Resource Conservation Service, there are three (3) soil types in the Koinonia ranch site. Kettle gravelly loamy sand (map unit 40) makes up a portion of the soil in the center of the site where the existing structure is located. The soil is deep and somewhat excessively drained. Permeability is moderately rapid, surface runoff is slow, and the hazard of erosion is slight to moderate. Kettle gravelly loamy sand is classified as being part of Hydrologic Soil Group B.

The second type is Kettle Gravelly Loamy Sand (map unit 41) which makes up the northern portion of the site. The soil is deep and somewhat excessively drained. Permeability is moderately rapid, surface runoff is slow, and the hazard of erosion is slight to moderate. Kettle gravelly loamy sand is classified as being part of Hydrologic Soil Group B.

The last soil type is Pring Coarse Sandy Loam (map unit 71) which makes up the southern side of the site. The soil is deep and well drained. Permeability is moderately rapid, surface runoff is slow, and the hazard of erosion is slight to moderate. Pring Coarse Sandy Loam is classified as being part of Hydrologic Soil Group B.
A portion of the Soil Map and data tables from the National Cooperative Soil Survey and relevant Official Soil Series Descriptions (OSD) are included in the Appendix. ${ }^{12}$

There are no major drainage ways in the Koinonia Ranch site.
The current Flood Insurance Study of the region includes Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM), effective on December 7, 2018. ${ }^{3}$ The proposed subdivision is included in the Community Panel Numbered 08041C0527 G of the Flood Insurance Rate Maps for the El Paso County. No part of the site is shown to be included in a 100-year flood hazard area as determined by FEMA. A portion of the current FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps with the site delineated is included in the Appendix.

## 2 Drainage Basins and Sub-Basins

### 2.1 Major Basin Descriptions

The Koinonia Ranch site is located in the Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin (FOMO2200) of the Fountain Creek Major Drainage Basin. The Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin Covers an area of approximately 19 square miles and drains to Monument Creek. The Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study provides development recommendations and requirements for drainage development in the Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin (DBPS). ${ }^{4}$ The Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin encompasses a part of the northeast portion of the City of Colorado Springs and extends to the north and east. The drainage basin and Cottonwood Creek drain southwest into Monument Creek. The Koinonia Ranch site is located north of Cottonwood Creek as it flows offsite towards Monument Creek. The site is located in sub-basin WR 050, upstream of Design Point 040 of the Drainage Basin Planning Study. No improvements are recommended on or near the project site. The proposed Koinonia Ranch project is in conformance with the DBPS.

### 2.2 Other Drainage Reports

The drainage report "Geist Subdivision" by Richards Land Surveying Company dated January 4, $1982^{5}$ was reviewed in preparation of this Preliminary / Final Drainage Report.

### 2.3 Sub-Basin Description

The existing drainage patterns of the Koinonia Ranch project are described by five on-site drainage basins and five offsite basins. All of these sub-basins are previously undisturbed or developed to a degree as described below. All existing sub-basin delineations and data are depicted on the attached Existing Drainage Map.

[^1]
### 2.3.1 Existing Drainage Patterns (Off-Site)

Existing off site sub-basin EX-OSA-1, containing pasture/meadow, is located to the west of the site. This sub-basin drains overland to the east onto the site. This flow enters the onsite sub-basin EX-A5 and continues through the site.

Existing off site sub-basin EX-OSA-2, containing pasture/meadow, is located to the west of the site just south of sub-basin EX-OSA-1. This sub-basin drains overland to the east into the site. This flow enters the onsite sub-basin A-5 and continues through the site.
Existing off site sub-basin EX-OSA-3, containing pasture/meadow, is located to the east of the site. This sub-basin drains overland to the west into the site. The flow enters the onsite sub-basin EX-A-5 and continues through the site.

Existing off site sub-basin EX-OSA-6, containing pasture/meadow, is located to the west of the site just south of sub-basin EX-OSA-2. This sub-basin drains overland to the southeast into the site. The flow enters the onsite sub-basin EX-OSA-5 and continues through the site.
Existing off site sub-basin EX-OSB-1, containing pasture/meadow, is located northwest of the site. This sub-basin drains overland to the southeast onto the site. The flow enters the onsite sub-basin EX-B-2 and continues through the site.

### 2.3.2 Existing Drainage Patterns (On-Site)

The site generally drains to the south. The southeast portion of the site, drains towards the southeast corner of the site. The remaining potion of the site drains south towards the site's southern boundary.
Existing sub-basin EX-B-2, containing pasture/meadow, is located in the northeastern portion of the site. All flows from sub-basin EX-B-2 drain overland to the east into the adjacent site. These flows continue southeast through adjacent properties.

Existing sub-basin EX-A-4, containing pasture/meadow, is located in the western portion of the site, just east of sub-basin EX-OSA-2. The sub-basin contains pasture/meadow. All flows from sub-basin EX-A-4 drain overland and exit the site at the west property line into sub-basin EX-OSA-2.

Existing sub-basin EX-A-5 is centrally located throughout the majority of the site. This sub-basin contains an existing single-family residence, gravel drive, and pasture/meadow areas. The flows generated by this sub-basin drain overland to the south and exit the site at design point 1 through an existing culvert. These flows continue south through the adjacent properties toward Cottonwood Creek. All flows from the site eventually enter Cottonwood Creek.
Existing sub-basin EX-C-1, containing pasture/meadow, is located in the southeast portion of the site. All flows from sub-basin EX-C-1 drain overland and exit the site through the east property line and continue south through adjacent properties into cottonwood creek.

Existing sub-basin EX-D-1, containing pasture/meadow, is also located in the southeast portion of the site just south of sub-basin EX-C-1. All flows from basin EX-D-1 drain overland and exit the site through the east property line and continue south through adjacent properties into cottonwood creek.

## 3 Drainage Design Criteria

### 3.1 Development Criteria Reference

This Preliminary / Final Drainage Report for Koinonia Ranch has been prepared according to the report guidelines presented in the latest edition of El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual (DCM) ${ }^{6}$. The County has also adopted portions of the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2, especially concerning the calculation of rainfall runoff flow rates. ${ }^{78}$ The hydrologic

[^2]analysis is based on a collection of data from the DCM, the NRCS Web Soil Survey ${ }^{9}$, and existing topographic data by Land Resource Associates.

### 3.2 Hydrologic Criteria

For this Preliminary / Final Drainage Report, the Rational Method as described in the Drainage Criteria Manual has been used for all Storm Runoff calculations, as the development and all subbasins are less than 130 acres in area. "Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency" curves, Figure 6-5 in the DCM, was used to obtain the design rainfall values; a copy is included in the Appendix. The "Overland (Initial) Flow Equation" (Eq. 6-8) in the DCM, and Manning's equation with estimated depths were used in time of concentration calculations. "Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method", Table 6-6 in the DCM, was utilized as a guide in estimating runoff coefficient and Percent Impervious values; a copy is included in the Appendix. Peak runoff discharges were calculated for each drainage sub-basin for both the 5-year storm event and the 100-year storm event with the Rational Method formula, (Eq. 6-5) in the DCM. ${ }^{10}$

## 4 Drainage Facility Design

### 4.1 General Concept

The intent of the drainage concept presented in this Preliminary / Final Drainage Report is to allow for the development of the six (6) 5-acre lots, and one (1) tract while maintaining the existing drainage patterns on the site. The site will be in compliance with the County's Stormwater Management regulations without the need for permanent water quality treatment facilities. Major and minor storm flows will continue to be safely conveyed through the site and downstream.

The existing and proposed drainage hydrologic conditions are described in more detail below. Input data and results for all calculations are included in the Appendix. Drainage maps for the hydrology are also included in the Appendix.

### 4.2 Specific Details

### 4.2.1 Existing Hydrologic Conditions

The off-site drainage area west of the site, sub-basin EX-OSA-1, contains pasture/meadow. The sub-basin is $5.47 \pm$ acres in area and drains easterly overland and into sub-basin EX-A-5. Sub-basin EX-OSA-1 generates peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=1.9 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $Q_{100}=14.3 \mathrm{cfs}$ (existing flows) which drains overland into the site at sub-basin EX-A-5. Once in the site, these flows continue to drain to the south to existing Design Point 1 (EX-DP1).

Existing off-site sub-basin EX-OSA-2 is 12.06 acres in area located west of the site just south of subbasin EX-OSA-1 and contains pasture/meadow. Sub-basin EX-OSA-2 produces peak discharges of $Q_{5}=3.3 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $Q_{100}=21.6$ cfs (existing flows) which drain easterly overland and into sub-basin EX-A-5. Once in the site, these flows continue to drain to the south to EX-DP1.

Existing off-site sub-basin EX-OSA-3, located east of the site is 3.90 acres in area. Sub-basin EXOSA3 contains a meadow/pasture area. Peak storm runoff rates are $Q_{5}=3.1$ cfs and $Q_{100}=11.2 \mathrm{cfs}$ (existing flows) which drain westerly overland into sub-basin EX-A-5. Once in the site, these flows continue to drain overland to the south to EX-DP1.

Existing sub-basin EX-A-4, located on the western portion of the site, is 0.97 acres in area. Subbasin EX-A-4 contains a meadow/pasture area. The sub-basin generates peak flows of $Q_{5}=0.3 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $Q_{100}=2.4$ cfs (existing flow), which drains westerly overland and exits the site into sub-basin EX-OSA-2. These flows, along with the flows from existing sub-basin EX-OSA-2, continue to drain to the south to EX-DP1.

[^3]Existing sub-basin EX-A-5, centrally located throughout most of the site, is 30.09 acres in area. Subbasin EX-A-5 contains an existing single-family residence, a gravel driveway, and a meadow/pasture area. Peak storm runoff rates are $Q_{5}=6.2$ cfs and $Q_{100}=39.0 \mathrm{cfs}$ (existing flows) which drains overland to the south to EX-DP1.

Existing offsite sub-basin EX-OSA-6, located west of the site just south of sub-basin EX-OSA-2, is 5.93 acres in area. Sub-basin EX-OSA-6 contains a meadow/pasture area. This sub-basin generates peak storm runoff rates of $Q_{5}=2.2$ cfs and $Q_{100}=12.6$ cfs (existing flows) which drains easterly overland into sub-basin EX-A-5. These flows continue to drain to the south toward EX-DP1. The combined peak storm runoff rates flowing to EX-DP1 are $Q_{5}=17.8$ cfs and $Q_{100}=116.7 \mathrm{cfs}$ (existing flows) which flow south through an existing culvert at Old Ranch Road and continues to flow south through adjacent properties to cottonwood creek.

Existing offsite sub-basin EX-OSB-1, located north of the site, is 1.66 acres in area. Sub-basin EXOSB1 contains a meadow/pasture area. Sub-basin EX-OSB-1 generates peak storm runoff rates of $Q_{5}=0.6 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $Q_{100}=4.3$ cfs (existing flows) which drains to the southeast into sub-basin EX-B-2. These flows continue to drain southeasterly offsite.

Existing sub-basin EX-B-2, located in the northern portion of the site just south of sub-basin EX-OSB-1, is 5.55 acres in area. Sub-basin EX-B-2 contains a meadow pasture area. Peak storm runoff rates generated by this sub-basin are $Q_{5}=1.6 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $Q_{100}=11.5 \mathrm{cfs}$ (existing flows) which drain southeasterly offsite. The combined flows from sub-basin EX-OSB-1 and EX-B-2 are $Q_{5}=2.3$ cfs and $Q_{100}=16.7$ cfs (existing flows) which flow southeast offsite. These flows continue draining south through adjacent properties to cottonwood creek.

Existing sub-basin EX-C-1, located in the southeast portion of the site just north of sub-basin EX-D1, is 1.70 acres in area. Sub-basin EX-C-1 contains a meadow pasture area. Peak storm runoff rates generated by this sub-basin are $Q_{5}=0.6$ cfs and $Q_{100}=4.1 \mathrm{cfs}$ (existing flows) which drains southeast offsite. These flows continue draining south through adjacent properties to cottonwood creek.

Existing sub-basin EX-D-1, located in the southeast portion of the site just south of sub-basin EX-C1 , is 0.82 acres in area. Sub-basin EX-D-1 contains a meadow pasture area. Peak storm runoff rates generated by this sub-basin are $Q_{5}=0.2$ cfs and $Q_{100}=1.8$ cfs (existing flows) which drains southeast offsite. These flows continue draining south through adjacent properties to cottonwood creek.

The Existing Drainage Map depicts the existing topographic mapping, drainage basin delineations, drainage patterns, existing drives, drainage facilities, and runoff quantities with a data table including drainage areas and flow rates.

### 4.2.2 Proposed Hydrologic Conditions

Proposed offsite sub-basin OSA-1 (3.14 acres), located east of the site just south of sub-basin OSB1, contains pasture/meadow. Sub-basin OSA-1 will generate peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=1.1 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $Q_{100}=8.3 \mathrm{cfs}$ (proposed flow) which drains overland to the southeast into sub-basin A-2.

Proposed sub-basin A-2 (14.31 acres), located on the eastern portion of the site, will be developed with two (2) proposed single-family residences with gravel driveways and half of the proposed rural gravel local roadway. Sub-basin A-2 will generate peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=4.6$ cfs and $Q_{100}=25.5 \mathrm{cfs}$ (proposed flow) which drains south in the ditch along the proposed roadway to design point 1 (DP1).
The proposed off-site sub-basin, OSA-3 (2.33 acres), containing pasture/meadow, will drain easterly overland onto the site. Basin OSA-3 generates peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=0.8$ cfs and $Q_{100}$ $=5.9$ cfs (proposed flows) which enters proposed sub-basin A-5. Once in the site, these flows continue to drain to the south to design point 2 (DP2).

The proposed off-site sub-basin, OSA-4 (12.06 acres), containing pasture/meadow, will continue to drain into the site as in existing conditions. Basin OSA-4 generates peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=3.3 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $Q_{100}=21.6$ cfs (proposed flows) which enters sub-basin A-5. Once in the site, these flows continue to drain to the south to DP2.

Proposed sub-basin A-5 (15.78 acres), located on the western portion of the site, will be more developed with two (2) proposed single-family residences with gravel driveways and half of a proposed rural gravel local roadway. Sub-basin A-5 will generate peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=5.9$ cfs and $Q_{100}=32.1$ cfs (proposed flow) which drains south in the ditch of the proposed roadway to DP2.

The off-site drainage basin, OSA-6 (3.90 acres), containing pasture/ meadow, will drain into the site as in existing conditions. Basin OSA-6 generates peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=3.1$ cfs and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=11.2$ cfs (proposed flows) which drains overland and enters the sub-basin A-2 from the east. These flows combine with the flows from sub-basins OSA-1 and A-2 at Design Point 1 (DP1). The combined flows will generate peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=8.6 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $Q_{100}=44.3 \mathrm{cfs}$ (proposed flow), which drain to the west under proposed Koinonia View through a proposed 36" RCP culvert. Culvert sizing calculations are included in the Appendix.

The proposed sub-basin, A-7 ( 0.97 acres), containing pasture/meadow, will continue to drain off of the site as in existing conditions. Proposed sub-basin A-7 generates peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=0.3$ cfs and $Q_{100}=2.4$ cfs (proposed flows) which drains overland into sub-basin OSA-4. These flows continue to drain to the south to DP2.
The off-site sub-basin, OSA-8 ( 5.93 acres), containing pasture/meadow, will continue to drain into the site as in existing conditions. Basin OSA-8 generates peak storm runoff discharges of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=2.2$ cfs and $Q_{100}=12.6$ cfs (proposed flows) which enters proposed sub-basin A-5. Once in the site, these flows continue to drain to the south to DP2. The combined flows from sub-basins OSA-3, OSA3, A-7, A-5 and OSA-8 at DP2 will generate peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=19.4$ cfs and $Q_{100}=$ 108.6 cfs (proposed flow), which represents an increase of $Q_{5}=1.6$ cfs and $Q_{100}=1.9$ cfs. These flows will drain into an existing 30 " corrugated metal pipe culvert at DP2 then continue flowing south through adjacent properties toward cottonwood creek.

The off-site drainage basin, OSB-1 (1.66 acres), containing pasture/meadow, will continue to drain into the site as in existing conditions. Basin OSB-1 generates peak storm runoff discharges of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=$ 0.6 cfs and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=4.3 \mathrm{cfs}$ (proposed flow) which drains overland and enters sub-basin B-2 to the south. These flows continue to drain offsite to the southeast through adjacent properties.
Proposed sub-basin, B-2 ( 5.55 acres), contains a proposed single-family residence, a proposed gravel driveway and pasture/meadow. Proposed sub-basin B-2 generates peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=1.9$ cfs and $Q_{100}=11.9$ cfs (proposed flows) which drains overland to the southeast offsite. These flows combined with the flows from sub-basin OSB-1 will generate peak storm runoff discharges of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=2.6$ cfs and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=17.1 \mathrm{cfs}$ (proposed flow), which represents an increase of $Q_{5}=0.3$ cfs and $Q_{100}=0.4$ cfs. Once off site, these flows continue to drain southeast through adjacent properties.
Proposed sub-basin C-1 (1.70 acres), containing a proposed single-family residence, a proposed gravel driveway, and pasture/meadow, will continue to drain off of the site as in existing conditions. Basin C-1 generates peak storm runoff discharges of $\mathrm{Q}_{5}=0.7 \mathrm{cfs}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{100}=4.3 \mathrm{cfs}$ (proposed flows) which drains overland to the southeast offsite. These flows continue to drain southeast through adjacent properties.
The drainage basin, D-1 ( 0.82 acres), will continue to drain off of the site as in existing conditions. Basin D-1 generates peak storm runoff discharges of $Q_{5}=0.2$ cfs and $Q_{100}=1.8$ cfs (proposed flows) which drains southeast offsite. Once off site, these flows continue to drain southeast through adjacent properties.

# Per ECM 3.2.4, provide a discussion about the stability and capacity of this outfall. Is any type of protection required? Per satellite images it appears there is erosion on the downstream side of the culvert. 

### 4.3 Existing Old Ranch Road Culvert

The stormwater flows generated from the site generally drain to the south and exit the site through an existing 30 " corrugated metal pipe (CMP) culvert. Said culvert runs through Old Ranch Road and is located west of the proposed roadway. The culvert is sized to safely convey the entire 5 year storm event and will overtop during the 100 year storm event. According to Table 6-1 in the DCM ${ }^{11}$ cross flow with a depth of flow no more than 6 inches at the street shoulder is allowed in a local roadway with a roadside ditch during a major storm event. Cross flow depths do not reach depths greater than 6 inches at the street shoulder in either the existing or the proposed conditions. An HY-8 analysis of the proposed cross flow is included in the Appendix.

### 4.4 Erosion Control

During future construction, best management practices (BMP's) for erosion control will be employed based on the previously referenced City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 2 and the Erosion Control Plan for the site. During Construction, silt fencing, sediment control log, vehicle tracking control and concrete washout area will be in place to minimize erosion from the site. Silt Fencing will be placed along the southern and eastern sides of the disturbed areas. This will inhibit suspended sediment form leaving the site during construction. Vehicle tracking control will be placed at the access point in the private driveway connecting to Old Ranch Road. BMP's will be utilized as deemed necessary by the contractor, engineer, owner, or County inspector and are not limited to the measures described above.

### 4.5 Water Quality Enhancement Best Management Practices

The El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (Appendix I, Section I.7.2 ) requires the consideration of a "Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainageways, and implementing long term source controls". The Four Step Process is incorporated in this project and the elements are discussed below.

1) Runoff Reduction Practices are employed in this project. Impervious surfaces have been reduced as much as practically possible. There is only minimal concrete or other hard surfaces proposed. Minimized Directly Connected Impervious Areas (MDCIA) is employed on the project because runoff passes through a roadside ditch and an open space meadow area before leaving the site.
2) All drainage paths on the site are stabilized with appropriate landscape treatment. Rock check dams will be utilized in the ditch running along the roadway to reduce water velocities to promote stabilization. After the installation of the check dams the ditch will be seeded with native grasses. Ditch flow calculations and check dam spacing calculations are included in the Appendix.
3) The project contains no potentially hazardous uses. The site is exempted from the use of WQCV BMPs by ECM I.7.1.B. 5 by virtue of the large lot rural residential nature of the site having percent imperviosness of less than $10 \%$. The runoff generated from the impervious areas of the gravel road will be treated for water quality by utilizing the runoff reduction standard. Stormwater runoff from the proposed roadway will be collected in the roadside ditches and will infiltrate into the ground, evaporate, or evapotranspire a quantity of water equal to at least $60 \%$ of what the calculated WQCV would be if all impervious area for the applicable development site discharged without infiltration. Runoff Reduction calculations are included in the appendix.
4) The rural residential development is not anticipated to contain storage of potentially harmful substances or use of potentially harmful substances. No site specific or other source control BMPs are required.
[^4]
## 5 Drainage and Bridge Fees

The site is located within the Cottonwood Creek Drainage Basin of Fountain Creek, El Paso Basin Number FOMO2200, which was last studied in 1994. Fees associated with this basin are Drainage Fees of $\$ 19,752$ per impervious acre and Bridge Fees of $\$ 1,080$ per impervious acre. The percent Imperiousness of the 5 -acre Rural Residential site is $7 \%$ in accordance with El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual Appendix L Table 3-1. Also, reductions in the per acre Drainage Fee are allowed pursuant to El Paso County Resolution 99-383. A fee reduction in the of $25 \%$ for lots 2.5 acres or large is utilized for this project. The Koinonia Ranch site contains 39 acres. Drainage and Bridge Fees for the site are calculated below:

FEE CALCULATION (Cottonwood Creek 2022 Drainage and Bridge Fees)

| Drainage Fee $=$ | $39 \times \$ 21,134 / \mathrm{lmp}$. Ac x 0.07 Imp . | = | \$57,695.82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridge Fee | $39 \times \$ 1,156 / \mathrm{mp}$. Ac x 0.07 Imp . | = | \$ 3,155.88 |
|  | Subtotal | = | \$60,851.70 |
|  | 25\% Drainage Fee Reduction | = | (\$14,423.96) |
| Acreage is 39.09 . <br> Include full amount in | Grand Total Fees | = | \$46,427 74 |

Increase in stormwater flows are not negligible per summary tables. The developed drainage basins that make up the existing A-5 basin, which in existing conditions is 39 cfs , add up to 57.6 cfs. This increase in runoff is not negligible. Address increase in runoff in a narrative.

| EXISTING DRAINAGE SUMMARY TABLE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DESIGN POINT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INCLUDED } \\ & \text { BASIN(S) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AREA } \\ & \text { (AC) } \end{aligned}$ | Tc (MIN.) | RUNOFF |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q5 } \\ & \text { (CFS) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q100 } \\ & \text { (CFS) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | EX-OSA-1 | 5.47 | 8.1 | 1.9 | 14.3 |
|  | EX-OSA 2 | 12.06 | 21.6 | 3.3 | 21.6 |
|  | EX-OSA-3 | 3.90 | 12.0 | 3.1 | 11.2 |
|  | EX-A-4 | 0.97 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 2.4 |
|  | EXA 5 | 30.09 | 37.7 | 6.2 | 39.0 |
|  | EX-OSA 6 | 5.93 | 16.1 | 2.2 | 12.6 |
| DP1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { EX-OSA-1. 2. 3. } 6 \\ \text { EX-A-4. } 5 \end{gathered}$ | 58.43 | 21.6 | 17.8 | 106.7 |
|  | EX-OSB-1 | 1.66 | 8.6 | 0.6 | 4.3 |
|  | EX-8-2 | 5.55 | 15.1 | 1.6 | 11.5 |
|  | Ex-C-1 | 1.70 | 10.4 | 0.6 | 4.1 |
|  | EX-D-1 | 0.82 | 12.3 | 0.2 | 1.8 |


| DEVELOPED DRAINAGE SUMMARY TABLE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DESIGN POINT | INCLUDED BASIN(S) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AREA } \\ & \text { (AC) } \end{aligned}$ | Tc (MIN.) | RUNOFF |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q5 } \\ & \text { (CFS) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q100 } \\ & \text { (CFS) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | OSA-1 | 3.14 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 8.3 |
|  | A-2 | 14.31 | 23.5 | 4.6 | 25.5 |
|  | OSA-6 | 3.90 | 12.0 | 3.1 | 11.2 |
| DP1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OSAI, A-2, } \\ & \text { OSA-6 } \end{aligned}$ | 21.35 | 18.2 | 8.6 | 44.3 |
|  | OSA-3 | 2.33 | 8.9 | 0.8 | 5.9 |
|  | OSA-4 | 12.06 | 21.6 | 3.3 | 21.6 |
|  | A-5 | 15.78 | 18.2 | 5.9 | 32.1 |
|  | A-7 | 0.97 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 2.4 |
|  | OSA-8 | 5.93 | 16.1 | 2.2 | 12.6 |
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## Appendices

## 7 General Maps and Supporting Data

Vicinity Map
Portions of Flood Insurance Rate Map
Portion of Drainage Area Identification Study Map
NRCS Soil Map and Tables
SCS Soil Type Descriptions
Hydrologic Soil Group Map and Tables
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# Map Unit Legend 

| Map Unit Symbol | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes | 9.1 | 22.8\% |
| 41 | Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes | 18.1 | 45.4\% |
| 71 | Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes | 12.7 | 31.8\% |
| Totals for Area of Interest |  | 39.8 | 100.0\% |

## Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or
landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.
Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a soil series. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.
Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into soil phases. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.
A complex consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.
An association is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.
An undifferentiated group is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.
Some surveys include miscellaneous areas. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

## El Paso County Area, Colorado

## 40-Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes

## Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 368g
Elevation: 7,000 to 7,700 feet
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

## Map Unit Composition

Kettle and similar soils: 85 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

## Description of Kettle

## Setting

Landform: Hills
Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Sandy alluvium derived from arkose

## Typical profile

E - 0 to 16 inches: gravelly loamy sand
$B t-16$ to 40 inches: gravelly sandy loam
C-40 to 60 inches: extremely gravelly loamy sand

## Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 3.4 inches)

## Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Hydric soil rating: No

## Minor Components

Other soils
Percent of map unit:
Hydric soil rating: No

## Pleasant

Percent of map unit:
Landform: Depressions
Hydric soil rating: Yes

## 41—Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting
National map unit symbol: 368h
Elevation: 7,000 to 7,700 feet
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland
Map Unit Composition
Kettle and similar soils: 85 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.
Description of Kettle
Setting
Landform: Hills
Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Sandy alluvium derived from arkose
Typical profile
E - 0 to 16 inches: gravelly loamy sand
Bt - 16 to 40 inches: gravelly sandy loam
C-40 to 60 inches: extremely gravelly loamy sand
Properties and qualities
Slope: 8 to 40 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00$\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 3.4 inches)
Interpretive groupsLand capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Hydric soil rating: No
Minor Components
Pleasant
Percent of map unit:
Landform: Depressions
Hydric soil rating: Yes

## Other soils

Percent of map unit:
Hydric soil rating: No

## 71—Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

## Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 369k
Elevation: 6,800 to 7,600 feet
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

## Map Unit Composition

Pring and similar soils: 85 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

## Description of Pring

## Setting

Landform: Hills
Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Arkosic alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

## Typical profile

A - 0 to 14 inches: coarse sandy loam
C - 14 to 60 inches: gravelly sandy loam

## Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 6.0 inches)
Interpretive groups
Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Ecological site: R048AY222CO
Hydric soil rating: No
Minor Components
Pleasant
Percent of map unit:

## Custom Soil Resource Report

Landform: Depressions
Hydric soil rating: Yes
Other soils
Percent of map unit:
Hydric soil rating: No
pricklypear occur. Ample amounts of litter and forage should be left on the soil because of the high hazard of soil blowing.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings are generally well suited to this soil. Summer fallow a year prior to planting and continued cultivation for weed control are needed to insure establishment and survival of plantings. Trees that are best suited and have good survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, Siberian elm, Russian-olive, and hackberry. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, Siberian peashrub, and American plum.

Depending on land use, this soil can produce habitat that is suitable for either rangeland wildlife, such as antelope, or for openland wildlife, such as pheasant, cottontail, and mourning dove. Availability of irrigation water largely determines the land use. Where no irrigation water is available, this soil is mainly used as rangeland, a use that favors rangeland wildlife. If this soil is used as rangeland, fences, livestock water developments, and proper livestock grazing use are practices that enhance habitat for rangeland wildlife. Production of crops such as wheat, corn, and alfalfa provides suitable habitat for openland wildlife, especially pheasant. Among the practices that increase openland wildlife populations are planting trees and shrubs and providing undisturbed nesting cover.

The main limitation of this soil for urban use is shrinkswell potential. Buildings and roads need to be designed to overcome this limitation. Roads need to be designed to minimize frost-heave damage. Capability subclasses IVe, nonirrigated, and IIe, irrigated.

40-Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes. This deep, well drained soil formed in sandy arkosic deposits on uplands. Elevation ranges from 7,000 to 7,700 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 18 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees $F$, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

Typically, the surface layer is gray gravelly loamy sand about 3 inches thick. The subsurface layer is light gray gravelly loamy sand about 13 inches thick. The subsoil is very pale brown gravelly sandy loam about 24 inches thick. It consists of a matrix of loamy coarse sand that has thin bands of coarse sandy loam or sandy clay loam. The substratum to a depth of 60 inches or more is light yellowish brown extremely gravelly loamy sand.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Alamosa loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes; Elbeth sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes; Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes; Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes; and a few rock outcrops.

Permeability of this Kettle soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is low to moderate. Surface runoff is slow, and the hazard of erosion is slight to moderate. A few gullies have formed in drainageways.

This soil is used for woodland, livestock grazing, wildlife habitat, recreation, and homesites.

This soil is suited to the production of ponderosa pine. It is capable of producing about 2,240 cubic feet or 4,900 board feet (International rule), of merchantable timber per acre from a fully stocked, even-aged stand of 80-yearold trees. The main limitation for the production or harvesting of timber is the low available water capacity. The low available water capacity also influences seedling survival, especially in areas where understory plants are plentiful. Erosion must be kept to a minimum when harvesting timber.

This soil has good potential for mule deer, tree squirrels, cottontail rabbit, and wild turkey. These animals obtain their food and shelter from pine trees, shrubs, and ground cover, which provide browse, forbs, fruit, and seeds. The presence of ponderosa pine and Gambel oak should encourage wild turkey populations; however, where water is not naturally present, wildlife watering facilities must be provided to attract and maintain wild turkey and other wildlife species. Livestock grazing management is vital on this soil if wildlife populations are to be maintained.

This soil has good potential for use as homesites. Plans for homesite development on this soil should provide for the preservation of as many trees as possible in order to maintain the esthetic value of the sites. During seasons of low precipitation, fire may become a hazard to homesites. This hazard can be minimized by installing firebreaks and reducing the amount of litter on the forest floor. Capability subclass VIe.

41-Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes. This deep, well drained soil formed in sandy arkosic deposits on uplands. Elevation ranges from 7,000 to 7,700 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 18 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees $F$, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

Typically, the surface layer is gray gravelly loamy sand about 3 inches thick. The subsurface layer is light gray gravelly loamy sand about 13 inches thick. The subsoil is very pale brown gravelly sandy loam about 24 inches thick. It consists of a matrix of loamy coarse sand that has thin bands of coarse sandy loam or sandy clay loam. The substratum to a depth of 60 inches or more is light yellowish brown extremely gravelly loamy sand.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Elbeth sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes; Pring coarse sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes; Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 8 to 15 percent slopes; and a few rock outcrops.
Permeability of this Kettle soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is low to moderate. Surface runoff is medium, and the hazard of erosion is moderate. Some gullies have formed in drainageways.
The soil is used for woodland, livestock grazing, wildlife habitat, recreation, and homesites.

This soil is suited to the production of ponderosa pine. It is capable of producing 2,240 cubic feet, or 4,900 board
feet (International rule), of merchantable timber per acre from a fully stocked, even-aged stand of 80-year-old trees. The main limitation for this use is the moderate hazard of erosion. Measures must be taken to reduce erosion when harvesting timber, especially on the steeper slopes. The low to moderate available water capacity also influences seedling survival, especially in areas where understory plants are plentiful.

This soil has good potential for mule deer, tree squirrel, cottontail, and wild turkey. These animals obtain their food and shelter from pine trees, shrubs, and ground cover, which provide browse, forbs, fruit, and seeds. The presence of ponderosa pine and Gambel oak should encourage wild turkey populations; however, where water is not naturally present, wildlife watering facilities must be provided to attract and maintain wild turkey and other wildlife species. Livestock grazing management is vital on this soil if wildlife populations are to be maintained.

The moderately sloping to steep slopes limit the suitability of this soil for homesites. Special practices must be provided to minimize surface runoff and thus keep erosion to a minimum. This soil requires special site or building designs because of the slope. Deep cuts, to provide essentially level building sites, may expose bedrock. Access roads must be designed to provide adequate cut-slope grade, and drains must be used to control surface runoff and keep soil losses to a minimum. During seasons of low precipitation, fire may become a hazard to homesites. This hazard can be minimized by installing firebreaks and reducing the amount of litter on the forest floor. Capability subclass VIe.

42-Kettle-Rock outcrop complex. This gently rolling to very steep complex, is mostly on the side slopes of uplands. Slopes range from 8 to 60 percent. Elevation ranges from 6,800 to 7,700 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 18 inches, and average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees F .

The Kettle soil makes up about 60 percent of the complex, Rock outcrop about 20 percent, and other soils about 20 percent.

Included with this complex in mapping are areas of Peyton-Pring complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes; Elbeth sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes; and Elbeth-Pring complex, 5 to 50 percent slopes.

The Kettle soil is deep and well drained. It formed in sandy arkosic deposits, mostly on the lower slopes of the complex. Slope is commonly less than 20 percent. Typically, the surface layer is gray, medium acid or slightly acid gravelly loamy sand about 3 inches thick. The subsurface layer is light gray, medium acid gravelly loamy sand about 13 inches thick. The subsoil is very pale brown, medium acid or slightly acid gravelly sandy loam about 24 inches thick. It consists of loamy coarse sand that has thin bands of coarse sandy loam or sandy clay loum. The substratum to a depth of 60 inches or more is light yellowish brown extremely gravelly loamy sand.

Permeability of the Kettle soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is more than 60 inches. Available water capaci-
ty is low to moderate. Surface runoff is medium to rapid, and the hazard of erosion is slight to high. Soil slippage and deep gullies are common.

Rock outcrop is mostly in the form of vertical cliffs. Large stones are common on the lower slopes of this complex.

This complex is suited to the production of ponderosa pine. It is capable of producing 2,240 cubic feet, or 4,900 board feet (International rule), of merchantable timber per acre from a fully stocked, even-aged stand of 80-yearold trees. The main limitation of this complex for this use is the presence of Rock outcrop and the moderate hazard of erosion on the Kettle soil. Measures must be taken to minimize erosion when harvesting timber, especially on the steeper slopes. The low to moderate available water capacity also influences seedling survival, especially where understory plants are plentiful.

This complex has good potential for producing habitat for mule deer, tree squirrels, cottontail, and wild turkey. These animals obtain their food and shelter from pine trees, shrubs, and ground cover, which provide browse, forbs, fruit, and seeds. The presence of ponderosa pine and Gambel oak should encourage wild turkey populations; however, where water is not naturally present, wildlife watering facilities must be provided to attract and maintain wild turkey and other wildlife species. Livestock grazing management is vital on this soil if wildlife populations are to be maintained.

The moderate to very steep slopes limit the potential of this complex for homesites. Special practices must be provided to minimize surface runoff and thus keep erosion to a minimum. Special site or building designs are required because of the slope. Deep cuts, to provide essentially level building sites, can expose bedrock. The limitation of large stones on the soil surface can be overcome through the use of heavy equipment when preparing building sites. Access roads must be designed to provide adequate cut-slope grade, and drains must be used to control surface runoff and thus keep soil losses to a minimum. Deep cuts along the uphill side of the roads can expose the bedrock. Capability subclass VIIe.

43-Kim loam, 1 to 8 percent slopes. This deep, well drained soil formed in calcareous loamy sediment on fans and uplands. Elevation ranges from 5,300 to 5,600 . The average annual precipitation is about 13 inches, the average annual temperature is about 49 degrees $F$, and the average frost-free period is about 145 days.

Typically, the surface layer is brown loam about 4 inches thick. The substratum is very pale brown loam to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Fort Collins loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes; Midway clay loam, 3 to 25 percent slopes, and Wiley silt loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes.

Permeability of this Kim soil is moderate. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is high. Surface runoff is medium, and the hazard of erosion is moderate.

Almost all areas of this soil are used as rangeland.
survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, Siberian elm, Russian-olive, and hackberry. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, and Siberian peashrub.
These soils are suited to habitat for openland and rangeland wildlife. Rangeland wildlife, such as pronghorn antelope, can be encouraged by developing livestock watering facilities, properly managing livestock grazing, and reseeding range where needed.

These soils have a good potential for homesites. The main limitations, especially on the Peyton soil, are low bearing strength and frost-action potential. Buildings and roads can be designed to overcome these limitations. Access roads should have adequate cut-slope grade and be provided with drains to control surface runoff and keep soil losses to a minimum. Capability subclass VIe.

69-Peyton-Pring complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes. These gently to moderately sloping soils are on valley side slopes and on uplands. Elevation ranges from 6,800 to 7,600 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 17 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees F , and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

The Peyton soil makes up about 40 percent of the complex, the Pring soil about 30 percent, and other soils about 30 percent.

Included with these soils in mapping are areas of Holderness loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes; Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 8 to 15 percent slopes; Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes; and a few areas of Rock outcrop.

The Peyton soil is commonly on the less sloping part of the landscape. It is deep, noncalcareous, and well drained. It formed in alluvium and residuum derived from weathered, arkosic, sedimentary rock. Typically, the surface layer is grayish brown sandy loam about 12 inches thick. The subsoil, about 23 inches thick, is pale brown sandy clay loam in the upper 13 inches and pale brown sandy loam in the lower 10 inches. The substratum is pale brown sandy loam to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Permeability of the Peyton soil is moderate. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is high. Surface runoff is medium to rapid, and the hazard of erosion is moderate to high. Some gullies have developed along drainageways and livestock trails.

The Pring soil is deep, noncalcareous, and well drained. It formed in sandy sediment derived from weathered, arkosic, sedimentary rock. Typically, the surface layer is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 4 inches thick. The substratum is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 10 inches thick over pale brown gravelly sandy loam that extends to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Permeability of the Pring soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is moderate. Surface runoff is medium to rapid, and the hazard of erosion is moderate to high. Some gullies have developed along drainageways and livestock trails.

The soils in this complex are used as rangeland, for wildlife habitat, and for homesites.

These soils are well suited to the production of native vegetation suitable for grazing. The dominant native species are mountain muhly, bluestem grasses, needleandthread, and blue grama. These soils are subject to invasion of Kentucky bluegrass and Gambel oak. Common forbs are hairy goldenrod, geranium, milkvetch, low larkspur, fringed sage, and buckwheat.

Properly locating livestock watering facilities helps to control grazing. Timely deferment of grazing is needed to protect the plant cover.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings generally are suited to these soils. Soil blowing is the main limitation to the establishment of trees and shrubs. This limitation can be overcome by cultivating only in the tree rows and leaving a strip of vegetation between the rows. Supplemental irrigation may be needed when planting and during dry periods. Trees that are best suited and have good survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, Siberian elm, Russian-olive, and hackberry. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, and Siberian peashrub.

These soils are well suited to wildlife habitat. They are best suited to habitat for openland and rangeland wildlife. Rangeland wildlife, such as pronghorn antelope, can be encouraged by developing livestock watering facilities, properly managing livestock grazing, and reseeding range where needed.

These soils have good potential for use as homesites. The main limitations are steepness of slope, limited ability to support a load, and frost-action potential. Buildings and roads can be designed to overcome these limitations. These soils also require special site or building designs because of the slope. Access roads should have adequate cut-slope grade, and drains should be provided to control surface runoff and keep soil losses to a minimum. Capability subclass VIe.

70-Pits, gravel. Gravel pits are in nearly level to rolling areas. They are open excavations several feet deep and commonly 5 acres or less in size.

Gravel pits are very low in natural fertility and are highly susceptible to soil blowing. A cover of weeds or straw helps to control erosion.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings generally are not suited to these areas. Onsite investigation is needed to determine if plantings are feasible. Capability subclass VIIIs.

71-Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes. This deep, noncalcareous, well drained soil formed in sandy sediment derived from arkosic sedimentary rock on valley side slopes and on uplands. Elevation ranges from 6,800 to 7,600 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 17 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees $F$, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

Typically, the surface layer is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 4 inches thick. The substratum is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 10 inches thick over pale brown gravelly sandy loam that extends to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Alamosa loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes, along drainageways; Cruckton sandy loam, 1 to 9 percent slopes; Peyton sandy loam, 1 to 5 percent slopes; Peyton sandy loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes; and Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes. In some places arkose beds of sandstone and shale are at a depth of 0 to 40 inches.

Permeability of this Pring soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is moderate. Surface runoff is medium, and the hazard of erosion is moderate.

Almost all areas of this soil are used as rangeland. Some areas previously cultivated have been reseeded to grass. This soil is also used for wildlife habitat and homesites.

This soil is well suited to the production of native vegetation suitable for grazing by cattle and sheep. Rangeland vegetation is mainly mountain muhly, little bluestem, needleandthread, Parry oatgrass, and junegrass.

Deferment of grazing in spring helps to maintain vigor and production of the cool-season bunchgrasses. Fencing and properly locating livestock watering facilities help to control grazing.
Windbreaks and environmental plantings generally are suited to this soil. The hazard of soil blowing is the main limitation to the establishment of trees and shrubs. This limitation can be overcome by cultivating only in the tree rows and leaving a strip of vegetation between the rows. Supplemental irrigation may be needed when planting and during dry periods. Trees that are best suited and have good survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, Siberian elm, Russian-olive, and hackberry. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, and Siberian peashrub.

This soil is suited to habitat for openland and rangeland wildlife. Rangeland wildlife, such as pronghorn antelope, can be encouraged by developing livestock watering facilities, properly managing livestock grazing, and reseeding range where needed.

This soil is well suited for use as homesites. Erosion control practices are needed to control soil blowing and water erosion on construction sites where the ground cover has been removed. Capability subclass IVe.

72-Pring coarse sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes. This deep, noncalcareous, well drained soil formed in sandy sediment derived from arkosic sedimentary rock on valley side slopes and on uplands. Elevation ranges from 6,800 to 7,600 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 17 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 43 degrees $F$, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.
Typically, the surface layer is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 4 inches thick. The substratum is dark grayish brown coarse sandy loam about 10 inches thick over pale brown gravelly sandy loam that extends to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Cruckton sandy loam, 1 to 9 percent slopes; Peyton sandy
loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes; and Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 8 to 15 percent slopes. Arkose beds of sandstone and shale are at a depth of 0 to 40 inches in some places.

Permeability of this Pring soil is rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is moderate. Surface runoff is medium, and the hazard of erosion is moderate. Some gullies have developed along drainageways.

Almost all areas of this soil are used as rangeland. Some areas previously cultivated have been reseeded to grass. This soil is also used for wildlife habitat and as homesites.

This soil is well suited to the production of native vegetation suitable for grazing by cattle and sheep. The native vegetation is mainly mountain muhly, little bluestem, needleandthread, Parry oatgrass, and junegrass.

Deferment of grazing in spring helps to maintain the vigor and production of the cool-season bunchgrasses. Fencing and properly locating livestock watering facilities help to control grazing.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings generally are suited to this soil. The hazard of soil blowing is the main limitation to the establishment of trees and shrubs. This limitation can be overcome by cultivating only in the tree rows and leaving a strip of vegetation between the rows. Supplemental irrigation may be needed when planting and during dry periods. Trees that are best suited and have good survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, Siberian elm, Russian-olive, and hackberry. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, and Siberian peashrub.

This soil is suited to habitat for openland and rangeland wildlife habitat. Rangeland wildlife, such as pronghorn antelope, can be encouraged by developing livestock watering facilities, properly managing livestock grazing, and reseeding range where needed.

This soil has good potential for urban uses. The main limitation is slope. Special site or building designs are needed because of the slope. Access roads must have adequate cut-slope grade and be provided with drains to control surface runoff. Capability subclass VIe.

73 -Razor clay loam, 3 to 9 percent slopes. This moderately deep, well drained, clayey soil formed in residuum derived from calcareous shale on uplands. Elevation ranges from 5,300 to 6,100 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 13 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 49 degrees $F$, and the average frost-free period is about 145 days.

Typically, the surface layer is light brownish gray clay loam about 3 inches thick. The subsoil is grayish brown heavy clay loam or clay about 15 inches thick. The substratum is grayish brown clay that grades to calcareous shale at a depth of about 31 inches. Visible lime is in the lower part of the subsoil and in the substratum.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Midway clay loam, 3 to 25 percent slopes; Heldt clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes; and Stoneham sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes.



| MAP LEGEND |  |  | MAP INFORMATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area of Interest (AOI) $\square$ <br> Area of Interest (AOI) | $\square$ $\square$ | C C/D | The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000. |
| Soil Rating Polygons | $\square$ | D | Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. |
| A | $\square$ | Not rated or not available | Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil |
| A/D | Water Fe | ures | line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of |
| ] | $\sim$ | Streams and Canals | contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale. |
| B/D | Transportatio |  |  |
|  | + |  | Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map |
| C | $\sim$ | Interstate Highways | measurements. |
| C/D | $\sim$ | US Routes | Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service |
| D | $\approx$ | Major Roads | Web Soil Survey URL: <br> Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) |
| . Not rated or not available | $\sim$ | Local Roads | Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator |
| Soil Rating Lines | Background |  | projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts |
| $\cdots \quad A / D$ | 5 | Aerial Photography | Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. |
| B |  |  | This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below. |
| $\checkmark \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado <br> Survey Area Data: Version 18, Jun 5, 2020 |
| $\cdots$ C/D |  |  | Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales |
| * D |  |  | 1:50,000 or larger. |
| * Not rated or not available |  |  | Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—May |
| Soil Rating Points |  |  |  |
| $\square \quad A$ |  |  | The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were |
| $\square \quad \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}$ |  |  | imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor |
| - B |  |  | shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident. |
| - B/D |  |  |  |

## Hydrologic Soil Group

| Map unit symbol | Map unit name | Rating | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40 | Kettle gravelly loamy <br> sand, 3 to 8 percent <br> slopes | B | 9.3 |  |
| 41 | Kettle gravelly loamy <br> sand, 8 to 40 percent <br> slopes | B |  |  |
| 71 | Pring coarse sandy <br> loam, 3 to 8 percent <br> slopes | B |  | 18.3 |
| Totals for Area of Interest |  | 13.3 |  |  |

## Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

## Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition
Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified
Tie-break Rule: Higher

## 8 Hydrologic Calculations

Runoff Coefficients and Percent Imperviousness Table 6-6 Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency Table 6-5
Hydrologic Calculations Summary Form SF-1 for Existing \& Developed Conditions Hydrologic Calculations Summary 5-yr Form SF-2 for Existing \& Developed Conditions Hydrologic Calculations Summary 100-yr Form SF-2 for Existing \& Developed Conditions Runoff Reduction Calculations
Runoff Reduction Map

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method
(Source: UDFCD 2001)

| Land Use or Surface Characteristics | Percent Impervious | Runoff Coefficients |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2-year |  | 5-year |  | 10-year |  | 25-year |  | 50-year |  | 100-year |  |
|  |  | HSG A\&B | HSG C\&D | HSG A\&B | HSG C\&D | HSG A\&B | HSG C\&D | HSG A\&B | HSG C\&D | HSG A\&B | HSG C\&D | HSG A\&B | HSG C\&D |
| Business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Areas | 95 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.89 |
| Neighborhood Areas | 70 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.62 | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.68 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/8 Acre or less | 65 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.65 |
| 1/4 Acre | 40 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.50 | 0.58 |
| 1/3 Acre | 30 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.47 | 0.57 |
| 1/2 Acre | 25 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.46 | 0.56 |
| 1 Acre | 20 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Light Areas | 80 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.74 |
| Heavy Areas | 90 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parks and Cemeteries | 7 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.52 |
| Playgrounds | 13 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.41 | 0.54 |
| Railroad Yard Areas | 40 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.50 | 0.58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undeveloped Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historic Flow Analysis-Greenbelts, Agriculture | 2 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.51 |
| Pasture/Meadow | 0 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.35 | 0.50 |
| Forest | 0 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.35 | 0.50 |
| Exposed Rock | 100 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Offsite Flow Analysis (when landuse is undefined) | 45 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.59 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Streets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paved | 100 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Gravel | 80 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drive and Walks | 100 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Roofs | 90 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.83 |
| Lawns | 0 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.35 | 0.50 |

Figure 6-5. Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency


| IDF Equations |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{I}_{100}=\mathbf{- 2 . 5 2} \ln (\mathrm{D})+\mathbf{1 2 . 7 3 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{I}_{50}=\mathbf{- 2 . 2 5} \ln (\mathrm{D})+\mathbf{1 1 . 3 7 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{I}_{25}=\mathbf{- 2 . 0 0} \ln (\mathrm{D})+\mathbf{1 0 . 1 1 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{1 0}}=\mathbf{- 1 . 7 5} \ln (\mathrm{D})+\mathbf{8 . 8 4 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{5}}=\mathbf{- 1 . 5 0} \ln (\mathrm{D})+\mathbf{7 . 5 8 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{- 1 . 1 9} \ln (\mathrm{D})+\mathbf{6 . 0 3 5}$ |
| Note: Values calculated by |
| equations may not precisely |
| duplicate values read from figure. |



## Job No.: 61148 <br> $\begin{array}{llll} \\ \text { Project: } & & \\ \text { Design Storm: } & \text { S-Year Storm } & (20 \% \text { Probability }) \\ \text { Jurisdiction: } & \text { DCM } & \end{array}$


$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Job No.: } & \mathbf{6 1 1 4 8} \\ \text { Project: } \\ \text { Koinonia Ranch }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Project: } & \text { Koinonia Ranch } \\ \text { Design Storm: } & \text { 100-Year Storm } & \text { (1\% Probability) } \\ \text { Jurisdiction: } & \text { DCM } & \end{array}$


## Sub-Basin Ex-OSA-1 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked by: |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | -Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \% \\ \hline \text { Imperv. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 |  |
| Pasture/Meadow | 238,369 | 5.47 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 238,369 | 5.47 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 ft |  | v (ft/s) | $C_{v}$(min) | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ \mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\min ) \end{array}$ |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 345 | 50 | - | - |  | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 28 | 0.280 | - | 6.1 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 245 | 22 | 0.090 | 2.1 | 1.9 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {c }}$ |  | min. |

Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | 25-Yr | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.55 | 4.45 | 5.19 | 5.93 | 6.67 | 7.47 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.4 | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | 4.3 | 8.1 | 11.0 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3}$ |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.4 | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | 4.3 | 8.1 | 11.0 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3}$ |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin Ex-OSA-2 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | $\frac{61148}{\text { Koinonia Ranch }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | DCM |
| Jurisdiction | Surface Type |


| Date: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |
| Checked by: | WCG |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Soil Type | B |
|  | Urbanization | Non-Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 511,773 | 11.75 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Gravel | 13,610 | 0.31 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Combined | 525,383 | 12.06 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 2.1\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }} \quad 300$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 1,418 | 92 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 8 | 0.080 | - | 9.2 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 1,318 | 84 | 0.064 | 1.8 | 12.4 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 21.6 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 2.38 | 2.98 | 3.47 | 3.97 | 4.46 | 4.99 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 1.0 | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | 6.8 | 12.5 | 16.7 | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6}$ |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 1.0 | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | 6.8 | 12.5 | 16.7 | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6}$ |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin Ex-OSA-3 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | $\frac{61148}{\text { Koinonia Ranch }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | DCM |
| Jurisdiction | Surface Type |


| Date: |  | 1/28/2022 15:18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Calcs by: | WCG |  |
| Checked by: |  |  |
|  | Soil Type | B |
|  | Urbanization | Non-Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 142,935 | 3.28 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Paved | 26,770 | 0.61 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 100\% |
| Combined | 169,705 | 3.90 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 15.8\% |

## Basin Travel Time

|  | Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  | Short Pasture/Lawns |  | Cv | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max,Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  |  |  |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 576 | 40 |  | - |  | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 10 | 0.100 | - | 7.5 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 476 | 30 | 0.063 | 1.8 | 4.5 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 12.0 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | 25-Yr | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.07 | 3.85 | 4.49 | 5.14 | 5.78 | 6.47 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 1.9 | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | 4.8 | 7.2 | 9.1 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2}$ |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 1.9 | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | 4.8 | 7.2 | 9.1 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2}$ |

## Notes

Sub-Basin Ex-A-4 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked by: |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | -Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 42,213 | 0.97 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 42,213 | 0.97 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Sh | Channel Gro | d Cover | Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t(\mathrm{~min})$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 270 | 24 | - |  |  | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 13 | 0.130 | - | 7.9 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 170 | 11 | 0.065 | 1.8 | 1.6 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |  |  |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{5 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.36 | 4.21 | 4.91 | 5.61 | 6.31 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 |

## Notes

Sub-Basin Ex-A-5 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | -Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 1,273,550 | 29.24 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Gravel | 30,428 | 0.70 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Roofs | 4,265 | 0.10 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.8 | 0.81 | 90\% |
| Paved | 2,631 | 0.06 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 100\% |
| Combined | 1,310,874 | 30.09 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 2.4\% |

## Basin Travel Time



## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{5 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 1.72 | 2.14 | 2.49 | 2.85 | 3.21 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 1.9 | $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ | 12.4 | 22.5 | 30.1 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 1.9 | $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ | 12.4 | 22.5 | 30.1 |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin Ex-OSA-6 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |
|  |  | Checked by |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  | Non-Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 244,140 | 5.60 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Gravel | 14,320 | 0.33 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Combined | 258,460 | 5.93 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 4.4\% |

## Basin Travel Time

|  | hannel Gro | d Cover | hort Past | e/Lawns |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 820 | 54 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 7 | 0.070 |  | 9.4 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 720 | 47 | 0.065 | 1.8 | 6.7 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 16.1 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 2.73 | 3.41 | 3.98 | 4.55 | 5.12 | 5.73 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.8 | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | 4.2 | 7.4 | 9.8 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6}$ |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.8 | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | 4.2 | 7.4 | 9.8 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6}$ |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin Ex-OSB-1 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |
|  |  | Checked by |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  | Non-Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 72,445 | 1.66 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 72,445 | 1.66 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max,Overland }}$ | 300 ft |  | v (ft/s) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ |  | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 342 | 44 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 22 | 0.220 | - | 6.6 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 242 | 22 | 0.091 | 2.1 | 1.9 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {c }}$ | 8.6 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.48 | 4.36 | 5.09 | 5.82 | 6.55 | 7.33 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.3 | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.3 | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin Ex-B-2 Runoff Calculations



## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 241,936 | 5.55 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 241,936 | 5.55 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max,Overland }}$ | 300 ft |  | v (ft/s) | $C_{v}$$t(\min )$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ \mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\min ) \end{array}$ |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 673 | 44 | - | - |  | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 7 | 0.070 | - | 9.7 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 573 | 37 | 0.065 | 1.8 | 5.4 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {c }}$ | 15.1 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{5 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 0 - \mathrm { Yr }}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 2.81 | 3.52 | 4.10 | 4.69 | 5.27 | 5.90 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.3 | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | 3.4 | 6.5 | 8.8 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5}$ |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.3 | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | 3.4 | 6.5 | 8.8 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5}$ |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin Ex-C-1 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |
|  |  | Checked |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  | Non-Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 74,158 | 1.70 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 74,158 | 1.70 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 363 | 31 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 12 | 0.120 | - | 8.1 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 263 | 19 | 0.072 | 1.9 | 2.3 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {c }}$ | 10.4 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.24 | 4.06 | 4.74 | 5.42 | 6.10 | 6.82 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin Ex-D-1 Runoff Calculations



## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 35,573 | 0.82 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 35,573 | 0.82 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time

|  | Shallow Channel Ground Cover |  | Short Pasture/Lawns |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  |  | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 256 | 15 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 5 | 0.050 | - | 10.8 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 156 | 10 | 0.064 | 1.8 | 1.5 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 12.3 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | 25-Yr | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.05 | 3.82 | 4.46 | 5.09 | 5.73 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 |

## Notes

## Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (EX-DP1)

Includes Basins EX-OSA-1 EX-OSA-2 EX-OSA-3 EX-A-4 EX-A-5 EX-OSA-6

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | -Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Paved | 29,401 | 0.67 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 100\% |
| Pasture/Meadow | 2,452,980 | 56.31 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Roofs | 4,265 | 0.10 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.8 | 0.81 | 90\% |
| Gravel | 58,358 | 1.34 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Combined | 2,545,004 | 58.43 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 3.1\% |

## Basin Travel Time

|  | Sub-basin or Channel Type | Material Type | L (ft) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Elev. } \\ \Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}(\mathrm{ft}) \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{cfs})$ | Base or <br> Dia (ft) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sides } \\ \mathrm{z}: 1 \text { (ft/ft) } \end{array}$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furthest Reach | EX-OSA-2 | - | 1,418 | 92 | - | - |  |  | 21.6 |
| Channelized-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Channelized-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Channelized-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 1,418 | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)
Contributing Basins/Areas

| $Q_{\text {Minor }}$ | (cfs) -5 -year Storm |
| :--- | :--- |
| $Q_{\text {Major }}$ | (cfs) -100 -year Storm |

Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | $5-\mathrm{Yr}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | $50-\mathrm{Yr}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 - Y r}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 2.38 | 2.98 | 3.47 | 3.97 | 4.46 | 4.99 |
| Site Runoff (cfs) | 6.09 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7 7}$ | 34.66 | 62.18 | 82.68 | $\mathbf{1 0 6 . 7 4}$ |
| OffSite Runoff (cfs) | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | - | - | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | - | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8}$ | - | - | - | $\mathbf{1 0 6 . 7}$ |


| DCM: I $=$ C1 | ln $(\mathrm{tc})+\mathrm{C} 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | 1.19 | 1.5 | 1.75 | 2 | 2.25 | 2.52 |
| C2 | 6.035 | 7.583 | 8.847 | 10.111 | 11.375 | 12.735 |

## Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (EX-OSB1+B2)

Includes Basins EX-OSB-1 EX-B-2


## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 314,381 | 7.22 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 314,381 | 7.22 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time



Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)
Contributing Basins/Areas

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{\text {Minor }} & \text { (cfs) }-5 \text {-year Storm } \\
Q_{\text {Major }} & \text { (cfs) }-100 \text {-year Storm }
\end{array}
$$

Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | 10-Yr | 25-Yr | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.15 | 3.94 | 4.60 | 5.26 | 5.92 | 6.62 |
|  | Site Runoff (cfs) | 0.45 | $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ | 4.98 | 9.49 | 12.81 |
| OffSite Runoff (cfs) | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | - | - | -16.73 |  |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | - | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ | - | - | - |  |
| 16.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| DCM: I $=$ C1 | ln $(\mathrm{tc})+\mathrm{C} 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | 1.19 | 1.5 | 1.75 | 2 | 2.25 | 2.52 |
| C2 | 6.035 | 7.583 | 8.847 | 10.111 | 11.375 | 12.735 |

## Sub-Basin OSA-1 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked by: |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | n-Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 136,951 | 3.14 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 136,951 | 3.14 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 366 | 67 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 29 | 0.290 | - | 6.1 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 266 | 38 | 0.143 | 2.6 | 1.7 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {c }}$ | 7.7 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | 10-Yr | 25-Yr | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.60 | 4.51 | 5.27 | 6.02 | 6.77 | 7.58 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.2 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 8.3 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) <br> Allowed Release (cfs) | - ${ }^{-}$ | 1.1 | - | 4.7 | 6.4 | 8.3 |

## Notes

Sub-Basin A-2 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | $\frac{61148}{\text { Koinonia Ranch }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | DCM |
| Jurisdiction | Surface Type |


| Date: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |
| Checked by: | WCG |  |
|  | Soil Type |  |
|  | Urbanization | B |
|  |  |  |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 586,765 | 13.47 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Gravel | 27,653 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Roofs | 8,000 | 0.18 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.8 | 0.81 | 90\% |
| Paved | 1,000 | 0.02 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 100\% |
| Combined | 623,418 | 14.31\| | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 4.9\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 2,798 | 150 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 10 | 0.100 | - | 8.3 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 996 | 60 | 0.060 | 1.7 | 9.7 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized | 1,702 | 80 | 0.047 | 5.2 | 5.5 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 23.5 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{5 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ | 2.85 | 3.32 | 3.80 | 4.27 |
|  | Runoff (cfs) | 1.8 | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | 8.6 | 15.0 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | -19.8 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5}$ |  |  |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 1.8 | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | - | - | - |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin OSA-3 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked by: |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | n-Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 101,417 | 2.33 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 101,417 | 2.33 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 | ft | v (ft/s) | $\mathrm{C}_{v}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ |  | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 275 | 37 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 14 | 0.140 | - | 7.7 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 175 | 23 | 0.131 | 2.5 | 1.1 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {c }}$ | 8.9 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.44 | 4.31 | 5.03 | 5.75 | 6.47 |
|  | Runoff (cfs) | 0.2 | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | 100 | 3.3 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | -7.5 | $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ |  |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.2 | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | 1.8 | - | - |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin OSA-4 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked by: |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | n-Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 511,773 | 11.75 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Gravel | 13,610 | 0.31 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Combined | 525,383 | 12.06 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 2.1\% |

## Basin Travel Time

|  | Channel Gro | d Cover | hort Pastur | e/Lawns |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  | Cv | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ (ft/ft) | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 1,418 | 92 | - |  |  | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 8 | 0.080 | - | 9.2 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 1,318 | 84 | 0.064 | 1.8 | 12.4 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {c }}$ | 21.6 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 2.38 | 2.98 | 3.47 | 3.97 | 4.46 |
|  | Runoff (cfs) | 1.0 | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | 6.8 | 12.5 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | -16.7 | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6}$ |  |  |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 1.0 | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | -7 | - | - |

## Notes

Sub-Basin A-5 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | $\frac{61148}{\text { Koinonia Ranch }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project: | DCM |
| Jurisdiction | Surface Type |


| Date: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |
| Checked by: | WCG |  |
|  | Soil Type |  |
|  | Urbanization | B |
|  |  |  |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 645,554 | 14.82 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Roofs | 10,000 | 0.23 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.8 | 0.81 | 90\% |
| Paved | 4,000 | 0.09 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 100\% |
| Gravel | 27,899 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Combined | 687,453 | 15.78 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 5.1\% |

## Basin Travel Time

|  | Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  | Short Pasture/Lawns |  | Cv | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  |  |  |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 2,148 | 116 |  | - |  | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 8 | 0.080 | - | 9.0 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 465 | 28 | 0.060 | 1.7 | 4.5 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized | 1,583 | 80 | 0.051 | 5.6 | 4.7 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 18.2 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{5 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 - Y r}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 2.59 | 3.23 | 3.77 | 4.31 | 4.85 | 5.43 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 2.3 | $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ | 10.9 | 18.9 | 25.0 | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1}$ |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 2.3 | $\mathbf{5 . 9}$ | 10.9 | 18.9 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1}$ |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin OSA-6 Runoff Calculations



## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 142,935 | 3.28 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Paved | 26,770 | 0.61 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 100\% |
| Combined | 169,705 | 3.90 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 15.8\% |

## Basin Travel Time



## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.07 | 3.85 | 4.49 | 5.14 | 5.78 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 1.9 | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | 4.8 | 7.2 | 9.1 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 1.9 | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | 4.8 | 7.2 | 9.1 |

## Notes

Sub-Basin A-7 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked by: |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | -Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 42,213 | 0.97 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 42,213 | 0.97 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Sh | Channel Gro | d Cover | Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 270 | 24 | - | - |  | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 13 | 0.130 | - | 7.9 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 170 | 11 | 0.065 | 1.8 | 1.6 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {c }}$ |  | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{5 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.36 | 4.21 | 4.91 | 5.61 | 6.31 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | 0.7 | 1.4 | 1.8 |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin OSA-8 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |
|  |  | Checked |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  | Non-Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 244,140 | 5.60 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Gravel | 14,320 | 0.33 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Combined | 258,460 | 5.93 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 4.4\% |

## Basin Travel Time

|  | Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  | Short Pasture/Lawns |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  |  |  |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 820 | 54 |  | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 7 | 0.070 | - | 9.4 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 720 | 47 | 0.065 | 1.8 | 6.7 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {c }}$ | 16.1 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 2.73 | 3.41 | 3.98 | 4.55 | 5.12 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.8 | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | 4.2 | 7.4 | 9.8 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6}$ |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.8 | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | 4.2 | 7.4 | 9.8 |

## Notes

## Sub-Basin OSB-1 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |
|  |  | Checked |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  | Non-Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 72,445 | 1.66 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 72,445 | 1.66 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time

|  | Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 342 | 44 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 22 | 0.220 | - | 6.6 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 242 | 22 | 0.091 | 2.1 | 1.9 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 8.6 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.48 | 4.36 | 5.09 | 5.82 | 6.55 | 7.33 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.3 | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.3 | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |

## Notes

Sub-Basin B-2 Runoff Calculations


## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 235,986 | 5.42 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Roofs | 4,000 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.8 | 0.81 | 90\% |
| Paved | 500 | 0.01 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 100\% |
| Gravel | 1,450 | 0.03 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Combined | 241,936 | 5.55 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 2.2\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 ft |  | v (ft/s) | $\begin{gathered} C_{v} \\ t(\min ) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 673 | 44 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 7 | 0.070 | - | 9.5 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 573 | 37 | 0.065 | 1.8 | 5.4 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 14.9 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{5 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 2.82 | 3.53 | 4.12 | 4.71 | 5.30 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.6 | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | 3.8 | 6.9 | 9.2 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.6 | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | 3.8 | 6.9 | 9.2 |

## Notes

Sub-Basin C-1 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked by: |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | -Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 70,898 | 1.63 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Roofs | 2,000 | 0.05 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.8 | 0.81 | 90\% |
| Paved | 250 | 0.01 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 100\% |
| Gravel | 1,010 | 0.02 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Combined | 74,158 | 1.70 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 3.9\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 | ft | v (ft/s) | $\mathrm{C}_{v}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ |  | $t$ (min) | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 363 | 31 | - | - | - | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 12 | 0.120 | - | 7.9 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 263 | 19 | 0.072 | 1.9 | 2.3 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 10.2 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | 25-Yr | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.27 | 4.10 | 4.78 | 5.46 | 6.14 |
|  | Runoff (cfs) | 0.3 | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | 1.4 | 2.5 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | -7.4 | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |  |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.3 | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | 1.4 | - | - |

## Notes

Sub-Basin D-1 Runoff Calculations

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: <br> Calcs by: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch |  | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked by: |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | -Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 35,624 | 0.82 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Combined | 35,624 | 0.82 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0\% |

## Basin Travel Time

| Shallow Channel Ground Cover Short Pasture/Lawns |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max, Overland }}$ | 300 | Short Pasture/Lawns |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 7 |
|  | L (ft) | $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}$ (ft) | $\mathrm{S}_{0}(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft})$ | v (ft/s) | $t(\min )$ | $\mathrm{t}_{\text {Alt }}(\mathrm{min})$ |
| Total | 256 | 15 | - | - |  | - |
| Initial Time | 100 | 5 | 0.050 | - | 10.8 | N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 |
| Shallow Channel | 156 | 10 | 0.064 | 1.8 | 1.5 | - DCM Eq. 6-9 |
| Channelized |  |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - V-Ditch |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 12.3 | min. |

## Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.05 | 3.82 | 4.46 | 5.09 | 5.73 |
| Runoff (cfs) | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.4 |

## Notes

## Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (DP1)



## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Paved | 27,770 | 0.64 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 100\% |
| Pasture/Meadow | 866,651 | 19.90 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Roofs | 8,000 | 0.18 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.8 | 0.81 | 90\% |
| Gravel | 27,653 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Combined | 930,074 | 21.35 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.38 | 6.1\% |

## Basin Travel Time

|  | Sub-basin or Channel Type | Material Type | L (ft) | Elev. $\Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $Q_{i}(c f s)$ | Base or Dia (ft) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sides } \\ z: 1(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}) \end{array}$ | v (ft/s) | $t(\min )$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furthest Reach | OSA-1 | - | 366 | 67 | - | - | - | - | 7.7 |
| Channelized-1 | V-Ditch | 2 | 2,780 | 150 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 4.4 | 10.5 |
| Channelized-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Channelized-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 3,146 | 217 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $2=$ Natural, Winding, minimal vegetation/shallow grass |  |  |  |  |  |  | $t_{c}$ | 18.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (min) | 18.2 |

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)
Contributing Basins/Areas

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Q}_{\text {Minor }} & \text { (cfs) }-5 \text {-year Storm } \\
\mathrm{Q}_{\text {Major }} & \text { (cfs) }-100 \text {-year Storm }
\end{array}
$$

Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | 2-Yr | 5-Yr | 10-Yr | 25-Yr | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 2.58 | 3.23 | 3.77 | 4.31 | 4.85 | 5.42 |
|  | Site Runoff (cfs) | 3.76 | $\mathbf{8 . 6 4}$ | 15.48 | 26.42 | 34.66 |
| OffSite Runoff (cfs) | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | - | -44.29 |  |  |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |  |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | - | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ | - | - | - | - |


| DCM: I $=$ C1 | ln (tc) +C 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | 1.19 | 1.5 | 1.75 | 2 | 2.25 | 2.52 |
| C2 | 6.035 | 7.583 | 8.847 | 10.111 | 11.375 | 12.735 |

## Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (DP2)

Includes Basins OSA-1 A-2 OSA-3 OSA-4 A-5 OSA-6 A-7 OSA-8

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch | Calcs by: | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | -Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Paved | 31,770 | 0.73 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 100\% |
| Pasture/Meadow | 2,411,748 | 55.37 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Roofs | 18,000 | 0.41 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.8 | 0.81 | 90\% |
| Gravel | 83,482 | 1.92 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Combined | 2,545,000 | 58.43 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 4.5\% |

## Basin Travel Time

|  | Sub-basin or Channel Type | Material Type | L (ft) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Elev. } \\ \Delta \mathrm{Z}_{0}(\mathrm{ft}) \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{cfs})$ | Base or <br> Dia (ft) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sides } \\ \mathrm{z}: 1 \text { (ft/ft) } \end{array}$ | v (ft/s) | $t$ (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furthest Reach | OSA-4 | - | 1,418 | 92 | - | - |  |  | 21.6 |
| Channelized-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Channelized-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Channelized-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 1,418 | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |

Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)
Contributing Basins/Areas

| $Q_{\text {Minor }}$ | (cfs) -5 -year Storm |
| :--- | :--- |
| $Q_{\text {Major }}$ | (cfs) -100 -year Storm |

Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{5 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - Y r}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 2.38 | 2.98 | 3.47 | 3.97 | 4.46 |
| Site Runoff (cfs) | 7.48 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 3 9}$ | 36.42 | 63.93 | 84.52 |
| OffSite Runoff (cfs) | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | - | - | -1089 |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | - | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4}$ | - | - | -.00 |


| DCM: I = C1 * $\ln ($ (tc) + C2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | 1.19 | 1.5 | 1.75 | 2 | 2.25 | 2.52 |
| C2 | 6.035 | 7.583 | 8.847 | 10.111 | 11.375 | 12.735 |

## Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (OSB1+B2)

Includes Basins OSB-1 B-2

| Job No.: | 61148 | Date: | 1/28/2022 15:18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Koinonia Ranch | Calcs by: | WCG |  |  |
|  |  | Checked |  |  |  |
| Jurisdiction | DCM |  |  | B |  |
| Runoff Coefficient | Surface Type |  |  |  | -Urban |

## Basin Land Use Characteristics

| Surface | Area |  | Runoff Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (SF) | (Acres) | C2 | C5 | C10 | C25 | C50 | C100 | Imperv. |
| Pasture/Meadow | 308,431 | 7.08 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0\% |
| Gravel | 1,450 | 0.03 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.7 | 80\% |
| Paved | 500 | 0.01 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 100\% |
| Roofs | 4,000 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.8 | 0.81 | 90\% |
| Combined | 314,381 | 7.22 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 1.7\% |

## Basin Travel Time



Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)
Contributing Basins/Areas

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Q}_{\text {Minor }} & \text { (cfs) }-5 \text {-year Storm } \\
\mathrm{Q}_{\text {Major }} & \text { (cfs) }-100 \text {-year Storm }
\end{array}
$$

Rainfall Intensity \& Runoff

|  | $\mathbf{2 - Y r}$ | 5-Yr | $\mathbf{1 0 - Y r}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - Y r}$ | 50-Yr | 100-Yr |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intensity (in/hr) | 3.15 | 3.94 | 4.60 | 5.26 | 5.92 | 6.62 |
| Site Runoff (cfs) | 0.74 | $\mathbf{2 . 6 2}$ | 5.35 | 9.86 | 13.20 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1 3}$ |
| OffSite Runoff (cfs) | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | - | - | - | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |
| Release Rates (cfs/ac) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Allowed Release (cfs) | - | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ | - | - | - | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1}$ |


| DCM: I $=$ C1 | * $\ln (\mathrm{tc})+\mathrm{C} 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | 1.19 | 1.5 | 1.75 | 2 | 2.25 | 2.52 |
| C2 | 6.035 | 7.583 | 8.847 | 10.111 | 11.375 | 12.735 |




## 9 Hydraulic Calculations

Culvert Calculations
Ditch Flow Calculations
HY-8 Calculations

## Culvert Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

## Circular Culvert

Invert Elev Dn (ft)
Pipe Length (ft)
Slope (\%)
Invert Elev Up (ft)
Rise (in)
Shape
Span (in)
No. Barrels
n-Value
Culvert Type
Culvert Entrance
Coeff. K,M,c, Y,k

## Embankment

Top Elevation (ft)
Top Width (ft)
Crest Width (ft)

$$
=7261.13
$$

$=50.71$
$=3.18$
= 7262.74
$=30.0$
= Circular
$=30.0$
= 1
$=0.012$
= Circular Corrugate Metal Pipe
= Projecting
$=0.034,1.5,0.0553,0.54,0.9$
$=7266.00$
$=27.66$
$=20.00$


| Q |  | Veloc |  | Depth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Pipe | Over | Dn | Up | Dn | Up |
| (cfs) | (cfs) | (cfs) | (ft/s) | (ft/s) | (in) | (in) |
| 20.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 4.73 | 6.42 | 24.10 | 18.21 |
| 40.00 | 31.18 | 8.82 | 6.82 | 7.79 | 26.40 | 22.81 |
| 60.00 | 33.22 | 26.78 | 7.19 | 8.05 | 26.76 | 23.51 |
| 80.00 | 34.75 | 45.25 | 7.47 | 8.25 | 27.01 | 24.01 |
| 100.00 | 36.05 | 63.95 | 7.70 | 8.43 | 27.21 | 24.42 |
| 120.00 | 37.19 | 82.81 | 7.91 | 8.58 | 27.38 |  |


| HGL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dn | Up | Hw | Hw /D |
| (ft) | (ft) | (ft) |  |
| 7263.14 | 7264.26 | 7265.21 | 0.99 |
| 7263.33 | 7264.64 | 7266.28 | 1.42 |
| 7263.36 | 7264.70 | 7266.58 | 1.54 |
| 7263.38 | 7264.74 | 7266.82 | 1.63 |
| 7263.40 | 7264.78 | 7267.03 | 1.72 |
| 7263.41 | 7264.80 | 7267.23 | 1.79 |

## Project:

$\qquad$


| Design Information (Input) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Box conduit invert slope | So = | 0.0071 | $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Box Manning's $n$-value | n | 0.0130 |  |
| Box Width | W = | 4.50 | ft |
| Box Height | $\mathrm{H}=$ | 1.50 | ft |
| Design discharge | Q = | 44.30 | cfs |

## Full-flow capacity (Calculated)

Full-flow area
Full-flow wetted perimeter
Full-flow capacity

| Af $=$ | 6.75 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pf}=$ | 12.00 |
| Qf $=$ | 44.42 |

Calculations of Normal Flow Condition
Normal flow depth (<H )
Flow area
Wetted perimeter
Flow velocity
Discharge
Percent of Full Flow
Normal Depth Froude Number

| Yn = | 1.20 | ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An $=$ | 5.40 | sq ft |
| $\mathrm{Pn}=$ | 6.90 | ft |
| $\mathrm{Vn}=$ | 8.20 | fps |
| Qn = | 44.30 | cfs |
| Flow $=$ | 99.7\% | of full flow |
| $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 1.32 | supercritical |

Calculation of Critical Flow Condition
Critical flow depth
Critical flow area
Critical flow velocity
Critical Depth Froude Number


## CULVERT SIZING (INLET vs. OUTLET CONTROL WITH TAILWATER EFFECTS)

## Project:

MHFD-Culvert, Version 4.00 (May 2020)

## ID:

$\qquad$

Design Information (Input):


Circular Culvert:
Barrel Diameter in Inches
Inlet Edge Type (Choose from pull-down list)
OR:
Box Culvert:
Barrel Height (Rise) in Feet
Barrel Width (Span) in Feet
Inlet Edge Type (Choose from pull-down list)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}(\text { Rise })=\square \mathrm{ft} \\
& \mathrm{~W}(\text { Span })=\square 4.50 \\
& \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

Square Edge w/ $30-75$ deg. Flared Wingwall
Number of Barrels
Inlet Elevation at Culvert Invert
Outlet Elevation OR Slope
Culvert Length
Manning's Roughness
Bend Loss Coefficient
Exit Loss Coefficient

| \# Barrels = | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Elev IN = | 7263.75 |
| Elev OUT = | 7263.31 |
| $\mathrm{L}=$ | 87.4 |
| n | 0.013 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ | 1 |

Design Information (calculated):
Entrance Loss Coefficient
Friction Loss Coefficient
Sum of All Loss Coefficients
Minimum Energy Condition Coefficient
Orifice Inlet Condition Coefficient


Calculations of Culvert Capacity (output):


ID: $\qquad$

STAGE-DISCHARGE CURVE FOR THE CULVERT


Project:
ID: $\qquad$


Supercritical Flow! Using Adjusted Rise to calculate protection type.
Design Information:
Design Discharge
Circular Culvert:
Barrel Diameter in Inches
Inlet Edge Type (Choose from pull-down list)
OR:
Box Culvert:
Barrel Height (Rise) in Feet
Barrel Width (Span) in Feet
Inlet Edge Type (Choose from pull-down list)
Number of Barrels
Inlet Elevation
Outlet Elevation OR Slope
Culvert Length
Manning's Roughness
Bend Loss Coefficient
Exit Loss Coefficient
Tailwater Surface Elevation
Max Allowable Channel Velocity

ults:
Culvert Cross Sectional Area Available
Culvert Normal Depth
Culvert Critical Depth
Froude Number
Entrance Loss Coefficient
Friction Loss Coefficient
Sum of All Loss Coefficients

| $\mathrm{A}=$ | 6.75 | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ | 1.35 | ft |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ | 1.44 | ft |
| $\mathrm{Fr}=$ | 1.10 | Supercritical! |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ | 0.20 |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ | 0.92 |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ | 2.12 | ft |

Headwater:
Inlet Control Headwater
Outlet Control Headwater
Design Headwater Elevation
Headwater/Diameter OR Headwater/Rise Ratio
$\qquad$
$\mathrm{HW} / \mathrm{H}=1.75 \mathrm{HW} / \mathrm{H}>1.5$

## Outlet Protection:

Flow/(Span * Rise^1.5)
Tailwater Surface Height
Tailwater/Rise
Expansion Factor
Flow Area at Max Channel Velocity
Width of Equivalent Conduit for Multiple Barrels
Length of Riprap Protection
Width of Riprap Protection at Downstream End


Adjusted Rise for Supercritical Flow
Minimum Theoretical Riprap Size
Nominal Riprap Size
MHFD Riprap Type
M.V.E., Inc.
M.V.E., Inc.
Date: 10/20/
Project: 61148
Koinonia Ranch



| Sub-basin Designation | Road <br> Name | Stations | Full Sub-Basin Area (Ac) | Full Sub-Basin $Q_{100}$ (cfs) | Partial Sub-Basin Area (Ac) | Ditch <br> Flow <br> $Q_{100}$ <br> (cfs) | Max. Longit. Ditch Slope in Reach (ft/ft) | Ditch <br> Flow <br> Depth <br> (ft) | Ditch <br> Flow <br> Area <br> (ft ${ }^{2}$ ) | Ditch Flow Velocity (ft/sec) | Permissible Velocity (ft/sec) | Ditch Protection Required? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-2 | Koinonia Court | 17+32.95E - 11+00.00E | 21.35 | 43.3 | 14.04 | 28.5 | 0.051 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 6.7 | 4.0 | YES |
| A-5 | Koinonia Court | 17+32.95W - 11+00.00W | 18.11 | 39.8 | 7.98 | 17.5 | 0.051 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 5.9 | 4.0 | YES |
| A-2 | Koinonia Court | 7+50.00E - 11+00.00E | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | No Ditch |
| A-5 | Koinonia Court | 7+50.00w - 11+00.00W | 18.1 | 39.8 | 8.4 | 18.5 | 0.040 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 4.0 | YES |
| A-2 | Koinonia Court | 3+00.00E - 7+50.00E | 21.4 | 43.3 | 19.9 | 40.3 | 0.051 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 3.0 | YES |
| A-5 | Koinonia Court | $3+00.00 \mathrm{~W}-7+50.00 \mathrm{~W}$ | 18.1 | 39.8 | 12.6 | 27.7 | 0.051 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 6.7 | 3.0 | YES |
| A-2 | Koinonia Court | 1+59.00E - 3+00.00E | 21.4 | 43.3 | 20.0 | 40.6 | 0.078 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 8.5 | 3.0 | YES |
| A-5 | Koinonia Court | 1+59.00W - $3+00.00 \mathrm{~W}$ | 18.1 | 39.8 | 12.7 | 27.9 | 0.078 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 7.8 | 3.0 | YES |

## HY-8 Culvert Analysis Report

## Crossing Discharge Data

Discharge Selection Method: User Defined
Table 1 - Summary of Culvert Flows at Crossing: Crossing 1

| Headwater <br> Elevation (ft) | Total <br> Discharge <br> (cfs) | Culvert 1 <br> Discharge <br> (cfs) | Roadway <br> Discharge <br> (cfs) | Iterations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7 2 6 5 . 1 7}$ | 19.40 | 19.40 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 7266.66 | 108.60 | 32.49 | 75.76 | 5 |
| 7266.17 | 28.75 | 28.75 | 0.00 | Overtopping |

Rating Curve Plot for Crossing: Crossing 1
Total Rating Curve
Crossing: Crossing 1


## Culvert Data: Culvert 1

Table 2 - Culvert Summary Table: Culvert 1

| Total | Culve | Head | Inle | Outl | Fl | Nor | Criti | Out | Tailw | Outl | Tailw |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Disch | rt | water | t | et | ow | mal | cal | let | ater | et | ater |
| arge | Disch | Elevat | Cont | Cont | Ty | Dep | Dep | De | Dept | Velo | Veloc |


| (cfs) | arge <br> (cfs) | ion <br> (ft) | rol <br> Dep th <br> (ft) | rol Dep th (ft) | pe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { th } \\ & \text { (ft) } \end{aligned}$ | th <br> (ft) | pth <br> (ft) | h (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { city } \\ & \text { (ft/s } \\ & \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ity } \\ & \text { (ft/s) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19.40 | 19.40 | 7265.1 | 2.43 | 0.56 | 6 - | 1.05 | 1.49 | 1.4 | 0.43 | 6.34 | 6.85 |
| cfs | cfs | 7 |  | 1 | FF |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108.6 | 32.49 | 7266.6 | 3.92 | 2.50 | 6 - | 1.42 | 1.94 | 1.9 | 0.83 | 7.95 | 10.54 |
| 0 cfs | cfs | 6 |  | 9 | FF |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Culvert Barrel Data

Culvert Barrel Type Straight Culvert
Inlet Elevation (invert): 7262.74 ft ,
Outlet Elevation (invert): 7261.13 ft
Culvert Length: 50.74 ft ,
Culvert Slope: 0.0317
Culvert Performance Curve Plot: Culvert 1
Performance Curve
Culvert: Culvert 1


Water Surface Profile Plot for Culvert: Culvert 1
Crossing - Crossing 1, Design Discharge - 108.6 cfs
Culvert - Culvert 1, Culvert Discharge - 32.5 cfs


## Site Data - Culvert 1

## Site Data Option: Culvert Invert Data

Inlet Station: 0.00 ft
Inlet Elevation: 7262.74 ft
Outlet Station: 50.71 ft
Outlet Elevation: 7261.13 ft
Number of Barrels: 1

## Culvert Data Summary - Culvert 1

Barrel Shape: Circular
Barrel Diameter: 2.50 ft
Barrel Material: Corrugated Steel
Embedment: 0.00 in
Barrel Manning's n: 0.0180

Culvert Type: Straight
Inlet Configuration: Thin Edge Projecting $(\mathrm{Ke}=0.9)$
Inlet Depression: None
Tailwater Data for Crossing: Crossing 1
Table 3 - Downstream Channel Rating Curve (Crossing: Crossing 1)

| Flow (cfs) | Water <br> Surface <br> Elev (ft) | Velocity <br> (ft/s) | Depth (ft) | Shear (psf) | Froude <br> Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 . 4 0}$ | 7261.56 | 0.43 | 6.85 | 5.42 | 2.59 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 8 . 6 0}$ | 7261.96 | 0.83 | 10.54 | 10.34 | 2.88 |

Tailwater Channel Data - Crossing 1
Tailwater Channel Option: Triangular Channel
Side Slope (H:V): 15.00 (_:1)
Channel Slope: 0.2000
Channel Manning's n: 0.0350
Channel Invert Elevation: 7261.13 ft
Roadway Data for Crossing: Crossing 1
Roadway Profile Shape: Irregular Roadway Shape (coordinates)
Irregular Roadway Cross-Section

| Coord No. | Station (ft) | Elevation (ft) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0.00 | 7267.42 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 55.57 | 7266.77 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 92.65 | 7266.63 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 96.74 | 7266.62 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 133.93 | 7266.55 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 177.25 | 7266.30 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 218.97 | 7266.17 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 265.70 | 7266.37 |

Roadway Surface: Gravel
Roadway Top Width: 28.00 ft

10 Report Maps

Existing Condition Hydraulic Analysis Map (Map Pocket)
Proposed Condition Hydraulic Analysis Map (Map Pocket)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\text {LEGEND }}{ }_{\text {pro }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FLOODPLAIN STATEMENT }
\end{aligned}
$$

| developed dranage summary table |  |  |  |  |  | Per ECM 3.2.4 drainage easements are required where runoff exceeds 15 chat dimensions on final plat drawing. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { pegin } \\ & \text { poons } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { MACUOESD } \\ \text { BASNS }}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {AREA }}^{\text {AC) }}$ | ${ }_{\text {IM }}^{\text {Im, }}$ ) |  | OFF <br> Q100 <br> 1C5 |  |
|  | osa $A_{1}$ | ${ }^{3.14}$ | 77 | 1.1 | ${ }_{8} 3$ |  |
|  | A. 2 | 14.31 | 23.5 | 4.6 | 2.5 | Show path of time of |
|  | osab | 3.90 | 12.0 | 3.1 | 11.2 |  |
| DPI | OSA1, A. 2 , | ${ }^{21.35}$ | 18.2 | 8.6 | ${ }^{4.3}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | osA 3 | 233 | 8.9 | 0.8 | 5.9 |  |
|  | osa4 | 1206 | 21.6 | ${ }^{3} 3$ | 21.6 |  |
|  | A. 5 | 15.78 | 18.2 | 5.9 | 32.1 |  |
|  | A.7 | 0.97 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 2.4 |  |
|  | OSA. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.93 | 16.1 | 22 | ${ }^{26}$ |  |
| DP2 | DP1, OAA $3,4,8$ | 58.43 | 21.6 | 19.4 | 108.6 |  |
|  | A. 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O88-1 | 1.66 | 8.6 | 0.6 | ${ }_{4}^{4.3}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{8} 2$ | ${ }_{5.55}$ | 14.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 |  |
|  | C. | 1.70 | 10.2 | 0.7 | ${ }^{4.3}$ |  |
|  | 0.1 | 0.82 | 12.3 | 0.2 | 1.8 |  |





[^0]:    Jennifer Irvine, P.E.,
    County Engineer / ECM Administrator

[^1]:    1 WSS
    2 OSD
    FIRM
    DBPS
    5 DRGS

[^2]:    6 DCM Section 4.3 and Section 4.4
    7 CS DCM Vol 1
    8 CS DCM Vol 2

[^3]:    $\begin{array}{ll}9 & \text { WSS } \\ 10 & \text { DCM }\end{array}$

[^4]:    11 DCM

