

DRAINAGE LETTER FOR LEWIS PALMER SD38 TRAIL SYSTEM

Prepared For:

Lewis Palmer School District 38 146 N. Jefferson Street Monument, CO 80132

> March 2, 2023 Project No. 25203.00

Prepared By: JR Engineering, LLC 5475 Tech Center Drive, Suite 235 Colorado Springs, CO 80919 719-593-2593

Design Engineer's Statement:

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.

y any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this report.	
Glenn Ellis, Colorado P.E. # 38861 or and On Behalf of JR Engineering, LLC	
Owner/Developer's Statement:	
Ricky VestuL, the owner have read and will comply with all of the requirements pecified in this drainage report and plan.	200
Lewis Palmer Schools #38 usiness Name Date V: Ricky Vestral	
itle: Grounds Supervisor	
ddress: 36 Jefferson Street	
P.O Box 40 80132 Monument, CO	
l Paso County:	
iled in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, aso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.	El
oshua Palmer, P.E. Date Ounty Engineer/ ECM Administrator	
ounty Engineer/ ECM Administrator	
onditions:	
	_
	_

Drainage Letter Page 3 of 8

Purpose

This drainage letter will address the impact the Lewis Palmer SD38 Trail System project will have within the Dirty Woman Creek drainage basin. The Dirty Woman Creek and Crystal Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study was prepared in September 1993 by Kiowa Engineering Corporation. This letter will address the impacts within Basins CC153, CC157, DWC79, DWC117, LFDW105, LFDW107, LFDW109, and LFDW111 of the approved study. The purpose of this letter is to determine impacts to the drainage conditions within these basins due to the placement of a 4 foot wide asphalt milling trail.

General Location

The site is located in El Paso County, adjacent to Monument, and the Woodmoor Improvement Association. See Appendix A for the Vicinity Map. The proposed project is comprised of a 4 foot wide trail, with varying width dirt shoulders to blend into the existing grades, and is approximately 2.5 miles long within existing roadway right-of-way, easements, maintenance roads, and open space tracts. The trail will be installed along Woodmoor Drive, Willow Park Way, below Woodmoor Lake on maintenance access paths, Lake Woodmoor Drive, through open tracts owned by the Woodmoor Improvement Association, and along Deer Creek Drive; within School District, and Woodmoor Improvement Association property, or on properties where easements have been obtained. The project will also install a pedestrian bridge across the spillway of Woodmoor Lake. The total disturbed area associated with the project will be 1.34 acres. Only 1.7 miles of the trail will cause disturbance. The remaining 0.8 miles will be on existing sidewalks or gravel maintenance roads. See Appendix C for the Basin map from the Dirty Woman Creek and Crystal Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study.

Soil Conditions

Soil characteristics are comprised of various soils throughout the project limits of the trail. Soils are comprised of Pring coarse sandy loam (Group B) (31.9% of trail), Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands (Group B) (29.7% of trail), Kettle gravelly loamy sand (Group B) (20.4% of trail), and Alamosa loam (Group D) (18.0% of trail). Group B soils exhibit a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet and consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission. Group D soils exhibit a very slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet and consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, have a high water table, have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission. Refer to the soil survey mapping in Appendix B.

Drainage Design Criteria

Storm drainage analysis and design criteria for the project were taken from the "City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual", Volumes 1 and 2 (EPCDCM), dated October 12, 1994, and Chapter 6 of the "Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual (CCSDCM)", dated May 2014, as adopted by El Paso County.

Existing and Proposed Drainage Characteristics

The proposed trail will be constructed within existing right-of-way, easements, access roads, and open space tracts. The existing drainage patterns within these areas will be maintained once the trail is constructed. In areas where the trail will potentially impact a drainage path (i.e. roadside ditch), the project's contractor will install 18" RCP culvert pipe to allow for the continued flow of runoff. Most

Drainage Letter

Page 4 of 8

of the trail crossings of roadways cross where there is not a defined roadside ditch. The grades sheetflow into the open space adjacent to the roadway. These crossings will not require a culvert. It was determined that only once crossing (Sta. 99+59) would require a culvert. One other location (Sta. 77+28) has a minor roadside ditch, but due to its proximity to the roadway and shallow depth, installing a culvert would not be feasible. As a result, the adjacent area will be graded to maintain the historic flow pattern through the trail section. Overall, the historic flow patterns and paths will not be impeded by the construction of the trial. The adequacy of the existing roadside ditches to prevent overtopping, or erosion has not been evaluated, but would not be impacted by the project.

Due to limited survey and analysis information, the size of the existing downstream culvert was measured to determine the sizing of the proposed culvert at Sta. 99+59. The existing downstream culvert is 18" CMP, therefore an 18" culvert is proposed. Based on the capacity of the culvert, Type H riprap will be installed at the outlet of the culvert. Drainage plans have not been developed for the project, but information has been included in the construction documents related to the installation of the culvert and riprap. See Table 1 below for the proposed culvert location, size and capacity.

Table 1 – Proposed Culvert

Location	Basin	Culvert Size	Slope (%)	Capacity (cfs)
NE intersection of trail crossing and				
Toboggan Hill Road (Sta. 99+59).	LFDW111	18" RCP	9%	31.5 cfs

According to CCSDCM, Table 6-10, the Curve Number is 85 for gravel streets and 82 for dirt. The Curve Numbers associated with the impacted basins is shown in Table 2 below. The table also shows the area of each basin, the disturbed area in each basin as a result of this project, the overall basin disturbance as a percentage, as well as the 100-yr flows within each basin. As shown in the table, the trail disturbs between 0.02% and 0.65% of the overall basin areas.

Table 2 – Basin Summary

Basin	Area (acres)**	Curve Number**	Trail Length (ft) in Basin	Area Disturbed (acres)	Basin Disturbance (%)	Basin Flows Q ₁₀₀ (cfs)
CC153	34.0	85	406	0.096	0.28	77
CC157	56.5	88	1,375	0.179	0.32	111
DWC79	78.1	84	1,625	0.227	0.29	118
DWC117	79.2	88	1,078	0.153	0.19	198
LFDW105	51.7	87	1,991	0.336	0.65	95
LFDW107	26.8	85	37	0.005	0.02	47
LFDW109	55.9	87	1,421	0.217	0.39	131
LFDW111	122.0	88	1,041	0.126	0.10	215

^{** -} Information taken from the Dirty Woman Creek and Crystal Creek DBPS. See Appendix C (Appendix Table 1 from the Study).

Calculating a composite Curve Number for each of these basins as a result of adding a 4' trail, results in a reduction to all of the basin's Curve Numbers, as shown in Table 3 on the next page.

^{*** -} Information taken from the Dirty Woman Creek and Crystal Creek DBPS. See Appendix C (Table 1 from the Study).

Drainage Letter Page 5 of 8

	Exist	ing	Proposed			
	Disturbance			Revised Basin		
	Area	Curve	Curve	Curve		
Basin	(acres)	Number*	Number**	Number***		
CC153	0.096	85	83.17	84.995		
CC157	0.179	88	84.12	87.988		
DWC79	0.227	84	83.97	84.000		
DWC117	0.153	88	83.94	87.992		
LFDW105	0.336	87	83.63	86.978		
LFDW107	0.005	85	83.98	85.000		
LFDW109	0.217	87	83.81	86.988		
LFDW111	0.126	88	84.27	87.996		

Table 3 – Revised Curve Numbers

- * Information taken from the Dirty Woman Creek and Crystal Creek DBPS. This number represents the entire basin, but is used for the disturbance area comparison. Some areas of disturbance area are dirt, gravel, or vegetated.
- ** Composite Curve Number calculated based on a Curve Number of 85 for gravel streets (4' wide), and 82 for dirt (varying width to tie into existing grades alongside the trail).
- *** Revised Basin Curve Number is a composite number calculated from the overall basin Curve Number and the proposed Curve Number resulting from the trail installation.

Floodplain

A portion of the site is within the FEMA 100-year floodplain totaling approximately 0.11 acres. Within this area, approximately 8.07 cubic yards (CY) of cut and 7.11 CY of fill is expected for a net of 0.96 cy cut. No net fill is expected within the 100-year floodplain. See Appendix B for FIRM Map Number 08041C0276G, revised December 7, 2018. This information was conveyed to the County's Floodplain Administrator. Since the project will not be altering the grade, no Floodplain Development permit is needed for this project.

Drainage Fees


The site is located within the Dirty Woman Creek drainage basin. The drainage fee associated with the Dirty Woman Creek Drainage Basin is \$21,134 per impervious acre, and the bridge fee is \$1,156 per impervious acre. This project is not associated with any land development efforts, therefore, no fee is required at this time.

Conclusion

The proposed changes resulting from the trail will have minimal to no effect on the overall runoff conditions within each of the basins identified in Table 2, therefore no additional drainage improvements are needed other than the localized improvements associated with roadside ditches. The site runoff associated with the proposed project will not adversely affect the downstream developments or properties.


Drainage Letter Page 6 of 8

Appendix A



Drainage Letter Page 7 of 8

Appendix B



MAP LEGEND MAP INFORMATION The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at Area of Interest (AOI) С 1:24.000. Area of Interest (AOI) C/D Soils Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. D Soil Rating Polygons Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause Not rated or not available Α misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil **Water Features** line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of A/D contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed Streams and Canals Transportation B/D Rails ---Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Interstate Highways C/D Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service **US Routes** Web Soil Survey URL: D Major Roads Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Not rated or not available -Local Roads Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts Soil Rating Lines Background distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Aerial Photography Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below. Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 20, Sep 2, 2022 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50.000 or larger. Not rated or not available Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 9, 2021—Jun 12. 2021 **Soil Rating Points** The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background A/D imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident. B/D

Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
1	Alamosa loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes	D	49.5	8.1%
41	Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes	В	172.3	28.3%
71	Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	В	130.6	21.5%
92	Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes	В	216.4	35.5%
111	Water		40.0	6.6%
Totals for Area of Inter	rest	608.9	100.0%	

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher

NOTES TO USERS

s map is for use in administering the National Flood Insurance Program. It does necessarily identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage inces of small size. The community map repository should be consulted to sable updated or additional flood hazard information.

obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) ander floodways have been determined, users are encuraged to constit Me Floodway Profites and Floodway Dates and/or Summary of Silveniane Elevations tables contained should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM insperant rounded whole-low elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood anyarizer safing purposes only and should be be aware flood and presented in the FIRM insperant rounded whole-low flood elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood elevation from any household not be used as the soles source of flood elevation from from American household make presented in the FIS specif should be utilized in conjunction with the FIRM to puppose of contraction shelf foodplan managed or contraction and shelf or profits of the FIRM to puppose of contraction shelf foodplan managed or contraction shelf or profits of the FIRM to puppose of contraction shelf or foodplan managed or the FIRM to puppose of contraction shelf or foodplan managed or the FIRM to puppose of contraction shelf or foodplan managed or the FIRM to puppose of contraction shelf or foodplan managed or the FIRM to puppose of contraction shelf or foodplan managed or the FIRM to puppose of contraction shelf or foodplan managed to the FIRM to puppose of contraction shelf or foodplan managed to the FIRM to puppose of the firm of the FIRM to puppose the foodplan managed to the foodplan managed to the FIRM to puppose the foodplan managed to the foodplan managed to the FIRM

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0 North American Verifical Datum of 1986 (NAVDER). Users of his FIRM should be waver that coastal flood elevations are also provided in the Summary of Sikhwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this purisdiction. Elevations there was the study of the there was the study of the the study of t nd/or floodplain management purposes when they are higher than the elevation that the flower on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the **floodways** were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with operator to requirements of the Naboral Flood instruance Forgram. Floodway widths and other pennent floodway data are provided in the Flood insurance Study report or this jurisdaction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood contro-structures. Refer to section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood Insuranc Study report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

The projection used in the proparation of this map was Universal Transver decador (UTA); zone 13. The fortacental datum was MADES, GMSS0 place consideration of Fifths for adjacent strateditions may result in sight position differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do reflect the accuracy of this FREM.

Plood devalors on this map are referenced to the **North American Vertical Datum** of **1988 (NAVDS)**. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and of **1988 (NAVDS)**. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and concession between the **National Geodetic Vertical Datum** of 1928 and the **North American Vertical Datum** of 1928 and the **National Geodetic Survey** selects as **National Concession**, and the **National Geodetic Survey** selects as **National Geodetic Survey** and the **National Geodetic Survey** selects and **National Geodetic Survey** at the following address:

NGS Information Services NOAA, N/NGS12 315 East-West Highway silver Spring, MD 20910-3282

o obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for bench mar hown on this map, please contact the information Services Branch of the Nation Seodetic Survey at (301) 713-3242 or visit its website at http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/.


Base Map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by El Pass County, Colorado Springs Utilities. City of Fountain, Bureau of Land Management withouts December and Atmospheric Administration, United States Geological Survey and Anderson Consulting Engineers, Inc. These data are current as of 2006.


This map referes more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations and floodplain delineations than those shown on the previous FRM for this princident floodplain and doorways that were transferred from the previous FRM for this princident have been adjusted to configuration. These level settled to the product FRM and the previous FRM


curred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriation in the state of the

lease refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the coun showing the layout of map panels; community map repository addresses; and Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for each community as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is

Contact FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) via the FEMA Map Information exchange (FMM) 1477-339-3627 for information on available products essectioned with this contact the service of the ser








Drainage Letter Page 8 of 8

Appendix C

Drainage Basin Planning Study Dirty Woman Creek and Crystal Creek El Paso County, Colorado



Prepared for:

El Paso County Department of Public Works 3105 North Stone Colorado Springs, Colorado 80907

Prepared by:

Kiowa Engineering Corporation 419 West Bijou Colorado Springs, Colorado 80905

September, 1993

TABLE 1 Summary of Sub-basin Peak Discharges

.	Future	Future	.	Future	Future	ъ.	Future	Future
Basin	100 Year	10 Year	Basin	100 Year	10 Year	Basin	100 Year	10 Year
Designation SDW01	2 Hour 147.	2 Hour 49	Designation DWC79	2 Hour 118	2 Hour 42	Designation CC157	2 Hour 111	2 Hour 46
SDW01	90	31	LDW81	136	54	CC157	22	9
SDW05	120	40	LDW81	74	28	CC159	132	57
SDW03	91	38	LDW85	47	18	CC161	127	51
UDW09	103	34	LDW87	112	43	CC165	121	52
UDW11	57	20	LDW89	94	35	CC167	116	49
UDW13	32	12	LDW91	26	10	CC169	119	. 37
UDW15	76	25	LDW93	46	18	CC171	90	40
UDW17	26	9	LDW95	53	20	CC173	56	24
UDW19	33	11	LDW97	50	19	CC175	46	15
UDW21	47	16	LDW99	5 7	25	CC177	89	32
UDW23	24	8	LDW101	170	66	CC179	42	13
UDW25	44	15	LDW103	136	50	CC181	49	15
UDW27	80	29	LDW105	95	38	CC183	136	50
UDW29	80	27	LDW107	47	18	CC185	111	36
UDW31	82	35	LDW109	131	53	CC187	96	37
MDW33	131	47	LDW111	· 215·	89	CC189	64	23
MDW35	33	11	DWC113	44	15			
MDW37	81	29	DWC115	91	40			
MDW39	116	46	DWC117	198	84		Impac	ted Basi
NDW41	146	56	DWC119	72	31			
NDW43	61	23	DWC121	211	88			
NDW45	87	33	DWC123	77	34			
NDW47	62 .	23	DWC125	73	31			
NDW49	14	5	DWC127	102	32	•		
NDW51	32	12	DWC129	137	58			
NDW53	17	6	DWC131	58	18			
NDW55	18	7	DWC133	102	38			
NDW57	153	57	DWC135	81	26			
NDW59	129	50	DWC137	65	25			
DWC61	76	29	DWC139	117	47			
DWC63	130·	50	DWC141	. 79	32			
DWC65	59	23	DWC143	101	38			
DWC67	42	15	CC145	89	33			
DWC69	41	17	CC147	103	35			
DWC71	72	29	CC149	69	22			
DWC73	81	30	CC151	114	38			
DWC75	153	61	CC153	77	29			
DWC77	50	19	CC155	43	19			

APPENDIX TABLE 1 Summary of Sub-basin Hydrologic Data

Basin	Area	Curve	Тс	Basin	Area	Curve	Tc	Basin	Area	Curve	Тс
<u>Designation</u>	(Acres)	Number	(min)	Designation	(Acres)	Number	(min)	Designation	(Acres)	Number	(min)
SDW01	119.6	82	39.2	DWC79	78.1	84	32.9	CC157	56.5	88	29.9
SDW03	60.0	83	28.6	LDW81	54.6	86	12.9	CC159	7.8	88	11.6
SDW05	69.7	82	17.5	LDW83	40.5	85	23.8	CC161	47.2	88	12.0
SDW07	37.7	88	18.3	LDW85	24.2	86	24.0	CC163	47.5	86	9.7
UDW09	61.2	82	19.3	LDW87	65.3	86	31.7	CC165	43.2	88	12.0
UDW11	27.2	83	12.6	LDW89	61.8	85	35.8	CC167	45.9	88	16.8
UDW13	18.6	84	23.4	LDW91	11.6	86	16.5	CC169	67.6	80	9.4
UDW15	62.0	82	39.7	LDW93	21.1	86	17.8	CC171	27.3	88	5.5
UDW17	19.6	82	33.3	LDW95	28.7	85	22.9	CC173	20.1	88	11.5
UDW19	23.0	83	32.7	LDW97	17.8	85	6.5	CC175	22.4	82	9.5
UDW21	21.1	83	9.3	LDW99	21.1	88	14.5	CC177	45.6	84	17.2
UDW23	11.9	83	13.8	LDW101	79.9	86	19.7	CC179	37.2	80	37.9
UDW25	33.6	83	39.6	LDW103	81.2	85	29.1	CC181	24.1	80	5.5
UDW27	42.4	84	19.5	LDW105	51.7	87	30.2	CC183	54.3	84	8.2
UDW29	51.1	83	26.8	LDW107	26.8	85	26.7	CC185	53.0	82	8.9
UDW31	30.5	88	14.6	LDW109	55.9	87	17.1	CC187	47.6	86	22.1
MDW33	90.8	84	35.5	LDW111	122.0	88	37.3	CC189	30.6	83	12.2
MDW35	18.1	83	18.6	DWC113	19.6	83	9.0				
MDW37	56.1	84	36.2	DWC115	27.7	88	7.7				
MDW39	58.6	87	26.8	DWC117	79.2	88	17.1				
NDW41	61.8	85	11.0	DWC119	28.2	88	16.6				
NDW43	37.1	85	30.7	DWC121	98.4	88	24.2				
NDW45	56.4	86	39.8	DWC123	21.5	88	3.8				
NDW47	31.2	85	20.4	DWC125	30.2	88	18.6				
NDW49	7.1	85	18.8	DWC127	57.6	81	13.8		Tw	ma at a	J Dogina
NDW51	23.8	84	40.5	DWC129	58.7 *	88	20.8		111	ipacted	d Basins
NDW53	7.5	85	14.7	DWC131	33.7	80	11.8				
NDW55	6.4	85	6.1	DWC133	48.5	85	16.5				
NDW57	89.0	85	27.8	DWC135	54.5	82	26.3				
NDW59	69.2	86	26.9	DWC137	33.9	86	25.2				
DWC61	43.4	86	29.6	DWC139	50.6	87	17.4				
DWC63	81.7	86	36.4	DWC141	29.3	87	10.9				
DWC65	34.4	86	31.7	DWC143	40.2	85	9.7				
DWC67	26.2	85	33.3	CC145	54.8	85	32.0				
DWC69	13.1	87	7.2	CC147	68.8	83	28.8				
DWC71	31.9	87	19.3	CC149	52.1	81	29.1				
DWC73	48.5	85	29.7	CC151	87.6	82	35.0				
DWC75	60.5	86	10.8	CC153	34.0	85	14.2				
DWC77	30.1	85	29.7	CC155	15.5	88	11.3				

