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LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.
2504 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
(719) 633-2868

FAX (719) 633-5430
E-mail: Isc@lsctrans.com
Website: http://www.Isctrans.com

November 30, 2023

Craig Dossey | President
Vertex Consulting Services
455 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 101
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

RE: 4-Way Ranch Commercial<br>Phase 1<br>El Paso County, CO<br>Traffic Technical Memorandum<br>LSC \#S224451

Dear Mr. Dossey:

LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this traffic technical memorandum for the first phase of the 4-Way Ranch Commercial development. As shown in Figure 1, the site for located north and south of Stapleton Drive and northwest of US Highway 24 (US Hwy 24) in El Paso County, Colorado. LSC recently prepared a Master traffic impact study (MTIS) for the 4-Way Ranch Commercial Rezone (CS-22-003) that included trips by the currently-proposed phase. This memorandum is intended as a site-specific, final plat traffic report.

## REPORT CONTENTS

§ site development plan

This report presents:

- A summary of the proposed land use and access plan;
- The projected average weekday and peak-hour vehicle trips to be generated by the currently proposed filings;
- The assignment of the site-generated traffic volumes to the area roadways;
- Improvements needed with the currently proposed filings; and
- The project's obligation to the County roadway improvement fee program.


## RECENT TRAFFIC REPORTS

- LSC completed an updated master traffic study (TIS) for the entire 4-Way Ranch development, dated October 21, 2022.
- LSC prepared a master traffic study (MTIS) for the 4-Way Ranch Rezone, December 20, 2022. The currently proposed land uses were accounted for within that recent report.
- A list of other traffic studies in the vicinity of area of study completed within the past five years (that LSC is aware of) is attached for reference (Appendix Table 1).
- El Paso County is currently studying the Briargate Stapleton Corridor as part of a Pikes Peak Rural Transportation Authority (PPRTA) study. A draft version of the Briargate-Stapleton Corridor Study by Wilson \& Company was published December 9, 2021.
verify based on
site plan


## LAND USE AND ACCESS

The 4-Way Ranch Commercial MTIS assumed the initial development would include the area south of Stapleton Drive adjacent to US Hwy 24 and east of the draining area including about four to six acres of general commercial uses, three to five acres of mini-warehouse, three to four acres for Boat/RV storage, and three to four acres for contractor equipment storage. The currently proposed first phase includes two 24,000 square-foot mini-warehouse buildings, 80 Boat/RV spaces, and 48,000 square feet for outdoor contractor equipment storage. The general commercial uses are no longer included in the initial phase. However, the remaining developable area south of Stapleton Drive and east of the drainage area is still intended to be developed for commercial uses in the future. The remaining buildable area outside of what is being submitted with the currently-proposed site development plan that could be developed with commercial uses is approximately 5.4 acres. Figure 2 shows the proposed site plan.

Access is proposed to the future Dumont Drive to be located about 845 feet west of US Hwy 24. This access does not meet the intersection spacing requirements for an Urban Principal Arterial found in the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM). However, the location of the Stapleton/Dumont intersection was established with the Stapleton Corridor Study and access control plan. Generally, a deviation should not be necessary as a corridor-specific access management plan essentially overrides the general ECM criteria. However, at the request of the County, a deviation request has been submitted with this application.

Dumont Drive will initially be built as a private drive south of Stapleton Drive to serve this initial phase. Dumont Drive will be improved to an Urban Non-Residential Collector standard as part of future submittals.

## INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE

Based on a design speed of 50 miles per hour (mph) and the criteria contained in Table 221 of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), the required intersection sight distance at the intersection of Dumont Drive/Stapleton Drive is 555 feet. These measurements were conducted in the field by LSC. The measurements were taken from a driver's eye height of 3.5 feet to an approaching vehicle height of 3.5 feet. The available sight distance is greater than 1,000 feet to both the east and the west.

The lines of sight for both access-point intersections will need to be kept clear of any sight distance obstructions. This includes landscaping, signage, etc. proposed for the development.

## EXISTING TRAFFIC

Figure 3 shows the existing morning and afternoon peak-hour traffic volumes at the intersection of Stapleton/US Hwy 24. The morning peak hour was assumed to occur for one hour between 6:30 a.m. and 8:30 a.m. The afternoon peak hour was assumed to occur for one hour between 4:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. These volumes are based on manual intersection turning-movement counts conducted by LSC in January 2023. The count-data sheets are attached for reference.

## TRIP GENERATION

4-Way Ranch Commercial Phase 1 site-generated vehicle trips have been estimated, in part, using the nationally-published trip-generation rates from Trip Generation, 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2021 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). ITE does not have trip-generation rates for RV/boat storage or for outdoor contractor storage. The trip generation rates used for the RV/boat storage in the 4-Way Ranch Commercial MTIS were based on traffic counts conducted by LSC at similar facilities in 2018. The trip-generation rates for this use shown in Table 1 are based on more recent estimates derived from traffic studies completed by several other traffic consultants. Please refer to Appendix A for details.

The trip-generation rates for the outdoor contractor storage in the 4-Way Ranch Commercial MTIS were based on ITE Land Use 180: Specialty Trade Contractor. The trip-generation rates for this use shown in Table 1 are based on a trip-generation study of similar, existing storage businesses conducted by LSC in October 2023. Please refer to Appendix B for details.

Table 1 shows the trip-generation estimate. Table 1 also shows a comparison of the trip-generation estimate for the area south of Stapleton Drive between the drainage area and US Hwy 24 based on the currently-proposed plan and the estimate assumed in the 4-Way Ranch Commercial MTIS for the same parcels. As shown in Table 1, the current trip-generation estimate is lower than what was assumed in the MTIS.

The currently proposed 4-Way Ranch Commercial Phase 1 is expected to generate 113 vehicle trips on the average weekday, with about half entering and half exiting the site during a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., about five vehicles would enter and three vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 4:15 and 6:15 p.m., about five vehicles would enter and six vehicles would exit the site.

## TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The directional distribution of the site-generated traffic volumes on the area roadways is an important factor in determining the site's traffic impacts. Figure 4 shows the directional distribution estimates for the site-generated traffic volumes. The estimates are consistent with the directional distribution estimate from the 4-Way Ranch MTIS.

When the distribution percentages from Figure 4 are applied to the new, external trip-generation estimates (from Table 1), the resulting Phase 1 site-generated traffic volumes can be determined. Figure 5 shows the Phase 1 site-generated traffic volumes.

## TOTAL TRAFFIC VOLUMES AND LEVELS OF SERVICE

Figure 6 shows the projected short-term (Year 2026) total-traffic volumes following buildout of the currently proposed Phase 1 at the intersection of Stapleton/Dumont. The short-term total traffic volumes are the sum of the short-term background-traffic volumes (from Figure 4 of the 4-Way Ranch MTIS) plus the phase 1 site-generated traffic volumes from Figure 5 of this report. As shown in Figure 6, the intersection of Stapleton/Dumont is projected to operate at LOS C or better for all movements as a stop-sign-controlled intersection, based on the projected 2026 total traffic volumes.

Please refer to the 2042 peak-hour traffic-volume projections and level of service analysis shown in Figure 10 of the 4-Way Ranch Commercial MTIS. The proposed land use and access is in compliance with the MTIS. As such, there are no changes to these projected volumes or level of service results.

## SIGNAL WARRANT THRESHOLD ANALYSIS - AM AND PM PEAK HOURS

The intersection of Stapleton/Dumont was analyzed to determine if the thresholds for Four-Hour and/or Eight-Hour Vehicular-Volume Traffic-Signal Warrant thresholds would be reached or exceeded, based on the projected short-term peak-hour traffic volumes.

Based on the criteria contained in the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) the minimum minor-street volume with one approach on a major street with one through lane in each direction when the posted speed limit exceeds 40 miles per hour (mph) is 60 vehicles per hour for a Four-Hour Vehicular-Volume Traffic-Signal Warrant and 53 vehicles per hour for an Eight-Hour Vehicular-Volume Traffic-Signal Warrant based on Condition B - Interruption of continuous Traffic. The projected northbound left-turn volume at the intersection of

Stapleton/Dumont following buildout of Phase 1 is two vehicles during the morning peak hour and three vehicles during the afternoon peak hour. Based on these peak-hour volumes, a vehicular-volume traffic-signal warrant is not anticipated to be met at the intersection of Stapleton/Dumont with Phase 1 of the 4-Way Ranch Commercial development.

## DEVIATON REQUESTS

A deviation request to the criteria contained in the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) for intersection spacing requirements for an Urban Principal Arterial for the intersection of Stapleton/Dumont has been submitted with this application.

## ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS



Table 6 from the 4-Way Ranch Commercial MTIS contained a summary of needed improvements and recommendations for auxiliary turn-lane lengths for the entire 4-Way Ranch Commercial development. A copy of this table has been attached with notes added in November 2023.

Based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes shown in Figure 6 and the criteria contained in the ECM, a left-turn lane is not projected to be required on Stapleton Drive approaching Dumont Drive with the initial phase of development.

Based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes shown in Figure 6 and the criteria contained in the ECM, an eastbound right-turn lane would not be required on Stapleton Drive approaching Dumont with the initial phase. There is, however, existing pavement width to provide this lane if Stapleton is restriped as shown in Figure 7. Based on the criteria contained in the ECM, this lane should be 235 feet long plus a 200 -foot taper.

The US Highway 24/Stapleton intersection is planned to be signalized. The CDOT comment letters for other area projects indicate a requirement to escrow a fair share amount toward the future traffic signal at the US Hwy 24/Stapleton Road intersection. An access permit will be required to process the escrow.

LSC Note: There are a number of developments in the area - in progress and future/planned which will also add traffic to this intersection and impact the Four-hour warrant. As CDOT collects escrow for other developments, LSC recommends that as the collective impact trips (directly impacting the Four-hour warrant volumes) by area developments begins to exceed the 60 vehicle-per-hour denominator, fair-share recalculation of pro-rata share escrow amounts and credit be provided to developments according to the updated fair-share calculations. As shown in Figure 5, the initial phase is projected to add one eastbound left-turn movement to this intersection during the peak hour. Based on a total signal cost of $\$ 700,000$ and a ratio of one new vehicle per 60 vehicles-to-warrant this development would be responsible for $\$ 11,666.66$ towards the cost of the signal. Also, once the signal is installed, credit should be provided from the Countywide Fee Program based on a ratio of fee program unit signal cost divided by the $\$ 700 \mathrm{~K}$ signal cost.

## 4-WAY RANCH COMMERCIAL BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS RESOLTUTIONS

Table 2 shows a summary of the requirements set by Resolution No. 23-41 of Board of County Commissioners County of El Paso, State of Colorado: Approval of Map Amendment (Rezone) to CS 4-Way Commercial Rezoning (CS-22-003) and the associated improvement from Table 6 of the MTIS. Table 2 also shows the responsibility for each improvement and the recommended basis to calculate a fair-share contribution towards future improvements that Phase 1 could potentially be required to participate in.

## ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT FEE

This project will be required to participate in the El Paso County Road Improvement Fee Program. The applicant will opt-out of the PID options. The 2019 "full fee" building permit fee associated with the opt-out option for the mini-warehouse portion of the development is $\$ 725$ per 1,000 square feet of floor area. Based on 48,000 square feet, the "full fee" payable at building permit for the mini-warehouse use would be $\$ 34,800$. The Road Impact Fee Schedule does not include outdoor contractor equipment storage spaces or Boat/RV storage or outdoor contractor storage. Based on a fee of $\$ 398.55$ per trip, the "full fee" for the for outdoor contractor equipment storage spaces would be $\$ 13,949.25$ and the "full fee" for the RV/Boat storage would be $\$ 3,188.40$. Note: program fees are subject to change.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.
Respectfully Submitted,

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

By: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon, P.E.
Principal

JCH/KDF:jas
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Tables

| Table 1 <br> Trip Generation Estimate 4-Way Ranch Commercial Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use Code | Land <br> Use <br> Description | Trip Generation Units | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  | Total Trips Generated |  |  |  |  | Passby Trips ${ }^{(2)}$ <br> (\%) | New Trips <br> Generated <br> Average <br> Weekday <br> Traffic |
|  |  |  | Average Weekday |  |  |  |  | Average Weekday | Mo |  | Afte |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Traffic | In | Out | In | Out | Traffic | In | Out | In | Out |  |  |
| Trip Generation Estimate For the The Currently Proposed Phase 1 of The 4-Way Ranch Commercial Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | RV/Boat Storage ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0.6100 storage units | 12.94 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.65 | 0.80 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 8 |
| 151 | Mini-Warehouse | $48 \mathrm{KSF}^{(4)}$ | 1.45 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 70 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0\% | 70 |
| --- | Outdoor Contractor Storage ${ }^{(5)}$ | 0.93 Acres | 37.68 | 2.02 | 1.33 | 2.19 | 1.74 | 35 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0\% | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 113 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 6 |  | 113 |
| Potential Future Trip Generation Estimate For the Remaing Area South of Stapleton Road and East of the Drainage Area (not a part of the current proposal) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 821 | Shopping Plaza (40-150 KSF No Supermarket) | 47 KSF | 67.52 | 1.07 | 0.66 | 2.54 | 2.65 | 3,173 | 50 | 31 | 120 | 124 | 34\% | 2,094 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 3,286 | 55 | 34 | 125 | 130 |  | 2,207 |
| For Reference: Trip Generation from the 2022 Master TIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trip Generation Estimate For the Area South of Stapleton Road and East of the Drainage Area From the 4-Way Ranch Commercial Master Traffic Impact Analysis, December 20, 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | RV/Boat Storage ${ }^{(6)}$ | 4 Acres | 10.90 | 0.62 | 0.67 | 0.37 | 0.52 | 44 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0\% | 44 |
| 151 | Mini-Warehouse | $54 \mathrm{KSF}^{(4)}$ | 1.45 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 78 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0\% | 78 |
| 180 | Specialty Trade Contractor | 17 KSF | 9.82 | 1.23 | 0.43 | 0.62 | 1.31 | 167 | 21 | 7 | 10 | 22 | 0\% | 167 |
| 821 | Shopping Plaza (40-150 KSF No Supermarket) | 52 KSF | 67.52 | 1.07 | 0.66 | 2.54 | 2.65 | 3,511 | 56 | 34 | 132 | 138 | 34\% | 2,317 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 3,800 | 82 | 46 | 147 | 166 |  | 2,606 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Change (Decrease) |  |  | -514 | -27 | -12 | -22 | -36 |  | -399 |
| Notes: <br> (1) Source: "Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021" by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). <br> (2) Source: "Trip Generation Handbook - An ITE Proposed Recommended Practice 3rd Edition, September 2017" by ITE <br> (3) See Appendix A for "RV/Boat Storage" rate calculations <br> (4) KSF = one thousand square feet of floor space <br> (5) See Appendix B for "Outdoor Contractor Storage" rate calculations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nov-23 |



Figures 1-7





Figure 4
Directional Distribution of Site-Generated Traffic




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | Yr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 717 | 2 | 3 | 331 | 2 | 1 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 717 | 2 | 3 | 331 | 2 | 1 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 235 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 844 | 2 | 4 | 389 | 2 | 1 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | $\mathbf{r}$ |  | $\uparrow$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 384 | 2 | 3 | 709 | 3 | 3 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 384 | 2 | 3 | 709 | 3 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 235 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 452 | 2 | 4 | 834 | 4 | 4 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 454 | 0 | 1294 | 452 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 452 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 842 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - |  | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1107 | - | 179 | 608 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 641 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 423 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1107 | - | 178 | 608 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 178 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 641 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 420 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 18.4 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 EBT EBR WBL WBT |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 275 | - | - | 1107 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.026 | - | - | 0.003 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 18.4 | - | - | 8.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | C | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |

## Appendix Table 1

## Appendix Table 1 Area Trafffic Impact Studies by LSC 4-Way Ranch Commercial Phase 1

| Study | Date |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4-Way Ranch/Waterbury |  |
| 4-Way Ranch Updated TIA | January 29, 2009 |
| Waterbury PUD Development Plan Updated TIA | January 10, 2013 |
| Waterbury Filing Nos. 1 and 2 TIA | December 18, 2020 |
| 4-Way Ranch Commercial Master Traffic Impact Analysis | December 20, 2022 |
| Meridian Ranch |  |
| Meridian Ranch Sketch Plan TIA | April 11, 2011 |
| Meridian Ranch Filing 11 Updated TIA | November 26, 2013 |
| Stonebridge at Meridian Ranch Filing No. 1 Updated TIA | April 23, 2014 |
| Stonebridge at Meridian Ranch Transportation Memorandum | July 28, 2015 |
| Meridian Ranch Filing 8 Updated TIA | December 23, 2014 |
| Meridian Ranch Filing 9 Updated TIA | May 21, 2015 |
| Meridian Ranch Sketch Plan 2015 Amendment TIA | July 30, 2015 |
| The Vistas at Meridian Ranch TIA | March 24, 2016 |
| Meridian Ranch Estates Filing No. 2 Transportation Memorandum | August 27, 2015 |
| The Vistas at Meridian Ranch Updated Transportation Memorandum | June 20, 2017 |
| Londonderry Drive Pedestrian Operations and Safety Study | February 8, 2017 |
| Stonebridge Filing 3 at Meridian Ranch Updated TIA | March 20, 2017 |
| Meridian Ranch Sketch Plan 2017 Amendment TIA | October 3, 2017 |
| WindingWalk at Meridian Ranch and The Enclave at Stonebridge at Meridian Ranch Updated Traffic Impact Analysis | May 10, 2018 |
| Rolling Hills Ranch at Meridian Ranch PUDSP Traffic Impact Analysis | June 29, 2020 |
| The Estates at Rolling Hills Ranch Filing No. 1 Traffic Impact Analysis | May 13, 2020 |
| Rolling Hills Ranch at Meridian Ranch Filing No. 1 Traffic Impact Analysis | July 14, 2020 |
| The Estates at Rolling Hills Ranch Filing No. 2 Traffic Impact Study | October 8, 2020 |
| Rolling Hills Ranch at Meridian Ranch Filing No. 2 Transportation Memorandum | December 29, 2020 |
| Rolling Hills Ranch at Meridian Ranch Filing No. 3 Transportation Memorandum | June 29, 2021 |
| Meridian Ranch 2021 Sketch Plan Amendment Traffic Impact Study | June 25, 2021 |
| The Sanctuary at Meridian Ranch Transportation Memorandum | May 3, 2022 |
| Grandview Reserve |  |
| Grandview Reserve Updated Master TIA | December 5, 2020 |
| Grandview Reserve Phase 1 TIA | March 8, 2022 |
| Meadowlake Ranch |  |
| Meadowlake Ranch Traffic Impact Analysis | May 29, 2019 |
| Latigo Preserve |  |
| Latigo Preserve Filing No. 10 | March 31, 2022 |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. | Oct-23 |

## Appendix A

## Appendix A

# Trip Generation Rate Estimate <br> Land Use: RV \& Boat Storage 

(LSC Revised 6-15-2023)

LSC estimates of trip-generation rates for the proposed RV \& Boat Storage land use for this project have been based on averages of rates from other studies summarized in the following table:


LSC estimates of trip-generation rates shown in the table above and used to estimate the trip generation for the proposed RV \& Boat Storage land use for this project have been based on averages of rates from the following studies:

Route 52 RV Traffic Impact Study 8/28/2017 by Sustainable Traffic Solutions, Inc.

| Trip Generation Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legathn | Ave 100 tewent | Braxtaur Molser |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Whenclay Eraning |  |  | Guntivy Aphrmeen |  |  |
|  |  | Teta | 15 | Ort | Total | It | Out |
| Fewtatipnas Sermet Solutient | 558 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 10 |
| Anghan Cutieer lharaye | 367 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 15 | 18 |
| Fras | tess | 14 | 5 | $t$ | 5 | 2 | 造: |
| An*rage | 830 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 28 | 15 | 12 |
| Peramape | - | 1005 | 435 | \%r | 1000\% | 195 | 475 |
| Rams trevitu spaces! | - | 284 | 83 | Q48 | 3.32 | 1.75 | 157 |


| Drematimi Sewer Belater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wertity |  |  |  | hantay |  |  |  |
| Inture | \% | Ovt | Thes | Eleval | 17 | oxt | Fintin |
| 1 | t | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 0 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 4 |  |
| 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 0 | $t$ |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 5 | $t$ | 1 | $t$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | $t$ | $\pi$ | 7 | 4 | 0 | $t$ | 4 |
| $\geqslant$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 8 | $\pm$ | $t$ | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Teat | 4 | 3 | - | Texay | 4 | 4 | - |
| Sumbry |  |  |  | Slantoy |  |  |  |
| marver | \% | But | Tstat | Intuna | \% | O. | fote |
| 1 | $-2$ | 1 |  | 1 | 5 | 5 |  |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 5 | 3 |  |
| 3 | $z$ | $z$ |  | 3 | 8 | \% |  |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 4 | $\tau$ | 36 |
| 5 | $\pm$ | 3 | * | 5 | 3 | 2 | 38 |
| 5 | $t$ | 2 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 35 |
| $t$ | 1 | 4 | 18 | 7 | $z$ | $z$ | 27 |
| 8 | 4 | 0 | 樓 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 22 |
| Teta | 68 | 13 | - | Fers | 32 | 38 | - |

## Trip-Generation Analysis for the Proposed Self-Storage and RV Storage Facility at 3701 Pacific Place, Long Beach, California, 2/27/2020 by LSA Associates

Table B: Project Trip Generation (Gate Trip Rates)

| Land Use | Size | Unit | ADT | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Trip Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Storage |  | 100 storage units | 12.90 | 0.53 | 0.40 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.79 | 1.72 |
| RV Storage |  | 100 RV spaces | 17.23 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 1.12 | 2.05 |
| Project Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Storage | 11.00 | 100 storage units | 142 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 19 |
| RV Storage | 5.80 | 100 RV spaces | 100 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| Total |  |  | 242 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 31 |

Trip rates developed from gate data for the Moreno Valley Self Storage and Desert Hot Springs Self Storage and RV Storage facilities (November 2019 to lanuary 2020).
ADT - average daily traffic
$R V=$ recreational vehicle

RV Storage Trip Generation Report - Valley Park, St. Louis, MO, for the RV storage facility to be located at 802 Forest Avenue 1/6/2022 by The Traffic Group

| Source/Land Use |  | Daily |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITE-151 (Trip Generation Manual, 11th Ed.) |  |  |
| Trip Rates | Rate per 100 spaces | 17.96 |
| RV Storage | 265 RV Spaces | 48 |
| Fort Collins - 60\% Reduction |  |  |
| Trip Rates | Rate per 100 spaces | 10.78 |
| RV Storage | 265 RV Spaces | 29 |
| McBride Traffic Study |  |  |
| Trip Rates | Rate per 100 spaces | 10.80 |
| RV Storage | 265 RV Spaces | 29 |
| Long Beach, CA |  |  |
| Trip Rates | Rate per 100 spaces | 17.23 |
| RV Storage | 265 RV Spaces | 46 |
| Aver | Trips for 265 RV Spaces | 38 |

## Appendix B

| Appendix B <br> Trip Generation Rate Estimate Land Use: Outdoor Contractor Storage (LSC Revised November 9, 2023) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Value | Units | Trip Generation Rates |  |  |  |  | Driveway Trips Generated ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average Weekday | A.M. |  | P.M. |  | Average Weekday | A.M. |  | P.M. |  |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | In | Out |  | In | Out | In | Out |
| 2420 Victor Pl, Colorado Springs, CO | 8.690 | Acres | 40.28 | 3.11 | 2.42 | 1.27 | 1.27 | 350 | 27 | 21 | 11 | 11 |
| 1970 S. Valentia St., Greenwood Village, CO | 9.800 | Acres | 52.76 | 1.33 | 1.02 | 4.59 | 2.86 | 517 | 13 | 10 | 45 | 28 |
| 2100 W Stanford Ave, Englewood CO | 5.500 | Acres | 20.00 | 1.64 | 0.55 | 0.73 | 1.09 | 110 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
|  |  | Average | 37.68 | 2.02 | 1.33 | 2.19 | 1.74 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Source: local entering and exiting count data at contractor storage yards in October 2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Additional Attachments

Table 6 from 4-Way Ranch Commercial MTIS with notes by LSC

Source: 4-Way Ranch Commercial Master Traffic Impact Analysis (CS-22-003) December 20, 2022 With notes added November 2023

The trigger is not projected to be met with Phase 1 , however, as existing pavement is available for this turn lane LSC recommends Stapleton Drive be restriped as shown in Figure 6.

| Table 6Roadway Improvements 4-Way Ranch Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item \# | Improvement | Trigger | Timing | Responsibility |
| Roadway Segment Improvements |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Stapleton Drive - US Hwy 24 to Eastonville Road complete southern (eastbound) half | average daily trafic $>18,000$ vehicles per day | Shown in 2040 MTCP | 4-Way Ranch Metro District east of Eastonville Road (El Paso County west of Eastonville Road) |
| 2 | Widen US Hwy 24 to provide two lanes in each direction | dependent on CDOT funding priorities | Shown in US Highway 24 PEL Study; 2040 MTCP | CDOT |
| Eastonville/Stapleton |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Reconstruct as modern roundabout | --- | Shor-Term (under design) | PPRTA Eastonville Phase 1 Projectel Paso County |
| Stapleton/Saybrook Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Construct a westbound left-turn lane on Stapleton Dr approaching Saybrook. This lane should be 375 feet long plus a 200 -foot taper. | westbound left-turn volume > 10 vph | With development of 4-Way Ranch parcels south of Stapleton and west of the drainage area | 4-Way Ranch Commercial |
| 5 | Construct an eastbound right-turn deceleration lane on Stapleton Dr approaching Saybrook Dr. This lane should be 235 feet long plus a 200 -foot taper. | eastbound right-turn volume > 25 vph | With development of 4-Way Ranch parcels south of Stapleton and west of the drainage area | 4-Way Ranch Commercial |
| 6 | Construct an eastbound right-turn acceleration lane on Stapleton Dr at Saybrook Dr. This lane should be 760 feet long plus a 180 -foot taper. | nothbound right-turn volume $>50 \mathrm{vph}$ | With development of 4-Way Ranch parcels south of Stapleton and west of the drainage area | 4-Way Ranch Commercial |
| 7 | Construct an eastbound left-turn lane on Stapleton Dr approaching Saybrook Dr. This lane should be 335 feet long plus a 200-foot taper. | eastbound left-turn volume $>10 \mathrm{vph}$ | With Waterbury Filing Nos. 1 and 2 | Waterbury Phase 1 |
| 8 | Construct a westbound right-turn deceleration lane on Stapleton Dr approaching Saybrook Dr. This lane should be 235 feet long plus a 200 -foot taper. | westbound right-turn volume $>25 \mathrm{vph}$ | With Waterbury Filing Nos. 1 and 2 | Waterbury Phase 1 |
| 9 | Construct a westbound right-turn acceleration lane on Stapleton Dr at Saybrook Dr. This lane should be 760 feet long plus a 180-foot taper. | southbound right-turn volume $>50 \mathrm{vph}$ | With Future Waterbury Filings | Waterbury Phase 1 |
| 10 | Convert from Two-Way, Stop-Sign Control to Signal Control | When Traffic Signal Warrant(s) are met. The decision on timing installation rests with El Paso County | Future | 4-Way Ranch Commercial and Waterbury |
| Stapleton/Dumont Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Construct a westbound left-turn lane on Stapleton Dr approaching Dumont Dr. This lane should be 315 feet long plus a 200 -foot taper. | westbound left-turn volume > 10 vph | With development of 4-Way Ranch parcels south of Stapleton and east of the drainage area | 4-Way Ranch Commercial |
| 12 | Construct an eastbound right-turn deceleration lane on Stapleton Dr approaching Dumont Dr. This lane should be 235 feet long plus a 200 -foot taper. | eastbound right-turn volume $>25 \mathrm{vph}$ | With development of 4-Way Ranch parcels south of Stapleton and east of the drainage area | 4-Way Ranch Commercial |
| 13 | Construct an eastbound right-turn acceleration lane on Stapleton Dr. at Dumont Dr. This lane should be 760 feet long plus a 180 -foot taper. | northbound right-turn volume $>50 \mathrm{vph}$ not projected with Phase 1 | With development of 4-Way Ranch parcels south of Stapleton and east of the drainage area | 4-Way Ranch Commercial |
| 14 | Construct an eastbound left-turn lane on Stapleton Dr approaching Dumont Dr. This lane should be 375 feet long plus a 200 -foot taper. | eastbound left-turn volume > 10 vph | With future Waterbury Phases or with development of 4-Way Ranch parcels noth of Stapleton; potential other development participation, such as if development occurs on the adjacent parcel(s). | 4-Way Ranch Commercial and/or Waterbury |
| 15 | Construct a westbound right-turn deceleration lane on Stapleton Dr approaching Dumont Dr. This lane should be 235 feet long plus a 200-foot taper (or continuous right turn accel/decel. lane). | westbound right-turn volume $>25 \mathrm{vph}$ | With future Waterbury Phases or with development of 4-Way Ranch parcels north of Stapleton; potential other development participation, such as if development occurs on the adjacent parcel(s). | 4-Way Ranch Commercial andor Waterbury Waterbury |
| 16 | Construct a westbound right-turn acceleration lane on Stapleton Dr at Dumont Dr. This lane should be 760 feet long plus a 180 -foot taper (or continuous right turn accel/decel. lane) | southbound right-turn volume $>50 \mathrm{vph}$ | With future Waterbury Phases or with development of 4-Way Ranch parcels north of Stapleton; potential other development participation, such as if development occurs on the adjacent parcel(s). | 4-Way Ranch Commercial Waterbury |
| 17 | Convert from Two-Way, Stop-Sign Control to Signal Control | When Traffic Signal Warrant(s) are met. The decision on timing of traffic signal installation rests with El Paso County | With future Waterbury Phases or with development of 4-Way Ranch parcels north of Stapleton;; potential other development participation, such as if development occurs on the adjacent parcel(s). | 4-Way Ranch Commercial and/or Waterbury |
| Stapleton/US Hwy 24 Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Convert from Two-Way, Stop-Sign Control to Signal Control | When Traffic Signal Warrant(s) are met. The decision on timing of traffic signal installation rests with the Colorado Department of Transportation | Anticipated in the shor-term but likely beyond initial phase of 4-Way Ranch Commercial. It is our understanding that this is on the CDOT list of intersections planned for signalization of intersections planned for signalizatio | CDOT; along with any available escrow collected from area developments through the access permiting process including those within this 4 Way Ranch commercial development |
| 19 | Add northeast-bound dual left-turn lane | As needed with future developments <br> (Will require Stapleton Drive to be widened to two westbound through lanes between US Hwy 24 and Dumont Dr) | At buildout of 4-Way Ranch Commercial initial phase, Grandview Reserve Phase 1 and the Meridian Ranch Sketch Plan 2021 Amendment Area | Area developments as required or potentially escrow participation toward future improvements. |
| 20 | Add other dual left-turn lanes | As needed with future developments (Will require Items Stapleton and US Hwy 24 widened to two through lanes in all directions) | Future | Area developments as required |
| 21 | Potential long-term capacity upgrades (uighandle, a JJ Interchange, etc.) | When level of serrice degrades below acceptable levels | Shown in US Highway 24 PEL Study; | CDOT; along with any available escrow collected from area developments, including this project, through the access permitting process. |

