# Windermere 2022 Zone Change Traffic Impact Study (LSC \#S224090) <br> April 27, 2022 

## Traffic Engineer's Statement

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.


## Developer's Statement

I, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.
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LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.
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April 27, 2022

Todd Stephens
Windsor Ridge Homes
4164 Austin Bluffs Parkway, Suite 361
Colorado Springs, CO 80918

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { RE: } & \text { Windermere } \\
& \text { Zone Change } \\
\text { El Paso County, CO } \\
& \text { Traffic Impact Study } \\
\text { LSC \#S224090 }
\end{array}
$$

Dear Mr. Stephens:
In response to your request, LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this traffic impact analysis for a proposed zone change for a portion of the Windermere residential development. As shown in Figure 1, the site is located north of North Carefree Circle between Marksheffel Road and Antelope Ridge Drive in El Paso County, Colorado. The southern 9-acres of the Windermere development is planned to be rezoned to RM-30. Site access is proposed to Antelope Ridge Drive.

## REPORT CONTENTS

This report presents:

- The existing roadway and traffic conditions in the site's vicinity including the roadway widths, surface conditions, lane geometries, traffic controls, and posted speed limits;
- Current traffic-volume data;
- Estimates of projected 2040 background traffic volumes;
- The projected average weekday and peak-hour vehicle trips to be generated by the proposed development;
- The assignment of the projected site-generated traffic volumes to the area roadways;
- The projected short-term total traffic volumes on the area roadways;
- The projected levels of service at the key intersections in the vicinity of the site;
- The recommendations for roadway improvements to mitigate the traffic impacts;
- The recommended street classifications for the internal streets within the proposed development; and
- The project's obligation to the County roadway improvement fee program.


## Previous Traffic Reports Completed in the Area

LSC completed a traffic impact study (TIS) for the entire Windermere Preliminary Plan (SP-193) dated August 31, 2020. Since completion of that report, a final plat was submitted for 163 lots for single-family homes on the northern 44 acres of the preliminary plan area. The land use and access proposed for Filing 1 are consistent with the preliminary plan TIS. The preliminary plan TIS also assumed an additional 40 lots for single-family homes on the southern 9 -acre parcel.

LSC also completed a traffic study for the Gardens at North Carefree located pest of the site. The latest update was dated October 16, 2018. This study accounts for the land use, trip generation, and roadway network included in that study.

## LAND USE AND ACCESS

As shown in Figure 1, the site is located north of North Carefree Circle between Marksheffel Road and Antelope Ridge Drive. The Chateau at Antelope Ridge residential development is located just north of the site. There are also existing single-family homes west of the site.

Figure 2 shows the site land use and access plan. The southern 9 acres of the Windermere Preliminary Plan area is proposed to be rezoned to RM-30. Under this zoning, the site could be developed with 277 multi-family dwelling units. Access to the site is planned at two fullmovement access points to Antelope Ridge Drive. The south access point would align with the existing south intersection of Pronghorn Meadows Circle. The north access is located about 755 feet north of the south intersection of Pronghorn Meadows/Antelope Ridge and about 675 feet south of the north intersection of Pronghorn Meadows/Antelope Ridge. This access is consistent with what was assumed in the Preliminary Plan TIS.

## PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE ACCESS



Sidewalks are planned on all of the streets interior to the Windermere development. Sidewalks are also planned adjacent to the site along Antelope Ridge Drive and North Carefree Circle, but not along Marksheffel Road.

## ROADWAY AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The roadways in the site's vicinity are shown in Figure 1 and are described below. Copies of the 2016 El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) 2040 Roadway Plan and 2016 MTCP 2060 Corridor Preservation Plan with the site location identified on them have been attached to this report.

North Carefree Circle is a six-lane Principal Arterial. In the vicinity of Antelope Ridge Drive, North Carefree Circle has a posted limit of 35 miles per hour (mph).

Marksheffel Road is a Principal Arterial extending north from the City of Fountain to Woodmen Road. Marksheffel has two through lanes in each direction, plus a raised median south of North Carefree Circle and one through lane in each direction north of North Carefree Circle. The posted speed limit adjacent to the site is 50 mph . Marksheffel Road is ultimately planned to be widened to six lanes and extended north and west from Woodmen Road to connect to Research Parkway at Black Forest Road. Marksheffel Road is shown as a six-lane Principal Arterial adjacent to the site on the 2016 El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) 2040 Roadway Plan and as an Expressway on the 2016 MTCP 2060 Corridor Preservation Plan. Marksheffel Road is planned to be constructed north from Woodmen Road to Vollmer Road in the short-term future.

Antelope Ridge Drive is an Urban Residential Collector that extends north from North Carefree Circle to about one-half mile north of Stetson Hills Boulevard. In the vicinity of the site, Antelope Ridge Drive has one through lane in each direction and a striped center median. The posted speed limit on Antelope Ridge Drive is 35 mph . The intersection of Antelope Ridge Drive/North Carefree Circle is currently stop-sign controlled.

## Intersection Sight Distance

## North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive

The intersection sight distance from the southbound approach to the west along North Carefree at the intersection of North Carefree and Antelope Ridge Drive does not meet the sight distance criteria contained in A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets pp. 657-663 (published by AASHTO) for design speeds of 40 mph or 45 mph . The field-measured sight distance is about 425 to 475 feet and the AASHTO standard is about 568 feet for passenger vehicles, given the geometric conditions and an assumed $40-\mathrm{mph}$ design speed (the posted speed is 35 mph ). The raised center median is about 17 feet wide including the eastbound left-turn lane. The raised center median to the west contains landscaping rock, which contributes to some extent to the limited sight distance.

## Site Access Points

The access point locations on Antelope Ridge Drive meet Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM) criteria for stopping sight distance and intersection sight distance.


Address this tract's

## Crash History

 access also.Two crashes were reported to the Colorado State Patrol (CSP) at the intersection of North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive between 2019 and March 2022. Both crashes occurred in 2019. The first crash was a rear-end crash involving two southbound vehicles. The second crash involved a southbound left-turning vehicle and a westbound through vehicle. A copy of these data is attached for reference.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Figure 3 shows the existing morning and afternoon peak-hour traffic volumes at the intersections of North Carefree Circle/Marksheffel Road, North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive and the south Antelope Ridge Drive/Pronghorn Meadows Circle intersection. The average weekday traffic volumes shown are estimates by LSC, based on traffic counts conducted by LSC in August 2018 and March 2022. The traffic count reports are attached.

## Existing Levels of Service

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of delay at an intersection. Level of service is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A represents control delay of less than 10 seconds for unsignalized and signalized intersections. LOS F represents control delay of more than 50 seconds for unsignalized intersections and more than 80 seconds for signalized intersections. Table 1 shows the level of service delay ranges.

Table 1: Intersection Levels of Service Delay Ranges

|  | Signalized Intersections | Unsignalized Intersections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Service | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds per vehicle) | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds per vehicle) ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| A | 10.0 sec or less | 10.0 sec or less |
| B | $10.1-20.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $10.1-15.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| C | $20.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $15.1-25.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| D | $35.1-55.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $25.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| E | $55.1-80.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $35.1-50.0$ sec |
| F | 80.1 sec or more | 50.1 sec or more |
| For unsignalized intersections, if V/C ratio is greater than 1.0, the level of service is <br> LOS F, regardless of the projected average control delay per vehicle. |  |  |

The intersections of North Carefree Circle/Marksheffel Road and North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive and the south Antelope Ridge Drive/Pronghorn Meadows Circle intersection have been analyzed to determine the existing levels of service based on the unsignalized method of analysis procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition by the Transportation Research Board. The results of the level of service analysis are shown in Figure 3. The level of service reports are attached.

The eastbound left-turn movement at the intersection of North Carefree Circle/Marksheffel Road is currently operating at LOS F during both the morning and afternoon peak hours.

The southbound left-turn movement at intersection of North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive is currently operating at LOS F during the morning peak hour and LOS C during the
afternoon peak hours. These are based on Highway Capacity Manual procedures analysis and not actual delay measured in the field. The limited sight distance at this intersection may have an effect on delay. The limited sight distance also likely has an effect on motorists' decisions to not use this left-turn movement. If the sight distance were better and/or if the intersection were signalized, the volume of left turns would likely be higher.

All movements at the two-way, stop-sign-controlled Pronghorn Meadows Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive south intersection are currently operating at a level of service C or better during the peak hours.

## 2040 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Figure 4 shows the projected 2040 background traffic volumes. Background traffic is the traffic estimated to be on the roadways without the Windermere traffic. The estimates assume the extension of North Carefree Circle east of Marksheffel Road. Background through traffic estimates for North Carefree Circle may be conservative, as traffic increases and the extension of North Carefree Circle into Banning Lewis Ranch will depend largely on the level of growth within Banning Lewis Ranch in this area. Note: The 2040 background traffic volumes account for additional latent southbound left-turn demand at North Carefree/Antelope Ridge Drive, assuming a signal or alternative improvement will be in place, improving the level of service for this turning movement.

## TRIP GENERATION

The site-generated vehicle-trips were estimated using the nationally-published trip-generation rates from Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2022 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Table 2 shows the current trip-generation estimate. Table 2 also shows the trip-generation estimate for Windermere Filing No. 1 that is currently under review.

As shown in Table 2, the 9-acre portion of the Windermere proposed to be rezoned to RM-30 is projected to generate about 1,867 new vehicle trips on the average weekday, with about onehalf of the vehicles entering and one-half of the vehicles exiting in a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., about 27 vehicles would enter and 84 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 4:30 and 6:30 p.m., about 89 vehicles would enter and 52 vehicles would exit the site.

## DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The estimated directional distribution of the site-generated traffic volumes on the adjacent roadways is an important factor in determining the traffic impacts of the site. Figure 5 shows the specific distribution estimates for the short-term and long-term site-generated traffic volumes, respectively. The estimates are based on the following factors: the location of the site with
respect to the regional residential, employment, commercial, and activity centers and the balance of the Colorado Springs area; the land use proposed for the site; the proposed access system for the site; and the roadway system serving the site. The short-term distribution estimate is based on the existing street network and the long-term distribution estimates assume the extension of Barnes Road and North Carefree Circle east of Marksheffel Road into Banning Lewis Ranch.

## recently approved and recorded

When the distrib/4tion kercentages (from Figure 5) are applied to the trip-generation estimates (from Table 2), the site-generated traffic volumes on the adjacent roadways can be determined. Figures 6a and 6b show the short-term site-generated traffic volumes for Windermere Filing No. 1 (currenty under review) and the 9 -acre parcel currently proposed to be rezoned to RM-30, respectively. Figures $7 a$ and 7 b show the long-term site-generated traffic volumes for Windermere Filing No. 1 (currently under review) and the 9 -acre parcel currently proposed to be rezoned to RM-30, respectively.

## SHORT-TERM TOTAL TRAFFIC

Figure 8 shows the projected short-term total traffic volumes. The short-term total traffic volumes are the sum of the existing traffic volumes (from Figure 3) plus the short-term traffic volumes estimated to be generated by development of Windermere Filing No. 1 (from Figure 6a) plus the short-term traffic volumes estimated to be generated by development of the 9 -acre parcel currently proposed to be rezoned to RM-30 (from Figure 6b). The short-term total traffic volumes identify the short-term impacts of the development.

## 2040 TOTAL TRAFFIC

Figure 9 shows the projected 2040 total traffic volumes. The 2040 total traffic volumes are the sum of the 2040 background traffic volumes (from Figure 4) plus the long-term traffic volumes estimated to be generated by the development of Windermere Filing No. 1 (from Figure 7a) plus the long-term traffic volumes estimated to be generated by development of the 9 -acre parcel currently proposed to be rezoned to RM-30 (from Figure 7b).

## PROJECTED INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE

The intersections of North Carefree/Marksheffel, North Carefree/Antelope Ridge, Antelope Ridge/Pronghorn Meadows (south), and the proposed north site-access point to Antelope Ridge were analyzed to determine the projected levels of service for the short-term total and 2040 background and total traffic volumes, based on the unsignalized intersection analysis procedures from the Highway Capacity Manual $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition and/or the Synchro signalized intersection procedures. Figures 4, 8, and 9 show the level of service analysis results. The level of service reports are attached.

## North Carefree/Marksheffel

The eastbound left-turn movement at the intersection of North Carefree Circle/Marksheffel Road is currently operating at LOS F during the morning and afternoon peak hours. The City of Colorado Springs has recently completed a traffic-signal warrant analysis for this intersection and has indicated it will be converted to traffic-signal control, once funding is available. The intersection of North Carefree/Marksheffel is projected to operate at an overall LOS D or better during the peak hours, based on the projected short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes. By 2040, the northbound and eastbound left-turn movements are projected to operate at LOS E during the morning peak hour. These movements have projected delays in the LOS E range simply because they arrive at the traffic signal at the beginning of the red phase at an intersection with many phases and a long cycle length. These movements would not be considered "failing" since their volume-to-capacity ratios are less than one. The justification is that to progress through traffic along an arterial corridor, the traffic signal offsets and left-turn phase times have been adjusted to favor the through band, which can result in higher delay for the left-turn movements even though there is sufficient capacity for them.

## North Carefree/Antelope Ridge

The southbound left turn from the stop-sign-controlled North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive intersection is currently operating at a LOS F during the morning peak hour. With the addition of traffic projected to be generated by development of Windermere Filing No. 1 and the 9 -acre parcel currently proposed to be rezoned to $\mathrm{RM}-30$, this movement is projected to operate at LOS E during the afternoon peak hour. By 2040, the southbound left-turn and right-turn movements and eastbound left-turn movement are projected to operate at LOS F during peak hours, based on both background and total traffic volumes (with or without this project). If signalized, this intersection is projected to operate at a satisfactory level of service. There may also be viable alternatives to signalization of this intersection to improve the level of service.

## Antelope Ridge Full-Movement Access Points

The proposed north full-movement site-access point to Antelope Ridge Drive is projected to operate at LOS D or better for all movements during the peak hours as a stop-sign-controlled intersection, based on the short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes.

The proposed south full-movement site-access point to Antelope Ridge Drive is projected to operate at LOS E during the morning peak hour and LOS C during the during the afternoon peak hour as a stop-sign-controlled intersection, based on the 2040 total traffic volumes.

The morning peak-hour traffic patterns on Antelope Ridge Drive adjacent to the site are highly impacted by the Rocky Mountain Classical Academy located north of the site. A copy of the school carpool plan is attached (may not be the official version). The school Parent-Student Handbook posted online notes that the "City of Colorado Springs, El Paso Country Sheriff's Department, and

District Security Resource Officers have approved our traffic plan." Only right turns are permitted out of the school access during pick-up and drop-off times. To facilitate better traffic flow, school staff meters the exiting vehicles into platoons of up to ten cars per line. LSC staff observed the afternoon pick-up time in September 2021 and based on this recent field observation, operations appear to be generally in accordance with the established plan. The HCM analysis of the site-access points to Antelope Ridge Drive did not account for the "metering" of exiting vehicles from the Rocky Mountain Classical Academy, which helps to create additional gaps in the southbound through traffic. These gaps generally reduce the side-street delay.

## QUEUING ANALYSIS

A queuing analysis was performed using Synchro/SimTraffic to determine if the existing turn lanes at the intersection of North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive will be sufficient to accommodate the projected queues, based on the short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes. The 2040 total morning peak-hour traffic volumes were entered into the Synchro model. The simulation was run five times. The queuing reports are attached.

Based on the projected 2040 total traffic morning peak-hour volumes, the projected maximum southbound queue on Antelope Ridge Drive approaching North Carefree Circle is about 273 feet, assuming the intersection is traffic-signal controlled. If the intersection remains stop-sign controlled the southbound left-turn lane is projected to be blocked during 94 percent of the morning peak hour
Based on the projected 2040 total traffic morning peak-hour volumes, the projected maximum eastbound left-turn queue on North Carefree Circle approaching Antelope Ridge Drive is about 2,483 feet, if the intersection remains two-way stop sign-controlled and 222 feet if the intersection issignalized. The existing eastbound left-turn lane is about 350 feet long.

Is this correct - last

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALSYSIS report was 245'?

The intersection of North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive has been analyzed to determine if either an Eight-Hour or a Four-Hour Vehicular-Volume Traffic-Signal Warrant would be met, based on the short-term or 2040 total traffic volumes. Tables 3 and 4 show a detailed analysis for the short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes, respectively.

The traffic-signal warrant analysis was performed, based on eight hours of manual traffic counts conducted at the intersection of North Carefree/Antelope Ridge in March 2022. Future off-peak traffic volumes were based on hourly variation of traffic data recently released by the Institute of Transportation Engineers. The minor approach volume for the short-term traffic-signal warrant analysis includes all the southbound left-turning vehicles plus about 25 percent of the southbound right-turning vehicles. This is based on the assumption that, if this intersection were signalized, these vehicles would reroute their trips and make a left turn toward Marksheffel Road. The 2040 background and total traffic volumes shown in Figures 4 and 9 already assume this shift
in the existing traffic pattern, so the minor approach volumes shown in Table 4 include only the southbound left-turn movements.

As shown in Table 3, only three of hours analyzed are projected to meet the threshold for the Eight-Hour Vehicular-Volume Traffic-Signal Warrant and only one of the hours analyzed is projected to meet the threshold for the Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Traffic Signal Warrant, based on the short-term total traffic volumes. As shown in Table 4, by 2040, the signal would likely meet a Four-Hour Vehicular-Volume Traffic-Signal Warrant. This intersection may also meet an Eight-Hour Vehicular-Volume Traffic-Signal Warrant by 2040. Seven of the nine hours analyzed are projected to meet the thresholds. The minor-street volume from 12:00 noon to 1:00 p.m. is projected to be 74 and the minor-street volume from 2:00 to 3:00 p.m. is 70 vehicles per hour. These volumes are just below the threshold of 75 vehicles per hour.

Another of the MUTCD traffic-signal warrants is Crash Experience. However, only two crashes were reported at the intersection between 2019 and March 20222 and the minimum number of crashes for this warrant to be met is five.

Note: Once a signal warrant (or warrants) is satisfied, this does not mean that a signal will necessarily be installed. The decision to install a traffic signal rests with El Paso County.

## DEVIATION REQUESTS

There are no deviations proposed to the criteria contained in the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual for the streets within the Windermere development.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Trip Generation

- The 9-acre portion of the Windermere proposed to be rezoned to RM-30 is projected to generate about 1,867 new vehicle trips on the average weekday, with about one-half of the vehicles entering and one-half of the vehicles exiting in a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., about 27 vehicles would enter and 84 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 4:30 and 6:30 p.m., about 89 vehicles would enter and 52 vehicles would exit the site.


## Projected Levels of Service

- The eastbound left-turn movement at the intersection of North Carefree Circle/Marksheffel Road is currently operating at LOS F during the morning and afternoon peak hours. The City of Colorado Springs has recently completed a traffic-signal warrant analysis for this intersection and has indicated it will be converted to traffic-signal control, once funding is
available. The intersection of North Carefree/Marksheffel is projected to operate at an overall LOS D or better during the peak hours, based on the projected short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes. By 2040, the northbound and eastbound left-turn movements are projected to operate at LOS E during the morning peak hour. These movements have projected delays in the LOS E range simply because they arrive at the traffic signal at the beginning of the red phase at an intersection with many phases and a long cycle length. These movements would not be considered "failing" since their volume-to-capacity ratios are less than one. The justification is that to progress through traffic along an arterial corridor, the traffic-signal offsets and left-turn phase times have been adjusted to favor the through band, which can result in higher delay for the left-turn movements, even though there is sufficient capacity for them.
- The southbound left turn from the stop-sign-controlled North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive intersection is currently operating at a LOS F during the morning peak hours. With the addition of traffic projected to be generated by development of Windermere Filing No. 1 and the 9 -acre parcel currently proposed to be rezoned to RM-30, this movement is projected to operate at LOS E during the afternoon peak hour. By 2040, the southbound left-turn and right-turn movements and eastbound left-turn movement are projected to operate at LOS F during peak hours, based on both background and total traffic volumes (with or without this project). If signalized, this intersection is projected to operate at a satisfactory level of service. There may also be viable alternatives to the conventional, four-leg signalized intersection to improve the level of service.
- The proposed north full-movement site-access point to Antelope Ridge Drive is projected to operate at LOS D or better for all movements during the peak hours as a stop-sign-controlled intersection, based on the short-term and 2040 total traffic volumes.
- The proposed south full-movement site-access point to Antelope Ridge Drive is projected to operate at LOS E during the morning peak hour and LOS C during the during the afternoon peak hour as a stop-sign-controlled intersection, based on the 2040 total traffic volumes. The HCM analysis did not account for the "metering" of exiting vehicles from the Rocky Mountain Classical Academy, which helps to create additional gaps in the southbound through traffic. These gaps generally reduce the side-street delay.


## Intersection Sight Distance

- The access-point locations on Antelope Ridge Drive meet ECM criteria for stopping sight distance and intersection sight distance.


## Traffic Signal Warrant

- The intersection of North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive is not projected to meet an Eight-Hour or Four-Hour Vehicular-Volume Traffic-Signal Warrant, based on the projected short-term total traffic volumes. By 2040, but not prior, a Four-Hour VehicularVolume warrant is projected to be met and an Eight-Hour Vehicular-Volume warrant is
projected to be close to being met, based on assumed linear growth rates in through traffic. The timing of a warrant being met will depend, in part, on the growth in through traffic on North Carefree Circle. Refer to the Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis section of this report for additional detail.


## Roadway Improvements

North one already done with Filing 1?

- Based on the short-term total traffic volumes and the criteria contained in the ECM, southbound left-turn lanes are not projected to be warranted on Antelope Ridge Drive approaching the site-access points. However, jh the vicinity of the site, Antelope Ridge Drive has an existing painted median. Antelope Ridge should be restriped to provide southbound left-turn lanes approaching both site-access points. Restriping for exclusive left-turn bays is shown on striping and signing plan sheets submitted with the Filing 1 CD drawing set. Based on a design speed of 40 mph , the ECM prescribes 180 -foot-long left-turn lanes with 160-foot tapers.
- Based on the short-term and 2040 tota/ traffic volumes and the criteria contained in the ECM, a northbound right-turn deceleration lane is projected to be warranted on Antelope Ridge Drive approaching both site-access points. Based on a design speed of 40 mph , these right-turn lanes should be 155 feet long plus a 160-foot taper.
- In order to mitigate the intersection sight-distance deficiency at North Carefree/Antelope Ridge Drive until through volumes are sufficiently high for a traffic-signal warrant to be met, and/or as potential alternatives to signalization, the County could consider the following:
- Reducing eastbound North Carefree to two-through lanes and utilizing the width of the inside lane to create a wider median area. This wider median could be used for a two-stage left-turning movement for southbound left turns. A variation of this concept that might be considered is the use of a "channelized- $T$ " configuration (the access on the south side of the intersection would become a right-in/right-out). The relatively short distance to the Marksheffel intersection may eliminate this option from consideration or require a variation to the traditional channelized $T$ (which uses a left-turn acceleration lane).
- Another option could be to convert the intersection to a "three-quarter movement" by prohibiting the southbound left turn and enhancing the U-turn capability at the intersection to the west. The left-turn lane queuing would need to be evaluated, and treatments could be investigated to deal with the competing northbound right turns, but there are three through lanes and an acceleration lane just east of the Akers intersection, which easily accommodates U-turns of most vehicles. Installation of a raised northbound right-turn island would separate westbound Uturning traffic from northbound right-turning traffic, eliminating this conflict. This concept could be considered a variation of the "Michigan Left" where motorists are forced to turn right and are provided a downstream opportunity to complete a Uturn as opposed to being offered a left-turn opportunity at an intersection.


## Marksheffel/North Carefree Intersection

- The City of Colorado Springs has recently completed a traffic signal-warrant analysis for this intersection and has indicated it will be converted to traffic-signal control, once funding is available.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.

Respectfully Submitted,
LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

By: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon, P.E.
Principal
JCH/KDF:jas
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Tables


| Table 3 <br> Windermere <br> Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis of North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive MUTCD Warrrants 1 and 2 Short-Term Total Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | Existing ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume Evaluation ${ }^{(5)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume Evaluation ${ }^{(5)}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Traffic Volumes  <br> Windermere Fill and <br> Proposed Multi-Family <br> Generated Traffic Estimated Short- <br> Term Total  <br> Volume  |  |  |  |  | Warrant Thresholds |  |  |  | Warrant Threshold Met? |  |  |  | Existing |  | Short-Term |  |
|  |  |  | Condition A | Condition B |  | Existing |  | Short-Term |  | Warrant <br> Threshold <br> Minor <br> Street <br> Minimum | Warrant Theshold Met? | Warrant <br> Threshold <br> Minor <br> Street <br> Minimum | Warrant <br> Theshold Met? |
|  | Major ${ }^{(2)}$ | Minor ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | EB LT | WB RT |  |  |  |  | SB LT | Major ${ }^{(2)}$ | Minor ${ }^{(3)}$ | Major | Minor | Major | Minor | A | B | A | B |
| 6:30-7:30 AM | 655 | 111 | 14 | 14 | 45 | 683 | 156 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | Yes | No | 268 | No | 257 | No |
| 7:30-8:30 AM | 812 | 197 | 23 | 23 | 54 | 858 | 251 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | Yes | No | Yes | No | 197 | Yes | 186 | Yes |
| 11:00-12:00 AM | 446 | 53 | 32 | 32 | 22 | 510 | 75 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | No | 367 | No | 335 | No |
| 12:00-1:00 PM | 475 | 33 | 35 | 35 | 23 | 545 | 56 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | No | 353 | No | 318 | No |
| 2:00-3:00 PM | 756 | 26 | 44 | 43 | 25 | 843 | 51 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | No | 222 | No | 189 | No |
| 3:00-4:00 PM | 871 | 90 | 54 | 52 | 27 | 977 | 117 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | Yes | 182 | No | 156 | No |
| 4:00-5:00 PM | 808 | 56 | 71 | 69 | 30 | 948 | 86 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | Yes | 198 | No | 163 | No |
| 5:00-6:00 PM | 839 | 45 | 73 | 71 | 35 | 983 | 80 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | Yes | 190 | No | 154 | No |
| Number of Hours The Thresholds Are Met Warrant Met? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No |  | No |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Based on counts by LSC in August 2018 and March 2022. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) North Carefree Circle eastbound and westbound left-turn, through, and right-turn volumes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) Antelope Ridge Drive southbound left-turn volume plus $25 \%$ of the southbound right-turn volume. <br> ( $25 \%$ of the southbound right-turn vehicles were assumed to reroute their trips and make a left turn towards Marksheffel Road if this intersection were to be signalized.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (4) Off-peak site-generated traffic volumes are based on 2021 ITE data on the hourly distribution of vehicle trips for Land Uses 210 and 220. <br> (5) Thresholds are based on 2 or more lanes on major approach and 1 lane on minor approach. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Apr-22 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Traff | c Signal | Warrant | Analysis MUTCD $204$ | Table <br> inderm <br> North <br> Narrran <br> Total | efree C <br> and 2 ffic | e/Ante | e Ridge | Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Warrant 1, Eight Hour Vehicular Volume Evaluation ${ }^{(5)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Warrant 2, Four Hour Vehicular Volume Evaluation ${ }^{(5)}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | arrant | eshold |  | Warr | nt Thre | hold | Met? | 2040 Bac | kground | 2040 | Total |
|  | 2040 BackgroundTraffic |  | Windermere Fil 1 and Proposed MultiFamily Generated Traffic ${ }^{(4)}$ |  |  |  |  | Estimated 2040 <br> Total Volume |  | Condition A |  | Condition B |  | $2040$ <br> Background |  | 2040 Total |  | WarrantThresholdMinorStreetMinimum | Warrant <br> Theshold Met? | Warrant <br> Threshold <br> Minor <br> Street <br> Minimum | Warrant Theshold Met? |
|  | Major ${ }^{(2)}$ | Minor ${ }^{(3)}$ | EB LT | WB TH | WB RT | SB LT | SB RT | Major ${ }^{(2)}$ | Minor ${ }^{(3)}$ | Major | Minor | Major | Minor | A | B | A | B |  |  |  |  |
| 6:30-7:30 AM | 2045 | 114 | 23 | 31 | 48 | 40 | 0 | 2147 | 154 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | 80 | Yes | 80 | Yes |
| 7:30-8:30 AM | 1955 | 204 | 28 | 38 | 55 | 44 | 0 | 2038 | 248 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 80 | Yes | 80 | Yes |
| 8:30-9:30 AM | 1319 | 70 | 9 | 12 | 25 | 18 | 0 | 1353 | 88 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | Yes | 80 | No | 80 | Yes |
| 11:00 AM - Noon | 1261 | 64 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 1287 | 75 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | Yes | 94 | No | 91 | No |
| Noon-1:00 PM | 1401 | 47 | 35 | 47 | 29 | 27 | 0 | 1465 | 74 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | No | 80 | No | 80 | No |
| 2:00-3:00 PM | 2117 | 41 | 43 | 59 | 31 | 29 | 0 | 2191 | 70 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | No | 80 | No | 80 | No |
| 3:00-4:00 PM | 2020 | 213 | 53 | 72 | 36 | 33 | 0 | 2109 | 246 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 80 | Yes | 80 | Yes |
| 4:00-5:00 PM | 2234 | 71 | 60 | 82 | 37 | 33 | 0 | 2331 | 104 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | Yes | 80 | No | 80 | Yes |
| 5:00-6:00 PM | 3118 | 69 | 31 | 44 | 25 | 18 | 0 | 3174 | 87 | 600 | 150 | 900 | 75 | No | No | No | Yes | 80 | No | 80 | Yes |
| Number of Hours The Thresholds Are Met Warrant Met? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 |  | 3 |  | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Approaching |  |  |  |  | No |  | Yes |
| Notes: <br> (1) Based on counts <br> (2) North Carefree <br> (3) Antelope Ridge <br> (4) Off-peak site-gen <br> (5) Thresholds are | by LSC in <br> Circle eastb <br> Drive south <br> nerated tra <br> based on 2 | August 20 <br> ound and <br> bound left <br> ffic volume <br> or more la | 18 and westbound -turn volu s are ba nes on m | March 2022 nd left-turn une only. sed on 20 ajor appro | 2. <br> , through <br> 18 ITE da <br> roach and | , and righ <br> ta on the <br> 1 lane on | -turn volu <br> hourly dis <br> minor ap | mes. <br> tribution of proach. | f vehicle trip | for L | Use 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Apr-22 |
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Address significance of project impact ("Significance for unsignalized intersections is defined when backstacking to adjacent intersections would create impeded traffic flows and/or excessive congestion; when added project traffic is determined to create potential safety problems.")

LEGEND:

- = Stop Sign
$8=$ Traffic Signal
$\frac{\mathrm{XX}}{\mathrm{XX}}=\frac{\mathrm{AM} \text { Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (vehicles per hour) }}{\text { PM Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic (vehicles per hour) }}$
$\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{AM}$ Individual Movement Peak-Hour Level of Service PM Individual Movement Peak-Hour Level of Service

Short-Term Total Traffic, Lane Geometry, Traffic Control and Levels of Service


## Traffic Counts

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - N Carefree Cir AM
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/9/2022
Page No : 1

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 06:30 AM | 10 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 51 | 107 |
| 06:45 AM | 19 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 41 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 9 | 0 | 91 | 173 |
| Total | 29 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 65 | 14 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 13 | 0 | 142 | 280 |
| 07:00 AM | 34 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 55 | 11 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 23 | 0 | 127 | 242 |
| 07:15 AM | 47 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 73 | 20 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 41 | 0 | 144 | 326 |
| 07:30 AM | 100 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 128 | 22 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 68 | 0 | 166 | 386 |
| 07:45 AM | 169 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 206 | 34 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 88 | 86 | 0 | 175 | 487 |
| Total | 350 | 1 | 111 | 0 | 462 | 87 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 393 | 218 | 0 | 612 | 1441 |
| Grand Total | 379 | 1 | 147 | 0 | 527 | 101 | 339 | 0 | 0 | 440 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 522 | 231 | 0 | 754 | 1721 |
| Apprch \% | 71.9 | 0.2 | 27.9 | 0 |  | 23 | 77 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.1 | 69.2 | 30.6 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 22 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 0 | 30.6 | 5.9 | 19.7 | 0 | 0 | 25.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 30.3 | 13.4 | 0 | 43.8 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - N Carefree Cir AM
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/9/2022
Page No : 3


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80909 <br> 719-633-2868 

## Default Comments

Change These in The Preferences Window
Select File/Preference in the Main Scree
Then Click the Comments Tab

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| 07:30 AM | 24 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 32 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 29 | 0 | 68 | 131 |
| 07:35 AM | 33 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 49 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 32 | 105 |
| 07:40 AM | 49 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 58 | 11 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 26 | 0 | 53 | 148 |
| 07:45 AM | 50 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 59 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 56 | 149 |
| 07:50 AM | 60 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 70 | 16 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 25 | 1 | 58 | 169 |
| 07:55 AM | 58 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 70 | 10 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 18 | 0 | 45 | 146 |
| Total | 274 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 338 | 61 | 135 | 0 | 2 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 170 | 140 | 1 | 312 | 848 |
| 08:00 AM | 49 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 61 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 35 | 118 |
| 08:05 AM | 26 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 35 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 24 | 82 |
| 08:10 AM | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 52 |
| 08:15 AM | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 31 | 71 |
| 08:20 AM | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 47 |
| 08:25 AM | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 44 |
| 08:30 AM | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 51 |
| 08:35 AM | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 49 |
| 08:40 AM | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 47 |
| 08:45 AM | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 52 |
| 08:50 AM | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 49 |
| 08:55 AM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 53 |
| Total | 133 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 197 | 29 | 223 | 2 | 0 | 254 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 197 | 61 | 2 | 262 | 715 |


| 09:00 AM | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 52 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $09: 05 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 38 |
| $09: 10 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 44 |
| $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 37 |
| $09: 20 \mathrm{AM}$ | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 44 |
| $09: 25 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 39 |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80909 <br> 719-633-2868 

Default Comments
Change These in The Preferences Window
Select File/Preference in the Main Scree
Then Click the Comments Tab

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Total | Right | T | L | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 11:00 AM | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 33 |
| 11:05 AM | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 42 |
| 11:10 AM | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 42 |
| 11:15 AM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 39 |
| 11:20 AM | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 51 |
| 11:25 AM | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 53 |
| 11:30 AM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 43 |
| 11:35 AM | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 52 |
| 11:40 AM | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 49 |
| 11:45 AM | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 29 | 59 |
| 11:50 AM | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 47 |
| 11:55 AM | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 49 |
| Total | 68 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 104 | 27 | 185 | 3 | 2 | 217 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 156 | 71 | 1 | 232 | 559 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 41 |
| 12:05 PM | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 50 |
| 12:10 PM | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 56 |
| 12:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 42 |
| 12:20 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 37 |
| 12:25 PM | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 24 | 44 |
| 12:30 PM | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 1 | 27 | 51 |
| 12:35 PM | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 46 |
| 12:40 PM | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 52 |
| 12:45 PM | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 19 | 39 |
| 12:50 PM | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 47 |
| 12:55 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 25 | 49 |
| Total | 52 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 72 | 33 | 203 | 0 | 3 | 239 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 178 | 60 | 2 | 241 | 554 |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80909 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - N Carefree Cir 2-4 SW
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 4/5/2022
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | T | L | U | App. Toaa | Right | T | L | U | App. Toala | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 02:00 PM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 50 |
| 02:05 PM | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 57 |
| 02:10 PM | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 45 |
| 02:15 PM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 0 | 29 | 61 |
| 02:20 PM | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 27 | 57 |
| 02:25 PM | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 64 |
| 02:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 68 |
| 02:35 PM | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 26 | 88 |
| 02:40 PM | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 13 | 2 | 39 | 102 |
| 02:45 PM | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 17 | 0 | 50 | 96 |
| 02:50 PM | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 18 | 0 | 58 | 99 |
| 02:55 PM | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 19 | 0 | 41 | 83 |
| Total | 84 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 110 | 49 | 312 | 2 | 0 | 363 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 268 | 125 | 2 | 395 | 870 |
| 03:00 PM | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 80 |
| 03:05 PM | 26 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 20 | 0 | 47 | 105 |
| 03:10 PM | 43 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 51 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 26 | 0 | 57 | 131 |
| 03:15 PM | 31 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 41 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 35 | 0 | 62 | 129 |
| 03:20 PM | 24 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 15 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 0 | 41 | 109 |
| 03:25 PM | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 32 | 88 |
| 03:30 PM | 24 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 8 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 13 | 0 | 41 | 104 |
| 03:35 PM | 54 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 72 | 7 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 26 | 132 |
| 03:40 PM | 52 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 67 | 5 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 17 | 0 | 36 | 143 |
| 03:45 PM | 27 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 43 | 5 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 103 |
| 03:50 PM | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 71 |
| 03:55 PM | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 80 |
| Total | 314 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 404 | 90 | 331 | 0 | 0 | 421 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 199 | 0 | 450 | 1275 |
| Grand Total | 398 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 514 | 139 | 643 | 2 | 0 | 784 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 519 | 324 | 2 | 845 | 2145 |
| Apprch \% | 77.4 | 0 | 22.6 | 0 |  | 17.7 | 82 | 0.3 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 61.4 | 38.3 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Total \% | 18.6 | 0 | 5.4 | 0 | 24 | 6.5 | 30 | 0.1 | 0 | 36.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 24.2 | 15.1 | 0.1 | 39.4 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - N Carefree Cir PM
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/16/2022
Page No : 1

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N Carefree Cir } \\ & \text { Eastbound } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 04:00 PM | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 18 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 23 | 0 | 66 | 187 |
| 04:15 PM | 26 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 39 | 21 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 28 | 0 | 81 | 235 |
| 04:30 PM | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 29 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 32 | 0 | 91 | 250 |
| 04:45 PM | 22 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 15 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 30 | 0 | 88 | 251 |
| Total | 79 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 115 | 83 | 399 | 0 | 0 | 482 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 113 | 0 | 326 | 923 |
| 05:00 PM | 19 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 28 | 21 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 31 | 0 | 82 | 247 |
| 05:15 PM | 19 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 22 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 26 | 0 | 104 | 258 |
| 05:30 PM | 14 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 19 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 23 | 0 | 98 | 235 |
| 05:45 PM | 19 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 12 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 26 | 0 | 95 | 197 |
| Total | 71 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 98 | 74 | 386 | 0 | 0 | 460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 106 | 0 | 379 | 937 |
| Grand Total | 150 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 213 | 157 | 785 | 0 | 0 | 942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 486 | 219 | 0 | 705 | 1860 |
| Apprch \% | 70.4 | 0 | 29.6 | 0 |  | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 68.9 | 31.1 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 8.1 | 0 | 3.4 | 0 | 11.5 | 8.4 | 42.2 | 0 | 0 | 50.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.1 | 11.8 | 0 | 37.9 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - N Carefree Cir PM
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/16/2022
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel Rd - N Carefree Cir AM 3-22
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/9/2022
Page No : 1


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel Rd - N Carefree Cir AM 3-22
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/9/2022
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel Rd - N Carefree Cir PM 3-22
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/16/2022
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed- Unshifted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marksheffel Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start <br> Time | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 04:00 PM | 17 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 328 | 96 | 0 | 424 | 49 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 54 | 692 |
| 04:15 PM | 26 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 86 | 0 | 419 | 61 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 75 | 705 |
| 04:30 PM | 21 | 211 | 0 | 1 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 341 | 113 | 0 | 454 | 57 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 62 | 749 |
| 04:45 PM | 32 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 362 | 99 | 0 | 461 | 60 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 69 | 718 |
| Total | 96 | 749 | 0 | 1 | 846 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1364 | 394 | 0 | 1758 | 227 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 260 | 2864 |
| 05:00 PM | 28 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 342 | 111 | 0 | 453 | 49 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 54 | 727 |
| 05:15 PM | 24 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 96 | 0 | 401 | 62 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 77 | 712 |
| 05:30 PM | 26 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 86 | 0 | 368 | 57 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 74 | 684 |
| 05:45 PM | 16 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 64 | 0 | 337 | 62 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 78 | 589 |
| Total | 94 | 776 | 0 | 0 | 870 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1202 | 357 | 0 | 1559 | 230 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 283 | 2712 |
| Grand Total | 190 | 1525 | 0 | 1 | 1716 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2566 | 751 | 0 | 3317 | 457 | 0 | 85 | 1 | 543 | 5576 |
| Apprch \% | 11.1 | 88.9 | 0 | 0.1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 77.4 | 22.6 | 0 |  | 84.2 | 0 | 15.7 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Total \% | 3.4 | 27.3 | 0 | 0 | 30.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 13.5 | 0 | 59.5 | 8.2 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 9.7 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel Rd - N Carefree Cir PM 3-22
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/16/2022
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - S Pronghorn Meadows Dr AM
Site Code : 00184640
Start Date : 8/15/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Antelope Ridge Dr Northbound |  |  |  | S Pronghorn Meadows Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 06:30 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 40 |
| 06:45 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 80 |
| Total | 0 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 120 |


| $07: 00$ | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 100 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $07: 15$ | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 182 |
| $07: 30$ | 0 | 184 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 362 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 198 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 278 |
| Total | 0 | 493 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 922 |


| $08: 00$ | 0 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 79 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 0 | 629 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 412 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 1169 |  |  |  |  |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.3 | 94.7 | 0 | 0 | 10.1 | 0 | 89.9 | 0 | 0 | 7.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - S Pronghorn Meadows Dr AM
Site Code : 00184640
Start Date : 8/15/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Antelope Ridge Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | S Pronghorn Meadows Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 6:30:00 AM to 8:15:00 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 7:00:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00:00 AM | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 100 |
| 7:15:00 AM | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 182 |
| 7:30:00 AM | 0 | 184 | 1 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 362 |
| 7:45:00 AM | 0 | 198 | 3 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 278 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 493 | 4 | 0 | 497 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 365 | 6 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 60 | 922 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3.8 | 96.2 | 0 | 0 |  | 10 | 0 | 90 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 622 | . 333 | . 000 | . 618 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 583 | . 559 | . 000 | . 000 | . 570 | . 375 | . 000 | . 794 | . 000 | . 833 | . 637 |



## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - S Pronghorn Meadows Dr PM
Site Code : 00184640
Start Date : 8/15/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Antelope Ridge Dr Northbound |  |  |  | S Pronghorn Meadows Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 86 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 83 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 71 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 85 |
| Total | 0 | 82 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 325 |


| $17: 00$ | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $17: 15$ | 0 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 115 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 81 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 101 |
| Total | 0 | 107 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 397 |


| Grand Total | 0 | 189 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 722 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 92.6 | 7.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.7 | 81.3 | 0 | 0 | 15.8 | 0 | 84.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - S Pronghorn Meadows Dr PM
Site Code : 00184640
Start Date : 8/15/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Antelope Ridge Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | S Pronghorn Meadows Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 100 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 115 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 81 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 101 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 107 | 4 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 27 | 397 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 17 | 83 | 0 | 0 |  | 22.2 | 0 | 77.8 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 743 | . 333 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 688 | . 802 | . 000 | . 000 | . 799 | . 750 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 844 | . 863 |









| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |




| Major/Minor $\quad$ N | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 447 | 0 | 0 | 453 | 0 | 0 | 1137 | 1454 | 227 | 1058 | 1329 | 161 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1007 | 1007 | - | 322 | 322 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 130 | 447 | - | 736 | 1007 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 5.32 | - | - | 5.34 | - | - | 6.44 | 6.54 | 7.14 | 6.42 | 6.54 | 7.12 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.34 | 5.54 | - | 7.32 | 5.54 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.74 | 5.54 | - | 6.72 | 5.54 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.11 | - | - | 3.12 | - | - | 3.82 | 4.02 | 3.92 | 3.81 | 4.02 | 3.91 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 721 | - | - | 713 | - | - | 212 | 129 | 661 | $\sim 238$ | 154 | $\sim 730$ |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 197 | 317 | - | 579 | 650 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 791 | 572 | - | 344 | 317 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 721 | - | - | 713 | - | - | - | 79 | 661 | $\sim 164$ | 95 | $\sim 730$ |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 79 |  | $\sim 164$ | 95 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 121 | 195 | - | 357 | 650 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 572 |  | $\sim 210$ | 195 | - |  |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 5 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 170.1 |  |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | F |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvm |  |  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 | SBLn2 |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | 721 | - | - | 713 | - | - | 164 | 719 |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | 0.384 | - | - | - | - |  | 1.797 | 1.038 |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | 13.1 | - | - | 0 | - |  | 429.5 | 67.7 |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | B | - | - | A | - | - | F | F |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | 1.8 | - | - | 0 | - |  | 21.5 | 18.5 |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ : Volume exceeds cap | apacity | De | lay exc | eeds 30 |  | +: Com | mutation | Not D | efined | *: All | major v | volume | in platoon |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 43 | 534 | 313 | 898 | 1228 | 72 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 43 | 534 | 313 | 898 | 1228 | 72 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | Free | - | None | - | Yield |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | 350 | - | - | 350 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 87 | 87 | 93 | 93 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 47 | 580 | 360 | 1032 | 1320 | 77 |






Address whether a left turn lane would improve this.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  | 1 | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 25 | 319 | 20 | 5 | 419 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 25 | 319 | 20 | 5 | 419 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 75 | 92 | 92 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 50 | 27 | 425 | 22 | 5 | 559 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1005 | 436 | 0 | 0 | 447 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 436 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 569 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 268 | 620 | - | - | 1113 | - |
| Stage 1 | 652 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 566 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 267 | 620 | - | - | 1113 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 267 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 652 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 564 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 19 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 334 | 1113 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.231 | 0.005 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 19 | 8.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.9 | 0 | - |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 「' | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 44 | 「' |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 43 | 254 | 464 | 1350 | 769 | 133 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 43 | 254 | 464 | 1350 | 769 | 133 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | S | Free | - | None | - | Yield |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | 350 | - | - | 350 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 1 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 93 | 93 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 49 | 292 | 499 | 1452 | 836 | 145 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\leqslant$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 21 | 47 | 0 | 14 | 44 | 227 | 82 | 22 | 122 | 4 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 21 | 47 | 0 | 14 | 44 | 227 | 82 | 22 | 122 | 4 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Star | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 | - | - | 80 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% |  | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 84 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 78 | 78 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 0 | 25 | 51 | 0 | 15 | 52 | 270 | 89 | 24 | 156 | 5 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  | 1 | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 182 | 65 | 17 | 117 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 182 | 65 | 17 | 117 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 34 | 18 | 217 | 71 | 18 | 150 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 439 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 253 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 186 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 575 | 786 | - | - | 1274 | - |
| Stage 1 | 789 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 846 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 567 | 786 | - | - | 1274 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 567 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 789 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 834 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.2 |  | 0 |  | 0.9 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 629 | 1274 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.083 | 0.015 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 11.2 | 7.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0 | - |




|  | 4 |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ |  | А个个 | 「 | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{*}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 222 | 643 | 1443 | 92 | 1 | 204 | 1 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 222 | 643 | 1443 | 92 | 1 | 204 | 1 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 |  | 8 |  | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 20.0 | 68.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 20．0\％ | 68．0\％ | 48．0\％ | 48．0\％ | 32．0\％ | 32．0\％ | 32．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max | None | None | None |
| Act Efft Green（s） | 67.4 | 67.4 | 48.5 | 48.5 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 22.6 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.82 | 0.20 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.58 |
| Control Delay | 41.5 | 6.8 | 21.4 | 3.8 | 27.0 | 54.2 | 10.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 41.5 | 6.8 | 21.4 | 3.8 | 27.0 | 54.2 | 10.3 |
| LOS | D | A | C | A | C | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 16.9 | 20.2 |  | 27.0 |  | 29.2 |
| Approach LOS |  | B | C |  | C |  | C |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 100
Offset： $86(86 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 60
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay： 20.9
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70．8\％
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：4：Antelope Ridge Dr．\＆North Carefree


|  | $4$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | \％ |  | $\frac{1}{\square}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4坐 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 种4 | 「 | 71 | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 性 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 100 | 327 | 420 | 100 | 781 | 154 | 610 | 839 | 50 | 78 | 1418 | 144 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 100 | 327 | 420 | 100 | 781 | 154 | 610 | 839 | 50 | 78 | 1418 | 144 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  | Free |  |  | Free | 6 |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 20.0 |  | 17.0 | 27.0 |  | 28.0 | 71.0 |  | 12.0 | 55.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 8．3\％ | 16．7\％ |  | 14．2\％ | 22．5\％ |  | 23．3\％ | 59．2\％ |  | 10．0\％ | 45．8\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | －2．0 |  | 0.0 | －2．0 |  | 0.0 | －2．0 |  | 0.0 | －2．0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 3.0 |  | 5.0 | 3.0 |  | 5.0 | 3.0 |  | 5.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C－Max |  | None | C－Max |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 21.4 | 18.4 | 120.0 | 31.1 | 23.7 | 120.0 | 23.3 | 70.7 | 120.0 | 56.7 | 52.0 | 120.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 0.59 | 1.00 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.78 | 0.44 | 0.28 | 0.43 | 0.82 | 0.10 | 0.97 | 0.42 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.97 | 0.10 |
| Control Delay | 74.2 | 48.5 | 0.4 | 40.1 | 53.6 | 0.1 | 75.8 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 51.3 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 74.2 | 48.5 | 0.4 | 40.1 | 53.6 | 0.1 | 75.8 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 51.3 | 0.1 |
| LOS | E | D | A | D | D | A | E | B | A | B | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 27.7 |  |  | 44.4 |  |  | 39.2 |  |  | 44.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBTL，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 40.2 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 92．2\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases：5：Marksheffel \＆North Carefree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\psi_{01}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103 |  | $\rightarrow 04$ |  |  |
| 12 s  71 s | 71 s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 s |  | 20 s |  |  |
| $\wedge_{05}$ | $1$ | $06 \text { (R) }$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  | Ø8 |  |  |
| 28 | 55 s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10s | 27 s |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 419 | 4 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 419 | 4 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 | - | 100 | 80 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 80 | 92 | 92 | 80 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 524 | 4 |  |





|  | $y$ |  | $\longleftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | ． | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个性 | А个个 | 「 | $\uparrow$ | \％ | $\hat{\beta}$ |
| Trafic Volume（vph） | 132 | 1534 | 1299 | 103 | 1 | 62 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 132 | 1534 | 1299 | 103 | 1 | 62 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 |  | 8 |  | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 65.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 12．0\％ | 65．0\％ | 53．0\％ | 53．0\％ | 35．0\％ | 35．0\％ | 35．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max | None | None | None |
| Act Efft Green（s） | 81.6 | 82.6 | 68.8 | 68.8 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.6 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.50 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay | 6.4 | 3.4 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 37.0 | 52.5 | 2.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 6.4 | 3.4 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 37.0 | 52.5 | 2.5 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A | D | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 3.7 | 7.7 |  | 37.0 |  | 25.4 |
| Approach LOS |  | A | A |  | D |  | C |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 100
Offset： $86(86 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 40
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.50
Intersection Signal Delay： 6.5
Intersection LOS：A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 77．4\％
ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：4：Antelope Ridge Dr．\＆North Carefree






| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  | Minor2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1668 | 0 | 0 | 678 | 0 | 0 | 1892 | 2952 | 339 | 2397 | 2803 | 760 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1284 | 1284 | - | 1519 | 1519 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 608 | 1668 | - | 878 | 1284 |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 5.32 | - | - | 5.34 | - | - | 6.44 | 6.54 | 7.14 | 6.42 | 6.54 | 7.12 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7.34 | 5.54 | - | 7.32 | 5.54 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6.74 | 5.54 | - | 6.72 | 5.54 |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.11 | - | - | 3.12 | - | - | 3.82 | 4.02 | 3.92 | 3.81 | 4.02 | 3.91 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | $\sim 186$ | - | - | 558 | - | - | 74 | 14 | 561 | $\sim 36$ | 18 | ~301 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 126 | 234 |  | $\sim 87$ | 180 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 410 | 152 |  | $\sim 282$ | 234 |  |

Platoon blocked, \%
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver $\sim 186$ - -558 - - 0 561 - $0 \sim 301$
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver - $\quad$ - $\quad$ - $\quad$ - $\quad-\quad-\quad 126 \quad 0 \quad-\quad-\quad 0$
Stage 1 - - - - - - 126 0 $\quad$ - 180

Stage 2 - - $\quad$ - $\quad$ - $\quad$ - 152 - 0

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s 107.9 | 0 |  | - |  |
| HCM LOS |  | - | - |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | $-\sim 186$ | - | - | 558 | - | - | -301 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | -1.626 | - | - | - | - | - | -1.362 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | $-\$ 349.7$ | - | - | 0 | - | - | -216.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | F | - | - | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | 20.2 | - | - | 0 | - | - |

## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds $300 \mathrm{~s} \quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

|  | $\rangle$ |  | － | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个中t | А个个 | 「 | \＄ | \％ | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 242 | 643 | 1443 | 119 | 1 | 271 | 1 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 242 | 643 | 1443 | 119 | 1 | 271 | 1 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 |  | 8 |  | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 21.0 | 64.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 21．0\％ | 64．0\％ | 43．0\％ | 43．0\％ | 36．0\％ | 36．0\％ | 36．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 62.2 | 62.2 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 27.8 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.90 | 0.21 | 0.70 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.86 | 0.60 |
| Control Delay | 54.6 | 8.9 | 26.9 | 4.2 | 24.0 | 54.9 | 10.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 54.6 | 8.9 | 26.9 | 4.2 | 24.0 | 54.9 | 10.6 |
| LOS | D | A | C | A | C | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 23.0 | 24.9 |  | 24.0 |  | 30.7 |
| Approach LOS |  | C | C |  | C |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 100
Offset： $86(86 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 65
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.90
Intersection Signal Delay： 25.6
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 75．5\％
ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：4：Antelope Ridge Dr．\＆North Carefree





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | r |  | $\uparrow$ |  | T | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 25 | 323 | 21 | 5 | 428 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 25 | 323 | 21 | 5 | 428 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 64 | 94 | 94 | 52 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 49 | 27 | 505 | 22 | 5 | 823 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1349 | 516 | 0 | 0 | 527 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 516 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 833 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 166 | 559 | - |  | 1040 | - |
| Stage 1 | 599 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 427 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 165 | 559 | - | - | 1040 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 165 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 599 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 425 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 29.9 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 219 | 1040 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.345 | 0.005 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 29.9 | 8.5 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | D | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.5 | 0 | - |




|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | － | 4 | T |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 蚛 | 介个个 | 「 | \＄ | \％ | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 197 | 1534 | 1299 | 192 | 1 | 106 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 197 | 1534 | 1299 | 192 | 1 | 106 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 |  | 8 |  | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 65.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 12．0\％ | 65．0\％ | 53．0\％ | 53．0\％ | 35．0\％ | 35．0\％ | 35．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 75.7 | 75.7 | 57.7 | 57.7 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.57 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.63 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay | 12.9 | 5.1 | 13.9 | 2.5 | 33.0 | 53.1 | 6.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 12.9 | 5.1 | 13.9 | 2.5 | 33.0 | 53.1 | 6.9 |
| LOS | B | A | B | A | C | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.1 | 12.4 |  | 33.0 |  | 29.3 |
| Approach LOS |  | A | B |  | C |  | C |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 100
Offset： $86(86 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 40
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.63
Intersection Signal Delay： $10.5 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79．8\％ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：4：Antelope Ridge Dr．\＆North Carefree





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  | 1 | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 207 | 67 | 15 | 137 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 207 | 67 | 15 | 137 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 34 | 18 | 246 | 73 | 16 | 163 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 478 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 319 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 283 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 195 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 546 | 756 | - | - | 1241 | - |
| Stage 1 | 765 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 838 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 539 | 756 | - | - | 1241 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 539 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 765 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 827 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.6 |  | 0 |  | 0.7 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 600 | 1241 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.087 | 0.013 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 11.6 | 7.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0 | - |

## Queuing Reports

Intersection: 4: Antelope Ridge Dr. \& North Carefree


Does this account for traffic obeying the striped median (all traffic in one lane north of the left turn bay)?

Intersection: 4: Antelope Ridge Dr. \& North Carefree

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | TR | LT | T | T | R | LTR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 222 | 134 | 92 | 194 | 336 | 352 | 368 | 82 | 12 | 273 | 134 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 104 | 54 | 24 | 67 | 143 | 166 | 174 | 31 | 1 | 157 | 60 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 177 | 109 | 65 | 133 | 318 | 347 | 354 | 68 | 11 | 242 | 102 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 355 | 355 | 355 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 140 |  | 503 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 330 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 4: Antelope Ridge Dr. \& North Carefree

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | LTR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 186 | 22 | 12 | 354 | 508 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 88 | 3 | 2 | 317 | 420 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 159 | 15 | 12 | 429 | 711 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 930 | 140 |  | 503 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 170 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 350 |  |  | 330 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 84 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 95 | 0 |

Intersection: 4: Antelope Ridge Dr. \& North Carefree

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | TR | LT | T | T | R | LTR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 186 | 172 | 153 | 259 | 259 | 285 | 294 | 121 | 24 | 156 | 63 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 82 | 62 | 36 | 109 | 79 | 95 | 102 | 35 | 2 | 83 | 35 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 147 | 131 | 108 | 220 | 202 | 229 | 230 | 80 | 12 | 140 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 355 | 355 | 355 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 140 |  | 503 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 330 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crash History

4/15/2019 ANTELOPE RIDGE RD N CAREFREE CIR

5/3/2019 ANTELOPE RIDGE DR N CAREFREE CIR with North Carefree. Vehicle 1 was traveling south on Antelope Ridge Road directly behind vehicle 2. Vehicle 1 did not stop and collided with the rear of vehicle 2. Both vehicles came to rest at place of impact.
Vehicle \#1 was facing southbound on Antelope Ridge Dr stopped at a stop sign at the intersection on the north side of North Carefree Cir. Vehicle \#2 was traveling westbound on North Carefree Cir in the \#2 lane approaching the intersection of Antelope Ridge Dr. Vehicle \#1 preceeded from the stop and entered the intersection to make a left turn onto eastbound North Carefree Cir. Vehicle \#1 struck Vehicle \#2 with the front of the vehicle into the passenger side of Vehicle \#2. Both vehicles were moved prior to my

## MTCP Maps



Map 14: 2040 Roadway Plan (Classification and Lanes)


## Additional Attachments

Rocky Mountain Classical Academy Carpool Plan and Key Pages from the Parent-Student Handbook

TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

## Morning Carpool Drop-Doors open at 7:30am Classes start at 8:00am




## PRESCHOOL

- If Preschooler has a $K-8$ sibling drop off between 7:45 and Bam ( $\mathrm{K}-8$ students come in with pre-school and continue to appropriate locations
- Preschool without $\mathrm{K}-8$ sibling drop off between 8 and 8:15 am
- Must come in from the north Barnessentrance
*Starting at 7:30, staff will open access to the parking lot for student drop-off.
*Staff will direct you to the lane for entry. Stay in the lane to which you are you directed.
*NEVER CROSS OR CHANGE LANES WHEN ENTERING AND/OR EXITING CAR POOL.
*NEVER DROP STUDENTS OFF IN BUS LANE
*RIGHT TURN ONLY TO EXIT after drop-off.
${ }^{*}$ Never park in a drop off lane and exit your car
*Do not threaten RMCA staff, other drivers or students.
*The City of Colorado Springs \& El Paso County Sherriff's Department have approved this traffic plan. Keep our students, faculty, and yourself safe by following all procedures.

Violating any of the carpool rules creates serious safety hazards and drivers who do not comply may have their carpool privileges revoked by administration. These drivers would need to make other arrangements for drop-off.

## Begood to Our Neiahbors!

Please note that Antelope Ridge to our east, and Falcon Ridge to our west are PRIVATE PROPERTIES! Do not drive though, park in, or walk through these properties. You will be ticketed or towed.
Our neighbors to the south in Whispering Pines need access to their driveways and streets. We ask that you do not park in front of their homes, block driveways or roadways
If you do not live in the neighborhood and walk to school, please use carpool




- Dlaplay your carpool numbers on the front deshboord of your car.

- if pou have childien in both K-4 and 5-8, the K-4 younger dbilngs will to their writters room until the 5th-8th grade



 to encr st the eflof of our flldind luoth


 the City of Colorado and EI Peso Sharill Dapartmant's approwed trailic plar.




## CLASSICAL ACADEMY <br> 

## Parent-Student Handbook

2020-2021

## SCHOOL CONTACT INFORMATION

Pre-K-8 CAMPUS
4620 Antelope Ridge
Colorado Springs, CO 80922
PHONE: 719-622-8000
FAX: 719-622-8004

OFFICE HOURS:
Monday - Friday 7:30am - 4:00pm

SCHOOL HOURS:
Full-day kindergarten, first thru fourth grade:
Monday-Friday from 8:00am - 3:00pm
Fifth thru eighth grade:
Monday-Friday from 8:00am - 3:30pm

Homeschool Program Kindergarten - High School
"HOMESCHOOL CAMPUS"
RMCA Home School Program
3525 Akers Drive, Suite 100
Colorado Springs, CO 80922
PHONE: 719-591-5666
FAX: 719-591-5777

SCHOOL HOURS:
Monday-Friday from 8:00am - 3:00pm
OFFICE HOURS:
Monday-Friday from 8:00am-4:00pm

Website: www.rmcacs.org
Email: info@rmcacs.org
following link to D49's website: http://www.d49.org/sf/feeforservicebus/Pages/defalut.aspx.

## Carpool and Traffic Safety

## Elementary (K-5)

Upon enrollment, you will be given a carpool number. Please display in a visible location on your dashboard during carpool pickup. If you lose your carpool display number, you may obtain another from the front office at your child's campus.

## Carpool Procedures (See Appendix C for carpool maps)

RMCA operates two carpools each day, one for morning drop off, and one for afternoon pickup. Our City of Colorado Springs, El Paso County Sheriff's Department, and District Security Resource Officers have approved our traffic plan. Please follow all procedures, because doing so ensures the safest possible movement of over 2,200 people and 860 cars in both daily carpools. Our traffic flows best when we release blocks of up to ten cars per line. This sometimes causes short personal delays, but it makes overall carpool time shrink.

Many of the streets off of Antelope Ridge Drive are not public or city streets. Do not park in our bordering neighborhoods and wait for students. Doing so violates privately owned communities, prevents our neighbors from safely navigating to and from home, and potentially disrupts emergency services. Please support RMCA in this process as we strive to be good neighbors.

Violating any of the carpool rules creates serious safety hazards and drivers who do not comply may have their carpool privileges revoked. These drivers will need to make other arrangements for the drop off and pick up of their child.

RMCA operates a staff parking lot. Staff cars have been designated staff parking stickers. Cars not displaying a staff parking sticker and parked in the staff lot may be towed at the vehicle owners expense. Except for AM/PM Kindergarten pick up, please do not park in the carpool lane closest to the school during the school day. This creates a safety hazard for the school. Please utilize the visitor parking at all times.

## Morning Carpool Procedures

Starting at 7:30am, staff will open access to the parking lot for student drop-off. Staff will direct you into a lane for entry. Stay in the lane you are directed into.
$\square$ Never cross over or change lanes when entering and/or exiting carpool.

- Never drop off students in the bus lane.
$\square$ Right turns onto Antelope Ridge only to exit after drop-off.
$\square$ No cell phone use.
$\square$ Never park in a drop off lane and exit your car.
$\square$ Do not engage in conflict with staff or fellow drivers.
$\square$ If there is a carpool violation, please refer that to the school administration


## Afternoon Carpool Procedures

Only PreK-5 $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students have carpool numbers. Display these on the front dashboard of your car. Kindergarten through $-4^{\text {th }}$ grades dismiss at $3: 00 \mathrm{pm}$. Older siblings go to youngest sibling's carpool line. If you have children in both $\mathrm{K}-4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$, the $\mathrm{K}-4^{\text {th }}$ younger siblings will wait inside for the $5^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade sibling to pick them up after the $5^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ sibling dismisses at $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. All siblings then go to the youngest $5^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade student'sline.

Enter the parking lot from the designated direction as displayed on the map you receive during the enrollment process.

Kindergarten and $5^{\text {th }}$ use the "yellow" lane that goes behind the school.
$1^{\text {st }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ graders use the "purple" lane closest to thebuilding.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ graders use the "green" middle lane in front of thebuilding.
$3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ graders use the "blue" lane closest to the street. Once your children load into your car, wait for Staff to direct you to pull forward. Do not pull around any cars. RMCA reserves the legal right to deny violators the privilege of carpool.
Only RIGHT TURNS are permitted to exit the parking lot during carpool and right turns only are accepted by the City of Colorado Springs and El Paso Sheriff Department's approved traffic plan.

Parents of 5th-8th graders: Wait until at least $3: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ to enter the line. If you arrive before elementary carpool is complete, you will be directed to leave the parking lot and return to the end of the line. This allows elementary parents arriving at the end of elementary carpool to pick up their children without disrupting middle school traffic.

## Walkers

## K-8 Campus

Your student may not walk home without prior, written notification from a parent or guardian on the family dismissal plan.

## Someone Else Picking Up Your

## Student(s)

