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LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.
2504 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
(719) 633-2868

FAX (719) 633-5430
E-mail: Isc@lsctrans.com
Website: http://www.Isctrans.com

June 11, 2024

Todd Stephens
Windsor Ridge Homes
4164 Austin Bluffs Parkway, Suite 361
Colorado Springs, CO 80918

RE: Windermere Zone Change El Paso County, CO Traffic Impact Study PCD File No.: P229 \& SP223 LSC \#S224091

Dear Mr. Stephens:

In response to your request, LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. has prepared this traffic impact analysis for a proposed zone change for a portion of the Windermere development. As shown in Figure 1, the site is located north of North Carefree Circle between Marksheffel Road and Antelope Ridge Drive in El Paso County, Colorado. The southern 9 acres of the Windermere development is planned to be rezoned to allow for multifamily-residential land uses. Site access is proposed to Mardale Lane.

This report contains notations to reflect the recent outcome of coordination with the City of Colorado Springs. The City has indicated that an escrow of $\$ 100,000$ toward the cost of installation of a traffic signal will be required rather than the temporary channelized-T intersection.

## REPORT CONTENTS

This report presents:

- The existing roadway and traffic conditions in the site's vicinity including the roadway widths, surface conditions, lane geometries, traffic controls, and posted speed limits;
- Current traffic-volume data;
- Estimates of projected 2026 and 2044 background traffic volumes;
- The projected average weekday and peak-hour vehicle trips to be generated by the proposed development;
- The assignment of the projected site-generated traffic volumes to the area roadways;
- The projected short-term and long-term total traffic volumes on the area roadways;
- The projected levels of service at the key intersections in the vicinity of the site;
- The recommendations for roadway improvements to mitigate the traffic impacts;
- The project's obligation to the County roadway improvement fee program; and
- The project's obligation to the City of Colorado Springs for participation in the cost of traffic signal installation at the North Carefree/Antelope Ridge Drive intersection.


## Previous Traffic Reports Completed in the Area

LSC completed a traffic impact study (TIS) for the entire Windermere Preliminary Plan (SP-193) dated August 31, 2020. Since completion of that report, a final plat was submitted and approved for 163 lots for single-family homes on the northern 44 acres of the preliminary plan area. The land use and access proposed for Filing 1 are consistent with the preliminary plan TIS. The preliminary plan TIS also assumed an additional 40 lots for single-family homes on the southern 9 -acre parcel.

LSC also completed a traffic study for the Gardens at North Carefree located southwest of the site. The latest update was dated October 16, 2018. This study accounts for the land use, trip generation, and roadway network included in that study.

## LAND USE AND ACCESS

As shown in Figure 1, the site is located north of North Carefree Circle between Marksheffel Road and Antelope Ridge Drive. The Chateau at Antelope Ridge residential development is located just north of the site. There are also existing single-family homes west of the site.

Figure 2 shows the site land use and access plan. The southern 9 acres of the Windermere Preliminary Plan area is proposed to be developed for 150 townhome units. There are two existing full-movement access points to Antelope Ridge Drive for the overall Windermere development. The south access point (Mardale Lane) aligns with the existing south intersection of Pronghorn Meadows Circle. The north access (Borrowdale Lane) is located about 755 feet north of the south intersection of Pronghorn Meadows/Antelope Ridge and about 675 feet south of the north intersection of Pronghorn Meadows/Antelope Ridge.

Access for the parcel proposed to be rezoned would be via two full-movement access points to Mardale Lane. The west access would align with Ryedale Way about 210 feet east of Antelope Ridge Drive and the east access would form the south leg of the intersection of Mardale Lane and Wyedale Way. If the westbound vehicle queue on Mardale Lane approaching Antelope Ridge Drive extends beyond Ryedale Way on a regular basis, access for the site aligning with Ryedale Way may be restricted to right-in/right-out only.

## PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE ACCESS

Sidewalks are planned on all of the streets interior to the Windermere development. Sidewalks are also planned adjacent to the site along Antelope Ridge Drive and North Carefree Circle, but not along Marksheffel Road.

## ROADWAY AND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The roadways in the site's vicinity are shown in Figure 1 and are described below. Copies of the 2016 El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) 2040 Roadway Plan and 2016 MTCP 2060 Corridor Preservation Plan with the site location identified on them have been attached to this report.

North Carefree Circle is a six-lane Principal Arterial. In the vicinity of Antelope Ridge Drive, North Carefree Circle has a posted limit of 35 miles per hour (mph).

Marksheffel Road is a Principal Arterial extending north from the City of Fountain to Woodmen Road. Marksheffel has two through lanes in each direction, plus a raised median south of North Carefree Circle and one through lane in each direction north of North Carefree Circle. The posted speed limit adjacent to the site is 50 mph . Marksheffel Road is ultimately planned to be widened to six lanes and extended north and west from Woodmen Road to connect to Research Parkway at Black Forest Road. Marksheffel Road is shown as a six-lane Principal Arterial adjacent to the site on the 2016 El Paso County Major Transportation Corridors Plan (MTCP) 2040 Roadway Plan and as an Expressway on the 2016 MTCP 2060 Corridor Preservation Plan. Marksheffel Road is planned to be constructed north from Woodmen Road to Vollmer Road in the short-term future.

Antelope Ridge Drive is an Urban Residential Collector that extends north from North Carefree Circle to about one-half mile north of Stetson Hills Boulevard. In the vicinity of the site, Antelope Ridge Drive has one through lane in each direction and a striped center median. The posted speed limit on Antelope Ridge Drive is 35 mph . The intersection of Antelope Ridge Drive/North Carefree Circle is currently stop-sign controlled.

## Sight Distance Analysis

Figure 3 shows the stopping sight-distance analysis at the site-access points to Mardale Lane (Urban Local). Intersection sight-distance analysis was not analyzed for these intersections as guidance from the Colorado Department of Transportation 2018 Roadway Design Guide and $A$ Policy on Geometric Design of Highway and Street, 7th Edition published by AASHTO indicate that intersection sight distance is not applicable to local urban/residential streets. See the attached Appendix A for further details. Based on a design speed of 25 miles per hour ( mph ) and the criteria contained in Table 2-17 of the Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), the required stopping sight distance approaching the access points is 155 feet. As shown in Figure 3, the stopping sight distance can be met at both of the proposed access points. The line of sight for the intersection of Mardale/Wyedale will need to be kept clear of any sight distance obstructions. This includes landscaping, signage, etc. proposed for the development.

## Crash History

A three-year crash history request was submitted to CSP in late July 2023. One single vehicle crash was reported at Antelope Ridge Drive/North Carefree Circle in 2020. Two crashes were reported in 2022 near the Antelope Ridge Drive/Pronghorn Meadows Circle. Only one crash occurred between two vehicles at this intersection. An eastbound motorist did not yield right-ofway to a southbound vehicle.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Figure 4 shows the existing morning and afternoon peak-hour traffic volumes at the intersections of North Carefree Circle/Marksheffel Road, North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive and the south Antelope Ridge Drive/Pronghorn Meadows Circle intersection. The average weekday traffic volumes shown are estimates by LSC, based on traffic counts conducted by LSC in August 2018 and March 2022. The traffic count reports are attached.

## Existing Levels of Service

Level of service (LOS) is a quantitative measure of the level of delay at an intersection. Level of service is indicated on a scale from "A" to "F." LOS A represents control delay of less than 10 seconds for unsignalized and signalized intersections. LOS F represents control delay of more than 50 seconds for unsignalized intersections and more than 80 seconds for signalized intersections. Table 1 shows the level of service delay ranges.

Table 1: Intersection Levels of Service Delay Ranges

|  | Signalized Intersections | Unsignalized Intersections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Service | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds per vehicle) | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds per vehicle) |
| A | 10.0 sec or less | 10.0 sec or less |
| B | $10.1-20.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $10.1-15.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| C | $20.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $15.1-25.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| D | $35.1-55.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $25.1-35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| E | $55.1-80.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $35.1-50.0 \mathrm{sec}$ |
| F | 80.1 sec or more | 50.1 sec or more |

For unsignalized intersections, if $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio is greater than 1.0 , the level of service is LOS F, regardless of the projected average control delay per vehicle.

The intersections of North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive and the south Antelope Ridge Drive/ Pronghorn Meadows Circle intersection have been analyzed to determine the existing levels of service based on the unsignalized method of analysis procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition by the Transportation Research Board. The results of the level of service analysis
are shown in Figure 4. The recently signalized intersection of North Carefree Circle/Marksheffel Road has been analyzed using Synchro. The level of service reports are attached.

The intersection of North Carefree Circle/Marksheffel Road was recently converted to traffic-signal control by the City of Colorado Springs. As a signalized intersection, all movements are currently operating at LOS D or better during the peak hours.

The southbound left-turn movement at intersection of North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive is currently operating at LOS F during the morning peak hour and LOS C during the afternoon peak hours. These are based on Highway Capacity Manual procedures analysis and not actual delay measured in the field. The limited sight distance at this intersection may have an effect on delay. The limited sight distance also likely has an effect on motorists' decisions to not use this left-turn movement. If the sight distance were better and/or if the intersection were signalized, the volume of left turns would likely be higher.

All movements at the two-way, stop-sign-controlled Pronghorn Meadows Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive south intersection are currently operating at a level of service C or better during the peak hours.

## BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Background traffic is the traffic estimated to be on the roadways without the Windermere traffic. Figure 5 shows the projected 2026 background traffic volumes. The 2026 background volumes assume an annual growth rate of 2.5 percent for through traffic plus traffic assumed to be generated by buildout of Windermere Filing No. 1. Note: The 2026 background traffic volumes account for additional latent southbound left-turn demand at North Carefree/Antelope Ridge Drive, assuming a signal will be in place, improving the level of service for this turning movement. Figure 6 shows the projected 2044 background traffic volumes. The estimates assume the extension of North Carefree Circle east of Marksheffel Road. Background through traffic estimates for North Carefree Circle may be conservative, as traffic increases and the extension of North Carefree Circle into Banning Lewis Ranch will depend largely on the level of growth within Banning Lewis Ranch in this area. assuming a signal or alternative improvement will be in place, improving the level of service for this turning movement.

## TRIP GENERATION

The site-generated vehicle-trips were estimated using the nationally-published trip-generation rates from Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2022 by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Table 2 shows the current trip-generation estimate. Table 2 also shows the trip-generation estimate assumed for this same parcel in the traffic study done for the Windermere Preliminary Plan dated October 31, 2020.

As shown in Table 2, the 9-acre portion of the Windermere proposed to be rezoned is projected to generate about 1,080 new vehicle trips on the average weekday, with about one-half of the vehicles
entering and one-half of the vehicles exiting in a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., about 18 vehicles would enter and 54 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 4:30 and 6:30 p.m., about 50 vehicles would enter and 36 vehicles would exit the site.

## DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT

The estimated directional distribution of the site-generated traffic volumes on the adjacent roadways is an important factor in determining the traffic impacts of the site. Figure 7 shows the specific distribution estimates for the short-term and long-term site-generated traffic volumes, respectively. The estimates are based on the following factors: the location of the site with respect to the regional residential, employment, commercial, and activity centers and the balance of the Colorado Springs area; the land use proposed for the site; the proposed access system for the site; and the roadway system serving the site. The short-term distribution estimate is based on the existing street network and the long-term distribution estimates assume the extension of Barnes Road and North Carefree Circle east of Marksheffel Road into Banning Lewis Ranch.

When the distribution percentages (from Figure 7) are applied to the trip-generation estimates (from Table 2), the site-generated traffic volumes on the adjacent roadways can be determined. Figures 8 and 9 show the short-term and long-term site-generated traffic volumes for the 9 -acre parcel currently proposed to be rezoned, respectively.

## 2026 TOTAL TRAFFIC

Figure 10 shows the projected 2026 total traffic volumes. The 2026 total traffic volumes are the sum of the 2026 background traffic volumes (from Figure 5) plus the short-term traffic volumes estimated to be generated by development of the 9 -acre parcel currently proposed to be rezoned (from Figure 8). The 2026 total traffic volumes identify the short-term impacts of the development.

## 2044 TOTAL TRAFFIC

Figure 11 shows the projected 2044 total traffic volumes. The 2044 total traffic volumes are the sum of the 2044 background traffic volumes (from Figure 6) plus the long-term traffic volumes estimated to be generated by the development of the 9 -acre parcel currently proposed to be rezoned (from Figure 9).

## PROJECTED INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE

The intersections of North Carefree/Marksheffel, North Carefree/Antelope Ridge, Antelope Ridge/Pronghorn Meadows (south)/Mardale Lane, and Antelope Ridge/Borrowdale Lane were analyzed to determine the projected levels of service for the 2026 total and 2044 background and total traffic volumes, based on the unsignalized intersection analysis procedures from the

Highway Capacity Manual $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition and/or the Synchro signalized intersection procedures. Figures 5, 9, and 10 show the level of service analysis results. The level of service reports are attached.

## North Carefree Circle/Marksheffel Road

The intersection of North Carefree/Marksheffel was recently converted to traffic-signal control by the City of Colorado Springs. As a signalized intersection it is projected to operate at an overall LOS D or better during the peak hours, based on the projected 2026 and 2044 total traffic volumes. By 2044, the northbound and eastbound left-turn movements are projected to operate at LOS E during the morning peak hour. These movements have projected delays in the LOS E range simply because they arrive at the traffic signal at the beginning of the red phase at an intersection with many phases and a long cycle length. These movements would not be considered "failing" since their volume-to-capacity ratios are less than one. The justification is that to progress through traffic along an arterial corridor, the traffic signal offsets and left-turn phase times have been adjusted to favor the through band, which can result in higher delay for the left-turn movements even though there is sufficient capacity for them.

## North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive

The previous version of this report recommended the intersection of North Carefree/Antelope Ridge be reconfigured as an interim/temporary channelize " $T$ " intersection. In August 2023, the City of Colorado Springs indicated that an escrow of $\$ 100,000$ toward the cost of installation of a traffic signal will be required rather than the temporary channelized $T$ intersection. The City has also indicated that a signal-warrants study was done in January of 2023 for this intersection and that both peak-hour signal warrants ( $3 \mathrm{~A} \& 3 \mathrm{~B}$ ) meet the signal warrants. This intersection is projected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hours as a signal-controlled intersection, based on the projected 2026 and 2044 total traffic volumes.

## Antelope Ridge Drive/Borrowdale Lane

The intersection of Antelope Ridge Drive/Borrowdale Lane is projected to operate at LOS D or better for all movements during the peak hours as a stop-sign-controlled intersection, based on the 2026 and 2044 total traffic volumes.

## Antelope Ridge Drive/South Pronghorn Meadows Circle/Mardale Lane

The intersection of Antelope Ridge Drive/South Pronghorn Meadows Circle/Mardale Lane is projected to operate at LOS D during the morning peak hour and LOS B during the during the afternoon peak hour as a stop-sign-controlled intersection, based on the 2044 total traffic volumes.

The morning peak-hour traffic patterns on Antelope Ridge Drive adjacent to the site are highly impacted by the Rocky Mountain Classical Academy located north of the site. A copy of the school
carpool plan is attached (may not be the official version). The school Parent-Student Handbook posted online notes that the "City of Colorado Springs, El Paso County Sheriff's Department, and District Security Resource Officers have approved our traffic plan." Only right turns are permitted out of the school access during pick-up and drop-off times. To facilitate better traffic flow, school staff meter the exiting vehicles into platoons of up to ten cars per line. LSC staff observed the afternoon pick-up time in September 2021 and based on this recent field observation, operations appear to be generally in accordance with the established plan. The HCM analysis of the site-access points to Antelope Ridge Drive did not account for the "metering" of exiting vehicles from the Rocky Mountain Classical Academy, which helps to create additional gaps in the southbound through traffic. These gaps generally reduce the side-street delay.

## QUEUING ANALYSIS

A queuing analysis was performed using Synchro/SimTraffic to determine if the existing turn lanes at the intersection of North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive will be sufficient to accommodate the projected queues, based on the 2044 total traffic volumes. An analysis was also completed for the westbound approach at the Antelope Ridge/Mardale intersection. The 2044 total peak-hour traffic volumes were entered into the Synchro model. The simulation was run five times for each scenario. The queuing reports are attached.

## North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive

It was assumed that the intersection of North Carefree Circle/Antelope Ridge Drive will be converted to traffic-signal control by the time the site is built out. Based on the 2044 total traffic volumes, the southbound maximum left-turn queue on Antelope Ridge Drive approaching North Carefree Circle is projected to be 261 feet long during the morning peak hour and 158 feet long during the afternoon peak hour. This maximum queue could be accommodated by the existing 300 -foot southbound left-turn lane. Based on the 2044 total traffic volumes, the maximum southbound right-turn queue is projected to be 158 feet long during the morning peak hour and 60 feet long in the afternoon peak hour. This queue is not projected to block the intersection of Antelope Ridge/South Pronghorn Meadows Circle/Mardale.

## Antelope Ridge Drive/Mardale Lane

The maximum westbound queue on Mardale Lane approaching Antelope Ridge Drive is 62 feet during the morning peak hour and 63 feet during the afternoon peak hour. This queue would not block the first intersection to the east (Ryedale Way).

## DEVIATION REQUESTS

There are no deviations proposed to the criteria contained in the EI Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual for the streets within the Windermere development.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Trip Generation

- The 9-acre portion of the Windermere proposed to be rezoned is projected to generate about 1,080 new vehicle trips on the average weekday, with about one-half of the vehicles entering and one-half of the vehicles exiting in a 24 -hour period. During the morning peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 6:30 and 8:30 a.m., about 18 vehicles would enter and 54 vehicles would exit the site. During the afternoon peak hour, which generally occurs for one hour between 4:30 and 6:30 p.m., about 50 vehicles would enter and 36 vehicles would exit the site.


## Projected Levels of Service

- The City of Colorado Springs recently converted the intersection of North Carefree/Marksheffel to signal control. As a signal-controlled intersection, it is projected to operate at an overall LOS D or better during the peak hours, based on the projected 2026 and 2044 total traffic volumes. By 2044, the northbound and eastbound left-turn movements are projected to operate at LOS E during the morning peak hour. These movements have projected delays in the LOS E range simply because they arrive at the traffic signal at the beginning of the red phase at an intersection with many phases and a long cycle length. These movements would not be considered "failing" since their volume-to-capacity ratios are less than one. The justification is that to progress through traffic along an arterial corridor, the traffic-signal offsets and left-turn phase times have been adjusted to favor the through band, which can result in higher delay for the left-turn movements, even though there is sufficient capacity for them.
- The previous version of this report recommended the intersection of North Carefree/Antelope Ridge be reconfigured as an interim/temporary channelized-T intersection. In August 2023, the City of Colorado Springs indicated that an escrow of $\$ 100,000$ toward the cost of installation of a traffic signal will be required rather than the temporary channelized-T intersection. The City has also indicated that a signal-warrants study was done in January of 2023 for this intersection and that both peak-hour signal warrants ( $3 \mathrm{~A} \& 3 \mathrm{~B}$ ) meet the signal warrants. This intersection is projected to operate at LOS D or better during the peak hours as a signal-controlled intersection, based on the projected 2026 and 2044 total traffic volumes.
- The stop-sign-controlled intersections of Antelope Ridge Drive/Borrowdale Lane and Antelope Ridge Drive/South Pronghorn Meadows Circle/Mardale Lane are projected to operate at LOS D or better for all movements during the peak hours based on the short-term and 2044 total traffic volumes.


## Sight Distance

- The access-point locations on Mardale Lane meet ECM criteria for stopping sight distance.


## Recommended Street Classification

- Based on the projected 2044 total average weekday traffic volumes, Mardale Lane can remain classified as an Urban Local with the additional traffic projected to be generated by the proposed zone change.


## Roadway Improvements

- Based on the 2026 total traffic volumes and the criteria contained in the $E C M$, southbound left-turn lanes are not projected to be warranted on Antelope Ridge Drive approaching Borrowdale Lane and Mardale Lane. However, Antelope Ridge was recently restriped to provide exclusive left-turn bays as part of Windermere Filing 1.
- Based on the 2026 and 2044 total traffic volumes and the criteria contained in the ECM, a northbound right-turn deceleration lane is projected to be warranted on Antelope Ridge Drive approaching Borrowdale Lane. This lane has already been constructed as part of Windermere Filing 1.
- Based on the 2026 and 2044 total traffic volumes and the criteria contained in the ECM, a northbound right-turn deceleration lane is projected to be warranted on Antelope Ridge Drive approaching Mardale Lane. Based on a design speed of 40 mph , the right-turn lane approaching Mardale Lane should be 155 feet long plus a 160-foot taper.
- Based on the 2026 and 2044 total traffic volumes and the criteria contained in the ECM, a separate westbound left-turn lane is projected to be warranted on Mardale Lane approaching Antelope Ridge Drive. However, LSC does not recommend that this lane be constructed for the following reasons:
- Although the projected westbound left-turn volume is just above 50 vehicles per hour, the through and right-turn volumes are low.
- As this leg is stop-sign-controlled, auxiliary turn lanes will not be needed for "speed change" or speed differential purposes.
- The westbound approach is projected to operate at a satisfactory level of service (LOS D or better) during the peak hours with a single lane approach.
- Mardale Lane has already been constructed and aligns with existing Pronghorn Circle - the west leg of the intersection. Adding a left-turn lane while maintaining alignment of the through lanes would be difficult.
- The City of Colorado Springs has indicated that an escrow of \$100,000 toward the cost of installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Antelope Ridge/North Carefree will be required rather than the temporary channelized-T intersection that was previously recommended.
- LSC discussed with County staff and it is our understanding from that conversation that the County will defer to the City for the required project mitigation at the intersection of

Antelope Ridge/North Carefree. At the final plat stage of this project, if the signal is not yet installed, the applicant, or LSC on behalf of the applicant, will contact City Traffic Engineering for an update on the timing of signal installation. An updated crash history report could also be obtained at that time. Note: As of July 2023, a three-year crash history report from CSP records branch indicated that one single-vehicle crash was reported in the past three years at this intersection.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.

Respectfully Submitted,

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.
By: Jeffrey C. Hodsdon, P.E.
Principal
JCH/KDF:jas
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Tables

| Table 2 <br> Trip Generation Estimate Windermere |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land <br> Use Code | Land Use Description | Trip Generation Units | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  | Total Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average Weekday Traffic | Morning Peak Hour |  | Afternoon Peak Hour |  | Average <br> Weekday <br> Traffic | Morning Peak Hour |  | Afternoon Peak Hour |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | In | Out |  | In | Out | In | Out |
| Trip Generation Estimate Based on the Currently Proposed Site Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Single Family Attached Housing | $150 \mathrm{DU}^{(2)}$ | 7.20 | 0.12 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 0.23 | 1,080 | 18 | 54 | 50 | 36 |
| Trip Generation Estimate For the Same Parcel From the Windermere Traffic Impact Study, August 31, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Single-Family Detached Housing | 40 DU | 9.44 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 378 | 7 | 22 | 25 | 15 |
|  |  |  | Change in trip generation estimate |  |  |  |  | 702 | 11 | 32 | 25 | 21 |
| Notes: <br> (1) Source: "Trip Generation, 11th Edition, 2021 " by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) <br> (2) $D U=$ dwelling unit <br> (3) KSF = thousand square feet of floor space |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jun-24 |
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## Traffic Counts

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - N Carefree Cir AM
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/9/2022
Page No : 1

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 06:30 AM | 10 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 51 | 107 |
| 06:45 AM | 19 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 41 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 9 | 0 | 91 | 173 |
| Total | 29 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 65 | 14 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 13 | 0 | 142 | 280 |
| 07:00 AM | 34 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 55 | 11 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 23 | 0 | 127 | 242 |
| 07:15 AM | 47 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 73 | 20 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 41 | 0 | 144 | 326 |
| 07:30 AM | 100 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 128 | 22 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 68 | 0 | 166 | 386 |
| 07:45 AM | 169 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 206 | 34 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 88 | 86 | 0 | 175 | 487 |
| Total | 350 | 1 | 111 | 0 | 462 | 87 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 393 | 218 | 0 | 612 | 1441 |
| Grand Total | 379 | 1 | 147 | 0 | 527 | 101 | 339 | 0 | 0 | 440 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 522 | 231 | 0 | 754 | 1721 |
| Apprch \% | 71.9 | 0.2 | 27.9 | 0 |  | 23 | 77 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.1 | 69.2 | 30.6 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 22 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 0 | 30.6 | 5.9 | 19.7 | 0 | 0 | 25.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 30.3 | 13.4 | 0 | 43.8 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - N Carefree Cir AM
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/9/2022
Page No : 3


# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80909 <br> 719-633-2868 

## Default Comments

Change These in The Preferences Window
Select File/Preference in the Main Scree
Then Click the Comments Tab

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| 07:30 AM | 24 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 32 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 29 | 0 | 68 | 131 |
| 07:35 AM | 33 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 49 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 32 | 105 |
| 07:40 AM | 49 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 58 | 11 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 26 | 0 | 53 | 148 |
| 07:45 AM | 50 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 59 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 56 | 149 |
| 07:50 AM | 60 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 70 | 16 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 25 | 1 | 58 | 169 |
| 07:55 AM | 58 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 70 | 10 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 18 | 0 | 45 | 146 |
| Total | 274 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 338 | 61 | 135 | 0 | 2 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 170 | 140 | 1 | 312 | 848 |
| 08:00 AM | 49 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 61 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 35 | 118 |
| 08:05 AM | 26 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 35 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 24 | 82 |
| 08:10 AM | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 52 |
| 08:15 AM | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 31 | 71 |
| 08:20 AM | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 47 |
| 08:25 AM | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 44 |
| 08:30 AM | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 51 |
| 08:35 AM | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 49 |
| 08:40 AM | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 47 |
| 08:45 AM | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 52 |
| 08:50 AM | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 49 |
| 08:55 AM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 53 |
| Total | 133 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 197 | 29 | 223 | 2 | 0 | 254 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 197 | 61 | 2 | 262 | 715 |


| 09:00 AM | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 52 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $09: 05 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 38 |
| $09: 10 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 44 |
| $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 37 |
| $09: 20 \mathrm{AM}$ | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 44 |
| $09: 25 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 39 |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80909 <br> 719-633-2868 

Default Comments
Change These in The Preferences Window
Select File/Preference in the Main Scree
Then Click the Comments Tab

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Total | Right | T | L | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 11:00 AM | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 33 |
| 11:05 AM | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 42 |
| 11:10 AM | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 42 |
| 11:15 AM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 39 |
| 11:20 AM | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 51 |
| 11:25 AM | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 53 |
| 11:30 AM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 43 |
| 11:35 AM | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 52 |
| 11:40 AM | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 49 |
| 11:45 AM | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 29 | 59 |
| 11:50 AM | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 47 |
| 11:55 AM | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 49 |
| Total | 68 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 104 | 27 | 185 | 3 | 2 | 217 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 156 | 71 | 1 | 232 | 559 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 41 |
| 12:05 PM | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 50 |
| 12:10 PM | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 56 |
| 12:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 42 |
| 12:20 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 37 |
| 12:25 PM | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 24 | 44 |
| 12:30 PM | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 1 | 27 | 51 |
| 12:35 PM | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 46 |
| 12:40 PM | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 52 |
| 12:45 PM | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 19 | 39 |
| 12:50 PM | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 47 |
| 12:55 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 0 | 25 | 49 |
| Total | 52 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 72 | 33 | 203 | 0 | 3 | 239 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 178 | 60 | 2 | 241 | 554 |

# LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. <br> 2504 E. Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304 <br> Colorado Springs, CO 80909 <br> 719-633-2868 

File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - N Carefree Cir 2-4 SW
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 4/5/2022
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Toala | Right | T | L | U | App. Toal | Right | T | L | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 02:00 PM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 50 |
| 02:05 PM | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 57 |
| 02:10 PM | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 45 |
| 02:15 PM | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 0 | 29 | 61 |
| 02:20 PM | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 27 | 57 |
| 02:25 PM | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 64 |
| 02:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 68 |
| 02:35 PM | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 26 | 88 |
| 02:40 PM | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 13 | 2 | 39 | 102 |
| 02:45 PM | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 17 | 0 | 50 | 96 |
| 02:50 PM | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 18 | 0 | 58 | 99 |
| 02:55 PM | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 19 | 0 | 41 | 83 |
| Total | 84 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 110 | 49 | 312 | 2 | 0 | 363 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 268 | 125 | 2 | 395 | 870 |
| 03:00 PM | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 80 |
| 03:05 PM | 26 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 20 | 0 | 47 | 105 |
| 03:10 PM | 43 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 51 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 26 | 0 | 57 | 131 |
| 03:15 PM | 31 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 41 | 8 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 35 | 0 | 62 | 129 |
| 03:20 PM | 24 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 15 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 0 | 41 | 109 |
| 03:25 PM | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 32 | 88 |
| 03:30 PM | 24 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 8 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 13 | 0 | 41 | 104 |
| 03:35 PM | 54 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 72 | 7 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 26 | 132 |
| 03:40 PM | 52 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 67 | 5 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 17 | 0 | 36 | 143 |
| 03:45 PM | 27 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 43 | 5 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 103 |
| 03:50 PM | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 71 |
| 03:55 PM | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 80 |
| Total | 314 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 404 | 90 | 331 | 0 | 0 | 421 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 | 199 | 0 | 450 | 1275 |
| Grand Total | 398 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 514 | 139 | 643 | 2 | 0 | 784 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 519 | 324 | 2 | 845 | 2145 |
| Apprch \% | 77.4 | 0 | 22.6 | 0 |  | 17.7 | 82 | 0.3 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 61.4 | 38.3 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Total \% | 18.6 | 0 | 5.4 | 0 | 24 | 6.5 | 30 | 0.1 | 0 | 36.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 24.2 | 15.1 | 0.1 | 39.4 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - N Carefree Cir PM
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/16/2022
Page No : 1

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N Carefree Cir } \\ & \text { Eastbound } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 04:00 PM | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 18 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 23 | 0 | 66 | 187 |
| 04:15 PM | 26 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 39 | 21 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 28 | 0 | 81 | 235 |
| 04:30 PM | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 29 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 32 | 0 | 91 | 250 |
| 04:45 PM | 22 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 15 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 30 | 0 | 88 | 251 |
| Total | 79 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 115 | 83 | 399 | 0 | 0 | 482 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 113 | 0 | 326 | 923 |
| 05:00 PM | 19 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 28 | 21 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 31 | 0 | 82 | 247 |
| 05:15 PM | 19 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 22 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 26 | 0 | 104 | 258 |
| 05:30 PM | 14 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 19 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 23 | 0 | 98 | 235 |
| 05:45 PM | 19 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 12 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 26 | 0 | 95 | 197 |
| Total | 71 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 98 | 74 | 386 | 0 | 0 | 460 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 106 | 0 | 379 | 937 |
| Grand Total | 150 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 213 | 157 | 785 | 0 | 0 | 942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 486 | 219 | 0 | 705 | 1860 |
| Apprch \% | 70.4 | 0 | 29.6 | 0 |  | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 68.9 | 31.1 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 8.1 | 0 | 3.4 | 0 | 11.5 | 8.4 | 42.2 | 0 | 0 | 50.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.1 | 11.8 | 0 | 37.9 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - N Carefree Cir PM
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/16/2022
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel Rd - N Carefree Cir AM 3-22
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/9/2022
Page No : 1


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel Rd - N Carefree Cir AM 3-22
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/9/2022
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel Rd - N Carefree Cir PM 3-22
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/16/2022
Page No : 1

| Groups Printed- Unshifted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marksheffel Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Marksheffel Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | N Carefree Cir Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start <br> Time | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | R | T | L | U | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 04:00 PM | 17 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 328 | 96 | 0 | 424 | 49 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 54 | 692 |
| 04:15 PM | 26 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 86 | 0 | 419 | 61 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 75 | 705 |
| 04:30 PM | 21 | 211 | 0 | 1 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 341 | 113 | 0 | 454 | 57 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 62 | 749 |
| 04:45 PM | 32 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 362 | 99 | 0 | 461 | 60 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 69 | 718 |
| Total | 96 | 749 | 0 | 1 | 846 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1364 | 394 | 0 | 1758 | 227 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 260 | 2864 |
| 05:00 PM | 28 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 342 | 111 | 0 | 453 | 49 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 54 | 727 |
| 05:15 PM | 24 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 96 | 0 | 401 | 62 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 77 | 712 |
| 05:30 PM | 26 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 86 | 0 | 368 | 57 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 74 | 684 |
| 05:45 PM | 16 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 64 | 0 | 337 | 62 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 78 | 589 |
| Total | 94 | 776 | 0 | 0 | 870 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1202 | 357 | 0 | 1559 | 230 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 283 | 2712 |
| Grand Total | 190 | 1525 | 0 | 1 | 1716 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2566 | 751 | 0 | 3317 | 457 | 0 | 85 | 1 | 543 | 5576 |
| Apprch \% | 11.1 | 88.9 | 0 | 0.1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 77.4 | 22.6 | 0 |  | 84.2 | 0 | 15.7 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Total \% | 3.4 | 27.3 | 0 | 0 | 30.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 13.5 | 0 | 59.5 | 8.2 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 9.7 |  |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Marksheffel Rd - N Carefree Cir PM 3-22
Site Code : S224090
Start Date : 3/16/2022
Page No : 3


## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - S Pronghorn Meadows Dr AM
Site Code : 00184640
Start Date : 8/15/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Antelope Ridge Dr Northbound |  |  |  | S Pronghorn Meadows Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 06:30 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 40 |
| 06:45 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 80 |
| Total | 0 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 120 |


| $07: 00$ | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 100 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $07: 15$ | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 182 |
| $07: 30$ | 0 | 184 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 362 |
| $07: 45$ | 0 | 198 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 278 |
| Total | 0 | 493 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 922 |


| $08: 00$ | 0 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 79 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $08: 15$ | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 0 | 629 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 412 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 1169 |  |  |  |  |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.3 | 94.7 | 0 | 0 | 10.1 | 0 | 89.9 | 0 | 0 | 7.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - S Pronghorn Meadows Dr AM
Site Code : 00184640
Start Date : 8/15/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Antelope Ridge Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | S Pronghorn Meadows Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 6:30:00 AM to 8:15:00 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 7:00:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00:00 AM | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 100 |
| 7:15:00 AM | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 182 |
| 7:30:00 AM | 0 | 184 | 1 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 362 |
| 7:45:00 AM | 0 | 198 | 3 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 278 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 493 | 4 | 0 | 497 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 365 | 6 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 60 | 922 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 99.2 | 0.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3.8 | 96.2 | 0 | 0 |  | 10 | 0 | 90 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 622 | . 333 | . 000 | . 618 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 583 | . 559 | . 000 | . 000 | . 570 | . 375 | . 000 | . 794 | . 000 | . 833 | . 637 |



## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868
File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - S Pronghorn Meadows Dr PM
Site Code : 00184640
Start Date : 8/15/2018
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Antelope Ridge Dr Northbound |  |  |  | S Pronghorn Meadows Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Int. Total |
| 16:00 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 86 |
| 16:15 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 83 |
| 16:30 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 71 |
| 16:45 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 85 |
| Total | 0 | 82 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 325 |


| $17: 00$ | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $17: 15$ | 0 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 115 |
| $17: 30$ | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 81 |
| $17: 45$ | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 101 |
| Total | 0 | 107 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 397 |


| Grand Total | 0 | 189 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 722 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 92.6 | 7.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18.7 | 81.3 | 0 | 0 | 15.8 | 0 | 84.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

2504 E Pikes Peak Ave, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
719-633-2868

File Name : Antelope Ridge Dr - S Pronghorn Meadows Dr PM
Site Code : 00184640
Start Date : 8/15/2018
Page No : 2

|  | Antelope Ridge Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Antelope Ridge Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | S Pronghorn Meadows Dr Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 16:00 to 17:45-Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 100 |
| 17:15 | 0 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 115 |
| 17:30 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 81 |
| 17:45 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 101 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 107 | 4 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 27 | 397 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 17 | 83 | 0 | 0 |  | 22.2 | 0 | 77.8 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 743 | . 333 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 688 | . 802 | . 000 | . 000 | . 799 | . 750 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 844 | . 863 |









|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 25 | 301 | 20 | 5 | 413 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 25 | 301 | 20 | 5 | 413 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 150 | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 75 | 92 | 92 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 50 | 27 | 401 | 22 | 5 | 551 |







| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |  |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 171 | 65 | 17 | 98 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 171 | 65 | 17 | 98 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 150 | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 34 | 18 | 204 | 71 | 18 | 126 |







| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |







| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 199 | 67 | 15 | 123 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 199 | 67 | 15 | 123 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 150 | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 34 | 18 | 237 | 73 | 16 | 146 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 415 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 237 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 178 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 594 | 802 | - | - | 1250 | - |
| Stage 1 | 802 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 853 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 586 | 802 | - | - | 1250 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 586 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 802 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 842 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11 |  | 0 |  | 0.8 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 648 | 1250 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.081 | 0.013 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 11 | 7.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0 | - |






| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 25 | 312 | 20 | 5 | 417 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 25 | 312 | 20 | 5 | 417 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 150 | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 75 | 92 | 92 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 50 | 27 | 416 | 22 | 5 | 556 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | $\dagger$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 21 | 35 | 0 | 10 | 44 | 227 | 51 | 14 | 122 | 4 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 21 | 35 | 0 | 10 | 44 | 227 | 51 | 14 | 122 | 4 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Star | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 | - | 150 | 80 | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 84 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 78 | 78 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 0 | 25 | 38 | 0 | 11 | 52 | 270 | 55 | 15 | 156 | 5 |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |  |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | 4 | F | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 178 | 65 | 17 | 109 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 178 | 65 | 17 | 109 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None |  | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 150 | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 34 | 18 | 212 | 71 | 18 | 140 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 388 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 212 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 176 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 616 | 828 | - | - | 1279 | - |
| Stage 1 | 823 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 855 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 607 | 828 | - | - | 1279 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 607 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 823 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 843 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.8 |  | 0 |  | 0.9 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 670 | 1279 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.078 | 0.014 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 10.8 | 7.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0 | - |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 性中 | ＊中4 | 「 |  | 4 | ${ }^{1}$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 239 | 1074 | 1288 | 115 | 1 | 0 | 257 | 1 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 239 | 1074 | 1288 | 115 | 1 | 0 | 257 | 1 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 21.0 | 64.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 21．0\％ | 64．0\％ | 43．0\％ | 43．0\％ | 36．0\％ | 36．0\％ | 36．0\％ | 36．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 63.0 | 63.0 | 43.2 | 43.2 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.43 | 0.43 |  | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.83 | 0.35 | 0.62 | 0.19 |  | 0.02 | 0.84 | 0.59 |
| Control Delay | 41.2 | 9.7 | 24.8 | 4.2 |  | 25.0 | 53.3 | 9.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 41.2 | 9.7 | 24.8 | 4.2 |  | 25.0 | 53.3 | 9.7 |
| LOS | D | A | C | A |  | C | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 16.3 | 22.8 |  |  | 25.0 |  | 29.2 |
| Approach LOS |  | B | C |  |  | C |  | C |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 100
Offset： 86 （ $86 \%$ ），Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and $6:$ WBTL，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 55
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.84
Intersection Signal Delay： 21.5
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 77．8\％
ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：4：Antelope Ridge Dr．\＆North Carefree





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement W | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | 4 | 「 | * | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 46 | 25 | 318 | 21 | 5 | 427 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 46 | 25 | 318 | 21 | 5 | 427 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 150 | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 64 | 94 | 94 | 52 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 49 | 27 | 497 | 22 | 5 | 821 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1328 | 497 | 0 | 0 | 519 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 497 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 831 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 171 | 573 | - |  | 1047 | - |
| Stage 1 | 611 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 428 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 170 | 573 | - | - | 1047 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - 170 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 611 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 426 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | S 28.7 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 226 | 1047 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.334 | 0.005 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 28.7 | 8.5 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | D | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.4 | 0 | - |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | \& |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1 /}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 21 | 37 | 0 | 8 | 44 | 258 | 54 | 11 | 147 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 0 | 21 | 37 | 0 | 8 | 44 | 258 | 54 | 11 | 147 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 | - | 100 | 80 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 84 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 0 | 24 | 40 | 0 | 9 | 48 | 307 | 59 | 12 | 175 | 4 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{r}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 1 | 个 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 205 | 67 | 15 | 131 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 17 | 205 | 67 | 15 | 131 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | 150 | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 84 | 92 | 92 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 34 | 18 | 244 | 73 | 16 | 156 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 432 | 244 | 0 | 0 | 317 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 244 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 188 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 581 | 795 | - | - | 1243 | - |
| Stage 1 | 797 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 844 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 573 | 795 | - | - | 1243 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 573 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 797 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 833 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.2 |  | 0 |  | 0.8 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NB | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 636 | 1243 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.082 | 0.013 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 11.2 | 7.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0 | - |

## Queuing Reports

Intersection: 4: Antelope Ridge Dr. \& North Carefree

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | TR | LT | T | T | R | LTR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 195 | 140 | 103 | 335 | 329 | 327 | 356 | 92 | 12 | 261 | 158 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 94 | 64 | 31 | 150 | 134 | 153 | 158 | 31 | 1 | 149 | 59 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 162 | 121 | 85 | 273 | 296 | 316 | 318 | 75 | 11 | 236 | 111 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 355 | 355 | 355 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 140 |  | 503 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 300 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 24: Antelope Ridge Dr. \& S. Pronghorn Meadow Cir/Mardale Ln

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 61 | 62 | 31 | 18 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 30 | 27 | 6 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 55 | 51 | 25 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 156 | 285 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 80 | 80 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Intersection: 4: Antelope Ridge Dr. \& North Carefree

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | TR | LT | T | T | R | LTR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 176 | 135 | 101 | 128 | 193 | 212 | 232 | 91 | 30 | 158 | 60 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 71 | 46 | 23 | 42 | 69 | 81 | 92 | 28 | 2 | 72 | 32 |
| 95th Queue ( ft ) | 132 | 103 | 67 | 98 | 170 | 185 | 197 | 70 | 12 | 127 | 54 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 355 | 355 | 355 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 930 | 140 |  | 503 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 300 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 24: Antelope Ridge Dr. \& S. Pronghorn Meadow Cir/Mardale Ln

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 48 | 63 | 43 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 21 | 21 | 6 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 46 | 43 | 28 | 17 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 156 | 285 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 80 | 80 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Zone Summary |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## Crash History

| Accident Date | Reference Point Name | Reference Point At Name | Accident Narrative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022-05-06 | ANTELOPE RIDGE DR | PRONGHORN MEADOWS CIR | Vehicle \#1 was travelling southbound on Antelope Ridge Dr, just south of Pronghorn Meadows Cir. Vehicle \#1 drove off the left side of the road and collided its front with a temporary construction fence. Vehicle \#1 drove through the dirt lot under construction for approximately 525 feet before colliding its front with another temporary construction fence. Vehicle \#1 then collided its front with a ditch, causing Vehicle \#1 to go airborne across the westbound lanes of N. Carefree Cir. Vehicle \#1 landed in the rock filled median on N Carefree Cir, drove across the eastbound lanes, and collided its front with the raised curb. Vehicle \#1 came to rest on its wheels facing southeast off the right side of the road. |
| 2022-11-05 | ANTELOPE RIDGE DR | PRONGHORN MEADOWS CIR | Vehicle \#1 was eastbound on Pronghorn Meadows Cir proceeding from a stop sign. Vehicle \#2 was southbound on Antelope Ridge. The front of vehicle \#1 collided with the right side of vehicle \#2. Vehicles were moved prior to investigation. |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accident Date | Reference Point Name | Reference Point At Name | Accident Narrative |

## MTCP Maps



Map 14: 2040 Roadway Plan (Classification and Lanes)


## Rocky Mountain Classical Academy Carpool Plan

Rocky Mountain Classical Academy Carpool Plan and key pages from the Parent-Student Handbook

## Morning Carpool Drop-Doors open at 7:30am Classes start at 8:00am




## PRESCHOOL

- If Preschooler has a $K-8$ sibling drop off between 7:45 and Bam ( $\mathrm{K}-8$ students come in with pre-school and continue to appropriate locations
- Preschool without $\mathrm{K}-8$ sibling drop off between 8 and 8:15 am
- Must come in from the north Barnessentrance
*Starting at 7:30, staff will open access to the parking lot for student drop-off.
*Staff will direct you to the lane for entry. Stay in the lane to which you are you directed.
*NEVER CROSS OR CHANGE LANES WHEN ENTERING AND/OR EXITING CAR POOL.
*NEVER DROP STUDENTS OFF IN BUS LANE
*RIGHT TURN ONLY TO EXIT after drop-off.
${ }^{*}$ Never park in a drop off lane and exit your car
*Do not threaten RMCA staff, other drivers or students.
*The City of Colorado Springs \& El Paso County Sherriff's Department have approved this traffic plan. Keep our students, faculty, and yourself safe by following all procedures.

Violating any of the carpool rules creates serious safety hazards and drivers who do not comply may have their carpool privileges revoked by administration. These drivers would need to make other arrangements for drop-off.

## Begood to Our Neiahbors!

Please note that Antelope Ridge to our east, and Falcon Ridge to our west are PRIVATE PROPERTIES! Do not drive though, park in, or walk through these properties. You will be ticketed or towed.
Our neighbors to the south in Whispering Pines need access to their driveways and streets. We ask that you do not park in front of their homes, block driveways or roadways
If you do not live in the neighborhood and walk to school, please use carpool




- Dlaplay your carpool numbers on the front deshboord of your car.

- if pou have childien in both K-4 and 5-8, the K-4 younger dbilngs will to their writters room until the 5th-8th grade



 to encr st the eflof of our flldind luoth


 the City of Colorado and EI Peso Sharill Dapartmant's approwed trailic plar.




## CLASSICAL ACADEMY <br> 

## Parent-Student Handbook

2020-2021

## SCHOOL CONTACT INFORMATION

Pre-K-8 CAMPUS
4620 Antelope Ridge
Colorado Springs, CO 80922
PHONE: 719-622-8000
FAX: 719-622-8004

OFFICE HOURS:
Monday - Friday 7:30am - 4:00pm

SCHOOL HOURS:
Full-day kindergarten, first thru fourth grade:
Monday-Friday from 8:00am - 3:00pm
Fifth thru eighth grade:
Monday-Friday from 8:00am - 3:30pm

Homeschool Program Kindergarten - High School
"HOMESCHOOL CAMPUS"
RMCA Home School Program
3525 Akers Drive, Suite 100
Colorado Springs, CO 80922
PHONE: 719-591-5666
FAX: 719-591-5777

SCHOOL HOURS:
Monday-Friday from 8:00am - 3:00pm
OFFICE HOURS:
Monday-Friday from 8:00am-4:00pm

Website: www.rmcacs.org
Email: info@rmcacs.org
following link to D49's website: http://www.d49.org/sf/feeforservicebus/Pages/defalut.aspx.

## Carpool and Traffic Safety

## Elementary (K-5)

Upon enrollment, you will be given a carpool number. Please display in a visible location on your dashboard during carpool pickup. If you lose your carpool display number, you may obtain another from the front office at your child's campus.

## Carpool Procedures (See Appendix C for carpool maps)

RMCA operates two carpools each day, one for morning drop off, and one for afternoon pickup. Our City of Colorado Springs, El Paso County Sheriff's Department, and District Security Resource Officers have approved our traffic plan. Please follow all procedures, because doing so ensures the safest possible movement of over 2,200 people and 860 cars in both daily carpools. Our traffic flows best when we release blocks of up to ten cars per line. This sometimes causes short personal delays, but it makes overall carpool time shrink.

Many of the streets off of Antelope Ridge Drive are not public or city streets. Do not park in our bordering neighborhoods and wait for students. Doing so violates privately owned communities, prevents our neighbors from safely navigating to and from home, and potentially disrupts emergency services. Please support RMCA in this process as we strive to be good neighbors.

Violating any of the carpool rules creates serious safety hazards and drivers who do not comply may have their carpool privileges revoked. These drivers will need to make other arrangements for the drop off and pick up of their child.

RMCA operates a staff parking lot. Staff cars have been designated staff parking stickers. Cars not displaying a staff parking sticker and parked in the staff lot may be towed at the vehicle owners expense. Except for AM/PM Kindergarten pick up, please do not park in the carpool lane closest to the school during the school day. This creates a safety hazard for the school. Please utilize the visitor parking at all times.

## Morning Carpool Procedures

Starting at 7:30am, staff will open access to the parking lot for student drop-off. Staff will direct you into a lane for entry. Stay in the lane you are directed into.
$\square$ Never cross over or change lanes when entering and/or exiting carpool.

- Never drop off students in the bus lane.
$\square$ Right turns onto Antelope Ridge only to exit after drop-off.
$\square$ No cell phone use.
$\square$ Never park in a drop off lane and exit your car.
$\square$ Do not engage in conflict with staff or fellow drivers.
$\square$ If there is a carpool violation, please refer that to the school administration


## Afternoon Carpool Procedures

Only PreK-5 $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students have carpool numbers. Display these on the front dashboard of your car. Kindergarten through $-4^{\text {th }}$ grades dismiss at $3: 00 \mathrm{pm}$. Older siblings go to youngest sibling's carpool line. If you have children in both $\mathrm{K}-4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$, the $\mathrm{K}-4^{\text {th }}$ younger siblings will wait inside for the $5^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade sibling to pick them up after the $5^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ sibling dismisses at $3: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. All siblings then go to the youngest $5^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade student'sline.

Enter the parking lot from the designated direction as displayed on the map you receive during the enrollment process.

Kindergarten and $5^{\text {th }}$ use the "yellow" lane that goes behind the school.
$1^{\text {st }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ graders use the "purple" lane closest to thebuilding.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ graders use the "green" middle lane in front of thebuilding.
$3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ graders use the "blue" lane closest to the street. Once your children load into your car, wait for Staff to direct you to pull forward. Do not pull around any cars. RMCA reserves the legal right to deny violators the privilege of carpool.
Only RIGHT TURNS are permitted to exit the parking lot during carpool and right turns only are accepted by the City of Colorado Springs and El Paso Sheriff Department's approved traffic plan.

Parents of 5th-8th graders: Wait until at least $3: 15 \mathrm{pm}$ to enter the line. If you arrive before elementary carpool is complete, you will be directed to leave the parking lot and return to the end of the line. This allows elementary parents arriving at the end of elementary carpool to pick up their children without disrupting middle school traffic.

## Walkers

## K-8 Campus

Your student may not walk home without prior, written notification from a parent or guardian on the family dismissal plan.

## Someone Else Picking Up Your

## Student(s)

## Appendix A

## Appendix A

## Sight Distance on Urban Local/Residential Streets with homes fronting

## Section 2.3.6.G of the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual states:

This section applies to intersections where one public road meets a second public road. The intersection sight distance provides for vehicles to enter traffic and accelerate to the average running speed.

However, for local residential streets, the intent is different from Collector or Arterial roadways and ensuring that motorists traveling along a residential/local street can maintain an "average running speed," should not be an objective, but should actually be discouraged.

The 2018 Roadway Design Guide published by the Colorado Department of Transportation and $A$ Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets ("green book") published by the American Association of Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) both identify that need for different design standards for local roads. See the clips from key pages from both reports below. Both reports give the same criteria for stopping sight distance and both state that passing sight distance is rarely applicable. Neither report provides criteria for "entering" (or "intersection") sight distance and it is our position that entering sight distance is also not applicable to local urban/residential streets.


### 5.2 LOCAL URBAN STREETS

### 5.2.4 Sight Distance

Minimum stopping sight distance for local streets should range from 115 to 200 feet depending on the design speed (see Table 3-1). Design for passing sight distance seldom is applicable on local streets.

### 5.2 LOCAL URBAN STREETS

### 5.2.1 General Design Considerations

The design criteria presented in other chapters of this Guide are most applicable to rural and hioh speed roadways. This section attempts to identify lower design criteria applicable to the lesser functional classes of urban streets that operate at lower speeds.

An urban street is characterized by restricted right of way, stop-and-go traffic, residential, commercial and industrial traffic, pedestrian and bus traffic, bikeways and the special demands and needs these conditions generate. An urban street includes the entire area within the right of way and usually is the product of a comprehensive community development plan. The design values should be those for the ultimately planned development. Typical types of improvements through the urban program include:

## From the AASHTO "Green Book"

### 5.3 LOCAL STREETS IN URBAN AREAS

This section presents guidance on the design of local streets in urban areas. Local streets in urban areas are designed with a flexible approach to meet the needs of the suburban, urban, and urban core contexts. Local streets generally have lower traffic volumes than collectors and
arterials and lower speeds are appropriate because the emphasis is on serving the adjacent developments. A flexible and balanced design approach to serve all transportation modes appropriately should be applied. The balance among transportation modes may differ between projects based on the demand flows for each transportation mode and established neighborhood plans. The design guidance given below should be adapted to the context and needs of each individual neighborhood and street.

### 5.3.1 General Design Considerations

Local streets in urban areas fall within three functional classifications: arterials, collectors, and local access routes, which are discussed in Chapter 1. Geometric design guidance is provided for collector streets in Chapter 6 and for arterial streets in Chapter 7. This chapter does not present a complete discussion of all design criteria that apply to local streets. However, where there are substantial differences from the criteria used in design of other functional classes, specific design guidance is given below.

### 5.3.1.8 Sight Distance

Minimum stopping sight distance for local streets should range from 100 to 200 ft [ 30 to 60 m ] depending on the design speed (see Table 3-1). Design for passing sight distance seldom is applicable on local streets.

Key Pages from the Windermere Traffic Impact Study


TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC.

LSC TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS, INC
2504 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Suite 304
Colorado Springs, CO 80909
(719) 633-2868

FAX (719) 633-5430
E-mail: Isc@lsctrans.com
Website: http://www.lsctrans.com

# Windermere Traffic Impact Study SP-19-003 <br> (LSC \#184640) <br> August 31, 2020 

## Traffic Engineer's Statement

This traffic report and supporting information were prepared under my responsible charge and they comport with the standard of care. So far as is consistent with the standard of care, said report was prepared in general conformance with the criteria established by the County for traffic reports.


## Developer's Statement

1, the Developer, have read and will comply with all commitments made on my behalf within this report.

$\frac{9 / 10 / 2020}{\text { Date }}$

| Table 2 <br> Trip Generation Estimate Windermere |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ip G | eration | $\mathrm{s}^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  | Total | ips Ge |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Land <br> Use | Trip Generation | Average <br> Weekday |  |  | School Peak | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ernoon } \\ & u^{(2)} \end{aligned}$ | Pftea |  | Average Weekday |  |  | Schoo Pe | ernoon <br> ur |  |  |
| Code | Description | Units | Traffic | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | Traffic | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| 210 | Single-Family Detached Housing | 203 DU ${ }^{(3)}$ | 9.44 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 1,916 | 38 | 113 | 67 | 59 | 127 | 74 |
| Notes: <br> (1) Sour <br> (2) Base <br> (3) DU = | : "Trip Generation, 10th Edition, on 2018 ITE data on the hourly dwelling unit | " by the Insti ution of vehi | e of Transp trips for La | ation <br> Use | neer <br> from | TE) <br> 0 to 4:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: LS | Transportation Consultants, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |






[^0]:    Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 0

[^1]:    Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 0

