

# Final Drainage Report

# **Monument Small Engine Storage**

Project No. 61092

June 15, 2018

PCD File No. PPR-18-013

# **Final Drainage Report**

for

**Monument Small Engine Storage** 

Project No. 61092

June 15, 2018

prepared for

David P. Hellbusch 137 N. Monument Lake Road Monument, CO 80132 719.448.3230

prepared by

MVE, Inc. 1903 Lelaray Street, Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80909 719.635.5736

Copyright © MVE, Inc., 2018

61092-Monument Small Engine-FDR.odt

# Statements and Acknowledgments

### **Engineer's Statement**

The attached drainage plan and report were prepared under my direction and supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said drainage report has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County for drainage reports and said report is in conformity with the applicable master plan of the drainage basin. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing the report. negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in pregaring

David R. Gorman, P.E. For and on Behalf of MVE, Inc.

### **Developer's Statement**

I, the owner/developer have read and will comply with all of the requirements specified in this drainage report and plan.

David P. Hellbusch

Owner

137 N. Monument Lake Rd Monument, CO 80132

### **El Paso County**

Jennifer Irvine, P.E.,

County Engineer / ECM Administrator

Filed in accordance with the requirements of the Drainage Criteria Manual, Volumes 1 and 2, El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual and Land Development Code as amended.

Approved

reple

by Elizabeth Nijkamp
El Paso County Planning and Community Development

08/23/2018 11:54:56 AM

# Contents

S	tatements and Acknowledgments	iii
C	ontents	٧
Fi	inal Drainage Report	1
1	General Location and Description	1
	1.1 Location	1
	1.2 Description of Property	1
2	Drainage Basins and Sub-Basins	2
	2.1 Major Basin Descriptions	2
	2.2 Other Drainage Reports	3
	2.3 Sub-Basin Description	3
3	Drainage Design Criteria	3
	3.1 Development Criteria Reference	3
	3.2 Hydrologic Criteria	4
4	Drainage Facility Design	4
	4.1 General Concept	4
	4.2 Specific Details	4
	4.3 Erosion Control	6
	4.4 Water Quality Enhancement Best Management Practices	7
5	Opinion of Probable Cost for Drainage Facilities	7
6	Drainage and Bridge Fees	7
7	Conclusion	7

References	9
Appendices	11
8 General Maps and Supporting Data	11
9 Hydrologic Calculations	12
10 Hydraulic Calculations	33
11 Report Mans	11

# Final Drainage Report

The purpose of this Final Drainage Report is to identify drainage patterns and quantities within and affecting the proposed Monument Small Engine Storage site. This drainage report is in support of a site plan approval for rezone application to allow outdoor RV storage on the property. The report will "identify specific solutions to problems on-site and off-site resulting from the proposed project. The report and included maps present results of hydrologic and drainage facilities analyses. The report will discuss the recommended drainage improvements to the site and identify drainage requirements relative to the proposed project. This report has been prepared and submitted in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County development approval process. An Appendix is included with this report with pertinent calculations and graphs used in the drainage analyses and design.

### 1 General Location and Description

### 1.1 Location

The proposed Monument Small Engine Storage site is located within the southwest one-quarter of the northeast one-quarter of Section 15, Township 11 South, Range 67 west of the 6th principal meridian in El Paso County, Colorado. The 3.89± acre site is situated north of the intersection of Mitchell Avenue and Monument Lake Road. The site is generally west of the Denver & Rio Grand Railroad, north of Monument Lake Road at Mitchell Avenue. The site contains an existing business using the address of 137 N. Monument Lake Road. The El Paso County Assessor's Schedule Number for the site is 711510008. The proposed site has never been platted. A **Vicinity Map** is included in the **Appendix**.

The south edge of the site is adjacent to an unplatted parcel containing a single-family residence and zoned PRD (Planned Residential Development). This parcel is located in the Town of Monument and separates the site from Monument Lake Road (60' R.O.W.) which is located along the south edge of the parcel. Mount Herman RV Storage Subdivision, located along the east side of the site, is located within the Town of Monument, is zoned PID (Planned Industrial Development) and contains an RV storage business. Unplatted and undeveloped property, located within El Paso County and zoned RR-5 (Residential Rural), lies north of the site. Unplatted property zoned RR-5 and containing a single family residence is located adjacent to the east side of the site.

Crystal Creek, a tributary to Monument Creek, flows northeast to southwest through the adjacent property to north. The site is located in El Paso County's Crystal Creek Drainage Basin.

### 1.2 Description of Property

The Monument Small Engine Storage site 3.89± acres and is zoned CS (Commercial Service). The property is the location of a business with an existing shop, garage building, shed, gravel drives and parking areas, mostly concentrated at the southeast portion.

The site has been used for many years as an equipment and engine repair shop with an approved Variance of Use from El Paso County. A past use of the site has been as a plant and tree nursery with green house buildings, gravel drives and open space. Terraced railroad tie retaining walls are located in the western portion of the site. A gravel parking area is located in the northeastern portion. A gravel access drive runs through the site from north to south that is also used to serve the

adjacent residence. Ground cover in the non gravels areas is typically native grasses and weeds with sparse trees and shrubs scattered. The condition of the ground cover on the site varies from poor to good, depending on location within the site. Former drive areas are relatively bare, but the nursery areas are in good condition. Access to the site is via one point off of North Monument Lake Road from the south. Power poles and lines are also located near and through the site.

The site slopes generally from east to west with grades ranging from 1% to 6% with intermittent walls or short terraces. There lowest point on the site is the southwester corner. An existing stormwater quality pond exists on the adjacent property on the east which outfalls onto the site near the eastern boundary in the center. An existing 12" CMP culvert discharges from the pond into the site and the outflow is intercepted by a shallow ditch, which directs the flows to the northwest corner and then offsite. No significant drainageways flow through the site and no significant drainage improvements or drainage facilities currently exist on the site.

According to the National Resource Conservation Service, the soil in the area of the Monument Small Engine Storage site consists of Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sand (map unit 92). The soil is deep and well drained. Permeability is moderately rapid, surface runoff is slow, and the hazard of erosion is slight to moderate. Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sand is classified as being part of Hydrologic Soil Group B. A portion of the Soil Map and data tables from the National Cooperative Soil Survey and relevant Official Soil Series Descriptions (OSD) are included in the **Appendix**.<sup>1</sup>

There are no major drainageways in the Monument Small Engine Storage site. However, Crystal Creek is located to the north of the site. Crystal Creek drains into Monument Reservoir and is tributary to Monument Creek.

The current Flood Insurance Study of the region includes Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM), effective on March 17, 1997.<sup>3</sup> The proposed subdivision is included in two Community Panels Numbered 08041C0276 F and 08041C0260 of the Flood Insurance Rate Maps for the El Paso County. No part of the site is shown to be included in a 100-year flood hazard area as determined by FEMA. A portion of the current FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps with the site delineated is included in the **Appendix**.

### 2 Drainage Basins and Sub-Basins

### 2.1 Major Basin Descriptions

The Monument Small Engine Storage site is located in the Crystal Creek Drainage Basin (FOFO5300) of the Fountain Creek Major Drainage Basin (FO). This basin drains to Monument Creek. The *Dirty Woman Creek and Crystal Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study* provides development recommendations and requirements for drainage development in the Crystal Creek Drainage Basin (DBPS).<sup>4</sup> The Crystal Creek Drainage Basin encompasses a part of the northwest portion of the Town of Monument and extends to the north and east. The drainage basin and Crystal Creek drains southwest into Monument Creek. The Monument Small Engine Storage site is located east of Crystal Creek as it flows offsite towards Monument Creek . The site is located in sub-basin CC 185, upstream of Design Point 185 of the Drainage Basin Planning Study. The closest drainage improvements that the study recommends is offsite at the Monument Lake Road crossing of Crystal Creek. No other improvements are recommended on or near the project site. A copy of a portion of the "*Drainage Area Identification Study*" map, showing the site location within the Basin is included in the **Appendix**. The proposed Monument Small Engine Storage project is in conformance with the DBPS.

l WSS

OSD S EIDM

<sup>3</sup> FIRM

<sup>5</sup> Drain. Area Ident. Study

### 2.2 Other Drainage Reports

The adjacent property in the east was studied as detailed in the report "Final Drainage Report, Mount Herman RV Storage Subdivision, Town of Monument Colorado" by M.V.E., Inc. dated January 30, 2014.<sup>6</sup> The Mount Herman report establishes the offsite basin conditions to the east, including the existing storm water quality sand filter basin. The offsite basin and pond have been re-evaluated in this report using the current drainage criteria adopted by the County and those updated results are included in this Monument Small Engine Storage drainage report.

### 2.3 Sub-Basin Description

The existing drainage patterns of the Monument Small Engine Storage are described by two on-site drainage basins and one offsite basin. All of these basins are previously disturbed or developed to a degree as described below. All existing basin delineations and data are depicted on the attached **Existing Drainage Map**.

### 2.3.1 Existing Drainage Patterns (Off-Site)

Existing off site sub-basin OSA1 is located to the east of the site, containing the developed Lot 1 Mount Herman RV Storage Subdivision with existing RV storage area, pond area, landscape area and railroad right-of-way. The basin drains to the existing water quality pond and then into the site by way of an exiting 12" CMP pipe from the pond along with the pond spillway located on the property line. This flow enters the onsite basin A1 and continues through the site.

### 2.3.2 Existing Drainage Patterns (On-Site)

The site generally drains to the west, but contains a gravel drive and ditch that drains to towards the northwest corner of the site. The northeast portion of the site, combined with flows from off-site Basin OSA1 drain towards the northwest corner of the site. The remaining potion of the site drains west towards the site's western boundary.

Existing sub-basin A1 is located in the northeastern portion of the site and contains some gravel parking area and slope leading to the existing ditch and gravel drive. The existing 12" CMP from offsite Basin OSA1 outfalls into Basin A1. All flows from Basin A1 exit the site at the southwest corner into the adjacent site to the west, which is also owned by the owner of the adjacent property to the east. These flows continue west through the adjacent properties to Crystal Creek.

Existing sub-basin A2 is also located in the northeastern portion of the site, just south of sub-basin A1. The sub-basin contains gravel drive, leach field area, and pasture/meadow. All flows from sub-basin A2 exit the site at the west property line. The western adjacent property is owned by the owner of the adjacent property to the east. These flows continue west through the adjacent property and into Crystal Creek.

Existing sub-basin B1 is located on the southerly and westerly portion of the site, containing the existing shop, garage, and shed buildings, paved parking and walk areas, gravel drive and parking areas and open meadow areas with tiered railroad tie walls. The previously existing greenhouse buildings in this basin have been removed. The flows generated by this basin drain to the west and exit the site by sheet flow into the adjacent site to the west. These flows continue west through the adjacent properties to Crystal Creek. All flows from the site eventually enter Monument Reservoir and then Monument Creek.

### 3 Drainage Design Criteria

### 3.1 Development Criteria Reference

This Final Drainage Report for Monument Small Engine Storage has been prepared according to the report guidelines presented in the latest edition of *El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual* (DCM)<sup>7</sup>. The County has also adopted portions of the City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual

<sup>6</sup> Mount Herman FDR

DCM Section 4.3 and Section 4.4

### 4 Final Drainage Report

Volumes 1 and 2, especially concerning the calculation of rainfall runoff flow rates.<sup>8 9</sup> The hydrologic analysis is based on a collection of data from the DCM, the NRCS Web Soil Survey<sup>10</sup>, Existing topographic data by Cornerstone Surveying, and proposed site plan by RMJ Designs.

### 3.2 Hydrologic Criteria

For this Final Drainage Report, the Rational Method as described in the Drainage Criteria Manual has been used for all Storm Runoff calculations, as the development and all sub-basins are less than 130 acres in area. "Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency" curves, Figure 6-5 in the DCM, was used to obtain the design rainfall values; a copy is included in the **Appendix**. The "Overland (Initial) Flow Equation" (Eq. 6-8) in the DCM, and Manning's equation with estimated depths were used in time of concentration calculations. "Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method", Table 6-6 in the DCM, was utilized as a guide in estimating runoff coefficient and Percent Impervious values; a copy is included in the **Appendix**. Peak runoff discharges were calculated for each drainage sub-basin for both the 5-year storm event and the 100-year storm event with the Rational Method formula, (Eq. 6-5) in the DCM.<sup>11</sup>

The "Water Quality Control Volume procedure, Section 3.2.3 of the *Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume* 3 (UDFCD)<sup>12</sup> <sup>13</sup>method was used for water quality volume calculations with the aid of the "UD-BMP\_v3.06" spreadsheet developed by the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District. Storm routing calculation through the proposed water quality basin was performed using triangular hydrographs based on the rational method peak discharges and times of concentrations with the aid of the detention design spreadsheet, "UD-Detention\_v3.07", developed by the Urban Drainage and Flood Control District.<sup>14</sup>

### 4 Drainage Facility Design

### 4.1 General Concept

The intent of the drainage concept presented in this Final Drainage Report is to maintain the existing drainage patterns on the site while addressing water quality requirements for the site. Major and minor storm flows will continue to be safely conveyed through the site and downstream.

The existing and proposed drainage hydrologic conditions are described in more detail below. Input data and results for all calculations are included in the **Appendix**. Drainage maps for the hydrology are also included in the **Appendix**.

### 4.2 Specific Details

### 4.2.1 Existing Hydrologic Conditions

The off-site drainage area east of the site, Basin OSA1, contains the existing RV storage area, pond area, landscape area and railroad right-of-way. The sub-basin is 4.05 acres in area and drains westerly and into the existing offsite water quality pond. Basin OSA1 generates peak storm runoff discharges of  $Q_5$  = 7.6 cfs and  $Q_{100}$  = 16.6 cfs (existing flows) which enter the pond, which is a full-infiltration type Sand Filter basin. The Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) is captured and percolates into the ground. Runoff greater than the WQCV from the existing pond discharges into the site by way of the 12" CMP and emergency spillway with peak flows of  $Q_5$  = 2.8 cfs and  $Q_{100}$  = 12.1 cfs (existing flows), which enter the site into sub-basin A1. In the 100 year rainfall event, approximately 4.4 cfs exits the pond from the existing 12" CMP and is discharged onto the site, while approximately 7.7 cfs exits through the emergency spillway crest and into the site. Once in the site, these flows continue to drain to the northwest corner.

<sup>8</sup> CS DCM Vol 1

<sup>9</sup> CS DCM Vol 2

<sup>10</sup> WSS 11 DCM

<sup>12</sup> UDFCD V.2

<sup>13</sup> UDFCDV.3

<sup>14</sup> UDFCD

The stated flows entering the site from sub-basin OSA1 are an existing condition which is greater than flows from a pre-developed condition. However, this site and the easterly offsite property was previously used for many years as a landscape nursery with significant areas dedicated to greenhouse buildings and landscape operations characterized with packed gravel drives and material storage. In the current condition, the greenhouse buildings have been removed and storage area are gone. The current offsite condition with recycled asphalt surface is not significantly different than the previously existing (at least 38 years) with greenhouses and compacted gravel. The developed flows from the sub-basin OSA1 travel through the site and enters Crystal Creek located approximately 250 feet northwest of the site. The Crystal Creek Basin extends more than two miles to the north of the site with a contributing area of more than 550 acres upstream of the site. The peak flows from off-site sub-basin OSA1, along with the on-site flows discussed below, reach Crystal Creek and pass downstream well before the peak discharges of the much larger basin travel by the area. The small increase flows have no effect on peak flows in Crystal Creek and do not present a hazard to the downstream properties, drainage basin, or drainageways.

Existing sub-basin A1 is 1.42 acres in area located on the northern portion of the site and contained a gravel drive and meadow area. The sub-basin accepts the offsite flows from sub-basin OSA1 as described above. Sub-basin A1 produces peak discharges of  $Q_5 = 0.7$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 3.4$  cfs (existing flows) which drain westerly to the existing gravel drive and ditch, joining the off-site flows from Basin OSA1. The combined flows of  $Q_5 = 3.5$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 15.5$  cfs (existing flows) continue towards the northwest corner of the site to existing Design Point 1 (DP1). The flows continue westerly offsite, through the adjacent property and into Crystal Creek.

Existing sub-basin A2, located in the northwestern portion of the site and just south of sub-basin A1, is 0.32 acres in area. Sub-basin A2 contains a gravel drive, leach field and meadow/pasture area. Peak storm runoff rates are  $Q_5$  = 0.2 cfs and  $Q_{100}$  = 1.0 cfs (existing flows) which drain westerly into the adjacent property, in a combination of sheet flow and an area of concentrated flow in the southwest edge of the basin. The concentrated flow occurs in an existing swale flowing to the west through the adjacent property towards Crystal Creek. Flows from existing Design Point 1 also flow into this swale. The combined discharges of Design Point 1 and sub-basin A2 are  $Q_5$  = 3.7 cfs and  $Q_{100}$  = 16.3 cfs (existing flows). The flows continue westerly offsite, through the adjacent property and into Crystal Creek.

Existing sub-basin B1 (2.15 acres) is comprised of the southerly and westerly portion of the site and contains the existing shop, garage, and shed buildings, paved parking and walk areas, gravel drive and parking areas and open meadow areas with tiered railroad tie walls. The sub-basin generates flows of  $Q_5$  = 2.0 cfs and  $Q_{100}$  = 6.1 cfs (existing flow), which drains westerly and exit the site by sheet flow into the adjacent property. These flows, along with the flows from existing DP1 and sub-basin A2, continue offsite to Crystal Creek and into Monument Reservoir and Monument Creek as described in Section 2.3 above.

The **Existing Drainage Map** depicts the existing topographic mapping, drainage basin delineations, drainage patterns, existing drives, drainage facilities, and runoff quantities with a data table including drainage areas and flow rates.

### 4.2.2 Proposed Hydrologic Conditions

Water quality treatment for the new disturbed and impervious areas on the site will be provided by two proposed Sand Filter Basins which will capture, contain, treat and release the Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV). No detention for flood control is being provided because the downstream effects of the minor increases in peak flow rates are negligible. Several greenhouse buildings have been removed from the site in recent months and additional buildings are to be removed in the proposed site plan. This building removal partially offsets the additional parking areas on the site. The parking area surface is not completely impervious, but rather compacted recycled asphalt material, which allows a degree of perviousness on the site. Additionally, the developed flows from the offsite and onsite sub-basins travel through the site and enters Crystal Creek located approximately 250 feet northwest of the site. The Crystal Creek Basin extends more than two miles to the north of the site with a contributing area of more than 550 acres upstream of the site. The peak flows from off-site sub-basin OSA1, along with the on-site flows discussed below, reach Crystal

Creek and pass downstream well before the peak discharges of the much larger basin travel by the area. The small increase flows have no effect on peak flows in Crystal Creek and do not present a hazard to the downstream properties, drainage basin, or drainageways and no storm detention is required in addition to the WQCV.

The off-site drainage basin, OSA1 (4.05 acres), will continue to drain into the site as in existing conditions. The discharges entering the site from the existing offsite water quality pond are  $Q_5 = 2.8$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 12.1$  cfs (proposed flow) which enter proposed onsite sub-basin A1. The existing pond discharges into the site by way of the 12" CMP and emergency spillway with peak flows of  $Q_5 = 2.8$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 12.1$  cfs (existing flows), which enter the site into sub-basin A1. In the 100 year rainfall event, approximately 4.4 cfs exits the pond from the existing 12" CMP and is discharged onto the site, while approximately 7.7 cfs exits through the emergency spillway crest and into the site. Once in the site, these flows continue to drain to the northwest corner.

Proposed sub-basin A1 (1.42 acres) will be more developed with RV parking area and the parking surface will be stabilized with recycled asphalt product. The sub-basin will continue to accept the offsite flows from sub-basin OSA1 to the east. These flows will continue to be conveyed in the existing drainage ditch/swale located along the east edge of the existing gravel drive. The developed discharges generated by sub-basin A1 are  $Q_5 = 2.7$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 6.0$  cfs (proposed flow), which drains westerly to the existing ditch/swale and combine with the off-site flows from sub-basin OSA1 until reaching the northwest corner of the site at Design Point 1 (DP1). The combined discharges at Design Point 1 (DP 1) are  $Q_5 = 5.5$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 18.1$  cfs (proposed flow). These flows will enter the proposed water quality sand filter basin to be located in the northwest corner of the site. The proposed water quality sand filter basin will be 780 cubic feet in volume and have a sand surface area of 340 square feet. The proposed water quality basin will have an under drain with metered outfall, a 12" hdpe outfall pipe and an 15' wide emergency spillway stabilized with soil covered riprap. Outflows from the pond are  $Q_5 = 3.8$  cfs and  $Q_{100} = 16.0$  cfs (proposed flow), which represents an increase from existing conditions of 0.3 cfs in the 5-year rainfall event and 0.5 cfs in the 100-year event. In the 100-year rainfall event, approximately 1.6 cfs exits the pond from the 12" standpipe inlet located just above the WQCV elevation in the pond and the remaining 14.4 cfs exits by way of the emergency spillway. Pond outflows will be discharged to a culvert and swale in sub-basin A2 directing the flows to the south and then to the adjacent property to the west.

Proposed sub-basin A2 (0.32 acres) contains the existing gravel drive, existing leach field, meadow area, and proposed drain swale. The sub-basin drains to the west and also accepts the flows from DP1 sand filter basin located to the north. The discharges generated by sub-basin A2 are Q<sub>5</sub> = 0.2 cfs and  $Q_{100}$  = 1.0 cfs (proposed flow), which drains westerly to the proposed swale and then combines with the flows from DP1 pond outfall. The combined discharges of pond outfall and subbasin A2 are  $Q_5$  = 4.0 cfs and  $Q_{100}$  = 17.0 cfs (proposed flows). This combined flow represents a slight increase from existing conditions of 0.3 cfs in the 5-year rainfall event and 0.7 cfs in the 100year event. These flows will enter the adjacent property top the west at DP4. The proposed drain swale will be stabilized with permanent turf reinforcement mat and feature a rip-rap spreading apron at the site property boundary. The swale flows will be spread out over a large width leading to the downstream existing swale, reducing velocities depth at the property line and is a suitable outfall of the pond outflows. These flows will continue westerly through the adjacent property and flow towards Crystal Creek, the same as existing conditions. The existing stable flow path through the adjacent site is adequate to carry the existing and developed flows. Flow velocities in the existing flow path are not erosive and require no special lining. The flow path delivers the flows west to Crystal Creek.

Proposed sub-basin B1 (0.86 acres) is comprised of the southeastern portion of the site. The sub-basin will contain the proposed new shop building and paved parking. The existing garage and shed will be removed and the existing gravel drive will be reconfigured. A concrete parking area will also be installed adjacent to the building. The developed discharges from sub-basin B1 are  $Q_5$  = 1.6 cfs and  $Q_{100}$  = 3.6 cfs (proposed flows). These flows travel overland to the west and will be directed by new drainage swales on the north side and west side of the new building to a new water quality sand filter basin at DP2. The proposed water quality sand filter basin will be 550 cubic feet in volume and have a sand surface area of 260 square feet. The proposed water quality basin will have an under

drain with metered outfall, a 12" hdpe outfall pipe and an 8' wide emergency spillway stabilized with soil covered rip-rap. The sand filter basin will discharge to the west into sub-basin B2 with peak outflows of  $Q_5$  = 0.8 cfs and  $Q_{100}$  = 2.4 cfs (proposed flow). In the 100-year rainfall event, approximately 1.4 cfs exits the pond from the 12" standpipe inlet located just above the WQCV elevation in the pond and the remaining 1.0 cfs exits by way of the emergency spillway. These flows continue to to drain west over the existing meadow surface into sub-basin B2.

Developed sub-basin B2 (1.29 acres) is the western section of the site that is well vegetated. Sub-basin B2 will remain the same as in existing conditions. The sub-basin generates storm runoff peak discharges of  $Q_5$  = 0.8 cfs and  $Q_{100}$  = 3.4 cfs (proposed flows), which join the flows from sub-basin B1. The combined flows of DP 2 Pond outflows and sub-basin B2 are  $Q_5$  = 1.6 cfs and  $Q_{100}$  = 5.8 cfs (proposed flows), which represents a decrease from existing conditions of 0.4 cfs in the 5-year rainfall event and 0.3 cfs in the 100-year event. These combined flows leave the site to west in sheet flow mode as in existing conditions.

### 4.3 Erosion Control

During future construction, best management practices (BMP's) for erosion control will be employed based on the previously referenced City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 2 and the Erosion Control Plan for the site. During Construction, silt fencing, sediment control log, vehicle tracking control and concrete washout area will be in place to minimize erosion from the site. Silt Fencing will be placed along the northern and western sides of the disturbed areas. This will inhibit suspended sediment form leaving the site during construction. Silt fencing is to remain in place until the parking area is stabilized with the recycled asphalt and until vegetation is reestablished in the other disturbed areas which are to be reseeded. Vehicle tracking control will be placed at the access point in the private driveway connecting to Monument Lake Road. Inlet protection will be placed at the water quality pond outlet locations. BMP's will be utilized as deemed necessary by the contractor, engineer, owner, or County inspector and are not limited to the measures described above. The water quality sand filters will also serve as sediment traps until construction is compete.

### 4.4 Water Quality Enhancement Best Management Practices

The Sand Filter Basins described above will provide storage for the Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) for the site. A Grading and Erosion Control Plan for the construction of the site has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the DCM. Placement of construction stormwater BMP's will as required by the plan will limit soil erosion and deposition by stormwater flowing over the site.

The El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (Appendix I, Section I.7.2) requires the consideration of a "Four Step Process for receiving water protection that focuses on reducing runoff volumes, treating the water quality capture volume (WQCV), stabilizing drainageways, and implementing long term source controls". The Four Step Process is incorporated in this project and the elements are discussed below.

- 1) Runoff Reduction Practices are employed in this project. Impervious surfaces have been reduced as much as practically possible. Some of the existing structures on the site are being removed, reducing impervious surfaces. There is only minimal concrete or other hard surfaces proposed. RV storage area will be stabilized with recycled asphalt surfacing, which remains a partially pervious surface. Minimized Directly Connected Impervious Areas (MDCIA) is employed on the project because runoff passes through the western open space meadow area before leaving the site.
- 2) All drainage paths on the site are stabilized with pavement or appropriate landscape treatment. The water quality ponds are intended to intercept flows from developed areas. Additionally, the pond outflow points will have rip rap protection.
- 3) The project contains no potentially hazardous uses. All developed areas drain into a proposed a WQCV BMP.
- 4) The site contains no storage of potentially harmful substances or use of potentially harmful substances. No Site Specific or Other Source Control BMP's are required.

### 5 Opinion of Probable Cost for Drainage Facilities

There is no public or private storm drain system proposed for development of this site. The private water quality facilities will be constructed as part of the site grading plan at the time of building construction. The property owner is responsible for construction and maintenance of the water quality facilities.

### 6 Drainage and Bridge Fees

The site is not being platted. No Drainage or Bridge Fees are due for this project.

### 7 Conclusion

This Final Drainage Report presents existing and proposed drainage conditions for the proposed Monument Small Engine Storage project. The development will have negligible and inconsequential effects on the existing site drainage and drainage conditions downstream. Water Quality treatment will be provided. The proposed project will not, with respect to stormwater runoff, negatively impact the adjacent properties and downstream properties.

# References

*NRCS Web Soil Survey.* United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service ("http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx", accessed March, 2018).

NRCS Official Soil Series Descriptions. United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service

("http://soils.usda.gov/technical/classification/osd/index.html", accessed March, 2018).

Flood Insurance Rate Map. Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Insurance Program (Washingon D.C.: FEMA, March 17, 1997).

Drainage Area Identification Study. Muller Engineering Company, Inc. (Lakewood, CO: , 1986).

Dirty Woman Creek and Crystal Creek Drainage Basin Planning Study. Kiowa Engineering Corporation (Colorado Springs: El Paso County, September, 1993).

Final Drainage Report, Mount Herman RV Storage Subdivision, Town of Monument, Colorado. M.V.E., Inc. (Colorado Springs, CO: , January 30, 2014).

*NCSS Web Soil Survey.* United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service ("http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx", accessed May, 2017).

Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 2, Stormwater Quality Policies, Procedures and Best Management Practices (BMPs). City of Colorado Spring Engineering Division (Colorado Springs: , May 2014).

City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criterial Manual, Volume 1. City of Colorado Springs Engineering Division Staff, Matrix Desgin Group/Wright Water Engineers (Colorado Springs: , May 2014).

City of Colorado Springs/El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual. City of Colorado Springs, Department of Public Works, Engineering Division; HDR Infrastructure, Inc.; El Paso County, Department of Public Works, Engineering Division (Colorado Springs: City of Colorado Springs, Revised November 1991).

City of Colorado Springs Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 1. City of Colorado Springs Engineering Division with Matrix Design Group and Wright Water Engineers (Colorado Springs, Colorado: , May 2014).

Detention Design Spreadsheet. Urban Drainage and Flood Control District ("http://www.udfcd.org/downloads/software/UD-Detention v2.2.xls", accessed January 2010).

*Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3.* Urban Drainage and Flood Control District (Denver, Colorado: , August, 2011).

### Final Drainage Report

10

Drainage Criteria Manual (Volume 2). Urban Drainage and Flood Control District (Denver, Colorado: Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, Rev. April, 2008).

# Appendices

### 8 General Maps and Supporting Data

Vicinity Map
Portions of Flood Insurance Rate Map
Portion of Drainage Area Identification Study Map
NRCS Soil Map and Tables
SCS Soil Type Descriptions
Hydrologic Soil Group Map and Tables

### 9 Hydrologic Calculations

Runoff Coefficients and Percent Imperviousness Table 6-6
Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency Table 6-5
Hydrologic Calculations Summary Form SF-1 for Existing & Developed Conditions
Hydrologic Calculations Summary 5-yr Form SF-2 for Existing & Developed Conditions
Hydrologic Calculations Summary 100-yr Form SF-2 for Existing & Developed Conditions
Percent Imperviousness Calculation for proposed Lots 1 & 2

# 10 Hydraulic Calculations

Sand Filter Basin Calculations Ditch Capacity Calculations


## 11 Report Maps


Existing Condition Hydraulic Analysis Map (Map Pocket) Proposed Condition Hydraulic Analysis Map (Map Pocket)


# Appendices


### 8 General Maps and Supporting Data

Vicinity Map
Portions of Flood Insurance Rate Map
Portion of Drainage Area Identification Study Map
NRCS Soil Map and Tables
SCS Soil Type Descriptions
Hydrologic Soil Group Map and Tables












# MAP LEGEND









Borrow Pit

Blowout

Э

Clay Spot




Closed Depression

**Gravelly Spot** 

**Gravel Pit** 



US Routes







Marsh or swamp

Lava Flow

Landfill

Mine or Quarry



Perennial Water

Rock Outcrop

Sandy Spot Saline Spot

Severely Eroded Spot

Slide or Slip Sinkhole

Sodic Spot Ø

# MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certifled data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Survey Area Data: Version 15, Oct 10, 2017 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger. Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Feb 22, 2014-Mar

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

# **Map Unit Legend**

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
1	Alamosa loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes	0.1	1.8%
92	Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes	3.2	98.2%
Totals for Area of Interest	·	3.3	100.0%

8 SOIL SURVEY

cludes some of each of the two or more dominant soils, and the pattern and proportion are somewhat similar in all areas. Elbeth-Pring complex, 5 to 30 percent slopes, is an example.

An undifferentiated group is made up of two or more soils that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because there is little value in separating them. The pattern and proportion of the soils are not uniform. An area shown on the map has at least one of the dominant (named) soils or may have all of them. Olney and Vona soils, eroded, is an undifferentiated group in this survey area.

Most map units include small, scattered areas of soils other than those that appear in the name of the map unit. Some of these soils have properties that differ substantially from those of the dominant soil or soils and thus could significantly affect use and management of the map unit. These soils are described in the description of each map unit. Some of the more unusual or strongly contrasting soils that are included are identified by a special symbol on the soil map.

Most mapped areas include places that have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Such places are called *miscellaneous areas*; they are delineated on the soil map and given descriptive names. Pits, gravel is an example. Some of these areas are too small to be delineated and are identified by a special symbol on the soil map.

The military impact area described in some map units consists of a large area on the Fort Carson Military Reservation. It is used as an artillery and bombing target area. This area has not been surveyed, but most of the soils mapped adjacent to the area are in the Heldt, Kim, Midway, Razor, and Wiley series. It is estimated that most of the impact area is Razor-Midway complex.

The acreage and proportionate extent of each map unit are given in table 4, and additional information on properties, limitations, capabilities, and potentials for many soil uses is given for each kind of soil in other tables in this survey. (See "Summary of tables.") Many of the terms used in describing soils are defined in the Glossary.

### Soil descriptions

1—Alamosa loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes. This deep, poorly drained soil formed in alluvium on flood plains and fans. Elevation ranges from 7,200 to 7,700 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 18 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 42 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

Typically, the surface layer is dark gray loam about 6 inches thick. The subsoil is clay loam about 27 inches thick; it is very dark gray in the upper part and gray in the lower part. The substratum is dark greenish gray and light gray sandy clay loam and sandy loam. Mottles are common in the subsoil and substratum.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Ellicott loamy coarse sand, 0 to 5 percent slopes;

Cruckton sandy loam, 1 to 9 percent slopes; Peyton sandy loam, 1 to 5 percent slopes; and Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes.

Permeability of this Alamosa soil is moderately slow. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is high. Organic matter content of the surface layer is high. This soil has a high water table, usually between May and October. Surface runoff is slow, and the hazard of erosion is slight.

This soil is used mostly for native hay or pasture.

The potential plant community is mainly slender wheatgrass, Baltic rush, Nebraska sedge, timothy, and reedgrasses. Willows are a part of the plant community.

If the range has deteriorated, it consists mostly of Kentucky bluegrass and willows. If overgrazing is severe, denuding of the soil and gullying are possible and reestablishment of a good plant cover is very difficult. Where seeding is practical, smooth brome, orchardgrass, Garrison creeping foxtail, or reed canarygrass should be used.

Wet areas of this soil are well suited to shallow water developments, which encourage wetland wildlife such as waterfowl and a number of shore birds. Because of the availability of moisture, this soil provides excellent waterfowl nesting cover. Rangeland wildlife, such as deer and cottontail, use the areas where excellent cover is provided by willows, rushes, and other wetland vegetation. Wildlife on this soil can best be aided by using proper livestock grazing practices and allowing natural vegetation, such as willows and cattails, to grow.

This soil has poor potential for homesites. The main limitations for this use are a high water table and the hazard of flooding. Capability subclass Vw.

2—Ascalon sandy loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes. This deep, well drained soil formed in mixed alluvium and wind-laid material on uplands. Elevation ranges from 5,500 to 6,500 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 15 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 48 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 140 days.

Typically, the surface layer is brown sandy loam about 8 inches thick. The subsoil is brown, yellowish brown, and pale brown sandy clay loam about 22 inches thick. The substratum is calcareous, very pale brown sandy loam and loamy sand.

Included with this soil in mapping are small areas of Bresser sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes; Olney sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes; Vona sandy loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes; and Fort Collins loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes.

Permeability of this Ascalon soil is moderate. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is moderate. Organic matter content of the surface layer is medium. Surface runoff is slow, and the hazards of erosion and soil blowing are moderate.

This soil is used mainly as cropland.

A typical rotation is wheat and summer fallow. Summer fallow is necessary because rainfall is insufficient for yearly cropping. Feed grains such as millet are used as a 58 SOIL SURVEY

strength. Special designs for buildings and roads are required to offset these limitations. Methods of sewage disposal other than septic tank absorption fields are needed because of the limited depth to bedrock. Capability subclass VIe.

92—Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes. These gently sloping to moderately sloping soils are on alluvial fans, hills, and ridges in the uplands. Elevation ranges from about 7,300 to 7,600 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 17 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 42 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

The Tomah soil makes up about 50 percent of the complex, the Crowfoot soil about 30 percent, and other soils about 20 percent.

Included with these soils in mapping are areas of Elbeth sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes; Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes; and Pring coarse sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes.

The Tomah soil is deep and well drained. It formed in alluvium or residuum derived from arkose beds. Typically, the surface layer is dark grayish brown loamy sand about 10 inches thick. The subsurface layer is very pale brown coarse sand about 12 inches thick. The subsoil, about 26 inches thick, is a matrix of very pale brown coarse sand in which are embedded many thin bands and lamellae of pale brown coarse sandy clay loam. The substratum is very pale brown coarse sand to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Permeability of the Tomah soil is moderately rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is moderate. Surface runoff is slow, and the hazard of erosion is slight to moderate.

The Crowfoot soil is deep and well drained. It formed in sediment weathered from arkosic sandstone. Typically, the surface layer is grayish brown loamy sand about 12 inches thick. The subsurface layer is very pale brown sand about 11 inches thick. The subsoil is light yellowish brown sandy clay loam about 13 inches thick. The substratum is very pale brown coarse sand to a depth of about 68 inches.

Permeability of the Crowfoot soil is moderate. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is moderate. Surface runoff is slow, and the hazard of erosion is slight to moderate.

This complex is used as rangeland, for wildlife habitat, and as homesites.

Native vegetation is mainly mountain muhly, bluestem, mountain brome, needleandthread, and blue grama. These soils are subject to invasion by Kentucky bluegrass and Gambel oak. Noticeable forbs are hairy goldenrod, geranium, milkvetch, low larkspur, fringed sage, and buckwheat.

Properly locating livestock watering facilities helps to control grazing. Timely deferment of grazing is needed to protect the plant cover.

Windbreaks and environmental plantings are fairly well suited to these soils. Blowing sand and moderate available water capacity are the principal limitations for the establishment of trees and shrubs. The soils are so loose that trees need to be planted in shallow furrows and plant cover needs to be maintained between the rows. Supplemental irrigation may be needed to insure survival. Trees that are best suited and have good survival are Rocky Mountain juniper, eastern redcedar, ponderosa pine, and Siberian elm. Shrubs that are best suited are skunkbush sumac, lilac, and Siberian peashrub.

These soils are best suited to habitat for openland wildlife such as pronghorn antelope and sharp-tailed grouse. Although sharp-tailed grouse are not plentiful, they could be encouraged on these soils, especially where brush species are interspersed with grasses and forbs. If these soils are used as rangeland, wildlife production can be increased by managing livestock grazing to preclude overuse of the more desirable grass species and depletion of the various brush species.

These soils have good potential for use as homesites. The main limitation of the Crowfoot soil is frost-action potential. Roads and streets need to be designed to minimize frost-heave damage. Maintaining the existing vegetation on building sites during construction helps to control erosion. Capability subclass IVe.

93—Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 8 to 15 percent slopes. These moderately sloping to strongly sloping soils are on alluvial fans, hills, and ridges in the uplands. Elevation ranges from about 7,300 to 7,600 feet. The average annual precipitation is about 17 inches, the average annual air temperature is about 42 degrees F, and the average frost-free period is about 120 days.

The Tomah soil makes up about 50 percent of the complex, the Crowfoot soil about 30 percent, and other soils about 20 percent.

Included with these soils in mapping are areas of Elbeth sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes; Peyton-Pring complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes; and Kettle gravelly loamy sand, 8 to 40 percent slopes.

The Tomah soil is deep and well drained. It formed in alluvium or residuum derived from arkose beds. Typically, the surface layer is dark grayish brown loamy sand about 10 inches thick. The subsurface layer is very pale brown coarse sand about 12 inches thick. The subsoil, about 26 inches thick, consists of a matrix of very pale brown coarse sandy clay loam. The substratum is very pale brown coarse sand to a depth of 60 inches or more.

Permeability of the Tomah soil is moderately rapid. Effective rooting depth is 60 inches or more. Available water capacity is moderate. Surface runoff is medium, and the hazard of erosion is moderate. Some gullies are present in some drainageways and along stock trails.

The Crowfoot soil is deep and well drained. It formed in sediment weathered from arkosic sandstone. Typically, the surface layer is grayish brown loamy sand about 12 inches thick. The subsurface layer is very pale brown sand about 11 inches thick. The subsoil is light yellowish brown sandy clay loam about 13 inches thick. The substratum is very pale brown coarse sand to a depth of about 68 inches.



### This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Feb 22, 2014—Mar line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause compiled and digitized probably differs from the background projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map accurate calculations of distance or area are required. Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG;3857) MAP INFORMATION Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident. Survey Area Data: Version 15, Oct 10, 2017 of the version date(s) listed below. Web Soil Survey URL: 1:50,000 or larger. measurements. scale. Not rated or not available Streams and Canals Interstate Highways Aerial Photography Major Roads Local Roads US Routes Rails C/D Water Features Transportation O Δ Background MAP LEGEND # Not rated or not available Not rated or not available Area of Interest (AOI) Soil Rating Polygons Area of Interest (AOI) Soil Rating Points Soil Rating Lines B/D B/D C/O å B/D 2 8 Ą ပ O ω ш 1 **m** B 氰

### **Hydrologic Soil Group**

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
1	Alamosa loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes	D	0.1	1.8%
92	Tomah-Crowfoot loamy sands, 3 to 8 percent slopes	В	3.2	98.2%

### **Description**

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

### 9 Hydrologic Calculations

Runoff Coefficients and Percent Imperviousness Table 6-6
Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency Table 6-5
Hydrologic Calculations Summary Form SF-1 for Existing & Developed Conditions
Hydrologic Calculations Summary 5-yr Form SF-2 for Existing & Developed Conditions
Hydrologic Calculations Summary 100-yr Form SF-2 for Existing & Developed Conditions
Percent Imperviousness Calculation for proposed Lots 1 & 2

Table 6-6. Runoff Coefficients for Rational Method (Source: UDFCD 2001)

0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dorona						Runoff Co	<b>Runoff Coefficients</b>					
Characteristics	Impervious	2-y	/ear	5-V	5-year	10-)	10-year	25-1	25-year	50.	50-year	100-	100-year
		HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSG C&D	HSG A&B	HSGC&D
Business													
Commercial Areas	95	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.88	0.89
Neighborhood Areas	70	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.68
Residential													
1/8 Acre or less	65	0.41	0.45	0.45	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.65
1/4 Acre	40	0.23	0.28	0:30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
1/3 Acre	30	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.30	0.32	0.38	0.39	0.47	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.57
1/2 Acre	25	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.28	0:30	0.36	0.37	0.46	0.41	0.51	0.46	0.56
1 Acre	20	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.55
Industrial													
Light Areas	80	0.57	09:0	0.59	0.63	0.63	99.0	99.0	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.74
Heavy Areas	06	0.71	0.73	`0.73	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.83
Parks and Cemeteries	7	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.29	0:30	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.39	0.52
Playgrounds	13	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.54
Railroad Yard Areas	40	0.23	0.28	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.46	0.54	0.50	0.58
Undeveloped Areas							3						
Historic Flow Analysis Greenbelts, Agriculture	2	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.51
• Pasture/Meadow	0	0.02	0.04	6.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	(0.35)	0.50
Forest	0	0.02	0.0g	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	0.30	0.44	0.35	0.50
Exposed Rock	100	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	96.0	96.0
Offsite Flow Analysis (when landuse is undefined)	45	0.26	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.44	0.51	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.59
Streets				(									
Paved	100	0.89	0.89	(0.90	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	96.0	0.96
Gravel	80	0.57	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.63	99.0	99.0	0.70	0.68	0.72	6.70	0.74
						2							
Drive and Walks	100	0.89	0.89	98	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	<b>√96</b> °9	96.0
Roofs	06	0.71	0.73	(0.73)	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.82	(3)	0.83
Lawns	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.37	030	0.44	0.25	02.0




Figure 6-5. Colorado Springs Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency

### **IDF** Equations

$$I_{100} = -2.52 \ln(D) + 12.735$$

$$I_{50} = -2.25 \ln(D) + 11.375$$

$$I_{25} = -2.00 \ln(D) + 10.111$$

$$I_{10} = -1.75 \ln(D) + 8.847$$

$$I_5 = -1.50 \ln(D) + 7.583$$

$$I_2 = -1.19 \ln(D) + 6.035$$

Note: Values calculated by equations may not precisely duplicate values read from figure.

Job No.: Project:

61092 Monument Small Engine Storage

Date: Calcs By: Checked By:

D. Gorman

6/22/18 0:39

SF-1)
d Form
Standar
fied from
in (Modil
ntratio
Conce
me of
:-

	ېړ	(min)	11.4	13.7	8.6	14.7	9.7	8.5	10.8	10.8	
_		-					◁				
t <sub>c</sub> Check	t <sub>c,</sub> alt	(min)	N/A					N/A			
t <sub>o</sub>		(min)	435	435	169	380	435	169	265	235	
	مه	(min)	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.3	1,5	0.0	1.2	4.0	
lized	√ <sub>0</sub> 0	(t/s)	0.0	3.0	0.0	4.5	3.1	0.0	9.	3.6	
Channelized	လို	(ft/ft)	0.000	0.032	0.000	0.082	0.032	0.000	600.0	0.082	
	ို	(£)	0			82	285			82	
-		_	3.7	7.7	9.0	8.3	0.2	9.0	.3	8.	
_	٠,	(min)									
Shanne	Vosc	(tt/s)	7:	3.7	2.7	4	3.7	2.7	2.4	1.0	
Shallow Channel	Soci	(ft/ft)	0.022	0.140	0.074	0.041	0.140	0.074	0.060	0.020	
s	Ļ	£	335	20	94	195	20	94	20	20	
-	حتب	(min)	7.7	11.9	8.0	12.0	8.0	8.0	9.5	9.5	
Overland	လိ	(%)	3%	3%	%9	2%	3%	%9	7%	%9	
Ó	٦	(#)	100	100	75	100	100	75	100	100	
-	%	lmp.	62%	%8	13%	27%	28%	14%	24%	10%	
Data		C <sub>100</sub> /CN	0.62	0.39	0.41	0.48	09.0	0.41	0.62	0.40	-11
Sub-Basin Data		Cs	0.48	0.13	0.17	0.26	0.45	0.17	0.46	0.15	
	Area	(Acres)	4.05	1.42	0.32	2.15	1.42	0.32	0.86	1.29	
	-qns	Basin	EX-OSA1	EX-A1	EX-A2	EX-B1	DV-A1	DV-A2	DV-B1	DV-B2	

Job No.: 61092
Project: Monument Small Engine Storage
Design Storm: 5-Year Storm
Jurisdiction: DCM

(20% Probability)

Sub-Basin and Combined Flows (Modified from Standard Form SF-2)

6/22/18 0:39

D. Gorman

Date: Calcs By: Checked By:

Φ	ت	(min)		
ravel Ime	Vosc	(£/\s)		
-	Length	Œ		
	DPipe	(ju)		
	Length	€		
Pipe Flow	Mnngs Length	c	00 [FA11]	
Ā	Stope	(%)		
	Ø	(cts)		
	ø	(cfs)		
Streetflow	Length	Œ	(A1 + POUD (A2 + POUD (B2 + Poup	
S	Slope	(%)	3.5 (A) + POUD 4.0 (A2 + PouD 1.6 (B2 + PouD	
	Q5	(cfs)	3.7	
Runoff	15	(in/hr)		
Combined Runoff	CA	(Acres)		
	ەر	(min)	7, 7, 8, 8,	
	Q5	(cfs)	7.6 0.7 2.0 2.7 2.0 0.2 1.6 0.8	
flor	15	(in/hr)	3.93 3.65 3.56 4.01 4.01 4.02	
Direct Runoff	CA	(Acres)	W W 10 10 10 T 10 T W	
	<b>1</b> 20	(min)	4 \( \tilde{\chi} \) \( \	
		CS	13 13 13 14 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	) + C2
	Area	(Acres)	7. Acress 1. 4. 0. 2. 1. 4. 0. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 0. 3. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1. 2. 1.	DCM: I = C1 * In (te) + C2
	-duS		2 2	DCM:
		DP	5	

Monument Small Engine Storage
m: 100-Year Storm (1% Probability)
DCM Job No.: 67
Project: M
Design Storm:
Jurisdiction:

Sub-Basin and Combined Flows (Modified from Standard Form SF-2)

6/22/18 0:39

D. Gorman

Date: Calcs By: Checked By:

					Direct R	unoff			Combined Runoff	1 Runoff		Stre	Streetflow			Pipe Flow	>			Travel Time	ЭС
	-qnS	Area		t°	CA 1100	1100	0100	۰	CA	1100		m	£			Slope Mnngs Length	Length	_	ت		45-
DP	Basin	(Acres)	C100	(min)	(Acres)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(min)	(Acres)	(in/hr)	(cts)	(%)	(t)	(cfs) (cfs)	(%)	c	Œ	(ij)	€	(ft/s)	(min)
	EX-OSA1	4.05	0.62	11.4	2.52	09'9	16.6														
	EX-A1	1.42	0.39	13.7	0.55	6.13	3.4														
EX DP1	OSA1,A1	5.47						13.7			15.5										
	EX-A2	0.32	0.41	8.6	0.13	7.33	10														
EX DP1+A2	OSA1,A1,A2	5.79						13.7			16.3										
	EX-81	2.15	0.48	14.7	1.02	5.97	6.1														
		7	09 0	7.0	90 0	4 00	9								_	*					
	DV-A1	247	00.0	, . 0.	00.0	0	2.0	0 7			18 1	4	7		2000	110AD					
70 00	DV-A2	0.32	0.41	8.5	0.13	7.33	1.0	3			j						-				
DV DP4	OSA1,A1,A2	5.79	2					8.5			17.0	(A)	4		201	ました	_				
DV DP2	DV-81	0.86	0,62	10.8	0.53	6.74	3.6														
	DV-B2	1.29	0.40	10.8	0.51	6.75	3.4					,	d		0	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					
DV DP3	81,82	2.15						10.8			5.8	187 + 128 V	Ę		7						
													$\dashv$	-	+				4		
	DCM:	DCM: 1 = C1 * In (tc) + C2	(tc) + C2																		

Page 1

2.52

2 2

## Sub-Basin EX-OSA1 Runoff Calculations (offsite pond inflow)

Job No.:

61092

Date:

6/22/18 0:39

Project:

Monument Small Engine Storage

Calcs by:

D. Gorman

Jurisdiction

Runoff Coefficient

DCM

**Surface Type** 

Checked by:

Soil Type Urbanization

Non-Urban

Basin Land Use Characteristics

	Area			Runo	off Coeffici	ent			%
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Gravel	137,170	3.15	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Landscaping	780	0.02	0.03	0.09	0.17	0.26	0.31	0.36	2%
Pasture/Meadow	38,537	0.88	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	176,487	4.05	0.45	0.48	0.52	0.57	0.60	0.62	62.2%

176487

**Basin Travel Time** 

Shallow Channel Ground Cover Nearly bare ground  $C_v$ 10 100 ft L<sub>max,Overland</sub>  $\Delta Z_0$  (ft)  $S_0$  (ft/ft) v (ft/s) t<sub>Alt</sub> (min) t (min) L (ft) Total 435 11 7.7 0.032 N/A DCM Eq. 6-8 Initial Time 100 3 1.5 3.7 - DCM Eq. 6-9 **Shallow Channel** 335 8 0.022 0.000 0.0 0.0 - V-Ditch Channelized

11.4 min.

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.14	3.93	4.59	5.24	5.90	6.60
Runoff (cfs)	5.7	7.6	9.7	12.1	14.2	16.6
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	_
Allowed Release (cfs)	5.7	7.6	9.7	12.1	14.2	16.6

DCM: I = C1 \* In (tc) + C2 C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2.25 2.52 8.847 6.035 7:583 11.375 12.735 10.111

#### **Sub-Basin Ex-A1 Runoff Calculations**

Job No.:

61092

Date:

6/22/18 0:39

Project:

Monument Small Engine Storage

Calcs by: Checked by: D. Gorman

Jurisdiction

Runoff Coefficient

DCM

**Surface Type** 

Soil Type Urbanization В

Non-Urban

**Basin Land Use Characteristics** 

	Area			Runc	off Coeffici	ent			%
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Gravel	6,295	0.14	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Pasture/Meadow	55,489	1.27	0.02	0.08	0,15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	61,784	1.42	0.08	0.13	0.20	0.29	0.34	0.39	8.2%

61784

**Basin Travel Time** 

Sha	allow Channel Gro	ound Cover	Nearly bare	ground		
	$L_{max,Overland}$	100	ft		$C_v$	10
	L (ft)	$\Delta Z_0$ (ft)	S <sub>0</sub> (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t <sub>Alt</sub> (min)
Total	435	19	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	100	3	0.032	-	11.9	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	50	7	0.140	3.7	0.2	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	285	9	0.032	3.0	1.6	- V-Ditch

t<sub>c</sub> 13.7 min.

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.92	3.65	4.26	4.87	5.48	6.13
Runoff (cfs)	0.3	0.7	1.2	2.0	2.6	3.4
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	_	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.3	0.7	1.2	2.0	2.6	3.4

# に対している。 に対している Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (DP1)

Includes Basins EX-A1

+ offsite pond outflow

Job No.:

61092

Date:

Project:

**Monument Small Engine Storage** 

Calcs by:

6/22/18 0:39

Jurisdiction

DCM

Checked by: Soil Type

В

D. Gorman

Runoff Coefficient

**Surface Type** 

Urbanization

Non-Urban

#### **Basin Land Use Characteristics**

	Area			Runo	off Coeffici	ent			%
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Gravel	6,295	0.14	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Pasture/Meadow	55,489	1,27	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	61,784	1.42	0.08	0.13	0.20	0.29	0.34	0.39	8.2%

#### **Basin Travel Time**

el lime	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. ΔZ <sub>0</sub> (ft)	Q <sub>i</sub> (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach Channelized-1 Channelized-2 Channelized-3	EX-A1		435	19		-		-	13.7
Total			435	19				t.	

13.7 (min)

#### Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas Offsite Basin OSA1 Existing Pond Outflow

 $Q_{\text{Minor}}$ 

2.8 (cfs) - 5-year Storm

 $\mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{Major}}$ 

12.1 (cfs) - 100-year Storm

#### Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.92	3.65	4.26	4.87	5.48	6.13
Site Runoff (cfs)	0.31	0.68	1.20	2.02	2.63	3.36
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	2.80	-	-	-	12.10
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)		3.5	-	-	-	15.5

DCM: I = C1 \* In (tc) + C2 2.25 1.5 1.75 2.52 Ci 1.19 12.735 C2 6.035 7,583 8.847 10.111 11.375

#### **Notes**

Runoff from pond outflows and other contributing basins are assumed constant, disregarding differing times of concentration.

#### **Sub-Basin Ex-A2 Runoff Calculations**

Job No.:

61092

Date:

6/22/18 0:39

Project:

Monument Small Engine Storage

Calcs by: Checked by: D. Gorman

Jurisdiction

Runoff Coefficient

DCM

**Surface Type** 

Soil T

Soil Type Urbanization B Non-Urban

**Basin Land Use Characteristics** 

	Area			Runc	off Coeffici	ent			%
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Gravel	2,360	0.05	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Pasture/Meadow	11,765	0.27	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	14,125	0.32	0.11	0.17	0.23	0.32	0.36	0.41	13.4%
	14125								

**Basin Travel Time** 

Sha	llow Channel Gro	und Cover	Nearly bare	ground			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	100	ft		$C_v$	10	
	L (ft)	$\Delta Z_0$ (ft)	S <sub>0</sub> (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t <sub>Alt</sub> (min)	
Total	169	12	-	-	-	-	
Initial Time	75	5	0.063	-	8.0	N/A DCM Eq. 6	8-6
Shallow Channel	94	7	0.074	2.7	0.6	- DCM Eq. 6	3-9
Channelized			0.000	0.0	0.0	- V-Ditch	
				tc	8.6	min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.48	4.36	5.09	5.82	6.55	7.33
Runoff (cfs)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	_	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
	0.1]   = C1 * ln		0.4	0.6	0.0	-

C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

# Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (DP1 + A2)

Includes Basins EX-A1 EX-A2

+ offsite pond outflow

Job No.:

61092

Date:

D. Gorman

Project:

Monument Small Engine Storage

Calcs by:

6/22/18 0:39

Jurisdiction

DCM

Checked by:

Soil Type

В

Runoff Coefficient

Surface Type

Urbanization Non-Urban

#### **Basin Land Use Characteristics**

	Area		Runoff Coefficient						%
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Gravel	8,655	0.20	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Pasture/Meadow	67,254	1.54	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	75,909	1.74	80.0	0.14	0.20	0.30	0.34	0.39	9.1%

#### **Basin Travel Time**

	Sub-basin or	Material	1 (54)	Elev.	Q <sub>i</sub> (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
	Channel Type	Туре	L (ft)	$\Delta Z_0$ (ft)	Qi (CIS)	Dia (II)	2.1 (1011)	V (IUS)	t (mm)
Furthest Reach Channelized-1 Channelized-2 Channelized-3	EX-A1		435	19				-	13.7
Total			435	19					

t<sub>c</sub> 13.7 (min)

#### Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas Offsite Basin OSA1 Existing Pond Outflow

 $Q_{Minor}$ 

2.8 (cfs) - 5-year Storm

Q<sub>Major</sub>

12.1 (cfs) - 100-year Storm

#### Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.92	3.65	4.26	4.87	5.48	6.13
Site Runoff (cfs)	0.42	0.88	1.52	2.52	3.28	4.17
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	2.80	-		-	12.10
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	3.7	-	-	-	16.3

DCM; 4 = C1 \* In (tc) ÷ C2 C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

#### **Notes**

Runoff from pond outflows and other contributing basins are assumed constant, disregarding differing times of concentration.

#### **Sub-Basin Ex-B1 Runoff Calculations**

Job No.:

61092

Date:

6/22/18 0:39

Project:

Monument Small Engine Storage

Calcs by:

D. Gorman

Jurisdiction

Runoff Coefficient

DCM

**Surface Type** 

Checked by:

Soil Type Urbanization

Non-Urban

**Basin Land Use Characteristics** 

	Area			Runc	off Coeffici	ent			%
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Roofs	3,525	0.08	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Gravel	22,940	0.53	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Paved	3,660	0.08	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Pasture/Meadow	63,448	1.46	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	93,573	2.15	0.21	0.26	0.32	0.40	0.44	0.48	26.9%

93573

**Basin Travel Time** 

Shallo	w Channel Grou	and Cover	Short Pastu	ire/Lawns		
	L <sub>max,Overland</sub>	100	ft		$C_v$	7
	L (ft)	$\Delta Z_0$ (ft)	S <sub>0</sub> (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t <sub>Alt</sub> (min)
Total	380	17	-	-	-	-
Initial Time	100	2	0.020	-	12.0	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	195	8	0.041	1.4	2.3	- DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized	85	7	0.082	4.5	0.3	- V-Ditch
					44-	•

t<sub>c</sub> 14.7 min.

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

]	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	2.84	3.56	4.15	4.74	5.33	5.97
Runoff (cfs)	1.3	2.0	2.9	4.0	5.0	6.1
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	1.3	2.0	2.9	4.0	5.0	6.1

#### **Sub-Basin Dv-A1 Runoff Calculations**

Job No.:

61092

Date:

6/22/18 0:39

Project:

**Monument Small Engine Storage** 

Calcs by:

Checked by:

D. Gorman

В

Jurisdiction

Runoff Coefficient

DCM

**Surface Type** 

Soil Type Urbanization

Non-Urban

#### **Basin Land Use Characteristics**

	Area		Runoff Coefficient						%
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Gravel	45,031	1.03	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Pasture/Meadow	16,960	0.39	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	61,991	1.42	0.42	0.45	0.50	0.55	0.58	0.60	58.1%

61991

**Basin Travel Time** 

01 111110							
Sha	allow Channel Gro	ound Cover	Nearly bare	ground			
	L <sub>max,Overland</sub>	100	ft		$C_v$	10	
	L (ft)	$\Delta Z_0$ (ft)	S <sub>0</sub> (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t <sub>Alt</sub> (min)	
Total	435	19	-	-	-		
Initial Time	100	3	0.032	-	8.0	N/A DCM Eq. 6-8	
Shallow Channel	50	7	0.140	3.7	0.2	- DCM Eq. 6-9	ł
Channelized	285	9	0.032	3.1	1.5	- V-Ditch	

9.7 min.

#### Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.33	4.17	4.86	5.56	6.25	7.00
Runoff (cfs)	2.0	2.7	3.5	4.3	5.1	6.0
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	_	-	_	_
Allowed Release (cfs)	2.0	2.7	3.5	4.3	5.1	6.0

DCM: 1 = C1 \* In (tc) + C2 1.19 1.75 2.25 2.52 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

#### DEY

## Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (DP1 pond inflow)

Includes Basins DV-A1

+ OSA1 pond outflow

Job No.:

61092

**Monument Small Engine Storage** 

Date:

6/22/18 0:39

Project:

Calcs by: Checked by: D. Gorman

Jurisdiction

**DCM** 

Soil Type Urbanization В Non-Urban

Runoff Coefficient

**Surface Type** 

#### **Basin Land Use Characteristics**

	Area		Runoff Coefficient					%	
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Gravel	45,031	1.03	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Pasture/Meadow	16,960	0.39	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	61,991	1.42	0.42	0.45	0.50	0.55	0.58	0.60	58.1%

#### **Basin Travel Time**

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. $\Delta Z_0$ (ft)	Q <sub>i</sub> (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Funtherst Deach	DV-A1	Туре	435	19	,	` ,	` /	,	9.7
Furthest Reach Channelized-1 Channelized-2 Channelized-3	DV-A1		435	19				-	9.1
Total			435	19				4	

9.7 (min)

#### Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas Offsite Basin OSA1 Existing Pond Outflow

 $\mathbf{Q}_{\text{Minor}}$ 

2.8 (cfs) - 5-year Storm

 $\mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{Major}}$ 

12.1 (cfs) - 100-year Storm

#### Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Үг	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.33	4.17	4.86	5.56	6.25	7.00
Site Runoff (cfs)	1.99	2.67	3.45	4.33	5.13	6.02
OffSite Runoff (cfs)		2.80	-	-	-	12.10
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	5.5	-	-	-	18.1

DCM: += C1 \* In (tc) + C2 Ci 1.19 2.25 2.52 1,5 1.75 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

#### **Notes**

Runoff from pond outflows and other contributing basins are assumed constant, disregarding differing times of concentration,

#### **Sub-Basin Dv-A2 Runoff Calculations**

Job No.:

61092

Date:

6/22/18 0:39

Project:

Monument Small Engine Storage

Calcs by:

D. Gorman

Jurisdiction

Runoff Coefficient

DCM

Surface Type

Checked by:

Soil Type Urbanization B Non-Urban

**Basin Land Use Characteristics** 

	Area		Runoff Coefficient						
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Gravel	2,362	0.05	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Pasture/Meadow	11,556	0.27	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	13,918	0.32	0.11	0.17	0.23	0.32	0.36	0.41	13.6%

13918

**Basin Travel Time** 

Sha	llow Channel Gro	und Cover	Nearly bare	ground			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	100	ft		C <sub>v</sub>	10	
	L (ft)	$\Delta Z_0$ (ft)	S <sub>0</sub> (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t <sub>Alt</sub> (min)	
Total	169	12	-	-	-	-	
Initial Time	75	5	0.063	-	8.0	N/A	DCM Eq. 6-8
Shallow Channel	94	7	0.074	2.7	0.6	-	DCM Eq. 6-9
Channelized			0.000	0.0	0.0	-	V-Ditch
				tc	8.5	min.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.48	4.37	5.09	5.82	6.55	7.33
Runoff (cfs)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
DOM: 1-	C1 * In (10)	L C2				

#### DEY

## Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (DP1 pond outflow + A2)

Includes Basins DV-A2

+ DP1 pond outflow

Job No.: 61092 Date: 6/22/18 0:39

Project: Monument Small Engine Storage Calcs by: D. Gorman

Checked by:

JurisdictionDCMSoil TypeBRunoff CoefficientSurface TypeUrbanizationNon-Urban

#### **Basin Land Use Characteristics**

	Area		Runoff Coefficient						%
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Gravel	2,362	0.05	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Pasture/Meadow	11,556	0.27	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	13,918	0.32	0.11	0.17	0.23	0.32	0.36	0.41	13.6%

#### **Basin Travel Time**

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. $\Delta Z_0$ (ft)	Q <sub>i</sub> (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach Channelized-1 Channelized-2 Channelized-3	DV-A2		169	12			7:	-	8.5
Total			169	12					

t<sub>c</sub> 8.5 (min)

#### Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas DP1 Pond Outflow

 $Q_{Minor}$  3.8 (cfs) - 5-year Storm  $Q_{Major}$  16.0 (cfs) - 100-year Storm

#### Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.48	4.37	5.09	5.82	6.55	7.33
Site Runoff (cfs)	0.13	0.23	0.38	0.59	0.76	0.96
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	3.80	-	-	-	16.00
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-		-	
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	4.0	-	-	-	17.0

DCM: 1 = C1 \* ln (tc) + C2 C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

#### **Notes**

Runoff from pond outflows and other contributing basins are assumed constant, disregarding differing times of concentration.

## Sub-Basin Dv-B1 Runoff Calculations (DP2 pond inflow)

Job No.:

61092

Date:

6/22/18 0:39

Project:

Monument Small Engine Storage

Calcs by: Checked by: D. Gorman

Jurisdiction

DCM

Soil Type

В

Runoff Coefficient

Surface Type

Urbanization

Non-Urban

#### **Basin Land Use Characteristics**

	Area		J	Runc	ff Coeffici	ent			%
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Roofs	3,200	0.07	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Gravel	15,860	0.36	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Paved	4,810	0.11	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Pasture/Meadow	13,657	0.31	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	37,527	0.86	0.42	0.46	0.50	0.56	0.59	0.62	54.3%

37527

#### **Basin Travel Time**

Sha	llow Channel Gro	und Cover	Nearly bare	ground			
	$L_{max,Overland}$	100	ft		$C_v$	10	
	L (ft)	$\Delta Z_0$ (ft)	S <sub>o</sub> (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t <sub>Alt</sub> (min)	
Total	265	6	-	-	-	-	
Initial Time	100	2	0.020	-	9.2	N/A DCM Eq.	6-8
Shallow Channel	50	3	0.060	2.4	0.3	- DCM Eq.	6-9
Channelized	115	1	0.009	1.6	1.2	- V-Ditch	
				t.	10.8 ו	nin.	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

T.	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.20	4.01	4.68	5.35	6.02	6.74
Runoff (cfs)	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.6	3.0	3.6
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	C.A. L. A. S.	-	-	_	-	1
Allowed Release (cfs)	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.6	3.0	3.6
DCM: I:	= C1 * In (tc	) + C2				

C1 1.19 1.5 1.75 2 2.25 2.52 C2 6.035 7.583 8.847 10.111 11.375 12.735

#### **Sub-Basin Dv-B2 Runoff Calculations**

Job No.:

61092

Date:

6/22/18 0:39

Project:

Monument Small Engine Storage

Calcs by:

D. Gorman

Jurisdiction

DCM

Checked by:

Soil Type Urbanization B Non-Urban

Runoff Coefficient

Surface Type

#### **Basin Land Use Characteristics**

	Area	Area			Runoff Coefficient					
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.	
Roofs	640	0.01	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%	
Gravel	6,518	0.15	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%	
Paved		0.00	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%	
Pasture/Meadow	48,889	1.12	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%	
Combined	56,047	1.29	0.09	0.15	0.21	0.30	0.35	0.40	10.3%	

56047

#### **Basin Travel Time**

AGI TITLE	-						
Sha	allow Channel Gro	und Cover	Short Pasti	ure/Lawns			
	L <sub>max,Overland</sub>	100	ft		C <sub>v</sub>	7	
	L (ft)	$\Delta Z_0$ (ft)	S <sub>0</sub> (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)	t <sub>Alt</sub> (min)	
Total	235	14	-	-		•	
Initial Time	100	6	0.060	-	9.5	N/A DCM Eq. 6-	8
Shallow Channel	50	-1	0.020	1.0	0.8	- DCM Eq. 6-	9
Channelized	85	7	0.082	3.6	0.4	- V-Ditch	
				t	10.8	min	

Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.21	4.02	4.69	5.36	6.03	6.75
Runoff (cfs)	0.4	0.8	1.3	2.1	2.7	3.4
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-		-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	0.4	0.8	1.3	2.1	2.7	3.4
DCM: I	= C1 * in (to	c) + C2				
C1	1.19	1.5	1.75	2	2.25	2.52
C2	6.035	7.583	8.847	10.111	11.375	12.735

## **Combined Sub-Basin Runoff Calculations (DP3)**

Includes Basins DV-B2

+ DP2 pond outflow

Job No.: 61092 Date: 6/22/18 0:39

Checked by:

Project: Monument Small Engine Storage Calcs by: D. Gorman

Jurisdiction DCM Soil Type B

Runoff Coefficient Surface Type Urbanization Non-Urban

#### **Basin Land Use Characteristics**

	Area			Runo	ff Coeffici	ent			%
Surface	(SF)	(Acres)	C2	C5	C10	C25	C50	C100	Imperv.
Roofs	640	0.01	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.8	0.81	90%
Gravel	6,518	0.15	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.68	0.7	80%
Paved	- 1	0.00	0.89	0.9	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	100%
Pasture/Meadow	48,889	1.12	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.25	0.3	0.35	0%
Combined	56,047	1.29	0.09	0.15	0.21	0.30	0.35	0.40	10.3%

#### **Basin Travel Time**

	Sub-basin or Channel Type	Material Type	L (ft)	Elev. $\Delta Z_0$ (ft)	Q <sub>i</sub> (cfs)	Base or Dia (ft)	Sides z:1 (ft/ft)	v (ft/s)	t (min)
Furthest Reach Channelized-1 Channelized-2 Channelized-3	DV-B2	77	235	14				-	10.8
Total			235	14					

t<sub>c</sub> 10.8 (min)

#### Contributing Offsite Flows (Added to Runoff and Allowed Release, below.)

Contributing Basins/Areas DP2 pond outflow

 ${
m Q}_{
m Minor}$  0.8 (cfs) - 5-year Storm  ${
m Q}_{
m Major}$  2.4 (cfs) - 100-year Storm

#### Rainfall Intensity & Runoff

	2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr
Intensity (in/hr)	3.21	4.02	4.69	5.36	6.03	6.75
Site Runoff (cfs)	0.38	0.76	1.28	2.09	2.71	3.44
OffSite Runoff (cfs)	-	0.80	-	-	-	2.40
Release Rates (cfs/ac)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allowed Release (cfs)	-	1.6	-		-	5.8

#### **Notes**

Runoff from pond outflows and other contributing basins are assumed constant, disregarding differing times of concentration.

# 10 Hydraulic Calculations

Sand Filter Basin Calculations Ditch Capacity Calculations

# **DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER**

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: Mounty Herman RV Storage

Basin ID: Existing WQ/Detention Pond Basin OSA1 (existing pond - offsite)

1

/			(puo
		ORIFICE	Cxample Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)
Ē	1	ONE 1 AND 2	s Sonfiguration
ZON	-	ZONE	mple Zone Co
	-12	1	ANEM
	URV   WOO		POOL

$\left\langle \right\rangle$	C100-YEAR	ORIFICE	Retention Pond)
T SONE I		ZONE 1 AND 2	Example Zone Configuration (Retention Pond)
	wock	PERMANENT	Pool. Exam

	ь.	
	ntior	
	ι (Retentio	
	tio	
	figura	
ces	<b>Configura</b>	
ORIF	Zone (	
	ample	
1	Exa	
BIT		
MAM	ನ	

											O	
		acres	Ħ	ft/ft	percent	percent	percent	percent	hours	tol Building	acre-feet	
	SF	4.05	435	0.025	62.00%	%0.0	100.0%	%0.0	12.0	Denver - Capi	990.0	
Required Volume Calculation	Selected BMP Type =	Watershed Area =	Watershed Length =	Watershed Slope =	Watershed Imperviousness =	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	Desired WQCV Drain Time =	Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths = Denver - Capitol Building	Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	

Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.066	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.273	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1,19 in.) =	0.225	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.5 in.) =	0.302	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.391	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.511	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2,25 in.) =	0.597	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2,52 in.) =	0.710	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.4 in.) =	1.023	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.211	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.284	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.364	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.393	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0,409	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	0.445	acre-feet

r Override stion	inches						
Optional User Override 1-hr Precipitation	1.19	1.50	1,75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.40


Depth Increment =	0.2	Ħ							
Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
Media Surface	1	00.0	1	ı	-	885	0.020		
	1	0.20	1	-	1	66	0.023	178	0.004
	1	0.40	1	-	1	1,073	0.025	384	600'0
	:	09.0	1	1	1	1,167	0.027	809	0.014
	1	08.0	1	1	1	1,261	0.029	849	0.019
	1	1.00	-	,	ı	1,355	0.031	1,110	0.025
	:	1.20	,	,	1	1,776	0.041	1,419	0.033
	:	1.40	,		-	2,197	0.050	1,812	0.042
	1	1.60	1	1	1	2.618	090'0	2,289	0,053
	,	1.80	1	,	1	3,039	0.070	2,851	0,065
12" CMP Inv El	1	2.00	1	1	1	3,460	0.079	3,497	080'0
	-	2.20	1	,	ı	3,646	0.084	4,242	0.097
	1	2.40	-	-	1	3.834	0.088	4,990	0.115
	1	2.60	ı	1	1	4,020	0.092	5,775	0,133
	1	2.80	1	1	1	4,207	0.097	6,598	0.151
	1	3.00	1	,	1	4,394	0.101	7,458	0,171
	1	3.20	1		ı	4,592	0.105	8,356	0.192
	1	3.40	-		1	4.790	0.110	9,295	0,213
Em Spwy Crest		3.60	1	ŀ	1	4,989	0.115	10,273	0.236
	:	3.80	1	1	1	5,187	0.119	11,290	0,259
	:	4.00	1	-		5,385	0.124	12,347	0,283
	-								
	-		-		-				
	-		-		ı				
	:		-	***	1				
	1		1	ı	1				

#### **Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design**

#### UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

#### Project: Mount Herman RV Storage

Basin ID: Existing WQ/Detention Pond Basin OSA1



	Stage (ft)	Zone Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	1.80	0.066	Filtration Media
Zone 2 (5-year)		0,218	Circular Orifice
Zone 3			
		0.284	Total

#### User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = 2.00 ft (distance below the filtration media surface) Underdrain Orifice Diameter =

Calculate	ed Parameters for Ur	derdrain
Underdrain Orifice Area =	0.0	ft <sup>2</sup>
Underdrain Orifice Centroid =	0.05	feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

Invert of Lowest Orifice =	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	N/A	inches
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	N/A	inches

Calcu	lated Parameters to	r Plate
VQ Orifice Area per Row =	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Elliptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet
Elliptical Slot Centroid =	N/A	feet
Elliptical Slot Area =	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

0	Row 1 (optional)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	N/A							
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	N/A							

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Zone 2 Circular	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =	2.00		ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	3.60		ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Vertical Orifice Diameter =	12.00		inches

Calculated Parameters for Vertical Orifice				
	Zone 2 Circular	Not Selected	7	
Vertical Orifice Area =	0.79		ft <sup>2</sup>	
tical Orifice Centroid =	0.50		feet	

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and Grate (Flat or Sloped)

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	2.00		ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =			feet
Overflow Weir Slope =			HIV (enter zero for flat grate)
Horiz, Length of Weir Sides =			feet
Overflow Grate Open Area % =			%, grate open area/total area
Debris Clogging % =			1%

Calculated F	arameters for Ove	rflow Weir	
	Not Selected	Not Selected	1
Height of Grate Upper Edge, H <sub>t</sub> =			feet
Over Flow Weir Slope Length =			feet
Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =	-		should be ≥ 4
Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris =			ft <sup>2</sup>
Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris =			ft <sup>2</sup>

#### User Input: Outlet Pip

t Pipe W/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Ornice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Ornice)			aiculated Parameters	for Outlet Pipe W/ F	low Restriction	
1	Not Selected	Not Selected		[	Not Selected	Not Selecte
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =			ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)	Outlet Orifice Area =		
Circular Orifice Diameter =			inches Out	let Orifice Centroid =		
Ti-			Half-Central Angle of Rest	rictor Plate on Pine =	N/A	N/A

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)


user input: Emergency Spiliway (Rectang	utar or Trapezoida	aij
Spillway Invert Stage=	3.60	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Spillway Crest Length =	20.00	feet
Spillway End Slopes =	3.00	H:V
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	0.02	feet

Calcula	ted Parameters fo	or Spillway
ow Depth=	0.38	feet

0.38	feet
4.00	feet
0.12	асгея
	4.00

Routed Hydrograph Results_									
Design Storm Return Period =	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	0.53	1.07	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.40
Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.066	0.273	0-225	0.302	0.391	0.511	0.597	0.710	1.023
OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =									
inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	0.066	0.273	0.225	0.302	0.391	0.511	0.597	0.710	1.024
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.28	0.87	1.20	1.60	2.55
Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	0.0	0.0	0-1	0.1	1.1	3-5	4.9	6.5	10.3
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	1.4	5.7	4.7	6.3	8.2	10.6	12.4	14.7	21.1
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.1	2.4	1.7	2.8	3.6	6.2	8.8	12.1	20.1
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	25-1	3.2	1-8	1.8	1_9_	1.9
Structure Controlling Flow =	Filtration Media	Vertical Orifice 1	Vertical Orifice 1	Vertical Orifice 1	Vertical Orifice 1	Spillway	Spillway	Spillway	Spillway
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	12	17	17	17	16	15	15	14	13
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	12	18	18	18	18	18	17	17	17
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	1.65	2.93	2.76	3.02	3.37	3.70	3.77	3 85	3.99
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.056	0.164	0.148	0.173	0.209	0.247	0.256	0.264	0.282

#### **Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design**



maximum bound

#### Design Procedure Form: Sand Filter (SF) UD-BMP (Version 3.06, November 2016) Sheet 1 of 2 D. Gorman Designer: M.V.E., Inc. Company: March 17, 2018 Date: Monument Small Engine Storage Project: Basin A1 - Pond at DP1 Location: 1. Basin Storage Volume A) Effective Imperviousness of Tributary Area, Ia I<sub>a</sub> = 43.6 % (100% if all paved and roofed areas upstream of sand filter) i = 0.436 B) Tributary Area's Imperviousness Ratio (i = I<sub>a</sub>/100) WQCV = 0.15 watershed inches C) Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Based on 12-hour Drain Time WQCV= $0.8 * (0.91*i^3 - 1.19*i^2 + 0.78*i)$ Area = 61,784 sq ft D) Contributing Watershed Area (including sand filter area) V<sub>WQCV</sub> = 780 cu ft E) Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume Vwqcv = WQCV / 12 \* Area d<sub>6</sub> = \_\_\_\_\_\_ in F) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm V<sub>WQCV OTHER</sub> = \_\_\_\_\_cu ft G) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume V<sub>WQCV USER</sub> = \_\_\_\_\_cu ft H) User Input of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume (Only if a different WQCV Design Volume is desired) 2. Basin Geometry D<sub>WQCV</sub> = 1.3 ft A) WQCV Depth Z = 3.00 ft / ft DIFFICULT TO MAINTAIN, INCREASE WHERE POSSIBLE B) Sand Filter Side Slopes (Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4:1 or flatter preferred). Use "0" if sand filter has vertical walls. A<sub>Min</sub> = 337 sq ft C) Minimum Filter Area (Flat Surface Area) A<sub>Actual</sub> = <u>357</u> sq ft D) Actual Filter Area V<sub>T</sub> = <u>781</u> cu ft E) Volume Provided Choose One 3. Filter Material ●18" CDOT Class B or C Filter Material Other (Explain): 4. Underdrain System Choose One OYES A) Are underdrains provided? ONO B) Underdrain system orifice diameter for 12 hour drain time i) Distance From Lowest Elevation of the Storage y = \_\_\_\_\_ ft Volume to the Center of the Orifice ii) Volume to Drain in 12 Hours $Vol_{12} = 780$ cu ft D<sub>o</sub> = 5/8 in


iii) Orifice Diameter, 3/8" Minimum

	Design Procedure F	orm: Sand Filter (SF)
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	D. Gorman M.V.E., Inc. March 17, 2018 Monument Small Engine Storage Basin A1 - Pond at DP1	Sheet 2 of 2
A) Is an	able Geomembrane Liner and Geotextile Separator Fabric impermeable liner provided due to proximity uctures or groundwater contamination?	Choose One OrES  NO
	ribe the type of energy dissipation at inlet points and means of eying flows in excess of the WQCV through the outlet	12" pvc pipe outlet and 8' wide emergency spillway
Notes:		÷

#### **DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER**

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: 61092 - Monument Small Engine Storage



#### Requ

ired Volume Calculation	_	20
Selected BMP Type =	SF	1
Watershed Area =	1.42	acres
Watershed Length =	435	ft
Watershed Slope =	0 044	ft/ft
Watershed Imperviousness =	43.60%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A =	0.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =	100.0%	percent
Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =	0.0%	percent
Desired WQCV Drain Time =	12 0	hours
Lander for A to Deletell Double - I	In an Income	

Desired WQCV Drain Time =	12 0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.018	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.065	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1, 19 in.) =	0.052	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1,5 in,) =	0.072	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1.75 in.) =	0.100	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.146	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in.) =	0.177	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	0.218	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3,4 in.) =	0.327	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.049	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0,068	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.091	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.101	acre-feet
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.106	acre-feet
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	0,121	acre-feet
		-

inches
inches

#### Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0.018	acre-fe
Zone 2 Volume (2-year - Zone 1) =	0.031	acre-fe
Select Zone 3 Storage Volume (Optional) =	ĺ	acre-fe
Total Detention Basin Volume =	0.049	acre-fe
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) ≈	N/A	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	N/A	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H <sub>total</sub> ) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H <sub>TC</sub> ) =	N/A	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (STC) =	N/A	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (S <sub>main</sub> ) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R <sub>L/W</sub> ) =	user	

Total detention volume is less than 100-year volume.


Dasin Lengui-to-vildui Kallo (KL/W) -	0361	
_		
Initial Surcharge Area (A <sub>ISV</sub> ) =	user	ft^2
Surcharge Volume Length (Lisv) =	user	ft
Surcharge Volume Width (Wisy) =	user	ft
Depth of Basin Floor (H <sub>FLOGR</sub> ) ≈	user	ft
Length of Basin Floor (LFLOOR) =	user	ft
Width of Basin Floor (W <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft
Area of Basin Floor (A <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Basin Floor (V <sub>FLOOR</sub> ) =	user	ft^3
Depth of Main Basin (H <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	ft
Length of Main Basin (L <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	ft
Width of Main Basin (W <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	ft
Area of Main Basin (A <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	ft^2
Volume of Main Basin (V <sub>MAIN</sub> ) =	user	ft^3
Calculated Total Basin Volume (Vtotal) =	user	acre-fe
		_


Depth Increment =	0.1	ft							
Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override Stage (ft)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override Area (ft^2)	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
Media Surface		0.00				347	0.008	111 07	(de it)
		0.10				376	0.009	36	0.001
						_		_	
	-	0.20			-	405	0.009	71	0.002
		0.30		_ ==		434	0.010	113	0.003
	-	0.40				463	0.011	157	0.004
6354		0.50				492	0.011	205	0.005
	-	0.60	-	-	-	534	0.012	256	0.006
		0.70				575	0,013	311	0.007
		0.80		-		617	0.014	370	0.008
	**	0.90		**		659	0.015	433	0.010
		1,00	-	-		701	0.016	501	0.011
		1,10				742	0.017	573	0.013
WQCV (54.7)		1.20				784	0.018	649	0.015
		1.30				826	0.019	729	0.017
		1,40				867	0.020	813	0.019
6955 Emerg Spwy		1.50				909	0.021	901	0.021
9-1-1		1 60				962	0.022	994	0.023
		1 70				1,016	0.023	1,093	0.025
		1.80	-	-		1,069	0.025	1,196	0.027
		1 90	-	-		1,122	0.026	1,305	0.030
		2 00		-	-	1,176	0.027	1,420	0.033
				_					
		2 10				1,229	0.028	1,552	0,036
		2.20				1,282	0.029	1,677	0.039
		2.30				1,335	0.031	1,808	0.042
		2.40				1,389	0.032	1,944	0.045
6956 Top Embkt		2.50	_			1,442	0.033	2,086	0.048
			-						
			-						
				-					
	**								
			-						
	**		**						
					-				
					-				
				-					
			1				7	0	
	-		-	-	-				
				_	_				
								-	-
	-			-	-			-	
							-		
				-					
			-						
					-				
			-						
				-	-				
								li .	
	**	7							

#### **Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design**

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: 61092 - Monument Small Engine Storage





	Stage (ft)	Zone Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	1.36	0.018	Filtration Media
Zone 2 (2-year)		0.031	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
Zone 3			
_		0.049	Total

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = 2.00 ft (distance below the filtration media surface) Underdrain Orifice Diameter =

Calculate	to Faranieters for	Ollder
Underdrain Orifice Area =	0.0	ft <sup>2</sup>
Underdrain Orifice Centroid =	0.03	feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

		(-),
Invert of Lowest Orifice =	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	N/A	inches
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area per Row =	N/A	inches

Calcu	lated Parameters for	Plate
NQ Orifice Area per Row =	N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
Elliptical Half-Width =	N/A	feet
Elliptical Slot Centroid =	N/A	feet
Elliptical Slot Area =		ft <sup>2</sup>

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (optional)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)	
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	N/A								
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	N/A								

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =			ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =			ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Vertical Orifice Diameter =			inches

Calculated P	arameters for Vert	ical Orifice			
	Not Selected Not Selected				
Vertical Orifice Area =			ft <sup>2</sup>		
Vertical Orifice Centroid =			feet		

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and Grate (Flat or Sloped)

1	Zone 2 Weir	Not Selected	
Overflow Weir Front Edge Height, Ho =	1.36		ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Overflow Weir Front Edge Length =	0.89		feet
Overflow Weir Slope =	0.00		H:V (enter zero for flat grate)
Horiz Length of Weir Sides =	0.89		feet
Overflow Grate Open Area % =	81%		%, grate open area/total area
Debris Clogging % =	50%		<b>%</b>

Calculated P	arameters for Ove	rflow Weir	
	Zone 2 Weir	Not Selected	∃i
Height of Grate Upper Edge, H, =	1.36		feet
Over Flow Weir Slope Length =	0.89		feet
Grate Open Area / 100-yr Orifice Area =	0.82		should be ≥ 4
Overflow Grate Open Area w/o Debris =	0.64		ft <sup>2</sup>
Overflow Grate Open Area w/ Debris =	0.32		ft <sup>2</sup>

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)


t: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)			ngular Orifice)	Calculated Parameter	for Outlet Pipe w/ F	low Restriction Pla	te
	Zone 2 Restrictor	Not Selected			Zone 2 Restrictor	Not Selected	
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	2.00		ft (distance below basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)	Outlet Orifice Area =	0.79		ft²
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	12.00		inches	Outlet Orifice Centroid =	0.50		feet
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	12.00		inches Half-Cen	tral Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	3.14	N/A	radians

you input cinergency spinway (necraigo	at of frapezoidally	
Spillway Invert Stage=	2.00	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Spillway Crest Length =	8.00	feet
Spillway End Slopes =	4.00	H:V
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	0.50	feet

Calcula	ted Parameters for S	pillwa
Spillway Design Flow Depth=	0.26	feet
Stage at Top of Freeboard =	2.76	feet
sin Area at Top of Freeboard =	0.03	acres

Routed Hydrograph Results_									
Design Storm Return Period =	wqcv	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	0,53	1.07	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.40
Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.018	0.065	0.052	0.072	0.100	0.146	0.177	0.218	0,327
OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =		=							
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	0.018	0.066	0.051	0.071	0.100	0.146	0.177	0.218	0.327
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.19	0.64	0.89	1.19	1.91
Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.9	1.3	1.7	2.7
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	0.3	1.1	0.9	1.2	1.7	2.4	2.9	3.6	5.3
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.0	0.9	0.7	1.0	1.3	2.3	2.9	3.9	5.3
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	33.8	4.8	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.0
Structure Controlling Flow =	Filtration Media	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Spillway	Spillway	Spillway	Spillway
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	1.29	0.96	1.4	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	12	13	13	13	12	12	11	10	8
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	12	14	14	14	14	14	14	13	12
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	1.18	1.60	1.54	1,62	1.84	2.09	2.14	2.19	2.26
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.015	0.023	0.022	0.023	0.029	0.035	0.036	0.038	0.040

#### **Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design**



maximum bound

#### Design Procedure Form: Sand Filter (SF)

UD-BMP (Version 3.06, November 2016)

Sheet 1 of 2

Designer:

D. Gorman

Company:

M.V.E., Inc.

Date:

March 17, 2018 Monument Small Engine Storage

Project: Location:

Basin B1 - Pond at DP2

- 1. Basin Storage Volume
  - A) Effective Imperviousness of Tributary Area, I<sub>a</sub>
     (100% if all paved and roofed areas upstream of sand filter)
  - B) Tributary Area's Imperviousness Ratio (i = I<sub>a</sub>/100)
  - C) Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Based on 12-hour Drain Time WQCV=  $0.8 * (0.91*i^3 1.19*i^2 + 0.78*i)$
  - D) Contributing Watershed Area (including sand filter area)
  - E) Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume  $V_{WQCV}$  = WQCV / 12 \* Area
  - F) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Depth of Average Runoff Producing Storm
  - G) For Watersheds Outside of the Denver Region, Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume
  - H) User Input of Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) Design Volume (Only if a different WQCV Design Volume is desired)

- I<sub>a</sub> = 54.6 %
- i = 0.546
- WQCV = 0.18 watershed inches
  - Area = 37,324 sq ft
- V<sub>wacv</sub> = \_\_\_\_ 546 \_\_\_ cu ft
  - d<sub>6</sub> = \_\_\_\_\_ in
- V<sub>WQCV OTHER</sub> = \_\_\_\_\_cu ft
- V<sub>WQCV USER</sub> = \_\_\_\_cu ft

- 2. Basin Geometry
  - A) WQCV Depth
- B) Sand Filter Side Slopes (Horizontal distance per unit vertical, 4;1 or flatter preferred). Use "0" if sand filter has vertical walls.
- C) Minimum Filter Area (Flat Surface Area)
- D) Actual Filter Area
- E) Volume Provided

- D<sub>WQCV</sub> = \_\_\_\_\_ft
  - Z = \_\_\_\_\_ft / ft

DIFFICULT TO MAINTAIN, INCREASE WHERE POSSIBLE

- A<sub>Min</sub> = 255 sq ft
- A<sub>Actual</sub> = \_\_\_\_\_sq ft
  - V<sub>T</sub> = <u>548</u> cu ft

3. Filter Material

Choose One

●18" CDOT Class B or C Filter Material

Other (Explain):

- 4. Underdrain System
  - A) Are underdrains provided?
  - B) Underdrain system orifice diameter for 12 hour drain time
    - i) Distance From Lowest Elevation of the Storage Volume to the Center of the Orifice
    - ii) Volume to Drain in 12 Hours
    - iii) Orifice Diameter, 3/8" Minimum

- \*Choose One OYES

  NO
- y = \_\_\_\_\_ft
- Vol<sub>12</sub> = 546 cu ft
- $D_0 = 1/2$  in


	Design Procedure F	orm: Sand Filter (SF)	
Designer: Company: Date: Project: Location:	D. Gorman M.V.E., Inc. March 17, 2018 Monument Small Engine Storage Basin B1 - Pond at DP2		Sheet 2 of 2
A) Is an	able Geomembrane Liner and Geotextile Separator Fabric impermeable liner provided due to proximity uctures or groundwater contamination?	Choose One  (YES   NO	
	ribe the type of energy dissipation at inlet points and means of eying flows in excess of the WQCV through the outlet	12" pvc pipe outlet and 8' wide emergency spillway	
Notes:			

#### **DETENTION BASIN STAGE-STORAGE TABLE BUILDER**

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

Project: 61092 - Monument Small Engine Storage

Basin ID: Basin B1 sand filter DP 2



#### Required Volume Calculation

	SF	Selected BMP Type =
acres	0.86	Watershed Area =
ft	265	Watershed Length =
ft/ft	0 023	Watershed Slope =
percent	54.60%	Watershed Imperviousness =
percent	0.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group A ≈
percent	100 0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Group B =
percent	0.0%	Percentage Hydrologic Soil Groups C/D =
hours	12 0	Desired WQCV Drain Time =
31	User Input	Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =
1 (	0.012	Minha Cunting Contract Values (MCCCV)

Desired WQCV Drain Time =	12 0	hours
Location for 1-hr Rainfall Depths =	User Input	-
Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) =	0.013	acre-feet
Excess Urban Runoff Volume (EURV) =	0.051	acre-feet
2-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1,19 in.) =	0.041	acre-feet
5-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1,5 in.) =	0.056	acre-feet
10-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 1,75 in.) =	0.074	acre-feet
25-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2 in.) =	0.100	acre-feet
50-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.25 in,) =	0.119	acre-feet
100-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 2.52 in.) =	0.143	acre-feet
500-yr Runoff Volume (P1 = 3.4 in ) =	0.210	acre-feet
Approximate 2-yr Detention Volume =	0.038	acre-feet
Approximate 5-yr Detention Volume =	0.052	acre-feet
Approximate 10-yr Detention Volume =	0.068	acre-feet
Approximate 25-yr Detention Volume =	0.074	acre-fee
Approximate 50-yr Detention Volume =	0.078	acre-feel
Approximate 100-yr Detention Volume =	0.086	acre-feet

#### Optional User Override

1-hr Precipi	tation
1_19	inches
1.50	inches
1.75	inches
2.00	inches
2 25	inches
2 52	inches
3 40	inches

#### Stage-Storage Calculation

Zone 1 Volume (WQCV) =	0,013	acre-feet
Zone 2 Volume (2-year - Zone 1) =	0.026	acre-feet
Select Zone 3 Storage Volume (Optional) =		acre-feet
Total Detention Basin Volume =	0.038	acre-feet
Initial Surcharge Volume (ISV) =	N/A	ft^3
Initial Surcharge Depth (ISD) =	N/A	ft
Total Available Detention Depth (H <sub>total</sub> ) =	user	ft
Depth of Trickle Channel (H <sub>TC</sub> ) =	N/A	ft
Slope of Trickle Channel (S <sub>TC</sub> ) =	N/A	ft/ft
Slopes of Main Basin Sides (Smain) =	user	H:V
Basin Length-to-Width Ratio (R) =	user	

Initial Surcharge Area (A<sub>ISV</sub>) = user Surcharge Volume Length  $(L_{ISV}) =$ user Surcharge Volume Width (W<sub>isv</sub>) = user Depth of Basin Floor (H<sub>FLOOR</sub>) = user Length of Basin Floor (LFLOOR) user Width of Basin Floor (W<sub>FLOOR</sub>) = user Area of Basin Floor (A<sub>FLOOR</sub>) user Volume of Basin Floor (V<sub>FLOOR</sub>) = user Depth of Main Basin (H<sub>MAIN</sub>) Length of Main Basin (L<sub>MAIN</sub>) = Width of Main Basin (W<sub>MAIN</sub>) = user Area of Main Basin (A<sub>MAIN</sub>) = user ft^2 Volume of Main Basin (V<sub>MAIN</sub>) = user ft^3 Calculated Total Basin Volume (V<sub>total</sub>) =


Total detention volume is less than 100-year volume.

Stage - Storage Description	Stage (ft)	Optional Override	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Optional Override	Area (acre)	Volume (ft^3)	Volume (ac-ft)
Media Surface		Stage (ft) 0,00				Area (ft^2) 299	0.007	(it 3)	(40-11)
Media Surface		0.10				325	0.007	31	0.001
	-	0.20				352	0.008	62	0.001
		0.30				378	0.009	98	0.002
		0.40				404	0.009	137	0,003
		0.50				431	0.010	178	0.004
	-	0 60	-			457	0.010	222	0.005
		0.70			-	483	0.011	269	0,006
		0 80				509	0.012	318	0.007
		0.90				536	0.012	370	0.009
		1.00		-		562	0.013	425	0,010
		1 10				594	0,014	482	0.011
WQCV (6963.20)		1.20				626	0.014	543	0.012
		1.30	**			658	0.015	607	0.014
		1.40				690	0,016	674	0.015
		1.50				722	0.017	744	0.017
		1.60	-			754	0.017	818	0.019
		1.70	**			786	0.018	895	0.021
	-	1.80				818	0.019	974	0.022
	**	1 90		~~		850	0.020	1,057	0.024
8964 Emrg Spłlwy		2.00		-		882	0.020	1,144	0.026
	**	2 10				943	0.022	1,244	0.029
		2.20		-		1,003	0.023	1,341	0.031
		2.30				1 064	0.024	1,444	0.033
		2.40				1.124	0.026	1,554	0.036
		2.50				1,185	0.027	1,669	0.038
		2 60				1,246	0.029	1,791	0.041
		2 70				1,306	0.030	1,918	0.044
		2 80				1,367	0.031	2,052	0.047
		2 90				1,427	0.033	2,192	0,050
5965 Top Embkmt		3,00				1,488	0.034	2,338	0.054
	**		**						
						II.			
			-				l	l .	
	-								
	••								
	-			-					
				-	-				
			**						
			-	-					
				-					
				-	-				

#### **Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design**

UD-Detention, Version 3.07 (February 2017)

#### Project: 61092 - Monument Small Engine Storage



	Stage (ft)	Zone Volume (ac-ft)	Outlet Type
Zone 1 (WQCV)	1,20	0.013	Filtration Media
Zone 2 (2-year)	2.51	0.026	Weir&Pipe (Restrict)
Zone 3			
-		0.038	Total

User Input: Orifice at Underdrain Outlet (typically used to drain WQCV in a Filtration BMP)

Underdrain Orifice Invert Depth = 2.00 ft (distance below the filtration media surface) Underdrain Orifice Diameter = 0.54 inches

Calculate	d Parameters for Un	derdrain
Underdrain Orifice Area =	0.0	ft <sup>2</sup>
Underdrain Orifice Centroid =	0.02	feet

User Input: Orifice Plate with one or more orifices or Elliptical Slot Weir (typically used to drain WQCV and/or EURV in a sedimentation BMP)

		(1) product and the artists of the ar
Invert of Lowest Orifice =	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Orifice Plate =	N/A	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Orifice Plate: Orifice Vertical Spacing =	N/A	inches
Orifice Plate: Orifice Area ner Row =	N/A	inches

lated Parameters	for Plate
N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
N/A	feet
N/A	feet
N/A	ft <sup>2</sup>
	N/A N/A N/A

User Input: Stage and Total Area of Each Orifice Row (numbered from lowest to highest)

	Row 1 (optional)	Row 2 (optional)	Row 3 (optional)	Row 4 (optional)	Row 5 (optional)	Row 6 (optional)	Row 7 (optional)	Row 8 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	N/A							
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	N/A							

	Row 9 (optional)	Row 10 (optional)	Row 11 (optional)	Row 12 (optional)	Row 13 (optional)	Row 14 (optional)	Row 15 (optional)	Row 16 (optional)
Stage of Orifice Centroid (ft)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Orifice Area (sq. inches)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

User Input: Vertical Orifice (Circular or Rectangular)

	Not Selected	Not Selected	
Invert of Vertical Orifice =			ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Depth at top of Zone using Vertical Orifice =	-		ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Vertical Orifice Diameter =			inches

Calculated P	arameters for Vert	ical Orifice	
	Not Selected	Not Selected	1
Vertical Orifice Area =			ft <sup>2</sup>
Vertical Orifice Centroid =			feet

User Input: Overflow Weir (Dropbox) and Grate (Flat or Sloped)

Zone 2 Weir	Not Selected	
1.20		ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft
0.78		feet
0.00		H:V (enter zero for flat grate)
0.78		feet
81%	I .	%, grate open area/total area
50%		%
	0.78 0.00 0.78 81%	1 20 0.78 0.00 0.78 81%

arameters for Ove	IIIOW WEII	
Zone 2 Weir	Not Selected	1
1.20		feet
0.78		feet
0.63		should be ≥
0.49		ft <sup>2</sup>
0.25		ft <sup>2</sup>
	2one 2 Weir 1.20 0.78 0.63 0.49	1.20 0.78 0.63 0.49

User Input: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)

nput: Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate (Circular Orifice, Restrictor Plate, or Rectangular Orifice)			Calculated Parameter	Calculated Parameters for Outlet Pipe w/ Flow Restriction Plate			
	Zone 2 Restrictor	Not Selected			Zone 2 Restrictor	Not Selected	I
Depth to Invert of Outlet Pipe =	2.00		ft (distance below basin bottom at Sta	ge = 0 ft) Outlet Orifice Area =	0.79		ft <sup>2</sup>
Outlet Pipe Diameter =	12.00		inches	Outlet Orifice Centroid =	0,50		feet
Restrictor Plate Height Above Pipe Invert =	12.00		inches	Half-Central Angle of Restrictor Plate on Pipe =	3:14	N/A	radians

User Input: Emergency Spillway (Rectangular or Trapezoidal)


yer input rineigency spinway (vectaligue	ii oi iiapezoit	adij
Spillway Invert Stage=	2.00	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)
Spillway Crest Length =	8.00	feet
Spillway End Slopes =	4.00	H:V
Freeboard above Max Water Surface =	0.50	feet

Calcula	ted Parailleters for	2pillway
w Depth=	0.26	feet
	2.70	Te

Spillway Invert Stage=	2.00	ft (relative to basin bottom at Stage = 0 ft)	Spillway Design Flow Depth=	0.26	feet
Spillway Crest Length =	8.00	feet	Stage at Top of Freeboard =	2.76	feet
Spillway End Slopes =	4.00	H:V	Basin Area at Top of Freeboard =	0.03	acres
ard above Max Water Surface =	0.50	feet			7

Routed Hydrograph Results_									
Design Storm Return Period ≕	WQCV	EURV	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year	500 Year
One-Hour Rainfall Depth (in) =	0.53	1.07	1.19	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.52	3.40
Calculated Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =	0.013	0.051	0,041	0,056	0.074	0.100	0.119	0.143	0.210
OPTIONAL Override Runoff Volume (acre-ft) =									
Inflow Hydrograph Volume (acre-ft) =	0.012	0.050	0.041	0.055	0.074	0.100	0.119	0.143	0.209
Predevelopment Unit Peak Flow, q (cfs/acre) =	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0,21	0.70	0.96	1.30	2.07
Predevelopment Peak Q (cfs) =	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.8
Peak Inflow Q (cfs) =	0.2	0.9	0.7	1.0	1.3	1.8	2.1	2.5	3.7
Peak Outflow Q (cfs) =	0.0	0.7	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.4	4.0
Ratio Peak Outflow to Predevelopment Q =	N/A	N/A	N/A	41.5	5.6	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.2
Structure Controlling Flow =	Filtration Media	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Overflow Grate 1	Spillway	Spillway	Spillway	Spillway
Max Velocity through Grate 1 (fps) =	N/A	1.40	1.08	1.5	2.0	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9
Max Velocity through Grate 2 (fps) =	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Time to Drain 97% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	12	13	13	13	13	12	11	10	9
Time to Drain 99% of Inflow Volume (hours) =	12	14	14	14	14	14	14	13	13
Maximum Ponding Depth (ft) =	1.02	1.45	1.39	1.50	1.71	2.01	2.09	2,12	2.21
Area at Maximum Ponding Depth (acres) =	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Maximum Volume Stored (acre-ft) =	0.010	0.016	0.015	0.017	0.021	0.027	0.028	0.029	0.031

#### **Detention Basin Outlet Structure Design**



maximum bound

# **Channel Report**

Known Q (cfs)


Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

= 4.40

Friday, Mar 16 2018

# proposed V-Ditch Basin B1 100-yr total flow (SWALE A)

	Highlighted	
= 4.00, 4.00	Depth (ft)	= 0.59
= 1.00	Q (cfs)	= 4.400
	Area (sqft)	= 1.39
= 100.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 3.16
= 1.50	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 4.87
= 0.025	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 0.60
	Top Width (ft)	= 4.72
	EGL (ft)	= 0.75
Known Q		
	= 1.00 = 100.00 = 1.50 = 0.025	= 4.00, 4.00 = 1.00  Cylindright (ft)  Depth (ft) Q (cfs) Area (sqft) Velocity (ft/s) Velocity (ft/s) Wetted Perim (ft) Crit Depth, Yc (ft) Top Width (ft) EGL (ft)



# **Channel Report**

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Wednesday, Jun 13 2018


## Pond Outfall - Swale B

Trapezoidal	
Bottom Width (ft)	= 2.00
Side Slopes (z:1)	= 3.00, 3.00
Total Depth (ft)	= 1.50
Invert Elev (ft)	= 48.00
Slope (%)	= 4.00
N-Value	= 0.035

## Calculations

Compute by: Known Q Known Q (cfs) = 16.00

Highlighted		
Depth (ft)		0.75
Q (cfs)	=	16.00
Area (sqft)	=	3.19
Velocity (ft/s)	=	5.02
Wetted Perim (ft)	=	6.74
Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	=	0.85
Top Width (ft)	=	6.50
EGL (ft)	=	1.14



Reach (ft)

# **Channel Report**

Compute by:

Known Q (cfs)

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.


Known Q

= 16.00

Wednesday, Jun 13 2018

## offsite west existing swale

Triangular		Highlighted	
Side Slopes (z:1)	= 15.00, 50.00	Depth (ft)	= 0.42
Total Depth (ft)	= 1.00	Q (cfs)	= 16.00
		Area (sqft)	= 5.73
Invert Elev (ft)	= 100.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 2.79
Slope (%)	= 3.80	Wetted Perim (ft)	= 27.32
N-Value	= 0.035	Crit Depth, Yc (ft)	= 0.44
		Top Width (ft)	= 27.30
Calculations		EGL (ft)	= 0.54




# **Culvert Report**

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.

Thursday, Aug 23 2018

# new 2-18 in CMP Driveway CulvertS

Invert Elev Dn (ft)	= 48.00	Calculations	
Pipe Length (ft)	= 45.00	Qmin (cfs)	= 16.00
Slope (%)	= 2.00	Qmax (cfs)	= 16.00
Invert Elev Up (ft)	= 48.90	Tailwater Elev (ft)	= (dc+D)/2
Rise (in)	= 18.0		
Shape	= Circular	Highlighted	
Span (in)	= 18.0	Qtotal (cfs)	= 16.00
No. Barrels	= 2	Qpipe (cfs)	= 16.00
n-Value	= 0.012	Qovertop (cfs)	= 0.00
Culvert Type	<ul> <li>Circular Corrugate Metal Pipe</li> </ul>	Veloc Dn (ft/s)	= 4.92
Culvert Entrance	= Projecting	Veloc Up (ft/s)	= 5.79
Coeff. K,M,c,Y,k	= 0.034, 1.5, 0.0553, 0.54, 0.9	HGL Dn (ft)	= 49.30
		HGL Up (ft)	= 49.99
Embankment		Hw Elev (ft)	= 50.86
Top Elevation (ft)	= 51.00	Hw/D (ft)	= 1.31
Top Width (ft)	= 24.00	Flow Regime	= Inlet Control
Crest Width (ft)	= 50.00		



# **Weir Report**

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.


Wednesday, May 2 2018

# DP 1 Pond Emergency Spillway - 100-yr

Trapezoidal Weir		Highlighted	
Crest	= Sharp	Depth (ft)	= 0.50
Bottom Length (ft)	= 15.00	Q (cfs)	= 18.10
Total Depth (ft)	= 1.00	Area (sqft)	= 8.50
Side Slope (z:1)	= 4.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 2.13
/		Top Width (ft)	= 19.00

**Calculations** 

Weir Coeff. Cw = 3.10 Compute by: Known Q Known Q (cfs) = 18.10



# Weir Report

Hydraflow Express Extension for Autodesk® AutoCAD® Civil 3D® by Autodesk, Inc.


Wednesday, May 2 2018

# DP 2 Pond Emergency Spillway - 100-yr

Trapezoidal Weir		Highlighted	
Crest	= Sharp	Depth (ft)	= 0.26
Bottom Length (ft)	= 8.00	Q (cfs)	= 3.600
Total Depth (ft)	= 1.00	Area (sqft)	= 2.35
Side Slope (z:1)	= 4.00	Velocity (ft/s)	= 1.53
, , ,		Top Width (ft)	= 10.08

Calculations

Weir Coeff. Cw = 3.10 Compute by: Known Q Known Q (cfs) = 3.60




# 11 Report Maps

Existing Condition Hydraulic Analysis Map (Map Pocket) Proposed Condition Hydraulic Analysis Map (Map Pocket)









DESIGNED BY DRAWN BY CHECKED BY AS-BUILTS BY CHECKED BY

Monument Small Engine Storage

Existing Drainage Map

MVE PROJECT 61092 <sub>MVE DRAWING</sub>Ex-Drain-Map

> June 15, 2018 SHEET 1 OF 1

