



EXISTING VEGETATION
 EXISTING VEGETATION CONSISTS OF RANGE GRASSES AND A FEW POINDERSA PINE WHERE SHOWN ON THE PLAN. EXISTING TREES SHALL BE PROTECTED WHEREVER POSSIBLE.



Previous comment:
 Page 3 of the PBMP Applicability Form shows LOD as 1.104ac. Revise to remove discrepancies.

Review #5 comment:
 Per latest comments in the FDR, this area should be listed as "1.497ac" (1.104ac + 0.393ac).

This row of text was added per my comment on the last submittal. This is not sufficient. Areas of cut AND areas of fill must be identified SEPARATELY to satisfy GEC Checklist Item "1". To satisfy this requirement, most engineers create a linetype for cut/fill and label one side of the line as "cut" and the other as "fill" throughout the plans.

EROSION CONTROL LEGEND:

- SILT FENCE (SF) INTERIM
- ☁ STOCK PILE PROTECTION (SP) INTERIM
- ☁ STABILIZED STAGING AREA (SSA) INTERIM
- ➔ DIRECTION OF RUNOFF


CONTOUR LEGEND:

- ORIGINAL CONTOURS: 2', 10', 5'
- FINISH CONTOURS: 2', 1', 5'
- LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE/ LIMIT OF CONSTRUCTION: 1.597 AC.
- LIMIT OF CUT/FILL

Engineer's Statement (for standalone GEC Plan):
 This Grading and Erosion Control Plan was prepared under my direction and supervision and is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Said Plan has been prepared according to the criteria established by the County For Grading and Erosion Control Plans. I accept responsibility for any liability caused by any negligent acts, errors or omissions on my part in preparing this plan.

Engineer of Record Signature: Oliver E. Watts, COLE PELS#9853
 Oliver E Watts Consulting Engineer, Inc.
 614 Elkton Drive Colorado Springs, CO 80907
 719-593-0173
 olliewatts@aol.com

Owner's Statement (for standalone GEC Plan):
 I, the owner/developer have read and will comply with the requirements of the Grading and Erosion Control Plan.



Per comments in FDR revise this text callout. This area should be included within the proposed LOD

Unresolved previous comment: Grading doesn't show swale terminating at an LP here. May be best to provide a detail that shows "bowl" shape fine grading around inlet.


Review #5: It appears that the intent of the swales east of each SFB do not appear to convey flow to the inlet, but this is unclear from the grading provided. Please add a note to clarify intent of swales.

Unresolved previous comment: proposed grading outside of LOD and not connected to an existing contour.

Please ensure that the appropriate easements/permission for off-site work is obtained from CDOT. Please address any CDOT comments and label the easement area on the GEC plan as needed.

Unresolved previous comment: GEC Checklist Item "o" - callout as offsite grading.

DRAWN BY: D.E. WATTS DATE: 6-13-19 DWG. NO.: 19-5341-03 TOPOGRAPHY BY: CITY FIMS 6-12-19 SURVEY INFORMATION BY: RAMPART JOB NO. 18384	APPROVED BY: PROJ. NO.: DWG.:	REVISIONS 8-16-21 UPDATED DEW 12-30-21 REVISED PER COUNTY REVIEW COMMENTS DEW 8-22-22 REVISED PER COUNTY REVIEW COMMENTS DEW 12-22-22 REVISED PER COUNTY REVIEW COMMENTS DEW	OLIVER E. WATTS CONSULTING ENGINEER COLORADO SPRINGS	PROJECT ROCKY TOP MOTEL & CAMPGROUND PART NW1/4 SECTION 9, T.13S., R.68W., 6TH P.M. EL PASO COUNTY	SHEET NO. 1 OF 4 GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------





Unresolved previous comment: provide detail to show how orifice plate will be attached, what material it is made out of, etc.

Review #5 additional clarification: Show/detail things like:
 - Does the 4" pipe connect into the side wall of the 18" pipe?
 - How is the connection between the 4" and 18" pipes sealed?




clarify that the riser portion of the piping (the cleanout) is to be straight pipe. Whereas the rest of the underdrain is slotted pipe.

Unresolved previous comment: Per DCMv2 Section 4.3, outlet pipe should be 18" minimum. (UD Figure 6-a)



CDOT INLET
1/2" = 1'-0"


Revise to "CDOT Inlet Detail" or similar. Section A-A is for the spillway



CLEANOUT DETAIL
N.T.S.

where is this cleanout located on each SFB? Show and label on each plan detail on this sheet.

Invert of underdrain not shown in any details in this planset.




WEST SFB DETAILS
1" = 20'

EAST SFB DETAILS
1" = 20'

Unresolved previous comment: This type of soil riprap with topsoil is fine for the spillway but is not appropriate for the erosion protection at the bottom of the SFB where the inlet pipe outfalls. We don't want to encourage the growth of vegetation with the topsoil nor do we want to introduce more sediment into the SFB which will cause extra maintenance.

So create a separate asterisk or text callout for these locations.

Additional clarification for this submittal: Or clarify with revising text callouts as to which pads are soil riprap (via asterisks on each) and which are to be just riprap (ie: no soil, no asterisks). I have added individual notes to each text callout that I think needs to be revised.



SECTION B-B
1" = 10'


Provide invert of outlet pipe

**RIPRAP IS TO BE TYPE 'L' OR 'VL', MIXED WITH 35% NATIVE SOIL BY WEIGHT, COVERED WITH 4" MIN TOPSOIL

** FILTER MATERIAL IS TO BE 85% SAND, ASTM C-33 15% PEAT MIX RESEED PER MHFD TABLE B-6 MIX FOR DRYLAND GRASSES RESEED SIDE SLOPES DO NOT RESEED POND BOTTOM OR RIPRAP PADS

Unresolved previous comment: provide detail to show how orifice plate will be attached, what material it is made out of, etc.


Review #5 additional clarification: On the newly added Orifice Detail, also show/detail things like:
 - Does the 4" pipe connect into the side wall of the inlet box?
 - How is the connection sealed between the pipes and inlet box?
 - I would also add a note detailing how you want the orifice plate installed. For example: the underdrain pipe could come through the inlet box far enough to get a cap on it (which will have the orifice on it). Otherwise, the pipe could instead be cut flush with the wall of the inlet box and it will be very difficult to install the cap (since there will be no room to then add a 4" PVC coupling), so the likely solution would then be to bolt a metal orifice plate to the side of the inlet box with a gasket (which will take more time and money). Summary: just clarify what you want to avoid errors in the field. We have previously seen in the field issues with the installation of the orifice plate because of lack of details on the drawings.
 Be clear that each SFB's orifice details are unique.



SECTION C-C
1" = 10'

Unresolved previous comment: Clarify where the transition occurs from solid wall to slotted. Also show a section view of how underdrain is to be installed, as seen on pg SF-8 of MHFD Detail T-6

Review #5: the section view should show things like grading of subgrade, depth of underdrain in filter media, etc.



DRAINAGE SWALE
N.T.S.

Prepared by the office of:
 Oliver E. Watts, Consulting Engineer, Inc.
 614 Elkton Drive
 Colorado Springs, CO 80907
 (719) 593-0173
 olliewatts@aol.com
 Celebrating 42 years in Business

DRAWN BY: D.E. WATTS DATE: 8-16-21 DWG. NO.: 19-5341-05 TOPOGRAPHY BY: CITY FMS 6-12-19 SURVEY INFORMATION BY: RAMPART JOB NO. 18384	APPROVED BY: PROJ. NO.: DWG.	REVISIONS 8-16-21 UPDATED DEW 12-31-21 REVISED PER COUNTY REVIEW COMMENTS DEW 8-22-22 REVISED PER COUNTY REVIEW COMMENTS DEW 12-22-22 REVISED PER COUNTY REVIEW COMMENTS DEW	OLIVER E. WATTS CONSULTING ENGINEER COLORADO SPRINGS	PROJECT ROCKY TOP MOTEL & CAMPGROUND PART NW1/4 SECTION 9, T.13S., R.68W., 6TH P.M. EL PASO COUNTY	SHEET NAME EROSION CONTROL PLAN	SHEET NO. 2 OF 4
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------	---------------------

El Paso County (standalone GEC Plan):

County plan review is provided only for general conformance with County Design Criteria. The County is not responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the design, dimensions, and/ or elevations which shall be confirmed at the job site. The County through the approval of this document assumes no responsibility for completeness and/ or accuracy of this document. Filed in accordance with the requirements of the El Paso County Land Development Code, Drainage Criteria Manual Volumes 1 and 2, and Engineering Criteria Manual, as amended.

In accordance with ECM Section 1.12, these construction documents will be valid for construction for a period of 2 years from the date signed by the El Paso County Engineer. If construction has not started within those 2 years, the plans will need to be resubmitted for approval, including payment of review fees at the Planning and Community Development Director's discretion.

 County Engineer/ECM Administrator _____ Date _____

STANDARD NOTES FOR EL PASO COUNTY GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS.


1. Stormwater discharges from construction sites shall not cause or threaten to cause pollution, contamination, or degradation of State Waters. All work and earth disturbance shall be done in a manner that minimizes pollution of any on-site or off-site waters, including wetlands.
2. Notwithstanding anything depicted in these plans in words or graphic representation, all design and construction related to roads, storm drainage and erosion control shall conform to the standards and requirements of the most recent version of the relevant adopted El Paso County standards, including the Land Development Code, the Engineering Criteria Manual, the Drainage Criteria Manual, and the Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 2. Any deviations from regulations and standards must be requested, and approved, in writing.
3. A separate Stormwater Management Plan (SMWP) for this project shall be completed and an Erosion and Stormwater Quality Control Permit (ESQCP) issued prior to commencing construction. Management of the SWMP during construction is the responsibility of the designated Qualified Stormwater Manager or Certified Erosion Control Inspector. The SWMP shall be located on site at all times during construction and shall be kept up to date with work progress and changes in the field.
4. Once the ESQCP is approved and a "Notice to Proceed" has been issued, the contractor may install the initial stage erosion and sediment control measures as indicated on the approved GEC. A Preconstruction Meeting between the contractor, engineer, and El Paso County will be held prior to any construction. It is the responsibility of the applicant to coordinate the meeting time and place with County staff.
5. Control measures must be installed prior to commencement of activities that could contribute pollutants to stormwater. control measures for all slopes, channels, ditches, and disturbed land areas shall be installed immediately upon completion of the disturbance.
6. All temporary sediment and erosion control measures shall be maintained and remain in effective operating condition until permanent soil erosion control measures are implemented and final stabilization is established. All persons engaged in land disturbance activities shall assess the adequacy of control measures at the site and identify if changes to those control measures are needed to ensure the continued effective performance of the control measures. All changes to temporary sediment and erosion control measures must be incorporated into the Stormwater Management Plan.
7. Temporary stabilization shall be implemented on disturbed areas and stockpiles where ground disturbing construction activity has permanently ceased or temporarily ceased for longer than 14 days.
8. Final stabilization must be implemented at all applicable construction sites. Final stabilization is achieved when all ground disturbing activities are complete and all disturbed areas either have a uniform vegetative cover with individual plant density of 70 percent of pre-disturbance levels established or equivalent permanent alternative stabilization method is implemented. All temporary sediment and erosion control measures shall be removed upon final stabilization and before permit closure.
9. All permanent stormwater management facilities shall be installed as designed in the approved plans. Any proposed changes that effect the design or function of permanent stormwater management structures must be approved by the ECM Administrator prior to implementation.
10. Earth disturbances shall be conducted in such a manner so as to effectively minimize accelerated soil erosion and resulting sedimentation. All disturbances shall be designed, constructed, and completed so that the exposed area of any disturbed land shall be limited to the shortest practical period of time. Pre-existing vegetation shall be protected and maintained within 50 horizontal feet of waters of the state unless shown to be infeasible and specifically requested and approved.
11. Compaction of soil must be prevented in areas designated for infiltration control measures or where final stabilization will be achieved by vegetative cover. Areas designated for infiltration control measures shall also be protected from sedimentation during construction until final stabilization is achieved. If compaction prevention is not feasible due to site constraints, all areas designated for infiltration and vegetation control measures must be loosened prior to installation of the control measures.
12. Any temporary or permanent facility designed and constructed for the conveyance of stormwater around, through, or from the earth disturbance area shall be a stabilized conveyance designed to minimize erosion and the discharge of sediment off site.
13. Concrete wash water shall be contained and disposed of in accordance with the SWMP. No wash water shall be discharged to or allowed to enter State Waters, including any surface or subsurface storm drainage system or facilities. Concrete washouts shall not be located in an area where shallow groundwater may be present, or within 50 feet of a surface water body, creek or stream.
14. During dewatering operations of uncontaminated ground water may be discharged on site, but shall not leave the site in the form of surface runoff unless an approved State dewatering permit is in place.
15. Erosion control blanketing or other protective covering shall be used on slopes steeper than 3:1.
16. Contractor shall be responsible for the removal of all wastes from the construction site for disposal in accordance with local and State regulatory requirements. No construction debris, tree slash, building material wastes or unused building materials shall be buried, dumped, or discharged at the site.
17. Waste materials shall not be temporarily placed or stored in the street, alley, or other public way, unless in accordance with an approved Traffic Control Plan. Control measures may be required by El Paso County Engineering if deemed necessary, based on specific conditions and circumstances.
18. Tracking of soils and construction debris off-site shall be minimized. Materials tracked off-site shall be cleaned up and properly disposed of immediately.
19. The owner/developer shall be responsible for the removal of all construction debris, dirt, trash, rock, sediment, soil, and sand that may accumulate in roads, storm drains and other drainage conveyance systems and stormwater appurtenances as a result of site development.
20. The quantity of materials stored on the project site shall be limited, as much as practical, to that quantity required to perform the work in an orderly sequence. All materials stored on-site shall be stored in a neat, orderly manner, in their original containers, with original manufacturer's labels.
21. No chemical(s) having the potential to be released in stormwater are to be stored or used onsite unless permission for the use of such chemical(s) is granted in writing by the ECM Administrator. In granting approval for the use of such chemical(s), special conditions and monitoring may be required.
22. Bulk storage of allowed petroleum products or other allowed liquid chemicals in excess of 55 gallons shall require adequate secondary containment protection to contain all spills onsite and to prevent any spilled materials from entering State Waters, any surface or subsurface storm drainage system or other facilities.
23. No person shall cause the impediment of stormwater flow in the curb and gutter or ditch except with approved sediment control measures.
24. Owner/developer and their agents shall comply with the "Colorado Water Quality Control Act" (Title 25, Article 8, CRS), and the "Clean Water Act" (33 USC 1344), in addition to the requirements of the Land Development Code, DCM Volume II, and the ECM Appendix I. All appropriate permits must be obtained by the contractor prior to construction (1041, NPDES, Floodplain, 404, fugitive dust, etc.). In the event of conflicts between these requirements and other laws, rules, or regulations of other Federal, State, local, or County agencies, the most restrictive laws, rules, or regulations shall apply.
25. All construction traffic must enter/exit the site only at approved construction access points.
26. Prior to construction the Permittee shall verify the location of existing utilities.
27. A water source shall be available on site during earthwork operations and shall be utilized as required to minimize dust from earthwork equipment and wind.
28. The soils report for this site has been prepared by N/A-----
 and shall be considered a part of these plans.
29. At least ten (10) days prior to the anticipated start of construction, for projects that will disturb one (1) acre or more, the owner or operator of construction activity shall submit a permit application for stormwater discharge to the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Division. The application contains certification of completion of a stormwater management plan (SWMP), of which this Grading and Erosion Control Plan may be a part. For information or application materials contact:
 Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
 Water Quality Control Division
 WQCD - Permits
 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South
 Denver, CO 80246-1530
 Attn: Permits Unit

Prepared by the office of:
 Oliver E. Watts, Consulting Engineer, Inc.
 614 Elkton Drive
 Colorado Springs, CO 80907
 (719) 593-0173
 olliewatts@aol.com
 Celebrating 42 years in Business

DRAWN BY: D.E. WATTS DATE: DWG. NO.: TOPOGRAPHY BY: CITY FIMS 6-12-19	APPROVED BY: PROJ. NO.: DWG.	REVISIONS 8-16-21 UPDATED DEW 12-31-21 REVISED PER COUNTY REVIEW COMMENTS DEW 8-22-22 REVISED PER COUNTY REVIEW COMMENTS DEW 12-22-22 REVISED PER COUNTY REVIEW COMMENTS DEW	OLIVER E. WATTS CONSULTING ENGINEER COLORADO SPRINGS	PROJECT ROCKY TOP MOTEL & CAMPGROUND PART NW1/4 SECTION 9, T.13S., R.68W., 6TH P.M. EL PASO COUNTY	SHT. NO. 3 OF 4 EROSION CONTROL PLAN	
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------	--

Silt Fence (SF)


SC-1



November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 SF-3

Stockpile Management (SP)


MM-2



November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 SP-3

Stabilized Staging Area (SSA)

SM-6



November 2010 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 SSA-3

SEEDING & MULCHING

ALL SOIL TESTING, SOILS AMENDMENT AND FERTILIZER DOCUMENTATION, AND SEED LOAD AND BAG TICKETS MUST BE ADDED TO THE CSMF.

SOIL PREPARATION

- IN AREAS TO BE SEEDDED, THE UPPER 6 INCHES OF THE SOIL MUST NOT BE HEAVILY COMPACTED, AND SHOULD BE IN FRABLE CONDITION. LESS THAN 85% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY IS ACCEPTABLE. AREAS OF CONACTION OR GENERAL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY MUST BE SCARIFIED TO A DEPTH OF 6 TO 12 INCHES PRIOR TO SPREADING TOPSOIL TO BREAK UP COMPACTED LAYERS AND PROVIDE A BLENDING ZONE BETWEEN DIFFERENT SOIL LAYERS.
- AREAS TO BE PLANTED SHALL HAVE AT LEAST 4 INCHES OF TOPSOIL SUITABLE TO SUPPORT PLANT GROWTH.
- THE CITY RECOMMENDS THAT EXISTING AND/OR IMPORTED TOPSOIL BE TESTED TO IDENTIFY SOIL DEFICIENCIES AND ANY SOIL AMENDMENTS NECESSARY TO ADDRESS THESE DEFICIENCIES. SOIL AMENDMENTS AND/OR FERTILIZERS SHOULD BE ADDED TO CORRECT DEFICIENCIES BASED ON SOIL TESTING RESULTS.
- TOPSOIL SHALL BE PROTECTED DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD TO RETAIN ITS STRUCTURE, AVOID COMPACTION, AND TO PREVENT EROSION AND CONTAMINATION. TOPSOIL MUST BE STORED IN AN AREA AWAY FROM MACHINERY AND CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS, AND CARE MUST BE TAKEN TO PROTECT THE TOPSOIL AS A VALUABLE COMMODITY. TOPSOIL MUST NOT BE STRIPPED DURING UNDESIRABLE WORKING CONDITIONS (E.G. DURING WET WEATHER OR WHEN SOILS ARE SATURATED). TOPSOIL SHALL NOT BE STORED IN SWALES OR IN AREAS WITH POOR DRAINAGE.

SEEDING

- ALLOWABLE SEED MIXES ARE INCLUDED IN THE CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS STORMWATER CONSTRUCTION MANUAL. ALTERNATIVE SEED MIXES ARE ACCEPTABLE IF INCLUDED IN AN APPROVED LANDSCAPING PLAN.
- SEED SHOULD BE DRILL-SEEDED WHENEVER POSSIBLE.
- SEED DEPTH MUST BE 3/4 TO 3/8 INCHES WHEN DRILL-SEEDING IS USED.
- BROADCAST SEEDING OR HYDRO-SEEDING WITH TACKIFIER MAY BE SUBSTITUTED ON SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1 OR ON OTHER AREAS NOT PRACTICAL TO DRILL SEED.
 - SEEDING RATES MUST BE DOUBLED FOR BROADCAST SEEDING OR INCREASED BY 50% IF USING A BRILLIANT DRILL OR HYDRO-SEEDING.
 - BROADCAST SEEDING MUST BE LIGHTLY HAND-RAKED INTO THE SOIL.

MULCHING

- MULCHING SHOULD BE COMPLETED AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE AFTER SEEDING, HOWEVER PLANTED AREAS MUST BE MULCHED NO LATER THAN 14 DAYS AFTER PLANTING.
- MULCHING REQUIREMENTS INCLUDE:
 - HAY OR STRAW MULCH
 - ONLY CERTIFIED WEED-FREE AND CERTIFIED SEED-FREE MULCH MAY BE USED. MULCH MUST BE APPLIED AT 2 TONS/ACRE AND ADEQUATELY SECURED BY CRIMPING AND/OR TACKIFIER.
 - CRIMPING MUST NOT BE USED ON SLOPES GREATER THAN 3:1 AND MULCH FIBERS MUST BE TUCKED INTO THE SOIL TO A DEPTH OF 3 TO 4 INCHES.
 - TACKIFIER MUST BE USED IN PLACE OF CRIMPING ON SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1.
 - HYDRAULIC MULCHING
 - HYDRAULIC MULCHING IS AN OPTION ON STEEP SLOPES OR WHERE ACCESS IS LIMITED.
 - IF HYDRO-SEEDING IS USED, MULCHING MUST BE APPLIED AS A SEPARATE SECOND OPERATION.
 - WOOD CELLULOSE FIBERS MIXED WITH WATER MUST BE APPLIED AT A RATE OF 2,000 TO 2,500 POUNDS/ACRE, AND TACKIFIER MUST BE APPLIED AT A RATE OF 100 POUNDS/ACRE.
 - EROSION CONTROL BLANKET
 - EROSION CONTROL BLANKET MAY BE USED IN PLACE OF TRADITIONAL MULCHING METHODS.

STORMWATER & INTERFERENCE

SEEDING & MULCHING

DATE: 10/7/10

DRAWING NO: 100-10

SC-1

Silt Fence (SF)

SILT FENCE INSTALLATION NOTES

- SILT FENCE MUST BE PLACED AWAY FROM THE TOE OF THE SLOPE TO ALLOW FOR WATER PONDING. SILT FENCE AT THE TOE OF A SLOPE SHOULD BE INSTALLED IN A FLAT LOCATION AT LEAST SEVERAL FEET (2-3 FT) FROM THE TOE OF THE SLOPE TO ALLOW ROOM FOR PONDING AND DEPOSITION.
- A UNIFORM 6" X 4" ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE EXCAVATED USING TRENCHER OR SILT FENCE INSTALLATION DEVICE, NO ROAD GRADERS, BACKHOES, OR SIMILAR EQUIPMENT SHALL BE USED.
- COMPACT ANCHOR TRENCH BY HAND WITH A "JUMPING JACK" OR BY WHEEL ROLLING. COMPACTION SHALL BE SUCH THAT SILT FENCE RESISTS BEING PULLED OUT OF ANCHOR TRENCH BY HAND.
- SILT FENCE SHALL BE PULLED TIGHT AS IT IS ANCHORED TO THE STAKES. THERE SHOULD BE NO NOTICEABLE SAG BETWEEN STAKES AFTER IT HAS BEEN ANCHORED TO THE STAKES.
- SILT FENCE FABRIC SHALL BE ANCHORED TO THE STAKES USING 1" HEAVY DUTY STAPLES OR NAILS WITH 1" HEADS. STAPLES AND NAILS SHOULD BE PLACED 3" ALONG THE FABRIC DOWN THE STAKE.
- AT THE END OF A RUN OF SILT FENCE ALONG A CONTOUR, THE SILT FENCE SHOULD BE TURNED PERPENDICULAR TO THE CONTOUR TO CREATE A "J-HOOK." THE "J-HOOK" EXTENDING PERPENDICULAR TO THE CONTOUR SHOULD BE OF SUFFICIENT LENGTH TO KEEP RUNOFF FROM FLOWING AROUND THE END OF THE SILT FENCE (TYPICALLY 10' - 20').
- SILT FENCE SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.

SILT FENCE MAINTENANCE NOTES

- INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
- FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
- WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
- SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF THE SILT FENCE SHALL BE REMOVED AS NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN THE FUNCTIONALITY OF THE BMP. TYPICALLY WHEN DEPTH OF ACCUMULATED SEDIMENTS IS APPROXIMATELY 6".
- REPAIR OR REPLACE SILT FENCE WHEN THERE ARE SIGNS OF WEAR, SUCH AS SAGGING, TEARING, OR COLLAPSE.
- SILT FENCE IS TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION, OR IS REPLACED BY AN EQUIVALENT PERIMETER SEDIMENT CONTROL BMP.
- WHEN SILT FENCE IS REMOVED, ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOPSOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED AS APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM 109% OF PARKER, COLORADO AND CITY OF AURORA, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

SF-4 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010

MM-2

Stockpile Management (SM)

STOCKPILE PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

- INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
- FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
- WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

STOCKPILE PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

- IF PERIMETER PROTECTION MUST BE MOVED TO ACCESS SOIL STOCKPILE, REPLACE PERIMETER CONTROLS BY THE END OF THE WORKDAY.
- STOCKPILE PERIMETER CONTROLS CAN BE REMOVED ONCE ALL THE MATERIAL FROM THE STOCKPILE HAS BEEN USED.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM PARKER, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

SP-4 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010

SM-6

Stabilized Staging Area (SSA)

STABILIZED STAGING AREA MAINTENANCE NOTES


- STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHALL BE ENLARGED IF NECESSARY TO CONTAIN PARKING, STORAGE, AND UNLOADING/LOADING OPERATIONS.
- THE STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHALL BE REMOVED AT THE END OF CONSTRUCTION. THE GRANULAR MATERIAL SHALL BE REMOVED OR, IF APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION, USED ON SITE, AND THE AREA COVERED WITH TOPSOIL, SEEDING AND MULCHED OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION.

NOTE: MANY MUNICIPALITIES PROHIBIT THE USE OF RECYCLED CONCRETE AS GRANULAR MATERIAL FOR STABILIZED STAGING AREAS DUE TO DIFFICULTIES WITH RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF VEGETATION IN AREAS WHERE RECYCLED CONCRETE WAS PLACED.

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

SSA-4 Urban Drainage and Flood Control District Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 November 2010



VEHICLE TRACKING NOTES

INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS

- ALL ENTRANCES TO THE CONSTRUCTION SITE ARE TO BE STABILIZED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION BEGINNING.
- CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES ARE TO BE BUILT WITH AN APRON TO ALLOW FOR TURNING TRAFFIC, BUT SHOULD NOT BE BUILT OVER EXISTING PAVEMENT EXCEPT FOR A SLIGHT OVERLAP.
- AREAS TO BE STABILIZED ARE TO BE PROPERLY GRADED AND COMPACTED PRIOR TO LAYING DOWN GEOTEXTILE AND STONE.
- CONSTRUCTION ROADS, PARKING AREAS, LOADING/UNLOADING ZONES, STORAGE AREAS, AND STAGING AREAS ARE TO BE STABILIZED.
- CONSTRUCTION ROADS ARE TO BE BUILT TO CONFORM TO SITE GRADERS, BUT SHOULD NOT HAVE SIDE SLOPES OR ROAD GRADES THAT ARE EXCESSIVELY STEEP.

MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS

- REGULAR INSPECTIONS ARE TO BE MADE OF ALL STABILIZED AREAS, ESPECIALLY AFTER STORM EVENTS.
- STONES ARE TO BE REPLACED PERIODICALLY AND WHEN REPAIR IS NECESSARY.
- SEDIMENT TRACKED ONTO PAVED ROADS IS TO BE REMOVED DAILY BY SHOVELING OR SWEEPING. SEDIMENT IS NOT TO BE WASHED DOWN STORM SEWER DRAINS.
- STORM SEWER INLET PROTECTION IS TO BE IN PLACE, INSPECTED, AND CLEANED IF NECESSARY.
- OTHER ASSOCIATED SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES ARE TO BE INSPECTED TO ENSURE GOOD WORKING CONDITION.

City of Colorado Springs Stormwater Quality

Figure VT-2 Vehicle Tracking Application Examples

Prepared by the Office of: Oliver E. Watts, Consulting Engineer, Inc. 614 Elkton Drive, Colorado Springs, CO 80907 719-593-0173 olliewatts@aol.com Celebrating over 39 years in business

DRAWN BY: O.E. WATTS	APPROVED BY:	REVISIONS
DATE: 12-14-18	PROJ. NO.	
DWG. NO. 19-5348	DWG.	

OLIVER E. WATTS CONSULTING ENGINEER COLORADO SPRINGS

PROJECT	SHT. NAME	SHT. NO.
	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS	OF