STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP)

Project: Meadowbrook Park
El Paso County, Colorado

Prepared for:

Meadowbrook Development, LLC.
90 South Cascade Avenue
Suite 1500
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903
Contact: Danny Mientka

Prepared by:

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
2 North Nevada Avenue, Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903
(719) 453-0180
Contact: John Heiberger, P.E

Qualified Stormwater Manager
Company:_____
Address: _____
Contact: _____

Contractor
Company:_____
Address: _____
Contact: _____

PCD FILING NO.: PUDSP-20-008/SF-21-025

Kimley»Horn

Project #: 09656009

Prepared: June 21, 2021

APPENDIX A – STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLANS / SITE MAPS
APPENDIX B – CDPHE STOMWATER PERMIT
APPENDIX C – FEMA FIRM MAP
APPENDIX D – SOILS INFORMATION
APPENDIX E – IDENTIFICATION OF POLLUTANT SOURCES
APPENDIX F – LAND DISTURBANCE / CONTROL MEASURE / STABILIZATION LOG
APPENDIX G – CDPHE ENVIRONMENTAL SPILL REPORTING / CONTROL MEASURE
APPENDIX H – STORM EVENT LOG
APPENDIX I – INSPECTION AND SAMPLING REPORTS
APPENDIX J – SWMP AMENDMENT LOG

DEVELOPER/OWNER'S STATEMENT

"The owner will comply with the requirements of the Erosion and Stormwater Quality Control Plan including temporary BMP inspection requirements and final stabilization requirements. I acknowledge the responsibility to determine whether the construction activities on these plans require Colorado Discharge Permit System (CDPS) permitting for Stormwater discharges associated with Construction Activity."

Developer/Owner Signature: Danny Mientka (Jun 23, 2021 11:36 MDT)

Name of Developer/Owner: Danny Mientka Date: 6-23-2021

DBA: Meadowbrook Development LLC Phone: 719-448-4034

Title: Manager Email: danny@theequitygroup.net

Address: 90 S Cascade Ave, Ste 1500, Colorado Springs Fax: N/A

ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

"This Erosion and Stormwater Quality Control/Grading Plan was prepared under my direction and supervision and is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. If such work is performed in accordance with the grading and erosion control plan, the work will not become a hazard to life and limb, endanger property, or adversely affect the safety, use, or stability of a public way, drainage channel, or other property."

Signature:_____ Date:_____

Printed Name: John Heiberger, P.E. / Associate

PERMITTEE / OPERATOR RESPONSIBILITIES

This Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) is prepared for Meadowbrook Development, LLC (the Owner) to fulfill the Colorado Discharge Permit System (CDPS) requirements for El Paso County and the State of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) for Meadowbrook Park (the Project). This narrative, in conjunction with the Stormwater Management Plan, examines measures taken onsite to improve stormwater quality leaving the Site, and also addresses important erosion control measures implemented prior to and during construction. A general overview of the procedures outlined in the SWMP which the Operator (the Contractor) shall follow is provided below for reference.

	Responsibility Operator
1. Submit and Receive the Colorado Discharge Permit System (CDPS) General Permit through CDPHE	☐
2. Complete the Permittee / Operator SWMP Certifications provided within the SWMP Narrative.	☐
3. Complete the Operator / SWMP Administrator Contact Information identified in the SWMP Narrative.	☐
4. Post the Site in accordance with the requirements identified on the SWMP Site Map included in the appendices of this report.	☐
5. Commence BMP installation and construction in accordance with the Phased BMP Implementation.	☐
6. Complete Land Disturbance / BMP / Site Stabilization Log, a copy of which is included in the appendices of this report.	☐
7. Complete Inspections in accordance with the SWMP Inspection Schedule and Procedures outlined within the SWMP Narrative.	☐
8. Complete field maintenance or field modifications to Stormwater Management Practices based upon the results of the Inspection.	☐
9. Maintain current records of the SWMP Inspections in accordance with the Inspection Record Keeping identified in the SWMP Narrative.	☐
10. Maintain current records of the Land Disturbance / BMP / Site Stabilization Log, a copy of which is included in the appendices of this report.	☐
11. Maintain current records of the BMP Corrective Action Log, a copy of which is included in the appendices of this report.	☐
12. Maintain current records of the SWMP Amendment Log, a copy which is included in the appendices of this report.	☐
13. Achieve Final Stabilization in accordance with the Final Stabilization practices outlined within the SWMP Narrative.	☐
14. File the County and State Construction Stormwater Inactivation Notice.	☐

This summary is provided for Permittee / Operator convenience only and shall not be considered all-inclusive with respect to stormwater management responsibilities. The Permittee / Operator shall

familiarize themselves with the County and CDPS General Permit and SWMP, and implement storm water management strategies based upon the recommendations identified herein and varying Site conditions.

PERMITTEE CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

_____ _____

Owner's Authorized Agent: Date:

OPERATOR CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that a complete Stormwater Management Plan, has been prepared for my activity. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the Stormwater Management Plan is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for falsely certifying the completion of said SWMP, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

_____ _____

Operator's Authorized Agent: Date:

SUBCONTRACTOR CERTIFICATION

Subcontractor Certification

I certify under penalty of law that a complete Stormwater Management Plan, has been prepared for my activity. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the Stormwater Management Plan is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for falsely certifying the completion of said SWMP, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

_____ _____

Subcontractor's Authorized Agent: Date:

Note: Additional Subcontractor Certifications shall be completed as necessary.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to outline the SWMP plan for the Meadowbrook Park single-family development (herein the "Project"), located within the jurisdictional limits of El Paso County ("the County").

PERMIT COVERAGE AND APPLICATIONS

Based upon a Site Disturbance Area of one (1) acre or more, this Site requires the approval of this Stormwater Management Plan and a Grading and Erosion Control Plan with the County and the issuance of a Colorado Discharge Permit System (CDPS) - Stormwater Discharge Associated with Construction Activities Application (the General Permit) through the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE).

A copy of the CDPS General Permit is included in the Appendices of this report.

DEFINITIONS

CDPHE – Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment

Operator – The group or individual that is responsible for day-to-day operations on the Project Site. The Operator will be assigned the SWMP Administrator role and these terms are used interchangeably in the SWMP.

SWMP – Construction Activities Stormwater Management Plan

SWMP Administrator – The specific individual(s), position or title that is responsible for developing, implementing, maintaining and revising the SWMP. The activities and responsibilities of the Administrator shall address all aspects of the facility's SWMP. The Operator will be assigned the SWMP Administrator role and these terms are used interchangeably in the SWMP.

Permittee – The specific individual(s), position or title that is legally responsible for compliance with the permit. The Permittee is authorized to sign and certify the permit application.

SITE DESCRIPTION

GENERAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed Meadowbrook Park development is located northwest of the Meadowbrook Parkway and US Highway 24 intersection in El Paso County, Colorado. More specifically, the Project is made up of Tract A within the 94/24 Business Park Filing No. 1 plat within the southeast quarter of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 65 West of the 6th Principal Meridian, County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The site is bounded by Meadowbrook Park Parkway to the west, a commercial development to the north, US Highway 24 to the east, and a commercial development to the south. A vicinity map has been provided in the Appendix A of this report.

The Project Site is 8.07 acres and involves a 67 lot single family development. The scope will include the construction of private streets, sidewalks, driveways, hardscape/landscape, stormwater management, and associated utility infrastructure required to serve each lot. Stormwater quality and detention is required for the site and will be accomplished with the construction of an Extended Detention Basin proposed in the southeast corner of the site and a bioretention rain garden proposed in the southwest corner of the site. As part of the utility infrastructure improvements, a proposed storm sewer system will be constructed to collect and convey runoff to the proposed detention system. The majority of the onsite stormwater will travel via overland flow to proposed curb and gutter and will be captured in proposed storm inlets before entering the storm sewer system. Onsite stormwater between the western lots and the western right-of-way line will be conveyed through proposed swales to the proposed inlets before entering the storm sewer system. Once the stormwater reaches the detention basin from the proposed storm sewer system, it will be piped to the outfall, an existing private storm sewer in the southwest corner of the site. The onsite stormwater in the southern portion of the site not routed to the detention basin will be conveyed via overland flow to the bioretention rain garden in the southwest corner of the site. The rain garden will also discharge to the outfall in the southwest. The offsite stormwater, a portion of the stormwater runoff from US Highway 24 travel lanes and the adjacent right-of-way in the east, will be routed through an offsite proposed swale to the onsite proposed storm sewer and will be piped to the outfall in the southwest. Reference Appendix A for the Stormwater Management Plans.

PROJECT CONTACTS

SWMP Preparer

Company:	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
Contact:	John Heiberger, P.E.
Address:	2 North Nevada Avenue- Suite 300 Colorado Springs, CO 80903
Phone:	719.284.7272
Email:	John.Heiberger@kimley-horn.com

SWMP Administrator

Company:	Meadowbrook Development, LLC
Contact:	Danny Mientka
Address:	90 Cascade Avenue, Suite 1500 Colorado Springs, CO 80903
Phone:	(719) 475-7621

PROJECT LOCATION

The proposed project is located northwest of the Meadowbrook Parkway and US Highway 24 intersection in El Paso County, Colorado. More specifically, the Project is made up of Tract A within the 94/24 Business Park Filing No. 1 plat within the southeast quarter of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 65 West of the 6th Principal Meridian, County of El Paso, State of Colorado. The site is bounded by Meadowbrook Parkway to the west, a commercial development to the north, US Highway 24 to the east, and a commercial development to the south. A vicinity map has been provided below.

VICINITY MAP

A vicinity map is provided below for reference:



VICINITY MAP
N.T.S

SITE CONDITIONS

VEGETATION

The existing site is currently undeveloped with onsite conditions consisting of little to no vegetation. Per the Soils report, the site consists mostly of native grasses and weeds with very few shrubs and deciduous trees. The existing vegetative cover density is about 60%. See Appendix D for the full “Soils and Geology Study” prepared by the site by Rocky Mountain Group dated August 26, 2020

DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS

The proposed buildings, parking lot, paved drives, and other impervious surfaces comprise 57.9 percent (203,425 square feet) of the overall Project Site. Landscape areas internal to the Site consist of landscape areas to serve the single-family units and existing hillside areas. The proposed internal landscaping areas make up 42.1% (148,104 square feet) of the Project Site.

Generally, the site slopes east to west with the far eastside portion sloping approximately 5-10% and the remaining western portions sloping approximately 2-4%. The site slopes approximately 2% from north to south. This historic runoff pattern will generally be maintained and unaffected with the proposed Project.

The 5-year and 100-year design storm events were used in determining rainfall and runoff for the proposed drainage system per chapter 6 of the El Paso County Drainage Criteria. *Table 6-2* of the El Paso County Drainage Criteria is the source for rainfall data for the 5-year and 100-year design storm events. Design runoff was calculated using the Rational Method for developed conditions as established in the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual and the Mile-High Flood District Manual. Runoff coefficients for the proposed development were determined using *Table 6-6* of the El Paso County Drainage Manual by calculating weighted impervious values for each specific site basin. The detention storage requirement was calculated using Full Spectrum Detention methods as specified in the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual and the Mile-High Flood District Manual. The detention basin's outlet structure was designed to release the Water Quality Capture Volume (WQCV) in 40 hours. Based upon this approach, we feel that the drainage design provided for the Site is conservative and maintains the historic drainage pattern for the zoning and area.

Water quality treatment will be provided by a proposed private water quality extended detention basin with a trickle channel and micro pool that connects to a water quality outlet structure within the southeast landscaped perimeter of the property. Full spectrum extended detention basin will be provided by the proposed extended detention basin in the southeast corner of the Site. The controlled 5-year and 100-year release from the detention basin outlet structure will be piped to a proposed private 36" RCP storm sewer that will connect via a doghouse manhole to the existing private 30" storm sewer at the southwest corner of the Site. This storm sewer tie-in will be the outfall of the entire stormwater system and will ultimately discharge into the County storm sewer system within Meadowbrook Parkway. The storm sewer system in Meadowbrook Parkway runs north under Meadowbrook Parkway and to the north with an **ultimate outfall into the East Fork Sand Creek**.

Using the same storm events and El Paso County Drainage Criteria stated above, a private bioretention rain garden will provide water quality treatment by infiltration through soil filtration media before discharging through an underdrain orifice to the outfall point.

The Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) 08041C0511G, effective date December 7, 2018, by FEMA, shows the proposed development to be outside of the 100-year and 500-year flood plains (see Appendix C for FEMA FIRM Map). Additionally, no streams or state waters are located within site area.

SOILS

Using NRCS soil data, the onsite soils was found to be Blakeland loamy sand (1 to 9% slopes) with a USCS Hydrologic Soil Group A. Group A soils have higher infiltration rates compared to other soil groups and are generally made up of well drained sands or gravelly sands. Specifically, blakeland loamy sand has good drainage with no ponding/flooding and minimum runoff. However, along the eastern property line where the slopes are the steepest and vegetation is minimal, the sandy soil is

more susceptible to wind and rill erosion. Before land disturbance, erosion control measures will need to be implemented on site. A Soils and Geology Study has been prepared by the site by Rocky Mountain Group dated August 26, 2020 and is attached in the Appendix D of this report for reference. Also reference the NRCS soil data in Appendix D.

AREAS & VOLUMES

The gross Site area is approximately 8.07 acres with a building coverage of 1.59 acres. The total anticipated Project disturbance area is 9.07 acres including onsite and offsite disturbance. For construction site boundaries or "limits of disturbance", reference Appendix A for Stormwater Management Plans.

EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES

Construction operations including grading, hauling of soil, drainage, pavement work, and final stabilization shall implement erosion and sediment control measures as described below and in the Timing section of this report. Additional measures shall be implemented as appropriate.

Erosion and sediment control measures shall be implemented during construction of the Project. One construction entrances with vehicle tracking control (VTC) shall be in an effort to reduce off-site sediment tracking. It will be located on the central driveway off of Meadowbrook Parkway. Temporary Soil Stockpiles (SP) shall be protected from stormwater using SF or other perimeter control to inhibit soil transport as well as at material storage areas. A Silt Fence (SF) and Construction Fence (CF) shall be used for perimeter control. Concrete Washout (CWA) shall be used. In addition to those measures noted above, Perimeter Control and Portable Toilets will also be utilized on Site. Portable toilets shall be located on flat surfaces away from drainage paths, tie-downed or stake-downed, emptied regularly, and where possible secondary containment pans shall be provided under the portable toilets. Please see the Grading and Erosion Control Plans for locations and sizing of recommended erosion control measures.

All persons engaged in earth disturbances shall design, implement, and maintain acceptable soil erosion and sedimentation control measures, in conformance with the erosion and sediment control technical standards adopted by the City. All temporary erosion and sediment control facilities, and all permanent facilities intended to control erosion of any earth disturbance operation shall be installed before any earth disturbance operations take place. Any earth disturbances shall be conducted in such a manner to effectively control runoff volumes, reduce accelerated soil erosion, sediment movement, and deposition off-site. All earth disturbances shall be completed in such a manner so that the total amount of soil exposed at any given time shall be minimized, and the exposed area of any disturbed land shall be limited to the shortest possible period of time. Temporary soil erosion control facilities shall be removed and earth disturbance areas graded and stabilized with permanent soil erosion control measures pursuant to approved plans and specifications.

Permanent soil erosion control measures for all slopes, channels, ditches, or any disturbed land area shall be completed within fourteen (14) calendar days after final grading or the final earth disturbances have been completed. When it is not possible to permanently stabilize a disturbed area after an earth disturbance has been completed or where significant earth disturbance activity ceases, temporary soil erosion control measures shall be implemented within fourteen (14) calendar days. All temporary soil erosion control measures shall be maintained until permanent soil erosion measures are implemented.

Paved and impervious surfaces which are adjacent to construction sites must be swept on a daily basis and as needed during the day when sediment and other materials are tracked or discharged onto them.

Either sweeping by hand or use of street sweepers is acceptable. Street sweepers using water while sweeping is preferred in order to minimize dust. Flushing off paved surfaces with water is prohibited. All construction site operators shall control waste such as discarded building materials, hazardous chemicals (to include but not be limited to, heavy equipment maintenance fluids, motor oil, antifreeze and secondary containment of vehicle fuel), litter, and sanitary waste at the construction Site that may cause adverse impacts to water quality. Chemicals, paints, solvents, fertilizers, and other toxic materials must be stored in weatherproof containers. Except during application, the contents must be kept in trucks or within storage facilities. Runoff containing such material must be collected, removed from the Site, treated, and disposed at an approved solid waste or chemical disposal facility. On-site fueling is not expected with this Project.

Throughout build-out, the developer shall be responsible for implementing and maintaining Best Management Practices (BMPs) to control erosion and sediment problems on all idle lots.

All stockpiles shall require erosion and sediment control. All stockpiles shall:

- Not be located adjacent to a waterway.
- Be stabilized within 14 days after establishment. Stabilization shall include, but not be limited to, surface roughening, seeding, and mulching.
- Not exceed 10 feet in height.
- Utilize silt fence in all down slope sides of the stockpile.

TIMING & SCHEDULE

The proposed project will begin in September 2021 to June 2022. The general sequence of the phasing of the related construction activities will occur according to the following anticipated sequence:

Project sequence:

Phased BMP Implementation – Initial and Interim Phase

The initial phase shall consist of the temporary construction BMPs to minimize potential for erosion and sediment transfer while mobilizing and preparing the Site for construction activities. The operator shall complete the anticipated initial phase sequencing as follows:

1. Prepare and submit the state of Colorado, Colorado department of public health and environment (CDPHE) application. A copy of the permit shall be provided to the owner upon receipt from the CDPHE.

2. Install SWMP information sign (S) in accordance with applicable city, state, and owner requirements.

3. Ensure that general construction BMPs which are required throughout the Project at locations shown on the GEC plans or as dictated by construction activities are operational.

4. Install perimeter controls (CF) and ensure that the limits of construction (LOC) are defined as necessary or known by all parties which will be responsible for construction on the Site.

5. Install sump inlet protection (IPS), on-grade inlet protection and curb socks (CS) for existing stormwater conveyance facilities as indicated on the GEC plans or as necessitated by field conditions.

6. Install stabilized vehicle tracking control pad (VTC) as indicated on the GEC plans.

7. Construct Temporary Sediment Bains with spillways and outfall stand pipes as shown on the GEC Plans.

8. Install Diversion Swales and outfall the diversion swales into the Temporary Sediment Basins. Check Dames should be installed in the diversion swales for every 1.5' of vertical fall as shown on the GEC Plans.

9. Construct required stabilized staging area (SSA).

10. Install silt fence (SF) as shown on the GEC plans.

11. Upon completion of the initial BMP installation the operator shall schedule a pre-construction meeting with the owner and the County erosion control inspector to confirm BMPs installed are adequate prior to proceeding with additional land disturbing activities.

12. Complete demolition of existing site improvements and clearing and grubbing of the Site as necessary to proceed with initial grading operations. Stockpile materials in accordance with the stockpile management (SP) BMP.

Phased BMP Implementation - Final Phase
The final phase shall consist of the temporary construction BMPs to minimize potential for erosion and sediment transfer during the construction of the proposed parking structure and associated limited site improvements. The operator shall complete the anticipated final phase sequencing as follows:

1. Confirm existing BMPs from the initial phase, which are to be maintained throughout construction, are in working order and compliant with applicable regulations.

2. Repair and/or replace any existing BMPs which are deemed inadequate.

3. Complete required temporary grading operations necessary for construction. Conduct excavation as needed for the underground utilities. Stockpile materials in accordance with the stockpile management (SP) BMP.

4. Temporary stabilize (TS) all areas of the Site which will remain inactive for a period greater than 30 days. Temporary stabilization shall be implemented within 14 days of disturbance.

5. Install concrete washout area (CWA) prior to construction of concrete improvements.

6. Complete required grading operations necessary for construction of the proposed commercial building and associated site and utility improvements. Stockpile materials in accordance with the stockpile management (SP) BMP.

7. Construct underground utilities.

8. Complete fine grading and proceed with temporary stabilization (TS) and permanent stabilization (PS) practices in accordance with approved landscape plans.

9. Achieve permanent stabilization in accordance with El Paso County, CDPHE and owner requirements.

10. Remove remaining BMPs once permanent stabilization (PS) has been achieved. Repair and stabilize areas disturbed through BMP removal.

11. Notify the owner of intent to file the notice of inactivation with the EL PASO COUNTY and CDPHE and receive owner acceptance to proceed with stormwater management close-out.

12. Notify the EL PASO COUNTY of the intent to file the notice of inactivation and receive EL PASO COUNTY field acceptance prior to proceeding with filing the notice of inactivation with the EL PASO COUNTY.

13. Proceed with filing the notice of inactivation with the EL PASO COUNTY and CDPHE.

15. Provide the owner with a copy of all stormwater documentation (permits, inspection reports, logs, etc.). Upon completion of Project, file the notice of inactivation.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CONTROLS

SWMP ADMINISTRATOR

The SWMP Administrator is the Operator selected for the Project and will be sufficiently qualified for the required duties per the ECM Appendix I.5. The SWMP Administrator is responsible for developing, implementing, maintaining and revising the SWMP. The activities and responsibilities of the Administrator shall address all aspects of the facility's SWMP.

SITE SPECIFIC POLLUTION SOURCES

Further identification of site-specific pollutants that fall within the categories outlined in the next section may be field noted using the corresponding log included in the appendices of this report. The logs are intended to record site-specific pollutants, the date of arrival on the Site, the date removed from the Site, and the methods of treatment.

IDENTIFICATION OF POLLUTANT SOURCES

Evaluation of general sediment and non-sediment pollution sources associated with Site construction activities, as outlined within the General Permit, consist of the following:

- **Disturbed and Stored Soils** – Earth disturbing activities (grading, excavation, etc.) will be necessary for this Project; therefore, the potential exists for disturbed site soils to contribute sediment to stormwater discharges.
- **Vehicle Tracking and Sediment** – Construction traffic will be entering and exiting the Site; therefore, the potential exists for vehicle tracking to contribute sediment to stormwater discharges.
- **Management of Contaminated Soils** – Contaminated soils are not anticipated on this Site. If encountered, the SWMP Administrator shall take appropriate containment and treatment measures.

- **Loading and Unloading Operations** – Loading and unloading operations will be taking place at the Site; therefore, the potential exists for these operations to introduce sediment and non-sediment pollutants to stormwater discharges.
- **Outdoor Storage of Materials** – Limited outdoor storage of materials is anticipated with construction of this Site; however, outdoor storage of chemicals, fertilizers, etc. is not anticipated.
- **Vehicle and Equipment Maintenance and Fueling** – Routine maintenance and fueling of vehicles and equipment is anticipated with this Site; therefore, the potential exists for pollutants associated with these activities to contribute pollutants to stormwater discharges.
- **Significant Dust or Particulate Generating Processes** – Earth disturbing activities (grading, excavation, etc.) will be necessary for this Project; therefore, the potential exists for windblown site soils to contribute sediment to stormwater discharges.
- **Routine Maintenance** – Routine maintenance involving fertilizers, pesticides, detergents, fuels, solvents, oils, etc., other than those identified within Vehicle and Equipment Maintenance and Fueling are not anticipated with this Project. If encountered, the SWMP Administrator shall take appropriate containment and treatment measures.
- **Onsite Waste Management** – Waste management consisting of solid waste piles, liquid wastes, dumpsters, etc. are anticipated onsite; therefore, the potential exists for these operations to introduce sediment and non-sediment pollutants to stormwater discharges.
- **Concrete Truck / Equipment Washing** – Concrete truck and equipment washing are anticipated with this Project. The SWMP Administrator shall take appropriate containment and treatment measures.
- **Dedicated Asphalt and Concrete Batch Plants** – Dedicated asphalt and/or concrete batch plants are not anticipated with this Project. If encountered, the SWMP Administrator shall take appropriate containment and treatment measures and document as necessary.
- **Non-Industrial Waste Sources** – Non-Industrial waste sources limited to portable sanitary facilities are anticipated with this Project.
- **Additional Pollutant Sources** – Additional areas or procedures where potential spills could occur are not anticipated with this Project.

Logs for the identification of pollutant sources are included in the Appendices for reference and use.

Based on the following, the potential to contribute pollutants to stormwater discharges is not significant for most of the pollutants identified above:

- Relatively Low Frequency of the Activities
- The Ability to Schedule Activities During Dry Weather
- Existing Site Topography
- The Ability to Implement Primary and Secondary Containment for Product Storage
- The Ability to Locate Activities Away from Drainage Ways

Potential pollutant sources noted below shall be mitigated by use of Best Management Practices (BMPs) as noted in the following sections:

- Disturbed and Stored Soils
- Vehicle Tracking and Sediment
- Loading and Unloading Operations
- Outdoor Storage
- Vehicle Equipment and Maintenance Fueling
- Significant Dust or Particulate Generating Processes

- Non-Industrial Waste Sources

BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION

Structural Practices for Erosion and Sediment Control

Structural BMPs shall be implemented onsite to minimize erosion and sediment transport. Recommended BMPs based upon a limited site review may be seen within the SWMP Site Map included in the Appendices of this report. Additional BMPs shall be implemented by the SWMP Administrator if necessary to prevent sediment-laden runoff from leaving the Project Site. The SWMP shall be updated to reflect any changes or revisions enacted in the field.

Non-Structural Practices for Erosion and Sediment Control

Non-Structural BMPs shall be implemented onsite to minimize erosion and sediment transport. Recommended BMPs based upon a limited site review may be seen within the SWMP Site Map included in the Appendices of this report. Additional BMPs shall be implemented by the SWMP Administrator if necessary to prevent sediment-laden runoff from leaving the Project Site. The SWMP shall be updated to reflect any changes or revisions enacted in the field.

Phased BMP Implementation

Construction of the identified improvements will take place under two main phases of construction anticipated as identified within the construction sequencing included within this report.

A Land Disturbance, BMP Installation, and Stabilization Log is provided in the Appendices and shall be filled out accordingly during BMP implementation.

Materials Handling and Spill Prevention

Any hazardous or potentially hazardous material that is brought onto the construction Site shall be handled properly in order to reduce the potential for stormwater pollution. In an effort to minimize the potential for a spill of petroleum product or hazardous materials to come in contact with stormwater, the following steps shall be implemented:

- Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) information shall be kept on Site for any and all applicable materials.
- All materials with hazardous properties (such as pesticides, petroleum products, fertilizers, detergents, construction chemicals, acids, paints, paint solvents, additives for soil stabilization, concrete, curing compounds and additives, etc.) shall be stored in a secure location, under cover and in appropriate, tightly sealed containers when not in use.
- The minimum practical quantity of all such materials shall be kept on the job Site and scheduled for delivery as close to time of use as practical.
- A spill control and containment kit (containing, for example, absorbent material, acid neutralizing agent, brooms, dust pans, mops, rags, gloves, goggles, plastic and metal trash containers, etc.) shall be provided on the construction Site and location(s) shown on Site Maps.
- All of the product in a container shall be used before the container is disposed of. All such containers shall be triple rinsed, with water prior to disposal. The rinse water used in these containers shall be disposed of in a manner in compliance with State and Federal regulations and shall not be allowed to mix with stormwater discharges.
- All products shall be stored in and used from the original container with the original product label and used in strict compliance with the instructions on the product label.
- The disposal of excess or used products shall be in strict compliance with instructions on the product label.

Temporary onsite fuel tanks for construction vehicles shall meet all state and federal regulations. Tanks shall have approved spill containment with the capacity required by the applicable regulations. From NFPA 30: All tanks shall be provided with secondary containment (i.e. containment external to and separate from primary containment). Secondary containment shall be constructed of materials of sufficient thickness, density and composition so as not to be structurally weakened as a result of contact with the fuel stored and capable of containing discharged fuel for a period of time equal to or longer than the maximum anticipated time sufficient to allow recovery of discharged fuel.

The tanks shall be in sound condition free of rust or other damage which might compromise containment. Fuel storage areas shall meet all Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), OSHA and other regulatory requirements for signage, fire extinguisher, etc. Hoses, valves, fittings, caps, filler nozzles and associated hardware shall be maintained in proper working condition at all times. The location of fuel tanks shall be shown on the Site Maps and shall be located to minimize exposure to weather and surface water drainage features.

The Operator shall develop and implement a Materials Handling and Spill Prevention Plan (MHSPP) in accordance with the EPA and State of Colorado requirements. In the event of an accidental spill, immediate action shall be undertaken by the Operator to contain and remove the spilled material. All hazardous materials, including contaminated soil, shall be disposed of by the Operator in the manner specified by federal, state and local regulations and by the manufacturer of such products. As soon as possible, the spill shall be reported to the appropriate agencies. As required under the provisions of the Clean Water Act, any spill or discharge entering waters of the United States shall be properly reported. The Operator shall prepare a written record of any spill and associated clean-up activities of petroleum products or hazardous materials in excess of 1 gallon or reportable quantities, whichever is less.

Any spills of petroleum products or hazardous materials in excess of Reportable Quantities as defined by EPA or the state or local agency regulations, shall be immediately reported to the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment spill reporting lines.

- CDPHE Environmental Release and Incident Reporting Line (877) 518-5608.

For reference, a bulletin on Environmental Spill Reporting published by the CDPHE, has been included in the Appendices of this report.

Vehicle Tracking and Dust Control

Vehicle Tracking Control BMPs (structural and non-structural) shall be implemented in order to control potential sediment discharges from vehicle tracking. Practices shall be implemented for all areas of potential vehicle tracking which include, but are not limited to reduced Site access and utilization of designated haul routes.

Areas of soil that are denuded of vegetation and have little protection from particles being picked up and carried by wind should be protected with a temporary cover or kept under control with water or other soil adhering products to limit wind transported particles exiting the Site perimeter.

Waste Management and Disposal

An effective first step towards preventing pollution in stormwater from work sites involves using a common sense approach to improve the facility's basic housekeeping methods. Poor housekeeping practices result in increased waste and potential for stormwater contamination.

No solid materials are allowed to be discharged from the Site with stormwater. All solid waste, including disposable materials incidental to the construction activities, must be collected and placed in containers. Secure covers for the containers shall be provided at all times to meet state and local requirements. The location of solid waste receptacles shall be identified on the SWMP by the Operator. Storage containers are to be checked regularly for leaks and appropriate capacity weekly. Storage containers are to have a secure cover on at all times. If the cover cannot be secured shut it shall be emptied more regularly than the weekly inspection.

Concrete waste is anticipated with this Project; and therefore, a dedicated concrete washout is required. The SWMP Administrator shall take appropriate containment and treatment measures and document as necessary.

Portable Toilets

Portable toilets shall be provided on-site as necessary for construction personnel. Portable toilets shall be located on flat surfaces away from drainage paths with a minimum of 10' of clearance from stormwater inlets and 50' from state waters. They must be secured on at all four corners to prevent overturning, emptied on a weekly basis, inspected daily for spills, and where possible, secondary containment pans shall be provided under the portable toilets. In the event of a spill, the Permittee shall follow spill prevention measures as noted in Appendix G. Toilets shall be located away from anticipated stormwater discharges. Proper and regular maintenance and cleaning shall occur as a preventive measure.

Groundwater and Stormwater Dewatering

Except as noted below, all discharges covered by this permit shall be composed entirely of stormwater associated with construction activity.

- Emergency Fire Fighting Activities
- Uncontaminated Spring Water

Groundwater dewatering is not anticipated. Before excavation, or if encountered, the operator shall file for appropriate permits with the CDPHE.

FINAL STABILIZATION AND LONG TERM STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Permanent stabilization will be achieved on the Site with concrete hardscaping or landscaping. Permanent seeding and stabilization will occur on side slopes and areas without permanent landscaping. Stormwater will be managed by a Proposed Extended Detention Basin and a Proposed Rain Garden. These permanent BMPs will provide water quality and detention for the Site. All stormwater on the site will be captured and routed to either of the permanent BMPs.

INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE

Permittee or contractor shall produce written and signed inspection records every seven (7) days and after significant precipitation events. All necessary maintenance and repair shall be completed immediately. This project does not rely on the control measures owned or operated by another entity. The purpose of Site inspections is to assess performance of pollutant controls. The inspections will be conducted by the contractor's Storm Water Coordinator. Based on these inspections, it is the responsibility of the contractor to revise or implement additional Best Management Practices, repair erosion control measures, modify, maintain, supplement, or take additional steps as necessary to achieve effective pollutant control measures.

Examples of specific items to evaluate during Site inspections are listed below. This list is not intended to be comprehensive. During each inspection, the inspector must evaluate overall pollutant control system performance as well as particular details of individual system components. Additional factors should be considered as appropriate to the circumstances.

A. Locations where vehicles enter and exit the Site must be inspected for evidence of off-site sediment tracking. A stabilized VTC shall be constructed where vehicles enter and exit. Exits shall be maintained or supplemented as necessary to prevent the release of sediment from vehicles leaving the Site.

B. Sediment barriers must be inspected, and they must be extended, repaired or cleaned at such time as their original capacity has been reduced by 33 percent. All material excavated from behind sediment barriers shall be stockpiled on the up-slope side. Additional sediment barriers must be constructed as needed.

C. Inspections shall evaluate disturbed areas and areas used for storing materials that are exposed to rainfall for evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system or discharging from the Site. If necessary, the materials must be covered or original covers must be repaired or supplemented. Also, protective berms must be constructed, if needed, in order to contain runoff from material storage areas, and/or run-on.

D. All discharge points must be inspected to determine whether erosion control measures are effective in preventing significant impacts to receiving waters.

A sample report from the EPA has been included in the Appendices E and F for reference.

TERM AND CONDITIONS OF THE CDPS GENERAL PERMIT

GENERAL LIMITATIONS

The following limitations shall apply to discharges associated with construction activities:

- Stormwater discharges from construction activities shall not cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or measurably contribute to an exceedance of any water quality standard, including narrative standards for water quality.
- Concrete washout water shall not be discharged to state surface waters or to storm sewer systems. Onsite permanent disposal of concrete washout waste is not authorized by this permit. Discharge to the ground of concrete washout waste that will subsequently be disposed of offsite is authorized by this permit. See Part I.D.3.c of the permit.
- Bulk storage structures for petroleum products and any other chemicals shall have secondary containment or equivalent adequate protection so as to contain all spills and prevent any spilled material from entering State Waters.
- No chemicals are to be added to the discharge unless permission for the use of a specific chemical is granted by CDPHE. In granting the use of such chemicals, special conditions and monitoring may be addressed by separate correspondence.
- CDPHE reserves the right to require sampling and testing, on a case-by-case basis, in the event that there is reason to suspect that compliance with the SWMP is a problem, or to measure the effectiveness of the BMPs in removing pollutants in the effluent. Such monitoring may include Whole Effluent Toxicity testing.

- All Site wastes must be properly managed to prevent potential pollution of State Waters. This permit does not authorize onsite waste disposal.
- All dischargers must comply with the lawful requirements of federal agencies, municipalities, counties, drainage districts and other local agencies regarding any discharges of stormwater to storm drain systems or other water courses under their jurisdiction, including applicable requirements in municipal stormwater management programs developed to comply with CDPS permits. Dischargers must comply with local stormwater management requirements, policies, or guidelines including erosion and sediment control.

The above information is taken directly from the CDPHE General Permit.

PROHIBITION OF NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGES

Except as identified within the Terms and Conditions of the General Permit (Section D.3 – Prohibition of Non-Stormwater Discharges), all discharges covered by this permit shall be composed entirely of stormwater associated with construction activity. Discharges of material other than stormwater must be addressed in a separate CDPS permit issued for that discharge. No non-stormwater discharges are anticipated at this site.

Discharges to the ground from construction dewatering activities that do not meet the referenced criteria must be covered under a separate CDPS discharge permit. Contaminated groundwater requiring coverage under a separate CDPS discharge permit may include groundwater contaminated with pollutants from a landfill, mining activity, industrial pollutant plume, underground storage tank, or other source.

The above information is taken from the CDPHE General Permit.

SWMP RETENTION REQUIREMENTS

The permittee must document inspection results and maintain a record of the results for a period of 3 years following expiration or inactivation of permit coverage. These records must be made available to the City, County, CDPHE or EPA upon request.

In order to fulfill this requirement, the SWMP Administrator shall retain a copy of the SWMP and provide the original to the owner/permittee upon inactivation of the permit.

SWMP REVIEW / CHANGES

At nearly every site, the recommended and/or implemented BMPs will need to be modified to adapt to changing site conditions, or to ensure that the potential pollutants are consistently and properly managed. The Operator shall amend the SWMP:

- When there is a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance of the Site, which would require the implementation of new or revised BMPs; or
- If the SWMP proves to be ineffective in achieving the general objectives of controlling pollutants in stormwater discharges associated with construction activity; or
- When BMPs are no longer necessary and removed.

SWMP changes shall be made prior to changes in Site conditions, except as noted below. Revisions may include, but are not limited to, potential pollutant source identification, selection of appropriate BMPs for Site conditions, BMP maintenance procedures and interim and final stabilization practices. The SWMP changes may include a schedule for further BMP design and implementation, provided

that, if any interim BMPs are needed to comply with the permit, they are also included in the SWMP and implemented during the interim period.

RESPONSIVE SWMP CHANGES

SWMP changes addressing BMP installation and/or implementation are often required to be made in response to changing conditions, or when current BMPs are determined ineffective. The majority of these SWMP revisions can be made immediately with quick in-the-field revisions to the SWMP. In the less common situation where more complex development of materials to modify the SWMP is necessary, the revisions shall be made in accordance with the following requirements:

- The SWMP shall be revised as soon as practicable, but in no case more than 72 hours after the change(s) in BMP installation/implementation occur at the Site; and
- A notation must be included in the SWMP prior to the Site change(s) that includes the time and date of the change(s) in the field, an identification of the BMP(s) removed or added and the location(s) of those BMP(s).

Any BMP deficiencies, replacement or additional BMPs that may be required shall be documented on the Stormwater Management Plans and in the appropriate logs. Copies of the Corrective Action Log and SWMP Amendment Log have been included in the Appendices for reference and use.

CONCLUSIONS

Temporary erosion control measures and BMPs will enhance stormwater quality within the Project area by capturing and detaining sediment-laden runoff prior to discharging off-site.

REFERENCES

El Paso County Erosion Control, and Stormwater Quality Plan Checklist, Engineering Criteria Manual, El Paso County, CO, May 21, 2007.

Colorado Discharge Permit System (CDPS) – Stormwater Discharge Associated with Construction Activities Application - Prepared by Water Quality Control Division, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment; Revised March 2016.

Colorado Discharge Permit System (CDPS) General Permit – Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity - Prepared by Water Quality Control Division, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment; signed and issued on May 31, 2007 and administratively continued effective July 1, 2012.

Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity – Stormwater Management Plan Preparation Guidance - Prepared by Water Quality Control Division, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment; Revised April 2011.

Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual, Volume 3 – Mile High Flood District, Denver, CO.; November 2010.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLANS / SITE MAPS

MEADOWBROOK PARK

PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS

TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

PCD FILING NO.: PUDSP-20-08

STANDARD NOTES FOR EL PASO COUNTY GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS:

1. STORMWATER DISCHARGES FROM CONSTRUCTION SITES SHALL NOT CAUSE OR THREATEN TO CAUSE POLLUTION, CONTAMINATION, OR DEGRADATION OF STATE WATERS. ALL WORK AND EARTH DISTURBANCE SHALL BE DONE IN A MANNER THAT MINIMIZES POLLUTION OF ANY ON-SITE WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS.
2. NOTWITHSTANDING ANYTHING DEPICTED IN THESE PLANS IN WORDS OR GRAPHIC REPRESENTATION, ALL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION RELATED TO ROADS, STORM DRAINAGE AND EROSION CONTROL SHALL CONFORM TO THE STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE MOST RECENT VERSION OF THE RELEVANT ADOPTED EL PASO COUNTY STANDARDS, INCLUDING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, THE ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL, THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL, AND THE DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUME 2. ANY DEVIATIONS FROM REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS MUST BE REQUESTED, AND APPROVED IN WRITING.
3. A SEPARATE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP) FOR THIS PROJECT SHALL BE COMPLETED AND AN EROSION AND STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL PERMIT 9ESQCP) ISSUED PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. MANAGEMENT OF THE SWMP DURING CONSTRUCTION IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DESIGNATED QUALIFIED STORMWATER MANAGER OR CERTIFIED EROSION CONTROL INSPECTOR. THE SWMP SHALL BE LOCATED ON SITE AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL BE KEPT UP TO DATE WITH WORK PROGRESS IN THE FIELD.
4. ONCE THE ESQCP IS APPROVED AND A "NOTICE TO PROCEED" HAS BEEN ISSUES, THE CONTRACTOR MAY INSTALL THE INITIAL STAGE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AS INDICATED ON THE APPROVED GEC. A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING BETWEEN THE CONTRACTOR, ENGINEER, AND EL PASO COUNTY WILL BE HELD PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE APPLICANT TO COORDINATE THE MEETING TIME AND PLACE WITH COUNTY STAFF.
5. CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ACTIVITIES THAT COULD CONTRIBUTE POLLUTANTS TO STORMWATER. CONTROL MEASURES FOR ALL SLOPES, CHANNELS, DITCHES AND DISTURBED LAND AREAS SHALL BE INSTALLED IMMEDIATELY UPON COMPLETION OF THE DISTURBANCE.
6. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED AND REMAIN IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION UNTIL PERMANENT SOIL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE IMPLEMENTED AND FINAL STABILIZATION IS ESTABLISHED. ALL PERSONS ENGAGED IN LAND DISTURBANCE ACTIVITIES SHALL ASSESS THE ADEQUACY OF CONTROL MEASURES AT THE SITE AND IDENTIFY IF CHANGES TO THOSE CONTROL MEASURES ARE NEEDED TO ENSURE THE CONTINUED EFFECTIVE PERFORMANCE OF THE CONTROL MEASURES. ALL CHANGES TO TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INCORPORATED INTO THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN.
7. TEMPORARY STABILIZATION SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED ON DISTURBED AREAS AND STOCKPILES WHERE GROUND DISTURBING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY HAS PERMANENTLY CEASED OR TEMPORARILY CEASED FOR LONGER THAN 14 DAYS.
8. FINAL STABILIZATION MUST BE IMPLEMENTED AT ALL APPLICABLE CONSTRUCTION SITES. FINAL STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED WHEN ALL GROUND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES ARE COMPLETE AND ALL DISTURBED AREAS EITHER HAVE A UNIFORM VEGETATIVE COVER WITH INDIVIDUAL PLAN DENSITY OF 70% OF PRE-DISTURBED LEVELS ESTABLISHED OR EQUIVALENT PERMANENT ALTERNATIVE STABILIZATION METHOD IS IMPLEMENTED. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE REMOVED UPON FINAL STABILIZATION AND BEFORE PERMIT CLOSURE.
9. ALL PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITIES SHALL BE INSTALLED AS DESIGNED IN THE APPROVED PLANS. ANY PROPOSED CHANGES THAT EFFECT THE DESIGN OR FUNCTION OF PERMANENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES MUST BE APPROVED BE THE ECM ADMINISTRATOR PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION.
10. EARTH DISTURBANCES SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN SUCH A MANNER SO AS TO EFFECTIVELY MINIMIZE ACCELERATED SOIL EROSION AND RESULTING SEDIMENTATION. ALL DISTURBANCES SHALL BE DESIGNED, CONSTRUCTED, AND COMPLETED SO THAT THE EXPOSED AREA OF ANY DISTURBED LAND SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE SHORTEST PRACTICAL PERIOD OF TIME. PRE-EXISTING VEGETATION SHALL BE PROTECTED AND MAINTAINED WITHIN 50 HORIZONTAL FEET OF A WATERS OF THE STATE UNLESS SHOWN TO BE INFEASIBLE AND SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED AND APPROVED.
11. COMPACTION OF SOIL MUST BE PREVENTED IN AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION CONTROL MEASURES OR WHERE FINAL STABILIZATION WILL BE ACHIEVED BY VEGETATIVE COVER. AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL ALSO BE PROTECTED FROM SEDIMENTATION DURING CONSTRUCTION UNTIL FINAL STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED. IF COMPACTION PREVENTION IS NOT FEASIBLE DUE TO SITE CONSTRAINTS, ALL AREAS DESIGNATED FOR INFILTRATION AND VEGETATION CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE LOOSENED PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF THE CONTROL MEASURE(S).
12. ANY TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT FACILITY DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED FOR THE CONVEYANCE OF STORMWATER AROUND, THROUGH, OR FROM THE EARTH DISTURBANCE AREA SHALL BE A STABILIZED CONVEYANCE DESIGNED TO MINIMIZE EROSION AND THE DISCHARGE OF SEDIMENT OFF SITE.
13. CONCRETE WASH WATER SHALL BE CONTAINED AND DISPOSED OF IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SWMP. NO WASH WATER SHALL BE DISCHARGED TO OR ALLOWED TO ENTER STATE WATERS, INCLUDING ANY SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM OR FACILITIES. CONCRETE WASHOUTS SHALL NOT BE LOCATED IN AN AREA WHERE SHALLOW GROUNDWATER MAY BE PRESENT, OR WITHIN 50 FEET OF A SURFACE WATER BODY, CREEK, OR STREAM.
14. DURING DEWATERING OPERATIONS OF UNCONTAMINATED GROUND WATER MAY BE DISCHARGED ON SITE, BUT SHALL NOT LEAVE THE SITE IN THE FORM OF SURFACE RUNOFF UNLESS AN APPROVED STATE DEWATERING PERMIT IS IN PLACE.
15. EROSION CONTROL BLANKETING OR OTHER PROTECTIVE COVERING SHALL BE USED ON SLOPES STEEPER THAN 3:1.
16. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF ALL WASTES FROM THE CONSTRUCTION SITE FOR DISPOSAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL AND STATE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS. NO CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, TREE SLASH, BUILDING MATERIAL WASTES OR UNUSED BUILDING MATERIALS SHALL BE BURIED, DUMPED, OR DISCHARGED AT THE SITE.
17. WASTE MATERIALS SHALL NOT BE TEMPORARILY PLACED OR STORED IN THE STREET, ALLEY, OR OTHER PUBLIC WAY, UNLESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH AN APPROVED TRAFFIC CONTROL PLAN. CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED BY EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEERING IF DEEMED NECESSARY, BASED ON SPECIFIC CONDITIONS AND CIRCUMSTANCES.
18. TRACKING OF SOILS AND CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS OFF-SITE SHALL BE MINIMIZED. MATERIALS TRACKED OFF-SITE SHALL BE CLEANED UP AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF IMMEDIATELY.
19. THE OWNER/DEVELOPER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REMOVAL OF ALL CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS, DIRT, TRASH, ROCK, SEDIMENT, SOIL AND SAND THAT MAY ACCUMULATE IN ROADS, STORM DRAINS, AND OTHER DRAINAGE CONVEYANCE SYSTEMS AND STORMWATER APPURTENANCES AS A RESULT OF SITE DEVELOPMENT.
20. THE QUANTITY OF MATERIALS STORED ON THE PROJECT SITE SHALL BE LIMITED, AS MUCH AS PRACTICAL, TO THAT QUANTITY REQUIRED TO PERFORM THE WORK IN AN ORDERLY SEQUENCE. ALL MATERIALS STORED ON-SITE SHALL BE STORED IN A NEAT, ORDERLY MANNER, IN THEIR ORIGINAL CONTAINERS, WITH ORIGINAL MANUFACTURER'S LABELS.
21. NO CHEMICAL(S) HAVING THE POTENTIAL TO BE RELEASED IN STORMWATER ARE TO BE STORED OR USED ONSITE UNLESS PERMISSION FOR THE USE OF SUCH CHEMICAL(S) IS GRANTED IN WRITING BY THE ECM ADMINISTRATOR. IN GRANTING APPROVAL FOR THE USE OF SUCH CHEMICAL(S), SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND MONITORING MAY BE REQUIRED.
22. BULK STORAGE OF ALLOWED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS OR OTHER ALLOWED LIQUID CHEMICALS IN EXCESS OF 55 GALLONS SHALL REQUIRE ADEQUATE SECONDARY CONTAINMENT PROTECTION TO CONTAIN ALL SPILLS ONSITE AND TO PREVENT ANY SPILLED MATERIALS FROM ENTERING STATE WATERS, ANY SURFACE OR SUBSURFACE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM OR OTHER FACILITIES.
23. NO PERSON SHALL CAUSE THE IMPEDIMENT OF STORMWATER FLOW IN THE CURB AND GUTTER OR DITCH EXCEPT WITH APPROVED SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES.
24. OWNER/DEVELOPER AND THEIR AGENTS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE "COLORADO WATER QUALITY CONTROL ACT" (TITLE 25, ARTICLE 8, CRS), AND THE "CLEAN WATER ACT" (33 USC 1344), IN ADDITION TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, DCM VOLUME II AND THE ECM APPENDIX I. ALL APPROPRIATE PERMITS MUST BE OBTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION (1041, NPDES, FLOODPLAIN, 404, FUGITIVE DUST, ETC.). IN THE EVENT OF CONFLICTS BETWEEN THESE REQUIREMENTS AND OTHER LAWS, RULES, OR REGULATIONS OF OTHER FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL OR COUNTY AGENCIES, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE LAWS, RULES, OR REGULATIONS SHALL APPLY.
25. ALL CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC MUST ENTER/EXIT THE SITE ONLY AT APPROVED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS POINTS.
26. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION THE PERMITTEE SHALL VERIFY THE LOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES.
27. A WATER SOURCE SHALL BE AVAILABLE ON SITE DURING EARTHWORK OPERATIONS AND SHALL BE UTILIZED AS REQUIRED TO MINIMIZE DUST FROM EARTHWORK EQUIPMENT AND WIND.
28. THE SOILS REPORT FOR THIS SITE HAS BEEN PREPARED BY RMG ENGINEERS AND SHALL BE CONSIDERED A PART OF THESE PLANS.
29. AT LEAST TEN (10) DAYS PRIOR TO THE ANTICIPATED START OF CONSTRUCTION, FOR PROJECTS THAT WILL DISTURB ONE (1) ACRE OF MORE, THE OWNER OR OPERATOR OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY SHALL SUBMIT A PERMIT APPLICATION FOR STORMWATER DISCHARGE TO THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT, WATER QUALITY DIVISION, THE APPLICATION CONTAINS CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION OF A STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP), OF WHICH THIS GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN MAY BE A PART. FOR INFORMATION OR APPLICATION MATERIALS CONTACT:

    COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT
    WATER QUALITY CONTROL DIVISION
    WQCD - PERMITS
    4300 CHERRY CREEK DRIVE SOUTH
    DENVER, CO 80246-1530
    ATTN: PERMITS UNIT



VICINITY MAP
N.T.S

SHEET INDEX	
SHEET NO.	SHEET TITLE
C2.0	COVER SHEET
C2.1	INITIAL EROSION CONTROL PLAN
C2.2	INTERIM EROSION CONTROL PLAN
C2.3	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS
C2.4	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS
C2.5	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS
C2.6	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS
C2.7	EROSION CONTOL DETAILS
C2.8	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS
C2.9	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS
C2.10	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS
C2.11	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS
C2.12	EROSION CONTROL DETAILS

LAND AREA:
118,462 SQ. FT. OR 2.719 ACRES MORE OR LESS

BASIS OF BEARING:
MEASURED BETWEEN THE NW CORNER OF TRACT 1, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1, MONUMENTED WITH A NO. 4 REBAR WITH 1" RED PLASTIC CAP MARKED PLS 37928,AND THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1, MONUMENTED WITH A FOUND NO. 5 REBAR WITH BLUE PLASTIC CAP MARKED WITH PLS 32820, AS SHOW AND MEASURED TO BEAR N51°20'13"E.

BENCHMARK/ PROJECT CONTROL:
NORTHWEST CORNER OF TRACT A AND IS MONUMENTED AS A NO. 4 REBAR WITH RED PLASTIC CAP FLUSH WITH THE GROUND EL. 6321.70

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1, EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

SOIL TYPE:
THE SOIL ON SITE IS CLASSIFIED WITH THE USCS AS NATIVE SILTY TO CLAYEY SAND (SM-SC) AS HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP A.

FLOOD ZONE DESIGNATION
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP, MAP NUMBERS 08041C0752G EFFECTIVE DATE DECEMBER 7, 2018 INDICATES THIS PARCEL OF LAND IS LOCATED IN ZONE X AN AREA OF MINIMAL FLOOD HAZARD.

TIMING AND SCHEDULING
INITIAL GRADING TO BEGIN IN JUNE 2021 AND FINAL GRADING TO BE COMPLETED JUNE 2022.

CONTACTS:

OWNER:
MEADOWBROOK DEVELOPMENT LLC
90 S. NEVADA AVENUE
COLORADO SPRINGS,CO 80903
TEL: (719) 475-7621
CONTACT:DANNY MIENTKA

ENGINEER:
KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 NEVADA NORTH AVE., SUITE 300
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903
TEL: (719) 453-0182
CONTACT: JOHN HEIBERGER, P.E.

SURVEYOR:
CLARK LAND SURVEYING, INC.
177 S. TIFFANY DRIVE, UNIT 1
PUEBLO WEST, CO 81007
TEL: (719) 582-1270
CONTACT: STEWART L. MAPES JR., PLS

EL PASO COUNTY:
EL PASO COUNTY
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
2880 INTERNATIONAL CIRCLE, SUITE 110
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80910
PHONE: (719) 520-3600

OWNER'S SIGNATURE BLOCK
I, THE OWNER/DEVELOPER HAVE READ AND WILL COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN.

Danny Mientka (Jun 23, 2021 11:37 MDT)
NAME

6.23.2021
DATE

ENGINEER'S SIGNATURE BLOCK
THIS GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN WAS PREPARED UNDER MY DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION AND IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLDGE AND BELIEF. SAID PLAN HAS BEEN PREPARED ACCORDING TO THE CRITERIA ESTABLISHED BY THE COUNTY FOR GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS. I ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY LIABILITY CAUSED BY ANY NEGLIGENT ACTS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS ON MY PART IN PREPARING THIS PLAN.

COLORADO REGISTERED JOHN HEIBERGER 50096 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

6/22/2021
DATE

JOHN HEIBERGER, PE
KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

EL PASO COUNTY REVIEW STATEMENT
COUNTY PLAN REVIEW IS PROVIDED ONLY FOR GENERAL CONFORMANCE WITH COUNTY DESIGN CRITERIA. THE COUNTY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY AND ADEQUACY OF THE DESIGN, DIMENSION, AND/OR ELEVATIONS WHICH SHALL BE CONFIRMED AT THE JOB SITE. THE COUNTY THROUGH THE APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT ASSUMES NO RESPONIBILITY FOR COMPLETENESS AND/ OR ACCURACY OF THIS DOCUMENT.

FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE EL PASO COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, DRAINAGE CRITERIA MANUAL VOLUMES 1 AND 2, AND ENGINEERING CRITERIA MANUAL AS AMENDED.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH ECM SECTION 1.12, THESE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WILL BE VALID FOR A CONSTRUCTION FOR A PERIOD OF 2 YEARS FROM THE DATE SIGNED BY THE EL PASO COUNTY ENGINEER. IF THE CONSTRUCTION HAS NOT STARTED WITHIN THOSE 2 YEARS, THE PLANS WILL NEED TO BE RESUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL, INCLUDING PAYMENT OF REVIEW FEES AT THE PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR'S DISCRETION.

JENNIFER IRVINE, COUNTY ENGINEER/ ECM ADMINISTRATOR — DATE

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn
2020 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
COVER SHEET

COLORADO REGISTERED JOHN HEIBERGER 50096 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER 6/22/2021

PROJECT NO.
096956009

SHEET
C2.0

K:\COS_Civil\096956009_Meadowbrook\CADD\PlanSheets\Cds\096956009CD_GEC_CV.dwg Kolford, Kevin 6/23/2021 9:54 AM

MEADOWBROOK PARK

PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS

TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1

EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO



KEYMAP
SCALE: 1" = 250'



LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- (LOD) LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE/ CONSTRUCTION BOUNDARY
- (DS) DRAINAGE SWALE
- (SF) SILT FENCE
- (CF) CONSTRUCTION FENCE
- (CD) CHECK DAM
- (SSA) STABILIZED STAGING AREA
- (VTC) VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL
- (SP) SOIL STOCKPILE
- (SB) TEMPORARY SEDIMENT BASIN
- EXISTING FLOW ARROW
- 64XX EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
- 64XX EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
- (IP) INLET PROTECTION
- (CWA) CONCRETE WASHOUT

LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION

ONSITE DISTURBANCE	= ±8.07 ACRES
OFFSITE DISTURBANCE	= ±0.95 ACRES
TOTAL	= ±9.02 ACRES

NOTES:

1. IN EXISTING CONDITIONS, THE SITE IS UNDEVELOPED WITH LITTLE TO NO VEGETATION. REFERENCE GEOTECH FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON EXISTING VEGETATION AND SOIL CONDITIONS.
2. SITE IS IN ZONE X (OUTSIDE OF FEMA FLOODPLAIN).

GRAPHIC SCALE IN FEET
0 25 50 100

Know what's **below.** **Call** before you dig.

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn
2020 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
INITIAL EROSION CONTROL PLAN

COLORADO REGISTERED 50096 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER 6/22/2021

PROJECT NO. 096956009

SHEET C2.1

K:\COS_Civil\096956009_Meadowbrook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\096956009CD_EC-INITIAL.dwg Kofford, Kevin 8/12/2021 3:59 PM

MEADOWBROOK PARK
PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS
TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO



TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL STREET
(50' PUBLIC ACCESS AND UTILITY EASEMENT)
N.T.S



KEYMAP
SCALE: 1" = 250'



LEGEND

- PROPERTY LINE
- PROPERTY SETBACK LINE
- CUT/FILL LINE
- (LOD) LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
- (DS) DRAINAGE SWALE
- (SF) SILT FENCE
- (CD) CHECK DAM
- (SSA) STABILIZED STAGING AREA
- (VTC) VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL
- (SP) SOIL STOCKPILE
- (SB) TEMPORARY SEDIMENT BASIN
- (RECB) ROLLED EROSION CONTROLLED PRODUCTS
- (PS) PERMANENT SEEDING
- ROOF
- CONCRETE PAVEMENT
- ASPHALT PAVEMENT
- PROP. FLOW ARROW
- EX. FLOW ARROW
- 64XX PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR
- 64XX PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
- 64XX EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
- 64XX EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
- (IP) INLET PROTECTION
- (CWA) CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA

LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION

ONSITE DISTURBANCE	= ±8.07 ACRES
OFFSITE DISTURBANCE	= ±0.95 ACRES
TOTAL	= ±9.02 ACRES

NOTES

1. THE INTENT OF THIS PLAN IS TO IDENTIFY THE EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES RECOMMENDED.
2. TEMPORARY STABILIZATION (TS) SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN THE DISTURBED PORTIONS OF THE PROJECT SITE NO LATER THAN 14 DAYS FOLLOWING THE CEASE OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE DISTURBED AREAS.
3. PERMANENT STABILIZATION (PS) MAY BE USED WITHIN AREAS OF TEMPORARY STABILIZATION (TS) AT THE CONTRACTOR'S DISCRETION. STABILIZATION SHALL BE APPLIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE TEMPORARY STABILIZATION SEQUENCING REQUIREMENTS.
4. CONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE ROLLED EROSION CONTROL PRODUCTS ON ALL SLOPES 3H:1V OR GREATER TO ACHIEVE REQUIRED STABILIZATION.
5. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ACCEPTABLE EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES WITHIN THE ANTICIPATED LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION IDENTIFIED HEREIN. BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND STABILIZATION SHALL BE COMPLETED AS IDENTIFIED HEREIN IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNTY AND STATE REQUIREMENT.
6. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN STABILIZED STAGING AREA (SSA) AND VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL (VTC) AT THE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE AT ALL TIMES.
7. CONTRACTOR SHALL UPDATE THE EROSION CONTROL PLAN IN THE FIELD TO INDICATE THE LOCATION OF THE SOIL STOCKPILE AREA AS MINING SEQUENCING DICTATES.
8. CHECK DAMS TO BE SPACED ALONG DRAINAGE SWALES PER UDFCD DETAILS. SCL MAY BE USED IN PLACE OF RIP RAP.

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn

© 2020 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
INTERIM EROSION CONTROL PLAN

COLORADO REGISTERED
JOHN ROBERT HEIBERGER
50096
6/22/2021
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
[illegible]

PROJECT NO.
096956009

SHEET
C2.2

K:\COS_Civil\096956009_Meadowbrook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\096956009CD_EC-INTERIM.dwg Kofford, Kevin 8/12/2021 4:00 PM

MEADOWBROOK PARK
PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS
TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO



VEHICLE TRACKING
NTS

VEHICLE TRACKING NOTES

INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS

1. ALL ENTRANCES TO THE CONSTRUCTION SITE ARE TO BE STABILIZED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION BEGINNING.
2. CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES ARE TO BE BUILT WITH AN APRON TO ALLOW FOR TURNING TRAFFIC, BUT SHOULD NOT BE BUILT OVER EXISTING PAVEMENT EXCEPT FOR A SLIGHT OVERLAP.
3. AREAS TO BE STABILIZED ARE TO BE PROPERLY GRADED AND COMPACTED PRIOR TO LAYING DOWN GEOTEXTILE AND STONE.
4. CONSTRUCTION ROADS, PARKING AREAS, LOADING/UNLOADING ZONES, STORAGE AREAS, AND STAGING AREAS ARE TO BE STABILIZED.
5. CONSTRUCTION ROADS ARE TO BE BUILT TO CONFORM TO SITE GRADES, BUT SHOULD NOT HAVE SIDE SLOPES OR ROAD GRADES THAT ARE EXCESSIVELY STEEP.

MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS

1. REGULAR INSPECTIONS ARE TO BE MADE OF ALL STABILIZED AREAS, ESPECIALLY AFTER STORM EVENTS.
2. STONES ARE TO BE REAPPLIED PERIODICALLY AND WHEN REPAIR IS NECESSARY.
3. SEDIMENT TRACKED ONTO PAVED ROADS IS TO BE REMOVED DAILY BY SHOVELING OR SWEEPING. SEDIMENT IS NOT TO BE WASHED DOWN STORM SEWER DRAINS.
4. STORM SEWER INLET PROTECTION IS TO BE IN PLACE, INSPECTED, AND CLEANED IF NECESSARY.
5. OTHER ASSOCIATED SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES ARE TO BE INSPECTED TO ENSURE GOOD WORKING CONDITION.

City of Colorado Springs Stormwater Quality	Figure VT-2 Vehicle Tracking Application Examples



VTC–2. AGGREGATE VEHICLE TRACKING CONTROL WITH WASH RACK

STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR
   –LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE(S)/EXIT(S).
   –TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE(S)/EXITS(S) (WITH/WITHOUT WHEEL WASH, CONSTRUCTION MAT OR TRM).
2. CONSTRUCTION MAT OR TRM STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES ARE ONLY TO BE USED ON SHORT DURATION PROJECTS (TYPICALLY RANGING FROM A WEEK TO A MONTH) WHERE THERE WILL BE LIMITED VEHICULAR ACCESS.
3. A STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT SHALL BE LOCATED AT ALL ACCESS POINTS WHERE VEHICLES ACCESS THE CONSTRUCTION SITE FROM PAVED RIGHT–OF–WAYS.
4. STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.
5. A NON–WOVEN GEOTEXTILE FABRIC SHALL BE PLACED UNDER THE STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT PRIOR TO THE PLACEMENT OF ROCK.
6. UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION, ROCK SHALL CONSIST OF DOT SECT. #703, AASHTO #3 COARSE AGGREGATE OR 6" (MINUS) ROCK.

STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE/EXIT MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
4. ROCK SHALL BE REAPPLIED OR REGRADED AS NECESSARY TO THE STABILIZED ENTRANCE/EXIT TO MAINTAIN A CONSISTENT DEPTH.
5. SEDIMENT TRACKED ONTO PAVED ROADS IS TO BE REMOVED THROUGHOUT THE DAY AND AT THE END OF THE DAY BY SHOVELING OR SWEEPING. SEDIMENT MAY NOT BE WASHED DOWN STORM SEWER DRAINS.

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM CITY OF BROOMFIELD, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)



CWA–1. CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA

CWA INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
   –CWA INSTALLATION LOCATION.
2. DO NOT LOCATE AN UNLINED CWA WITHIN 400' OF ANY NATURAL DRAINAGE PATHWAY OR WATERBODY, DO NOT LOCATE WITHIN 1,000' OF ANY WELLS OR DRINKING WATER SOURCES. IF SITE CONSTRAINTS MAKE THIS INFEASIBLE, OR IF HIGHLY PERMEABLE SOILS EXIST ON SITE, THE CWA MUST BE INSTALLED WITH AN IMPERMEABLE LINER (16 MIL MIN. THICKNESS) OR SURFACE STORAGE ALTERNATIVES USING PREFABRICATED CONCRETE WASHOUT DEVICES OR A LINED ABOVE GROUND STORAGE ARE SHOULD BE USED.
3. THE CWA SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO CONCRETE PLACEMENT ON SITE.
4. CWA SHALL INCLUDE A FLAT SUBSURFACE PIT THAT IS AT LEAST 8' BY 8' SLOPES LEADING OUT OF THE SUBSURFACE PIT SHALL BE 3:1 OR FLATTER. THE PIT SHALL BE AT LEAST 3' DEEP.
5. BERM SURROUNDING SIDES AND BACK OF THE CWA SHALL HAVE MINIMUM HEIGHT OF 1'.
6. VEHICLE TRACKING PAD SHALL BE SLOPED 2% TOWARDS THE CWA.
7. SIGNS SHALL BE PLACED AT THE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE, AT THE CWA, AND ELSEWHERE AS NECESSARY TO CLEARLY INDICATE THE LOCATION OF THE CWA TO OPERATORS OF CONCRETE TRUCKS AND PUMP RIGS.
8. USE EXCAVATED MATERIAL FOR PERIMETER BERM CONSTRUCTION.

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn
2020 KIMLEY–HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453–0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
EROSION CONTROL DETAILS

COLORADO REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
50096
6/22/2021

PROJECT NO.
096956009

SHEET
C2.3

K:\COS_Civil\096956009_Meadowbrook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\096956009CD_EC_DT.dwg Kofford, Kevin 8/12/2021 4:00 PM

MEADOWBROOK PARK
PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS
TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Seeding dates for the highest success probability of perennial species along the Front Range are generally in the spring from April through early May and in the fall after the first of September until the ground freezes. If the area is irrigated, seeding may occur in summer months, as well. See Table TS/PS-3 for appropriate seeding dates.

Table TS/PS-1. Minimum Drill Seeding Rates for Various Temporary Annual Grasses

Species[a] (Common name)	Growth Season[b]	Pounds of Pure Live Seed (PLS)/acre[c]	Planting Depth (inches)
1. Oats	Cool	35 - 50	1 - 2
2. Spring wheat	Cool	25 - 35	1 - 2
3. Spring barley	Cool	25 - 35	1 - 2
4. Annual ryegrass	Cool	10 - 15	½
5. Millet	Warm	3 - 15	½ - ¾
6. Sudangrass	Warm	5–10	½ - ¾
7. Sorghum	Warm	5–10	½ - ¾
8. Winter wheat	Cool	20–35	1 - 2
9. Winter barley	Cool	20–35	1 - 2
10. Winter rye	Cool	20–35	1 - 2
11. Triticale	Cool	25–40	1 - 2

[a] Successful seeding of annual grass resulting in adequate plant growth will usually produce enough dead-plant residue to provide protection from wind and water erosion for an additional year. This assumes that the cover is not disturbed or mowed closer than 8 inches.

Hydraulic seeding may be substituted for drilling only where slopes are steeper than 3:1 or where access limitations exist. When hydraulic seeding is used, hydraulic mulching should be applied as a separate operation, when practical, to prevent the seeds from being encapsulated in the mulch.

[b] See Table TS/PS-3 for seeding dates. Irrigation, if consistently applied, may extend the use of cool season species during the summer months.

[c] Seeding rates should be doubled if seed is broadcast, or increased by 50 percent if done using a Brillion Drill or by hydraulic seeding.

Table TS/PS-2. Minimum Drill Seeding Rates for Perennial Grasses

Common[a] Name	Botanical Name	Growth Season[b]	Growth Form	Seeds/ Pound	Pounds of PLS/acre
Alakali Soil Seed Mix					
Alkali sacaton	*Sporobolus airoides*	Cool	Bunch	1,750,000	0.25
Basin wildrye	*Elymus cinereus*	Cool	Bunch	165,000	2.5
Sodar streambank wheatgrass	*Agropyron riparium 'Sodar'*	Cool	Sod	170,000	2.5
Jose tall wheatgrass	*Agropyron elongatum 'Jose'*	Cool	Bunch	79,000	7.0
Arriba western wheatgrass	*Agropyron smithii 'Arriba'*	Cool	Sod	110,000	5.5
Total					**17.75**
Fertile Loamy Soil Seed Mix					
Ephriam crested wheatgrass	*Agropyron cristatum 'Ephriam'*	Cool	Sod	175,000	2.0
Dural hard fescue	*Festuca ovina 'duriuscula'*	Cool	Bunch	565,000	1.0
Lincoln smooth brome	*Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln'*	Cool	Sod	130,000	3.0
Sodar streambank wheatgrass	*Agropyron riparium 'Sodar'*	Cool	Sod	170,000	2.5
Arriba western wheatgrass	*Agropyron smithii 'Arriba'*	Cool	Sod	110,000	7.0
Total					**15.5**
High Water Table Soil Seed Mix					
Meadow foxtail	*Alopecurus pratensis*	Cool	Sod	900,000	0.5
Redtop	*Agrostis alba*	Warm	Open sod	5,000,000	0.25
Reed canarygrass	*Phalaris arundinacea*	Cool	Sod	68,000	0.5
Lincoln smooth brome	*Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln'*	Cool	Sod	130,000	3.0
Pathfinder switchgrass	*Panicum virgatum 'Pathfinder'*	Warm	Sod	389,000	1.0
Alkar tall wheatgrass	*Agropyron elongatum 'Alkar'*	Cool	Bunch	79,000	5.5
Total					**10.75**
Transition Turf Seed Mix[c]					
Ruebens Canadian bluegrass	*Poa compressa 'Ruebens'*	Cool	Sod	2,500,000	0.5
Dural hard fescue	*Festuca ovina 'duriuscula'*	Cool	Bunch	565,000	1.0
Citation perennial ryegrass	*Lolium perenne 'Citation'*	Cool	Sod	247,000	3.0
Lincoln smooth brome	*Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln'*	Cool	Sod	130,000	3.0
Total					**7.5**

Table TS/PS-2. Minimum Drill Seeding Rates for Perennial Grasses (cont.)

Common Name	Botanical Name	Growth Season[b]	Growth Form	Seeds/ Pound	Pounds of PLS/acre
Sandy Soil Seed Mix					
Blue grama	*Bouteloua gracilis*	Warm	Sod-forming bunchgrass	825,000	0.5
Camper little bluestem	*Schizachyrium scoparium 'Camper'*	Warm	Bunch	240,000	1.0
Prairie sandreed	*Calamovilfa longifolia*	Warm	Open sod	274,000	1.0
Sand dropseed	*Sporobolus cryptandrus*	Cool	Bunch	5,298,000	0.25
Vaughn sideoats grama	*Bouteloua curtipendula 'Vaughn'*	Warm	Sod	191,000	2.0
Arriba western wheatgrass	*Agropyron smithii 'Arriba'*	Cool	Sod	110,000	5.5
Total					**10.25**
Heavy Clay, Rocky Foothill Seed Mix					
Ephriam crested wheatgrass[d]	*Agropyron cristatum 'Ephriam'*	Cool	Sod	175,000	1.5
Oahe Intermediate wheatgrass	*Agropyron intermedium 'Oahe'*	Cool	Sod	115,000	5.5
Vaughn sideoats grama[e]	*Bouteloua curtipendula 'Vaughn'*	Warm	Sod	191,000	2.0
Lincoln smooth brome	*Bromus inermis leyss 'Lincoln'*	Cool	Sod	130,000	3.0
Arriba western wheatgrass	*Agropyron smithii 'Arriba'*	Cool	Sod	110,000	5.5
Total					**17.5**

[a] All of the above seeding mixes and rates are based on drill seeding followed by crimped straw mulch. These rates should be doubled if seed is broadcast and should be increased by 50 percent if the seeding is done using a Brillion Drill or is applied through hydraulic seeding. Hydraulic seeding may be substituted for drilling only where slopes are steeper than 3:1. If hydraulic seeding is used, hydraulic mulching should be done as a separate operation.

[b] See Table TS/PS-3 for seeding dates.

[c] If site is to be irrigated, the transition turf seed rates should be doubled.

[d] Crested wheatgrass should not be used on slopes steeper than 6H to 1V.

[e] Can substitute 0.5 lbs PLS of blue grama for the 2.0 lbs PLS of Vaughn sideoats grama.

Table TS/PS-3. Seeding Dates for Annual and Perennial Grasses

	Annual Grasses (Numbers in table reference species in Table TS/PS-1)		Perennial Grasses	
Seeding Dates	**Warm**	**Cool**	**Warm**	**Cool**
January 1–March 15			✓	✓
March 16–April 30	4	1,2,3	✓	✓
May 1–May 15	4		✓	
May 16–June 30	4,5,6,7			
July 1–July 15	5,6,7			
July 16–August 31				
September 1–September 30		8,9,10,11		
October 1–December 31			✓	✓

Mulch

Cover seeded areas with mulch or an appropriate rolled erosion control product to promote establishment of vegetation. Anchor mulch by crimping, netting or use of a non-toxic tackifier. See the Mulching BMP Fact Sheet for additional guidance.

Maintenance and Removal

Monitor and observe seeded areas to identify areas of poor growth or areas that fail to germinate. Reseed and mulch these areas, as needed.

An area that has been permanently seeded should have a good stand of vegetation within one growing season if irrigated and within three growing seasons without irrigation in Colorado. Reseed portions of the site that fail to germinate or remain bare after the first growing season.

Seeded areas may require irrigation, particularly during extended dry periods. Targeted weed control may also be necessary.

Protect seeded areas from construction equipment and vehicle access.

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn
© 2020 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
EROSION CONTROL DETAILS

COLORADO REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
JOHN ROBERT HEIBERGER
50096
6/22/2021

PROJECT NO. 096956009

SHEET C2.4

K:\COS_Civil\096956009_Meadowbrook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\096956009CD_EC_DT.dwg Kofford, Kevin 8/12/2021 4:00 PM

MEADOWBROOK PARK

PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS

TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1

EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO



CD-1. CHECK DAM

CHECK DAM INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
   - –LOCATION OF CHECK DAMS.
   - –CHECK DAM TYPE (CHECK DAM OR REINFORCED CHECK DAM).
   - –LENGTH (L), CREST LENGTH (CL), AND DEPTH (D).
2. CHECK DAMS INDICATED ON INITIAL SWMP SHALL BE INSTALLED AFTER CONSTRUCTION FENCE, BUT PRIOR TO ANY UPSTREAM LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.
3. RIPRAP UTILIZED FOR CHECK DAMS SHOULD BE OF APPROPRIATE SIZE FOR THE APPLICATION. TYPICAL TYPES OF RIPRAP USED FOR CHECK DAMS ARE TYPE M (D50 12") OR TYPE L (D50 9").
4. RIPRAP PAD SHALL BE TRENCHED INTO THE GROUND A MINIMUM OF 1'.
5. THE ENDS OF THE CHECK DAM SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 1' 6" HIGHER THAN THE CENTER OF THE CHECK DAM.

CHECK DAM MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
4. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF THE CHECK DAMS SHALL BE REMOVED WHEN THE SEDIMENT DEPTH IS WITHIN ½ OF THE HEIGHT OF THE CREST.
5. CHECK DAMS ARE TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.
6. WHEN CHECK DAMS ARE REMOVED, EXCAVATIONS SHALL BE FILLED WITH SUITABLE COMPACTED BACKFILL. DISTURBED AREA SHALL BE SEEDED AND MULCHED AND COVERED WITH GEOTEXTILE OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.



REINFORCED CHECK DAM INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
   - –LOCATIONS OF CHECK DAMS.
   - –CHECK DAM TYPE (CHECK DAM OR REINFORCED CHECK DAM).
   - –LENGTH (L), CREST LENGTH (CL), AND DEPTH (D).
2. CHECK DAMS INDICATED ON THE SWMP SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO AN UPSTREAM LAND–DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.
3. REINFORCED CHECK DAMS, GABIONS SHALL HAVE GALVANIZED TWISTED WIRE NETTING WITH A MAXIMUM OPENING DIMENSION OF 4½" AND A MINIMUM WIRE THICKNESS OF 0.10". WIRE "HOG RINGS" AT 4" SPACING OR OTHER APPROVED MEANS SHALL BE USED AT ALL GABION SEAMS AND TO SECURE THE GABION TO THE ADJACENT SECTION.
4. THE CHECK DAM SHALL BE TRENCHED INTO THE GROUND A MINIMUM OF 1' 6".
5. GEOTEXTILE BLANKET SHALL BE PLACED IN THE REINFORCED CHECK DAM TRENCH EXTENDING A MINIMUM OF 1' 6" ON BOTH THE UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM SIDES OF THE REINFORCED CHECK DAM.

CD–2. REINFORCED CHECK DAM

REINFORCED CHECK DAM MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
4. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF REINFORCED CHECK DAMS SHALL BE REMOVED AS NEEDED TO MAINTAIN THE EFFECTIVENESS OF BMP, TYPICALLY WHEN THE UPSTREAM SEDIMENT DEPTH IS WITHIN ½ THE HEIGHT OF THE CREST.
5. REPAIR OR REPLACE REINFORCED CHECK DAMS WHEN THERE ARE SIGNS OF DAMAGE SUCH AS HOLES IN THE GABION OR UNDERCUTTING.
6. REINFORCED CHECK DAMS ARE TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.
7. WHEN REINFORCED CHECK DAMS ARE REMOVED, ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOPSOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED, AND COVERED WITH A GEOTEXTILE BLANKET, OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED AS APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAIL ADAPTED FROM DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO AND CITY OF AURORA, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn
2020 KIMLEY–HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453–0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
EROSION CONTROL DETAILS

COLORADO REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
JOHN ROBERT HEIBERGER
50096
6/22/2021

PROJECT NO. 096956009

SHEET C2.5

K:\COS_Civil\096956009_Meadowbrook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\096956009CD_EC_DT.dwg Kofford, Kevin 8/12/2021 4:00 PM

MEADOWBROOK PARK

PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS

TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1

EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO



CF–1. PLASTIC MESH CONSTRUCTION FENCE

CONSTRUCTION FENCE INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
   –LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION FENCE.

2. CONSTRUCTION FENCE SHOWN SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.

3. CONSTRUCTION FENCE SHALL BE COMPOSED OF ORANGE, CONTRACTOR–GRADE MATERIAL THAT IS AT LEAST 4' HIGH. METAL POSTS SHOULD HAVE A PLASTIC CAP FOR SAFETY.

4. STUDDED STEEL TEE POSTS SHALL BE UTILIZED TO SUPPORT THE CONSTRUCTION FENCE. MAXIMUM SPACING FOR STEEL TEE POSTS SHALL BE 10'.

5. CONSTRUCTION FENCE SHALL BE SECURELY FASTENED TO THE TOP, MIDDLE, AND BOTTOM OF EACH POST.

CONSTRUCTION FENCE MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

4. CONSTRUCTION FENCE SHALL BE REPAIRED OR REPLACED WHEN THERE ARE SIGNS OF DAMAGE SUCH AS RIPS OR SAGS. CONSTRUCTION FENCE IS TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

5. WHEN CONSTRUCTION FENCES ARE REMOVED, ALL DISTURBED AREAS ASSOCIATED WITH THE INSTALLATION, MAINTENANCE, AND/OR REMOVAL OF THE FENCE SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOPSOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED, OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED AS APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION.

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

(DETAIL ADAPTED FROM TOWN OF PARKER, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)



TABLE CB–1. CLASS 1 COMPOST

PARAMETERS	CHARACTERISTIC
MINIMUM STABILITY INDICATOR	STABLE TO VERY STABLE
SOLUBLE SALTS	MAXIMUM 5 mmhos/cm
PH	6.0 – 8.0
AG INDEX	> 10
MATURITY INDICATOR EXPRESSED AS PERCENTAGE OF GERMINATION/VIGOR	80+/80+
MATURITY INDICATOR EXPRESSED AS AMMONIA N/ NITRATE N RATIO	< 4
MATURITY INDEX AS CARBON TO NITROGEN RATIO	20:1
TESTED FOR CLOPYRALID	YES/NEGATIVE RESULT
MOISTURE CONTENT	30–60%
ORGANIC MATTER CONTENT	25–45% OF DRY WEIGHT
PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION	3" (75mm) 100% PASSING
PRIMARY, SECONDARY NUTRIENTS; TRACE ELEMENTS	MUST BE REPORTED
TESTING AND TEST REPORT SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS	STA + CLOPYRALID
ORGANIC MATTER PER CUBIC YARD	MUST REPORT
CHEMICAL CONTAMINANTS	COMPLY WITH US EPA CLASS A STANDARD, 40 CFR 503.1 TABLES 1 & 3 LEVELS
MINIMUM MANUFACTURING/PRODUCTION REQUIREMENT	FULLY PERMITTED UNDER COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT, HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AND WASTE MANAGEMENT DIVISION
RISK FACTOR RELATING TO PLANT GERMINATION AND HEALTH	LOW

CB–1. COMPOST BLANKET AND COMPOST FILTER BERM

COMPOST FILTER BERM AND COMPOST BLANKET INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR
   –LOCATION OF COMPOST FILTER BERM(S).
   –LENGTH OF COMPOST FILTER BERM(S).

2. COMPOST BERMS AND BLANKETS MAY BE USED IN PLACE OF STRAW MULCH OR GEOTEXTILE FABRIC IN AREAS WHERE ACCESS TO LANDSCAPING IS DIFFICULT DUE TO LANDSCAPING OR OTHER OBJECTS OR IN AREAS WHERE A SMOOTH TURF GRASS FINISH IS DESIRED.

3. FILTER BERMS SHALL RUN PARALLEL TO THE CONTOUR.

4. FILTER BERMS SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 1 FEET HIGH AND 2 FEET WIDE.

5. FILTER BERMS SHALL BE APPLIED BY PNEUMATIC BLOWER OR BY HAND.

6. FILTER BERMS SHALL ONLY BE UTILIZED IN AREAS WHERE SHEET FLOW CONDITIONS PREVAIL AND NOT IN AREAS OF CONCENTRATED FLOW.

7. COMPOST BLANKETS SHALL BE APPLIED AT A DEPTH OF 1 –3 INCHES (TYPICALLY 2 INCHES). FOR AREAS WITH EXISTING VEGETATION THAT ARE TO BE SUPPLEMENTED BY COMPOST, A THIN 0.5–INCH LAYER MAY BE USED.

8. SEEDING SHALL BE PERFORMED PRIOR TO THE APPLICATION OF COMPOST. ALTERNATIVELY, SEED MAY BE COMBINED WITH COMPOST AND BLOWN WITH THE PNEUMATIC BLOWER.

9. WHEN TURF GRASS FINISH IS NOT DESIRED, SURFACE ROUGHENING ON SLOPES SHALL TAKE PLACE PRIOR TO COMPOST APPLICATION.

10. COMPOST SHALL BE A CLASS 1 COMPOST AS DEFINED BY TABLE CB–1.

COMPOST FILTER BERM MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

4. COMPOST BERMS AND BLANKETS SHALL BE REAPPLIED OR REGRADED AS NECESSARY IF RILLING IN THE COMPOST SURFACE OCCURS.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM ARAPAHOE COUNTY, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn
2020 KIMLEY–HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453–0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
EROSION CONTROL DETAILS

COLORADO REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
[illegible]
50096
6/22/2021

PROJECT NO.
096956009

SHEET
C2.6

K:\COS_Civil\096956009_Meadowbrook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\096956009CD_EC_DT.dwg Kofford, Kevin 8/12/2021 4:00 PM

MEADOWBROOK PARK
PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS
TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO



IP-1. BLOCK AND ROCK SOCK SUMP OR ON GRADE INLET PROTECTION

BLOCK AND CURB SOCK INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL FOR INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.

2. CONCRETE "CINDER" BLOCKS SHALL BE LAID ON THEIR SIDES AROUND THE INLET IN A SINGLE ROW, ABUTTING ONE ANOTHER WITH THE OPEN END FACING AWAY FROM THE CURB.

3. GRAVEL BAGS SHALL BE PLACED AROUND CONCRETE BLOCKS, CLOSELY ABUTTING ONE ANOTHER AND JOINTED TOGETHER IN ACCORDANCE WITH ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL.



IP-2. CURB ROCK SOCKS UPSTREAM OF INLET PROTECTION

CURB ROCK SOCK INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.

2. PLACEMENT OF THE SOCK SHALL BE APPROXIMATELY 30 DEGREES FROM PERPENDICULAR IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION OF FLOW.

3. SOCKS ARE TO BE FLUSH WITH THE CURB AND SPACED A MINIMUM OF 5 FEET APART.

4. AT LEAST TWO CURB SOCKS IN SERIES ARE REQUIRED UPSTREAM OF ON-GRADE INLETS.



IP-3. ROCK SOCK SUMP/AREA INLET PROTECTION

ROCK SOCK SUMP/AREA INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES
1. SEE ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL FOR INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.

2. STRAW WATTLES/SEDIMENT CONTROL LOGS MAY BE USED IN PLACE OF ROCK SOCKS FOR INLETS IN PERVIOUS AREAS. INSTALL PER SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG DETAIL.



IP-4. SILT FENCE FOR SUMP INLET PROTECTION

SILT FENCE INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE SILT FENCE DESIGN DETAIL FOR INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS.

2. POSTS SHALL BE PLACED AT EACH CORNER OF THE INLET AND AROUND THE EDGES AT A MAXIMUM SPACING OF 3 FEET.

3. STRAW WATTLES/SEDIMENT CONTROL LOGS MAY BE USED IN PLACE OF SILT FENCE FOR INLETS IN PERVIOUS AREAS. INSTALL PER SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG DETAIL.



CULVERT INLET PROTECTION PLAN

SECTION A

SECTION B

CIP-1. CULVERT INLET PROTECTION

CULVERT INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR
-LOCATION OF CULVERT INLET PROTECTION.

2. SEE ROCK SOCK DESIGN DETAIL FOR ROCK GRADATION REQUIREMENTS AND JOINTING DETAIL.

CULVERT INLET PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

4. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF THE CULVERT SHALL BE REMOVED WHEN THE SEDIMENT DEPTH IS ½ THE HEIGHT OF THE ROCK SOCK.

5. CULVERT INLET PROTECTION SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS PERMANENTLY STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM AURORA, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

GENERAL INLET PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
-LOCATION OF INLET PROTECTION.
-TYPE OF INLET PROTECTION (IP.1, IP.2, IP.3, IP.4, IP.5, IP.6)

2. INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE INSTALLED PROMPTLY AFTER INLET CONSTRUCTION OR PAVING IS COMPLETE (TYPICALLY WITHIN 48 HOURS). IF A RAINFALL/RUNOFF EVENT IS FORECAST, INSTALL INLET PROTECTION PRIOR TO ONSET OF EVENT.

3. MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

INLET PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

4. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF INLET PROTECTION SHALL BE REMOVED AS NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMP EFFECTIVENESS, TYPICALLY WHEN STORAGE VOLUME REACHES 50% OF CAPACITY, A DEPTH OF 6" WHEN SILT FENCE IS USED, OR ¼ OF THE HEIGHT FOR STRAW BALES.

5. INLET PROTECTION IS TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS PERMANENTLY STABILIZED, UNLESS THE LOCAL JURISDICTION APPROVES EARLIER REMOVAL OF INLET PROTECTION IN STREETS.

6. WHEN INLET PROTECTION AT AREA INLETS IS REMOVED, THE DISTURBED AREA SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOP SOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED, OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED IN A MANNER APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAIL ADAPTED FROM TOWN OF PARKER, COLORADO AND CITY OF AURORA, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETALS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

NOTE: THE DETAILS INCLUDED WITH THIS FACT SHEET SHOW COMMONLY USED, CONVENTIONAL METHODS OF INLET PROTECTION IN THE DENVER METROPOLITAN AREA. THERE ARE MANY PROPRIETARY INLET PROTECTION METHODS ON THE MARKET. UDFCD NEITHER ENDORSES NOR DISCOURAGES USE OF PROPRIETARY INLET PROTECTION; HOWEVER, IN THE EVENT PROPRIETARY METHODS ARE USED, THE APPROPRIATE DETAIL FROM THE MANUFACTURER MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE SWMP AND THE BMP MUST BE INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED AS SHOWN IN THE MANUFACTURER'S DETAILS.

NOTE: SOME MUNICIPALITIES DISCOURAGE OR PROHIBIT THE USE OF STRAW BALES FOR INLET PROTECTION. CHECK WITH LOCAL JURISDICTION TO DETERMINE IF STRAW BALE INLET PROTECTION IS ACCEPTABLE.

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn
2020 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
EROSION CONTOL DETAILS

COLORADO REGISTERED
ROBERT W. KILGORE
50096
6/22/2021
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

PROJECT NO.
096956009

SHEET
C2.7

K:\COS_Civil\096956009_Meadowbrook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\096956009CD_EC_DT.dwg Kofford, Kevin 8/12/2021 4:00 PM

MEADOWBROOK PARK

PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS

TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1

EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO



SP–1. STOCKPILE PROTECTION

STOCKPILE PROTECTION INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
   –LOCATION OF STOCKPILES.
   –TYPE OF STOCKPILE PROTECTION.

2. INSTALL PERIMETER CONTROLS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THEIR RESPECTIVE DESIGN DETAILS. SILT FENCE IS SHOWN IN THE STOCKPILE PROTECTION DETAILS; HOWEVER, OTHER TYPES OF PERIMETER CONTROLS INCLUDING SEDIMENT CONTROL LOGS OR ROCK SOCKS MAY BE SUITABLE IN SOME CIRCUMSTANCES. CONSIDERATIONS FOR DETERMINING THE APPROPRIATE TYPE OF PERIMETER CONTROL FOR A STOCKPILE INCLUDE WHETHER THE STOCKPILE IS LOCATED ON A PERVIOUS OR IMPERVIOUS SURFACE, THE RELATIVE HEIGHTS OF THE PERIMETER CONTROL AND STOCKPILE, THE ABILITY OF THE PERIMETER CONTROL TO CONTAIN THE STOCKPILE WITHOUT FAILING IN THE EVENT THAT MATERIAL FROM THE STOCKPILE SHIFTS OR SLUMPS AGAINST THE PERIMETER, AND OTHER FACTORS.

3. STABILIZE THE STOCKPILE SURFACE WITH SURFACE ROUGHENING, TEMPORARY SEEDING AND MULCHING, EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS, OR SOIL BINDERS. SOILS STOCKPILED FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD (TYPICALLY FOR MORE THAN 60 DAYS) SHOULD BE SEEDED AND MULCHED WITH A TEMPORARY GRASS COVER ONCE THE STOCKPILE IS PLACED (TYPICALLY WITHIN 14 DAYS). USE OF MULCH ONLY OR A SOIL BINDER IS ACCEPTABLE IF THE STOCKPILE WILL BE IN PLACE FOR A MORE LIMITED TIME PERIOD (TYPICALLY 30–60 DAYS).

4. FOR TEMPORARY STOCKPILES ON THE INTERIOR PORTION OF A CONSTRUCTION SITE, WHERE OTHER DOWNGRADIENT CONTROLS, INCLUDING PERIMETER CONTROL, ARE IN PLACE, STOCKPILE PERIMETER CONTROLS MAY NOT BE REQUIRED.

STOCKPILE PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

STOCKPILE PROTECTION MAINTENANCE NOTES

4. IF PERIMETER PROTECTION MUST BE MOVED TO ACCESS SOIL STOCKPILE, REPLACE PERIMETER CONTROLS BY THE END OF THE WORKDAY.

5. STOCKPILE PERIMETER CONTROLS CAN BE REMOVED ONCE ALL THE MATERIAL FROM THE STOCKPILE HAS BEEN USED.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM PARKER, COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.



SP–2. MATERIALS STAGING IN ROADWAY

MATERIALS STAGING IN ROADWAYS INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR
   –LOCATION OF MATERIAL STAGING AREA(S).
   –CONTRACTOR MAY ADJUST LOCATION AND SIZE OF STAGING AREA WITH APPROVAL FROM THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.

2. FEATURE MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO EXCAVATION, EARTHWORK OR DELIVERY OF MATERIALS.

3. MATERIALS MUST BE STATIONED ON THE POLY LINER. ANY INCIDENTAL MATERIALS DEPOSITED ON PAVED SECTION OR ALONG CURB LINE MUST BE CLEANED UP PROMPTLY.

4. POLY LINER AND TARP COVER SHOULD BE OF SIGNIFICANT THICKNESS TO PREVENT DAMAGE OR LOSS OF INTEGRITY.

5. SAND BAGS MAY BE SUBSTITUTED TO ANCHOR THE COVER TARP OR PROVIDE BERMING UNDER THE BASE LINER.

6. FEATURE IS NOT INTENDED FOR USE WITH WET MATERIAL THAT WILL BE DRAINING AND/OR SPREADING OUT ON THE POLY LINER OR FOR DEMOLITION MATERIALS.

7. THIS FEATURE CAN BE USED FOR:
   –UTILITY REPAIRS.
   –WHEN OTHER STAGING LOCATIONS AND OPTIONS ARE LIMITED.
   –OTHER LIMITED APPLICATION AND SHORT DURATION STAGING.

MATERIALS STAGING IN ROADWAY MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

4. INSPECT PVC PIPE ALONG CURB LINE FOR CLOGGING AND DEBRIS. REMOVE OBSTRUCTIONS PROMPTLY.

5. CLEAN MATERIAL FROM PAVED SURFACES BY SWEEPING OR VACUUMING.

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM AURORA, COLORADO)

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn
© 2020 KIMLEY–HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453–0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
EROSION CONTROL DETAILS

COLORADO REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
JOHN ROBERT HEIBERGER
50096
6/22/2021

PROJECT NO. 096956009

SHEET C2.8

MEADOWBROOK PARK
PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS
TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO

Sediment Basin (SB) SC-7



SC-7 Sediment Basin (SB)

TABLE SB-1. SIZING INFORMATION FOR STANDARD SEDIMENT BASIN

Upstream Drainage Area (rounded to nearest acre), (ac)	Basin Bottom Width (W), (ft)	Spillway Crest Length (CL), (ft)	Hole Diameter (HD), (in)
1	12 ½	2	9/32
2	21	3	13/16
3	28	5	½
4	33 ½	6	9/16
5	38 ½	8	5/32
6	43	9	7/32
7	47 ¼	11	29/32
8	51	12	23/32
9	55	13	¾
10	58 ¼	15	15/16
11	61	16	31/32
12	64	18	1"
13	67 ½	19	1 1/16
14	70 ½	21	1 1/8
15	73 ¾	22	1 3/16

SEDIMENT BASIN B

SEDIMENT BASIN A

SEDIMENT BASIN INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
   -LOCATION OF SEDIMENT BASIN.
   -TYPE OF BASIN (STANDARD BASIN OR NONSTANDARD BASIN).
   -FOR STANDARD BASIN, BOTTOM WIDTH W, CREST LENGTH CL, AND HOLE DIAMETER, HD.
   -FOR NONSTANDARD BASIN, SEE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS FOR DESIGN OF BASIN INCLUDING RISER HEIGHT H, NUMBER OF COLUMNS N, HOLE DIAMETER HD AND PIPE DIAMETER D.
2. FOR STANDARD BASIN, BOTTOM DIMENSION MAY BE MODIFIED AS LONG AS BOTTOM AREA IS NOT REDUCED.
3. SEDIMENT BASINS SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY OTHER LAND-DISTURBING ACTIVITY THAT RELIES ON ON BASINS AS AS A STORMWATER CONTROL.
4. EMBANKMENT MATERIAL SHALL CONSIST OF SOIL FREE OF DEBRIS, ORGANIC MATERIAL, AND ROCKS OR CONCRETE GREATER THAN 3 INCHES AND SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM OF 15 PERCENT BY WEIGHT PASSING THE NO. 200 SIEVE.
5. EMBANKMENT MATERIAL SHALL BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 95 PERCENT OF MAXIMUM DENSITY IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM D698.
6. PIPE SCH 40 OR GREATER SHALL BE USED.
7. THE DETAILS SHOWN ON THESE SHEETS PERTAIN TO STANDARD SEDIMENT BASIN(S) FOR DRAINAGE AREAS LESS THAN 15 ACRES. SEE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS FOR EMBANKMENT, STORAGE VOLUME, SPILLWAY, OUTLET, AND OUTLET PROTECTION DETAILS FOR ANY SEDIMENT BASIN(S) THAT HAVE BEEN INDIVIDUALLY DESIGNED FOR DRAINAGE AREAS LARGER THAN 15 ACRES.

Sediment Basin (SB) SC-7

SEDIMENT BASIN MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
4. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED IN BASIN SHALL BE REMOVED AS NEEDED TO MAINTAIN BMP EFFECTIVENESS, TYPICALLY WHEN SEDIMENT DEPTH REACHES ONE FOOT (I.E., TWO FEET BELOW THE SPILLWAY CREST).
5. SEDIMENT BASINS ARE TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS STABILIZED AND GRASS COVER IS ACCEPTED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.
6. WHEN SEDIMENT BASINS ARE REMOVED, ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOPSOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED AS APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

Stabilized Staging Area (SSA) SM-6



SSA-1. STABILIZED STAGING AREA

STABILIZED STAGING AREA INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR
   -LOCATION OF STAGING AREA(S).
   -CONTRACTOR MAY ADJUST LOCATION AND SIZE OF STAGING AREA WITH APPROVAL FROM THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.
2. STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE NEEDS OF THE SITE. OVERSIZING RESULTS IN A LARGER AREA TO STABILIZE FOLLOWING CONSTRUCTION.
3. STAGING AREA SHALL BE STABILIZED PRIOR TO OTHER OPERATIONS ON THE SITE.
4. THE STABILIZED STAGING AREA SHALL CONSIST OF A MINIMUM 3" THICK GRANULAR MATERIAL.
5. UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION, ROCK SHALL CONSIST OF DOT SECT. #703, AASHTO #3 COARSE AGGREGATE OR 6" (MINUS) ROCK.
6. ADDITIONAL PERIMETER BMPs MAY BE REQUIRED INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO SILT FENCE AND CONSTRUCTION FENCING.

STABILIZED STAGING AREA MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
4. ROCK SHALL BE REAPPLIED OR REGRADED AS NECESSARY IF RUTTING OCCURS OR UNDERLYING SUBGRADE BECOMES EXPOSED.

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn
2020 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
EROSION CONTROL DETAILS

COLORADO REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
50096
6/22/2021

PROJECT NO.
096956009

SHEET
C2.9

K:\COS_Civil\096956009_Meadowbrook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\096956009CD_EC_DT.dwg Kofford, Kevin 8/12/2021 4:00 PM

MEADOWBROOK PARK

PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS

TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO



SILT FENCE



SECTION A

SF-1. SILT FENCE

SILT FENCE INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SILT FENCE MUST BE PLACED AWAY FROM THE TOE OF THE SLOPE TO ALLOW FOR WATER PONDING. SILT FENCE AT THE TOE OF A SLOPE SHOULD BE INSTALLED IN A FLAT LOCATION AT LEAST SEVERAL FEET (2–5 FT) FROM THE TOE OF THE SLOPE TO ALLOW ROOM FOR PONDING AND DEPOSITION.

2. A UNIFORM 6" X 4" ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE EXCAVATED USING TRENCHER OR SILT FENCE INSTALLATION DEVICE. NO ROAD GRADERS, BACKHOES, OR SIMILAR EQUIPMENT SHALL BE USED.

3. COMPACT ANCHOR TRENCH BY HAND WITH A "JUMPING JACK" OR BY WHEEL ROLLING. COMPACTION SHALL BE SUCH THAT SILT FENCE RESISTS BEING PULLED OUT OF ANCHOR TRENCH BY HAND.

4. SILT FENCE SHALL BE PULLED TIGHT AS IT IS ANCHORED TO THE STAKES. THERE SHOULD BE NO NOTICEABLE SAG BETWEEN STAKES AFTER IT HAS BEEN ANCHORED TO THE STAKES.

5. SILT FENCE FABRIC SHALL BE ANCHORED TO THE STAKES USING 1" HEAVY DUTY STAPLES OR NAILS WITH 1" HEADS. STAPLES AND NAILS SHOULD BE PLACED 3" ALONG THE FABRIC DOWN THE STAKE.

6. AT THE END OF A RUN OF SILT FENCE ALONG A CONTOUR, THE SILT FENCE SHOULD BE TURNED PERPENDICULAR TO THE CONTOUR TO CREATE A "J-HOOK." THE "J-HOOK" EXTENDING PERPENDICULAR TO THE CONTOUR SHOULD BE OF SUFFICIENT LENGTH TO KEEP RUNOFF FROM FLOWING AROUND THE END OF THE SILT FENCE (TYPICALLY 10' – 20').

7. SILT FENCE SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.

SILT FENCE MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.

2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.

3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.

4. SEDIMENT ACCUMULATED UPSTREAM OF THE SILT FENCE SHALL BE REMOVED AS NEEDED TO MAINTAIN THE FUNCTIONALITY OF THE BMP, TYPICALLY WHEN DEPTH OF ACCUMULATED SEDIMENTS IS APPROXIMATELY 6".

5. REPAIR OR REPLACE SILT FENCE WHEN THERE ARE SIGNS OF WEAR, SUCH AS SAGGING, TEARING, OR COLLAPSE.

6. SILT FENCE IS TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE UPSTREAM DISTURBED AREA IS STABILIZED AND APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION, OR IS REPLACED BY AN EQUIVALENT PERIMETER SEDIMENT CONTROL BMP.

7. WHEN SILT FENCE IS REMOVED, ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE COVERED WITH TOPSOIL, SEEDED AND MULCHED OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED AS APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION.

(DETAIL ADAPTED FROM TOWN OF PARKER, COLORADO AND CITY OF AURORA, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn
2020 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
EROSION CONTROL DETAILS

COLORADO REGISTERED ROBERT F. KOFFORD 50096 6/22/2021 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

PROJECT NO.
096956009

SHEET
C2.10

K:\COS_Civil\096956009_Meadowbrook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\096956009CD_EC_DT.dwg Kofford, Kevin 8/12/2021 4:00 PM

MEADOWBROOK PARK

PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS

TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO



Table TSW-1

Temporary Swale Used as Perimeter Control	Case 1 DA < 1.0 AC	Case 2 DA > 1.0 AC
Continuous Grade	OK (1)	OK (1)
Area of Concentrated Flow	NO (3)	NO (2)

(1) Silt Fence or Straw Bale Barrier may be used as alternative to a Temporary Swale.
(2) With Temporary Swales Sediment Basin is required for concentrated flow from drainage areas > 1.0 AC.
(3) Check Dam is required at concentrated flow for drainage areas >1.0 acres.

City of Colorado Springs Storm Water Quality	Figure TSW-1 Temporary Swale Application Examples

DEN/M/153722.CS.CB/FigTSW-1/9-99



A. EROSION CONTROL FABRIC
2%≤SLOPE≤5% AND VELOCITY ≤ 8 FPS



B. RIPRAP
SLOPE>5% OR VELOCITY >8 FPS

SWALE LINING
NTS

SWALE LINING NOTES

INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS

1. REFER TO THE EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS FACTSHEET FOR PROPER INSTALLATION OF EROSION CONTROL FABRIC LINING.
2. SWALES WITH EASILY EROSIVE SOILS AND SLOPES LESS THAN 2%, SHALL BE LINED WITH EROSION CONTROL FABRIC.
3. VELOCITIES FOR EROSION CONTROL FABRICS SHALL NOT EXCEED 8 FPS. SWALES WITH VELOCITIES GREATER THAN 8 FPS SHALL BE LINED WITH RIP RAP.

MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS

1. CONTRACTOR SHALL INSPECT SWALE LININGS AFTER EACH RAINFALL, AT LEAST DAILY DURING PROLONGED RAINFALL AND WEEKLY DURING PERIODS OF NO RAINFALL.
2. DAMAGED LININGS SHALL IMMEDIATELY BE REPAIRED.
3. REFER TO THE EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS FACTSHEET FOR PROPER MAINTENANCE.
4. DISPLACED RIPRAP OR COARSE AGGREGATE IS TO BE REPLACED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.
5. SWALE LININGS ARE TO REMAIN IN PLACE AND BE PROPERLY MAINTAINED UNTIL THE TEMPORARY SWALE IS REMOVED.

City of Colorado Springs Stormwater Quality	Figure TSW-3 Swale Linings Construction Detail and Maintenance



A. EXCAVATED SWALE



B. SWALE FORMED BY BERM



C. SWALE FORMED BY CUT AND FILL

TEMPORARY SWALE
NTS

TEMPORARY SWALE NOTES

INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS

1. TEMPORARY SWALES SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES.
2. THE AREA UNDER WHICH THE EMBANKMENT IS TO BE INSTALLED SHALL BE CLEARED, GRUBBED, AND STRIPPED OF ALL VEGETATION AND ROOT MAT.
3. EMBANKMENT MATERIAL SHALL CONSIST OF SOIL WITH A MINIMUM OF 15% PASSING A #200 SIEVE. EXCAVATED SOIL CAN BE USED IF IT MEETS THIS REQUIREMENT.
4. EMBANKMENT IS TO BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 90% OF MAXIMUM DENSITY AND WITHIN 2% OF OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT ACCORDING TO ASTM D 698.
5. SWALES WITH SLOPE > 2% SHALL BE LINED, SEE FIGURE TSW-3.
6. SWALES ARE TO DRAIN INTO A SEDIMENT BASIN OR OTHER STABILIZED OUTLET.
7. Z SHALL BE 3 OR GREATER.

MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS

1. CONTRACTOR SHALL INSPECT SWALES AFTER EACH RAINFALL, AT LEAST DAILY DURING PROLONGED RAINFALL, AND WEEKLY DURING PERIODS OF NO RAINFALL.
2. SWALES SHALL BE ROUTINELY CLEARED OF ANY DEBRIS OR ACCUMULATION OF SEDIMENT.
3. ERODED SLOPES OR DAMAGED LININGS SHALL IMMEDIATELY BE REPAIRED.
4. TEMPORARY SWALES SHALL REMAIN OPERATIONAL AND PROPERLY MAINTAINED UNTIL THE SITE AREA IS PERMANENTLY STABILIZED WITH ADEQUATE VEGETATIVE COVER AND/OR OTHER PERMANENT STRUCTURE AS APPROVED BY THE CITY.

City of Colorado Springs Stormwater Quality	Figure TSW-2 Temporary Swale Construction Detail and Maintenance Requirements

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley-Horn
2020 KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453-0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
EROSION CONTROL DETAILS

COLORADO REGISTERED
JOHN ROBERT HEIBERGER
50096
6/22/2021
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

PROJECT NO.
096956009

SHEET
C2.11

K:\COS_Civil\096956009_Meadowbrook\CADD\PlanSheets\CDs\096956009CD_EC_DT.dwg Kofford, Kevin 8/12/2021 4:00 PM

MEADOWBROOK PARK

PRE-DEVELOPMENT GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLANS

TRACT A, 94/24 BUSINESS PARK FILING NO. 1
EL PASO COUNTY, STATE OF COLORADO





EROSION CONTROL BLANKET INSTALLATION NOTES

1. SEE PLAN VIEW FOR:
   –LOCATION OF ECB.
   –TYPE OF ECB (STRAW, STRAW–COCONUT, COCONUT, OR EXCELSIOR).
   –AREA, A, IN SQUARE YARDS OF EACH TYPE OF ECB.
2. 100% NATURAL AND BIODEGRADABLE MATERIALS ARE PREFERRED FOR RECPs, ALTHOUGH SOME JURISDICTIONS MAY ALLOW OTHER MATERIALS IN SOME APPLICATIONS.
3. IN AREAS WHERE ECBs ARE SHOWN ON THE PLANS, THE PERMITTEE SHALL PLACE TOPSOIL AND PERFORM FINAL GRADING, SURFACE PREPARATION, AND SEEDING AND MULCHING. SUBGRADE SHALL BE SMOOTH AND MOIST PRIOR TO ECB INSTALLATION AND THE ECB SHALL BE IN FULL CONTACT WITH SUBGRADE. NO GAPS OR VOIDS SHALL EXIST UNDER THE BLANKET.
4. PERIMETER ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE USED ALONG THE OUTSIDE PERIMETER OF ALL BLANKET AREAS.
5. JOINT ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE USED TO JOIN ROLLS OF ECBs TOGETHER (LONGITUDINALLY AND TRANSVERSELY) FOR ALL ECBs EXCEPT STRAW WHICH MAY USE AN OVERLAPPING JOINT.
6. INTERMEDIATE ANCHOR TRENCH SHALL BE USED AT SPACING OF ONE–HALF ROLL LENGTH FOR COCONUT AND EXCELSIOR ECBs.
7. OVERLAPPING JOINT DETAIL SHALL BE USED TO JOIN ROLLS OF ECBs TOGETHER FOR ECBs ON SLOPES.
8. MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS OF ECBs SHALL CONFORM TO TABLE ECB–1.
9. ANY AREAS OF SEEDING AND MULCHING DISTURBED IN THE PROCESS OF INSTALLING ECBS SHALL BE RESEEDED AND MULCHED.
10. DETAILS ON DESIGN PLANS FOR MAJOR DRAINAGEWAY STABILIZATION WILL GOVERN IF DIFFERENT FROM THOSE SHOWN HERE.

TABLE ECB–1. ECB MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS

TYPE	COCONUT CONTENT	STRAW CONTENT	EXCELSIOR CONTENT	RECOMMENDED NETTING**
STRAW*	–	100%	–	DOUBLE/ NATURAL
STRAW–COCONUT	30% MIN	70% MAX	–	DOUBLE/ NATURAL
COCONUT	100%	–	–	DOUBLE/ NATURAL
EXCELSIOR	–	–	100%	DOUBLE/ NATURAL

*STRAW ECBs MAY ONLY BE USED OUTSIDE OF STREAMS AND DRAINAGE CHANNELS.
**ALTERNATE NETTING MAY BE ACCEPTABLE IN SOME JURISDICTIONS

EROSION CONTROL BLANKET MAINTENANCE NOTES

1. INSPECT BMPs EACH WORKDAY, AND MAINTAIN THEM IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. MAINTENANCE OF BMPs SHOULD BE PROACTIVE, NOT REACTIVE. INSPECT BMPs AS SOON AS POSSIBLE (AND ALWAYS WITHIN 24 HOURS) FOLLOWING A STORM THAT CAUSES SURFACE EROSION, AND PERFORM NECESSARY MAINTENANCE.
2. FREQUENT OBSERVATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ARE NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN BMPs IN EFFECTIVE OPERATING CONDITION. INSPECTIONS AND CORRECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THOROUGHLY.
3. WHERE BMPs HAVE FAILED, REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHOULD BE INITIATED UPON DISCOVERY OF THE FAILURE.
4. ECBs SHALL BE LEFT IN PLACE TO EVENTUALLY BIODEGRADE, UNLESS REQUESTED TO BE REMOVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.
5. ANY ECB PULLED OUT, TORN, OR OTHERWISE DAMAGED SHALL BE REPAIRED OR REINSTALLED. ANY SUBGRADE AREAS BELOW THE GEOTEXTILE THAT HAVE ERODED TO CREATED A VOID UNDER THE BLANKET, OR THAT REMAIN DEVOID OF GRASS SHALL BE REPAIRED, RESEEDED AND MULCHED AND THE ECB REINSTALLED.

NOTE: MANY JURISDICTIONS HAVE BMP DETAILS THAT VARY FROM UDFCD STANDARD DETAILS. CONSULT WITH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AS TO WHICH DETAIL SHOULD BE USED WHEN DIFFERENCES ARE NOTED.

(DETAILS ADAPTED FROM DOUGLAS COUNTY, COLORADO AND TOWN OF PARKER COLORADO, NOT AVAILABLE IN AUTOCAD)

NO.	REVISION	BY	DATE	APPR.

Kimley»Horn
2020 KIMLEY–HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
2 North Nevada Avenue Suite 300
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 (719) 453–0180

DESIGNED BY: FSA
DRAWN BY: FSA
CHECKED BY: JH
DATE: 10/09/2020

MEADOWBROOK PARK
EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO
GRADING AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN
EROSION CONTROL DETAILS

COLORADO REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
50096
6/22/2021

PROJECT NO. 096956009

SHEET
C2.12

APPENDIX B
CDPHE STOMWATER PERMIT

STATE OF COLORADO

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT
Water Quality Control Division

CDPS GENERAL PERMIT

STORMWATER DISCHARGES ASSOCIATED WITH

CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE

COLORADO DISCHARGE PERMIT SYSTEM (CDPS)

In compliance with the provisions of the Colorado Water Quality Control Act, (25-8-101 et seq., CRS, 1973 as amended) and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.; the "Act"), this permit authorizes the discharge of stormwater associated with construction activities (and specific allowable non-stormwater discharges in accordance with Part I.A.1. of the permit) certified under this permit, from those locations specified throughout the State of Colorado to specified waters of the State.

Such discharges shall be in accordance with the conditions of this permit. This permit specifically authorizes the facility listed on the certification to discharge in accordance with permit requirements and conditions set forth in Parts I and II hereof. All discharges authorized herein shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit.

This permit becomes effective on April 1, 2019, and shall expire at midnight March 31, 2024.

Issued and signed this 1st day of November 2018.

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT

Ellen Howard Kutzer, Permits Section Manager
Water Quality Control Division

Permit History
Originally signed and issued October 31, 2018; effective April 1, 2019.

Table of Contents

Part I
Note: At the first mention of terminology that has a specific connotation for the purposes of this permit, the terminology is electronically linked to the definitions section of the permit in Part I.E.

A. COVERAGE UNDER THIS PERMIT

1. Authorized Discharges

This general permit authorizes permittee(s) to discharge the following to state waters: stormwater associated with construction activity and specified non-stormwater associated with construction activity. The following types of stormwater and non-stormwater discharges are authorized under this permit:

a. Allowable Stormwater Discharges

i. Stormwater discharges associated with construction activity.

ii. Stormwater discharges associated with producing earthen materials, such as soils, sand, and gravel dedicated to providing material to a single contiguous site, or within ¼ mile of a construction site (i.e. borrow or fill areas)

iii. Stormwater discharges associated with dedicated asphalt, concrete batch plants and masonry mixing stations (Coverage under this permit is not required if alternative coverage has been obtained.)

b. Allowable Non-Stormwater Discharges

The following non-stormwater discharges are allowable under this permit if the discharges are identified in the stormwater management plan in accordance with Part I.C. and if they have appropriate control measures in accordance with Part I.B.1.

i. Discharges from uncontaminated springs that do not originate from an area of land disturbance.

ii. Discharges to the ground of concrete washout water associated with the washing of concrete tools and concrete mixer chutes. Discharges of concrete washout water must not leave the site as surface runoff or reach receiving waters as defined by this permit.

iii. Discharges of landscape irrigation return flow.

c. Emergency Fire Fighting

Discharges resulting from emergency firefighting activities are authorized by this permit.

2. Limitations on Coverage

Discharges not authorized by this permit include, but are not limited to, the discharges and activities listed below. Permittees may seek individual or alternate general permit coverage for the discharges, as appropriate and available.

a. Discharges of Non-Stormwater

Discharges of non-stormwater, except the authorized non-stormwater discharges listed in Part I.A.1.b., are not eligible for coverage under this permit.

b. Discharges Currently Covered by another Individual or General Permit

c. Discharges Currently Covered by a Water Quality Control Division (division) Low Risk Guidance Document

3. Permit Certification and Submittal Procedures

a. Duty to apply
The following activities shall apply for coverage under this permit:

i. Construction sites that will disturb one acre or more; or

ii. Construction sites that are part of a common plan of development or sale; or

iii. Stormwater discharges that are designated by the division as needing a stormwater permit because the discharge:

(a) Contributes to a violation of a water quality standard; or

(b) is a significant contributor of pollutants to state waters.

b. Application Requirements
To obtain authorization to discharge under this permit, applicants applying for coverage following the effective date of the renewal permit shall meet the following requirements:

i. Owners and operators submitting an application for permit coverage will be co-permittees subject to the same benefits, duties, and obligations under this permit.

ii. Signature requirements: Both the owner and operator (permittee) of the construction site, as defined in Part I.E., must agree to the terms and conditions of the permit and submit a completed application that includes the signature of both the owner and the operator. In cases where the duties of the owner and operator are managed by the owner, both application signatures may be completed by the owner. Both the owner and operator are responsible for ensuring compliance with all terms and conditions of the permit, including implementation of the stormwater management plan.

iii. Applicants must use the paper form provided by the division or the electronic form provided on the division's web-based application platform when applying for coverage under this permit.

iv. The applicant(s) must develop a stormwater management plan (SWMP) in accordance with the requirements of Part I.C. The applicant(s) must also certify that the SWMP is complete, or will be complete, prior to commencement of any construction activity.

v. The applicant(s) must submit a complete, accurate, and signed permit application electronically, by mail or hand delivery to the division at least 10 days prior to the commencement of construction activity except that construction activities that are in response to a public emergency related site shall apply for coverage no later than 14 days after the commencement of construction activities. The provisions of this part in no way remove a violation of the Colorado Water Quality Control Act if a point source discharge occurs prior to the issuance of a CDPS permit.

vi. The application must be signed in accordance with the requirements of Part IA. Applications submitted by mail or hand delivered should be directed to:

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
Water Quality Control Division
Permits Section, WQCD-PS-B2
4300 Cherry Creek Drive South
Denver, CO 80246

vii. The applicant(s) must receive written notification that the division granted permit coverage prior to conducting construction activities except for construction activities that are in response to a public emergency related site

c. Division Review of Permit Application

Within 10 days of receipt of the application, and following review of the application, the division may:

i. Issue a certification of coverage;

ii. request additional information necessary to evaluate the discharge;

iii. delay the authorization to discharge pending further review;

iv. notify the applicant that additional terms and conditions are necessary; or

v. deny the authorization to discharge under this general permit.

d. Alternative Permit Coverage

i. Division Required Alternate Permit Coverage:
The Division may require an applicant or permittee to apply for an individual permit or an alternative general permit if it determines the discharge does not fall under the scope of this general permit. In this case, the Division will notify the applicant or permittee that an individual permit application is required.

ii. Permittee Request for alternate permit coverage:
A permittee authorized to discharge stormwater under this permit may request to be excluded from coverage under this general permit by applying for an individual permit. In this case, the permittee must submit an individual application, with reasons supporting the request, to the Division at least 180 days prior to any discharge. When an individual permit is issued, the permittee's authorization to discharge under this permit is terminated on the effective date of the individual permit.

e. Submittal Signature Requirements

Documents required for submittal to the division in accordance with this permit, including applications for permit coverage and other documents as requested by the division, must include signatures by both the owner and the operator, except for instances where the duties of the owner and operator are managed by the owner.

Signatures on all documents submitted to the division as required by this permit must meet the Standard Signatory Requirements in Part II.K. of this permit in accordance with 40 C.F.R. 122.41(k).

i. Signature Certification
Any person(s) signing documents required for submittal to the Division must make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

f. Compliance Document Signature Requirements
Documents which are required for compliance with the permit, but for which submittal to the division is not required unless specifically requested by the division, must be signed by the individual(s) designated as the Qualified Stormwater Manager, as defined in Part I.E.

i. Any person(s) signing inspection documents required for compliance with the permit must make the following statement:

"I verify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, all corrective action and maintenance items identified during the inspection are complete, and the site is currently in compliance with the permit."

g. Field Wide Permit Coverage for Oil and Gas Construction
At the discretion of the division, a single permit certification may be issued to a single oil and gas permittee to cover construction activity related discharges from an oil and gas field at multiple locations that are not necessarily contiguous.

h. Permit Coverage without Application
Qualifying Local Program: When a small construction site is within the jurisdiction of a qualifying local program, the owner and operator of the construction activity are authorized to discharge stormwater associated with small construction activity under this general permit without the submittal of an application to the division. Sites covered by a qualifying local program are exempt from the following sections of this general permit:

Part I.A.3.a.; Part I.A.3.b.; Part I.A.3.c.; Part I.A.3.d.; Part I.A.3.g.; Part I.A.3.i.; Part I.A.3.j.; Part I.A.3.k.

Sites covered by a qualifying local program are subject to the following requirements:

i. Local Agency Authority: This permit does not pre-empt or supersede the authority of local agencies to prohibit, restrict, or control discharges of stormwater to storm drain systems or other water courses within their jurisdiction.

ii. Permit Coverage Termination: When a site under a Qualifying Local Program is finally stabilized, coverage under this permit is automatically terminated.

iii. Compliance with Qualifying Local Program: Qualifying Local Program requirements that are equivalent to the requirements of this permit are incorporated by reference. Permittees authorized to discharge under this permit, must comply with the equivalent requirements of the Qualifying Local Program that has jurisdiction over the site as a condition of this permit.

iv. Compliance with Remaining Permit Conditions. Requirements of this permit that are in addition to or more stringent than the requirements of the Qualifying Local Program apply in addition to the requirements of the Qualifying Local Program.

v. Written Authorization of Coverage: The division or local municipality may require any permittee within the jurisdiction of a Qualifying Local Program covered under this permit to apply for, and obtain written authorization of coverage under this permit. The permittee must be notified in writing that an application for written authorization of coverage is required.

i. Permittee Initiated Permit Actions

Permittee initiated permit actions, including but not limited to modifications, contact changes, transfers, reassignments, and terminations, shall be conducted following division guidance and using appropriate division-provided forms.

j. Sale of Residence to Homeowner

Residential construction sites only: The permittee may remove residential lots from permit coverage once the lot meets the following criteria:

i. the residential lot has been sold to the homeowner(s) for private residential use;

ii. a certificate of occupancy, or equivalent, is maintained on-site and is available during division inspections;

iii. the lot is less than one acre of disturbance;

iv. all construction activity conducted on the lot by the permittee is complete;

v. the permittee is not responsible for final stabilization of the lot; and

vi. the SWMP was modified to indicate the lot is no longer part of the construction activity.

If the residential lot meets the criteria listed above then activities occurring on the lot are no longer considered to be construction activities with a duty to apply and maintain permit coverage. Therefore, the permittee is not required to meet the final stabilization requirements and may terminate permit coverage for the lot.

k. Permit Expiration and Continuation of Permit Coverage

Authorization to discharge under this general permit shall expire at midnight on March 31, 2024. While Regulation 61.4 requires a permittee to submit an application for continuing permit coverage 180 days before the permit expires, the division is requiring that permittees desiring continued coverage under this general permit must reapply at least 90 days in advance of this permit expiration. The Division will determine if the permittee may continue to discharge stormwater under the terms of the general permit. An individual permit may be required for any facility not reauthorized to discharge under the reissued general permit.

If this permit is not reissued or replaced prior to the expiration date, it will be administratively continued and remain in force and effect. For permittees that have applied for continued permit coverage, discharges authorized under this permit prior to the expiration date will automatically remain covered by this permit until the earliest of:

i. An authorization to discharge under a reissued permit, or a replacement of this permit, following the timely and appropriate submittal of a complete application requesting authorization to discharge under the new permit and compliance with the requirements of the new permit; or

ii. The issuance and effect of a termination issued by the Division; or

iii. The issuance or denial of an individual permit for the facility's discharges; or

iv. A formal permit decision by the Division not to reissue this general permit, at which time the Division will identify a reasonable time period for covered dischargers to seek coverage under an alternative general permit or an individual permit. Coverage under this permit will cease when coverage under another permit is granted/authorized; or

v. The Division has informed the permittee that discharges previously authorized under this permit are no longer covered under this permit.

B. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

1. Requirements for Control Measures Used to Meet Effluent Limitations

The permittee must implement control measures to minimize the discharge of pollutants from all potential pollutant sources at the site. Control measures must be installed prior to commencement of activities that may contribute pollutants to stormwater discharges. Control measures must be selected, designed, installed and maintained in accordance with good engineering, hydrologic and pollution control practices. Control measures implemented at the site must be designed to prevent pollution or degradation of state waters.

a. Stormwater Pollution Prevention

The permittee must implement structural and/or nonstructural control measures that effectively minimize erosion, sediment transport, and the release of other pollutants related to construction activity.

i. Control Measures for Erosion and Sediment Control

Control measures for erosion and sediment control may include, but are not limited to, wattles/sediment control logs, silt fences, earthen dikes, drainage swales, sediment traps, subsurface drains, pipe slope drains, inlet protection, outlet protection, gabions, sediment basins, temporary vegetation, permanent vegetation, mulching, geotextiles, sod stabilization, slope roughening, maintaining existing vegetation, protection of trees, and preservation of mature vegetation. Specific non-structural control measures must meet the requirements listed below.

Specific control measures must meet the requirements listed below.

(a) Vehicle tracking controls shall either be implemented to minimize vehicle tracking of sediment from disturbed areas, or the areas where vehicle tracking occurs shall meet subsection Part I.B.1.a.i(b);

(b) Stormwater runoff from all disturbed areas and soil storage areas for which permanent or temporary stabilization is not implemented, must flow to at least one control measure to minimize sediment in the discharge. This may be accomplished through filtering, settling, or straining. The control measure must be selected, designed, installed and adequately sized in accordance with good engineering, hydrologic and pollution control practices. The control measure(s) must contain or filter flows in order to prevent the bypass of flows without treatment and must be appropriate for stormwater runoff from disturbed areas and for the expected flow rate, duration, and flow conditions (i.e., sheet or concentrated flow);

(c) Outlets that withdraw water from or near the surface shall be installed when discharging from basins and impoundments, unless infeasible.

(d) Maintain pre-existing vegetation or equivalent control measures for areas within 50 horizontal feet of receiving waters as defined by this permit, unless infeasible.

(e) Soil compaction must be minimized for areas where infiltration control measures will occur or where final stabilization will be achieved through vegetative cover.

(f) Unless infeasible, topsoil shall be preserved for those areas of a site that will utilize vegetative final stabilization.

(g) Minimize the amount of soil exposed during construction activity, including the disturbance of steep slopes.

ii. Practices for Other Common Pollutants

(a) Bulk storage, 55 gallons or greater, for petroleum products and other liquid chemicals must have secondary containment, or equivalent protection, in order to contain spills and to prevent spilled material from entering state waters.

(b) Control measures designed for concrete washout waste must be implemented. This includes washout waste discharged to the ground as authorized under this permit and washout waste from concrete trucks and masonry operations contained on site. The permittee must ensure the washing activities do not contribute pollutants to stormwater runoff, or receiving waters in accordance Part I.A.1.b.ii. Discharges that may reach groundwater must flow through soil

that has buffering capacity prior to reaching groundwater, as necessary to meet the effluent limits in this permit, including Part I.B.3.a. The concrete washout location shall be not be located in an area where shallow groundwater may be present and would result in buffering capacity not being adequate, such as near natural drainages, springs, or wetlands. This permit authorizes discharges to the ground of concrete washout waste.

iii. Stabilization Requirements
The following requirements must be implemented for each site.

(a) Temporary stabilization must be implemented for earth disturbing activities on any portion of the site where ground disturbing construction activity has permanently ceased, or temporarily ceased for more than 14 calendar days. Temporary stabilization methods may include, but are not limited to, tarps, soil tackifier, and hydroseed. The permittee may exceed the 14-day schedule when either the function of the specific area of the site requires it to remain disturbed, or, physical characteristics of the terrain and climate prevent stabilization. The SWMP must document the constraints necessitating the alternative schedule, provide the alternate stabilization schedule, and identify all locations where the alternative schedule is applicable on the site map.

(b) Final stabilization must be implemented for all construction sites. Final stabilization is reached when all ground surface disturbing activities at the construction site are complete; and, for all areas of ground surface disturbing activities, either a uniform vegetative cover with an individual plant density of at least 70 percent of pre-disturbance levels is established, or equivalent permanent alternative stabilization methods are implemented. The division may approve alternative final stabilization criteria for specific operations.

(c) Final stabilization must be designed and installed as a permanent feature. Final stabilization measures for obtaining a vegetative cover or alternative stabilization methods include, but are not limited to, the following as appropriate:

(1) Seed mix selection and application methods;

(2) Soil preparation and amendments;

(3) Soil stabilization methods (e.g., crimped straw, hydro mulch or rolled erosion control products);

(4) Appropriate sediment control measures as needed until final stabilization is achieved;

(5) Permanent pavement, hardscape, xeriscape, stabilized driving surfaces;

(6) Other alternative stabilization practices as applicable;

(d) The permittee(s) must ensure all temporary control measures are removed from the construction site once final stabilization is achieved, except when the control measure specifications allow the control measure to be left in place (i.e., bio-degradable control measures).

b. Maintenance

The permittee must ensure that all control measures remain in effective operating condition and are protected from activities that would reduce their effectiveness. Control measures must be maintained in accordance with good engineering, hydrologic and pollution control practices. Observations leading to the required maintenance of control measures can be made during a site inspection, or during general observations of site conditions. The necessary repairs or modifications to a control measure requiring routine maintenance, as defined in Part I.E., must be conducted to maintain an effective operating condition. This section is not subject to the requirements in Part I.B.1.c. below.

c. Corrective Actions

The permittee must assess the adequacy of control measures at the site, and the need for changes to those control measures, to ensure continued effective performance. When an inadequate control measure, as defined in Part I.E., is identified (i.e., new or replacement control measures become necessary), the following corrective action requirements apply. The permittee is in noncompliance with the permit until the inadequate control measure is replaced or corrected and returned to effective operating condition in compliance with Part I.B.1. and the general requirements in Part I.B.3. If the inadequate control measure results in noncompliance that meets the conditions of Part II.L., the permittee must also meet the requirements of that section.

i. The permittee must take all necessary steps to minimize or prevent the discharge of pollutants, until a control measure is implemented and made operational and/or an inadequate control measure is replaced or corrected and returned to effective operating condition. If it is infeasible to install or repair of control measure immediately after discovering the deficiency, the following must be documented and kept on record in accordance with the recordkeeping requirements in Part II.

(a) Describe why it is infeasible to initiate the installation or repair immediately; and

(b) Provide a schedule for installing or repairing the control measure and returning it to an effective operating condition as soon as possible.

ii. If applicable, the permittee must remove and properly dispose of any unauthorized release or discharge (e.g., discharge of non-stormwater, spill, or leak not authorized by this permit.) The permittee must also clean up any contaminated surfaces to minimize discharges of the material in subsequent storm events.

2. Discharges to an Impaired Waterbody

a. Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)

If the permittee's discharge flows to or could reasonably be expected to flow to any water body for which a TMDL has been approved, and stormwater discharges

associated with construction activity were assigned a pollutant-specific Wasteload Allocation (WLA) under the TMDL, the division may:

i. ensure the WLA is implemented properly through alternative local requirements, such as by a municipal stormwater permit; or

ii. notify the permittee of the WLA and amend the permittee's certification to add specific effluent limits and other requirements, as appropriate. The permittee may be required to do the following:

(a) under the permittee's SWMP, implement specific control measures based on requirements of the WLA, and evaluate whether the requirements are met through implementation of existing stormwater control measures or if additional control measures are necessary. Document the calculations or other evidence demonstrating that the requirements are expected to be met; and

(b) if the evaluation shows that additional or modified control measures are necessary, describe the type and schedule for the control measure additions or modifications.

iii. Discharge monitoring may also be required. The permittee may maintain coverage under the general permit provided they comply with the applicable requirements outlined above. The division reserves the right to require individual or alternate general permit coverage.

3. General Requirements

a. Discharges authorized by this permit shall not cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or measurably contribute to an exceedance of any applicable water quality standard, including narrative standards for water quality.

b. The division may require sampling and testing, on a case-by-case basis, in the event that there is reason to suspect that the SWMP is not adequately minimizing pollutants in stormwater or in order to measure the effectiveness of the control measures in removing pollutants in the effluent. Such monitoring may include Whole Effluent Toxicity testing.

c. The permittee must comply with the lawful requirements of federal agencies, municipalities, counties, drainage districts and other local agencies including applicable requirements in Municipal Stormwater Management Programs developed to comply with CDPS permits. The permittee must comply with local stormwater management requirements, policies and guidelines including those for erosion and sediment control.

d. All construction site wastes must be properly managed to prevent potential pollution of state waters. This permit does not authorize on-site waste disposal.

e. This permit does not relieve the permittee of the reporting requirements in 40 CFR 110, 40 CFR 117 or 40 CFR 302. Any discharge of hazardous material must be handled in accordance with the division's Noncompliance Notification Requirements (see Part II.L. of the permit).

C. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (SWMP) REQUIREMENTS

1. SWMP General Requirements

   a. A SWMP shall be developed for each construction site covered by this permit. The SWMP must be prepared in accordance with good engineering, hydrologic and pollution control practices.

      i. For public emergency related sites a SWMP shall be created no later than 14 days after the commencement of construction activities.

   b. The permittee must implement the provisions of the SWMP as written and updated, from commencement of construction activity until final stabilization is complete. The division may review the SWMP.

   c. A copy of the SWMP must be retained onsite or be onsite when construction activities are occurring at the site unless the permittee specifies another location and obtains approval from the division.

2. SWMP Content

   a. The SWMP, at a minimum, must include the following elements.

      i. Qualified Stormwater Manager. The SWMP must list individual(s) by title and name who are designated as the site's qualified stormwater manager(s) responsible for implementing the SWMP in its entirety. This role may be filled by more than one individual.

      ii. Spill Prevention and Response Plan. The SWMP must have a spill prevention and response plan. The plan may incorporate by reference any part of a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) plan under section 311 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) or a Spill Prevention Plan required by a separate CDPS permit. The relevant sections of any referenced plans must be available as part of the SWMP consistent with Part I.C.4.

      iii. Materials Handling. The SWMP must describe and locate all control measures implemented at the site to minimize impacts from handling significant materials that could contribute pollutants to runoff. These handling procedures can include control measures for pollutants and activities such as, exposed storage of building materials, paints and solvents, landscape materials, fertilizers or chemicals, sanitary waste material, trash and equipment maintenance or fueling procedures.

      iv. Potential Sources of Pollution. The SWMP must list all potential sources of pollution which may reasonably be expected to affect the quality of stormwater discharges associated with construction activity from the site. This shall include, but is not limited to, the following pollutant sources:

         (a) disturbed and stored soils;

         (b) vehicle tracking of sediments;

         (c) management of contaminated soils;

         (d) loading and unloading operations;

(e) outdoor storage activities (erodible building materials, fertilizers, chemicals, etc.);

(f) vehicle and equipment maintenance and fueling;

(g) significant dust or particulate generating processes (e.g., saw cutting material, including dust);

(h) routine maintenance activities involving fertilizers, pesticides, herbicides, detergents, fuels, solvents, oils, etc.;

(i) on-site waste management practices (waste piles, liquid wastes, dumpsters);

(j) concrete truck/equipment washing, including washing of the concrete truck chute and associated fixtures and equipment;

(k) dedicated asphalt, concrete batch plants and masonry mixing stations;

(l) non-industrial waste sources such as worker trash and portable toilets.

v. Implementation of Control Measures. The SWMP must include design specifications that contain information on the implementation of the control measure in accordance with good engineering hydrologic and pollution control practices; including as applicable drawings, dimensions, installation information, materials, implementation processes, control measure-specific inspection expectations, and maintenance requirements.

The SWMP must include a documented use agreement between the permittee and the owner or operator of any control measures located outside of the permitted area, that are utilized by the permittee's construction site for compliance with this permit, but not under the direct control of the permittee. The permittee is responsible for ensuring that all control measures located outside of their permitted area, that are being utilized by the permittee's construction site, are properly maintained and in compliance with all terms and conditions of the permit. The SWMP must include all information required of and relevant to any such control measures located outside the permitted area, including location, installation specifications, design specifications and maintenance requirements.

vi. Site Description. The SWMP must include a site description which includes, at a minimum, the following:

(a) the nature of the construction activity at the site;

(b) the proposed schedule for the sequence for major construction activities and the planned implementation of control measures for each phase. (e.g.: clearing, grading, utilities, vertical, etc.);

(c) estimates of the total acreage of the site, and the acreage expected to be disturbed by clearing, excavation, grading, or any other construction activities;

(d) a summary of any existing data used in the development of the construction site plans or SWMP that describe the soil or existing potential for soil erosion;

(e) a description of the percent of existing vegetative ground cover relative to the entire site and the method for determining the percentage;

(f) a description of any allowable non-stormwater discharges at the site, including those being discharged under a division low risk discharge guidance policy;

(g) a description of areas receiving discharge from the site. Including a description of the immediate source receiving the discharge. If the stormwater discharge is to a municipal separate storm sewer system, the name of the entity owning that system, the location of the storm sewer discharge, and the ultimate receiving water(s); and

(h) a description of all stream crossings located within the construction site boundary.

vii. Site Map. The SWMP must include a site map which includes, at a minimum, the following:

(a) construction site boundaries;

(b) flow arrows that depict stormwater flow directions on-site and runoff direction;

(c) all areas of ground disturbance including areas of borrow and fill;

(d) areas used for storage of soil;

(e) locations of all waste accumulation areas, including areas for liquid, concrete, masonry, and asphalt;

(f) locations of dedicated asphalt, concrete batch plants and masonry mixing stations;

(g) locations of all structural control measures;

(h) locations of all non-structural control measures;

(i) locations of springs, streams, wetlands and other state waters, including areas that require pre-existing vegetation be maintained within 50 feet of a receiving water, where determined feasible in accordance with Part I.B.1.a.i.(d).; and

(j) locations of all stream crossings located within the construction site boundary.

viii. Final Stabilization and Long Term Stormwater Management. The SWMP must describe the practices used to achieve final stabilization of all disturbed areas at the site and any planned practices to control pollutants in stormwater discharges that will occur after construction operations are completed. Including but not limited to, detention/retention ponds, rain gardens, stormwater vaults, etc.

ix. Inspection Reports. The SWMP must include documented inspection reports in accordance with Part ID.

3. SWMP Review and Revisions

Permittees must keep a record of SWMP changes made that includes the date and identification of the changes. The SWMP must be amended when the following occurs:

a. a change in design, construction, operation, or maintenance of the site requiring implementation of new or revised control measures;

b. the SWMP proves ineffective in controlling pollutants in stormwater runoff in compliance with the permit conditions;

c. control measures identified in the SWMP are no longer necessary and are removed; and

d. corrective actions are taken onsite that result in a change to the SWMP.

For SWMP revisions made prior to or following a change(s) onsite, including revisions to sections addressing site conditions and control measures, a notation must be included in the SWMP that identifies the date of the site change, the control measure removed, or modified, the location(s) of those control measures, and any changes to the control measure(s). The permittee must ensure the site changes are reflected in the SWMP. The permittee is noncompliant with the permit until the SWMP revisions have been made.

4. SWMP Availability

A copy of the SWMP must be provided upon request to the division, EPA, and any local agency with authority for approving sediment and erosion plans, grading plans or stormwater management plans within the time frame specified in the request. If the SWMP is required to be submitted to any of these entities, the submission must include a signed certification in accordance with Part I.A.3.e., certifying that the SWMP is complete and compliant with all terms and conditions of the permit.

All SWMPs required under this permit are considered reports that must be available to the public under Section 308(b) of the CWA and Section 61.5(4) of the CDPS regulations. The permittee must make plans available to members of the public upon request. However, the permittee may claim any portion of a SWMP as confidential in accordance with 40 CFR Part 2.

D. SITE INSPECTIONS

Site inspections must be conducted in accordance with the following requirements. The required inspection schedules are a minimum frequency and do not affect the permittee's responsibility to implement control measures in effective operating condition as prescribed in the SWMP. Proper maintenance of control measures may require more frequent inspections. Site inspections shall start within 7 calendar days of the commencement of construction activities on site.

1. Person Responsible for Conducting Inspections

The person(s) inspecting the site may be on the permittee's staff or a third party hired to conduct stormwater inspections under the direction of the permittee(s). The permittee is responsible for ensuring that the inspector is a qualified stormwater manager.

2. Inspection Frequency

Permittees must conduct site inspections in accordance with one of the following minimum frequencies, unless the site meets the requirements of Part ID.3

a. At least one inspection every 7 calendar days. Or

b. At least one inspection every 14 calendar days, if post-storm event inspections are conducted within 24 hours after the end of any precipitation or snowmelt event that causes surface erosion. Post-storm inspections may be used to fulfill the 14-day routine inspection requirement.

c. When site conditions make the schedule required in this section impractical, the permittee may petition the Division to grant an alternate inspection schedule. The alternative inspection schedule may not be implemented prior to written approval by the division and incorporation into the SWMP.

3. Inspection Frequency for Discharges to Outstanding Waters

Permittees must conduct site inspections at least once every 7 calendar days for sites that discharge to a water body designated as an Outstanding Water by the Water Quality Control Commission.

4. Reduced Inspection Frequency

The permittee may perform site inspections at the following reduced frequencies when one of the following conditions exists:

a. Post-Storm Inspections at Temporarily Idle Sites
For permittees choosing to combine 14-day inspections and post-storm-event-inspections, if no construction activities will occur following a storm event, post-storm event inspections must be conducted prior to re-commencing construction activities, but no later than 72 hours following the storm event. The delay of any post-storm event inspection must be documented in the inspection record. Routine inspections must still be conducted at least every 14 calendar days.

b. Inspections at Completed Sites/Areas
When the site, or portions of a site are awaiting establishment of a vegetative ground cover and final stabilization, the permittee must conduct a thorough inspection of the stormwater management system at least once every 30 days. Post-storm event inspections are not required under this schedule. This reduced inspection schedule is allowed if all of the following criteria are met:

i. all construction activities resulting in ground disturbance are complete;

ii. all activities required for final stabilization, in accordance with the SWMP, have been completed, with the exception of the application of seed that has not occurred due to seasonal conditions or the necessity for additional seed application to augment previous efforts; and

iii. the SWMP has been amended to locate those areas to be inspected in accordance with the reduced schedule allowed for in this paragraph.

c. Winter Conditions Inspections Exclusion

Inspections are not required for sites that meet all of the following conditions: construction activities are temporarily halted, snow cover exists over the entire site for an extended period, and melting conditions posing a risk of surface erosion do not exist. This inspection exception is applicable only during the period where melting conditions do not exist, and applies to the routine 7-day, 14-day and monthly inspections, as well as the post-storm-event inspections. When this inspection exclusion is implemented, the following information must be documented in accordance with the requirements in Part II:

i. dates when snow cover existed;

ii. date when construction activities ceased; and

iii. date melting conditions began.

5. Inspection Scope

a. Areas to be Inspected

When conducting a site inspection the following areas, if applicable, must be inspected for evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants leaving the construction site boundaries, entering the stormwater drainage system, or discharging to state waters:

i. construction site perimeter;

ii. all disturbed areas;

iii. designated haul routes;

iv. material and waste storage areas exposed to precipitation;

v. locations where stormwater has the potential to discharge offsite; and

vi. locations where vehicles exit the site.

b. Inspection Requirements

i. Visually verify whether all implemented control measures are in effective operational condition and are working as designed in their specifications to minimize pollutant discharges.

ii. Determine if there are new potential sources of pollutants.

iii. Assess the adequacy of control measures at the site to identify areas requiring new or modified control measures to minimize pollutant discharges.

iv. Identify all areas of non–compliance with the permit requirements and, if necessary, implement corrective action in accordance with Part IB.1.c.

c. Inspection Reports

The permittee must keep a record of all inspections conducted for each permitted site. Inspection reports must identify any incidents of noncompliance with the terms and conditions of this permit. Inspection records must be retained in accordance with Part II.O. and signed in accordance with Part I.A.3.f. At a minimum, the inspection report must include:

i. the inspection date;

ii. name(s) and title(s) of personnel conducting the inspection;

iii. weather conditions at the time of inspection;

iv. phase of construction at the time of inspection;

v. estimated acreage of disturbance at the time of inspection

vi. location(s) of discharges of sediment or other pollutants from the site;

vii. location(s) of control measures needing maintenance;

viii. location(s) and identification of inadequate control measures;

ix. location(s) and identification of additional control measures are needed that were not in place at the time of inspection;

x. description of the minimum inspection frequency (either in accordance with Part I.D.2., I.D.3. or I.D.4.) utilized when conducting each inspection.

xi. deviations from the minimum inspection schedule as required in Part I.D.2.;

xii. after adequate corrective action(s) and maintenance have been taken, or where a report does not identify any incidents requiring corrective action or maintenance, the report shall contain a statement as required in Part I.A.3.f.

E. DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this permit:

(1) Bypass - the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility in accordance with 40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i) and Regulation 61.2(12).

(2) Common Plan of Development or Sale - A contiguous area where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may be taking place at different times on different schedules, but remain related. The Division has determined that "contiguous" means construction activities located in close proximity to each other (within ¼ mile). Construction activities are considered to be "related" if they share the same development plan, builder or contractor, equipment, storage areas, etc. "Common plan of development or sale" includes construction activities that are associated with the construction of field wide oil and gas permits for facilities that are related.

(3) Construction Activity - Ground surface disturbing and associated activities (land disturbance), which include, but are not limited to, clearing, grading, excavation, demolition, installation of new or improved haul roads and access roads, staging areas, stockpiling of fill materials, and borrow areas. Construction does not include routine maintenance to maintain the original line and grade, hydraulic capacity, or original purpose of the facility. Activities to conduct repairs that are not part of routine maintenance or for replacement are construction activities and are not routine maintenance. Repaving activities where underlying and/or surrounding soil is exposed as part of the repaving operation are considered construction activities. Construction activity is from initial ground breaking to final stabilization regardless of ownership of the construction activities.

(4) Control Measure - Any best management practice or other method used to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to state waters. Control measures include, but are not limited to, best management practices. Control measures can include other methods such as the installation, operation, and maintenance of structural controls and treatment devices.

(5) Control Measure Requiring Routine Maintenance - Any control measure that is still operating in accordance with its design and the requirements of this permit, but requires maintenance to prevent a breach of the control measure. See also inadequate control measure.

(6) Dedicated Asphalt, Concrete Batch Plants and Masonry Mixing Stations – are batch plants or mixing stations located on, or within ¼ mile of, a construction site and that provide materials only to that specific construction site.

(7) Final Stabilization - The condition reached when all ground surface disturbing activities at the site have been completed, and for all areas of ground surface disturbing activities where a uniform vegetative cover has been established with an individual plant density of at least 70 percent of pre-disturbance levels, or equivalent permanent, physical erosion reduction methods have been employed.

(8) Good Engineering, Hydrologic and Pollution Control Practices: are methods, procedures, and practices that:
   a. Are based on basic scientific fact(s).
   b. Reflect best industry practices and standards.
   c. Are appropriate for the conditions and pollutant sources.
   d. Provide appropriate solutions to meet the associated permit requirements, including practice based effluent limits.

(9) Inadequate Control Measure - Any control measure that is not designed or implemented in accordance with the requirements of the permit and/or any control measure that is not implemented to operate in accordance with its design. See also Control Measure Requiring Routine Maintenance.

(10) Infeasible – Not technologically possible, or not economically practicable and achievable in light of best industry practices.

(11) Minimize - reduce or eliminate to the extent achievable using control measures that are technologically available and economically practicable and achievable in light of best industry practice.

(12) Municipality - A city, town, county, district, association, or other public body created by, or under, State law and having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, or other wastes, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of CWA (1987).

(13) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) - A conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made channels, or storm drains):

   a) owned or operated by a State, city, town, county, district, association, or other public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, stormwater, or other wastes, including special districts under State law such as a sewer district, flood control district or drainage district, or similar entity, or a designated and approved management agency under section 208 of the CWA that discharges to state waters;

      i. designed or used for collecting or conveying stormwater;
      ii. are not a combined sewer; and
      iii. are not part of a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW). See 5 CCR 1002-61.2(62).

(14) Municipal Stormwater Management Program - A stormwater program operated by a municipality, typically to meet the requirements of the municipalities MS4 discharge certification.

(15) Operator - The party that has operational control over day-to-day activities at a project site which are necessary to ensure compliance with the permit. This party is authorized to direct individuals at a site to carry out activities required by the permit.(e.g. the general contractor)

(16) Owner - The party that has overall control of the activities and that has funded the implementation of the construction plans and specifications. This is the party with ownership of, a long term lease of, or easements on the property on which the construction activity is occurring (e.g., the developer).

(17) Permittee(s) - The owner and operator named in the discharge certification issued under this permit for the construction site specified in the certification.

(18) Point Source - Any discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance, including, but not limited to, any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, or vessel or other floating craft, from which pollutants are or may be discharged. Point source does not include irrigation return flow. See 5 CCR 102-61.2(75).

(19) Pollutant - Dredged spoil, dirt, slurry, solid waste, incinerator residue, sewage, sewage sludge, garbage, trash, chemical waste, biological nutrient, biological material, radioactive material, heat, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, or any industrial, municipal or agricultural waste. See 5 CCR 1002-61.2(76).

(20) Presentation of credentials – a government issued form of identification, if in person; or (ii) providing name, position and purpose of inspection if request to enter is made via telephone, email or other form of electronic communication. A Permittee's non-response to a request to enter upon presentation of credentials constitutes a denial to such request, and may result in violation of the Permit.

(21) Process Water - Any water which, during manufacturing or processing, comes into contact with or results from the production of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, by product or waste product.

(22) Public Emergency Related Site - a project initiated in response to an unanticipated emergency (e.g., mud slides, earthquake, extreme flooding conditions, disruption in essential public services), for which the related work requires immediate authorization to avoid imminent endangerment to human health or the environment, or to reestablish essential public services.

(23) Qualified Stormwater Manager - An individual knowledgeable in the principles and practices of erosion and sediment control and pollution prevention, and with the skills to assess conditions at construction sites that could impact stormwater quality and to assess the effectiveness of stormwater controls implemented to meet the requirements of this permit.

(24) Qualifying Local Program - A municipal program for stormwater discharges associated with small construction activity that was formally approved by the division as a qualifying local program.

(25) Receiving Water - Any classified or unclassified surface water segment (including tributaries) in the State of Colorado into which stormwater associated with construction activities discharges. This definition includes all water courses, even if they are usually dry, such as borrow ditches, arroyos, and other unnamed waterways.

(26) Severe Property Damage - substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production. See 40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(ii).

(27) Significant Materials - Include, but not limited to, raw materials; fuels; materials such as solvents, detergents, and plastic pellets; finished materials such as metallic products; raw materials used in food processing or production; hazardous substances designated under section 101(14) of CERCLA; any chemical the permittee is required to report under section 313 of Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA); fertilizers; pesticides; and waste products such as ashes, slag and sludge that have the potential to be released with stormwater discharges.

(28) Small Construction Activity - The discharge of stormwater from construction activities that result in land disturbance of equal to, or greater than, one acre and less than five acres. Small construction activity also includes the disturbance of less than one acre of total land area that is part of a larger common plan of development or sale, if the larger common plan ultimately disturbs equal to, or greater than, one acre and less than five acres.

(29) Spill - An unintentional release of solid or liquid material which may pollute state waters.

(30) State Waters - means any and all surface and subsurface waters which are contained in or flow in or through this state, but does not include waters in sewage systems, waters in treatment works of disposal systems, waters in potable water distribution systems, and all water withdrawn for use until use and treatment have been completed.

(31) Steep Slopes: where a local government, or industry technical manual (e.g., stormwater BMP manual) has defined what is to be considered a "steep slope", this permit's definition automatically adopts that definition. Where no such definition exists, steep slopes are automatically defined as those that are 3:1 or greater.

(32) Stormwater - Precipitation runoff, snow melt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage. See 5 CCR 1002-61.2(103).

(33) Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) -The sum of the individual wasteload allocations (WLA) for point sources and load allocations (LA) for nonpoint sources and natural background. For the purposes of this permit, a TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a pollutant that a waterbody can receive and still meet water quality standards, and an allocation of that amount to the pollutant's sources. A TMDL includes WLAs, LAs, and must include a margin of safety (MOS), and account for seasonal variations. See section 303(d) of the CWA and 40 C.F.R. 130.2 and 130.7.

(34) Upset - an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventative maintenance, or careless or improper operation in accordance with 40 CFR 122.41(n) and Regulation 61.2(114).

F. MONITORING

The division may require sampling and testing, on a case-by-case basis. If the division requires sampling and testing, the division will send a notification to the permittee. Reporting procedures for any monitoring data collected will be included in the notification.

If monitoring is required, the following applies:

1. the thirty (30) day average must be determined by the arithmetic mean of all samples collected during a thirty (30) consecutive-day period; and

2. a grab sample, for monitoring requirements, is a single "dip and take" sample.

G. Oil and Gas Construction

Stormwater discharges associated with construction activities directly related to oil and gas exploration, production, processing, and treatment operations or transmission facilities are regulated under the Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations (5 CCR 1002-61), and require coverage under this permit in accordance with that regulation. However, references in this permit to specific authority under the CWA do not apply to stormwater discharges associated with these oil and gas related construction activities, to the extent that the references are limited by the federal Energy Policy Act of 2005.

Part II: Standard Permit Conditions

A. DUTY TO COMPLY

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Water Quality Control Act and is grounds for:

a. enforcement action;

b. permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or

c. denial of a permit renewal application.

B. DUTY TO REAPPLY

If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain authorization as required by Part I.A.3.k. of the permit.

C. NEED TO HALT OR REDUCE ACTIVITY NOT A DEFENSE

It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

D. DUTY TO MITIGATE

A permittee must take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.

E. PROPER OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

A permittee must at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) that are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by the permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. This requirement can be met by meeting the requirements for Part I.B., I.C., and I.D. above. See also 40 C.F.R. § 122.41(e).

F. PERMIT ACTIONS

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The permittee request for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition. Any request for modification, revocation, reissuance, or termination under this permit must comply with all terms and conditions of Regulation 61.8(8).

G. PROPERTY RIGHTS

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.41(g) and 5 CCR 1002-61, 61.8(9):

1. The issuance of a permit does not convey any property or water rights in either real or personal property, or stream flows or any exclusive privilege.

2. The issuance of a permit does not authorize any injury to person or property or any invasion of personal rights, nor does it authorize the infringement of federal, state, or local laws or regulations.
3. Except for any toxic effluent standard or prohibition imposed under Section 307 of the Federal act or any standard for sewage sludge use or disposal under Section 405(d) of the Federal act, compliance with a permit during its term constitutes compliance, for purposes of enforcement, with Sections 301, 302, 306, 318, 403, and 405(a) and (b) of the Federal act. However, a permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated during its term for cause as set forth in Section 61.8(8) of the Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations.

H. DUTY TO PROVIDE INFORMATION

The permittee shall furnish to the division, within a reasonable time, any information which the division may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the division, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit in accordance with 40 CFR 122.41(h) and/or Regulation 61.8(3)(q).

I. INSPECTION AND ENTRY

The permittee shall allow the division and the authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials as required by law, to allow for inspections to be conducted in accordance with 40 CFR 122.41(i), Regulation 61.8(3), and Regulation 61.8(4):

1. to enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or in which any records are required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit;
2. at reasonable times to have access to and copy any records required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this permit;
3. at reasonable times, inspect any monitoring equipment or monitoring method required in the permit; and
4. to enter upon the permittee's premises in a reasonable manner and at a reasonable time to inspect or investigate, any actual, suspected, or potential source of water pollution, or any violation of the Colorado Water Quality Control Act. The investigation may include: sampling of any discharges, stormwater or process water, taking of photographs, interviewing site staff on alleged violations and other matters related to the permit, and assessing any and all facilities or areas within the site that may affect discharges, the permit, or an alleged violation.

The permittee shall provide access to the division or other authorized representatives upon presentation of proper credentials. A permittee's non-response to a request to enter upon presentation of credentials constitutes a denial of such request, and may result in a violation of the permit.

J. MONITORING AND RECORDS

1. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring must be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored activity.

2. The permittee must retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit, for a period of at least three years from the date the permit expires or the date the permittee's authorization is terminated. This period may be extended by request of the division at any time.

3. Records of monitoring information must include:
   a. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
   b. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
   c. The date(s) analyses were performed
   d. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
   e. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
   f. The results of such analyses.

4. Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in the permit.

K. SIGNATORY REQUIREMENTS

1. Authorization to Sign:

   All documents required to be submitted to the division by the permit must be signed in accordance with the following criteria:

   a. For a corporation: By a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this subsection, a responsible corporate officer means:

      i. a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision-making functions for the corporation, or

      ii. the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities, provided, the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.

   b. For a partnership or sole proprietorship: By a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; or

   c. For a municipality, state, federal, or other public agency: By either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this subsection, a principal executive officer of a federal agency includes

      i. (i) the chief executive officer of the agency, or

ii. (ii) a senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency. (e.g., Regional Administrator of EPA)

2. Electronic Signatures

For persons signing applications for coverage under this permit electronically, in addition to meeting other applicable requirements stated above, such signatures must meet the same signature, authentication, and identity-proofing standards set forth at 40 CFR § 3.2000(b) for electronic reports (including robust second-factor authentication). Compliance with this requirement can be achieved by submitting the application using the Colorado Environmental Online Service (CEOS) system.

3. Change in Authorization to Sign

If an authorization is no longer accurate because a different individual or position has responsibility for the overall operation of the facility, a new authorization must be submitted to the division, prior to the re-authorization, or together with any reports, information, or applications to be signed by an authorized representative.

L. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. Planned Changes

The permittee shall give advance notice to the division, in writing, of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility in accordance with 40 CFR 122.41(l) and Regulation 61.8(5)(a). Notice is required only when:

a. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or

b. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.41(a)(1).

2. Anticipated Non-Compliance

The permittee shall give advance notice to the division, in writing, of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity that may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. The timing of notification requirements differs based on the type of non-compliance as described in subparagraphs 5, 6, 7, and 8 below.

3. Transfer of Ownership or Control

The permittee shall notify the division, in writing, ten (10) calendar days in advance of a proposed transfer of the permit. This permit is not transferable to any person except after notice is given to the division.

a. Where a facility wants to change the name of the permittee, the original permittee (the first owner or operators) must submit a Notice of Termination.

b. The new owner or operator must submit an application. See also signature requirements in Part II.K, above.

c. A permit may be automatically transferred to a new permittee if:

i. The current permittee notifies the Division in writing 30 calendar days in advance of the proposed transfer date; and

ii. The notice includes a written agreement between the existing and new permittee(s) containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage and liability between them; and

iii. The division does not notify the existing permittee and the proposed new permittee of its intent to modify, or revoke and reissue the permit.

iv. Fee requirements of the Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations, Section 61.15, have been met.

4. Monitoring reports

Monitoring results must be reported at the intervals specified in this permit per the requirements of 40 CFR 122.41(l)(4).

5. Compliance Schedules

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule in the permit, shall be submitted on the date listed in the compliance schedule section. The fourteen (14) calendar day provision in Regulation 61.8(4)(n)(i) has been incorporated into the due date.

6. Twenty-four hour reporting

In addition to the reports required elsewhere in this permit, the permittee shall report the following circumstances orally within twenty-four (24) hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, and shall mail to the division a written report containing the information requested within five (5) working days after becoming aware of the following circumstances:

a. Circumstances leading to any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment regardless of the cause of the incident;

b. Circumstances leading to any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitations in the permit;

c. Circumstances leading to any upset which causes an exceedance of any effluent limitation in the permit;

d. Daily maximum violations for any of the pollutants limited by Part I of this permit. This includes any toxic pollutant or hazardous substance or any pollutant specifically identified as the method to control any toxic pollutant or hazardous substance.

e. The division may waive the written report required under subparagraph 6 of this section if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.

7. Other non-compliance

A permittee must report all instances of noncompliance at the time monitoring reports are due. If no monitoring reports are required, these reports are due at least annually in accordance with Regulation 61.8(4)(p). The annual report must contain all instances of non-compliance required under either subparagraph 5 or subparagraph 6 of this subsection.

8. Other information

Where a permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application, or in any report to the Permitting Authority, it has a duty to promptly submit such facts or information.

M. BYPASS

1. Bypass not exceeding limitations

The permittees may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of Part II.M.2 of this permit. See 40 CFR 122.41(m)(2).

2. Notice of bypass

a. Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, the permittee must submit prior notice, if possible at least ten days before the date of the bypass. ee 40 CFR §122.41(m)(3)(i) and/or Regulation 61.9(5)(c).

b. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee must submit notice of an unanticipated bypass in accordance with Part II.L.6. See 40 CFR §122.41(m)(3)(ii) .

3. Prohibition of Bypass

Bypasses are prohibited and the division may take enforcement action against the permittee for bypass, unless:

i. the bypass is unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;

ii. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate backup equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and

iii. proper notices were submitted to the division.

N. UPSET

1. Effect of an upset

An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Part II.N.2. of this permit are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review in accordance with Regulation 61.8(3)(j).

2. Conditions necessary for demonstration of an Upset

A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate through properly signed contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that

a. an upset occurred and the permittee can identify the specific cause(s) of the upset;

b. the permitted facility was at the time being properly operated and maintained; and

c. the permittee submitted proper notice of the upset as required in Part II.L.6.(24-hour notice); and

d. the permittee complied with any remedial measure necessary to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment. In addition to the demonstration required above, a permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset for a violation of effluent limitations based upon water quality standards shall also demonstrate through monitoring, modeling or other methods that the relevant standards were achieved in the receiving water.

3. Burden of Proof

In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

O. RETENTION OF RECORDS

1. Post-Expiration or Termination Retention

Copies of documentation required by this permit, including records of all data used to complete the application for permit coverage to be covered by this permit, must be

retained for at least three years from the date that permit coverage expires or is terminated. This period may be extended by request of EPA at any time.

2. On-site Retention

The permittee must retain an electronic version or hardcopy of the SWMP at the construction site from the date of the initiation of construction activities to the date of expiration or inactivation of permit coverage; unless another location, specified by the permittee, is approved by the division.

P. REOPENER CLAUSE

1. Procedures for modification or revocation

Permit modification or revocation of this permit or coverage under this permit will be conducted according to Regulation 61.8(8).

2. Water quality protection

If there is evidence indicating that the stormwater discharges authorized by this permit cause, have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above any applicable water quality standard, the permittee may be required to obtain an individual permit, or the permit may be modified to include different limitations and/or requirements.

Q. SEVERABILITY

The provisions of this permit are severable. If any provisions or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstances, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances and the application of the remainder of this permit shall not be affected.

R. NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

1. Notification to Parties

All notification requirements, excluding information submitted using the CEOS portal, shall be directed as follows:

a. Oral Notifications, during normal business hours shall be to:
Clean Water Compliance Section
Water Quality Control Division
Telephone: (303) 692-3500

b. Written notification shall be to:
Clean Water Compliance Section
Water Quality Control Division
Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
WQCD-WQP-B2
4300 Cherry Creek Drive South
Denver, CO 80246-1530

S. RESPONSIBILITIES

1. Reduction, Loss, or Failure of Treatment Facility

   The permittee has the duty to halt or reduce any activity if necessary to maintain compliance with the effluent limitations of the permit. It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would be necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

T. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject to under Section 311 (Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability) of the CWA.

U. Emergency Powers

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to prevent or limit application of any emergency power of the division.

V. Confidentiality

Any information relating to any secret process, method of manufacture or production, or sales or marketing data which has been declared confidential by the permittee, and which may be acquired, ascertained, or discovered, whether in any sampling investigation, emergency investigation, or otherwise, shall not be publicly disclosed by any member, officer, or employee of the Water Quality Control Commission or the division, but shall be kept confidential. Any person seeking to invoke the protection of of this section shall bear the burden of proving its applicability. This section shall never be interpreted as preventing full disclosure of effluent data.

W. Fees

The permittee is required to submit payment of an annual fee as set forth in the 2016 amendments to the Water Quality Control Act. Section 25-8-502 (1.1) (b), and the Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations 5 CCR 1002-61, Section 61.15 as amended. Failure to submit the required fee when due and payable is a violation of the permit and will result in enforcement action pursuant to Section 25-8-601 et. seq., C.R.S.1973 as amended.

X. Duration of Permit

The duration of a permit shall be for a fixed term and shall not exceed five (5) years. If the permittee desires to continue to discharge, a permit renewal application shall be submitted at least ninety (90) calendar days before this permit expires. Filing of a timely and complete application shall cause the expired permit to continue in force to the effective date of the new permit. The permit's duration may be extended only through administrative extensions and not through interim modifications. If the permittee anticipates there will be no discharge after the expiration date of this permit, the division should be promptly notified so that it can terminate the permit in accordance with Part I.A.3.i.

Y. Section 307 Toxics

If a toxic effluent standard or prohibition, including any applicable schedule of compliance specified, is established by regulation pursuant to Section 307 of the Federal Act for a toxic pollutant which is present in the permittee's discharge and such standard or prohibition is more stringent than any limitation upon such pollutant in the discharge permit, the division

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette

FEMA

Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS
- Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) *Zone A, V, A99*
- With BFE or Depth *Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR*
- Regulatory Floodway

OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD
- 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile *Zone X*
- Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard *Zone X*
- Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. *Zone X*
- Area with Flood Risk due to Levee *Zone D*

OTHER AREAS
- NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard *Zone X*
- Effective LOMRs
- Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard *Zone D*

GENERAL STRUCTURES
- Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer
- Levee, Dike, or Floodwall

OTHER FEATURES
- 20.2 / 17.5 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance Water Surface Elevation
- Coastal Transect
- 513 Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE)
- Limit of Study
- Jurisdiction Boundary
- Coastal Transect Baseline
- Profile Baseline
- Hydrographic Feature

MAP PANELS
- Digital Data Available
- No Digital Data Available
- Unmapped

N

The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 11/26/2019 at 9:13:31 AM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

APPENDIX D
SOILS INFORMATION

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

- Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

Soil Rating Polygons

- A
- A/D
- B
- B/D
- C
- C/D
- D
- Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Lines

- A
- A/D
- B
- B/D
- C
- C/D
- D
- Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Points

- A
- A/D
- B
- B/D
- C
- C/D
- D
- Not rated or not available

Water Features

- Streams and Canals

Transportation

- Rails
- Interstate Highways
- US Routes
- Major Roads
- Local Roads

Background

- Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: El Paso County Area, Colorado
Survey Area Data: Version 18, Jun 5, 2020

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 19, 2018—Sep 23, 2018

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
8	Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes	A	7.4	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest			**7.4**	**100.0%**

Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher

Architecture
Structural
Geotechnical

Materials Testing
Forensic
Civil/Planning

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP
EMPLOYEE OWNED

SOILS AND GEOLOGY STUDY

Meadowbrook Park
70 Single Family Residential Development
Colorado Springs, Colorado

PREPARED FOR:

Meadowbrook Development, LLC
c/o CMC, Inc.
PO Box 7207
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

JOB NO. 177164

August 26, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,
RMG – Rocky Mountain Group

Kelli Zigler
Project Geologist

Reviewed by,
RMG – Rocky Mountain Group

COLORADO LICENSED
GEOFF WEBSTER
8/26/20
45046
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

Geoff Webster, P.E.
Sr. Geotechnical Project Engineer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 GENERAL SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1.1 Project Location

The project lies in the N¼ of the SE¼ of Section 8, Township 14 South, Range 65 West, of the 6th Principal Meridian in El Paso County, Colorado. The approximate location of the site is shown on the Site Vicinity Map, Figure 1.

1.2 Existing Land Use

The site currently consists of three parcels. The total area of the proposed site is 7.81 acres as recorded on the El Paso County Assessors website. The parcels included are:

- Schedule No. 540808000053 – 4.47 acres, currently zoned as "*RR5 CAD-O" – Residential Rural*, *Commercial Airport District*
- Schedule No. 5408403001 – 0.62 acres, currently zoned as "*I-2 CAD-O" – Limited Industrial, Commercial Airport District*
- Schedule No. 5408008002 – 2.72 acres, currently zoned as "CR-I-2 CAD-O" – Commercial Regional *Limited Industrial, Commercial Airport District*

The parcels are currently not developed.

1.3 Project Description

Based on a concept plan prepared by Kimley Horn, which was provided to us by our client, it is our understanding the Meadowbrook Park development is to consist of 70 single-family residential units. At this time, it is uncertain if the units will be constructed atop a crawlspace or basement foundation. The concept plan is presented in Figure 2.

Access into the development will be from Meadowbrook Parkway to the west. Additional proposed land usage includes a detention area in the southeast portion of the site, district tracts, landscaped public easements, utility easements and private roadways and driveways. A retaining wall is proposed along the southern boundary of the property. Interior driveways and parking areas will most likely be privately owned and maintained by an HOA or the developer. If public streets are developed, they will require a site-specific pavement design investigation and report.

The development is to utilize public sewer and water services. Neither individual wells nor on-site wastewater treatment systems are proposed.

The purpose of this report is to provide a Soils and Geology Study for approval of the entire three parcels for the Planned Unit Development (PUD) within El Paso County, Colorado.

2.0 QUALIFICATIONS OF PREPARERS

This Geology and Soils Study was prepared by a professional geologist as defined by Colorado Revised Statures section 34-1-201(3) and by a qualified geotechnical engineer as defined by policy statement 15, "Engineering in Designated Natural Hazards Areas" of the Colorado State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Professional Land Surveyors. (Ord. 96-74; Ord. 01-42).

The principle investigators for this study are Kelli Zigler P.G., and Geoff Webster, P.E. Ms. Zigler is a Professional Geologist as defined by State Statute (C.R.S 34-1-201) with over 19 years of experience in the geological and geotechnical engineering field. Ms. Kelli Zigler holds a B.S. in Geology from the University of Tulsa. Ms. Zigler has supervised and performed numerous geological and geotechnical field investigations throughout Colorado.

Geoff Webster, P.E. is a licensed Professional Engineer with 35 years of experience in the civil and geotechnical engineering fields. Mr. Webster holds a Master's degree from the University of Central Florida. Mr. Webster has supervised and performed numerous geological and geotechnical field investigation programs in Colorado and other states.

3.0 STUDY OVERVIEW

The purpose of this investigation is to characterize the general geotechnical and geologic site conditions, and present our opinions of the potential effect of these conditions on the proposed residential development within the referenced site.

Revisions to the conclusions presented in this report may be issued based upon submission of the Development Plan. This study has been prepared in accordance with the requirements outlined in the El Paso County Land Development Code (LDC) specifically Chapter 8, last updated August 27, 2019. Applicable sections include 8.4.8 and 8.4.9., and the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM), specifically Appendix C last updated July 9, 2019.

3.1 Scope and Objective

The scope of this study is to include a physical reconnaissance of the site and a review of pertinent, publically available documents including, but not limited to, previous geologic and geotechnical reports, overhead and remote sensing imagery, published geology and/or hazard maps, design documents, etc. Our services exclude evaluation of environmental and/or human, health-related work products, or recommendations previously prepared by others for this project.

The objectives of our study are to:

- Identify geologic conditions present on the site
- Analyze potential negative impacts of these conditions on the proposed site development
- Analyze potential negative impacts to surrounding properties and/or public services resulting from the proposed site development as it relates to existing geologic conditions
- Provide our opinion of suitable techniques that may be utilized to mitigate any potential negative impacts identified herein

This report presents the findings of the study performed by RMG relating to the geologic conditions of the above-referenced site. Revisions and modifications to this report may be issued subsequently by RMG, based upon:

- Additional observations made during grading and construction which may indicate conditions that require re-evaluation of some of the criteria presented in this report
- Review of pertinent documents (development plans, plat maps, drainage reports/plans, etc.) not available at the time of this study

- Comments received from the governing jurisdiction and/or their consultants subsequent to submission of this document

3.2 Site Evaluation Techniques

The information included in this report has been compiled from several sources, including:

- Field reconnaissance
- Geologic and topographic maps
- Review of selected publicly available, pertinent engineering reports
- Available aerial photographs
- Subsurface exploration by RMG
- Laboratory testing of representative site soil and rock samples by RMG
- Geologic research and analysis
- Site development plans prepared by others

Geophysical investigations were not considered necessary for characterization of the site geology. Monitoring programs, which typically include instrumentation and/or observations for changes in groundwater, surface water flows, slope stability, subsidence, and similar conditions, are not known to exist and were not considered applicable for the scope of this report.

3.3 Additional Documents

Additional documents reviewed during the performance of this study are included in Appendix A.

4.0 SITE CONDITIONS

4.1 Existing Site Conditions

The undeveloped site is bordered to the west by Meadowbrook Parkway and to the east by U.S. Highway 24. The interior of the northern portion of the site has been regraded to a level surface, and is not vegetated. The north and east sides of the site rise vertically a few feet, and then slope upward at a 20 percent slope (5:1) to meet the adjacent properties. Runoff from U.S. 24 has formed a natural drainage way in the embankment and into the southern portion of the site. The southern portion of the site is level and vegetated with native grasses, shrubs, and trees.

Adjacent property to the south includes a developed parcel with a Circle K gas station. Adjacent property to the north includes a storage facility complex.

4.2 Topography

Based on our site reconnaissance on June 23, 2020, site topography is generally level terrain within the proposed build areas. An embankment down from Highway 24 is moderately steep with an elevation difference of up to 20 feet. The elevation varies approximately 40-feet across the entire site from the northeast to southwest.

4.3 Vegetation

The majority of the site consists of native grasses, weeds and very few shrubs and deciduous trees.

4.4 Aerial photographs and remote-sensing imagery

Personnel of RMG reviewed aerial photos available through Google Earth Pro dating back to 1999, CGS surficial geologic mapping, and historical photos by historicaerials.com dating back to 1947. Historically, the site has remained undeveloped land.

5.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION AND LABORATORY TESTING

The subsurface conditions within the property were explored by drilling eight (8) exploratory test borings to depths of 20-feet below the existing ground surface. The number of borings included in this study exceed the minimum criteria of one test boring per 10 acres of development up to 100 acres and one additional boring for every 25 acres of development above 100 acres as stipulated in the ECM, Section C.3.3.

The test borings were drilled with a power-driven, continuous-flight auger drill rig. Samples were obtained during drilling of the test boring in general accordance with ASTM D-1586 and D-3550, utilizing a 2-inch O.D. Split Barrel Sampler and a 2½-inch O.D. California sampler, respectively. Results of Standard Penetration Tests are shown on the drilling logs. The location of the test borings is presented on the lot layout shown on the Test Boring Location Plan, Figure 3. An Explanation of Test Boring Logs are presented in Figure 4. Test Boring Logs are presented in Figures 5 through 8.

5.1 Laboratory Testing

Soil laboratory testing was performed as part of this investigation. Laboratory testing included moisture content, grain-size analysis, and Atterberg Limits. A Summary of Laboratory Test Results is presented in Figure 9. Soil Classification Data is presented in Figures 10 and 11.

5.2 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered in test borings during the field exploration or when checked 11 days subsequent to drilling. The site soil appears to be well drained, and natural moisture contents were low. Fluctuations in groundwater and subsurface moisture conditions may occur due to variations in rainfall and other factors not readily apparent at this time. Development of the property and adjacent properties may also affect groundwater levels.

6.0 SOIL, GEOLOGY, AND ENGINEERING GEOLOGY

The site is located within the western flank of the Colorado Piedmont section of the Great Plains physiographic province. The Colorado Piedmont, formed during Late Tertiary and Early Quaternary time (approximately 2,000,000 years ago), is a broad, erosional trench that separates the Southern Rocky Mountains from the High Plains. During the Late Mesozoic and Early Cenozoic Periods (approximately 70,000,000 years ago), intense tectonic activity occurred, causing the uplifting of the Front Range and associated downwarping of the Denver Basin to the east. Relatively flat uplands and broad valleys characterize the present-day topography of the Colorado Piedmont in this region.

6.1 Subsurface Soil Conditions

The subsurface materials encountered in the test borings were classified within the laboratory using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). The materials classify primarily as native silty to clayey sand (SM- SC) throughout the depths tested. Neither interbedded clay layers, or claystone bedrock were encountered in the test borings.

Additional descriptions and the interpreted distribution (approximate depths) of the subsurface materials are presented on the Test Boring Logs. The classifications shown on the logs are based upon the engineer's description of the samples at the depths indicated. Stratification lines shown on the logs represent the approximate boundaries between material types and the actual transitions may be gradual and vary with location.

6.2 Bedrock Conditions

Bedrock was not encountered in the test borings performed for this study. In general, bedrock (as mapped by Colorado Geologic Survey - CGS) is at depth beneath this site, and is considered part of the Dawson formation. Bedrock is not anticipated in the excavations or utility trenches for the proposed development.

6.3 U.S. Soil Conservation Service

The U.S. Soil Conservation Service along with United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) identifies the site soils as:

- 8 – Blakeland loamy sand, 1 to 9 percent slopes. The Blakeland loamy sand was mapped by the USDA to encompass the majority of the property. Properties of the loamy sand include, some-what excessively drained soils, depth of the water table is anticipated to be greater than 6.5 feet, runoff is anticipated to be low, frequency of flooding and ponding is none, and landforms include depressions.

The USDA Soils Survey Map is presented in Figure 12.

6.4 General Geologic Conditions

Based on our field observations and the Geologic Map of the Elsmere Quadrangle, an interpreted geologic map of significant surficial deposits and features was mapped for the site. The identified geologic conditions affecting the development are presented in the Engineering and Geology Map, Figure 13.

The site generally consists of eolian deposits overlying sandstone bedrock. Four geologic units were mapped at the site as:

- *Qes_l – Younger eolian sand (middle and early Holocene and late? Pleistocene)* – very pale-brown, pale-brown, and light yellowish-brown sand. Unit is chiefly very coarse and coarse sand that appears to have been deposited as sand sheets. Unit thickness is estimated to be 3-20 feet deep. The eolian sand was encountered in the test borings to a depth of 20 feet.

- *Qam* – Middle alluvium (late Pleistocene) – chiefly light brownish gray, pale-brown, light-yellowish-brown, and grayish-brown, poorly sorted and subordinate amounts of gravel.

- *$TKda_1$ – Dawson formation, facies unit one* – white to light-gray, cross-bedded or massive, very coarse arkosic sandstone or pebbly conglomerate. Occasional interbedded thin to very thinly bedded sandy claystone. Estimate thickness varies from 25 to 200 feet. The Dawson formation was not encountered in the test borings.

- *ss – steep slopes* – Isolated steep slopes that are to not be disturbed with the proposed development, other than for the proposed retaining wall construction

6.5 Engineering Geology

Charles Robinson and Associates (1977) have mapped two environmental engineering units at the site as:

- *2D* – Eolian deposits generally on flat to gentle upland areas.
- *2E* – Low terraces and valleys of minor tributary streams.

6.6 Structural Features

Structural features such as schistocity, folds, zones of contortion or crushing, joints, shear zones or faults were not observed on the site, in the surrounding area, or in the soil samples collected for laboratory testing.

6.7 Surficial (Unconsolidated) Deposits

Lake and pond sediments, swamp accumulations, sand dunes, marine terrace deposits, talus accumulations, creep, or slope wash were not observed on the site. Slump and slide debris were also not observed on the site.

6.8 Features of Special Significance

Features of special significance such as accelerated erosion, (advancing gully head, badlands, or cliff reentrants) were not observed on the property. However, erosional features are present near the toe of the slope down from Highway 24. Features indicating settlement or subsidence such as fissures, scarplets, and offset reference features were not observed on the study site or surrounding areas. Features indicating creep, slump, or slide masses in bedrock and surficial deposits were not observed on the property.

6.9 Drainage of Water and Groundwater

The overall topography of the site is fairly level, with a gentle slope from northeast to southwest. Groundwater was not encountered in the test borings performed for this study. Groundwater water depths are greater than 20-feet in the area and are not anticipated to affect foundation construction.

7.0 ECONOMIC MINERAL RESOURCES

Under the provision of House Bill 1529, it was made a policy by the State of Colorado to preserve for extraction commercial mineral resources located in a populous county. Review of the *El Paso Aggregate Resource Evaluation Map, Master Plan for Mineral Extraction*, *Map 2* indicates the site is identified as *"Coal"*. The overburden above coal deposits is estimated to be up to 200 feet to unknown, with coal

seam thickness ranging up to 4 feet. Extraction of the coal more than likely would not be considered to be economical compared to materials available elsewhere within the county.

According to the *Evaluation of Mineral and Mineral Fuel Potential of El Paso County State Mineral Lands*, the site is mapped within the Denver Basin Coal Region. However, the area of the site has been mapped "Poor" for coal resources, no active or inactive mines have been mapped in the area of the site. No metallic mineral resources have been mapped on the site.

8.0 IDENTIFICATION AND MITIGATION OF POTENTIAL GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS

The El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual recognizes and delineates the difference between geologic hazards and constraints. A geologic hazard is one of several types of adverse geologic conditions capable of causing significant damage or loss of property and life. Geologic hazards are defined in Section C.2.2 Sub-section E.1 of the ECM. A geologic constraint is one of several types of adverse geologic conditions capable of limiting or restricting construction on a particular site. Geologic constraints are defined in Section C.2.2 Sub-section E.2 of the ECM (1.15 Definitions of Specific Terms and Phrases). he following geologic hazards and constraints are not anticipated to pose a significant risk to the proposed development:

- Avalanches
- Debris Flow-Fans/Mudslides
- Floodplains
- Ground Subsidence
- Landslides
- Rockfall
- Ponding water
- Expansive Soils and Bedrock
- Steeply Dipping Bedrock
- Unstable or Potentially Unstable Slopes
- Scour, Erosion, accelerated erosion along creek banks and drainage ways
- Springs and High Groundwater
- Corrosive Minerals
- Fill Soils

The following sections present geologic constraints that have been identified on the property:

8.1 Hydrocompactive Soils

It is anticipated shallow foundations are to be utilized for this development. Based on the test borings performed by RMG for this investigation, the silty to clayey sand generally possesses low hydrocompactive potential.

Mitigation

Should hydrocompactive soils be encountered beneath foundations, mitigation will be required. If these materials are encountered, they can readily be mitigated with typical construction practices common to this region of El Paso County, Colorado such as applying additional compactive effort to the soil.

If appropriate mitigations and/or foundation design adjustments are implemented, the presence of hydrocompactive soil is not considered to pose a risk to the proposed structures.

8.2 Steep Slopes

Steep slopes are present on the site along the Highway 24 embankment near the southern property boundary.

Mitigation
It is our understanding the steep slope along the Highway 24 embankment is to be improved with an engineered retaining wall during development. If retaining wall construction were to proceed as proposed the steep slope is not considered to pose a risk to proposed single-family structures.

8.3 Erosion

Due to the nature of the sandy soils on site, the upper sands encountered at the site are susceptible to erosion by wind and flowing water. The southern slope is susceptible to concentrated surface runoff down from Highway 24. The process of erosion appears evident by the partially vegetated slope with deeply incised channels.

Mitigation
Erosion control measures and engineered site drainage will be installed during construction to prevent concentrated runoff from exacerbating erosion along the steep southern slope. A retaining wall is proposed along the southern boundary. Along with the retaining wall, swales and/or culverts will be necessary to channel historic surface water flow from Highway 24 through the site. A drainage pond is proposed near the southwest corner of the property. It is uncertain at this time if the pond is to be a retention or detention pond.

Post development, the development maintenance entity should monitor the southern boundary to identify signs of new or localized erosion. Areas undergoing active erosion should be promptly corrected and restored to ensure continued stability of the proposed retaining walls and other features.

Provided these recommendations are implemented, the occurrence of erosion will be limited and is not considered to pose a risk to the proposed development.

8.4 Faults and Seismicity

Based on review of the Earthquake and Late Cenozoic Fault and Fold Map Server provided by CGS located at http://dnrwebmapgdev.state.co.us/CGSOnline/ and the recorded information dating back to November of 1900, Colorado Springs has not experienced a recorded earthquake with a magnitude greater than 1.6 during that period. The nearest recorded earthquakes over 1.6 occurred in December of 1995 in Manitou Springs, which experienced magnitudes ranging between 2.8 to 3.5. Additional earthquakes over 1.6 occurred between 1926 and 2001 in Woodland Park, which experienced magnitudes ranging from 2.7 to 3.3. Both of these locations are located near the Ute Pass Fault, which is greater than 10 miles from the subject site.

Earthquakes felt at this site will most likely result from minor shifting of the granite mass within the Pikes Peak Batholith, which includes pull from minor movements along faults found in the Denver

basin. It is our opinion that ground motions resulting from minor earthquakes may affect structures (and the surrounding area) at this site if minor shifting were to occur.

Mitigation

In accordance with the International Building Code, 2018, seismic design parameters have been determined for this site. The Seismic Site Class has been interpreted from the results of the soil test borings drilled within the project site. The Applied Technology Council seismic design tool has been used to determine the seismic response acceleration parameters using ASCE 7-16. The soil on this site is not considered susceptible to liquefaction. The following recommended Seismic Design Parameters are based upon Seismic Site Class D, and a 2 percent probability of exceedance in 50 years. The Seismic Design Category is "B".

Period (sec)	Mapped MCE Spectral Response Acceleration (g)		Site Coefficients		Adjusted MCE Spectral Response Acceleration (g)		Design Spectral Response Acceleration (g)	
0.2	S_s	0.190	F_a	1.6	S_{ms}	0.304	S_{ds}	0.203
1.0	S_1	0.056	F_v	2.4	S_{m1}	0.135	S_{d1}	0.09

Notes: MCE = Maximum Considered Earthquake
g = acceleration due to gravity

8.5 Radon

***"Radon Act 51** passed by Congress set the natural outdoor level of radon gas (0.4 pCi/L) as the target radon level for indoor radon levels".*

Central El Paso County and the 80951 zip code in which the site is located, has an EPA assigned Radon Zone of *1*. A radon Zone of *1* predicts an average indoor radon screening level greater than 0.4 pCi/L (picocuries per liter), which is above the recommended levels assigned by the EPA. *The EPA recommends corrective measures to reduce exposure to radon gas.*

All of the State of Colorado is considered EPA Zone 1 based on the information provided at https://county-radon.info/CO/El_Paso.html. Elevated hazardous levels of radon from naturally occurring sources are not anticipated at this site.

Mitigation

Radon hazards are best mitigated at the building design and construction phases. Providing increased ventilation of basements, crawlspaces, creating slightly positive pressures within structures, and sealing of joints and cracks in the foundations and below-grade walls can help mitigate radon hazards. Passive radon mitigation systems are also available.

8.6 Proposed Grading, Erosion Control, Cuts and Masses of Fill

Based on the test borings for this investigation, the excavations are anticipated encounter silty to clayey sand. The on-site soils are suitable for use as site-grading fill.

An Overlot Grading Plan was not available for review during this study. Prior to placement of overlot fill or removal and recompaction of the existing materials, topsoil, low-density native soil, fill and organic matter should be removed from the fill area. The subgrade should be scarified, moisture conditioned to within 2% of the optimum moisture content, and recompacted to the same degree as the overlying fill to be placed. The placement and compaction of fill should be periodically observed and tested by competent personnel.

If unsuitable fill soils are encountered at the time of construction, they should be removed (overexcavated) and replaced with compacted structural fill. The zone of overexcavation shall extend to the bottom of the unsuitable fill zone and shall extend at least that same distance beyond the building perimeter (or lateral extent of any fill, if encountered first).

We anticipate that the deepest excavation cuts for the proposed residential construction utilizing a shallow spread footing foundation will be approximately 3 to 4-feet below the finished ground surfaces for crawlspace foundations. If basements are proposed, excavation cuts could range up to 8 feet below the finished ground surface. We believe the surficial soils will classify as Type C materials as defined by OSHA in 29CFR Part 1926, dated January 2, 1990. OSHA requires temporary slopes made in Type C materials be laid back at ratios no steeper than 1.5:1 (horizontal to vertical) unless the excavation is shored or braced.

Long term cut slopes in the upper soil should be limited to no steeper than 3:1 (horizontal to vertical). Flatter slopes will likely be necessary should groundwater conditions occur. It is recommended that long-term fill slopes be no steeper than 3:1 (horizontal to vertical).

Additional Guideline Site Grading Specifications are included in the Appendix B.

9.0 BEARING OF GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS UPON PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Geologic hazards (as described in Section 8.0 of this report) were not found to be present at this site. Geologic constraints (as described in section 8.0 of this report) such as potentially hydrocompactive soils, steep slopes, erosion, seismicity, and radon were found on the site. It is our opinion that the existing geologic and engineering conditions can be satisfactorily mitigated through proper engineering design and construction practices.

10.0 BURIED UTILITIES

Based upon the conditions encountered in the test borings, we anticipate that the soils encountered in individual utility trench excavations will consist mostly of native silty to clayey sand. It is anticipated the sands will be encountered at loose to medium dense relative densities. Bedrock conditions are not anticipated within the utility trenches.

We believe the sand will classify as Type C materials and perhaps as Type B materials as defined by OSHA in 29 CFR Part 1926. OSHA requires that temporary excavations made in Type B and C materials be laid back at ratios no steeper than 1:1 (horizontal to vertical) and 1½:1 (horizontal to vertical), respectively, unless the excavation is shored and braced. Excavations deeper than 20 feet, or when water is present, should always be braced or the slope designed by a professional engineer.

11.0 PAVEMENTS

Internal streets within this development may be private or public streets. As such, they will require a site-specific pavement design prepared in accordance with the El Paso County Engineering Criteria Manual (ECM).

For purposes of this report, we anticipate the subgrade soils will have American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Soil Classifications primarily of A-2-4(0) and A-4(0), which are considered "good" for use as subgrade material. AASHTO Soil Classifications are presented in Figure 9.1.

The ECM notes that mitigation measures may be required for expansive soils, shallow ground water, subgrade instability, etc. Based on the AASHTO classification of the soils in the subdivision and laboratory swell testing, the subgrade soils are expected to encounter nil to low expansive potential. Therefore, special mitigation measures are not anticipated for subgrade preparation.

Pavement materials should be selected, prepared, and placed in accordance with the El Paso County specification and the Pikes Peak Region Asphalt Paving Specifications. Tests should be performed in accordance with the applicable procedures presented in the final design.

12.0 ANTICIPATED FOUNDATION SYSTEMS

Based on the information presented previously, conventional shallow foundation systems are anticipated to be suitable for proposed residential structures. Typical foundation cuts are anticipated to be approximately 3 to 8-feet below the final ground surface. The following are general foundation recommendations. Structure specific investigations should be performed prior to structure design after approval of the PUD.

Loose sand soils are anticipated in the majority of the excavations at and/or near foundation or floor slab bearing levels. Where loose sands are encountered, they may require additional compaction to achieve the suitable bearing pressure. In some cases, removal and recompaction may be required for loose soils.

Structures may be supported on shallow foundations bearing on a minimum of 18-inches of compacted native soil or imported compacted structural fill prepared in accordance with the following recommendations. Site preparation should include clearing and grubbing the site of all vegetation, topsoil, and any other deleterious material within the construction area and disposing this material appropriately. Following clearing and grubbing, the area within the foundation footprint and a 2-foot perimeter beyond should be overexcavated 12-inches below the bottom of footing elevation. An Open Excavation Observation should be made at this point to verify soil conditions are as reported in the soil boring logs herein.

Upon verification, the upper 6-inches of the exposed surface soils should be scarified and moisture conditioned to facilitate compaction (usually within 2 percent of the optimum moisture content) and compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by the Modified Proctor test (ASTM D-1557).

After compaction of the in situ soil, the excavation should then be backfilled in compacted lifts to bottom of footing elevation with native soil or structural fill consisting of well-graded non-cohesive granular material. The material should not be excessively wet, should be free of organic matter and construction debris, and contain no rock fragments greater than 2-inches in any dimension. Structural fill material should be placed in 8-inch loose lifts with moisture content within 2 percent of optimum as determined by ASTM D-1557. Each loose lift should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of Modified Proctor maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D-1557. Each lift of soil should be density tested to verify compaction meets these requirements.

Structures may be supported on shallow foundations when the site is prepared in accordance with the recommendations above. When so prepared, a maximum allowable bearing pressure of 2,500 psf with no minimum dead load requirement may be used for design. The foundation design should be prepared by a qualified Colorado Registered Professional Engineer using the recommendations presented in this report. This foundation system should be designed to span a minimum of 10 feet under the design loads. The bottoms of exterior foundations should be at least 30 inches below finished grade for frost protection. When prepared and properly compacted, total settlement of 1-inch or less with differential settlement of ½ inch or less is estimated. Settlement in granular material will occur relatively rapidly with construction loads. Long-term consolidation settlement should not be an issue in the site material if prepared as recommended above.

12.1 Structural Fill - General

Except as described above for foundations, areas to receive structural fill should have topsoil, organic material, and debris removed. The upper 6-inches of the exposed surface soils should be scarified and moisture conditioned to facilitate compaction (usually within 2 percent of the optimum moisture content) and compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by the Modified Proctor test (ASTM D-1557).

Structural fill should be placed in loose lifts not exceeding 8 to 10-inches and moisture conditioned to facilitate compaction (usually within 2 percent of the optimum moisture content) and compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by the Modified Proctor test (ASTM D-1557).

Structural fill placed on slopes should be benched into the slope. Maximum bench heights should not exceed 4 feet, and bench widths should be wide enough to accommodate compaction equipment. Structural fill should not be placed on frozen subgrade or allowed to freeze during moisture conditioning and placement. To verify the condition of the compacted soils, density tests should be performed during placement.

12.2 Surface Grading and Drainage

The ground surface should be sloped from structures with a minimum gradient of 10 percent for the first 10 feet. This is equivalent to 12 inches of fall across this 10-foot zone. If a 10-foot zone is not possible on the upslope side of the structure, then a well-defined swale should be created a minimum 5 feet from the foundation and sloped parallel with the wall with a minimum slope of 2 percent to intercept the surface water and transport it around and away from the structure. Roof drains should extend across backfill zones and landscaped areas to a region that is graded to direct flow away from the structure. Water should be kept from ponding near the foundations.

Landscaping should be selected to reduce irrigation requirements. Plants used close to foundation walls should be limited to those with low moisture requirements and irrigated grass should not be located within 5 feet of the foundation. To help control weed growth, geotextiles should be used below landscaped areas adjacent to foundations. Impervious plastic membranes are not recommended.

Irrigation devices should not be placed within 5 feet of the foundation. Irrigation should be limited to the amount sufficient to maintain vegetation. Excess water may increase the likelihood of slab and foundation movements.

12.3 Foundation Drains

A subsurface perimeter drain is recommended around portions of structures that will have habitable or storage space located below the finished ground surface. This includes crawlspace areas if applicable. Perimeter drains should have positive outfall, or be connected to an underdrain system installed within the sanitary sewer trench. El Paso County typically prefers underdrain systems to be engineered by a design professional.

Shallow groundwater conditions were not encountered in the test boring performed for this study. It must be understood that the drain systems are designed to intercept some types of subsurface moisture and not others. Therefore, the drains could operate properly and not mitigate all moisture problems relating to foundation performance or moisture intrusion into the basement area.

13.0 ADDITIONAL STUDIES

The findings, conclusions and recommendations presented in this report were provided to evaluate the suitability of the site for the proposed development. The test borings, laboratory test results, conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are for preliminary evaluations, and not intended for use for final design and construction. We recommend that a *lot-specific* subsurface soil investigation be performed for the proposed structures. The extent of any fill soils encountered during the lot-specific investigations should be evaluated for suitability to support the proposed structures prior to construction. Additionally, the groundwater conditions encountered in the lot-specific investigation should be evaluated to determine the feasibility of basement construction on that lot.

The lot-specific subsurface soil investigation should consider the proposed structure type, anticipated foundation loading conditions, location within the property, and local construction methods. Recommendations resulting from the investigations should be used for design and confirmed by on-site observation and testing during development and construction.

14.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based upon our evaluation of the geologic conditions, it is our opinion that the proposed development is feasible. The geologic conditions identified potentially hydrocompactive soils, steep slopes, erosion, seismicity, and radon. These conditions, however, are considered typical for the Front Range region of Colorado. Mitigation of geologic conditions is most effectively accomplished by avoidance. However, where avoidance is not a practical or acceptable alternative, geologic conditions should be mitigated by implementing appropriate planning, engineering, and suitable construction practices.

Surface runoff from outside the site should be redirected and controlled during development and prior to the construction of the proposed single-family residences. In addition to the previously identified mitigation alternatives, surface and subsurface drainage systems should be considered. Exterior, perimeter foundation drains should be installed around below-grade habitable or storage spaces. Surface water should be efficiently removed from the building area to prevent ponding and infiltration into the subsurface soil. Over-irrigation after development should be avoided.

The foundation systems for the proposed single-family residential structures, retaining walls greater than 4 feet, and any retention/detention facilities should be designed and constructed based upon recommendations developed in a site-specific subsurface soil investigation.

Revisions and modifications to the conclusions and recommendations presented in this report may be issued subsequently by RMG based upon additional observations made during grading and construction, which may indicate conditions that require re-evaluation of some of the criteria presented in this report.

15.0 CLOSING

This report is for the exclusive purpose of providing geologic hazards information and preliminary geotechnical engineering recommendations. The scope of services did not include, either specifically or by implication, evaluation of wild fire hazards, environmental assessment of the site, or identification of contaminated or hazardous materials or conditions. Development of recommendations for the mitigation of environmentally related conditions, including but not limited to, biological or toxicological issues, are beyond the scope of this report. If the owner is concerned about the potential for such contamination or conditions, other studies should be undertaken.

This report has been prepared for **Meadowbrook Development, LLC** in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering and engineering geology practices. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based in part upon data obtained from review of available topographic and geologic maps, review of available reports of previous studies conducted in the site vicinity, a site reconnaissance, and research of available published information, soil test borings, soil laboratory testing, and engineering analyses. The nature and extent of variations may not become evident until construction activities begin. If variations then become evident, RMG should be retained to re-evaluate the recommendations of this report, if necessary.

Our professional services were performed using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised, under similar circumstances, by geotechnical engineers and engineering geologists practicing in this or similar localities. RMG does not warrant the work of regulatory agencies or other third parties supplying information which may have been used during the preparation of this report. No warranty, express or implied, is made by the preparation of this report. Third parties reviewing this report should draw their own conclusions regarding site conditions and specific construction techniques to be used on this project.

If we can be of further assistance in discussing the contents of this report or analysis of the proposed development, from a geotechnical engineering point-of-view, please feel free to contact us.

FIGURES



NOT TO SCALE

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS
ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Southern Office
Colorado Springs,CO
80918
(719) 548-0600
Central Office:
Englewood, CO 80112
(303) 688-9475
Northern Office:
Greeley / Evans, CO 80620
(970) 330-1071

SITE VICINITY MAP

MEADOWBROOK PARK DEVELOPMENT
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO
MEADOWBROOK DEVELOPMENT, LLC

JOB No. 177164

FIG No. 1

DATE 8-26-2020



BASE MAP PROVIDED BY KIMLEY HORN

NOT TO SCALE

DENOTES APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF TEST BORINGS

JOB No. 177164

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Southern Office
Colorado Springs, CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
Central Office:
Englewood, CO 80112
(303) 688-9475
Northern Office:
Greeley / Evans, CO 80620
(970) 330-1071
Woodland Park Office:
(719) 687-6077
Monument Office:
(719) 488-2145
Pueblo / Canon City:
(719) 544-7750

MEADOWBROOK PARK DEVELOPMENT
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO
MEADOWBROOK DEVELOPMENT, LLC

ENGINEER:	GW
DRAWN BY:	KZ
CHECKED BY:	GW
ISSUED:	8-25-2020

PROPOSED CONCEPT PLAN

SHEET No.
FIG-2

BASE MAP PROVIDED BY KIMLEY HORN

NOT TO SCALE

DENOTES APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF TEST BORINGS

JOB No. 177164

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Southern Office
Colorado Springs, CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
Central Office:
Englewood, CO 80112
(303) 688-9475
Northern Office:
Greeley / Evans, CO 80620
(970) 330-1071
Woodland Park Office:
(719) 687-6077
Monument Office:
(719) 488-2145
Pueblo / Canon City:
(719) 544-7750

MEADOWBROOK PARK DEVELOPMENT
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO
MEADOWBROOK DEVELOPMENT, LLC

ENGINEER:	GW
DRAWN BY:	KZ
CHECKED BY:	GW
ISSUED:	8-25-2020

TEST BORING LOCATION PLAN

SHEET No.
FIG-3

SOILS DESCRIPTION

SILTY TO CLAYEY SAND

UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, ALL LABORATORY
TESTS PRESENTED HEREIN WERE PERFORMED BY:
RMG - ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP
2910 AUSTIN BLUFFS PARKWAY
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO

SYMBOLS AND NOTES

 XX	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST - MADE BY DRIVING A SPLIT-BARREL SAMPLER INTO THE SOIL BY DROPPING A 140 LB. HAMMER 30", IN GENERAL ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM D-1586. NUMBER INDICATES NUMBER OF HAMMER BLOWS PER FOOT (UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED).
 XX	UNDISTURBED CALIFORNIA SAMPLE - MADE BY DRIVING A RING-LINED SAMPLER INTO THE SOIL BY DROPPING A 140 LB. HAMMER 30", IN GENERAL ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM D-3550. NUMBER INDICATES NUMBER OF HAMMER BLOWS PER FOOT (UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED).
	FREE WATER TABLE
	DEPTH AT WHICH BORING CAVED
 BULK	DISTURBED BULK SAMPLE
 AUG	AUGER "CUTTINGS"
4.5	WATER CONTENT (%)

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Architectural
Structural
Forensics

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS

Geotechnical
Materials Testing
Civil, Planning

Colorado Springs: (Corporate Office)
2910 Austin Bluffs Parkway
Colorado Spings, CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
SOUTHERN COLORADO, DENVER METRO, NORTHERN COLORADO

EXPLANATION OF TEST BORING LOGS

JOB No. 177164

FIGURE No. 4

DATE Aug/25/2020

TEST BORING: **1** ELEVATION (FT): DATE DRILLED: 6/30/20 NO GROUNDWATER ON 7/10/20	DEPTH (FT)	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	BLOWS PER FT.	WATER CONTENT %
SAND, SILTY TO CLAYEY, brown, loose to medium dense, moist	5			6	3.9
	10			11	5.6
	15			13	4.1
	20			9	3.9

TEST BORING: **2** ELEVATION (FT): DATE DRILLED: 6/30/20 NO GROUNDWATER ON 7/10/20	DEPTH (FT)	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	BLOWS PER FT.	WATER CONTENT %
SAND, SILTY TO CLAYEY, brown, loose to medium dense, moist	5			11	4.1
	10			7	4.2
	15			11	5.3
	20			15	6.2

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Architectural
Structural
Forensics

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS

Geotechnical
Materials Testing
Civil, Planning

Colorado Springs: (Corporate Office)
2910 Austin Bluffs Parkway
Colorado Spings, CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
SOUTHERN COLORADO, DENVER METRO, NORTHERN COLORADO

TEST BORING LOG

JOB No. 177164

FIGURE No 5

DATE Aug/25/2020

TEST BORING: **3** ELEVATION (FT): DATE DRILLED: 6/30/20 NO GROUNDWATER ON 7/10/20	DEPTH (FT)	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	BLOWS PER FT.	WATER CONTENT %
SAND, SILTY TO CLAYEY, brown, loose to medium dense, moist	5			12	7.1
	10			8	8.8
	15			7	7.2
	20			13	6.0

TEST BORING: **4** ELEVATION (FT): DATE DRILLED: 6/30/20 NO GROUNDWATER ON 7/10/20	DEPTH (FT)	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	BLOWS PER FT.	WATER CONTENT %
SAND, SILTY TO CLAYEY, brown, loose to medium dense, moist	5			9	4.5
	10			12	4.2
	15			15	5.8
	20				3.3

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS

Architectural
Structural
Forensics

Geotechnical
Materials Testing
Civil, Planning

Colorado Springs: (Corporate Office)
2910 Austin Bluffs Parkway
Colorado Spings, CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
SOUTHERN COLORADO, DENVER METRO, NORTHERN COLORADO

TEST BORING LOG

JOB No. 177164

FIGURE No. 6

DATE Aug/25/2020

TEST BORING: **5** ELEVATION (FT): DATE DRILLED: 6/30/20 NO GROUNDWATER ON 7/10/20	DEPTH (FT)	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	BLOWS PER FT.	WATER CONTENT %
SAND, SILTY TO CLAYEY, brown, loose to medium dense, moist	5			7	3.3
	10			8	4.6
	15			9	6.3
	20			15	6.5

TEST BORING: **6** ELEVATION (FT): DATE DRILLED: 6/30/20 NO GROUNDWATER ON 7/10/20	DEPTH (FT)	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	BLOWS PER FT.	WATER CONTENT %
SAND, SILTY TO CLAYEY, brown, loose to medium dense, moist	5			5	15.0
	10			11	12.1
	15			11	10.1
	20			11	8.9

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Architectural
Structural
Forensics

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS

Geotechnical
Materials Testing
Civil, Planning

Colorado Springs: (Corporate Office)
2910 Austin Bluffs Parkway
Colorado Spings, CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
SOUTHERN COLORADO, DENVER METRO, NORTHERN COLORADO

TEST BORING LOG

JOB No. 177164

FIGURE No. 7

DATE Aug/25/2020

TEST BORING: **7** ELEVATION (FT): DATE DRILLED: 6/30/20 NO GROUNDWATER ON 7/10/20	DEPTH (FT)	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	BLOWS PER FT.	WATER CONTENT %
SAND, SILTY TO CLAYEY, brown, loose to medium dense, moist	5			7	13.1
	10			8	13.0
	15			10	10.3
	20			15	6.2

TEST BORING: **8** ELEVATION (FT): DATE DRILLED: 6/30/20 NO GROUNDWATER ON 7/10/20	DEPTH (FT)	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	BLOWS PER FT.	WATER CONTENT %
SAND, SILTY TO CLAYEY, brown, loose to medium dense, moist	5			8	11.4
	10			11	14.8
	15			10	12.1
	20			16	10.6

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Architectural
Structural
Forensics

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS

Geotechnical
Materials Testing
Civil, Planning

Colorado Springs: (Corporate Office)
2910 Austin Bluffs Parkway
Colorado Spings, CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
SOUTHERN COLORADO, DENVER METRO, NORTHERN COLORADO

TEST BORING LOG

JOB No. 177164

FIGURE No. 8

DATE Aug/25/2020

Test Boring No.	Depth	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index	% Retained No.4 Sieve	% Passing No. 200 Sieve	FHA Expansion Pressure (psf)	% Swell/ Collapse	USCS Classification
1	4.0	3.9		NP	NP	7.8	13.8			SM
1	9.0	5.6								
1	14.0	4.1								
1	19.0	3.9								
2	4.0	4.1		22	5	1.8	34.3			SC-SM
2	9.0	4.2								
2	14.0	5.3								
2	19.0	6.2								
3	4.0	7.1								
3	9.0	8.8		NP	NP	0.0	25.4			SM
3	14.0	7.2								
3	19.0	6.0								
4	4.0	4.5		24	10	5.2	27.9			SC
4	9.0	4.2								
4	14.0	5.8								
4	19.0	3.3								
5	4.0	3.3								
5	9.0	4.6								
5	14.0	6.3		NP	NP	0.0	21.5			SM
5	19.0	6.5								
6	4.0	15.0		NP	NP	0.0	39.6			SM
6	9.0	12.1								
6	14.0	10.1								
6	19.0	8.9								
7	4.0	13.1								
7	9.0	13.0		NP	NP	0.0	33.5			SM
7	14.0	10.3								
7	19.0	6.2								
8	4.0	11.4								
8	9.0	14.8								
8	14.0	12.1		NP	NP	0.0	21.1			SM
8	19.0	10.6								

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Architectural
Structural
Forensics

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS

Geotechnical
Materials Testing
Civil, Planning

Colorado Springs: (Corporate Office)
2910 Austin Bluffs Parkway
Colorado Spings, CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
SOUTHERN COLORADO, DENVER METRO, NORTHERN COLORADO

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

JOB No. 177164
FIGURE No. 9
PAGE 1 OF 1
DATE Aug/25/2020



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

	Test Boring	Depth (ft)	Classification	LL	PL	PI
●	1	4.0	SILTY SAND(SM)	NP	NP	NP
⊠	2	4.0	SILTY, CLAYEY SAND(SC-SM)	22	17	5
▲	3	9.0	SILTY SAND(SM)	NP	NP	NP
★	4	4.0	CLAYEY SAND(SC)	24	14	10
⊙	5	14.0	SILTY SAND(SM)	NP	NP	NP

	Test Boring	Depth (ft)	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
●	1	4.0	7.8	78.4	13.8	
⊠	2	4.0	1.8	63.9	34.3	
▲	3	9.0	0.0	74.6	25.4	
★	4	4.0	5.2	66.8	27.9	
⊙	5	14.0	0.0	78.5	21.5	

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Architectural
Structural
Forensics

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS

Geotechnical
Materials Testing
Civil, Planning

Colorado Springs: (Corporate Office)
2910 Austin Bluffs Parkway
Colorado Spings, CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
SOUTHERN COLORADO, DENVER METRO, NORTHERN COLORADO

SOIL CLASSIFICATION DATA

JOB No. 177164

FIGURE No. 10

DATE Aug/18/2020



COBBLES	GRAVEL		SAND			SILT OR CLAY
	coarse	fine	coarse	medium	fine	

	Test Boring	Depth (ft)	Classification	LL	PL	PI
●	6	4.0	SILTY SAND(SM)	NP	NP	NP
⧓	7	9.0	SILTY SAND(SM)	NP	NP	NP
▲	8	14.0	SILTY SAND(SM)	NP	NP	NP

	Test Boring	Depth (ft)	%Gravel	%Sand	%Silt	%Clay
●	6	4.0	0.0	60.4	39.6	
⧓	7	9.0	0.0	66.5	33.5	
▲	8	14.0	0.0	78.9	21.1	

ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Architectural
Structural
Forensics

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS

Geotechnical
Materials Testing
Civil, Planning

Colorado Springs: (Corporate Office)
2910 Austin Bluffs Parkway
Colorado Spings, CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
SOUTHERN COLORADO, DENVER METRO, NORTHERN COLORADO

SOIL CLASSIFICATION DATA

JOB No. 177164

FIGURE No. 11

DATE Aug/18/2020



NOT TO SCALE

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS
ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Southern Office
Colorado Springs,CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
Central Office:
Englewood, CO 80112
(303) 688-9475
Northern Office:
Greeley / Evans, CO 80620
(970) 330-1071

USDA SOILS SURVEY MAP

MEADOWBROOK PARK DEVELOPMENT
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO
MEADOWBROOK DEVELOPMENT, LLC

JOB No. 177164

FIG No. 12

DATE 8-26-2020

GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS

- *Qes_1 - Younger eolian sand (middle and early Holocene and late? Pleistocene)* - very pale-brown, pale-brown, and light yellowish-brown sand. Unit is chiefly very coarse and coarse sand that appears to have been deposited as sand sheets. Unit thickness is estimated to be 3-20 feet deep. The eolian sand was encountered in the test borings to a depth of 20 feet.
- *Qam* - Middle alluvium (late Pleistocene) - chiefly light brownish gray, pale-brown, light-yellowish-brown, and grayish-brown, poorly sorted and subordinate amounts of gravel.
- *$TKda_1$ - Dawson formation, facies unit one* - white to light-gray, cross-bedded or massive, very coarse arkosic sandstone or pebbly conglomerate. Occasional interbedded thin to very thinly bedded sandy claystone. Estimate thickness varies from 25 to 200 feet. The Dawson formation was not encountered in the test borings.
- *ss - steep slopes* - Isolated steep slopes that are to not be disturbed with the proposed development, other than for the proposed retaining wall construction

ENGINEERING GEOLOGY

- *2D* - Eolian deposits generally on flat to gentle upland areas.
- *2E* - Low terraces and valleys of minor tributary streams.



NOT TO SCALE

DENOTES APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF TEST BORINGS

JOB No. 17164

ARCHITECTS RMG ENGINEERS
ROCKY MOUNTAIN GROUP

Southern Office
Colorado Springs, CO 80918
(719) 548-0600
Central Office:
Englewood, CO 80112
(303) 688-9475
Northern Office:
Greeley / Evans, CO 80620
(970) 330-1071
Woodland Park Office:
(719) 687-6077
Monument Office:
(719) 488-2145
Pueblo / Canon City:
(719) 544-7750

MEADOWBROOK PARK DEVELOPMENT
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO
MEADOWBROOK DEVELOPMENT, LLC

ENGINEER:	GW
DRAWN BY:	KZ
CHECKED BY:	GW
ISSUED:	8-25-2020

ENGINEERING AND GEOLOGY MAP

SHEET No.
FIG-13

APPENDIX A
Additional Reference Documents

1. *Meadowbrook Park Single-Family (Units) Preliminary Concept, El Paso County, Colorado*, prepared by Kimley Horn, received by Client via electronic email.
2. *Flood Insurance Rate Map, El Paso County, Colorado and Unincorporated Areas, Community Panel No. 081041C0752G,* Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), effective December 7, 2018.
3. *Geologic Map of the Elsmere quadrangle, El Paso County, Colorado*, Carroll, C.J., and Crawford, T.A. 200, Colorado Geological Survey Open-File Report OF-003.
4. *Elsmere, Quadrangle, Environmental and Engineering Geologic Map for Land Use*, compiled by Dale M. Cochran, Charles S. Robinson & Associates, Inc., Golden, Colorado, 1977.
5. *Elsmere, Quadrangle, Map of Potential Geologic Hazards and Surficial Deposits*, compiled by Dale M. Cochran, Charles S. Robinson & Associates, Inc., Golden, Colorado, 1977.
6. *Geologic map of the Pueblo 1 degree x 2 degrees quadrangle, south-central Colorado,* Scott, G.R., Taylor, R.B., Epis, R.C., and Wobus, R.A., 1976.
7. *Pikes Peak Regional Building Department:* https://www.pprbd.org/.
8. Schedule Nos.: 5408000053 https://property.spatialest.com/co/elpaso/#/property/5408000053, 5408403001 https://property.spatialest.com/co/elpaso/#/property/5408403001, and 5408008002 https://property.spatialest.com/co/elpaso/#/property/5408008002.
9. *Colorado Geological Survey, USGS Geologic Map Viewer*: http://coloradogeologicalsurvey.org/geologic-mapping/6347-2/.
10. *Historical Aerials:* https://www.historicaerials.com/viewer, Images dated 1947, 1955, 1960, 1969, 1999, 2005, 2009, 2011, 2013, and 2015.
11. *USGS Historical Topographic Map Explorer:* http://historicalmaps.arcgis.com/usgs/ Colorado Springs Quadrangles dated 1893, 1909, 1948, 1950, 1951, 1954, 1958, 1961, 1966, 1969, 1975, 1981, and 1989.
12. *Google Earth Pro*, Imagery dated 1999, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2011, 2015, and 2017.

APPENDIX B
Guideline Site Grading Specifications

Description: Unless specified otherwise by local or state regulatory agencies, these guideline specifications are for the excavation, placement and compaction of material from locations indicated on the plans, or staked by the Engineer, as necessary to achieve the required elevations. These specifications shall also apply to compaction of materials that may be placed outside of the project.

General: The Geotechnical Engineer shall approve fill materials, method of placement, moisture contents and percent compactions, and shall give written approval of the compacted fill.

Clearing Site: The Contractor shall remove trees, brush, rubbish, vegetation, topsoil and existing structures before excavation or fill placement is commenced. The Contractor shall dispose of the cleared material to provide the Owner with a clean job site. Cleared material shall not be placed in areas to receive fill or where the material will support structures. Clearing shall also include removal of existing fills that do not meet the requirements of this specification and existing structures.

Preparation of Slopes or Drainage Areas to Receive Fill: Natural slopes or slopes of drainage gullies where grades are 20 percent (5:1, horizontal to vertical) or steeper shall be benched prior to fill placement. Benches shall be at least 10 feet wide. Benches may require additional width to accommodate excavation or compaction equipment. At least one bench shall be provided for each 5 feet or less of vertical elevation difference. The bench surface shall be essentially horizontal perpendicular to the slope or at a slight incline into the slope.

Scarifying: Topsoil and vegetation shall be removed from the ground surface in areas to receive fill. The surface shall be plowed or scarified a minimum of 12 inches until the surface is free from ruts, hummocks or other uneven features which would prevent uniform compaction by the equipment to be used.

Compacting Area to Receive Fill: After the area to receive fill has been cleared and scarified, it shall be disked or bladed until it is free from large clods, moisture conditioned to a proper moisture content and compacted to the maximum density as specified for the overlying fill. Areas to receive fill shall be worked, stabilized, or removed and replaced, if necessary, in accordance with the Geotechnical Engineer's recommendations in preparation for fill.

Fill Materials: Fill material shall be free from organic material or other deleterious substances, and shall not contain rocks or lumps having a diameter greater than six inches. Fill materials shall be obtained from cut areas shown on the plans or staked in the field by the Engineer or imported to the site and shall be approved by the Geotechnical Engineer prior to placement. It is recommended that the fill materials have nil to low expansion potential, i.e., consist of silty to slightly clayey sand.

- The moisture-conditioned materials should be placed in maximum 6" compacted lifts. These materials should be compacted to a minimum of 92 percent of the maximum Modified Proctor dry density or 95 percent of the maximum Standard Proctor dry density. Material not meeting the above requirements shall be reprocessed.

Materials used for moisture-conditioned structural fill should be approved by RMG prior to use. Moisture-conditioned structural fill should not be placed on frozen subgrade or allowed to freeze during moisture conditioning and placement.

Moisture Content: Fill materials shall be moisture conditioned to within limits of optimum moisture content specified. Sufficient laboratory compaction tests shall be made to determine the optimum moisture content for the various soils encountered in borrow areas or imported to the site.

The contractor may be required to add moisture to the excavation materials in the borrow area if, in the opinion of the Geotechnical Engineer, it is not possible to obtain uniform moisture content by adding water to the fill material during placement. The Contractor may be required to rake or disk the fill soils to provide uniform moisture content through the soils.

The application of water to embankment materials shall be made with watering equipment, approved by the Geotechnical Engineer, which will give the desired results. Water jets from the spreader shall not be directed at the embankment with such force that fill materials are eroded.

Should too much water be added to the fill, such that the material is too wet to permit the desired compaction to be obtained, compacting and work on that section of the fill shall be delayed until the material has been allowed to dry to the required moisture content. The Contractor will be permitted to rework the wet material in an approved manner to hasten its drying.

Compaction of Fill Areas: Selected fill material shall be placed and mixed in evenly spread layers. After each fill layer has been placed, it shall be uniformly compacted to not less than the specified percentage of maximum density. Fill materials shall be placed such that the thickness of loose material does not exceed 10 inches and the compacted lift thickness does not exceed 6 inches.

Compaction, as specified above, shall be obtained by the use of sheepsfoot rollers, multiple-wheel pneumatic-tired rollers, or other equipment approved by the Geotechnical Engineer. Granular fill shall be compacted using vibratory equipment or other equipment approved by the Geotechnical Engineer. Compaction shall be accomplished while the fill material is at the specified moisture content. Compaction of each layer shall be continuous over the entire area.

Moisture Content and Density Criteria:

A. Fill placed in roadways and utility trenches should be moisture conditioned and compacted in accordance with El Paso County Specifications.
B. Fill placed outside of roadways and utility trenches should be compacted to at least 92% of the maximum Modified Proctor density (ASTM D-1557) or at least 95% of the maximum Standard Proctor density (ASTM D-698) at a moisture content within 2% of optimum.

Compaction of Slopes: Fill slopes shall be compacted by means of sheepsfoot rollers or other suitable equipment. Compaction operations shall be continued until slopes are stable, but not too dense for planting, and such that there is no appreciable amount of loose soil on the slopes. Compaction of slopes may be done progressively in increments of three to five feet in height or after the fill is brought to its total height. Permanent fill slopes shall not exceed 3:1 (horizontal to vertical).

Density Testing: Field density testing shall be performed by the Geotechnical Engineer at locations and depths of his choosing. Where sheepsfoot rollers are used, the soil may be disturbed to a depth of several inches. Density tests shall be taken in compacted material below the disturbed surface. When density tests indicate the density or moisture content of any layer of fill or portion thereof is below that required, the particular layer or portion shall be reworked until the required density or moisture content has been achieved.

Observation and Testing of Fill: Observation by the Geotechnical Engineer shall be sufficient during the placement of fill and compaction operations so that he can declare the fill was placed in general conformance with Specifications. All observations necessary to test the placement of fill and observe compaction operations will be at the expense of the Owner.

Seasonal Limits: No fill material shall be placed, spread or rolled while it is frozen, thawing, or during unfavorable weather conditions. When work is interrupted by heavy precipitation, fill operations shall not be resumed until the Geotechnical Engineer indicates the moisture content and density of previously placed materials are as specified.

Reporting of Field Density Tests: Density tests made by the Geotechnical Engineer shall be submitted progressively to the Owner. Dry density, moisture content, percent compaction, and approximate location shall be reported for each test taken.

APPENDIX E
IDENTIFICATION OF POLLUTANT SOURCES

Outdoor Storage of Materials Log

Identification of Pollutant	Date Onsite	Date Removed	Containment Method

Vehicle Equipment Maintenance and Fueling Log

Identification of Pollutant	Date Onsite	Date Removed	Containment Method

Routine Maintenance Log

Identification of Pollutant	Date Onsite	Date Removed	Containment Method

Onsite Waste Management Log

Identification of Pollutant	Date Onsite	Date Removed	Containment Method

Non-Industrial Waste Sources Log

Identification of Pollutant	Date Onsite	Date Removed	Containment Method

Additional Pollutant Sources Log

Identification of Pollutant	Date Onsite	Date Removed	Containment Method

APPENDIX F
LAND DISTURBANCE / CONTROL MEASURE / STABILIZATION LOG

Land Disturbance / **Control Measure** / Stabilization Log

Date Initiated	Description of Activity	Date Ceased	Identification of BMP / Stabilization Method	Date Implemented	Date Removed

APPENDIX G
CDPHE ENVIRONMENTAL SPILL REPORTING / CONTROL MEASURE

involving a radioactive or infectious material, or there is a release of a marine pollutant.

Spills and incidents that have or may result in a spill along a highway must be reported to the nearest law enforcement agency immediately. The Colorado State Patrol and CDPHE must also be notified as soon as possible. In the event of a spill of hazardous waste at a transfer facility, the transporter must notify CDPHE within 24 hours if the spill exceeds 55 gallons or if there is a fire or explosion.

The National Response Center should be notified as soon as possible after discovery of a release of a hazardous liquid or carbon dioxide from a pipeline system if a person is killed or injured, there is a fire or explosion, there is property damage of $50,000 or more, or any nearby water body is contaminated.

The National Response Center and the Colorado Public Utilities Commission Gas Pipeline Safety Section must be notified as soon as possible, but not more than two hours after discovery of a release of gas from a natural gas pipeline or liquefied natural gas facility if a person is killed or injured, there is an emergency shutdown of the facility, or there is property damage of $50,000 or more. The Colorado Public Utilities Commission should also be notified if there is a gas leak from a pipeline, liquefied natural gas system, master meter system or a propane system that results in the evacuation of 50 or more people from an occupied building or the closure of a roadway.

Oil and Gas Exploration

All Class I major events on federal lands, including releases of hazardous substances in excess of the CERCLA reportable quantity and spills of more than 100 barrels of fluid and/or 500 MCF of gas released, must be reported to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) immediately. Spills of oil, gas, salt water, toxic liquids and waste materials must also be reported to the BLM and the surface management agency.

Spills of exploration and production (E&P) waste on state or private lands in excess of 20 barrels, and spills of any size that impact or threaten to impact waters of the state, an occupied structure, or public byway must be reported to the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission as soon as practicable, but not more than 24 hours after discovery. Spills of any size that impact or threaten to impact waters of the state must be reported to CDPHE immediately. Spills that impact or threaten to impact a surface water intake must be reported to the emergency contact for that facility immediately after discovery. Spills of more than five (5) barrels of E&P waste must be reported in writing to the Oil and Gas Conservation Commission within 10 days of discovery.

REPORTING NUMBERS

National Response Center (24-hour)
1-800-424-8802

CDPHE Colorado Environmental Release and Incident Reporting Line (24-hour)
1-877-518-5608

Radiation Incident Reporting Line (24-hour)
303-877-9757

Colorado State Patrol (24-hour)
303-239-4501

Division of Oil and Public Safety
(business hours)
303-318-8547

Oil and Gas Conservation Commission
(business hours)
303-894-2100

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Gas Pipeline Safety Section (business hours)
303-894-2851

Local Emergency Planning Committees
(to obtain list, business hours)
720-852-6603

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment

Environmental Spill Reporting

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
4300 Cherry Creek Drive South
Denver, CO 80246-1530

http://www.cdphe.state.co.us

January 2009

When a release of a hazardous material or other substance occurs to the environment, there are a number of reporting and notification requirements that must be followed by the company or individual responsible for the release. Most spills are covered by more than one reporting requirement, and **all** requirements must be met. In addition to verbal notification, written reports are generally required. This brochure briefly explains the major requirements. A more detailed description is provided in the “Reporting Environmental Releases in Colorado” Guidance Document, available on the web.

Releases that must be reported to the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) may be reported to the Colorado Environmental Release and Incident Reporting Line.

ENVIRONMENTAL SPILL REPORTING

CERCLA, EPCRA and RCRA

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) require that a release of a reportable quantity or more of a hazardous substance to the environment be reported immediately to the appropriate authorities when the release is discovered.

Under CERCLA, reportable quantities were established for hazardous substances listed or designated under other environmental statutes. These include:

- all hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) listed under Section 112(b) of the Clean Air Act.
- all toxic pollutants designated under Section 307(a) or Section 311(b)(2)(A) of the Clean Water Act.
- all Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) characteristic and listed hazardous wastes.
- any element, compound, or substance designated under Section 102 of CERCLA.

EPCRA established a list of extremely hazardous substances (EHS) that could cause serious irreversible health effects from accidental releases. Many substances appear on both the CERCLA and EPCRA lists. EPCRA extremely hazardous substances that are also CERCLA hazardous substances have the same reportable quantity (RQ) as under CERCLA. EPCRA extremely hazardous substances that are not listed under CERCLA have a reportable quantity that is equal to their threshold planning quantity (TPQ). A list of CERCLA reportable quantities is included in 40 CFR Section 302.4. A list of EPCRA threshold planning quantities is included in 40 CFR Part 355 Appendices A & B.

CERCLA-reportable releases must be reported immediately to the National Response Center (NRC), while EPCRA-reportable releases must be reported immediately to the National Response Center, the State Emergency Response Commission (SERC) and the affected Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC). If the release is an EPCRA extremely hazardous substance, but not a CERCLA hazardous substance, and there is absolutely no potential to affect off-site persons, then only the State Emergency Planning Commission (represented by CDPHE for reporting purposes) and the Local Emergency Planning Committee need to be notified.

In the case of a release of hazardous waste stored in tanks, RCRA-permitted facilities and large quantity generators must also notify CDPHE within 24 hours of any release to the environment that is greater than one (1) pound.

Radiation Control

Each licensee or registrant must report to the Radiation Incident Reporting Line in the event of lost, stolen or missing licensed or registered radioactive materials or radiation machines, releases of radioactive materials, contamination events, and fires or explosions involving radioactive materials. Releases of radionuclides are reportable under CERCLA.

Clean Water Act

The Clean Water Act requires the person in charge of a facility or vessel to immediately report to the National Response Center all discharges of oil or designated hazardous substances to water. Oil means oil of any kind or form. Designated hazardous substances are included in the CERCLA list.

The Clean Water Act also requires that facilities with a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit report to the National Response Center within 24 hours of becoming aware of any unanticipated bypasses or upsets that cause an exceedance of the effluent limits in their permit and any violations of their maximum daily discharge limits for pollutants listed in their permit.

A release of any chemical, oil, petroleum product, sewage, etc., which may enter waters of the state of Colorado (which include surface water, ground water and dry gullies and storm sewers leading to surface water) must be reported immediately to CDPHE. Any accidental discharge to the sanitary sewer system must be reported immediately to the local sewer authority and the affected wastewater treatment plant. For additional regarding releases to water, please see "Guidance for Reporting Spills under the Colorado Water Quality Control Act and Colorado Discharge Permits" at http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/op/wqcc/Resources/Guidance/spillguidance.pdf.

Clean Air Act

Hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) are designated as hazardous substances under CERCLA. If a facility has an air permit but the permit does not allow for or does not specify the release of a substance, or if the facility does not have an air permit, then all releases in excess of the CERCLA / EPCRA reportable quantity for that substance must be reported to the National Response Center and CDPHE. If the facility releases more of a substance than is allowed under its air permit, the facility must also report the release. Discharges of a substance that are within the allowable limits specified in the facility's permit do not need to be reported.

Regulated Storage Tanks

Owners and operators of regulated storage tank systems must report a release or suspected release of regulated substances to the Division of Oil and Public Safety at the Colorado Department of Labor and Employment within 24 hours. Under this program, the reportable quantity for petroleum releases is 25 gallons or more, or any amount that causes a sheen on nearby surface water. Spills of less than 25 gallons of petroleum must be immediately contained and cleaned up. If cleanup cannot be accomplished within 24 hours, the Division of Oil and Public Safety must be notified immediately.

Spills of hazardous substances from tanks in excess of the CERCLA or EPCRA reportable quantity must be reported immediately to the National Response Center, CDPHE and the local fire authority, and to the Division of Oil and Public Safety within 24 hours.

Transportation and Pipelines

The person in physical possession of a hazardous material must notify the National Response Center as soon as practical, but not to exceed 12 hours after the incident, if as a direct result of the hazardous material, a person is killed or injured, there is an evacuation of the general public lasting more than an hour, a major transportation artery is shut down for an hour or more, the flight pattern of an aircraft is altered, there is fire, spillage or suspected contamination

APPENDIX H
STORM EVENT LOG

Rain Gauge Data		
Date:	**Location:**	**Reading in decimal fraction of inches**

APPENDIX I
INSPECTION AND SAMPLING REPORTS

CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER SITE INSPECTION REPORT

Facility Name		Permittee	
Date of Inspection		Weather Conditions	
Permit Certification #		Disturbed Acreage	
Phase of Construction		Inspector Title	
Inspector Name			

	YES	NO
Is the above inspector a qualified stormwater manager? (permittee is responsible for ensuring that the inspector is a qualified stormwater manager)	☐	☐

INSPECTION FREQUENCY	
Check the box that describes the minimum inspection frequency utilized when conducting each inspection	
At least one inspection every 7 calendar days	☐
At least one inspection every 14 calendar days, with post-storm event inspections conducted within 24 hours after the end of any precipitation or snowmelt event that causes surface erosions	☐
• This is this a post-storm event inspection. Event Date: _____	☐
Reduced inspection frequency - Include site conditions that warrant reduced inspection frequency	☐
• Post-storm inspections at temporarily idle sites	☐
• Inspections at completed sites/area	☐
• Winter conditions exclusion	☐

	YES	NO
Have there been any deviations from the minimum inspection schedule? If yes, describe below.	☐	☐

INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS*
i. Visually verify all implemented control measures are in effective operational condition and are working as designed in the specifications
ii. Determine if there are new potential sources of pollutants
iii. Assess the adequacy of control measures at the site to identify areas requiring new or modified control measures to minimize pollutant discharges
iv. Identify all areas of non-compliance with the permit requirements, and if necessary, implement corrective action
*Use the attached **Control Measures Requiring Routine Maintenance** and **Inadequate Control Measures Requiring Corrective Action** forms to document results of this assessment that trigger either maintenance or corrective actions

AREAS TO BE INSPECTED

Is there evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants leaving the construction site boundaries, entering the stormwater drainage system or discharging to state waters at the following locations?

	NO	YES	If "YES" describe discharge or potential for discharge below. Document related maintenance, inadequate control measures and corrective actions **Inadequate Control Measures Requiring Corrective Action** form
Construction site perimeter	☐	☐	
All disturbed areas	☐	☐	
Designated haul routes	☐	☐	
Material and waste storage areas exposed to precipitation	☐	☐	
Locations where stormwater has the potential to discharge offsite	☐	☐	
Locations where vehicles exit the site	☐	☐	
Other: _____	☐	☐	

CONTROL MEASURES REQUIRING ROUTINE MAINTENANCE

Definition: Any control measure that is still operating in accordance with its design and the requirements of the permit, but requires maintenance to prevent a breach of the control measure. These items are not subject to the corrective action requirements as specified in Part I.B.1.c of the permit.

Are there control measures requiring maintenance?	NO	YES	
	☐	☐	If "YES" document below

Date Observed	Location	Control Measure	Maintenance Required	Date Completed

INADEQUATE CONTROL MEASURES REQUIRING CORRECTIVE ACTION

Definition: Any control measure that is not designed or implemented in accordance with the requirements of the permit and/or any control measure that is not implemented to operate in accordance with its design. This includes control measures that have not been implemented for pollutant sources. If it is infeasible to install or repair the control measure immediately after discovering the deficiency the reason must be documented and a schedule included to return the control measure to effective operating condition as possible.

	NO	YES	
Are there inadequate control measures requiring corrective action?	☐	☐	If "YES" document below

	NO	YES	
Are there additional control measures needed that were not in place at the time of inspection?	☐	☐	If "YES" document below

Date Discovered	Location	Description of Inadequate Control Measure	Description of Corrective Action	Was deficiency corrected when discovered? YES/NO if "NO" provide reason and schedule to correct	Date Corrected

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee shall report the following circumstances orally within twenty-four (24) hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, and shall mail to the division a written report containing the information requested within five (5) working days after becoming aware of the following circumstances. The division may waive the written report required if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.

All Noncompliance Requiring 24-Hour Notification per Part II.L.6 of the Permit

a. Endangerment to Health or the Environment

Circumstances leading to any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment regardless of the cause of the incident (See Part II.L.6.a of the Permit)

This category would primarily result from the discharge of pollutants in violation of the permit

b. Numeric Effluent Limit Violations

- o Circumstances leading to any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitations (See Part II.L.6.b of the Permit)
- o Circumstances leading to any upset which causes an exceedance of any effluent limitation (See Part II.L.6.c of the Permit)
- o Daily maximum violations (See Part II.L.6.d of the Permit)

Numeric effluent limits are very uncommon in certifications under the COR400000 general permit. This category of noncompliance only applies if numeric effluent limits are included in a permit certification.

Has there been an incident of noncompliance requiring 24-hour notification?	NO	YES	
	☐	☐	If "YES" document below

Date and Time of Incident	Location	Description of Noncompliance	Description of Corrective Action	Date and Time of 24 Hour Oral Notification	Date of 5 Day Written Notification *

*Attach copy of 5 day written notification to report. Indicate if written notification was waived, including the name of the division personnel who granted waiver.

After adequate corrective action(s) and maintenance have been taken, or where a report does not identify any incidents requiring corrective action or maintenance, the individual(s) designated as the Qualified Stormwater Manager, shall sign and certify the below statement:

"I verify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, all corrective action and maintenance items identified during the inspection are complete, and the site is currently in compliance with the permit."

Name of Qualified Stormwater Manager	Title of Qualified Stormwater Manager
Signature of Qualified Stormwater Manager	Date

Notes/Comments

APPENDIX J
SWMP AMENDMENT LOG

AMENDMENT LOG

Amendment No.	Date	Brief Description of Amendment	Prepared By

shall institute proceedings to modify or revoke and reissue the permit to conform to the toxic effluent standard or prohibition